GOVERNMENT ORIENTAL SERIES



COVERNMENT ORIENTAL SERIES

CLASS B, No. 6

Prepared under the Supervision of the
Publication Department of the
Bhandarkar Oriental
Research Institute
POONA



POONA
Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute
1962

HISTORY OF DHARMAŚÃSTRA

VOL. V (PART II)

Copies can be had direct from the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona 4 (India)

Price Rs 60 per Copy exclusive of Postage

Revised Price Rs. 80-00

Vol. V, Part 2

Pages 719-1711 of the Text and pp. I to XXII of the Epilogue printed by Shri K G. Sharangpani, at the Aryabhushan Press, 915/1 Shivajinagar, Poona 4

որո

the Prefatory matter and the Index printed by the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute Press, Poona 4

and

published by Dr. R. N. Dandekar, v. A., ph. D., Hon, Secretary, Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poons 4.

	-	



It transcends the distinction of subject and object, the duality which is essential for knowledge

The absolute of experience is not the absolute of language or of logic. The Real to which we belong is beyond description in its majesty, power and glory. Spiritual humility requires us to look upon the varied expressions and interpretations as suggestions of the Supreme. By encouraging dogmatism and the use of force to spread belief, religions have become discredited.

The seers affirm that they are one with the Supreme: aham brahmāsm. Hallāj exclaims. "I am the truth" and was executed for his heterodoxy.

A well-known Sufi tradition attributes to the prophet a saying: "He who knows the self knows the Lord". Of Abū Yazīd it is recorded that he said: "I sloughed off my self as a snake sloughs off its skin; then I looked into my self, and lo, I was He". Religion, it is said, springs from the great 'I am' in each 'me'. The fountains are within.

On the pathway to the goal we feel that the attainment of the goal is conditioned by the effort of the seeker and the grace of God — tapah-prabhāva and deva-prasāda.

The seekers look upon the Supreme as a Person separate from us, whose commands we obey, whose will we accept with reverence. The One beyond sense-perception, speech and logic is also the Controller, the Lord of all, the Creator and Ordainer of all. "There is nothing marvellous in my love for you, O God, you-are a mighty being but your love for me a poor slave is really marvellous. It is impossible to know thee and not to love thee." The personal is not a falsification of

¹ Cf Brhadaranyala Upanisad IV 4 7.

Vyavasāyātmikā buddhir eke'ha kurunandana. The trained understanding is single-minded. Integrity refers to the quality of oneness. It does not mean alienation from the world. Religion does not mean other-worldiness, separating oneself from all created things. It is denial of egoism. Turning one's back on the world is a part of ascetic discipline which is not an end in itself. Liberation from the tyranny of time is not liberation from time. When we become spiritual in outlook we do not cease to be human.

The secret of true greatness is love of fellowmen. Love of neighbour is not only a moral duty but a wise policy. The right course today is co-operation and not conflict. "What merit is there in the goodness of a man who returns good for good? A good man verily is one who returns good for evil." Rāmarājya or the Kingdom of God is the ideal for the human community. The one hope for the peoples of the world to get together is a change in the human heart.

Ethical standards are the only criteria for the distinction between high and low among men. Purity of conduct elevates a man even as impurity degrades him All other distinctions are irrelevant. The Chāndogya Upanisad refers to patitas. The Cānḍālas are those given to stealing, drinking, adultery and murder. These four are fallen ete patanti catvārah. A patita, a fallen man, is a wicked man, a small-minded selfish man, not an untouchable.

The caste distinctions may have had their value in another context of society but we have out-grown it.

¹ upalärisu yah säähuh säähutve tasya lo gunah i apalärisu yah säähuh sa säähuh sadbhir ucyate ii

^{2,} V 10, 9

The Bhagavadgitā speaks of the four-fold classification as based on guna (character) and karma (work).

We are all unregenerate at birth and become regenerate by our effort.

janmanā jāyate sūdrah samskārād dvija ucyate i

Some are advanced; others not. We should give equal facilities to all. The *Mahābhārata* says that there was only one varna at the beginning and the four castes arose out of later developments.

ekavarnam ıdam pürvam visvam äsid yudhişthira ı karma-kriyā-vıbhedena cāturvarnyam pratisthitam ॥

But we have come to base caste on birth though some of our leading writers have held that it is not birth or learning but conduct alone that constitutes its basis; for dvijatva,

vrttam eva tu kāranam 1

vedapāthena vipras tu brahmajñānāt tu brāhmaṇah II It is not the colour of the skin but the conduct of the person that counts. The only way to progress is by means of good conduct. The Samvarta-Smṛti says:

sadācāreņa devatvam rṣitvam vai tathaiva ca i prāpnuvanti kuyonitvam manuṣyās tadviparyaye i Great achievement is possible for each one of us.

Professor Kane brings out with great learning and lucidity the frequent changes our society has passed through. When Manu (I. 85) tells us that different customs prevailed in different ages he suggests that the social code is not a fixed but a flexible one. Social

anye Lriayuge dharmās tretāyām dvāpare 'pare i anye Laliyuge nraām

customs and institutions are subject to change Yājñavalkya tells us that "one should not practise that which, though ordained by the *Smrti*, is condemned by the people." What appeals to one's conscience, ātmanas tuṣtih, the conscience of the disciplined, not of the superficial, the forms which the elect praise, should be our standard.

Vital changes may be introduced in the habits of the people by parisads or assemblies of the learned. When such assemblies cannot be constituted even the decision of one learned in dharma will be authoritative. The Apastamba Dharmasütra says dharmayña-samayah pramāṇam. People who are learned and compassionate, who are practical-minded can decide the issues of right and wrong. They are the conscience of the community. What we are doing by legislative enactments is consistent with our tradition.

S. RADHAKRISHNAN

¹ I 156

² yam aryah Iriyamanam tu Samsanti.

^{3 1112}

PREFACE TO VOLUME V

The fourth volume of the History of Dharmasāstra was published in October 1953, i.e. more than eight years ago. This last volume was in the Press for more than five years. The delay is due to several causes This volume in two parts contains over 1700 pages. It is thus far more bulky than any of the previous volumes. Nonavailability of sufficient quantity of good printing paper was another cause. The third cause was my age (I am now 82 years old) and frequent bad health. It is gratifying to my friends and myself that at last this undertaking spread over thirty-seven years is completed.

This volume is divided into ten sections. The first section deals with Vratas and Utsavas (religious vows and festivals); the second with Kāla (time), Muhūrta (auspicious times), and calendar; the third with Sāntis (propitiatory rites for averting the wrath of a deity, a calamity or unlucky event); the fourth and fifth with Purāṇas and Dharmaśāstra and the causes of the disappearance of Buddhism from India; the sixth with Tāntrik doctrines and Dharmaśāstra; the seventh with Mīmāmsā and Dharmaśāstra; the eighth with Sānkhya, Yoga, Tarka and Dharmaśāstra; the ninth with cosmology, Karma and Punarjanma; the tenth with the fundamental conceptions and characteristics of Hindu (Bhāratīya) culture and civilization, and future trends.

In the Preface to the 2nd volume I have indicated the reasons for numerous and lengthy Sanskrit quotations. In the Preface to the 4th volume I have mentioned the aim I had in view in bringing together the facts in each branch of Dharmasastra with detachment and integrity and without bias. The same aim and mental attitude have been kept in view in this volume also. But it may be argued that when an author selects some of the numerous facts he passes a judgement about the importance of facts and his judgement may be biased all the same. I do not dispute this argument, but will only argue that, facts being numerous and there being limitations imposed by the space available, the author has a right to pronounce a judgement as to the importance of the facts he selects.

As regards volumes two, three and four, I could rely on a tower of strength in the person of Paramahamsa Svāmī Kevalānanda Sarasvatī of Wai. But, unfortunately the Svāmī passed away in March 1955 before I began to write this last volume, and I could not get the benefit of his wise counsel in this fifth volume.

In this last volume I received help from many people, either personally or by correspondence. I have to thank Mr. M. B. Arte, Dr. R. N. Dandekar, and Prof. H. D. Velankar for help in translating important passages from several French and German works. Prof. Gode, Curator of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona, was always ready to render help as to manuscripts and books. He had been a close friend for nearly forty years and his sudden death has meant for me the loss of a learned, sympathetic, and ever-obliging friend. Dr. A. D. Pusalker very carefully read the chapters on Puranas and indicated several misprints and some inaccurate statements. Dr. Raghavan very kindly brought to my notice the calendars in use in Southern India and certain works on Vratas; Pandit Śrījīva Nyāyatīrtha sent me in Sanskrit the Naivāvika view on Kāla; Miss Kunda Sathe (now Mrs. Savkar)

kindly sent me from Paris information from French scholars on Babylonian, Assyrian and Greek astronomy. I am highly obliged to Dr. B. S. Joshi for sending me microfilms of certain papers (not available in India) from Cambridge and Chicago. Prof Durgamohan Bhattacharya kindly sent me a copy of the Kāla-siddhāntadarsını. I am under deep obligations to Svamı Kuvalayānanda of Lonavla for reading my chapter on Yoga and suggesting valuable changes and amendments. Prof. Zala gave me details about the Vratas observed in Saurästra. Prof. G. H. Bhatt (Baroda) and Mr. S. L. Katre (Curator, Scindia Institute, Ujjain) very kindly showed me the manuscript-wealth of their Institutes and helped me with the contents of several relevant mss, on Vratas and Kāla. Shri Padeśāstrī of Baroda discussed with me, personally and by correspondence, several points about Ancient Hindu Astronomy. MM. Dr. Umesh Mishra brought to my notice his edition of the Vijñānadīpikā of Padmapāda and Dr. H. G. Narahari wrote to me about the Prārabdha-dhvāntavidhvamsana of Acyutarāya and sent me off-prints of his papers thereon. Mr. Shankarrao Joshi of the Bhārata Itihāsa Samsodhaka Mandala of Poona helped me by bringing to my notice hand-written calendars more than two hundred years old. To all these I offer my best thanks. I am highly obliged to Mr. S. N. Savadi B. A. (HONS.) of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute for help in the correction of the proofs of this volume. I have to thank Mr. P. M. Purandare, Advocate (O. S.), Bombay High Court, Tarkatīrtha Raghunāthasastrī Kokje of Lonavla, and Dr. Bhabatosh Bhattacharya for reading the printed sheets and making suggestions and pointing out misprints. Mr. N. G. Chapekar, in spite of his being now over 91 years of age, read some chapters when they were only typewritten, and discussed them personally with me for some hours. To him I owe a deep debt of gratitude for having taken so much trouble at such a very advanced age. I am highly obliged to Dr. A. Ghosh, Director-General of the Archaeological Survey of India, and to the Labrarian Mr. L. G. Parab and the staff of the Archaeological Library for rendering all help to me while I had been collecting material for this volume

I cannot find words adequate enough to express my sense of deep gratitude to Dr. Radhakrishnan, now President of India, who has favoured me with many kindnesses during the last fourteen years. As for this volume of the *History of Dharmasāstra*, in the midst of numerous engagements and heavy work, he found time to look into its last two chapters, made vital suggestions for their improvement, and finally contributed a learned Foreword.

I am aware that, in spite of so much help rendered by so many friends and well-wishers, this large volume might contain many mistakes, for which I alone am responsible. In the process of printing, some discritical marks have either been elided or placed in wrong places, for which I seek the indulgence of all scholars and readers.

Lastly, I thank the Manager of the Aryabhushan Press of Poona for carrying out with energy and zeal the work of printing this very large volume bristling with thousands of quotations, in the face of great difficulties caused by shortage of paper, the Poona floods, and other unforeseen happenings

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE

of some important works and authors.

referred to in vol. V.

- N. B. Some dates, particularly of ancient works, are more or less conjectural.
- 4000 B. C.-1000 B. C.-The period of the Vedic Sam; hitas. Brāhmanas and Upanişads; some hymns of the Rgveda, of the Atharvaveda and verses in the Taittirīva Samhitā and Brāhmanas may possibly go back to a period earlier than 4000 B.C. and some of the Upanisads (even from among those that are regarded by most scholars as the earliest ones) may be later than 1000 B.C.. Some scholars have criticized me for assigning the Vedic Samhitas to such an early date as 4000 B.C. Bloomfield, in 'Religion of the Veda' (New York, 1908) was willing (on p. 20) to regard 2000 B. C. for the beginnings of Vedic literary productions and to assign a much earlier date for institutions and religious concepts which the Veda derived and he denies that there is any better proof for any later date such as 1500, 1200 or 1000 B.C. rather than for one of the earlier viz. 2000 B. C. Winternitz (in 'Some problems of Indian Literature' which are his Calcutta Readership Lectures, p. 20) remarks 'it is more probable that this unknown time of the Vedic Literature was nearer 2500 B. C. or 2000 B. C. than to 1500 or 1200 B.C.' Both Bloomfield and Winternitz frankly confess that they know nothing at all about the date of the early Vedic Literature. Some Western scholars are rather too cocksure or dogmatic than the facts warrant.

- They mostly rely on comparisons with other extant Indo-Aryan literatures and conjectures, which is not proof.
- 800 B. C.-500 B. C.-The Nirukta of Yāska.
- 800 B. C.-400 B. C.—The principal Śrauta sūtras (such as those of Āśvalāyana, Āpastamba, Baudhāyana, Kātyāyana and Satyāṣāḍha) and some of the Grhyasūtras (such as those of Āśvalāyana and Āpastamba) and Vedānga Jyotisa.
- 500 B. C.-300 B. C.—The Dharmasūtras of Gautama, Apastamba, Baudhāyana and Vasistha and the Grhyasūtras of Pāraskara and a few others.
- 500 B. C.-300 B. C.-Pānini.
- 500 B. C.-200 B. C.-The Bhagavad-gītā.
- 400 B. C.—200 B. C.—The Pürvmīmāmsā-sūtra of Jaimini.
- 300 B. C.-200 B C.—The Vārtikas of Vararuci Kātyāyana on Pānini's Grammar.
- 300 B. C.-100 A. D.—The Arthasastra of Kautilya (rather nearer the former date than the latter).
- 200 B. C.-100 A. D.-The Manusmrti.
- 150 B.C.-100 A.D.—The Mahābhāsya of Patañjali (rather nearer the former date than the latter).
- 100 B. C.-100 A. D.—Upavarsa, author of a commentary on Pürvamīmāmsā and Vedāntasūtra.
- 100 B. C.-300 A. D -Patanjali, author of Yogasutra.
- 100 A. D.-300 A D.-Yājñavalkya-smiti and the Visnu. dharmasūtra.
- 100 A. D.-400 A. D.-Nāradasmrti.
- 200 A. D.-400 A. D.-Śabara, author of bhāsya on P. M. S. (nearer the former date than the latter).
- 250 A. D.-325 A. D.-Sānkhyakārikā of Īśvarakrsna.
- 300 A. D.-500 A D.-Brhaspatismrti on Vyavahāra

- and other topics (not yet found); extracts on Vyavahāra are translated in S. B. E. Vol. 33 and extracts from Bṛhaspatismṛti on many topics were collected by Prof. Rangaswami Aiyangar and published in a volume in G. O. S.
- 300 A. D.-600 A. D.-some of the extant Purānas such as Vāyu, Brahmāṇḍa, Viṣṇu, Matsya, Mārkaṇḍeya.
- 400 A. D.-500 A. D.—Mātharavṛtti on Sānkhyakārikā.
- 400 A. D.-500 A. D.—The Yogasūtrabhāṣya of Vyāsa.
- 476 A. D.-Āryabhaṭa, author of Āryabhaṭīyam, was born.
- 500 A. D.-575 A. D.—Varāhamihira, author of Bṛhatsamhitā, Bṛhajjātaka, Pañcasiddhāntikā and other works.
- 550 A. D.-700 A. D.-Yuktidīpikā, com. on Sāńkhyakārikā.
- 600 A. D.-650 A. D.-Bāna, author of the Kādambarī and Harşacarita.
- 650 A. D.-660 A. D.-Kāśikā of Vāmana and Jayāditya, com. on Pānini's grammar (was composed)
- 650 A. D.-700 A. D.-Kumārilabhaṭṭa, author of Ślokavārtika, Tantravārtika, Ṭupṭīkā.
- 600 A. D.-900 A. D.-Most of the metrical smrtis such as those of Parāśara, Śańkha and Devala and some of the Purānas like Viṣnudharmottara, Agni, Garuḍa.
- 680 A. D.-725 A. D.-Maṇḍana (vide p. 1198 of vol. V).
- 700 A.D.-750 A.D.-Gaudapāda, author of a commentary on Sāṅkhyakārikā and paramaguru (guru's guru) of Śaṅkarācārya.
- 700 A. D.-750 A. D.-Umbeka; vide vol. V p. 1198
- 710 A. D.-770 A. D.-Śālikanātha (vide vol. V p. 1198)

- 788 A. D.-820 A. D.-Sankarācārya, author of Bhāsyas on the Gitā, principal Upanisads and V. S.
- 780 A. D.-870 A. D.—Utpala, the encyclopedic commentator on Varāhamihira's works.
- 790 A. D.-850 A. D.—Viśvarūpa, the commentator of Yājñavalkyasmrti, author of Vārtika on the Bhāsyas of Śankaiācārya on Bihadāranyakopanisad and the Taittirīyopanisad and of the Naiskarmyasiddhi;
- the same as Suresvara (after he became a Sannyā, sin).
- 820 A. D.-900 A. D.—Vācaspati, author of bhāsya on Yogasūtra, author of Nyāyakanikā, Tattvasamīksā, Bhāmatī (ın all 7 works).
- 825 A. D-900 A D.-Medhātithi, author of bhāsya on the Manusmiti.
- 900 A D.-1100 A D.-Pārthasārathimiśra, author of Śāstradīpikā, Tantraratna, Nyāyaratnākara.
- 1005 A. D.-1055 A. D.-Dhāreśvara Bhoja, author of numerous works such as the Rājamārtanda (on astrology), Yuktikalpataru, Rājamārtanda (a commentary on Yogasūtra).
- 1050 A. D.-1150 A. D.—Bhavanātha or Bhavadeva, author of Nayavıveka.
- 1080 A. D -1100 A D -Vijnānesvara, author of Mitāksarā, commentary on Yāj.
- i100 A. D.-1130 A. D.-Laksmīdhara, author of a very extensive digest on Dharmaśāstra called Kalpataru or Kityakalpataru.
- 1100 A.D.-1130 A.D.-Aparārka, a Śilāhāra king, author of an extensive commentary on Yāj
- 1100 A. D.-1150 A. D.-Jīmūtavāhana, author of Dāyabhāga, Kālavīveka and Vyavahāramātrkā.
- 1127 A. D-1128 A. D.—Mānasollāsa or Abhilasitārthaciutāmani of Someśvaradeva.

- 1114 A. D.-1183 A. D.-Bhāskarācārya, author of Siddhāntaśiromaņi (born in 1114 A. D.).
- 1150 A. D. 1160 A. D. Rājataranginī of Kalhana (composed between these dates).
- 1150 A. D.-1180 A. D.-Aniruddhabhaṭṭa, author of Hāralatā and Pitṛdayitā and guru of Ballālasena, king of Bengal.
- 1158 A. D.-1183 A D.-Ballālasena (king of Bengal who composed five works of which two are available and printed viz. Adbhutasāgara (begun in 1168 A. D.) and the Dānasāgara composed in 1169 A. D.
- 1150 A. D.-1300 A. D.—Haradatta, commentator of Dharmasūtras of Gautama and Apastamba and of some Grhyasūtras.
- 1150 A. D.-1300 A. D.-Kullūka, commentator of Manusmrti.
- 1200 A. D.-1225 A. D.-Smrticandrikā of Devannabhatta.
- 1260 A. D.-1270 A. D.-Caturvargacintāmaņi of Hemādri (composed between these dates).
- 1275 A. D.-1310 A. D.—Śrīdatta, author of Pitrbhakti, Samayapradīpa and other works.
- 1300 A. D.-1370 A. D.-Candeśvara, author of Grhastharatnākara, Kityaratnākara, Vyavahāraratnākara and other works.
- 1300 A. D.-1386 A. D.-Mādhavācārya, author of Jaiminīyanyāyamālāvistāra, Parāśaramādhavīya and other works.
- 1300 A. D.-1386 A. D.—Sāyana, author of bhāṣyas of Vedic Samhitās and Brāhmanas.
- 1360 A. D.-1390 A. D.-Madanapārijāta and Mahārņavaprakāśa compiled under king Madanapāla and his son.
- 1360 A. D.-1448 A. D.-These are the dates of the

- birth and death of Vidyāpati, author of Gangāvākyāvali and other works, patronized by several kings of Mithilā.
- 1375 A. D-1450 A. D.—Śūlapāni, author of Dīpakalıkā, Tithivīveka, Ekādasīvīveka and several works on topics of Dharmasāstra called Vivekas.
- 1425 A. D.-1450 A. D.-King Madanasimha, completed a large digest called Madanaratna.
- 1375 A D.-1500 A. D.—Digest composed by Prthvicandra, son of Nāgamalla, called Dharmatattvasudbānidhi.
- 1400 A. D.-1450 A. D.-Nyāyasudhā of Someśvara, a commentary on Tantravārtika.
- 1425 A. D.-1460 A. D.-Rudradhara, author of Varsakrtya, Śuddhiviveka and other works.
- 1425 A. D.-1490 A. D.-Vācaspati, author of Kıtyacıntāmanı and numerous works called Cıntāmani and some works called Nirnaya (e.g Tıthınırnaya).
- 1440 A. D.-1500 A. D Vardhamāna, author of Dandaviveka, Gangākrtyaviveka and other works.
- 1513 A. D.-1580 A. D.-Nārāyanabhatta, author of Tristhalīsetu, Prayogaratna etc.
- 1520 A. D.-1575 A. D.-Raghunandana, author of many works called Tattvas, such as Tithitattva, Ekādasītattva etc
- 1554 A. D.-1626 A. D.-Appayyadīksita, author of Vidhirasāyana and numerous works on different śāstras and topics (vide p. 1199 above).
- 1560 A. D.-1620 A. D.-Śańkarabhatta, son of Nārāyanabhatta and author of Dvaitanirnaya, Mīmāmsābālaprakāśa and other works.
- 1590 A. D-1630 A D.—Nandapandita, author of Dvaitanırnaya, Vaijayanti (commentary on Vişnudharmasütra).

- 1600 A. D.-1665 A. D.-Khandadeva, author of Bhātta-kaustubha and Bhāttadīpikā.
- 1610 A. D.-1640 A. D.—Time of literary activity of Kamalākarabhatţa, author of Nirnayasindhu, Śūdrakamalākara and many other works.
- 1610 A. D.-1640 A. D.-Mitramiśra, author of a huge digest called Vīramitrodaya on tīrtha, pūjā, samaya and many other topics of Dharmaśāstia.
- 1615 A. D.-1645 A. D.—Time of literary activity of Nīlakantha, son of Śańkarabhatta, and author of a digest on topics of Ācāra, Sańskāra, Vyavahāra etc.
- 1620 A. D.-1690 A. D.-Viśveśvara, alias Gāgābhaṭṭa, author of Bhāttacintāmanı and other works.
- 1645 A. D.-1675 A. D.—Probable period of the literary activity of Anantadeva, author of a large digest called Smrtikaustubha.
- 1700 A. D.-1740 A. D.-Smrtimuktāphala of Vaidyanātha.
- 1670 A. D.-1750 A. D.-Nāgeśa or Nāgojibhatta, an encyclopædic writer on Grammar, Poetics, Dharmaśāstra, Yoga and other Śāstras (about 47 works).
- 1790 A. D.—date of the composition of the Dharmasindhu by Kāśinātha Upādhyāya.
- 1730 A.D.-1820 A.D.-Bālambhaṭṭa, author of a commentary called Bālambhaṭṭā on the Mitākṣarā.

ABBREVIATIONS

used in vol. V for works in English and Sanskrit.

On pp. 251-252 there is a list of abbreviations meant for the list of Vratas alone. Many of them will be included in this list also.

- A. B. O. R. I = Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona.
- AIHT="Ancient Indian Historical Tradition" by Pargiter.
- Ait. Br. = Aitareya-brāhmana.
- A. I. O. C. = All India Oriental Conference.
- A. K. = Ahalyā-kāmadhenu (ms.)
- Ap Dh. S. = Apastambadharmasutra.
- Ap. Śr. S = Apastamba Śrautasūtia.
- Aśv. Gr. = Āśvalāyana Grhya-sūtra.
- A. S. W. I. = Archaeological Survey of Western India Reports.
- B. D. C. R. I. = Bulletin of the Deccan College Research Institute, Poona.
- B. E. = 'Buddhist Esoterism' by Dr. B. Bhattacharya.
- B. E. F. E. O. = Bulletin de L'Ecole Française D' Extreme-Orient.
- B. G. = Bombay Gazetteer Volumes.
- B. G. S. = Bombay Government Series.
- Bhav. U. = Bhavısyottara-purāna.
- B. I. = Bibliotheca Indica Series.
- B. O. R. I. = Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona.
- Br. = Brāhmana (class of works).

- Br. S. = Brhat-samhitā of Varāhamihira.
- Br. Up. = Brhadāranyaka Upanişad.
- B. V. = Bhāratīya Vidyā, Journal, Bombay.
- C. I. I. = Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum; Vol. I Aśoka Inscriptions; Vol. II Kharoşthī Inscriptions; Vol. III Gupta Inscriptions; Vol. IV Kalacūri Inscriptions.
- C. R. C. = Calendar Reform Committee (Report of).
- D. C. = Deccan College.
- E. I. = Epigraphia Indica (Volumes).
- E. R. E. = Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics in 12 volumes.
- E. S. A. = 'Exact Sciences in Antiquity' by Prof. Neugebauer (1951).
- Gaut. or Gautama = Gautama-dharmasūtra.
- G. K. = Kālasāru of Gadādhara.
- G. O. S. = Gaikwad's Oriental Series (Baroda).
- H.=Prof. Hazra.
- H. of Dh. or H. Dh. = History of Dharmaśāstra, Vols. I-V.
- H. O. S = Harvard Oriental Series.
- H. P. or H. Y. P. = Hathayogapradīpikā.
- H. V. = Hemādri on Vratas.
- I. A = Indian Antiquary (Journal) or Law Reports, Indian Appeals (context will clearly show which is meant).
- I. H. Q. = Indian Historical Quarterly (Journal).
- Jai. = Jaimini or Jaimini's Pūrvamīmāmsā-sūtra.
- J. A. O. S. = Journal of the American Oriental Society.
- J. A. S. or J. A. S. B. = Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.
- J. B. A. S. = Journal of the Asiatic Society, Bombay.

- J. B. B. R. A. S. = Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society.
- J. B. H. U. = Journal of the Benaras Hindu University
- J. B. O. R. S. = Journal of the Bihar and Olissa Research Society.
- J. B R. S. = Journal of the Bihar Research Society.
- J. G. J. R. I. = Journal of the Ganganuth Jha Research Institute, Allahabad.
- J I. H = Journal of Indian History.
- Jiv. = Jivananda's edition in two volumes of Raghunandana's Tattvas.
- J. N. = Jayantīnirnaya.
- J U. P. H. S. = Journal of United Provinces Historical Society.
- J. O R. = Journal of Oriental Research, Madras.
- J. R. A. S = Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain.
- J. V. O. T. = Journal of Venkatesvara Oriental Institute
- K. N. = Kālanırnaya of Mādhava.
- K. R = Kıtyaratnākara of Candeśvara.
- K. S. S = Kashı Sanskrıt Series.
- K. T. = Krtyatattva.
- K. T. V. = Kālatattvavivecana.
- K. V. = Kālaviveka.
- M. B. P. = Mīmāmsā-bāla-prakāśa.
- M. C. = Muhūrta-cıntāmani.
- M. L. J. = Madras Law Journal.
- Mit. = Mitāksarā, commentary on Yājñavalkya-smrti.
- M. M. = Mahāmahopādhyāya (title conferred on learned men).
- M. M. = Muhürtamārtanda (a work).
- Nir. or. Nirn. = Nırnayasāgara Press edition.

N. I. A. = New Indian Antiquary (a journal).

N. S. = Nirnayasindhu.

Pār. Gr. = Pāraskara-gṛhya-sūtra.

P. C. = Puruşārtha-cintāmani.

Ph. Up. = Philosophy of the Upanishads by Paul Deussen, translated by A. S. Geden.

P. M. = Pūrvamīmāmsā.

P. M. S. = Pūrvamīmāmsā-śāstra or sūtra (according to context).

P.O. = Poona Orientalist (journal).

P.R.H.R.=Studies in Puranik Records on Hindu rites and customs (collection of 16 papers by Prof. Hazra).

Rg. = Rgveda.

R. M. = Rājamārtanda of Bhoja (Ms. in B. O. R. I.).

R. N. P. = Rājanītiprakāśa of Candeśvara.

Śāń. Śr. S. = Śāńkhāyana-śrauta sūtra.

Sat. Br. = Satapatha Brāhmana (ed. by Weber).

S.B. E. = Sacred Books of the East Series (ed. by MaxMuller).

S. M. = Samayamayūkha of Nīlakantha.

Sm. C. = Smrti-candrika (ed. by Mr. Gharpure).

Sm. K. = Smrtikaustubha.

Tai. A. or T. A. = Taittirīya Aranyaka.

Tai. Br. = Taittirīya Brāhmana.

Tai. S. or T. S. = Taittirīya-Samhitā.

Tai. Up. = Taittiriya Upanisad.

T. S. S. = Trivandrum Sanskrit Series.

T. T. = Tithitattva of Raghunandana.

U. = Upapurāna.

Up. = Upanisad.

Vāj. S. = Vājasaneya Samhitā.

```
Var. = Varāhapurāna.
```

Varāha = Varāhamihira.

V. Dh. or Visnu Dh S. = Visnudharmasūtra.

Vi Dh. = Visnu-Dharmottara-purāna.

V. K. K. = Varsa-kriyā-kaumudī.

V. K. R. = Varsakı tya of Rudradhara.

V. S. = Vedāntasūtra of Bādarāyana.

Y. S. = Yogasütra.

Yāj. = Yājñavalkya-smı ti.

अ. का. अ. का धे. } = अहल्याकामधेतु (Ms. in Scindia Institute, Ujjain)

अप्ति. अप्तिपु. } = अप्तिपुराण (आनन्दाश्रम ed.)

अथर्व. = अथर्ववेद (ed. by Pandıt Satavalekar)

अपरार्क. = Com. of, on या स्मृति (आनन्दाश्रम ed.)

अ. सा. = अन्द्रतसागर of बल्लालसेन (Calcutta, 1905)

आप. गृ. = आपस्तम्बर्गृह्यसूत्र

आप. ध. सू = आपस्तम्बधमेसूत्र

आप श्रौ = आपस्तम्बश्रौतसूत्र

आश्व. गृ. = आश्वलायनगृह्यसूत्र

आश्व श्रौ. आश्व. श्रौ. सू } = आश्वलायनश्रौतसूत्र

ऋ. == ऋग्वेद

ए त. = एकादशीतत्त्व

ऐ. त्रा. = ऐतरेयत्राह्मण

कल्प कल्पतरु. } = कृत्यकल्पतरु of लक्ष्मीधर (separate volumes on गृहस्थ, नैयतकाल, मोक्ष, वत etc.) ed in G.O.S.

٠.

का. त. वि. = कालतत्त्वविवेचन

का. नि. = कालनिणेय of माधनाचार्य

का. वि. = कालविवेक of जीमृतवाहन

```
क. त. = क्रुत्यतत्त्व of रघनन्दन

      कु. र. कुल्सरत्नाकर of चण्डेश्वर ( Β. I. Series )

कौ. त्रा. = कौषीतिकत्राह्मण
गरुड = गरुडपराण
गी. थ. सू. = गौतमधर्मसूत्र (आनन्दाधम ed. with com. of हरदत्त)
छा.
छा. उ. } = छान्दोग्योपनिषद्
जै. = पूर्वेमीमांसास्त्र of जैमिनि ( आनन्दाश्रम ed. )
ति. त. = तिथितत्त्व of र्धुनन्दन
तै. आ. = तैत्तिरीयार्ण्यक
तै. बा. = तैत्तिरीयबाह्मण ( आनन्दाश्रम ed. )
तै. सं. = तैत्तिरीयसंहिता ( ed. by Pandit Satavalekar )
हुर्गार्चि ।
हुर्गार्चन॰ } = हुर्गार्चनपद्धति of रघुनन्दन
इ. स. त. = दुर्गाभक्तितरिक्षणी of विद्यापति (ed. at Darbhanga, 1900)
है. नि. सि. सं. = हैतनिर्णयसिद्धान्तसंग्रह
घ. सि. = धर्मसिन्धु of काशीनाथ (ed. of 1926, with Marathi trans-
      lation) Nir. ed.
नि. सि. = निर्णयसिन्धु ( with Marathi translation ) Nir. ed.
न्यायमञ्जरी = Kashi S. Series
पञ्चसि. = पञ्चसिद्धान्तिका of वराहमिहिर (ed. by Thibaut
      Dwivedi )
पद्मतु. } = पद्मपुराण (आनन्दाश्रम ed.)
परा. मा. = पराशरमाधवीय ( Bombay S. Series )
 पा. = पाणिनि' ८ अष्टाध्यायी
```

```
प. नि. - प्रमार्थनिन्तार्गात
प्रायः सः - पातीवसनस्य स्त्री राजस्य
पाय. म. = पार्याधानमध्य of भीउराद्र
गह. छ
गह. छप हे र गहबास्थ्यनेत्राह्म
नवने नवन्त्रीहम of बग्रह्मिट (ed. by Kein, only text: by
      स्पाहर िनिया, with com, of उत्पन्न, There is a diffe-
      rence of one chap between the two editions ).
नरवीमि. - मतवीनियासराप्त्य ( ed. by सामी पुगलकामर of Lonasla )
र्था. रा. = जोधायनगुणगृत
अहात. } = अहापुराण (आसन्याशम ed.)
भविष्य = भविष्यपुराग
गर. पा. = गरनपारितान ( B. I. Series )
मनु = मनुरस्ति ( Ninn. ed )
मार्रे. = मार्रिशेयपुराम ( Venk. ed. and Cal ed. differ by two or
      three chapters)
मिता. = मिताधरा ( टीमा on यारा. स्पृति, Nirn. ed. )
भी. प. = भीमानापरिभाषा of कृष्णयज्ञवन् ( निर्णय. ed. )
मी या. प्र. = मीमानावालप्रदाश of बाहरसह (Chowkhamba Sanskrit
      Series)
म चि. = महतीचन्तामणि
गेधा. = मेशातिथि's commentary on मनुरमृति
मे. सं = भेत्रायणीसहिता (ed. by Pandit Satavalekar)
या.
याज्ञ. } = याज्ञवल्क्यरमृति ( निर्णयसागर ed. with मिताक्षरा )
यो. सू. = योगसूत्र
रा. मा. = राजमातंग्ड of भोज
व कि. की = वर्षे किया के सुदी of गोविन्दानन्द ( B. I. ed )
वन. = वनपर्व ( of महाभारत )
```

```
वराह. = वराहमिहिर or वराहपराण acc. to context
बाज. सं. = वाजसनेयसंहिता ( ed. by Pandit Satavalekar )
वासन° = वासनपुराण
वि. टी. = विज्ञानदीपिका
वी. मि. = वीरमित्रोदय of मित्रमिश्र
वै. सू. = वेदान्तसूत्र of वादरायण with शाङ्करभाष्य (निर्णय. ed.)
व्य. म. = व्यवहारसयख of नीलकण्ठ
व. का. वि. = वतकालविवेक
          } = व्रतप्रकाश, part of वीरमित्रोदय
          } = शतपथ बाह्मण (ed. by Weber)
शा. त्रा. = शाङ्कायन त्राह्मण
शां. श्रौ. = शाङ्कायन श्रौतसत्र
शुक्ति = शुद्धिकौमदी of गोविन्दानन्द ( B. I. ed. )
थे. उप. = श्वेताश्वतरोपनिषद
सं. कौ. = संस्कारकौरतुम of अनन्तदेव ( Baroda ed. 1914 )
 स. प्र. = समयप्रकाश ( part of वीरमित्रोदय )
 स. म. = समयमयूख (Gujarati Press ed.)
 सि. कौ. = सिद्धान्तकौमुदी of भट्टोजिदीक्षित ( निर्णय. ed. )
 स्कन्द. = स्कन्दप्रराण ( Venk. Press ed. )
 स्मृतिको. = स्मृतिकोस्तुभ of अनन्तदेव
 स्मृतिच. = स्मृतिचन्द्रिका ( ed. by Mr. Gharpure )
 ह. यो. प्र. = इठयोगप्रदीपिका
 हे. = हेमाद्रि, author of चतुर्चर्गचिन्तामणि (B. I. ed.) on व्रत, काल,
       श्राद, दान etc.
```

BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF THE CONTENTS OF V	OLUME V
-	Pages
Section I. $$	vas
(religious festivals)	1-462
Chapter I. Vrata in the Rgveda.	1~21
Chapter II. Vrata in the Vedic Litera Sütras, Smrtis, definition and impor of Vratas.	•
Chapter III. Persons entitled to engage Vratas, objects desired by means of tas, classifications of Vratas, Liter on Vratas, times for Vratas.	Vra-
Chapter IV. Individual vratas, Caitra I pad, Rāmanavamī, Aksayya-trtīyā, Pa rāmajayantī, Daśaharā, Sāvitrīvrata.	
Chapter V. Ekādasī.	95–121
Chapter VI. Cāturmāsya.	122-123
Chapter VII. Vratas called Nāgapaño Manasāpūjā, Raksābandhana, K janmāstamī	camī, rsna- 124—143
Chapter VIII. Vratas of Haritālikā, Ga caturthī, Rsipañcamī, Anantacaturda	śī.
	144-153
Chapter IX. Navarātra or Durgotsava.	154–187
Chapter X. Vijayādašamī and Divāļī.	188-210
Chapter XI. Makarasankrānti and Mahā rātri,	śiva- 211–236

Chapter XII. Holikā and Grahaņa (Eclip	ses) 237–250
Chapter XIII. List of other Vratas Utsavas.	and 251-462
Section II. Kāla, Muhūrta, the influence of A logy on Dharmasāstra and Calendar	
Chapter XIV. Conception of Kāla (Time)	463-485
Chapter XV. Units of Kāla.	486-536
Chapter XVI. Muhūrta,	537-603
Chapter XVII. Muhūrtas for religious 1	
u	604-640
Chapter XVIII. Calendar, eras, various r	eck-
onings about years, months etc.	641-685
Chapter XIX. Kalpa, Manvantara, Mahāy Yuga.	uga, 686–718
Section III. Śānti (propitiatory rite for aver the deity's wrath, a calamity or an lucky event.	ting
Chapter XX. Vedic meaning and proceed	
of Santis.	719-747
Chapter XXI. Individual Śāntis.	748-814
Section IV. Purānas and Dharmaśāstra.	815-912
Chapter XXII. Origin and development	
Purāņa literature.	815-886
Chapter XXIII. Brief notes on individual	dual
Purānas and Upapurānas.	887-912
Section V. Puranas and disappearance of 1	3u-
aahism.	13-1030
Chapter XXIV. Influence of Purāṇas Dharmaśāstra.	
Tormagusura,	13-1002

Chapter XXV. Causes of the disappearance of Buddhism from India. 1003-1030 Section VI. Tāntrik doctrines and Dharmasāstra, Nyāsa, Mudrā, Yantra. Cakra. Mandala. 1031-1151 Chapter XXVI. Tantrik doctrines and Dharmaśāstra 1031-1119 Chapter XXVII. Nyāsas, Mudiās, Yantra. Cakra, Mandala and Appendix on Works on Tantra. 1120-1151 Section VII. Pūrvamīmāmsā and Dharmasāstra. 1152-1351 Chapter XXVIII. Mīmāmsā and Dharmaśāstra. 1152-1201 Chapter XXIX. Some fundamental doctrines of Pūrva-mīmāmsā. 1202-1282 Chapter XXX. On Mīmāmsā principles and rules of interpretation in relation to Dharmasāstra; appendix on Nyāyas. 1283-1351 Section VIII. Relation of Sankhya, Yoga and 1352-1482 Tarka to Dharmasästra. Chapter XXXI. Dharmasāstra and Sānkhya. 1352-1384 Chapter XXXII. Yoga and Dharmaśāstra. 1385-1467 Chapter XXXIII. Tarka and Dharmasastra. 1468-1482 Section IX. Cosmology and the doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma. 1483-1612

Chapter XXXIV. Cosmology.

1483-1529

- Chapter XXXV. The doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma. 1530-1612
- Section X. The fundamental conceptions and characteristics of Hindu (Bhāratīya) Culture and civilization from Vedic Times to about 1800 A.D., and future trends

 1613-1711
 - Chapter XXXVI. Fundamental and leading characteristics and conceptions of Hindu culture and civilization. 1613-1657
 - Chapter XXXVII. Future trends. 1658-1711 Epilogue; Acknowledgments and Thanks. 1-xxII

References to pages of important works consulted

In former volumes lists of important works consulted were set out at length. It is not necessary to do this in this volume because in each section lists of important Sanskrit works and English works and papers have been provided. Therefore, all that need be done is to bring together the pages of this volume where such lists are mostly mentioned.

	Section	Works in Sanskrit pp	Works and papers in English pp
ī,	Vrata	59, 251-52	60
II,	Kāla Astronomy and Mathematics. Works on Jātaka and Muhūrta.	556-559, 585, 591-594, 611-12, 616-17	483-485, 565-66, 570-71, 591-82, 585, 594-600, 644-646
III.	Śāntis	749, 752–53, 763, 779–781, 790, 805–06	735n, 782
IV.	Purāņas	867–869	843-845, 849, 852, 864ff, 883, 886, 909, 941-42 (on Buddhism)
v.	Purānas pp. 913~1033	952, 957–958 (on bhaktī), 998 (on Avatāras)	969, 978, 1003 and 1008-9 (on Bud- dhism and causes of its disappearance from India)

	Section	Works in Sanskrit pp.	Works and papers in English pp.
VI.	Tantra (1033-1151)	1050–51 1140–1147	1040, 1048n (Śākta doctrines) 1148–1151
VII.	Mīmāmsā and Dharmasāstra	1188-89 1197-1200	1200-1201
VIII	. Relation of Sāṅkhya, Yoga, Tarka to Dharmaśāstra (1352–1482) Sāṅkhya		1353n
	(1352–1384) Yoga	1394	1392-1394 1455-1456
IX.	Cosmology, Karma and Punarjanma Cosmology		
	(1483–1529) Karma and Punarjanma	,	1485n, 1502
X.	(pp. 1530–1612) Fundamental conceptions and characteristics of Hindu	1599-1604	1604-5
	Culture, and Civilization (1613-1657)	1624, 1627-31, 1642, 1646, 1649	1618, 1648n, 1650n 1653~1657
	Future trends	1704-5, 1707-11	1659-1661, 1666, 1675-76, 1683, 1695-96, 1711

CORRECTIONS

Mistakes that can be easily detected and misprints due to the loss or displacement of such loose parts as anusvāras or mātrās or discritical dots (as under t) that can be easily detected have generally not been included in this list.

Page Line or note

384	20	read 'on Śu 7th; when'
494	n. 719, l. 7	read 'from' for 'form'
,,	n. 722, l. 2 from	bottom read 'Gandharva'.
530	n. 765, l. 2 from	bottom read 'p. 270'
560	n. 832, l. 3	read '101 (in Kern's ed.)' for '160'
598		the figures in the photo of 'Dhanus' and 'Mīna or Makara' are wrong, in position. They should be turned upside down
647	n. 991, l. 3 from	bottom read: 14' for '29'
660	1. 11	read 'aksara a'
696	I. 9	read 'Manu IX. 301'
715	n. 1130, l. 2	read 'purposes'
"	n. 1130, l. 3	read 'deemed'
724	n. 1145, l. 3	read रामीप्नं
736	n. 1172a, l. 2	read 1690 for 1598.
737	n. 1172b, l. 8	read 'Visnor-nu kam'.
751	14	read 'specified on preceding page' for 'specified below'.
801	n. 1300, l. 8	omit ' शाकुन्तल '.
813	n. 1323, l. 3	read ' रुद्रैकाद्यिनीति '

```
817 n. 1328, l. 1
                        read ' श्लोकाबुदाहरन्ति '
                        read मृदुक्रे
824 n. 1347, l. 4
      n. 1356, l. 3
                        read सर्ववाञ्छाफलप्रदम्.
833
844
      n. 1375, l. 6
                        read 'tonal'.
                        read 'It' for 'If'
870 1, 5
                        read 'Kālikā 92. 2'
888 n. 1414b, l. 1
899 1.2
                        read 'Amar Nath Ray' for
                            'M. R. Majumdar'.
911
                        read 'Saura'
      I. 13
     l. 16
                        read 'Skanda'
      l. 22
                        read 'Sūtasamhitā'
  "
970 1.8
                        omit 'way'
     1. 11
                        read 'way' for 'away'
  "
1019 l. 4 from bottom read it is found that it con-
                              demned'
1038
      n. 1673, l. 4
                         put a comma after 'Pūrņa'.
1066
      last note is '1724' and not '1924'
1103 1.5
                        read 'neuter' for 'neither'
1104 n.l. 2
                        read धारणीड for धारणाड.
1105
      n. 1794, l. 3
                        read 'तज़ो'
1156
      n. 1879, l. 7
                        read 'पञ्चनखाश्वाशाल्यक-,'
1169
      n. 1901, last line read 2238 for 2186.
1174 1.8
                        read 'note 2052' for note 2010.
1196 l. 13 from bottom put a comma after Kumārila's
1203 n. 1954, l. 2
                        read ' सानित्या ' ( = सा अनित्या ).
1221
      n. 1981, l. 5
                        read वृत्तादिवशन्यावृत्त्यर्थे.
1226 lines 25-26
                        read 'vidhi-lin'.
1231 1.13
                        read 'Soma'
1239 n. 2015
                        read शर्प.
1242 n. 2016, l. 3
                        read परप्रवक्तकार्यादि॰
1244
      n. 2019, l. 1
                        read ये पुरस्ताच्छ्यन्ते.
1264
      n. 2058, 1, 4
                        read परिहियन्ते.
```

zzziv	History :	of Dharmaśästra	[Vol. V
1274	n. 2077, 17	read 'paribhāsas'.	' `
1293	n. 2116, l. 3	read ' °शकुनौ '.	•
1295	n. 2119, l. 3	read ' निविशेतोत ऋये '. 💆	,
1300	1. 29	read '1238' for '1258'	
1315	n. 2164, lł. 4-5	read 'मुख्यक्रमेण वाद्वाना तदर्थत omit वा after V. 1.	
1356	1.45-	read 2238 for 2186	, }
1373	1. 29 "	read 'sixty' for 'six'	f
1409	n. 2134, ll. 1-2	read यो. सू. I. 2-4	f}
1432	last line	read 'prānād-apānatī'	ı
1446	n. 2371 ' ,	read तत्रैकचित्तता ध्यान (ध words)	ieparate
1448	n. 2382, l. 9	read शङ्खस्मृति.	4.
1449	n. 2383, l. 6	कस्मात्, 1. 7 योगसुधाकर, 1. 9	
1453	n. 2389, l. 8	read levitation and n. 2 put a semicolon after °s	
1460	n. 2402, last line		
"	n. 2413, l. 1	read भनेद्वीर्य°	
1483	n. 2429, ll. 1 and	6 read Westaway	
1488	n. 2489, l. 1	read कतमिंद्वत्	- 1
1494	n. 2445, l·2	read कियता स्कम्भ. and in व्यचो हितम्	last line
1,: , <u>î</u> 1508		read छा. उप. VI. 11. 3	í
1559	n. 2528, last line		ŗ
1615		, read 'Bagby's'	-
2)		n bottom, read 'unrelat	ed
1671	lines 4-5	read 'being sweeping one stroke are likely	ones at
1684	l. 1	read p. 9	,
1708	n. 2659 l 2	lead '¿What Vedānta to me,' a sýmposium	

SECTION III

ŚĀNTI (Propitiatory rites for averting a deity's wrath, a calamity or unlucky event),

CHAPTER XX

Vedic meaning and procedure of Santis

The word Santi is derived from the root 'sam', which has several meanings (such as 'to stop', 'to be appeased', 'to kill' rarely) and belongs to the 4th conjugation and also to the 9th. The word Santi itself does not occur in the Rgyeda, but it occurs in the Atharvaveda and the Vajasaneya Samhita. The root 'sam'. its several forms and derivatives and the indeclinable particle 'sam' occur hundreds of times even in the Rgyeda. The word 'sam' is often conjoined in the Rgveda to 'yoh' either as 'samyoh' (Rg. I. 93.7, I. 106.5, III 17.3, III. 18.4, IV. 12.5. V. 47.7, V. 53.14, V. 69 3, VI. 50.7, VII. 35.1, VII. 69.5, X. 9.4, X. 15.4, X. 37.11, X. 182.1-3) or as 'sam ca yosca' (as in Rg. I. 114.2, 189.2, II. 33.13, VIII. 39.4, VIII. 71.15). In these places the words are generally rendered as 'happiness and welfare' or 'health and wealth' (by Keith in translation of Tai. S. II. 6.10.3) and these meanings generally suit the context, e.g. in Rg. VI. 50.7 'dhāta tokāya tanayāya sam yoh' (confer on our sons and progeny happiness and welfare), in Rg. X. 182 the words ʻathā karad-yajamānāya sam yoḥ' (may Brhaspati confer happiness and welfare on the sacrificer) occur as the last quarter of all the three verses. 'Sam' by itself occurs about 160 times in the Rgyeda and it is somewhat remarkable that in Rg. VII. 35. 1–13 1132 the word sam occurs in each verse from 4 to 7 times (68 times in all). Rg. I 114. 11133 'we bring these lauds to Rudra who is powerful, who has braided hair, who rules over valiant men, so that there may result welfare to our two-footed and four-footed beings and every thing in this village may be prosperous and free from distress' will bring out the import of

^{1132.} Verses 1-10 of Rg. VII 35 are the same as Atharva 19.10 1-10. 1133. इसा सदाय तक्से कपिंदेने क्षयद्वीताय प्र भरामहे मतीः । यथा शमसद् श्विपदे चतुष्पदे विश्वं प्रदे ग्रामे अस्मिलनातरस्॥ ऋ I 114. 1.

'sam'. In some cases 'sam' and 'yoh' clearly appear to be employed like nouns in the objective case. For example, Rg. II. 33. 13 1134 "I hanker after the 'sam' and 'yoh' of Rudra (i. e. under the power of Rudra), Rg. I. 114.2 "O Rudra! may we secure by your guidance that 'sam' and 'yoh' that father Manu procured through sacrifice". Yaska (Nirukta IV. 21) while dealing with Rg. X 15. 4 'athā nah sam yor-arapo dadhāta' holds 'samvoh' to be ablative or genitive of samvu and explains as 'samanam ca rogānām yāvanam ca bhayānām' (allaying of diseases and warding off of dangers). This is an etymological explanation and is in some cases accepted by Sayana and in others he paraphrases 'sam' by 'sukha' (happiness) and 'yoh' as 'duhkha-viyoga' (freedom from pain or distress) The word 'voh' presents the appearance of the ablative or genitive singular of a noun from the root 'yu' which means 'to bind' or 'separate' or from 'yā' to go. 'Yoh' by itself occurs only three times in the Rgveda (i. e in I. 74, 7, X. 105 3, X. 176. 3). The meaning of 'yoh' in these three cases is doubtful. In the Tai. Br. 1135 we have the mantra 'we choose that happiness and welfare and success (or progress) to the sacrifice and the sacrificer, may divine fortune be ours: let there be good fortune for (our) men; may medicine (or remedy) go up, may there be happiness to our men and quadrupeds'.

In Atharvaveda 19. 9 the word santi occurs about 17 times. In verses 3 to 5 speech (vāk), the mind (manah) 1136 and the five senses are referred to and it is said that these seven usually produce what is ghora (terrible or inauspicious) and these same must exert themselves for producing santi (i.e. appeasement of angered deities or averting calamities or misfortunes). Verses 6-11 pray to several gods, planets (grahāh), the earth, falling stars, cows, the naksatras, magical rites, Rāhu, Dhūmaketu (comets), the Rudras, Vasus and Ādityas, sages and Brhaspati to confer happiness Verse 12 prays to Indra, Brahmā, and all

¹¹³⁴ इंग्ड योश्च सहस्य वहिम। इत् II. 33. 13. यच्छ च योश्च मह्तरायेजे पिता तदस्याम तव सङ्ग्रणीतिष्ठ॥ ऋ I 114 2.

¹¹³⁵ तच्छयोराष्ट्रणीसहे। गातु यज्ञाय। गातु यज्ञायतये। देवी स्वस्तिरस्तु नः । स्वति-माज्ञुबेन्य । अर्ध्व जिगातु भेषजम् । ज्ञा नो अस्तु हिपदे ज्ञां चतुष्पदे ॥ ते ज्ञा III 5. 11. This is partly explained in ते सं II 6 10. This is called ज्ञायुवाक Vide पा I. 4. 29 on which the first वार्तिक is 'अञ्चवाकाय' प्रसि' and पत्रजालि cites 'अञ्चवाक: श्रेयुवाक: स्तावाक 'as examples

¹¹³⁶ इमानि चानि पञ्चेन्द्रियाणि मन पष्ठानि मे हृदि ब्रह्मणा सिशतानि। वैरेव सस्जे चोरं तैरेव शांन्तिरस्तु न.॥ अथर्व 19 9.5.

the gods for refuge to the composer of the hymn and 13 declares that 'whatever things were appeased (by santis) in this worldthese the seven sages know. May they all be happiness for me: may happiness be mine and may freedom from fear be mine'. Verse 14 which is similar to Vai. S. 36, 17 declares that 'the earth. mid-regions, heaven, waters, trees and plants, all gods-these have become appeased and auspicious by the santi rites performed by the composer and that by those santis, by all santis I (we) appease (remove the evil effect of) what is here terrible, what is cruel (or inauspicious), what is evil; may all that be appeared. be beneficial and happy for us '1137 Atharva 19. 10 (verses 1-10) contains the word 'sam' 51 times and prays for welfare to several gods and 19, 11 is also a santi containing the word 'sam' 18 times. The Vajasaneya-samhita chapter 36 (verses 8-12) similarly contain the word 'sam' several times One of these viz. (Vāj. S. 36. 12) occurs in many Vedic texts 1138 'May the divine waters confer on us happiness, help and protection; may they flow towards us for our our happiness and welfare'.

In the Tai. S. the words 'samayati' and 'santi' are frequently used often in the same passage or context. For example, it is said "Rudra ¹¹³⁹ is the fierce (or harsh) one among gods...; He (the priest) does as it were a harsh thing when he recites (that passage containing the word) Rudra; 'in the path of Mitra' he says for the sake of appeasing". There is a similar passage in the Ait. Br. While prescribing the recital of a rk verse (Rg. II. 33.1) in the Agnimāruta-śastra the Ait. Br. changes the original words of the latter half of the verse in the Rgveda and also suggests another verse altogether for recital

¹¹³⁷ ताभिः ज्ञान्तिभिः सर्वज्ञान्तिभिः ज्ञामयामोऽदं यदिद् धोरं यदिह क्रूरं यदि् पापं वच्छानां ताच्छवं सर्वमेव ज्ञाससु नः॥ अधर्व 19, 9, 14,

^{1138.} π ं नो देवीरिभिष्टय आपो भंवरतु पीतये। π ं योरिभ स्रवस्तु नः π वाज॰ सं. 36. 12. π X 9 4, अथर्व I. 6. 1, सामवेद 33. तै. ज्ञा. I. 2. 1, 1.

^{1139.} रुद्रस्तावर्तयत्तियाह। रुद्धो वे क्रूरो देवानां...क्रूरमिव वा एतत्करोति यद्भृद्रस्य कीर्तयति मित्रस्य पथेत्याह शान्त्ये। ते सं. VI 1. 7. 7-8; The original rk is आ ते पितर्मरुतां सुरुनसेतु मा न. सूर्यस्य सन्दर्शो युरोधाः। अभि नो वीरो अर्वति क्षमेत म जायेमिह रुद्ध मजाभि.॥ इत II. 33 1. The ऐ हा reads 'लं नो वीरो अर्वति क्षमेधाः। for अभि नो वीरो अर्वति क्षमेधा म जायेमिह रुद्धिय मजाभि:॥। If one employed आभि नो, Rudra might have seized the progeny and the word रुद्धिय (meaning a servant of Rudra) avoids the use of the harsh name Rudra 'मजायेमिह रुद्धिय मजाभिरिति क्षयाक रुद्धेत्येव नाम्म. परिद्धये। तदु खलु इं नः करतीत्येव इंसिच्छमिति मतिपद्यते सर्वस्मा एव झान्ये। स्त्रीऽनिरुक्ता रोद्धी झान्ता सर्वायु सर्वायुलाय। ऐ झा. 13. 10.

(I. 43.6 'sam nah karatyarvate sugam mesāya mesye nrbhyo nāribhyo gave') because in the first place that verse begins with the auspicious word 'sam' and in the second place because that verse, though the $devat\bar{a}$ (deity) thereof is Rudra, does not expressly mention that word; the result, says the Ait Br, is that that verse being one of appearement (sānti) the priest secures long life and procures long life for the sacrificer

Another example of 'samayati in the Tai S is as follows: When an agnihotrin is going on a journey with his household he should offer homa to Vāstospati, but if he goes without offering a homa to Vāstospati evil consequences follow, 'Rudra is indeed Vāstospati; if he were to go out without offering homa to Vāstospati, Agni would become Rudra, would leap after him and kill him; (but) when he offers to Vāstospati, he appeases him (Rudra-Agni) with his own share and the sacrificer meets no injury (or distress)'.1140

Another example of 'sāmayati' and 'sānti' in the Tai S (VI. 3 3 2-3) may be set out. 'O tree! protect it, O axe! do not harm it; the axe indeed is a thunderbolt, (therefore he utters those worlds for allaying (the fierce power of the axe); these worlds are afraid of the tree (of which the yūpa is made) when it moves; (therefore he recites 'with thy top do not graze the sky, with thy middle do not harm the atmospheric region'. (By these words) he appeases (removes the terrible power for evil of) this tree'. 1141

The foregoing passages are quite sufficient for showing the significance attached to the words 'sam', 'samayati' and 'santa' in the Vedic samhitās The word 'santama' occurs in the Rgveda about two dozen times It is applied to the great gods like Agni, Indra, Soma (I 16 7, I 77 2, IX 104 3), to the praises of gods (I 76 1, VI 32.1), to the worshipper or singer (VIII 13.22), to protection by gods (V 76.3, X. 15.4) and generally means 'beneficent or conferring happiness'. Simi-

¹¹⁴⁰ रुट्ट खलु वै वास्तोज्पतिर्यदहुत्वा बास्तोज्पतियं मयायाद् रुट्ट एनं भूत्वाऽग्निरन्त्र्याय हन्पाहास्तोज्पतीयं छुहोति भागधेयेनैवैनं <u>शमयति</u> नार्तिमृच्छति यजमान । ते सं III 4.10 3 Compare वो स्रो 14 19.

¹¹⁴¹ ओपधे ज्ञायस्त्रैनं स्तिधिते मैनं हिंसीरित्याह वळरो वे स्तिधित शान्त्ये। इसे वे लोका यूपालपतो विश्वपति दिवसग्रेण मा लेखीरन्तरिक्षं सध्येन मा हिंसीरित्याहैश्ये एवेन लोकेश्य- श्रामयति। ते सं. VI 3 3. 2-3, compare बाज सं. 5 42-43 and श्तप्य III 6 4 13 for similar words,

larly, the word 'santāti' (Rg. I. 112. 20, VIII. 18.7) means 'beneficent'.

The causal (samayati) of the verb 'sam' and the word 'santi' do not occur in the Rgyeda, but they are as said above frequently employed in the Taittiriya and other Samhitas and in the Brāhmanas. Some further examples may be set out here. The Tai. S. relates the following legend "Agni was in the yonder world (heaven), the sun was in this world; these worlds were (then) unappeased (disturbed). The gods said 'come, let us change (transpose) these two'. They placed Agni in this world with the words 'O Agni, come here for the dainty meal' and (placed) the Sun in the yonder world with the worlds 'the great and powerful one. O Agni': then indeed these two worlds became free from disturbance. Since he repeated those words in this way, it served for the purpose of quieting these worlds (that were once disturbed). These worlds became undisturbed (auspicious) for him who knows this".1142 Here we have both the verbal form 'aśāmyatāma' (from 'śam' 4th conj.) and the the word 'śāntyai'.

In some passages of the Rgveda the word 'sami' occurs (as in I. 87. 5, II. 31. 6, III. 55. 3, VIII. 45. 27, X. 40. 1.). It is generally interpreted by Sāyana in these passages as the locative singular of 'sami', which is explained to mean 'karman' (action, sacrifice or the like). Two of these may be cited here '1143' my desires fly in many directions; I shall brighten ancient (lauds) towards 'sami' (for employing them in various sacrifices?); knowing the truth in Turvasa (king) and Yadu (king) he (Indra) enveloped Ahnavāyya (their enemy) in warlike action." It is possible to take 'sami' as the locative singular of 'sam' treated as a noun. The word 'sami' occurs in many passages of the Rgveda (I. 20. 2, I. 83. 4, I. 110. 4, II. 1. 9, III. 60. 3, IV. 3. 4, IV. 17. 18, IV. 22. 8, IV. 33. 4, V. 77. 4, V. 42. 10, VI. 3. 2, VI. 52. 1, VIII. 75. 14, IX. 74. 7, X. 28. 12). In all these passages

^{1142.} इमी लोकावज्ञान्तावास्तां ते देवा अनुवस्नेतेमी विपर्यूहामेत्यम् आ पाहि वीत्तप इत्यस्मिंह्योकेऽग्रिमद्युर्वृहद्दमे सुवीर्यमित्यस्मिह्योके आदित्यम्। ततो वा हमी लोकावज्ञान्यतौ यदेवमन्वाहानपोलींकापीः ज्ञान्यते । ज्ञान्यतोऽस्मा इमी लोकौ य एवं वेद् ॥ ते. सं. II. 5. 8. 2 अज्ञान्त here means ' the evil, aspects or influences in which had not been removed or conjured away.

^{1143.} वि मे पुरुवा पतयन्ति सामाः शस्यच्छा दीचे पूर्व्याणि। ऋ III 55. 3; सस्यं तत्तुवरो यदो विदानो अहवाय्यम्। ध्यानद् तुवेण शमि॥ इह VIII. 45 27. In the last verse Sayana takes शमि as objetcive singular of शमी (instead of loc singular as he does elsewhere)

Sāyana interprets it as 'karma' and not as the 'samī tree or branch'. But in one place at least (if not in more places) the word samī can easily be held to mean 'the samī wood or fuelstick'. 1144 'To that mortal who worships with sacrifices and appeases with 'samīs' (samī fuelsticks) and gives offerings to Agni that abounds in wealth disappointment as to glory never comes nor does sin nor arrogance overtake him'

The whole of chapter 36 of the Vājasaneya-samhitā is employed as śānti at the beginning and end of the Pravargya rite, according to Kāt. Śr. S 26 41 'śāntikaranam-ādyantayoh'; verses 9–12 of Vāj. S 36 employ the word 'śam' 17 times and verse 9 is the same as Rgveda I. 90. 9.

An interesting text in connection with the root 'sam' is the addnigu praisa, to be recited by the Hotr priest before the pasu is killed in a sacrifice Vide H. of Dh. vol II p. 1121 note 2504 for the formula which is rather long. The important words for the present purpose are at the beginning and at the end 'O divine slayers and human slayers! begin (the process of killing the pasu) ...O Adhrigu! you should slay (the pasu) in such a way that it would be properly carried out'. Here the root (sam) certainly means to slay, this meaning is, however, apparently quite different from the one so far considered (viz to appease, to remove evil effects). But it may be that there is a secondary meaning, viz appease the gods by offering parts of the animal killed in the sacrifice

The Taittiriya-brāhmana closely connects the sami tree or branch with the conjuring away or appearing the terrible or angry aspects of deitles in the following myth 1146 "Prajāpati

¹¹⁴⁴ ईजे यज्ञोभिः शहामे शमीभिर्क्षघद्वाराणाग्रये द्वाश । एवा चन त यशसामञ्जिति हो मर्ते नशते न प्रहारिः ॥ ऋ VI 3 2, compare झः, VI 1 9 सो अग्र ईजे शहामे च मर्तो यस्ते आन्द्र समिधा हृष्यवातिय ।

^{1145.} देख्या शमितार आरमध्यस्त मस्त्या । अद्विगो शमीध्य स्थामि शमीध्यं शमीध्य मिन्नार्थस्य अपाप। आश्व श्री III 3 The passage occurs in ते ह्या III 6 6 4. ऐ जा. VI (अध्याय), 6-7 (खण्ड) The words स्रज्ञामि शमीध्यं occur in ते सं I 1 5. 2. वाज सं I. 15 The words अद्विगो &c. quoted here occur in सौशिकास्त्र 69. 6.

^{1146.} प्रजापतिरग्निसङ्जत। सोऽबिभेरम मा धह्यतीति। सं शम्याऽश्तमयत्। तच्छम्ये शमित्वम्। यच्छमीमय सम्भारो भवति शान्त्या अप्रदाहाय। ते जा. I 1. 3. 11 सायण explains 'शमयत्यनेनेति खुत्पत्या शमीति नाम सम्पन्नम्। अतस्तत्संभारः पूर्वे विद्यमानस्य वाहस्योपशान्त्ये, इतः परमदाहाय च सम्पन्नते ।

created Agni; he (Prajāpati) became afraid 'this Agni might burn me'. He (Prajāpati) pacified (the terrible flame or glow of) Agni with sam! (branch); that is the beneficent or happy aspect of sami in that the equipment required for Agni is full of sami in order to appease Agni and for freedom from being burnt thereafter.' The idea is that Agni, the moment it was created had a fierce and evil aspect, that was removed by the use of the samt and santi means an action or rite that appeases the evil aspects of a deity and makes the deity beneficent. Similarly. the Aitareya-brahmana says:1147 "He recites the verse 'they worship you with offerings whatever abodes you may have: he savs: O Soma I move towards houses in such a way as not to kill the sons (of the sacrificer).' Houses are indeed called 'durva' and the house of the sacrificer is afraid of the king Soma when he approaches the sacrificer's house; when he (the priest) repeats this (verse) he thereby appeases him (king Soma) by a santi (propitiatory rite); he (Soma) becoming beneficent (by the respetition of the mantra) does not kill the progeny or the cattle (of the sacrificer)." The idea is that king Soma might be angry with the sacrificer if there be any defect in the sacrificial rite and that when the hotr priest repeats the verse 'aviraha o-' that verse is the cause of appeasement.

In the Śatapatha-brāhmana there is a similar reference to the appeasing power of the śami branch. "He (the priest) places fuel sticks on this (Agni) ... He places (on Agni) a fuel stick of śami as the first This (Agni) was kindled when this oblation (of śami branch) was offered and flamed upwards. The gods became afraid of him (Agni) lest Agnimight harm them. They (gods) saw this śami branch and appeased him (Agni) with that; inasmuch as they appeased this (Agni) by means of śami (branch) this is called śami. In the same way this sacrificer appeases with śami this (Agni) for procuring appeasement and not for food"11-18. It would be noticed

^{1147.} या ते धामानि हविषा यजन्तीत्यन्वाह। '''अविरहा प्र चरा सोम हुर्यानिति। गृहा वे दुर्या विम्यति वे सोमाझज्ञ आयतो यजमानस्य गृहाः। स यद्वेतासन्वाह <u>शान्त्येवैनं तत्र्द्धमयति</u> सोऽस्य शान्तो न प्रजो न पश्च्त हिनस्ति। ऐ. त्रा. 3. 2. The verse 'या ते ''हुर्यान्त'। s ऋ. I. 91, 19.

^{1148.} अधास्मिन्सिमि आद्याति। स वै शमीमर्यी प्रथमाद्याति। एतद्वा एव एतस्या-माहुत्यां हुतायां प्रादीप्यतीद्वञ्चलत् । तस्माद्देवा अविभर्युयद्वे नोऽयं न हिस्यादिति। त एता शमीमपश्यंस्तयेनमशमयंस्तयदेतं शस्या अशमर्यस्तस्माच्छमी तथैवैनमयमेतच्छम्या शमयति शास्त्या एव न जम्हये। शतपश्च IX. 2.3 36 and 37.

that here the name 'sami' is derived from the root 'sam' and it is the means of effecting santi (appearement).

In the Brahmanas the means of appearement are various but simple Often times the recitation of a Vedic verse or hymn śānti. For example, the Taittiriva-brāhmana 1149 prescribes the singing of samans at the time of consecrating the sacred śrauta fires: the three samons are Rathautara. Vamadevya and Brhat, each being connected with the three worlds respectively. "When Agni is being taken out, he sings the Vāmadeyva sāman: Vāmadeyva is the atmospheric region and thereby (i.e. by singing Vāmadeyya) he makes Agni established in the atmospheric region; Vāmadevya is šānti (means of bringing about the appearement of Agni); (on singing Vāmadeyya) he takes out Agni that has become appeased (beneficent) and as bestower of cattle". The Tai S. says 1150 "he says 'weave ye with regular measure the work of the singers'. Whatever superfluity (or flaw) is committed in the sacrifice, this (recitation) serves to eradicate its evil effects". The Ait Br. provides "(the priest) who is about to sprinkle holy water over him 1151 (the king) should (himself first recite the mantra and) make the king repeat it (after him) 'O Waters' look on me with a beneficent eye, (and) touch my skin with (your) beneficent body. I invoke all the Agnis that dwell in waters for your sake; this should be done for the purpose that waters (the evil aspects of which have been) not appeared may not destroy the vigour of him who is being sprinkled over". The Hotr performs a japa before and after reciting the samidheni verses. About this the Sankhayana-brahmana says¹¹⁵² that the samidhenis are a thun-

^{1149.} बानटेन्यन्तिगायत च्डूब्रियनाये। अन्तरिक्षं वे वानटेन्यन् । अन्तरिक्ष एवेनं प्रतिष्टित-मामते। अधी ज्ञान्तिर्वे वामटेन्यम्। ज्ञान्तरेवनं पञन्यस्त्रस्ति। ते जा. I 1. S. 2 The हानदेख is song co the rease ' सपा नश्चित्र आ सुनत् ' ऋ IV. 31, 1 which occurs also in दे में IV. 2 11. 2, बाज. में 27. 39 and 36 4, अध्वेबेंद्र 20 124. 1. The द्वाह्याय-व्यक्तितस्त्र (XII. 1 29-31) provides 'काते रघन्तरं गायेत । वानदेव्यं हियनाणे । बहारिहिते।"

^{1150.} अनुन्दने वण्त कोछुदानप इत्पाह। यदेव यज्ञ उत्वर्ण क्रिपते तस्पैतेपा ज्ञान्ति । है से. III. 4. 2. 6-7; 'अनुत्वर्ण वयत जोह्यानय. ' is the 3rd quarter of दर. X. 53 6.

^{1151.} अर्थेननभिषेत्यक्रपां ज्ञान्ति राज्यति । त्रिवेन ना चहुपा पद्दपतापः जिवया तन्त्राय सुकान सन्त ने । नर्का अग्रीरॅंग्लपदो हुने वो निय वची वहनीजी नियत्तेति । नैतस्यामि-पिपिचानस्पातान्दा आपो चीर्प निर्हणालिति । ऐ. जा. 37. 2 The मन्त्र 'शिवेन ना' occurs in a. # V. 6. 1. 2 and sugaes I, 33. 4 (only the first half)

¹¹⁵² अग्र पत्हरत्वात्नामिथेनीनां जपदि बन्तो वे सामिबेन्पत्वनेवेदच्छमपति प्रस्ता-कोपरिटतस्य । जाह्रायनदा 3.3. Generally there are 15 सानियेनी verses, but there are optional numbers which need not be specified here.

derbolt and that if japa is performed thereby he appeases (samayati) Agni (i. e. Agni becomes auspicious and beneficent).

Water also is declared as a means of effecting the removal or appeasement of evil effects. The Ait. Br. remarks 1153 "they say what is the atonement (pravascitti) if a person's sacrificial material (milk or rice) when put on a fire for cooking spurts out or overflows? (The reply is) 'he should make it go down with water for the sake of śānti: water indeed is (a means of) śānti and then he should touch the material (that has fallen out) with his right hand and recite a mantra (that is specified) or he should recite another rk verse 'by whose power the worlds are made fixed', which is addressed to Visnu and Varuna; Visnu indeed is the saviour against what is badly sacrificed (i. e. the defects in it), while Varuna protects (i.e prevents obstacles to securing the fruit of) what is well sacrificed; (this latter mantra is recited) for santi in regard to both (defects as well as good points). This is the pravascitti in this case." It should be noticed that here santi (rite) and prayascitti are identified. The Śānkhāyana 1154 Br. also (III. 6) says "waters are (means of) santi, (they are) an antidote and therefore after pronouncing the word 'vasat' the priest touches water." In the Tai. Ar. (IV. 42) there are 37 mantras of śanti used in the Prayargya rite. Some of these occur in the Rgveda-samhitä e. g the 8th (Vāta ā vātu bhesajam) is Rg. X. 186. 1, mantras 15-17 are equal to Rg. IV. 31, 1-3; mantras 22-24 are Rg. X. 9, 1-3. The same Aranyaka (IV. 26-35) and Baud. Sr. S. IX, 18 contain several mantras for śantis, some of which are interesting and are set out here to show how the theory of santis was being expanded or enlarged. "If the 1155 sacrificial vessel called

^{1153.} तदाहुर्यस्याग्निहोजमधिश्रितं स्कन्द्वि वा विध्यन्द्वे वा का तत्र प्रायश्चित्तिति तद्भिक्षपिनयेन्छारस्यै ज्ञान्तिर्व आपोऽथैनद्दक्षिणेन पाणिनाभिमृश्य जपित । दिवं तृतीयं देवान् यज्ञोऽगासतो मा द्रविणमाए, अन्तरिक्षं तृतीयं पिदृन्यज्ञोः ए, पृथिवीं तृतीयं महण्याद यज्ञोः ए, इति । ययोरोजसा स्काभिता रजासीति वैण्छुवाकणीमृत्य जपिति विष्णुर्वे यज्ञस्य दुरिष्टं पाति वरुणः स्थिदं तपोक्षभयोरेव ज्ञान्स्य । सा तत्र प्रायश्चित्तः ॥ ऐ का 32. 4. The मन्त्र 'ययोरोजसा' occurs in बाज सं 8 60 and अध्वं VII. 25. 1 (and in several other texts). Compare मैत्रा. सं III. 9. 4 'यूपो वै यज्ञस्य दुरिष्टमासुख्यते यदि यूपसुपस्पृशेद्यज्ञस्य दुरिष्टमा सुश्चेत्। तस्माद्यपो नोपस्पृष्ट्य । ग.

^{1154.} वषद्क्रत्याप उपस्पृञ्जति । ज्ञान्तिर्वे भेषजमापः ज्ञान्तिरेवैषा भेषजमन्तती यज्ञे क्रियते। ज्ञां, ज्ञा. 3 6.

^{1155.} अथ यदि घर्मदुर्घ वा महावीरं वा स्तेनोऽपहरेड़ाईपत्ये छुवाहुतिं छहुयादहोराचे सोदीरयतामिति। चौ. श्री. IX, 18, that मन्त्र 18 'अहोरावे लोदीरयताम्। अर्घमासास्त्वोदीं जयन्तु। मासास्त्वा अपयन्तु। ऋतवस्त्वा पचन्तु। संवत्सरस्त्वा इन्त्वसी। ते आ. IV. 26 असी refers to the name of the thief as in 'हे देवदत्त चौर त्वामहोराचे श्री.

mahāvīra (in Pravargya rite) of the hot milk for the Gharma offering were to be stolen by a thief, one should offer in the Garhapatya fire an oblation of ghee with the sruva ladle to the accompaniment of the mantra 'May Day and Night disclose thee as thief". 'If a wild 1156 forest dog were to bark (while the Pravargya rite is on), the priest should repeat by way of a magic formula the text 'vi gā Indra vicaran spāśavasva' (O Indra, observe the cows while you move about'), should cast a kindled firebrand on both sides with the words 'O Agni, converse with Agni, and then he worships Agni with the words 'O Agni. salutation to thee once, twice, thrice &c' If a vulture screeches he recites the formula 'you are undistinguishable (from other birds) since you have blood in your beak', if an owl or she-owl hoots he recites the mantra 'In this way the owl approaches &c.'. Therefore it may be said that santi is used in the early Vedic texts in several senses viz. (1) the state of being freed from evil aspects or effects, (2) means of appeasing or removing evil aspects, such as water, a Vedic verse or hymn, (3) rite for appeasement.

Apart from the simple santis for appeasing deities in sacrificial matters, even the Rgveda contains indications that there were other occurrences which were thought to be unlucky and against the supposed evil effects of which some remedies were employed For example, Rg X. 164 (1-5) is a hymn declared by the Anukramani and Rgvidhāna IV. 20 1 as counteracting the indications of bad dreams 1157 Verse 3 of it is 'May Agni place far away from us all evil and undesirable actions which we may have done while awake or asleep whether by our desires or imprecations or want of desire'. In Rg. V 82 4-5 1158 the

^{1156,} पद्योक्षत्रको वार्येत तमझमन्त्रयते वि गा इन्द्र विचरत् स्पार्यस्वेत्यथास्मा उभयत आदीप्तस्तर्खके क्षिपेदग्ने आग्नेना संवदस्त्रत्यथेनसुपतिष्ठते सक्षत्ते अग्ने नमो द्विस्ते नम- स्त्रिस्ते नम इति। यदि गृष्टो वार्येत तमझमन्त्रयते असङ्ख्याको रुधिरेणाय्यस्त इति। यद्याद्व- स्तित्वित्ते वार्येत तमझमन्त्रयत इत्थादुत्वक आ पतादिति। वी आगे IX 18. The texts mentioned in this passage are ते आ IV 28, 29, 33 Both the ते आ and जी औ refer to other phenomena which are not set out here

¹¹⁵⁷ यदाशसा नि शसाभिशसोपारिम जाग्रतो यत्स्वपन्त । अग्निर्वि-वान्यप दुष्कुता-न्यजुद्यान्यारे असमद् व्धातु ॥ दर X 164 3 द्वानिधान IV 1 18 अपेहीति जपेत्स्तं श्रुचि-र्ट्ट स्वमनाशनम्।

¹¹⁵⁸ अद्या नो देव सनित पजावत् सावीः सौभगय्। परा दुःष्वप्नय सुव। विश्वानि देव सनितर्दूरितानि परा सुत्र। वद्भद्रं तस्त्र आ सुत्र॥ कर V 82 4-5, यो मे राजन सुत्रयो वा सस्त्रा वा स्त्रो भयं भीरवे महामाह। स्तेनी वा यो विष्तिति वृक्तो वा त्वं तस्माहरूण पाध्यस्मान्॥ कर II 28 10, द्वित दु व्यक्त्यं सर्वमाप्त्ये परि वृद्धस्यनेहसी व ऊतय. सुक्रतयो प कतय॥ कर VIII, 47, 15

noet prays 'O God Savitr' today produce for us welfare endowed with progeny and frighten away the effects of bad dreams; O God Savital drive away all sins (or evils) and confer on us what is beneficent' (or auspicious). In Rg. II. 28 10 the poet prays 'O king Varuna! whoever, whether a helper or a friend. declares to me who ascertained a danger (from what I saw) in a dream or whoever, a thief or a wolf, intends to harm me, from that guard us' In Rg. VIII. 47, 15 the sage exclaims 'we deliver all our bad dreams to Trita Aptva, your kindness cannot be obstructed by any one, the protection given by you is good'. Vide also Rg. VIII 47 14, 16-18, X 36, 4, X, 37, 4 for bad dreams. Similarly, the Rgveda contains verses which show that cries of birds were deemed to indicate coming good or evil and the hooting of the owl was thought to be unlucky. 'It 1159 (the bird) frequently cries and proclaims what is to come and it propels his speech as an oarsman propels a boat; O bird! May you be auspicious to us; may no overpowering (or unfavourable) phenomenon reach you from any side'. The three verses of Rg. II. 43 refer to the cries of birds. 'The birds, chirping, utter cries towards the south (of the house) like composers of songs that speak for food at various seasons; O bird! when you chirp, speak what would be to our welfare, when you are silent think of good thoughts about us; when flying up (from our house) you utter (cries) like a lute; may we be endowed with valiant sons and speak much in assemblies'. Rg. X. 165 contains several verses about a kapota bird and one about an owl Rg. X. 165.1 and 4 are: 1160 'a kapota bird, messenger of ill-luck, has come to this our house, with whatever (evil) intent, we worship you, we shall perform atonement, O God! May it fare well with our men and quadrupeds May what the owl expresses (by its

^{1159.} क्रानिक्रद्वज्जन्तुर्वं" विदृत्॥ ऋ II, 42 1. q. 10 note 755 p 526. निवृत्त IX. 4 explains this verse, साराया holds that the bird referred to is कापिञ्जल. निसक्त IX 5 quotes a verse from a खिलस्क् addressed to कपिञ्जल 'सई वद दक्षिणतो" भई पश्चात्कपिञ्जल, which occurs in कौशिकसूत्र 46 54 प्रदक्षिणिदाभे गृणन्ति कारवो वयो वदन्त ऋतुया शकुन्तयः। " आवदंस्त्वं शक्तुने मद्रमावद तूष्णीमासीनः सुमति चिकिद्धि न.। यद्वत्पतन्त्रदासि कर्कारिर्यथा बृहद्वदेम विदये सुवीराः॥ ऋ II 43.1 and 3 The last verse is quoted in कीशिकसूज 46. 54 among the कापिसलस्वस्वयनं Vide काग्विधान I. 31. 4 for a similar provision against sounds of birds.

¹¹⁶⁰ हिन् कपोत इपितो नो अस्त्वनागा देना शक्कुनो गृहेपु। " यहुलुको बद्दति मोधर्म-तद्यत्कपोतः पदमञ्जो कृणोति। यस्य दूतः शहित एष एतत्तरमे यमाय नमोस्तु मृत्यदे॥ इर. X. 165. 2 and 4, अधर्च॰ VI 27. 1-3 are almost the same as ऋ X 165. 1-3, अधर्व VI. 27.1 is क्स X 165. 5 and अधर्व VI. 29. 1-2 are similar to क्स. X 165, 4. क्षपोतश्चेदगारखपहन्यादछपतेद्वा देवाः कपोत इति प्रत्युच जुहुर्याञ्जपेद्वा। आश्व गृ. III 7. 7; compare सानवरा II. 17 and कोशिकसून 46. 7 and ऋतिवधान IV. 20.2.

hooting) turn out to be fruitless or (untrue). What the kapota bird (expresses) by placing its feet near our Agni (be untrue): here is salutation to Yama, the god of Death, whose messenger is this (bird) sent (by the god)'. The Asyalayana-grhya provides 'if a kapota (pigeon) strikes against a house (enters it) or flies along its length, the house-holder should offer into fire oblations (of ghee) with each verse of the hymn ('devāh kapota', Rg. X. 165) or he should recite it inaudibly'. The Kausitaki-grhya provides for the same (in V 5.1-2) and proceeds 1161 " if a man sees a bad dream or when the cawing of a crow is heard in the night and in the case of other adbhutas (untoward or unusual occurrences) he should cook rice grains in the milk of a cow that has a calf of the same colour (as itself) but in no case of a black cow and let him sacrifice with the hymn to Night (Rg. X. 127) verse by verse and having partaken of the remnants of the oblations with the mahāwiāhties and having recited over his ears the verse 'bhadram karnebhih' (Rg. I. 89.8) and over himself the verse 'satam-in-nu' (Rg I 89.9) donate something to the brahmanas". The Śankhayana-grhya (V. 6, 7. 10 and 11) provides: "if a disease befalls a person, he should offer boiled Gavedhuka grains with the hymn' these prayers to the powerful Rudra' &c verse by verse (Rg. I. 114). If the honey bees make honey in a man's house, he should fast and sacrifice a hundred and eight pieces of udumbara wood besmeared with curds, honey and ghee with two verses Rg. I.114. 8-9 and murmur the hymn (Rg. VII, 35) and if an ant-hill arises in his house the house should be abandoned and having fasted three nights (and days) he should perform the 'Mahāsānti'.1162

¹¹⁶¹ स्तमदर्शने निशाया। काकशब्दकान्ते स्व। अन्येषु चाद्धतेषु स्व। पयसा सर्वं अपरिवा सरूपवस्ताया गो पयसि। न त्वेव कृष्णाया। रात्रिसक्तेन मत्यू चं जुहुयाद्। हृतशेष सहाव्याहृतिभि माश्य भद्धं कर्णेभिरिति कर्णो शतिभिन्त्र शरदो अन्ति देवा इत्यात्मानमिमन्त्र्य साह्मणेय किञ्चिद्यात्। कीपी स् V. 5, compare भानवगृद्धा II. 15 यदि दु स्वमं पश्येद् स्याहृतिभिक्तिलान् हुत्वा दिश उपतिष्ठेत। (formulas for four quarters, lower regions, and upper regions are cited which occur in काठकसंहित। 37. 10)

¹¹⁶² महाशान्ति The com of नारायण explains that महाशान्ति means विनायक् शान्ति and नवयहशान्ति It is doubtful whether these two were known to the author of the शाङ्कायनगृद्धा, the कौशिकसूत्र (39.27, 43 5 and 44 6) prescribes a महाशान्ति which is different, कौशिक 43 5 is वास्तीव्यत्यादीनि महाशान्तिमावयते and कौशिक 8. 23 prescribes 'इहैव भ्रवामेह याह, यमो मृत्यु, सत्य बृहदित्यज्ञवाको वास्तीव्यतीयानि,' These are अधर्व III 12 1 (इहैव भ्रवाम्,), VI, 73.1 (एह याह), VI 93 1 (यमो मृत्यु), XII. 1 (सत्यं बृहत्) This last अञ्चवक has 63 verses. Among later medieval works the शान्तिमयूद्ध (pp 106-108) describes an elaborate महाशान्ति

The Aitareya-Āranyaka mentions ten dreams viz a person sees a dark man with black teeth and such a man kills him, or a boar kills him, or an ape jumps on him, the wind carries him swiftly; having swallowed gold, he vomits it; he eats honey; he chews stalks of lotuses; he carries a single (red) lotus; he drives with a team of asses or boars; himself wearing a wreath of nalada flowers. 1163 he drives towards the south a black cow having a black calf. If a man sees any one of these, he should fast, cook a dish of rice in milk in a vessel, offer into fire oblations thereof with each of the verses of the hymn to Night (Rg. X 127, 1-8), feed brahmanas with other food (cooked in the house) and should himself eat boiled rice '1161 The same Aranyaka mentions in the same context some unusual phenomena such as the sun appearing like the moon (pale and without heat) or the sky becoming like madder and prescribes the recital of some Rgvedic verses (such as IX. 67. 21-27, VIII, 6, 30, IX. 113. 6-11, L 50. 10).

The Chāndogya Up. contains a verse saying 'if a person engaged in rites for securing a certain desired object sees a woman in a dream, one should understand on seeing such a sight that he will prosper (i.e. secure that object)." The Chāndogya Upanisad (VIII. 10.1), the Brhadāranyaka Upanisad (IV. 3. 7-20) and Praśna IV. 5 contain profound thoughts on the psychology of dream phenomena, but as that discussion

^{1163.} In the Aśv. Śr. (quoted in Hof Dh. vol IV p. 203 n. 481) it is provided that the corpse of an āhitāgni is to be decked with a wreath of naladas. The south is the direction of the pitrs, vide Śatapatha Br. I. 2. 5. 17 (esā vai dik pitrnām') Therefore, to see in a dream oneself wearing a wreath of naladas or driving towards the south was deemed to forebode death.

^{1164.} अथ स्वमाः। पुरुषं कृष्णं कृष्णं कृष्णं स्वावित्वा प्रागिति सध्वश्नाति विसानि भक्षपत्येक-एममास्कन्दत्याश्च वायुरेनं भवहति स्ववणं स्वादित्वा प्रागिरति सध्वश्नाति विसानि भक्षपत्येक-प्रण्डरीकं धारयति खरैवेराहैर्स्केर्याति कृष्णा धेद्धं कृष्णवत्सा नलदमाली दक्षिणासुस्तो ब्राज्ञयति। स यद्येतेषा किंचित्यश्येदुपेष्व्य पायसं स्थालीपाकं श्रपयित्वा रात्रीसुक्तेन प्रत्यूचं हुत्वाभ्येनाकोन बाह्मणान् भोजयित्वा चर्षं स्वयं प्राश्नीयात्। ऐ आ III. 2 4, vide मार्कण्डेयपुराण 40. 1-33 for signs of approaching death (Venk ed.) of which verses 15-20, 27, 29, 31-33 deal with dreams. Some of these verses of मार्कण्डेय have a striking similarity to the ऐ आ. passage, for example, मार्कण्डेय 40 27 is : अनुरासभयानेष्ठ पः स्वमे दक्षिणा दिशम्। प्रयाति तं च जानीयात्सचोसृत्यं नरेश्वर॥

¹¹⁶⁴ a. यदा कर्मस कान्येषु स्त्रियं स्वप्नेषु पश्यति। समृद्धि तत्र जानीयाचस्मिन् स्वप्ने निवर्शने॥ सान्वोग्य V. 2 9 q. by शङ्कराचार्य on वेदान्तसूत्र II. 1. 6.

would not be relevant in the section on santi nothing can be said here beyond quoting three striking passages, 1165

The Atharvaveda also has several verses on dreams and on birds like kanota (pigeon). The Kausikasūtra prescribes several verses of the Atharvaveda as santis in the case of dreams. on seeing a dream a man washes his face with the verses (Atharva VI. 45. 1 and 46. 1), if he sees a very terrible dream he offers into fire a cake of mixed grains 1165 or in another direction (in his enemy's field); he changes the side on which he sleeps with Atharva VII. 100. 1; on seeing himself eating in a dream he recites the mantra (Atharva VII. 101. 1) and he looks on: with the verse 'vidma te' (Atharva VI. 46. 2) all (dreams) vanish.' Two of these verses may be set out here. 'O dream! we know the place of thy birth, thou art the son of gods' sisters; thou art the helper of Yama, thou art the destroyer; thou art death. O dream! we know thee to be so: O dream! do thou save us from evil dreams': 'I turn round (and he on my other side) from evil dreaming, from bad dreaming, from ill-luck; I make brahman (vedic prayer) my defence; I put away the sorrows that come through dreams' 1167 The Kātyāyana-srauta-sūtra prescribes a similar verse for japa when a dilasta sees a disagreeable dream 1168

¹¹⁶⁵ य एष स्वमे महीयमानश्चरत्येष आत्मेति होवाचैतदमुतमभयं ब्रह्मोति स ह शान्तहृद्य- प्रव्राज । हा, उप VIII 10.1; अत्रैष देव स्वमे महिमानम्हभवित यह्ष्ष्ट ष्टष्टमनुपङ्गति श्वत श्वतमेवार्थमनुरुणोति देशदिगन्तरैश्व मेरयनुभूतं पुन.पुन प्रत्यन्तभवित हृष्ट चार्ष्यदे श्वत श्वतमेवार्थमनुरुणोति देशदिगन्तरैश्व मेरयनुभूतं पुन.पुन प्रत्यन्तभवित हृष्ट चार्ष्यदे च श्वत चाश्चरं चाननुभूतं च सञ्चासञ्च सर्व पश्चपित सर्व पश्चपित। प्रश्न IV 5; कतम
आत्मेति योऽपं विज्ञानमय प्राणेषु हृद्यन्तज्योति. पुरुष स समान. सन्त्रभौ लोकावनुसभ्यरति
भ्रायतीव लेलायतीव स हि स्वमी भूत्वेम लोकमतिज्ञामित मृत्यो स्वर्गाण। ""स यत्र मस्विपः
व्यय लोकस्य सर्वावतो माञ्चानपादाय स्वर्ग विहत्य स्वर्ग निर्माण स्वेन भासा स्वेन ज्योतिषा
प्रस्वित्यञ्चायं पुरुष स्वयं ज्योतिर्भवित। चृद्ध उप IV 3. 7 and 9. Some of these
passages on dreams are relied upon and discussed by Sankarācārya on
Vedāantasūtra I 3 42, II 1, 28, III. 2 1-4.

^{1166.} The कौशिक 8 20 enumerates मिश्रधान्यानि as 'बीहियवगोधूमीपवाक-तिलापियङ्गुरुपामाका इति मिश्रधान्यानि : The com, explains 'उपवाक इति अभियवाः । सुराष्ट्राणां बसिद्धाः । 'कामाक्त are called सावे in Marāthi and उपवाक is इन्द्रजव (in Marāthi).

¹¹⁶⁷ विद्य ते स्वम जनिये देवजामीना प्रशिक्षित प्रमस्य करणः। अन्तकोऽसि मृत्युरसि मैं ता स्वम तथा सं विद्य स न स्वम दु व्यवस्थात् पाहि॥ अथर्व VI 46 2 and XVI. 5. 6, धर्यावर्ते दुःव्यवस्थात्पातस्वमादभूत्या । ब्रह्माहमन्तरं कृष्वे परा स्वमसुखाः ह्युन्यः॥ अथर्व VII, 100.1.

^{1168.} दीक्षितोऽमनोझं स्वम हज्द्वा जपेत्-पर्यावते कुःस्वयन्यात्पापात् स्वमादशूर्ये। ब्रह्माहः धन्तरं करवे परः स्वमस्रखा फुर्धाति। सात्या श्री. स् 25.11.20 The verse as printed is somewhat corrupt.

The Apastamba-gilva (8, 23, 9) lumps together several unusual appearances and provides the same santi in the case of all. 'If the post of a man's house puts forth shoots, or if honey is made in his house by bees or if the footprint of a pigeon is seen on the hearth or if disease arises in his family, or in the case of other miracles and prodigies, let him perform on the newmoon night, at dead of night, at a place where he does not hear the sound of water, the rites from the putting of wood on the fire to the Amabhaga oblations indicated in the next (Apastambīvamantrapātha II. 22. 14-23), and then perform Jaya and following oblations.' Very similar provisions are found in Jaiminīva-grhya II. 7.1169 The Sāmavidhānabrāhmana contains several prayascittas (really santis) on the happening of numerous incidents. A few examples are set out here: when bad dreams1170 are seen, the person should make repetition of Rg. V. 82, 4 twice (i.e. in all at least six times); in the case of any other prognosticatory occurrence not known from any work the person should repeat twice the verse Rg. IV. 31.1. On seeing one's enemies with weapons raised to strike, the person

^{1169.} स्थूणाविरोहणे मधुन उपवेशने छुप्ता कपोतपद्दर्शनेऽमास्याना शरीर-रेपणेऽन्येषु चाद्धतीस्पातेष्वमावास्या निशायां यत्रापा न शृष्ण्यात्तद्द्रश्चेपसमाधानाद्याज्यभागान्त उत्तरा आहुतीहुँत्वा जयादि प्रतिपद्धते। आप. गृ. ८. ८३. ९ अथातोऽद्धतशान्ति व्याख्यास्यामः। अथ यद्यगारे स्थूणा विरोहेत्। कपोतो वागारं गच्छेद्वीर्वा गां धयेदनद्भान्या दिवहुद्धिखेत्। अनग्री वा धूमी जायेतानग्री वा दीप्यतः। मधु वा जायेतः। वल्मीकं बीपजायेत सण्ड्के वाम्भूणे वाश्येत् श्वानी गृहे पर्यदेष्ट्रित्येतानन्यांश्वः। यत्त इन्द्र भयामहे। सत्यत्तिमुद्धतः। अधायाह्यते सुद्धदस्य (१)। सनादग्रेः। यहा उ विश्वतिः क्षितः। प्रत्यग्ने । यं स्थन्तिः। आधायाह्यते सुद्धदस्य (१)। सनादग्रेः। यहा उ विश्वतिः क्षितः। प्रत्यग्ने । यं स्थन्तिः। आजापत्ययच्यं प्रस्तान्नोपरिष्टान्च महान्याह्यतिभिर्जुहोति। एतानि अन्नसामानि गायेत्। जीमिनीयगृह्य II. ७ (प्रणावि ऽ Series). Pratikas (except the third अधाः। स्थ which is corrupt) are: ऋ VIII. 61. 13, I. 18. 6, X. 87. 19, VIII 23, 13, X. 87. 24, I. 41. 1, X. 121. 10 (प्रजापते न न्येदेतान्यन्यो). All these (except X. 87. 24 and X 121. 10) occur in the सामवेद्द and some also in other संहिताइ, बी, गृहा, III. 6 is very similar to जी. गृहा.

^{1170.} दुःस्त्रोण्यय नो देव सनितारिति द्वितीयम् । अन्यस्मिस्तवनाज्ञाते क्रयानीयाद्वितीय• मावर्तयेत्। सामविः वा. I. 8 7-8. अद्य नो॰ is ज्ञ. V. 82. 4. सामवेद् No. 141 (ed. by Satavalekar); क्रयानीया is क्षया निश्चजः (Rg. IV. 31. 1. Sāma No. 169). The com. notes that the lowest number of the repetition (आवृत्ति) of a mantra is three; the repetition may be recited several times more according to the gravity or otherwise of the 'adbhuta' and one's ability आवृत्तिविद्यार्थते इययर्गिति। जिरावृत्तिर्वाच्या सत्तद्वोधगीरसलाधवाख्युण्येन तत्तोऽधिकं यथाहानस्या वर्तयेत्। इस्तर्थः। उद्यक्तश्चाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्तवेत् । स्वात्रात्रक्षाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । प्राप्तिः वर्षात्रक्षाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । प्राप्तिः प्रयाद्वान्तर्थाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । त्रि. वर्षात्रक्षाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । प्राप्तिः वर्षात्रक्षाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । त्रि. वर्षात्रक्षाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । त्रि. वर्षात्रक्षाल्य्यन्तर्था वर्त्तवेत् । त्रि. वर्षात्रक्षाल्यन्तर्था वर्षात्रक्षाल्यन्तर्था वर्षात्रक्षाल्यन्तर्था वर्षात्रक्षाल्या वर्षात्रक्षाल्या वर्षात्रक्षालया वर्षात्रक्षालया

should revolve in his mind the Devavrata verse, then (the enemies) would not kill him. If one's house were to be burnt ¹¹⁷¹ (accidentally) one should offer oblations anointed with ghee with the mantra 'born with the highest Dharman' (Sāmaveda no 90) and with words 'svāhā to Agni' In II. 2 2 The Sāmavidhāna Br. prescribes a sānti for one seized or posessed by an evil spirit. A portion of Sadvimšabrāhmana (viz V 1-10) is styled Adbhutabrāhmana and contains šāntis for several kinds of utpātas Some parts of it (such as V 2-3) correspond with Āśvalāyana-grhya-parišista, 4 11-15 The Sadvimša-brāhmana V.7.2 provides for earthquakes and cracks in palaces, which are similar to Yogayātrā 3.13 The Atharvaveda 19.9.9 refers to showers of falling stars (naksatramulkābhihatam 'sam-astu nah) and Sadvimša V 9.2 refers to falling meteors and V 10.2 to images laughing, weeping &c

Reasons of space forbid further description of santis from the grhya-sutras

The preceding discussion with regard to santis is enough to show that śantis were prescribed in the Vedic literature, the śrautasūtras, Samavidhāna-brāhmana and Rgvidhāna, not only for appeasing the angry divinities or powers of evil, but also for occurrences like bad dreams or of portentous phenomena like the sun's or moon's appearance, the cries of unlucky birds &c.

This subject about santis against all sorts of omens and portents was very much elaborated in the post-Vedic literature. An extensive literature on santis exists in the Grhyasutras, the Kausıka-sūtra, the Atharvaveda Parisistas (particularly Nos. V, XXXI on Kotihoma, XXXIII on Ghrtakambala, XXXVII on 'samuccayaprāyaścittam', resembling 13th chapter of Kauśika, LVIII to LXVII on utpātas, adbhutašāntis and dreams. LXXI and LXXII, the Puranas (like Matsya 92-93 and 228-238, Visnudharmottara I. 90-105, II. 124-127, II. 159-164. Mārkandeya chap. 40. Agni 149, 164, 167, 259-268, 290-91, 320-324, Bhavisya IV. 141-145, Brahmanda III. 38. 30-34, the Brhatsamhita chap. 45. the Śantika paustika kanda of the Krtyakalpataru (Ms. in Baroda Oriental Institute), the Adbhutasagara of Ballalasena and his son Laksmanasena (commenced to be written in saka 1089 i. e. 1167 A.D.), the Santi section of the Madanaratna (ms. in the Anup Sanskrit Library, Bikaner), Jyotistattva of Raghunandana

¹¹⁷¹ अग्निदम्धे पृतासान्यवाङ्खंद्वयाज्ञातः परेण धर्मेणेत्यतेनाग्रये स्वाहेति स्व । 1. 8. 9.

(m. 704 ff), the Śantikamalakara of Kamalakarabhatta (ms. in Bhau Dail collection of the Bombay Asiatic Society). Santimavūkha of Nīlakantha. Of these the Adbhutasāgara is a verv extensive work of 751 pages, edited by Pandit Murlidhar Jha and published by Prabhakari &c., Banares, in 1905 A. D. The Krtvakalpataru on Santis has not vet been edited and published in the Gaikwad Oriental Series. As compared with some other kāndas, the section on santi is meagre. It deals only with the following: Kurmavibhaga (the distribution of the countries in Bharata in 9 groups): Graha-makha or Grahayāga quoting Yāj. L. 295-308, Matsya, Narasimha and Bhavisya and Devipurāna; Ayutahoma, Kotihoma; Pusyasnāna; Grahasanti; Matrsanti; Lingasanti; Vinayakasanti (quoting Yāj. I. 271-294 and Matsya), Grahanasnāna; Sankrāntisnāna; mrtavatsābhiseka: sāntis for variouas utpātas; Gāyatrīhoma; Rudrajapavidhi: ābhicārikakarma (magic rites). It is neither possible nor necessary to deal with this vast mass on santi in this work in detail. Many of the santis described therein and in older śrauta and other works have been almost obsolete for a long time. Therefore, only a few santis now in vogue or very interesting from several viewpoints will be dealt with in this section, 1172

The Kauśikasūtra (chapter 13, kaṇdikās 93-136) is concerned with adbhutas, their descriptions and the śāntis therefor. Kandikā 93 brings together 42 portentous phenomena and the kandikās that follow deal with the description of the omen or portent and śāntis for each of these. In these śāntis, Atharvaveda mantras play a secondary role and the majority of the

^{1172.} A recently published work by D. J. Hoens (pp. 1-197, S Gravenhage, 1951) on 'Sānti' deals at some length only with sāntis in the Samhitās, Brāhmanas and Śrautasūtras. According to Keśava's Paddhati on · Kauśika-sūtra (I 8, Bloomfield's ed. p. 307) and Sāyaṇa in his Intro. to Atharvaveda there were five Kalpas of the Atharvaveda mentioned by (an ancient commentator) Upavarṣa in his commentary on Jaimini I. 3. 11-14 called Kalpasūtrādhikarana viz नक्षत्रकल्प, वितानकल्प, संदिताकल्प, आङ्गिसकल्प and ज्ञान्तिकल्प सायपा इअप्र (Muradabad edition of samvat 1986) 'ज्ञान्तिकल्प प्रथमं वैनायक्षत्रमृद्धातलक्ष्यानि तच्छान्त्रये सम्भाराहरणम्, अभिषेकवैनायकहोसाः, तत्युज्ञा-विधानम्, आदित्यादिनवग्रहपत्राधिकसिति। (р 81. and p. 28 of Pandit's ed.), अथर्थ परिहाद XLIX (चरणव्युत्र p. 337, 4 7). Vide JAOS vol XI. p. 376-378 for the Kalpas of the Atharvaveda. The बह्माण्डपुराण II 35 61-62 states 'वह्मच-कल्पो वेतानस्त्रीयो सहिताचिधिः। चतुर्थोऽङ्गिरसः कल्पः शान्तिकल्पश्च पश्चमः। श्रीष्ठा स्वयंग्रामेते सहिताचां विकल्पकाः॥ स्वर्थोऽङ्गिरसः कल्पः शान्तिकल्पश्च पश्चमः। श्रीष्ठा स्वयंग्रामेते सहिताचां विकल्पकाः॥ The same verses occur in वाग्रपुराण 61. 54; compare also विरुप्तुः III. 6 13-14

mantras form an independent mantra material. It should be noted that at the end all these fantis are spoken of as 'prayascitta',

The subjects treated of in the Madanaratna (about 1425 to 1450 A. D) on Santika-paustika would indicate how extensive was the cult of santis recommended in medieval times. The Anukramanika at the beginning of the Ms. mentions the following: Vināyakasnāna; šāntis to placate the nine planets from the Sun to Kotu. Sanaiscarvrata, santis to placate Saturn extracted from Skanda (Nagarakhanda and Prabhasakhanda); worship of Jupiter and Venus: Santis based on the Yamalas 1172a on the conjunction of five or more planets. Grahasnanas from Visnudharmottara, santis of the tithi and weekday when fever and other diseases seize a man. Naksatrasanti, santis for the nine naksatras called Janma and the rest (vide note 772 for these nine naksatras); santis for birth on Amayasya, or on Mūla. Aslesā or Jyesthā naksatra, santi for birth on the same naksatra as that of the father or (elder) brother, santis for birth on Ganda, Vaidhrti, Vyatīpātayoga, Sankrānti, Visanādi. ecplipses: santi called Gomukhaprasava: śantis declared for the protection of the foctus from the first and following months from conception: bal: offerings: medicine for removing pains of the foetus: measures for easy delivery, for protection of the child after birth, bali on first day with mantras &c, nirājana &c, description of sprinkling the infant with holy water, satiating gods and pitrs with water, homas, yantras (mystical diagrams);

¹¹⁷²a. The Yāmalas are works of Tantra class, the numbers of which are variously given. But they are often said to be eight. Vide note 1598 below on tantras. The Rudrayamala tantra was published by Jivananda containing over 6000 verses in 66 chapters in 1892. There are works Ganesayamala, Brahmayamala, Rudra-yamala, Visnuyamala, Sakti-yamala, and several others. Certain ghatis (or nadis) of certain tithis, weekdays and nalsairas are said by the Smrti-kaustubha to be visanadis or visaghatis (producing very disastrous results). but in astrological works certain ghatis of naksatras only have that appellation and a person born on those ghatis forebodes the death and loss of the father, the mother, wealth and himself by poisoning, arms and missiles (according to Dharmasindhu p 184). The Madanaratna on Santika (folios 15b to 20b) sets out numerous details about all the 27 naksatras from the work of Atreya, one (detail) being the visaghati of each nalsatra e, g as to Asvinî three nadikas after 50th ghatika constitute visanadî, as to Bharanî one ghati after 24, as to Punarvasu and Pusya one ghati after 30 and 20 ghatis respectively and so on,

general rules about the rites on the 1st to the 12th day after birth and in the first and following months of the first year after birth; applying ointments, fumigation, baths with mantras when a child is seized (or possessed) by an evil spirit; homa with dūrvās and homa for long life: śānti for adblutas and śāntis for strange occurrences about images. Agni, trees, rainfall, reservoirs of water, for strange births, for birth of twins, for strange happenings about implements, beasts, collapse of temples and houses: śāntis for various utpātas and adbhutas: śāntis about kapota bird and on seeing the costus of crows: santis relating to fall on one's body of the house lizard and chameleon; santis on impurity due to births and deaths: santis relating to horses and elephants: śāntis on weekdays: mahāśānti: Navagrahamakha; rules about Ayutahoma and its procedure, and about Laksahoma and Kotihoma from Narasimhapurāna. Devipurāna and Bhavisyapurāna; 11726 Vasor-dhārā from Devīpurāna The adbhutas mentioned in kāndikā 93 (of the Kausika-sūtra) are: showers (of ghee, honey, meat, gold, blood and other terrible showers); yaksas (supernatural apparitions like apes, beasts, crows appearing in the form of human beings); croaking of two frogs; wrangling of family members; earth-quake; eclipse of the sun; eclipse of the moon; ausasi (day-break, morning?) does not go up; when samā (year?) becomes terrible; when there is fear of inundations; when brahmanas are armed; when images of gods dance, fall down, laugh, sing or present other forms; where two ploughshares get entangled; where two ropes or two threads (become entangled); where one Agni comes in contact with another; when a cow gives birth to twins; when a

¹¹⁷² b. Vasordhārā (Interally a stream of wealth) Vide H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 1253 n 2696 for it. It is described at great length in Rājanītiprakāsa pp 447-457, quoting Devīpurāna, and in Kṛṭyakalpataru (Rājadharmakānda p. 201-212) quoting Bhavisya It is an ancient idea, as Tai, S. V. 4. 8 mentions it 'बसोधींगां खहोति चसोमें धाराऽसिहिति &c.'. The साल्तिमयुख p 43 prescribes the following mantras in Vasordhārā, viz. 9 mantras of the hymn beginning with 'Agnim-īje' (Rg. I 1. 1-9), the six mantras in Rg. I 154, 1-6 (Vi nor-nu kam), the 15 mantras of Rg. II. 33, the nine mantras of Rg. IX 1 (svādisthayā madisthayā), the Mahāvaisvānarasāma and Jyesṭhasāma. On p. 210-11 of the फ़रवकल्प॰ (राजधर्म) the following verses occur 'बहुईन्थं वृत वाज्यममृत इविष्मामिकम्। तम्रधारा सदा देया वसीधीरा हि सा मता। देया धारा सदा बरस रियुनाशाय विद्धि ताम्। विच्छेदो नित्यहोमस्य स क्रायेस्त कराचना। अञ्चसासमवर्ष.

mare, or a she-ass or a woman 1173 (gives birth to twins); when cows yield bloody 1174 milk, when a bull sucks the udder of a cow: where a cow sucks the udder of another cow: where (a cow, horse, mule or a person) smells at ākāśaphena (white scuttle fish bone supposed to be sea-foam), when ants behave in an unusual way; when blue 1175 bees act in an unusual way; where the honey bees act in an unusual way: when an adbhuta happens not known before (or that surpasses all previous records): when anything is torn (or shattered in pieces) in a village. residence, shed for sacred fires or meeting hall: when water spurts up in a waterless place: where sesame yield equal oil (?): where sacrificial offerings are polluted by being touched by birds, two-footed animals and quadrupeds; when the locks of hair (of a boy or girl) turn towards the left; when the sacrificial post strikes shoots; when a meteor is seen falling by day: when a comet darkens the Great Bear, when the naksatras fall frequently (from the sky): 1175a when a bird alights (on one's house) with flesh in its beak: when a light flashes without there being any fire; where Agni seems to breathe (or hiss) as it were: where clarified butter, oil or honey trickles, where village fire burns down a house, where accidental fire burns one's house, where a bamboo splits open with a sound, where a par splits in a reservoir of water or a pan (when put on fire) splits or a vessel in which barley is put splits.1176

^{1173.} Compare कात्यायनश्रीतसूत्र 'भार्यागोपु यमजनने मारुत त्रयोदशक्यालं निर्वेपत । 25. 4. 35 with कीशिक 93. 17-18 यमवत्साया गवि । वहवागर्वम्योमीलच्यां च ।

^{1174.} Compare मौजिक 43. 19 'यत्र धेनवी लीहितं दुहते। with श्वपण XII. 4. 2 1 'तदाहुर्यस्याभिहोत्री लोहितं दृहीत किं तत्र कर्म का मायश्वितिरिति ! &c.

^{1175, &#}x27;Nīlamaksānācāre' Kausika 93. 24, maksā (as a collector of honey) occurs in Rg. X. 40.6 'Yuvor ba maksa paryasvina madhvasa bharata niskrtam na yosana .

नक्षत्रेषु पतापतेषु । कोशिक 93.35; वार्तिक 6 on पा VI. 1. 12 is चरिचिहिपतिवदीनामच्याक् चाम्यासस्य and पतसालि gives the instances as चराचर. चलाचल, पतापत, बदाउद

^{1176.} Many grhyasutras have passages resembling those in कीशिम For example, नानामृता II. 15 6 has यद्याची दह्योद्वा नक्ष्येद्वा प्रपतेद्वा प्रभाग्येद्वा प्रहसेद्वा प्रचलेहा द्वार गेशो था रफटेत । गाँवी गा ध्येत । खी था खियमाएन्यात । कर्तसंसर्गे एउसंसर्गे ससल-संसर्गे धुमलप्पतने सुसले वार शीर्येतान्यासम्बाह्यत एताभिर्श्रहुपात् !. Then ten आहुितिः with ten सम्बं are prescribed viz. Rg I. 89 6, V. 51, 11, V 51, 12, V. 51,13, X. 63. 15, VI 47. 11. VII. 19. 7, X 152. 4, X 180 2, and Tat Br. III 5.11. Some of these like Rg. X 152, 4 and X. 180, 2 occur in all Samhitas.

It would be impossible for reasons of space and also of usefulness to set out the santis prescribed for the above adbhutas in Kausika. but a few may be described by way of illustration. When there is an earthquake 1177 one should offer (oblations of ghee) with five verses, three of which are addressed to Jisnu (Visnu). Three of the verses are: "Just as the sun shines brightly in the heaven, Vayu dwells in the sky and Agni enters the earth, so may this Jisnu be firm and unmoving. As the rivers day and night pour their sediment (clay or mud carried by them) in the sea without fail, similarly may all tribes (of gods?) with one mind approach my invocation (or sacrifice) without fail; may the Goddess (Earth) along with all deities be firm and unmoving for me and may (the Goddess) drive away from us all evil and pierce my enemies that hate me." After having offered oblations with the words 'svāhā to the earth' he should offer oblations with the verses Atharva VI. 87. 1. VI. 88. 1 and with the verses of the anuvāka beginning with Atharva XII. 1. 1. This is the prayascitti there (in case of an earthquake).

Where darkness seizes the sun, one should offer oblations with the verses 'arranging according to the season divine wonders, (the sun) rises up revolving (driving away) the fierce (aspects) of the several seasons; may the sun passing over these on all sides come; may the Waters move along in all these worlds. May Indra and Agni, knowing well, protect thee with herbs (remedies); destroy all darkness according to the cosmic order and by true speech.' Having offered oblations with the words 'svāhā to the sun', he should again make oblations (in Agni) with the hymn Atharva XVII. 1. He worships with the Rohita hymns (Atharva XIII, 1-4). This is the prāyaścitti in this case.

⁽Continued from last page)

कारिक 93 26 is 'अनाज्ञाते'. The ज्ञान्ति for all अद्भुतं on specified in the well-known works is contained in कीशिक, Kandikā 119 ' यद् ग्रन्थे न पठ्यते तस्तर्वमना' ज्ञातमित्युच्यते'. The ज्ञान्ति is 'यद्ननाज्ञातमनाम्नातमर्थस्य कर्मणो मिग्रः। अग्ने कं नस्तरमात्पाहि स हि वेत्य यथायथम्। अग्नये स्वाहा। वायो सूर्य चन्द्रेति च। पुरुषसंमितोऽर्थः कर्मार्थः पुरुष्धं संमितः। वाद्यम् तस्मात्पातु स हि वेत्य यथायथम्। वायवे स्वाहा। अग्निर्मा सूर्यो मा चन्द्रो मेति च।. Compare 'यद्नाज्ञातं यदाज्ञातं यज्ञस्य क्रियते मिश्रः। अग्ने तदस्य कत्ययं सं हि वेत्य यथायथम्। पुरुषसंमितो यज्ञः यदाज्ञातं यज्ञस्य क्रियते मिश्रः। अग्ने तदस्य कत्ययं सं हि वेत्य यथायथम्। पुरुषसंमितो यज्ञः यज्ञ पुरुषसंमितः। अग्ने तदस्य''यथम्।' तै. आ. III. 7. 11. 26-27

^{1177.} Vide कौशिकसूज chap 98 for भूमिचलशान्ति, chap. 99 and 100 for श्रेंबाtis in the case of solar eclipse and lunar eclipse respectively. अस्पेन् X. 173 contains mantras similar to those in कौशिक 98.

When the (darkness) floods the moon one should offer oblation into fire with the verse 1178 "Rāhu creeps over the shining king (the moon), the former (Rāhu) strikes him (the moon) here (i. e. before us), a thousand of his (Rāhu's) bodies are to be destroyed; may (his) one hundred bodies perish!'. Having offered oblations with the words 'svāhā to the Moon', he should offer oblations with the hymn 'Sakadhūmam naksatrāni yadrājānam-akurvata' (Atharva VI. 128. 1). This is the prāyascitta in this case 1179

In connection with santis three words require to be carefully lunderstood viz. adbluta, utpāla and nimita. Adbluta is an ancient word. It occurs several times in the Rgveda and is generally applied to some gods in the sense of 'wonderful'. In some passages, however, it means 'future' and possibly also . 'portentous' For example, according to Nirukta I. 5 1180 the sage Agastya first promised to offer an offering to Indra, but later he desired to offer the same to the Maruts, that then Indra came to Agastya and complained to him as in Rg. I 170.1 (what was promised today) even that does not exist, nor will it (the haus) be there (for me) tomorrow; who knows (for certain)

There is difference of opinion about शक्यम in 'शक्यम नक्षत्राणीरपेते-म सक्तेन जहरात । कौशिक 100 3 Literally it may mean 'the smoke from dried bowdung' (ज्ञास = ज्ञास + भ्रम) It occurs also in कोजिस 8 17 and 50. 65. which latter is 'उपोत्तमेन सहहो ब्राह्मणस्य शक्रात्पण्डान पर्वस्थाधाय किमद्याष्ट-रिति प्रस्कृति। . This shows that here at least the meaning is 'a साह्यण on whose ioints dried cowdung cakes were placed! Charpentier has an interesting paper on steven in Bulletin of the School of Oriental Studies (London) for 1935 pp 449-450 where he states that various scholars have given different meanings, viz constellation, dung smoke, weather prophet (Bloomfield), Agni (Caland), milky way and himself that it means 'Kritika ' It does not clearly appear how, conceding that शक्षध्म stands for क्रिसिका, व ब्राह्मण could be देशींटर जामध्यम in कोशिक 50 15 and on what grounds According to Panini IV. 3, 34 a man born on Bahula naksatra was called Bahula, so one born bn Sakadhuma (Kritika) may be called by the same name by analogy. सीम is - balled the lord of plants in ज्ञातपथ VII 2. 4.26 and पारस्करयुद्ध I 5, and the king of brāhmanas (सोमोऽस्माक ब्राह्मणाना राजा) 10 शतपथ V 3, 4 12 and V. 4. 2. 3.

^{1179.} For a santi on eclipse from a late medieval work, vide पुरुषार्थः चिन्तामणि (pp 355-361) quoting from सस्स्य.

¹¹⁸⁰ निकक्त I 5 says 'अनस्त्य इन्द्राय इविनिक्प्य मराज्ञवः' संगितिसार्चकार् सं प्रकृतम् एत्य परिदेवयांच्यके । न ज्ञमिस्त नी श्व. कस्तद्वेव यद्भुतम्। अन्यस्य चित्तमभिसञ्जरेण्यस्ताः भ्रीतं वि नइयति ॥ (ऋ १ १७० १)। न ज्ञमस्त्यस्यनं नी एव श्वस्तनम्। कः तद् वेद यद् अद्भुतम्। इदमपि इतस्त अद्भुतम् अभ्रतमिष। निकक्त I 6 Rgveda I. 170 has five yerses which contain an interesting dialogue between Indra and Agastya.

what will happen in future'. Yāska paraphrases 'adbhuta' by the word 'abhuta' (that has not taken place) and remarks that the word 'adbhuta' in popular speech also means 'something that has not happened before'. Rg. I. 25. 111181 says 'the intelligent man expects from this (Varuna) all wonders (or portentous happenings), whether already performed or to be performed'. Rg. X 105.7 is perhaps a little clearer than the preceding. '(May Indra reduce our sins) Indra who is goldenbearded, who has tawny horses, whose jaw is never broken and who made against the dasyu for easily killing him a thunderbolt like the sky that is wonderful (or full of portents)'. The word usually employed in the Grhyasūtras is adbhuta and the santis are called 'adbhutasantis'. Adbhuta is a word of very general import. It includes not only such serious phenomena as earth-quakes, eclipses, comets, falling stars but such comparatively minor yet unexpected or unusual happenings as a cow yielding blood-red milk or a cow sucking the udder of another cow. The ancient writer Vrddha-Garga defines 'adbhuta' as any occurrence that had not occurred before or a total change coming over what has occurred before. The 67th Atharvanaparisista is called Adbhutasanti (pp. 432-435). It distributes 'adblutas' into seven groups relating to Indra, Varuna, Yama. Agni, Kubera, Visnu and Vayu and names some adbhutas under each such as a rainbow at night (relating to Indra), a vulture or owl alighting on a man's house or a kapota entering it (relating to Yama), smoke without fire (relating to Agni), eclipse on the naksatra of a man's birth (relating to Visnu) and prescribes as śānti the japa of Atharvasiras, making brāhmanas say 'svasti.' honouring and feeding brahmanas. That parisista is based on the Adbhutabrāhmana of the Sāmaveda.

The word 'utpāta' is rare in the Śrauta or Gṛhya sūtras. The Gautamadharma-sūtra, after enjoining upon the king to

^{1181,} अतो विश्वान्यद्भता चिकित्वाँ अभि पश्यति । कृतानि या च कर्त्वा ॥ झ. I. 25. 11; वर्जा यक्षके सुहनाथ दस्यवे हिरीमज्ञो हिरीमान्। अवतहसुरद्भुतं न रजः॥ झ. X. 105. 7.

^{1182.} तज्ञ बुद्धार्ग.। असूतपूर्व यत्पूर्व यत्पूर्व यत्पूर्व जायतन्यया। तद्ध्रुतिमिति प्रोक्तं नैमित्तं स्थाजिमिज्ञम् ॥ q by अद्भुतसागर p. 4. अध्वर्षारिशिष्ट (p. 344ff) provides how certain portents indicated evil and death to the kings of certain countries. Vide the 'Reports of the Magicians and astrologers of Nineveh and Babylon' telerred to above in note 839 Prof. Neugebauer refers to Babylonian tablets containing thousands of omens and observes that from about 700 B. C. systematic observational reports were made by astronomers to the royal court, in which no clear line of demarcation was drawn between astronomical and meteorological phenomena. Vide E. S. A. p. 96

select a learned, well-conducted brahmana as his purchita. provides that the king should give heed to what astrologers and interpreters of omens tell (him) and that the purchita should perform santi rites, rites for prosperity (such as yastu-homa) and magic rites (on behalf of the king 1183). But in the Puranas and the medieval Sanskrit works it is far more frequent than the word adbhuta; sometimes adbhūta and utnāla are used as synonyms. Garga says 1184 'deities become unfavourable owing to the wrong doings of men and create extraordinary happenings in the sky, atmospheric region and on the earth. These are the utpātas for all worlds created by gods; these utpātas sally forth for the destruction (of people) and they by their (terrific) appearances rouse people (to do what is proper.)'. Here the words 'adbhuta' and 'utpāta' are used as synonyms Similarly, Matsya (228. 1-2) appears to regard the two words as synonyms. 1185 Generally, however, the word utpāta denotes courrences that portend evil to all. Amarakośa treats 'ajanya'. 'utpāta' and 'upasarga' as synonyms. Utpāta is defined by Garga, Varāhamihira 1186 and Atharva-parisista LXIV as the

^{1183.} Vide p. 543 note 799 above for the passage from गी. घ स् where the word उत्पात occurs.

^{1184.} ततोऽपचारे मत्र्यांनामप्रस्थिति देवता'। ते सुजन्त्यस्थात् भावान्दिव्यसूम्यन्तः रिक्षगान् ॥ त एव सर्वेश्वेकानास्रत्याता देवनिर्मिताः। विचरन्ति विनाहाय स्वे. सम्बोधयन्ति च ॥ गर्ग प þy उत्यल on घृहत्स. 45, 3, very similar verses are quoted from गर्गसहिता and बाईस्यत्य in अञ्च सा p 5. सस्य chap. 229 summarises what घृद्धगर्ग told अञ्चि and verse 5 is just like the first verse above, it is प्रस्पापचाराज्ञियतमप्रस्पित देवताः। ततोपरागास्ट्वानास्र्यस्यः प्रवर्ते॥, the चृहत्संदिता 45 2-3 'अपचारेण नराणास्पर्माः पापसञ्चयान्द्रवति। संस्चयन्ति दिश्यान्तरिक्षभौमास्त उत्पाताः॥ मन्तुजानामपचाराद्यरक्ता देवताः सजन्त्यात्व ।. It would be noticed that Varāba repeats almost the very words of घृद्धगर्ग The इत्यपर्व (37. 14-15) speaks of a तीर्थ called गर्गस्रोतस् visited by घलराम on the Sarasvati and credits him with proficiency in the movements of luminaries and अनुज्ञासन् 18. 38 speaks of him as proficient in कालझान with its 64 angas. Garga was a famous gotra name Vide Pān. IV. 1. 105 (Gargādībhyo yañ).

^{1185.} दिन्यान्तरिक्षभौमेषु या भान्तिरभिधीयते । तामहं श्रोतिमच्छानि महोत्पातेषु क्षेत्रामः अधातः संप्रवहयानि चिविधानद्युतादिषु । विशेषण तु भौमेषु शान्तिः कार्या तथा भवेत्॥ सत्स्य 228, 1-2.

^{1186.} यानचेक्स्यातान्माः भोवास्य तानहं वस्ये। तेषा संक्षेपोयं प्रकृतेत्र्यव्यस्थातः॥
धृहस्तं 45. 1; यः प्रकृतिविपर्यासः सर्वः संक्षेपतः स उत्पातः। क्षितिगवनदिग्यजातो यथोचरं ग्रक् तरो भवति॥ समाससंहिता of बराह q. by उत्पत्त on घृहस्तं. 45. 1 This verse is quoted in the अ. सा. p 5 as taken from a work called वटकणिका, and the अ. स. ascribes the verse to बराह himself on the same page lines 3-4 ' अत एव यः प्रकृति-

⁽Continued on next page)

reverse of the usual natural order. Nimitta means, according to Amarakośa (nimittam hetulaksmanoh) 'cause or prognostic sign'. Nimitta may be auspicious or inauspicious. This is one distinction between utpāta (which generally denotes an unlucky portent) and nimitta. There is another distinction. Nimitta is often restricted to the throbbing of a person's limbs (as in Matsya chap. 241), though here and there it is used in a wider sense as in Gitā (I. 31) ('nimittāni ca pasyāmi viparītāni Kešava' O Kesava' I see adverse omens), Rāmāyana, Ayodhyākānda. 4.17-19, Bhīsma-parva 2.16-17, Virāta 46.30, Atharva-parišista LXIV (Utpāta-laksana) 10.9-10. 1187 It may be noted that Manu VI. 50 mentions 'utpāta' and 'nimitta' separately (p. 527 note 758).

Examples of unfavourable happenings (nimittas or utpātas) occur plentifully in the Mahābhārata, Sabhāparva 80. 28-31, 81. 22-25, Vanaparva 179. 41, 224. 17-18, Virāta 39. 4-6, 46. 24-32, Udyoga 84. 4-9, 156. 28-30, Bhīsma 2. 17-33, 3. 65-74, 19. 36-38, 99. 21-28, 112. 6-12, Drona 7. 34-41, 77. 3-7, Śalya 23. 21-24, 56. 8-14, 192. 17-21, Mausala 1. 1-5, 2. 1-17 and the Rāmāyana, Ayodhyā 4. 17-19, Aranyakānda 23. 1-7 and 10-25, Yuddhakānda 10. 14-20, 23. 4-11, 35. 25-35, 41. 13-20, 53. 32, 65. 47-51, 95. 43, 96. 40-44. The chief utpātas and adbhutas, are: terrible dreams, roar of contending winds i. e. hurricanes

⁽Continued from last page)

विपर्यासः भायः संसेपतः ', ' इइ बटकाणिकायां वराष्ट्रेण प्रायः शब्दः प्रयुक्त हृति.' This indicates that वराहिमिहिर wrote a work called बटकाणिका which is the same as the समाससंहिता of वराह quoted by उत्पत्त This important point will be elaborated elsewhere. प्रकृतेरुरयाभावो यत्र यत्रीपजायते । तत्र तत्रापि जानीयात् सर्वप्रत्पातलक्षणम् ॥ अर्थवपरिक्षिष्ट (chap. LXIV, I. 2 p. 409); प्रकृतेरुयधीरपातः संक्षेपस्तावदिष्टशः । गर्भ १. by अर्द्धस्तमायर p. 5 The निमित्त of भद्रबाहु states very similarly ' प्रकृते-धौन्यमात्र विकारः सर्व उत्यते । (II. 2) and 'भ्रष्कृतेयो निपर्यासः स उत्यातः प्रकृतितितः । विन्यान्तिश्वभौनाश्च व्यासमेषां निकोधत ॥' (XIV 2) The निष्णुष्ट II. 5. 26 speaks of क्षां as an ancient sage and as having known all the consequences of nimittas 'यमाराक्ष्य पुराणविनेगो ज्योतींकि तन्नतः । ज्ञातनान् सकालं चैव निमित्तपन्ति कल्प ॥,'

^{1187.} निमित्तानि समालीक्य कुला पावनमादितः । महाशान्ति प्रयुज्जीत सर्वोग्रह्मभाक्षिनीम् ॥ सर्वरेतामक्षमनीस्तानफलनाशिनीम् । रीहीं कुर्योन्महाशान्ति अञ्चया बहुदक्षिणाम् ॥
अध्यवपरिशिष्ट LXIV (उत्पातलक्षण) 10, 9-10. In भीक्षमप्व 2 16-17, we read इह
युद्धे महाराज भविष्यति महान् क्षमः । तथेह च निमित्तानि भयदान्युपलक्ष्मये ॥ इयेना गुप्तास्व
काषास्य कङ्काश्य सहिता वर्षे. । सम्पतन्ति नगाग्रेषु समवायांश्य कुष्टेते ॥; in वनपर्व 155. 2-5
sveral portents such as fall of meteors, sun becoming pale, earthquake, rain
of dust are described and in verse 6 they are referred to as उत्पात and अङ्गस्त
' अन्ये च बहुने भीमा उत्पातासत्त्र जितेरे । तद्वसुत्तमनिष्टेश्य धर्मयुद्धे स्रिविदरः ॥ '.

(nirohāta), meteors, she-jackals howling towards the south, fierce and dry wind with shower of sand; earth-quakes; sun eclipse at an unusual time (Rāmāyana III, 23, 12, Sabhā 80, 29, 81, 23 Salva 56, 10, Bhīsma 3, 28); flashes of lightning without clouds; carnivorous birds like vultures and crows on temples: fort-walls and bastions, spontaneous fire; rent hanners; halo of the sun and moon; river-flowing with bloody waters; rain without clouds, rain of blood or mud; trumpeting of elephants; sky filled with darkness, horses shedding tears; peals of thunder in a clear sky, rivers flowing in reverse direction: throbbing of the left arm and eve (in men), croaking of frogs; sea lashed into fury; images of gods trembling, dancing, laughing or weeping (Bhīsma 112 11); pale sun, birds like pigeons and manas and deer weeping with face towards the sun: appearance of a headless trunk near the sun: strange births such as ass born of a cow, mouse born of an ichneumon (Yuddhakānda 35, 30). auspicious signs described in the epics, are comparatively few as in Bālakānda 22.4. Udyoga 83 23-26, 84 117, Bhīsma 3. 65-74, Śanti 52, 25, Aśyamedhika 53, 5-6 The principal auspicious signs are, clear sky without clouds, wind blowing 1188 cool and pleasant to the skin, no dust raised, birds and animals proceeding to a man's right side, fire without being enveloped in smoke and with flames turned towards the right, shower of flowers, auspicious birds like casa, kraunca, peacock sending up chirpings to the right (Karna 72. 12-13)

The omens and portents mentioned in the two epics are generally described as occurring at certain important times and events, e.g on the eve of battles or when Dasaratha proposed that Rama be crowned as yuvarāja, or when Pandavas startad on their exile into the forest or when Bhīsma was anointed as commander-in-chief of the Kaurava hosts or when Arruna vowed that he would kill Jayadratha before sunset There is no gradafign or order in mentioning the omens and portents in these two works, but they are set out pell-mell Untimely rain, thunder without clouds, croaking of frogs are on the same level and

वर्षो शिव सुरक्षी वायु सर्वगन्धवहः शुचि । शान्तिपर्व 52 25, शिवश्चासुव-वर्वास प्रशान्तमभवद्रज । प्रदक्षिणात्रलोमाश्च मङ्गल्या सृगपक्षिण । प्रयाणे वासुदेवस्य च भूतुर-स्यायित ॥ उद्योग 83, 23-24 and 26 मन्त्राहतिमहाहोमेर्ह्यमानश्च पावक । प्रदक्षिणसुखी भूता विधूम, समपद्यत ॥ , रहुवंद्रा III 14 (दिश प्रसेट्टर्मकतो वह सुखा प्रदक्षिणाचिहिवरायी-राटदे। बसूब सर्वे अभशंसि तत्वणं भवा हि लोकाम्युद्याय ताहशास्॥) seems to be an echo of the above verses, compare also vgo IV 25, X 72-74.

uttered in the same breath with eclipses. But Garga. 1169 Parāšara, Sabhā-parva, Brhatsamhitā 45. 2. Matsyapurāna 229.5, Atharva-parisista LXIX (1.2) and other works divide utpātas into three classes. viz. divid (arising from heavenly bodies). antariksa (springing in the sky or atmospheric region) and bhauma (that appear on the earth). This classification is ancient The Atharvaveda 1190 expressly refers to the three classes of utpātas 'May the earthly and atmospheric utpātas and the planets moving in heaven confer welfare on us'. The Brhatsamhitā 1191 says that it is the king's business to arrange for santis in his kingdom for counteracting (the consequences of portents). Garga 1192 declared that those who, when advised by brāhmanas for performing śāntis, perform auspicious rites with faith do not suffer defeat, but that those who having no faith or because of atheism or resentment do not perform rites for counteracting (omens) perish in a short time. Garga, the Brhatsamhitā. Matsva 229. 6-9. Agni 263. 12-13 furnish examples of the three kinds of utoātas. 1193 viz. the divuq ones are concerned with the abnormal conditions of planets and naksatras. eclipses, comets: those of atmospheric regions are hurricanes. unusual clouds, fall of meteors, twilights, preternatural reddish appearances of the quarters, halo, Fata Morgana (appearance of illusory town in the air), rainbow and strange rainfall (such as

^{1189.} तेषां धौरन्तरिक्षं मूरेताश्राअयः। पञ्चमहाभूतानि योनिः। पराज्ञरः, त्रिविधः स स्नु विज्ञेषो दिव्यनामसभूमिजः। गर्म, both q. by अव्श्वतसागर p. 5, दिव्यान्तरिक्षभीमे च विविधं संम्रकीर्तितम्। मत्स्य 229. 6, उत्पातांक्षिविधान्त्राह् नारदो भगवाञ्चपिः। दिव्यांन्धिवान्त-रिकांश्य पार्थिवांश्य पितामह॥ सभापर्व 46, 8-9.

^{1190.} उत्पाताः पाथिवान्तरिक्षाः इं नो दिविन्तरा ग्रहाः। अधर्ने, 19. 9. 7.

^{1191.} तत्यतिघाताय द्वपः शान्ति राष्ट्रे प्रयुक्तीत । बृहत्सं 45 3.

^{1192.} ये द्व सम्बोधिता विमे. शान्तये मङ्गळानि च। श्रद्धाना मर्कुर्वन्ति न ते यान्ति पराभवम्। ये तु न मतिकुर्वन्ति कियामश्रद्ध्यानिताः। नास्तिक्याद्व्या कोपाद्विश्वश्यन्त्यथवाऽ-चिरात्॥ गर्न प्. by उत्पाल on बृहस्तं. 45. 4, in श्रद्ध्य सा p. 6 (with slight variations, such as विमोहात् for कोपात्), ज्योतिस्तस्तं p. 707.

^{1193.} सभौद्ध केतु-नक्षत्र-ग्रहताराक्षंचन्द्रजस् । दिवि चौत्पद्यते यञ्च तद्दिन्यमिति कीर्तयेत्॥ वाय्वभ्रसन्ध्यादिग्दाष्ट्रपरिवेषतमांसि च । स्वपुरं चैन्द्रचापं च तद्दिव्याद्यन्तारिक्षजस् । भूमावुत्यद्यते यञ्च स्थावरं वाथ जङ्गमस् । तदेकदेशिकं भौमं भूमिन्वालाम्ब्रुविकिया । गर्गसंहिता q. by
त्रताह on बृहत्तं 45 4-5, अ सा. p. 6; दिग्यं ग्रहसंवैक्षतग्रहत्वानिर्मातापवनपरितेषा ।
गन्धवेपुर-पुरन्दरन्वापादि यदान्तरिक्षं तत् । मौमं चरस्थिरमयं तन्द्यान्तिभिराहतं शमग्रपिति ।
नाभसग्रपिति मुद्रुतां शाम्पति नो दिश्वमित्यके ॥ दिल्यमपि शमग्रपित प्रभूतकनकास्रगोमहीदानैः ।
कृत्रपत्ते भूमो गोदोहात्कोदिहोतान्त्य॥ बृहस्तं 45, 4-6; मत्स्य 229, 6-9 ग्रहर्शवैकृतं दिल्यसन्तरिक्षं निवोध मे । ग्रक्तपाती दिशां द्वाह- परिवेष्ट्यतेष्ट च ॥ गन्धवनगरं चैव बृदिश्व विकृताः
च था । प्रमादीनि लोकेरिमसान्तरिक्षं विनिर्दिशेत् ॥ वरस्थिरभवो भौमो भूकम्पश्चापि सूमिजः ।
जलाश्चामां वैकृत्यं भौमं तद्यपि कीरस्ते ॥ q. by हेनादि on व्रत vol. II p. 1076; अग्नि
(263, 12-13) bas same verses as सत्त्य,

of blood-red water, or fall of rain with fishes, tortoises &c.): those of the earth are earth-quake and unusual states of water The Brhatsamhita remarks that the evil consequences of earthly (bhauma) utpātas when counteracted with santis are removed, the evil consequences of utpatas from the atmospheric regions are reduced to a mild form (by santis). while, according to some (ācāryas like Kāśyapa, says Utpala) divia utpātas are not counteracted by santis at all: the opinion of Varahamihira himself appears to be that the consequences of even divia utpātas are conjured away by the gifts of plenty of gold, food, cows and land and by spilling the milk of cows on the ground or in a Rudra temple and by performing Kothoma. Varāhamihira and Matsya further provide that daiva utpāta has evil effects (lit. bears fruit) in eight ways. viz on the king himself, his son, his treasury, his conveyances (horses, elephants &c.), his capital, his queen, purchita and his people 1191

Numerous santis bearing different names are prescribed in Matsva, by Varāhamihira and others. The 18 śāntis prescribed in Matsya (228)1142 and bearing the names of several gods will be briefly mentioned here Abhava-santi is prescribed when a king desires to be a conqueror or when he is attacked by enemies or when he fears that witchcraft has been practised against him or when he desires to uproot his enemies or when The Saumua santi is prescribed when a great danger threatens a man is attacked by Tuberculosis or is weak owing to wounds or when a man desires to perform a sacrifice. When there is an earthquake or when there is a famine of food or there is excessive rain or drought or there is danger of locusts or when thieves are operating the Varsnavi santi is prescribed; Raudri šānti is employed against an epidemic among cattle or human beings or when ghosts appear or when a coronation is to take place or when there is fear of an invasion or there is treachery in one's kingdom, or when enemies are to be killed; Brāhmī śanti is performed when it is feared that Veda study would

¹¹⁹⁴ आत्मस्रतकोशवाहनप्रस्वारप्ररोहितेष्र लोके च। पाकस्रपैति दैवं परिकल्पितमप्टथा वपते ॥ बहत्सं 45 7 Compare मत्स्य 229. 12-13 राज्ञ शरीरे लोके च प्ररहारे (v. l. प्ररे दारे) प्ररोहित । पाकमायाति प्रतेषु तथा वै कोपवाहने । q by अ सा. p. 9, हेमादि on व्रत vol II p. 1076 There is close correspondence between the two here and elsewhere because both expressly say that they will draw upon what Garga declared to Atra

¹¹⁹⁴a The whole of Matsya 228 is quoted by Hemadri on Vrata vol II, pp. 1073-1075 and by St Ht pp. 733-736

perish or when atheism prevails or where honour is paid to persons unworthy of it: if strong winds blow for more than three days and disease spreads due to vāta, then Vāvavî šānti should be performed: Vārunī when there is fear of drought or there is abnormal rain (of blood &c.): Bhārgavī when there is danger of false accusation; Piājānatyā when abnormal births take place: Tvāstrī when there are abnormal conditions of implements; Kaumārī when śānti is to be performed for children: Aoneui when fire shows portentous appearances: Gandharvi when a person is disobeyed or his wife and servants perish, or he desires to perform santi for horses; Anguasi when elephants are affected: Nan rtī when danger arises from goblins; Yāmuā when there is fear of an accident leading to death or a bad dream: Kauberī when wealth is lost: Pārthīvī when trees are affected by abnormal conditions; Aindi when portents happen on Jyesthā naksatra or on Anurādhā.

The Agnipurana (263.7-8) refers to these 18 santis and says that the best santis are Amrta, Abhaya and Saumya. Varahamihira mentions numerous santis on the happenings of several abnormal incidents. For reasons of space nothing can be said here about them. But one santi deserves to be set out. 1195 'If a man perceives Yaksas, the astrologer should declare that an epidemic is very near; for counteracting them Garga performed a propitiatory rite. viz. Mahāśāntis, offerings, plentiful food, worship of Indra and Indrani.' The Brhatsamhitä sets out (45.82-95) certain happenings as not portentous when they happen in certain seasons and quotes several verses of Rsiputra which also occur in Matsya 229. 14-25 with some variations; e. g. in Caitra and Vaisākha the following are auspicious (and not portents requiring santi) lightning, meteors, earthquake, blazing twilight, noisy storms, halo, dust in sky, smoke in forests, red sunrise and sunset.

^{1195.} हटेपु थातुधानेषु निर्दिशन्मरकमाशु सम्प्राप्तम्। प्रतीघाताय चैषां गर्गः शार्नित चकारेमास्॥ महाशान्त्योऽध बलयो भोज्यानि सुमहान्ति च । कारयेत महेन्द्रं च माहेन्द्रीं च समर्चेयत्॥ बृहत्स्नं, 45. 79-80,

CHAPTER XXI

Individual Santis

It is now time to turn to individual santis, mostly post-vedic. The first is Vināvaka-śānti or Ganapatipūjā. This is performed at the commencement of all samskaras such as upanayana and marriage in order that the fruit thereof may be had without obstacles or for averting the evil effects of portents or in order to mitigate the adverse effects of the death of a sapinda or the like. When it is performed for its own sake it should be performed on the 4th tithi of the bright half, on Thursday and the auspicious naksatras Pusva, Śravana, Uttarā, Rohinī, Hasta, Aśvinī, Mrgasirsa, but when performed at the commencement of Upanayana or the like, one may perform it at a time suited to the time of the principal rite. The sankalpa is given below. 11% In H. of Dh. vol. II pp 213-216 it has been shown how in the earliest stages represented by the Manava-grhya and Baijayapa-grhya which speak of four Vināyakas, all were evil spirits, how in the next stage represented by the Yajnavalkyasmrti (I, 271-294). Vināvaka is not only represented as causing obstacles (Vighnakrt) but also as bringing success in all actions and rites (Vighnahrt) and how later on it was prescribed that Ganapatipūjā must be done first in all rites (Gobhila I. 13). Yāj, I. 293 provides 1197 that by worshipping Vināyaka in the way prescribed and also the planets, a person secures success in his undertakings and the highest prosperity. The Visnudharmottara II. 105. 2-24 borrows the verses of Yal. I. 271-292, though not in the same order and adds a few. The Brahmanda provides 1138

^{1196.} अधोपनयनिवाहादो निर्विष्ठफाठाप्यर्थस्यसर्गनिरासाय वा सपिण्डमरणादि॰ निमित्तकप्रतिक्कृलनिष्ठस्यर्थे वा विनायकशान्ति. कार्या। तत्र कालः शुक्रपक्षचतुर्धी ग्रहवारः प्रव्यक्षवणीत्तरारोहिणीहस्ताश्विनीसृगनक्षत्राणि शस्तानि । उपनयनादौ तु प्रधानकालाहरोधेन यधासम्मवकालो ग्राह्यः। तत्राम्रककार्यणो निर्विष्ठफलसिद्धवर्थमिति वा उपसर्गनिवृत्त्यर्थमिति वा, अम्रुकसपिण्डमरणनिमित्तकाश्चित्तव्यातिक्कृत्यर्थमिति वा सङ्कृत्य क्रह्य । धर्मसिन्धु p. 205, प्रतिकृत् has a special meaning, for which vide H. of Dh vol, II p 516.

^{1197.} एव विनायकं पूज्य श्रहाश्चीव विधानतः। कर्मणा फलमाप्नीति श्रियं चामोरयनुत्तः भासा । याज्ञः I 293, भविष्य, ब्राह्मपर्व 23.30

^{1198.} जातकर्मादिसंस्कारे गर्भधानादिकीप च । यात्रायां वणिज्यादी युद्धे देवार्चने हुन्ने । सङ्कष्टे कामसिद्धचर्थ पूजयेखी गजाननम् । तस्य सर्वाणि कार्याणि सिध्यन्त्येव न सहायः॥ जह्याण्ड III 42 42–44, ब्रह्माण्ड (IV. 44. 65–70) gives 51 names of गणेश

that Gajānana must be worshipped for success in all desires, in all samskāras such as Garbhādhāna and Jātakarma, when starting on a journey or engaging in a commercial undertaking, at the time of battle, in the worship of gods, in troublous times. The Bhavisyottara chap. 144 has a sānti called Gananāthasānti which resembles the Vināyakasānti of Yāj.

In the Yājñavalkya-smrti (I. 294-308), the Vaikhānasasmārta-sūtra (IV. 13-14), the Baudhāyana-grhyasesasūtra, the Matsya-purāna (93. 1-105), the Visnudharmottara I 93-105 and other puranas, in the Brhad-Yogayatra (chap. 18.1-24). and in the medieval digests provision is made for a santi rite to the nine grahas, viz. the Sun, the Moon, Mars, Mercury, Jupiter, Venus, Saturn (mentioned in the order of the week-days), Rahu and Ketu. This natagrahasānti is the model (prakrti) of all sāntihomas in all medieval digests. The Vaikhānasa-smārtasūtra 1199 provides that all religious rites should be preceded by this navagraha-sānti. Yājñavalkya 1200 says one desirous of prosperity, of removing evil or calamities, of rainfall (for crops), long life, bodily health and one desirous of performing magic rites against enemies and others should perform a sacrifice to planets.' The Matsya (93.5-6) states that the namarahamakha is of three kinds, viz Ayutahoma (in which 10000 oblations are made), Laksahoma and Kotihoma. The first is described at length in Matsya 93. 7-84, Laksahoma in 93. 85-118. Kotihoma in 93. 119-139. The Matsya further provides 1201 that Avutahoma should be performed in marriages, festivals, sacrifices, establishment of images and other rites, in order that no obstacles should arise therein and on occasions when the mind is perturbed or when some evil omen or unusual event happens.

^{1199.} श्रहपूनां पुरस्कृत्य सर्वकर्मः संमारभेदिति विज्ञायते । वै. स्मा. सू. IV. 14; the शान्तिकमलाकर, 8ays. 'अथ सर्वशान्तिमक्रातिस्तु श्रहयज्ञा उच्यते। तत्र स्कान्द्याज्ञवरूक्यौ श्रीकामः शान्तिकामो वा॰ ' (folio 11a).

^{1200.} श्रीकामः शान्तिकामो वा ग्रहयक्तं समाचरेत् । ब्रुष्टचाद्यःपुरिकामो वा तथैवाभिः चरकापि॥ याज्ञः I. 294, मत्स्य 93. 2 (reads बृद्धचायुः o and चरन्छुनः). The मिताक्षरा explains: ज्ञान्तिकामः आपद्भुपज्ञान्तिकामः सस्यादिश्वद्धचर्ये प्रवर्षणं द्वष्टिः ''' पुष्टिरनव्ध-श्रीरत्वस्, while अपरार्जे says ' ज्ञान्तिः फलदानोन्सखद्वारितनिवारणं'''पुष्टिः श्ररीराद्यपच्यः'.

^{1201.} विवाहोत्सवयज्ञेषु प्रतिष्ठादिषु कर्मस् । निर्विद्गार्थं सुनिश्रेष्ठ तथोद्वेगाद्धतेषु च । -काथितोऽयुतहोसोऽयं रुपहोममतः शृष्णु॥ मत्त्य 93. 84, अतिष्य IV. 141. 86-87. The notes will show that several verses are common to both Yaj, and Matsya and the latter is far more elaborate than याज्ञ or बै. स्ता स्. It is probable that Yaj. in the carliest of the three, that बै. स्मा स् comes next and मत्त्य is the latest of the three.

The procedure in Yāj. being concise and probably the earliest among the extant works on grahayayāa is set out here, with a few additions from Matsya and Vaikhānasa. The images of the nine planets should respectively be made of copper, crystal, red sandalwood, gold (for both Mercury and Jupiter), silver, iron, lead, bronze or (if all these be not available) they should be drawn on a piece of cloth with powders having colours appropriate to each planet or should be drawn on circles with fragrant substances (such as sandalwood paste). The Matsya 1202 (93 11-12) prescribes that in drawing the images the Sun should be in the middle, that Mars, Jupiter, Mercury, Venus, Moon, Saturn, Rāhu and Ketu should be established with grains of rice respectively in the south, north, north-east, east, south-east, west, south-west and north-west. Yāi, (I 298) proceeds garments, flowers and fragrant substances

Planet	Mantra în Yāj I. 299–301	Mantra in Matsya 93 33-37	Mantra in Vai- khānasa-smārta- sūtra IV 14.
Sun	A krsnena, Rg. I 35 2	Same.	A satyena (Tai. S. III 4 11. 2)
Moon ,	Imam devā, Vāj. S IX 40, X. 18	Āpyāyasva Rg. I 91. 16 or IX 31 4.	Somo dhenum (Rg I, 91, 20, Vāj. S. 31, 21)
Mars	Agnır-mürdhä dıvah kakut, Rg. VIII. 44 16,	same	same
Mercury	Udbudhyasva, Vāj S. 15.54, Tai. S. IV. 7.13.5	Agne Vivasvad-usasah, Rg. I. 41 1	Same as in Yāj.
Jupiter	Brhaspate atı yad-aryah Rg. II 23 15.	Brabaspate parı diya rathena, Rg. X.103 4	Same as ın Yāj.
, Veņus	Annāt parı-srutah, Vāj. S. 19.75, Maitrā. S. III. 116.	Śukram te anyat, Rg. VI. 58. 1.	Same as in Maisya
Saturn	San-no devir, Rg. X. 9, 4	same	same
, Rāh u	Kāndāt, Vāj S. 13. 20, Ta: S. IV. 2. 9. 2,	Kayā naś-citra, Rg. IV. 31. 1.	Same as in Maisya
Ketu	Ketum kravan, Rg. 1.63.	same	same
*			

¹²⁰² मत्स्य 93 11-12 are quoted by the मिताक्षरा on या 1, 297 and है. समा स् (1V 13) specifies the same as 'मध्याग्नेयहाक्षिणैशान्योत्तरपूर्वपश्चिमनैर्भतः क्षायस्याभिताः in the order of the seven week days, राह्नं and केत्र.

of the colour ¹²⁰³- appropriate to each should be offered to the planets, also offerings should be made, *guggulu* is to be the incense for all planets and oblations of boiled rice accompanied with the mantras (specified below) should be offered respectively to the nine planets.

The Visnudharmottara (I. 102, 7-10) gives the same mantras as Yāj. does, Bhavisya (IV. 141, 34-36) gives the same except for Rāhu, for which it gives 'Kayā nascitra,' as in Matsya, Padma (V. 82, 30-32) is same as Matsya. Yāj. then proceeds (I. 301-302) that in home for each of the planets the fuel sticks (samidh)1204 were to be 108 or 28 anointed with honey or clarified butter or with curds or with milk and they were respectively to be of the arka plant, palāśa, khadira, apāmārga, pippala, audumbara, śami, dūrvā and kusas for the sun, the moon and so on in order. A man 1205 of the three varnas should honour brahmanas according to the prescribed procedure (washing their feet &c.) and should feed them respectively (Yaj I, 304) with boiled rice mixed with jaggery, or cooked in milk and sugar, sacrificial food (havisua), boiled rice from paddy becoming ripe in 60 days from sowing mixed with milk, boiled rice with curds, boiled rice with ghee, boiled rice with pounded sesame, rice mixed with meat, rice of various colours, for the sun, the moon and so on in order or with food that is available and according to his ability. The daksınā (fee) to the brahmanas should be a milch cow, conch, draught bull, gold, garment (vasas), a horse (white), dark cow, iron weapon, a lamb, in honour

^{1203.} The colours appropriate to the nine, planets and their presiding deities are stated by वै. स्मा स् as follows: रक्तसिवातिरक्षश्ममपीतिसिवासिवञ्चण्यस्त्रणाः । अनलात्पतिग्रह्मस्त्रिवासिवासिवञ्चण्यस्त्रियाः । The मत्स्य slightly differs on both these; chap. 93. 16–17 provide that the colours are red for the Sun and Mars, white for the Moon and Venus, yellowish for Mercury and Jupiter, dark for Saturn and Rāhu and smoky for Ketu. The presiding deities of nine planets according to Matsya (93. 13–14) are Śiva, Umā, Skanda, Hari, Brahmā, Indra, Yama, Kāla and Citragupta for the planets, Sun, Moon and so on respectively.

^{1204.} कर्तन्या सन्वनन्तश्च चरवः प्रतिदेवतम्। अर्कः पलाशः खद्धिरस्वपामागोऽध पिप्पलः। औद्रुम्बरः शमी दूर्वो कुशाश्च समिधः क्षमात्॥ एकैकस्य व्यवशतमद्याविंशतिरेव वा। होतन्या मधुसपिन्यां दक्ष्मा क्षीरेण वा चुताः॥ याज्ञः I. 298, 301–302, सत्स्य 93, 32. 24–28. विष्णुधमोत्तर् I. 101. 2-4 are very similar.

^{1205.} शुद्धोदन-पायस-गुडोदन-द्रध्योदन-गौडिक-चित्रीदन-क्रसरमापीदन-कपीद-नानि क्रमेण निवेद्येत्। वे. स्मा. स् 1V. 13; मत्स्य (93. 19-20) slightly differs from this and also from Y2J. मत्स्य (93. 38-41) prescribes Vedic mantras for the presiding deities of planets, which are mostly different from those in वे.स्मा.स्.

respectively of the sun, moon and so on. The Visnudharmottara (I 103. 1-6) contains the same fees. 1206 He should offer special worship to that planet that may be unfavourably situated (as regards his naksatra or horoscope) at a particular time. Yāi. winds up by saying that the rise and fall of kings depend on planets (vide note 800 for this verse). Visnudharmottara (I. 106. 9-10) also has the same verse. Krtvakalpataru (on Santika, folio 5 b) quotes Bhavisya to the effect that planets are always favourable to him who abstains from injury to others, who is self-restrained, who acquires wealth by righteous means, and who always observes the nyamas (restrictive rules of conduct as in Ya, III, 313). The Santimavükha (p. 21) also quotes this verse.

The Vaikhānasasmārtasūtra (IV. 13) provides slightly different kinds of namedya food for the nine planets (as in note 1205) and prescribes separate Vedic mantras for the presiding deities (of the planets) to whom oblations of ghee were to be offered. The Matsya remarks at the end of the description of Avutahoma: 'just as armour is a protection against the wounds by arrows, so santi (graha-yajña) is protection against the strokes of Fate.'1207

The Matsya (93 92) declares 1208 that Laksahoma is ten times of the Ayutahoma and Kotihoma is one hundred times of Laksahoma in the matter of oblations, fees and rewards, that the procedure of invoking and bidding goodbye to the planets and presiding deities, the mantras for homa, bath and gifts are the same in Laksahoma and Kotihoma The Matsva gives 1209 the warning

^{1206.} The दक्षिणा 10 वे स्मा सु. (IV 14) 18 रक्तधेतुमादित्याय शङ्कं सोमाय ताम्रमङ्गारकाय हिरण्यं सुधाय शक्कं वासी बहस्पतये हुयं शकाय कृष्णा गा शनैश्वराय राहोश्छागं केतोरायसदण्डामीत । मत्स्य (93. 60-62) slightly differs from both याज and वै स्मा। स as to दक्षिणा and prescribes Paurānika mantras that are to accompany these gifts (verses 64-72)

¹²⁰⁷ यथा बाणप्रहाराणा कवचं भवति वारणस् । तद्वद् दैवोपघाताना ज्ञान्तिर्भवति बारणम् । मत्स्य 93. 81, विष्णुधमोत्तर I. 105 14 मत्स्य 228 29 is a similar verse ' बाणप्रहारा न भवन्ति यद्वत् राजन्त्रुणा सनहनेर्धुतानाम्। दैवोपघाता न भवन्ति तद्वद्धर्मात्मना

^{1208.} अस्माच्छतग्रुण. प्रोक्तः कोटिहोमः स्वयंश्चा । आहृतीभिः प्रयत्नेन दक्षिणाभिः फलेन च ॥ पूर्ववर् ग्रहदेवानामावाहनविसर्जने । होममन्त्रास्त एवोक्ता स्नाने दाने तथैव च । सत्स्य 93. 119-120.

^{1209.} असहीनो दहेड्राष्ट्रं मन्ब्रहीनस्तु ऋत्विजः। यद्यारं दक्षिणाहीनं नास्ति यज्ञसमो रिपु.। न वाप्यत्पधनः कुर्याहुक्षहोम नरः क्वचित्। यस्मात्पीडाकरो नित्यं यज्ञे भवति निग्रहः॥ मत्त्व 93, 111-112 q. by कृत्यकल्प॰ (on जान्तिक) folio 10a,

that a sacrifice devoid of distribution of food burns (i.e. brings disasters on) the country, devoid of (proper) mantras burns the officiating priests, devoid of (proper) fees burns the sacrificer: there is no enemy as (disastrous as) a sacrifice and that a poor man should not start on a Laksahoma, since wrangling (about food or fees) in a sacrifice always causes trouble or misfortune (to the sacrificer). The Brhadyogayatra of Varaha (chap. 18 verses 1-24) deals with or ahayaran and closely follows Yai. though some details are added here and there. Verses about the sun are quoted below (n 1213). The Yogayatra also (chap. 6) deals with the same matter. The Agnipurana (chap. 164) is a wholesale copy of Yai. (L 295-308). The Matsya (in chapter 239) again deals with Koti-homa which continues for a year. The Matsyapurana (chap. 94) contains nine verses on the manner in which the figures of the nine planets were to be drawn or painted and these are quoted by the Mitāksarā on Yāj. I. 297-298 and by Krtyakalpataru (on śānti) folio 5a. Vide Kotihoma in list of vratas (p. 290).

The Grahayajña in Yaj. is short and simple, but in some puranas such as the Bhavisyottara (141.6-85) and medieval and modern works it has become an elaborate affair by the addition of numerous details. One or two details may be pointed out. Each planet was supposed to have a gotra and was 1230 deemed to have been born in a certain country (vide note 875 p. 588 for the countries of the birth of planets). Therefore, in invoking the presence of each planet these two details have to be added (as specified below in the note for the sun by way of illustration). The gotras of the grahas from the Sun to Ketu are respectively Kāśyapa, Ātreya, Bhāradvāja, Ātreya, Āngirasa, Bhārgava, Kāsyapa, Paithīnasa, Jaimini. The Samskāra-tattva of Raghunandana (p. 946) sets out from Skanda the gotras and countries of birth of the nine grahas and provides that if worship is offered to them without mentioning the gotras and countries that would be disrespectful to them. The Grahayajña

^{1210.} ग्रहपीठरच मध्ये वर्तुले द्वादशाङ्क्यले प्राङ्ग्सुखं सूर्य रक्तप्रुष्पाक्षतेः, आ कृष्णेन (ऋ १ ३५.२) हिरण्यस्तूप. सविता त्रिष्ट्य सूर्यावाहने विनियोगः। ओस्। आ कृष्णेन॰। ऑ सूर्युवः स्वः क्रिलेङ्गदेशोद्धव काश्यपसगोत्र सूर्येहागच्छेह तिष्ठ सूर्याय नमः सूर्यमावाहयामीति सूर्ये स्थापयेत्। ऋग्वेदिनां ब्रह्मकर्स p. 145, it must be pointed out that Yāj. does not lay down a procedure for अग्रतहोम, लक्षहोम, कोटिहोम nor does he even refer to them, as stated by शान्तिमयूख (p. 22) ' किं न्य याज्ञवह्वयादिस्मृतिपु न तावद्वयुतहोमादीः नां विधिनीत्यज्ञवादः।।

may be simple (kevala) as in Yāj. or Ayutahoma or Laksahoma or Kotihoma A few further remarks are added here from the Agnipuarāna (chap 149), Narasimha-purāna (chap. 35). Matsya (chap 93 and 239), Bhavisyottara (chap 141 and 142), Atharvaparisista XXXI (for Kotihoma) and other works. Krtvakalpataru 1211 (Rājdharma) quotes the Brahma-purāna as follows. The king should perform two Laksahomas every year and one Kotihoma which confers freedom from the fear of all calamities and he should at once perform a Mahāṣānti that removes all evil consequences when there are eclipses of the Sun and the Moon and an earthquake The Agni (149.12) says 'Ayutahoma confers slight success, Laksahoma drives away all distress, while Kotihoma tends to destroy all kinds of trouble and confers all desired objects' The Visnudharmottara (II. 36. 3-4) states that Asvapati, father of the famous patierata Savitri performed a Laksahoma with the Savitri (Gavatri) mantras for securing a son. 'There is no utpāta in the world that is not conjured away by Laksahoma, there is no more auspicious thing that surpasses Laksahoma. In the case of the king who gets a Kotihoma performed by brāhmanas the enemies cannot stand up against him in battle excessive rainfall, drought, mice, locusts, parrots, evil spirits and the like and all enemies on the battle-field are conjured away from him. '1212 The Bhavisyottara (142 11-12) calls kotihoma a śānti rite, which yields all desired objects, by which even grave sins like brahmana-murder are removed at once, all utpātas are conjured away and great happiness. follows. Bhavisyottara (chap 142, 7-54) contains an elaborate procedure of Kotihoma and also a briefer one (in chap. 142, 56-80). Atharva-parisista (No 31) describes the procedure of Kotihoma; it was to be begun on an auspicious tithi in the bright half, on the muhūrta called Vijaya and on one of the naksatras viz. Rohinī, Pusya, Anurādhā, the three Uttarās, Abhijit, Mrgaširas, Šravana, Citrā, Revatī. firepit was to be of eight cubits (for Laksahoma half of this), the brahmanas may be 20, 100, 1000 or even one crore, who

¹²¹¹ प्रद्मपुराणे। द्दी लक्षहोमी कुर्वीत तथा संवत्सरं प्रति। एकं च कोटिहोमं च यत्ना-त्सर्वाभयपदम्। "'यहणे स्पैक्षिनोर्म्कम्पोत्पातदर्शने। तत्सणे च महाज्ञान्ति कर्तन्यानिष्ट-माजिनी। राजधर्मकाण्ड of फूत्यकल्पतर p. 166

¹²¹² जास्ति लोके सङ्खाती यो छानेन न ज्ञान्यति। मङ्गल्य परमं नास्ति यदस्मादितिरच्यते। कोटिहोमं तु यो राजा कारपेरपूर्ववह द्विजै.। न तस्य दात्रवः सख्ये जातु तिष्ठन्ति कर्हिचित्। अति-पृष्टिरनाष्ट्रस्थितः अल्याः शुक्ताः। सक्षमायाश्च ज्ञान्यन्ति सर्वे च रियमे रणे॥ अग्नि 149 5-8.

should offer fuel sticks anointed with ghee. The Bihadyoga-yātrā 1213 verses are quoted below.

Some of the medieval works like the Śāntimayūkha (p. 12) quote verses from the Skandapurāna that state how the unfavourable aspect of Saturn led Saudāsa to eat human flesh, that of Rāhu made Nala wander over the earth, that of Mars led to Rāma's banishment to forest, that of the Moon led to the death of Hiranyakasipu, that of the Sun brought about the fall of Rāvana, that of Jupiter led to the death of Duryodhana, that of Mercury made the Pāndavas do work not fit for them, that of Venus led to the death of Hiranyāksa in battle.

Some of the medieval digests (nibandhas) such as the Dharmasindhu lay down that certain special gifts should be made when any one of the planets is unfavourable to a person, They are set out here from the Dharmasindhu (p. 135). For the Sun-Ruby, wheat, cow, red garment, jaggery, gold, copper, red sandalwood, lotuses; for the Moon-rice grains in vessel made from bamboo, camphor, pearl, white garment, jar full of ghee, a bull; for Mars-coral, wheat, masura pulse, red bull, laggery, gold, red garment, copper; for Mercury-blue garment, gold, bronze vessel, mudga pulse, emerald, slave girl, ivory, flowers; for Jupiter-topaz, turmeric, sugar, horse, yellow corn and yellow garment, salt. gold; for Venus—garment of various colours, white horse, cow, diamond, gold, silver, unguents, rice grains; for Saturn—sapphire, masa beans, sesame and sesame oil, kulittha (pulse), she-buffalo, iron, dark cow; for Rāhugomeda (a kind of gem of four varieties), horse, blue garment, blanket, sesame and sesame oil, iron; for Ketu-cat's eye gem, sesame and sesame oil, blanket, musk, lamb, garments. In the author's youth these directions about danas (gifts) were followed by many people and even now they are being followed to some extent. The Madanaratna (on Santika-paustika, folios 5 a to 7 a) gives separate santi procedure for each of the grahas from the Sun to Ketu from the Bhavisyottara.

^{1213.} तत्राची ताम्रमणी सवितः पालाशिकाः सुचः सिन्धः। आ कृष्णेनेति मन्त्री रक्ताः गन्धाः सहाग्रकणा॥ मापातसीतिलाश्वक्तसग्रहज्ञणकान् विहाय भोज्यविधिः। यकुलाकांगस्य-पलाशत्वक्रिकुसुमपुजा च॥ अष्टश्तिमितेश्यो विभिन्यो वृक्षिणाहिताग्रिन्यः। देया धृषकनकमयी सहस्रित्तरणमुहित्य॥ बृह्छोगः 18.3-5 (ms) It may be mentioned that the mantras for the nine grabas in बृह्छोगः do not agree completely either with Yāj, or with Matsya, They are आ कृष्णेन, आप्यायस्य, आग्निर्मूर्धा, उद्बुह्यस्य, बृह्स्यते अति, अस्वा-व्यास्त्रन, कृष्णेन, क्षाया नश्चित्र, केर्त्वा कृष्णेन, अप्रमुक्तित्ते, Compare table above on p.750.

Another santi refers to the placeting of Saturn when that planet occupies the 12th, 1st and 2nd rasis from the rasi of a man's birth. This is roughly a period of seven years and a half and is called 'sārdha-saptavārsıka-pīdā' in Sanskrit and 'sādesātī' in Marāthi. The śānti consists in worshipping an image of Saturn made of iron placed in a vessel of iron or clay, covered with two dark garments or a blanket and offering to it dark and fragrant flowers. rice mixed with sesame That food and the image are to be donated to a dark brahmana or to some brahmana with the mantra 'san no devir' (Rg X. 9. 4) If the worshipper be a śūdra he is to repeat a paurānika 1214 mantra (noted below) which refers to Nala getting back his kingdom by placating Saturn. This should be done every Saturday for a year or one should every day repeat the mantra containing ten names of Saturn (in note 1214) and should also repeat a Sanistotra (eulogy of Saturn) every morning By doing so the trouble that Saturn causes for seven and half years is averted

Some of the medieval digests try to furnish an accurate definition of Śānti Only one may be cited here. The Śāntimayūkha 1215 of Nīlakantha (first half of 17th century A. D.) defines it as a rite prescribed by the śāstra, which (rite) has its motive or urge sinfulness that is not clear (i.e that is only inferred or presumed), that removes evil effects relating only to this world, and the performance of which does not lead on to sin The first clauses excludes gifts made to remove diseases like tuberculosis; 1216 the 2nd clause distinguishes śāntis from sacri-

¹²¹⁴ The Pauranika mantra is यः पुनर्नष्टराज्याय नलाय परितीषितः। स्त्री धृदी निजं राज्यं स में सीरि मसीदृत्व ॥ नमोऽर्कपुत्राय ज्ञानेश्वराय नीहारवर्णाञ्जनमेचकाय। श्रुत्वा रहस्य भव कामदृस्तं फलपदो मे भव सूर्यपुत्र ॥ धर्मसिन्धु p 135, the ten names of Saturn are क्षीणस्थः पिङ्गलो चम्नु कृष्णो रीद्योन्तको यम । सीरि ज्ञानेश्वरो मन्द्र. पिष्पलादैन संसत्तः ॥ प् p by मदनरत्न on ज्ञान्तिकपीष्टिक folio 8a

^{1215.} अस्पष्टपापनैदानिकैद्धिकमाञ्चानिष्टनिवर्तक पापाप्रयोजक वैधं कर्म शान्तिकर्स । क्षयादिहरदानादाविष्ठसङ्ग वार्पयितं नैदानिकान्तम् । आसुष्मिकानिष्टनिवर्तक वार्पयतुमैहिकैति। प्रायश्चित्तं वार्पयतु माञ्चपदम् । प्रायश्चित्तं तु आसुष्मिकानिष्टनिवर्तकप्तपि । अभिन्वारम्यभि चारौ वार्ययतुं पापाप्रयोजकामिति । तयो फलतो हिंसालेन तदखुडाने प्रायश्चित्तोक्तेश्व पाप भ्रयोजकालात् । शान्तिमयूख p 2

^{1216.} It was believed in ancient India that diseases and bodily defects were due to sins committed in past lives. Vide H. of Dh Vol. IV pp 174-175 Yaj (III 207 and 209) remarks that the murderer of a brahmana suffers from tuberculosis after passing through the births of deer, dog, hog, and camel. मृगश्वम्करोष्ट्राणा जसादा योनिसून्छति। "जहादा क्षयरोगी स्यावस्था इयाब-प्रतक्ताः॥

fices (intended to secure other worldly rewards) and māyaścittas (that yield consequences in this world as well as in the next) and the last clause distinguishes śāntis from rites of black magic (for destroying one's enemies or securing a married woman's love &c.) which is sinful.

The number of santis is legion. They are prescribed for conjuring away the effects of rare natural phenomena such as eclipses, earth-quakes, rainfall (of peculiar kinds, of blood &c.) hurricanes, fall of meteors, comets, halos, Fata Morgana; for protection against the evil effects of the positions and movements of planets and stars for the world and for individuals; for strange births among human beings and animals; for the good of horses and elephants; for certain untoward happenings about Indra's banner and about images of gods falling or weeping, the cries of birds and beasts, the fall of lizards and the like on a person's limbs and on certain stated periods or on solemn occasions.

All rites of Śānti, Paustika rites and the Mahādānas were to be performed in ordinary fire, since there is no authority to prove that they are to be performed in śrauta fires or in smārta fire. Manu 3. 67 and Yāj, I, 97 refer only to ceremonies laid down in the Gṛḥyasūtras. On Yāj, I. 285-86 the Mitāksarā prescribes ordinary fire for offerings in Vināyaka-śānti. Vide also Śāntimayūkha p. 4.

Both Manu ¹²¹⁷ and the Visnudharmasûtra prescribe that homas in which the Sun is the deity worshipped and Śāntihomas should be performed by a householder on the parvans (i. e. on Paurnamāsī and Amāvāsyā). These were śāntis at fixed periods. Similarly, when a person, male or female, of any caste completed sixty years, there was the possibility that he may die soon, or that he may lose his mother or father or his wife or sons or that various diseases may affect him; for removing this danger a śānti is prescribed (and is often performed even now) in order that he may enjoy a long life, be free from all calamities and for his complete prosperity. This is called Ṣastyabdapūrts (completion of sixty years) or Ugrarathaśānti. ^{1217a}

^{1217.} सावित्राञ् शान्तिहोमांश्च कुर्यात्पर्वसु नित्यज्ञः। मछ IV 150; पर्वसु शान्तिहोमं कुर्यात्। वि ध सु. 71.86

¹²¹⁷a Vide Mitra's Notices vol IX No. 3234 for a ms of Ugrarathasanti and F. W. Thomas Presentation volume pp. 43-45 for 'sastyabdapurti.'

One of the oldest available descriptions of the Ugrarathaśānti is found in the Baudhāvanagrhvasesa-sūtra (V. 8.). It is brief and the main items in it are set out here. It should be performed in the month of one's birth and on the naksatra of birth. A veds as large as a bull's hide should be made, a jar full of water should be placed thereon and on the jar an image of Mrtyu (Death) manufactured with two mskgs (i. e. gold probably weighing as much) should be placed in the south-east corner: worship should be offered to the image and a rapa of each of the mantras 'apaitu mrtyuh' ('may Death go away', Tai. Br. III. 7. 14 4), 'param Mrtyo' (O Death! follow the path beyond &c.'. Tai. Br II, 7, 14, 5 and Rg. X, 18, 1), 'mā nas-toke' (O Rudra! do not injure our progeny' &c., Tai. S. III. 4, 11, 2 and Rg. I 114.8), and 'Tryambakam' ('we offer sacrifice to Rudra', Tai. S. I 8.6 2 and Rg. VII 59. 12) be made 108 times; he offers oblations of cooked food with the pur onuvākuā (invitatory prayer) 'mā no mahāntam' (O Rudra! do not destroy our grown up ones &c', Tai S IV 5 10.2 and Rg. I.114.7) and the Yayya (offering prayer) 'Mā nas-toke' (Tai. S. III. 4, 11, 2 and Rg. I 114. 8). Then he makes subsidiary offerings of ghee with each verse of the Ghrtasūkta 1218 Then he sprinkles himself with water fromthe jar to the accompaniment of mantras from the Mrtyusukta, from the Ayusyasükta and with Pauränika mantras, honours the officiating priest, gives daksinā to the brāhmanas and a dinner.

There is a Ms. (of only three folios in D. C. No. 609 of the year 1882-83, now in the Bhandarkar Oriental Institute at Poona) which deals with this santi attributed to Saunaka and is called Ugrarathasanti at the end. The santi is to be performed on the day or naksatra of one's birth. On that day the person of 60 years should take an auspicious bath, perform his daily religious duties, should invite brahmanas and choose one to officiate who is learned in the Vedas and Vedangas and is well conducted. First Ganesa worship should be performed, then

¹²¹⁸ It is difficult to say what hymn is intended here by the word 'ghrtasūktena' It is probably Rg VI 70 1-6 (ghrtavatī bhuvanānām &c.) The Mrtyusūkta is probably the same as Rg X 12. The Āyusya hymn is a Khila hymn after Rg X, 128 and begins 'āyusyam varcasyam rāyasposam audbhidam.' The Karmapradīpa of Gobhila (I 17) prescribes that Āyusyahymns should be recited in śrāddha for śānti. The Smrticandrikā (śrāddha p. 503) quotes Gobhila I. 17 and explains that they are hymns like the one beginning 'ā no bhadrāh' Rg I 89, 1.

punyāhavācana, worship of Mother goddesses, then nāndiśrāddha. He should bring together sarvausadhis, 1219 twigs and leaves of five trees, five jewels, pañcagavya, and pañcamrta; then worship of nine planets should be performed; an image of Märkandeya was to be made from one pala or $\frac{1}{2}$ pala or $\frac{1}{4}$ pala and the image was placed in a jar full of water surrounded by two garments; he should offer the 16 upacaras and offer to Markandeya 1008, or 108 or 28 or eight offerings of fuel sticks. boiled rice, ghee, dūrvā, superior dishes with the mantra (quoted below 1220). Then he should make a homa in honour of Mrtyunjaya (Siva) with oblations of dūrvā grass and sesame 10000, or 5000, or 3000 or one thousand in number and then he should sacrifice separately to the secondary objects of worship, viz. Asvatthaman, Bali, Vyāsa, Hanumat, Bibhīsana. Krpa and Paraśurāma. Then he should perform a homa with fried grams according to his ability and should recite Śrīsūkta. 1221 Rudra. the Ayusyamantras, the Purusasükta and specially the complete recitation of the Veda; he should finish the homa and offer purnahuti; then water from the jar should be sprinkled over the yazamana (i.e. person who has completed 60 years), his wife and his near relatives; then there should be a japa of santi hymn, the Purusasūkta, the mantra Rg. X. 18.1, the Ayusya hymn, Pāvamāna hymn (hymn to Soma from Rg. IX.), the six verses of Śivasankalpa (Vāj S. 32 1-6), and Mahāśānti. Then the jar should be donated and the garments rendered wet by the abhiseka and a decked cow with calf should be donated to the officiating priest; ten danas 1222 to brahmanas and gold weighing one hundred mānas; he should perform ājyāveksana and offer 'balt' (to all beings, crows &c.); he should then receive the blessings of the brahmanas and put on a new garment; then he should have nīrājana performed and bow to deities and feed a thousand or a hundred brahmanas and then himself partake of food along with his relatives. Whoever performs this santi, according to the rules prescribed for grahaśanti, would certainly

^{1219.} For सर्वोष्णि, vide p 444 above, for five twigs vide pp. 336, 339 above undr पञ्चमङ्गदल and पञ्चन, for five jewels p. 337.

^{1220.} मार्कण्डेय महाभाग सप्तकल्पान्तजीवन । आयुरारोग्यमैश्वर्थ देहि मे सुनिपुङ्गव॥

^{1221.} असिक्त begins हिरण्यवर्णी हरिणीम् ; रुद्ध is the eleven anuvakas of तै. से IV. 5 1-11, beginning with नमस्ते रुद्ध मन्यव; आयुष्यमन्त्रत्र are those like ऋ II 38 5, VII. 90 6 or आधुर्वणप्रिशिष्ट XXXII. 9.

¹²²² For the ten danas vide H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 869 and above p. 334 for $\frac{1}{2}$

live for a hundred years, all misfortunes will vanish and all prosperity will be his. The prayoga (procedure of this śānti) is given below 1223 since it is often performed even now.

It is difficult to say why this śānti was called Ugraratha. Another śānti on the completion of 70 years or on the 7th night of the 7th month of the 77th year is called Bhaimarathī-śānti, according to the Śabdakalpadruma, which quotes some verses from Vadyaka without stating what work is meant. ¹²²³ Baud. grhyaśesasūtra I. 24 prescribes a śānti for one who has lived up to 100 years or one who has seen 1000 amāvāsyās.

The general rule about the time for santis is that no definite time can be fixed for them, since santi rites are performed with the object of removing the evil consequences of men's lapses suggested by such indications (or omens) as dreams, the evil aspects of planets and the like i. e. they are to be performed as and when omens or portents occur or are observed and one should not wait for such times as the northward passage of the Sun, bright fortnight and that one may perform santis even in the southward passage of the Sun or even in an intercalary

अथ प्रयोगः । देशकालौ संकीर्त्य असकगोत्रोत्यसम्यासकशर्मणो सम आयुष्याभिः वक्टचर्थ श्रीमार्कण्डेयादिदेवतामीत्यर्थ सग्रहमखासुग्ररथज्ञान्ति करिण्ये । तदङ्गणेञ्जूजामातुः पुजानान्द्रीश्राद्ध-आचार्यादिवरणं च करिण्ये-इति सङ्गरूप्य तानि कत्वा वृताचार्ये अरीरश्रद्धवर्ये वेवयजनं रक्षस्वेत्यन्तसक्त्वा ग्रहस्थापनं कृत्वा मार्कण्डेयाय नम इति मन्त्रेण पीठोपरि कलशे मार्कण्डेयमावाद्य परिवारदेवतावाहन कुर्यात । अश्वत्थाम्ने नमः अश्वत्थामानमावाहयामि। एवः सुत्तरत्र बलये नम बलिमावाहयामि, व्यासाय॰, हन्तुमते॰, बिभीषणाय॰, कृपाय॰, परश्चरामाय॰ एता आवाद्य काण्डाद्यसमयेन पदार्थाद्यसमयेन वा सम्प्रज्याद्रिमतिष्ठापनादि चक्षुषी आज्येनेत्यन्त, तत्र प्रधानं आदित्यादीच यथालाभ समिदाविद्वन्यैः मार्कण्डेयं समिदाज्य-**प्रत्येकम**ष्टोत्तरसहस्राष्टोत्तरज्ञताष्टाविंजतिरष्टसख्याभिर्वा आहतिमि , **चरुदर्वा**पायसद्भव्ये सत्यस्यं दूर्वाद्रव्येणायुतसरूयया पञ्चसहस्रसरूयया त्रिसहस्रसरूयया सहस्रसरूयया वा, युन-र्भेत्यश्चर्यं तिलद्वन्येण पूर्वोक्तसंख्यया । अश्वत्थामादीच् लाजद्वन्येणाष्टीत्तरादिसख्यया यक्ष्ये होषणेत्यादिमधानहोमाङ्गं कृत्वा श्रीसूक्त रुद्राध्यायं आयुष्यसूक्तं प्रश्वसूक्तं यथाशक्ति घेदः पारायणं च कुता पलिदानं पूर्णाहतिं च कृत्वा होमशेषं समाप्य, अभिषेक पजमानस्य सपत्नी। कस्य बन्धवर्गसमन्त्रितस्य कृत्वा शान्तिसूक्तं पुरुषसूक्त परं मृत्योन्तपरेहीति आयुष्यसूक्तं पावमानं शिवसङ्गर्षं महाशान्ति च जप्ता अभिषेककालीनवस्त्रमाचार्याय दत्ता सालङ्कारा गां च दत्ता वकादानानि विश्रेग्यो दत्त्वा सुवर्णदान च कृत्वा आज्यावलोकन तिलदान ब्राह्मणभोजनसङ्करण आञीर्यहणं देवताभिवादनं च कृत्वा कर्मेश्वरार्पण कृत्वा बन्धुजने सह मुझीतेति शीनकोक्ता जगरश्कान्तिः । देशकालः असुकगोत्रीत्पत्तस्य असुकशर्मणः मम जन्मतः सञ्चातषष्टवन्दः कालनिमित्तदःस्वमदन्तरोगदृष्टिमान्यद्यायाविकृतिध्वादिनक्षत्रदर्शनभूतयेतपिशाचादि - नानग्रविधः जारीरक्रेशापमृत्युमहोद्यपीडाराजभयादि – सकलारिटनिरसनपूर्वकदीर्घाद्यरारोग्यैग्वर्यसम्पद्माप्त्या-दिसद्मीष्टिसिद्धिद्वारा श्रीमार्कण्डेयादिदेवताभीत्यर्थ सग्रहमस्त्रास्त्रप्रशान्ति करिण्ये । श्रीगजा-ननार्पणमस्तु ।

¹²²³ a. सप्तस्वतिवर्षाणा सप्तमे मासि सप्तमी । राज्ञिभीमरथी नाम नराणामतिदुस्तरा॥ तामतीरय नरी योऽसी दिनानि यानि जीवति । ऋतुमिस्तानि तुल्यानि सुवर्णशतदक्षिणी **** नति वैद्यकम्य

month.¹²²⁴ If there is no hurry, then a śānti was to be performed on an auspicious week-day, an auspicious tithi and on certain naksatras, viz. the three Uttarās, Rohinī, Śravana, Dhanisthā, Śatatārakā, Punarvasu, Svātī, Maghā, Aśvinī, Hasta, Pusya, Anurādhā and Revatī.¹²²⁵ As regards the Laksahoma the Matsya (93. 86) prescribes that it should be performed after securing favourable planets and Tārās (stars). Vide also p. 290 above about Kotihoma prescribed by the Atharva-parisista (XXXI pp. 187-191). The Matsya prescribes that a Kotihoma should be begun in Caitra or Kārtika (239 20-21); the invisibility of Jupiter and Venus and similar matters need not be considered when a śānti has to be performed immediately on the occurrence of an omen (or portent) or when the śānti is meant for alleviating the disease from which a person may be suffering.

As against several adhhutas and utpātas, texts prescribe a rite called Mahāśānti. The Śānkhāyana Gr. (V. 11) speaks of a Mahāśānti, when an ant-hill grows in one's house, which has been referred to above on p.730 note 1162. The details of a Mahāśānti differ in different texts and on different occasions. The Adhhuta-sāgara provides that where no specific details about śāntis against certain utpātas like a fall of meteors are prescribed one should have recourse to a śānti consisting in homa offerings to the accompaniment of one million repetitions of the sacred Gāyatrī verse ('tat-savitur' &c. Rg III. 62. 10) or to the Mahāṣāntī called Abhayā according to the nature (grave or light) of the omen or portent. 1226 In the Marāthi commentary on the

^{1224.} अस एव दस । नैमित्तिकानि काम्पानि /निपतिन्त यथा यथा । तथा तथैव कार्याणि न कालस्तु विधीयते॥ नैमित्तिकानि काम्पानीति समानाधिकरणम् । निमित्ताद् ग्रह-वौःस्वयदुःस्वरादेः कर्तेव्यत्वेन प्रतीसानि नैमित्तिकानि निमित्तस् चितदोषशान्तिकामन्या क्रिय-माणानि तास्येव काम्यानि । न कालस्तु विधीयते इति उद्गयनश्चक्रुश्चपक्षित्वप्र्वभाषादिरूपः कालो नाद्यस्थरे। तेन एतद्व्यतिरिक्ते दक्षिणायनादौ निन्दिते मलिम्कुचादौ च शान्तिकं कर्त्वयमिति शान्तिकपौष्टिके कर्पत्तरः। मलमासतस्य p 796 (vol. I). This whole passage of the कुत्यकर्प (on शान्तिकपौष्टिक) occurs on folio 4a and b in the Baroda ms of it.

^{1225.} ज्युतरा-रोहिणी-श्रवण-धनिष्ठा-शततारका-पुनर्वसु-स्वातीमधाश्विनी-इस्तपुष्याद्य-राधारेवतीनक्षत्रेषु सुरुश्कास्तमलमासरहितेषु शुभवारतिथ्याद्ये शान्तिः कार्यो । निमित्तास्यव-दितनैमित्तिको रोगशान्तो च अस्तादिविचारणा नास्ति । धर्मसिन्धु p. 176

¹²²⁶ अत्राद्धक्तिविशेषशान्तिपूरकापातेषु सावित्रीतमन्त्रकदशलक्षद्दोमाद्धिका शान्तिर्भयाख्या या महाशान्तिरीत्पातिकक्तलग्रकलाचनमनगम्य कर्तिच्या । अ सा p 341; the शान्तिरत्त of कमलाकर (folios 208-211 a) prescribes a mahāśanti put in the Bhavisyapurāna in the name of Kṛṣṇa to be performed at the coronation of a king or before starting on an invasion, or when a person has a bad dream or the planets are unfavourable, or when there is an earthquake &c Vide also शान्तिसयुद्ध pp. 106-108 for महाशान्ति.

Nirnayasindhu (p. 233) about the question of a rite on the first appearance of a woman's monthly illness a japa of Mahāšānti is prescribed after an elaborate home and worship and the Mahasanti is explained as consisting of the recitation of Rg I. 89. 1-10 (beginning with 'ā no bhadrā'), Rg V. 51. 11-15 (beginning with 'svasti no mimītām &c') and Rg. VII 35, 1-15 (beginning with 'san-na Indragni'). The Bhavisyottara (143, 2-46) describes a Mahāsānti to be performed at a king's coronation. on his marching out on an invasion, when one has bad dreams or inauspicious omens (nimitas), when the planets are unfavourable or when there is lightning and the fall of meteors. when a Ketu appears, in a hurricane, earthquake, birth on a Mūla naksatra or Gandānta, on birth of twips, when parasols and banners fall on the ground, when a crow, owl or pigeon enters a house, when malefic planets are retrograde (especially in the naksatra or rasi of birth), when Jupiter, Saturn, Mars and the Sun are in the 1st, 4th, 8th or 12th houses (in a person's horoscope), when there is grahayuddha; when garments, weapons, horses and cows, or jewels and hair are lost, or when rainbow is seen at night in front, when the beam on a house pillar is smashed, when a she-mule conceives, on eclipses of the Sun and the Moon—on these a Mahāšānti is commended. The procedure is briefly as follows. Five learned and well-conducted brahmanas should officiate in a mandapa ten or twelve cubits on each side, in the midst of which there should be a raised platform four cubits on each side and in the south-east corner of that platform there should be a hunda (receptacle for fire) Five jars should be placed, four in the four intermediate quarters (south-east &c.) and the fifth in the middle of the platform and numerous things such as twigs and leaves of some plants, jewels, sandalwood, mustard grains, samī and $d\bar{u}r_{i}\bar{a}$, $ku\dot{s}as$ and grains of rice should be collected thereon; Vedic mantras such as 'āśuh śiśāno' (Rg. X 103 1) on northwest, 'īśā vāsya' (Vāj S. 40.1) on north-east, were to be recited over the jars, worship is to be offered with gandha, flowers, lamps, fruits like cocoanut to the jars and fire should be placed in the hunda with 'agnim dutam' (Rg L 12 1), seat (āsana) should be offerred with the mantra 'hiranya-garbhah' (Rg X. 121.1), then payasa should be cooked to the accompaniment of Purusasūkta (Rg X. 90), eighteen fuel sticks of samī and seven palāsa ones should be cast into Agni, seven ahutes of clarified ghee and seven of rice boiled in milk should be offered to Agni with a mantra 'Jātavedase' (Rg I. 99 1), four more with the hymn

'tarat sa mandi' (Rg. IX. 58), seven with 'yamaya' (Rg. X. 14 13) and again seven with 'idam Visnur' (Rg. I. 22, 17) and 27 abutis to the 27 naksatras, then performance of 'svistakrt' homa, grahahoma with sesame covered with ghee, then pravascitta: thus ends the homa; then the uanamana sitting on a throne of kasmarya wood should have sprinkled over him to the accompaniment of drum-beating and conch-blowing water from the five jars with five different mantras, then an offering to all the directions (diabali) with the mantra 'salutation to all bhūtas'. After the bath, brāhmanas recite a śānti over him after letting fall a stream of santi water all round: then nunuahavacana and then close of the santi rite, then gifts of land, gold. beds, seats according to the person's ability to brahmanas; he should treat to a sumptuous meal the poor and helpless and men learned in the Veda. On doing this he secures long life, quick victory over enemies, even difficult undertakings succeed.

The huge work Adbhutasāgara is mostly concerned with rare natural phenomena such as halo, rainbows, hurricanes, glowing horizon $(digd\bar{u}ha)$, meteors, comets, earthquakes, rain without clouds, red rain, shower of fish, Fata Morgama &c.

A few words must be said about some striking ones out of these. First comes earthquake. The Br. S. (32.1-2) puts forth four theories of his predecessors about the cause of an earthquake viz. it was caused by huge animals dwelling inside the seas (view of Kāśyapa) or according to others (Garga) it was caused by the heavy breaths emitted by the elephants of the quarters when tired by carrying the weight of the earth; others (like Vasistha) said that earthquake noise is caused by the winds striking against each other (in the sky) and falling on the earth; other ācāryas (like Vrddhagarga) held that an earthquake was caused by adrsta (i. e. by the sins of the people on the earth 1227). In verses 3-7 of Br. S. (chap. 32) Varaha narrates the myth that mountains had in the dim past wings and the earth being shaken by their movements approached Pitāmaha (Brahmā) and Brahmā seeing her sad plight asked Indra to discharge his thunderbolt for clipping the wings of mountains and for removing the anger (or sorrow) of the earth; Indra did so, but he told the earth that Vayu, Agni, Indra (himself) and

^{1227.} The जहापुराण sets out a novel cause of earthquake ' यदा विश्वरभतेऽ॰ मन्तो सदापूर्णितलोचनः । तदा चलति भूरेषा साहितोयाधिकानना ॥ 21. 23-24; अ. सा. p. 383 quotes this verse from विष्णुपुराण with slight variations.

Varuna would (each) at different parts of the day and night make the earth shake in order to indicate (to mortals) the fruits of their good and bad deeds. In Br. S 32 8-22 Varāha describes the spheres and premonitory signs of Vāyu, Agni, Indra and Varuna with the naksatras and the countries they affect. The Adbhutasāgara (pp 383-409) quotes most of the verses of Varāha and prescribes śāntis for each of the four deities that are deemed to be connected with earthquakes. The Adbhutasāgara refers to earthquakes that happened when angry Arjuna got no sleep after he made a vow that he would kill Jayadratha before the next day's sunset (Dronaparva 77 4) and when Duryodhana challenged Bhīma for a mace fight (Śalyaparva 56 10 and 58 49)

It should not be a matter for surprise that ancient and medieval Indians regarded earthquakes as punishments sent by God for the sins of men. The English poet Cowper gives vent to this belief in his poem 'Timepeace'. 1228 The most distinguished Indian of modern times viz Mahātmā Gāndhi, regarded the earthquake in Bihar that occurred on January 15, 1934, and affected an area of about 30000 square miles and a population of about 15 millions and that killed thousands and made millions homeless, as God's punishment for the prevalence of the evil system of untouchability in Hindu 1229 society. To the natural and usual query why God should punish a small country or a small community with frightful earthquakes and overwhelming waves when other countries and millions of other people are guilty of the same misdeeds, Cowper endeavours to give a reply

^{1228,} What then? Were they the wicked above all,
And we the righteous, whose fast-anchored isle
Moved not, while theirs was rocked like a light skiff,
The sport of every wave. No I none are clear,
And none than we more guilty But where all
Stand chargeable with guilt, and to the shafts
Of wrath obnoxious, God may choose his mark,
May punish, if he please, the less, to warn
The more malignant
'Timepiece' lines 150-158

^{1229.} Vide the eight volume life of Mahātmā Gāndhi by D. G. Tendulkar, vol 3 pp 304-308 and vol 4 pp. 41-42. The characteristic sentences are 'A man of prayer regards what are known as physical calamities as divine chastisement alike for individuals and nations', 'A man like me cannot but believe that this earthquake is a divine chastisement sent by God for our sins' (vol 3 p 303), 'I share the belief with the whole world, civilized and uncivilized, that calamities such as the Bihar one come to mankind as chastisement for their sins' (sbid. p 305).

in the lines quoted above It appears that, in spite of the beliefs now discredited, Vrddha Garga and Varāha appear to have also believed that comets had orbits like planets and were visible in the firmament at certain long intervals of time.

The rules to be observed about eclipses have already been stated above (pp. 243-250). Though the real causes of lunar and solar eclipses were known long before the time of Varahamihira as shown above (p. 242, n 622) this knowledge was not accepted by the masses for centuries and even now many people in India still entertain the old beliefs about eclipses. 1230 Varāha criticises ancient writers like Vrddha Garga and Parāśara who prophesied an eclipse when five planets including Mercury came together or there were such numitias as halo of the Sun, dim rays 1231 (Br. S. V. 16-17). Here the Santi for it will be briefly described. One view was that an eclipse was auspicious 1232 to a person, if the eclipse occurs when the Sun or the Moon is in the 3rd, 6th, 10th or 11th rāśī (zodiacal sign) from the rāśi of the birth of a person, it is neither auspicious nor inauspicious when any one of the two is in 2nd, 5th, 7th or 9th rasi from that of birth and it is inauspicious when the eclipsed sun or moon is in the 1st. 4th, 8th and 12th rāśi from the rāśi of birth. The view of Garga was that if an eclipse occurs when the sun or moon is in the rasi of the birth of a person or if any of them is in the 1st. 7th. 8th. 9th. 10th or 12th rasi from that of birth or if any of them occupies the naksatra of the birth of a person or the 9th naksatra from that of birth, it leads to calamities for that person. If an eclipse occurred when the Sun or Moon occupied the naksatra of the day of the coronation of the king, that portends the ruin of the kingdom, the loss of friends and

^{1230.} Vide Bertrand Russell in 'Impact of science on society' p. 11 for remarks on eclipses and for the use even Milton makes of popular beliefs about them

^{1231.} न कथेचिदापे निमित्तैर्धेहणं विज्ञागते निर्मित्तानि । अन्यस्मिस्नपि काले भवन्त्यथोत्पातरूपाणि॥ पश्चमहमंयोगाच किल महणस्य सम्भवो भवति। तैलं च जलेप्टम्यां न विचिन्त्यभिदं विपश्चिद्धिः॥ वृह्दत्संहिता V. 16-17 Vide उत्पल's quotations on these from पराञ्जर and बुद्धगर्यः

¹²³² जन्मसप्तार्टिराफाडु दहामस्थे निशाकरे (v. 1. दिवाकरे)। हर्गोरिष्टमदो राहुर्जन्मर्क्षे निधनेपि च। महनेरत्ने गर्गः प by नि. सि p. 68 which explains. रिःफं हादशं, अङ्का नव, निधनं सप्तमतारा, प्रराणान्तरे। सर्यस्य संक्रमो वापि ग्रहणं चन्द्रस्यंथोः। यस्य त्रिजन्मनस्त्रे तस्य रोगोधवा हृतिः। तस्य दानं च होमं च देवाचेनज्यो तथा। उपरागामिपेकं च कुर्यान्द्रान्तिर्मावेष्यति। स्वणेन वाध पिष्टेन कुत्वा सर्परय चाकृतिस्। झाह्मणाय दवेत्तस्य न रोगादिश्व तक्कृतः। जन्मनक्षत्रं तत्पूर्वोत्तरे च जिल्लानसङ्गत्रमुक्ताने त्राह्मकोनिवेशितारा हृति केचित्। नि. सि. p. 68.

the death of the king 1233 Atri said that when the eclipse of the Sun or Moon occurs in the naksatra of a person's birth, disease. journeys and death are portended, and great danger for the king (whose naksatra is so affected) The averting of evil would follow if the man makes gifts and is engaged (that day) in worship of gods and supu.1234 Several modes of anti are prescribed, particularly for him whose rasi or nakentra of birth or one of three naksatras (viz. that of birth, the one preceding and the one following the nakeatra of birth) is occupied by the eclipsed Sun or Moon. One way is to make the figure of a serpent (that represents the demon Rahu) with gold or flour and to donate it to a brahmana Another was to make a sement out of gold weighing a pala (1 c. 320 quajas) or one half, one fourth or one eighth nala and to fix a jewel on its hood and place the serpent figure in a vessel of copper, bronze or cast iron full of ghee and donate it with a daksina and also donate a silver disc of the moon and a golden serpent when it is a lunar eclipse and a golden disc of the Sun and a golden serpent when it is a solar colipse Further gifts of a horse, chariot, cows, land, sesame, ghee and gold also are recommended. The mantra accompanying the gifts addressed to Rāhu is quoted below.1235 The Nirnayasindhu also sets out a far more elaborate santi from the Matsyapurana. which is passed over for reasons of space.

The fall of meteors ($ulk\bar{u}$) required a santi. There were several beliefs about them Garga held that they were missiles discharged by the Lokapalas 1226 who send down flaming meteore as missiles for indicating (coming) auspicious or calamitous events. Another view was that they were really souls that fell

¹²³³ यस्य राज्यस्य नक्षत्रे स्वर्भाद्यवपरव्यते। राज्यभन्नं सुद्रुष्माश मरणं चात्र निर्दिशेत्। भागव q by अ सा (q. 10 नि सि. p. 68).

¹²³⁴ आह चान्नि । यस्य स्वजन्मनक्षत्रे यस्येते शशिभास्करी । व्याधि प्रगस मुर्खे च सङ्गश्चेव महन्द्रयम् ॥ तस्मादान च दातव्यं देवार्चनजपस्तथा । क्रुर्यात्तस्मिन्दिने युक्तस्तस्य शान्तिर्भविष्यति ॥ q by कालविवेक p 543

^{1235.} The दानमन्त्र 18 तमोमय महाभीम सोमसूर्यविमर्दन। हेमतारागवानिन मम शान्तिमदो भव। विश्वनतुद नमस्तुर्य सिंहिकानन्दनान्द्रत। दानेनानेन नागर्य रक्ष मा वेष- जान्यात्। नि सि p 68, धर्मासिन्धु p 35 Vide बहासुराण chap. 106 and Matsya 251 for the story of Rāhu at the time of the churning of the ocean and I. A vol 16 p 288 (for the same) and I A. vol. 21 p. 123 about the customs connected with eclipses

^{1236.} Lokapālas are guardians of the world or of the four cardinal directions and four intermediate ones from the east onwards in order viz. Indra, Agni, Yama, Sūrya, Varuna, Vāyu, Kubera, Soma. Some substitute Nirrit for Sūrya Vide Manu V 96.

wn to the earth (for fresh births) after enjoying in heaven he favourable results of their actions. 1237 Meteors are often referred to in the epics as falling on serious occasions e. g. the Salya-parva mentions 1238 the fall of a flaming meteor accompanied by a great noise and whirlwind when Duryodhana fell in the macefight with Bhīma. In Dronaparva a flaming meteor is referred to as indicative of the coming death of the great ācārya and warrior Drona. The Adbhutasāgara (pp. 342-344) quotes a long passage (of 23½ verses) from Ātharvanādbhuta about the fall of meteors 1239 by day. It is said therein that such a fall of meteors by day portends the destruction of the country and its king and therefore a Mahāṣānti called Amrtā should be performed. There is an Ātharvana-pariṣista LVIII b on ulkā, but the Adbhutasāgara verses do not appear to have been extracted from that,

Certain natural phenomena, though they may be called utpātas if they occur at certain times, are not to be regarded as such at certain other times. In Br. S. 45. 82 Varāha says that certain occurrences natural to certain seasons do not lead to any unfavourable consequences; one should know them from the verses composed by Rsiputra that are concise. Then he proceeds: in Madhu and Madhava (Caitra and Vaisakha) the following occurrences lead to good viz. lightning, meteors, earthquake, glowing twilight, noisy whirl-winds, halo (of Sun and Moon), dust in the sky, vapour (in forest), red sunrise and sunset: possibility of getting from trees food, rasas (sweet &c.). oily substances, numerous flowers and fruits, and amorous activities among cows and birds. The following are beneficial (auspicious) in summer (Jyestha and Asādha) viz. sky rendered dusky by the fall of stars and meteors, or in which the appearance of the Sun and the Moon is dark-brown, which is full of fiery glow without a flaring fire, loud noises, vapour, dust and

¹²³⁷ उल्कास्त्ररूपमाह गर्गः। अखाणि विद्युजन्त्येते शुभाशुभनिवेदकाः। लोकपाला महात्मानो लोकामां ज्वालितानि तु॥ उत्पल on चृहत्स्तं, 33, 1 and अ. सा p. 321: दिवि स्रक्तिशुभक्तानां पतता रूपाणि यानि तान्युल्काः। चृहत्सं, 33, 1.

^{1238.} तस्मिन्निपतिते बीरे परणी सर्वमहीक्षिताम्। महास्त्रमा प्रनर्दीसा सनिर्धाता भयद्भरी॥ पपात चोल्का महती पतिते पृथिनीपतौ। ज्ञल्यपर्व 58 50-51, अपतद्भीप्यमाना च सनिर्धाता सकम्पना। उल्का व्यक्रन्ती संग्रामे पुच्छेनावृत्त्य सर्वहाः॥ द्रोणपर्व 7 38-39, मत्स्य 163. 43 has अपतन्तगनादुल्का विद्युद्भूपा महास्त्रनाः। (among the numerous portents in the fight of हिरण्यकाहीपु with चृत्तिह).

¹²³⁹ अधर्वणाद्धते। दिवा पतिति योल्का सा हन्याद्देशं सभूपतिम् । महाशान्ति तच् कुर्पोदमृतो विश्वभेपजीम् ॥ अ. सा. p. 342,

winds, in which evenings are like red lotus and which look like a stormy sea, and when rivers are dried up. In the rains (Śrāvana and Bhādrapada) the following portend no danger viz. rainbow, halo (of Sun and Moon), lightning, dried trees giving out fresh sprouts, the earth quaking or rolling or showing other than its usual appearance, noises in the earth or gaps therein, or when lakes increase in expanse of water or rivers rise up (in floods), or when wells are full or when houses on hills roll down. In Sarad (Asvina and Kartika) the following are not of evil import viz the sight of divine damsels, ghosts, gandharvas, air conveyances and other adbhutas, the planets, naksatras and other stars becoming visible by day in the sky, noises of song and music in forests and on mountain peaks, abundance of crops and reduction of waters In Hemanta (Mārgaśīrsa and Pausa) the following are auspicious viz. the presence of cool winds and frost, loud cries of birds and beasts, the sight of raksas (evil spirits). Yaksas and other (usually invisible) beings, non-human voices, directions darkened by vapour together with the sky, forests and mountains, the appearance of the rise and setting of the Sun at a higher point than usual. The following appearances are auspicious in Sisira (Māgha and Phalguna) viz the fall of snow, portentous winds, sight of terrible beings and adbhutas, sky resembling dark collyrium and rendered reddish-yellow by the fall of meteors and stars, the birth of various strange issue from women, cows, sheep, mares, beasts, and birds, strange appearances of leaves, sprouts and creepers. These when seen in the proper seasons are auspicious in those respective seasons, but when seen at other than the proper seasons they are very terrible portents. Two verses 1240

^{1240.} ये च न दोपाञ्चनयन्युत्पातास्ताचृह्यसभावकृतान्। ऋषिषुत्रकृतै श्लोकेविद्यादेतैः समासोक्ति ॥ वज्जाहानिमहिकम्पसन्ध्यानिर्यातास्ताचृह्यस्त्र शिवाय मधुमाधवे ॥ "ऋतुस्त्रभावजा मुनेश्योऽज्ञरसन्तेह्यहुष्ठुष्पफलोङ्मा । गोपक्षिमदृष्टुद्ध्य हिवाय मधुमाधवे ॥ "ऋतुस्त्रभावजा होते ह्या स्त्रती ह्युअमदा । ऋतोरन्यच चोत्पाता ह्यास्त्रे चातिद्रारुणाः ॥ वृहस्त 45 82-84 and 95 The occurrence of these twelve verses (45, 83-94) both in Br S, and Visnudharmottara raises the important question of the chronological relation of the two works Varāhamihira expressly tells us that he took the twelve verses (45 83-94) from Risputra or shortened them Therefore, he did not borrow from the Visnudharmottara Risputra has been often quoted by Varāha in his works (vide above p 593 and my paper in JBBRAS for 1948-49, vol 24-25, p 15) The असा pp 743-744 quotes the verses from चार्ह्सत्य, सत्स्यपुराण, विष्णुधमीचर वार्त वत्त्वस्थिता The twelve verses occur in सत्स्य 229, 14-25, विष्णुधमीचर II, 134 15-26 It must be stated that असा (Continued on next page)

about Madhu-Mādhava and the last one (Br. S. 45. 84-85 and 95) are quoted below. The Br. S. further provides¹²¹¹ that whatever Gāthās (prakrit verses or simply verses) are recited by persons of distracted intellect, the utterances of children and what women speak out does not turn out to be wrong and that a person that understands utpātas, even though he may be devoid of mathematics (about planets), becomes famous and a favourite of the king, and by knowing the secret (or esoteric) words of the sage (Rsiputra) which are stated (by me), a person sees the past, the present and the future.

Another very curious portent mentioned in the Mahābhārata, Kausikasūtra ¹²⁴² (kandikā 105), Matsya (243), Visnudharmottara, Brhatsamhitā and the Adbhutasāgara (pp. 425-436), Hemādri on Vrata vol. II (pp. 1078-79) and Madanaratna (on śānti, folio 54b) is the trembling, dancing, laughing and weeping of the images of gods. The Bhīsmaparva ¹²⁴³ refers to the images in the temples of the Kaurava king doing these acts. In the encounter of Hiranyakaśipu with the Man-lion form of

⁽Continued from last page)

agrees most closely with gent, while in the thing the readings and the order of verses differ a good deal from the M. HI. In my 'History of Sanskrit Poetics' (1951) pp 64-70 I arrived for the Visnudharmottara at a date between 500-600 A. D on other evidence In my opinion it is probable that the Visnudharmottara borrows the verses from the Brhat-samhitā. If that he accepted, the Visnudharmottara (at least the 2nd section of it) must be later than 600 A. D It is possible to argue that the Purāna might have taken them from Rsiputra But the Purāna does not say so and in keeping with the assumed character of the Purānas as composed by the semi-divine Vyāsa at the beginning of Kaliyuga, the Purānas generally take care not to admit any borrowing from a merely human author. It should be noted that three of the verses quoted only from Yogayātrā of Varāha by M. HI. p. 494 occur in विष्णुवर्मीकर II.

¹²⁴¹ उत्मत्ताना च या गाथा जिञ्जूनां यञ्च भाषितम् । स्त्रियो यञ्च प्रभाषन्ते तस्य नास्ति न्यतिक्रमः ॥ '' उत्पाताम् गणितविचर्जितोऽपि बुद्ध्वा विख्यातो भवति नरेन्द्रवस्त्रभश्च ॥ एत-चन्द्यनिवचनं रहस्यम्रकंतं यज्ज्ञात्वा भवति नरस्त्रिकालदर्शी ॥ बृहत्सं. 45 96 and 98

^{1242.} अथ पनैतहेवतानि स्त्यन्ति स्योतन्ति इसन्ति गायन्ति वास्यानि वा रूपाणि कुर्वन्ति य आसुरा महत्या मा नो विद्यन्तमो देववधेम्य इत्यमयैर्जुद्वयात् । सा तत्र प्रायश्चित्तिः । कीशिकसूत्र 105 य आसुरा महत्याः । sa सन्त्र in कीशिकसूत्र 104.2, मा नो विद्युः is अर्थर्व I. 19. 1 and नमो देववधेम्य ।s अर्थर्व VI 13. 1. This prescribes a santi viz. the offering of abutis (of ghee) with these mantras called Abhaya.

^{1243.} देवतायतनस्थाश्च कौरवेन्द्रस्य देवताः। कम्पन्ते च इसन्ते च द्रत्यन्ति च रुदन्ति

Visnu the Matsyapurāna 1244 states 'the images of all gods shut and open their eyes, laugh, weep, scream, emit smoke, blaze, and these signs indicate that great danger impends.' Atharvana-parisista 1245 LXXII this matter is treated (in prose). It says 'there are portents called divia which occur in temples. they (images) laugh, sing, weep, shriek, perspire, cause smoke to issue out of them, they blaze, they tremble, open their eyes and shut them, blood oozes from them, they move to and fro,' These strange phenomena are said to forebode drought, danger from weapons, famine, epidemic in the country and destruction of the king and his ministers (or relatives). The santi prescribed in the same Atharvana-parisista (4 7) is as follows: one should boil navasa in the milk of 108 kamla cows, if such cows are not available one should cook pavasa with the milk of one hundred milch cows: he should put on the fire fuel sticks with ends towards the east, should spread round the fire darbha grass and should offer oblations (of rice) in fire to the accompaniment of the mantras addressed to Rudra 1246 and called Raudra-gana and also offer clarified butter (in fire) He should present white flowers, he should treat brahmanas with boiled rice and should donate the same cows (the milk of which was used for cooking pāyasa) or donate the kingdom for a limited period for the satisfaction of a brahmana, he should give to the officiating priest a thousand cows and donate a good village.

Now santis on the birth of human beings should be referred to. There are several santis concerning the birth of a human being, such as a child's birth on Mūla, Āślesā, Jyesthā naksatras, on gandānta, on the 14th tithi of the dark fortnight or on

^{1244.} उन्मीलिति निमीलिति इसन्ति च रुदिनि च। विक्रोशिति च गम्भीरा धूमयन्ति जवलित च। प्रतिमाः सर्वदेवाना वेदयन्ति (v 1 वेदयन्त्यो) महद्भयम्। मत्स्य 163 45-46 = प्रतः V. 42 137-138

^{1245.} दिश्यानीत्याचक्षते देवग्रहेषु । अथ हसन्ति गायन्ति रुद्गन्ति क्रोज्ञानि प्रस्विद्यन्ति प्रधूमयन्ति प्रज्वलन्ति प्रकारमन्त्रुम्मीलयन्ति निमीलयन्ति लोहितं स्वान्त परिवर्तयन्ति । आधर्वण-परिशिष्ट LXXII (महाद्युतानि) p. 525. It may be noticed that three of these actions occur in the भीषमपूर्व and six in मत्स्य

¹²⁴⁶ The तैज्ञाण (the string of mantras addressed to Rudra in a santi) specified in आधर्मणपरिशिष्ट XXXII. 17 is as follows कृत्रलाहाभेषजा थेऽस्याम् । भाजी दिक्, उदितस्त्रयो अक्रमन्, भवा शर्वी मन्त्रे वाम्, ब्रह्म जज्ञानम्, अनाप्ता थे, सहस्रधार एव, श्रीष्मो देमन्तो, अनहुद्ग्यस्त्रम्, महामापो, वैश्वानरो, यमो मृत्यु , या ते कर्म भोऽमो कर्द्रो, भवाशर्वी मृहतम्, भवाशर्वीविदं ज्ञूमो, यस्ते सर्पो पृश्चिक , तस्मे भाज्या दिशो अन्तर्देशादिति रौद्रगण । Vide note 1 on p 146 in Bloomfield's edition of the क्षांशिकस्त्र 50.13 for identification of these pratikas from the Atharvaveda.

amāvāsyā, on Vyatīpāta-yoga or on Vaidhrti or in an eclipse, or on the birth of twins, or when a girl is born to a person after three successive births of sons or a son is born after three successive births of girls. Some of these sāntis are performed even now. Therefore two of them which are still in vogue, though gradually becoming infrequent, are briefly described here. The consequences of birth on Mūla, Jyesthā and Āślesā are more or less similar. Here the śānti for birth on Āślesā is briefly set out.

The Aślesa naksatra has a mean measure of 60 ghatis. is to be divided into ten parts in order 1246a viz. 5, 7, 2, 3, 4, 8.11.6.9 and 5; birth of a son in these parts indicates in order the loss of kingdom, death of father, death of mother, addiction to lovemaking, he has devotion to father, has strength, loses property, has proneness to charity, pleasures, wealth. If the naksatra be divided into four parts, birth in the first part is auspicious but in the other three parts indicates loss of wealth, death of father, death of mother. If the child is a girl born on the last three quarters of Aslesa indicates the death of the future mother-in-law of the girl: if the child be a boy and is born in the last three quarters of Aslesa, that indicates death of his future mother-in-law. One should perform a santi for birth on any quarter of Aslesa, either on the 12th day from birth, or if that be not possible, on the next Aślesa or on any auspicious day. On that day he (the father or other performer) should make a sankalpa 1247 as noted below

¹²⁴⁶ a अधाश्रीपाफलस्। मूर्योस्यनेञ्चगलकांससुगं च बाह् ह्रज्ञालुग्रह्मपदासित्यहिदेह-भागः। बाणाद्विनेञ्चहुतसुक्-श्रुतिनागरज्ञ-पण्नन्द-पञ्च शिरसः अमशस्तु नाडचः। राज्यं पिनुसयो मातृनाकः कामक्रिया रतिः। पितृमक्तो बली स्वप्तस्यागी भोगी धनी क्रमात्। नि. सि. p. 244; ज्ञान्तिरत्नाकर folio 88 b. The presiding deity of Aslesā is serpent. The first half of the first verse mentions the head, mouth and other parts of a serpent's body (in all ten). Separate as बलक plue अंसर्यगम्.

^{1247.} अस्य शिशोराश्चेपाजननस्चितसर्वास्थिरिस्परिहारार्धे सग्रहमखा शान्ति करिय्ये, इति सङ्क्ययेत्?. The गोसखमसवशान्ति is described in the मदनस्त (on शान्तिज-पोसिक, folios 35b and 36a) and in शान्तिमञ्जल pp. 59-60 On a new surpa (winnowing basket) a red piece of cloth is spread, the new born child is placed thereon, and is covered with cotton thread from head to the soles of the feet and is put on a heap of sesame, then the child is brought near a cow's mouth. Then (pretending that) the infant is born from a cow's mouth, the child is bathed with cow's milk with the hymn 'Visnur-yonim kalpayati' (Rg X.184 1, अधर्त V 25. 5 and मूह, उप VI 4.21). The infant should receive the touch of the cow's limbs at the hands of the priest with the mantra of Visnu (Rg. X. 184 1). The officiating priest should take the child that is (now imagined as) born from (the mouth of) the cow and (Continued on next page)

after performing the Gomukhaprasava-sānti. He should worship Rudra and Varuna on two pars, should invoke the serpents, the lords of Aslesa, on an image placed on a far established on the figure of a lotus with twentyfour petals and invoke Brhaspati. the lord of Pusya naksatra, to the south of the par (for serpents) and the mirs (the lords of Maghā) to the north of Āślesā jar and invoke on the twentyfour petals, beginning from the petal which is due east and proceeding to the right therefrom, twentyfour deities beginning with Bhaga, the lord of Pūrvā Phalguni up to Adıtı. lord of Punarvasu. then he should invoke the lokapālas (eight): then worship all the deities invoked, establish fire (for homa) and the planets and perform anradhana (putting fuel on the sacred Agni). After the anvadhana of the Sun and other planets he should offer to the principal deities, viz the serpents, 108 or 28 of each of the materials viz. pāyasa mixed with ghee, fuel sticks, clarified butter and boiled rice, to Brhaspati and pitrs 28 or 8 offerings of the same materials and to the 24 deities (of naksatras) viz Bhaga and the rest eight āhulıs of pāyasa to each with the verse 'raksohanam' (Rg. X. 87 1) The other deities are to be worshipped as in santı for birth on Müla naksatra and the offerings and mantras are to be the same as in that śanti A śanti for the birth of a child on the 14th tithi of the dark half is still in vogue and the author knows about it personally: it is described at length in the Madanaratua (folio 24 from Gārgva) and in Śānti-kamalākara. The śānti on the birth of a child on Mula naksatra is described in Madanaratna from Garga (folio 27b to 28b,) one peculiarity of which is that the father had to collect one hundred roots of trees and plants (mūla means 'root'). Vide also Santi-kamalakara (folio 77a).

(Continued from last page)

hand it over to the mother who should pass it on to the father who should then return it to the mother. The child should be placed on a piece of cloth and the father should look at the face of the infant. Then the priest should sprinkle the infant with drops from the mixture of cow's urine, dung, milk, circle and glee with the mantras beginning with 'Apo hi stha' (Rg. X. 9 1). The father then smells thrice parts of the child's head with the mantra 'thou art born from each limbs of the father &c. '(अहावहास्तम्भविस खद्याद्धिजायसे। आत्मा वे पुत्रनामासि सं जीव कारद क्षतम्।) and places it with the mother. This mantra is quoted in Nirukia III 4 and in the Br. Up. VI. 48. It would be noticed that there is a symbolic simulation of the child (that was born on an unlucky naksatra &c.) as having been born from the mouth of a cow (a very sacred animal from Vedic times). Vide धर्मसिन्ध pp. 171–172 for details of गोसुक्षमसब्हान्ति. The mantra 'Visnur yonim kalpayatu' is employed in the Garbhādbāna rite.

The birth of a girl after three successive births of boys or of a boy after three successive births of girls was supposed to indicate unfavourable consequences to the parents and the family and death of the eldest, loss of wealth and great sorrow. Therefore a santi was recommended on the 11th or 12th day from the birth of a girl or boy (as the case may be) or on an auspicious day. He (the father) should choose acarvs (chief officiating priest) and other priests, then perform a sacrifice to planets and offer worship to the golden images of Brahma, Visnu, Siva and Indra on a jar placed on a heap of grains. On a fifth jar he should worship Rudra and one brāhmana should recite four hymns to Rudra eleven times and all santisuktas when homa is being performed. The acarya should cast into the fire fuel-sticks, ghee. sesame and boiled rice 1003, 108 or 300 times to four deities viz. Brahmā, Visnu. Maheśa and Indra respectively with the mantras 'Brahma jajñānam' (Tai. S. IV. 2, 8, 2, Vāj. S. 13, 3), 'idam Visnur' (Rg. I 22.17), 'Tryamabakam yajāmahe' (Rg. VII. 59. 12), 'Yata Indra bhavamahe' (Rg. VIII. 61. 13). Then he should perform 'Svistakrt' homa, 1248 then offer bali and pūrnāhuts. The family members should be sprinkled with the sacred water. The performer should honour the ācārya and donate some gold and a cow to him and give dakṣiṇā to the other priests, should look into a vessel full of ghee and should make the brahmanas recite santi verses. The images with the additions or decorations thereof should be donated to the guru, brahmanas, poor and helpless people should be fed according to his ability. By doing this santi all misfortunes are destroyed. (Nirnayasindhu p. 248 and Śāntiratnākara, folio 109).

The Kauśikasūtra (kandikās 110 and 111), Brhatsamhitā¹²⁴⁹ (chap. 45. 51-54) and the Adbhutasāgara pp. 559-569 deal at length with the portents of births to women, cows, mares, she asses &c. A few passages only are set out here. Varāhamihira ¹²⁴⁹ says 'when women give birth to monstrosities, or to two, three, four or more children at the same time or they are delivered much before or after the proper time, then results

^{1248.} For 'Svistakrt,' vide H of Dh. vol. II pp 208, 1257 (2nd note) Vide Nirnayasindhu p 248 and Dharmasindhu p, 186 for this द्वारा called 'Trikaprasavasanti' i e santi on the successive births of a group of three (sons only or daughters only) The ज्ञास्तिमयुद्ध (p. 20) prescribes that the 'purahuti' is to be cast into fire with the mantra 'Murdhanam divo' Rg. VI. 7. 1, Vaj. S. VII. 24, Tai. S. I 4. 13.

¹²⁴⁹ प्रसविकारे स्रीणां हित्रिचतुःप्रभृतिसंप्रसूतौ वा । हीनातिरिक्तकाले च देशकुल-संक्षयो भवति॥ बृहत्सं. 45. 52.

destruction of the country or family'. The Matsyapurana 235. 1-3 and Visnudharmottara II, 140, 1-3 have identical verses similar to the above. The Bhismaparya 1250 (chap 3 2-7) refers to portentous births such as the following: 'pregnant women and women who had never before given birth to sons produce monstrosities: so also even wives of men who are Vedic scholars are giving birth to eagles and peacocks, mares give birth to calves, dogs to jackals; some women have given birth to four or five girls (at the same time) &c'. The Br. S proceeds 1251 'If mares, camels, she-buffaloes and cow-elephants give birth to twins, that portends death to them The effect of such births will come to pass about six months later: Garga has declared two slokes as to the santi in such cases The women that give birth (to twins or monstrosities) should be removed to another place (or country) by one that desires his own happiness, he should gratify brāhmaņas by gifts of things desired by them and should cause a santi (propitiatory rite) to be performed: as to quadrapeds, they should be removed from their flocks or herds and be abandoned in other countries; otherwise there would be ruin of the town, the owner and the flock or herd.'

Various modes were employed to divine the future, viz.

(1) the position of planets and stars, (2) individual horoscopes,
(3) flight and cries of birds like khañjana and crow, (4) natural
phenomena (eclipses, meteors &c), (5) dreams, (6) voices
suddenly heard, (7) the physical and mental conditions of men,
animals &c The first four have already been briefly dealt with.
Now dreams will be taken up for discussion.

It has already been seen (p 728, notes 1157 and 1158) how in the Vedic literature dreams had been associated with good luck or ill-luck. The two epics, the Svapnādhyāya (of Atharvana-parisiṣta LXVIII pp 438-449), the Bṛhad-yoga-yātrā of Vārāha (chap 16.1-31), Purāṇas such as Vāyu (chap 19.13-18), Matsya (chap.242), Viṣṇudharmottara (II. 176), Bhavisya I. 194, Brahma-vaivarta-purāṇa (Ganeša-khanḍa 34. 10-40), describe good or bad dreams; Agni (229, many verses of which are the same

^{1250.} ग्रामिण्योज्यातमुद्धाश्च जनयन्ति विभीषणान् । ''तथैवान्याश्च दृश्यन्ते स्त्रियो वे इद्यान्ते द्वियो वे इद्यान्ते विभागे वि

^{1251.} वहनोइमहिपगोहत्तिमीषु यमलोइने मरणमेपाम् । प्रथमसात् स्विजलं आस्ती अभोतो व गर्गोक्ती । नाप परस्य विपने त्यकत्पास्ता हितार्थिमा । वर्षयेश्च द्विजास्ताने. शान्ति वैश्वाक कारित् ॥ वत्यव्याः स्वपूर्यम्यस्यकत्याः परस्त्विषु । नगरं स्वामिनं यूपमन्यमा त विनाशवेत् ॥ वृहस्तं 45 53-54, q. by अ सा p. 563.

as those of Matsya chap. 242), Bhujabala of Bhoja (pp. 298–304 493-515 deal verses 1347-1378), the Adbhutasagara gg. at length with the matters relating to dreams and santis therefor. Śankarācārya in his commentary on Vedāntasūtra 1252 remarks that those who have studied the Svapnadhvaya declare that to see oneself riding on an elephant or the like is auspicious and to see oneself sitting in a convevance drawn by asses is inauspicious (or unlucky). It appears that rarely an ancient writer like Angiras 1253 said 'the movements of planets, dreams, nimittas (like throbbing), utpātas (portents) produce some consequences by chance: wise men are not afraid of them'. Numerous dreams are mentioned in the Rāmāyana on several occasions. Some examples may be given. In the Sundarakānda (chap. 27, 23 ff) Trijatā (a $rar{a}ksasar{\imath}$) details several dreams that she saw and that indicated the destruction of rāksasas and that were favourable to Rāma. Among the evil omens that she saw in her dream about Ravana were; he had his head shaved, he drank oil with which he was drenched, he was dressed in red garments, was intoxicated, wore wreaths of Karavira flowers, he fell on the earth from his puspaka balloon. he was carried in a chariot drawn by asses, he was wearing red flowers and was anointed with red unquents &c. (verses 19-27). Similar dreams occur as seen by Trijatā in the story of Rāma contained in the Vanaparva chap. 280. verses 64-66. In the Ayodhyākānda (chap. 69. 8. ff) Bharata who was with his maternal uncle saw in a dream his father (Dasaratha) dirty and with dishevelled hair. falling from a mountain peak in a turbid lake full of cowdung, drinking that dirty water and oil; he also saw the ocean dried up and the moon fallen on the earth, he saw his father seated on a dark seat of iron and wearing black garments and beaten by women dark and tawny in colour, he saw him going to the south in a chariot drawn by asses &c. Bharata says that these dreams indicated the death of the king (Dasaratha) or of Rāma or Laksmana. In the Mausalaparva of the Mahābhārata (chap. 3. 1-4) the Yādavas saw in dreams a black woman with whitish teeth running to Dvārakā with a laugh and kidnapping their women and terrible vultures were seen to be devouring the Vrsnis and Andhakas in their own houses in which sacred fires had been established &c

^{1252.} आचक्षते च स्त्रमाध्यायविदः। कुखरारोहणादीनि स्त्रमे धन्यानि खरपानादीन्य-धन्यानीति। शङ्कराचार्य on वेदालसूत्र III. 2 4.

^{1253.} गीतश्रायमधोस्ट्रिन्सा । ग्रहाणां चरितं स्वमो निमित्तौत्पातिकं तथा। फलन्ति काकतालीयं तेन्यः भाज्ञा न विम्यति॥ वेणीसहार् II 15,

It is impossible to point out the unlucky and lucky dreams as their number mentioned in Adbhutasagara (pp 502-513). quoting the Puranas. Parasara, the works of Varaha and others is extremely large One passage from the Matsvapurāna (242 verses 2-14, quoted in Adbhutasagara pp 502-503) is cited here by way of illustration; 'the springing of grass and plants from one's body (except from the navel); bronze vessels dashed against one's head and pulverized; shaving of the head; nakedness; wearing dirty garments; bath with oil; being smeared with mud: fall from a high spot (hill &c): sitting in a swing, collecting mud and iron, killing horses; ascending trees that have flowers and over circles and riding boars. bears, asses and camels; eating of (the flesh of) birds and fishes and oil and rice mixed with mudaz or masa, dancing, laughing, marriage and singing; playing on musical instruments other than stringed ones; going for a dip in a river, bath with water mixed with cowdung or mud or with water fallen on bare earth; entering the womb of one's mother, ascending a funeral pyre: the fall of Indra's banner: the fall of the Sun and the Moon; seeing portents of the three kinds (heavenly, those in atmospheric regions and earthly); anger of gods, brāhmanas, kings and one's quius, embracing maidens; sodomy, loss of one's limbs; yomiting and purging, going to the southern direction, being overwhelmed by a disease: fall of fruits and of flowers: fall of houses: sweeping of houses with a broom; playing with goblins, birds or animals that subsist on putrid flesh, monkeys, bears and men, humiliation by strangers (or enemies); arising of calamity brought on by another person (or enemy); wearing other-coloured garments; playing with women: plunging into oil or drinks: wearing red flowers and applying red unguents; these and others are inauspicious dreams.

In the Brhadyogayātrā 1254 Varāha prescribes that the king wearing silken garments, pearls and jewels and accompanied by

^{1254.} यजायती दूरसुदैति दैवमादर्ष मन्त्रान् प्रयत्निस्तित्व। उटवेकशुरद्विणपार्म्शाणी स्वमं परीक्षेत यथोपदेशस्॥ नम शन्मो विनेत्राय स्ट्राप वरदाय च। वाननाय विस्ताय स्वमाधिपयये नम.। मगवच देवदेवेश स्लम्हृद्वयादन। इद्यानिष्टे समाचद्व स्वमे ग्रुवस्य शाम्बतस्॥ जृहश्चीयपात्रा q. by अ सा p 494; the two verses नम. शन्मो occur in दिच्छ्यमात्तर II 176. 9–10 and also the reference to 'yayığırato' (occurs in verse 11) That first mantra is यजायती दूरसुदैति देवं तदु सुप्तर्य तथैवेति। दूरदुनं उपोतियां ज्योतियं ज्योतियं क्रमे मनः शिवसङ्कल्पनस्तु॥ (वाज. सं 34.1) This hymn is called शिवसङ्कल्प (vide मन्तर प्रा. 250).

astrologers and purolita should enter the temple of his favourite deity, should place the images of the $dikp\bar{a}las$ therein, worship them with mantras, place four jars full of water in the four directions, should thrice repeat the mantras beginning with 'Yajjāgrato dūram' (Vāj. S. 34. 1), should eat only once that day, sleep on his right side and pray to Rudra (as noted in n 1254) and examine the dream, auspicious or inauspicious, seen towards the close of night.

The Matsya (242, 21-35) sets out1255 lucky dreams as follows: ascending (or riding on) mountains, palaces, elephants, horses and bulls; going among trees having white flowers; the shooting of trees and grass from the navel and seeing (the sleeper) endowed with many hands or heads; wearing garlands of very white flowers and very white garments; eclipse of the Sun, Moon and naksatras; sprinkling water (on one's body) all over; embracing or raising the banner of Indra, seizure of the earth and seas; slaughter of enemies; victory in disputes, in gambling and in battle; eating of wet (fresh) meat, of fish and of payasa (rice boiled in milk and sugar); seeing blood or being bathed with it; drinking liquor, blood, intoxicants and milk; being surrounded on the earth with intestines; sight of bright sky; sucking the udders (the milk) of cows and she-buffaloes and of lionesses, cow-elephants and mares; receiving favours from (images of) gods, gurus and brāhmanas, bath with water flowing from the horns of cows or falling from the Moon (this prognosticates the acquisition of a kingdom); being crowned as a king; the cutting of one's head, one's death; being burnt by fire; one's house and the like being burnt down by fire; securing the insignia of royalty; playing on the lute, swimming beyond waters, crossing difficult places; the delivery in one's own house of cows, mares and cow-elephants; being mounted on horses; weeping; obtaining of handsome women or embracing them; being bound with fetters; being smeared with excreta; seeing living kings and friends; seeing images of gods and pure (or pellucid) waters. On seeing such auspicious dreams a man easily secures permanent wealth and he who is suffering from a disease becomes free from it

In the Jain Kalpasūtra of Bhadrabāhu (S. B. E vol. XXII. p 129) fourteen very auspicious dreams seen by the brāhmanī Devānandā are enumerated, viz. an elephant, a bull, a lion,

^{1255.} This long passage from Matsya about auspicious dreams is quoted as from Visnupurāna and Visnudharmottara by St. 419-500.

H. D. 98

anointing of the goddess Śrī, a garland, the Moon, the Sun, a flag, a vase, a lotus lake, the ocean, celestial abode, a heap of jewels, a flame and on pp. 231-238 elaborate details of these dreams are given.

Matsya (chap 243, 2-12) sets out the sights that are unlucky when they come before a king who is going on an invasion (such as improper drugs, corn with dark exterior, cotton, grass, dry cowdung and many others) and prescribes that on seeing these for the first time he should worship Keśava with a laud and that if he sees it a second time he should enter his palace Yogayatra (chap. 13, 4 ff) provides what the king starting on an expedition should hear viz such recitations as that of the Veda, Vedangas, Dharmasastras, Arthasastra, Mahabharata, Rāmāyana, Purāna passages Matsya (chap 243, 15-25) prescribes the sights that are auspicious (such as white flowers, pars full of water, aquatic birds, meat and fish, flaming fire, courtegans, Dürvä grass, fresh cowdung, gold, silver, copper and all jewels besides several others and winds up with a fine sentiment, 1236 viz the ease (or satisfaction) of one's mind is the highest sign of success; on one side are all prognostications and on the other is the mind's ease. The Brhad-yogayatra of Varaha has a similar verse Vide H. of Dh. vol, II pp. 511, 876 and notes 1192 and 2048 therein for two sets of auspicious sights. The Jyotistattya (pp. 729-730) quotes several verses on the objects that are auspicious or inauspicious when seen by a person starting on a journey or expedition and remarks that the same objects when seen in a dream are of the same (auspicious or inauspicious) quality. The Vasantarāja-śākuna (V. 2-6) sets out fifty objects that are auspicious when starting on a journey or entering a home such as curds, sandal wood, ghee, dūrvā, a jar full of water &c. and (V. 10-11) specifies the thirty objects that are inauspicious.

The Matsya provides 1257. "dreams seen in the first watch of the night bear fruit in a year, those seen in the 2nd watch after

¹²⁵⁶ मनसस्तुष्टिरेवात्र परमं जयलक्षणम् । एकतः सर्वलिङ्गानि मनसस्तुष्टिरेकतः॥
सक्त्य 243 27, विष्णुधर्मोत्तर् II. 163 32, प्रष्टव्यो देवविदा विश्रव्यमुपद्धरे वराधिपतिः।
रिष्ठानिधनमणिधान पति भवतां किं मन क्रुपते। न्यूयास्त चेन्मम मनः प्रोस्सहते हर्षयेचतश्चैनस्।
चित्ताद्यकुलता सिद्धिलक्षणं तत्र श्लोका ॥ द्युमाद्यानि सर्वाणि निमित्तानि स्युरेकतः। एकतस्तु
मन द्युद्धिसिद्धिशुद्धं जयावहस्॥ चृहचोगयात्रा chap 14 1-3 (ms. rather corrupt),
एकतश्च सकलानि निमित्तान्येकतश्च मनस्त परिश्चद्धिः। चेतसोऽपि सहयानरणे (v. 1 सहसास्ति रणे) भीर्माप्तोपि विजयाजयहेतुः॥ चृहचोगयात्रा XIX. 1

¹²⁵⁷ एपां सङ्क्ष्यन धन्य भूयः मस्तापन तथा। कलकरनान तिलेहोंनी बाह्मणाना च पूजनम्। स्तातिश्च वाह्यदेवस्य तथा तस्यैव पूजनम्। नागेन्द्रमोक्षश्रवणं ज्ञेयं दु स्वप्ननाज्ञानम्॥ (Continued on next page)

six months, those seen in the third watch after three months and those seen in the last watch in a month. If on the same night one sees both auspicious and inauspicious dreams, one (the astrologer) should declare that the last dream (alone) will yield consequences. Therefore, when a person sees an auspicious dream he should not go to sleep thereafter. Declaring a bad dream to another is commended as also sleeping after seeing it. bath with water mixed with the viscous sediment of oilv substances, homa of sesame, honouring brahmanas, hymn of praise to Vasudeva and worship of him and listening to the story of the liberation of Gajendra-these remove the evil effects of bad dreams." In connection with the vrata called 'Siddhärthakādi-saptamī' performed for gaining the favour of the Sun the Bhavisya (Brāhma-parva, 194. 1-25) sets out the dreams which are auspicious or favourable to the person performing the vrata. Those verses are similar to those in the Matsya and are quoted by Krtyakalpataru (on vrata pp. 176-179). One verse says on seeing a favourable dream one should not sleep again, but declare in the morning the dream as seen to Bhojakas and brāhmanas (or to Bhojaka brāhmanas).' The Brhadyoga-yātrā contains similar verses as quoted by Adbhutasagara p. 501.

The Jyotistattva quotes 1258 a verse 'I shall declare what matters seen in dreams tend to bring knowledge (of impending consequences) to men who do not understand the true nature

⁽Continued from last page)

स्वास्तु प्रथमे यासे संवत्सरितपाकिनः। षद्भिमासिद्वितीय तु ज्ञिमिमसिस्तुतीयके। चतुर्थं मासभावेण पर्यन्ते नाज्ञ संज्ञयः। "एकस्यां यदि वा राज्ञों छुभं वा यदि वाछुभम्। पश्चाद् इष्टस्त यस्तत्र तस्य पाकं विनिर्दिक्षेत् । तस्माच्छोभनके स्त्रमे पश्चास्त्रमो न ज्ञस्यते। मत्त्य 242. 15-20; all these are q by अ सा. on pp 501, 502 and 514 and the first four also from विष्णुधमात्त्र by अ. सा. p. 514. For नागेन्द्रमोक्ष (1 e. more familiarly ग्रजेन्द्रमोक्ष), vide वामनपुराण 85, विष्णुधमात्त्र I 194, पद्म VI. 112. 18-20 The अथवपरिज़िष्ट LXVIII (2. 56 at p. 445) says: 'छुभं वाप्यधुभं वापि पर्यायधात्त्रस्तर्थ हिम् समास्तु प्रथमे यामे संवत्सरविपाकिनः ॥ द्वितीयप्रस्तु मासेपु तृतीये स्त्र पर्यायधात्त्रस्तर्थ हिम् समित् प्रथमे यामे संवत्सरविपाकिनः ॥ द्वितीयप्रस्तु मासेपु तृतीये स्त्र तर्वर्थभाद्भः। मासिके गीवित्तर्थे सुस्तः भातिके ॥, इष्ट्रा तु ज्ञोभनं स्वमं न भूषः ज्ञावन्य त्रात्रक्ष कीर्तियत्त्रमं यथा द्वर्ध खगाधिपः। प्राज्ञो भोजकिद्यम्य महस्तं देवताष्ट्रमः भावित्य त्रां कुरायकत्य on व्रत pp 178-179 For सगड and भोजकड vide H. of Dh. vol II. p. 722 note 1727. In वेणीसंद्वार II (between verses 2 and 3) the चेती says (अकुशलद्वीना आपि स्वमा प्रकृत्या प्रज्ञार प्रकृति अप्रते।. '

^{1258.} अविज्ञातस्त्रस्त्रपाणां नराणां ज्ञानहेतते। स्त्रो हद्यानि वस्यामि पुण्यपापोद्धवानि च ॥ एवं चैपां स्चकलं न कारकलम्। उपीतिस्तरत p. 730; स्चकश्च हि ख्रतेराचस्रते च तिहिदः। वेदान्तस्त्र III 2.4, on which the ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य is "स्चकश्च हि स्त्रमे भवति भविष्यतोः साध्यसाधुनोः। तथाहि श्रूयते 'यदा कर्मग्रः" निद्दर्शने।॥ ग This last verse is सा उप V 2.9.

(significance) of objects seen in dreams that arise from their meritorious or sinful actions (in previous lives)' and remarks that from this verse it follows that dreams are purely indicative and do not produce consequences (by themselves) The higher thought in India held that dreams were only indicative or suggestive of future auspicious or inauspicious events, as affirmed by the Vedantasütra (III. 24) and by Sankarācārva's commentary thereon. On the other hand Bhujabala provides 1259 to sleep again (after a dream), not to declare it to another, bath in the Ganges, jana (of holy texts), santi, svaslyayana (asking brāhmanas to say 'it would be auspicious'), to resort in the morning to cows and an Asyattha tree, honouring brahmanas with food mixed with sesame, with gold and flowers according to one's means, merit-giving recital of the Mahabharata-these tend to destroy (the effects of) bad dreams' Bhuabala further says that all white objects except cotton, ashes, bones, buttermilk are auspicious (in dreams) and all dark objects except cows. images of gods, elephants, horses and brāhmanas are inauspicious.

The Atharvana-parisista 1260 LXVIII (pp. 438-449) states that persons have different dreams according as their constitutions (prakrti) are choleric or windy or phlegmatic (ptta, vāta and kapha) and long lists of dreams and their interpretations are given and it prescribes the same remedies against bad dreams as Varāha does.

¹²⁵⁹ भूगः प्रस्तपनं न चास्य (तथास्य ?) कथनं गङ्गाभिषको जपः ज्ञान्तिः स्वस्त्ययन निपेवणमपि प्रातग्वाश्वरथयो । विमेन्यश्च तिलालहेमकुद्धमै पूजा यथाञ्चक्तितः एण्यं भारतकीर्तनं च कथित दु स्वप्नविच्छित्तये ॥ सर्वाणि छुद्धानि सुज्ञोभनानि कार्पासमस्मास्थि च तक्रवर्जम् । सर्वाणि छुण्णानि न ज्ञोभनानि गोदेनहस्तिहिज्जवाजिवर्जम् ॥ धुजवल p. 304 (first verse) and p 310 (2nd verse) अ सा p 514 quotes the verse भूयः प्रस्तपनं गिला वराह On अथ स्वस्त्ययनं वाचयीत (आश्व गृ I 8 15), नारायण explains 'ओं स्वस्ति भवन्तो अवस्थिति ते च ओं स्वस्तिति प्रत्यूचः ।'

¹²⁶⁰ विप्रेम्यः शक्तितो दानं शान्ति स्वस्त्ययनाद्यः। विनाशयन्ति दु स्वम पातव्याश्वर्यः सेवनम्॥ अश्वत्यसेवा विलपान्नदानं गोस्पर्शनं ब्राह्मणतर्पणं च। शान्तिक्तिया स्वस्त्ययनिक्रिया च दु स्वममेतानि विनाशयन्ति॥ आधर्वणपरिशिष्ट LXVIII (स्वमाध्याय) 2 60 (p. 445), 'अध दुःस्वमदर्शने कृत्यम्। यो मे राजिलत्युच्चा सूर्योपस्थाने दु स्वमनाशः। अध स्वमस्येति जपाद्वा क्राव्यिः वर्शवच्छान्त्रेन दु स्वमनाशः, चण्डीसप्तश्चातियोदेन वा। यद्वा श्रीविष्णुसहस्रनामस्तोत्रज्ञाः कार्यः। अध्यवा श्रीभारतस्थस्य श्रीमद्धागवतस्थस्य वा गजेन्द्रमोक्षस्य श्रवणं पाठो वा। धर्मसिन्धु p. 361. इस II. 28.10 ात यो मे राजन्युज्यो वा सखा वा स्वमे भयं भीरवे मह्यमाह। स्तेनो वा यो दिप्ति वो चूको वा स्वं वस्माद्वरण पाद्यस्मान्॥ This is obviously addressed to वरण and it is strange that it is recommended for the worship of सूर्य by the धर्मसिन्धु For Saptasati, vide above p 155 n 396. For the thousand names of Visnu, vide Anussanaparva 149, 14-120 and for गजेन्द्रमोक्ष भागवत VIII. 2

The Dharmasindhu (pp. 359-360) collects in one place numerous dreams that are lucky or auspicious and that are unlucky or inauspicious and then prescribes (p. 361) the remedies to avert the consequences of unlucky dreams viz worship of the Sun with the mantra (Rg II 28.10, Tai S. IV. 14-123) 'O king Varuna! protect us from the danger which my helper or friend declares to me (from what I saw) in a dream or from the thief or wolf who desires or is about to injure us'; or one may recite inaudibly the verse 'Adha svapnasya' (Rg. I. 120.12) or perform a śrāddha like the one on Amāvāsyā, or should recite the Saptaśatī in honour of Candī or the thousand names of Visnu or recite or listen to the recitation of the liberation of Gajendra in the Bhārata or Bhāgavata.

All ancient countries and peoples believed in dreams and satisfied their curiosity to know the future by interpreting them. The Chaldean astrologers and dream-interpreters were in high favour at Babylonian and Assyrian courts The Book of Daniel (chap 2) tells us how Nebuchadnezzar, a great king of Babylon, asked the Chaldeans not only to interpret dreams but commanded them on threat of death to make known to him the dream which he had forgotten and then to interpret it. Plato, the greatest of the Greek philosophers, regards dreams as important physical and psychic symptoms while certain dreams are conceded as of supernatural origin and explains in his Timaeus (chap. 46 and 47) that dreams are prophetic visions received by the lower appetitive soul (through the liver). In JRAS (old series) vol. 16 pp. 118-171 N. Bland contributes a long and interesting article on 'the Mahomedan science of Tabir or interpretation of dreams' There are striking parallels between the rules to be observed by the dreamer and by the interpreter and the principles of interpretation (of dreams) by contraries and by dependence upon the religion, country and bodily conditions (such as total fast or full stomach) of the dreamer. On p. 141 of JRAS there is an interesting account of a dream of Nushirwan, a Sassanian king (531-579 A. D.), who saw a dream that he drank from a golden goblet and that a black hog put its head in the goblet and drank from it. Then we are told Buzurmihr, his minister, whom he consulted, told him it signified that his favourite princess had a black slave who was her lover and suggested that the women of his harem should be ordered to dance undressed in the presence of the king. One of them showing some hesitation in complying and being protected by the others was discovered to be a Hindu male slave and the Vazir's interpretation was verified.' The name of the Vazir remarkably agrees in sound with the name Varāhamihira and it is not very fantastic to suppose that Varāhamihira, probably the most famous astrologer and astronomer of early times in India, had been patronized by Nushirvan and held a high position in his court Chronology is quite in favour of this identification since Varāhamihira takes śaka 427 (505 A D.) as the starting point for finding out the ahar gana.

In modern 1261 times many educated people think dreams as of no consequence whatever, while there are others who regard dreams as almost infallible indicators of coming events: there is also a third class of people who are willing to listen to the arguments advanced on behalf of the interpreters of dreams and also of those who regard thoughts on the use and value of dreams as To those who want to hear arguments on both sides and to form a sudgment of their own about dreams, I would recommend the 'Fabric of Dreams' by Catherine Taylor Craig (Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner, London, 1918); Freud's 'Interpretation of dreams' is the most important of his works, in which he develops his psycho-analytic technique. there is the work 'Second sight in daily life' by W H. W. Sabine who has a theory of his own. His work deals with precognition (or foreknowledge) which according to him is a subdivision of Extra-sensory perception He propounds the theory that the mind comprises of not only normal memory appearing to derive from physical perception but also 'anticipatory memory' deriving from the Basic Experience which from time to time passes to some degree into conscious awareness Time is one and does not exist except as a verbal convenience and that what we call 'future' has already happened but it is not indicated in what form it has happened Considerations of space and relevance preclude further discussion of this subject here

Certain other interesting santıs will now be described. When a great-grandson (prapautra i e a son's son's son) 1262

^{1261.} Dr R G Harshe contributes to the 'Shri K M Munshi Jubilee volume' (Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay 1948) an interesting paper (pp 241-268) on 'two illustrated manuscripts on dreams', the contents of which do not attempt any elaborate theory about dreams but record empirical observations on dreams for the guidance of people in general.

¹²⁶² For the importance of the great-grandson, vide the verse धुत्रेण होकाञ्च जयति पोत्रेणानस्त्यमञ्जूते। अथ धुत्रस्य पौत्रेण वधनास्थामोति विष्टपम् ॥ मह IX. 137 = विस्प्र 17 5 = विष्णुपर्मसूत्र 15. 46

is born to a person a santi has to be performed when he sees the face of the great-grandson. The sankalpa 1263 is given below. He should perform the rites beginning with the worship of Ganesa and ending with Mātrpūjā, then establish a jar full of water and worship (the image of) Varuna therein, perform the rite of nīrājana 1264 to the accompaniment of the sound of drums, sit on a seat of udumbara tree covered with a blanket and should request brahmanas to sprinkle sacred water on his person. The brahmanas should sprinkle water on him to the accompaniment of a hymn to Varuna and a hymn to the Ganges. At the end of the abhiseka the performer should give up old garments and wear new ones and should worship the Ganges. Melted ghee should be put in a vessel of bronze and the performer should see the reflection of his face therein and then should see the face of his great-grandson in the light of a lamp placed in a golden vessel. Then he should sprinkle drops of water on the great-grandson with one hundred flowers of gold should sprinkle the great-grandson with water from the jar used for abhiseka. For completing the ceremony of seeing the face of the grandson, he should donate a cow and feed brahmanas according to his means. Then he should worship an image of Visnu, offer payasa to it and address the following prayer 1265 'O Visnu! by your favour I have seen the face of my great-grandson. Therefore, O Lord I in all ways and always do what I desire'. Then the image should be donated with the mantra 'by the donation of the image (of Visnu) may all evil influences of planets be always conjured away in the case of the child, O enemy of Kamsa and the Lord of the worlds,' and he should donate to the brahmanas the ghee in which he saw his face.

One of the śāntis that is frequently performed even in these days is 'Udakaśānti'. It is performed for averting the consequences of many happenings and for securing certain benefits

^{1263,} सम मह्मलोकावाधिसंबतीर्थयात्रासकलदानजन्यपुण्यजातावाधिद्वारा श्रीपरमेश्वर-गीत्पर्थे प्रपौत्रसुखदर्शनं कारिष्ये। तदङ्गं गणेशपूजनं स्वस्तिपुण्याहवाच्वनं मातृकापूजनं नान्दी-श्राद्धं च कारिण्ये इति सङ्कल्प्य।

^{1264.} For Nīrājana 'wavıng of lights before men, horses', vide H. of Dh vol. III pp 230-231. The Br. S 43 2 regards त्रीराजन as a Sānti 'दादरपामसम्यां कार्तिकाञ्चक्रस्य पञ्चद्रयां वा । आश्वयुजे वा क्रुयोक्तीराजनसंज्ञितां ज्ञान्तिस्॥.'

¹²⁶⁵ The प्रार्थनामन्त्र is: 'भो विष्णो त्वत्यसादेन सुखमालोकितं मया। तस्मात्कुरु ममाभीष्टं सर्वदा सर्वथा प्रभो॥; the दानमन्त्र is: प्रतिमायाः प्रदानेन बालकस्य सदा ग्रहाः। इ.सं पान्तु देवेश कंतारे जगता प्रते॥.

such as good health, the removal of the diseases due to the three constituent elements of the human body, viz. bile, phlegm and vata (wind), that may have already arisen or are likely to arise. or for mitigating all the trouble that is caused by planets occupying unfavourable positions from the lagra in a person's horoscope or from the $7\bar{a}\dot{s}_{i}$ of his birth, for removing the impurity on birth or death in one's house or family, for securing a happy Therefore, the sankalpa may assume various forms that are noted below 1266 In modern times this is a very elaborate rite in which a very large number of Vedic verses are repeated and which takes up about three hours for its performance. Therefore, even a brief resume of the modern procedure is not attempted here But one of the oldest available descriptions of this rite contained in the Baudhāvana-grhva-sesasūtra (I. 14) is set out here in order to convey an idea of this santi. As the Baudhāyana-sūtras are closely connected with the Taittirīyasamhitā and Brāhmana the references to mantras and texts are cited as far as possible from that Samhitā and Brāhmana. Many of the mantras occur also in the Rgveda and other samhitas Here are the texts: waters indeed are faith. (when a priest takes water) he begins (the rite with) faith itself, waters are indeed the sacrifice, he begins sacrifice itself; water is indeed thunderbolt, he strikes the thunderbolt against enemies: waters are destroyers of malignant spirits (and it is taken) for destroying evil spirits, waters are the favourite abode (or seat) of the gods, waters are indeed nectar, therefore people sprinkle with water him who faints; waters are indeed all gods; he begins with Waters indeed are well disposed, he (priest) removes with the kindly (waters) the pain of this person (the sacrificer); this is (what) the Brāhmana (text) says

Therefore (the priest) prepares propitiatory 1267 water with two husas dipped therein. One should commence a santi on the naksatra of (a person's birth) or on an auspicious naksatra or when auspicious rites are to be performed, viz marriage, a (child's) tonsure, upanayana, Vedic student's return home, hairparting (of a pregnant woman), establishment of the Vedic fires and other

¹²C6 नम द्वारीरे उत्पद्धीत्पत्त्वमानक्रकप्रानिषित्तीज्ञृतसमस्तामयाना जीउच्छरीरातिरोधेन ममूर्तिच्छित्तिपूर्वकितामारोज्यापान्त्वये नम जन्मत्य्यजन्मराज्ञिम्या सकाग्रादिनष्टस्थानित्यतः सर्पादिप्रतृजनित-चिन्ष्यमाण-सकलपीप्रापरिहारार्थे मन छुटे अशुन्तित्वादिदोषपरिहारार्थे या क्षेत्रमाद्र मिस्टपर्यं या उद्यक्तानित करिष्ये।

^{1267.} The words 'vajro va apah' (water is indeed thunderbolt) occur frequently in the Sat. Br. I 1 17, I. 2. 5. 20, I 7, I. 20 &c.

rites, or when a planet is eclipsed, or when a planet indicates a portent, or when danger comes (to a person) from bipeds or quadruped animals. 1268 He should make an even number of brahmanas wash well their hands and feet and make them sip water. seat them on seats in each of the directions, should smear with cowdung a four-cornered altar of the extent of a bull's hide, should himself sit on darbhas with face towards the east, should hold darbhas and dürvä grass, have two kusas in his hand, should sprinkle with water the altar made by him, should draw lines thereon and sprinkle water over them and should spread over it dürvä grass and darbhas and sprinkle it with water scented with sandalwood paste and cover it with flowers, should wrap with (cotton) threads the sacred vessel 1268x of palasa leaves and place it on them (darbhas and dūrvā) with the mantra 'brahma jajñānam' (Tai. S. IV. 2. 8. 2). Then he pours water across the two blades of darbha grass and mutters 'tat-savitur-varenyam' (Rg. III. 62. 10) and throws in (the water) whole grains of rice and yavas with the formula 'bhūr-bhuvah-suvarom' (Tai. A. X. 2.1). Then he spreads around flowers, dūrvā grass and fruits, covers it (jar) with durvas and darbhas and touches with the verse 'san-no devir' (Rg. X. 9. 4). When the brāhmanas have placed themselves besides the officiating priest, he mutters the verse 'tat-saviturvarenyam' (Rg. X. 62. 10) separately by each foot and by half verse in one breath and mutters the first words of (all) the Then he recites the following 1269 viz. the anutaka beginning with 'krnusva pājah' (Tai, S. I. 2. 14) but omitting the half verse 'made cid-asya' (Tai. S. I. 2.14.7), the anuvāka beginning with 'indram vo' (Tai. S. I. 6. 12), with the two verses 'yata indra' (Rg. VIII. 61. 13, Tai. A. X. 1.9), and 'svastidā' (Rg. X. 152. 2, Tai. A. X. 1, 9), with the two verses 'Mahān Indro' (Tai. S. I. 4. 41) and 'sajosā Indra' (Tai. S. I. 4. 42), with 'Ye devah purassado' (Tai S. I. 8. 7. 1) repeated

^{1268.} Compare कौशिकसूत्र IX. 8 'अहतवासाः कंसे शान्तयुदकं करोति।'

¹²⁶⁸ a. Vide पैटीनसि quoted by स्मृतिचः I. p. 222 ' ब्रह्मपाचे तु यो शुंक्ते मास-मेक निरन्तरम्। चिंशञ्चान्द्रायणैस्तुल्यं महापातकनाशनम् ॥ सौवर्णे राजते पाचे ताझे पद्मपलाशयोः ॥ भोजने भोजने चैंव चिराजफलमञ्जते ॥ ब्रह्मपात्रं पलाशपाज्ञम् । प्रराणेऽपि । पालाशेषु च पत्रेषु मध्यमेषु च भारत । यः करोत्यशनं तस्य माजापत्यं दिने दिने ॥, Compare लघुशातातप verse 162.

^{1269.} It may be noticed that most of the verses to be recited speak of destroying evil spirits (raksas) or enemies, pray for welfare and happiness (swasts and sarma), for freedom from danger, for protection, for long life &c.

five times, with the five formulas 'Agnaye raksoghne' (Tai. S I. 8. 7. 2), with the five formulas 'Agnir-ayusman' (Tai. S. II. 3. 10. 3), with four clauses 'yā vām-indrāvarunā' (Tai. S. II. 3.13.1), with the eight clauses 'Yo vām-Indrāvarunau' (Tai. S. H. 3. 13. 3), with the four verses 'Agne yasasvin' (Tai. S. V. 7. 4.3), the Rastrabhrt anuvaka beginning with 'rtasad-rtadhama' (Tai. S III 4 7 1), with the three mantras 'namo astu sarpebhyah' 1270 (Tai S IV. 2, 8, 3), with 'ayam puro harikeśah' (Tai. S IV. 4. 3.1) repeated five times, with the Apratiratha 1271 anuvāka beginning with 'āśuh śiśānah' (Tai. S. IV 6 4 1), the anuvaka beginning with 'sam came mayasca me' (Tai, S IV. 7. 3 1), the anuvāka called 'vihavya' beginning with 'mamagne varco vihavesvastu' (Tai. S. IV. 7.14. 1), the Mrgara anuvāka 1272 beginning with 'Agner-manve' (Tal. S IV. 4, 15, 1-11), the offering mantras to serpents beginning with 'samici namasi praci dik' six times repeated, the offering prayers to Gandharvas in the six clauses beginning with 'hetayo *nāma stha' (Tai. S. V. 5, 10. 3-4); the five 'ajyāni (bricks) offerings with 'satāyudhāya' (Tai S V. 7 2.3), the anuvāka beginning with 'bhūtam bhayyam bhayisyat' (Tai, S. VII. 3. 12. 1), the Atharva-śiras. 1273 the anuvāka beginning with 'Indro Dadhico asthabhih' (Tai. Br. I. 5. 8. 1. Rg. I. 84. 13), the Pratyangirasa beginning with 'caksuso hete' up to 'bhrātrvyam pādayāmasi' (Tai, Br. II. 4, 2 1-4), the anuvāka beginning with 'prano raksati viśvam-ejat' (Tai. Br. II 5. 1. 1), the anuvāka beginning with 'sımhe vyāghra uta yā prdākau' (Tal. Br. II 7 7.1); the anuvaka beginning with 'aham-asmi' (Tai.

¹²⁷⁰ It is difficult to construe the printed text here It runs: 'न्सी असु स्पेंग्य.' इति तिस्भिरल च च्छन्दस पञ्चचोडा 'अयं पुरो हरिकेश ' इति पञ्चभि. पयापै. &c.' पञ्चचोडा means a brick with five protuberances The तै. स V 3 7. 2 has पञ्चचोडा उपद्धारयप्सरस एवनमेता भूना असुप्मिंहोक उप होरे ? which means 'Ho puts down the bricks with five crests (protuberances), indeed these becoming Apsarases he down near him in the yonder world' But there is no mantra here Besides अञ्च च च्छन्दसं is not clear Is it अञ्चच्छन्दसम् with च inserted between अञ्च and छन्दसम् or is it a printer's mistake?

¹²⁷¹ Kātyāyana in his Śrāddhasūtra prescribes that among the holy texts to be recited when the brāhmanas are eating the śrāddha dinner are the Rāksoghnī verses and the Apratiratha hymn. Rg X 103 has most of the verses called Apratiratha in Tai, S IV 6, 4.

¹²⁷² The printed text reads 'srgāram'. Vide Kausikasūtra IX. 1 for Mrgāra-sūktāni

^{1273.} The Atharvasiras is an Upanisad beginning with 'Devā vai svargam lokam-ājau'. Vide H. of Dh. vol IV, pp 45-46 note

Br. II. 8. 8. 1), the anuvāka 'tā sūryācandramasā' (Tai. Br. II. 8. 9. 1), the three anuvākas beginning with 'agnir nah pātu' (Vāj. S. 4. 15), the anuvāka 'rdhyāsma' (Tai. Br. III. 1.2.1), the anuvāka 'navo navo' (Tai. S. II. 3. 5. 3), with (the mantras of) supplementary sacrifices, with a verse containing the word 'surabhi' (Tai. S. I. 5. 11. 4 or VII. 4. 19. 4), with verses addressed to waters (Rg. X. 9. 1-3 = Tai. S. V. 6. 1. 4 ff), with verses addressed to Varuna, with the verses beginning with 'Hiranya-varnāḥ' (Tai. S. V. 6. 1), verses addressed to (Soma) Pavamāna (from Rg. IX. 1. 1 'svādisthayā' to end of Rg. IX), with the Vyāhrtis (seven mystic words, bhūh, bhuvaḥ, svaḥ, mahah, janaḥ, tapaḥ, satyam), the anuvāka beginning with 'tac-chamyor' (Tai. B. III. 5. 11). He repeats thrice the concluding passage 'namo brahmane'. 1273a

The (officiating priest) makes the performer rise from his seat and sprinkles water on him to the accompaniment of the Vyāhrtis (bhūh &c.). (The performer) makes gifts (to the officiating priest). Then the officiating priest sprinkles sacred water on those that are possessed by an evil spirit or attacked by fever or troubled by ghosts, that are the friends, relatives, agents of the king's and of the royal priests, and the young and old members (of the performer's family), pregnant women, persons suffering from bad diseases, persons suffering from diseases for a long time, persons that are emaciated and are sick, also sprinkles sacred water on the elephants, horses, camels, cows, buffaloes, goats, sheep and servants of the performer; in this way the rite is performed for one day, three or five or seven days. Thus doing he wards off death; so says the blessed Baudhāyana."

When a person's naksatra of birth is afflicted by an evil planet or aspect Parāšara provided a śānti as follows: 1274 after putting in a jar full of water the dung and urine of a white bull and the milk of a white cow and kušas the person should be

¹²⁷³ a. The तै. आ. II 13. 4 has the very words 'नमो ब्रह्मण इति परि-धानीया जिरन्ताह that occur in बी. मृ. ही ख् The verse is 'नमो ब्रह्मण नमोस्लग्नये नम. पृथिची नम ओपधी न्य:। नमो वाचे नमी वाचस्पतये नमो विष्णवे बृहते करोसि ॥ १ (तै. आ. II. 12). The verse occurs in आश्व मृ. (III 5. 4), which reads महते for बृहते

^{1274.} अथ शान्तिमाह पराशरः। तत्र जन्मक्षींपतापे श्वेतवृषभस्य शक्तुन्मूत्रे सहुर्णायश्च गो. पयः कुशांश्चीदकुम्मे निधायाभिषेचनं कुर्यात्। अ सा p. 271. The विष्णुधर्मोत्तर is quoted by मदनरत्न (on शान्तिक folio 20b) 'शकुन्मूत्रे हु संगृह्य श्वेतस्य वृषभस्य तु। श्वेतगोपयसा सार्धे स्नातन्यं कुशवारिणा। जन्मनक्षत्रपीहायां तस्माद् क्लेशाहिसुस्यते।

sprinkled with the mixture Similarly, the Matsya ¹²⁷⁵ prescribes for one in whose birth rāśi an eclipse takes place a sacred bath with water from four jars full of water in which earth from the stables of horses, elephants, from an ant-hill, from a confluence, a deep reservoir of water, from a cowpen and from entrance of a palace is cast, and also pañcagavya, five jewels, rocanā, lotuses, sandalwood, saffron, usīra, guggulu; mantras also are to accompany the bath; Indra, Varuna, Kubera and other gods are invoked for removing the evil results of eclipses.

If a person suffers from fever the Madanaratna (folio 11) provides for santis for the tithis (from the first onwards) on which the fever started, derived from Baudhāyana in which the presiding deity of each tithi is to be offered worship (pūjā) and homa, for each of which the mantra (of which 1apa is to be made) is different, and the incense, flowers, naivedva also are different There are also santis, when a person suffers from fever, about the weekday on which the fever started and images of the presiding deity of the weekday made of different metals according to the name of the day are to be worshipped with different mantras (Madanaratna, folio 12a). For example, if fever started on a Sunday or on a Monday, then the images of Rudra (for Sunday) and Pārvatī (for Monday) were to be made of gold or silver and the mantras to be recited were respectively 'yā te Rudra' (Tai S IV. 5. 1. 1), 'gaurīr-mimāya' (Rg I 164 41). The gandha, flowers, incense, lamp and namedya were different in each case. If the fever starts on any one of the 27 naksatras, the Madanaratna (folios 12b-15b) prescribes santis for all the 27 naksatras, specifying the deity of each naksatra, the figure of the deity, its colour, mantra, the five upacaras from gandha, the kinds of fuel-sticks to be employed, the ahutes, the gifts &c If the mantra 1276 be not known, the

¹²⁷⁵ यस्य राशि समासाध भवेद्यष्टणसम्भव । तस्य स्नानं प्रवश्यानि मन्त्रीपिधिसमित्वतम्॥ मस्त्य q by कृत्यकल्प॰ (on शान्ति folio 21b), हे. (on व्रत, vol II. p 1021), नि सि p. 69 and शान्तिकमलाकर folio 157 b अ सा pp. 87-90 and नि. सि. p 69 quote this and about 25 verses more from मत्स्य on this

^{1276.} The mantras prescribed for religious worship and rites have a certain pattern, that is, they either refer to the rite to be performed and the delty or to the benefit prayed for or there is some word therein that indicates an application of it to the delty For example, the mantra 'जातवेदसे सुनवाम' (Rg I. 99, 1) is employed for invoking the presence of Durga, because therein occurs the word 'durgani' (स न पर्वहति दुर्गाण विश्वा) or the verse 'Gaurir-

Gāyatrī mantra (Rg. III. 62.10) was to be employed and the āhutis were to be 1008 or 108 according to the nature of the disease. The Madanratna (folios 15b-20b) quotes from Ātreya further details about the 27 naksatras, viz. the number of stars in each naksatra, whether a naksatra is masculine, feminine or neuter, its figure, colour, deity, tree, its gana 12762 (whether devagaņa or rāksasagana or manusyagana), what actions should be done on each, the Visanādi of each.

The Dharmasindhu provides a santi for the extremely rare occurrence of a person coming to life after people thinking him to be dead take his body for cremation to a cemetery. The person in whose house such a person enters meets death. Therefore, a homa should be performed wherein eight thousand udumbara fuel-sticks anointed with milk and ghee are to be offered to the accompaniment of the Gāyatrī mantra (Rg. III. 62. 10). At the end of the homa a kapilā cow and a bronze vessel full of sesame should be donated to a brāhmana; the bronze vessel should weigh 81 palas or 40½ or 20½ or 9, 6 or at least three palas according 1277 to one's means.

Some works prescribe a santi on the delivery of a cow in the month of Bhādrapada, of a she-buffalo in Pausa and of a mare by day. The santi is to be performed with 108 āhutis of ghee and sesame, the Asyavāmīya hymn (Rg. I. 164) and the mantra 'tad-Visnoh' (Rg. I. 22.20) are to be recited. It was supposed that if a she-buffalo was delivered in Māgha and on a Wednesday, or a mare in Śrāvana by day or a cow while the

(Continued from last page)

mimāya' (Rg I. 164, 41) is said to be mantra for Pārvatī, the presiding deity of Monday, because the word 'Gaurīr' suggests Gaurī, which is a name of पार्वती. The requirement is stated in the following Brāhmana passage 'एतहै यज्ञस्य समुद्धं यद्भूपसमुद्धं यद्भमित्रह्म क्ष्मिमाग्रम्यञ्जवभिनद्ति' (quoted in निरुक्त I. 16). It occurs in ऐतरेयज्ञाह्मण several times (e g ऐ. जा. I. 4, which reads merely क्ष्मिनद्ति)

¹²⁷⁶a For the ganas of the 27 nakṣatras, vide H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 514-515.

^{1277.} A pala = 4 karsas, a karsa = 16 māsas and 80 ratis. Hence a pala was equal to 320 raktikās Vide Manu VIII. 134 and 136 and Yāj. I. 363-365.

Sun is in the Lion sign, that portends death of the ¹²⁷⁸ owner in six months. It may be stated that in the author's boyhood such santis, particularly in the case of she-buffaloes, were performed. The Adbhutasagara provides that the santi should be performed on the 4th, 9th, 12th or 14th tithi, as these are declared to be auspicious tithis for adbhuta-santi ¹²⁷⁹

In modern times the entrance into a newly built house is preceded on the same day or on a previous day by a santi called Vastusanti ¹²⁸⁰ or Vastusanna (in Matsya 268 3). It has been described at some length in H of Dh vol. II. pp. 834-836. The description ^{1280x} there is based on Matsya, chapters 256 and 268. Vastusannana is also described in the Asvalayana grhya II. 9 6-9, Par gr. III. 4 5-18, Baud gr III 5 (which are certainly older than Matsya by several centuries), in Baudhayanagrhyasesa-sūtra I 18, the Samavidhana-brahmana III. 3.5 and several medieval works. The Baud Gr S S calls it Grhasanti and recommends that it be performed every month, every season or every year in the bright fortnight on an auspicious naksatra by one who desires prosperity in his house. It is therefore passed over here. The Asv. gr is very brief. It says ¹²⁸¹ Then

¹²⁷⁸ गर्ग उवाच । दिवा प्रस्ता वहवा श्रावणे च विशेषत । माधमासे हुधे चैव प्रसवे न्माहिपी थदि । शान्तिकमलाकर folio 180, भागो सिंहगते चैव यस्य गौ. समस्यते। मरणं तस्य निर्दिष्टं षद्धभिर्मासेर्न सज्ञय ॥ तस्य शान्ति प्रवस्थामि येन सम्पद्यते ह्युभम् । नारद q by अ सा p 568

¹²⁷⁹ चतुर्थी चाष्टमी चैन द्वादशी च चतुर्दशी। एता वै तिथय॰ प्रुण्या स्मृता अद्दर्शन भाग्तये। नारद Q by अ सा p 568.

^{1280.} Vāstu (n in the Rgveda) means a house. Compare 'tā vām vāstūnyusması gamadhyai' (Rg. I. 154.6) It is derived from 'vas' (to reside). Vide Nirukta X 17, where Rg VII. 55.1 is explained There is a deity in the Rgveda called 'Vāstospati' (lord of the house) वास्तोव्यति is either (as in Rg. VIII 17 14) Indra or Rudra (as Durga says). The santi is performed for averting all evil after entering a newly built house

¹²⁸⁰ a The सङ्कल्प in modern Vāstušanti would be somewhat like the following अञ्चलगोजस्याञ्चलकार्मणो मम सपरिवारस्यास्तिन्यास्त्रो चिरकालञ्चलिवसपूर्वमिलल् रोगविद्याचित्रान्ति-सम्पद्वारीग्यपुज्रपीजधनधान्यादिसमृद्धि-चिरजीवन-स्विवाससिद्धिद्वारा श्री-परमेश्वरपीस्यधेमस्य वास्तो श्रुभवासिद्धवर्धे सग्रहमत्वा वास्तुकान्ति करिष्ये। तदङ्गतया गणेश-पूजा-स्वस्तिवाचनमानुकापूजाम्यद्वयिकश्राद्धान्यार्थ-क्रविवन्दणानि च करिष्ये।

¹²⁸¹ अधैनच्छमयति । ब्रीहियनमठीभिरक्किहिरण्यमवधाय शन्तातीयेन त्रिः मदक्षिण परिव्रजन् मोक्षाति। अविच्छिन्नया चोदकधारया आपो हि ष्ठा मयोश्चव इति हुचेन। मध्येऽनारस्य स्थालीपार्क अपयित्वा वास्तोष्पते मतिज्ञानीह्यस्मानिति चत्तसुभिः मत्युच्च हृत्वान संस्कृत्य आह्मणान्मोजिपात्वा शिव वास्तु वास्त्वितं वाचयीत। आश्व मृ II. 9 6-9 क्त VII 35 (1-15) is called शन्तातीय because the word इं occurs in each of the first thirteen verses several times

he makes the house śānta (as follows). He deposits gold in water that has rice and barley thrown into it. he sprinkles the house three times going round it with his right side turned towards it with the santātīya hymn (Rg. VII. 35) and he does so again three times pouring out an unbroken stream of water with the three verses 'O waters! you are wholesome' (Rg. X. 9, 1-3). In the middle of the house he cooks boiled rice. offers from it four oblations with each of the four verses 'Vastospate pratijānihyasmān' (Rg. VII. 54. 1-3 and VII. 55. 1), should then prepare food, should feed the brahmanas with it and make them say 'lucky is the house, lucky is the house.' Some details from Baud, Gr. (III, 5) may be mentioned here. It provides that oblations are offered from cooked food with the invitatory prayer (Puronuvākyā) 'Vāstospate pratijānīhi' ('O Lord of houses! accept us as your devotees,' (Rg. VII. 54. 1, Tai. S. III. 4. 10. 1) and the offering prayer (Yājyā) with 'Vāstospate sagmayā' (O Lord of houses, may we be endowed with fellowship with thee &c.' Rg VII. 54. 3. Tai. S. III. 4 10. 1) Then he offers āhutis of clarified butter with the mantras viz. 'Vāstospate dhruvā sthūnā' (Rg. VIII. 17, 14), 'grhyam bhayam yac-ced', 1282 'aksispande-angacale,' 'duh-svapne papasyapne'. 'Vastospate pratarano' (O Vāstospati! mayst thou be our saviour', Rg. VII. 54. 2), 'amīvahā Vāstospate' (O Vāstospati! Mayst thou be our friend destroying diseases' Rg. VII 55.1). Then he places the remainder of the food from which offerings were made on bunches of darbhas in front of the Fire with the words 'salutation to Rudra Vāstospati! I offer (oblations to him) who protects when we approach, or run, walk out, depart, turn round or return.' Having brought together in a plate the water for cleansing the cooking vessel, the remainder of the clarified butter and the water (used in the rite) he sprinkles water therefrom on all sides with a twig of udumbara or palasa or samī tree or with a handful of darbhas, he goes round the house thrice with his right side towards it with the verse 'tvam viprah' (Rg. IX. 18. 2). He prepares food, honours the brahmanas (with food and fees), makes them recite blessings in the words 'lucky is the house, lucky is the house'.

In the later digests the Vāstuśānti is a very elaborate affair which is passed over here for reasons of space.

1

į

¹²⁸² I was not able to trace the three verses cited in the Baud. Gr. III, 5 viz. 'शृह्य भयं यञ्चेद् द्विपात्स यदु चेन्चतृष्पात्स &c. ', 'अक्षिरपन्देऽङ्गचले च यद्भयं यहाजिते यदु चेद्दुरक्ते ', 'दु.स्वमे पापस्त्रमे च यद्भयं &c. '

Various prognostications were based on the sounds produced by the house lizard (called palli, pallika, kudyamatsya or arhagodhikā), by its movements and by its fall on the several limbs of a person by Vasantarāja śākuna, chap. 17 (32 verses). the Adbhutasagara pp. 666-668 (quoting 28 verses of Vasantarāja). Jyotistattva pp. 706-707. Šāntiratna or Šānti-kamalākara (folio 198), the Dharmasindhu (pp. 347-348). A few words based on the last two are set out here. A fall of the lizard on the right side of a male and on his head (except the chin). chest, navel and stomach is auspicious, while in the case of a woman such a fall would be auspicious on the left side. The same applies to a chameleon If a lizard or chameleon falls on a limb or creeps up a person's limb the person should take a bath with clothes on and perform a santi for removal of the inauspiciousness or for increase of auspiciousness. If a person has a mere contact with a house lizard or chameleon he should bathe, drink pancanavya, look into clarified butter, should pay honour to (a golden image of) the lizard or chameleon clothed in a red piece of cloth, offer to the image gandha and flowers, worship Rudra in a jar full of water, offer into fire 108 fuel sticks of khadıra tree to the accompaniment of the Mrtyunjaya 12224 mantra and offer 1008 or 108 alutis of sesame into fire with the vyāhrtis and perform the rites from svistakrt to sprinkling with drops of water and then donate gold, clothes and sesame 12826

The Yogayātrā chap. 7 (verses 1-12) and Hemādri on Vrata (vol. II pp 894-897) mention ceremonial baths on and worship of naksatras from Aśvini to Revati and their presiding deities as yielding various benefits. The Ātharvana-parišista I (called naksatrakalpa) sections 37-50 deal with naksatrasnāna from Krttikā to Bharani Sections 37-41 contain the mantras employed in worshipping and placating the presiding deities of the naksatras beginning with Krttikā. Section 42 describes the general procedure of naksatrasnāna; sections 43-45 set out

¹²⁸²a Mrtyuūjaya mantra is the Tryambaka mantra, according to Vidyākara quoted in Jyotistatīva (p. 707). The Tryambaka mantra is 'Tryambakam yajāmahe' (Rg. VII. 59 12, Tai. S. I. 8 6 2, Vāj. S. III, 60)

¹²⁸²b. It is somewhat remarkable that Vasantarāja is entirely silent about the fall of the house lizard on a person's body and devotes thirty-two verses merely to the interpretation of the sounds of the lizard in different directions and at different times of the day and to the prognostications derived from its movements on the walls—It is not unlikely that prognostication from the fall of the lizard on a man's limb was a later development

the substances to be added to the water for each naksatrasnana and the benefit to be derived from so doing. Sections 47-50 contain special rules in relation to each naksatra from Krttikā to Bharani, about the distribution of food to brahmanas and gifts to be made on each naksatra together with the rewards to be expected therefrom. But the Brhatsamhitā chap. 47 (1-87). the Atharvana-parisista V pp. 66-68, Visnudharmottara II. 103, Yogayātrā (VII. 13-21), the Kālikāpurāna chap. 89, and Hemādri (on Vrata vol. II. pp. 600-628) speak of a śānti called Pusyasnāna or Pusyābhiseka. It is said that Brhaspati performed this santi for Indra, then Vrddha-Garga got it and he imparted it to Bhaguri. Most of the above works confine it to the king, since the king is the very root of the tree-the subjects—and since any harm to or welfare of that root (the king) results in misfortune or welfare of the people; therefore care must be taken for the increase of the king's welfare. 1283 As the treatment in the Brhatsamhitā is the fullest and is among the most ancient descriptions, it is briefly set out here. The royal astrologer and family priest should perform the rite of Pusyasnana for the king, than which there is nothing more holy and nothing more destructive of all evil portents. This may be performed on pusya-naksatra every time, but the Śānti on this day when the Full Moon is in Pusya-naksatra is the highest and if such a snāna is performed without there being Pusya naksatra on that day, then it yields only half the fruit. There is no utpata which is not averted or mitigated by this santi and there is no other auspicious rite which surpasses this. About Pusya the Ratnamālā 1284 states 'Just as the lion is the strongest among

¹²⁸³ सूर्लं मछजापिपतिः प्रजातरोस्तद्रुपघातसंस्कारात् । अञ्चभं छुमं च लोकं भवित यतोऽतो द्वपतिचिन्ता ॥ या व्याख्याता ज्ञान्तिः स्वयन्ध्रुता सुरग्रदेभिहेन्द्रार्थे। तां प्राप्य ष्टुद्ध्यर्गः प्राह्म यथा भाग्नदेः मृण्यत ॥ पुण्यरनानं द्वपतेः कर्तन्यं देवितिसुरोधाम्यास्। नातः परं पवित्रं सर्वोत्यातान्तकरमास्ति ॥ 'एतत्प्रसुर्यमानं पतिपुष्यं सुख्यशीर्षष्टिकरस्। पुण्याद्विनार्धफलदा पौषी शान्ति परा प्रोक्ता॥ नास्ति लोके स उत्पातो यो द्वानेन न शाम्यति। मङ्गलं चापरं नास्ति यदस्मादितिर्व्यते ॥ द्वहस् 47 1–3 and 82, 84, vide विष्णुप्रमोत्ति रा, 103 31–32 for the story about Indra and Bṛhaspati, मत्स्य says 'प्रजातरोर्मूलमिहावनीश-स्तद्भवणाङ्गाष्ट्रस्ति वृद्धिस्। (219 34) q. by कल्यतर् (on राजधर्मकाण्ड).

^{1284.} सिंहो यथा सर्वचतुष्पदानां तथेन पुष्पो वलनान्तद्वामा। चन्द्रे विरुद्धेष्यथ गोचरे वा सिश्यन्ति कार्याणि कतानि पुष्पे॥ स्तमाला VI. 70 According to बृहन्संहिता 103.2 if the moon is in the 1st, 3rd, 6th, 7th, 10th or 11th rasi from the rasi of birth it is auspicious and it is inauspicious in the other rasis from birth. 'स्पें. पद्विदशायिताक्षिदशयद्समाद्याश्वन्द्रमाः ''सर्वेद्युपान्ते क्रुमा. &c' Vide note 876a and p. 589 above for गोचरचन्द्र and other बहु and बृहस्सं. 103. 8-10 for the phalas of the moon being in the rasis of birth or in the rasis from the 1st to the 12th.

all quadrupeds, so is Pusya the most powerful among naksatras: undertakings begun on Pusya succeed even if the Moon he unfavourable or be gocara. Then Varāhamihira states in verv poetic verses (47. 4-15) that the Pusyasnana of the king should be performed in a sylvan spot abounding in young and beautiful trees emitting fragrance and free from trees having thorns or trees like marking nut or from owls, vultures and the like or on rivers or on lakes or near lotus pools or near a cow-house or seashore or hermitages of sages, grand mansions resounding with the sweet cries of cuckoos and the like or near a holy shrine or tirtha or a spot beautified by parks. Then the astrologer, the councillors and officiating priests should go out from the capital at night and make an offering (bali) in the East. North or North-east and the royal family priest, being himself pure and bowing down, should invoke with fried grains, aksata, barley, curds and flowers and with a mantra 1285 the gods, the (guardians of) quarters, the $n\bar{a}qas$ and brahmanas to be present at the spot and then say 'tomorrow you will receive worship and depart after conferring welfare on the king'. The family priest and the others should stay in order to find the auspicious nature or otherwise of the dreams (of the king). On the next day in the morning they should collect materials (for the Pusyasnana) on the spot chosen the previous day. He should draw a mandala (a diagram) there and should place thereon various jewels and should assign places to nagas, yaksas, gods, pitrs, gandharvas, apsarases, sages, siddhas, planets, naksatras. Rudras, the mātrs, Skanda, Visnu, Viśākha, Lokapālas, goddesses (such as Laksmī, Gauri, Indrani), which should all be drawn with charming coloured powders or chalk &c, should offer them worship with sandal wood paste, flowers &c., with various edibles, fruits and meat, with drinks viz. wine, milk and decoctions, he should follow the procedure of Grahayajña and worship the various deities invited with appropriate foods, incense, clarified butter, flowers, with lauds and salutations &c (verses 30-33). Then he should set up Agni on an altar to the west or south of the mandala drawn by him, should kindle it into flames and bring He should offer worship on near it the materials and darbhas the altar to the west with fried grams, ghee, curds, honey, white mustard, fragrant substances, flowers, incense, fruits, and should donate plates full of payasa and ghee Then in the four inter-

¹²⁸⁵ The mantra for invoking the gods is आगच्छन्तु सुरा सर्वे येऽच पूजाः भिलापिण.। दिशो नागा द्विजाधीव ये चाध्यन्येंऽशभागिन ॥ बृहस्स 47. 20.

mediate quarters of the altar he should establish four iars the necks of which are surrounded with white thread and that are covered with leaves, twigs and fruits of trees having milky sap and filled with water mixed with herbs and materials for pusyasnāna and jewels. In verses 39-42 Varāhamihira names about 15 plants the leaves 1256 of which are to be cast in the jars and also seeds and sarrausadhis, sarvagandhas, bilva fruit &c. He should spread an old bull's hide with its neck to the east on the altar and thereon he should spread the reddish hide of a bull trained for fighting, the hides of a lion and a tiger one over the other, when the Moon is in Pusya-naksatra and there is an auspicious muhūria Over the hides a throne made of gold. silver, copper or of a tree with a milky sap should be placed. The king should occupy the throne after placing thereon a piece of gold, surrounded by his ministers, men of his confidence, the family priest, the astrologer, the citizens that have auspicious names (such or Jayarāja, Simharāja &c.).

There should be loud noises (for drowning inauspicious sounds) made by the bards and citizens and of the tabors and drums and of Vedic recitations. The king should wear linen and the purohita should cover the king with a blanket, drops should be sprinkled over the king from jars, 3, 28 or 108 in number, containing clarified butter, with two mantras ¹²⁸⁷ praising its power to remove evil and then the priest removes the blanket and sprinkles on the king drops of water from water containing the substances collected for pusyasnāna with sixteen mantras ¹²⁸⁸

^{1286.} Several of the 15 plants mentioned in the Br. S. occur also in आधर्यणपरिशिष्ट V. 1-5. 2. 2. In the मत्स्यपुराण eight plants are mentioned as महीपस्यक्ष्य (viz. सहदेवी, बचा, ब्यामी, बला, अतिबला, मञ्जूपुर्णी, सिंही, सुवर्चला) that are to be employed in general for the snapana of all gods (chap. 267.14-15).

^{1287.} आउयं तेज: सम्रहिष्टमाज्यं पापहरं वरम् । आज्यं मुराणामाहार आज्ये लोकाः मितिष्टिताः ॥ भौमान्तरिक्षं दिन्यं वा यत्ते कारमप्रमागतम् । सर्वे तदाज्यसंस्पर्शात् मणाशस्यगन्छम् ॥ वृहत्सं. 47, 52–53. These are quoted by हेमाद्रि (on ब्रत vol. II. p. 615), but it is not clear from what work

^{1288.} It may be noted that Hemādri (on बत vol. II. p. 615) has the mantras of बृहत्सं. 47 55-60 in the same order and (p. 616) has also the mantras (verses 67-70 first-half). Varāha first gives a long list (55-70) of Paurānika mantras and then (in verse 71) only mentions names of groups of mantras, इत्येतैरन्येकाव्यक्तलपाहितैः सरुव्यणैः । कौडमाण्डमहारोहिणकुवेरह्वयैः समृद्धवा च ॥ बृहत्सं. 47.71. The मत्त्वपुराण has verses (93.51-56) similar to those of बराह's पौराणिक mantras.

(set out by Varāha in verses 55-70) in which gods, goddesses. sages are invited to join in the rite, besides mantras from the Atharyakalpa, 1289 the Rudragana mantras, Küsmända mantras, the Mahārauhina. Kubera-hrdaya and Samrddh verse. Then the king should take a bath and wear two cotton garments over which the three verses 'Apo hi stha' (Rg. X 9 1-3, Tai. S. IV. 1. 5. 1) and the four verses 'Hiranyavarnāh' (Tai. S. V. 6 1. 1-2. or Atharva I. 33, 1-4) have been inaudibly recited. He should sip water to the accompaniment of words like 'this is a lucky day' and to the sound of conches, should worship gods, gurus, brāhmanas, his parasol, banner, and weapons and then worship his special (or favourite) deity. Then he should put on new decorations that lead to victory, that confer long life and vigour and over which the Rāyasposa 1290 (bestowing increase of wealth) rk verses have been recited. To the south of the mandala an altar should be prepared and hides of bull, cat, ruiu deer, spotted antelope, lion and tiger should be arranged one over the other (tiger's being last) and the king should sit down on the hides. The purchita should offer in the fire in the principal place (to the south) offerings of fuel-sticks, sesame, ghee and the like to the accompaniment of rk verses addressed to Rudra, Indra, Brhaspati, Visnu and Vāyu. The astrologer should observe and

^{1289.} The Atharvana-parisista V. 3. 4-5 prescribes (p 67) the opening passage of each Veda, the mahavyabrtis (bhuh, bhuvah, svah) and five eanas as accompanying the offerings into fire, viz. ' शर्मवर्मागणखेन तथा स्याद-पराजितः । आयुष्यध्वाभयध्वेव तथा स्वस्त्ययनो गणः॥ एतान् पञ्च गणान् द्वता वाचयेत हिजीसमान्। . For शर्मवर्मागण vide आधर्वणपरि. XXXII 14 p 196 containing 22 verses, the first of which is श्रमेंबर्मी, that is अधर्व. 14 2 21. The अपराजितगण contains 15 verses beginning with 'aparajito' (vide आधर्वणपरिशिष्ट 32 13), the आयुद्यमण has 14 verses of the अध्यविद् (in आ परि XXXII 9. p 195), for two अभयगणा , vide आ परि XXXII. 12 and 29, for स्वस्त्ययनगण vide आ परि XXXII 11 कहाणा 18 आ. परि XXXII 16 or it may be Rudras in Tai. S IV 5. 1-11 The mantras are Val S 20 14-16 and Tai A II 3.1, II 4, 1 (beginning with 'yad-deva devahedanam') Vide H, of Dh vol IV. p 43 for Kusmandahoma. For Rauhma-saman vide H. of Dh. IV. p. 46 n The Kubera-hrdaya is probably the mantra in Tai A I 31, 6 (Rājādhirājāya...mahārājāya namah). It is not known which rk is called Samrddhi

^{1290.} There are many verses of the Rgveda cited in the Taittirīya-sambitā which contain the word 'rāyasposa', but as Utpala in his commentary says that the verses are six, it is probable that the verses meant are (Rg. X. 17. 9-14), the first of which ends with the words 'rāyasposam yajamāneşu dhehi'

declare the prognostications derived 1290a from the flames of the sacred Agni as laid down in the chapter on the festival of Indra's banner (Br. S. 42. 31-36). Then the purchita with folded hands should pray 1291 'May all the groups of gods receive the worship from the king and depart after conferring (on him) abundant prosperity and returning (when again invoked)'. Then the king should honour the astrologer and the purchita with plenty of wealth and (honour) according to their deserts also others, viz. men learned in the Veda and the like who are well worthy of receiving gifts. Then the king should assure safety to all subjects, (order the) release of all animals taken to slaughter houses and release all persons put in jail except those guilty of offences against the king's person or his harem. Varāhamihira adds (47.85) that this procedure of Pusyasnāna is commended for a king who aspires after imperial dignity or who ardently desires a son or when he is first crowned king. He further states that this very procedure of ceremonial bath should be employed in the case of the king's elephants and horses that then become free from diseases (verse 87).

A few remarks from other works may be added here. The Yoga-yātrā (chap. VII. 13-14) lays down 1292 that in the ceremonial bath clay should be collected from a mountain top, ant-hill, a river mouth, the two banks of a river, the foot of the Indra figure (in the Indradhvaja festival), (clay) dug up by the tusk of an elephant and by a bull's horn, (clay) from the royal palace-door and from the door of the house of a dancing girl (patronized by the king) and the king's head should be purified by rubbing on it the clay from a mountain top, his ears with

¹²⁹⁰ a. One verse from chap. 42 about the auspicious indications conveyed by Agni flaming up by itself (i. e without being fanned etc) at the end of the shout 'svāhā' (when pūrnāhuti is offerred) and having its flames turned towards the right is cited here: स्वाहावसानसमये स्वयग्रुज्जलाचिः स्तिग्धः प्रदक्षिणाशिखो हुतसुग् स्वप्य । गङ्गादिवाकारस्रताजलचार्रहारां धात्री ससद्भरज्ञनां वज्ञगां करोति॥ बृहत्सं 42 32, compare तस्मै सम्यग्रुतो बह्निर्वाजिनीराजनाविधौ । प्रदक्षिणाचिध्याजिन हस्तेनेव जयं ददौ ॥ रचुवंज्ञ IV.25 In the योगयाज्ञा (chapter 8) fifteen verses are devoted to अग्निनिमित्तs of which verses 11–15 are the same as चृहत्सं 42.32–36.

^{1291.} The prayer is well-known: यान्तु देवगणाः सर्वे पूजामादाय पार्थिवात्। सिद्धिं दस्त्रा तु विषुलां पुनरागमनाय च ॥ बृहस्तं. 47. 79

^{1292.} गिरिवल्मीकनदीसुखकूलस्यशकपादमुद्भिरतः । द्विपद्यपभविपाणपार्थिवगणिका-द्वाराद्धृताभिश्व ॥ गिरिक्तिखरसृदा मूर्घानं वत्मीकमृदा च शौचयेत्कर्णो । नद्यभयकूलसङ्गमसृद्धिः मक्षालयेत्पार्श्व ॥ इन्द्रस्थानाद् श्रीवा वाह्न करिद्यपभयोर्विषाणाद्यात् । द्वदयं च द्वपद्वारात्किमिप वेदयागृहद्वारात् ॥ योगयात्रा VII. 13-15.

clay from an ant-hill, his sides with clay from the two banks of a river and from its confluence with the sea, his neck with clay from the foot of the Indra figure, his arms with earth on the tip of the elephant's tusk and the bull's horns, his chest with earth from the door of the palace and his waist with clay from a royal courtezan's door. It will be noticed that there is a suggestive symbolism in all this. The king is to hold his head high and to attain eminence, therefore his head is to be rubbed with clay from a mountain peak,

It appears that naksatrasnāna was meant for all. For example, the Atharvana-parisista¹²⁹³ on naksatrakalpa (sec. 43 p. 22) states that a Vaisya should undergo ceremonial bath on Rohini, being decked with all seeds, while verse 6 of the same section maintains that a brāhmana who undergoes Pusyasnāna thrice with a thousand grains of red paddy and with a thousand mustard grains and with (twigs and leaves) of Sahasravīryā, Anantī plants and with Madayantī and Priyangu plants acquires as much fame as a king.

From the Matsya-purāna it is clear 1291 that Pusyasnāna was an item in Laksahoma, undertaken by the king to counteract the evil effects of planets. That Purāna has a chapter (267. verses 3-4) on Devatāsnāna 1295 where also provision is made for casting clay into the jar, clay being collected from the tusk of an elephant, from a horse (stable), public road, ant-hill, (clay) dug up by a boar (with its tusk), from the shed where sacred fire is kept, from a tirtha (holy spot), cowpen and from the place where cows crowd.

The Visnudharmottara (II. 103. 1–10) provides that Barhaspatyasnana (i. e. Pusyasnana, Brhaspati being the presiding deity of Pusya) should be performed in the bright half, in the

^{1293.} रोहिण्यां स्नापयेद्देश्य सर्वशीजेरलक्कृत्वस् । '''रक्तशान्तिसहस्रेण तावद्धिर्गीर-सर्वर्प.। सहस्रीर्यानस्त्या च मद्दयन्ती-व्रियज्ञुनिः। चीन् पुष्यात् ब्राह्मण स्नातः पार्थिवं लभते यहा ॥ आ परि (नक्षचक्तरप, sec 42 verses 3 and 6, p. 22).

^{1294.} पुत्वा इतसहस्रं तु पुष्यस्नानं समाचरेत् । फुम्भैः पोउझसंरपेश्र सिएरण्यैः सुमद्गरे ॥ स्नापयेश्वजमान तु तत् झान्तिर्भविष्यति । एउ छते तु यस्तिचित् ग्रहपीटासगुद्धवयः ॥ तस्तर्भ नाशमायाति दत्ता चै दक्षिणा दृष ॥ मत्स्य 239. 12–14 q. by फुत्यक्रत्प॰ (on शान्तिक) folio 12a

^{1295.} गजान्यरथ्यातस्मीकवराहोत्तातमण्टलात् । अम्प्यगारात्त्रधा सीर्घाद् झजाडी-मण्टलाद्यि । कुम्मे तु मृत्तिका दश्यात् उद्दश्तामीति मन्त्रतित् । मस्य 267 3-4. The मन्त्र १६ : उद्दश्तासि पराष्ट्रण कुम्णेन कातपाद्वमा । भूमिधेत्वर्षरणी लोकधारिणी ॥ It occurs in मैतिरीपारण्यक X, 1. 8,

northern passage of the sun, on an auspicious day, naksatra and muhurta or when the moon is in Pusya or Rohini naksatra or in Hasta or Śravana: two square mandalas of eight cubits on each of four sides should be made, one for offering bals and the other for an altar on which a fire is to be established, both being decked with various things. Four jars with waters of springs should be placed in each of the two mandalas, and also seven jars filled with seeds, corn and precious stones, one for being decked with leaves of trees and the other with flowers and fruits. It appears (verses 12-13) that the Visnudharmottara contemplates Pusyasnana for the three higher varnas, 1296 since it provides that a brahmana should sit on the hide of a bull as his seat, a keatrive may employ the hides of a lion and tiger and a vaisya on the hides of a tiger and leopard. It provides mantras other than those mentioned by Varahamihira. provides that this rite of Pusyasnana should go on for seven days and that the person undergoing it should give up during those days wine, meat, honey and sexual intercourse (verse 29). This Pusyasnana drives away all ill-luck, destroys evil spirits, brightens the intellect, confers health, brilliance and fame, kills enemies, is auspicious. destroys sins and the evil effects of strife and bad dreams (verses 30-31).

It should be borne in mind that a rite on Pusya for prosperity called Pusya-vrata is mentioned by so early a work as the Apastambadharma-sūtra (for which p 346 above may be referred to).

In Brhadyogayātrā (chap. XIII. 1-10), Matsyapurāna (chap 241. 1-14) and in Vasantarāja (chap. VI. 4. 1-14 pp. 87-92) a good deal is said about prognostications derived from the throbbing (spandāna or sphurana) of the several parts of a man's body. All the three often closely agree in words and ideas as the notes below will clearly show. There is hardly any doubt that Vasantarāja's treatment is based on the other two works. It is difficult to decide whether Varāhamihira borrows from the Matsya or vice versa or whether both borrow from a common source. It is not unlikely that Varāhamihira might

¹²⁹⁶ विषस्य स्नातुकामस्य सौरमार्पभकं शुभम्। क्षत्रियस्य तथा सीहं वैयाद्वरं च तथा विश्वः ॥ हीपिचर्म च वैद्यस्य स्नाप्यस्तत्रोपवेदायत् । प्रशस्तलक्षणां भायी वामभागे तथैव च ॥ विष्णुधर्मोत्तर II 103, 12-13, I am not sure what सौर means here, probably 11 means (dedicated to the Sun or to gods).

have followed the Matsya. They all agree that throbbing 1297 of the right side of the body is auspicious and of the left inauspicious in the case of males, while the reverse is true in the case of women. They all set out the consequences indicated by the throbbing of the several parts and limbs of the body from the top of the head to the soles of the feet. To set out all these in detail would occupy much space. A few are mentioned by way of illustration. The fruit indicated by the throbbing of the top of the 1298 head is the acquisition of land (or earth), of the forehead, the prosperity of the position already occupied; of the region between the eyebrows and nose, union with persons dear to one; of the region of the eye, death, of the portion near the eve the acquisition of wealth, of the upper arm, union with friends: of the hand, the acquisition of wealth; of the back, defeat: of the chest, success: of the upper part of the foot, the securing of a position; of the soles, journey with gain therein. The Matsya-purana 1299 prescribes that when inauspicious indications (by throbbing) occur, brāhmanas should be placated with the gift of gold.

From ancient times the throbbing of the limbs, particularly of the arm and eye, have been regarded in India as the harbingers of coming events, auspicious or inauspicious. Manu prohibits a sannyāsın (parıvrājake) from obtaining alms by means of declaring prognostications from utpātas or nimitas, from naksatras and from Angavidyā etc In the Śākuntala

¹²⁹⁷ अङ्गदक्षिणभागे हा सस्तं प्रस्कुरणं भवेत्। अप्रशस्तं तथा वाने पृष्ठस्य हृद्वयस्य स्व ""विपर्ययेण विहितः सर्वं श्लीणा फलागमः। मत्स्य 241. 2 and 12 दक्षिणपार्थस्यन्द्वनमिन्धास्ये तत्कर्लक्षयो वाने। बृहद्योगं प्राप्ता 1, पुसा सदा दक्षिणदेहभागे श्लीणा च वामावयवेषु लाभः। स्वन्दाः फलानि प्रदिशन्स्यवस्यं निहन्ति चोक्ताङ्गविपर्ययेण॥ वसन्तराज VI, 4 10, p 90.

^{1298.} पृथ्वीलाओ भवेन्यूष्टिंन ललाटे रविनन्द्न । स्थानं विद्यद्भिमायाति भूतसो मिय-सङ्ग ॥ सुरक्कलिव्धाक्षदेशे ह्युपान्ते धनागम । " सुह्त्त्मेह्थ्य बाहुम्या इस्ते चैव धनागम । एष्टे पराजय सखो जयो वक्ष स्थले भवेत् । ' उत्तमं स्थानमामोति पद्मन्या मरकुरणान्द्वए । सलाभं घाध्वगमनं भवेरपाद्मतले द्वप ॥ सत्स्य 241. 4-5, 8, compare बृह्योग॰ XIII. 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 पृथ्वीलामः शिरसि स्थानविद्यद्विर्ललाटेसात् (१ ललाटदेशे स्थात्) । भूनासिकान्तरे पियसमागमे मृत्युलिव्धरिक्षामे । ह्युपान्तेऽर्धाति पूर्वं ज्ञेयात्र चोत्कण्या ॥ "असे भोगविद्यद्विर्भूनत दृष्टेन संयोग ॥ हस्तेर्थाति पृष्टे पराजयो वक्षास स्मृतो विजयः । "देशैकदेशनाशो जहात्रा स्थानः लिव्धरह्मध्रपरि। अध्वमानं सलाभं स्थानं लिव्धरह्मध्रपरि। अध्यमानं सलाभं स्थानं विचयन्त्रमे त्या सूर्यि स्कुरत्याखु पृथिव्धवाति स्थानपद्विद्ध ललाटदेशनात् । भून्याणमध्ये मियसङ्गम स्थानासाक्षिमध्ये च सहायलामः ॥ स्थन्देश स्थानपद्वित्य ललाटदेशमाय स्थन्दे अन्तर्यस्यमायमाय स्थन्दे करस्य द्विणातिहेत् । स्थन्देश प्रवस्य पराजयाय स्थन्दे अन्तर्यस्यमागमाय स्थन्दे करस्य द्विणातिहेत् । स्थन्देश प्रवस्य पराजयाय स्थन्दे जयायोरसि मानवानाम् ॥ "स्थानातिहस्य चरणस्य कम्ये यात्रा सलाभाद्यमितलप्रकर्म॥ वसन्तराज VI. 4. 2, 5, 9 pp 87-90

^{1299.} अनिप्टचिद्धोपगमे द्विजाना कार्ये सुवर्णन तु तर्पणं स्यात्। मत्स्य 241. 14.

Kālidāsa twice mentions the throbbing of the arm of the hero as indicative of good fortune and the throbbing of the right eye of Śakuntalā as presaging¹³⁰⁰ misfortune. Shakespeare in "Othello" makes Desdemona speak about the itching of her eyes as a bad omen. The Brhad-yogayātrā (XIII. 10), Br. S. (51.10) and Vasantarāja declare that the throbbing of moles, boils, marks, eruptions (on limbs) are to be deemed to indicate consequences similar to the limbs on which they exist.¹³⁰¹

The Brhatsamhitā (chap 93 verses 1-14), Brhadyogayātrā (chap. 21 verses 1-32 1-21) and Yogayātrā (chap. 10 verses 1-75) dwell at length on the prognostications to be drawn from the arrangement of the tusks of elephants, from the marks seen when the tusks are cut, from the tired appearance and movements of elephants, particularly when the king is to march on an invasion, since as the Yogayātrā says the king's victory depends on elephants. These, however, do not describe any šānti and are hence passed over here as not relevant to the subject of šāntis. But the Agnipurāna (chap. 291 verses 1-24), Visnudharmottara (II. 50. 1-93), Baud. gṛḥyaśesasūtra I. 20 and Hemādri (on Vrata, vol. II. pp. 1036-1051) prescribe śāntis for removing diseases of elephants and therefore a brief note is added here from Baud. gṛḥyaśesa-sūtra, which is probably the earliest and simplest description of a Gajaśānti.

"On the 8th or 11th or 14th tithi of the bright half of a month or on Śravana naksatra, the owner should feed brāhmanas,

¹³⁰⁰ Vide मन्न VI. 50 न चोत्पातिनिमित्ताम्यां न नक्षत्राङ्गविद्यया। नान्नशासन-वादाम्यां भिक्षां लिप्सेत कार्ष्ट्रित्य ॥. The commentators give different explanations of अङ्गविद्या. Probably it means सामुद्धिक or lore about the indications from the throbbing of the several limbs. A work Called Abgavijja edited by Muni Punyavijayaji is published by the Prakrit Text Society at Banaras. It speaks of eight kinds of निमित्तर थाट. अङ्ग, स्वम, लक्षण, स्वप्रजन, स्वम, चिङ्ग, भौम and आन्तरिक्ष. For कालिदास, vide ज्ञान्तिमस्माश्रमपदं स्क्रुरित च चाहुः कुतः फलमिहास्य। ज्ञाकुन्तल I. 11; मनौरधाय नाजसे किं बाहो स्पन्दसे वृथा। ज्ञाकुन्तल VII, 13; ज्ञाकुन्तल। —अहो किं से वामेतरक्षयनं विस्फुरित। ज्ञाकुन्तल Act V alter verse 11.

^{1301,} इति पिटकविभागः प्रोक्त आ सृष्टेतीयं व्रणतिलक्कविभागोप्येवमेव प्रकल्पः। भवति महाकल्पानापि तद्विभादितफलकारि प्राणिनां देवसंश्यम् । वृहत्सं. 51. 10, महाकं तिलकं पिटकं वापि व्रणमथ चिद्धं किसपि कदापि। स्कुरति पदान्यधितिष्ठति यावत्स्यात् पूर्वोक्तं फलमि तावत्॥ वसन्तराज VI. 4 11, p. 91.

^{1302.} Verses 8-13 of the Br. S. 93 are the same as the verses of Brhadyogayatra 21.9-14.

^{1303.} आरिराधियपुर्नराधिपं वारणाश्रितिमदं शुभाशुभम् । ज्ञेयमाद्रस्वतो निपश्चिता नारणेपु स्पतेर्जयः स्थितः ॥ योगयात्रा X. 1

make them declare 'it is an auspicious day, let there be welfare. let there be prosperity,' should first (or 'towards the east') take out for offering havis sesame and rice grains, bring water after repeating the Gayatri verse (Rg. III. 62, 10), should cover two jars with a new piece of cloth with the Gayatri, should close the mouths of the jars with some fruit (a cocoanut or the like) and keep the boiled food towards the west and place (the two jars) on a bunch of five durvas. Then after the elephant stable is decked with wreaths of darbhas the elephant inhales the smell of the food offered in fire. He then makes ready a spoon of Asyattha wood and fuel and darbha grass. Then he goes through the items of ordinary homa 1304 from drawing lines onwards and offers oblations of the boiled food with ghee to the accompaniment of the Ghrtasükta 1305 (Rg. VIII, 81, 1-9). Then (the priest) offers 1008 additional abutes with clarified butter with the five mantras beginning with 'namas-te Rudra manyave' (O Rudra I adoration to you that are fury incarnate' Tai. S. IV. 5. 1. 1-5). Then the procedure from Svistakrt offering to the gift of the cow (should be gone through). He puts down on bunches of durva grass the remaining portion of the food from which offerings were made in front of the sacred Agni with the words 'svaha to the bhutas' (spirits). Then he (priest) makes (the elephant) eat what remains of the food cooked in the sthuli (pan or cooking vessel) and the bunch of five durvas (on which the jars had been placed) to the accompaniment of the Ayusyasūkta; 1306 he sprinkles drops from the pranītā 1307 water with the

¹³⁰⁴ Vide H, of Dh, vol. II pp 207-211 for the description of a model homa.

¹³⁰⁵ It may be noted that the first verse of the Ghrtasūkta 15 'आ तू न इन्द्र क्षमन्त चित्रं धार्म सं ग्रमाय। महाहस्ती दक्षिणेन॥: Here the word महाहस्ती means 'great elephant' and also 'having long or big arms' (as applied to Indra) and therefore is employed in the Gajasanti, following the principle mentioned in note 1276 above Vide n. 1218 above about घृतस्त

^{1306.} The आयुष्पस्क is the खिलस्क 'आयुष्प वर्षस्य ' occurring after Rg. X. 128. The आयुष्पस्कि 32 9 p. 195 declares what the आयुष्पम्प contains (from Atharvaveda). The कोशिकसूत्र 52. 18 says that Atharva I. 30. 1—4 are आयुष्पम्पि (conferring long life). Vide सार्यपर्शन on आप थ. V. 12. 9 which regards the (first) eight verses of the खिलस्कं 'आयुष्प वर्षस्य ' as constituting आयुष्पस्क The com of Nārāyana on आप थ III 8.16 (आयुष्प तिति स्केन माण कण्डे अतिसुष्प &c) says that आयुष्पस्क here is the खिलस्कं (of three verses) beginning with नेजनेष after Rg X 184.

^{, 1307.} प्रणीता is water kept in a vessel to the north of the fire after repeating a mantra over it.

three verses beginning with 'āpo hi sthā' (Rg. X. 9. 1-3, Tai, S. IV. 1, 3. 1-3) and the four verses beginning with 'Hiranyavarṇāḥ' (Tai, S. V. 6. 1. 1-4), purifies (the elephant with water) to the accompaniment of the anuvāka beginning with 'pavamānah suvarjanah' (Tai. Br. I. 4. 8. 1); he then leads the elephant to its place (stable); the elephant becomes long-lived; so says the adorable Baudhāyana."

The Gajasanti in Agnipurana (chap. 291) is entirely different from the above. One should worship on the 5th tithi of the bright half Visnu, Laksmi, the Airāvata 1308 elephant and the other elephants (in all eight) and Brahmā. Śankara, Indra. Kubera. Yama, the Sun and the Moon, Varuna, Vayu, Agni, the Earth and Akāśa. The elephants should be sprinkled with śantyudaka (propitiatory water). The Agnipurana proposes an elaborate worship, on a lotus-shaped diagram drawn on an altar, of several gods, several weapons, sages, rivers and mountains &c., elephants &c. and provides that an astrologer should ride the principal elephant of the king and say into its ear 'you are made the chief of elephants, the king will honour you with gandha, flowers, best food and people at the order of the king will honour you; you should protect the king in battle, in his journey and in his palace. You should forget that you are a mere beast and revolve in your mind that you are divine!' &c. The king should then ride such an elephant and armed warriors should follow and various gifts should be made to the elephant keeper, the astrologer, the acarya &c.

The Visnudharmottara (II. 50. 1-93) is far more elaborate than even the Agnipurāna. Its verses about the words to be muttered in the ear of the elephant (II. 50. 59-64) are the same as those in the Agni (291, 15-20). The Visnudharmottara adds (II. 50. 68-70) that this sānti should not be performed on the 4th, 9th or 14th tithi nor on Tuesday nor on Saturday and the commended naksatras are Jyesthā, Citrā and Śravana and that this sānti rite for elephants destroys all danger to elephants.

Hemādri (on Vrata vol. II. pp. 1036-1051) contains a long Gajašānti said to have been declared by Pālakāpya. Many of

^{1308.} According to the Amarakośa, the eight elephants of the quarters are Airāvata, Pundarika, Vāmana, Kumuda, Añjana, Puspadanta, Sārvabhauma and Supratīka Vide Udyogaparva 103. 9-16 and Dronaparva 121. 25-26 for the numerous progeny of these semi-divine elephants. The Visuudharmottara (II. 50, 10-11) names eight diggajas, but drops Sārvabhauma from the Amarakośa list and substitutes Nīla.

those verses occur in the Hastyäyurveda (Anandäśrama ed. chapters 35 and 36. Considerations of space and of importance prevent any description of that śānti derived from the Hastyäyurveda.

The Brhatsamhitī (chap 92.1-14), the Brhadyogayātra (22.1-21) and the Yogayātrā (XI.1-14) deal with the movements neighing, prancing, striking the ground with hoofs, postures of horses from which lucky or unlucky consequences are to be inferred. But they do not describe any šāuti and therefore are passed over. The Agnipurāna (chap 290, 1-8), the Visnudharmottara (II. 47. 1-42), Baud. grhyśesasūtra I. 19 and Hemādri (on vrata, vol. II. pp 1031-36 taken from Śālihotra) describe a šāntī which averts all dangers to horses and removes their diseases.

The santi from Baudhayana is as follows:- "having carried out the usual details of an ordinary home from drawing the lines onwards (the priest) offers into Agni oblations from the cooked food after reciting the puronuväkyä (invitatory prayer) 'tadaśvināśvayujopayātām' (May the Aśvins that yoke horses come near, Tai. Br III. 1. 2. 10) and the yaiya (offering prayer) 'yau devanam bhisajau' ('that are physicians of the gods', Tai. Br. III. 1. 2. 11). Then he makes additional offerings with clarified butter 'svāhā to Asvins, svāhā to the two that yoke horses, stāhā to the ear, svāhā to hearing' (Tai Br. III. 1. 6. 13) Then he performs all details from svistakrt offering to the gift of a cow. Then he puts down on Asvattha leaves in front of Agni the food remaining after the offering of cooked food with the mantra 'yo asyatthah ... ketubhih saha ' (Tai Br. I. 2.1.8-He brings together in a plate the water with which the sthall is washed, the remainder of the clarified butter and of the water, sprinkles drops from it with an Asvattha twig and goes round the horses thrice with his right towards the horses with the anuvāka beginning with 'yo vā asvasya medhyasya lomāni veda' (he who knows the hair of the holy horse) So said the adorable Baudhāvana,"

Santis are connected with salamas also. The word sakuna means 'a bird' in the Rgveda (IV. 26. 6, IX 86 13, IX. 96. 19 and 23, IX. 107. 20, IX. 112 2, X. 68. 7, X. 123. 6, X. 165. 2) and is a synonym of Salama (Rg II. 42. 1, II. 43. 2 and 3) and salamati (Rg II. 42. 3, II. 43. 1) In Rg X. 16. 6 (yat te krsnah sakuna ātutoda) the crow is referred to as 'the dark bird'. It has been seen above that birds like kapota were regarded even

Śakunas 805

in the Rgveda as harbingers of impending evil and misfortune. Hence the word sakuna gradually came to mean premonition of evil conveyed by cries, movements, positions of birds and then any prognosticatory sign (not necessarily connected with birds). There is an extensive literature on sakunas. Some of it is mentioned here, viz. the Matsyapurāna (chap. 237, 241, 243), Agnipurāna (chap. 230-232), Visnudharmottarapurāna II. 163-164, Padmapurāna (IV. 100. 65-126), Brhat-samhitā (chap. 85-95), Brhad-yogayātrā (chap. 23-27), Yogayātrā (chap. 14), the Nimitta of Bhadrabāhu (ms. in Bhau Daji collection of BBRAS No. 385 in Prof. Velankar's Catalogue pp. 126-127), Vasantarāja-sākuna, 1309 Mānasollāsa of king Someśvara Cālukya (1126-1138)

1309. Vasantaraja's work on 'sakunas' was published by the Venkatesvara Press, Bombay (1906 A D), with the Sanskrit commentary of Bhanucandragani, who was patronized by Emperor Akbar (in the latter half of the 16th century A.D) and a Hindi translation by Sridhar Jatasankara Bhatta. As Vasantarāja 18 quoted by Adbhuta-sāgara which was begun in saka 1089 (1167 A. D.), it follows that Vasantaraja would have to be placed before 1100 A. D. He is probably not much earlier than 700 A. D. as he does not appear to have been mentioned by Utpala in his extensive commentaries on Varāhamīhira's works Vasautarāja tells us (in the Introductory verses 3-5) that he was the son of Vijayarajabhatta and Sarasvati and the younger brother of Sivaraja, that he was honoured by king Candradeva (king of Mithila, acc to the Com.) and composed the work on sakuna at the request of that king. He mentions (I 10) Cūdāmani, jyotisa-sastra, horā-sastra and Svarodaya and (in I. 27) informs us that Atri, Garga, Guru, Sukra, Vasistha, Vyasa, Kautsa, Bhrgu and Gautama were the principal ancient sages that declared the knowledge of sakunas for the benefit (of human beings). Cūdāmani is rather an early work quoted in the Sārāvalī (5. 20 and 39. 8) of Kalyanavarman in the same breath with Varahamibira.

The Nimitta attributed to Bhadrabahu is an extensive work containing 26 chapters and about 1460 verses. It is described in the colophon at the end as 'naıgranthe (nairgranthe?) Bhadrabahuke Nımitte svapnadhyayah'. The first chapter states that in the time of king Senajit at Rajagrha in Magadha pupils asked Bhadrabahu to expound to them the nimittas and he did so. He is styled (in chap. II 1) a digambara and the best of sramanas. The contents of chapters 2 to 26 are; Ulka (meteors), halo, lightning, evening glow, clouds, winds and hurricanes, rain-fall, gandharvanagara (Fata Morgana), march of kings on invasion, portents affecting the king and the country due to the ripening of actions in former lives (chap XIV has 177 verses on this), grabacara as declared by Juna (chap XV, 227 verses), the prognosticatory movements of Venus, Saturn, Jupiter, Mercury, Mars, Rahu, Ketu, the Sun and the Moon; grahayuddha; conjunctions of planets; dreams. It appears that the work is later than Varahamihira. As it is not within the pale of Dharmasastra Literature and hardly ever describes a santi in the way in which the Puranas and medieval dharmasastra works provide it has been referred to in this work only rarely,

A. D.) II. 13 pp., 102-112. Adbbutasagara (which profusely cuotes Vasantarāja's work). Rājanītiprakāša (pp. 345-347). Among these Vasantarāja-šākuna is the most comprehensive work on the subject of sakunas and has been cuoted by the Adbhutasagara and other later works. A brief account of the work would not be out of place and is given here. It is divided into twenty purgas (sections) and contains in different metres 1525 verses (as stated in va-oa IL 12) It states: it would declare the sakuras 221 indicated in this world by groups of beings viz bipeds (men and birds), cuadrupeds (elephants, horses &c.), six-footed (bees), eight-footed (the mythical animal sarahha), beings having many feet (such as a scorpion) and having no feet (such as snakes): that is called faluna which is the means of arriving at definite knowledge about auspicious or insuspicious consequences viz movement (to the left, to the right &c.), the scands or cries (of birds and beasts), their glances and activities. A person who is an expert in satura-Sastra, knowing that a certain object of his would involve difficulties or would be without difficulties, abandons it or begins it respectively. The work boasts that if it be well studied it requires no expounder, no mathematics and that by merely studying it, the reader acquires pleasant knowledge that yields rewards. The work repeats the view of Varahamihira (in Brhatsamhitā 85.5 and on p. 558 above in n. 827) that sakunas indicate tomen, whether going on a journey or residing in their houses, how the consequences of actions come in past lives will certainly bear fruit. He answers the objection that, if no man can escape his fate and has to reap the rewards of his past actions, this sastra is of no use, by saying that the actions of former lives bear fruit only at certain times and places and a man can avoid the results of past actions just as he avoids snakes, fire, poison,

^{1310.} अहीर्देश विश्वविदेश परिनम्बर्ग महाशाकुनसारवृता.। सहस्रतेनं लिह ष्टवर्तन्त्री इया सुगद्दानि शतानि पञ्चा रसन्तराज II. 12.

^{1311.} द्विष्वचुणद्वयन् स्वान्तार्यन्ते स्वान्तार्यस्य । यान्त्रम् व्यान्तार्यः स्कृत्यानि । द्वानार्यस्य स्वान्तार्यः स्वान्तार्यः । स्वान्तारः । स्वान्ता

thorns and other (dangerous) things and that if fate alone be the deciding factor what is the use of the science of politics and government by following which wise kings protect the world with great effort? Learned men declare that dawa (fate) is only the karma produced (accumulated) in past lives; the karma in past lives was acquired by human effort: how can one then say that daiva does not depend on human effort? The subjects in the 20 agraes and the verses allotted to each by Vasantaraia may be briefly set down at one place: 1. Sastrapratistha (establishment of the sakunasāstra by reasoning, verses 31); Sastrasangraha, 13 verses (the statement of the contents of chapters and verses); 3. abhyarcana, verses 31 (how to honour the guru who expounds the sakunasastra and to offer worship to the birds and the eight lokapalas according to the procedure laid down by sages): 4. miśraka, verses 72 (general directions such as that the person out of several with reference to whom a sakuna may be examined up to what distance a sakuna operates. if many beings exhibit prognostications which should be followed. what birds and animals are powerful in what directions as to śakunas; śānta, dagdha and other directions); 5. śubhāśubha (auspicious and inauspicious things and sights) verses 16: 6. narengua, verses 50 (the appearance, dress, gestures, speech. throbbing of the limbs of men and women that are auspicious or inauspicious); 7. śyāmāruta (chirpings of the bird called śyāmā. female cuckoo) 400 verses (worship of two images of the bird practically as a deity, the auspicious or inauspicious sounds. activities, motions with reference to invasion, coronation, peace and war, victory, marriage, rainfall, crops); 8. Paksivicāra, verses 57 (prognostications from the cries, sight, glances, movements of several birds such as swan, crane, cakravāka, parrot, mainā, peacock, kapinjala, vulture, hawk, owl, pigeon, cock); 9. Cāśa (the blue jay) verses 5; 10. khañjana (wagtail), verses 27; 11. karāpikā (a kind of crane), verses 11; 12. kākaruta (the cawing of crows) verses 181; 13. Pingalikāruta (cries of an owl-like bird), verses 200, 14, catuspada (four-footed animals like elephant, horse, ass, bull, buffalo, cow and shebuffalo, goat and sheep, camel, musk-rat, rat, monkey, cat, jackal), verses 50; 15. six-footed, many footed and snakes (verses 13); 16. Pipilikā (ants), verses 15; 17. Palli-vicāra (lizard also called kudyamatsya and grhagodhikā), verses 32; 18. Svacestita (barking and other actions of dogs), verses 222; 19. Šivāruta (howling of female jackals), verses 90; 20. Šāstraprabhāva (the importance of this sakunasāstra), verses 24.

A noticeable feature of Vasantarāja's work is that more than half of it (781 verses) is devoted to the sounds 1312 made by three birds viz. Śvāmā (400 verses), crow (187) Pingalikā (200) and that 312 verses are devoted to the barking, movements and howling of dogs (verses 222) and female packals (verses 90). It is remarkable that among the Saktas it is believed that a female jackal is a messenger of Käli and is auspicious and on hearing its howl in the early morning a person should offer salutation and then success is in the hands of the sadhaka. The brief contents set out above will show that he extends the meaning of sakuna so as to include prognostications based on the actions of men and beasts. He himself says at the end that 1313 is sakuna. which in this world is remembered, heard, touched or seen or which is declared in dreams, since they all vield results. He claims that the system of sakuras is as authoritative as the Vedas, smrtis and puranas, since it never fails to convey correct knowledge. 1314 Some of his interesting statements may be briefly set out. If an owl hoot at night on the top of a house that portends 1315 sorrow and the death of the owner's son (VIII. 40). This is in line with modern popular belief in India. The cawing of crows is at the head of all prognosticatory sounds 'The barking of dogs is the essence among all sakunas.' The Brhad-vogavātrā 1316 provides that certain animals and birds are useless for prognostications at certain seasons viz. the roluta (red) deer, horse, goat, ass, deer, camel, hare, are useless in winter (sisira): crow and cuckoo are

^{1312.} लाभदक्षिलिचिलीतिनिमाद श्लिश्लिनिम्हापि तथैव। "'स्याद्भयाय नियमेन चिचीति नि सनिश्विल्कुनाद इहार्यः। कद्यदक्षिरिचिरीति विरावश्वीकुचीकु इति वैन्य-विधायी। वसन्त॰ 7.52-54 pp. 119-120 How an ordinary man could distinguish between the sound 'cilicili' (portending gains), cici (portending danger), circin (portending trouble) and cikuciku (portending a wretched state) is difficult to say. Similarly, the Pingalā bird is supposed to make five sounds viz. किन्, किचि, किचिचि, किचिकितिचित्र (वसन्तराज 13. 27-28) with different consequences,

^{1313.} यद्क्ति किंचिजगतीह वस्तु स्मृतं श्रुतं सृष्टमधापि हृष्टम् । स्वमान्तराद्यस्पति-पादितं तत्फलमङ्खाच्छकुनं बद्दन्ति ॥ वसन्त॰ 20, 2 p. 513

¹³¹⁴ वेदाः प्रमाणं रसृतयः प्रमाणं लोके पुराणानि यथा प्रमाणम् । विशुद्धषोधाम्यभि॰ चारिआवात्तथा प्रमाणं राष्ट्रनायमोऽयम्॥ वसन्त॰ 20. 8 p. 517

¹³¹⁵ राजी गृहस्योपरि भाषमाणी टु:खाय घुक स्रुतमृत्यवे च । वसन्तः 8. 40. p 245.

^{1316.} शेहिताश्वाजवालेयकुरङ्गोद्धमुगा शञा । निष्फला शिशिरे ज्ञेया वसन्ते काक कोकिली ॥ न तु भाद्रपदे ब्राह्मा स्करश्वहकाद्दयः। शरबञ्जादिगोक्षीखाः श्रावणे हस्तिचातकौ ॥ व्यावर्क्षवानरद्वीपिमहिषाः सविलेक्षया । हमन्ते निष्फला ज्ञेया वालाः सर्वे विमाद्धवाः ॥ व्वद्योगयाचा (ms.) chap. 23 22–24

useless in spring; boar, dog, wolf and the like should not be relied upon in Bhādrapada; in Śarad (autumn) lotuses (or conch), bull and birds like krauūca are useless; in Śrāvana month, the elephant and cātaka bird; in Hemanta 'early winter), tiger, bear, monkey, leopard, buffalo and all animals resorting to holes (like snakes) are useless and so are all young ones except of human beings. Vasantarāja in IV. 47-48 pp. 56-57 has the same provisions almost word for word. Vasantarāja's work appears to have been based mainly on Varāhamihira's Bṛhat-samhitā section on sakuna, chapters 85 to 95 (321 verses) in which Varāhamihira devotes 47 verses in chap. 85 to the cries of birds in general, deals with the movements and barking of dogs (88. 1-20) and female jackals (89. 1-15), the cries of crows (62 verses in chap. 94), to horses (92. 1-15), elephants (94. 1-14) and cows (90. 1-3).

Vasantarāja states 1317 that there are five excellent ones in the matter of sakunas viz the Podaki bird, dog, crow, pingala bird and the female iackal. Sarasvatī is the presiding deity of podaki, Yaksa (Kubera) of dog, eagle of crow, Candi of pingalikā and the friend of Pārvatī of the female jackal. He further says that all animals and birds are presided over by deities, therefore a person who interprets sakunas should not kill them. as deities presiding over them might become angry. His statements about 'upasruti' (oracular voices or words) are worth citing. 'At the time of pradosa or about the morning twilight when people are hardly speaking anything, a person that is ready to undertake any matter (business) should consider everywhere oracular voices. What a child says without being prompted to do so would not turn out to be untrue even at the end of a Yuqa. No such easily understood and true sakuna exists for men other than upaśruti 'Both Mānasollāsa (II. 13. verses 920-926 pp. 112-113) and Vasantarāja (VI. pp. 78-80 verses 5-12) describe a curious mode of divining the future called 'upasruti'. 1318 'When all people are asleep and the public

^{1317.} पोदकी भवणकाकपिङ्गला जम्बुकाभियतमा च पञ्चमी। एतद्व सुनिसत्तमेः सदा कीरपेते शकुनरत्नपञ्चकम्।। सरस्तती पाण्डविकामधाना यक्षोपि यक्ष गरुडश्च काकम्। चण्डी एनः पिङ्गलिकां सदैव शिवां शिवादृत्यधितिष्ठतीत्। वसन्तराज III 3-4, pp 22-23, कालिका-एराणम्। यः शिवाविकतं श्चत्वा शिवदृतीं शुभमदाम्। मणमेत्साधको भूत्वा तस्य कामाः करे विद्यता.॥ आद्विकतस्य (Jiv. I p 345).

¹³¹⁸ प्रदोपकाले यदि वा प्रभाते लोके क्वचित् किञ्चन भाष्ट्रमाणे । उपश्चितः कार्य-सञ्ज्ञवतेन सार्वित्रिकी वा परिभावनीया ॥ यद्वालकेनोक्तमनोदितेन तत्स्यादसत्यं न युगान्तरेषि । उपश्चतेनोन्यदिहास्ति किञ्चित्सत्यं सुवोधं शक्तुनं जनानाम् ॥ वसन्तराज VI pp 80–81 (Continued on next page)

road is empty of people three married women accompanied by a maiden should worship Ganesa (with gandha and flowers &c.): then. after making an obeisance to Candikā they should fill a measure of corn like kudava with aksata grains on which sacred mantras have been recited seven times; they should then place the image of Ganesa in that measure surrounded by the grass blades of a broom. They should take with them the kudara measure with Ganesa image inside and repair to the house of a washerman In front of that house they should cast white aksata grains after (silently) revolving in their mind their thoughts (the matter they are intent on). Then they should with concentrated mind When they hear any words coming from inside of the house talked by a man, a woman or a child or any one else uttered at pleasure (or without restraint of any kind), auspicious, or inauspicious, they should consider the sense of the words heard and the conclusion drawn as to the future project from the words would not turn out to be untrue. The same method may be followed by approaching the house of a candala.

(Continued from last page)

जपश्चति occurs in the Rg. I. 10 3 and appears to mean simply 'coming near to listen ' Vide also Rg. VIII 8 5 and VIII, 34 11 अर्चियता गणाधीशं सर्वविद्रविनाशनम्। कुमार्या सहिता नार्यस्तिम् स्रप्ते जनेऽखिले। अक्षते पूरवेयुस्ता यांकाञ्चित् कुडबादिकम्। चण्डिकाये नम कुत्वा सप्तकृत्वोऽभिमान्त्रितम् ॥ संमार्जनीकृतावेटे स्थापयेयुर्गणाधिपम्। बजेयुस्त समादाय रजकस्य निकेतनम् । तद्रेहस्य पुरोभागे निक्षिपेयु सिताक्षतान्। मनोगतं समुद्दिश्य शृणुयु सुसमाहिताः॥ श्रुपते वचनं किचिद् रजकालयमध्यगम्। नार्या नरेण बालेन प्रोक्तमन्येन केनचित्। स्वैरसंलापनोच्हत श्चर्भ वा यदि बाह्यभम्। शृण्वन्तीभिः फलं ज्ञेयं तहाक्यार्थविचारत ॥ चण्डालनिलयेऽज्येव अवणे घोधने क्रम । यह ब्रुर्खचनं तब तत्त्रथा न तद्दन्यथा। मानसोल्लास II 13, verses 920-926 वसन्तराज employs almost the same words It is difficult to say whether one borrows from the other, Probably both draw on the same source. कुड़न is a measure of grain, equal to 1 of a prastha. Acc to भविष्य q by हेमादि (on ब्रत vol 1, p. 57 and परा सा II 1 p 141). 2 पलंड = प्रसृति, 2 प्रसृतिंड = कुडव, 4 कुडवंड = प्रस्थ, 4 प्रस्थंड = आहक, 4 आहकड = द्रोण, 16 द्रोणड = खारी शबर on जे X 3. 45 mentions कुछन, आहक, द्रोण and खारी पाणिनि mentions आहक and खारी in V. 1 53 and V. 4, 101. According to ancient smrtis, a washerman was one of the seven antyajas, vide रजकश्चर्मकारश्च नटो बुरुड एव च। कैवर्तमेद्दमिहाश्च सतैते चान्त्यजाः समुता ॥ आत्रि 199, आङ्गिरस् (Jiv I p. 554), यम 33 (Anand ed of smrtis) While these pages were passing through the press, the author got a copy of a recent work . The interpretation of dreams in the ancient Near East, with translation of an 'Assyrian Dream book' by A Leo Oppenheim (as vol. 46 part 3, 1956, of the Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, New Series) He could not refer to the interesting parallels from the book as regards dreams, but on p 211 the writer states that the use of chance utterances made by unconcerned persons was known in the aucient Near East not only in Palestine but also in Mesopotamia

A pecular mode akin to 'upaśruti' is described in the Padmapurāna, Pātālakhanda, chap. 100 verses 65-166. It is narrated in that chapter that Bibhīsana was put in chains by Dravidas when the former saw Siva-linga established by Rāma at Ramesvara and when no one could explain how it happened. Rāma questioned Śambhu himself who explained that the Puranas (mentioned in verses 51-53) may be employed as containing prognosticatory words. The procedure is that a maiden more than five years of age and less than ten years or any girl who has not attained puberty may be honoured with gandha, flowers, incense and other upacāras, she should be made to recite the words 'speak the truth, speak what is agreeable, O blessed Sarasvati' salutation to you! She should be given three pairs of durva grass and she should be asked to cast them between two leaves of the book. The verse between two leaves would be indicative of success in the undertaking. The verse should be carefully considered and its meaning settled and applied to the matter in hand. This is like sortes sanctorum or sortes Vergilianae i. e. divination by opening at random the Scriptures or a book of Virgil or by pricking the text with a pin. Then directions are given as to what should be done if the leaves are half burnt or indistinct &c. and it is said that one should look upon the verse as sent by fate like the words in the upasruti method. 1319 It is further stated that this method should not be resorted to every day, but rarely and that then one should worship the Purana the previous night and in the morning should consult the Purāna for sakuna (verses 114-116). The Skanda is the best among all Purānas for this purpose of śakuna; some hold that the Visnupurāna and the Rāmāyana also may be consulted, but the author of the Padma says that Visnupurāna may not be used for this purpose, since if a man devoid of proper conduct honours it for consultation, then inauspicious indications come out (verses 122-125). Śambhu himself worshipped Skanda-purana, asked the question why Bibhīsana, devotee of Śiva, had fetters put on him (verses 131-132). Then three verses were seen that gave indications (verses 133-135), two of which 1320 are cited below. At the end the

¹³¹⁹ दैवागतो हि स श्लोको दैर्व हि बळवत्तरम् । उपश्चतिष्ठ यहञ्च नापराघो हिजस्य तु । विस्मयो न च कर्तन्यो दैवस्य कुँटिला गति. । एस, पाताल, 100. 77–78

^{1320.} वद्स्वा ससुद्रं स सु राववेन्द्रो रुरोध सुप्तान् क्षणदान्तरेन्द्रान् । योर्डुं समागस्य समायस्रते लङ्कापुरस्थास्वतिकायसुख्याः॥ अहसूला जनपदाः शिवसूला हिजास्तथा। प्रमदाः (Continued on next page)

Purānà says that the Adiparva of the Mahābhārata or all its pai vans may be employed for this purpose of śakuna (verses 163-164).

A similar method of finding out omens and of divination from the two works of the great Hindi poet and saint Tulasīdās (born in samvat 1589 i e. 1532 A.D.) viz. Rāmājūā (or Rāmaśakunāvalī containing 343 doha verses) and Rāmaśalākā is described at some length by G. A. Grierson in I. A. vol. XXII pp 204 ff and in Festgabe H. Jacobi pp. 449-455.

It is worthy of note that even scientific works like the Carakasamhitā ask the physician to notice the condition of the patient, of the messenger, and the actions of the physician and mauspicious omens They are described in Indrivasthana chap. A few notable verses may be cited here. 'That patient 12 would only live for a month on whose head arises only powder (dandruff or the like) resembling the powder of dry cowdung and that slides down (from the head); that patient will not live even for a fortnight whose chest dries up when he takes a bath and anoints his body with sandalwood paste while all other limbs are yet wet' (verse 12) 'Those messengers from a patient who come to a physician when the latter is offering oblations into fire or is offering pindas to his pitrs. will kill the patient (i.e. indicate the approaching death of the patient, verse 16); a woman who is in a pitiable state, terrified, hurried, troubled, dirty and unchaste, three persons (coming together), deformed persons, impotent persons-these are messengers of those who are about to die ' (verses 21-22); 'a physician should not go (to see a patient) on being called by a messenger when the physician sees, while the messenger is describing the condition of the patient, an inauspicious omen or a sorrowing man or a corpse or the decoration meant for the dead', verses 67-70 dilate on the signs of an auspicious messenger and verses 71-79 set out auspicious omens such as the sight of curds, whole grains, brāhmanas, bulls, king, jewels, jar full of water, white horse &c. The physician is however advised not to declare an inauspicious omen even when he sees it that would give a shock or cause pain to the patient or even to anyone else (verse 63).

⁽Continued from last page) केशञ्जलिन्यों भविष्यन्ति कली द्यों ॥ पद्म, पाताल 100 133-134. The second verse is enigmatic and occurs in कलिस्वरूपवर्णन Vide Vanaparva, chap. 188, 42 where it occurs and H of Dh. vol III p 893 (for explanation) and p 1012 for quotation from Vanaparva

last are designated Mahārudra and eleven Mahārudras are called Atirudra. The Rudra may take three forms viz. japa (muttering), homa (offering into Agm oblations to the accompaniment of the mantras), or abhiseka (sprinkling a person with the holy water over which the mantras have been recited) For reciting Rudrādhyāya the yajamāna, if he cannot himself recite it, may employ one brāhmana and also for Ekādasini; but for Laghurudra and Mahārudra eleven brāhmanas are generally employed and for Atirudra eleven or 121. Ekadasini and Laghurudra are very much in vogue even now in Mahārāstra. Rudrābhiseka is described in Baud. grhyasesasūtra II. 18. 11–16.

The mantra 'Tryambakam yajāmahe' (Rg VII, 59. 12, Tai, S. I. 8. 6. 2, Vāj. S. III, 60) is called Mrtyuūjaya Japa of it is prescribed for relief against premature death. The Baudhāyanagrhyasesasūtra (III, 11) prescribes a somewhat more elaborate rite and provides that the mantras to be recited are 'apaitu mrtyuh' (Tai. Br. III, 7. 14. 4), 'param mrtyo' (Tai. Br. III, 7. 14. 5), 'mā no mahāntam' (Rg I. 114 7), 'mā nas-toke' (Tai. S. III. 4. 11. 2), 'Tryambakam yajāmaho' (Tai. S I 8. 6. 2), 'Ye te sahasram' (Tai. Br. III 10. 8 2).

It is unnecessary for the author to say what should be done in these days as to santis. Most of the santis except a few, as pointed out above in various places, are no longer performed. Even the few that are yet performed may cease altogether in the near future, if one is to judge from modern trends.

SECTION IV

THE PURANAS AND DHARMASASTRA

CHAPTER XXII

Origin and development of Purana literature

The History of Dharmasastra (vol. I pp. 160-167) has a brief chapter on the Puranas. It has been shown therein how the Taittirīva Āranvaka, the Chāndogva and Brhadāranvaka Upanisads mention Itihāsa and Purāna (sometimes collectively as 'Itihāsapurānam' and sometimes separately as 'Itihāsah Puranam') and how some of the extant Puranas are much earlier than the 6th century AD. It is further pointed out there that the number of the principal Puranas has been traditionally handed down as eighteen, 1324 that some puranas such as the Matsya, the Visnu, the Väyu and Bhavisya contain much Dharmasastra material, that the Garuda-purana and the Agnipurana contain several hundred verses each that are identical with verses of the Yajñavalkya smrti. that there is great divergence as to the extent of almost all Puranas, that some of the Puranas themselves enumerate minor works called Upapuranas, that the Purānas are divided into three groups, viz. sāttvika, rājasa and tāmasa (as done by Garuda I. 223. 17-20, and Padma VI. 263. 81-84). Details were also furnished as to the chapters of the Puranas in which the several topics of Dharmasastra (such as ācāra, āhmika, dāna, rājadharma, śrāddha, tīrtha) were dealt with.

In the present section it is proposed to trace the great transformation that took place in the ideas, ideals and practices of the ancient Indian people owing to the influence of the Purānas in the first few centuries of the Christian era.

Before proceeding further several preliminary matters have to be dealt with. The mention of Purānas as a class of literature

^{1324.} The Matsya (53. 18-19), Agni (272 4-5) and Nārada (I. 92 26) enumerate Vāyu among the eighteen Mahāpurāṇas, while the Visnu (III. 6.19), Mārkandeya (134.8), Kūrma (I 1.13), Padma (I. 62 2.), Linga (I 39 61), Bhāgavata (XII. 7.23), Brahmavarvarta (III. 133 14) substitute Śaiva for Vāyu and omit Vāyu altogether from the list of the eighteen Mahāpurāṇas.

goes back much farther than was pointed out in the H. of DH. vol. I. p. 160. The Atharvaveda 1325 mentions Purana (in the singular) in XI. 7. 24 and XV. 6. 10-11 'The rk and saman verses, the chandas, the Purana along with the Yajus formulæ, all sprang from the remainder of sacrificial food, (as also) the gods that resort to heaven He changed his place and went over to great direction, and Itihāsa and Purāna, gāthās, verses in praise of heroes followed in going over. The Satapatha brāhmana also (XI. 5. 6. 8) mentions 'Itihāsapurānam' (as one compound word) and states that on the 9th day of the Pāriplava the hotr priest instructs among other matters as follows: 'The Purana is the Veda, this it is; thus saving let him narrate some Purāna' (XIII, 4, 3 13.), 1326 The Śankhāvana 1327 Śrautasūtra (XVI. 2.27) and the Asyalāvana Śrauta (X.7) remark that on two days of the Pāriplava the Itihāsaveda and Puranaveda were to be recited. But the two sutras (though affiliated to the Rgveda) differ as to the day on which they were to be recited. It is difficult to say whether the Atharvaveda. the Satapatha Brāhmana and the Upanisads knew several works called Purana or whether there was only a single work called

¹³²⁵ ऋचः सामानि छन्दासि पुराणं यञ्जपा सह। उच्छिटाज्जज्ञिरे सर्वे दिवि देवा दिविभितः ॥ अथर्व XI 7 24; स बृहती दिशमञ्ज्यचलत् । तामितिहासश्च पुराणं च गायाध्य नाराशंसीश्वानुस्यचलन् । अथर्व XV. 6 10-11. Vide Prof Hazra's interpretation of the first verse in ABORI, vol 36, 1955, pp. 190-203 and criticism thereof below.

^{1326.} मध्याद्धतयो इ वा एता देवाना यद्वुशासनानि विद्या वाकोवाम्यमितिहासपुराण गाथा नाराशंसीरित्यहर् स्वाध्यायमधीते। शतपथ XI. 5.6 8. अधादमेऽइन्। मत्त्याश्र मत्स्यहनश्चोपसमेता भवन्ति। ताञ्चपदिशतीतिहासो वेद. सोयमिति कचिदितिहासमाचवति। अध नवमेऽहन्। ''ताञ्चपदिशति पुराणं वेद. सोयमिति किचिद्युराणमाचक्षीत। शतपथ XIII 4 3.12-13. According to the commentary Ithāsa means such cosmological myths as 'in the beginning there was nothing but water ' and Purāna means stories such as that of Purūravas and Urvasi Compare गोपधन्नाह्मण (ed by Gaastra) I 1 21

¹³²⁷ समाप्तासु समाप्तासु दक्षिणत आह्वनीयस्य हिरण्यकिशिषाति। प्रश्नामार्यपरिवृताय राज्ञे पारिप्रवमाचक्षीत। प्रथमेहिन मर्जुवेंक्सतस्तस्य मर्जुव्या विशस्त इम आसत इति गृहमेधिन उपसमानीताः स्युस्तानुपदिशति। ऋचो वेद सोऽयमिति स्क निगदेत्। आश्व औ X 6.10–X 7 1. समाप्तासु refers to the three istis to Savitr offered every day in the morning, mid-day and evening; the com. of गार्ग्यनारायण explains: समाप्तास्तित वीप्सावचानात् पारिष्ठनाज्यानमपि संवस्तरमहरह कर्तव्यामिति शन्यते। The आश्व. औ, connects Itihāsa with the 9th day and Purānavidyā with the eighth, while the ह्यां औ reverses this order The आश्व औ winds up 'एवमेतत्यर्पथश संवस्तरमाचक्षीत। दशर्मी दशमी समापयन्। सवस्तरान्ते द्विसेत। X 7 9–11; the शाङ्कापन स्वत्सरमाचक्षीत विश्वत पारिष्ठव 'तवायुन पुन परिष्ठवते तस्मात्पारिष्ठवम्' (XVI 2 36).

Purana known to them. But from the fact that the Tai. Ar. (II. 10) speaks of Itihāsas and Purānas (in the plural) it would not be unreasonable to suppose that in the later Vedic period at least some works (three or more) called Puranas existed and were studied and recited by those that were engaged in solemn sacrifices like the Asvamedha. It is not unlikely that, where the singular 'Puranam' was employed in the Vedic texts. a class of works was meant. The facts that 'Itihasa-Purana' is called the fifth Veda in the Upanisads and that the Satapatha employs 'Itihāsa-Purānam' as one compound word lead to the inference that the two categories 'Itihāsa' and 'Purāna' were similar in contents at least in several respects. The Apastambadharmasūtra 1328 quotes two verses each in two places from a Purana (in the singular), once cites the view of the Bhavisyatpurana and in another place gives the summary of a Purana passage or verse which says that, when a person attacks another

^{1328.} अथ प्रराणे श्लोकाटटाहरन्ति। उद्यतामाहतां भिक्षां प्रस्तादभवेदिताम्। भोज्या मेने प्रजापतिरपि दण्कतकारिणः॥ न तस्य पितरोऽञ्चन्ति दश वर्षाणि पञ्च च । न च हव्यं वहत्यग्निर्यस्तामभ्याधमन्यते। आप. ध. स. I. 6, 19, 13; these two are the same as Manu IV 248-249 with slight variations, अदाशीतिसहस्राणि ये प्रजामीपिरर्पयः। दक्षिणेनार्यम्णः पन्थान ते इमज्ञानानि भेजिरे ॥ अद्याज्ञीतिसहस्राणि ये प्रजां नेविरर्पयः । उत्तरे-णार्थम्णः पन्यानं तेऽमृतत्वं हि कल्पन्ते ॥ इत्यूर्व्वरेतसां प्रशंसा । आप. ध सू. 11 9. 23. 3-6. These two verses respectively say that those who lead the life of a householder performing sacrifices and desiring progeny have to undergo deaths (lit. cemeteries, smasanani) and births (i.e. they only reach heaven and are born again and again), while those that do not desire progeny but remain celebate throughout life become immortal (1 e. are not born again). शङ्कराचार्य in his भाष्य on बृह. उप VI. 2 15 quotes a स्मृति verse as follows . ' अष्टाशीतिसहस्राणामुर्योणामुर्घरेतसाम् । उत्तरेणार्यम्णः पन्थास्तेऽमृतत्वं हि भेजिरे ॥ ^१. Compare : अष्टाशीतिसहस्राणि यतीनामुध्वरेतसाम् । उदक पन्धानमर्थम्णः स्थिता ह्याभूतसंप्लवम् । विष्णुपुराण II 8. 93, वास 50. 213, 218, सत्स्य 124 102-3 and 107, ब्रह्माण्ड II. 7. 180 (first half). The third passage of Ap is पुन:समें बीजार्था भवन्तीति भविष्य-रपुताजो। आप घ. II 9. 24 6 This means that those who perform what is laid down in the Veda serve as the seed (i e, they become Prajapatis) of the new creation after pralaya (dissolution) Compare याज. III 184-186. one of which is तत्राष्टाशीतिसाहस्रा सनयो गृहनेधिनः । पुनरावर्तिनो वीजसूता धर्मपवर्तकाः ॥ The 4th passage of आए घ सू 15 यो हिंसार्थमभिकान्तं हन्ति मन्युरेव मन्युं स्पृशति न तिसन्दोष इति प्रताणे। I. 10. 29 7. On killing a brahmana there was a difference of opinion, Ap probably paraphrases some verses such as Manu VIII. 350-351, the latter of which is : नाततायिवधे दोषो हन्तुर्भवति कश्चन। प्रकाशं वाऽपकाशं वा मन्युस्तं मन्युमुच्छति॥. Both verses of Manu occur in मत्स्य 227. 115-117, विद्याः धर्मसूत्र V. 189-190, बुद्धहारीत IX 349-350; एझ V 45. 45-46 are very similar, Vide Bühler in I. A. vol. 25 pp. 323 ff.

with the object of harming him and the person attacked kills the attacker, no sin is incurred. From these passages it is clear that Apastamba had before him a Purana called Bhavisyat and also that the Purana or Puranas which he knew contained rules about the food to be accepted for eating, about the stages of householder and the perpetual student, about resisting an atatavin even unto death and about creation and re-creation after dissolution. These matters fall within the province of Smrtis and Puranas As the word 'purana' means 'ancient', the word Bhavisyat-purana is a contradiction in terms. Long hefore Anastamba the word Purana had come to mean a work dealing with ancient tales &c: several such works must have been composed and they probably began to incorporate contemporary events and wrote about such events in a prophetic vein. Hence arose the name Bhavisyat-purāna. 1329 As Apastamba mentions a Bhavisyat-purana and also Purana, it follows that before 500 B. C. several Puranas existed one of which was called the Bhayisyat and the Puranas then known contained the topics of sarga, pratisarga and smrti matters.

This conclusion is strongly corroborated by other facts. The Gautama-dharmasūtra provides that a learned (bahuśruta) brāhmana is one who knows peoples' usages, the Veda, the angas (auxiliary lores), Vākovākya (dialogues), Itihāsa and Purāna and that the king in administering the affairs of his kingdom and justice has to depend upon the Veda, Dharmasāstra, the (six) angas of the Veda, the (four) Upavedas and Purāna. 1330

From the above discussion it appears that, though we are not in a position to make definite statements about the contents of the Purāna or Purānas referred to in the Atharva, Śat. Br., Tai. Ār. and the Upanisads, by the time of Āp. and Gautama, Purānas approaching in contents to some extent at least some of the extant Purānas had come into existence. The

mentions the Bhavisyat purana. The reference shows that (in 177. 54-57) Samba, son of Krsna, renovated the Purana called Bhavisyat and established images of the Sun-god in four places viz (1) to the south of the Yamuna. (2) between Yamuna and Multan, called Kalapriya, (3) at Mulasthana (modern Multan). (4) in Mathura, vide Bhavisya (Venk. ed) I 72 4-7 for three centres of the Sun image. The Matsya 53. 62 also mentions the Bhavisyat

^{1330.} तस्य च व्यवहारी बेदी धर्मशास्त्राण्यङ्गान्युपवेदाः युराणस् । गी. ध. स्. XI. 19.

Arthaśāstra of Kautilya states that 1331 'trayl' means the three Vedas, viz. Sāmaveda, Rgveda, Yajurveda and that the Atharvaveda and Itihāsaveda are (also) vedas'. It follows that in Kautilva's time Itihāsa was a definite work like the three vedas. In another place Kautilya provides 'a minister proficient in Arthasastras and bent on the good of the king should, by means of itivrtta (history or historical occurrences) and Purānas. admonish (and bring to the right path) a king led astray by other guides.' In laying down a time-table for the king's daily routine of work, 1332 Kautilya provides that in the latter part of the day the king should listen to Itihasa and defines the latter as comprehending Purāna, itivrtta, ākhyāyikā (narrations), udāharana (heroic examples), Dharmašāstra and Arthašāstra (science of government and statecraft). It appears that Kautilya meant by 'Itihāsa' a Mahābhārata more or less very like the extant one, which describes 1333 itself as the best of itihasas, as a Dharmaśāstra, Arthaśāstra, Kāmaśāstra and as Kārsnaveda. Among the officials 1334 to be maintained by the king with salaries are mentioned astrologers, persons conversant with portents and auspicious times, Paurānika. Sūta and Māgadha, who were to be paid 1000 (panas) as salary. The Daksasmrti, 1335 which is comparatively an early smrti prescribes the reading of Itihāsa and Purāna for all dvija house-holders in the 6th and 7th parts of the day (divided into eight parts). The Ausanasa-smrti prescribes that the Vedangas and Puranas should be studied in the dark half of the months after Utsarjana (vide Jiv. part I p 515). When the

^{1331.} सामर्ग्यजुर्वेदास्त्रयस्त्रमी अथर्ववेदेतिहासवेदो च वेदाः। अर्थशास्त्र I. 3; मुख्येरव-यदीर्त वा राजानं तदिप्रयाश्रितः। इतिवृत्तपुराणाम्यां बोधयेदर्थशास्त्रवित्॥ अर्थशास्त्र V. 6 p 257 (a verse at end).

^{1332.} पूर्वमहर्भागं हस्त्यश्वरथमहरणविद्यासु विनयं गच्छेत्, पश्चिममितिहासश्रवणे । इराणामितिवृत्तमाख्यायिकोदाहरणं धर्मशास्त्रमर्थशास्त्रं चेतीतिहासः। अर्थशास्त्र I. 5 p 10.

^{1333.} अर्थशास्त्रमिदं मोक्तं धर्मशास्त्रमिदं महत् । कामशास्त्रमिदं मोक्तं व्यासेनामित-इद्धिना। "इतिहासोत्तमादस्माज्ञायन्ते कविबुद्धयः। "अस्याख्यानस्य विषये पुराणं वर्तते हिजाः। आदिपर्व 2 83, 85-86. In आदिपर्व 62 23 the महाभारत is called धर्मशास्त्र, अर्थशास्त्र and मोक्षशास्त्र. The मार्कण्डेयपुराण (1 6-7) describes the Mahābhārata as the sastra of all the four purusarthas and as the means of knowing the proper actions for all four varias

^{1334.} कार्तान्तिक-नैमित्तिक-मोहूर्तिक - पौराणिक - सूतमागधाः पुरोहितपुरुषाः सर्वा-ध्यक्षाश्च साहसाः। अर्थेशास्त्र V. 3 (सृत्यभरणीयम्) p 247. पौराणिक means one who studies or knows the purāṇas, acc. to the sūtra 'तदधीते तद्वेद ? पा. IV. 2. 59.

^{1335.} इतिहासपुराणाधैः पष्टसप्तमकौ नयेत्। अष्टमे लोकयात्रां तु बहिःसन्ध्यां ततः इतः॥ दक्ष II. 69; the वरुहपुराण I. 213. 158 has the first half

Manusmrti 1336 provides that in a sraddha rite the brahmanas invited for dinner should recite the Vedas, the Dharmasastras, stories. Itihāsas, Purānas and khila hymns (such as Śrisūkta). it should be taken as referring to Purana works closely resembling the extant ones. The Yajñavalkya^{13,7} smrti enumerates the fourteen Vidyasthanas (branches of knowledge) and sources of dharma as Purāna, Nyāya (logic), Mimāmsā (rules of Vedic interpretation). Dharmasastras, the angas of the Veda and the (four) Vedas These fourteen are probably arranged in the order of importance and authoritativeness at the time of the Yāmavalkya-smrti. Yāl. refers to sages that expounded or promulgated the Vedas, Puranas, the vidyas (six angas), the Unanisads, the ślokas (itihāsa?), aphoristic works (like those of Jaimini or on nyāya), the $bh\bar{u}$ syas and whatever other literature exists. In another place Yal, recommends that a householder after a bath in the morning and worship of gods and pitrs should engage in japa-yaiña, in which he should mutter according to his ability parts of the Veda, of Atharvaveda, Itihasa and Purānas and philosophical texts. These passages from Yājūavalkya establish that Itihasa and Purana went together, that both were works distinct from Vedic Literature and that both had attained a status of sacredness and authoritativeness in matters of dharma in the time of the Yajñayalkya-smrti not later than 3rd century (A.D.). A Vartika mentioned by the Mahabhasya on Pan IV. 2. 59-60 provides for the formation of words with the affix 'thak' (1ka) in the case of akhyana (such as Yāvakrītika, Yāyātika), ākhyāyikā (such as Vāsavadattika, Saumanottarika), itihāsa (aitihāsika). Purāna (paurānika) in the sense of one who studies or knows that 1338 In numerous passages the Mahābhārata mentions Purāna in the singular

^{1336.} स्वाध्यायं आवयेत्रिक्ये धर्मशास्त्राणि चैत्र रि । आख्यानानीतिहासाश्च पुराणानि खिलानि च॥ मह्र III 232

^{1337.} पुराणन्यायमीमासाधर्मशाखाङ्गमिश्रिता.। वेदा स्थानानि विद्याना धर्मस्य च चतुर्दश ॥ याञ्च० 1 3, यतो वेदा पुराणानि विद्योपनिषदस्तथा। श्लोका स्ट्राणि भाष्याणि यञ्च किंचन धाद्मयम्॥ याञ्च० III 189, वेदावर्षपुराणानि सितिहासानि शक्तित । जपयञ्च- मिस्ट्रबर्थ विद्या चाध्यामिकीं जपेत्॥ याञ्च० I 101 Compare विद्युपुराण V. 1 37-38 with याञ्च० I 3 Sometimes the branches of knowledge are said to be 18 by adding the four upavedas, viz आयुर्गेद, धन्त्रबंद, गाण्यनेचद and अर्थशास्त्र, to the 14 mentioned by Yaj Vide विद्युपुर III 6 25-26 (about 14 विद्यात and उपवेद) q, by अपराक्त p 6 and कल्पतर (क्रमचारि॰) p 22.

¹³³⁸ आख्यानाख्यायिकेतिहासपुराणेम्पश्च ठावक्तव्यः। महाभाष्य on 'त्रवधीते तद्वेद्धः। क्रत्रम्यादिस्त्रान्ताहकुः पा IV 2, 59-60. The महाभाष्य gives the examples cited above in brackets

as in Adi 5. 2, 31. 3-4, 51. 6, 65. 52, Udyoga 178. 47-48, Karna 34. 44, Sānti 208. 5, Anuśāsana 22. 12, 102. 21) and sometimes Purānas in the plural (as in Ādi 109. 20, Virāta 51.10, Strīparva 13. 2, Sānti 339. 106, Svargārohana 5. 46-47 (which refers to Purānas as 18) 1339 Further, the Matsya-purāna and a Purāna proclaimed by Vāyu are mentioned in the Vanaparva. 1319 It is impossible to hold that all the numerous references to Purānas are later interpolations, though a few may be so. It is not correct to say that no Purāna resembling the extant ones existed before the time when Purāna stories were collected in the Mahābhārata. There is hardly any evidence to support any such view.

Early Sanskrit authors like Bana (first half of 7th century A.D.) and commentators like Sabara (not later than between 200-400 A.D.), Kumārila (7th century A.D.), Śankarācārya (between 650-800 A. D.) and Viśvarūpa (800-850 A. D.) leave us in no doubt that in their times Purānas existed, the contents of which were just like those of the extant Puranas. Sabara in his bhasya 1341 on Jaimini X. 4. 23, while discussing the question as to what is meant by 'devata' in relation to sacrifices, states that one view was that they are Agni and others, that are described in the Itihasa and Puranas as dwelling in heaven. Bāna in his Kādambarī and Harsacarita frequently refers to the Mahābhārata and Purānas, but two passages from the Kādambarī and one from the Harsacarita are very interesting. While describing the hermitage of the sage Jābāli Bāna employs a ślesa 'there was Vāyupralapita (proclaiming by god Vāyu; babbling due to the windy humour) in Purāna (but no babbling in the hermitage) 1342. Similarly, in the des-

^{1339.} महरताद्भारवस्त्राच महाभारतमुख्यते । '''अष्टादश पुराणानि धर्मशास्त्राणि सर्वशः । वेदाः साङ्गास्तर्येकच्र भारतं चैकतः स्थितम् ॥ स्वर्गारोहणपर्व 5. 45–46

^{1340.} इत्येतन्मात्त्यकं नाम पुराणं परिकीतितम् । वनपर्व 187. 57 (= cr. ed 185. 53), सर्वेतोकस्य विदिता पुगर्सख्या च पाण्डव । एतत् ते सर्वेमाख्यातमतीतानागतं तथा । वायु-भोक्तसत्तस्तृत्य पुराणमृषिसंस्तृतम् ॥ वनपर्व 191. 15-16 (= cr. ed. 189. 14),

¹³⁴¹ का पुनरिर्थ देवता नाम। एकं ताबरमतं या एता इतिहासपुराणेष्वरन्याद्याः संकीर्त्यन्ते नाकसन्दस्ता देवता इति । 'येषु देवताइन्द्रों मन्त्रवाद्याणे श्रूयते अग्निर्देवता वातो वेवता द्वते। 'वेषु देवताइन्द्रों मन्त्रवाद्याणे श्रूयते अग्निर्देवता वातो वेवता द्वते। व्यवसादिषु तेऽत्र देवताइन्द्रेनोच्यन्ते इति । "तस्मारस्क्र-भाजो हविभाजश्य देवताः। श्वार ०० जे ४. ४. 23

^{1342.} पुराणे वायुप्रलिपितस्। कादः पूर्वभाग para 37. पुराणितन यथाविभागावस्थापित-सफलस्वनक्तेज्ञास्। कादः पूर्वभाग para 85 (राजकुळं). The वायुपुराण itself states that the Suta narrated to the sages in the Naimisa forest the Purana first

cription of the palace of Tārāpīda Bāna employs a ślesa (double extendre) comparing it with Purana (the two meanings being 'where the accumulated wealth of the world was arranged in appropriate groups', 'in which there is a description of the whole sphere of worlds each part of which was assigned a proper division') In the Uttarabhaga (by the son of Bana) of the Kādambarī it is stated that in all agamas (sacred works traditionally handed down) such as Purānas. Rāmāyana and Bhārata there are many stories about curses. The placing of Puranas first shows that they were probably more honoured or popular than the Rāmāyana and the Bhārata. In the Harsacarita 1343 it is stated that the book-reader Sudrsti treated Bana and his relatives and friends to a musical recitation of the Purana promulgated by Vāyu, that was sung by the sage (Vyāsa), that is very extensive, that is world-wide (i e. known everywhere), that is holy and that is not different from the career of Harsa (to which also all the adjectives applied to the Purana are applicable) Here it appears that the Vayu is expressly mentioned (as Pauamanamokta and Pavana) and it is further stated that the Puranas contained a description of the several divisions of the world. This description applies to such Puranas as the Vayu, Matsya (chapters 114-128), Brahmanda (II. 15 ff). It may be argued that the Purana mentioned by Bana may be the Brahmanda since that Purana says 1343a in the beginning as well as at the end (IV. 4 58ff) that Brahmā imparted it to Vāyu, from whom it passed on to several divine and semi-divine personages and ultimately suta received it from Vyasa. This latter argument is not acceptable, since there was nothing to prevent Bana from expressly saying that the Brahmanda was the Purana recited by Sudrsti

⁽Continued from last page)

promulgated by Vāyu (I 47-48 पुरार्ण संप्रवस्थामि यद्धक्त मातरिश्वना। पृष्टेन सुनिभिः पूर्व नैमिशीयर्महात्माभि ॥) Chapters 34-49 of the वासुपुराण contain सुननविन्यास, आगमेषु सर्वेष्वेव पुराणरामायणभारतादिषु सम्यगनेकप्रकारा शापवार्ता। काद्म्यरी, उत्तरभाग (शुननास's consoling speech to king Tārāpīda on hearing of the heartbreak of Candrāpīda)

¹³⁴³ पुस्तकवाचकः स्रदृष्टि. गीत्या प्रवमानमीकं पुराण प्रपाठ । हर्षेचरित III, 4th para, the आर्था verse applicable to both is 'तद्यि स्विगीतमतिष्टश्च तद्वि जगद्व्यापि पावनं तद्यि । हर्षेचरिताद्यभेक प्रतिभाति हि से पुराणमिदम् ।। हर्षे III, 5th para पवन means बाद्य and so पावन may stand for वायवीय

¹³⁴³ a. पुराणं सप्रवश्यामि यदुक्तं मातरिश्वना । पृष्टेन सुनिभि पूर्व नैमिषीपैर्महास्माभिः व ब्रह्माण्ड I 1 36-37

Kumārilabhatta in his Tantravārtika refers in several places to the Puranas and their contents. A few interesting passages are set out here. On Jaimini I. 3.1 Kumārila says 1344 'Therefore the authoritativeness of all smrtis is established by the purpose which they serve; whatever therein (in smrtis) is connected with dharma and moksa (is authoritative), because it springs from (is based on) the Veda: whatever concerns wealth (artha) and pleasures is based on the usages of the people. In this way a distinction is to be made. reasoning applies to hortatory passages in the Itihāsa and Purānas. The Upākhyānas may be explained by the reasoning applicable to arthavadas (i. e they have a purpose and authoritativeness just like the commendatory passages of the Veda). Narration of the divisions of the earth serves the purpose of distinguishing the regions for undergoing the consequences of the (actions that are the) means of dharma and adharma and are partly based on personal experience and partly on the Veda. The orderly presentation of vamsas (dynasties) in the Puranas is intended to facilitate the knowledge of the brahmana and ksatriya castes and their gotras and is based on actual experience and traditional knowledge; countries and measures of time are intended to facilitate worldly transactions and astronomical needs and are based on actual perception, mathematics, tradition and inference. The narration of what will happen in the future is based on the Veda, since it conveys knowledge of the variety of the ripening of the consequences of (complying with) dharma and of adharma and knowledge of the characteristics of yugas that have been in operation from time immemorial.' It is clear from this passage that the Itihāsa and the Purānas that Kumārila knew contained stories, geography of the earth, dynastic lists, measures of time and description of what will happen in the future. These are matters dealt with in the extant Puranas. On Jaimini I 3.7 Kumarila observes: 'In the

¹³⁴⁴ तेन सर्वस्सुतीना प्रयोजनवती प्रामाण्यसिद्धिः। तत्र यावद्धर्ममीक्षसम्बन्धि तद्वेद्वनभवस्। यन्वर्थसुखविषयं वङ्घोकन्यवहारपूर्वकामिति विवेक्तन्यस्। एषैवेतिहासपुराणयोरप्युपदेशवानयानां गतिः। उपाख्यानानि त्वर्थवादेषु न्याख्यातानि। यन्तु पृथिवीतिभागकथनं तद्धर्माधर्मसाधनफलोपभोगमदेशविवेकाय किंचिह्दर्शनपूर्वकं किंचिह्देदमूलम्। वंशाद्यक्रमणपि ब्राह्मण्यक्षिविचातिगोवज्ञानार्थ दर्शनस्मरणमूलम्। देशकालपरिमाणमपि लोकज्योतिःशाखन्यवहारसिद्धवर्थं दर्शनगणितसंप्रदायाद्यमानपूर्वकम्। भाविकथनमपि त्वनादिकालपङ्चत्रयुगस्वभावधर्माधर्माद्यज्ञानकलविपाकवैच्वित्रयज्ञानद्वारेण वेद्यमूलम्। तन्त्रवार्तिक ०० जै. I 3 1 (धर्मरय शब्दमुललादशन्वमनपेक्षं स्यात्).

Puranas 1345 it is narrated that in the Kali age there will arise Sakya (Gautama Buddha) and others that will cause confusion about dharma, who would listen to their words?' This shows that before the 7th century A. D. the Puranas contained descriptions of the nature of Kalivuga and that the Purapas Kumarıla knew did not regard Buddha as an avatāra of Visnu, but rather condemned him. From the facts that Ksemendra composed his Daśāvatāracarita in 1066 A.D., that Aparārka (on p 338) quotes a long passage from the Matsya chapter 285, verse seven of which enumerates the ten avatāras of Visnu (including Buddha), and that the Gitagovinda of Jayadeva regards Buddha as an avatara, it follows that before 1000 A. D. Buddha had come to be regarded as an avatāra of Visnu, though before the 7th century some Puranas at least had condemned him. In the discussion of the meaning of the word 'svarga' 1316 Kumārila asks: does it mean the region of the stars or does it mean the top of the Meru (mountain) in conformity with Itihasa and Puranas or does it mean only a state of happiness? This indicates that in Kumārila's day Purānas contained descriptions of the top of Meru as 'heaven.'

Sankarācārya in his bhāsya on the Vedāntasūtra frequently mentions the contents and characteristic features of Purānas that are the same as those of the extant ones, though he actually names none of them. For example, on V. S. II. 1. 36 he remarks that it has been established in the Purāna that there is no limit to the number of past and future 1347 kalpas. On V. S. I. 3.30.

¹³⁴⁵ समर्थन्ते च पुराणेषु धर्मविष्द्वतिहेततः। कली शाक्याद्यस्तेषां को वाक्यं श्रोतः महिति॥ तन्त्रवार्तिक p 203 on जे 1 3 7, some of the Puranas such as Varaha 113 27-28, Brahma 122 68-70, Padma VI 31 13-15 mention the ten avatāras of Visnu (including Buddha) But these Puranas have been swollen by late interpolations and it is impossible to give a positive date for these passages.

^{1346.} तथा स्वर्गशब्देनापि नक्षत्रदेशो वा वैदिक्षभवादपौराणिक्षपाक्षिक्रदर्शनेनोध्यते 'यदि वेतिहासपुराणोपपूर्व नेक्पुष्ठम्, अथवा अन्वय्वयतिरेक्षाम्यां विभक्त केवलमेव सुखम्'। तन्त्रवार्तिक p 299 on हो I 3 30. That gods and semi-divine beings dwell on the top of Meru is stated in many Puranas Vide मस्य 11, 37-38, एस V. 8, 72-73

¹³⁴⁷ पुराणे चातिवानागताना कल्पाना न परिमाणमस्तीति स्थापितम्। last line of भाष्य on ने स्. II. 1 36, vide ब्रह्माण्ड I 4. 30-32 for कल्पड being endless, स्मृतिक्ष भवति। तैषां ये यानि कर्माण माक्सप्रचा मतिपेदिरे। तान्येव ते प्रपद्यन्ते स्ज्यमाना. इन. पुन । हिंसाहिन्ने सदुक्तरे धर्माधर्मान्नतास्त्रो। तान्नानिता प्रपद्यन्ते सस्माचन्तस्य रोचते॥ भाष्य oa पुन । हिंसाहिन्ने स्वत्राप्य ते प्रपद्यन्ते । तान्यवित । ता

the Acarva quotes two verses that he designates as smrti, that are Visnupurāna I. 5. 59-60, but are not found in ancient smrtis like those of Manu or Yaj. On V. S. III. 1. 15 (api ca sapta) the bhāsva remarks that those who have studied or who know the Puranas declare that there are seven hells, Rauraya and others. that are regarded as places reached for undergoing the consequences of evil deeds committed by sinners. The Visnupurana enumerates seven hells viz. Tāmisra, Raurava and others, as the places for those who abuse the Veda, who cause obstacles to sacrifices and who give up their proper dharma. Even Manu (IV. 87-90), Yāj. (III. 222-224), Visnu Dh. S. 43, 2-22 enumerate 21 hells and almost all Purānas mention 21 or more hells. Vide H. of Dh vol. IV pp. 162-164. On V. S. I. 3. 26 and 33 the bhāsya says that one can see from Vedic mantras, arthavāda passages, Itihāsa and Purāna and popular belief that gods have bodies. On V S. II. 1. 1. Śankarācārya quotes a verse which is the same in Vayupurana and on I. 3, 30 quotes in all five verses as smrti, four of which are the same as Vāyu 9. 57-58 and 64-65. Višvarūpa in his commentary on the Yājñavalkya-smrti has two interesting notes on Puranas. On Yaj. III. 170 which describes the Sankhya theory of the evolution of the world, Viśvarūpa 1348

⁽Continued from last page)

⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸ Only the half verse हिंसाहिंस्ने॰ is found in सन्धु I 29, these verses are also found in ज्ञान्ति 232 16-17, अपि च सप्त नरका रौरवमस्या दुण्कुतफलोपभोग- भूमिलेन स्मर्थन्ते पौराणिकेः। ताननिष्टादिकारिणः भाष्युवन्ति। भाष्यु on वे. सू. III. 1. 15, तथा सामर्थ्यमपि (देवादीनां) सम्भवति मन्त्रार्थनादेतिहासपुराणलोकेन्यो विश्वहृदन्तायव-गात्। भाष्यु on I. 3 26, इतिहासपुराणमपि न्याख्यातेन मार्गेण सम्भवन्मन्त्रार्थनादमूल-लात्मभवि देवादियाद्वादि साथितुम्। "तथा च न्यासादयो देवादिपिः मत्यक्षं न्यवहरन्तिति समर्थते। भाष्यु I 3. 33, अत्रक्ष्य सङ्क्षेपमिनं गुण्डम्बं नारायणः सर्विलद्धं पुराणः। स सर्वनिलेखं करोति सर्व संहारकाले च तद्दित भूयः॥ वृति पुराणे। भाष्यु on II. 1 I This is वाद्यु I 205 which reads महेन्बर, for नारायणः On वे सू I. 3 30 the भाष्यु quotes three verses as स्मृति, the first two of which are the same as वायु 9. 64-65 (था. अर्थीणा नामधेयानि and यथानिवृत्तिङ्कानि). It appears probable that बङ्काचार्य quotes from the वायु most of these verses, as he expressly says about one of them (viz अत्रव्य &c) that it is from a प्रराण.

^{1348.} एषा प्रक्रिया सृष्टिप्रलयवर्णनादौ सर्वत्र प्रराणादिष्विषे & । विश्वरूप's बाल-कींडा on पा III 170, प्रराणे हि भगवतः सिविद्वविद्वा वीथ्यो दिवि पद्धतयः श्रूयन्ते तत्रागस्यस्यानन्तरा अजवीथी। बालकींडा on पा III 175 For साख्यप्रक्रिया in पुराणs, vide प्रधानं पक्तिश्वेति यमाहुस्तन्त्विन्तकाः ॥ " प्राहुरासीन्महद्वीजं प्रधानपुष्पासकाम् । विधियसहंकारो महतः सम्बभूव ह। "'पश्चभूतान्यहंकारात्तन्माञ्चाणे च जित्तरे। क्रूर्म 1.4. 6, 16, 18, 19 and विष्णु I 20.29-37 या. III. 175 is पितृपानोऽजवीथ्याश्च यदगस्त्यस्य चान्तरम् । तेनाग्रिहोचिणो पान्ति स्वर्गकामा दिवं प्रति॥ Compare for a striking resemblance विष्णुपु II 8 85-86 उत्तरं यदगस्त्यस्य अजवीथ्याश्च दक्षिणम् । पितृयानः स

⁽Continued on next page)

remarks that this theory concerning the creation and dissolution of the world is found overywhere in the Puranas. On Yāj. III. 175 which states that the path to the world of the pitrs lies between the star Agastya (Canopus) and the Ajavīthi, Viśvarūpa remarks that in the Purana several vithis (i e. courses) of the Sun in the sky are found and that Ajavīthi is immediately contiguous to Agastya.

From the foregoing discussion about the references to Purānas contained in writers from Sabara to Visvarūpa it follows that between about the 2nd century AD, and the 6th or 7th century AD, the Purānas exhibited the same contents and characteristics as many of the extant Purānas do.

Before proceeding further, it is necessary to say here something about the Yuga-purana, a part of the Gargi-Samhita, and one of the earliest extant works bearing the title Puranas. Kern in his Introduction to the Brhatsamhıta (pp. 32-40) brought this rare Purana containing valuable historical data to the notice of scholars from a fragmentary ms Later on K. P. Jayaswal 13:84 published a text of the purely historical material of the Yugapurana in 115 half lines in Anustubh metre based on the fragment that Kern had got and two more mss. that were secured by him and added a translation and notes. Later on Jayaswal obtained a copy made by Prof Levi from a ms. (in Bengali script) in the Bibliotheque Nationale of Paris and published in JBORS. (vol. XV pp 129-133) a table comparing the readings of that ms. with the text he published in JBORS. vol. XIV. Prof. K. H. Dhruva contributed a paper on the text of the Yugapurana to the JBORS vol. XVI. pp 18-66, wherein he altered the proper names and gave free scope to his conjectures and inferences with the result that succeeding scholars have not attached any weight to his emendations and remarks. Prof. D. K. Mankad 13426 published a monograph in which he gave the text of the historical portion based on the mss. utilized

⁽Continued from last page)

वै प्रन्था वैश्वानरपथाद्यहि ॥ तत्रासते महात्मान ऋषयो येऽग्रिहोत्रिण । विश्वस्प obviously refers to this passage of the विष्णुपुराण or a similar passage in some other Purana such as मत्स्य 124 53-60, वायु 50. 130. अजनीचि is one of the three divisions of the southern path in the sky in which the Sun, the Moon and planets move, comprehending मूल, पूर्वापाडा वारी उत्तरापाडा नक्षत्रह.

¹³⁴⁸ a. Vide JBORS vol. XIV. pp. 397-421 on 'Historical data in the Gargasamhita and the Brahmin Empire'

¹³⁴⁸ b. Charutar Prakashan, Vallabhavıdya-nagar, 1951

by Jayaswal and a fresh ms. that he obtained in Saurastra, corrects some of the readings accepted by Jayaswal and considerably differs from some of Jayaswal's interpretations and added a few notes.

The Yugapurāna is the 113th chapter of Gārgīsamhitā and is called Skandapurāna in the ms. secured by Prof. Mankad, probably because the Purāna starts with a question by Skanda to Siva about the characteristics of the different yugas. The characteristics of Krta, Tretā and Dvāpara are respectively described in lines 11–28, 29–45 and 46–74 of Prof. Mankad's text; and lines 75–235 (of Prof. Mankad's text) and lines 1–115 of Jayaswal's text (in JBORS. vol. XIV. pp. 400–408) describe the characteristics of the Kaliyuga, and the political, social and economic history of a few centuries before the work was composed. The characteristics of the Kaliyuga in the Yugapurāna bear a very close resemblance to the description of Kaliyuga in the Vanaparva (chap. 188. 30–64), one half verse being the same in both. 1348c

The important points that emerge are briefly these:—I omit the discussion of different interpretations of Jayaswal, Prof. Mankad and Prof. Narain. Kaliyuga started after Draupadi died. In the beginning of Kaliyuga Janamejaya, son of Parīksit, would be a famous king but will come in conflict 1348a with brāhmanas. In Kaliyuga, Udāyi son of Śiśunāga, will found the city of Pātaliputra on the south bank of the Ganges, which will come to be called Puspapura and which will last for five thousand, five hundred and five years, five months, five days and five muhūrtas In that Puspapura there will be a deluded and wicked king called Śāliśūka who will establish at Sāketa his virtuous elder brother named Vijaya. Then the valiant Yavanas,

¹³⁴⁸c The passage from Vanaparva 188. 30-64 is quoted in the 3rd vol. of H. of Dh pp 1012-13 The half verse is भोबादिनसथा शूदा बाह्मणान्धार्येवादिन:। वनपर्व 188 39, line 38 of Jayaswal's text and 110 of Prof. Mankad's text (reads बाह्मणाश्चार्थवादिन, which does not appear correct and yields hardly any sense). Compare Yugapurāna (lines 111-115 of Jayaswal and II. 182-186 of Prof. Mankad's text with Vanaparva 188. 65-66.

¹³⁴⁸d. For the story of this quarrel, vide Matsya-purāṇa 50. 56-65. One half line is variously read 'dharma-mītatamā vrddhā janam bhokṣyanti nirbhayāh' (Jayaswal 1. 40), Prof. Mankad reads 'dharmabhītatatamā vrddhā janam molṣyanti nirbhayāh' Jayaswal thinks that 'dharmamīta' refers to the Greek king Demetrius. Prof. Mankad does not agree.

Pañcalas and Māthuras will attack Sāketa and will conquer Kusumapura which had a mud fortification All countries will be disturbed (by this onslaught of the Yavanas) Then non-Aryans will follow the practices of the Aryas. At the end of Kali age brāhmanas, ksatriyas, vaisyas will dress alike and have the same practices. People will join heretical sects and will make friends for (seducing their) wives. Sūdras will offer oblations into fire with the syllable 'om' and they will address (others) with the word 'bhoh' and brāhmanas will address others with the words 'O āryas'. The Yavanas will establish five kings in the city (of Puspapura). The Yavanas will not stay long in Madhyadesa. When the Yavanas will vanish there will be seven powerful kings at Sāketa; in Madhyadeśa there would be bloody wars. All the Agnivesya kings will perish by war and so will the people.

Thereafter the greedy Śaka king will undergo destruction against Sāta, the king of Kalinga, and the earth will be devastated and Puspapura will be a wilderness. Amlāta called 'redeyed' will secure Puspapura. The Mleccha king Amlāta will destroy the helpless people and the four varnas. Amlata with his relatives will perish and then there will be a king called Gopāla who will rule one year and then die. There will then be the just king called Pusyaka who will rule only one year. After two more kings, Agnimitra will be king who will wage a terrible battle with brāhmanas for a girl. After him his son will rule for 20 years. The condition of the people will be very bad because of his fight with Śabaras. Then Sātu king will rule. Then there will be depredations of Śakas who will destroy one-fourth of the population and make the people demoralised. In this way the Yugapurāna ends on a dismal note. 13 18 c

As the Purāna stops with the Śakas and does not dilate on the dynasties of the Andhras, Abhīras and Guptas, it must be placed earlier than all the known Purānas which deal with these dynasties. Jayaswal places the Yugapurāna in the latter half of the first century B C. In the opinion of the present author he is right.

¹³⁴⁸ e देवो द्वाद्मरा पर्याणि अनावृद्धि करिक्यति । यजा नाम गमिन्यनित दुर्भिक्षमय-पीहिताः । ततः पापक्षते लोके दुर्भिक्षे रोमहर्पणे । भविन्यति युगस्यान्ते सर्वमाणिविनामनम्। जन-मारस्त्रती घोरी भविन्यति न संभ्यः ॥ युगपुराणः, तस्मिन्युगसदम्मान्ते सभावे चायुप क्षये । अनावृद्धिर्महाराज जायते चहुवार्षिकी ॥ ततस्तान्यव्पसाराणि सन्त्वानि क्षियतानि वे । प्रलय यान्ति स्विष्टं पृथिन्या पृथिवीपते ॥ वनपर्व 188 65-66

A very recent work 'The Indo-Greeks' by Prof. A. K. Narain (Oxford, 1957) has an illuminating note (pp 174-179) on several difficult passages of the Yugapurāna 1348/.

Several Purānas such as Matsya 53.3-11, Vāyu I.60-61, Brahmānda I. 1.40-41. Linga I. 2.2, Nāradīya I. 92, 22-26, Padma V. 1. 45-52 state that Purāna 1349 was originally only one, that Brahma thought of it first, that after that the Vedas proceeded from Brahma's lips, that originally it had the extent of one hundred crores of slokas and that the essence thereof to the extent of four lakhs of verses was declared in each Dyapara age by Vyāsa. It is impossible to say whether the writers of the extant Puranas had any ancient tradition about this before them or whether all this about the original existence of a single Purana was purely imaginary. The author holds that the latter view is more likely to be the correct one. It has been shown above that as early as the Taittirīya Āranyaka Purānas are mentioned in the plural. Therefore, the extant Puranas are only the successors of the ancient Puranas, about which, it must be admitted, we know very little.

The number of Purānas (in later times and by some of the Purānas distinguished as Mahāpurānas) has been traditionally handed down as eighteen. They are enumerated in several Purānas, such as Visnu III. 6. 21-23, Varāha 112. 69-72 (verses 74-77 in Venk. ed.), Linga I. 39. 61-63, Matsya 53. 11 ff, Padma 1V. 100. 51-54, Bhayisya I. 1. 61-64, Mārkandeya 134. 7-11,

¹³⁴⁸ f. Vide Appendix I on 'yavana' and 'yona' (pp 165-169) in Prof. Narain's 'Indo-Greeks.' He points out that in Karnaparva (45.36) Yavanas are described as all-knowing (sarvajña), valiant and different from mlecchas

^{1349.} पुराणं सर्वशास्त्राणा प्रथमं ब्रह्मणा स्मृतम् । अनन्तरं च वक्त्रेग्यो वेदास्तर्य विनिर्गताः। पुराणमेक्तमेवासीत्तदा कल्पान्तरेऽनय । विवर्गसाथनं पुण्यं शतकोदिशविस्तरम् । मृतिः सर्वशास्त्राणा पुराणस्यामवत्तः। कालेनाग्रहणं हण्ट्वा पुराणस्य ततो तृप ॥ व्यास<u>रूपमहं</u> कृत्वा <u>संहरामि युगे युगे । चतुर्लक्षप्रमाणेन द्वापरे द्वापरे सदा । तद्यादशया कृत्वा सूर्लोकेऽस्मित्र प्रकाश्यते । अद्यापि देवलोकेऽस्मित्र शतकोदिपविस्तरम् । तद्योत्र चतुर्लक्षं संक्षेपेण निवेशितम् । पुराणानि दशादौ च सांत्रते तदिहोन्यते । मत्स्य 53. 3–11, पद्म (V. 1. 45–52) contains almost all the above verses of मत्स्य. The first verse occurs in वायु I. 60–61 and ब्रह्मण्ड I. 1 40–41. The ब्रह्मपुराण 245. 4 says 'आद्यं ब्राह्माभिधानं च सर्व-वाञ्डाफलअद्दम् ग. The विद्यपुराण III. 6. 20 states 'आद्यं सर्वपुराणानां पुराणं ब्राह्मस्थते ॥, The देवीभागवत I. 3 3 put मत्स्य as the first,</u>

Agni 272, Bhagavata 1350 XII. 13, 4-8, Vayu 1351 104, 2-10. Skanda (Prabhāsakhanda 2. 5-7). There is some difference about the 18 names and great difference as to their extent and contents The Matsya (53, 18-19), Agni 272, 4-5, Nāradiya I. 92 26-28 enumerate the Vayu as the 4th among the 18 Puranas, while most of the others substitute Sivapurana as the 4th in place of the Väyu The Skanda (in Prabhāsakhanda 2.5 and 7) puts Śaiva as the 4th in place of Vāyu and Vāyaviya as the last (probably meaning Brahmanda thereby). The Devibhagavata 1352 contains a verse naming the 18 Puranas by their first letters in which the Sivapurana is omitted. The Saurapurana (chap. 9. 5-12) enumerates the 18 Puranas, places Vayu as the 4th (and not Siva) and Brahmanda as the last. The Sutasambiti (I. 1. 7-11) names the 18 Puranas omitting Vayu and putting Sivapurana in its place The Danasagara in its Introductory verses (11-12 on pp 2-3) mentions both Vayaviva and Saiva separately. In the verses of the Kalıka-purana quoted by Hemadri on dana I. p 531, Sıva, Kālıkā, Saura and Vahnıja (Agneya, the genuine one) are included among eighteen principal Puranas. On the whole I agree with Dr. A D. Pusalkar 1353 that it is the Vayu that is entitled to be regarded as one of the 18 principal Puranas and not the Sivapurana Al-beruni in his work on India (com-

^{1350.} The भागवत says ' सनयोऽधादश माहुः शुलुकानि महान्ति च। XII, 7 220. The late बहार्वेवर्त (IV 131 7-10) states that the five characteristics mentioned in note 1365 distinguish the Upapuranas, while the ten characteristics quoted in note 1366 distinguish the महापुराजा विष्णु III 6, 13 uses the word महापुराजानि

¹³⁵¹ Vāyu 104 is a chapter of doubtful authority Several mss, of Vāyu do not contain it chap. 104 7 mentions Vāyu as Anilapurāna Vāyu (104 2-10) furnishes a list of 18 Purānas in which Brahma and Ādika are both included, but no names of Upapurānas are given therein.

¹³⁵² मद्वय मद्वय चैव षचय चचतुष्टयम् । अनापार्लगकुस्कानि पुराणानि पृथक् पृथक् ॥ देवीभागवत I 3. 2 मद्वय = मत्स्य, मार्कण्डेय, भद्वय = भिविष, भागवत, चत्रय = ब्रह्म, ब्रह्मवैवर्ते, ब्रह्माण्ड, वचतुष्टय = बराह, वामन, वायु, विवण्, अ, ना, प, छिं, ग respectively stand for अशि, नारदीय, प्या, छिङ्ग, गचड, छू = छूर्म, स्क = स्कन्द Wilson in Preface to tr of Visnu at p XXIV states that his ms of Varāha omits the Garuda and Brahmānda from the list of 18 Purānas and inserts Vāyu and Narasımha The ms is singular in this respect. The printed Varāha (chap 112, 69 in the B. I and verse 74 in Venk ed) only puts Śaiva for Vāyu, that is all

¹³⁵³ Vide 'Studies in the Epics and Purānas of India ' by Dr. A. D Pusalkar (Vidya Bhavan Series, Bombay, 1955) chap. 2, pp 31-41. The Matsya (53 18-19) mentions what the Vāyupurāna contained.

posed in 1030 A. D.) sets out one list of Puranas as read out to him from Visnupurāna, which is the same as set out below, except this that the Saiva-purana is put in the 4th place instead of Vavu (Sachau's tr. vol I. p. 131, ed. of 1888) It is clear, therefore, that the list of 18 principal Puranas had been completed long before 1000 A.D. and was introduced in the Visnupurāna many years before that date. Al-beruni furnishes (1bid p. 130) another list of the names of 18 Puranas which he had heard, viz. Adi, Matsya, Kürma, Varāha, Narasimha. Nanda, Skanda, Ādītya, Soma, Sāmba. Vāvu. Vāmana. Brahmānda, Mārkandeya, Tārksya (i. e. Garuda), Visnu, Brahma, Bhavisya It should be noticed that in this list Vayu is included (and not Saiva), that some puranas described in other works as Upapurānas are included (viz Ādi. Narasimha. Nanda, Aditva, Soma and Samba) and some works almost unanimously declared to be Mahāpurānas (such as Padma, Bhāgavata, Nārada, Agni, Linga and Brahmavaivarta) are omitted. It follows that some upapurānas such as Ādi. Narasimha. Āditva. Samba, Nanda (Nandi?) had been composed at least some time before 1000 A.D. Bälambhatta (latter half of 18th century A. D.) states in his commentary on the Mitāksarā (on Yāj. I. 3) that the Vavaviva-purana is also called Saiva.

The following is a table of the 18 principal Puranas together with information about the number of ślokas in each.

Order	Name of Purāna		Number of verses acc. to some other Purānas and remarks
1	Brahma	10000 (acc to Nār- ada 92.31 and Bhā- gavata XII 13.4)	25000 acc to Agni 272.1
2	Padma	55,000	
3	Visnu	23,000	The number of verses is given from 6 to 24 thousand in various works.
4	Vãyu	24,000	14000 acc to Agni (272.4-5), 24600 acc to Devibhāgavata I. 3. 7.
5	Bhāgavata	18,000	

Order	Name of Purana	Number of verses acc. to Matsya, Vāyu 104 and some other	Number of verses acc to some other Purānas and remarks
6	Nāradīya	25,000	
7	Mārkan- deya	9,000	6900 acc to Mārkandeya itself (134 39), 9000 acc. to Nārada I. 98.2, Vāyu 104 4
8	Agni	16,000	15400 acc to Bhagavata XII. 13.5, 12000 acc to Agni (272, 10-11)
9	Bhavisya	14,500	14000 acc to Agni (272, 12)
10	Brahma-	18,000	
11	vaivarta Linga	11,000	
12	Varāha	24,000	
13	Skanda	81,100	84000 acc. to Agni (272, 17),
14	Vāmana	10,000	vide below under Sknda
15	Kūrma		17000 acc to Nārada I. 106. 3 and Bhāgayata XII. 13. 8,
16	Matsya		8000 acc. to Agni. 272 19, 13000 acc to Agni 272, 20-21
17	Garuda		19000 acc to Bhāgavata XII. 138 and Devībhāgavata L3;
18	Brah- mānda	12,200 acc to	8000 acc to Agni 272 21 12000 acc. to Bhāgavata (XII, 13-8 and Agni 272 23)

It will be noticed from the table that the total number of verses in the 18 Purānas according to the figures furnished by most Purānas comes to 100600 slokas. This closely agrees with the total of four lakks assigned to the eighteen Purānas in some of the Purānas (vide note 1319 above). But several of the extant Purānas contain much smaller numbers of slokas than are assigned to them. For example, it appears from the commentaries called Visnuciti 1351 and Vaisnavākūtācandrikā on

¹³⁵⁴ विद्युपुराण च कचिद्रशसाम् अचिवृद्यसादस्रामिश्याविभिक्रलेऽस्पर पर्सादसः मा स्पारपायते । वेद्यास्त्रचित्रका ०६ रामपर्वसद्व on विद्युपुः 111 6 23, the दाम-(Continued on next page)

Visnopurana III. 6. 23 that the estimates of the extent of the Visnupurāna varied from 6, 8, 9, 10, 22, 23 to 24 thousand ślokas and that both the commentaries comment on a text of the Visnupurāna that has only 6000 ślokas. Similarly, the extent of the Kurma is said to be 17000 or 18000 ślokas by most of the Puranas that furnish the extent of Puranas, but the extant Kūrma has hardly 6000 ślokas. The Brahma contains 10000 slokas according to the Naradiya and 25000 according to the Agni, but the printed Brahma (of the Anandasrama ed.) contains about 14000 verses. On the other hand, the Skanda (that has two recensions at present) is said to contain 81000 slokas, but the Skanda printed by the Venk. Press contains several thousands more. The Bhavisya (Brāhmaparva) 1355 states that all Puranas had originally 12000 verses each, but their extent increased by the addition of tales, so that the Skanda was inflated to the extent of one lakh of slokas and the Bhavisya to 50000 ślokas. The order in which the Purānas are enumerated is not quite uniform. Most Puranas put the Brāhma in 1356 the first place and set out the order as in the table above, yet the Vayu (104.3) and the Devibhagavata (I.3.3) begin the list of Purānas with Matsya. The Skanda (Prabhāsakhanda 2.8-9) puts the Brahmanda in the first place. The Bhāgavata (XII. 7. 23-24) enumerates the eighteen Purānas in a somewhat different order. The Vamana 1357 regards the

⁽Continued from last page)

सागर (p. 7, verse 63) notes that there was a ब्रह्मपुराण of 23000 slokas and a लिङ्गपुराण of 6000. The विष्णुचित्तीया says 'वैष्णवं तु पद्मभेदं तेन पुराणान्तरेषु वैष्णवस्य दशसाहस्रायसाहस्रायसाहस्रायसं संहिताभेदमानविषया कारूप्याः । यथा लेङ्गि । ''शेवमात्स्ययोस्तु वैष्णवं चायसाहस्रामिति । क्राचिद्देशे नवसाहस्रं दृश्यते क्राचिद्यत्विश्वितस्रं क्राचिद् द्वाविंशतिसहस्रम् । इद तु पद्सहस्रमस्माभिन्यां ज्यायमानम् ॥. The दानसागर् passage is (p 7 verses 63-64) 'ताहर्ष पुराणमप् बाह्ममाभ्रेयमेव च । ज्योविंशतिसाहस्रं पुराणमप् वैष्णवम् ॥ पद्रस्रवानिते लेङ्ग पुराणमप् वेष्णवम् ॥ पद्रस्रवानिते लेङ्ग पुराणमप् तथा। '''लोक्वज्रनमाले'क्य सर्वमेवानधीरितम् ॥ ।

^{1355.} सर्वाण्येत्र पुराणानि संज्ञेयानि नरर्षभ । द्वादरीत सहस्राणि प्रोक्तानीह मनीपिभिः ॥ पुनर्दुर्ज्दि गतानीह आख्यानैर्विविधेर्र्चण । यथा स्कान्दं तथा चेदं भविष्यं खुरुनस्दन॥ स्कान्दे शतसङ्ग्रं हु लोकानां ज्ञातमेत हि । भविष्यमेतद्दिषणा लक्षार्धं संख्यया कृतस्॥ भविष्य (ब्राह्म-पर्वे) I. 103–105.

¹³⁵⁶ The विष्णु says for example : 'आद्यं सर्वपुराणानां पुराणं ब्राह्मसुन्यते । अद्यादश पुराणानि पुराणज्ञाः भचक्षते ॥ विष्णु III. 6 18; तेपि सुत्वा सुनिश्रेष्ठाः पुराणं वेद्र-संमित्य । आद्यं ब्राह्माभिधानं च्य सर्ववज्ञह्याफलभदम् ॥ ब्रह्म 245. 4.

^{1357.} हाज्ये पुराणेषु यथेन मार्त्यं स्नायम्ध्रनोक्तिस्त्वध संहितासु। महाः स्मृतीनां प्रवर्रो यथेन तिथीषु दशों विज्ञयेषु वासनः॥ नामनपुराण 12. 48. It may be noted that the Matsyapurana chapter (53 verses 3-+ and 11-57) describing the extent and summaries of the 18 Puranas are quoted by Apararka pp. 392-396 and the Danasagara pp. 463-469.

H. D. 105

Matsya as the foremost among Purānas. Notices of the contents of all the 18 Purānas occur in Matsya (chap. 53), Agni (chap. 272), Skanda (Prabhāsakhanda 2. 28-76), Nāradīya (which devotes 18 chapters from I. 92. 30-43 to I. 109 to the contents of 18 Purānas from Brahma to Brahmānda). There is almost complete agreement among the Purānas as to the names of the 18 principal Purānas, except as to the Vāyupurāna.

The question about the Puranas is further complicated by the fact that some of the Puranas themselves mention the names of a number of Upapuranas, though others ignore them. For example, the Matsya (53.59-62) names the Nārasimha, the Nandi, the Aditya and Samba as Upapuranas and appears to say that the Nārasimha extended to 18000 slokas and elaborated the description of the Man-Lion avatara declared in the Padma-The Kūrma (L. 1. 16-20), Padma (IV. 111, 95-98), the Devibhagavata (I. 3. 13-16) set out the names of eighteen Upapuranas. Some of the Upapuranas bear the same names as those of the principal purānas, viz. Skanda, Vāmana, Brahmānda and Nāradīya. Prof. Hazra 1358 states that the number of Upa-Only a few of the Upapuranas have been purānas is 100. published and those published do not differ much in the matter of subjects from some of the principal puranas and almost all belie the definition of Purana as 'pancalaksana'. It has been seen above (note 1349) that the number of slokas in the 18 principal Puranas is said to be four lakhs. It must be remembered that this total does not include the number of the slokas assigned to the Upapuranas, and no Purana includes the verses of the Upapuranas in the total of four lakhs. Further, no one should forget or ignore the significance of the remarks of the

^{1358.} Vide Prof. Hazra's paper on Upapurānas in ABORI vol XXI. pp. 38-62 at p 49 note. His studies in 'Upapurānas, vol I ' was read by me while this section was in the Press. I have, therefore, been compelled to add only a few matters from this work, mostly in the footnotes. In note 24 p. 13 of his 'Studies' he reiterates that he has collected the names of more than one hundred Upapurānas. On pp. 4-13 he sets out 23 sources (six being quotations from Kürma in different nibandhas) of the lists of Upapurānas that exhibit great divergences and chaos. Wilson in his Preface to tr. of the Visnu pp. LXXXVI-XCI mentions the varying lists of Upapurānas and offers remarks on some of them. The H of. DH vol. I. p. 163 gives the names of the 18 Upapurānas as contained in the Garuda 1223. 17-20. Hemādri on Vrata (part 1 p. 21) sets out the names of the 18 Upapurānas from the Kūrma I. 1. 16-20 (with readings different from those of the printed Kūrma, some of which are rather serious).

Matsya and Kūrma about the Upapurānas. The Matsya 1339 speaks of the Upapuranas as sub-sections (upabhedas) of the principal eighteen Puranas and emphatically asserts 'know that whatever is declared as distinct from the eighteen Puranas came forth (or issued from) these (18)'. The Kurma is no less clear. It states that 1360 the Upapuranas are the summaries or abridgments of the 18 (principal) Puranas made by sages after studying them. The lists of Upapuranas given by several works. most of which are set out by Prof. Hazra in his paper on Unapuranas (in ABORI vol. XXI at pp. 40-48) and in his Studies (pp. 4-13) diverge a good deal from each other. As the Matsva mentions by name only four Upapuranas it is not unreasonable to hold that more than four were not in existence at the time when this passage of the Matsya was inserted in it or at least that more than four had not been accepted as Upapuranas at that time. A good many of the Upapuranas are of late date. Only a few of the rest such as the Narasimha, the Visnu-

^{1359.} उपभेदान् प्रवह्यामि लोके ये संपतिष्ठिताः । पासे पुराणे यञ्चोक्तं नरसिंहोपवर्णनम् । तज्ञाष्टादशसाहस्रं नारसिंहमिहोत्त्यते। "अष्टादशम्यस्तु प्रथक् पुराणं यत्प्रदिश्यते। विजानीश्वं हिजक्षेष्ठास्तहेतेम्यो विनिर्गतम् ॥ सत्य 53. 58-59 and 63 q. by हेमाद्रि on ब्रत part I pp. 21-22. These verses occur in स्कर्ट (प्रभासखण्ड 2. 79-83) also; कु. ए. p. 32 explains 'विनिर्गतसञ्ज्ञाम् । यथा कालिकापुराणाङ् . Prof. Hazra in 'Studies &. ' vol I. p. 16 note 33 quotes परिभाषायकाहा p. 15 'एतान्युपपुराणानि पुराणेन्य एव निर्गतानीति याज्ञवल्क्पेन प्रराणत्वेन सङ्घगृहीतानि । and remarks that this implies that the Upapuranas were known to Yajnyavalkya. Prof. Hazra is wrong here. All that this passage means is that the Viramitrodaya in the beginning of the 17th century A.D. (about 1500 years or more after Yaj.) thought that Yāj included upapurānas in the word Purāna in Yāj. I. 3. That is Mitramisra's view. We are not bound by it and should not draw any inference from it. Yaj. mentions only Purana as a source of dharma but he is entirely silent as to how many Puranas had been composed in his time. There is nothing to show that they were more than three in his day and it is impossible for us to hold that he included upapuranas under the word Purana, simply because some upapuranas were composed before 1000 A. D.

^{1360.} अन्यान्युपपुराणानि सुनिभिः कथितानि तु । अप्रादक्षपुराणानि सुला संक्षेपता दिलाः ॥ कुर्म I. 1. 16. This verse and the following verses enumerating the 18 Upapurānas are quoted by हेमादि on बत part I p 21 (seven), by र्युनरद्वन in the मलमासतत्व (Jiv. pp. 792-793) and by मित्रमिश्र in the परिभाषापकार्श (part of शिर्मिश्रोद्य) pp. 13-14 and other late medieval works of the centuries from the 15th onwards, except हेमादि who belongs to the latter half of the 13th century A D. One cannot be sure that they are not interpolations in Hemādri. It should be noted that Raghunandana first expressly names only four Upapurāṇas, viz. Nārasumba, Nandi, Ādītya and Kālikā and then quotes the names of the 18 Upapurāṇas from the Kūrma.

dharmottara, the Devi, can possibly be held to be as old as the 7th or 8th century AD. I do not accept the view of Prof. Hazra, who places the period of the formation of the group of eighteen Upapurānas between 650-800 A.D (in ABORI. vol. XXI. at p 50 and also in 'Studies in Upapuranas 'vol. I, by Dr. Hazra), where while admitting (p 14) that in the Upapurana literature there are works of comparatively late dates, he boldly states that the age of the Upapuranas began approximately from the Gupta period (p. 16) For this last statement there is absolutely no evidence whatever. A detailed discussion of the dates of all the Upapurānas would demand a large space and would be somewhat irrelevant in this section. We must hold fast by the facts that even when the 18 principal puranas assumed their present form, the number of Upapuranas was small, that they were looked upon as no more than abridgments or summaries of the principal Puranas, that the Puranas that mention upapuranas do not state that they were composed by the divinely inspired Vyāsa (but only by some sages as the Kūrma says) and that originally they had not the status or authority of the The Saura, an Upapurana itself, speaks of Upapuranas as khilas (9 5). It is only the late medieval digests like the Smrtitattva (1520-1570 A D.) or the Viramitrodaya (first half of 17th century) that were separated by several centuries from the Mahāpurānas as well as the Upapurānas and the writers of which had practically lost all sense of the distance in time between the two sets of Puranas, that say that the mention of Purānas 1361 as a source of dharma in Yāj must be deemed to include Upapurānas also This last may be the opinion of such late writers as Mitramisra, but no scholar is bound to accept it. It is doubtful whether by the word Purana, Yal, refers to the Mahapuranas now extant and whether he knows that there were eighteen of them. If some Upapuranas glorify themselves as of equal authority with the Mahāpurānas, that is of a piece with what the principal Puranas state about themselves viz. that Brahmā first thought of the Purānas and then the Vedas issued from his lips Modern scholars should discount all these attempts at self-glorification. Upapurānas are due to sages Upapurānas are distinguished from Puranas in several important respects. Firstly, the 18 puranas are attributed to the semi-divine both Matsya and Kūrma, Vyāsa, secondly, according to they were summaries of the Puranas, thirdly, the slokas in the

^{1361.} एतान्युपपुराणानि पुराणेम्यो निर्गतानीति याज्ञवल्क्येन पुराणत्वेन सङ्घृतीतानि । परिभाषामकाश (of बीरमिजोव्य) p. 14,

Upapurānas are not included in the 4 lakhs of verses of all the Purānas together; fourthly, early commentators and nibandha writers like the Mitāksarā and the Krtyakalpataru either do not mention any of the Upapurānas or at the most only about half a dozen or so and that too rather sparingly; and lastly, as Prof. Hazra himself asserts ('Studies', vol. I. p. 23) adherents of various sects such as Śāktas, Sauras, Pāūcarātras interpolated chapters in the Purānas of the established group and in some cases wrote new and independent works to propagate their own ideas and styled them Purānas.

Early commentaries and digests of Dharmasastra very rarely refer to any of the well-known Upapuranas. The Mitaksarā, though it names the Brāhma (on Yāj. I. 3 and 45), quotes passages from the Matsya (profusely), Visnu (on Yāj. III. 6), Skanda (on Yāj. III. 290), Bhavisya (on Yāj. III. 6), Mārkandeya (on Yāj. I. 236, 254, III. 19, 287, 289) and Brahmānda (on Yaj. III. 30), hardly refers, so far as I know, to any Upapurāna. The Krtyakalpataru of Laksmidhara (composed about 1110-1130 A. D.), twelve parts of which published in the G. O. S cover several thousand pages, profusely quotes many of the Mahāpurānas, and only six of the Upapurānas by name, viz. Adi (only twice on śuddhi), Nandi (profusely only on dāna and niyatakālika), Āditya, Kālikā, Devī, Narasimha (all four profusely on several topics) Aparārka (first half of 12th century A, D.) who quotes profusely from Brahma, Brahmanda, Bhavisyat, Mārkandeya, Vāyu, Visnu and Matsya quotes by name only the following Upapuranas and only sparingly viz. Ādi, Ādītya, Kālikā, Devī, Nandi, Nrsimha, Visnudharmottara (seven times), Visnurahasya (once), and Śivadharmottara (once). The Danasagara 1.62 (written in 1169) states 'Upapuranas have been promulgated, which clearly set out the procedure of gifts' and it expressly mentions as Upapurānas dealing with gifts the Adya (Adi or Brahma?), Aditya, Kālıkā, Nandi, Narasimha, Mārkandeya, Visnudharmottara and Sāmba and remarks that the Visnurahasya and Śivarahasya are merely compilations (sangraharūpa). It is not necessary to refer to writers later than about 1170 A.D. in the matter of Upapuranas.

The references to eighteen Purānas in about a dozen principal purānas and the description of their contents in some of

¹³⁶² उक्तान्युपपुराणानि ध्यक्तदानविधीनि च। आर्थं पुराणे झाम्बं (साम्बं?) च कालिकाद्ययमेव च॥ नान्दमादित्यसंज्ञं च नार्रासंद्यं तथेव च। मार्कण्डेयकृतं तद्वद्विष्णुधमाँतरा-द्वयम्॥ ज्ञास्त्रं च विष्णुधमाँक्यं गोपथनाद्वाणश्चतिस्॥ दानसागर p. 3 verses 13-15,

them naturally lead to the inference that these passages were added some time after all the eighteen Puranas assumed a complete form. It is not possible to hold that all the main puranas were composed at the same time by one individual author or even by several contemporary writers. Besides, most of the editions of Puranas are based either on a single ms. or on a few mss. collected at random and are not critically edited as is the case with the critical edition of the Mahabharata published in Poona by the B.O R.I. Many conclusions therefore, drawn from the current printed editions of the Puranas or from mss of the Puranas, must be regarded as merely tentative and as likely to turn out to be wrong. What Winternitz said in his History of Indian Literature (English translation, Calcutta, vol. I. p 469) viz. 'that the date of each section, nay, sometimes of each single verse in the Mahabharata must be determined separately' applies with equal (or perhaps greater) force to the Puranas, perticularly when one wants to use the section or stanza for historical or comparative purposes. 1363

It may be conceded that the Puranas and some of the available Upapuranas also contain many ancient legends and traditions, but these have been so much tampered with and inflated by additions intended to bolster up particular forms of worship and particular tenets that great caution is required before one can recognize them as genuine and reliable representatives for ascertaining the general state of Indian society and behefs in ancient and medieval times.

In the opinion of the present writer there is no positive objective evidence for placing any of the upapuranas except the Visnudharmottara before the 8th or 9th century A.D. Even in the case of Puranas, there have been large interpolations such as verses about the 18 puranas, their number and contents. But they contain much ancient material and are far more reliable than most of the Upapuranas, having been quoted by writers from 8th and 9th centuries A.D. or even earlier.

The Amarakośa 1364 defines 'Itihāsa' as 'what happened in the past' and 'Purāna' as 'what has five signs or characteristics'. It is no doubt true that some purānas speak of Purāna as

¹³⁶³ Vide Dr. V. S. Sukthankar in Kane Festschrift pp. 472-487 (about the Rāma episode in the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyana) at p. 474.

¹³⁶⁴ इतिहासः पुरावृत्तं पुराण पञ्चलक्षणम्। अमरक्षोक्ष, शन्दाादेवर्ग 4-5.

'pañcalaksana' and set out the five characteristics 1365 as sarga (creation), pratisarga (re-creation after dissolution of the world). vamia (dynasties of gods, the Sun and the Moon, and the patriarchs), manuantara (the yast periods of time that are so called), vamśānucarita or vamśyānucarita (deeds and history of the descendants of the solar, lunar and other dynasties). The Bhagavata 1366 states that ten topics are dealt with by Puranas and that some say that they are only five topics of the Bhagavata are sarga, visarga (dissolution or creation after destruction), vrtti (modes of subsistence, natural or prescribed for all men by śastra), raksā (protection i. e. avatāras destroying those that hate the Vedas), antarām (i. e manvataras), vamśa, vamśyānucarita, sainsthā (four kinds of laya), hetu (the cause of creation viz. the soul that is subject to avidyā and collects karma), apāśraya (the refuge of individual souls. viz. brahman). The Matsyapurana also mentions other characteristics of Puranas viz. in all Puranas the four goals of man (purusarthas) are described, also the consequences of doing what is opposed to dharma, division of Purānas into sāttvika, rājasa, tāmasa, the sāttvika and rājasa purānas respectively extolling the greatness of Hari and Brahmā, tāmasa Purānas extolling Agni and Siva, the mixed ones extolling the greatness of Sarasyati and pitrs. The questions addressed by Manu to Keśava (in Matsya 2. 22-24) indicate the subjects that would be dealt with by that Purana viz creation and dissolution, the ramsas,

¹³⁶⁵ सर्गश्च प्रतिसर्गश्च वंशो मन्यन्तराणि च । वंद्याग्रचरितं (v.1. वंशानुः) चैव प्राणं पञ्चलक्षणम् ॥ वायु ४ 10–11, वराहं २ ४, क्रूमं І. 1. 12, भविष्य І. 2 ४, मार्कण्डेय 134. 13–14; मत्वय भव्य पञ्चाङ्गानि पुराणेषु आख्यानकमिति स्मृतम्। सर्गश्च "लक्षणम् ॥ 53 65, विष्णु reads सर्गश्च "वंशमन्यन्तराणि च । सर्वेष्वेतेषु कश्यन्ते वंशाग्रचरितं च यत् ॥ III. 6 24; अग्नि reads सर्गश्च प्रतिमार्गथ वंशान्यन्तरस्य च । वंशाग्रचरितादेश्च मत्स्यक्र्मान्दित्पपृत्त ॥ वराह observes 'प्रताणानां हि सर्वेपामयं साधारणः स्मृतः । श्रुशेकं धरिण निश्चित्य तिःशेषं लमत शृणु ॥ सर्गश्च 'लक्षणम्॥ २ 34 सर्ग श्व dealt with in ब्रह्मा chap 1–3, ब्रह्माण्ड II. 8–13, वायु ४–6, क्रूमं І. 2, 4, 7, 8, आग्नि 17 and 20 &c. For प्रतिसर्ग vide ब्रह्म २ 32–37, ब्रह्माण्ड II 7, विष्णु І. २र्म, क्रूमं II. 45, वायु 7, वंश्च व्य विवधं with in several प्रताणं व वायु 99, विष्णु IV, ब्रह्म ६, ब्रह्माण्ड II 14, क्रूमं 120–25, भागवत IX and XII, आग्नी 18, 273–75, 277–78, for मन्यन्तरः vide विष्णु III. 1–2, ब्रह्म 4–6, वायु 100, ब्रह्माण्ड II. chap 6, 35–38, क्रुमं I 51, भागवत III and VIII.

¹³⁶⁶ पुराणलक्षणं ब्रह्मच् ब्रह्मपिभिनिस्तिपतम् । शृणुष्व बुद्धिमाश्चिरय वेदशास्त्राञ्च-सारतः ॥ सगोरेयाथ विसर्गश्च वृत्तिरसान्तराणि च । वंशी वंश्याञ्चचिर्ते सस्था हेतुरपाश्चयः । दृशभिर्वक्षणिर्युक्त पुराणं तद्विदो विद्व । केचिरपञ्चविधं ब्रह्मच् महद्दरपच्यवस्थया॥ भागवत XII. 7. 8-10, verses 11-19 explain the ten laksanas हेतुर्जीवोऽस्य सगिदेरविद्याकर्म-कारकः । ये चाञ्चशिर्वनं प्राहुरव्याञ्चतस्रतापरे । व्यतिरेकान्वयो यस्य जाग्रत्स्वमसुपुक्षिपु । माया-मर्येषु तद्बद्धा जीववृत्तिष्वपाश्चयः॥ भागवत XII. 7 18-19.

manvantaras, vamsyūcarıta, the expanse of the world, rules about dānas, śrāddha, yarnas and Iśramas, işta and pūrta, establishment of images of gods, and everything else.

It is not quite clear why the Amarakośa soized upon the above mentioned five topics as characteristics of Puranas. Amarakośa 1367 cannot be placed later than the 5th century A. D. It is likely that before that time the number of Puranas was not large, that they had not become very inflated, and that, as Itihasa and Purana were often lumped together as the 5th Veda in the Upanisads, they both had certain matters in common. Itihasa did not probably deal with creation, dissolution and manyantaras, but contented itself with the dynasties of kings and with the deeds and legends about the heroes of the past. Rarely. Itihāsa (Mahābhārata) is called Purāna and some extant Puranas describe themselves as Itihasa. For example, the Vayu 1368 calls itself in the same context both itihasa and purana. The Brahmapurana calls itself Purana as well as akhyana (245, 27 and 30). The Mahābhārata 1369, though generally called itlhasa by itself (as in Adi. 1. 19. 26. 54) or the best of itlhasas, still applies to itself the words. 'ākhyāna 1370 (as in Adi 2. 388-89), Kāvya (Adi 2. 390), Kārsnaveda (Adi 1. 264) and Purana (Adı 1, 17). From this it appears that originally the line of demarcation between the two was rather thin. In defining Purāna as 'pañcalaksana' the Amarakosa and some of the Purānas seized upon such topics as distinguished Purānas from Itihasa and other branches of Sanskrit literature. It has been

^{1367.} In 'India, what can it teach us' (1882) p. 328 Max Muller points out that the Amarakośa was translated into Chinese about 561-566 A D Mr. Oak, editor of the Amarakośa with the commentary of Kairasvämin, assigns it to the 4th century A D and Hoernle (in J R A S for 1906 pp 940-941) on rather slender and far-fetched evidence puts it between 625 A. D. and 950 A. D.

^{1368.} इमं यो बाह्मणो <u>निद्वानितिहास पुरातनम्।</u> शृष्ट्ययाच्छावयेद्वापि तथाध्यापयतेऽपि च॥ "धन्य यशस्यमायुष्यं पुण्यं गेदेव्ध समतम्। श्रुष्णद्वेपायनेनोक्त पुराण ब्रह्मगदिना। वास्र 103.48,51, vide also वास्र 103.56 (दृतिहास) and 58 (पुराण), ब्रह्माण्ड IV. 4. 47,50 (which are the same as वास्र 103.48 and 51)

¹³⁶⁹ जयी नामेतिहासीऽयं श्रीतन्यो विजिगीषुणा। उद्योग 136 18, जयो नामेतिहासीय श्रीतन्यो मोक्षमिच्छता। सर्गारोष्ट्रणिक 5. 51, द्वितहासोत्तमाद्द्रमाञ्जायन्ते कविबुद्धयः॥ आदि 2, 385.

^{1370.} अनाश्चिरपेद्माख्यानं कथा भ्रुवि न विद्यते । आदि २, 37 and 388; दर्वं कविदरें. सर्वेराख्यानसुपजीन्यते ॥ आदि २, 389

shown above that the Purāna and Bhavisyatpurāna that existed before Āpastamba contained not only sarga and pratisarga but also some smrti materials as well. From the definition given in the Purānas and the Amarakośa it does not at all follow that those five topics alone were the constituent parts of the very ancient Purānas, as Kirfel holds; 1371 What could be said at the most is that five were the distinctive topics that differentiated the Purāna class of works from other branches of literature and probably cognate works called Itihāsa or it may be that the five are generalized as an ideal for Purānas and that the very early representatives of this class (that existed before Āp. Dh. S.) had not these five as characteristics

The extant Puranas contain far more subjects than the five. Some Puranas barely touch these five and deal at great length with altogether different topics Only a few of the extant Puranas can be said to deal with all the five topics at some length. The five characteristic topics occupy less than three percent of the extent of the extant Mahāpurānas. Purānas the extant Visnu alone closely agrees with the definition of Purana as 'pancalaksana,' though it also contains a good many other topics. On a modest calculation the four subjects of vrata, śrāddha, tīrtha and dāna cover at least one hundred thousand slokas in the extant eighteen main Purānas. Several of them have identical chapters on several topics (e.g. Matsya¹³⁷² and Padma, Vāyu and Brahmānda contain long passages that agree verbatim with one another in many matters and details). It is probable, therefore, that the extant principal Purāņas are partial and gradually inflated representatives of an earlier group of Purānas (not necessarily 18 in number) that

^{1371.} Vide Kirfel's Einleitung p. XX of 'Purāṇa pañcalakṣaṇa', J. of Venkateśvara O. I. vol. VII and at p. 94 for Kirfel's view.

^{1372.} For example, Matsya chapters 55 and 57-60 are the same as Padma V. 24. 64-278, Matsya 62-64 = Padma V. 22 61-164, Matsya 69-70 = Padma V. 23. 2-146, Matsya 71-72 = Padma V. 24. 1-64, Matsya 74-80 = Padma V. 21. 215-321, Matsya 83-92 = Padma V. 21. 81-213 &c.; Kirfel in 'Purāṇa Pañcalaksaṇa' (and vol. VII. pp. 84-86 of JVOI) gives a chapter concordance of Brahmānda and Vāyu and remarks that Brahmāṇda I. 27 (of 129 verses) and II. 21-58 (of 2141 ślokas) have nothing corresponding in Vāyu, while Vāyu has 2704 ślokas that do not correspond to anything in Brahmāṇda (vide 'Purāṇa ¡Pañcalakṣaṇa' p. XIII and J. V O. I. vol. VII. 1946, p 87). Kirfel also furnishes a table of chapters that are common to both Brahmāṇḍa and Vāyu (pp XV-XVI) and vol; VII. pp. 88-90 of JVOI).

existed before Yājāavalkya. In the present state of our knowledge it is almost an insoluble problem to find out what these Purānas were or contained. The number 18 was probably due to the fact that the number is prominent in several connections as regards the Mahābhārata. The Bhārata war was fought for 18 days, the total of the vast armies engaged in the conflict came to 18 aksauhinīs, the epic has 18 parrans, the Gītā also has 18 chapters 1373

The Purānas may be classified into several categories, viz. (1) encyclopaedic like the Agni, Garuda and Nāradīya, (2) those mainly dealing with tirthas such as Padma, Skanda and Bhavisya, (3) sectarian, such as Linga, Vāmana, Mārkandeya, (4) Historical such as Vāyu and Brahmānda The Vāyu, Brahmānda, Matsya and Visnu are probably the oldest among extant Purānas, though they too have received substantial additions from time to time.

There are seven Purānas that contain historical material, viz the ancient dynasties down to the time of the Bhārata war and from the Bhārata war to the downfall of the Andhras and the rise of the Guptas, ¹³⁷⁴ viz Vāyu 99. 250-435, Vısnu IV 20. 12 to IV. 24. 44, Brahmānda III. 74 104-248, Bhāgavata IX. 12. 9-16, IX. 22. 34-49 and XII. 17, Garuda 140 and 141. 1-12, Bhavisya III. 3 and 4 (this account is practically worthless). Matsya contains the fullest list of Āndhra kings and states (273. 16-17) that 29 Āndhra kings will rule for 460 years, while the Vāyu (99 357-358) states that 30 Andhra kings will rule ^{1374a} for 456 (406?) years Both Vāyu (99 355) and Matsya (373 16) ('Pulovā' in Vāyu) make Puloma as the last king of the Āndhras. Ptolemy who published his 'Geography of India' about

^{1373.} Vide Otto Stein's paper on the number 18 in 'Poona Orientalist' Vol. I. pp 1-37

^{1374.} अञ्चयक्कं प्रयागं च साकेत् (तं?) मगधांस्तथा। एताखनपदान्सर्वाच् भोहयन्ते ग्रास्वंत्रजा। । वायु 99 383, ब्रह्माण्ड III 74 195 (reads अञ्चयङ्गात्रयागं च and सप्तदंशजा), भागवत (XII 1 37) reads अञ्चयङ्गात्रायगं ग्रासा भोहयति मेदिनीस्।; विष्णु IV. 24 63 reads उत्साद्याखिलक्षत्रजातिं नव नागा प्रसावत्यां नाम पुर्योमञ्जगङ्गात्रयागं गयाद्भुताख मागधा भोह्यन्ति ।. Vide Pargiter's 'Purāna text &c.' p 53 where the passage is set out and variant readings are noted. Vide I H Q vol XXI pp 141–143 on 'Purānas on the Imperial Guptas' by D. C Ganguly who criticizes Pargiter's view (pp XII—XIII in Intro to Purāna texts) and does not agree that the Purāna accounts refer to 320–335 A. D

¹³⁷⁴a, 'History of Deccan' by Dr R G Bhandarkar for list of বাল বালসু kings (in Bombay Gazetter vol I, part 2, p. 168).

150A.D. states that Ptolemaios was king of Baithana (Paithana) in his days (vide J.I.H. vol. 22, 1943, at p. 84 in an article on 'Apostles of Kalvan'. So that these historical references to Andhras must be a good deal later than 150 A.D. Only four Puranas viz. Vayu, Brahmānda, Bhāgavata and Visnu mention in a general way that kings of the Gupta dynasty will rule along the Ganges up to Prayaga, Saketa (Ayodhya) and Magadha, but no names of Gupta kings are specified. The passages referring to the Guptas are rather corrupt.13746 It is argued by Pargiter (in 'Dynasties of the Kali age' p. XII.) and others that Samudragupta was a great conqueror as his Allahabad Praśasti (Fleet's 'Gupta Inscriptions' No. 1). Most scholars are agreed that the Gupta dynasty began to rule about 320 A.D. It is argued that, if the reviser or revisers of the Puranas had known the brilliant campaigns of Samudragupta they would have named him at least and that therefore the revision of the Vayu took place between 320-335 A. D.

There is a large mass of Literature dealing with several questions relating to Puranas. For those who desire to make a close study of them and wish to be acquainted with the controversies relating to Purānas, some of the more important works and papers on the Puranas may be mentioned here: H. H. Wilson's Introduction to the English tr. of the Visnupurana, vol. 1. (1864); F. E. Pargiter's 'Purana texts of the dynasties of the Kali age' (1913), 'Ancient Indian genealogies' in Sir R. G. Bhandarkar Presentation volume pp. 107-113, 'Ancient Indian Historical Tradition' (Oxford, 1922); W. Kirfel's 'das Purāna Pancalaksana' (Bonn, 1927), 'die Cosmographie der Inder' (1920), 'Bharatavarsa' (Stuttgart, 1931); Vries on 'Purāna studies' in Pavry commemoration vol. pp 482-487 (applies Kirfel's method to the subject of śrāddha in the Brahmānda, Hariyamśa, Matsya, Padma and Vāyu); Haraprasad Shastri's descriptive cat. of Mss. at the Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. V. Preface pp. LXXIII-CCXXV and his paper on 'Mahapuranas" in J. B. O. R. S vol. XV. p. 323-340; Prof. B. C. Majumdar's paper in Sir Asutosh Mookerji Silver Jubilee vol. III, Orientalia, part 2 pp. 9-30; Dr. A. Banerji Śāstri's paper on

¹³⁷⁴ b. इत्येते वे त्यास्त्रिशदन्ध्रा भोक्ष्यन्ति वे महीन्॥ समाः शतानि चत्वारि पञ्च षद्भ वे तथेव च। (पञ्चाशव्वे?)। वासु 99. 357–358. The words mean on the decimal positional notation 400 + 5 (i. e 50, tens place) and 6 (i.e 456). मत्स्य 273. 16–17 reads एकोनविंशतिहोंते आन्ध्रा भोक्ष्यन्ति वे महीम्। समाः शतानि चत्वारि पञ्चाशत् पारितेव च॥. It may be noticed that मत्स्य speaks of आन्ध्रड while वासु calls them अन्ध्रड.

'Ancient Indian Historical Tradition' in J. B. O. R. S. vol. XIII. pp. 62-79 (supplies a useful corrective to many sweeping assertions of such scholars as Macdonell, Pargiter and others): Cambridge History of India, vol. I. pp. 296-318; Winternitz's 'History of Indian Literature,' English Tr. vol I. pp. 296-318: Prof. H. C. Hazra's 'Studies in the Puranic Records of Hindu rites and customs' (Dacca 1940), papers on 'Puranas in the History of smrti' in 'Indian Culture,' vol. I. pp. 587-614, 'Mahāpurānas' in Dacca University Studies' vol. II, pp. 62-69; 'Smrtı chapters in Purānas (I. H. Q. vol. XI pp. 108-130), 'Pre-Purānic Hindu Society before 200 A.D. '(I. H. Q. vol. XV, pp. 403-431). 'Puranic rites and customs influenced by the economic and social views of the sacerdotal class' (in Dacca University Studies' vol. XII. pp 91-101), 'Influence of Tantra on Smrtinibandhas' (in A. B. O R I. vol XV. pp. 220-235 and vol XVI pp. 202-211), 'the Upapurānas' (in A. B.O R. I. vol. XXI, pp. 38-62); 'Purāna Literature as known to Ballālasena' (in the J.O.R. Madras, vol. XII. pp. 129-146), 'Some Minor Purānas' in A. B. O R. I. vol. XIX, pp. 69-79, 'the Asyamedha, the common source of origin of the Purana Pancalaksana and the Mahabharata' A, B O. R. I. vol. 36 (1955 pp. 190-203); 'Some lost Upapurānas' in J. A. S., Calcutta, vol. 20 pp. 15-38; and many other papers on individual Puranas specified at the end of this part. Das-Gupta's Indian Philosophy, vol. III. pp 496-511 on 'Philosophical speculations of some Purānas'; Dr. D. R Patil's paper on 'Gupta Inscriptions and Puranic tradition' (in Bulletin of D. C. R. I., vol. II. pp 2-58, comparing passages from Gupta Inscriptions and Puranas); Prof. V. R. Ramchandra Diksitar's 'The Puranas, a study' (in I. H. Q vol. VIII. pp. 717-67) and 'Purana Index' in three volumes; Dr. A. D. Pusalkar's paper in 'Progress of Indic Studies' (1917-1942) in Silver Jubilee Volume of BORI, pp. 139-152 and 'Studies in Epics and Puranas of India' (B. V. Bombay. 1953); Prof. D R. Mankad's 1375 papers on 'Yugas' (in P. C.

^{1375.} Prof. Mankad's theories are sometimes extraordinary and advanced without any proper or objective evidence. For example, in his paper in the B V, vol VI, he boldly asserts that Sunga is a Chinese family name, that Pusyamitra's ancestors were originally Chinese, that Bhāradvāja is a family of Sāmavedans and that he believes that the origin of Sāmaveda is Chinese, as its peculiar total music suggests. When it is a mere matter of believer that he is wrong. One of his astounding theories is that each of the kings mentioned in the Purānik texts is not a real king, but represents a time unit of forty years.

ol. VI. part 3-4 pp. 6-10), on 'Manvantaras' (IHQ. vol. XVIII. pp. 208-230) and in B. V. vol. VI. pp. 6-10; Dr. Ghurye's 'residential Address in the section on Ethnology and Folklore in Pro. of 9th A. I. O. C. (1937) pp. 911-954; Dr. A. S. Altekar's paper 'Can we re-construct pre-Bhārata-war history?' in J.B.H.U. vol. IV. pp. 183-223 (holding that the various pre-Bhārata-war dynasties mentioned in the Purānas are as historical and real as the dynasties of Mauryas and Andhras and the Paurānic genealogies really refer to kings who figure in the Vedic Literature also); Dr. Jadunath Sinha's 'A History of Indian Philosophy' vol. 1 pp. 125-177 on the philosophy of the Purānas' (1956); two papers 'on the ancient chronology of India' by R. Martin Smith in J. A. O. S. vol. 77 No. 2 (April-June 1957) and No. 4 Dec. 1957 (He follows Pargiter in his texts).

Some remarks on the important conclusions of Pargiter and Kirfel are necessary. Pargiter tries to construct history from the earliest times to the Bhārata war which he holds to have taken place about 950 B. C. (AIHT, chap. 15 p 182). He holds that there were two traditions in ancient India, viz. the Ksatriya tradition and the Brahmana tradition (AIHT, chap. 5 pp. 58-77). He harps dozens of times on the utter lack of the historic sense among the brahmanas in his work (AIHT), holds that the Puranas represent the ksatriya tradition, that there were three racial stocks, viz. the Manavas (or Manavas as he styles them), the Ailas and the Saudyumnas, that respectively represent the Dravidian, the Aryan and Munda (AIHT chap. 25 pp. 289-302), that the Puranas are Sanskritizations of works in Prakrit (pp. X-XI) of 'Dynasties of the Kali age'. His date for the Mahābhārata war has not been accepted by later scholars, since his handling of that subject is not judicial, objective or straightforward, but relies too much on his own prejudices and on averages. In his 'Purana texts of the dynasties of the Kali age (pp. 58, 74) he appears to favour the view that the Bharata war was fought 1050 years before the Nandas i. e. about 1475 B. C. The mss. and the printed Puranas give four different periods between the birth of Pariksit 1376 and

^{1376.} यावरपरिक्षितो जन्म यावस्तन्दाभिषेत्र्यनम्। एतद्वर्षसद्वसं तु ज्ञेपं पश्चद्क्षोत्तरम्॥ विष्णु IV. 24. 32, भागवत XII. 2. 26 reads (आरम्य भवतो जन्म'''सद्वसं तु हातं पश्च-देशोत्तरम्), महापद्माभिषेतात्तु यावज्जन्म परीक्षितः। एतद्वर्षसद्वसं तु ज्ञेपं पश्चाशद्वृत्तरम्॥ मत्त्य 273. 35 (reads एवं वर्षः), वायु 99. 415 (reads महादेवाभिषेत्रात्तु), ब्रह्माण्ड III. 74. 227 (reads महानन्दाभिषेत्रान्तं). श्रीधर commenting on Bhagavata XII.2.26

the crowning of Nanda, viz 1015 years (Visnu), 1050 years (Vayu. Brahmānda and mss of Matsya), 1115 years (Bhīgavata), 1500 years (some mss. of Visnu and of Matsya). Pargiter himself argues forcefully for the trustworthiness of tradition and Paurānika genealogies in R.G. Bhandarkar Presentation volume pp. 107-113 and in AIHT chap. X. p. 119-125 Besides, there is the common experience that the total number of years between one wellknown event and another can be easily remembered and handed down even orally for hundreds of years, while handing down hundreds of royal names is a difficult matter and some names may easily drop out. Moreover, the Matsva, Brahmanda and Vayu themselves say that they 1377 mention only the prominent kings of the Iksvāku line and the Brhadratha line 1378 and even as to the Paurava 1379 line it is clear that that dynasty had many names not all of which are enumerated. There is therefore every possibility that some kings dropped out even in later genealogies also (as for example Matsya 213. 16, saying that Andhras were 29 and Vayu 99 357 saying they were 30). Merely counting the total of the kings actually named in the Puranas would not convey a quite accurate idea of the total length of years during which that dynasty ruled. Bearing in mind the two matters (viz. trustworthiness of tradition and Pauranika genealogies and the ease of remembering the time distance between too well-known events) he should have endeavoured to find out the age of the Bharata War. He brushes aside the statement of the period between Pariksit and Nanda as unreli-

⁽Continued from last page)

states that in the 9th Skandha the Bhāgavata assigns 1000 years to 20 kings from Mārjāri of the Māgadha line (a contemporary of Parīksit), then 5 Pradyotana kings ruled for 138 years, then the Śiśunāgas ruled for 360 years, thus 1498 years passed between Parīksit and the crowning of Nauda and therefore he supports the reading of the interval as 1500 years

^{1377.} एते वैवस्वते पशे राजानी भूरिइक्षिणाः । इश्वाकुवश्मभवाः <u>माधान्येन</u> मकीर्तिताः ॥ मत्स्य 12 57, एते हिश्वाकुदायादा राजानः शतशः स्मृताः । वेशे मधाना एतस्मिन् प्राधान्येन उ कीर्तिताः ॥ ब्रह्माण्ड III. 64. 213–214, एते इश्वाकुदायादा राजानः <u>मायशः</u> स्मृताः । वशे " कीर्तिताः ॥ वाद्य 88 213 (as in ब्रह्माण्ड)

¹³⁷⁸ अत ऊर्ध्न प्रवश्यामि मागधेयान् बृहन्यात् । जरासन्धस्य येवंशे सहदेवान्वये सुपरः॥ अतीता वर्तमानाश्च भविष्याश्च तथा पुन । प्राधान्यत् प्रवश्यामि गदतो ये निबोधत॥ वासु 99, 294-295, जन्मण्ड III 74, 107-109, मतस्य 271 17-18.

¹³⁷⁹ आसीत्सुधर्मतनयः सार्वभौमः प्रतापवानः । सार्वभौमिति विख्यातः प्रशिष्यामेकराष्ट्र-षभौ ॥ तस्यान्वनाये महति महापौरवनस्तनः । महापौरव युत्रस्त राजा रुक्मरथः स्मृतः ॥ मह्दप 49 71-73, बाग्र 99. 186-187 (with very insignificant variations).

able simply on the ground (which appears to me flimsy) that the figures (1015, 1050) are discrepant (A. I. H. T. p. 180). almost all passages of the Puranas there is some discrepancy or other. Therefore, he should have made an endeavour to find out which one of the three periods (1015, 1050, 1500) is supported by the best and oldest mss. and should have stuck to them. partcularly when the Sanskrit equivalents of the three periods (pañcadasa, pañcāsat and pañcasata) are so much alike that scribes might easily have been confused and made their own readings. Even taking the least period of (1015) years, the Mahābhārata War would have to be placed at about 1440 B. C. (adding 1015 to the date of Nanda's enthronement, viz. about 425 B. C.). Most Western writers and Prof. S. N. Pradhan (in 'Chronology of ancient India', Calcutta 1927, pp. 249ff) find fault with Pauranika statements and brush them aside as practically worthless. Prof. Pradhan takes the kings actually named in the three lines, holds that 28 years are the average reign period of each and multiplying the number of kings by 28 arrives at the conclusion that the Mahābhārata war was fought about 1150 B.C. It is not possible to deal at length with his arguments. But he ignores the express words of the Puranas that they enumerate only the principal or important kings. Besides, there are scholars like Pargiter who regard 17 or 18 years as the average reign of a king in other countries (and in India also). The author cannot accept Prof. Pradhan's reasoning. Most Western writers are loth to assign old dates to matters Indian. Pargiter is no exception. Instead of straightforwardly accepting one of the three periods that was strongly supported by mss, he indulges in some devices that appear like tricks of jugglery (A. I.H.T. pp 180-183). His method requires some explanation and examination.

Vyāsa is said to have been alive when the Bhārata battle was fought at the end of the Dvāpara age and he is also held to be the author of the 18 Purānas. The kings before the Bhārata war, the Pāndava heroes and a few descendants of them and of some contemporaries of them are treated by the Matsya, Vāyu, Brahmānda and others as past (atīta). Adhisomakrsna or Adhisīmakrsna, who 1350 was 6th in direct descent from Arjuna

^{1380.} The genealogy of अधिसीमकुष्ण is as follows: अर्जुन-son अभिमन्यु-son परीक्षित्-son जनमेजय-son ज्ञानीक, then अश्वमेधद्य, then अधिसीमकुष्ण. Vide वार्य 99, 249-258, the last verse being, अधिसीमकुष्णो धर्मारमा सांप्रतीयं महायज्ञाः। यस्मिन् महासति नहीं युक्माभिरिद्माहृतस्॥; मस्य 50, 55-67 has almost the same words as Väyu, but states that अधिसीमकुष्ण was son of ज्ञानीक

(excluding Arjuna) is said to have been alive when the Puranas were narrated to the sages at the sattra. Both Väyu (99, 282) and Matsya (271. 5) state that in the Iksyāku line king Divākara. 6th (or 5th in Matsya) in descent from Brhadbala, was alive at the time when the Puranas were narrated. Then the same Purānas (Vāyu 99. 30, Matsva 271. 23 and Brahmanda III. 74. 113) state that in the line of Jarasandha (ruler of Magadha). who was a contemporary of the Pandavas and whose son Sahadeva was killed in the Bhārata war, there was Senājit who was a contemporary of Adhisima krsna and Divakara and who was 7th in descent from Sahadeva Those three are described as vartamana kings in the Puranas and all those that came after these three are described as bhavisua. Pargiter first (AIHT p. 180) takes the total of the kings of the three dynasties. Aiksyāka, Pauraya and Māgadha that are actually named (ignoring what the Puranas say viz. that only the principal or important kings are named and not all) and the total of the reigns of all these (1408 years), finds that the average of the reign of each king in the three lines works out at such large figures as 47, 50, 31, which he regards as impossible when tested by real historical averages But he forgets that the Puranas say that in the Aiksvāka, Magadha and Paurava lines and also generally only the prominent kings are mentioned and also that the extant Puranas are only fragments left of the originals, since in the Brahmanda (III. 74) all Paurava and Aiksvaka kings are altogether lost. Then he takes the total number of kings in ten kingdoms up to Mahāpadma and arrives at the average of 26 kings for each kingdom (AIHT p 181). Then he says that the average of fourteen series of kings in Eastern and Western countries which he examined comes to 19 years for each reign and, holding that the average in eastern countries is less than in western countries, he arrives at the average of 18 years for each reign (pp. 181-182), which he regards as fair and rather liberal. He then multiplies the average 18 (of length of reigns) by 26 (the average number of kings in ten countries which he supposes to be the only kings in those ten) and arrives at the figure 468 years. He adds these to the date which he assigns to Mahāpadma Nanda 382 B. C. and thus arrives at 850 B. C. as the mean date of the beginning of the reign of Adhısımakrana, Divākara and Senājit, who were vartamāna kings. takes five as the average of the kings between the vartamana kings and Yudhisthira and assigning about 100 years to these 5 kings, arrives at the date 950 B.C. for the Bharata war. He

discards (AIHT p. 180 n 3) the astronomical evidence contained in the Puranas (and the Mahabharata) about Bharata war in a single sentence viz. that astronomical statements can have no scientific precision and can only have been formed by estimate at the close. The probable date of the Mahābhārata war has been discussed by the author at some length on materials supplied by the Mahābhārata, the Purānas, Varāhamihira, Aryabhata and Inscriptions in vol III. pp. 895-923 and therefore he does not go into that question here. But he strongly disapproves of the methods of Pargiter and the date he deduces. Later scholars like Kirfel have not accepted the conclusions of Pargiter about two separate traditions and about the Puranas being Sanskritizations of originals written in Prakrit and Kharosthi script (p. XVI. of Intro to 'Purana Texts &c.'). importance of another independent source has not been taken proper notice of by Pargiter and even by Kirfel. It appears that about 300 B.C. Megasthenes was supplied with a list of kings from Bacchus to Alexander's time (153 or 154 in number) covering by their reigns a period of 6451 years and three months. Vide 'Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian' by McCrindle (1877) p. 115 and Cambridge History of Ancient India, vol. I. (1922, p. 409). Even supposing for argument that the account of kings is not trustworthy the fact remains that about 300 B.C Indians claimed that they had lists of kings that reigned before that date for thousands of years (and not for a few hundred years as Pargiter would have us believe).

It has been shown above that Apastamba mentions a Bhavi-syatpurāna and quotes four verses from a Purāna (p. 817, note 1328). That Bhavisyat-purāna was probably so called because it contained in a prophetic vein the names and other details of kings that flourished after the Bhārata heroes and after a few generations of descendants of them and their contemporaries and probably purported to have been composed by some sage or by Vyāsa. As the Kali age is said to have started after the Bhārata war, as Parāśara, his son Vyāsa and Vyāsa's son Śuka were regarded as more or less contemporaries of the Pāndavas that lived in the Dvāpara age and as all the 18 Purānas are deemed to have been composed by Vyāsa 1881 in the Dvāpara age, the history of the kings of the Kali age from the descendants

^{1381.} अदादश पुराणानि कृत्वा सत्यवतीद्यत । भारताख्यानमखिलं चक्रे तदुपर्वृद्धितम्॥ मत्स्य 53. 70.

of Adhisimakrsna and his contemporaries downwards has been furnished by the Puranas in the form of a prophetic It has not been clearly noticed by both Pargiter and Kirfel that the so-called future kings are divided into two groups. viz. the kings of the Aila, Aiksvāka and Māgadha lines from Adhisīmakrsna, Divākara and Senājit to the last scions of these lines (such 1382 as Sumitra in the Aiksvāka line, Ksemaka in the Aila line) form one group and later kings in the lines of Pradvotas, Sungas, Andhras, Sakas and others form another group and further that the first group was most probably dealt with in the ancient Bhavisya-purana or some other Purana if we rely on Apastamba, but the other group not having been in existence when the Bhavisyat was composed (before 500-400 BC) was dealt with by the extant Puranas from information received by them apart from the ancient Bhavisyat. This is clear from the passages of the Matsva and Vavu quoted 1283 below. The Matsva says 'After this I shall proclaim those future kings in the Aida (Aıla). Aiksvāka and Paurava lines and those with whom those three benign (or virtuous) families will come to an end and I shall enumerate all of these kings that are narrated in the Bhavisya Other kings different from the preceding that will arise, such as Ksatras (? of the

^{1382.} अन्नासुनंशभूत्रोजीय भविष्यन्तेष्वाह्वत । त्वसाप्तृणामयं वंश सुमिन्नान्तो भविष्यति। सुमिन्नं प्राप्त राजान सस्या प्राप्त्यति । क्ष्मां अप्रथा प्राप्त क्ष्मां प्राप्त । वायु 99 292, मत्स्य 271, 15–16, ब्रह्माण्ड III 74 106, अञ्चानुनंशभूत्रोजोऽयं गीतो विमे पुराप्तिये । ब्रह्माक्षत्रस्य यो योनिर्वेशो देवपिसस्कृतः। क्षेमकं प्राप्य राजान संस्था प्राप्त्यति वे कली॥ वायु 99. 278, ब्रह्माण्ड III 74 265, मत्स्य 51 88 There is no अनुवजभूत्रोक about the last scion of the third line,

अत ऊर्ध्व प्रदर्शामि भविष्या ये सृपास्तथा। ऐडेक्नाकान्वये चैव पीरवे चान्वये तथा। येषु सस्थास्यते तञ्च ऐडेक्नाकुकुल खुभम् ॥ तान्सर्वान् कीर्तियिष्यामि भविष्ये कथिता-न्द्रपान्॥ तेम्यीऽपरेऽपि ये खन्ये द्वात्यस्त्यन्ते चुपाः पुनः। क्षचाः पारज्ञवाः ज्ञृद्धास्तथान्ये ये बहि-श्वराः । अन्धाः (अन्धा ?) ज्ञानाः प्रलिन्दाश्च चालिका यवनास्तथा । कैवर्ताभीरज्ञवरा ये चान्ये म्लेच्छसम्भवा । पर्यायतः व्रवस्यामि नामतश्चेत्र तान्तृपान् । अधिसोम् (सीम १) छुण्णश्चेतेपा प्रथम वर्तते हर । तस्यान्ववाये वक्ष्यामि भविषये कथितान सूपान ॥ मत्स्य 50. 73-77 pare बाय 99.266-270 (the only important variants being भविष्ये पहितान, नर्मायत. for पर्यायत and भविष्ये तावती चुपान्). पारशवा. (पाईविः or पर्शयः) probably stands for a warlike tribe called Parsus Vide 'पञ्जीदियोधियादिम्यामणञ्जी । पा V. 3 117, from which it appears that Parsu was an आयुधजीविसङ्घ like योधेय in the times of Panini. Parsus were ancient Persians, as appears from the Behustun Inscription of Darius (522-486 B C). Vide 'Select Inscriptions' vol. I, pp. 1-6 ed by Dr D. C. Sircar where Pars occurs as the name of a country. The other sense given above does not suit the context Pulindas were in the Vindhya region and are associated with Andhra in the 13th Rock edict of Asoka The अमरकोडा says: 'भेडा. किरातज्ञवरप्रलिन्दा म्लेच्छजातय. !'.

Ksatriya class), Pārašavas (the Parši tribe or persons born of a śūdra father and brāhmana mother?), Śūdras (as kings) and others that are foreigners, the Andhras, Śakas, Pulindas, Cūlikas, Yavanas, fishermen. Abhīras and Śabaras and others born of Mleccha (tribes)—these kings I shall proclaim one after another in order and by name. Out of these (two groups) the first is Adhisimakrsna who is now alive and I shall speak of the kings of his line that are narrated in the Bhavisya'. This passage makes it perfectly clear that in the ancient Bhavisvat kings of the three lines of Aıla, Aiksvāka and Paurava to the last of them were enumerated (vide note 1382), but that the later kings like the Andhras and Sakas were not enumerated therein agree with Pargiter (p. VIII. in Intro to 'Purana Texts' &c.) that the words 'Bhavisye kathitan' in Matsya (50.77) or 'Bhavisye pathitan' in Vayu (in 99.292) refer to the descendants mentioned in the Bhavisya and that they do not simply mean 'mentioned in future.' I fail to understand, however, why he regards 'Bhavisyat' as a perversion of 'Bhavisya'. Bhavisyat is as good a word as Bhavisya, being employed in several passages such as Varāha (177.34), Matsya (53.62).

Pargiter probably wants to identify the Bhavisyat of Apastamba with the Bhavisya of later times. There is no evidence except the name to identify the two It, therefore, appears that the extant Puranas base their narrative as to the three lines of Aila, Aiksvāka and Paurava kings on the materials contained in the ancient Bhavisya and as to other lines and comparatively later kings they relied on other materials or oral traditions that they could collect. This inference receives support from other circumstances. The extant Purānas quote verses called Anuvamša šlokas or gāthās about ancient kings, such as Kārtavirya (in Vāyu 94.20, Matsya 43, 24, Brahmānda III 68-20, Brahma 13.17) and also about the last scions of the Aila and Aıksvāka lines, viz. Sumitra and Ksemaka. But so far as kings of comparatively later dynasties such as those of the Andhras. Śungas and others are concerned, no such gāthās or ślokas are quoted in the Puranas. There is absolutely no evidence to hold, as Pargiter does (p. XIII of 'Purāna Texts' &c.), that the ancient Bhavisya contained a reference to the Guptas. The ancient Bhavisya was composed before Apastamba (i. e. before the 4th or 5th B.C.) and hence originally could have contained no reference to the Guptas (whose rule began about 320 A.D.). The Matsya does not refer to the Guptas and mentions only the

downfall of the Andhras. Therefore, the Matsya should be regarded as composed or revised about the middle (or end) of the 3rd century A. D., though the possibilities of some chapters or verses being added after that date cannot be ruled out. When the Väyu (99. 383), Brahmānda (III. 74. 195), Visnu (IV. 24. 18) and Bhāgayata (XII. 1 37) mentioned the Guptas as rulers the first two probably added these passages just about the time when Gupta rule began and the Visnu and Bhāgayata (which present a corrupt text) might have borrowed the information from mss. of Vāyu or Brahmānda. It is clear, however, that the first two (out of the four) Purānas were composed or revised about 320-335 A. D. and the other two later still.

Kirfel's work 'Purana Pancalaksana' is one of fundamental importance so far as the Puranas are concerned, since it adopts a new method for the treatment of Pauranika material. The German Introduction of this work has been reproduced in English in the Journal of the Shri Venkatesa Institute at Tirupati, in vol. VII. pp. 81-121 and vol. VIII. pp. 9-33. Kirfel disagrees with many of the views of Pargiter. His main conclusions are: Apart from the abridgement in Agni and Garuda as well as the prose paraphrase in Visnu, there are only three complete groups of Purana texts viz. Brahma and Hariyamśa, Brahmanda and Vayu, and that of Matsya, all other Puranas containing only smaller or larger parts of the same. Of the three groups, Brahmanda and Harivamsa are the oldest (and not Brahmanda and Vavu as Pargiter in A.I.H.T. p 78 says). Kirfel holds that the Brahmanda and Vayu must have originally been a single Purana, particularly because the largest parts of both agree with each other, that Pargiter is not right in thinking that the additions in Vavu and Brahmanda were borrowed from the ancient Bhavisya (Kirfel p 18, vol VII. of J. V. O. I. p 92), but that the borrowed material goes back to an independent text. Kirfel does not accept Pargiter's theory that the Puranas were Sanskritizations of Prakrit texts, that the Visnu in its existing form is a younger Purāna than the Vāyu or Brahmānda in spite of the fact that it most faithfully observes the basic arrangement of the five characteristics of Puranas. The division of Puranas into 18 and the distribution of Puranas into sattrika, rajasa and tāmasa are not original items but are applicable only to the last definitive texts of the Puranas Pargiter thought that there existed an Ur-Purana which had treated of the five topics (of sarga &c) in ideal completeness and clear disposition. Kirfel

says that this is scarcely more than an arbitrary assumption (p. XLVIII of Kirfel's Intro. and J. V. O. I. vol. VIII. p. 31).

The present author may tentatively accept most of the conclusions of Kirfel, but he differs as stated above from Kirfel's view that the five characteristic topics (sarga &c.) are the oldest constituent parts of the whole Purāna Literature.

A lengthy discussion of the age of the Purānas is not very relevant to the subject of this section. But it would not be entirely out of place if the author said a few words thereon.

The author's position about the Puranas is as follows: We know hardly anything about the Purana mentioned in Atharvaveda, the Satapatha and the ancient Upanisads: but this much is clear that Purana had attained a status of sacredness like the Vedas and was closely associated with Itihāsa even in Vedic times. This is the first stage in the evolution of Purana Literature, but we know nothing about the contents of the Purana in those ancient times. The Tai, \bar{A} , mentions $Pur\bar{a}n\bar{a}n$; therefore in its time there must have been three Puranas at least. Ap. Dh. S. quotes four verses from a Purana and expressly names Bhavisyatpurāna, it follows that by the 5th or 4th century B. C. at the latest there was in existence a Bhavisyat-purana and other Puranas or a Purana, that contained sarga and pratisarga and some Smrti material. This we may regard as the 2nd definite stage of Purāna Literature, of the contents of which we have some traces at least.

The Mahābhārata quotes hundreds of verses (called *ślokas*, gāthās, anuvamśa ślokas), some of which have a bearing upon Paurānika subjects and have a Paurānika ring. Some examples may be cited. The Vanaparva 1384 quotes two verses about the spiritual prowess of Viśvāmitra and about his assertion that he was a brāhmana. The Anuśāsanaparva 1385 quotes certain

^{1384.} यत्रानुवंशं भगवाच् जामदान्यस्तथा जगो। विश्वामित्रस्य तां हण्हा विश्वतिमति-माञ्जोस्॥ कान्यकुञ्जेऽियवस्सोमिनन्त्रेण सह कौशिक । ततः क्षत्राद्याक्रामद् ब्राह्मणोस्मीति चानवीत्॥ वनपर्व 87. 17–18 Brähmanas alone were entitled to drink soma in a Vedic sacrifice and not Ksatriyas Vide H. of Dh vol. II. p. 1179.

¹³⁸⁵ गाथाश्वाप्यत्र गायन्ति पितृगीता शुधिष्ठिर। सनत्कुमारी भगवान्पुरा मय्यम्यभावत ॥ अपि नः स कुले जायाध्यो नो दद्यात्त्रयोदशीम्। भयाद्य सर्पि संयुक्तं पायसं दक्षिणायने। आजेन वापि लोहेन मधास्त्रेव यतवतः। हस्तिष्कायाद्य विधिवत्कर्णन्यजनवीजितम् ॥ एष्टव्या बह्दाः पुत्रा यद्येकोऽपि गया व्रजेत्। अनुशासन 88. 11–14. Compare विष्णुपु III 16 17–20, ब्रह्माण्ड III 19.10–11, वासु 83.10–12, all of which have the half verse अपि नः स्वीम् वड in अनुशासन विष्णु and ब्रह्माण्ड add one half verse 'गौरीं वात्युद्वहेत्कन्यां नील वा वृष्यस्रस्त्रेत्।

gathas said to have been sung by the pitra about the importance of a son or sons, which agree in letter and spirit with verses on the same subject in the Puranas. In the Udyoga-parva 1386 Bhīsma is said to have addressed a verse to Parasurāma that was sung by Marutta and declared in a Purana. In the Puranas also there are frequent quotations of slokas, 1387 gathas and anuvamšašlokas sung by people described as Paurūmka (in Vāvu 70, 76, 88, 114-116, 88, 168-169, Brahmanda III, 63, 69-70) or as purāvidāh or purānajnāh in Vāyu, 83. 171 and 95. 19. Brahmanda III. 63, 171). Vayu (93, 94-101) mentions several gāthās as sung by Yayāti, most of which occur in the Adiparva 75. 50-53 and 85. 12-15, Brahmanda III, 68. 96-103 and in other purānas also. It is quite possible that these gāthās and ślokas said to have been declared by those who knew Puranas were taken from the Purana or Puranas known to Apastamba. As Yāi, I. 3 regards Purāna as one of the sources of dharma, it follows that some Puranas containing smrti material must have been composed a good deal before that smrti i.e. before the 2nd or 3rd century A. D. at the latest. This is the third stage in the evolution of Puranas. It is difficult to say when the extant Matsva was originally composed but it was revised about the middle (or close) of the 3rd century A D., since it speaks of the downfall of the Andhra dynasty, but does not refer to the Guptas. But it is possible that the original kernel of the Matsva may be earlier than this by a few centuries. The same applies to Vāvu and Brahmanda. The Vayu and Brahmanda also were compiled or added to about 320-335 A.D., since they refer to the Guptas but do not name any Gupta king. These two in their present form may also be referred to this third stage. Most of the Mahāpuranas were composed or completed in the period from the 5th

^{1386.} अयं चापि विशुद्धात्मन् पुराणे श्रूयते विभो । मरुचेन महाद्धद्धे गीत' श्रुठोको महात्मना ॥ ग्रुरोरप्यवलिप्तस्य कार्याकार्यमञ्जानतः । उत्थयप्रतिपन्नस्य परित्यानो विधीयते ॥ उद्योग 178 47-48. The cr ed has a wavy line below पुराणे and reads 'क्षार्य भवति शासनस्' with a wavy line for परि "यते. This verse 'ग्रुरो &c.' is ज्ञान्तिपर्य 140 48 and is also ज्ञान्ति 57. 6-7, where it is said that it occurred in बृहस्पतिमत आदिपर्य 140. 54 has this verse but reads the last पाद as न्याद्यं भवति ज्ञासनस् ।.

^{1387.} भर्तिर्थ कीर्तियिष्पामि शन्तनोस्तु नियोधत। 'इद चोदाहरस्पत्र श्लोकं प्रति महाभिष्कु (भिषस्)। यं यं करान्यां स्पृशति जीर्ण रोगिणमेव वा। प्रनर्धुवा च भवति तस्माचं शन्तत्त्वं विद्वः॥ मत्त्वर 50. 41—43, वायु 99 238 The verse यं यं contains a popular etymology of the word श्रन्तत्व. The word भविष्य should mean only भविष्य-पुराण, since it is the स्त who says this and श्रन्तत्व was a king anterior to स्त by some generations.

or 6th century A. D. to the 9th century A. D. This represents the 4th stage in the evolution of Purana literature. The Upamuranas began to be compiled from about the 7th or 8th century A D, and their numbers went on increasing till about the 13th century A. D or even later. This is the last phase. Thus there is enough evidence to hold that the Puranas began to influence Hindu society a few centuries before Christ, that their influence continued in full force till the 17th or 18th century A. D. and that it continues to some extent even now. After the 9th century no further Mahapuranas appear, but additional matter appears to have been unscrupulously inserted in several Purānas, the worst example of the kind being the third part of the Bhavisya, which contains stories of Adam and Eve, of Prthyīrāj and Jaicandra, Taimur. Akbar, Caitanya, Bhattoji, Nadirshah and so on.

The word 'purana' occurs over a dozen times in the Rgyeda, is an adjective and means 'ancient, old'. The Nighantu (III. 27) mentions six Vedic words as having the sense of 'purana' viz. pratnam, pradziah, prazayāh, sanemi, pūrvyam, ahnāya. Yāska (Nirukta III. 19) derives the word 'purāna' as 'purā navam bhavati' (what was new in former times). The Rgveda does not contain the word 'puratana' (ancient). Purana may be a very old form of 'puratana' through the intermediate form 'puraana' From meaning ancient the word 'purana' came to mean a work dealing with ancient tales. it became a noun and was applied in the times of the Atharvaveda, the Satapatha and the Upanisads to a class of works containing ancient tales. When purana came to mean a work dealing with ancient tales, to speak of a Bhavisyat-purāna was apparently a contradiction in terms. That contradiction was probably not minded or was ignored by the thought that works that narrated old tales gradually came to include comparatively recent ones and had therefore to adopt a prophetic style of composition with reference to the latter

The Vāyu 1388 derives the word 'Purāna' from 'purā' (in ancient times, formerly) and the root 'an' (to breathe or live), and therefore according to it the word literally means 'that which lives in the past' or 'that which breathes ancient times'.

¹³⁸⁸ यस्मारपुरा ह्यनतीदं पुराणं तेन तत्स्मृतम्। निरुक्तमस्य यो वेद सर्वपापैः प्रमुच्यते। वाद्य I. 203, पुरा प्रस्मरा विदे पुराणं तेन वे स्मृतम्। पद्म V 2 53; the ब्रह्माण्ड I 1 173 has यस्मात्पुरा ह्यभूद्येतत्पुराणं तेन तत्स्मृतम्। निरुक्त । सुच्यते॥

The Padmapurāna propounds a slightly different etymology, viz. "it is called Purāna because it desires or likes the past" from 'purā' and the root 'vaś' (to desire or to like).

The question why the extant Puranas do not narrate the traditions about the dynasties of the Guptas and their successors cannot be satisfactorily answered. One reason may be that the original kernel of some Puranas like the extant Matsya were compiled before the Guptas rose to power, while others like the Vāyu and Brahmānda were compiled while the Gupta rule was in its infancy. Another reason may have been that in the 5th and following centuries when many of the extant Puranas were compiled northern India was very much disturbed by the invasions of foreigners like the Hūnas, 1359 Toramāna and Mihirakula, numerous sects and schisms had arisen. Buddhism had become powerful and therefore the first task of the intelligent and devout followers of the Veda was held to be to wean the common people away from schisms like that of Buddha, to lav down the foundations of a new ideology among the masses and to emphasize and assimilate as many of the doctrines of the sects and schisms as possible with their ancient traditions and practices. The intelligent classes, therefore, emphasized the importance of such virtues as ahimsa, satya, bhakti and of vratas, pilgrimages, śrāddhas and dānas and were probably not in a mood to record the names of foreign conquerors or of small chieftains fighting with each other and unable to repel the cruel invaders. For the absence of references to the dynasties of the Guptas and their successors, Pargiter blames the brāhmanas in the following characteristic passage (AIHT chap. 4 p. 57) 'the absolute dearth of traditional history after that stage is quite intelligible, both because the compilation of the Purana had set a seal of tradition and because the Purana soon passed into the hands of brahmanas who preserved what they had received, but with the brahmanic lack of the historic sense, added nothing about the later kings'. Supposing for argument that brahmanas lacked the historic sense. Pargiter's opinion appears to be entirely one-sided. He assigns no reason why the sutas, whose business was to record and preserve historic tradition (as he himself says on p 58 of AIHT), did not stick to their business and did not continue to compose genealogies of

^{1389.} For the history of the ruthless Huna invader Mihirakula, vide Gupta Inscriptions pp. 143–143 and 149 ff (Mandasor Inscription of Yaso-dharman) and pp. 924–25 note 1788 a, of vol. III of H of Dh

later kings and to add further items of history to already existing recorded tradition, nor does he explain how the sūtas could 'e ousted or allowed themselves to be ousted from their age-old vocation by the brāhmanas. It is probable that foreign dynasties like that of Kaniska and the Hūnas did not encourage the ūtas who had sunk low in the social scale and the sūtas probably became Buddhists, as Buddhism with its Jātaka stories gave to all persons following a bardic profession sufficient scope for earning their livelihood.

The legends about Vyasa and Suta may be briefly considered. The Puranas declare that Vyasa was the son of Parasara, was also called Krsna Dvaipāyana and was an incarnation of Visnu (of Brahmā also in Vāyu 77, 74-75 and of Siva in the Kūrma II. 11. 136). He was called Dvaipāvana 1390 because he was born on an island (dvīpa) in the Yamunā river and Krsna because he was of dark complexion. His mother was Satyavatī and son was Śuka. He was called Vyāsa because he is supposed to have divided or arranged the one Veda into four parts (from the root 'as' 4th conjugation 'to throw' with the upasarga 'vi'). He instructed four disciples in the four Vedas, viz. Paila, Vaisampāyana, Jaimini and Sumantu respectively in Rgveda, Yajurveda, Sāmaveda and Atharvaveda. His 5th disciple was Sūta Romaharsana to whom was imparted Itihāsa-Purāna. The son of Sūta was Sauti who narrated the Mahābhārata to Saunaka and other sages in the Naimisa forest. It was believed that whenever dharma and Veda declined Vyāsas were born for the benefit of men (Brahma 158, 34). The Kurma (I 52. 1-9) sets out 27 names of different Vyāsas, while Vāyu (23. 115-219), Brahmanda (II. 35. 116-125), Visnu 1391 (III. 3. 11-19)

¹³⁹⁰ अस्मिन्युगे छतो ब्यासः पाराञ्च परन्तपः (परन्तप?)। द्वैपायन इति ख्यातो विष्णोरंज्ञः मकीर्तितः ॥ ब्रह्मणा चोदितः सोऽस्मिन्चेदं न्यस्तुं प्रचक्रमे। अथ जिल्याच स जग्राह चतुरो वेद्कारणात्॥ अग्वेदआवर्क पेठं जग्राह विधिवद् द्विजम्। यज्ञवेद्मवक्तारं वेज्ञम्पायनम्व च। जीमिनि सामवेदार्थ आवर्क सोन्वपद्यतः। तथैवाधवेदस्य ग्रमन्तुसृषिसत्तमस्। इतिहास-द्वाराणस्य वक्तारं सम्पगेव हि। गां चैव प्रतिज्ञाह भगवानीश्वरः प्रशुः ॥ वाशु 60.11-16, ब्रह्मण्ड II. 34 11-16 (almost in the same words) Compare विष्णु III. 4. 7-10, क्रुर्म I. 52. 12-15, विष्णुपर्योत्तर I. 74. Kurma I 51 48, Padma V. 1 43, Bhāgavata I. 4. 14-25 and XII. 6 49-80 and Nāradiya I 1. 18 identify him with Nārayana. The आदिपर्व corroborates the Purāna statements: विद्यासैकं चतुर्धा यो वेदं वेदविदा वर । आदि 60. 2 and 5, यो व्यस्य वेदांब्यतुरस्तपसा भगवाद्यिशः। लोके ध्यासक्तमपदे कार्ण्यास्क्रण्यात्रमेव च। आदि 105. 15

¹³⁹¹ हापरे हापरे विष्णुःयांसरूपी महामुने। वेदमेकं सुषहुधा क्रुपते जगतो हितः ॥ वीर्य तेजो बलं चाल्पं महण्याणामवेश्य च। हिताप सर्वमृताना वेदमेदान् करोति सः॥ विष्णु IIIः 3.5-6.

enumerate the names of the 28 Vyāsas of the 28 Dvāpara ages of the Vaivasvata Manvantara (which is the current one). How Vyāsa put together the Purānas is described in several Purānas 'He who was an adept in the meaning of Purāna composed a Purāna-samhitā from (the material supplied by) tales, episodes, gāthās (stanzas) and correct ascertainment or descriptions of Kalpas'. ¹⁹² This shows that, while the Vedic texts were preserved with unparalleled care by the brāhamanas, the very ancient Itihāsa-purāna, though called the 5th Veda, was not kept intact with care similar to that bestowed on the four Vedas and that this fifth Veda was allowed to be inflated by fresh additions from time to time.

In connection with Vyāsa's legendary role as an arranger of the Veda, Pargiter has a theory of his own which must be briefly noticed and examined. He develops that theory on op. 9-10 of A. I. H T. He refers to the Rgveda as the greatest brahmanical book, says that it is a compilation of hymns composed by many authors and is arranged according to certain principles. His words are 'It (Rgyeda) must manifestly have been compiled and arranged by some one or more persons, yet Vedic Literature says absolutely nothing about this. The brāhmanas cannot have been ignorant about it, for they preserved it and its text with unparalleled care. "Vedic Literature professes to know and declares the names of the authors of nearly all the hymns and even of single verses, yet it ignores all knowledge of the person or persons who afterwards compiled and arranged these hymns To suppose that when it preserved the earlier information it was ignorant of the later work in so vital a matter is ridiculous.' From this silence in the Vedic Literature about the persons that compiled and arranged the Rgveda, Pargiter at once jumps to the positive and emphatic conclusion, as is usual with many western writers on Sanskrit Literature and Indology to argue from silence, that 'Vedic Literature has deliberately suppressed all information on these matters' (AIHT p. 9). He refers to the fact that the Mahabharata and Puranas are full of Vyāsa and repeatedly declare that the Veda was arranged by Vyasa and points out that Vedic Literature is

^{1392.} आस्यांनेश्वाण्युपास्यांनगीयाभिः कत्पश्चिद्धिभिः। पुराणसिहतां चके प्रराणार्य-विशारदः॥ निष्णु III 6.15, ब्रह्माण्ड II, 34. 21 (reads क्षस्पजोक्तिभिः), वासु 60 21 (reads क्षस्प्रकर्तिभः), कल्पजोक्तिभिः would mean 'words or descriptions relating to Kalpas (vast periods of time)'. The com, on निष्णुपुराण explains 'स्वयं द्वर्धार्यक्रयनं महराष्ट्रयानक द्व्याः। श्वनस्यार्थस्य क्रयनस्यारयानं मचक्षते॥।

remarkably reticent about Vyāsa Pārāśarya (who is mentioned as a pupil of Visvaksena in the Vamśa list at the end of the Sāmavidhāna Brāhmana and in the Taittirīya Āranyaka) and then he reiterates his charge of the conspirary of silence about Vyāsa (AIHT. p. 10). Pargiter is ready with a reason for the supposed conspiracy of silence viz. 'the brāhmanas put forward the doctrine that the Veda existed from everlasting; hence to admit that any one had compiled or even arranged it struck at the root of their doctrine and was in common parlance to give their whole case away' (ibid. p. 10).

Several objections can be raised against the positive assertion of deliberate and fraudulent suppression inferred from mere silence. In the first place, Pargiter is very loose in his statement of facts. Pargiter totally ignores that even in the Rgveda itself, rk verses, yajus texts and sāman chants are differentiated. To give only a few references, vide for Rks (Rg. II. 35. 12, V. 6. 5, V. 27. 4, V. 44. 14-15), in both verses of the last rk and sāmans being separately mentioned; for yajus vide Rg. V. 62. 5, X. 181. 3); for sāman chants vide Rg. II. 43. 2 (udgāteva šakune sāma gāyasi), VIII. 81. 5 (śravat sāma gīyamānam), VIII. 95. 7 (śuddhena sāmnā).

The epic and puranic texts indicate that Veda was thought to be originally one, but was arranged into four groups, that the four groups of texts were entrusted for preservation and propagation to four different disciples of Vyāsa. The Rgveda has two arrangements, one into mandalas and sūktas and the other into astakas, adhyāyas and vargas. The Taittirīyasamhitā and Atharvayeda are arranged into kandas. Not a word is said in any of these accounts to which Pargiter refers about picking up hymns already existing or their being arranged in mandalas or adhyāyas or kāndas by Vyāsa. Further, the reason assigned for the supposed deliberate suppression of the name of the arranger of the Veda is quite flimsy, not to say ridiculous. Every hymn of the Rgveda or every mantra has a rsi who by the ancient Indian tradition was not the author (as Pargiter puts it) but only the seer. It is clear from Brāhmana texts, Upanisads and smrtis that from very 1393 ancient times it was a very strict principle

^{1393.} ऋष्यादिज्ञानाभावे प्रत्यवायः समर्यते । अविदित्वा ऋषिं छन्दो दैवतं योगमेव न्व । योध्यायर्थेज्ञपेद्वापि पार्पीयाञ्जायते तु सः ॥ सायण in his Introduction to the भाष्य on ऋषेद्व edited by the Poona Vaidika—samsodhana Mandala, योग in the verse meansविनियोग, 'आर्प छन्दश्च दैवत्यं विनियोगस्तथैव च । वेदितच्यं प्रयत्नेन ब्राह्मणेन विशेषतः॥'.

⁽Continued on next page)

that no one should teach or repeat in Japa or employ a mantra in a sacrifice without knowing the rsi, the metre, the deity and the use (vinivoga) of it and dure consequences were declared to follow for him who was remiss in these matters. Hymns and mantras were arranged in different groups for different religious rites and solemn sacrifices or for other purposes (such as santis). It is not necessary to remember who arranged the required mantras for rites, sacrifices and other purposes. The Brāhmana texts and śrauta sūtras prescribe the manner of the employment of the same martras for various purposes and the Anukramanis contain the names of the seers, metres and the deities of hymns and individual mantras also As every mantra of the Veda was supposed to be only seen by a sage and as eternal, the mere compilation of them in one or more series or the mere arrangement of mantras or hymns in different groups for different purposes did not at all affect or interfere with the eternality of mantras or hymns. Pargiter's so-called reason for suppressing the name of the arranger of the Veda is simply no reason at all.

Pargiter did not stop to consider possible explanations. One of the most plausible is now put forth. The Mahābhārata and the Purānas (a very extensive literature) were attributed to Vyāsa, who, as shown above in n. 1390, had come to be looked upon as Visnu or as an avatāra of Visnu. The four Vedas and the several different sākhās (recensions) of each Veda were well known. By a sort of post facto explanation, the distribution of the Veda into four main groups was claimed to have been brought about by the divinely inspired Vyāsa, whose Purānas are, as will be apparent from note 1349 above and as will be shown in more detail later, glorified as even anterior and superior to the Veda The eternality of the Veda had to be maintained and at the same time Vyāsa was to be glorified.

⁽Continued from last bage)

This is बुह्योगियाज्ञवल्क्य I 27 quoted also by हेमाद्दि on ज्ञत vol I p. 247. शङ्कराचार्य in his भावय on ब्रह्मसूत्र I. 3 30 quotes the following as a Vedic text which occurs several times in the Ait Br 'यो ह वा अविद्वितार्थेयकन्द्रोदेवतबाह्मणेन भन्त्रेण याज्ञयति वाध्यापयति वा स्थाणु वर्च्छाति गर्ते वा भतिपद्यते 'तस्मादेतानि मन्त्रे मन्त्रे विद्यात्।' Vide also छान्द्रोग्योपनिषद् I 3 8-10 'येन साम्ना स्तोष्यन्स्यात्तस्तामोप-धावेत्। यस्यामुचि तामुच यदार्थेयं तमुणि या देवतामभिष्टोष्यन्स्यात्ता देवताह्मप्रधित्। येन छन्द्रसा स्तोष्यन्स्यात्त्वच्छन्द्र उपधावेत्। Vide note 1276 for the ऐ जा passage which requires that the mantra employed should refer to the rite that is being performed.

The easiest way was to proclaim that Vyasa, the author of the great Epic and of the distribution of Puranas into eighteen, was also responsible for the division or arrangement of the Veda. If all this glorification of Vyasa occurred in the centuries immediately preceding and following Christ, how could the supposed arranger of the Veda be mentioned in the early Vedic Literature, which, most scholars agree, was closed some centuries before Buddha (i e before the 6th century B. C.)? No body claims that the arrangement into mandalas or astakas or kandas is eternal. It is only the hymns or mantras that are claimed to be eternal. Even the padapātha of the Rgveda is declared to be non-eternal and is ascribed to Sakalya whom the Nirukta criticises in VI. 28. Viśvarūpa on Yāj III 242 expressly states that the pada and knama arrangements of the Veda are due to human authors. 1394 This theory explains all matters and has far better claims to be accepted than Pargiter's bold ascription of fraudulent suppression inferred from (a supposed) silence.

The Puranas do not speak with one voice about their own origin and transmission. After declaring that Vyasa entrusted the preservation and propagation of the Puranas to Suta, the Vāyu and other Purānas contain a somewhat different version. The Vayu narrates (61.55-61): Sūta had six disciples, viz. Suniti Atreya, Akrtavrana Kāśyapa, Agnivarcas Bhārdyāja. Mitrayu Vasistha, Sāvarni Saumadatti, Sušarman Śāmśapāyana, Three of these, viz. Kāśyapa, Sāvarni and Śāmśapāyana prepared new Purana-samhitas and Suta's own was the 4th and the original one. All were divided into four kandas, contained the same sense (matter), but differed in their readings as the $\pm \bar{a}kh\bar{a}s$ of the Veda differ. All had four thousand verses except the samhitā of Śamśapāyana. These four are said to be the basic samhitās (in Brahmānda II. 35 66) or original samhitās (in Vāyu 61. 58 pūrvasamhitās). The Brahmānda (II. 35. 63-70) has the same account in almost in the same words. Visnu (III. 6. 16-17), Agni 271 (11-12) are briefer but agree in the main with Vāyu. The Bhāgavāta (XII. 7. 4-7) differs from all these to some extent That there is some substance in this story appears from stray passages in several chapters of the Väyu (56. 1, 60. 33-34, 62. 1, 89. 16) and the Brahmanda (II. 34. 34,

^{1394.} पदाक्तमादीनां तु पुरुपकृतलादेव न्यावृत्तेनं तिलेवृत्त्यर्थ संहितावचनम्। बालक्रीसा
on या III 242 'अरण्ये नियतो जप्ता जिष्कालो नेद्साहिताम्.' शान्ति 343. 103 (≈ст.
ed 330,37) states the क्रमपाठ was due to पाञ्चाल बाग्रव्य.

II. 36.1 &c.), where Śāmśampāyana is the inquirer and Sūta replies.

The personality of Suta is somewhat of an enigma in the Mahābhārata and the Purānas. Sūta is called Romaharsana 1395 or Lomaharsana because he made the hair (roman or loman) of his audience bristle or stand erect by his touching and eloquent speech. In the Skanda it is stated that he was so called because his own hair stood on end when he was being instructed by Dvaipāyana One meaning of the word sūta is 'chrioteer' and another meaning is 'a person of a mixed prahloma caste born of the union of a brahmana woman with a ksatriva male' and the cognate word 'Magadha' means 'one who is born of a pratiloma union between a vaisva male and a ksatriva female' (vide Manu X. 71, Yāj I 93-94). The Arthasastra of Kautilya says 13% the same thing about suta and magadha, but adds that 'the sūta and māgadha mentioned in the Purānas are different from these, because he (the suta) is distinguished from (ordinary) brāhmanas and ksatriyas'. Kautilya means that in his days suta and magadha were pratitiona castes, but the suta and magadha mentioned as the first reciters of the Puranas are a category apart, that they do not belong to the pratitiona castes and are both distinguished from brāhmanas and ksatrivas (i.e. suta of the Puranas is treated more or less as a great sage or semidivine person). The Väyu (1 26-33 and 62-147 ff), Padma (II. 27. 65-87, V. 1. 29-32), Brahmanda (II 36 158-173), the Skanda (Prabhāsakhanda 1. 8) say 1397 that in the sacrifice of Pitāmaha (i. e Brahmā) Sūta sprang up as a partial avātara of Visnu on

¹³⁹⁵ लोमानि हर्षयाचके ओतृणा यत्सुभाषितै । कर्मणा यथितस्तेन लोकेऽस्मिँद्योम-धर्पण । वायु 1. 16, तस्प ते सर्वरोमाणि वचसा हर्षितानि यत् । द्वैपायनस्यानुभावात्ततोभू-द्वोमहर्षणः ॥ स्कन्द (प्रभासखण्ड) 1. 6

¹³⁹⁶ वैश्यान्माभधवैदेहको। क्षित्रियात्स्त । पौराणिकस्त्वन्य. स्तो मागध्य ब्रह्मक्षां द्विशेषतः॥ अर्थशास्त्र III 7. p. 165, Pargiter translates (AIHT p 17) 'but the suita who is mentioned in the Purānas is different and so also is the Māgadha who is mentioned there from brāhmana-ksairiya offspring by a real distinction.' This is not accurate There are no words in the Arthaśāstra corresponding to 'who is mentioned there' and for 'offspring', This idea about the origin of स्त and मागध is very old. Vide गी धर्मस्त्र IV 15-16 'प्रतिलोमास्तु स्तमायधायोगवकृत-वैदेहकचण्डाला:। ब्राह्मण्यजीजनत्युनार् वर्णेभ्य आद्यपूर्वाद् ब्राह्मण्यन्तुन्त्रस्त-मागध-चण्डालान्।

^{1397.} एतस्मिन्नेव काले च यज्ञे पैतामहे शुभे। सूत्रो सुत्या सस्त्याचो सौत्येऽहनि महा-मति.॥ तस्मिन्नेव महायज्ञे जज्ञे प्राज्ञोध मागधः। वायु 62 135–136, ज्ञह्म 4 60-61 It is popular etymology to derive the word सूत् from the root 'su' 5th conj. to extract

the day when soma juice was extracted and magadha also thus arose. It is further stated in the same Purānas that the havis (offering) meant for Indra (symbol of the ksatriya class) got mixed with that meant for Brhaspati (symbol of brāhmana class and learning) and that the suta was born just at the time when the mixed-up offering was presented to the gods. From this the sūta (in later times) had duties similar to those of the original suta and it was said that the suta is offspring of the mixed union of brāhmana (woman) with ksatriya (male). another story is grafted on to this (in Väyu 62, 147 ff, Brahmända II, 36, 170-173 and in others) that the original 1398 Sūta and Māgadha sang the praises of king Prthu, son of Vena, who being pleased, made a present of the country of Anūpa to Sūta and the country of Magadha to Magadha and since that time sūtas and māgadhas sing the praises of kings and awaken them in the morning with blessings. The Vayu itself, 1399 however, says (1. 33-34) that suta was born at the time of the extracting of some juice in the sacrifice of Prthu Vainya.

The authors of the extant Vāyu and other Purānas are conscious that the sūta and māgadha in their times had no adhikāra for Veda, that the business of the sūta was to note the dynasties of gods, sages and kings that are found in the Itihāsa and Purānas. They felt scandalized by the fact that great sages like Śaunaka were said to have learnt Purānas from the sūta who in their times belonged to a pratiloma caste, about which Gautama, Visnudharmasūtra and Kautilya himself lay down that pratilomas are like śūdras, are condemned by āryas and are

^{1398.} तत. स्तवान्ते सुभीतः पृथु पादारअजेश्वरः । अनुपदेशं स्ताप मगधं मागधाय च ॥ तदा वे पृथिवीपालाः स्त्यन्ते स्तमागधे । आशीर्वादेः प्रवोध्यन्ते स्तमागध्यान्दिः ॥ वासु 62. 147-148, ज्ञह्माण्ड II 36 171-173. The आदिपर्व (59 112-113) refers to the gift of अन्य and मगध to स्त and मागध, ज्ञह्माणु 4 67 also does so. The Padma V 1.31 says that Prthu made a present of the Suta country to स्त. It is popular etymology to derive the name मागध from मगध अन्य means a watery or marsh country. Padma (II. 27 86-87) mentions other countries as gifts to स्त &c.

¹³⁹⁹ स्त उवाच। ''स्वधर्म एव स्तस्य सिद्धिष्ट. पुरातने । देवतानामुषीणां च राज्ञां चामिततेजसाम्। वंज्ञानां धारणं कार्य श्वताना च महारमनाम्। इतिहासपुराणेषु दिष्टा ये ब्रह्मनादिमि ॥ न हि वेदेध्वधीकार कश्चित्स्त्तर्य दृश्यते। वेन्यस्य हि पृथीर्यज्ञे वर्तमाने महारमनः। प्रस्पायामभनस्त्त, प्रथमं वर्णवेक्वतं,। वासु 1 31–34, पक्ष V 1 27, vide ब्रह्माण्ड II 36, 158–173 for the birth of स्त ad gift of अन्तुपदेश to स्त and of मगव to मानाध by प्रशुवैन्य प्रतिलोमास्त धर्महीनाः। गोतमधर्मसूत्र (IV. 20), त एते प्रतिलोमाः स्वधर्मातिक्रमाद्राज्ञः सम्मवन्ति। '' श्रूक्षधर्माणो वा अन्यत्र चण्डालेन्यः। अर्थज्ञास्त्र III. 7 p. 165, प्रतिलोमास्त्रार्थिगहिताः। विष्णुधर्मसूत्र 16.3.

beyond the pale of the usual duties of brahmanas and ksatrivas (such as upanayana, Veda study, teaching &c.) Learning by a brāhmana from a ksatriya was considered even in Upanisad times as contrary to the natural order of things. Vide the words of king Ajātaśatru to Gārgya Bālāki 1400 quoted below. Therefore, to account for the position of Suta as instructor in Itihāsa and Purāna of great sages like Saunaka, the story of Sūta's birth was invented and he was placed in a separate category by himself. This must have occurred some centuries before Kautilya who was aware of the low position of sūta and māgadha and differentiates the Paurānika sūta from the pratiloma sūta and māgadha. One need not accept the divine character of Sūta, one has only to understand that in very ancient times brāhmanas could, without any qualms and without loss of prestige, learn about legends from a suta, but that in the times when the extant puranas were compiled the position had entirely changed.

Next to Pargiter and Kirfel, one must mention the name of Prof. R. C. Hazra who has bestowed much labour and thought on the purānas in general and on individual purānas. One cannot but feel high admiration for Prof. Hazra's industry, patience and enthusiasm. One regrets, however, to find that he has developed a tendency to assign rather more ancient dates to the extant Purānas and Upapurānas than the available evidence would warrant. Besides, he has been so much engrossed in the study of Purānas that he sometimes scents a reference to Purānas where none in fact exists. For example, in 'Puranic records on Hindu rites and customs' (p. 6) Prof. Hazra observes that Vijnānesvara tells us of Hārīta's reference to the opinion of Purānas in prescribing penance in normal circumstances to those who eat the food dedicated to the patriarchs. The words of the Mitāksarā 1291 of Vijnānesvara are quoted in the note

¹⁴⁰⁰ सहोताचाजातशङ्ख मतिलोम चैतदाङ् ब्राह्मण क्षत्रियसप्याद् ब्रह्म ने वश्यतीति। बृह. उ. II. 1 15 Vide कोपीतक्रिया उ. IV. 18 for almost the same words

¹⁴⁰¹ The निनासरा has a long discussion on Yāj III. 289 about the expiations for eating food tainted by various defects. About eating food in अंद्रेवितेषक of various kinds it quotes several authorities as follows: हारीतिनायुक्तम्। एकादराहे सुक्लाल सुक्ता सक्षयने तथा। उपाव्य विशेवतरनाता सूक्तावर्ध अञ्चलक्ष । याजावर्थ नग्आहे पद्मावय द्विनासिके॥ इद चाप-हिपयम्। अनापदि तु—' चान्द्रायणं नग्आहे याजावर्थ तु निअके। एकादस्तु पुराणेषु याजावर्थ विशेषके। इति हारीतीक वृद्धव्यम्। याजावर्थ तु निअके इत्येतद्वायमासिकविष्यं वृद्धव्यम्।

below and clearly show that there the word 'puranesu' means 'Śrāddhas called purāna' and has nothing to do with Purāna works. Another defect noticed in Prof. Hazra's writings is that he sees too much meaning in simple words and phrases and is not cautious in his conclusions as a scholar of his standing and experience should be. In a recent paper on 'the Asyamedha, the common source of origin of the Purana Pancalaksana and the Mahābhārata' in ABORI, vol. 36 (1956) pp. 190-203, he cites the Atharvaveda verse quoted above (p. 816, n 1325) in which rk and sama verses are mentioned separately and 'purana' (puranam yajusā saha) is associated with yajus, and says this collocation seemed to him highly significant and that he felt fully convinced that the Purana pancalaksana and the Mahabharata owe their origin to the Asvamedha sacrifice and especially to its Pāriplava ākhyānas. Reasons of available space preclude a detailed examination of this paper. But a few fundamental objections and matters must be mentioned. The words 'puranam yajusā saha' should ordinarily mean (as in passages Devadattah saputra āgatah) no more than 'Purāna Yāl 1. 101 provides 1402 that after the daily bath, a vedic householder should undertake every day the japa of portions of the (three) Vedas, the Atharvaveda, Puranas together with Itihasa and of adhyatmiki-vidya (Upanisads). no special meaning here in the association 'puranani setihasani' beyond 'Purānas and Itihāsa'. One, therefore, fails to understand how the words 'puranam yajusa saha' are highly significant for arriving at the connection that Asyamedha is the origin of Purāna and Mahābhārata. Then on p. 202 of the paper

(Continued from last page)

Srāddhas are of three kinds, नवश्राद्ध (up to ten days after death), मिश्र or नविमेश्र (performed after ten days up to one year) and दुराण (those performed after a year from a person's death). The word दुराणेषु means दुराणेषु आद्धेषु. हारीत provides त्रायश्चित्त for eating the food in the three kinds of आद्धाः, नव, मिश्र and दुराण. The word दुराणेषु in that verse of हारीत has nothing to do with Purāṇa works. Vide H of Dh vol IV p. 262 notes 591a and 593 for more details about the three kinds of śrāddhas

^{1402.} वे<u>दाधर्वपुराणानि सेतिहासानि</u> शक्तितः। जपयज्ञपसिद्धचर्थे विद्या चाध्यात्मिर्कीं जपेत्॥ याज्ञ. I 101. Compare also क्रूमें II 46. 129 <u>एकतस्त</u> पुराणानि सेतिहासानि फुल्स्नशः। एकत्र परमं वेदमेतदेवातिरिच्यते॥.

in question Prof. Hazra quotes a passage from 1401 Sankarācārva's bhāsya on Chāndogya III. 4. 1-2 and completely misunderstands the great Acarya when he observes "Sankara's use of the word 'ratri' in the plural (in 'ratrisu') shows that in his opinion the Itahusa and Pur una were employed every make during the Pariplava and not merely on the 8th and 9th mights respectively, as the Satapatha Br. and Sankhavanasrautasütra say" (Italics author's). The Asyamedha sacrifice lasted for a year and listening to the Pariplava went on for a year. each Päriplava being a cycle of ten days (or rather nights, as the recitation by the hotr priest was to take place after the morning, mid-day and evening istis to Savitr were finished). The texts to be recited and the nature of the legends to be narrated on each of the cycle of ten days are fixed and Itihasa and Purana are to be recited only on the 8th and 9th nights. As each cycle was of ten days, there would be 36 cycles of Pāriplava in a year and Itihāsa would be recited on 36 nights in the year and Purana also would be recited on 36 nights in the year. It is for this reason that Sankarīcīrya speaks of 'Pāriplavāsu rātrisu' in the plural, but he does not say that Itihāsa and Purāna were to be recited on 'all' nights (sai vūsu rătrisu), while Prof. Hazra represents him as so saying. There is absolutely no warrant in the ancient texts for saving that on each day (or night) of the Pariplava Itiliasa or Purana was to be recited or that Sankarācarya said anything of the kind. The testimony of the Vedintasūtra 1101 is completely against this view of Prof Hazra. Vedāntasūtra III 4.23 refers to certain stories mentioned in the Upanisads such as 'Yājūavalkya had two wives, Maitreyi and Kātyāyani' (Br. Up IV. 5. 1), 'Pratardana, son of Divodāsa, went to Indra's abode' (Kausitakı Up. III. 1), 'Jānaśrutı Pautrāyana was a pious donor giving much wealth to the people and keeping an open house for distributing food' (Chan. Up IV. 1. 1), and remarks that such stories were not to be recited in Pariplaya, since the stories to be recited therein are expressly specified beginning with the story

¹⁴⁰³ The भाष्य passage quoted is ' द्वातेहासपुराण पुष्पम् । तयोश्चेतिहासपुराण-योरश्वमेधे पारिष्ठवासु रात्रिष्ठ कर्माङ्गलेन विनियोगः सिद्धः ग

^{1404.} पारिप्लवमाचक्षीत-इति दि मफुरव 'मर्जुर्वक्सतो राजा । तृत्येवमादीति कानि-चिदेवाख्यानानि तज्ञ विशेष्यत्ते । आख्यानसामान्याच्चेरसर्वग्रहीति. स्यादनर्थकमेवेद विशेषण मवेत् । तस्मास पारिप्लवार्था एता आख्यानश्चतयः । भाष्य on वे सू, 111 4 23 (पारिप्लवार्था इति चेस्न विशेषितत्वात्)

of king Manu Vaivasvata (which was to be recited on the first night of the Pāriplava).

Prof. Hazra has recently published (in 1958) 'Studies in the Upapurānas' vol. I. pp. 1-400 on Saura and Vaisnava Upapurānas (in the Calcutta Sanskrit College Research Series 1958). This would be briefly dealt with a little later.

Prof. Ramchandra Dikshitar also has written a good deal on the Purānas. His writings are beset by the same infirmity that attaches to Prof. Hazra's work to some extent. For example, in a paper published in the Proceedings of the 13th Indian History Congress (pp. 46-50) on the Visnupurāna he first states (p. 46) that he is more concerned with the extant Visnupurāna and after pointing out that the topics of vratas, of fasts, of tirtha, are absent from the extant Visnupurāna he concludes that the extant Visnupurāna can safely be placed in the 6th or 7th century B. C. Hardly any modern and critical scholar would accept such a date for the extant Visnupurāna. Instead of relying on the absence of certain topics he should rather have relied on what it actually contains to find out the probable date of the extant Visnupurāna.

In connection with the Puranas the author must refer at some length to the Introductory remarks of Ballalasena. king of Bengal, in his Danasagara, edited in the B. I. series (1953-1956) by Mr. Bhabatosh Bhattacarya (three parts of text pp. 1-722 and 4th part an Introduction in English with Indices). Those remarks evince a bold critical faculty rare in our medieval Sanskrit writers. He mentions, besides the Gopathabrāhmana, the Rāmāyana, the Mahābhārata, the smrtis and dharmasastras of Gautama, Manu, Yajñavalkya, (counting Śankha Likhita as two), Dāna-Brhaspati and Brhaspati (as separate), Vasistha and others (in all 28), the Chandoga-parišista of Kātyāyana, thirteen principal Purānas viz. Brāhma, Āgneya, Bhavisya, Mātsya, Vāmana, Vāyavīya, Vārāha. Mārkandeya, Vaisnava, Śawa, Skānda, Pādma and Kaurma and the Upapurānas named in Kūrma and Ādi Purānas as containing the procedure of (various) dānas, viz. Ādya, Sāmba, Kālikā, Nānda (v. l. Nandin), Aditya, Nārasimha, Visnudharmottara (declared by Markandeya) and the sastra called Visnudharma (eight in all). He mentions that he drew upon all these for the production of his work on danas, 1375 in number (verses 11-20 pp. 2-3). Then he mentions certain Puranas and Upapuranas which he discarded in his work on danas for various reasons.

Some of these remarks are very important and the original verses are set out in the note below. 105 He states that he didnot draw upon the Bhāgavata, the Brahmānda and the Nāradiya as dānas are absent therefrom. He did not rely in his work upon the Lingapurāna, though it is a large work, because its essence, he decides, is the same as the treatment of Mahādānas declared in the Matsyapurāna, the Bhavisyapurāna has been assiduously utilised by him only up to the (vratavidhis of) 7th tithi, but he discards the procedures of the 8th and 9th tithis (of the Bhavisyapurāna), since they are overwhelmed (tainted) with (the doctrines of) 1106 heretical sects (Tāntrikas,

^{1405.} बृहद्विप लिङ्गपुराणं मत्स्यपुराणोदितेर्महादाने, । अत्रधार्य तत्यसार दान-निबन्धेऽच न निबद्धम्॥ 58 सप्तम्येव पुराणं भविष्यमपि सञ्ज्वीतमतियत्नात्। त्यवत्वाष्टमी-नवम्यौ कल्पौ पाषण्डिभिर्श्वस्तौ॥ लोकमसिद्धमेतद्विण्णुरहस्यं च शित्ररहस्यं च। द्वयमिए न परिगृहीतं संग्रहरूपत्वमवधार्य ॥ भविष्योत्तरमाचारप्रसिद्धमविरोधि च । प्रामाण्यजापकाहटे-र्थन्थावस्मात् पृथक् कृतम्॥ प्रचरद्रपतः स्कन्दपुराणैकाशतोधिकम् । यरखण्डत्रितय पौण्डरेवा-वन्तिकथाश्रयस् ॥ तार्श्ये पुराणमपरं बाह्यमाञ्जेयमेव च । चयोविंशतिसार्ग्यं पुराणमपि वैष्णवम् ॥ षदसहस्रमितं लैङ्गं प्रराणमपरं तथा । दीक्षामतिष्ठापापण्डयक्तिरत्नपरीक्षणे ॥ स्रपावज्ञानुचरितैः कोषन्याकरणादिमि. । असङ्गतकथाबन्धपरस्परविरोधत. ॥ तन्मीनकेतनादीना भण्डपायण्ड-लिङ्गिनाम् । लोकवश्चनमालोक्यः सर्वमेवावधीरितम् ॥ एतःप्रराणोपप्रराणसख्यावहिष्कृतः कश्मलः कर्मयोगात्। पाषण्डशास्त्राद्यमत निरूप्य देवीपुराणं न नियुद्धमत्र॥ 67 p 7 The com. विष्णुचित्ती on विष्णुपुराण remarks that the विष्णुपुराण has six recensions viz. of 6000 verses, 8000, 9000, 10000, 22000, 24000, while the दानसाग्र speaks of a विष्णुपुराण of 23000 verses which it discards मेधातिथि on मह IV. 200 remarks that each asrama has some peculiar signs of its own such as the gırdle, deer skin, (palasa) staff of a Vedic student, the householder has a bamboo stick, ear-rings &c., a forest hermit has tattered garments and matted hair while a sannyasın wears kasaya (reddish) garment &c Those who maintain themselves by wearing these peculiar signs though they do not belong to that order incur sin. प्रा. मा I. 2 p 386 explains लिझिन: as पाञ्चपताखय.

¹⁴⁰⁶ Both the কর্থনত on ল্লন্ pp. 274-308 and ইমান্ত্রি on ল্লন্ vol I pp. 921-956 contain several virtus on the 9th tithi from Bhavisyapurāna in honour of Durgā (under various names such as Candikā, Nandā) which have a śākta flavour. For example, as regards the Ubhayanavamīvrata (Kalpataru on virtus pp 274-282) it is provided that the eight-armed Durgā called Tryambikā is to be honoured with red flowers and the naivedya of buffalo flesh (p. 275) Similarly, as regards Nāmanavamī virtus (sbid. p 283-288) provision is made for a naivedya of fish and flesh and in the Mahāṇavamī virta pp 296-298 a naivedya of pāyasa and flesh for Mangalā is provided In the Nandānavamī Durgā is called Nandā and the mantra

Rauddhas, &c.); both the Visnurahasya and Sivarahasya. though well-known among people, have not been accepted in this work, since they are considered to be mere compilations; the Bhavisvottara (Purana) which is followed in peoples' practices and is not in conflict (with orthodox views) has been excluded from this work, since no indications (evidence) of its authoritativeness could be found; the following are ignored in the Dānasāgara for reasons stated: three khandas, viz. those concerned with the tales of Paundra. Reva and Avanti of the Skanda apart from a part of it that is prevalent (among people). the Tarksya (i e. Garuda) purana, another Brahmapurana, another Agneya (i. e. Agnipurana), a Visnupurana containing 23000 verses, another Lingapurana containing six thousand verses; all these have been discarded for various reasons such as the procedure of diksā (initiation of a disciple by a guru in a cult like the Tantrika or Pancaratra or Pasupata) or of the establishment of an image, heretical reasoning, testing of jewels, stories of the doings of (persons of) false genealogies, treating of such matters as dictionaries and grammar, containing incoherent tales and contradictions, because they lead to the misleading of people by the description of or reference to Love affairs, to those who are buffoons. or are heretics or make their livelihood by displaying some sign (such as matted hair), the Devipurana has not been utilized in this work, because it is not included in the enumeration of the number of Puranas and Upapuranas (in various works), because it contains delusive acts 1406a and because it approves of heretic śāstras.

⁽Continued from last page)

¹⁴⁰⁶a क्षदमल means सूच्छा or मोह acc. to अमरकोश 'मूच्छा त क्षदमलं मोहोष्यव-मर्देख्त पीडनस्'. In the भगवद्गीता II. 2 we have 'छुतस्त्वा <u>क्षदमलि</u>म् विषमे सम्रप-स्थितस्' where it should mean मोह. If we prefer the variant reading कलमपं। then the meaning would be मलिन (dark) or मलीमस.

Some important conclussions can be drawn from the above mentioned remarks of Ballilasena in his Dinafigura. Next to the Mitāksarā, the Krtyakalpataru and Aparārīta's commentary, the Dānasāgara is among the earliest extant nibandha works the dates of which are nearly certain. 167 If does not mention the Mitāksarā, nor Kityakalpataru nor Aparārka. Mr Bhabatesh Bhattācharya is right in his contention that the words 'Kalpadrumo jangamah' in the 3rd opening verse have nothing to do with Kalpataru of Laksmidhara and that Prof. Rangiswamy Aiyangar is wrong in thinking that the verse refers to the Kalpataru (vide Mr. Bhatticharya's Introduction to Danasagara p. XVIII and note 1)

The principal points that emerge from Ballalasona's remarks on Purānas are that he included both Viyu and Siya among the principal Puranas (cometimes called Mahāpuranas), that there were two Purānas called Linga, Brahma, Agnoya and Visnu, that the four counterparts bearing these names were not treated as authoritative (one pseudo-Lingapurana having 6000 verses and one Visnu having also 23000 verses being unauthoritative), that he abhorred Tantrik rites and therefore totally discarded the Devipurana and parts of Bhavisya, that he did not regard the Garuda as authoritative. It may be stated here that acc. to the (printed) Kūrma I. 1. 17-20 some Upapurānas such as Skanda, Vāmana, Brahmanda and Nāradiya bear the same names as the Mahāpuranas Prof Hazra relies upon a passage quoted from the Bhavisyapurāna by Kalpataru (Brahmacāri-

¹⁴⁰⁷ The pedigree of प्राह्मसेन gathered from अ सा and दानसागर 13: ऐमन्तसेन (10 सोम्पर्श) – his son विजयसेन – his son ज्ञाहसेन – his son ह्रान्यसेन The अनुस्तागर was begun in saka 1089 (1167 \ D) भागे was faished by his son ह्रान्यसेन (p ! अ सा) The दानसागर was composed by प्रहाहसेन in saka 1091 (1169 A D.), vide Mr B, BhattackEtyn's Introduction to giantify pp. XXV-XXVI. He composed three more works before the दानसागर pp. XXV-XXVI. He composed three more works before the दानसागर viz the व्यवसागर (mentioned on pp. 53 and 59 of the दानसागर), मिलान सागर वार्य आचारसागर (in verses 55-56 p 6 of दानसागर). So पहालसेन's literary activity should be placed between 1155 to 1180 A D. He mentions अनिच्छाह, author of एएएसा and पितृद्यिस, as his guru to whom he shows great reverence for his learning, high character and attainments in the दानसागर (p. 2 verse 6) and states that he learnt the essence of all Purānas and smrtis from him (verse 7). Vide H of Dh. vol I (1930) pp 340-341 for Ballālasona

¹⁴⁰⁸ भविष्यपुराणे। अष्टादश पुराणानि रामस्य चारित तथा। विष्णुधर्मादिशास्त्राणि शिवधर्माश्च भारत॥ कार्ण्णे च पश्चमो वेदो यम्मदाभारत स्मृतम्। सौराश्च धर्मा राजेश्व मान-(Continued on next page)

kānda p. 25) wherein it is said that the appellation Jaya is applied to 18 Purānas, Rāmāyana, Visnudharmādiśāstras, Śivadharma, Mahābhārata, Sauradharmas and Mānavadharmas (Manusmrti?). I shall discuss the question of Visnudharmapurana later. But I have serious objections against the antiquity and authenticity of this passage. Being quoted in the Kalpataru it may be earlier than 1050 A. D. That is all. In order to glorify the 18 Puranas the meaning of Jaya is extended. Jaya is applied only to the Mahabharata in the latter e.g. Udyogaparya 136. 18-19 and Svargärohanika 5. 49 and 51 quoted in note 1369 above. Hence this passage was inserted very late after all 18 Puranas had been composed i e. after the 9th century A. D. Besides the plural 'Visnudharmādiśāstrāni' shows that many works are meant and not one, i. e. the meaning is that all sastras dealing with Visnudharmas and the like. If a single work were meant, one expects 'Visnudharmādišāstram ca' and that would have not spoilt the metre. Besides, the Kalpataru itself indicates that this verse about 'Jaya' was cited by some authorities as 'Smrti'. Therefore, it is doubtful if it is a genuine Bhavisya passage. Ballālasena mentions only eight Upapurānas on dānas by name (including the four mentioned by the Matsya).

In spite of the very admirable and praiseworthy efforts of Dr Hazra in the matter of the place of the Upapurānas, their contents, the search among numerous mss to find out what the text of the several upapurānas has been, the present writer must say here once for all that he does not at all agree with most of the dates that he assign to the Sāmba, the Visnudharma, the Visnudharmottara, the Narasimhapurāna, which are the principal Upapurānas he has dealt with in the first volume of 'Studies in Upapurānas'. His dates for the four Upapurānas are;

⁽Continued from last page)

बोक्ता महीपते। जयेति नाम चैतेषां प्रवद्दान्त मनीषिणः। यदः श्रीके 'स्मृतिः' इति कृत्वा प्र (पा?) माणिकानां वच्नं (लिखन in कृर) तदिप महाजनपरिगृहीतत्वेन प्रमाणात्वात्। तथा 'स्मृत्यन्तरेषु च' इत्वेनेनेन सङ्गृहीतं विदित्वयम्। कल्पतर (ब्रह्मचारि॰ p 25-26). The कृत्यरत्नात्वर reads 'तदिन्नायमानवस्तुक्तमपि' before महाजन॰ and thereby clearly shows that the propounder of this passage (cited as from Bhavisya) was unknown, acc. to the कृत्यरत्नात्वर and also कल्पतरु, but, as some authorities had accepted it, it was regarded as प्रमाण and even if cited as smrti it would be included in the words 'अष्टादशपुराणेषु यानि वाक्यानि पुत्रका। तान्यालोच्य महावाही तथा स्मृत्यन्तरेषु च॥' occurring as a quotation from भविष्य on p. 24 (of ब्रह्म-चारिकाण्ड). The above verses are quoted by कृ. र. p. 30.

Samba between 500 and 800 A. D. (p. 91), the Visnudharmapurāna between 200-300 A. D. (p. 143), the Visnudharmottarapurana between 400 to 500 A. D. (p. 212) and the present Narasimha-purana between 400 to 500 A.D. To examine all his reasons the present writer would have to write another book. Therefore, he proposes to give only a few illustrations of the way in which Dr. Hazra arrives at his dates. But before this is done it is better to mention some of his own findings on the Puranas and the four Upapuranas mentioned above. On p. 27 he observes that the text of the extant Mahapuranas which are the results of innumerable changes, modifications and interpolations made at different times and by different sects is scarcely reliable and can be used with great caution and careful discrimination. I agree with him. But the same or perhaps worse is the case with the Upapuranas. Prof. Hazra himself says ('Studies' vol. I. p. 23) that after the group of 18 principal Puranas had been compiled many sub systems and sects like the Säktas and Sauras came into prominence and their adherents interpolated chapters in the 18 established Puranas and wrote new and independent works styled Puranas in order to propagate their own ideas and that some of these latter came to be called Upapuranas. The result is that, unless we have critical editions of the Puranas and the principal Upapuranas on the model of the critical edition of the Mahābhārata at the BORI in Poona, all chapters and often single verses are suspect. But the task of preparing critical editions of even the principal Puranas and some of the Upapuranas based upon ancient and medieval mss. collected from all parts of India would be far more colossal and costly than even the critical edition of the Mahabharata. Therefore, most chronological conclusions about the dates of Puranas and Upapuranas and about the borrowings of one Purana from another are just tentative at the most and likely to be set aside by new evidence as long as critical editions of Purānas and Upapurānas are not available.

Let us now turn to the four Upapurānas dealt with at length by Prof. Hazra About the Sāmba (which is one of the four Upapurānas expressly named in the Matsyapurāna 53 60-63) Prof. Hazra observes ('Studies' vol. I p 68) that the present Sāmba-purāna consists of different units mostly belonging to different countries and ages and after analysing in his own way the several chapters of the Sāmba he arrives at the conclusion (on p. 93) that chapters 17, 22 and 23 of the printed

edition were added later than 950 A. D. that chap. 44-45 were inserted between 950 and 1050 A D and chap 39-43 and 47-83 were added between 1250 and 1500 A.D. There is at present only one printed ed. of the Samba viz that of the Venk. Press in 84 chapters based probably only on one ms Out of these 84 chapters Prof Hazra himself finds that 47 chapters are later than 950 A D., of which 42 were added between 1250-1500 A.D. Prof. Hazra has himself examined several mss of the Samba, but they do not come from all over India, many being from Bengal and almost all seem to be late ones (p 33 last line), being copied in saka 1764 i e 1842 A D When more than half of this Purana ranges between 950 to 1500 A D according to Prof Hazra, how can it be usefully employed for chronological purposes? Nobody can say when the verses about the four Upapurānas were inserted in the Matsya, but one can affirm that it was done about the 9th century A. D or even later. Two dates about Upapurānas are certain, viz that Sāmba is mentioned by Alberuni (Sachau, I. p 130) who wrote in 1030 A. D. and that the Danasagara (verses 13-15 on p. 3), composed in 1069 A.D. mentions eight Upapurānas on dānas of which four viz. Sāmba, Nārasimha, Nandi and Ādītya are the same as are mentioned in the Matsya. Therefore, an Upapurāna called Sāmba must have been composed a century or two earlier than 1000 A.D. On p. 91 he holds that the Samba cannot be dated later than 800 A. D. It is difficult to fall in line with all the assumptions on pp. 90-91 for arriving at this date. What the Samba named by Matsya contained beyond the words 'story of Samba' is not at all known. Prof. Hazra himself has given up at least half of the printed Samba as later than 950 A. D. and there is absolutely no reliable evidence to hold that the remaining portion of the Samba is earlier than 800 A. D or even earlier than 950 A. D.

The next Upapurana is Visnudharma dealt with by Prof. Hazra in 'Studies' vol I pp. 118-155. There is no printed edition. Prof Hazra (p 119) refers to six mss. but he has chiefly used only one ms viz. Bengal Asiatic Society's ms. No. 1670. This Purana has 105 chapters and over 4000 verses. Prof. Hazra admits (p. 119) that it has very little of the principal characteristics of a Purana and deals exclusively with the religious rites and duties of Vaisnavas. Alberuni names Visnudharma but the verses that he quotes therefrom are found in the Visnudharmottara as Buhler pointed out long ago (I. A. vol. XIX. pp. 381-410 and vide table given by Prof. Hazra on p. 208 comparing the two). Prof. Hazra holds (p. 116) relying on two verses quot-

ed below from Visnudharmottara 1408a that the Visuudharmottara is only the latter part of Visnudharma and that, as he holds that the former was composed between 400-500 A D, the date of the Visnudharma falls between 200 and 300 A D (p 143). The other arguments that he advances are practically worthless In the present author's opinion those verses can be interpreted in two other but different ways, viz. that the first section of the present Visnudharmottara is called Visnudharma or that the Visnudharmottara is so called because it was composed after the Visnupurana, which contains the greatness of Visnu and the dharmas of Vaisnavas He often trots out the theory that, if a work is free from Tantric elements, it must be an early work belonging to the 3rd or 4th century A D (see p. 142). The Sarvadarsanasangraha of Mādhavācārya (which was composed in the 14th century) makes no reference to the cult of Sakta or the system of Tantra, though it devotes a good deal of space to the views of even Carvaka (a thorough-going atheist), Bauddhas and Jamas. The Sarvasiddhantasangraha also does not refer to the Saktas or the But no one can argue that Madhava is earlier than 4th or 5th century A D. There may be various reasons for silence. One may abhor a thing and may not refer to it or use it at all as the Danasagara says about the Devipurana. The argument from silence is a slippery one I challenge the whole basis of Prof. Hazra's date for Visnudharma, viz the date of the Visnudharmottara. This last is a vast work in three sections would be proved later that portions of the latter Purana dealing

अधीते सोत्तर यश्च विष्णुवर्ममिमं शुभम् । विष्णुधर्मात्तर I.143 16 , ससारक्षय हेत्वर्थे भावोपकरणेप च । सोत्तरा वैष्णमा धर्मा सारमेतत्वकीर्तितम् ॥ विष्णुधर्मोत्तर I 74 35. These verses are not clear enough for holding that the present विष्णुधर्मोत्तर 15 only the latter part of another work called विष्णुधर्म That verse uses the word हम which refers to the first section of the present विकायमंत्र and refers only to one who studies the first section and also the following sections The word इस cannot be proved to refer to विट्णुयर्मशास्त्र, which is nowhere expressly mentioned as a पुराण to the विष्णुधर्मांसर To take द्वम as referring to विकासमें, a separate work, would be equal to assuming what has to be proved. Alberung mentions Visnudbarma as a short form of विष्णुचर्मोत्तर and nothing more. Similarly, in the 2nd passage it is expressly stated that in the first section the essence of Vaisnava duties is given along with the following two sections The Matsya (53 16) speaks of the Visnupurana as one in which Parasara proclaimed all the dharmas with reference to Varabakalpa, नाराहकरप-. बुत्तान्तमधिक्रत्य पराजर । यत्माह धर्मानखिलास्तद्यक्तं वैद्णानं विदू.॥ मत्स्य 53 16 The word धर्मान is used in the मत्स्य with reference to four Puranas. Vayu, Visnu, Naradiya and Skanda, out of 18. The Visnu is full of the characteristic qualities of Vaisnavas e. g vide III 7. 20-33, III 8 9-19 &c.

with prognostications from dreams cannot be placed esrlier than about 600 to 650 A.D. For the Visnudharma we have to rely on what Prof. Hazra quotes. Chap. 66 quotes the famous words of the Gita 'whenever there is decline of dharma &c.' (p. 143 n 94) and then the same chap, mentions the incarnations of Visnu including Buddha (p. 125). Therefore, the mention of the ten avataras in the context of the words of the Gitā is natural and should not be regarded as spurious simply because it comes in the way of one's pet theories. On p. 144 he quotes ten verses from chapter 66 in which Buddha is described as son of Suddhodana and his doctrines are stated. (on pp 145-146) gives four reasons which are quite unconvincing. The Puranas mention the avataras of Visnu in several places. The Bhagavata in I. 3 names 22 avataras On p. 150 Prof. Hazra himself quotes a long passage about the evils of the Kali age from the Visnudharma in which occurs a significant half verse 'utkocāḥ saugatāś-caiva Mahāyānaratās-tathā'. Here not only are the followers of Buddha mentioned but also those who are of the Mahayana persuasion. On p. 124 Prof. Hazra states that the Visnudharma (p 124 n 45) mentions by name 33 authors of Dharmaśāstras, besides the Saptarsis and others. Yāj. (I, 4-5) mentions only 19 promulgators of Dharmaśāstra (including himself and holding Sankha-likhita as one). Both the Visnudharma and the Visnudharmottara are not mentioned by the Matsya. Therefore, it must be held that they were not recognized as Upapuranas at the time when the verses about Upapurānas were interpolated in the Matsya and were not so recognized till at least the 8th or 9th century A D The Visnudharma is opposed to what is stated by all writers from the Grhya and Dharmasūtras, by Manu (III. 128–186), by Matsya, Kūrma and other Puranas about the qualifications of the brahmanas to be invited at a śrāddha dinner (vide H. of Dh. vol IV pp. 384-387). It expressly says that squint-eyed, hunch-backed, impotent, poor and diseased brāhmanas should be mixed up at a srāddha along with those who are deeply versed in the Veda. 1409 This does not bespeak an early date On p. 138 Prof Hazra refers to several nibandhakāras as quoting from Vısnudharma such as Gadādhara up to Ballālasena, Aparārka and Krtyakalpataru. These do not carry the date beyond 1000 A. D and besides an examination of quotations in only one work (Apararka) will show that the

¹⁴⁰⁹ काणाः कुब्जाश्च पण्डाश्च दरिद्रा ज्याधितास्तथा। सर्वे श्राद्धे नियोक्तव्याःमिश्रिता वेदपारगैः॥ (Hazra p 153 n 117)

Visnudharma was an hotch-potch bringing together passages from several sources (vide note). 1410 Prof. Hazra himself holds that the original Visnudharma was appropriated and recast by Bhāgavatas and that many verses quoted from Visnudharma by Raghunandana, Govindānanda and the Madanapārijāta do not occur in the present work (pp. 154-155).

Then we come to the Visnudharmottara. The only printed edition is that of the Venk Press. It is a vast work divided into three sections. The first deals with geography, solar and lunar dynasties, astronomy and astrology, gotra and pravara, śrāddhas. Manyantaras, Bharata's fight with Gandharvas and Satrughna's with Lavana. The 2nd section deals with various aspects of Ranadharma and the third section of the Purana deals with Citrasutravidhāna and contains several topics such as painting, dancing, music, song, rasas, riddles, dramaturgy, metrics, figures of speech. construction of images, building of temples, symptoms of approaching death, gifts of various kinds, law and justice, hermits and sannyāsins. On p. 212 Dr. Hazra places this Purāna between 400-500 A. D. Reasons of limitations of space preclude any detailed criticism of Prof Hazra's treatment. In III. 351.54 Buddha is mentioned as an avatāra which Prof Hazra says is 'most probably spurious' (p. 212) and advances no reasons for this summary judgment. He puts in his usual argument of its non-Tantric 1411 character and frequent use of the word pradurbhava

^{1410.} अपरार्क on pp 368-370 quotes about 20 verses from विष्णुधर्म, some of which may be examined here. पिंछ वर्षसहस्राणि स्वर्ग वसित भूमिदः। आच्छेता चाह्यमन्ता च ताल्येव नरके वसित्॥ This very verse is q by अपरार्क on p. 370 from आदित्यपुराण This verse is stated to be derived from क्यास and मह in E. I. vol 12 p. 135. The गृहस्थरनाकर p. 517 quotes it from बृहस्पति, while बृहस्पितस्त्रिति (Anan. collection) verse 29 has the latter half of it. On p. 369 the verse यल्किञ्चरकुकते पाप is quoted from विद्युष्धर्म but the same is quoted by अपरार्क himself on p 370 from मत्स्य and it is verse 7 of बृहस्पतिस्त्रुति and is also अनुजासन 62 19 and विस्तिष्ठधर्मसूत्र 29 16 Then at the end of that long passage is verse आस्कीटयन्ति पितर: (अपरार्क p 370), which is बृहस्पतिस्तृति 17 and प्रसुप्ताण VI 33 17.

¹⁴¹¹ I fail to understand what Prof. Hazra definitely means by non-Tantrik character He admits (on p 218) that bijas and kavacas are found in Visnudharmottara of which section I chap. 226 names over 100 सातुs, some of whose names are काली, महाकाली, अपराजिता, कालहूबी, दुर्भगा, कराली, कपाली, पिशाची &c., chap. 237 of विष्णुधमातिर (verse 20) refers to विष्णुधमातिय (verse 20) refers to a verse ver

and not 'avatara' which latter, he says, occurs in two places only. Prof. Hagra often attaches undue importance to insignificant Whether the word 'prādurbhāva' is used or 'avatāra' is used matters little. The same Purana uses both words promiscuously e.g. Narasimha (36.1, 'avatārānaham vaksye') begins with the word 'avatara' and in 39. 1 employs the word 'pradurbhāva' (atah param Hareh punyam prādurbhāvam) and in the colophons of all chapters from 36 to 53 the word 'prādurbhāva' is used. The Matsya 247 1 starts with 'prādurbhāvān purānesu Visnoramitatejasah'. In 247. 19-21 the Matsya employs the word 'avatirnah' with regard to Vamana and Nrsimha and in 246.4 'avatīrno jagadyonih Vāmaneneha rūpena &c.'. Padma V. 13. 182 speaks of twelve avatāras; Visnu V. 16 also uses the word 'avatāra'. On p. 199 he gives a table of passages that are common to Manu, Yāj, Nārada and Visnudharmottara. on p. 200 another table of passages common to Bharata's Natyaśāstra and Visnudharmottara, and on page 202 a table of passages common to Matsya and Visnudharmottara and holds that the Matsya borrows. This is a most astounding proposition. The Matsya does not even mention the Visnudharmottara as an Upapurana: the only sure and earliest date is that the latter is mentioned by Alberuni. That does not carry the matter beyond 900-1000 A.D. The Matsyapurana might have been tampered with. The reasons assigned for his opinion by Prof. Hazra are, to say the least, flimsy and unconvincing. It is further to be noted that there are twelve verses that state that some phenomena are not to be held to be utpātas, that are common to Matsya 229. 14-25, Visnudharmottara (II. 134. 15-26) and the Brhatsamhitā (45. 83-94), which are quoted by the Adbhutasagara pp. 743-744 as occurring in all these three and in Barhaspatya. I have dealt with this matter above on p. 768 n 1240. Varāha states that these

⁽Continued from last page)

दंझ पूर्वतो रक्ष । ओं है है है हि दिनकरसहम्रकान्तसमोग्रकेज पश्चिमतो रक्ष रक्ष । ओं निरिनिरि मर्दीसण्वलनज्वालालमहाकापिलजादिल उत्तरतो रक्ष । ओं चिलिचिलि मिलिमिलि चेकिह गौरि गांन्यारि विषोहिन विषं मोहयतु स्वाहा दक्षिणतो रक्ष । गं चिलिचिलि मिलिमिलि चेकिह गौरि गांन्यारि विषोहिन विषं मोहयतु स्वाहा दक्षिणतो रक्ष । गं रि this is not tantricism I should like to know what it is In विष्णुयमोत्तर II 165 there is great eulogy of the Gāyatrī (or Sāvitrī) mantra Therein verses 55 ff of the same Purāna prescribe how Gāyatrī can be used against one's bad enemy A few verses are quoted अभिचारेषु ता देवी विपरीतां प्रयोज्ञयेत् । कार्या व्याहतपश्चात्र विपरीताक्षरास्तथा । विपरीतिकार कार्य ज्ञिरक मञ्जेश्वर। आहौ जिरः प्रयोक्तक्यं मणवान्ते च याद्व । स्वाहास्थाने च फद्कारे साध्यनामसमन्तितम् । "अभिचारे च होतव्या राजिका विपमिश्चिताः । स्वरक्तिशं होतव्यं कहुत्तलमथापि वा। " सहापराधं विलनं देवबाह्मणकण्डकम् । अभिचारेण यो हन्यास्व स दोषेण लिप्यते। 65,

verses are the summaries (rsiputrakrtaih ślokair-vidyād-etat samāsoktaih) of the ślokas of Rsiputra Therefore, the view that they are borrowed by Varaha from the Matsya or Visnudharmottara would be out of question The two Puranas do not sav whence they have taken them Therefore, it would not be uninstifiable to hold that in both Puranas the verses of the Brhat-samhitā were inserted. There is another circumstance that strengthens this last view. The Adbhutasāgara on pp. 493-494 quotes seven verses from the Brhad-vātrā of Varāha alone. These verses are quoted by Utpala on Br. S 47, 22 (where Varāha says 'sadasat - svapnanimittam yātrāyām svapnavidhir-uktah) from 'Yātrā' These verses (8 in all) are Varāha's own (as he says) and not taken from any other source. Three of these verses on dreams occur in the Visnudharmottara (II 176 9-11). They are quoted above on p 776 note 1254. Prof. Hazra does not appear to have carefully gone into the several works of Varāhamihira and is not right when he says (on pp. 201 and 211) that 'the Visnudharmottara does not refer to or utilize the works of Varāhamihira'. The Visnudharmottara is an encyclopaedia, while Varāhamihira was a great astronomer and astrologer He would turn to his predecessors in his own subject if he wanted to borrow and he expressly mentions numerous predecessors (vide pp 591-594 above) and not to an Upapurāna (which in my view did not exist or at least was not recognised as an authority when Varāha wrote in the first half of the 6th century A D.) Besides, the presumption to be drawn from the nature of the Upapurana is in favour of holding that it borrows.

Prof Hazra deals with the Narasimha-purāna on pp 219-266 of his 'Studies' vol I The only printed edition is that published in 1911 by Gopal Narayan and Co. (Bombay) in 68 chapters based on three mss about which no information is given. This Purāna appears to have been composed solely for the glorification of Narasimha identified with Nārāyana. Prof Hazra has used several mss., two, the oldest, being dated in saka 1567 (i e 1645 A. D.), some of the others are not dated and a few are dated so late as 1798 A. D. and 1810 A. D.; and some are written in Bengali script On p 322 Prof. Hazra says about one ms. in Eggeling's cat of India Office mss that it was copied about 1,509-1600 A. D. and its last five folios were supplied in 1789 A. D. No reasons are given why this ms. should be regarded as being copied between 1500-1600. This is probably a guess, so all the mss. consulted are not earlier than the 17th and following centuries.

agree with the printed edition in the number of chapters and also lack certain chapters of the printed edition. Prof. Hazra holds (p. 242) on various grounds, all of which cannot be examined here, that the 'present Narasimhapurāna is to be placed between 400-500 A D.' One or two of his characteristic arguments will be noticed here In chap 36. 9 (kalau prapte yatha Buddho bhaven-Nārāyanah prabhuh) Buddha is mentioned: Mārkandeya promises in chap 36 to narrate stories about eleven avatāras (among whom Balarāma, Kisna and Buddha are included) and in chapters 37-54, the stories of all avatāras except Buddha are given and it is added 1412 in chap. 54 that 'I have spoken of the ten incarnations of Visnu. The devotee of Nrsimha who always listens to these attains Visnu'. It may be noted that Balabhadra is mentioned in a half verse (36.8) which is found only in ms. 'ga' of the three mss. of the printed edition. In chap. 53 a few exploits of Balarāma and Krsna are narrated in the same chapter and so the half line was inserted later. As the story of Buddha is not given Prof Hazra holds that the verse in 36 9 is undoubtedly spurious (pp 230 and 249). It never occurred to Prof Hazra that the sectarian zeal of a bigoted Vaisnava might have never cared to give the life of Buddha who was not concerned with (but was antagonistic to) the varna system and the Vedas or might have omitted the story of the life of Buddha even if it occurred in the ms from which he copied The present author thinks that 36.9 is a genuine verse and enumerates Buddha as an avatāra following the universal belief held in India at least from the 9th or 10th century A. D. that Buddha was an avatāra, but probably bigotry led to the omission of the details of Buddha's life. Besides, the verse is capable of another interpretation. It is only the stories of ten avatāras (excluding Buddha) that lead a devotee to Visnu. A devotee may worship any one of Nrsimha, Rāma or Krsna, and reach Visnu, but hardly any orthodox work has ever stated that worship of Buddha alone (an avatāra) will lead to attainment of Visnu. Therefore, it is proper to hold that the story of Buddha's life might not have been given at all or was purposely omitted. On. p. 239 Prof. Hazra puts forward a peculiar argument. According to the Narasimha-purāna chap. 53 31, says Prof. Hazra, Krsna embodies only a part of Visnu's sakti 1413 and therefore 'the Narasimha is to be dated

^{1412.} वृज्ञावतारा कथितास्तवैव हरेर्मया पार्थिव पापहन्तुः। इमं सदा यस्तु द्वृतिहमक्तः तृणोति नित्यं स तु याति विष्णुम्। वर्रसिंहपु॰ 54 6

^{1413.} सितकृष्णे च मन्छक्ती कसादीन् वातियव्यतः। "तयोः सिता च रोहिण्या वस्रदेवाद्वभूत ह॥ तद्वत्कृष्णा च देवक्या वस्रदेवाद्वभूत ह॥ नरसिंहपु० 53. 31, 33-34.

earlier than the present Bhagayata in which Krsna is called bhacavān himself (Bhāgavata I. 3 28). As the present Bhāgavata is to be dated in the 6th century A. D the Narasımha is to be placed not later than £00 A D.' Great controversies have raged over the date of the Bhagayata. The present author holds that the Bhagavata-purana does not belong to the 6th century A.D. It will be shown below that while Rāmānuja quotes more than a hundred verses from the Visnupurana, which he regarded as one of supreme authority over other Puranas (in Vedärthasangraha pp. 141-142 of D C. edition) he does not quote the Bhagavata at all in his bhasya on the Vedantasutra. A bigoted devotee of Narasimha may say that Narasimha avatāra is the perfect avatāra of Visnu, while Krsna is only a partial one. But surely that cannot determine the dates of the respective puranas. What bigoted devotees are capable of saving may be seen from Brahmanda III 36, 18-20 quoted later in this section, where it is asserted that the reward of repeating thrice the thousand names of Visnu is yielded by repeating one name of Krsna once. The strongest argument against the authenticity and early date of the present Narasimhapurana has not been properly and adequately emphasized or appreciated by Prof. Hazra The Matsya (53.60-63) gives meagre details about the four Upapuranas expressly named by it, but about the Narasimha it makes one definite statement that it contained 18000 verses (53 60) The present Narasimha contains only about 3400 verses 1111 Therefore, the old Narasimhapurāna exists no more and in its place a new one has been substituted, probably containing some of the topics and chapters contained in the old one. We do not know the extent of the Narasımha mentioned by Alberuni For ought we know he might have referred to the old extensive purana or the new stripling substituted in its place. Even some of the mss copied in comparatively recent times drop several chapters of the printed purana; on p. 249 Prof Hazra holds that chapters 34 verses 43-55, and chapters 61, 65 (on 68 holy places for Vaisnavas), 66,67 are genuine parts, though dropped in

¹⁴¹⁴ Even a late writer like नरसिंहवाजपेयिन् patronized by the Gajapati king Mukundadeva (1559-1568 A D) says in his निस्याचारमञ्जीप (B, I, ed.) vol I p 18 प्रसिद्धनारसिंहस्य अष्टादशसाहससंख्या यथापि नोपलम्यते किथित् कालक्ष्मास्त्रसिंति पतिभाति।. It further says that the Nandi or Nanda purāna is a part of Skanda and that, since Laksmīdhara says that the Kālikā is an Upapurāna, those that say that by the word Bhāgavata the Kālikā is meant are wrong and then enumerates the 18 उपपुराणs

some mss. Prof. Hazra's judgments on various matters are most liable to be challenged; but considerations of space prevent any detailed treatment. On p. 252 he holds that in chap. 6 the story of the birth of Vasistha and Agastya as the sons of Mitra and Varuna, the story of Yama and Yami are comparatively late additions. The story of the birth of Vasistha from Urvasi and Mitra and Varuna is alluded to even in the Rgyeda (VII 33, 11) and in the previous verse (10) of the same hymn Vasistha, Agastva and Mitravarunau are brought together. The story of Yama and Yami occurs in Rg. X. 10. The Narasimha itself states (in chap. 14 1) that the story of Yama and Yami is Vaidiki. The Purana may have added some fringes and embellishments, but the main theme is the same in both. On p. 254-255 and note 330 Prof. Hazra was constrained to admit that the Narasimhapurāna had been revised more than once, remarks that Hemādri had a more extensive purana before him than the printed one and that a comparison of the verses quoted from the Narasimhapurāna by the Madanapārijāta pp. 301-302, Smrtitattva on ähnika (vol. I. p. 411) and Nityācārapradīpa (vol. I p. 617) show that the text of the Narasimha used by Raghunandana and Narasimha-vājapeyin agrees more with the printed text than the text used by Madanapāla (about 1375 A.D.), less than 200 years before Raghunandana.

The extant Narasimhapurāna is an insignificant one as compared with the principal Puranas like Vayu, Matsya, Visnu; the Samba is not quoted at all by Apararka, the Visnudharma only twice and even the Visnudharmottara is quoted by Aparārka only 7 times for about 25 verses in all The very extensive digest Kalpataru (first half of 12th century A. D.) contains hardly any quotation from Visnudharmottara on vratas (though the latter devotes about 1600 verses to vratas), nor is it quoted in the sections on brahmacāri and other kāndas, while hundreds of verses are quoted from Matsya, Brahma, Bhavisya, Mārkandeya and other Purānas. Aparārka in his huge digest of 1252 printed pages quotes the Narasimhapurana only 9 times and in all about 30 verses only, of which about half are in respect of sannyāsa, while the Brahmapurāna is quoted 68 times for hundreds of verses, the Matsya is quoted over 50 times for several hundred verses. The Tulasi plant is now sacred to the devotees of Visnu, but it does not figure in early Paurānika or other literature. It is mentioned in chapters

31. 87 (in a prose passage) and 34 (19 and 23) of the printed Narasimhapurāna. As usual Prof. Hazra holds these references are due to later revisions and should not be held to suggest a late date for the extant Narasimhapurana (p. 255). Tulasimāhātmya is very much in evidence in the Padma e.g. in IV. 94, 4-10, V 58 109ff and V, 59, VI, 24 2-43. The very late part of Padma VI (chap 98-107) contains the story of Jalandhara. whose wife Vrndā is said to have become Tulasi Frof. Hazra fails to realize the full force of his own admission that the Narasimha was revised several times and that writers of the 16th century had a version before them different from that before the writers of the 13th or 14th century In the author's opinion the whole Furana becomes suspect and that by mere diplomatic criticism one would not be able to support beyond doubt any chronological conclusion derived from the present truncated and garbled version of the original Narasimha.

So much space had to be devoted to an examination of Prof. Hazra's work on the Upapuranas for cogent reasons. the latest and most elaborate treatment of Puranas and particularly of Upapuranas, on which he has bestowed immense labour. His opinions, particularly about the dates of the Upapuranas, are likely to be taken as the last word on the subject and even his unfounded surmises are likely to be taken as well-established conclusions. Modern Sanskrit scholars have sad experience about such matters. Max Muller, working back from the date of Buddha and assigning arbitrarily two hundred years each for three periods viz. the Upanisad period, the Brāhmana period and the Samhitā period, inferred that the composition of the Vedas was to be assigned to a period about 1200 B C. He no doubt said that his periods were the minimum and that his was a pure surmise. Yet most writers who have not themselves made a deep study of the Veda assign the Vedas to about 1200 to 1400 B C. even after the lapse of about one hundred years from the time when Max Muller launched his surmises. For example, Prof. Toynbee in the table of the time spans of the growth phases of civilizations on p. 758 of volume IX (1954) gives the dates of Epiphany and of breakdown of the Indic civilization as 1375 B.C. and 725 B.C. . respectively. The present author does not at all agree with the dates assigned to the Upapuranas by Prof Hazra and with the methods and reasoning adopted for arriving at those dates. He had to perform this painful duty even in spite of his regard for Prof. Hazra.

There are numerous works, translations and papers on Puranas. A few alone are mentioned here. Eugene Burnouf translated the Bhagavata Purana in French in five volumes in The translations of Visnu vears. and later Markandeya were made respectively by H. H. Wilson and Pargiter; Prof. Kirfel's Introduction to 'Purana pancalaksana' (1927, Bonn) was translated in the Journal of the Shri Venkatesa Institute, vol. VII pp 81-121 and vol. VIII. pp 9-33; Kirfel in Festschrift Jacobi pp. 298-316; K. P. Jayaswal on 'Chronological tables in Puranic chronicles' in J. B. O R. S. vol. III pp. 246-262: 'Puranas and Indus Aryas' and 'Study of ancient Geography in Agnipurāna' in I. H. Q. (1933), vol. 18 pp. 461 and 470: Prof. Ramchandra Dikshitar's studies on Vavu and Matsya and Index to (five) Purānas in three volumes; J. R. A. S. 247-256 and pp. 337-350 by W. Ruben for 'Puranic line of heroes'; J. A. S. B. for 1938, vol. IV. Article 15 pp. 393 ff; 'Purānas on Guptas' in I. H. Q. vol. 21 pp. 141 ff and 'Gupta Inscriptions and Purānas' by Dr. D. R. Patil in B.D.C.R.I. vol. II. pp. 148-165: H. C Raychaudhuri in a paper in the Pro. of the 10th Oriental Conference, pp. 390 ff for discrepancies of Puranic accounts with epigraphic records; paper by Mr. B. C. Majmudar on 'Origin and character of Purana literature' in Sir Asutosh Mukharji Silver Jubilee vol. III, Orientalia, part 2 pp. 9-30; a verse from p. 30 of 'Inscriptiones Sanskrites de Champa et du Cambodge ' refers to the provision made by a king of the 6th century A. D. for the daily reading of the Bhārata, Rāmāyana and Purānas; 1414a the Penukonda plates of the early Ganga king Mādhava II, (E. I. vol. 14 p 338) speaks of him as knowing 'the essence of many sastras. Itihasa and Puranas' (probably in 6th century A. D.); Puranas are mentioned as studied in 578 A. D. (in E. I. vol. 28 p 59)

In the following notes on individual Purānas and Upapurānas the author adds a few notes based on his own study of the Purānas and (printed) digests (mbandhas) of an early age. He desires to emphasize that the earliest works that can be called digests and are available in print cannot be placed earlier than about 1100 A.D. Though there is difference of views among scholars about the exact dates of composition, the Mitāksarā, the Krtyakalpataru (which is a regular Digest of various kinds of materials on Dharmaśāstra) and Aparārka's work (though in

¹⁴¹⁴ a The verse is रामायणपुराणान्यामहोषं भारतं द्वत्। अकृतान्वहमच्छेषां स च तहाचनस्थितिम्॥ vide I H. Q vol. 22 pp. 221-223.

form a commentary on Yannavalkya-smrti is still in the nature of a Digest) are three printed works more or less contemporary and composed between about 1100 to 1140 A.D. The Krtyakalpataru on Vyayahāra mentions by name Prakāśa. Halāyudha, Kamadhenu and Parijata. Besides, Krityakalpataru (Niyata p. 280) cites the explanation of Pararika (in An. Dh. S. I. 17. 26) by the Smrtimaniari (of Govindarija) and also on śrāddha (pp. 46 and 259). It has been shown in H. of Dh. vol. I that Prakāša (pp. 306-308), Pārijāta (pp. 308-309), Smrtimaniari (pp. 312-311) were works of the digest genre. The Kamadhenu of Gopala also appears to have been a digest (H of Dh. vol. I. pp. 293 ff.). The author Gopāla was a friend of Laksmidhara (vide Introd. to Dānakinda p. 49), but, since the latter employs the past tense (cukre) with reference to Gopāla's work and the present tense (tanyate kalpavrksah) as regards his own work it follows that the Kāmadhenu was composed at least a few years before the Kalpataru. As no mss. of the Prakasa, Parilita and Kamadhenu are available it is impossible to say anything about their oxhaustiveness or otherwise But from the summary at the end of the ms. on the Pravascitta section of the Smrtimaniari (H of Dh. vol I. p. 312 note 711) it appears that it must have been fairly large and was composed on the same lines as the later Kityakalpataru, since it began with Paribhīsākānda and Brahmacārisection, then dealt with Grhasthadharmas. Dina, Suddhi and Asauca, Śrāddha, then with Vānaprastha and (corresponding to the moksakānda of Kalpataru) and ended with Pravascrttas. These predecessors of the Kalpataru were superseded by the extensive work of Laksmidhara, who himself receded into the background when the works of Hemadri, Candesvara, Madanaratna, the Viramitrodaya, the Mayukhas of Nilakantha became popular. Even before the Kamadhenu and probably the Smrtimanjari also, Bhoja (about 2nd quarter of 11th century A. D) composed (or patronised the composition of) several works such as the Bhujabala and Rajamartanda which exhaustively dealt with the astrological requirements of samskāras from pumsavana to marriage and also of vratas, yātrā, śāntis, pratisthā (vide the author's paper on 'King Bhoja and his works on Dharmasastra and astrology 'in JOR, (Madras), vol. XXIII for 1953-54 pp 94-127 for five works of Bhoja). So there was nothing very novel in the Krtyakalpataru except its exhaustive and logical treatment of all topics and profuse quotations from the Epics and Purānas. The Mitāksarā does

not quote much from the Purānas, but Aparārka and Kalpataru mote them profusely. The Kalpataru quotes about 600 verses from Devipurāna, over 200 verses each from Kālikā, Ādityapurāna, Nandipurāna and Narasimhapurāna (all Upapurānas) while it quotes none at all from Visnudharmottara. The Kalpataru did not probably regard it 23 authoritative. though Apararka and the Danasagara utilized it to some extent. The learned editor of the extensive Kalpataru, Prof. Aiyangar, has made great efforts to identify the quotations therein from the Purānas, and laid all workers and scholars under great obligations. But many quotations have eluded him as will be shown later on. He has been assiduous in pointing out how Hemādri, Candesvara and Mitramisra have copied wholesale from the Kalpataru. It is not impossible that even the Kalpataru might have done the same to some extent as regards its predecessors such as the Pārijāta, Prakāśa, Smrtimañjarī, and Kāmadhenu. But as those works are not yet available, no positive conclusion can be drawn about its borrowing for the present

The present author edited 286 verses from the Rājamārtanda (containing 1462 verses) on tithis, vratas and utsavas in ABORI vol. 36, parts III-IV, 1956, pp. 306-339,). It describes several vratas and utsavas like Indradhvajotthāpana and the work is about 75 years older than the Kalpataru. The Kalpataru is studiously silent as regards Bhoja, though it mentions Kāmadhenu, Govindarāja, Prakāśa and Halāyudha and it hardly anywhere mentions the vratas described by the Rājamārtanda. The Mitāksarā does mention Dhāreśvara Bhoja. Probably Laksmīdhara did not like that a comparison should be instituted between his treatment of vratas and Bhoja's.

Alberuni's work on India translated by Sachau furnishes us with some data about the chronology of Purāṇas. On p. 130 (of Sachau's tr) he says he heard the following as the Purāṇas viz. Ādi, Matsya, Kūrma, Varāha, Nārasimha, Vāmana, Vāyu, Nanda, Skanda, Āditya, Soma, Sāmba, Brahmānḍa, Mārkanḍeya, Tārksya (i. e Garuda), Visnu, Brahma, Bhaviṣya It will be noted that he mixes in this list Purānas and Upapurānas. He further states that he had seen only portions of Matsya, Āditya and Vāyu. Then on p. 131 (of Sachau's tr.) there is another list which was read to him from the Viṣṇu (viz the 18 principal Purānas, Śaiva being substituted for Vāyu). Then, on p. 229 (of Sachau's tr.) he sets out from Āditya some details about the regions below the earth and shows how Vāyu differs from it and

on p. 248 he sets out details about Moru from Visnu, Vayu and Aditya. As he wrote his work in 1030 A. D. one has to conclude that Puranas having the names cited by him existed some time before 1000 A. D. at the latest,

Some of the papers of Prof. Hazra and others on the principal Purānas and on some of the Upapurānas are brought together in one place by Dr. Pusalkar in his work 'Studies in Epics and Purānas' pp 218-225, a few of them are mentioned here. About sixteen papers of Prof. Hazra contributed to various Journals from time to time were included in his work 'Studies in Purānik Records of Hindu Rites and customs', which is referred to as PRHR here and H. stands for Prof. Hazra and U. for Upapurāna.

CHAPTER XXIII

Brief Notes on individual Purāņas and Upapurāņas

Agnipurāna—'Present Agni' (by H.) in I. H. Q. vol. XII pp. 683-691: 'Studies in genuine Āgneya alias Vahnipurāna' by H. in 'Our Heritage' vol. I part 2 pp. 209-245 and vol. II part 1 pp. 76-109; 'Discovery of genuine Āgneyapurāna' by H. in J O. I. (Baroda) vol. V (1956) pp. 411-416 (shows that present Agni published by Ānan. Press is not the original Purāna, that this was compiled late, while the original Āgneya or Vahni is not yet printed); the Dānasāgara p 7. verse 63 speaks of an Agneya which it has discarded apart from one which it has utilized (p. 2 verse 11). As usual with most Purānas, the printed Āgneya glorifies itself by saying (in chap. 272. 13 and 17) that in that Mahāpurāna Hari resides in the form of different lores and the Āgneya is a great purāna full of Veda and all vidyās.

Adıpurāna (U.)-B. V. vol. VI (1945) pp. 60-73 (H. postulates an earlier and a later version). Vāyu 104.7 mentions an Adika among the 18 principal puranas (including the Brahma). Alberuni's list (which mixes up Purānas and Upapurāṇas) mentions an Adipurana; an Adipurana is published by the Venk, Press in 29 chapters. H. in 'Studies &c.' vol. I. pp. 279-303 deals at length with this and tries to establish that there was an earlier Adi Purāna of which no mss. are yet available (p. 211) and holds that it is to be dated between 1203 to 1525 A.D. ('Studies' p. 288). Adi and Adya mean the same thing. But Kullūka on Manu II. 54 quotes some verses from Adi which are ascribed to Brāhma by G. R. p. 314. The digests make a confusion between Adi and Adityapurāna. Vide H. in 'Studies' vol. I. pp. 302-303. The printed one is a late compilation and none of the verses quoted by Laksmidhara and Apararka occur therein (vide 'Studies' vol. I pp. 286-289).

Adityapurāna—Mentioned by Matsya 53.62 as U. and Alberuni (Sachau I. p 130, 229, 248); quoted by Krtyakalpataru on Rājadharma (about 2 verses), Dāna (about 125), Śrāddha (about 20), Vrata (about 22); the Sm. C. quotes many verses on Ahnika and Śrāddha from both Ādi and Āditya and quotes both

separately on 'sauca' on the same page (Ip. 94). Aparārka also quotes many verses from both Adi and Aditya. The Dānasāgara quotes about forty verses from both the Adi and Aditya purānas.

Ekāmra (a work of Orissa).—H in P. O. vol. 16 pp. 70-76 and 'Studies &c.' vol. I. p. 341 (assigns it to 10th or 11th century A. D.)

Kālıkā — (an U. in 93 chapters pr by Venk. Press. Bombay); vide H in A.B O R.I vol. XXII pp. 1-23; Sharma in I. H. Q. vol. 23 pp 322-326 (holding that Kälikä was completed during the reign of king Dharmapāla of Kāmarūpa); H. in B V. vol. 16 (1956) pp 35-40 questions the view of Sharma: 'Date of Kālıkā' by Prof. Gode in J.O.R. (Madras) vol. X. pp. 289-294; Dr. Raghavan in J. O. R. (Madras) vol XII. pp. 331-360 (shows that there are three separate recensions of the Purana). H. distinguishes between an earlier version and the extant one and places the latter in the 10th or 11th century, on the words of Matsya 53. 60-64 'tad-etebhyo vinirgatam', the Kalpataru explains 'vinirgatam' as 'udbhūtam' (sprung from) and gives the Kālikā as an illustration (Brahmacāri p 30) of an Upapurana sprung from Mahapuranas, the Kalpataru quotes from it about 100 verses on vrata, on dana, 14 on grhastha, 12 on vyavahāra (about witnesses and ordeals), 5 each on niyatakāla and tirtha and 2 on brahmacarin: Apararka quotes it on pp. 15, 226, 377 (15 verses on marriage and house settlements for brāhmanas), 924; the Sm. C II, p. 442 quotes one verse from 1t on śrāddha. The Dānasāgara quotes many verses from it. The Venk ed mentions Visnudharmottara in chap 91, 70 and 92. 2 14146; the extant Kälikä would have to be placed before 1000 A D. Vide p 809 n 1317 above for the Kālikā saying that the howl of a female tackal is auspicious

. Kalkipurāna—Vide H. in 'Studies &c' vol I. pp 303-303 There are three editions, all from Calcutta, H remarks that it is a late work not quoted by any writer (p 308) and that it is not to be placed later than the 18th century A. D

Kūrma—(Venk. Press ed.); is divided into Pūrvārdha (53 chapters) and Uttarārdha (46 chapters); vide H in 'Purānas

¹⁴¹⁴ b. कालिमा 192 2 says विष्णुधर्मोत्तरे तन्त्रे बाहुल्यं सर्वत. एन । ब्रह्मवस्त्र सदान्वारो ब्रह्मवास्त्रे मसादतः ॥ and then narrates the story of वेताल and भेरा who required a son.

in history of Smrti' I. C. vol. I. pp. 587-614 and in 'Smrti chapters of Kürma' in I. H. Q. vol. XI, pp. 265-286 and P.R.H.R. pp 57-75. H. holds that it was originally a Pancaratra work, but was altered to make it a Pāšupata one. In several passages the Kūrma speaks of God as one (II. 11. 112-115), but divided into two, Nārāyana and Brahmā (in I 9.40) or as Visnu and Siva (in I 2, 95) or in three (as in I, 10, 77). The Sm. C. I. p. 199 quotes verses from I 2 94, 95, 97-99 which allow a person to worship either Visnu with the mantra (Rg I. 22, 20 or X, 90) or Siva with Rudragayatri, Rudras (Tai. S. IV. 5, 1-11) or 'Tryambakam' (Rg VII 59 12, Tai S. I 8. 6. 2.) or with 'Om namah Śivāya'. The Sm C quotes from Kūrma about 94 verses on āhnika and 19 on śrāddha In I 1. 21-22 it states that there were four samhitās of the Purāna viz Brāhmī, Bhāgavatī, Saurī and Vaisnavi and that the present one is the Brāhmi in 6000 verses, the Naradiya (I. 106 1-22) furnishes a summary of the other three samhitas. Padma (Pātālakhanda 102. 41-42)1114c expressly names the Kūrma and quotes a verse from it. Kalpataru (on śraddha p. 119) quotes two verses from it. Aparārka (pp 201, 204, 207) quotes three verses from Kūrma (all in relation to fast).

Ganeśapur āṇa — Vide H. in JGJRI. vol. 9 pp. 79-99.

Garuda—Vide p. 769 above for Ballālasena discarding it; H in ABORI vol 19 pp 69-79, PRHR pp. 141-145; A. P. Karmarkar on 'Brhaspatinītisāra' in Siddha-bhāratī vol. I. pp 239-240; Dr. L S Sternbach in ABORI. vol. 37 pp. 63-110 on 'Cānakyarājanītisāstra and Brhaspatī-samhitā of Garuda-purāna'; the Garuda is quoted by the Sm C. II. p. 357 (on Ekādasī); vide H. of Dh. vol. I. pp. 173-175 which show how the Garuda either reproduces or summarizes verses from Yāj. I. and III.; chap 107 of the present Garuda gives a summary of the Parāšarasmrti in 39 verses (vide H. of Dh vol. I. p. 191). The extant Garuda will have to be placed not later than 950 A D and not earlier than the 6th century A. D.

Devipus $\bar{a}na$ —(U.) Vide H. in NIA vol. V. pp. 2-20 (assigning it to the latter half of the 7th century A. D.). Vide p 769 above

¹⁴¹⁴ c कौर्म समस्तपापानां नाक्षनं शिवभक्तिदम्। इदं पद्यं च शुश्राव प्रराणक्षेन भाषितम्। ब्रह्महा नद्यपः त्तेनस्तयेन गुक्तल्पग् । नौर्म पुराणं श्चलेन सुन्यते पातकात्ततः॥ पद्म (पातालखण्ड 102, 41-42),

for the reasons for which the Danasagara refused to utilize 1415 it: it is quoted by Bhujabala-nibandha (about 1040-50 A. D.) how Sankranti when occurring at different parts of the day affects different people. 1416 Kalpataru quotes Devipurāpa in several kāndas viz. about 210 verses in Rājadharma (88 verses on fortified capital), 37 verses on worship of Devl on Asvina sukla 9 with the bali of goats and buffaloes, 52 on raising a banner in honour of Devl, 10 verses on gayotsarga on Kārtika-amāvāsyā &c; in Vrata-kānda about 80 verses (on Durgāstami, 25 verses on Nandāvrata, 44 verses with a prose passage): 245 verses on dana (such as 28 on Tiladhenu and Ghrtadhenu pp 147-151, 56 verses on Vidyadana pp. 201-207, 98 verses on the construction of kūpa, vāpi, dirohikā &c. pp. 289-299, 27 verses on laying out a park and planting trees pp. 300-303, 10 verses on building a rest-house for ascetics &c. pp. 312-313); in Tirthakānda 103 verses (20 verses on śrāddha at a tirtha without examining character and learning of brahmanas). Nivatakālakānda quotes 30 verses: Brahmacāri-kānda quotes only a few: Grhasthakanda quotes 6 verses: Śrāddhakanda p. 21 quotes only one verse on Maghāśrāddha. Aparārka quotes about 34 verses (3 of which on qualifications of a sthapaka are interesting, p. 16), as they require that he should possess a knowledge of the Vāma and Daksina paths, of the Mātr cult, of Pancarātra and Śaiva śāstras.

Devibhāgavata (pr. by Venk, Press in 12 skandhas)—Vide H. in JOR (Madras) vol. 21 pp. 49-79 (tries to prove that it is younger than the Bhāgavata); 'Devi-bhāgavata and Bhāgavata' by Mr. Tadpatrikar in ABORI vol. 23 pp. 559-562, IHQ vol. 27 pp. 191-196 (Mr Ramchandran says that the relief in Deogarh of Nara-Nārāyana is based on Devibhāgavata IV. 5-10), H in IHQ vol. 29 pp. 387-392 does not agree with Mr. Ramchandran.

Nandipurāna (U). Vide H. on 'Brhan-nandikeśvara and Nandikeśvara' in Dr. B. C. Law Presentation vol. part II. pp. 415-419 and in JGJRI vol. II pp. 305-320; Prof. Rangaswami Aiyangar in NIA vol. IV. pp. 157-161 on Nandipurāna (holds that the original Purāna is probably lost, that verses quoted from it by Laksmīdhara are almost all on gifts of various kinds).

¹⁴¹⁵ Vide above p. 328 for Nandāvrata for the mulamantra and p 425 of the Vratakānda (of Kalpataru) for other Sākta mantras

¹⁴¹⁶ पूर्वोद्धे पीड्यते राजा मध्याद्धे न्व द्विजोत्तमाः। अवराद्धे यथा वैश्याः शूद्धास्त्रामिते रवौ॥ अजनल p. 337 quoting द्वेवीयराण

Kalnataru on dana quotes over 200 verses from it on dana (of which 140 are on Vidyadana pp. 207-222, 12 verses on Arogyadana, which provide for the establishment of an hospital with a physician knowing the eight angas of avurveda and provided with drugs &c). Apararka (pp. 396-403) quotes about 100 verses on vidyadāna agreeing with those in Kalpataru and also quotes (pp 365-366) the same verses on Arogyadana; Kalpataru on Niyatakāla quotes 13 verses from this purāna advocating total abstention from flesh-eating (p. 323) and abstaining from flesh at least on the 4th, 8th. 12th 14th and 15th tithis, on Sadasīti and sun's passage from one rāśi to another &c. (pp. 353-360). As this is one of the four upapuranas expressly named by the Matsya, as Alberuni mentions a Nanda-purana which (appears to be the same as Nandi) and as it is largely quoted by Laksmidhara. Aparārka and Dānasāgara it is one of the earliest Upapurānas and may have been composed in the 8th or 9th century A.D.

Narasimhapurāna (or Nīrsimha). This U. has been dealt with above on pp. 878-882. Kalpataru on vrata quotes 29 verses from it (22 on Ganesacaturthi pp. 84-87 almost all of which are found in the current work, chap. 26. 2-20); Kalpataru on Tirtha quotes 66 verses from it, almost all of which are in chap. 65.2-31, 66. 1-9, 20-45 of the current work; Kalpataru on Niyatakāla quotes 65 verses almost all of which are found in chap 58; Kalpataru on Moksa quotes 57 verses, which can be traced in chapters 17, 58, 59, 60, 61; the Dānakānda of Kalpataru quotes 13 verses (found in chap. 30 27 ff.), and Brahmacārikānda quotes four verses; Aparārka quotes about 40 verses from Nrsimha, of which 16 concern yatı (pp. 951, 965 from chap. 60. 12 ff.), 17 verses deal (p. 140) with arghya to the Sun (from 58.91–93) by the employment of the 16 verses of the Purusasūkta for nyāsa on the different parts of the body and also the sixteen (from chap. 62. 5-14) upacāras from āvāhana (invoking to come) to visarjana (bidding adieu) in worship, 5 verses on Narasimha-pūjā with the mantra 'Om namo Nārāyanāya' (63. 3 and 6). Out of $3\frac{1}{2}$ verses on p. 125 dealing with evening $sandhyar{a}$ and homa attributed to this Purana two are the same as Daksa-smrti (II. 28-29). Sm. C. quotes 27 verses on Ahnika from Narasimha. It appears that both Kalpataru and Aparārka had a much longer version before them than the present purana. It may be noted that Ksemaka, who was the last king of the Aila-vaméa (acc. to Vāyu 99. 432 and others), is described in the Narasimha-purāna (chap. 23) as the son of Naravāhana and grand-son of the famous ancient couple

Udayana and Visavadatti The extant puring may be assigned to about 9th century A. D.

Näradapuränä-(Vonk. Prost) Vide H. in I C. vol. III. p. 477-488, PRHR pp. 127-133 on Brhan-naradiya and Naradiya' and 'Studies &c.' vol. I pp 309-315 The Brhannaradiya was published by the Calcutta Asiatic Society and by the Vangavisi Press in 38 chapters and about 3:00 verses II, holds that the Brhan-naradiya is a purely sectarian Vaisnava work. is wanting in the characteristics of a purana, that the Noradiya noticed by the Matsya (53, 23 as containing 23000 verses and in which Narada proclaimed the dharmas of Bihatkalpa) and by the Agni (272, 8) is different from the present N ir idiya and that the extant Naradiya borrows from the Brhan-naradiya (pp. 336-311 of 'Studies &c' vol. I). The Naradiya (Venk. Press) is divided into two parts, the first in 125 chapters and the 2nd in 82 chapters (and about 5513 versus in all). Out of the 5513 verses of the 2nd part 3100 deal with various tirthas and most of the remaining are concerned with the story of Rukmingada and Mohini. The first part deals with several matters such as praise of Visnu and bhaktı, Geography of India, story of Sagara, Bhagiratha and Ganga-miliatmya, a few viates, Varradharma, Asramadharma, patakas, adācara and sriddha. Nāradiya I, 9.10 has a verse which echoes a Kirātārpiniya versetiti and proclaims that a brahmana who enters a Bauddha temple even in a great calamity cannot get rid of the sin by hundreds of expiations, since the Bauddhas are heretics and revilors of 1418 The first part speaks of Vaisnavagama (37, 1) and Pañcarātra procedure (53.9). The Sm. C. quotes many verses from the extant Niradiva on Ekidasi and the story of Mohini. One characteristic passage may be quoted here. 1119 Rukmingada proclaimed that any person more than eight years of age and below 80 years who would cat food on the day of Visnu in his kingdom would be liable to corporal punishment, fine or banishment. Aparārka also (p. 205) quotes two verses about fast on

^{1417.} अविवेको हि सर्थेपानापदा परमं पदम् । नारदीय I 9 50, compare 'सहसा विद्यति न क्रियामनिके परमापदा पदम् ।' किराताः II 30

^{1418.} चोद्धालय निशेयस्तु नदापयपि वै द्विजः। न तस्य निष्ठतिर्देश यायश्चित्तशतैरपि 'भौद्धा पाखण्डिन योक्ता यतो वेदनिनिन्दकाः॥ नास्त्रीय 1 15 50-52

¹⁴¹⁹ अद्ययाधिको नर्स्य अशीतिं नात्पूर्यते (१)। यो भुक्ते नानके राष्ट्रे निष्णोरहनि पाप-कृत्। स मे वश्यश्य दण्डवश्य निर्मास्यो निषयाद्विहि ॥ नारदीयपु॰ व ।क स्मृतिच II p 355. ın the printed नारद्व (उत्तरदाण्ड) chap 23 41 the words are 'यो न कुर्याद्वची मेग्र भूम्पे विष्णागतिपदम् । स मे दण्डवश्य वश्यश्य निर्मास्यो निषयाद् प्राम्॥ १

Ekādašī. The above circumstances lead to the conclusion that the present Nāradīya was compiled between 700 and 1000 A. D.

Padmapurāna--H. in I C. vol. IV. pp 73-95, Mr. M. V. Vaidva in Kane presentation vol. pp. 530-537 (holds that the tirthavātrā section of Padma is older than the tirthavātrā section in the Mahābhārata), Dr. Belvalkar in F. W. Thomas Festschrift (pp. 19-28) holds that Padma is based on the Mahābhārata: Prof. Luders tried to prove that the Rsvasrnga legend in Padma is older than the same legend in the Mahābhārata (vide I. H Q, vol. XX. p. 209 for Luder's view); H. in PRHR. (pp. 107-129) states that there are two recensions of the Padma the North Indian and the South Indian, that the former is in five kandas and the latter in six, that the South Indian recension alone is published in the Anandasrama and Venk. Press editions. though the arrangement differs in the two editions. H. in PRHR (p. 126) states that the Uttara-kānda (of the Padma) is later than 900 A.D. and earlier than 1500 A.D. One remarkable fact is that hundreds of verses are common to Matsya and Padma and some writers like Hemādri quote long extracts from the Padma, which others quote from the Matsya. In view of the vast smrti material in the Matsya and the fact that thousands of verses therefrom are quoted in the medieval nibandhas the present writer is inclined to hold that it is the Padma that is the borrower. There are no materials to assign a definite date for the borrowing, but it is likely that it was before 1000 A.D. Padma (IV. 102. 40-41 and IV. 110. 483) mentions the Kurma (and a verse is quoted from it) and IV. 5. 32-43 contain such alankāras as Ślesa and Parisankhyā The Kalpataru quotes from Padma about 55 verses on vrata, 50 on niyatakāla, 50 on dāna, 12 on tīrtha, only a verse here and there on other kāndas. Aparārka quotes only about 12 verses from it, the Smrticandrikā only about 25. In the Anandāśrama edition of Padma there are 48452 verses and 628 chapters. It speaks of the Asvattha as Bodhisattva (Srstikhanda 55. 16) and mentions a place called Vanasthala in Gurjaradeśa (II. 51. 36–37).

Brahmapurāna—The one printed at the Ānandāśrama appears to be a late compilation. Vide H. on 'Apocryphal Brahmapurāna' in I C II. pp. 235—245 and PRHR pp. 145—157. H. states that numerous quotations from the Brahma occurring in the works of Jīmūtavāhana, Aparārka, Ballālasena, Devannabhatta and Haradatta are not found in the printed Brahma, that the current Brahma borrows chapters from the Mahābhārata, Visnu, Vāyu and Mārkandeya and that the present Brahma

was composed between 10th century and 12th century A.D. H. Otto Schrader states that chapters 236-244 of the present Brahma dealing with Sānkhya and Yoga are borrowed from the Mahābhārata (I C vol. II pp 592-93). The Dānasāgara refers to two Brahmapurānas one of which it did not utilize (p 7 verse 63) The Kalpataru quotes at least about 1500 verses from the Brahmapurāna (600 in nivatakūla section, 66 on tīrtha, 60 on moksa, 78 on rājadharma, 21 on grhastha, 20 on vyavahāra, verses 15 on vrata, 15 on brahmacarın of which the editor has traced only 9 in the printed text) The Kalpataru on śrāddha guotes several hundred verses from the Brahma, the number of quotations being larger than the quotations from any other Purana, the next being Vāyu and Matsya On p 388 of the śrāddha section the Kalpataru quotes from Brahma-purāna a few verses about showing honour to Buddha and Bauddha monks on a certain The printed Brahma contains 245 chapters and 13783 verses Chapters 70-175 deal with various tirthas in 4640 verses, chapters 28 to 69 dilate upon several tirthas such as Konāditya. Ekāmra, Avanti, Purusottama-tīrtha. The whole purāna or at least a section seems to come to an end with chapter 175 and from chapter 176 it is Vāsudevamāhātmya that is set forth up to chap 213 and the narrator is Vyāsa and not Brahmā as in the chapters up to 175 Many of the verses from chapters 42 onwards are quoted by the Tirthacintamani (e.g. chap. 43. 1-13 in T. C. p 58-59, chap 45, 52-89 in T. C. pp. 61-64, chap 49 in T. C pp 65-72) As Vācaspati flourished in the latter half of the 15th century A.D. (vide H of Dh, vol. I. p 405), the first part of the present Brahmapurana cannot be placed later than the 13th century A. D. The present Brahma has several verses in common with Brahmanda and Vayu (vide H. of Dh. vol IV. p 388 n 870) It is not unlikely that the other Brahmapurana which Ballalasena discarded is the present Brahmapurana and that both Kalpataru and Ballalasena had an older work of the same name before them The present Brahma was probably compiled in some part through which the Godavari (Gautami) flows in Dandakāranya; chap 88 18 123 117 and 129. 55 state that Dandakāranya is the holiest country and that the river Godavari flows through it (chap 129 62, 66); chap 88 22-24 derive Janasthana on the Gautami as the sacrificial ground of the kings of the Janaka race

Brahmatatta—A huge work printed by the Anandasrama, Poona, in four khandas (parts) viz. Brahma, Prakrti.

Ganapati and Krsnajanma. It has some chapters on Dharmaśāstra topics, such as on castes, gifts, vratas, hells, duties of varnas and āśramas, women. Many of the verses quoted from this Purāna in the Sm. C., Hemādri and other writers are not found in the current Purāna Wilson, in the Introduction to the Visnu, says (pp. LXV-LXVII) that it has not the slightest title to be called a Purāna. Vide H. in ABORI vol. XIX pp 75-76 and PRHR pp. 166-167.

Brahmanda (pub. by Venk. Press). It is divided into four pādas (I. 1. 35-39) viz. Prakriyā (5 chapters), Anusanga (33 chap.), Upodghāta (74 chap.), Upasamhāra (4 chap) followed by Lalitopakhyana in 40 chapters. The Kürma expressly 1420 states that the Brahmanda was narrated to the sages engaged in a sattra in the Naimisa forest and the Skanda (Prabhāsa-khanda 2. 8-9) states there was formerly only one Purana called Brahmanda containing one hundred crores of verses and that later it was distributed into 18 parts. It was probably composed near the rise of the Godavari, since it says that that part of the country towards the northern ranges of the Sahva mountain where the Godāvarī rises is the most charming in the whole world and that there a city called Govardhana was founded by Rāma. 1421 In the first two parts it deals with the subjects of creation, the geography of the earth and of Bhāratavarsa, and the manyantaras, pupils of Vyāsa, the distribution of the Veda Sakhās &c. The third section is the longest and after mentioning Vaivasvata Manyantara and, after dilating upon the creation of gods, asuras, gandharvas, sages and their progeny, it deals at length with all aspects of śrāddha (in chap. 9-20 and 879 verses), describes Parasurāmā's austerities, his securing of weapons, his slaughter of Kārtavīrya and ksatriyas, filling five lakes with their blood (in chap. 21-47 and over 1550 verses); then follow in chapters 48-57 the story of Sagara and the bringing down of the Ganges by Bhagiratha, the protection of Gokarna from the sea, and the story of Śūrpāraka (chap. 57 and 58), the dynasties of the solar and lunar race (chap. 59ff); then it speaks of Dhanvantari receiving from Bhāradvāja Āyurveda in eight angas; in part IV. it refers to Manus, jñāna, karma, moksa &c.

^{1420.} अञ्च पूर्वे स भगवानृषीणा सञ्जमासताम् । स वै प्रोवान्त ब्रह्माण्डं पुराणं ब्रह्म-भावितम्॥ कूर्म II. 43. 14.

^{1421.} सहास्य न्वोत्तरान्तेषु यत्र गोदावरी नदी। पृथिव्यामपि कुत्स्नायां स प्रदेशी मनोरमः। तत्र गोवर्धनं नाम पुर रामेण निर्मितम्। ब्रह्माण्ड II 16 43-44 For गोवर्धन vide H. of Dh vol. IV p. 710 n 1618

The Brahmanda is one of the oldest of the 18 Puranas and has hundreds of verses in common with the Vavu. Vide above p. 852. The Mit on Yaj quotes a verse from the Brahmanda 1122 stating that a person, on touching Saivas, Pāsupatas, Lokāyatikas and atheists, persons of the three varnas following forbidden paths and sudras, should bathe with the clothes on. Apararka quotes about 75 verses from it of which 43 are concerned with śraddha. The Sm. C quotes about 50 verses on Ahnika and Śrāddha, of which ten occur also in Aparārka The Kalpataru does not quote a single verse from it in the sections on vrata, grhastha, tirtha, rājadharma, but quotes 16 verses from it on srāddha, and 16 on moksa, none from Niyata and from Vyavahāra. If we may judge from some of the matters noted here it cannot be placed as early as the Matsva. In III 48 8 and 20 it employs long compounds, 1423 mentions Bhimasena and Nārada 1421 as writers on music (III 61, 42-43), contains a chapter (III, 62) on Gandharya, refers to the opinions of former ācāryas, to 30 alankāras of nātya and four purposes of such alankaras (chap. 62, verse 22). It may be placed between the 4th and 6th century A D. For discussion on Brahmanda, vide Pargiter in AIHT pp. 23, 77 and H. in PRHR (pp 17-19). The Brahmanda is very fond of etymologies, vide for example, those of varsya and śūdra (H 7 157-158), of deva, manusya-prais, raksas and yaksa (II. 8 9-10, 20, 34), of Tryambaka and Rudra (II. 9 3-4 and 78), of rajan (in II 29 64), of Vasudha, Medini and Prthivi (II 37. 1-3), of Atri, Vasistha, Pulaha and Pulastya (III. 1. 44-46), of Kubera (III. 8 44-45).

Brhad-dharma-purāna (U)—Vide H. in J. of University of Gauhati and 'Studies &c' vol. I. pp 115 and 277. It is a work of Bengal of the 13th or 14th century A D

Bhavisyapurāna—Accounts of the contents of the Bhavisya in Matsya 53 30-31, Agni 272 12 and Nāradiya I 100 do not tally with the printed Bhavisya (Venk ed). It is divided into four partans viz Brāhma, Madhyama, Pratisarga and Uttara. It is only the Brāhmaparva that can claim an early date The Pratisarga-parva is a modern fabrication containing stories of

¹⁴²² हैवान् पाछुपतान् सृष्ट्वा होकायतिकनास्तिकान् । विकर्मस्यान् द्विजान् सूझान् सवासा जलमानिकेत् ॥ मिता॰ ०० या III. 309, स्यतिच I. p. 118

^{1423.} तस्यायेसरसैन्ययूथन्वरणमञ्जूणाशैलोद्ययक्षोद्दापूरितनिम्नभागमवनीपालस्य सया-स्यत.। ब्रह्माण्ड III. 48 8.

^{1424.} Vide नाट्यशास्त्र 32. 484 'गान्धर्वमेतत्काथित मया हि पूर्व युदुक्त लिह नारदेन.'

Adam and Eve, Prthvīrāja, and Samyogitā, the mlecchas of Dehali, Rāmānuja, Kabīr, Naraśrī (Narsi?), Nānak, Caitanya, Nityānanda, Raidās, Madhvācārya, Bhattoji &c The Bhavisyottara is discarded by Ballālasena as unauthoritative, though it was popular in his day (vide. p 869 above). Aparārka quotes 160 verses from Bhavisyottara on dānas of various kinds The Sm. C. (I p. 203) quotes a single verse from the same. Hence the Bhavisyottara cannot be later than 1000 A D The Kalpataru quotes hundreds of verses from Bhavisya on vratas and many verses in other kāndas, e. g. 55 in brahmacāri, 110 on niyatakāla, 101 on grhastha, 100 on rājadharma, 15 on śrāddha. The Mit. quotes 1425 a single verse from Bhavisya about donating a golden image of a snake when a man is bitten by a snake. Aparārka quotes about 125 verses from Bhavisya, of which about 90 relate to prāyaścittas.

One remarkable feature of the extracts from Bhavisya in Aparārka is that they quote the views of Angiras, Gautama, Parāsara, Manu, Vasistha and Śankha. There are several passages quoted by Aparārka from the Bhavisya which approximately indicate the age of the current Bhavisya. 1426 It also refers to eight Vyākaranas in I. 1. 59-61 viz. Brāhma, Aindra, Yāmya, Raudra, Vāyavya, Vāruna, Sāvitra and Vaisnava. But these are different from the well-known eight grammars (except Aindra). It mentions the foreign words Āra (Mars) and Kona (Saturn) 1427 and states these planets are to be worshipped along with Śiva, Pārvatī, Ganeśa, the Sun &c. In prescribing a prāyaścitta for killing a person who is merely a brāhmana by caste the Bhavisya prescribes (as one of the alternatives) the prāyaścitta prescribed by Parāśara. Therefore, the extant Bhavisya

¹⁴²⁵ सर्पर्दशनिमित्त सौवर्णनागदानं प्रतिक्वतिरूपेण भविष्यपुराणे सुमन्तुनाभिहितस् । सुवर्णभारनिष्पन्नं नागं कृत्वा तथैव गाम् । व्यासाय दस्ता विधिवस्पितुराष्ट्रण्यमाप्नुयात्॥ । इति । भिता॰ on या III. 6

^{1426.} अत एव'''स्मृतयः षद्जिंशदेवाभिहिताः। मन्वादिस्मृतयो यास्तु षद्जिंशत्परि-कीर्तिताः। तासां वाक्यानि क्रमशः समासेन व्यविमिते॥ अपरार्कः p 7. इन्द्रश्चन्द्रः काश-कृत्सनापिशली शाकटायनः। पाणिन्यमरजैनेन्द्रा जयन्त्यद्यदिशान्दिकाः॥. Compare यश्-स्तिलक I. p 90 न कैश्विदैन्द्रजैनेन्द्रन्वान्द्रापिशालि-पाणिन्यान्यनेकन्याकरणोपदिश्यमान् &c.

¹⁴²⁷ व्योमकेशं तु सम्पूज्य पाँवतीं भीमजं तथा। क्रुष्णस्य पितरं तात अर्कमारं सितं तथा। धिषण क्रेद्रपुत्रं च कोण लक्ष्म च भारत। अपरार्क p. 564

¹⁴²⁸ जातिमार्च यदा हन्याद् ब्राह्मणो ब्राह्मणं ग्रह । '' प्रायश्चित्त तदा कुर्यादिद पाप-विद्युद्धये । धनं वा जीवनायालं ग्रहं वा सपरिच्छदम् । यहा प्राशरोक्तेन मायश्चित्तेन शुध्यति । अपरार्क्ष p. 1061, who then sets out ten verses from प्राशरस्त्रति, all of which except three occur in the प्राशरस्मृति chap XII pp 50-51 of Jivananda's ed.

cannot be placed earlier than about the 6th or 7th century A. D. Vide H. in I C. vol. III. pp 223–229 and PRHR pp. 167–173 for Bhavisya and JOI (Baroda) vol III. pp 8–27 for Bhavisyottara. The Bhavisya mentioned in Vāyu (99. 267 tān sarvān kīrta-yisyāmi. Bhavisye pathitān nrpān i tebhyah pare ca ye cānye utpatsyante mahīksitah ii) is not the present one but the ancient Bhavisyat mentioned by Ap Dh. S. (vide p. 817 and n 1328 above). The Varāhapurāna expressly mentions Bhavisyat purāna twice (177. 34 and 51) 1429 The second reference is quite interesting. It appears to say 1429 a that the Purāna well-known as Bhavisya was revised by Sāmba who then established an image of the Sun.

Bhāgavatapurāna. None of the early works such as the Mit., Aparārka, Kalpataru, the Smiticandrikā draw upon this. The Danasagara knew it, but, as the Bhagavata did not contain a disquisition on danas, the author passed it over. Its date is very controversial, ranging from the 5th century A.D. to the 10th. Dr. Pusalkar (in 'Studies in Epics and Puranas' 1953, pp. 214-216) brings together most of the papers on this subject; Shri S. S. Sastri in ABORI vol 14 pp. 241-249 on 'the two Bhagavatas' claims that the Devibhagavatapurana is earlier than the Bhagavata. while H in JOR (Madras) vol. 21 (pp. 48-79) takes the opposite view, viz. that the Devibhagavata is much younger than the Bhīgavata. In 'Date of the Bhāgavata-purāna' by B. N. Krishnamürti Sharma in ABORI, vol 14 pp 182-218 it is argued that the Bhāgavata is as old as the 5th century A. D. and he cites in support certain passages from the Moksadharma of the Mahābhārata (Kumbhakonam edition), but the critical edition from Poona treats those passages as apocryphal. Prof. Das-Gupta in the 4th volume of his 'Indian Philosophy' deals with this Purana, but his views are criticized in JBRS vol. 36 pp. 9-50. Vide H. in NIA vol. I pp. 522-528. The Padma part VI. (chapter 189-194) contains a Māhātmya of Bhagavatapurāna in 518

^{1429. &#}x27;भविष्यरपुराणमिति ख्यात कुत्वा पुनर्नवम् । साम्य सूर्यपतिष्ठा च कारयामास तत्त्ववित् ॥ वराह् 177, 51 The Venk ed. reads (177 55) 'भविष्यमिति विख्यात क्यात etc '

¹⁴²⁹ a. The Varāha mentions (178. 5-7) three temples of the Sun, one to the south of the Yamunā, the 2nd in the middle called Kālapriya and the third to the west at Mūlasthāna (modern Multān). The Bhavisya mentions three important places of sun-worship (viz Mundīra, Kālapriya and Mitravana). I agree with Mr. Dilipkumar Bisvas that Mundīra is modern Modhera in North Gujerat (vide p. 30 of summary of Proceedings of 15th Indian History Congress) where there has been a sun temple for about a thousand years.

verses. The author of the Purāna is said to be a native of the Tamil country by Mr. M. R. Majumdar in IHQ vol. 8. pp. 49-53. The present writer thinks that it is a late Purāna, since even in the Moksakānda (of Kalpataru) it is not cited, while about 300 verses are cited from the Visnupurāna in that kānda alone. Vide note 1604 below. No reliable and cogent evidence has been adduced to prove that the current Bhāgavata can be placed earlier than the 9th century A. D.

Matsyapurāna (Anandāśrama ed.) It has 291 chapters and 14062 verses. It is one of the ancient Purānas and has perhaps the largest number of smrti chapters among Purānas. Many verses of the Manusmrti and the Mahābhārata occur in it Some verses of Yāj. also occur in Matsya e g Yāj. I 295 is Matsya 93. 2, Yāj II 279. 295-6 and 303 are the same as Matsya 227 200, 202-203 and 204 It appears that the Matsya holds the balance between Siva and Visnu. It glorifies not only Visnu in the Matsya avatāra but devotes 1570 verses to the slaughter of Tārakāsura and 623 to the destruction of Tripura, both by Siva. The Vāmanapurāna (12 48) speaks of it as the principal among Purānas

The Mit on Yāj. I. 297 expressly quotes all the nine verses of chap 94 of the Matsya (about the form of the images of the planets) and two verses from chap 93 (11-12) about the position to be assigned to each with white grains of rice in a mandala The Kalpataru quotes hundreds of verses from Matsya on vrata, quotes about 750 on dana from Matsya (all of which except 20 are identified in the present Matsya by the editor); about 410 on Rājadharmakānda, 157 verses on tīrtha (of which 100 are identified by the editor in the Matsya), 115 verses in Grhasthakānda, 112 verses on śrāddha, about 67 on niyatakāla (all except 12 identified), 18 in vyavahārakānda, 6 in brahmacāri and 2 in moksa, in all about 2000 verses Aparārka quotes about 400 verses from Matsya (about 250 being on dana). It is not necessary after the preceding statement to go into the large number of verses quoted in Dānasāgara, Smrti-candrikā and Hemādri. It may be said without fear of contradiction that long before 1000 A D the Matsya-purāna had the same arrangement and presented almost the same appearance as at present. This is more than what can be said about the other Puranas except Visnu, Vāyu, probably Bhavisya (I), Mārkandeya.

In the present author's opinion the Matsya is among the best preserved and the earliest of the 18 Purānas It may be

dated between 200 A D, to 400 A.D. The author does not wish to deny that a few verses here and there might have been interpolated at a later date.

Vide H. on the dates of the smrti chapters of Matsya in ABORI vol. 17 pp. 1-33 and PRHR pp. 26-52 and Prof. Ramchandra Dikshitar on 'Matsyapurana, a study' (Madras. 1935. pp. 1-140). Four yerses from a Syalpa-matsya-purana are quoted in the Pitrdavita (p 92) of Aniruddha (about 1160 A.D.) and there is a paper thereon by Shri Manoranjana Shastri in J. G. J. R. I vol. IX pp. 183-188. Matsya and Padma (as stated before) agree verbatim in many chapters on tirthas and vratas. Śankarācārya quotes a verze from Paurānikas which is Matsya 1420 Among the sages to whom water is offered in tarpana are included (by Matsya) Kapila, Asuri, Vodhu and Pañcasikha. The first two and the last are mentioned in the Sänkhyakarika as the three great founders of the Sankhya system. Vararuci is said to be a profound scholar of Nitya-veda. In chap. 24 the Purana refers to the fact that the Apsaras Urvasi and her friend Citralekhā were kidnapped by a demon called Kesin, who was ranguished by Pururayas and Urvasi was released and was given by Indra to Pururayas. While she was acting the part of Laksmi in a drama called Laksmi-syayamyara composed by Bharata, she, being engrossed in her passion for Pururayas. forgot the proper abhinaya taught by Bharata and was curred by Bharata to be a creeper It is difficult to say whether the Matsya got its inspiration for this story from the Vikramorvasiya or whether the great poet Kalidasa was influenced by the story in the Matsya. The story in Matsya and in Kälidäsa's drama agree very closely as regards names and incidents Matsya saya (24, 24) that for vanquishing Kesin Pururavas employed Vayavya-astra The drama also mentions it (I.).

^{1430,} नया चाहः पीराणिकाः । अचिन्थाः प्रजु पं भावा न तांम्करेण याजयेन् । अञ्चिन्थः पर यद्म नद्दिन्धस्य लक्षणम् ॥ इत्राचार्यं ०० वं. स् 11. 1. 27 This is महस्य 113 6 This verse occurs also in भीतमप्रवे 5. 12 but there the reading is माध्येन् for याजयेन. Besides, the word पीराणिक (प्राणमधीने इति पीराणिकः acc. to पाणिति IV. 2. 59) suggests that the इंट्रिय्य refers to a प्राण and not to the महाभारत. क्रियल्खासुरिखेंव बोन्डः पञ्चित्रस्त्रया। मंत्रं ने तृतिमापान्त सञ्चेनाम्ब्रनापिलाः ॥ महस्य 102 13 quoted in स्मृतिच. 1, 193. The माध्यकारिका ध्वप्र at the end ' एनस्पित्रसम्य मुनिरासुर्येऽनुक्रस्यया प्रस्त्रां। आसुर्तिने पश्चित्रप्राय नेन च बहुधा छव वन्त्रस् ण, द्वांच्या उरम्बिक्षेत्र नाह्यवेदन्य पारगः। मनस्य 10, 25; लक्ष्मीम्बयारं नाम भरतेन प्राणित्म । मन्स्य 24, 28.

The only points of difference are: in the drama Laksmi-syayamvara is said to have been composed by Sarasvatī, while Matsya does not say so. Besides, the Purana says that Urvasi was cursed by Bharata to be a creeper, while Kalidasa says nothing of the kind and shows that her being reduced to the condition of a creeper was due to Kumāra (Kārtikeya). The decision depends on the exact dating of the Matsya from other evidence I am inclined to believe that Kālidāsa was familiar with the episode related in the Matsya There are scholars who believe that Kālidāsa flourished under Vikramaditya about 57 B C The present writer does not subscribe to this belief. There is hardly any reliable or positive evidence to show that a powerful ruler called Vikramāditya ruled Northern and Central India about 57 B C. The tradition of the nine jewels is of no use and if relied upon would make Vikramaditya rule about the 5th or 6th century A.D., since Amarasimha, Varāhamihira and Kālidāsa would be contemporaries. A coin of the Gupta Emperor Candragupta II (Allen's Catalogue of coins, pp. 35 ff) has क्षितिमविन्त्य सचारतैर्दिवं जयाति विकासादित्य. The present writer believes that the most probable date for Kālidāsa is between 350 to 450 A. D.

Mārkandeya-purāna — There are two editions, viz B J edition (of 1862) and the Venk. Press ed. The present author has mainly used the latter for quotations. The two differ slightly as to the number of verses in almost each chapter e g. there are 270 verses in chap 8 of BJ edition and 287 in Venk. ed, chapter 16 of the Venk edition corresponds to chapters 16-18 of the other edition. There are 134 chapters in the Venk edition and 137 in B J edition Pargiter translated into English the Mārkandeva. In the first 42 chapters (${
m B}$ J ${
m ed}$) Märkandeya takes hardly any part, but in the remaining chapters he is the principal speaker. This is a peculiar Purana The first chapter opens with four questions put by Jaimini to Mārkandeya about Mahābhārata saga, viz. (1) why nirguna Vāsudeva assumed a human form, (2) how Draupadi became the wife of five brothers, (3) Balarāma performed expiation of brahmahatyā by pilgrimage (and not by his own death), (4) how the five unmarried sons of Draupadi, themselves great warriors, met death helplessly although they had the great Pandava heroes to protect them Markandeva directs him to go to wise birds in the Vindhya mountain and the answers are given in chapters 4 to 7. One wonders why Jaiminī said to be a pupil of Vyāsa in the Purānas did not go to Vyāsa but to Mārkandeya A portion of this Purāna is called Devimāhātmya or Saptašatī 1431 (chapters 78-90 of Venk. ed. and 81-93 of B J. edition) and is deemed by modern Sanskrit scholars to be an interpolation But even if it is an interpolation it must have been made before the 10th century. as the oldest known ms. of it is dated 998 A.D. and probably before 600 A D The Markandeva has practically no verses on vrata. pilgrimages or santi, but dilates on the duties of asramas, on śrāddha, hells, karmayipāka, sadācāra, yoga, rājadharma, (explained by Dattatreva to Alarka), stories of Kartavirva, his grandson Kuvalavāšva and of Madālasā, creation, manyantaras, geography &c. It has hardly any sectarian bias: prayers and invocations are few except in the Devimāhātmva. The present Purana has, as indicated above, three different and disconnected sections viz. chapters 1-42 (Venk, ed.), where the wise birds figure as speakers, chapters 43 to end where Markandeya and Krostuki, a disciple carry on the discourse, except in Devimāhātmya, which is the third and an independent section.

The Kalpataru on moksa quotes about 120 verses from Märkandeya on yoga, almost all of which are found in the printed text. It quotes on brahmacarikanda 9 verses, 12 on srāddha, 17 on niyatakāla, 19 on grhastha, 3 on rājadharma and one on vyavahāra. Aparārka quotes about 85 verses from the Mārkandeya of which 42 are on yoga and the rest on śrāddha, giving alms, hospitality, suddhi &c. The Sm. C, quotes 15 verses from Märkandeya on ähnika, 40 verses on śrāddha Märkandeya quotes several verses from Manu and Mahābhārata. Mārkandeya contains some long drawn out rūpakas as in 3.59–70 (where prajūā is said to be a fort-wall and the soul as king therein) and 35. 8-13 (ahamityankurotpannah &c.) It contains the popular idea that for married women long stay with their relatives (in the family of birth) does not conduce to good reputation and that the desire of her relatives (by blood) is that a married woman should stay in her husband's house. 1432 The Markandeya says that the root of all

^{1431.} Vide above p. 155 note 396 about the Saptasatī for a description of the Devīmāhātmya, which has only 589 verses (and not 700 as the name implies) in Venk ed, and 573 in B I ed. The verse स्तिवृद्धमाङ्गले cited above on p 176 n 454a (from देवीमाहास्प) is quoted in the Dadhimatī-mātā inscription (found in the Jodhpur State) dated 289 of the Gupta era (E. I. vol. XI p. 299 at 303) The inscription being of 608 A D., it follows that the verse taken from the Devīmāhātmya, is earlier than 600 A.D

^{1432.} बान्यवेषु चिरं वासी नारीणा न यहास्कर.। मनोरथी वान्यवाना नार्या भर्तृष्टे रिवर्ति ॥ नार्फे॰ 74. 19; compare शाकुन्तल V 'सतीमपि ज्ञातिकुलैकसंश्रया जनोऽन्यवा भर्तमती विशक्ति।।

unhappiness is the idea that one is the owner. (of this and that) and that bliss comes with the idea 'nothing is mine'. 1433 In chap. 160. 39 it refers to lagna and horā. It puts forward the doctrine of the Gītā that actions done without any desire for their reward do not tend to become chains binding down a man to samsāra. On the other hand, this Purāna narrates the story of Datta 1434 or Dattātreye who imparted instruction on yoga to Alarka (from chap. 16 ff), but who is represented as an avatāra of Visnu given to drinking intoxicants, as fond of the company of women, as staying near a water reservoir constructed with wood and stones on the Sahya (16. 132) and is called Avadhūta (17. 3). In chap. 54 it is said (just as in Brahmānda cited above p. 895) that the country in the north ranges of Sahya and near the Godāvarī is the most charming in the world.

On the whole this is one of the early puranas and may be assigned to a date between the 4th to 6th century A. D.

Lingapurāna (Venk. ed.). It has 11000 verses as stated in chap. II. 5. The Kalpataru on tīrtha quotes about one thousand verses from it on Avimuktaka (Banaras) and the sub-tīrthas therein. Aparārka quotes six verses from it on Śivapūjā on the 8th and 14th tithis and bath and śrāddha in eclipses. The Sm. C quotes a few verses from it on bath in eclipses, Veda study &c. According to the Dānasāgara (p. 7 verse 64) there was another Lingapurāna of 6000 verses which it had not utilized. Vide H. in I. C. vol. IV. pp. 415-421 and in PRHR pp. 92-96.

Varāhapurāna (B. I. edition). It has 217 chapters and 9654 verses, besides a few chapters entirely in prose (such as 81-83, 86-87 and 74 on bhuranakośa) and a few in mixed prose and verse (such as 80, 84, 85, 88, 89). It is a Vaisnava Purāna and begins with the well-known verse 'Nārāyanam namaskrtya' and is supposed to have been narrated to the Earth by Visnu in the

^{1433.} ममेति मूलं दुःखस्य न ममेति च निर्दृतिः। मार्कः 35 6, न च बन्धाय तस्कर्म भवत्यनभिसान्धितम्। मार्कः 92 15

^{1434.} The story of द्वाच्चेय and of his boons (त्र्र्ड) to कार्तवीर्य occurs in several Purānas. Vide मस्य 43 15 ff, ब्रह्म 13. 160 ff The ब्रह्माण्ड III 8 84 quotes a पौराणिकश्लोक 'अचेः पुत्रं महात्मानं शान्तात्मानमकल्मपम् । द्वाच्चेयं तर्छ विष्णोः पुराणज्ञाः मचसते ॥. In the भागवत (I 3.52 ff) twenty-two avatāras of विष्णु are mentioned out of which Dattātreya is the 6th who propounded ānvikṣikī (Adhyātmavidyā) to Alarka and Prahlāda In the मार्कण्डेय (Venk) 17. 10–13 द्वाच्य says 'ये च मां पूजायिष्यन्ति गण्यामाल्यादिक्षिण्राः । मांसमद्योपहारेश्व मिटाक्षेश्वात्मस्त्रात्मे । "त्रेपामहं परा पुष्टि पुचदारधनादिक्षीम्। प्रदास्याम्यवय्युतश्च इनिव्याम्यवसन्यताम्॥ ।

Boar incarnation. It is remarkable that Vyāsa does not appear in the Purāna, though the Sūta figures at the beginning of several chapters (e. g chap. 1, 2, 39, 50, 127, 137–138, 148, 151, 181, 213). It deals with most of the general topics of Dharmasāstra such as vrata, tīrtha, dāna, images and their worship, āśauca, śrāddha, karmavipāka, narakas, cosmology and geography, prāyaścitta &c. The Kalpataru quotes 150 verses from it on vrata (most of which are traced by the editor), 40 verses on srāddha (none of which is traced), 250 verses on tīrtha (many of which are traced), 17 on niyatakāla (half traced), five on dāna (all traced), 4 in grhasthakānda (not traced). One peculiarity is that it mentions some tīrthas like Lohārgala and Stutasvāmī which are not described in other Purānas Aparārka quotes about 55 verses from it on several topics.

The Brahmapurāna 1435 refers to a Vārāha text laying down a śrāddha for pitrs on the Full Moon when the sun is in Virgo. The Bhavisyottara also (32 12) refers to Varāhavacana. For the priority of the Bhavisya to Varāha vide above p. 898 Varāha refers to a śaka prince called Nandavardhana (in chap. 122 34) and verse 56 refers to a śaka king.

Vide H. in ABORI vol 18 pp 321-337. It is difficult to assign a date to the Varāhapurāna It is not one of the early Purānas In any event it is earlier than the 10th century A.D.

Vāmanapurāna (Venk. ed.) It is a short purāna as compared with Matsya, Vāyu, Varāha &c It has 5451 verses in the Venk ed. There is prose in chapters 26, 44 and 93. For its size it contains many legends, such as Śankara cutting off a head of Brahmā, the story of Prahlāda and his grandson Bali and the latter's downfall, the greatness of Devi and her exploits, marriage of Śiva and Umā at the request of gods, the birth of Kārtikeya and explanation of his various names, the story of Danda who was cursed by Śukra for rape, enmity between Vasistha and Viśvāmitra, Gajendramoksa &c It briefly deals with many of the usual dharmaśāstra subjects viz. tīrtha, sadācāra, āśramadharma, sāmānyadharma, vrata, karmavipāka &c The Kalpataru quotes from Vāmana about 88 verses on tīrtha (only some of which have been traced), about 80 verses

١

¹⁴³⁵ नान्दीसुखाना फर्तन्य कन्याराहिगते रवै। पौर्णमास्या त कर्तन्य <u>वाराद्यवन्य</u> यथा।
'पिण्डमिच्छन्ति पितरः कन्याराहिगते रवी। कन्या गते सवितरि यान्यद्यानि त पीडश। क्रह-भिस्तानि तुल्यानि देवो नारायणोऽववीत्॥ ब्रह्म 220. 44—47 (⊼nan. ed.)

on vrata, 14 verses on dāna (which are also quoted by Aparārka p. 364) and 11 verses on niyatakāla. 1436

The Vāmana-purāna mentions Kāmašāstras in 91.73 and Mangalavāra (Tuesday) in 41.24. It has been stated above p. 899 that it expressly mentions Matsya as the best among Purānas. The story of king Danda (in 63.19 ff.) who perished along with the kingdom because he tried to violate Śukra's daughter appears to be an echo from Kautilya's Arthaśāstra (I. 6 p. 11 'Dāndakyonāma Bhojah kāmāt brāhmanakanyām abhimanyamānah sabandhurāstro vinanāśa.'). It states that the king is called rājan because he keeps the subjects contented, just as Kālidāsa says. 1437 It says that Umā was so called because 1438 she was forbidden to practise 'tapas' in the words 'u mā' and that Śiva assumed the form of a Vedic student carrying a staff of Āsāḍha (Palāśa) and wearing a girdle of Muñja grass. This also appears like an echo of Kumārasambhaya V.

The Sm.C.I.p. 168 quotes the two verses in which the Vāmana prescribes that a man after a bath and home should leave his house (for business &c.) after touching certain objects deemed to be auspicious (noted below).

Taking all these matters into consideration the Vāmana purāna would have to be placed between 600 to 900 A. D. Vide H. in IHQ XI. pp. 115-130 and PRHR pp 76-92.

^{1436.} On p 358 of the Kalpataru on Niyatakala three verses are quoted as from Vamana-purana which the editor was not able to trace. They are three verses from Vamana 14.48-51 quoted above on p. 71 note 178. The first of those three verses is quoted from the Vamana-purana by Bhujabala-nibandha p 343. The last two of the three verses are quoted from Vamana by Sm C. p. 125

^{1437.} ततो राजेति शब्दोऽस्य पृथिव्यां रश्जनादभूत्। वासन 47. 24; compare 'राजा प्रकृतिरश्जनात्।' रष्ठु. IV. 12; राजा प्रजारश्जनलब्धवर्णः परन्तपो नाम यथार्थनामा। रष्टु. VI. 21.

¹⁴³⁸ तपसो वारपामास उ मेत्येवाजवीच सा । वासन 51, 21; compare उ मेति माजा तपसो निषिद्धा पश्चाहुमाख्यां मुम्रक्षी जगाम । कुमार॰ 1, 26; बहुत्वपं समाधाय (समास्थाय?) आषाही श्रक्षमेखत्री । वासन 51, 45, compare अथाजिनापाहधरः भगल्मवाक्रु "श्रीर्षद्धः प्रधानाश्चमो यथा ॥ कुमार॰ V. 30; दूवीं दिष्टं सिरिप्थोदकुम्भं धेत्रं सवरसां वृषमं सुवर्णस् । म्युक्तमयं सित्तिकमक्षतानि लाजा मधु ज्ञाह्मणक्षन्यकाश्च ॥ म्येतानि प्रणाणि च शोमनानि हुताशनं चन्दनमक्षीविम्बद्ध । अन्वत्थाहुद्धां च समालमेत ततस्तु कार्यो निजजातिधर्मः ॥ वासम 14, 36–37 q. in स्मृतिच्त I, p. 168.

Vāyupurāna (Anandāśrama ed.). This has 112 chapters and 10991 verses. It appears to have been divided like the Brahmānda into four pādas, Prakriyā (chap. 1-6), Anusanga (chap. 7-64), Upodghāta (65-99) and Upasamhāra (100-112). In the first chap, the first verse is the famous one 'Naravanam namaskrtya' and the 2nd eulogises Vyāsa (both these are not found in several mss). The third verse refers to bhakts for Siva. Chap 104 is not found in many mss and the chapters at the end on Gayāmāhātmya are deemed to be later additions by some scholars. There is Śaiva bias in several chapters such as chap 20. 31-35 (with a prose passage containing Vedic texts). 24, 91-165 (called Sarvastotra), chap. 55 (Sivastuti put in the mouth of Visnu), chap, 101, 215-330. Probably to counterbalance this the chapters on Gaya were added and also the praise of Visnu in chap. 98 where Dattātreya, Vyāsa, Kalkin are said to be avatāras of Visnu, but Buddha is not mentioned. Chapter 99 is the longest one in the Purana, contains 464 verses and is full of legendary material and historical references. There are several verses in the Purana that appear also in the Mahābhārata, Manu and Matsya. For its relation to Brahmānda vide pp. 852, 896 above. This Purana, like the Matsya, contains much Dharmasastra material. It is one of the oldest and most authoritative Puranas, though it contains some later additions.

The Vāyu is not quoted in the vrata and niyatakāla sections of the Kalpataru, but it is largely quoted in several other sections. From the Vāyupurāna Kalpataru quotes about 160 verses on śrāddha 1439 (of which the editor identified only about 21), about 35 on moksa (most traced), 22 on tīrtha, 7 on dāna, 5 in brahmacāri and 5 in grhastha. Aparārka quotes about 75 verses from Vāyu out of which 60 concern śrāddha and the rest deal with fast, dravyaśuddhi, dāna, sannyāsa and yoga. The Sm. C quotes only about 24 verses from Vāyu on śrāddha, on atithi, on Agnihotra and fuel-sticks.

The Vāyu makes a passing reference to the Gupta dynasty (vide p. 852 above), it knows the yuga of five years (50.183),

^{1439.} In spite of great efforts the learned editor of Kalpataru has failed to notice in the Kalpataru several verses on śrāddha, tīrtha and moksa. I have succeeded in identifying a few more, viz Vāyu 75 24-25 and 45-46 occur in Kalpataru on śrāddha (pp 216-217). In Kalpataru on śrāddha (pp 168 on Gayā) there are eleven verses quoted from Vāyu left untraced by the editor, which are found in Vāyu 77. 98-103 and 105-109. On p. 300 of grhasthakānda two verses not traced are Vāyu 62. 161-162.

and Mesa, Tulā (50. 196), Makara and Simha (Jupiter therein) in 82. 41–42. Chapter 87 describes Gītālankāras basing its treatment on the doctrines of pūrvācāryas. Brahmānda III. 62 is a similar chapter on the same subject and has almost the same verses.

As it refers to the kings of the Gupta dynasty and as Bāna refers to it in the Kādambarī and the Harsacarīta, it must be placed between 350 A.D. and 550 A.D. This date is corroborated in several ways. Śankarācarya in his Bhāsya on Vedāntasūtrā II. 1. 1 quotes a verse as from a Purāna, which is Vāyu except 1440 for one word. In another place Śankara quotes a verse as smrti which is found in Vāyu with slight variations. Another verse which is cited as smrti by Śankarācārya occurs in Vāyu. The Tattvavaišāradī of Vācaspati on Yogasūtra I. 25 expressly quotes from Vāyu 12. 33 and 10. 65-66.

Vide Prof. Dikshitar on 'Some aspects of the Vāyupurāna' (1933, 52 pages, University of Madras); H in IHQ. vol. 14 pp. 131-139 and PRHR pp. 13-17; Shri D. R. Patil's 'Cultural History from the Vāyupurāna' (1946, Poona, a Ph. D. thesis).

Visnupurāna—(Venk. Press edition and another published by Messrs. Gopal Narayan and Co. in saka 1824 with two commentaries, one called Vaisnavakūtacandrikā of Ratnagarbha Bhaṭtācārya and the other called Visnucittī). The present text is divided into six ansas, 126 chapters and about 6000 verses. There are many chapters in prose alone such as in 4th amsa chapters 7, 8, 9 and many in mixed prose and verse as in amsa 4 chap. 1, 2, 6, 11, 12 &c. As stated above this purāna agrees with the definition of purāna as paūca-lakṣana far more than any other purāna. This purāna is declared to Maitreya by

^{1440.} अतथ्य संक्षेपमिमं "'तद्दि सूपः ॥ इति पुराणे । शंकराचार्य on वे सू. II. 1, 1; वाषु I. 205 is the same, except that for नारायणाः, वाषु reads महेश्वरः . Vide p. 825 note 1347 for this verse. This change was probably made by some later redactor on account of the obvious Saiva bias of the Purāna. The previous verse (वाषु I. 204) states that महेश्वर is the creator of नारायणा. "अथवां—मनो महाच मतिनेह्या पूर्विष्टः ख्यातिरिश्वरः । मज्ञा संविचितिश्वेव स्मृतिश्च परिपठ्यते ॥ इति स्मृतः । शङ्कराचार्य on वे. सू. I. 4 1. Vide वाषु 4. 27–28 मनो महाग्मतिर्नेद्वा सुद्धिः ख्यातिरिश्वरः । मज्ञा चितिः स्मृतिः संविद्धियः चौच्यते हुद्ये. ॥. One ms of वाषु does read पूर्विष्टः धा मूर्धानं यस्य विमा वृद्धिः संविद्धियः चौच्यते हुद्ये. ॥. One ms of वाषु does read पूर्विष्टः धा मूर्धानं यस्य विमा वृद्धिः संविद्धियः चौच्यते हुद्ये. ॥. One ms of वाषु does read पूर्विष्टः धा मूर्धानं यस्य विमा वृद्धिः स्व वे नार्मि चन्द्रसूर्यो च नेत्रे । दिशः श्रोत्रे विद्धि पादी क्षितिं च सोऽचिन्त्यासमा सर्वसूतमणेता ॥ इत्यवद्धातीयका स्मृतिरिह्येदाहर्वच्या । शङ्कराचार्य on वे. सू . I. 2. 25, compare वासु 9, 120 धौर्मुर्धानं "च्युवत्वि" "नेत्रे । दिशः श्रोत्रे चरणो चास्य भूतिः सोऽ" मृत्यम्बति. ॥

Parāśara (son of Vasistha), which he received from Sārasvata, who received it from king Purukutsa on the Narmadā, who keard it from Daksa and others who learnt it from Brahmā.

Five out of the seven verses of Brahmanda III 68, 97-103 are the same as Visnu IV. 10. 23-27 (about what Yavāti said about trsnā). The same occur in Brahma-purāna 12. 40-46. It is likely that all borrow from the Mahābhārata, 'Adiparya 75. 44 ff., 85. 9 ff. and Anuśāsana 7. 21 ff. The Mit. on Yājāavalkya III. 6 quotes on Nārāvanabali about 14 verses of the Visnupurana. The Kalpataru on Moksa quotes 250 verses from the Visnu (most of which have been identified), 70 on niyatakāla (almost all traced), 21 on brahmacāri, 28 on śrāddha (only one not traced), 21 on tirtha (all traced), about 45 on grhasthakānda. 1441 Aparārka cites about 75 verses from the Visnupurāna and the Smrticandrikā about 100. The Kāyyaprakāśa 1441a (IV.) quotes two verses from this (V. 13. 21-22 about a gopakanyā attaining final release by her thorough unswerving devotion to Lord Krsna) The Visnupurāna teaches in some places the sublime philosophy of advarta: 1442 for example, it says 'He who seeks moksa should strive for treating all as equal, gods, men, beasts, birds, trees and creeping life are all the form of the Infinite Visnu, though appearing as distinct from each other; one who realizes this should look upon the world as his own self &c.' In another place the Visnupurana 1443 states 'The mind alone is the cause of the bondage of men and their release; mind that is attached to the objects of the senses tends to bondage, but when it is beyond attachment to them, it tends to moksa'. It teaches the central doctrine of the Gita that actions done without an eye to the reward or fruit thereof do not lead to bondage,1411

^{1441.} The editor has traced several verses from মূল্যুন্সাতর, but he did not notice that the three verses on pp 272-273 (about brahmanas having to undergo far more trouble than the sudra) are Visau VI 2, 22-24.

¹⁴⁴¹ a The two verses begin 'तदमाप्ति» and 'चिन्तपन्ती''' and are quoted as examples of रसध्वनि based on आतिशायोक्ति by the काल्यमकाश

^{1442.} यतितव्य समत्वे च निर्वाणमि चेच्छता । देवा महाव्याः पश्चवः पक्षिवृक्षसरीस्याः । स्वमेतद्वनन्तस्य विष्णोभिष्नमिव स्थितम् ॥ एतद्विजानता सर्वे जगस्थावरजङ्कमम् । वृद्धव्यमात्म-वृद्धिच्छ्ययेतीऽयं विश्वस्वपधुक् ॥ विष्णुदुः । 19. 46–48.

^{1443.} मन एव महाज्याणां कारणं घन्धमोक्षयो.। घन्धाय विषयासञ्जि शुक्रये निर्विषयं मन.॥ विष्णुपुरु VI. 7, 28.

¹⁴⁴⁴ बुभुजे विषयान्कर्म चंके चानभिसंहितम्। विष्णु VI. 7 105, 'तत्कर्म यस वन्धाय सा विद्या या विद्युक्तये।। विष्णुपुर I. 19. 41.

The date of the Visnupurana is a difficult question. There is no doubt that it is one of the early Puranas and that its text is not very much inflated. Hundreds of verses quoted in the three early digests viz. Kalpataru. Aparārka and Smrticandrikā can be traced in the current text and this leads to the inference that the text has remained fixed for at least a thousand years. One important fact is that in this Purana Vyasa and the Süta do not play a prominent part as in many other Puranas. states as some other Puranas do. that Vyasa had four pupils to whom he imparted the four Vedas and a fifth pupil the Sūta Romaharsana (III. chapters 3-7). But the suta does not appear anywhere as the narrator of this Purana. In the 4th amsa one remarkable matter is the mention of Śakya. Śuddhodana and Rahula and it is stated that Suddhodana was 23rd in descent from Brhad-bala of the Iksvāku line (chap. 22). The possibility of interpolations, particularly in the prose passages, would have to be admitted. Rāśis are referred to in III. 14.5 (rāśisvarke ca gacchati) and the words lagna and hora also appear (in a prose passage). Vācaspati in his commentary on the Yogabhāsva 2. 32 quotes it by name on yamas and myamas (Visnu VI. 7. 36-38) and a half verse 'evam bhadrāsanādinām' etc. Visnu VI. 7. 49 in com. on Yogabhāsya III. 49. Vācaspati wrote his Nyāyasūcinibandha in vatsara 888 which should be taken as Vikrama year as he was a northerner and as the word 'vatsara' is used (and not saka) i. e. 841 A. D.

The following may be read on this question. Wilson's Intro. to the translation of the Visnu, vol. I. pp. LIX-LXXIII; H. 'date of Visnupurāna' in ABORI vol. 18 pp. 265-275 and PRHR pp. 19-26 (puts if between 100-350 A.D.); Prof. Dikshitar in Pro. of the 13th Indian History Congress pp. 46-50; Jos. Abs in Festgabe Jacobi pp. 386-396 (on heterodox systems mentioned in different Purānas including the Visnu). Vide above p. 869 for a Visnupurāna of 23000 verses not utilized by the Dānasāgara. It would not be far from the truth to hold that the present Visnu was composed between 300 to 500 A.D.

Viṣnudharma-purāṇa— Vide pp. 873-876 above for discussion of the date assigned to it by Prof. Hazra. Shri Aśoka Chattarjee read a paper at the A.I.O.Con. at Delhi which has been published in ABORI vol. 38. 305-308, wherein he gives the date of composition of this Purāna as between 1250-1325A.D. H. P. Sastri' Catof Nepal Palm-leaf mss p. LIII says that a ms. of it was copied in

1047 A.D Buhler in I. A. vol. 19 at p. 407 holds that this Purāna as well as the next were canonical acc. to Alberuni's pandits.

Visnudhar mottara (U. pub. by Venk Press). It is a huge work and has been dealt with above (pp. 876-878). In spite of its huge size it is not quoted by the Kalpataru on vrata, tirtha, brahmacāri, grhastha, rājadharma, moksa and other kāndas. Aparārka quotes only 30 verses from it, of which 24 are on dāna: the Smrticandrikā quotes about 30 in all and that Dānasāgara quotes profusely from it on dāna. It cannot be earlier than 600 A. D., and cannot be placed later than the 10th century, though parts of it may be later additions. Chapters 52-65 of the first section are called Śankaragītā. The Kālikā Purāna expressly refers (in chap. 91 70 and 92. 2) to the Visnudharmottara as having been concerned with Rājanīti and Sadāçāra.

Sāmbapurāna (U. pub. by Venk. Press). Vide H in 'Sāmbapurāna through the ages' in JASB vol. 18 (1952) pp. 91—111, 'on Sāmba-purāna' a śaiva work in ABORI vol. 36 (1955) pp. 62-84 and 'Studies &c.' vol. I. pp. 32-108. This purāna is hardly ever quoted by early digests such as the Kalpataru, Aparārka or Smrticandrikā. Only four verses from it are quoted by the Dānasāgara. Prof. Hazra's propositions that the Bhavisya (pp. 68-82) and Brahmapurāna (present) borrow from the Sāmba are not at all acceptable to the present author, particularly in view of his own admission (in 'Studies &c.' vol. I. p 68) that the present Sāmbapurāna consists of different units belonging to different climes and ages. All that can be said positively is that a purāna called Sāmba is mentioned by Alberuni in 1030 A. D. (Sachau I p. 130).

Śwapurāna (a Mahāpurāna according to some Purānas); Printed by Venk, Press in two volumes. Vide H. on 'Problems relating to Śivapurāna' in 'Our Heritage' (Calcutta 1953) vol. I. part 1 pp. 46-48. Dr. Pusalkar in 'Studies in Epics and Purānas pp. 31-41 (holds that the printed Vāyu is a genuine Mahāpurāna, that the Śivapurāna is a late work and is only an Upapurāna); the oldest datable reference to it is in Alberun's work (vide Sachau, vol. 1. p. 131). It is quoted in the Dānasāgara several times, but is not quoted in Kalpataru, Aparārka and Sm. C. It is divided into seven samhitās called Vidyesvara, Rudrasamhitā (in five parts called Srsti, Satī, Pārvatī, 1444 Kumāra, Yuddha).

¹⁴⁴⁴ a. उमेति मात्रा तपसे निर्णिद्धा कालिका च सा। पश्चादुमाख्यां समुखी जगाम , भुवने सुने ॥ शिवपु॰ बद्धस॰ पार्वतीखण्ड 8 17; compare 'उमेति' जगाम म् खुमारसम्भव I. 26, (Continued on next page)

Śatarudra, Kotirudra, Umā, Kailāsa, Vāyavīya (in two parts). It contains about 23000 verses. In Śatarudrasam., chap. 42, the twelve jyotirlingas are mentioned, are spoken of as avatāras of Rudra and described; in Kotirudrasam., chap. 35 one thousand names of Śiva are set out; in Kailāsasam., chap. 5 mandala in pūjā is described; in chap. 7 verses 5–26 various Mudrās and Nyāsas are provided for; in the Rudrasam. section, Pārvati, there is a close resemblance between this purāna and the Kumārasambhava as noted below.

Śwadharma. Vide H. in JGJRI vol. X. pp. 1-20; Aparārka p. 274 on Yāj. I. 193 quotes one verse from it which is a paraphrase of Yāj.

Saura (U.)—Vide H. in N. I. A. vol. VI. pp. 103-111 and 121-129, in B. V. vol. IV. pp. 212-216 and 'Studies &c.' vol. I. p. 348.

Skanda — This is the most extensive of Purānas and poses perplexing problems. It is found in two forms, one being divided into seven khandas, viz. Maheśvara, Vaisnava, Brāhma, Kāśi, Āvantya. Nāgara and Prabhāsa, the other being divided into six samhitās, viz. Sanatkumāra, Sūta, Sānkarī, Vaisnavī, Brāhmī and Saura. The Skanda in seven khandas has been published by the Venk. Press and the Sūtāsamhitā with the commentary of Mādhavācārya has been published by the Anan. Press, Poona. The extent of the Skanda is variously given as 81000 ślokas, at 100000 ślokas (vide PRHR p. 158), at 86000 (in PRHR p. 159). The god Skanda does not figure prominently in this Purana named after him. Skanda is named in the Padma V. 59. 2 Skanda I. 2. 6. 79 is almost in the same words as Kīrātārjunīya 1415 (II. 30 'sahasā vidadhīta na kriyām'). Skanda, Kāśīkhanda 24 (8 ff) is full of Ślesa and Parisankhyā in the style of Bāna as in 'yatra ksa-

⁽Continued from last page)

वरेषु ये ग्रणाः मोस्ता नारीणां सुखदायकाः। तन्मध्ये हि विस्तपक्षि एकोऽपि न ग्रणः स्मृतः॥ पार्वतीखण्ड 27.32. Compare वरेषु यद्वालमृगक्षि "तद्वस्ति किं व्यस्तमपि त्रिलोचने॥ कुमारः V. 72: न केवलं भवेत्पापं निन्दां कर्ते शिवस्य हि। यो वे शृणोति तिस्तिन्दां पापभाक् स भवेविह ॥ पार्वतीखण्ड 28.37, compare न केवलं यो महतो "स पापभाक् ॥ कुमारः V. 83; अद्यमभृति ते दासस्तपोभिः क्रीत एव ते। पार्वतीखण्ड 28.44, compare कुमारः V. 86. There are several other close parallels, which are not set out for reasons of space.

^{1445.} सहसा न कियां कुर्यात्यदमेतन्महापदास् । विसुश्यकारिणं धीरं वृणते सर्वसम्पदः॥ स्कन्द I. 2. 6. 79.

panakā eva drśyante maladhārinah' (verse 21) or 'vibhramo yatra nārīsu na vidvatsu ca karhicit' (verse 9). Nātvaveda and Arthaśāstras are mentioned in Kāśikhanda (Pūrvārdha 7.4–5). Dhanvantari and Caraka on medicine are mentioned in Kāsikhanda (Pürvārdha 1.71); the word Jhotinga occurs in Kāśīkhanda 72.74 (Jhotingā rāksasāh krūrāh). Skanda is quoted on topics of Dharmaśāstra in early commentaries and digests. The Mit. on Yāi. II. 290 mentions it in connection with the status of vesyās (courtezans). Kalpataru on vrata quotes only 15 verses from it, Kalpataru on tirtha (pp. 36-39, 32, 46, 130-135) quotes 92 verses from it, on dāna only 44, on nivatakāla 63 verses, 18 verses on Rājadharma (on Kaumudīmahotsava), only 4 in śrāddhakānda and 3 in grhasthakānda. Aparārka 1446 quotes only 19 verses from it; one quotation indicates Tantrik influence (vide note). The Danasagara cites 48 verses on dana from it and the Sm. C. only 23 in all Considering the colossal figure of ślokas in the Skanda it must be said that it is rather sparingly quoted in the Dharmasastra works A verse in it seems to echo the very words of Kālidāsa and quotes the view of Devala. 1446a In such a huge work interpolations could easily be made. So it is difficult to assign a definite date to it. A ms. of the Skanda in the Nepal Durbar Library is written in characters which belong to the 7th century A. D. according to Haraprasad Shastri (vide Cat. of Nepal Palm-leaf mss. p. LII.)

It would be not far from the truth to say that the Skanda cannot be placed earlier than the 7th century A. D. and not later than 9th century A. D. on the evidence so far available.

¹⁴⁴⁶ स्कन्दपुराण is quoted by अपरार्क p. 295 on या I 204 on the gift of a cow. After citing five verses and a half a prose mantra is quoted as follows: ओं हीं नमी भगवति ब्रह्ममातविष्णुभगिनि चट्टदेवते सर्वपापविमोन्चिनि स्वस्तं समर इंडे इडान्ते ह्व्ये न्वान्ट्रे धृतिमति सरस्वित सुश्चते एह्योह हुकर हुकुर सर्वलोक्तमये एह्यागच्छान्यन्छ स्वाहा। इति धेन्नुकर्णज्ञप्.।?.

¹⁴⁴⁶ a. मरण प्रकृतिश्चेव जीवितं विकृतिर्यदा । स्तन्द् I, 2 10. 27; compare मरणं प्रकृतिः शरीरिणा <u>विकृतिर्जीवितसु</u>च्यते हुधेः ॥ रष्टुवंश VIII, 87, त्रीणि ज्योतीयि सुरुष इति वै देवलोऽकवीत् । भार्या कर्म च विद्या च संसाध्यं यत्नतस्वयम् । स्तन्द्व I. 2 15. 10.

SECTION V

CHAPTER XXIV

Influence of Purānas on Dharmasāstra

Literary works and society act and re-act on each other. The state of Indian society a few centuries before and after Christ, riven as it was by the growing strength and onslaught of Buddhism, Jainism and other dissenting seets and disturbed by invasions of the Greeks, Sakas, Pahlavas, Hūnas and other foreign tribes, gave much food for thought to those who were devoted to the Vedic religion and induced them to write works setting forth new ideologies and practices and effecting a restatement of the ancient Vedic and Smrti religion. When these works attained a position of authority and eminence, the endeavour of the followers of the Veda was to follow them as far as possible and to adapt their practices and religious rites to the requirements of the Purānas. We have to see how the Purānas set about their task of re-orientation. We must note that current Hindu religious practices, judging from the sankalpa made at the beginning of every rite, are meant to confer upon the performer the rewards declared by Sruti (Veda), Smrti and Purānas (Sruti-smrti-purānokta-phalaprāptyartham). The task was twofold, viz. (1) to undermine the power and prestige of Buddhism and Jainism and the influence of the numerous philosophical 1447 schools that had sprung up and (2) to wean away large sections of the masses from the attractive features of Buddhism and to convince them that they could secure in the re-orientated Hindu faith the same benefits, social and spiritual, as Buddhism promised and that the religious principles of the followers of Veda coincided with many of the teachings of Buddhism and were borrowed by Buddhism from Vedic practices. Ultimately Buddhism vanished from the land of its origin. The main causes of this disappearance of Buddhism from Bhārata will be stated at the end of this section, but this much may be said here at once that the Puranas played a substantial role in bring-

^{1447.} It is stated in the Mahāvagga (part of Suttanipāta) that there were 63 philosophical schools at the time of Buddha (vide SBE vol. X. part 2 p. 92).

ing about the decline and disappearance of Buddhism by emphasizing and assimilating some of the principles and doctrines of Buddha 1448 such as ahimsā, by accepting Buddha himself as an avatāra of Visnu, by adopting vegetarianism as a high form of austerity, by making use of monasteries and asceticism as stated in such smrtis as those of Manu and Yāj.

The Puranas set about their task by saying that for the proper understanding of the Veda, knowledge of Itihasa and Purana was essential. A famous verse says 1449 'one should strengthen the Veda by (the study and application of) Itihāsa and Purana; the Veda is afraid of the person of little learning (with the thought) that he (the man of small learning) may Manu states 1450 that those brahmanas that have learnt according to the rules (of Veda study) the Veda together with the works that strengthen it are to be understood as sistas and are instrumental in making (the meaning of) the Veda clearly perceptible. The Vayu 1451 emphasizes in this connection that that brahmana who knows the four Vedas together with (the six) ancillary lores and the Upanisads would not be a wise man if he did not know Puranas. The Upanisads drop brief hints about the creation of ākāśa from the one brahma (in Tai. Up. II. 1), of tejas (Chān. Up. VI. 2 3), of waters (Chān. Up. VI. 2.4). The Puranas explain at great length the creation and dissolution of the elements (in the order reverse of that of creation) e g. Vāyu 4. 17 ff, Brahma 1-3, Agni 17, Brahmanda II. 3 ff, Kurma I. 2, 4, 7, 8 &c. The stories of Hariscandra and

^{1448.} Pargiter (in 'Puarāna texts of the Dynasties of the Kali age' p XVIII footnote) thinks that it was largely through the Paurānika Literature that Hinduism secured its revival and the downfall of Buddhism.

¹⁴⁴⁹ इतिहासपुराणाभ्या वेद समुपञ्चयेत्। विभेत्यल्पश्चताहेदो मामय प्रहरिष्यति॥ आदिपर्त I 267-268, वासु 1. 201, एस V 2. 51-52, ब्रह्माण्ड I. 1 171, विस्वधर्मस् 27. 6, ब्रह्माण्ड I. 1 86, ब्रुद्धाचि chap. 3 p. 50 in Jivananda's edition (reads प्रतिश्वति). The स्मृतिच. (I. p. 3) ascribes this verse to बृह्सपति. The प्राथश्चित्ततत्व p. 511 quotes it from विस्षष्ट. The क्रूर्म I. 2 19 reads 'द्वतिहास-पुराणाभ्या वेदार्थाल्यक्षयेत्' रामाञ्ज in his भाष्य on ब्रह्मस्त्र (p. 72 B. S. S) quotes this verse and reads प्रतिश्वति

^{1450.} धर्मेणाधिगती येस्तु <u>वेद सपरिवृद्धण ।</u> ते शिष्टा ब्राह्मणा ज्ञेषा. श्रुतिप्रस्पक्षहेतवः॥ मञ्ज XII, 109.

¹⁴⁵¹ यो निद्याञ्चतुरों बेदानसाङ्गोपनिषदों द्विजः। न चेरपुराण सविद्यालेव स स्थार द्विचक्षण ॥ वासु I 200, स्कन्द, ममासराण्ट 2 93, पदा V. 2 50-51 (reads the second half as 'गुराण च विस्तृनाति य स तस्माद्विचक्षणः। । ब्रह्माण्ट I. 1. 170 has the first half.

Naciketas that occur in the Ait. Br. and the Kathopanisad are explained at length in Brahmapurāna (chap. 104 and 150 about Hariścandra), in Sabhāparva chap. 12 (for Hariścandra) and in Anuśāsana chap. 91 (for Naciketas). The famous dialogue of Yama and Yamī (Rg. X. 10) is expanded in the Narasimhapurāna (chap. 13. 6-36). The Visnupurāna 1452 (IV. 6. 34 ff) sets out the story of Purūravas and Urvaśī, refers to the hymn in the Rg. X 95 for that story and quotes the first verse of the hymn in a somewhat corrupt form.

But the claims of the extant Puranas go far beyond the above viz. as strengtheners of the Veda. The Kūrma 1453 states: '(mit) on one side all the Puranas together with Itihasa (Mahabhārata) and on another the highest Veda; it is this (Purānas) that surpasses (the other viz Veda)'. The Mahābhārata also makes a similar claim. The Puranas appear to claim priority (and even equality) with the Veda. In note 1349 passages from the Matsya and other Puranas have been cited, stating that Brahmā first thought of the Purānas before all other sastras and then the Vedas sprang forth from his lips. Several Puranas are spoken of as equal to the Veda (Vedasammita) as in 1454 Vāyu I. 11, 4. 12, Brahma 1. 29, 245. 4 and 21, Visnu I. 1. 13, VI. 8. 12, Padma VI. 282. 116. Further, several Puranas claim to have been delivered by some God such as Brahmā (Brahmapurāna I. 30) or by the Wind-god (Vāyu I. 196)1455 or by the avatāras of Visnu as in the case of Matsya-purana (I. 26) or Varaha (2. 1-3). The japa of Vedic texts was deemed to destroy all sins as shown in H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 45-50. The Puranas also say that reading the Puranas or listening to a recitation of them

^{1452.} विष्णुपुराण IV. 6. 64 m prose runs . ततस्थीनमत्तस्यो आये हे तिष्ठ मनसि धोरे तिष्ठ बचिस कपटिके तिष्ठेत्येवमनेकप्रकारं स्क्तमवोचत् ।. Compare ऋग्वेद् X. 95 1 'हये आये मनसा तिष्ठ घोरे बचासि मिश्रा कृणवावहै हु।".

¹⁴⁵³ एकतस्तु पुराणानि सेतिहासानि स्टियते ॥ कूर्म II 46,129 (q. in note 1402 above). एकतश्वतुरो बेदा भारतं चैतदेकत । पुरा किल सुरे सर्वेः समेस्य तुल्या धृतम् । चतुर्ग्यः सरहस्येग्यो बेदेग्यो हाधिकं यदा । तदाप्रभृति लोकेस्मिग्महाभारतसुरूयते । आदिपर्व 1 271–273.

¹⁴⁵⁴ पुराण संभवक्ष्यामि ब्रह्मोक्तं वेदसंभितम्। वाषु 1 11, ग्रुरं प्रणम्य वक्ष्यामि पुराणं वेदसमितम्। ब्रह्मा 1, 29, पुराणं नारद्रोपाख्यमेत<u>द्</u>देदार्थसामितम्। नारद्वीय I 1, 36.

^{1455.} Vāyu (103 58-66) narrates how from Brahmā the purāna came to Vāyu and how through a succession of about 30 teachers it came down to Dvaipāyana and lastly to Sūta.

would destroy all sins. 1456 Vide Vayu 103, 58. Brahma 175, 89-90, Matsy 2 290 20, 291, 29 and 31, Visnu VI. 8. 3, 12. Some of the Puranas indulge in extravagant praise of themselves, e. g. the Varāha-purāna (217. 12-13, 217. 15-16) states that reading ten chapters of that Purana confers the merit secured by the performance of Agnistoma and Atıratra sacrıfices. Vide Brahma 254. 34-35, Agni 384. 13-30, Devi-Bhagavata XII. 13. 11-17 in a similar strain Moreover, the Puranas dwell upon the superior value and efficacy, as compared with the Vedic sacrifices, of some of the institutions on which they lay emphasis, such as pilgrimages. 1457 yratas, bhakts. The Padma states (I. 38. 2 and 18) that by merely going to Gaya or by taking a bath in the Phalgu river one secures the reward that the performance of Asyamedha confers. The Skanda proclaims 1458 'I have no use for sacrificial rites that are declared by the Veda, that have no life in them, that are within the domain of ignorance and that entail injury (to animals). If (a sacrifice) is performed with such inanimate things as fuel-sticks, flowers and kusa grass, the result must be similar (inanimate), since the effect is like the cause'. Vide Santiparva 337 for a story on the discussion between sages and gods about offering in sacrifices merely products of grains or goat-flesh. Offerings of flesh in sacrifices to gods were made in the Rgyedic period. But even in the Rgyeda there are a few yerses that indicate that offerings of ghee and fuel-sticks were declared to be able to win the favour of gods as much as animal sacrifices might do. 'Whoever 1159 offers to (or worships) Agni with a fuel-stick or with an oblation of ghee or

¹⁴⁵⁶ सर्वपायहर पुण्य पविञ्च च यशस्ति च । ब्रह्मा ददी शाख्रमिद पुराण मातरि-वने ॥ ब्राह्म 103.58, पुराणसदिता चेय तम श्रूप मयोदिता । सर्मपायहरा निस्यमारोम्पश्रीफलम्दा ॥ सत्स्य 290.20, पुराण वैष्णम चेतत्सर्माफील्वपनाशनम् । विशिष्टं सर्वशाख्रम्य एरुपार्योप-पादकस्य ॥ गतत्ते यन्मयास्यातं पुराणं वेदसंमतम् (सामितम् १) । श्रीऽस्मिन् सर्मदोषोत्य पापराशि । प्राण्यति ॥ विष्णु, VI 1 8,3 and 12.

¹⁴⁵⁷ Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV pp 561-564 for superiority of tirthas to solemn Vedic sacrifices and pp. 43-45 above for the eulogy of vratas The efficacy of bhakti (loving faith in God) will be dealt with later on in this section

^{1458.} अविद्यान्तर्गतेर्यज्ञकर्मभिन प्रयोजनम् । मम धिंसात्मजैरस्ति निगमोक्तेरचेतनि ॥ समिख्डपकुत्रप्रायेः साधनेर्यद्यचेतनेः । क्रियते तत्तथा भागि कार्ये कारणगन्द्रणाम्॥ स्कन्द 1.2, 13,59-60.

¹⁴⁵⁹ यः समिधा य आहुती यो वेदेन ददाञ्च मर्ता अग्रये। यो नमसा स्वध्यरः॥ तस्ये-दर्वन्तो रहयन्त आञ्चवस्तस्य युग्नितमं यदाः। न तमहो देन्द्रतं कुतश्चन न सत्येकृत नशत्॥ जाः, १४४४, १९. १-६ः

with (the study of) the Veda or who performs a good sacrifice with prostrations, for him alone run fleet horses and his is most brilliant fame, and no evil whether brought about by gods or by men might reach him from any side'. Another mantra 1460 says 'O Agni! we bring to you an offering accompanied by a rkmantra fashioned (or produced) by our heart. May those mantras be oxen, bulls and cows from you'.

The Puranas only pursue an attitude to Veda and sacrifices that is found in some of the Upanisads. The Mundakopanisad1461 says: 'one should know two vidyas (lores), para (the higher) and ana ā (the lower); the latter comprehends the four Vedas and Phonetics, aphorisms about solemn sacrifices, grammar. Nirukta (derivation of words), metres, astronomy; while the highest lore is that whereby the Imperishable (Reality) is known'. The same Upanisad condemns apara-vidya when it says 'These sacrifices are infirm (leaky) boats constituted by eighteen (persons) depending on which are declared actions that are inferior, those foolish people who welcome these actions as the highest good become subject again to old age and death'. The Kathopanisad 1462 states that what are known as $audy\bar{a}$ and vidyā are far apart from each other. are contradictory and lead to different results. When Nārada approached Sanatkumāra and requested the latter to teach him, the latter said to him 'tell me what you know and then I shall tell you what is beyond that.' Then Nārada stated that he knew all the four Vedas. Itihāsa-purāna the fifth Veda and several other lores, whereupon Sanat-kumāra told him that the four Vedas and the other lores he had learned were merely a name and then he led Nārada gradually to the understanding of the Highest Self. The Br. Up. I. 4. 10 condemns him, who worships a deity thinking he is different from the detata, that he does not know the truth. that he is like a (sacrificial) animal to the gods. Similarly, in

¹⁴⁶⁰ आ ते अग्न ऋचा हर्विर्द्धदा तष्टं भरामिस । ते ते भवन्त्वुक्षण ऋषमासी वज्ञा उत ॥ ऋ, VI 16 47

¹⁴⁶¹ हे चित्रे वेदितन्ये इति ह स्म यह ब्रह्मविदो वदन्ति परा चैवापरा च । तत्रापरा अग्वेदो पर्युर्वेदः सामवेदोऽधर्ववेदः क्षिक्षा कल्पो न्याकरणं निकक्तं छन्दो ज्योतिषमिति । अध्य परा यया तदक्षरमधिगम्यते ॥ सुण्डकोप॰ І 1 4-5, एलवा होते अद्दृृृृ । सुण्डकोप॰ І 2 7. पत्रच होते । सुण्डकोप॰ І 2 7. The 18 are the 16 priests, the sacrificer and his wife. In his आव्य on Vedantasutra I 2 21 Sankarācārya holds that this verse is part of the condemnation of aparā vidyā.

^{1462.} दूरमेते विपरीते विदुची अविद्या या च विद्येति ज्ञाता। कठोप. I. 2. 4.

several passages of the Upanisads austerities, liberality, straightforwardness, ahimsā and truthfulness are put forward as equal to or superior to the actual performance of the ceremonial of sacrifice (yajna), vide Chāndogya III. 17. 4, Praśna I. 15, Mundaka I. 2. 11.

Though in a few passages of the Upanisads the knowledge of the Highest Self is put higher than the four Vedas, the Upanisads generally treat the Vedas as authoritative and quote Vedic verses in support of their statements. For example, the Ait. Up. II. 5 quotes Rgveda IV. 27, 1 (taduktam-rsinā-Garbhe nu &c.), Praśnop. I. 11 quotes Rg. I. 164. 12 (pañcapādam pitaram), Br. Up. II. 5. 15, 17 and 19 quote respectively Rg. I. 116. 12. I. 117. 22, VI. 47 18 (rūpam rūpam pratirūpo). The Upanisads further emphasize 1463 that brahmavidya is to be imparted only to those who are śrotriya (who have studied the Veda), who engage in their duties and who have properly performed Siroyrata. The Br. 1464 Up. shows that Veda study, sacrifices, charity &c. are preparations for the knowledge of brahma: 'Brāhmanas (and others) desire to know this (great Self) by study of the Veda, by sacrifices, gifts, tapas, fasting'. These passages make it clear that study of the Veda and performance of sacrifices enjoined by it are accepted by the Upanisads as preparatory and as cleansing the mind of its lower passions and as making a person worthy of receiving the knowledge of the highest truth about the One Supreme Spirit and that the Upanisads do not altogether condemn and give up the Vedas and sacrifices.

The Puranas adopt, in spite of the claims made by them here and there about their priority to the Vedas, about their own value and efficacy, the same attitude towards the Veda as the Upanisads do. They treat the Vedas as authoritative and enjoin

¹⁴⁶³ तदेतहचारयुक्तम् । क्रियावन्तः श्रोत्रिया ब्रह्मिनद्याः स्वयं जुद्धत एकर्षि अद्ध-यन्त । तेपामेनेता ब्रह्मविद्या बदेत शिरोवर्त विधिवसेस्तु चीर्णम् ॥ मुण्डकोषः III 2 10 शिरोवत is carrying fire on the head (according to Atharvana rules) The देवीभागवत (XI 9. 12–13) romarks 'आग्निरिस्यादिभिर्मन्त्रैः पद्मि सुद्धेन भस्मना। सर्वाङ्गोद्धूलन सुर्यात् शिरोवतसमाद्धयम् ॥ 'यावद्विद्योद्ध्यस्तानत्तस्य विद्या खलूत्तमा॥ । The six अथविशिरस् mantras are. अग्निरिति भस्म, वायुरिति भस्म, जलमिति भस्म, स्थलमिति भस्म, स्थोमेति भस्म, सर्व ह वा इद्ध भस्म।

^{1464.} तमेत वेदाख्यन्वनेन ब्राह्मणा विनिदिपन्ति यह्नेन दानेन तपसाऽनाशकेन । खुर. उप. IV 4. 22, vide गीता 18. 5 यह्मदानतप्रकर्म न त्यास्य कार्यमेव तत्। यह्मी दान तपश्चेष पावनानि मनीषिणास्॥,

the employment of Vedic mantras in many rites. The author contributed a paper to the Dr. Kunhan Raja Presentation volume pp. 5-8 on the 'Vedic mantras and legends employed in Purānas' citing illustrations from the Brahmapurana. A few illustrations from other Puranas may be set out here. The Matsyapurana (chap. 93) when describing the procedure of the homa to the nine planets prescribes nine Vedic mantras, five of which are different from those provided by Yaj. (I. 300-301). Vide p. 750 above for a comparative table of the two sets of mantras. the Udvāhatattva 1465 Raghunandana remarks that the mantras 'ā krsnena' and others are common to persons following the four Vedas and that Bhavadevabhatta held the same view. Matsya prescribes that when inauspicious birds (like an owl) or animals cry near a house or enter it, a homa should be performed, or five brāhmanas should engage in a japa 1466 of the hymn beginning with 'Devah kapota' (Rg. X. 165. 1-5). In describing the whole procedure of the establishment of images of gods or the linga (of Siva), the Matsya (chapter 265) prescribes numerous Vedic verses and hymns for the different parts of the ceremony. For example, for bringing about the purification of the image four mantras are prescribed viz. Rg. VII. 49. 1-3 and X. 9.1; in providing for the placing of a jar full of water near the head of the bed on which the image is to be placed, two mantras 'Apo devī' (Vāj S. 12.35, Tai. S. IV. 2 32) and 'āpo asmān mātarah' (Rg. X. 17. 10) are to be recited. Matsya (265. 24-29) prescribes for the japa of several $s\overline{u}ktas$ (hymns) by four door-keepers learned in the Vedas that are to stand in the four main directions. The Agnipurana (41.6-8) providing for the laying down of baked bricks or stones in building a temple prescribes the recital of many Vedic mantras, viz. Rg. X. 9. 1-3, Rg. X. 9. 4, Rg. IX. 58. 1-4, Pāvamānī verses (either Rg. IX. 1. 1-10 or verses from Rg. IX), Rg. I. 24.15, Rg. IV. 31. 1, Vāj S. IV. 36 (Varunasya), Rg. IV. 40.5 and the Śrisūkta (of 29 verses

¹⁴⁶⁵ गृहहोंने तु आ कुष्णेनेत्यादिमन्त्राः सर्वेवद्साधारणाः धुराणोक्तत्वात्। तथा च मत्त्वयुराणम् । आ कुष्णेनिति सूर्याय होनः कार्यो हिजन्मना । " केतुं कुष्पत्रिति कुर्यात् केतृना- सुपनान्तये॥ अत एव भवदेवभट्टेनापि तथा लिखितम् ॥ उद्घाहतस्य (Jiv II p 126). The martras are taken from the Reveda, Tai S., Vāj S. What रचुनन्दन emphasizes is that whatever Veda may be studied by a man and his family, he has to employ the mantras specified by Matsya when he performs a grahahoma.

^{1466.} सृगपक्षितिकारेपु कुर्योन्ह्रोमं सदक्षिणम् । देवाः कपोत इति वा जप्तव्याः पञ्चार्थि हिँजैः॥ मल्स्य 237. 13

beginning with 'Hiranyavarnām harinīm'). The Nāradiyapurāna (II. 73. 83-90) contains at end of each of the verses parts of vedic prayer, which occur in Rg. VII. 66.16, Tai. Ār. IV. 4. 2-5 and Vāj. S. 36. 24; Bhāgavata I. 2. 21 (bludyate &c.) is taken from Mundaka Up. II. 2. 8.

The Puranas not only prescribe Vedic mantras for various purposes, but suggest the employment of numerous Paurānika It appears that Paurānika mantras came to be mantras. employed along with Vedic mantras in religious rites even of Brāhmanas at the beginning of the Christian era or within a few centuries after Christ Yaj. I. 229 prescribes that the Visve Devas should be invited to come to a śrāddha with the rk, 'O Visve-Devas! Come, listen to this my call, sit down on these kuśas' (Rg. II, 41.13). On this the Mitaksara (about 1100 A. D.) remarks that along with the Vedic mantra mentioned by Yāj, a smārta mantra should also be employed viz. the mantra 1467 'āgacchantu mahābhāgā,' which occurs in Skanda and Garudapurana. Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. p. 440 note 984 for the ascription of this verse to various authorities. The Vayupurana 1468 prescribes that the mantra 'adoration to the devatās, to pitrs, to the great Yogins, to $svadh\bar{a}$ and $sv\bar{a}h\bar{a}$: they are always present' should be recited thrice at the beginning and at the end of śrāddha and at the time of offering pindus; the pitrs quickly come when the mantra is repeated and goblins run away; this mantra protects the pitrs in all three worlds'. This mantra is styled 'Saptārcıs' (having seven flames) in Vāyu 74. 20, Brahmanda III. 11. 30 and in Visnudharmottara I. 140. 68 and by Hemādri on śrāddha pp. 1079 and 1208, who notes that it occurs in seven Puranas. In chap. 206 of the Agni in the procedure of offering arglya to the star Agastya (Canopus) Rg. I. 179. 6 has been adopted as verse 13.

¹⁴⁶⁷ The सन्त्र is: आगन्छन्तु महाभागा विश्वेदवा महाचलाः। ये यत्र विहिता. श्राद्धे सावधाना भवन्तु ते॥. This is गर्वहपुराण I, 218, 7, but q, by अपरार्क on p 478 from बृहस्पृति and on p. 481 from ब्रह्मपुराण.

^{1468.} The mantra is. वेबताम्यः पितृत्यश्च महायोगिम्य एव च। नमः स्वधाये स्वाहाये निरम्मेव भवस्युत ॥ वासु 74 15-16. Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 458-459 note 1020 for all the verses in relation to this mantra and the puranas where they occur. In the printed ब्रह्माण्ड III 11. 17-18 the मन्त्र is वेबताम्यः "एव च। नमः स्वाहाये स्वधाये निरम्मेव भवस्युत. Some read निरम्मेव नमी नमः. The मिता on पा. 121 says that this mantra should be employed by sudras in all the five daily sacrifices according to some, while others said that the sudra was only to utter the word नमः.

Not only are mantras from Vedic Samhitäs prescribed by the Purānas for certain rites, but Upanisad passages also sometimes with slight variance are bodily transferred into some of the Purānas. For example, Kūrma II. 9.12,13 and 18 embody Tai. Up. II. 4 (yato vāco nivartante), Švetāśvataropa, III. 8 (vedāham-etam purusam) and VI. 11 'eko devah'. The Visnupurāna VI. 5. 65 is 'dve vidye veditavye iti cātharvanī śrutiḥ' and contains a passage of the Mundaka Up. I.1.4 (vide noie 1461 above). The Vāyu 20.5 (pranavo dhanuh) and 20.28 (Ajāmekām) are respectively Mundakopa. II, 2.4 and Śvetāśvatara-up. IV. 5. Vāyu 14.13 (sarvataḥ pānipādāntam) is almost the same as Śvetāśvatara Up. III. 16 and Vāmana 47. 64-65 has the same verse. Vāmana 47. 67 is almost the same as Rg. I. 10.1.

This gives rise to interesting questions. The śūdras had no right to study the Veda. But as a matter of fact the Purānas contain as exemplified above a good many Vedic mantras. It is stated in the Bhāgavata 1468a 'women, śūdras and brāhmanas in name only are beyond the pale of the three Vedas; therefore the sage (Vyāsa) composed through compassion for them the Bhārata tale'. The Devibhāgavata states 'study of the Veda

¹⁴⁶⁸ व. श्रीशुद्धविजनम्यूनां श्रयी न श्रुतिगोत्वरा । "तस्माद्धारतमाख्यानं कृपया सुनिना कृतम् ॥ भागवत I. 4. 25 q. by परिभाषाभक्ताका p. 37, which remarks वेटकार्यकारित्वा-वगमाद्भारतस्य वेदकार्यात्मज्ञानकारित्वसिद्धिः । स्त्रीशुद्धिज्ञजन्यूना न वेदश्रवणं मतम् । तेषामेव हितार्थाय पुराणानि कृतानि च। देवीभागवत I. 3. 21. इाड्र राचार्य is careful to point out on Vedantasütra I. 3, 38 that südras have no adhıkara for brahmavıdya based on the study of the Veda. But he does not deny to the sudras the knowledge of the Self altogether He refers to the instances of Vidura and Dharmavyadha that were possessed of the knowledge of brahma due to the effects of their former lives, states that they would secure the result of brahmajñana (viz moksa, final liberation from samsara), that sudras have the right to learn from the Mahabharata and Puranas as stated in 'he should read to the four varnas' and that in that way they might secure knowledge of brahma and moksa. " येषां पुनः पूर्वकृतसंस्कारवज्ञाद्विदुरधर्मव्याधमभृतीनाः ज्ञानोत्पत्ति-स्तेषां न शक्यते फलगाप्तिः प्रतिषेद्धं ज्ञानस्यैकान्तिकफलत्वात् । 'आवयेच्चतुरी वर्णाच् १ हति चेतिहासपुराणाधिगमे चातुर्वर्ण्यस्याधिकारस्मरणात् । वेदपूर्वकस्त नारत्यधिकारः श्रद्धाणामिति स्थितम् ॥ भाष्य on वे. सू 1, 3, 38. On वे सू. III, 4 36 शङ्कराचार्य refers to the woman वाचक्रवी as one who had the knowledge of brahma 'रेक-बाचक्रवी-प्रभृतीनामेवंभूतानामापे बह्मविन्वश्रुत्युपलब्धेः १. गार्गी वान्यक्षवी figures as a great seeker after brahma in the Br. Up. III. 6. 1, III. 8. 1 and 12. The महाभारत says that what it dilates upon as to the पुरुषार्थंड, धर्म, अर्थ, काम and मोक्ष, is found elsewhere and that what it does not contain on those subjects can be found nowhere else and that the Mahabharata should be listened to by him who desires moksa, by brahmanas, kings and pregnant women (Svargarohanaparva 5. 50-51).

is not accepted for women, sudras and brahmanas in name only and Puranas are compiled for the purpose of benefitting them'. From this it follows that in the case of sudras the listening to the Bharata was deemed to bring about the same results that the Veda does for drags and that even the sudra may acquire the knowledge of the Self (and moksa) from the Bharata.

Though the brāhmanas in the 5th and following centuries A. D wanted to placate the śūdras who were probably a majority of the whole people and to wean them away from Buddhism, they still kept a distinction between dvijas and śūdras and the only concessions made were that the śūdras could worship in the same way as dvijas did and that they could have mantras (Paurānika) in their rites and ceremonies. For example, in allowing blasma-snāna (smearing ashes on the body) the Padma (IV. 110. 286–289) provides Vedic mantras for men of the three varnas but Paurānika mantras only (Padma IV. 110. 290–293) for śūdras. The Padma 1169 further provides that śūdras could not perform prānāyāma or utter the sacred syllable 'om' but that they should substitute dhyāna in place of prānāyāma and 'Śīva' in place of 'om'.

Gradually in some matters the procedure provided in the Purānas came to supersede the ancient Vedic procedure prescribed for them Aparārka states (on p 14) that in Devapūjā one should follow the procedure prescribed in the Narasimhapurāna 1470 and the like and not the procedure of the Pāśupatas or Pāñcarātras and (on p 15) he says the same about the consecration of temples, 1471 images and the like

The Narasimhapurāna (chap. 63 5-6) says that the mantra 'om namo Nārāyanāya' enables one to secure all objects and japa of it frees a man from all sins and leads him to absorption into Visnn 1472

¹⁴⁶⁹ प्राणायामध्व प्रणव. ज्ञूदेषु न विधीयते। प्राणायामपदे ध्यानं विवेत्योङ्कारवर्णनम्॥ पद्म IV 110, 316,

¹⁴⁷⁰ नरसिंहपु॰ chap. 62 deals with the procedure of विष्णुपूजा

¹⁴⁷¹ एव प्रतिद्वायामपि पुराणाद्युक्तैवेतिकर्त्तव्यता ग्राह्या नान्या । तेपामेव व्यामिश्रधर्मे प्रमाणत्वेन भविष्यपुराणे परिज्ञातत्वात । अपरार्क p. 15.

^{1472.} किं तस्य बहुभिर्मन्त्रे. किं तस्य बहुभिर्न्नतेः । ओं नमी नारायणेति मन्त्र' सर्वार्थसाधकः ॥ इमं मन्त्र जपेश्वस्तु शुचिर्यूत्वा समाहितः । सर्वपापविनिर्म्धको विष्णुसायुज्यमाण्ययात् ॥
न्नर्सिहपु॰ 63 6-7. किं तस्य बहुभिर्मन्त्रेभिक्तिर्यस्य जनार्द्वने । नमी नारायणायेति मन्त्रः सर्वार्थसाधकः । विष्णुर्येषा जयस्तेषा कुतस्तेषा पराजय' । येषामिन्दीवरस्यामो हृद्धयस्थो जनार्द्वनः ॥
वामनपु॰ 94, 58-59, tbe मत्स्य ९३५९ 'ओं नमो नारायणेति मृत्युमन्त्र जदाहृतः । १.

The Agnipurāna (chap. 218) describes the procedure of the king's coronation and (chapter 219) sets out the Paurānika mantras (about 70) employed at the coronation. Similarly, the Visnudharmottara (II. 21) describes the procedure of coronation with Vedic mantras and (in II. 22) with 184 Paurānika verses invoking various gods, minor deities, sages, rivers &c. Medieval digests like the Rājanītiprakāśa (pp 49-83), Nītimayūkha (pp. 1-4), Rājadharmakaustubha (pp 318-363) describe the combined coronation procedure of Vedic and Paurānika mantras from the Visnu-dharmottara (vide pp. 78-79 of the H. of Dh. vol. III. for details). The Rājanītiprakāśa (pp. 430-433) prescribes numerous mantras derived from the Visnudharmottara as prayers and as blessings.

The Padmapurāṇa¹⁴⁷³ narrates the interesting story of a person called Dhanaśarmā whose father followed only the Vedic path (śrauta-mārga), who did not engage in such Paurānika prescriptions as Vaiśākhasnāna and who therefore became a horrible and distressed preta. Some of the verses are very interesting. 'I performed in my ignorance only Vedic rites and I never observed Vaiśākhasnāna in honour of God Mādhava (Visnu), nor observed a single Vaišākha Full Moon vrata which is like an axe for cutting the tree of sins that afflicts one like a conflagration fed by the fuel of sinful deeds &c.; to one who studies many šāstras and several Vedas with their extensive ancillary Literature, learning does not come, if he has not studied Purāṇas'. This shows what importance came to be attached to Purānas not only for śūdras but even for brāhmanas who performed the Vedic rites prescribed for them.

The influence of the Purānas went on increasing gradually. At first it was said 1474 that the dharma understood from the Veda was the highest, while the dharma declared in the Purānas

^{1473.} भया केवलमेवैकाश्रीतमार्गान्तसारिणा । उद्दिश्य माधवं देवं न स्नातं मासि माधवे ॥ वैदिकं केवल कर्म क्रुतमज्ञानतो मया । पापेन्धनद्वज्वालापापद्रमकुठारिका ॥ क्रुता नैकापि वैशाखी विधिना वत्स पूर्णिमा । अञ्चता यस्य वैज्ञाखी सोऽवेशाखी भवेद्यरः । दश जन्मानि च स तत्तरितर्यग्योनिषु जायते ॥ पञ्च IV. 94. 68. 88–90, बहुशाख्चं समस्यस्य बहुन्वेदान् सार्वस्तरान् । श्रुसोऽश्चतपुराणस्य न सम्यग्याति दर्शनम् ॥ पञ्च IV, 105, 13.

¹⁴⁷⁴ अतः स परमो धर्मी यो वेदाद्धिगम्यते । <u>अवर</u> स तु विज्ञेषो यः पुराणादिषु स्मृतः ॥ व्यास प. by अपरार्क p. 9, हे on ज्ञत I p 22, परिभाषायकाज्ञ p 29. The कु र. p. 39 reads 'अपरः स तु विज्ञेषो '. It may be noted that while अपरार्क read अवरः (inferior), the कु र. (about two centuries later than अपरार्क) reads अपर. (another).

and the like was inferior. This gradually changed and dharma was said to be of three kinds, Vaidika, Täntrika and Miśra and it was said in the Bhāgavata 1475 and the Padma that one may worship god Visnu in any one of the three ways that one desired. The Padma adds that the Vaidika and Miśraka methods are declared to be proper for brāhmanas and the like, while the Tāntrika method of worship is meant for a devotee of Visnu and also for śūdras. The Devībhāgavata (XI. 1.21-23) states that Śruti (Veda) and Smrti are the eyes of dharma and Purāna its heart, and that that is dharma which is declared by these three and that dharma can be found nowhere else than in these, that in Purānas sometimes what is found in Tantras is put forward as dharma, but one should not accept that.

The Bhavisya (Brāhmaparva I. 43-47) in a dialogue between Śatānīka and Sumantu) first enumerates the 18 dharma-śāstras from Manu to Atri, states that the Vedas, the śāstras of Manu and others and the angas are promulgated for the three varnas and not for the benefit of śūdras, that śūdras appear to be very much helpless; how can they be able to secure the four purusārthas? They are devoid of āgama (traditional lore); what traditional lore was declared for them by the wise among the brāhmanas for enabling them to secure the three viz. dharma, artha and kāma? Sumantu replies: 1476 listen to the Dharma-śāstras that were declared by wise men for the benefit of all four varnas and specially for śūdras viz. the 18 Purānas, the life of Rāma of the Raghu race (i. e. the Rāmāyana) and the Bhārata

¹⁴⁷⁵ वैदिकस्तारित्रको मिश्र इति मे त्रिविधो मखः । त्रयाणामीप्सितेनैव विधिना मा समर्चयत् ॥ भागवत XI 27.7. q by नित्याचारपद्धति p 510, पद्म (IV. 90. 3-4) reads वैदिकः श्रीविण्णोस्त्रिविधो मखः । त्रयाणास्रुदितेनैव विधिना इरिमर्चयेत् ॥ वेदिको मिश्रको वापि विमादीनास्रुदाहत । तान्त्रिको विष्णुभक्तस्य शुद्धस्यापि प्रकीतितः ॥ Vide अग्निषु॰ 372. 34 for almost the same words as ın भागवतः Compare चुद्धहारीतस्यृति 11 77 'श्रीत-स्मार्तागमीर्विण्णोश्चिविध पूजनं स्मृतम् । एतन्द्रीतं ततः स्मार्त गौरुषेण च यरस्यतम् ॥ गः

¹⁴⁷⁶ चहुर्णामणि वर्णाना यानि पोक्तानि श्रेयसे । धर्मशास्त्राणि राजेन्द्र शृणु तानि चृपोक्तम ॥ विशेषतश्च शूट्राणा पावनानि मनीषिमि ॥ अष्टादश पुराणानि चरितं राधवस्य च । रामस्य कुरुशार्द्रेल धर्मकामार्थिसिद्धये । तथोक्त भारत वीर पाराश्चर्यण धीमता । वेदार्थ सकल योज्य धर्मशास्त्राणि च मभी । कृपालुना कृत शास्त्रं चतुर्णामिह श्रेयसे । वर्णाना भवमञ्चाना कृत पोतो द्यान्तमम् । भविष्य, बाह्मपर्व 1 53-57, श्रा कि को p. 66 quotes the verses अष्टादश धीमता The भागतत also says that the whole meaning of the Veda was put forward under the name Bhārata, in which even women and उर्धानक होतो what dharma is . 'भारतव्ययदेशेन ह्याम्नायार्थश्च द्वितः । दश्यते यत्र धर्मावि स्वीशूद्वादिभिरत्युत ॥ भागतत I 4 29. Vide, above p 870 and note 1408 about the lack of antiquity in the case of this passage from Bhavişya (Bfāḥmaparva),

declared by Parāsara's son (Vyāsa), the compassionate Vyāsa composed a sastra for the benefit of all four varnas in which he comprehended the entire meaning of the Veda and the Dharmasastras: it is an unparalleled boat for all that are engulfed in samsāra. This makes it clear that the Puranas, the Mahabharata and the Rāmāvana embody pristine traditions and thoughts and were deemed to have been composed as the instruments of the education and enlightenment of the common people. matter of fact we find that some Puranas like Agni. Matsya. Visnudharmottara are encyclopaedic and include treatises on politics and government. on law. medicine. astronomy, astrology, poetry, music, sculpture &c. They illustrate India's life and character as a country and exemplify Bharata's achievements, weaknesses and shortcomings. Two questions that arise are: (1) could the Puranas including the Vedic mantras quoted therein be read by the sūdras themselves; (2) supposing that Vedic mantras could not be read by the sudras, could they, if they were able to do so, by themselves read the puranas without a brāhmana's help. All writers of digests and commentaries were agreed that śūdras could not read or listen to the Vedic mantras contained in the Puranas (which being meant for the benefit of all varnas contained Vedic mantras also), but only those that belonged to the three upper classes. Some writers, however, were agreeable to śūdras reciting Paurānika mantras in religious rites, relying on a passage of the Padmapurana. others like Kamalākarabhatta, author of the Nirnayasindhu and Śūdrakamalākara, held relying on verses of the Bhavisya that Paurānika mantras alone were to be used by a brāhmana in a religious rite for a śūdra, that the śūdra was only to listen to the reading of a purana by a brahmana reader. There was a third view held by Śrīdatta and others that a śūdra could recite a paurānika mantra, but he should not himself read the Purānas and should only listen to the reading of Purānas by a brāhmana. In the times of the Dharmasūtras the only mantras employed were mostly Vedic and therefore in the case of sūdras Gautama 1477 provided that the sūdras were allowed the alternative of saying 'namah' in place of a Vedic mantra. In the centuries preceding Christ the śūdras would naturally have been attracted to Buddha's teaching as it was addressed to all including śūdras Comparatively early orthodox writers like

^{1477.} अञ्चलालोऽस्य नमस्कारो मन्त्र, । गी. X. 66; compare the quotation from the Padma in note 1469 p. 922,

Kumarıla knew that the sudras formed the largest number of professed Buddhists when he says 1478 'the dicta of Śākya and others were all opposed. except a few relating to self-restraint. charity and the like, to all the fourteen sources of learning. were promulgated by Buddha and others that had straved from the path of the three Vedas and did acts contrary (to the Veda) and that those dicta were presented by them to those who were deluded, who were outside the pale of the three Vedas, who mostly comprised the 4th varna (i.e. sūdra) and those that had lost caste'. Therefore, the learned brahmanas who wanted to wear sections of the masses (including sudras) away from Buddhist teachings composed new Paurānika mantras by the thousand and employed them in all religious rites like śrāddhas. vratas &c. It was, therefore, that earlier mbandha writers like Śrīdatta were prepared to allow śūdras to recite Paurānika 1479 mantras. But when centuries had elapsed after Buddhism had disappeared from India, orthodox brāhmana writers like Kamalākara 1480 (who wrote his N.S. in 1612 A.D.) showed a stiffer attitude by confining sudras merely to the listening to Puranas read by a brahmana and by not allowing them even to recite a Paurānika mantra. It may be noted that the Narasimhapurana in laying down the duties of sudras provides that they should listen to the reading of puranas by a brahmana

^{1478.} शाक्यादिवचनानि त कतिपयदमदानादिवचनवर्ज सर्वाण्येव समस्तचतुर्दशिया-स्थानविष्णाने व्यामार्गन्यस्थितिविष्णाने स्थानविष्णाने व्यामार्गन्यस्थितिविष्णाने स्थानविष्णाने व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थति व्यामार्गन्यस्थानं व्याम्यान्यस्थानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं व्याम्यानं विष्णानं विष्णान

^{1479.} अत्र केचित्। वैदिक्तमन्त्रो तित्रस्य पोराणस्तु झूद्रेः पठनीयः – न हि वेदेष्वधि-कारः कच्चित्र्झूद्रस्य दृश्यते। पुराणेष्यधिकारो मे दक्षितो ब्राह्मणीरहः – दति तत्रैव पाद्योक्ते-रिस्याहुः। गोडा अप्येवम्। नि. सि p. 392.

^{1480.} अध्येतन्य ब्राह्मणेन वैद्येन समियेण च। श्रीतन्यमेन झूद्रेण नाध्येतन्य कदाचन। श्रीतं स्मार्त च वे धर्म मोक्तमसिनन्त्रपोक्तम। तस्मान्ड्यूट्रेनिना निम न श्रीतन्य कदाचनित तनेव पुराणाथिक्तारे भनित्योक्तेश्व। ते तेन पौराणमन्त्राणामेन निमेण पाठो न वैदिकानामिति सिद्धम। कि सि p 392 The printed भनित्व (ब्राह्म) 1. 72-74 are अध्येतन्य न चान्येन ब्राह्मण सचियं निना। श्रोतन्यमेन झुद्रेण नाध्येतन्यं कदाचन। "श्रोत स्मार्त "कदाचन॥ These are q by श्रा. कि की. p. 76. पुराणअन्त्रण निमान्नरसिंहस्य पूजनम् ।, नर्निंहरम् प्राण 58, 13

(reader) and should worship Narasimha (avatāra of Visnu). The relation of Sruti. Smrti and Puranas and their spheres of application are summed up in the Naradivapurana as follows:-"The Veda exists in different forms: there is the Veda which has the performance of sacrificial rites (as its sphere); smrtis are the Veda for the householder's stage: both those are centered in (or supported by) the Puranas. Just as this wonderful world sprang from the ancient Being (God), there is no doubt that all this literature grose from the Puranas. I hold that the meaning (or purpose) of the Puranas is more extensive (or superior to) than the meaning (or purpose) of the Veda. All the Vedas always rest on the Puranas; the Veda is afraid of the man of little learning (with the thought that) that man may harm it. The Veda does not deal with the movements of the planets, nor does it contain correct calculations about the proper times (for religious acts), nor does it deal with the tetherrddhe or tetheksaya, nor with the determination of the parrans (amāvāsyā, pūrnimā &c.) or eclipses. Determinations about these were formerly made in Itihāsa and Purānas. What is not seen in the Vedas is all noticed in the Smrtis: what is not seen in both is declared in the Purānas. What is declared by the Vedas and what is declared by the auxiliary lores - it is Veda that is declared by Smrtis and Puranas. The person who looks upon Puranas in any other light would be born as a lower animal'. 1481 Nāradīyapurāna 1482 further states 'the merit acquired by those wicked men who speak about Purānas as artharādas (mere laudatory 1483 or condemnatory statements) are destroyed and

^{1481.} शुष्ठ मोहिनि महाक्यं वेदोयं बहुधा स्थित'। यज्ञकर्मक्रिया वेदः स्पृतिवेंदो यहाश्रमे ॥ स्पृतिवेंदो क्रियावेदः प्रराणेषु प्रतिष्ठितः। पुराणपुच्चाज्ञातं यथेदं जगदञ्ज्ञतम्॥ तथेदं वाद्मपं जातं प्रराणेम्यो न संश्वायं। वेदार्थादिषिकं सन्ये पुराणार्थं वरानने ॥ वेदाः प्रतिष्ठिताः सर्वे पुराणार्थं वरानने ॥ वेदाः प्रतिष्ठिताः सर्वे पुराणेष्वेव सर्वदा। विभेत्यल्पश्चताद्वेदो नामयं प्रहरिष्यति ॥ न वेदे प्रहसञ्चारो न श्चाद्धः काल्यबोधिनी । तिथिन्नत्वि क्षयो वापि पर्वप्रहितिर्णयः॥ इतिहासपुराणेस्तु निश्चयोयं कृतः पुरा। यज्ञ दृष्टं हि वेदेषु तत्सर्वं लक्ष्यते स्मृतौ ॥ उभयोर्येज्ञ दृष्टं हि तत्पुराणे. प्रणीयते। "यहेदेगीयते सुभ्रु उपाङ्गेर्यव्याते ॥ पुराणे स्मृतिभिश्चेव वेद एव निगयते। पुराणमन्यथा मत्वा तिर्यग्योनिमवान्तः यात्॥ नारदीयपुराण II 24. 15–24 Some of these verses (such as वेदाः प्रतिष्ठिताः "गामवं चालविष्यति and यञ्च दृष्टं "गीयते) occur । स्कन्द्, प्रभासखण्ड, 2 90–92

^{1482.} पुराणेष्वर्थवाद्दत्वं ये वदन्ति नराघमाः। तैर्राजतानि पुण्यानि क्षयं यान्ति द्विजी-त्तमाः। समस्तकर्मनिर्मूलसाधनानि नराधमाः। पुराणान्वर्ययादेन (पुराणान्वर्यवादेन ?) झुवन् नरकमश्चते॥ नारदीय 1 1 57-59.

¹⁴⁸³ The great अर्थवादाधिकरण is जै I. 2. 1-18. There are Vedic passages like 'सोऽरोदीचद्ररोदीचद्रुद्धर घट्टब्स् (तै सं I. 5 1 1), 'स आत्मनो वपाद्यदिक्दित् (तै. सं. II, 1 1), 'देवा वै देवयजनमध्यवसाय दिशो न पाजानन् (तै. सं. (Continued on next page)

the wicked man who regards as arthavādas the Purānas that are the means of uprooting (the evil effects of) all acts, reaches Hell'.

The Puranas introduced several striking changes in the religious rites, practices and ideals of the people. The most characteristic thought and the keynote of the Puranas is to declare how great rewards and results could be secured with little effort. The Visnupurana (VI. 2) narrates how sages approached Vyasa with the question 'in what age does a little dharma yield very great rewards'? Vyāsa was bathing in the Ganges; he came out, uttered 'śūdra is good and Kali is good' and then again plunged into the river: then he again came out and said 'well done, O śūdra! you are blessed'; he again plunged into the river, came out and said 'women are good and blessed: who is more blessed than they'. When he finished his bath and performed his morning rites, the sages asked him to explain what he meant by calling Kali, śūdras and women good and blessed. He replied: 'a man secures in a single day and night in Kali age as much reward of tapas, celibacy and japa as is obtained in ten years in Krta age, in one year in Tretā and in a month in Dyapara; therefore, I spoke of Kali as good; in Kali age a man secures merely by the glorification or incessant repetition of the name of Kesava what he would secure by deep meditation in Krta, by sacrifices in Treta, and by worship in Dyāpara; I am pleased with Kali because a man secures great eminence of dharma with a little effort Persons of the three higher varnas have to study the Vedas after observing many strict rules, then they have to perform sacrifices which require wealth, they incur sin if they do not perform their duties properly; they cannot eat and drink as they please, but are dependent on the observance of many rules as to food &cc.; dvijas secure higher worlds after great trouble; the śūdra secures his worlds by serving the three varnas, he has the right to offer the pākayajnas (without mantras) and therefore he is more blessed than a dvija He has not to observe strict rules about proper and disallowed food or drink and therefore he was

⁽Continued from last page)

VI 1 5 1), 'तराति बहाइत्यां योऽश्वनेधन यजते' (ते स V.3 12 2), 'न पृथिध्यान्मशिश्वेतस्यो नान्तरिक्षे न दिवि । ते सं V.2 7. The question is are these passages to be taken literally or do they convey any meaning? The reply is .' विधिना स्वेत्वाषस्यात् स्तृत्यर्थेन विधीना स्तु ' (जे 1 2 7) i.e. they are laudatory and are meant to praise vidhis.

declared 'good' by me. A woman by serving her husband in thought, word, and deed secures with less trouble the same worlds that her husband secures with great effort and trouble and therefore I said a third time about women that they were The acquistion of dharma is secured with small trouble in Kali age by men who wash off all their sins by the water in the form of the qualities of their soul; sūdras do the same by being intent on service to dviias and women also secure the same without trouble by service to their husbands. Therefore all these three are regarded by me as most blessed.'1484 The Brahmapurana chapter 229 verses 62-80 are identical with Visnupurāna VI, 2. 15-30 and 34-36. The Visnupurāna emphasizes that each one must do one's duty in the society in which one is born or one's duty which one has undertaken, that, if a person does this, he reaches the same higher worlds, whether he be a brāhmana or a śūdra. This doctrine is the same as taught in the Bhagavadgītā 1485 18. 45 and 46 'a person secures the highest perfection (final emancipation) by being intent on carrying out the duties appropriate to him; man secures perfection (or bliss) by worshipping with the performance of his peculiar duties (not with flowers and the like or by words) Him from whom all beings proceed and by whom all this (world) is enveloped'. Ancient works like the Vedas. Jaimini's sutras on Mimāmsā and the Vedāntasutras did not consider or discuss how women or śūdras were to secure higher spiritual life and final Beatitude. The Vedāntasūtra (I. 3.34-38) denies to the śūdra the right to study the Veda and the Upanisads. Buddha's teaching held out the same promise of liberation from suffering

¹⁴⁸⁴ Some of the verses are striking and they are therefore quoted here: ध्यायन्कृते यजनयज्ञेन्द्रोतायां द्वापरेऽर्चयन्। यद्याभीति तद्यामीति कली संकीर्य केशवम् ॥ धर्मोक्तर्पनिताच मामीति प्रवयः कली। अल्पायासेन् धर्मज्ञास्तेन तृष्टीस्म्यहं कलेः ॥ "जयन्ति ते निर्जाहोकान् कृशेन महत्ता द्विजाः॥ द्विजञ्जश्रूययैवैष पाक्तयज्ञाधिकारवान्। निजाश्चपति वे तिर्जाहोकान् कृशेन महत्ता द्विजाः॥ द्विजञ्जश्रूययौवैष पाक्तपञ्चाधिकारवान्। निजाश्चपति वे तिर्मासित् प्रयापेयेषु वे यतः। नियमो द्विनद्यार्ट्वलान्स्तेनासी साध्वितीरितः। "योपिव्छश्रूयणाद्वर्द्धः कर्मणा मनस्सा निरा। तद्विता द्वाममामीति तस्सान्त्रीक्षेत्रं यतो द्विजाः॥ निर्वाहिकोने महता तानेन पुच्चो पथा। तृतीर्यं व्याद्वतं तेन मम्पासिति तस्सान्त्रीयितः॥ "स्वर्णेन हि मपत्नेन धर्मः सिष्टपति वे कली। नरेरात्मग्रणाम्मोभिः शालिताव्यत्विक्तिवित्यः॥ इद्येश्व द्विजञ्जश्रूया तत्पर्रेद्विजसत्तमा । तथा श्रीभिरनायासात् पतिञ्जश्रूपपेन हि । ततिवतयसप्येतम्मम धन्यतरं मतम्। धर्मसम्पादने क्षेत्रो द्विजातीना भ्रवादिषु॥ विष्युषु VI 2. 17–18, 22–24, 28–28, 34–36. The कल्पतर् (यहस्थकाण्ड pp 272–273) quotes from विष्णु VI 3. 22–24 पारतन्त्रय"रितः (तम् v.1.). The editor of कल्पतर् प्रवर्णन प्रतिले स्वर्णतर्व प्रा

¹⁴⁸⁵ से स्वे कर्मण्यभिरतः संसिद्धि लभते नरः। '''यतः पद्यत्तिर्भूतानां येन सर्वामिदं ततम्। स्वक्षमणा तमम्यर्च्य सिद्धिं विन्दृति मानवः॥ भगवद्गीता 18. 45–46.

to all men irrespective of class or caste and was therefore most The Bhagavadgitā and the Purānas attractive to sūdras. changed the whole outlook of Indian society, high or low, and promised the same higher spiritual life or worlds to all who did their work under a sense of social duty, did not hanker after mundane rewards and brought all their actions, in whatever avocation they might be engaged, as an offering to God. In the Padmapurāna 1486 Vyāsa 18 made to say to Yudhisthira: "It is not possible to observe in the Kali age the rules of Dharma laid down by Manu and by the Vedas: the one thing which one should do is to observe a fast on Ekādaśi in both fortnights (of a month), which is an easy means (that) requires little wealth, that entails little trouble. but yields great rewards, that is the very essence (of the teachings) of all Puranas; he should be pure and on Dyādasī after worshipping Kesaya with flowers he should first feed brahmanas and then himself take his meal: those who desire to secure heaven should perform this yrata throughout their lives; even persons of evil conduct, the greatest sinners devoid of dharma, do not go to Yama (do not fall into hell) if they fast on Ekādasī." The Sūtasamhitā states 'effort for acquiring true knowledge (of the Self) is meant for all (for persons even lower than sudras), that effort made by explaining in a different language (than Sanskrit) and by the lapse of enough time will tend to the good (of the lowest)'. This clearly shows how the Puranas put before all people easy ways whereby they could attain bliss in the Hereafter.

The Baud ¹⁴⁸⁷ Dh. S., Manu and Vasistha emphasize that one should not invite a large company of brāhmanas at a śrāddha, because a large company destroys these five (advantages) viz showing proper respect to invitees, propriety of place and time, cleanliness and the securing of worthy brāhmanas and

^{1486.} वेद्व्यास उपाच। श्रुताये मानवा धर्मा वेदिकाश्र श्रुतास्त्या। कली सुने न क्षाम्यन्ते ते ये कर्ति नराविष॥ सुर्गोषायमल्पधनमल्पक्षेत्रां महाफलस् । पुराणाना च सर्वेषां सारभूतं महामते॥ एकादश्या न श्रुश्वीत पक्षयोत्तम्योरिषि। द्वादश्यां तु श्रुचिर्मूत्वा पूर्वोः सपुश्य केशवस्॥ सुश्रीत फ्रुत्कश्रायस्त पश्चाद्विमपुर सरम् । ""यावज्ञीनं व्रतमिद कर्तव्यं पुरुपर्वभा स्वर्गति प्राप्तृतिच्छद्धिस्त्र मे नास्ति संशयः॥ अपि पापदुराचाराः पापिष्टा धर्मवर्गिताः। एकादश्या न सुश्रीत्व न ते यान्ति यमान्तिकस् ॥ पत्र VI 53 4-9. These verses are quoted as from महाभारत by देः on व्रत vol. I p 1089. Vide p 44 note 108 above for some of these verses, अन्येपामपि संर्पा ज्ञानग्यासी निर्धायते। मापान्तरेण क्षालेन तेषा सोप्युपकारकः॥ स्तसहिता I 7, 22.

¹⁴⁸⁷ सित्भिया देशकालों च शोचं ब्राह्मणसम्पद् । पद्मैतास् निस्तरो इन्ति तस्तान्नेदेत निस्तरम् ॥ मन्तु III 26, क्रूमेपुराण II 22. 27, त्री व स् II 4 30, वसिष्ठ XI. 28 (tho last two read the 4th pada as 'तस्मात्त परिवर्जयेत्')

it was further provided by the Anuśāsana-parva 1488 and others that one should not go deep into examining the learning, family and character of brahmanas in a rite for the gods, but in rites for the Manes close examination as to these matters is proper (or justified). The Puranas went against both these prescriptions. They are not tired of recommending again and again profuse expense in śrāddhas and condemning stinginess (lit. roguishness in spending money) in śrāddhas and also in such other matters as the observances of Ekadaśi. For example, the Visnupurāna 1489 quotes nine verses (III, 14 22-30) as uttered by the pitrs, two of which may be translated here 'Would that a wise and blessed person be born in our family who not indulging in stinginess in spending wealth will offer pindas to us and who would donate to brahmanas for our sake jewels, clothes, a large conveyance, wealth and all enjoyments if he has riches'! Padma 1490 recommends that avoiding stinginess brings pleasure to the pitrs. The Matsya (56.11) prescribes that one should not show stinginess in the Krsnastamivrata. The Padma savs that that bad man who being possessed of wealth celebrates the nācara on Ekādašī in a close-fisted wav loses his soul. The Brahmapurāna 1491 says in a general way that whoever does a religious act with stinginess is a sinner.

Manu (III. 149) provides that 'in rites in honour of gods one who knows dharms should not critically examine the brahmanas to be invited for dinner, but in a rite for ancestors one should carefully investigate (the fitness of) brahmanas'. This does not mean that in rites for gods any one may be invited. We have to observe the general rule of Manu (III. 128) that donors should give only to a man who has studied the Veda

^{1488.} ब्राह्मणाच परीक्षेत क्षत्रियो दानधर्मिवत् । दैवे कर्मिण पिज्ये तु न्याय्यमाहुः परीक्षणम्॥ अद्धशासन् 90 2, q. by हे on आद्ध p 511, दैवे कर्मिण ब्राह्मणं न परीक्षेत । प्रयत्नात्यिज्ये परीक्षेत । विष्णुधर्मस् 82 1–2, न ब्राह्मणान् परीक्षेत सदा देये तु मानवः । दैवे कर्मिण पिज्ये च श्रुयते वे परीक्षणम् । वायु 83, 51.

^{1489.} अपि धन्यः कुले जायादस्माकं मतिमान्नरः। अकुर्वन वित्तशाट्यं यः पिण्डाचो निर्वापिक्यति॥ रत्नं वश्चं महायानं सर्वभोगादिक वद्धः। विभने सति विभेग्यो थोऽस्मान्नद्दिश्य दास्यति॥ विष्णु III. 14, 22–23, वराह् 13, 50–51 (reads सर्वे तोयादिकं वद्ध). All the following seven verses are the same in both पुराजार. Verses III, 14 24–30 are q. by आ क्रि. को pp. 253–254 and are also explained.

^{1490.} वित्तशाठ्येन रहितः पितुम्यः शीविमाहरन् । पञ्च I, 9, 181; धनवान् वित्तशाठ्येन यः करोति प्रजागरम् । तेनात्मा हारितो नूनं कितवेन दुरात्मना ॥ एस VI 39, 21,

^{1491.} वित्तज्ञाठ्येन यो धर्म करोति स तु पातकी। ब्रह्म 123, 174,

dinner in rites for gods or pitrs. So what Manu III. 149 means is that deep investigation of ancestry &c. is not necessary in rites for gods.

It is provided by the Vāyu ¹⁴⁹² that there is to be no examination of the qualities and character of the brāhmanas at Gayā and the Varāhapurāna provides that all brāhmanas of Mathurā are like gods and that a brāhmana of Mathurā not knowing even a rk verse is superior to a brāhmana of another place that has studied the four Vedas. Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 579, 670 for details of Gayā and Mathurā brāhmaṇas. The Padmapurāna and the Skanda (Kāṣikhanḍa 6.56-57) recommend that at tīrthas (sacred places) one should not engage in investigation (of the worth) of brāhmanas, and that Manu declares that brāhmaṇas appearing (at a tīrtha) and desiring food should be fed. ¹⁴⁹³

It is not unlikely that the above passages from the Vayu, Varāha and Padma are later interpolations. When Buddhism was flourishing large companies of Buddhist monks were fed by the people. When Buddhism declined and disappeared from India after the 12th or 13th century A. D. people came to believe that there was great merit in feeding poor brahmanas just as in former times people fed monks and the Puranas might have only echoed and emphasized the general sentiments of the people. If the people in general had not come to believe in this. the author feels that no amount of interpolations or insistence by the socalled crafty brahmanas would have been effective. Western or Indian, that, relying on the notions current in the 19th and 20th centuries, pass severe and unmeasured structures on the provisions for brahmanas in the Puranas do great injustice to the authors of Puranas that flourished about a thousand years ago or more. Such writers should compare Indian medieval conditions, ideas and doings of brahmanas with the claims of the Popes, Christian priests, Inquisitions and the state of monastic Orders in Europe from about the 10th century A. D. to the 15th century. In comparison it would be

^{1492.} न पिचार्ष कुछ शीलं नित्रां च तप एर च । पूजितेमीखु राजेन्द्र सुर्त्ति मानाते। मानातः ॥ बाद्य 82. 27, अन्तर्य मानुरो यत्र चतुर्वेद्दस्तवापरः । वेद्वेश्वतुर्धिनं च म्यान्मानुरेण समः कचित् ॥ "मनुराया ये धमन्ति विष्णुरुपा हि ते नराः ॥ वराह 165. 55 and 57.

^{1493.} तीर्वेषु ब्राह्मण नेत्र परीक्षेत कथचन। अस्तार्विनमन्त्राप्त भीज्य ते मनुस्वरीत्। पद्म V. 29. 212. The first half is q. by आ. क्रि. की. from ब्रह्मपुराण on p. 34 and from देनीपुराण on p. 266.

found that the conditions in Europe were terribly worse than in India in those centuries. 1494

As a consequence of the abovementioned dominating principle the Purānas strongly recommend $d\bar{a}na$ (gifts, particularly of food), pilgrimages and baths in sacred waters, vratas, ahmsā, bhakti, repetition of the name of god, śrāddhas &c, These will be briefly illustrated below.

The Puranas institute a comparison between solemn Vedic sacrifices on the one hand and pilgrimages and baths at sacred places on the other. The Vanaparva 1495 contrasts the two as follows, "the solemn sacrifices promulgated by the sages cannot be accomplished by a poor man; sacrifices require many implements and a collection of various materials, which are secured by kings or sometimes by rich men, but not by poor men who have to rely on themselves alone, who have no helpers and who do not possess means. Going to holy places confers merit and surpasses sacrifices. One does not secure that reward by such sacrifices as Agnistoma, in which profuse fees are distributed, which is secured by repairing to sacred places." Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV pp. 561-564 for further encomiums of sacred places and the virtues to be cultivated for reaping the full merit of pilgrimages.

In pursuance of the same dominant principle the Anuśā-sana-parva and Purānas extol fasts and vratas. The Anuśāsana-

^{1494.} For the barbarities and abominations in every European country of the Inquisition, particularly in Spain, one may read W H. Rule's 'History of the Inquisition' (1868), particularly pp 298-314 on 'Inquisition in Goa' and Rafael Sabatini's work on 'Torquemeda and the Spanish Inquisition' (8th ed in 1937), 'the Spanish Inquisition' by Prof. A. S. Turberville (Home University Library, 1932), who is constrained to say on p. 235 that at the best the Holy Office in Spain has a terrible record of destruction; Cambridge Medieval History vol VI, chap. XX on 'Heresies and the Inquisition in the Middle Ages' (1929) pp. 699-726, Cambridge Medieval History vol VI, pp. 694-695 where it is shown that 'Indulgences' (granting forgiveness of sins and a certificate of entry in Paradise) were freely put on sale by the highest ministers of the Christian Church in the hands of licensed traders without the necessity of any confession and penitence and that they became a formidable bar to the proper working of the penitential system of the Church.

^{1495.} तीर्थाभिगमनं गुण्यं यज्ञैरापि विशिष्यते। वनपर्व 82 17, vide H. of Dh. vol, IV p. 561 n 1263 for the whole passage.

parva 1496 (107 5-6) remarks that fasts are equal to sacrifices in the matter of rewards. They are put forward as superior to sacrifices in Padma. 1497 It says: Visnuvrata is super-emment; a hundred Vedic sacrifices are not equal to it, by performing a sacrifice one may go to heaven, but one who observes the Kārti-kayrata goes to Vaikuntha (the world of Visnu) For the exaggerated importance attached to fasts and vratas, vide pp. 43-45 above.

First $d\bar{a}na$. High eulogies of gifts have been sung from the Rgveda downwards. The subject of dana (gifts) in all its aspects has been dealt with in the H of Dh. vol. II. pp. 837-888. The Mahābhārata in numerous places (particularly in the whole of Anuśasana-parva) and the Puranas such as Matsya chap 82-92 and 274-289, Agni chap. 208-213, Varaha 99-111, Padma V. 21.81-213 (which agree almost verbatim with Matsya 83-92). Padma II. 39-40 and 94, III 24, Kūrma II. 26 devote a great deal of space to the subject of $d\bar{a}nas$. But here only two topics in relation to gifts will be dealt with, viz. gifts of food and gifts to brāhmanas The Rgveda condemns the person as merely a sinful one who does not offer food to the gods nor to his friends and uses it only to fill his own belly. 1493 The Ait. Br and the Tai 1499 Br. speak of 'food' as 'prana' (life) The Baud 1500 Dh. S states "all beings depend on food, the Veda says 'food is life,' therefore food should be given (to others), food is the highest offering". Manu 1501 and Visnu Dh. S. state 'the man who cooks food only for his own sake (and not for offering to gods and men) eats merely sin'. The Bhagavadgitā carries the same message 'those who eat food left after offering in sacrifice are freed from all sins, but those who cook food for their sake alone eat sin'. The Padma has a fine passage 'those who always feed the cripple, the blind, children, old men, persons that are dis-

^{1497.} श्रेष्ठ विष्णुवर्त विम तसुल्या न शतं मखा । शुःखा ऋतुं व्रजेत्स्वर्गे बैकुण्ठं कार्तिकः ' व्रती॥ एवा III. 21 29. The same idea is repeated in एवा VI. 96 25.

¹⁴⁹⁸ मोधमज्ञं विन्द्ते अभजेता सत्यं बवीमि वध इन्स् तस्य । नार्यमणं पुष्पित नी सखाय केवलाची भवति केवलादी ॥ घर X 117. $oldsymbol{6}$.

^{1499.} अन्न प्राणमन्त्रमपानमाहु। ते वा II. 8. 8. 3. अन्तं ह प्राणः। ऐ वा 33. 1 in the fifth gatha recited by नाएक).

^{1500.} असे श्रितानि भूतानि असं माणमिति श्रुति । तस्माद्श मदातन्यमस्र हि परमं इति, ॥ चौ. घ. सू. II. 3. 68.

^{1501.} अर्घ स केवल भुंको यः पचरपारमकारणात्। मनु. III. 118, विष्णुधर्मसूत्र 67.43,

eased, helpless and pinched by penury, always enjoy bliss in heaven: there is no end to the merit (accumulated) by constructing wells and tanks, where aquatic animals and others moving on land drink water when they desire, for water is the life of living beings and life is centred in water'. The distribution of food particularly to learned brahmanas is highly praised in Brahma-purāna 1502 218, 10-32, Padma V. 19. 289-307m, Agni 211. 44-46. 'The gift of food is superior to all gifts; food is the life of men, from food spring all beings; the worlds are dependent on food, therefore food is praised: man secures heaven by the gift of food; a person who joyfully gives food acquired by just means to brahmanas deeply learned in the Veda is released from all sins' says Brahma. The Agnipurana says 'The gifts of elephants, horses, chariots, male and female slaves and houses do not come up even to a sixteenth part of the gift of food (in merit), a person who committing a great sin afterwards distributes food becomes free from all sins and secures everlasting worlds' (211. 44-46). The Kürma 1503 prescribes 'a man should give to a brahmacārin (Vedic student) food every day (i. e. when he comes begging), thereby he becomes free from all sins and reaches the abode of Brahmā'. Similarly, Padma calls upon house-holders to give as much cooked food to ascetics as would fill the begging bowl. From very ancient times a householder was called upon to perform five Yajñas (sacrifices) daily, two of which were Balharana and honouring a guest (Manu III. 70) and he was to place food on the ground for persons who had lost caste, who suffered from loathsome diseases, to candalas, dogs, crows and even insects 1504 (Ap. Dh S II. 4 9.5, Manu III. 92). Vide H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 745-747 on Vaisvadeva and Baliharana. Underlying these provisions was the noble sentiment of universal kindliness and charity, the persistent idea that, in spite of social gradations, rules and prejudices dividing

^{1502.} सर्वेषामेव दानानामन्नं श्रेष्ठसुदाहृतम्। '''प्राणा हानं मह्यव्याणां तस्माष्ट्रनतृः भजायते॥ अन्ने प्रतिद्विता लोकास्तस्मादनं प्रशस्यते। '''अन्नस्य हि प्रदानेन स्वर्गमामीति मानवः। '''न्यायेनावासमन्न हु नरो हर्षसमन्वितः। द्विजेन्यो वेदबृद्धेभ्यो दन्ना पापात्यसुन्यते। श्रद्धापुर 218 10-13, 22-23.

¹⁵⁰³ वद्याव्रस्त्रस्त्वर्षं श्रद्धया ब्रह्मचारिणे। सर्वपापविनिर्द्यक्तः ब्रह्मणः स्थानमाप्तु-यात्॥ क्रुर्मे II. 26 17 q by दानसागर p 370, य पात्रपूरणी भिक्षां यतीनां तु प्रयच्छति। विद्यक्तः सर्वपापेभ्यो नासौ दुर्गतिमाप्त्रयात्॥ पद्म V. 15, 140–141

¹⁵⁰⁴ सर्वान् वेश्वदेवे भागिनः कुर्यीता श्वचण्डालेभ्यः। आए. घ. सू. II 4. 9, 5; द्युनां च पतिताना च श्वपचा पापरोगिणाम्। वायसाना कुर्मीणां च झनकैनिर्वपेद्धवि॥ सञ्च. III. 92.

men from each other, one Supreme Light pervades and illuminates the meanest of creatures and makes the whole world kin. This spirit of a householder to regard it as his duty to offer food to all needy persons and particularly to poor and deserving students and brāhmanas has prevailed almost to the present day, though during the last few years shortage of food, high prices and rationing have undermined it a great deal.

Then as to gifts to brahmanas. In the first place, it must be remembered that all brahmanas were not priests and are not priests in modern times. Similarly, all priests in all Indian temples and shrines are not brāhmanas Temple priests are comparatively a later institution and in olden times they were looked down upon and are regarded as inferior brāhmanas even in modern times. Manu (III. 152) states that a devalaka (a brahmana who performed for remuneration service before the image in a temple) was unfit to be invited at a śrāddha or to officiate in a sacrifice to gods, if he continuously served for three years in that capacity. The ideal set before brahmanas from very ancient times was of poverty, of plain living and high thinking, of abandoning the active pursuit of riches, of devotion to the study of the Veda and sastras, of cherishing a high culture and of handing down literature and cultural outlook. Smrtis like Yai I. 213 recommend that even if a brahmana be fit for receiving a religious gift he should refuse gifts and thereby he secures the same worlds that habitual donors secure. It was for preserving such high ideals among brahmanas that Yaı I 333 prescribes that a king should make gifts of cows, gold and land and should bestow on learned brahmanas houses and requisites for marriage (maidens, expenses of marriages &c). Vide H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 856-858 where references are given to inscriptions containing donations to brahmanas of houses and marriage expenses. In these days every body talks of the high culture and literary traditions of ancient India. But who cultivated the vast Vedic and other Sanskrit literature, preserved it and propagated it for several millenia? The answer would have to be that it was mostly due to some of the brahmanas who stuck to the ancient ideal for thousands of years. If the Rgveda can be put forward in these days as the most ancient of the literary monuments in any Aryan language, who preserved its more than ten thousand verses with unparallelled care by oral transmission without hardly any variant readings. The reply will again have to be that the brahmanas did it by a

self-denying ordinance. The Brāhmanas had to study the Veda with auxiliary lores without 1505 an eye to any ulterior motive, but simply as a duty and to understand the meaning thereof. they were to teach the Veda and other lores without demanding beforehand a fee, they had to bring up their own families, perform sacrifices and make gifts themselves. The only substantial sources of income were officiating at sacrifices and religious rites and receiving gifts. These sources must always have been variable, fitful and precarious The brahmanas had no power to tax people as in the case of tithes in the West. Nor had they a regularly paid hierarchy of deacons, priests, bishops and archbishops as in the Anglican Church Therefore, the brahmanas are advised to approach the king or a rich person for their livelihood (vide Gautama IX 63 'Yogaksemārtham-īśvaram adhigaccet). It should be noted that before Buddhism spread far and wide the sutras and smrtis emphasized that religious gifts should be made only to a worthy brahmana, learned and For example, Apastamba 1506 prescribes one well-conducted. should invite for dinner in all religious acts brahmanas that are pure, that have studied the Veda and that one should distribute gifts at a proper time and place, on occasions of purificatory rites and when there is a worthy recipient.' To the same effect are Vas. Dh. S III. 8, VI. 30, Manu (III. 128, 132, IV. 31), Yāj. (I. 201). Daksa (III. 26 and 31). Not every brahmana was in those ancient times a proper recipient for a gift, but he had to possess qualities of what is called 'patra'. A few definitions of pātra may with advantage be cited here. The Anuśāsana-parva¹⁵⁰⁷ has a long passage emphasizing the qualities of a deserving brāhmana: 'gifts made to good brāhmanas that are free from anger, that are intent on dharma, are devoted to truth and self-

^{1505.} ब्राह्मणेन निष्कारणो धर्मः पडङ्गी वेदोऽस्येयो द्वीपञ्चेति। महामाष्य (Kielhorn', vol. I p 1) quotes it as आसम Vide H of Dh vol. II pp. 105-110 on the duties of brahmanas and their sources of income in ancient times,

^{1506.} शुचीज् मम्बदाः सर्वकृत्वेषु भोजयेत् । देशतः कालतः शौचतः सम्बक् पति यहीतृत इति दानानि मतिपादयति। आए. ध. सु. II 6 15 9-10 Compare मन्तु III. 98,

^{1507.} Some of the verses of अनुशासन 22 33-41 may be cited here; अक्रीधना धर्मपराः सत्यानित्या दसे रता । तादशाः साधवी विशास्त्रेग्यो दसं महाफलम्। साङ्गांध चतुरी वेदानधीते यो द्विजयेगः। पङ्ग्यः महत्त्वः कार्रेग्यसं पात्रमुपयो विदुः ॥ मज्ञाञ्चतात्र्यां द्वसेन शिंतेन च समन्तितः। तार्यत कुर्ल सर्वमेकोऽपीह द्विजयितः। "निश्चम्य" च गुणोपेतं जाद्वाणं साधुसंनतस्। दूरादानाय्य सर्वस्थापि पूजयेत् ॥ verses 33, 36, 38, 41, on पङ्ग्यः मत्त्रसः धिट com. of नीलकण्ड १८ अनुगदोक्तः मधुमांसर्वजनमर्यादापालनशोचीः सह अध्ययनपागदानेन्यः, तान्यद्वारां, मञ्जूष द्वारयेशः /

control yield great rewards; the sages regard that brahmana as vatra who studies the four Vedas with their angas (auxiliary lores like phonetics, grammar &c), who is active in doing six acts (viz abstaining from wine and meat, observing the bounds of morality, purity, study of the Veda, sacrifices, making gifts). Even a single eminent brahmana endowed with intellect. Vedic learning, good conduct and character saves the whole family: one should bring from afar a brahmana on hearing that he is possessed of good qualities and is approved by good men and should welcome him and honour him in all ways' Yau furnishes 1508 a brief but striking definition of a worthy brahmana not by Vedic learning alone nor by tapas (austere life) alone worthiness arises; that person is declared to be patra (worthy recipient for a religious gift) where both these (i. e Vedic learning and tapas) and good conduct exist' Manu provides that religious gifts given to a brahmana who has not studied the Veda or who is avarigious or deceitful are fruitless and lead the donor to hell (IV. 192-194). The Bhagayadgitā also (1722) condemns a gift given to an unworthy person as $t\overline{a}masa$ (affected by tamas, arising from ignorance or delusion).

As Buddhism grew in popularity and secured also royal support, the brahmanas had to tackle several tasks. They had to keep the number of brahmanas at a high level, they had to find maintenance for those devoted to the deep study of the Veda and they had to make accommodation with prevalent Buddhist thoughts by assimilating as many of them as possible in their own writings. Every brahmana could not possess the memory, the intelligence and the persistent endeavour for long years required for memorizing and mastering even his own Veda and its subsidiary Literature. If one hundred brahmana families were patronized, hardly ten percent of them could have been masters of their own Veda, but there was always the possibility that those who were not themselves good Vedic scholars might have sons, some of whom might turn out to be profound students of the Veda. Therefore, the number of brāhmanas was to be increased and they had to be fed and not to be allowed to fritter away energy and time in working for their bread It is mainly due to these factors that some of the Puranas contain incessant and frantic appeals for gifts to brahmanas.

^{1508.} न विद्यया केवलया तपसा धापि पात्रता। यत्र वृत्तमिमे चोभे तद्धि पात्र मकीर्तितम् । याज्ञ. I. 200.

At the time when most of the Puranas were composed, the brāhmanas were hemmed in by great difficulties and hostile forces. From about the 3rd century B.C. to the 7th century A.D. Buddhism enjoyed royal patronage under such great kings as Aśoka, Kaniska and Harsa. Buddhism was not really a revolt against caste, but against the sacrificial system, against the Veda and its authority to show the way to salvation. Buddha did not found a new religion, but he was a great reformer of Hinduism. He laid sole stress on moral effort, ahimsā. satya &c. which had already been integrated into Hinduism and were part of it and which continue to this day to be part of Hinduism. Buddha in his first sermon in the deer-park near Banaras preached that one who renounced the world should shun two extremes 1509 viz. the pursuit of pleasures and the practice of useless austerities, that it is the course discovered by him that He expounded the four noble led to wisdom and ninvana. Axioms or Truths (Arvasatvāni) viz. suffering (duhkha), the cause of suffering 1510 viz. tanhā i.e. trsnā (duhkha-samudaya). the suppression of suffering (duhkha-nirodha), and the way that leads to the suppression of suffering (duhkha-nirodhagāmini patipadā). This last is the Noble Eight-fold path (astāngiko 1510a

^{1509.} For the two extremes to be shunned, vide Dhammacakka-ppayattana-sutta (mauguration of the kingdom of Righteousness) S B. E. vol XI. p 146.

^{1510.} It may be noted that in the Upanisads and महाभारत the giving up of तृष्णा or काम is emphasized Vide यदा सर्वे प्रसुन्यन्ते कामा येऽस्य हृदि स्थिताः। अध मत्यों अमृतो भवत्यत्र ब्रह्म समञ्ज्ञेत । कठोप॰ VI. 14; या दुस्यजा दुर्मितिभियों न जीयितः। येषा प्राणान्तिको रोगस्तां तृष्णां त्यजतः सुखम् ॥ वनपर्व 2. 36. अञ्चञ्चासन 7 21. ब्रह्माण्ड III. 68. 100. यज्ञ कामसुखं लोके यज्ञ दिन्यं महत्सुखम् । तृष्णाक्षयसुखस्यैत-कला नाईति पोडशीम्। शान्ति. 174. 46. वायु 93. 101. ब्रह्माण्ड III. 68 103.

¹⁵¹⁰ a. Vide Dhammacakka-ppavattana-sutta in S. B. E. vol. XI. p. 147 for the eightfold path. The Pāli words are: सम्मा-विद्छि, सम्मा-संकल्पो, सम्मा वाचा, सम्मा कम्मानो, सम्मा आजीवो, सम्मा-वाचामो, सम्मा-सित (सम्बक् रद्युति) सम्मा-समाधि. Vide also दीवनिकाय (Pali Text Society) vol. I. p. 157, महावग्य (Oldenberg) vol. I. p. 10 (I. 6. 18) and धम्मा-वक्क-प्यवत्तमञ्जल para 4 p. 3 (ed. by Sister Vajırā, Sarnath) and for दुःख, दु खसञ्चव्य, दुःखनिरोध, दुःखनिरोधमामिनी पर्टिपत्त, vide महावग्य (I 6.19-22), ibid p. 10. These four are called आर्यसत्यानि which may mean 'the four Noble Truths' or 'the four Truths found by the Ārya' (Buddha). This bears a very close resemblance to the fourfold axioms in Yoga and Medicine set out in योगस्त्रभाव्य 'यथा चिकित्साशासं चाउष्युद्धम्-रोगो रोगहेतुरारोग्यं भेषञ्यमिति, एविमदमिप शाखं चतुर्व्युहमेन तथा संसारः संसारहेतुः, मोक्षः, मोक्षोपाय-इति । तत्र दुःखबहुदः संसारो हेयः। प्रधानपुरुषयोः संयोगो देवहेतुः। संयोगस्यात्यन्तिकी निवृत्तिहाँनम्। शानोपायः सम्यग्दर्शनम्। योगभाव्य on योगस्त्र II. 15.

margah) viz. right views, right thoughts or aspirations, right speech, right actions, right living, right exertion, right recollection (or mindfulness), right meditation (i.e. briefly leading a virtuous life) These doctrines preached to all by the noble Buddha and his disciples were attractive as remarked by Rhys Davids. 1511 particularly to the śūdras whose social position was low in the Veduc times and also in the days of the smrtis. No one could study the Veda in the presence of a śūdra, a śūdra could not perform sacrifices and had to serve the three higher varnas in very ancient times. Almost the same position was assigned to him by, Manu (VIII. 413) viz. that he was created by God for serving the brahmana, though it is doubtful whether this could be or was enforced. Vide H. of Dh. vol. II, pp. 33-36 and pp 154-164 for the position and disabilities of sudras from the Vedic times up to the days of the Smrtis. It should not, however, be supposed that all India had become Buddhist. Millions still remained Hindus. There was the danger and fear that with royal patronage and the attractive features of Buddha's teachings large masses might forsake their ancient faith.

The brāhmanas of the times when Buddhism was at its peak hal to strive to keep the banner of the Vedic religion flying, to deprive Buddhism of its hold on the masses of the people and even on the intelligentsia and to make them stick to the old fold. Buddhism itself had changed a great deal in its ideals, doctrines, sometime before the beginning of the Christian era and for centuries thereafter. Buddha's original doctrines were aimed at individual effort and salvation of the individual by his own effort and self-culture. Early Buddhist texts deny the existence of anything like a soul 1512 and find no place for

^{, 1511.} Vide his eloquent Intro to S. B. E. vol. XI p. 142 'Never in the history of the world had a scheme of salvation been put forth so simple in its nature, so free from any superhuman agency, so independent of, so even antagonistic to the belief in a soul, the belief in God, the hope for a future life. Buddha put forth deliberately the doctrine of a salvation to be found here in this life in an inward change of heart to be brought about by perseverance in a mere system of self-culture and of self-control. That system is called the Noble Eight-fold Path '

¹⁵¹² Vide 'Questions of Milinda' (II. 3. 6) in S. B. E. vol., XXXV pp. 88-89 for a discussion of the doctrine that there is no soul and pp. 520, 71-77 for the Buddhist theory of kamma (kama) and for the theory that what is reborn is nāma-rūḥa (name and form) and not the soul. The Saundarāṇanda (B I. ed 16 28-29) says 'द्विपो यथा निर्मुतिमम्पुपेतो नेवानिं मृद्द्याते नाम्तिरिद्यम् । दिशे न काचिद्विदिश न काचित् सेन्द्रश्यात्के अनेति शान्तिम ॥ एव छती निर्मुतिमम्पुपेतो नेव" काचित् ग्रेशक्षयात्के उलमेति शान्तिम् ॥ एव छती

the idea of God. Though Buddha spoke of Nirvāna he did not clearly define it nor does he specify the condition of the individual when he enters nirvāna. Aśvaghosa compares nirvāna to the extinction of a flame (Saundarananda, chap. XVI. 28-29). Because the doctrine of karma was deeply rooted in the popular mind at the time of Buddha, Buddhism took over that doctrine, which, to men who are not Buddhists, appears to be contradictory of the denial of the existence of a soul. The word 'Dhamma' is used in three senses in the Pāli 'Dhammapada' (which being mentioned in the questions of Milinda is earlier than the 2nd century B. C.) viz. (1) the truth or law preached by Buddha, (2) thing or form, (3) way or mode of life.

As stated above, the original Buddhism preached by the Buddha and his followers in the first century or two after his parını vāna was more or less a strict ethical code for individuals who sought salvation from the misery of the world. The three central conceptions of very early Buddhism were the three refuges (or rainas) Buddha, Dharma and Sangha, the Four Noble Truths and the Eightfold Path. Gradually a new doctrine was evolved 1513 It came to be thought that to care for one's own deliverance and spend all efforts thereon was rather selfish. that as the Buddha himself out of compassion for suffering humanity worked for forty-five years to lead men to salvation by his exhortations and sermons, so a Buddhist should prefer to put off his own deliverance, should work for the deliverance of his fellowmen out of compassion (karunā) for their miserable lot and in doing so should be ready to be born again and again, should not care for his own salvation and should not be afraid Those holding these latter views deified Buddha, of samsāra taught that Buddhahood was attained by Siddhartha after undergoing numerous births in doing service and rendering help to others and that this was a superior code of conduct (Mahāyāna, the Great Vehicle or Way) to the selfish code of salvation for an individual himself (which came to be called Hinavana, the lesser Vehicle or Way) This extra-regarding gospel of Mahāyāna was very attractive and won great support in most countries of Asia. 1514

^{1513.} H. Kern in his 'Manual of Buddhism' (in the Grundriss p. 122 holds that Mahāyānism is much indebted to the Bhagavad-gītā. Compare 'लभन्ते बुद्धानिर्वाणसूषय,'' सर्वभूतहिते रताः॥' V. 25 with the Mahāyāna view.

^{1514.} The number of Books on Buddhism is legion. For Mahāyāna Buddhism, vide 'An Introduction to Mahāyāna Buddhism' by W. M Mc-Govern (London, 1922), 'Aspects of Mahāyāna Buddhism' by Dr. M. N. (Continued on next page)

This doctrine of Bodhisattvas (meaning 'beings destined to be enlightened') is not consistent with the gospel of Buddha as preached by him in his first sermon at Banaras. There is a difference of ideals between Hinayana and Mahayana. The original gospel relies on self-effort and moral regeneration and on the elimination of suffering and misery by the extinction of all passions and of hankerings or desires and of the desire of

(Continued from last page)

Dutt (1930), the 'Bodhisattva doctrine in Sanskrit Literature' by Dr Har Dayal (Kegan Paul, London 1932), 'The path of the Buddha'ed, by Prof. Kenneth W Morgan (New York, 1956) written by several scholars from different countries (for both Hinayana and Mahayana).

A few books for those who want to know more of Buddhism in general and of Hinayana and Mahayana are recommended here 'The central conception of Buddhism' (London, 1923), 'the conception of Nirvana' (Leningrad, 1927), 'Buddhist Logic' vol. I (1958), all by Th. Stcherbatsky: 'Vedantic Buddhism of the Buddha' by J G, Jennings (Oxford Un. Press. 1948), 'Creed of Buddha' by Edmond Homes (5th ed); 'Introduction to Tantrik Buddhism' by Dr Shashi Bhushan Das-Gupta (Cal. University, 1950), 'the Flame and the Light' by Hugh I, Faussett (London and New York, 1958), 'the Buddha and his Dhamma' by Dr. B. R. Ambedkar (1957) in which he refrains from considering Buddhist Texts except those in Pall; 'Comparative study of Buddhism and Christianity' by Prof. F. Masutani (Tokyo, 1957), The Mahayana-sütralankara of Asanga (ed. by Prof S. Levi) summarises in two verses (I. 9-10) the points (five) on which the two schools are in conflict. It-sing's 'Records of the Buddhist religion' translated by Dr J. Takakusu (Oxford, 1896) surprisingly enough states (p. 15) 'These two systems are perfectly in accord with the noble doctrine. Both equally conform to truth and lead us to Nirvana'. Buddha at least ignored (if he did not positively deny) God, he denied the individual soul and Eternity, he did not emphasize the most vital Upanisad teaching viz. 'anando brahmeti vyajānāt'. He imagined salvation as a state of absolute quiescence and therefore regarded ordinary life as miscry and asserted that salvation may be attained even in this very life. He did not claim to be God, but a human being There are various kinds of Mahayana doctrines and great diversity of definitions. It may generally be said that works professing to teach Mahayana practically forsake the ideal of a human Buddha, preach the worship of Buddha and future Buddhas, and assert that Nirvana cannot be attained by the ancient method, that salvation cannot come in this very life but after centuries and aeons of the practice of virtue and helping others.

Mantrayāna and Vajrayāna are said by some to be branches of Mahā-yāna, about the latter of which a good deal will be said in the next section. According to Bhiksu Rāhula Sānkrtyāyana, Vajrayāna (700-1200 A.D.) is a synonym of Mahāyāna (400-700 A.D.) and merely the ulterior development of it (vide p. 211 of the paper 'L' Origine du Vajrayāna et Les 84 Siddhas' in J. A. vol. 225 (1934) pp. 209-230.

life 1515 itself. Buddha's original teaching regarded it as a waste of time to ponder over such questions as 'Have I existed during the ages that are past or have I not? Shall I exist during the ages of the future? Do I after all exist or am I not?' The Sabbāsavasutta (9-13) says that a wise man walking in the noble eightfold path understands what things ought to be considered and what things ought not to be considered. Vide S.B.E. vol. XI. pp. 298-300. Buddhism brought half of Asia under its influence not only by its promise of salvation to all by self-help, but more so by its teachings of profound tenderness, of active charity, of goodness and gentleness. Mahāyāna laid great stress on doing good to all and on bhakti. Both the original teaching of Hinayāna and the Mahāyāna teaching are attractive in their own way.

Buddhism insisted on the five silas 1516 that were binding on all Buddhists viz. prohibition of injury to and destruction of life, of theft, of sexual impurity. of lying and of intoxicating liquors. Five more precepts (which together with the preceding five were called Dašašiksāpadas) were added for Buddhist priests. viz. prohibition of eating at forbidden hours, of attending wordly amusements such as dancing, song, music and shows, of the use of unguents and ornaments, of the use of a large or ornamented couch and of the receiving of gold and silver. About the silas it is clear that they were adopted from the ancient Upanisadic and Dharmasütra teachings. The Chandogva narrates how Asyapati, king of Kekaya, boasted before five great householders and theologians in my 1517 kingdom there is no thief, no miser, no drunkard, no man without a fire-altar in his house, no ignorant person, no adulterer, much less an adulteress'. The same Upanisad 1518 quotes an ancient verse 'a man who steals gold, who drinks liquor, who dishonours his guru's bed, who kills a brahmana—these four fall and a fifth also that associates with any one of these four.' It will be shown

^{1515.} That destruction of desires is nervāna is stated in Ratanasutta 14 and the simile mentioned is that of a lamp being extinguished (by lack of oil)

^{1516.} Vide দুৰুক্ষণাত 2, दीवनिकाय (II 43 p. 63) for the five silas and Kern's 'Manual of Indian Buddhism' p 70.

^{1517.} स ह पातः संजिहान उवाच न मे स्तेनो जनपढ़े न फड्योँ न मद्यपी मानाहिताग्नि-नोविद्यस्य स्तेरी स्तेरिणी कुतः। छा. उप. V 11. 5.

^{1518.} तदेण श्रुरोतः । स्तेनो हिरण्यस्य सुरा विजंध्य ग्रुरोस्तल्पमावसन्त्रहाद्या चैते पत्तश्ति चरवारः पञ्चमश्चाच्यंस्तीरिति । छा उपः V, 10, 9,

a little later how ahmsā was emphasized even in the Upanisads. Thus ahmsa, non-stealing, sexual purity, truthfulness had already been emphasized in the oldest Upanisads. That an ascetic 1519 had to give up all property and beg for keeping body and soul together is made clear by Br. Up. III. 5 1 and IV. 4, 22, Jābālopanisad 5, Gautama III. 10-13, Vasistha X. The other five precepts for priests such as not receiving gold or silver or giving up unguents, flowers, dancing, singing and music are laid down by Gautama 1520 II. 19 and III. 4, Vasistha X. 6 &c. for Vedic students and ascetics. Vide H. Kern (in 'Manual of Indian Buddhism, Grundriss p. 70) who remarks that the superior morality for monks is nothing else but the rule of life for the duga in the 4th āsrama, when he is a yatı and all the details were taken from the Dharma-sūtras and Dharma-sāstras.

Ahmsā—The Mahābhārata and the Purānas lay great emphasis on ahimsā (not harming or giving pain to a living creature). The Upanisads too emphasized ahimsā The Chāndogya 1521 does so in several passages. In III. 17 4 it says 'tapas, charity, straight-forwardness, ahimsā, speaking the truth—these are the fees of this (sacrifice without ceremonial). While describing how the person who has attained true knowledge of the Self does not return (to this samsāra), the Chāndogya says that 'he causes no pain to any creature except at tīrthas'. The Br. Up. (V. 2.) says how Prajāpati told the gods, the asuras and men that the sound 'da da da' produced by thundering

^{1519.} एतं वे तमारमानं विद्वित्वा ब्राह्मणाः प्रत्रेषणायाश्च वित्तेषणायाश्च होकेषणायाश्च रहित्याचार्य स्थानार्य चरन्ति। बृह उप III. 5. 1 (after the brahmanas have gained knowledge of this Self, they abstain from desire for sons, desire for wealth and desire for the worlds and wander about as beggars), अस परिवाद्ध विवर्णवासा सण्डोऽपरिग्रह, ज्ञुन्तिरद्भोही भैक्षणो ब्रह्मभूयाय भवतीति। जावालीप 5 quoted by श्रङ्कराचार्य on वेद्यान्तस्य II. 1. 3 and III 4. 20.

¹⁵²⁰ वर्जयन्मधुमासगन्धमाल्यदिवाखमान्यक्षनत्वानोपानन्छञ्ञ-काम-क्रोधलोभमोहवाद-वाद्मस्नानद्वन्तधावनहर्षे द्वर्चगितपरिवादभयानि ॥ गौ II 19, सुण्डोऽममोऽप्रिस्नहः । वसिष्ठ X 6. For the other silas of priests, compare with गौतम II 19 the following from द्वीधनिक्षाय (vol I p 64 Samāñña-phala-sutta II. 45) 'विस्तो विकालभोजना । नच्च-गीत-वादित-विस्कद्स्सना पटिविस्तो होति । माला-गन्ध-विल्लपन-धारण-मण्डण-विसूमण स्थाणा पटिविस्तो होति । ज्ञ्ञासयन-महासयना पटिविस्तो होति । जातस्प-रजतपटिग्गहणा पटि-विस्तो होति । आमक-मंस-पटिग्गहणा पटिविस्तो होति । ग

^{1521.} अथ यत्तपो दानमार्जवमहिंसा सत्यवचनमिति ता अस्य दक्षिणाः। छा. उप. III. 17. 4, आचार्यकुलाद्देदमधीत्य 'साध्यायमधीयानो धार्मिकान्विद्धदात्मानि सर्वेदिद्याणि संमितिष्ठाप्याहिंसन् सर्वभूतान्यन्यच तीर्थेन्य. 'न च पुनरावर्तते। छा उप VIII 15, तद्देतत्त्रयं शिक्षेद्धमै द्वान द्वयामिति। बृह उप. V. 2.

33

clouds conveys to the gods the necessity of self-restraint (dama), to the asuras of compassion (daya) and to men of charity (dana). Gautama 1522 specifies eight virtues of the soul, the first of which is compassion for all beings and states that he who has the forty samskāras performed on him but does not possess the eight virtues does not secure absorption into brahma. The Adiparva provides 'ahimsa is the highest dharma for all beings; therefore a brāhmana should never harm (or give pain to) any being'. The words 'ahimsā paramo dharmah' (ahimsā is the highest dharma) occur very frequently in the Mahābhārata 1523 (e.g. in Dronaparva 192. 38, Śanti 265. 6, 329. 18, Anusasana 115, 25, 116. 38, Aśvamedhikaparva 28. 16-18, 43. 21). Śantiparya (296. 22-24) enumerates thirteen virtues common to all men, of which freedom from cruelty and ahimsa are the first two. Vasistha IV. 4, Manu X. 63, Yaj. I. 122 prescribe certain virtues as necessary in men of all varnas. Vide H. of Dh. vol. II, p. 10 notes 25-27.

A few examples of emphasis on ahimsā in the Purāṇas may be cited here. The Vāmanapurāna 1524 provides : ahimsā, truth-

^{1522.} द्या सर्वस्तेषु शान्तिरतस्या शौन्यमनायासो मङ्गलमकार्पण्यमस्पृहोति। यस्यैते चलारिशलंस्कारा न न्यानालस्युणा न स ब्रह्मणः साधुन्यं सलोकता गन्छति। गौ. ध. स. VIII. 24-25. The मत्स्य (52. 8-11) sets out these very ātmaguņas that Gautama mentions, vide also मार्कण्डेय 25. 32-33 for almost the same eight gunas including ahlmsā.

^{1523.} अहिंसा परमो धर्म: सर्वभाणसूतां वर । तस्मात्माणसूतः सर्वाद्ध हिंस्याड् बाह्मणः क्षचित्॥ आदिपर्व 11. 13-14, अहिंसा सर्वसूतेषु धर्म ज्यायस्तर विदुः । द्रोण 192 38; अहिंसा सर्वसूतेग्यो धर्मेग्यो ज्यायसी मता। शान्ति 265. 6, न हिंस्यान्सर्वसूतानि मैत्रायणगतस्वरेत्। शान्ति 329 18; अहिंसा परमो धर्मस्त्रथाहिसा परं तपः। अहिंसा परमं सर्यं यतो धर्मः पर्वते॥ अनुशासन 115. 25, अहिंसा परमो धर्मो हिंसा चाधर्मलक्षणा। आन्वमेधिक 43 21.

¹⁵²⁴ अहिंसा सरगमत्सेथं दानं क्षान्तिर्देगः शमः। अकार्षण्यं च शीचं च तपश्च रजनी-चर। दशाङ्को राक्षसश्रेष्ठ धर्मोऽसी सार्ववर्णिकः॥ वामन 14. 1-2, म वेदैनं च दानैश्च न तपीभिन्नं चास्तेः। कथंचित् स्वर्गति पान्ति पुरुषाः प्राणिहिंसकाः। अहिंसा परमो धर्मो हाहिंसैव परं तपः। अहिंसा परमं दानित्याहुर्छनयः सदा। मशकाच् सरीस्रणान् दंशान्युकाद्यान्मानवांस्तथा। आल्मोप्यमे पश्यन्ति मानवा वे द्यालुवः॥ पद्य I. 31. 26-28, these verses are repeated in पद्य VI. 243. 69-71 (reads मशकान्मात्कुणान् and आत्नीप्यन्येन रक्षन्ति); तस्माक्ष हिंसाप्यनं व पश्चीन्ति महर्षयः। उन्छो मूलं फलं शाकाद्यद्यात्रं तपोधनाः। एत्वृद्यन्त्रं विभवतः स्वर्गलोके प्रतिद्विताः। अझोह्श्याप्यलोभश्य दमो सृत्यवा शाः॥ अझचर्य तपोधनाः। एत्वृद्यन्त्रं विभवतः स्वर्गलोके प्रतिद्विताः। अझोह्श्याप्यलोभश्य दमो सृत्यन्य । साः॥ अझचर्य तपः शोचनाद्यकोशं (शः?) समा द्वितः। स्वातनस्य धर्मस्य मृत्यमेत्वदुरासदय्॥ मतस्य 143, 30-32, अह्याण्ड II. 31. 36-38 are the same as मतस्य (except that it reads तस्माक्ष पञ्च दानं वा) 143, 30-32, The verses उन्छं मूलं फलः "सूल्मेतत्सनातनम् occur in आन्तमिधिकपर्वः 91. 32-34. For the words सन्तात्मवर्मं, vide the Khanapur plates of Mādhavavarman in E. I. vol. 27 p. 312 at p. 317 (श्वितस्तृतिविवित्तनात्मधर्मकर्मनिर्ताप) edited by Prof, V. V. Mirashi who assigns it to the 6th century A, D.

fulness, absence of stealing, charity, forbearance, self-control, quiescence of senses, absence of poor spirit or weakness, purity. tapas—this is the tenfold dharma applicable to all varnas. The Padma says: not by (the study of) the Vedas, nor by gifts nor by tanas, nor by sacrifices do men who kill creatures reach the goal of heaven; ahimsā is the highest dharma, the highest tanas and the highest charity—this is what the sages always say: men that are compassionate treat flies, reptiles, stinging insects, lice and the like and human beings as themselves. Matsya goes so far as to state; great sages do not commend sacrifice in which there is killing: by donating grains of corn gathered in a field, roots, fruits, vegetables, vessel for carrying water according to their ability, sages practising austerities became established in heaven: absence of hatred and greed, self-restraint, compassion towards all beings, control of senses. celibacy. tapas, tenderness, forbearance and firmness-this is the root of the ancient dharma. which is difficult to accomplish. The Brahmanda (II. 31. 35 'tasmād-ahimsā dharmasya dvāram-uktam maharsibhih') says that great sages have declared that ahimsa is the door of dharma. The Padma (V. 43.38) says 'there is no dana nor tapas equal to ahimsa'. It is interesting to note that the Matsya and Brahmanda regard ahimsa as 'sanatana dharma' and condemn animal sacrifices. The Kūrma 1525 provides 'ahimsā, truthfulness, non-stealing, celibacy and non-possession of wealththese are briefly declared namas that produce purity of mind among men. The great sages declare that alimsa consists in causing no pain to all beings at all times by thought, word or

^{1525.} अहिंसा सत्यमस्तेयं बद्धान्वर्यापरिग्रही । यमाः संक्षेपतः प्रोक्ताश्चित्तशुद्धिमदा चुणाम्। कर्मणा मनसा वाचा सर्वभूतेषु सर्वदा । अक्रेशजननं प्रोक्ता खिंसा परमापीभि ॥ अहिंसायाः परो धर्मी नास्त्यहिंसापरं सुखम् । विधिना या भवेद्धिसा त्वहिंसैव मकीर्तिता॥ कूर्म II. 11. 13-15 The लिङ्गपुराण (chap 8 8-9) enumerates the eight sadhanas of चीन of which यस is first and mentions five as in छूनी Yamas (abstinences) are variously enumerated Kurma appears to follow the योगसूत्र II. 30-31 'आर्हिसा-सत्य-अस्तेय-ब्रह्मचर्यापरिग्रहा यमाः । शीच-सन्तोष-तपः-स्वाध्याय-ईश्वरप्रीण धानानि नियमा: 17. Manu IV. 204 provides generally that one should always practise yamas and that one may not always practise the niyamas, but does not name them, Medhatithi explains that yamas are prohibitions (viz. not to injure life, not to steal, not to tell an untruth, not to have forbidden sexual intercourse and not to possess wealth that belongs to another or not to accept guits), while nivamas consist of positive acts, such as one should always study the Veda (as in Manu IV 147) Yaj III 312-313 enumerates ten yamas viz celibacy, compassion, forbearance, charity, absence of crooked conduct, ahimsa, non-stealing, sweetness, restraint of senses and ten niyamas. The वैद्धानस-स्मातसूत्र (IX 4) enumerates ten yamas.

deed. There is no dharma superior to ahimsā, no happiness higher than (the practice of) ahimsā; the injury (to life) that is caused according to (Vedic) precepts is declared to be ahimsā. The Upanisads commended a qualified ahimsā, while the original Pali books like the Sāmāñā-phala-sutta forbad injury to all living beings. Most of the Purāṇas, in order to convince the masses that they did not lag behind the Buddhist preachings, generally insist upon unqualified ahimsā. Time brings about strange changes. Professing Buddhists in Ceylon, China Japan and many other countries have no objection to partaking of fish and meat, while following the insistent advice of the Purāṇas, millions of Indian people (not only brāhmaṇas but also others like vaisyas and śūdras if Vaisnavas) have been strict vegetarians for centuries, though Buddhism vanished from India centuries ago.

It may be noted, however, that some of the Purāṇas are against carrying the doctrine of ahimsā to extremes. The Brahmānda and Vāyu both say that there is no sin, great or small, in killing a person (e.g. a tyrant or a desperado), when many will live in happiness by his death. 1526

Pūrta—The Purāṇas lay the greatest emphasis on what is called pūrtadharma, works of public utility, charity, social service and the relief of the poor and distressed. The word Istāpūrta occurs in the Rgveda once 1527 'May you be united in the highest heaven with your pitrs (ancestors), with Yama and with iṣtāpūrta' (merit acquired by sacrifices and works of public utility). The word iṣta occurs several times in Rg. (I. 162. 15, I. 164. 15, X. 11. 2, X. 82. 2), but the meaning is not certain except in Rg. X. 11. 2 where it appears to mean 'sacrifice'. Pūrta also occurs in Rg. VI. 16. 18 and VIII. 46. 21, but the meaning is not certain. 'Istāpūrta' occurs in several Upanisads.

^{1526.} यस्मिन्त निहते भट्टे जीवन्ते बहव: सुखम्। तस्मिन् हते नास्ति छुमे पातंत चीप-पातकम्॥ ब्रह्माण्ड II. 36. 188, वासु 69 162 (reads लभन्ते for जीवन्ते). The ब्रह्मासुपण्डा 141.22 has the same idea in different words 'यस्मिन्निपातिते सीष्ट्यं बहूनासुपजायते। सुनयस्त्रस्य माहुरप्वमेयश्तापिकम्॥. The कल्पतरु (ग्रहस्थकाण्ड) p. 300 quotes the verse from वासु (with slight variations viz. एयन्ते for जीवन्ते). The editor was unable to trace it. The verse should be read as निहते मंद्रे and not निहतेऽस्त्रे as it is printed on p, 300.

^{1527.} संगच्छस्य पितृमिः सं यमेनेष्टापूर्वेन परमे ध्योमन् । झः X. 14. 8; इष्टस्य मध्ये अदिातीर्ने धातु नो ब्राता नो ज्येष्ठः प्रथमो नि वीचाति ॥ झः X. 11. 2.

The Chandogya states 1528 But they who, living in a village. practise (a life of) sacrifices, works of public utility and almsgiving reach toward smoke &c.'. Similarly, the Prasna-upanisad asserts 'those, who practise the (mode of) sacrifices and works of public utility as activities to be engaged in, reach only the world of the Moon, and it is these that again return to this world'. The Mundaka says 'deluded people regarding sacrifices and works of public utility as the best do not know (recognize) any other higher good: having enjoyed (their reward) on the top of heaven, they again enter this world or even a lower one '. 1529 Manu speaks of 'ista' and 'purta' and recommends that one should always practise with a pleased heart sacrificial gifts and gifts of the purta kind according to one's ability on securing a deserving brāhmana. The Amarakośa defines 'ista' as sacrifices and 'purta' as works such as digging a well or tank. The Markandeya 1530 defines them as follows 'Maintaining the sacred fires, tapas, truthfulness, study of the Veda, hospitality and Vaisvadeva-these are called ista: digging wells and tanks and building temples and distribution of food to those that need it these are declared to be purta. The Agnipurana has similar The Padma (VI. 243, 10-14) cites the following as dharmakārya (religious works); temples of Visnu and Šiva, tanks, wells, lotus ponds, forest of vata, pippala, mango, kakkola, jambu and nimba trees, flower garden, distribution of food from morning to sunset, water distribution outside towns &c. The Skanda 1531 says: the term 'purta' is applied in the dharmaśāstras to the erection of temples, construction of tanks, ponds and wells, laying out parks. Padma (VI, 244, 34-35) says that those who build monasteries, cow stables, houses of rest on roads,

^{1528.} अथ य इभे भ्राम इष्टापूर्ते दुत्तमिन्द्रपासते ते धूममभिसम्भवन्ति धूमाम्।त्रिम् [&] छा उप V, 10 3; तथे ह वै तिदिष्टापूर्ते कुतमिन्द्रपासते ते चान्द्रमसमेन लोकमभिजयन्ते। त एव पुनरावर्तन्ते। प्रश्न I 9; इष्टापूर्ते मन्यमाना परिष्ठं नान्यच्छ्रेयो वेद्यन्ते प्रमुद्धाः। नाक्षस्य धुष्ठे ते सुकुतेऽनुभूत्वेम लोकं हीनतरं चाविशन्ति॥ सुण्डकोप् I 2 10.

^{1529.} दानधर्म निषेवेत नित्यमैष्टिकपौर्तिकम् । परितृष्टेन भावेन पात्रमासाय शक्तितः॥ मन्तु. IV, 227; त्रिष्वथ ऋतुकर्मेष्टं पूर्ते खातादिकर्मीण । अमरकोश

¹⁵³⁰ अग्निहोन्नं तपः सत्य वेदाना चैव साधनम्। आतिथ्यं वैश्वदेवं च इप्टामित्याभिश्विते ॥ वापीकूपसहागानि देवतायतनानि च। अन्नमदानमर्थिभ्यः पूर्तभित्यभिधीयते ॥ मार्कण्डेय कि. 123-124; आग्ने 209. 2-3 (reads चातुपालन, च माहिरिष्टं च नाकदम्, अन्नमदान-मारानाः पूर्ते धर्मे च सुक्तिदम्). The verse वापीकूप् 15 q. by अपरार्के pp 24, 290 as from महाभारत The above two are अन्निसंहिता 43-44 (Ānan.).

^{1531.} सुरालयसरोवापीकृपारामादिकलपना । एतदर्थ हि पूर्वाख्या धर्मशास्त्रेषु निश्चिता । स्कन्द X 2, 10

dwellings for ascetics, cottages for the poor and helpless, extensive house for Veda study, houses for brāhmanas, enter the world of Indra (i. e. heaven). Atri says 1532 that Ista and Pürta are dharma common to all dvijas; a śūdra is entitled to perform pūrta-dharmas but not Vaidika rites (i. e. sacrifices). The Anuśāsana-parva (chap. 58) describes how parks should be laid out and tanks constructed with trees on their banks. But the Varāhapurāna and some smrtis went so far as to declare that a man secures only heaven by ista, but he secures moksa (final release from samsāra) by pūrta 1533.

Sometimes the Puranas express ideas that might strike us as rather modern, when they put forward social service and removal of suffering and distress as the highest dharma. In the Markandeva 1534 a king solemnly states 'men do not obtain that happiness in heaven or in the world of Brahma, which springs from giving relief to distressed beings. Sacrifices, gifts, tapas do not conduce to the relief here and in the next world of that man whose heart is not set on relieving the distressed'. The Visnu recommends 'a wise man should say (and do) that alone by thought, word and deed which would be for the benefit of creatures here and hereafter'. The Skanda-purāna (Kāśikhanda) avers 'adversities of those good men in whose heart doing good to others is awake (i. e. active) vanish and prosperity comes to them at every step. That purity is not secured by baths at holy places, that reward is not obtained by numerous gifts, that (result) is not obtained by severe austerities, that is obtained by doing good to others. After churning all extensive dicta the conclusion reached is this viz. there is no dharma higher than doing good to others and there is no sin greater than harming

^{1532.} इष्टापूर्ती हिजातीनां सामान्यो धर्मसाधनो । अधिकारी भवेष्ट्यूदः पूर्वे धर्मे न वैदिके। अत्रि verse 46. On p. 24 अपरार्क quotes this from जात्कूपर्य (reads धर्मी सामासिको मतौ). Vide अपरार्क p. 290 for quotations from नारद in which the illustrations of Ista and Purta are given.

^{1533.} इष्टापुर्ते द्विजातीनां प्रथमं धर्मसाधनम्। इष्टेन स्त्रभते स्वर्गे पूर्ते मोक्षं च विन्दति। षराह 172. 33, यमस्युति 68, अत्रिसंहिता 145.

^{1534.} न सर्गे ब्रह्मलोके वा तत्सुखं प्राप्यते नरेः। यदार्तजन्तुनिर्वाणदानीरथामिति मे मितिः॥ यज्ञदानतपांसीह परत्र च न सृत्ये। भवन्ति तस्य यस्यार्तपरित्राणे न मानसम्॥ मार्कण्डेय 15. 57 and 62; प्राणिनासुयकाराय यथेवेह परत्र च। कर्मणा मनसा वाचा तदेव मितमान्त्र वदेव। विष्णु III. 12 '45; परोपकरणं येपां जागाते हृदये तताम् । नश्यन्ति विपदस्तस्य सम्पदः स्थः पदे प्रे वो तीर्थस्तानेने सा शुद्धिर्यह्वदानेने वत्रललम्। तपोभित्रग्रेस्ताप्यसुपकृत्य यद्याप्यते॥ परितिमध्य वांग्जाले निर्णातिमद्विमे हि। नोपकारात्यरो धर्मो नापकाराद्यं परम्॥ स्कन्द, काशीखण्ड 6 4-5 and 7; जीवितं सफले तस्य पः परार्थोद्यतः सदा। अद्वीरापो रविः पृथ्वी धान्यानि विविधानि च। परार्थे वर्तनं तेषां सतां चापि विशेषतः॥ ब्रह्म 125. 36-37,

others. The Brahma states 'the life of the man who always strives for the good of others is fruitful (blessed); fire, water, the Sun, the earth and various kinds of crops exist for the benefit of others, and particularly the good (exist for others' benefit)'.

It is surprising that the Bhāgavata avers what is in advance of modern socialistic doctrines 'men have ownership over only that much as would fill their belly; he who thinks as his own what is more than that is a thief and deserves punishment (as such). 1.255

Bhakti-The Puranas lay very great emphasis on bhakti (religion of loving faith in God). This is not the place to dilate on the history of the cult of Bhakh from the earliest times to modern days. For that purpose there are special treatises (some of which are noted below) that may be consulted. But a few words on bhakti in general may be said here before going into the question as to what the Puranas have to say thereon. Traces of the doctrine of bhakti may be discovered even in the Rayedic hymns and mantras, some of which are full of loving faith in God, particularly in some of the hymns and verses addressed to Varuna and also to Indra. A few examples may be cited, 'All my thoughts 1536 (or hymns) praise Indra in unison, seeking light, longing for him, as wives embrace their husband, their fair young lover, they (thoughts) embrace him (Indra), the divine giver of gifts': 'your friendship 1537 (with your devotee) is indestructible (everlasting): to him who desires a cow. you become a cow, to him who longs for a horse, may you be a horse;' 'O Indra, you are far better (or richer) than my father or my brother who does not feed me: (you) and my mother, O Vasu, are equal and protect (me) for (conferring) riches and favours'; 'You gave to Kaksivat, who offered a hymn and Soma libation to you and who had grown old. Vrcaya, who was a young

¹⁵³⁵ यावद् भ्रियेत जठरं तावस्यत्वं हि देहिनाम्। अधिक योऽभिमन्येत स स्तेनी दण्य-मर्टति॥ भागवत VII» 14.8

^{1536.} अच्छा म बुग्व मतयः स्वर्विदः सधीन्वीर्विन्वा उश्ततिरन्तप्त । परिष्वजन्ते जनयो पथा पर्ति मर्पे न शुरुश्युं मध्यानमूत्रये॥ इत. X. 43. 1; compare Rg. I. 62. 11 for the simile.

¹⁵³⁷ चूणाशं सक्यं ता गौरसि गृज्यते। अश्वा अश्वायते भव ॥ म्न. VI. 45. 26, वस्पी दृण्दासि मे <u>पितुषत भ्राहरस्थातः। माता</u> च मे छन्न्यथः समा वस्तो वसुखनाय राधसे ॥ म्न. VIII. 1. 6; असी प एपि वीरको गृह गृहं निचाकशत्। इसं जम्मसुतं पित्र धानावन्त करन्भिणमपूपवन्तः सुक्थिनस्॥ म्न. VIII. 91. 2; मेनाभयी न्यूपणश्वस्य सुकतो विश्वेता ते सवनेषु प्रवास्या ॥ म्न. I 51 13.

woman: you became the wife of Vrsanasva: all these (favours) of yours deserve to be loudly proclaimed during the offerings of soma libations': 'you who, shining brilliantly, come to each house assuming the form of a small man, (O Indra!) drink this Soma juice (produced) by being crushed with my teeth and mixed with fried grains, gruel, cake and laud'. Compare Rg. III. 43. 4, X, 42. 11, X, 112. 10 (in all of which Indra is called 'sakhā' friend) and I, 104.9, VII. 32, 26 (in both Indra is said to be like a father). It will be clear from these passages that the Vedic sages had reached the stage of sakhya-bhakti, that the sages believed that Indra was like a mother, that he assumed the form of a wife for the sake of a devotee, that Indra partook some juice from a devotee who, in the absence of the proper implements for crushing soma stalks, extracted soma juice from soma stalks crushed with the devotee's own teeth. These stories in the far-off ages of the Rgveda remind us of the stories in the works of the medieval ages about Rāma having accepted badara fruit from a Sabari (Bhil woman) devotee who first tasted them with her teeth to see whether they were sweet or sour and the story of the God Vithobā of Pandharpur, having assumed the form of a mahar (an untouchable) and paid up to the Moslem king of Bijapur the money equivalent to the price of corn which, Dāmāji (a great devotee), who was in charge of the royal granary, allowed people distressed by famine to take away. Some mantras addressed to Varuna show the same kind of sakhya-bhakti. Vasistha 1537a prays 'O Varuna! what is that great offence (committed by me) on account of which you desire to harm me, your friend and bard; declare that to me. O invincible and self-willed God, so that (after propitiating you) I shall be free from sin and may be able quickly to approach you with adoration'; 'where are those friendships of ours (of you and me) which we safely enjoyed in former times, O self-willed Varuna?; I (then) went to your big dwelling house that has a thousand doors; whatever offence we mortals commit against the divine hosts, O Varuna, whatever laws of yours we may have violated in our ignorance (or heedlessness), do not, O God, harm us on account of that sin '. It is remarkable that in the Rgveda there is a verse in which

¹⁵³⁷ a. किमाग आस वर्ण ज्येष्ठं थस्तोतारं जिवांसासे सुखायम्। म तन्मे वीची बूळभ स्वधावीऽव त्वानेना नमसा तुर इयाम्॥ ज्ञ VII 86. 4, क्ष त्यानि नी सुख्या वभूडः सन्वावहे यद्वकं ग्रुता चित्। चृहन्तं मानं वरुण स्वधावः सहस्रद्वारं जगमा गृहं ते॥ ज्ञः, VII. 88. 5; पत्तिनचेदं वरुण देव्ये जनेऽभिद्मोहं महुज्याक्षरामासि। अचित्ती यस्त्व धर्मी ग्रुयोपिम मा नस्तस्मा-देनसो देव सीरिपः॥ ज्ञः, VII. 89. 5.

there is an apotheosis ¹⁵³⁸ of 'namas' (namaskūra, adoration or homage); 'Adoration itself is mighty, I offer service with adoration; adoration upholds the heaven and the earth; adoration to the gods, adoration rules these gods, whatever sin is committed (by me) I worship it away with adoration'.

Though the word 'bhakti' does not occur in the principal ancient Upanisads, the doctrine of the bhakti schools that it is God's grace alone that saves the devotee is found in the Katha and Mundaka Upanisads, viz. 'this Supreme Soul is not to be attained by expositions (of a teacher) nor by intelligence, nor by much learning: He is to be attained by him alone whom the Supreme Soul favours, to him this Supreme Soul discloses His form. '1539 This emphasizes the doctrine that God's Grace alone brings salvation to the devotee. The Svetāśvataropanisad employs the word bhakts in the same sense in which it is used in the Gitā and 1640 other works on bhakti. 'These matters declared (here) reveal themselves to that high-souled person who has the highest faith in God and the same faith in his ouru as in God'. The same Upanisad emphasizes a doctrine of the bhakti school in 'I. desirous of moksa (liberation from samsāra), surrender myself as my refuge to that God who in former times created (established) Brahmā, who transmitted to him (Brahmā) the Vedas, and who illuminates the intellect of the individual soul."

The word 'prapadye' in the Svetāsvatara serves as the basis of the doctrine of 'prapatti' in the Vaisnavite system such as that of Rāmānuja.

But among the original sources of the Bhakti cult are the Nārāyanīya section (chapters 335-351 of Cītraśāla ed. = cr. ed. 322-339) of the Śāntīparva and the Bhagavadgītā. For the

^{1538.} नम झुदुग्र नम आ विवासे नमो दाधार पृथिवीसुत द्याम् । नमो देवेन्यो नम ईश एषां कुत चिह्नेनो नमसा विवासे ॥ झ. VI. 51. 8

^{1539.} नापमात्मा मनचनेन लम्यो न सेधया न बहुना श्रुतेन । यमेवैष इष्टाते तेन लम्यस्तस्यैष आतमा विद्युष्टते तन्तुं स्वास् । कठोप॰ 2. 22, सुण्डक III. 2.3.

^{. 1540} यस्य बेवे परा भक्तिर्यथा देवे तथा ग्रुरी। तस्येते कथिता हार्थाः प्रकाशन्ते महात्मनः ॥
भ्वेताश्व. VI 23, थो ब्रह्माण विद्याचि पूर्व यो वे वेदाश्व प्रहिणोति तस्ये। तं ह वेदमात्महादिः
प्रकाशं मुस्दुर्वे शरणमहं प्रपये ॥ श्वेताश्व. VI. 18. This last verse is relied upon by
स्वभेश्वर in his bhäsya on शाण्डित्य-भक्तिस्त्र I I I. Barth in his 'Religions of
India' translated by J. Wood (3rd ed 1891) sets out the grounds on which
western scholars (particularly Weber) held that the religion of loving faith
in Krana was due to Christian influence and then states that he is not satisfied with this theory (pp. 219-223)

antiquity of Krsna worship (vide pp. 129-131 above). Megasthenes states that Heracles (Harikrsna?) was worshipped by Soursenoi (Saurasenas) on the banks of Jobares (Yamunā) and had two cities Methora (Mathurā) and Cleisbora (Krsnapura?). In the Nārāyanīya it is stated (in chap. 335. 17-24) that king Uparicara Vasu was a devotee (bhakta) of Nārāyana, that he worshipped the Lord of Gods according to the sāttvata rules that were proclaimed by the Sun, that he (Vasu) consecrated his kingdom, wealth, wife and horses to God, thinking that they all belonged to the Bhagavat (the Adorable One) and performed sacrificial rites according to the Sāttvata rules.

In the Santiparva. Satvata and Pancaratra are identified 1541 and it is said that the seven sages called 'citrasikhandin' (lit. whose top-knots on the head were bright or wonderful) viz. Marici, Atri, Angiras, Pulastya, Pulaha, Kratu and Vasistha. proclaimed the (Pancaratra) sastra and God Narayana told them that the sastra would be authoritative in the world and that king Vasu would learn the sastra from Brhaspati to whom it would come by degrees from the seven sages. Chapter 336 of Santi declares that to the north of the Milky Sea there was territory called Svetadvīna, where dwelt devotees of Nārāyana who were called 1542 'Ekāntin' and Pāñcarātra is called 'Ekānta-dharma'. A peculiar doctrine of the Pancaratra school is that of the four whas (murtis or forms) viz. that the Supreme Person is Vāsudeva, the individual soul is Sānkarsana, Pradyuma is mind and springs from Sankarsana and Aniruddha is ahankara and originates from Pradyumna. 1543 It is this doctrine of the four forms of Vasudeva, each springing from the preceding, that is refuted according to Sankara in the Brahmasūtra II. 2. 42-45. The Santi 348. 8 expressly refers to the Gita as already declared

^{1541.} काम्यनैमित्तिका राजन् यक्तियाः परमिक्रयाः । सर्वाः साखतमास्थाय विधि चक्रे समाहितः।पाखराज्ञविदो सुख्यास्तस्य गेहे महात्मनः। प्रायणं भगवस्रोक्तं शुक्रते वाग्रभोजनम्॥ शान्ति 335 24-25

¹⁵⁴² एकात्तिनस्ते पुरुषाः श्वेतद्वीपनिवासिनः। ज्ञान्ति 336.29, vide also ज्ञान्ति 348.62 for एकान्तिनः पुरुषाः; एकान्तिनस्ते पुरुषा गच्छन्ति परमं पद्दम् । नूनमेकान्तधर्मोऽयं श्रेष्ठो नारायणमियः॥ ज्ञान्ति 348.3–4,

^{1543.} यो वासुदेवो भगवान क्षेत्रज्ञो निर्म्यणात्मकः। ज्ञेयः स एव राजेन्द्र जीवः सङ्कर्षणः प्रभुः॥ सङ्कर्पणाख प्रयुक्तो मनोधूतः स उच्यते । प्रयुक्तायोऽनिरुखस्तः सोऽङ्क्कारः स ईश्वरः॥ शान्ति॰ 339. 40~41.

to Arjuna. In ¹⁵⁴⁴ chap. 349. 64 it is said that Sānkhya, Yoga, Pāncarātra, Vedas and Pāsupata are five lores that differ in their views and were promulgated by Kapila (Sānkhya), Hiranyagarbha (Yoga), Apāntaratamas (the Veda), Śīva (Pāsupata), the Bhāgavat Himself (promulgator of Pāncarātra). The Visnudharmottara ¹⁵⁴⁵ remarks 'for seeking Brahma (the One Reality in the Universe) there are five Siddhāntas (systems) viz. Sānkhya, Yoga, Pāncarātra, ¹⁵⁴⁶ Vedas and Pāsupata'. Relying on Śāntiparva 339. 68 several writers particularly those of the Rāmānuja school assert that the whole of the Pāncarātra system has Vedic

^{1544.} साख्यं योग. पाश्चरात्रं वेदाः पाश्चपतं तथा । ज्ञानान्येतानि राजपें विद्धि मानामतानि वे॥ शान्ति 349. 64; but later on it is said साख्यस्य वक्ता कपिछः परमपिः स उच्यते। "उक्तवानिदमन्ययो ज्ञानं पाश्चपत क्षित्र । पाश्चरात्रस्य कुत्तनस्य वेता तः भगगान् स्वयम्। सर्वेषु च स्थ्येष्ठ ज्ञानेष्येतेषु द्वयते॥ शान्ति 349 65-68 q. by परिभाषात्रमञ्ज्ञ p. 21 (which reads वक्ता तः भगगान् स्वयम्। These verses are borrowed almost verbatim by the योगियाज्ञवरस्य XII. 5-6 and the same work reads 'साख्य वाराः अतियमाणान्येतानि वेत्तिभिर्म विचालयेत्। र XII. 4 (q. by अपराफ्ते on p. 11), which means that the five systems are beyond the ordinary means of knowledge and they should not be disturbed by mere ratiocination.

^{1545.} साख्य योगं पद्मरात्र होत पाजुपत तथा। छुतान्तवद्मात्र निद्धि ब्रह्मणः परिमार्गणे ॥ विष्णुधर्मोत्तरपु॰ I. 74. 34 q by हे, on ब्रत vol. I. p. 25 and परिमापापत्राज्ञ p. 22

^{1546.} Why the cult of Vasudeva was called Pancaratra has not been satisfactorily explained anywhere in the English Histories of Indian Philosophy so far as I know. The name leads to the inference that the cult has something to do with five matters. But why is the word ratra or hala used? That is the difficulty. In Santi 336, 46 (cr ed. 323, 42) Pancaratra is referred to as ' Pancakala ' (तेरिट प्यानालजोहीरिकानितिभिर्नरे.) Soveral guesses have been put forward, some of which are. (1) on five nights Nārāvana taught Ananta, Garuda, Visvaksena, Brahmā and Rudra; (2) The Parama-sambitā (31. 19) states that God imparted this doctrine in five nights to four sages, Sanatkumara, Sanaka, Sanandana and Sanatana. (3) this cuit blackened (rates is dark) five teachings, viz. साख्य, योग, पाश्चपत, बीज and आर्टन, (4) this (pancaratra) teaches five aspects पर, ब्यूए, निभन (1. e. अवतार), अन्तर्यामिन्, अर्ची (1mages); (5) it dwells upon five duties of Vaisnavas viz. tapa (branding on the arm and other limbs), pundra (upright lines made on the forehead with some colouring substance), nama (names of Vāsudeva), mantra (like 'Om namo Nārāyanāya), yāga (worship of images of Vasudeva). The Alwar literature mentions fivefold nature viz. Para and the others. Vide K. C. Varadachari's paper on 'Some contributions of Alwars to the philosophy of bhakti 'in Silver Jubilee vol. of BORI p. 621. The Paramasamhita (I. 39-40 G. O. S. ed) states that the five great Elements, the five Tanmatras, Ahamkara, Buddhi and avyakta, (five categories or Tativas of the Sankhya) are the night (as it were) of the Purusa and therefore this sastra (which propounds how to be free from the meshes of these five) is called Pancaratra

authority, while others like Aparārka p. 13 and Paribhāsāprakāśa (p. 23) do not-accept it as thoroughly Vedic but only partially.

In the Vedāntasūtra 1547 there are four sūtras dealing with the Bhāgavata or Pāncarātra system. The great Ācāryas are not agreed upon their interpretation, Sankara saying that all the four sutras refute some tenets of the Bhagavatas, Ramanuja saying that the first two sutras (out of four) contain refutation of the Bhagavata doctrine and the other two do not. Sankaracārya makes it clear that the doctrines of the Bhāgavatas that the Supreme God Vasudeva is the Highest Truth, that He assumes four forms, that the worship of Vasudeva consists in continuous single-minded contemplation of Vasudeva are not the targets for attack, that what is refuted is the doctrine of the Bhagavatas that the individual soul called Sankarsana by them springs from Vāsudeva, that Pradyumna (mind) arises from Sankarasana and that Aniruddha (ahamkāra) arises from Pradyumna. It appears from Śankara's remarks 1548 on II. 2. 45 that in his day Sandilya was supposed to have promulgated the Bhāgavata or Pāñcarātra śāstra, because he did not find the

^{1547.} The four sūtras in the Vedāntasūtra (II. 2. 42-45) are: उत्पर्य-सम्भवात्, न च कार्तः करणम्, विज्ञानाङ्गिवि वा चद्मतिषेधः, विमतिषेधाञ्च. Though Rāmānuja enlarges upon the Pāñcarātra doctrine in his comments on the last two sūtras out of these four and quotes three passages that may be called Pāñcarātra, he does not avow either in his Śrībhāsya or in his Vedārtha-sangraha that he is a Sātvata or Pāñcarātra

^{1548.} वेदविपतिषेधश्च भवति । चतुर्पुं वेदेषु परं श्रेयोऽलब्ध्वा शाण्डिल्य इदं शास्त्रमाधि-गतवानित्यादिवेदनिन्दादर्शनात् । शाङ्करभाष्य on वेदान्तसूत्र II. 2. 45. शङ्कराचार्य begins 'तज भागवता सन्यन्ते । (on जहासूज II, 2, 42) and under II, 2, 44 he remarks 'न च पञ्चराजसिद्धान्तिभिर्वाद्धदेवादिष्वेकस्मिन् सर्वेषु वा ज्ञानैश्वर्योदितारतम्यकृतः कश्चिन्द्रोस्युपग्रस्यते । . It may further he noted that in the Santiparva पाञ्चरात्र is called सालतधर्म (chap. 348 34 and 84). बाज in his हर्षचरित (8th उच्छास), while describing the persons professing various religious and philosophical doctrines gathered near the great acarya Divakara-mitra, separately mentions Bhagavatas and Passcaratrikas ' विदयन्छायास निषण्णी. ''भागवतैर्वणिभिः केश-लुखनैः कापिलैजैनलोकागतिकैः "पौराणिकैः साप्ततन्तवैः शैवैः शान्दैः पाखराजिकैरन्यैश्वाज स्वान् स्वान् सिद्धान्तात्र शुण्वद्धिः &c.'. What Bana probably means is that भागनत stands for the general cult of bhaktı (in the Gita) and पाञ्चरात्र for one school among भागवतs, that had as its characteristic the doctrine of four vyūbas. This is like ब्राह्मणश्रमणन्याय. The बृद्धहारीतरमृति (11. 181-192) states that Sandilya composed a work for performing the worship of Visnu by a non-Vedic procedure, that Visuu cursed him to remain in hell but relented when Sandilya threw himself on his mercy and reduced the period of hell-residence.

highest bliss in all the four Vedas. In the Dronaparva (29. 26-29) there is another and different reference to the four murtis of the Supreme for the benefit of the worlds viz one performs tapas (asuterities) on the earth, the 2nd has an eye on the world's good and evil deeds; the third comes to the world in a human form and does such acts as men perform; the 4th slumbers for a thousand years and when it arises from slumber confers the highest boons on those who deserve them.

It may be noted that even in the Mahābhārata Nārada's name is connected with Pāñcarātra It is said 'this very secret doctrine, connected with the four Vedas, having the benefit of Sānkhya and Yoga and spoken of as Pāñcarātra, was (originally) uttered by the lips of Nārāyana and was again communicated by Nārada. 1549

Other great sources of the cult of bhakti are the Bhagavad-gītā, which is expressly mentioned in the Nārāyanīya section (348. 8 = cr. ed. 336. 8), the Bhāgavatapurāna and the Visnupurāna. The Gītā contains the words bhakti and bhakta several dozens of times. It may be stated here that the so-called Nārada-bhaktisūtras, Nārada-pāūcarātra, the Śāṇdilya-bhakti-sūtra and the several extant Pāūcarātra-samhitās so far published are all later than the Gītā. 1550 The Agnipurāna gives the names of 25 works on Paūcarātra quoted in the note below. 1551 The Mahesyara-

^{1549.} इदं महोपनिषदं <u>चतुर्वेदसमन्वितय</u> । सांरूपयोगक्कतं तेन प<u>खरात्रात्रशस्त्रतयः।</u> नारापणसुत्तेवितं नारदोऽश्चावयरपुनः । शान्ति 339 111-112 (= cr. ed. 326, 100-101) The words इदं ' शाब्दितय् are q. by रानासुन in his भाष्य on बहासूत्र II. 2. 45 (42 in रामासुनभाषय B. S. S.).

^{1551.} विच्वादीनां प्रतिष्ठादि वश्ये ब्रह्मन् शृष्टप्य मे। प्रोक्तानि पञ्चराजा<u>णि सतराजाणि</u> वै नया ॥ व्यस्तानि द्यनिमिलीको पञ्चविद्यातिसंख्यया । इयशीपि तन्त्रमाद्यं तन्त्र बेलोस्यमोहनस् ॥ अन्त्रिय क्षाप्यस्त्र काणिले च तार्श्य नारायणीयकम् ॥ अन्त्रिय नारासीहाख्यमानन्दाख्य तयारुणस् ॥ वैद्यायनं तथाष्टाङ्कं विश्वोक्तं तस्य सारतः ॥ अग्निय - 1-5. Does नारायणीयक ।n the verse above refer to the नारायणीय section of the महाभारत !

tantra names 25 Pāñcarātra tantras promulgated by Visnu, which are condemned therein as having no truth (26. 16 ff.).

There is an extensive Literature on the Bhakti cult. Only a few of the important works in Sanskrit, their translations and works in English are noted here. Barth, Hopkins, Keith, Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar and others have propounded different theories as to what Krsna represented, how he was identified with Visnu who appears to be another name of the Sun in the Rgyeda and came to be regarded as the highest God in Brāhmana times (as in Ait Br. 'Agnir-vai devānām avamah, Visnuh paramah) and came to be identified with sacrifice (Yajño vai Visnuh). When Krsna, the friend of the Pandavas was identified with the Supreme Spirit, the full-fledged doctrine of Avataras appeared as in the GIta. The important works on bhakts are: The Nārāyanīya section of the Śāntiparva (chapters 322-351 in the Citrasala edition and chap. 322-339 of the critical edition); the Bhagavadgītā; several Purānas, the most important being Visnu and Bhāgavata: 1552 the Bhaktisūtra of Śāndilya with the bhāsya of Svapnesvara (ed. by Jivananda, Calcutta 1876) and translation of both these (in B. I. series) by E. B. Cowell

¹⁵⁵² It is remarkable that the Bhagavata, which is practically the most important or sole authority for all great medieval. Vaisnava teachers like Vallabha and Cartanya and their disciples, is not quoted anywhere by Ramanuja (who was born in sake 1049 i e 1127 A D.) in his bhasya on the Brahmasūtras, when he quotes over a hundred verses from the Visnupurāna in the same. In fact, in the Vedarthsangraha Ramanuja states that in the same way as the section (anuvaka) on Nārāyana among all śruti texts serves to expound the special aspect of highest Brahma so the Visnupurana also defines a special aspect of the highest Brahma and that all other Puranas should be so interpreted as not to be in conflict with it 'यथा सर्वोच्च अतिप केवलपरब्रह्मस्तरूपविशेषप्रदर्शनायैव प्रवृत्ती नारायणाञ्चवाकस्तथेतं वैष्णवं च पुराणं परब्रह्म-सक्तपविशेषनिर्णयायैव भव्नत्तम् । अन्यानि सर्वाणि पुराणान्येतद्विरोधेन नेयानि । वेदार्थसंग्रह para 110-111 pp 141-142 (D. C. ed. 1956). रामाद्वज mentions (in भारत on वेदान्तसूत्र II 2 41 and 45) पौब्करसंहिता, सात्वतसंहिता and परमसंहिता among the पाञ्चराञ्चसहिताs, but does nowhere affirm that he is a follower of the पञ्चराज doctrine. There are numerous commentaries on the Bhagavata and commentaries on commentaries (Das Gupta in vol 4 pp. 1-2 lists over 40 commentaries on the Bhagavata). It is unnecessary in this work to refer to the numerous commentaries of the disciples and followers of Madhya and other great Vaisnava acaryas The position of Vallabhacarya (1479-1531 A. D.) appears to be that the Bhagavata is the supreme authority in case of doubt 'वेदा. श्रीकृष्णवाक्यानि व्यासस्त्राणि चैन हि । समाधिभाषा व्यासस्य प्रमाणं तञ्चतुष्टयस् । उत्तरं पूर्वसन्वेहवारकं परिकीर्तितम् ॥ तत्त्वदीपनिवन्ध (Abmedabad, 1926), vide also Prof. G. H Bhatt in I, H. Q vol. IX, 300-306 Vallabhacarya's is called पुष्टिमार्ग (meaning कुक्शानुम्ह) and he holds that even bhakts is difficult to accomplish in the Kalı age.

(1878): Śāndilya's samhitā (Bhaktikhanda) in the Sarasyatībhavan Series, edited by Anantasastri Phadke, (1935); Nāradabhaktisütra with English Translation by Nandlal Sinha (Pānini office, Allahabad, 1911); the Nārada-pāncarātra (containing the Jñanamrtasara section) in eleven chapters (ed. for B. I. Series by C. M. Banerji, Calcutta, 1865) and English translation of it by Swami Vijnananda (Panini Office, Allahabad, 1921); Sir R. G Bhadarkar's 'Vaisnavism, Saivism &c' (1913, in the Encyclopaedia of Indo-Aryan Research); 'Das-Gupta's History of Indian Philosophy' vol. IV. (1949), wherein he deals with the Bhagavatapurana and the doctrines of Madhya. Vallabha. Caitanya and their followers; Grierson's paper 'Gleanings from Bhaktamālā of Nābhādāsa', in J. R. A. S. for 1909 pp. 607-644; 'History of Śrīvaisnavas', by T. A. Gopinatha Rao (Madras, 1923), 'the Gospel of Nārada' by Duncan Greenless (Advar. 1951); Nārada-bhakti-sūtras (text. translation and notes) ed. by Swami Tyāgišānanda (Ramakrisna Math, Mylapore, Madras, 1943) in five adhuāuas and 84 sūtras; the Ahirbudhnya-samhita in two volumes (Adyar, 1916); Dr. F. Otto Schrader's Introduction to the Pancaratra and Ahirbudhnya-samhita (Adyar. 1916); Jayakhya-samhita with English and Sanskrit Introductions (G.O.S. 1931); the Parama samhita (G.O.S. 1946) with English Translation by Dr. S. K. Aiyangar; the Brhadbrahmasamhitā (of Nārada-pāncarātra) in the Anandāsrama series, 1912); Bhakticandrikā (commentary on Śāndilya's Bhaktisūtras) by Nārāyanatīrtha (Sarasvatībhavan series, 1921, 1938); Bhaktiprakāśa of Mitramiśra (Chowkhamba Series, 1934); Bhaktinirnaya of Anantadeva (ed by Pandit Anantasastri Phadke, Banaras, 1937). There is a good deal of Bhakti literature in South India, such as the hymns of the Alwars, but no reference is made here to it for several reasons.

Before proceeding with the treatment of *bhakti* in the Purānas the words 'bhakti' and 'Bhāgayata' must be briefly defined and explained. Śāndilya defines 1553a 'bhakti' as 'sā

¹⁵⁵²a. अथातो भक्तिजिज्ञासा। सा पराद्यस्किरी-वरे। शाण्ठिल्य I. 1 1-2; स्त्रेश्वर comments: आराध्यनियकस्तावलेव सा। इह त परमेश्वरनियकस्ताः अराध्यनियकस्तावलेव सा। इह त परमेश्वरनियकस्ताः अराध्यनिविविवेष एव भक्तिः।. The verse relied on 15. या श्रीतिरनिवेकाना नियवेद्यनपायिनी। त्यामद्यस्तरः सा मे हृदयान्मापसपैतु॥ विच्छपुः I. 20. 19. स्त्रोश्वर also quotes गीता 'मश्चिता मद्रवर्णमाणा वीधयन्तः परस्यस्य। कथ्यग्वत्थ मां नित्यं तुष्यन्ति च रमन्ति च ॥ तेषां सतवस्तानी भज्ञतां श्रीतिपूर्वकम्। द्वासि दृद्धियोगं त येन मास्ययपानित ते॥ X. 9-10. On अतुरक्ति ho remarks 'भग्यन्मिएमाविज्ञानावस्त्र पथ्यात् जायमानावस्त्रस्तिरियक्तम् । स्प्रेश्वर refers

parānuraktirīšvare'. This may be interpreted in two ways 'the highest form of bhakti is affection fixed on God' or 'bhakti is the highest affection fixed on God'. Syapnesyara, the author of a bhasva on Sandilva, prefers the first and Narada-bhaktisūtra. Tilak and others favour the second. Svapnesvara explains that in general 'bhakti' means 'affection fixed on a being that is to be won over or worshipped', but that in this sastra it means 'a particular state of the mind having the Supreme Lord as its object' and quotes a verse from the Visnupurana uttered by the great devotee Prahlada 'May that fixed (or unwavering) love. which the ignorant (or unreflecting) people feel for worldly objects, never depart from my heart, ever remembering you. The Gita employs the word 'priti' (affection) and conveys that the word 'bhakti' is derived from the root 'bhaj' to resort to. 'Those whose minds are on me, whose lives are offered to me, who instruct each other, who speak of me, are always contented and happy. On these who are continuously devoted to me and serve me with affection, I bestow that knowledge by which they reach me'. Svapnesvara explains that the word 'anurakti' (with prefix 'anu') is employed to convey that the affection for God arises after the devotee secures knowledge of the greatness and other attributes of the Bhagavat (Adorable One). In the Visnupurana the word 'anuraga' is used for 'bhakti', where, after describing the ascent of Rama and his brothers to heaven, it says that the people of the capital of Kosala who had deep affection for those incarnate parts of the Bhagavat (Visnu). having their minds fixed on them, reached the position of residence in the same world with them. Śāndilya further 1553 says that there is the teaching that there is immortality for him who abides in Him. In the Chandogya Up. it is said 'He who abides in brahman reaches immortality'. The idea is that immortality being the promise of abiding in God, there will be no indifference in the effort to know God or in the effort to

⁽Continued from last page)

to विद्युपुराण IV. 4. 103 ' येपि तेषु भगववंशेष्वद्यरागिणः क्षोसलनगरकानपदास्तेपि तन्मन-सस्तरालोक्यतानेवाषुः '. The भागवत holds that the highest bhakti must be disinterested and uninterrupted; अहेतुन्यव्यवहिता या भक्तिः पुचयोत्तमे। भागवत III. 29. 12. The next verse mentions the four stages of beautude 'सालोक्यसार्धि-सातीव्यसायुज्येकात्वमध्यतः (एकत्व is 5th, final goal).

^{1553.} तत्संस्थस्यामृतत्वोपदेशात् । शाण्डिल्य I 1.30, स्त्रोध्यरं explains, 'तस्मिन्नीश्वरं सर्था भक्तिर्पस्य सं तथोक्तः'. The छान्दोर्य declares 'ब्रह्मसंस्थोऽमृतत्वमिति' (II 23.1') and the same meaning is conveyed by ब्रह्मस्य I. 1. 7 'तत्विडस्य मीक्षोपदेशात्'.

cultivate highest affection for God. It may be noticed that the sūtras of Nārada appear to be a mere paraphrase of Śāndilya's aphorisms ¹⁵⁵⁴ Śāndilya further (sūtra ?) provides that bhakti, like knowledge, is not an action because it does not follow an effort of the will and that (sūtra 9) it is different from jūāna, as the Gitā refers to self-surrender being attained by one having knowledge after many births (Gitā VII, 19).

Our ancestors had a great penchant for classifications, divisions and sub-divisions. Bhakti is divided into laukikī (of the common people), Vaidikī (laid down by the Veda) and ādhyātmikī (philosophical), as in Padma V. 15. 164; or mānasī (mental), vācikī (verbal) and kāyikī (done with the body, such as fasts, vratas &c) in Padma V. 15. 165-168; into Sāttvikī, Rājasī and Tāmasī (as in Bhāgavata III. 29.7-10 and Padma VI. 126. 4-11), into best, middling and inferior as in Brahmānda III. 1555 34. 38-41).

Prapatt (self-surrender) is distinguished from bhakti in works of the Rāmānuja and of other Vaisnava schools. It consists of five points 1556 viz. resolution to yield (to God's will), the abandoning of opposition, faith that God will protect (the devotee), praying to God to save the devotee, and a feeling of helplessness shown by easting one's soul on Him. Bhakti has as synonyms the words' dhyāna', 'upāsanā' &c. and is subsidiary to prapattı. The Gītā explicitly makes no such distinction. In Gītā II. 7 Arjuna speaks of himself as 'prapanna' (who has approached or surrendered himself for salvation). The final advice at the end of the Gītā enjoins what is called prapattı in later works 'On me fix your mind, become my devotee, sacrifice

^{1554.} अधातो भक्ति ब्याख्यास्यामः । सा लस्मिन्परप्रेमस्त्या । अमृतस्वरूपा च । नारदः भक्तिसच 1-3

^{1555.} The ब्रह्माण्ड mentions नारत, ज्ञुक, अम्बरीष, रन्तिदेव, सांचित, पिल, विभीषण, पह्णाव, गोपींड and उद्ध्य as exemplars of highest भक्ति, पिसेष्ठ and मह as practising मध्यमा and ordinary men as practising kanistha (inferior) भक्ति The नारदभक्तिसूच 83 mentions many of these as अवस्थाचार्याः ' इत्येत्रं वदन्ति । जनजल्पनिर्भया एकमता. कुमारव्यासञ्जक्षाण्डल्यगर्याविष्णकोण्डिन्य-शेष-उद्धव-आवृणिवलिव्ह्यसद्विभीषणाद्यो भक्त्याचार्याः । कुमार stands for नारत, son of ब्रह्मा

¹⁵⁵⁶ ध्यानशन्द्वाच्या भक्तिर्विद्याभेदाद्वहुविधा! "'प्रपत्तिर्गम-आनुष्कृत्यस्य सङ्कृत्यः प्राप्तिकृत्यस्य वर्जनम्। रक्षिष्यतीति विश्वासो गोतृत्ववरणं तथा। आरमनिक्षेपकार्पण्यम्-इत्यादकृष्ण्यक्ष्युक्ता। यतीन्द्रमतदीपिका p. 64. This work remarks that this प्रपत्ति must be learnt from the lips of a guru and therefore it does not expound it. Some read आरमनिक्षेप: कार्पण्यं and thus raise the angas of प्रपत्ति to six

to me, offer adoration to me; you will certainly reach me: I declare to you truly, you are dear to me. Giving up all (dharmas) duties. come to me as your (only) refuge: I shall release you from all sins; do not grieve'.1557 Vide also Gita VII. 14, 15 and XV. 4 for other instances of the use of the root 'pra-pad'. The theory propounded in the Gita and other works on bhakti was that bhakti led on to 'prasada' (favour or grace) of God which enables the devotee to attain moksa. 1558 The Gita (18.56.58, 62) says 'a man, though always performing all actions, but solely depending on me, obtains an imperishable and eternal place through my favour; if you fix your mind on me, you will get over all difficulties through my favour; go to Him as the refuge with all your heart. O Arjuna, through His grace you will attain the highest peace and an everlasting abode.' In the Visnuourana Prahlada 1559 is told by the Adorable One 'as your mind is firmly and devotedly fixed on me you will by my favour attain the highest bliss'. The idea of God's grace occurs both in the Katha and Svetāsvatara Upanisads: 1550 'The Self. smaller than the small and greater than the great, is hidden in the heart of the creatures, a man who is free from willing anything and free from grief sees the greatness of the Self through the favour of the Creator'.

There is a great difference between the Gitā and the Nārāyanīya section. In the former, though the Supreme Soul is called Vāsudeva, 1561 the doctrine of the four vyūhas, that is very

^{1557.} मन्मना भव मद्भक्तो सद्याजी मा नमस्कुर । मामेवैद्यसि सत्यं ते प्रतिजाने मियोऽसि में ॥ सर्वधर्मान् परित्यज्य मामेक् शरणं वज । अहं त्वा सर्वपापेग्यो मोक्षायिद्यामि मा शुचा ॥ गीता 18 65-66. धर्मान् here refers to the duties of varnas (brāhmana, lsatriya &c) and of बर्झकावड (such as those of householder or ascetic &c.) or धर्मान् may refer to actions enjoined by the Veda and smrtis This last exhortation is practically repeated from the end of the 9th chapter 'मन्मना "जमस्कुर । मामेवेदैयासि शुक्तत्विवमास्मानं मत्यरायण ॥ १९, 34.

¹⁵⁵⁸ भक्तिपपत्तिम्यां पसन्त ईश्वर एव मोक्षं दृदाति। अतस्तयोरेव मोक्षोपायत्वस् । यतीन्द्रमतदीपिका p 64.

^{1559.} यथा ते निश्वलं चेतो मयि भक्तिसमन्वितम् । तथा त्वं <u>मत्त्रसादेन</u> निर्वाणं परमाप्त्यासे॥ विष्णुपु॰ I 20.28.

^{1560.} अणोरणीयात्महतो सहीयानात्मास्य जन्तोर्निहितो ग्रहायाम्। तमक्रतुः प्रस्यति बीतशोको <u>षातः प्रसादा</u>न्महिमानमात्मनः। कठोपः II. 20, श्वेताश्वः III. 20 (reads आत्मा ग्रहायां निहितोस्य जन्तोः, अऋतुम्, महिमानमीशम्).

¹⁵⁶¹ बहुनां जन्मनातन्ते झानवान्तां प्रपद्यते । <u>वासुदेव- सर्वामिति</u> स महात्मा सुदुर्लभः॥ गीता VII 19, कृष्णीना वासुदेवोऽस्ति । गीता X, 37.

^{8,} b, 121

characteristic of the Naravaniva section, is totally absent and further even the names of Sankarsana, Pradvumna and Aniruddha do not occur in the Gītā. In my opinion the Gītā is the older of the two, as it propounds the general doctrine of bhakti. while the Pancaratra doctrine in the Narayaniva is only one of the several bhakti schools. Moreover, the Nārāvanīva section represents that Gita had already been proclaimed and that the knowledge brought from Svetadvipa by Nārada is the same as that declared in the Harigita (chap. 346. 10-11, 348. 53-54). Santi (348, 55-57) mentions that there was only one vyuha or there were two, three or four and that the Ekantins attached great importance to ahimsā. Besides, the worship of Vāsudeva is older than Pānini, since 1562 Pānini teaches the formation of the word Vasudevaka as meaning 'one whose object of worship is Vāsudeva'. Vide Dr Bhandarkar's 'Vaisnavism, Śaivism &c.' paragraphs 2-10 (pp. 3-19 of vol IV of the collected works) for the antiquity of Vasudeva worship. The general view of most on Dharmaśāstra about Pāñcarātra is medieval writers represented by the Pārijāta quoted in the K. R. that the Pāñcarātra 1563 and Pāsupata sāstras are authoritative only so far as they are not opposed to the Vedas. This was the view of the Sūtasamhitā also, on which a commentary was composed by the famous Mādhavācārva.

In some Purānas the word Vāsudeva is not derived ¹⁵⁶¹ from Vasudeva (as the son of Vasudeva) but from the root 'vas' to dwell. 'Vāsudeva is so called because all beings dwell in the Highest Self and Vāsudeva dwells in beings as the soul of all'. Compare Gītā 9. 29' I am alike to all beings; none is odious to me nor dear, but those who worship me with faith dwell in me and I too dwell in them'.

¹⁵⁶² भक्तिः। '''वासुद्वेवार्जुनान्या दुन्। पा. IV, 3 95 and 98 (वासुद्वेवः भक्तिः भेष्यः यस्य स वासदेवकः).

^{1563.} पञ्चरात्रपाशुपतादीन्यपि शास्त्राणि वेदाविरुद्धानि मनाणमेवेति पारिजातः । क्राय-रत्नाकर p. 37, तथा च योंशो मार्गाणा वेदेन न विरुध्यते । सोंशः ममाणमित्युक्त केपाचिवाधि-कारिणाम् । अत्यन्तगिलताना तु माणिना वेदमार्गत । सुतसितत 1V. 4, 16–18

¹⁵⁶⁴ सर्वाण तत्र भूतानि वसन्ति परमात्मनि। भूतेषु चस सर्वास्मा वासुदेवस्ततः स्मृतः ॥ विष्णुपु॰ VI 5 80, ब्रह्मपु॰ 233 68 (reads निवसन्ति परात्मनि). There is another verse 'भूतेषु वसते योन्तर्वसन्त्यत्र च तानि यत्। धाता विधाता जगता वासुदेवस्ततः प्रमु. ॥ विष्णुपु॰ VI. 5 82, ब्रह्मपु॰ 233 70 (but it says that this verse contains what Prajapati declared to great sages). विष्णुपु॰ (I. 2. 12–13) states 'सर्वन्नासौ समस्त च वसत्यत्रीति वै यतः। ततः स वासुदेविति विद्वान्तिः परिषठ्यते ॥.'

The word bhagarat calls for a brief notice. It was generally applied to Vāsudeva. The Visnupurāna says 'The word bhaga is applied to the six qualities collectively viz. fulness of sway. manliness (or energy), glory, auspiciousness, knowledge and indifference to wordly objects. This noble word bhaqavan applies to Vasudeva who is the Highest Brahma and to no one else ', 1565 The Visnupurana 1566 further states that the word 'bhagayat' may be applied secondarily to others on the ground of possessing special qualities "that person may be called 'bhagayan', who knows about the creation and dissolution (of the world), about the origin and final destiny of beings, and knows what is widua and audyā (nescience). Knowledge, strength, power, sway, manliness, splendour—all these in their entirety, excluding the three gunas (and their effects) that are to be avoided, are expressed by the word bhagavat". Bhagavata is one who worships 'Bhagavat' (i. e. Vasudeva). This is an ancient word. It occurs in the Besnagar column inscription (of the 2nd century B C.) of Heliodora, a Greek of Taksasilā and ambassador of Antalikita, who calls himself a bhagavata (a devotee of Vasudeva): vide above p. 516 n. 742 and 'Indo-Greeks' by Prof. A. K. Narain (1957), where at end he gives the full Besnagara Ins. of nine lines and its reading (Plate VI.). It appears that 'bhagayat' was rarely applied to Siva also. The Svetāsvatara Upanisad speaks 1567 of Siva as 'bhagavān'. Patañjali in his bhāsya on Pānini V. 2. 76 speaks of Śivabhāgavata. 1568 In the Ghosundi stone

¹⁵⁶⁵ ऐश्वर्यस्य समग्रस्य वीर्यस्य यज्ञासः श्रियः। ज्ञानवैराग्ययोश्चेव षण्णां भग इतीरणा॥ एवमेष महाच्छव्दो मैत्रेय भगवानिति। परमत्रह्मभूतस्य वास्त्वेवस्य नान्यगः॥ विष्णुषु० V1. 5. 74 and 76 The बृद्धहारीतस्मृति VI. 164-165 has ऐश्वर्ये च तथा वीर्ये तेजः शक्तिरत्तामा। ज्ञानं वलं यद्तेतेषा षण्णा भग इतीरितः। एभिग्रेणेः प्रदूर्णो यः स एव भगवान् हरिः। शङ्कराचार्य in भाव्य on ज्ञह्मसूत्र II. 2. 44 remarks about the ज्युह्ड 'ईश्वरा एवेते सर्वे ज्ञानेश्वर्यक्राक्तिवल्वीर्यत्वाभिरैश्वरैर्धर्मरेन्विता अम्युपगम्यन्ते । शङ्कराचार्य probably follows the विष्णुपुराण VI 5. 78-79.

¹⁵⁶⁶ उत्पत्ति मळुपं चैव भूतानामागतिं गतिम्। बेचि विद्यामविद्या च स वाच्यो भगवा-निति॥ ज्ञानज्ञाक्तित्रळैश्वर्यवीर्पेतेजांस्यज्ञेषत । भगवच्छन्दवाच्यानि विना हेयेर्धुणादिभिः॥ विष्णुपु॰ VI. 5. 78-79, ब्रह्मपु॰ 233 66-68.

¹⁵⁶⁷ सर्वेब्यापी स भगवान् तस्मात्सर्वगतः शिवः। श्वेताश्वः III 11.

^{1568.} अयः शूल्वण्डाजिनाम्यां ठक्क्ठजो। पा. V. 2. 76; महाभाष्य 'सिं योऽयः शूलेनान्विन्छति स आयः शूल्किः। सिं चातः। शिवभागवते प्राप्तोति। एवं तर्ह्युस्तरपद्रलेपोऽत्र द्रष्टव्य.। अयः शूल्मिवायः शूल्यः। यो सुदुनोपायेनान्वेद्य्यानर्थान् रभसेनान्विन्छति स उन्यते आयः-शूल्किः।. शिवभागवत is to be explained as शिवो भगवान् भक्तिस्य शिवभागवतः i. e. a devotee that carried with him a trident, which is a weapon of Siva.

Inscription 1569 in Sanskrit (near Nagarī in the Chitorgadh District of Rajputana) there is a reference to both Sankarsana and Vāsudeva as bhaqaiat and it calls them Sarveśvara (about 200 B. C.), while the Besnagara inscription speaks of Vāsudeva alone and Heliodora calls himself bhāgavata In some early records such as the Pīkira grant of Simhavarman (E. I. vol VII. p. 161) and in Gupta Inscription No. 4 (at p 27) Simhavarman and Candragupta (II) son of Samudragupta are called paramabhāgavata'. Akrūra is styled mahābhāgavata in Brahmapurāna 190 20, Padma VI. 280 27 defines a Mahābhāgavata as noted below. 1570

Three margas (paths) are spoken of in ancient works viz. karmamārga, bhaktimārga and nānamārga. It is necessary to say here something about the path of bhakt; and that of juana. Both these paths are deemed to lead to the same goal, viz. moksa. The mode of approach in the two is, however, different, In the path of knowledge (or avyaktorāsanā) it is not bare book knowledge of brahman as the Supreme Soul and as no guna that will lead to moksa, for that purpose what is required is the brāhmī-sthiti (state of identifying oneself with brahma) mentioned in Gita II. 72. This condition can be secured only by great efforts and long practice as described in Gita II. 55 and the following verses. In the path of knowledge whatever actions the person concerned may do are brahmārpana, as described in Gītā IV. 18-24. In the path of bhakts the bhakta resigns himself to God's grace and whatever he does he consigns to the God worshipped by him such as Vāsudeva (saguna and vyakta). Armna asks the Lord a question in Gita XII. 1 'of the worshippers who thus constantly devoted meditate on you and those who (meditate) on the Unperceived and Indestructible, which

^{1569.} Vide E. I XVI pp. 25-27 and I A vol. 61 pp 203-205 for the Chosundi Stone Inscription, where Sankarsana and Vasudeva are both styled Bhagavat' and 'Sarvesvara'.

¹⁵⁷⁰ वापादिपञ्चसस्कारी नवेज्यांकर्मकारक । अर्थपञ्चकविद् विमो महामागवत स्मृत्।। पेसे VI. 280 27. For वापादि, vide note 1546 above The nine modes of worship are समर्ण &c quoted in note 1571. The five heads under which the doctrines of the रामाञ्ज school are discussed are. (1) जील, (2) ईन्बर, (3) ज्याप (way, to God), (4) फल or पुरुषार्थ (the goals of human life), (5) विरोधिन: 'obstituctions or obstacles to the attainment of God). There is a work called अर्थपञ्चक by नारायण, in which each of the above five heads is shown to have five sub-divisions Vide Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's paper in Proceedings of the International Congress of Orientalists held at Vienna in 1886, Aryan section, pp. 101–110 for a summary of the work called अर्थपञ्चक.

best know devotion'. The reply given in XII. 2-7 is 'those who. being constantly devoted and possessed of the highest devotion. worship me with a mind fixed on me are regarded by me as the most devoted. But those who, restraining the group of the senses, and with a mind equable to all, meditate on the indescribable, indestructible, unperceived (Principle), which is allinconceivable, unconcerned, immoveable. constant, they, devoted to the good of all beings, certainly attain to me. In the case of those whose minds are attached to the unperceived (Principle or Spirit) the trouble is much greater. since the unperceived goal is attained by embodied beings with difficulty. In the case of those, however, who dedicating all their actions to me and regarding me as their highest goal worship me, meditating on me with a devotion towards none beside me and whose minds are placed on me, I, without delay, become their deliverer from the ocean of sainsara and death'. In chapter 9 the path of bhakti is spoken of in these terms 'it is the chief among vidyas (lores), chief among mysteries; it is the best means of sanctification; it can be directly apprehended, it is in accordance with dharma, imperishable and easy to practise. According to the Gītā, therefore, the path of bhakti is easier than the path of knowledge.

The Bhāgavata says that bhaktı is ninefold 1571 viz. hearing about Visnu, repeating his name, remembering him, worshipping the feet (of the image of Visnu), offering pūjā, bowing (or homage), treating oneself as the slave of Visnu, treating Him as a friend and surrendering one's soul to Him. According 1572 to Nārada-bhakti-sūtra it is elevenfold (as noted below). It is

^{1571.} अवर्ण कीर्वनं निष्णोः स्मरणं पादसेवनम् । अचनं वन्दनं दास्यं सख्यमासानिवनस् ॥ इति संसापिता विष्णो भक्तिश्चेद्यवलक्षणा । क्रियते भगवस्यद्धा तस्मन्येऽधीतस्यसम् ॥ भागवत VII 5 23-24 प्रह्वाद् says this to his father. स्मृतिकीत्याः कथावेश्वातां प्राथिवसम् ॥ । अवेतं निवेतः । स्प्रेश्वस्यातां । शाण्डित्य 74, स्तरणकीर्तनकथानमस्काराद्यिनामार्तभक्तो निवेतः । स्प्रेश्वस्य महापातकिन लातो । शाण्डित्य 82, प्रतनंदितुपापस्तानां च पुनरातिभक्तावेशाविकारः भागश्चित्तवत् तत्तापक्षयस्य सर्वापक्षयाम्यवित्तलात् । "तत्वपाने तु स्रतरामधिकारसिद्धिः । The भक्तिन्त्रका (part of वीरमित्रोद्ध्य) in pp 30-128 explains these nine at great -length. Even the तान्त्रिक writers took over these nine modes of bhakti e, g, the कद्मपानल (27, 103-104) provides 'मननं क्तिनं स्थानं स्तरणं पादसेवनम् । अर्चनं "निवेदनम्॥ एतन्त्रिकिपसादेव जीवनसक्तास साथकः। । अर्चनं "

^{1572.} ग्रुणमाहात्न्यासक्ति स्पासक्ति पूजासक्ति सरणासक्ति दास्यासक्ति सख्यासक्ति वारसल्यासक्ति कान्तासक्ति आत्मिनेवेदनासक्ति तन्मयतासक्ति परमित्रहासक्तिस्या एकथा अपि एकाव्हाधा भवति। नारदमक्तिध्व 83. The बुद्धहारीतस्मृति 81-83 gives slightly differing nine aspects of blakts.

not to be supposed that all these nine methods have to be practised at the same time. A devotee practising any one of these. viz. remembering or reciting His name, may thereby become a true bhakta, and may win God's favour and secure liberation (Sāndilyasūtra 73). The Gītā (VII. 16-17) states 'four classes of men, who are (all) fortunate, worship me viz. one who is in distress, the seeker after knowledge, one who seeks some desired object (or seeks wealth), one who is possessed of knowledge; of these he who is possessed of knowledge, who is always devoted and who worships One (Being) only is distinguished (above others), for to the man of knowledge I am extremely dear and he is dear to me'. Sandilya provides that the four aspects (out of nine) viz. remembering God, reciting His name, narrating stories about Him and bowing to Him (His image), find their place in the bhakti of those who are distressed, for these are said to be the means of expiation of sins, as the Visnupurana says (II. 6.39) that remembering Krsna is superior to all prayaścittas. Śāndilva further savs that those guilty of mortal (grave) sins are only entitled to the bhakti of the distressed; but when their sins have been wiped off they would be entitled to the other forms of bhakti.

GITĀ does not expressly enumerate all these nine modes of bhakti, but most of them can be gathered from various passages of the GITĀ such as IX. 14, 26, 27 and from passages in other Purānas. For example, the Visnupurāna 1573 says 'whatever be the expiatory rites, consisting of austerities, deeds of charity &c., to remember Krsna is superior to them all' and 'that repetition of His name with bhakti is the best solvent of all sins, as fire is of metals'. In the Bhāgavata 1571 it is said 'whatever the devotee does by his body, speech, mind, organs of sense, by his intellect or by his soul or by the force of temperament that he follows—all that he should offer to Nārāyana who is highest'. This is entirely in line with GITĀ IX. 27 and may be called 'dāsya-bhakti', while Arjuna's bhakti is 'sakhya-bhakti', as Lord Krsna himself speaks of him as 'my devotee and a friend' (GITĀ

^{1573.} प्रायश्चित्तान्यशेषाणि तप कर्मात्मकानि वै । यानि तेषामशेषाणा कृष्णातुस्मरण परम्॥ विष्णुपः II. 6. 39 = पद्म VI 72. 13, यद्मामकीर्तनं भक्तया विलायनमनुत्तमम्। मैत्रेयाशेषपापाना धातुनामिव पावकः॥ विष्णुपुः वृ. by स्त्रमे-वर ०० शाण्टिल्यमक्तिसूत्र 74.

^{1574,} कार्यन वाच्या मनसेन्द्रियेर्वा सुद्धायातमना वात्रस्तस्यभावात् । करोति वद्यासमलं परस्मे नारायणायेति समर्पयेत्तत्॥ भाग. XI. 2 36.

IV. 3). It appears that the Gita regards the performance 1575 of the duties of his station in life by a bhakta as worship (arcana or nuiā) of God 'worshipping by the performance of one's duties (without an eve to the fruit or rewards of them) God from whom the world springs and by whom all this world is permeated, a man obtains perfection (and not only by offering flowers or by reciting the name of God).

This central doctrine of the Gitā of what is called 'niskāmakarma' was accepted by the Puranas. The Visnu II, 3,25 adopts The Agnipurana in chapter 381 gives a summary of the Gītā in 58 verses which are mostly made up of passages from the Gita. It winds up the summary with the verse quoted in note 1575 and emphasizes bhakti in the last verse, 1576 Garuda-purāna summarises the Gītā in 28 verses (I. 237-238). The Padma (in VI. 171-188) contains the mahatmya of each of the 18 chapters of the Gita interspersed with legends about the fruit of reading each Gita chapter (1005 verses in all). A few further examples may be cited. The Kürma says 1577 'therefore even a man not possessed of (high) wisdom should perform all his duties (or actions) abandoning with all efforts the fruit (or reward) of actions, he obtains (high) abode after some time'; actions done after offering them to me do not tend to bind down the doer but tend to mukti (liberation)'. The Markandeya also refers to 'niskāma-karma'. The Bhāgavata-purāna provides 'a man performing (the rites) prescribed by the Veda but without attachment (not caring for the reward) and surrendering them to God secures freedom from the bondage of karma and the declaration of the reward (in the Veda) is only meant for stimulating effort.'

The doctrine of advasta in the Upanisads (such as in Isa 16, Tai. Up. III. 4 and 8, Br. Up. II. 4. 14, IV. 3. 30-31, IV. 5. 15) was for the wise. They offer very little to the ordinary man

¹⁵⁷⁵ यतः पवृत्तिर्भूताना येन सर्वमिदं ततम्। स्वकर्मणा तमम्यर्च्य सिद्धि विन्दृति मानवः॥ गीता 18. 46

^{1576.} अत प्रवृत्ति'' भ्यर्च्य विष्णु सिर्ज्धि च विन्दति। क्वर्मणा मनसा वाचा सर्वावस्थास सर्वदा। ब्रह्मादिस्तम्वपर्यन्तं जगद्विष्णुं च वेत्ति यः। सिद्धिमामोति भगवद्भक्तो भागवतो ध्रुवस्॥ अग्निपु॰ 381. 56-58 कर्माण्यसङ्कृत्विपततत्सलानि संन्यस्य विष्णी परमात्मसूते। अवाप्य तां कर्ममहीमनन्ते तस्मिंखुयं ये त्वमला भयान्ति । विष्णुपु॰ II 3, 25

तस्मात्सर्वेपयत्नेन त्यक्त्वा कर्माश्रितं फलम्। अविद्वानापे कुर्वीत कर्मामोति चिरा-त्पदम्॥ कूर्म I 3. 21; 'मध्यपितानि कर्माणि न बन्धाय विसुक्तये।' कूर्म II 7 28, न च बन्धाय तत्कर्म भवत्यनभिसान्धितम्। मार्कः 92 15, वेदोक्तमेव कुर्वाणी निःसङ्गोऽर्पितमीश्वरे। नैष्कम्पाहिभते सिद्धिं रोचनार्था फलश्चतिः ॥ भागवत XI. 3 46.

about God or the ultimate destiny of man or the way to God and did not solve the common man's problems. The Gita took up the problems of the common man. it shows to the lowliest of the lowly that there is hope for him, that the One and the True Being can enter into his life, if he consecrates all the daily duties and actions of his station in life to God and that salvation will come to every one if one has loving faith in God and surrenders himself entirely to his Grace. The Gita proclaims (IX. 30-32) 'If even a man that has been very badly conducted worships me without worshipping any one else he should be regarded as a good man. since he has resolved upon the right course; he quickly becomes a righteous soul and reaches everlasting peace: O Arjuna! you may affirm that my devotee is never ruined. By taking refuge with me even those that are born in despised castes, also women, vaisyas and śūdras reach the highest goal'. The Śāndilya-bhakti-sūtra provides 1578 that all down to persons of the despised castes are entitled (to follow the path of bhakti) as they are capable of learning bhakti at second hand just as they can learn the common rules (of ahimsā, truthfulness &c.). The Purānas speak in the same strain as the Gītā and are sometimes even more explicit and emphatic. The Brahma-purana paraphrases GItā IX. 32 and proceeds 'my devotee even if he be a candala attains the desired beatitude, if he be endowed with the right faith: what need be said about others?' The Padma 1579 states 'A Pulkasa or even a śvāpaka and other persons belouging to Mleccha tribes become eminent and worthy of being honoured, if they are solely devoted to the worship of the feet of Hari': 'Even a śvapāka is a Vaisnava if on his lips there is the name of Hari, in whose heart there is Visnu and in whose stomach goes food offered to Visnu' The Bhagavata contains 1500

^{1578.} आनिन्द्ययोन्यधिक्रियते पारम्पर्यात् सामान्यवत्। शाण्डिल्यसूत्र 78.

¹⁵⁷⁹ पुरुक्तसः श्वपचो नापि ये चान्ये म्लेक्झजातयः। तेऽपि वन्या महाभागा हरिपादैन सेवकाः॥ पद्म I 5. 10 येपा मुखे हरेनीम हृदि निष्णुः सनातनः। उदरे विष्णुनैवेग्री स श्वपाकोऽपि वैष्णवः॥ पद्म IV. 10 66

^{1580.} किरावहणान्ध्रपुलिन्दपुल्मसा आभीरकह्ना यवना. खसाद्यः। येऽन्ये च पापा यदुपाश्रयाश्रया श्रुप्ति तरमे प्रभविष्णे नमः॥ भागात II. 4 18, विन्वामित्र pronounced a curse on his 50 elder sons, who did not consent to accepting Sunahéepa adopted as a son by Visvāmitra as their eldest brother, that their progeny would be Andhras, be reduced to the status of the lowest class and they would be Sabaras &c, and mostly dasyus as follows 'तानखुर्याजदारान्तान्तः प्रजा भशीदित। त एतेऽन्याः पुण्वाः शनरा, पुलिन्दा मृतिचा दृत्युद्गरया चहची भवन्ति वेन्यामित्रा दृत्युद्गरा मृतिचा। ऐ जा. VII 18 (chap. 33, 6) In E. I. VIII p 88 there is an Inscription of the 9th year of king Isvarasena, an Abbira, son of Sivadatta, an abbira, in the Nasik caves (No. 15 plate VII).

the following remarkable statement: 'Homage to that Supreme Lord, by resorting to whom as refuge Kirātas 1551 (mountain-Andhras. the Hūnas. Bhils). dwellers like Pulkasas, Abhīras, Kankas, Yavanas, Khasas and the like and other degraded men are purified.' These sentiments were not mere platitudes, but had been very largely acted upon. Even in the medieval ages in India we have women saints like Mirābai and Andal (in South India), untouchables as saints like Nanda, Cokhāmelā (in Mahārāstra), Rai Das (a chāmār disciple of Rāmānanda), sinners like Ajāmila honoured as saints. Saints like Kabir 1582 (a Moslem weaver) and Tukārām had probably not much book learning, but their hymns are recited by Hindus including orthodox brahmanas.

The invasions and gradual conquest of India by Moslem invaders from the Northwest threw down a challenge to Hindus from 11th century A.D. onwards. It was met in various ways. The first was the composition of comprehensive digests of smrtis of which the earliest extant one is the Kıtyakalpataru of Laksmīdhara (about 1110–1130 A.D.) in the North and Hemādri in the Decean (third quarter of 13th century). The second and the most important way was on the spiritual front. From the 13th to the 17th century there was a great revival of spirituality on an unprecedented scale which produced saints and mystics in all parts of India such as Jnāneśvara, Nāmadeva, Rāmānanda, Kabir, 1882 Caitanya,

^{1581.} Pulkasas and Śvapākas were degraded and untouchable castes Vide H. of Dh. vol. II pp. 88-89 for Pulkasa and p. 97 for Śvapāka (lit. who subsists on dog-meat). Pulkasa occurs in Vāj. S. 30. 17. Kirātas are assigned to caves in Vāj. S. 30. 16 The Ābhīras are described as dasyus and elecchas in Mausala-parva 7. 46-63, they are said to have attacked Arjuna in Pañcanada when he was taking women with him after Krsna's passing away and to have carried away Vrsni women (Mausala 8. 16-17) Vide also the same account in Visnipurāna V. 38 12-28 Matsya 273 18 speaks of ten Ābhīra kings. The Khasa tribe had the custom of a brother marrying his deceased brother's widow. Vide H of Dh vol. III. p 861 n 1671.

^{1582.} Vide G H. Westcott on 'Kabir and Kabir-panth' (Cawnpore, 1907) and 'Kabir and his followers' by F. E. Keay (1931). Kabir's teaching was an amalgam of both Hindu and Moslem ideas. He preached a doctrine of theism that did not tolerate polytheism, incarnations and idols. Kabir is said to have been a disciple of Rāmānanda (who lived about 1400-1470 A. D.), an ascetic who preached at Benares that God should be worshiped under the name of Rāma. Kabir held to the doctrines of Karma and Transmigration. He believed in the unity of God, but makes use of many names such as Rāma, Khudā, Allāh, Śakti in speaking of Him.

Dadu (in Rajasthan), Nānak, Vallabhācārya, Ekanātha, Tukārām. Rāmadāsa (and many others of lesser fame) who all agreed on fundamentals viz. unity of God, the need of self-purification, comdemnation of the pride of caste and of formalities of worship, and surrender to the Deity for salvation. The third was the creation of such independent kingdoms as that of Vijayanagara (1330-1565 A.D.), of Mahārāstra (under Shivaji and the Peshwas) and of the Sikhs in Punjab. This last cannot be dealt with way in this work The doctrine of bhakti had a great appeal to all sections of the Hindu community and its propagation by the Puranas went a long away in weaning away Hindus from Buddhism. Not only so, Mahāyāna Buddhism took over the doctrine of bhakti and works like the 'Questions of Milinda' and the Saddharmapundarīka 1583 contain passages which agree closely with the Gītā. The Gītā exhibits a wonderful spirit of tolerance and accommodation, not found in the scriptures of other religions which were founded by great prophets. It says 1584 'even those, who are devotees of other deities and worship them with faith, (indirectly) worship me only but with a non-sastric (or irregular) procedure. The Bhagavata-purana elaborates the same idea; 'O Adorable One! others worship you alone in the form of Siva while following the path promulgated by Siva and propounded in different ways by several acaryas, all worship you who are Lord and who comprehend in yourself all gods: those also who are devotees of other deities and appear to have different ideas do in the end reach you, just as all rivers rising in mountains and flooded by rains enter the ocean from all sides'. The Śāntiparva 1585 also contains a similar idea 'Those who worship Brahmā, Śiva or other deities and whose conduct (or practice) is intelligent will (ultimately) come to me, who am the Highest'. This doctrine has its germs in the Rgveda 1586

^{1583.} Vide H Kern's Introduction to the translation of the Saddharmapundarika in SBE, vol. 21, pp. XXVI-XXVIII and XXIX n. 2, XXXI n. 1, XXXI n 2

^{1584.} वेष्यन्यदेवताभक्ता यजने श्रद्धयान्तिता । तेऽपि मामेत्र जीन्तेय यजन्यपिधि पूर्वक्रम् ॥ गीता IX 23; त्वामेवान्ये शिवोक्तेन मार्गेण शिवक्रिपण्य् । यदान्वार्यिभेदेन भगगन्त- सुपासते ॥ सर्वे एव यजन्ति त्वा सर्वदेवमये-बरम् । येऽप्यन्यदेवताभक्ता यद्यप्यन्यियः प्रभो ॥ यथाद्विममवा नथः पर्जन्यापूरिता प्रभो । विशन्ति सर्वेतः सिन्धु तद्वस्ता गतयोऽन्तत ॥ भागग्त X. 40. 8-10,

^{1585.} ब्रह्माण शिविकण्ठं च पाश्चान्या देवता स्मृता.। प्रबुद्धचर्याः सेवन्ती मामेवैष्यन्ति यत्परम् ॥ शान्ति 341 36.

^{1586.} एकं सद्धिमा चतुधा बदन्त्यिम्नं यम नातरिश्वानमातुः॥ ऋ ा. 164. 46.

itself where it is said 'the One Reality the sages speak of under various names; they call it Agni, Yama, Mātariśvan (wind-god)'. This work cannot afford, for reasons of space, to go into the several bhakti schools such as those of Rāmānuja, Madhva (who postulated five eternal bhedas), Caitanya and Vallabha (that gave rise to an erotico-mystical brand of bhakti) and others.

In their zeal for the spread of the cult of bhakti the Puranas are sometimes guilty of gross exaggeration. The Brahmapurāna 1587 says 'men even after having committed many sins under the influence of error (or delusion), do not go to Hell, if they worship Hari who removes all sins; those men also who always remember Janardana, though they may be guilty of roguery, reach, after they die, the happy world of Visnu. Even a man who is habituated to flying into extreme rage, if he recites the name of Hari, has his faults destroyed and attains mukti (liberation) as the king of Cedi country did'. The Vāmanapurana 1588 observes "what has that person got to do with many mantras (i. e. he has no use for those), who is a bhakta of Visnu? The mantra 'namo Nārāyanāya' is able to accomplish all objects. Success is for those who have bhakti for Visnu; how can there be failure for them in whose heart is enthroned Janardana dark like a blue lotus?" The Vāmana and Padma Purānas say that a man secures the same results by repeating the names of Visnu that he would secure by visiting all the tirthas (sacred places) and holy shrines 1589 in the world.

Many Purānas, particularly the Visnu and the Bhāgavata, are replete with the eulogies, the theory and practice of bhakti

â

^{1587.} छुत्वापि बहुद्दाः पापं नरा मोह्समन्विताः। न यान्ति नरकं नत्वा सर्वपायहरं हरिम्॥ ज्ञान्वेनापि नरा नित्यं ये सारन्ति जनार्वृनम्। तिपि यान्ति तद्धं त्यक्ता विष्णुलोकमनामयम्॥ अत्यन्तकोधसक्तोऽपि कदाचित्कीर्तयद्धित् । सोपि दोषक्षयान्द्धितं लभेचिद्विपतिर्वधा ॥ बहुद्ध 216, 87-89. चेद्विपति appears to refer to शिद्युपाल, whose story occurs in समाप्व chapters 43-45. He was the sister's son of Krsna who had promised to forgive 100 aparādhas of his and at last killed him at the Rājasūya sacrifice of Yndhisthira. The story of शिद्युपाल also occurs in विल्लुपुर IV 15 1-17 and it is said that शिद्युपाल always took the name of Krsna and always thought of him though as an enemy, and therefore he ultimately reached the Lord 'अयं हि भगवान् कीर्तितश्च संस्कृतश्च द्वेपानुवन्वेनापि अखिलस्रसस्त्रस्तिद्वर्लमं फलं प्रयच्छिति किस्त सम्प्रमक्तिमवामपीति। 17.

^{1589.} पृथिव्या यानि तीर्थानि पुण्याच्यायतनानि च । तानि सर्वाण्यवामोति विष्णोर्नामाञ्च-कीर्तनात्॥ वामन 94. 63, एस VI 245 85.

and illustrative stories about it. For reasons of space it is not possible to go into this matter at any length. A few noteworthy points alone will be touched. A few verses eulogising the Bhāgayatapurāna may be cited as samples of extreme exaggeration. 'Thousands 1590 of Asyamedhas and hundreds of Valapeya sacrifices are not equal to even the 16th part of the story narrated by Suka: he who always recites a half or a quarter verse of the Bhagavata secures the merit of Rajasuya and Asvamedha: he who listens to the words of the sastra of Suka (i.e. Bhagayata) at the time of death. Govinda being pleased with him confers on him Valkuntha; this is a good expiation for all sinners viz. uttering the name of Visnu, since (at that time) their mind has Visnu as the only object of thought'. 1591 Another point is the story of Ajāmila in the Bhāgavata (VI. 1. 20 ff and VI. 2), Padma (I. 31. 109 and VI. 87. 7) and other Purānas. Ajāmila 1592 (who abandoned his brahmana wife and kept a mistress) was a moral wreck addicted to gambling and thieving. When on his deathbed at the age of 80 he loudly called upon his youngest son named Nārāvana (out of ten sons born of the mistress) with affection and recited that name and thought of that alone, he became free from his sins and attained a high position difficult to attain'. Such stories have given rise to the common belief that the last thought at one's death leads to a new birth appro-The Upanisads priate to that thought (ante match sa catch). contain the germ of the idea of the last thought: vide. Chan. Up. III. 14. 1, VIII. 2. 10, Br. Up. IV. 4. 5. In the 'Questions of Milinda' (SBE vol 35 pp. 123-124) this idea of the importance of the last thought is taken up. It may be that a single heart-felt invocation of the name of God, a single act of faith after

¹⁵⁹⁰ अन्वसेधसहस्राणि वाजपेयशतानि च । श्चामशास्त्रभाषाश्च कला नाईनित पोठ-शीम् ॥ श्लोकार्धे श्लोकपाद वा पठेन्द्रागात च य । नित्यं पुण्यमगामीति राजसूया-वर्मेधयोः॥ अन्तकाले हु येनेन श्रूयते शुक्रशास्त्रवाक् । भीत्या तस्येन वेशुण्ठ गोविन्दोपि प्रयच्छति॥ पप्न VI. 191, 32, 39, 41

¹⁵⁹¹ The Padmapurāna (VI. 189~194, 518 verses) contains a lengthy eulogy of the Bhāgavata-purāna and of listening to its recitation for seven days (saptālia) &c

^{1592.} कान्यकुको द्विज कश्चिद् दासीपतिरजामिलः। नाम्ना नष्टसदाचारो दास्याः ससर्गे वृत्वितः॥ धन्यक्षकितश्चीपॅपर्दिना युत्तिमाश्चित । भागात VI. 1. 21-22, द्वियमाणो द्वेर्ताम युणन् युजोपचास्तित्त् । अज्ञामिलोप्यगाद्धाम किं युनः श्रद्धया गृणन् ॥ भागात VI. 2. 49; सर्वेपामप्ययग्रतामिदमेन सुनिन्कृतम्। नामव्यादरण निन्णोपॅतस्तद्वियया मतिः॥ भागवत VI. 2 10, द्वियमाण. सुतरनेद्वायोच्य नारायणेति च । तब्ध्याननामग्रहणास्यद्व लेभे सुदुर्लभम्॥ पा IV. 87, 7; तिकृष्य युजमचनान्यद्वजामिलोपि नारायणेति द्वियमाण द्वयाय द्वित्तम्॥ पा I 31. 109.

repentance and complete surrender to God's will, cancels the result of a whole life of crime and sin. This is the moral of Ajāmila's story, but it is liable to be grossly misapprehended and might create a complacent belief that a man may commit as many sins in his life as possible, but if he remembers God at his death and repeats His name then, all sins of his are cleared away and wiped out. This is a rather dangerous doctrine. The Gitā (VIII. 5-7) puts the matter in a clear light. 'That person, who remembers me at the time of death and passes from the world leaving the body. attains my essence, there is no doubt about that Whatever form a man remembers when he leaves the body, to that he goes. because he was always engrossed in that form. Therefore remember me at all times and engage in battle; there is no doubt that having fixed your mind and intellect on me you will attain to me'. This passage of the Gita suggests that a person will remember the name of God at the time of his death only if he had been so doing all his life, while engaged in actively performing all his duties and not caring for the rewards thereof and that it is extremely rare or almost impossible for a man to remember God at the last moment when throughout his life he had been ungodly and a great sinner. The above idea is again emphasized in verses 10-13 of chap VIII (of the Gītā) and in chap. XIII. 3 (yo yac-chraddhah sa eya sah)

In spite of the doctrine that God is one 1593 and the doctrine that whatever form of the deity one may worship, the worship reaches the Supreme Being, Vaisnavas and Śaivas have been wrangling and abusing one another For example, the Varāha-

^{1593.} The proposition that God is only one, that He is worshipped under various perceptible forms for concentrating the mind on Him, worship or contemplation of a formless object being difficult, are doctrines taught in the Purānas in spite of the fact that they preach worship of Viṣṇu, Kṛṣṇa, Śiva, Devī and others. Vide H of Dh. vol. II pp 714-715 and pp. 118-119 above The विष्णुवसींतर I 65. 32 states. साकारे बद्धलक्षक्त शुन्ये आक्रीति चिन्तित् । अन्यथा तु सक्ष्णे स्पासित् स्वयं चिन्तत ॥; vide also विष्णुवसींत् राष्ट्रा । 118. 23 ff., particularly the verse आदी क्षत्या महाभागे साकारे लक्ष्णवसींत् ततः समर्थी भवित शुन्ये ध्याचे नरीत्तम ॥ 26 Indian theologians and philosophers deliberately spared lower forms of worship with the thought that it was better to begin at a lower rung of the ladder to the highest goal than not to have a footing at all. A well-known verse is: अशी कियावतां देवी दिवि देवी मनीपिणास । शितमास्तरपद्धिना योगिनां हृदये हरिः ॥ द्विसहयुराण 62. 5 q. by अपरार्क् on p. 140.

purana makes Rudra declare the supremacy of Visnu (chap. 15% 70. 14 Nārāyānah paro devah), denounce Saiva-siddhāntas that are outside the pale of the Vedas and put forward the outstanding view 'that such non-Vedic views were promulgated by Siva himself at the request of Visnu in order to delude people'. Some Puranas started by saying that the Bauddhas and Jainas 1595 were asuras and enemies of gods that were purposely deluded by god. For example, the Matsya (24, 43-49) says that the sons of Raji deprived Indra of his kingdom and share in sacrifices. that Brhaspati, at Indra's request, deluded the sons of Rau by composing a treatise of Jina-dharma which was opposed to the Veda and then Indra killed them. Vāvu 96, 230-32, Matsva 47. 11-12, Bhāgavata I. 3 24 appear to suggest that Visnu himself deluded the people The Agni (16. 1-4) also says with reference to the Bauddhas that Visnu deluded them. The Visnupurāna 1596 (III 17-18) narrates that when the gods were

¹⁵⁹⁴ लंच रुद्र महागाही मोहकास्त्राणि कार्य । अल्पायासं दर्शियत्वा मोहयाशु महेश्वर (११)॥ वराह 70 36, this verse and several more are quoted from वराह by अपरार्क p 1052, ये वेदमार्गनिर्धुक्तास्त्रेणा मोहार्थमेव च । नयसिद्धान्तसङ्गाभिर्मया शास्त्र त दर्शितम् ॥ वराह 70. 41, लं हि रुद्र महावाहो मोहनार्थे सुरिद्धियाम् । पासण्डाचरणं धर्मे कुरुष्व च महामते ॥ तामसानि पुराणानि कथयाच च तात् प्रति । मोहनानि च शास्त्राणि कुरुष्व च महामते ॥ पक्ष VI. 263. 24–25, तथा पाशुपतं शास्त्रं त्वमेव कुरु स्त्रत । कङ्गालशैवपाण्य-महाशैवादिभेदतः । अवलक्ष्य मत सम्यवेद्द्ववाद्यं द्विजाधमाः । भस्मास्थिपारिणः सर्वे भविष्यत्विच संश्चाः ॥ पद्म VI. 263. 32–33 क्षङ्गालशैवपद्माः । भस्मास्थिपारिणः सर्वे भविष्यत्विच संश्चाः ॥ पद्म VI. 263. 32–33 क्षङ्गालशैव are the कापालिकः. Compare sımllar passages ın Kürma I 12 261-62, Devibhāgavata VII. 39 26–31 (अन्यानिचानि त्रास्त्राणि लोकेस्मिन् विविधानि च ॥ श्रुतिस्मृतिवेद्धानि तामसान्येव सर्वनः । गामकापालक चैव कौलकं भैरवागम ॥ विविच मोहनार्थाय प्रणीतो नान्यहेतुकः । े श्रेवास्त्र विण्वास्त्रेव स्त्राः । वामकापालक क्षेत्रेव स्त्रानिककं भैरवागम ॥ विविच मोहनार्थाय प्रणीतो नान्यहेतुकः । े श्रेवास्त्र विण्वास्त्रेव स्त्रानिककं भैरवागम ॥ विविच मोहनार्थाय प्रणीतो नान्यहेतुकः । े श्रेवास्त्र विविधानिक च । काव्यत्व स्त्रानिककं भैरवागम ॥ विविच मोहनार्थाय प्रणीतो नान्यदेतुकः । े श्रेवास्त्र विविक्षस्त्रवेद च । गाणपत्या आगमाश्च प्रणीतो काञ्चरेप त्रा ॥ वाच वेद्वविकक्रांशोष्युक एव कव्यत्व क्षित्र । वैद्विकैस्तर्युक्र देशो च भवस्यव कार्विवत् ॥).

^{1595.} दिग्वाससामय धर्मी धर्मीयं बहुवाससाम् । इत्यनेकान्तवादं च मावानेषिन नैकधा । तेन दर्शयता दैत्याः स्वधर्म त्याजिता द्विज ॥ विष्णुषुः III. 18. 11–12. This is an obvious reference to the Jain doctrine of सप्तमङ्गीनय

¹⁵⁹⁶ स्वरेनेव हि कालेन मायामोहेन तेऽसुराः । मोहितास्तत्युज्ञः सर्वा वरीमार्गाभिता कथाम् ॥ केस्विहिनिन्दा वेदाना देवानामपरे हिज । यज्ञकर्मकलापस्य तथान्ये च हिजनमाम् ॥ नैतव्यक्तिस्त वाक्य हिसा धर्माय चेव्यते । ह्वीव्यनलदक्यानि फलायेत्यर्भमोदितम् । यज्ञे रनेकेदेवत्वमवाव्येन्द्रेण सुज्यते । श्रम्यादि यदि चेत्काष्ठ तहरं पत्रश्चक् पह्यः । निहत्तस्य पद्मेपेजे स्वर्गमाप्तिर्यद्गिन्यते । स्वरिता यज्ञमानेन किन्द्य तस्माज हन्यते । तृष्वये जायते पुंसी श्रक्तमयेन चेत्ततः । कुर्याच्छाद्ध अमायाचं न वहेशः मवासिन ॥ विव्युष्ठः III 18. 24-29. Vide a similar narrative about Māyāmoha or Mahāmoha and his being naked, shaved, carrying peacock feathers &c in पद्म V. 3, 346-390 (this last refers to 24 द्यिकेत्वर्श). In the सर्वदर्शनसम्बद्ध (ed by M. M. Vasindevashasti Abhyankar, 1924) under चार्वाक्तद्वर्शन certain verses are quoted from गृतस्पति which closely resemble the above, e g. पशुश्चेजिह्य स्वर्ग ज्योतिहोने गृतिव्यति । स्विपता यज्ञमानेन तत्र करसाज विस्पत्त ॥ p. 13. Vide पत्र V. 13. 370-37। for almost the same verses as in विष्युप्रत्य

defeated by the asuras (who also practised tapas and studied the Veda) they approached Visnu and prayed to him to help them and to destroy the asuras and that then Visnu produced from his body Māyā-moha (lit. who causes delusion by wiles) and made him over to the gods. Māyāmoha, who was naked, had shaved his head and held a bunch of peacock feathers in his hand, went to the asuras that were practising tapas on the banks of the Narmada (III, 18, 1-2) and told them that if they followed his words they would secure mukti, led them away from the path of the Veda, taught them formulas of sceptical reasoning and weaned them away from their dharma. Then he approached other asuras and taught them that sacrificing animals was sinful, taught them nirvana and the doctrines of vinānavāda. Some of the passages are very striking 'In a short time the asuras were deluded by Māyāmoha and abandoned all concern with the path depending on the three Vedas. Some condemned the Vedas, some condemned the gods, while others condemned the body of sacrificial rites and brahmanas. (They thought or said) The statement that killing an animal (in sacrifices) is desirable for the sake of Dharma (for accumulating merit) does not stand to reason: to say that offerings burnt by fire would conduce to reward (in the next world) is childish talk; (if it be said that) Indra attained the position of God by means of many sacrifices and enjoys the fuel-sticks of the samī tree, then an animal (that subsists on leaves) is superior to Indra (who enjoys hard and thorny sami samidhs) If it is desired (by the Veda) that the animal killed in a sacrifice attains heaven, then why does not the sacrificer kill his own father in a sacrifice (and send him to heaven)? If one (the son) performs śrāddha (with the thought) that what is eaten by one (brāhmana diner at śrāddha) tends to give satisfaction to another (i.e. the deceased father of the offerer of śrāddha), then travellers would not carry food (on their backs) which causes weariness to them.' These are the arguments used by atheists (carvakas). It is remarkable that even tantrik works like the Kularnavatantra make Šiva say that he declared certain sastras purely for deluding certain bad people who did not know the Kaula dharma, 1597

From condemning the Jainas and Bauddhas some Purāṇas, entirely unmindful of what the Gītā declared (in note 1584),

^{1597.} आमिता हि मया देवि पशवः शास्त्रकोदिपु । कुलघर्मे न जानन्ति दृथा शास्त्रामि-मानिन. ॥ पशुशास्त्राणि सर्वाणि मयैव कथितानि हि । मूर्त्यन्तरं तु गत्वैव मोहनाय दुरात्मनाम् ॥ कुलार्णवतन्त्र II. 96–97 (ed by Arthur Avalon).

proceeded so far as to say 1598 that a brahmana who is not a Vaisnava is a heretic, that Visnu himself assuming the form of Buddha proclaimed a false śāstra, and that all śāstras such as the Pāśupata, Vaiśesika of Kanāda, Nyāya of Gautama, Sānkhya of Kapıla, Carvaka of Brhaspati are tāmasa; that the māyāvāda (of Sankara) is a false sastra and is disguised Bauddha (doctrine) and the extensive sastra (Pürvamīmānsa) of Jamini is condemned, since it made gods as of no use (in his system). The words of the Padma-purana are . 'Listen O Goddess, while I declare to you in order the $t\bar{a}masa$ śāstras, by merely remembering which even wise men become sinners. First of all I promulgated Saiva sastras such as the Pasupata system: then the following were declared by brahmanas that were possessed (or engrossed) by my śakti (power), viz Kanāda proclaimed the great Vaiśesika system, the Nyāya and Sānkhya systems were declared respectively by Gautama and Kapila, the much despised Cārvāka doctrine was declared by Brhaspati, while Visnu, assuming the form of Buddha, promulgated, for bringing about the destruction of dailyas, the false doctrine of Bauddhas that go about naked or dressed in blue garments, I myself, O goddess, assuming the form of a brāhmana declared in the Kali age the false śāstras of the doctrine of Māvā which is hauddha in disguise. The brāhmana Jaimini composed the great system of Pürvamīmāmsa, which is unmeaning on account of its atheistic discourse'. Vijnana-bhiksu in his Sānkhya-pravacanabhāsya (who flourished about 1550 A. D.) quotes eleven verses from the Padma VI 263 and holds the peculiar view that no sastra that is āstīka (admits a soul) is unauthoritative nor is there any contradiction, each śāstra being of full force and true in its own sphere. The original Sānkhya sūtra, on which he comments, tries to establish the impossible thesis that the teachings of the Sankhya are not in real or irreconcilable contradiction with the doctrine of all-pervading oneness of brahma or with the doctrine that brahma is bliss (ananda) or the system of theism (i. e. a personal God). Sectarian exclusiveness and bigotry went so far that the Brahmanda 1599 contains a dialogue between sage Agastya and

¹⁵⁹⁸ अवैष्णवस्तु यो वित्र. स पापण्ड प्रकीर्तितः। पदा VI. 262 27. The द्वर्ट हारीतस्त्रुति also requires the zealous Vaisnava not to pay homage to or worship another god nor to enter the temple of another god 'नान्य देव नमस्क्रुयां-सान्य देवं प्रयूजयेत्। नान्यप्रसाद अशीत नान्यदायतर्ग विदेत् ॥ 8 85-86.

^{1599.} शृणु देवि प्रवक्ष्यामि तामसानि यथाक्रमम् । येपा स्मर्णमात्रेण पातिस्य ज्ञानिना-मपि ॥ प्रथमं हि मया चोक्त शैवं पाशुपतादिकम् । मच्छन्स्योणेशितीवैमे. प्रोक्तानि च ततः शृणु ॥ (Continued on next page)

Rama in which it is said that the 108 names of Krsna (who is acknowledged by all to be an incarnation of Visnu) are so potent that the merit secured by repeating thrice the 1008 names of Visnu is secured by repeating only once one of the 108 names (of Krsna). 1600

The Visnu and Padma do not stand alone in this strange story of Visnu himself or through Rudra teaching false doctrines for deluding the ungodly or those that dissented from or reviled the Vedas. There were other Puranas that sing the same tune: for example, the Kūrma-purāna indulges in a tendetta against many śastras and systems in several places. A few passages are set out here. (Devi says) 'the various 1601 sastras that are found in this world and are opposed to the Vedas and smrtis are based on tamas (ignorance), viz Kāpāla, Bhairava, Yāmala (a class of Tantra works), Vāma (left-hand practices of a class of Tantras), Arhata (Jain doctrines). these and others are meant for deluding (persons); I produced these śāstras in another birth for deluding people'; 'therefore in order to protect (people) against those who were beyond the pale of the Vedas and for the destruction of sinners we shall, O Siva. compose sastras for deluding them; Rudra being thus advised by Mādhava (Visnu) composed śāstras that delude and Visnu also urged on by Rudra did the same,

⁽Continued from last page)

कणादेन तु समोक्तं शास्त्र बैझेषिकं महत्। गौतमेन तथा न्यायं साख्यं तु कपिलेन वै॥ धिपणेन तथा मोक्त चार्वाकमतिगहितम्। दैत्यानां नाझनार्धाप विष्णुना इद्धरूपिणा। बौद्धशास्त्रमसत्योक्तं नग्ननीलपटादिकम्। <u>मायावादमसन्छास्त्रं मच्छनं बौद्धस्वयते</u>। मयेव कथितं देवि कली वाह्यण-रूपिणा। "द्विजरमना जौमिनिना पूर्व चेदमपार्थकम्। <u>निरीश्वरेण वादेन कृतं शास्त्रं महत्तरस्य॥</u> पद्म VI. 263 67-71 and 75-76, quoted by विज्ञानभिक्षु ग्रा सांख्यप्रवचनभाष्य pp. 6-7 (B I. edition)

^{1600.} शृष्णु देवि प्रवक्ष्यामि नाभ्नामाद्योत्तरं शतम् । सहस्रनाभ्नां पुण्याना विराष्ट्रस्या त् यस्मलम् । एकावृत्त्या त् स्तान्त्रं वामेकं तत्प्रयच्छति । तस्मात्पुण्यतरं चैतत् स्तानं पातकानाश्चामम् ॥ ब्रह्माण्ड III 36 18-20. Verses 21-41 set out the 108 names of Krana The one thousand and eight names of Visnu are set out in Mahābhārata, Anusāsanaparva 149 14-120 and the Garuda-purāna (I. 15 1-160) contains names of विष्णु, but often different from those in अञ्चलास्त्रन

^{1601.} यानि काखाणि द्वयन्ते लोकेऽस्मिन्विविधानि हा। श्रितिस्मृतिविकद्वानि निष्ठा तेषा दि ताससी॥ कापालं भैरवं चैव यामलं वाममाईतस्। एवंविधानि चान्यानि मोहनाधानि तानि हा। मया ह्यानि वाखाणि मोहायेषां भवान्तरे॥ कूर्म I 12 261–263, vide also कूर्म I 16 17–19 and 24–26 where कापाल, नाकुल, वाम, भैरव, पञ्चरात्र and पाञ्चपत are mentioned as produced for the same purpose. The ताराभिक्तस्रधाणेव (6th तरङ्ग) p 272 quotes the कूर्मपुराण passage and remarks that those passages are meant only for praising the Veda and are not to be understood as conveying that Tantrik agamas are unauthoritative.

(they produced the śāstras) called Kāpāla, Nākula, 1602 Vāma, Bhairava (early and later), Pāñcarātra, Pāśupata and thousands of others; Śankara came down to the earth, wearing garlands of skull-bones and covered with ashes from a cemetery and wearing profuse matted pair, trying to delude this world and engaged in begging for the benefit of these'; 'One should 1603 not honour even by words Pañcarātra and Pāsupata people that are herotics, following prohibited avocations and those who follow left-hand śākta practices; when Buddhist mendicants, Nirgranthas, those who study the Pañcarātra doctrines, Kāpālikas, Pāśupatas and other similar heretics, being bad and deluded, eat the śrāddha food (meant for a deceased person) that śrāddha would be of no use in this world and the next.' For details of some of the heretical sects, vide 'Heretical sects in the Purānas' by Śrī Radhakrishna Choudhary in ABORI vol 37 (1956) pp. 234-257.

The Gītā (in chapter 16) speaks of two classes of people, viz. those born to godlike endowments and those born with demoniac qualities and describes the latter in verses 7-20. Some of the verses probably indicate that the reference is to atheists and the like; for verse 8 states 'they say that the world is devoid of truth

^{1602.} Nākula stands for what is Lakulīśa-pā/upata-darśana in the Sarvadarśanasangraha. The Liūgapurāna (24 124-133) dilates upon Lakulī. The Vāyupurāna (chap. 23 221-224) mentions in a prophetic vein Nakulī (Lakulī) as the founder of a Śaiva sect and Kāyārohana (modern Karavan in Dabhoi Taluka of former Baroda State) as its sacred place (siddha-ksetra). The Mathurā Ins. of Candragupta II dated in Gupta era 61 (380 Å. D) in E. I. vol. XXI pp 1 if shows that Lakulī, the founder of the Pāśupata sect, flourished about the first century A. D. Vido Dr R. G. Bhandarkar's 'Vainavism, Śaivism' &c. p 166 (in collected works) and the paper 'Antiquities in Kārvan with reference to Lakulīśa worship' in Journal of Bom. Uni. vol 18 part 4 pp. 43-67 by Mr. M R. Majmudar and Pāśupata-sūtra of Nakulīśa in T. S. S. E. I. vol XXI pp. 1-9. JBBRAS vol. XXII pp 151-167 (both by D. R. Bhandarlar), IHQ. vol. 19 (1943) pp. 270-271 for the origin and history of the Lakulīśa sect.

^{1603.} पाराण्यिनी निर्मास्थान्यामान्यासास्यो च। पश्चरात्रान् पाशुपतान् याद्यात्रिणापि नार्न्चयेत् ॥ कूर्म II. 16. 15, प्रज्ञाप्रक्रमिर्श्वाः पश्चरात्रियो जनाः। कापालिकाः पाशुपताः पायप्या थे च तद्वियाः ॥ यस्याद्वनित धर्पीष्येते दुरात्मानस्त तामसा । न तस्य तत्र्वे न्याद्वी वेस्य चेद फलमद्म् ॥ दूर्म II. 21. 32-33 The verse चोद्वश्चाप्तकः "तद्विधाः ।s q by रे. on श्चाद्व p 365 from कूर्मधुराण and on p. 476 रे quotes both the verses from कूर्म and reads त्रुज्ञश्चाप्तकः. The निर्मन्थ were naked Jaina monks (vide SBE. vol 21 p 263 and E I vol 20 p 59) where a Jain teacher is called Nigrantha Sramanācārya in Gupta year 159 i e. (478-79 A. D.). The words are: 'पश्चस्तुय-निकायिक-निमन्य-श्रमणान्यार्य-एएनन्दिशिष्यमिश्वयाधिष्ठितियारं.'

(i. e. contains nothing that one can believe), devoid of any fixed principle (such as virtue and vice), devoid of a Ruler, and is produced by union brought about by lust and nothing else'. After describing their thoughts and aspirations the Gitā winds up 'these perform sacrifices which are so in name only with hypocrisy and against the prescribed procedure; they hate me in their own bodies and in those of others; these impure and cruel enemies I continually throw down in demoniac wombs; coming into demoniac wombs and being deluded, in each birth they go to vilest states without ever coming to me'. This is entirely different from what the Padma and other Purānas say about Pāšupatas, Pāñcarātras and about non-Vaisnavas.

Both the Bhāgavata and the Padma say that ¹⁶⁰¹ (the cult of) bhakti first arose in Dravida country, it progressed or prospered in the Karnātaka, it was found in only a few places in Mahārāstra and declined in the Gurjara country; it was, on account of the terrible Kaliyuga, broken up by heresies and remained weak for a long time, but having reached Vrndāvana (near Mathurā) it got a fresh start and assumed fine form. In book XI. the Bhāgavata again reverts to this assertion that in Kali people are solely devoted to Nārāyana only in a few places but to the greatest extent in the Dravida country where flow the rivers Tāmraparnī, Krtamālā, Kāverī and Mahānadī flowing to the west and states that those who drink the waters of these rivers are generally devotees of Vāsudeva.

Most great moral and spiritual upheavals have a tendency to reach a very low level in course of time. That appears to have happened in the case of bhāgavatas The Atri-smrti has a verse containing a sarcastic reference to bhāgavatas 1605: 'Those who are devoid of Vedic studies learn sāstras (such as grammar, vedānta, logic &c.), those who are wanting in sāstric lore become readers of Purānas for others; those who cannot be readers of Purānas become tillers; but those who are broken down even

¹⁶⁰⁴ उराजा जानित्वे सार्वे हुर्ज्यि कर्णाटके नता । क्वचित् क्वचित्महाराष्ट्रे गुर्जरे जीर्णता । तज्ञ घोरकलेपाँगात् पाजण्डै. खिटिताङ्गका । दुर्जलाई चिरं जाता पुजान्यां सह मन्द्रतास् ॥ हुर्न्द्रावतं युनः प्राप्य नवीनेव सुरूपिणी । भागवत I 1 48-50, एक VI. 189: 54-56. The पन्न VI. 189 speaks of the भागवत as the highest among Puranas: 'कलो खल्ल भिंदि वर्षान्त नारायणपरायणाः ॥ क्वचित् क्वचित्महाराज ज्ञाविद्ये च सूरिशः । ताझपणी नदी यज्ञ कृत-माला पर्यास्तिनी । कावेरी च महासुण्या प्रतीची च महानदी । ये पित्रन्ति जलं तासां मसुजा मसुजेन्दर । प्रायो भक्ता भगवति वासुवेदेऽसलाशया ॥ भगगवत XI 5. 38-40

^{1605.} वेदैर्विहीनाश्च पठित्त शास्त्रं शास्त्रेण हीनाश्च पुराणपाठाः । पुराणहीना कृषिणी भवन्ति भ्रष्टास्ततो भागवता भवन्ति ॥ अत्रिसहिता verse 384

there, become bhāgavatas'. Atri appears to say that bhāgavatas are idlers, who do not study Veda or śāstra or who cannot even read Purānas to others for their livelihood or do not engage in agriculture and who pretend to be worshippers (or bhaktas) of Visnu or Kisna and fatten on what is given by others that are deluded into thinking that they have forsaken everything for the sake of their love of God. They become what are called 'buwās' in Marāthi and other modern Indian languages

Another striking development of the bhakti cult is the Erotic Mysticism (madhurā bhaktı) associated with the worship of Krsna and of Rādhā in that form of Vaisnavism established by Caitanya and Vallabhācārya For the Vaisnava movement inspired by Cartanya, vide Dr. S. K. De's work on 'the Vaisnava faith and movement in Bengal' (Calcutta 1942) and the author's 'History of Sanskrit Poetics' (1951) about Unvalanilamani of Rūpa-gosvāmin pp. 298-302. In the bhakti cult established by Vallabhācārya great importance is attached to the ouru, who is one of the descendants of Vallabhacarya and to whom almost divine honours are paid. A wonderful development of bhaktı towards Rāma. regarded in the Rāmāyana and in popular tradition as a paragon of restraint and all manly virtues, culminated in an erotic mysticism about Rāma and Sitā also. The devotees of this mystic cult have to consider themselves as brides of Rāma or the female friends of Sītā, they are supposed to seek Lord Rāma's favour through Sitā, who graciously intercedes with the Lord for the devotees Among the followers of Vallabhācārva the guru tells the devotee to look upon him (the guru) as Krsna and upon himself or herself as Rādhıkā.

For want of space further details about these bhakticults have to be passed over

Supreme importance is attached to Vedic mantras and also Paurānika mantras. They will have to be dealt with at some length in the sections on Tantras and on Pūrvamīmānsā. But some treatment of mantras, particularly vedic, may be given here as well. The word mantra occurs about twenty-five times in the Rgveda Only once does the word mantraket occur in the Rgveda ¹⁶⁰⁶ (IX 114. 2) 'O sage Kašyapa! offer obeisance

¹⁶⁰⁶ ऋषे मन्त्रफुता स्तोमे कद्दयपोद्वर्धयन् गिर.। सीम नमस्य राजान यो जज्ञे गीठर्धा पतिरिन्द्रायेन्द्रो परिस्न । ऋ IX 114 2, इस्ते द्धानो चम्णा निश्वान्यमे देवान्धादुरा नियीदम् । विदन्तीमत्र नरी थियधा <u>द्धा यत्तदान् मन्त्र</u>ो अद्देसन् ॥ ऋ I. 67, 2, सायण

⁽Continued on next page)

to king Soma Lord of plants with the chants of the composers (or authors) of mantras, thereby sending forth your own voice &c' Mr. Kapali Sastry is inaccurate when he states (on p. 67 of the translation of his 'Rgbhasya-bhūmikā in English) 'we see frequent mention made in the Rik Samhitā of the rishi as the author of the mantra' and he cites only Rg. IX 114. 2 and I. 67. 2. The latter contains no word like mantraket. Rg. I. 67. 2 (addressed to Agni) runs 'holding in his hand all powers (or riches), sitting in a cave (i. e. concealed) he placed the gods in fright, heroes (men or gods) that place (offer) prayers know him (Agni) to be here when they recite mantras formed in the heart' There is no direct reference to rsis here (but to narah) and what is emphasized is that mantras already extant as the heart's outpourings enable the reciters of the mantras to find him (Agni) There is nothing to show that the mantras referred to in the verse are meant to be imm omptu, the idea is rather that mantras that already existed and conveyed heartfelt devotion have to be employed to find Agni The very next verse makes the position clear when it says. 'like the unborn (Sun) he (Agni) supports the wide earth and fixes in its place the sky on account of the mantras that turn out true'. This clarifies two things, viz. that when this mantra (I 67.3) was recited there already existed a host of mantras 1607 and secondly, that the mantras that existed long before were deemed to have helped in supporting the earth and the sky. The ancient mantras were supposed to bring Indra to attend thrice in the day for a short time to the sacrifices of devotees when invoked with mantras addressed to him (Rg. III. 53 8), similarly, mantras when recited by wise men bring Yama to receive offerings ($Rg \ X \ 14.4$); $Rg \ X \ 88 \ 14$ states 'we raise our voices with mantras towards Vaisvānara Agni, who is wise and who shines brilliantly all days' The word mantra 1608 is

⁽Continued from last page)

explains 'अग्री हिर्विभः सह पलायिते सति सर्वे देवा अमैद्धिरित्यर्थः । १, अजो न क्षां दाधार पृथिवीं तस्तम्भ द्या मन्त्रेभिः सत्यैः । ऋ I 67. 3.

^{1607.} रूपं रूपं मधवा बोभवीति सायाः कुण्वानस्तन्वं परि स्वास्। त्रिर्येद्विवः परि सुदूर्त-मागात् स्वैर्यन्त्रैरद्वतुपा ऋतावा॥ ऋ. III 53. 8, आ त्वा मन्त्राः कविशस्ता वहन्त्वेना राजन्य हविषा मादपस्त। ऋ. X 14 4. वैश्वानरं विश्वदा दीदिवासं मन्त्रैराग्नें कविमच्छा वदामः॥ ऋ X 88 14

^{1608.} प्र चूनं ब्रह्मणस्पतिर्मन्त्र वद्युक्थ्यस् । यस्मिन्निन्द्रो वन्न्णो मित्रो अर्थमा देवा ओकासि चिक्ररे॥ तमिद्वोचेमा विद्येषु शम्भुवं मन्त्रं देवा अनेहसम् । ऋ I. 40. 5-6; मन्त्र-मर्ख्वं सुधितं सुपेशस द्रधात यित्रयेष्वा। पूर्वीश्वन प्रसित्तयस्तिरन्ति तं य इन्द्रं कर्मणा भ्रवत्॥ ऋ VII. 32 13, समानं मन्त्रमाभे मन्त्रये वः समानेन वो हविषा जुहोसि॥ ऋ X. 191, 3.

also employed in the singular several times. A few striking passages are set out here 'Indeed, God Brahmanaspati (Lord of Prayer) proclaims the mantra fit for recitation (by the mouth of the hotr), in which the gods Indra, Varuna, Mitra, Aryaman make their abode, O Gods!', 'We utter that very mantra (in which Indra and other gods are praised together) in our sacrifices, the mantra being one that produces bliss and being free from all blemishes (or is incomparable), 'Do place among the Gods a mantra that is not short, that is well-arranged and that is well ornamented: ancient fetters do not reach him who is in (the good grace of) Indra by the sacrifice (or praise); 'I address the same mantra for you (all) and offer for you (all) one offering (into fire). From these passages it appears that when these verses were recited there was a body of already existing mantras; which were supposed to be inspired by the Lord of prayers (or speech) and from which the choice of a long and well-formed one was to be Apart from the above passages the word mantra occurs in Rg I 31 13, I 74 1, I 147, 4, I 152 2, II, 35 2, VI, 50 14, VII. 7 6, X. 50 4 and 6, X. 106, 11, in only one of which (Rg. II. 35. 2), apart from Rg I 67. 2 already quoted, the words 'hrda ā sutastam mantram' (mantra well chiselled from the heart) are used and in another verse more simply the word 'ataksan' (in VII 7.6 manti am ye vāram naryā ataksan) alone is used. In two passages (Rg. X. 95.1 and X 191. 3 first half) the word 'mantra' appears to mean 'consultations, holding counsel together' Rg I 20 4, Rbhus are called 'satyamantrah' and are said to have made their parents young There are controversies about what the Rbhus stand for and it is difficult to say what is meant by 'satyamantrāh' in relation to Rbhus Rg. VII 76. 4. is a 1609 somewhat enigmatic verse It means 'they (Angirases) alone, (our) ancient pitrs, learned (or wise) and following the right path, enjoyed companionship among gods and they found out the Light (the Sun) concealed (by Svarbhanu. eclipse); they, whose mantras were true, brought forth Usas' In some passages where other words like stoma or brahma are used, there is

^{1609.} त चुदेवाना सधमाद आसन्द्रताबान कवय पूर्वोसः। गृह्य ज्योति पितरोऽन्व-विन्दन्सस्यमन्त्रा अजनयन्द्रपासम्॥ ऋ VII 76 4. Angirases are spoken of as Pitrs in Rg X 14 6 (अङ्गिरसो नः पितरो नवग्वा) and in Rg V. 40 9 Atris are said to have found out the Sun afflicted with darkness by Svarbhānu, an Asura and no one else was able to do so (य वे सूर्य समोद्धस्तमसाविध्यवास्तः। अञ्चयस्तमस्वविन्दन् नहान्ये अञ्चयद्भवन्॥) This last is a reference to a total eclipse of the Sun, on the occurrence of which the Atris probably assured frightened people that the sun would shine forth in a short time

mention of the stoma or brahma being made and polished by the devotee (e.g. X. 39. 14 'etam vām stomam-Aśvināvakarmātaksāma bhrgavo na ratham') Vide Rg. I 62.13 for 'navvam ataksad brahma', Rg. V. 29.15 (Indra brahma kriyamānā jusasva śavistha vā te navyā akarma), brahmakrtah (composers of prayers or praises) are mentioned in Rg. VII 32 2 and X. 50.7. Other words like ar (several hundred times), dhīti (about 160 times), brahma (over a hundred times) mati (about 100 times), manīsā (over 60 times), vacas and vacasya (over 100 times), stoma (about 200 times), sukīrti (five times), sūkta (four times) are employed in the sense of 'thought, word or thought out hymn or verse of praise' and in several cases the sages of the Rgveda state that it is a new verse or hymn of praise that they employ. Vide 'navyasībhir-gīrbhih' and 'giram bhare navyasīm jāvamānām' in Rg V. 42. 13, in Rg VI 49. 1, VII. 53. 2; 'Pra tavyasīm navyasīm dhītimagnaye' in Rg. I. 143 1; 'vaisvānarāya mater-navyasi such soma iva pavate' (Rg. VI 8.1) and 'iyam te navyasī matır agne adhāyyasmadā' (Rg VIII. 74, 7); iyam te agne navyasī manīsā' Rg. X. 4. 6; 'tā valgū 1610 Dasrā puruśākatamā pratnā navyasā vacasā vivāse' (Rg VI, 62, 5); 'navyasī sukīrtih' in Rg. I 60. 3, 'sa pratnavat navyase višvavāra sūktāya pathah krnuhi' in Rg IX. 91. 5; 'nū navyase navīyase sūktāya sādhayā pathah' in Rg. IX 9.8. It is a remarkable fact that when words like 'sukīrtih' and 'sūkta' that occur only four or five times in the whole of the Rgveda are qualified by the word 'new or fresh', the word 'mantra' occurring so many times does not even once bear the adjective 'new'. This emphasizes the conclusion stated above that in the times of many of the extant Rgvedic verses mantras were a large group already existing, from which prayers were drawn as occasion required, though now and then new verses were added to those already existing. It may here be stated that in some places the Rgveda looks upon prayers (dhit1) as divine and as on the same level with Asvins, Usas, and the Sun (VIII. 35. 2) and that ancient prayers were inherited from ancestors (III. 39. 2 'seyamasme sanajā pitryā dhih').

Several hymns and verses of the Rgveda are purely philosophical, cosmological, mystic and speculative as I. 164. 4, 6, 29,

¹⁶¹⁰ Here the contrast is great The Asvins are praina (ancient) but the rsi (in VI 62 5) says that he worships the ancient Dasras (Asvins) with a new prayer. This rsi at least does not convey that the Asvins were seen by him.

32, 39, 42; X. 71, X. 90 (Purusasūkta), X. I21 (Hiranyagarbha), X. 129, X. 81-82 (Viśvakarman), X. 72, X. 125 (Vāk), X. 154 (state after death), X. 190 (creation).

About the meaning and purpose of Vedic mantras there are great controversies. This much may be said here that according to the Pürvamīmāmsā system the whole Veda is concerned with sacrifices, that Veda is divided into two classes, Mantra and Brāhmana, that Vidhis (hortatory passages) are the most important part of the Veda, that a very large number of Vedic passages are mere arthavādas (that contain either praises of vidhis or are to be explained metaphorically or merely repeat what already exists or are of a legendary character) and that mantras only serve the purpose of bringing to the mind of the sacrificer or priests what is to be done in a sacrifice and that the words used in the mantras ordinarily bear the same meaning as that in popular Sanskrit.

Long before Yaska (several centuries before Christ) there were several schools of Vedic interpretation such as the Aitihāsikas (who ace to Nir. II 16 said that Vitra was an Asura son of Tvastr, while acc to the Nairuktas Vrtra means only 'cloud' and the Veda contains metaphorical descriptions of battles, that the twins that Saranyu is said in Rg. X. 17.2 to have given up are according to the Nairuktas Indra and the Mādhyamikā-vāk, while according to the Aitihāsikas they are Yama and Yami, as stated in Nir. XII. 10), the school of Naidānas is mentioned about 'syāla' and 'sāma' in Nir. VI 19, the school of ancient yānīkas in Nir. V. 11 Rg. I. 164. 32 (ya im cakāra) is differently explained by the Parlyrājakas (ascetic school) and the Nairuktas (etymologists). The Nirukta also mentions the names of seventeen individual predecessors (from whom it differs often and who differ among themselves) such as Agrāyana, Audumbarāyana, Kautsa, Gārgya, Gālaya, Śākatāyana, Śākapūni There are several mantras of which two different meanings are given in the Nirukta as in Nir V. 11 on Rg. VIII. 77. 4. In Rg. I. 164 there are several verses with two meanings or more e. g verse 21 (yatrā suparnā &c.) has two meanings (acc to Nir. III 13) one relating to the devatā Sūrya (adhidanata) and the other spiritual (adhyātma); similarly, verse 32 of the same hymn has two meanings in the Nirukta (II. 8), the verse 39 (rco aksare parame vyoman) is explained in four ways by Sāyana, verse 41 (gaurīr-mimāya) is explained by Sāyana in two ways, both being different from Yaska's explanation (in Nir.

XI. 40); verse 45 (catvāri vāk parimītā padāni) is explained in six ways (more or less different from each other) by Sāyana; it is also explained in the Mahabhasya (p. 7 of M. M. Abhyankaraśāstri's ed.). The verse 'catvāri śrngā' (Rg. IV. 58. 3) has been the subject of varying explanations from very early times. Nir. (XIII. 7) explains it as referring to Yajña. The Mahābhāsya (p. 6 of the Mahabhasya abid.) also does the same. Sayana explains it as referring to Agni (identified with yajña) and Sūrya. This verse is a riddle. Śabara¹⁶¹¹ in his bhāsva on P. M. S. I. 2. 38. ('abhidhāne arthavādah') explains it but Kumārila differs from him. Savana and others explain it (e.g. the word 'tridhā') with reference to Mantra. Brāhmana and Kalpa. but when this verse was first proclaimed there must bave been no Brāhmanas and Kalpasūtras. In several cases the Nirukta gives the adhidaivata (physical) and adhyatma (metaphysical or spiritual) or adhiyajūa and adhyātma as in Nir. X. 26 (on Rg. X. 82. 2 'Viśvakarmā vimanā), Nir. XI. 4 (on Rg. X. 85. 3, adhivajūs and adhidaivata meanings). Nir. XII. 37 (on Vāj. S. 34.55 'sapta rsayah', both adhidaivata and adhyatma), Nir. XII. 38 (on Atharva X 8.9 'Tiryag-bilas-camasa &c.' both adhidaivata and adhyātma). In Rg. (I. 164) verses 11-13 and 48 contain a very imaginative and poetic description of the year, the seasons, months and total days and nights.

In recent years Śrī Aurobindo in 'Hymns to the mystic Fire' (translated in the esoteric sense, 1946) and his ardent and devout disciple Sri. T. V. Kapali Sastry (in 'Rgbhāsyabhūmikā in Sanskrit and its English translation, Pondicherry 1952) have started a theory about the Rgveda mantras which must be briefly stated and examined. Śrī Aurobindo first intended to publish an edition of the Rgveda with a word by word construction and an English translation. But he gave up that idea on account of other pre-occupations and rests content in the above book with the text and translation of about 230 verses in all from the 1st, 2nd and 6th mandalas of the Rgveda and a foreword of 48 pages in which he propounds his theory. While

^{1611.} शबर (on पू. मी. सू I, 2, 38) explains: 'चतन्नो होत्राः खृङ्गाणीतास्य । वयोऽस्य पादा इति स्वनाभिप्रायम् । हे शिर्षे इति पत्नीयज्ञमानौ । सप्तहस्तास इति च्छन्दां-स्यभिप्रेत्य । त्रिधा चन्न इति क्रिभिवेंदेर्वन्द्वः । ग. The तम्बवार्तिक on this says 'चत्वारि खृङ्गाति स्वभक्तारेण यागस्तुतिः कर्मकाले उत्साह करोति । ''चत्वारि खृङ्गा इति विवसयामाना प्रकृणम् । वयोऽस्य पादा इति शितोष्णवर्षाकालाः । हे शीर्षे इत्ययनाभिप्रायम् । सप्तहस्ता इत्य-व्यस्तुतिः । त्रिधा बन्ध इति स्वनाभिप्रायण् ।,' It would be noticed that the तन्त्रवार्तिक differs from शबर on almost every clause.

this was being written by the present writer. SrI Aurobindo's large work of 634 pages 'on the Veda' published in 1956 reached his hands. Over 60 hymns are dealt with in this big book and 283 pages are devoted to the elaboration of his theory first outlined in the brief work of SrI Aurobindo mentioned above and reiterated with greater emphasis. On page 9 of the work of 1956 he states "the ritual system recognized by Sayana may stand, the naturalistic sense discovered by European scholarship may, in its general conceptions be accepted, but behind there is always the true and still hidden secret of the veda - the secret words which were spoken for the purified in soul and the awakened in knowledge'. In this work also he sticks to the meaning of rts as truth and on p 84 translates 'rtam' as 'Truth-consciousness', when in his first work he translated 'rta-cit' as Truth-consciousness'. Instead of comparing the several hundred passages where the word rta occurs in the Rgveda he sticks to his own rendering which is unacceptable to most scholars and makes a very perfunctory attempt at finding the correct meaning. One should like to have some clear examples of the distinction between Light and Consciousness in modern times and also in the Veda. So far as the present writer knows, in the language of ancient symbolism Consciousness is identified with Light. In the recently published book he deals with less than 1 th of the total hymns (1017 or 1028) of the Rgveda. Readers are asked to subscribe to his views although he condescends to translate in the first work less than 10 th of the total number of verses in the Rgveda and hardly ever enters into a discussion about the meaning of words like rta. Śrī Aurobindo (Foreword p. III.) concedes that Sayana does not reject the spiritual authority of the Veda and that Sayana does not deny that there is a higher truth contained He further says (IX) that we must take seriously the hint of Yāska (Śrī Aurobindo does not quote the Nirukta, but probably he has in mind Nir. I. 20 'sāksātkrtadharmāna rsayo babhuvuh'). Then he proceeds to state (XVII) that many whole hymns of the Veda bear on their face a mystic meaning and that the rsis (p. XIX) for the sake of secrecy resorted to double meanings, a device easily manageable in the Sanskrit This is a hypothesis which cannot at all be accepted and is no more than mere conjecture. The Vedic mantras were composed thousands of years ago, when all persons among whom the sages moved must have spoken the same language, though generally not so polished and poetical as that of the mantras and they were not addressed to men like the moderns whose

every day thoughts, surroundings and languages are entirely different. Both the Master and the disciple (Mr. Kapali Sastry) cause confusion (or, may be, are themselves confused) by supposing that the difficulties of the modern students of mantras did exist even at or near the time of the mantras. The most sublime thought of the Rgyeda is that there is only one Spirit behind the various gods, Indra, Mitra, Varuna, Agni, Yama, Mātariśvan, that originally there was only that One, there was no day and night, no death and immortality. Śrī Aurobindo himself describes (p. XXXII) Rg. I. 164, 46 and X. 129, 2 as 'the summit of the vedic teaching.' This view of the one Entity is also illustrated in Rg. VIII. 58. 2 'one and the same Agni is kindled in many places, the one Sun enters the whole world and becomes many: the one Dawn illumines all this (physical) world, One became all this (assumes various forms)'. No secrecy was observed about this fundamental truth and it was proclaimed in mantras that can be understood even by an ordinary man of to-day who knows * a little Sanskrit. Because we of these recent centuries cannot understand some mantras, that does not mean that the ancient seers were guilty of a subterfuge and purposely composed mantras with two meanings. They might have indulged in the pastime of two meanings (express and metaphorical) in a few cases as poetic devices 1612 It is no fault of the sages, if we cannot understand their meaning, just as a blind man, who does not see a wooden post and comes to grief by dashing against it, should not blame the post, but should blame himself; we are to blame ourselves and should not foist upon the ancient sages a stratagem created by our own imagination or lack of knowledge (Nir. I. 16),1613

about the poetic character of the Mantras. Sri Aurobindo (p. XXXIV) after saying that his translation is a literary and not a strictly literal one speaks of the hymns as 'great poetry', magnificent in their colouring and images, noble and beautiful in rhythm. Now let us hear the disciple. On p. 65 Mr. Kapali Sastry states 'the hymnal poetry is unusual, different from other poetry, even from the most superb specimen' and then he chides the readers and men like the present author and impliedly his own Master 'it is not permissible (why?) to class Vedic hymns with poetry of a literary and aesthetic kind'. On p. 31 Mr. Sastry asserts that 'maniras have two meanings, the inner which is psychological or spiritual and the adds that the use of words with double meanings was deliberate but was effortless and natural.

^{1613.} यथो एतदविस्पद्मार्था भवन्तीति। नैप स्थाणोरपराधो यदेनमन्धो न पश्यति पुरुषा-पराधः स भवति। निरुक्त I. 16.

Srī Aurobindo admits (p. XXXIII) that there are some key words in the Reveda such as rta, kratu, śravas, ketu and that elaborate work would have to be done to fix the meanings of such words. But he does not undertake a study of the key words (each of which except 'ketu' occurs hundreds of times in the Rgveda) by comparison of Revedic passages and considering the light shed on these words by the other Samhitas and the Brahmanas. He mentions the occurrence of the word rts in I. 164, 47 and IV. 21.3 (sadanāt-rtasya) and 'rtasya pathyā' in Rg. III. 12.7 and numps to the conclusion that the last two words mean 'the path of truth' and remarks that 'we have to find the path of Truth' (Foreword p. XXX.). In the H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 2-5 it has been shown that rta in the Rgveda has three meanings, viz. (1) the regular and general order in the cosmos: (2) the correct and ordered way of the cult of the gods. (3) moral conduct of man.' In the Rgveda rta is not the same as 'satya', but the two are differentiated. In Rg. V. 51. 2 the Visve-devas 1614 are described as rtadhitayah (whose thoughts are fixed on rta) and . 'satvadharmānah' (whose ordinances are true or fixed) and the sage prays to them to come to his sacrifice and to drink (ayya and soma) by the tongue of Agni. In Rg. X. 190. 1 both rta and satya are said to have arisen from rigorous or refulgent tapas (of the Creator). In the Rgveda rta involved a very wide conception as stated above and 'satya' had a restricted meaning viz truth or static order. In Rg. IX, 113. 4 Soma is described as one who proclaims rta, satya and śraddhā (faith). Therefore Śrī. Aurobindo is quite inaccurate when he translates ria by the word 'Truth' and draws important conclusions from his inaccurate renderings. Similarly, Śrī. Aurobindo does not give a correct rendering when he translates (Foreword p XXX) the word 'rtacit' as truth-conscious (whatever that may mean acc. to Śrł. Aurobindo). Mr. Kapali Sastry (p. 46) goes a step further than his great master by stating that in the mantras true knowledge is termed 'rtacit' Truth-consciousness (with a capital C). It appears that both Master and disciple are misled by the meaning of 'cit' in such an attribute as 'sat-cit-ananda', applied to brahma They appear to take 'rta-cit' as meaning two distinct things 'rta' and 'cit' The word 'rtacit' occurs

^{1614,} अतथीतय आ गत सत्यधर्माणो अध्वरस्। अग्ने. पित्रत जिद्धया ॥ अ १. 51. 2; अत न्व सत्य न्वाभीज्ञानपसोऽध्यजायत । ततो राज्यजायत तत, सद्धद्रो अर्णनः ॥ स. ४ 1901; अत वदन्दृतयुद्ध सत्य वदन्सत्यक्रमेन् । श्रद्धां वदन् सोम राजन् धात्रा सोम परिण्यत दृग्द्रापेन्द्रो परि सन ॥ अ IX. 113. 4.

five times in the Rg., in 1615 I. 145.5, IV. 3.4, V. 3.9 ('rtacit is here an epithet of Agni), in VII 85.4 (it is an adjective of hotr) and in IV. 16. 10 it qualifies the word $n\bar{a}r\bar{\imath}$ (in the context, Śacī, the wife of Indra). The present author wonders whether Śrī Aurobindo and his disciple cared to go into the meaning of 'rtacit' in the several verses where it occurs. They pay no attention to the word 'rnacit' that occurs in Rg. II. 23. 17 as an attribute of Brahmanaspati. 1616 .

The space at the author's disposal does not allow him to show up the other unwarranted propositions and conclusions of Śrī, Aurobindo and Mr. Kapali Sastry. The author will set out the final conclusion of Srl. Aurobindo (Foreword p. XXIX) "what then is the secret meaning, the esoteric sense which emerges by this way of understanding the Veda? The thought around which all is centred is the seeking after Truth. Light, Immortality. There is a truth deeper and higher than the truth of outward existence, a Light greater and higher than the light of human understanding which comes by revelation and inspiration, an immortality towards which the soul has to rise. We have to find our way to that, to get into touch with this Truth and Immortality." This is a grand peroration, but all this is built on shaky and meagre foundations, such as the wrong meanings attached to the words rta and rtacit. Mr. Kapali Sastry (on p. 46) practically reproduces this grand summing up of his guru-

Mr. Kapali Sastry launches (on pp. 22-26) a bitter diatribe against Sāyana but ultimately he has to admit (pp. 27-28) that Sāyana is not merely useful, but indispensable to the students of the Veda. On p. 23 he translates a sūtra from Jaimini's work 'the purpose of the Veda being ritualistic, words which do not have that significance are useless' and remarks that this clearly lays down that the only purpose of the Vedas is that of ritual, those that do not pertain to that (ritualistic action) are worthless. The author doubts whether Mr. Kapali Sastry has carefully read the Pürvamimänsäsūtras or has at least

^{1615.} न्यवनीव्वयुना सत्येग्योऽग्निविद्वाँ ऋतन्तिस्ति सत्यः ॥ ऋ I. 145 5. It will be noticed that here ऋतन्तित् and सत्य are both epithets of Agni. They must be given some separate meanings, स सुऋतुर्ऋतानिवस्तु होना य आदित्य शवसा नां नमस्तान्। ऋ VII, 85. 4 (O sons of Aditi! May that Hotr priest, who makes obeisance to you with strength (in a loud voice), be a man of good deeds (or will) and knowing rta, moral conduct (or cosmic law). सत्यः in I, 145 5 would have to be rendered as truthful or pure The word नित् may be derived from root नि to gather or from नित् to know

^{1616.} स ऋणचिहणया बहाणस्पतिर्द्धहो हन्ता मह ऋतस्य धर्तरि ॥ ऋ II. 23, 17.

correctly stated the position; what he quotes is the Purvapaksa view (prima facie view). Jaimini's position is contained in the 7th sūtra 1617 'as those passages (that do not directly concern themselves with ritualistic actions) form one syntactically connected whole with the passages prescribing wdhis they are to be considered as commendatory of the vidhis'. Mr Sastry is not satisfied with saying (on p. 8) the sages Madhucchandas and others are seers of the mantra, the Gods were mesent to the major of these seers of old', but he adds 'this seer of the beyond is also the hearer of the truth, therefore that the poet-seers are truth-hearers kavayah satyas utah, is famous in the Veda' (p. 64). The present writer wonders whether Mr. Sastry carefully read the original passages where the words 'kayayah satyaśrutah' occur in the Veda. The words kavih and kavavah occur several hundred times in the Rgveda, but 'satvaśrutah' occurs only thrice in Rg. V. 57. 8. V. 58 8 and VI. 49 6; Rg. V. 57. 8 and V. 58. 8 are identical. In Rg. V. 57. 8 and 1617a V. 58. 8 it is the wind-gods (Maruts) that are addressed as kavayah (wise) and satyaśrutah (well-known as conferring true rewards) and not sages. VI. 49 6 (first half of which is addressed to Parjanya and Vata-wind god) runs 'O establishers of the world! (O Maruts!) that are wise and well-known as conferring true rewards, make the world turn towards the man by whose lauds you are praised' (this half seems to be addressed to the band of Maruts). Further comment is superfluous. 1618

¹⁶¹⁷ आम्नायस्य क्रियार्थत्वादान्ध्रक्यमतद्भर्थानां तस्माद्वनित्यसुच्यते । "'विधिना त्वेकवाक्यत्वात्सतत्यर्थेन विधीना स्यः॥ पू मी सू I. 2. 1 and 7.

¹⁶¹⁷a. हमें नरों महतो मुळता नस्तुवीमधासो अमृता ऋतज्ञाः। स्त्यश्चतः क्वयो युवानी शृहद्भिरयो शृहदुक्षमाणा ॥ ऋ V 57 8 and V. 58. 8, पूर्जन्यवाता ह्यमा पृथिष्या. पुरीपाणि जिन्नतमप्यानि। सत्यश्चतः कवयो यस्य गीभिर्ज्ञातः स्थातर्ज्ञगदा छुणुष्यम् ॥ ऋ. VI. 49. 6. All words including सत्यश्चत कवय in the latter half of V 57 8 are epithets applied to Maruts in the first half, सत्यश्चतः कवयः in the latter half of VI. 49. 6 are vocatives as the पद्माद shows and are addressed to Maruts as in V 57 8 and V. 58 8. The words सत्यश्चतः कवयः, do not refer at all to Vedic poets in any of the three cases.

Sri Aurobindo as a yogin, a prophet and as a World Teacher. But the search for truth is higher than all prophets and yogins put together. Sri Aurobindo and his disciple claim that he has received a new revelation about the Veda beyond the ken of all scholars of antiquity and modern times and they have very severely handled Yāska, Jaimini, Sāyana and other commentators. The devotees and admirers of Śrī Aurobindo must allow the same freedom to the critics of Śrī Aurobindo's Vedic lucubrations for showing how and why they are wrong and are requested not to take umbrage at what the present writer has said about his theories but only to reply to the author's brief criticisms on their merits or demerits.

The Mimansakas made a sweeping generalisation that the whole Veda is meant for sacrifice. They went rather too far but they had substantial grounds for doing so. On pp. 980-982 of the H. of Dh. vol. II. it has been shown how even the Rgveda discloses that a complicated system of sacrifices with three savanas. numerous priests, three fires, existed in those far-off days and sacrifices like Atiratra (Rg. VII. 103. 7) and Trikadruka (Rg. I 32. 3, II. 11. 17, VIII. 13, 18, VIII. 92. 21, X. 14. 16) were being performed. The Mīmānsakas had a long tradition behind them. But the case of Srl Aurobindo's theories is entirely different. On flimsy grounds and mistaken meanings he builds up an imposing structure of an exoteric and also an esoteric meaning of vedic mantras, propounds that the sages wanted secrecy for their doctrines and all that they were concerned with was Truth, Light and Consciousness. It has been already shown that there are several philosophical and speculative hymns in the Rgveda. But there is no motive of secrecy therein. If it is only Truth, Light and Consciousness with which the vedic sages were concerned ten thousand verses would not have been necessary. One should like to know what secret or higher or deeper esoteric Truth or Light or Consciousness to be kept concealed from common men is contained in hymns such as Rg. VII. 55 (sleeping charm), VII. 103 (mandūka-stuti), X. 34 (gambler's song), X. 119 (exhilaration of power of Soma on Indra), X. 166 (invoking destruction of enemies), X. 190 (brief creation hymn), X.191 (for concord and co-operation). Many more such hymns may be cited, where the theory of secrecy and Truth, Light and Consciousness would entirely fail. Besides, what is the secret (or spiritual or esoteric) element in such passages as those quoted above viz. I. 164 46, X. 129. 2. VIII, 58.2, which clearly express the most profound Truth. If the Mīmānsakas made a too wide generalization, Śrī Aurobindo makes a far wider generalization with very little basis

The mantras of the Rgveda have a meaning and are not unmeaning letters as often in Täntrik works. There is a discussion in Nirukta I. 15-16 where it is said that in the absence of the Nirukta the apprehension of the meaning of the words used in mantras would not follow and the view of Kautsa is cited that the Nirukta is useless for understanding the meaning of mantras, since the mantras themselves have no sense (or are useless or purposeless). Yāska 16182 replies that mantras do possess

¹⁶¹⁸a अथापीदमन्तरेण मन्त्रेष्वर्धप्रत्ययो न निद्यते। '''तिदिद् विद्यास्थानं ब्याकरणस्य कार्त्स्नर्य सार्थसाधकं च। '''अर्थवन्तः भव्दसामान्यात्। '''यथो एतद् ब्राह्मणेन रूपसम्पन्ना (Continued on next page)

a meaning since they employ the very same words that are used in ordinary Sanskrit, and then quotes a passage of the Ait. Br. (I. 5). Sabara on Jai. I. 2, 41 states that where one cannot get at the meaning one has to come to some meaning on a consideration of other Vedic passages and on the basis of roots following Nirukta and Grammar.

One of the important topics dwelt upon at length in the Purānas is that of avatāras. This emphasis on the conception of avatāras has greatly influenced the forms of religious worship, vratas and festivals. The subject of avatāras has been dealt with in the H. of Dh. vol II. pp. 717-724. It has been shown there that the beginnings of the doctrine of avatāras and of some of the well-known avatāras may be traced to the Veduc Literature viz. to the Śatapatha-Brāhmana (story of Manu and the fish in I. 8. 1. 1-6), story of Kūrma (tortoise) in Śatapatha VII. 5. 1. 5, of Varāha (Boar) in Śat. Br. XIV. 1. 2. 11, Vāmana (Dwarf) in Śat. Br. I. 2. 5. 1 ff., Krsna, son of Devakī, in Chān-Up. III. 17. 6 and that the number of avatāras and the names also varied. But the treatment was not exhaustive and hence some details are added here from the Purānas and from general considerations.

Avatara (from root tr. with 'ava') means 'descending' or 'descent' and the word is applied to gods, assuming the form of a human being or even of an animal and continuing to live in that form till the purpose for which that form was assumed was carried out. Reincarnation is one of the fundamental doctrines of Christianity. But there is a vast difference between that doctrine and the Hindu theory. Re-incarnation in Christianity is single and unique, while in the Hindu theory as adumbrated in the Gitā (IV. 5-8) and the Purānas there have been many incarnations of the Deity and there may be many more in future. It is a comforting belief for ordinary men to hold that when the affairs of the world are in a mess God comes down to the earth to set matters right. And this belief is held not only by the Hindus and Buddhists but by many peoples (including some in the rich and educated West) far apart from Most Hindus, however, do not believe that great each other.

⁽Continued from last page)

विधीयन्त इति, उदितालुगदः स भवति । एतद्वै यज्ञस्य समृद्धं यत्रूपममृद्धं यत्र्भां विषमाण-मृगभिवद्वि । निरुक्त I. 15-16; अविशिष्टस्तु वाक्यार्थः । जै. I. 2 32, अगिशिष्टस्तु होके श्रुज्यमानानां वेदे च पदानामर्थः । स यथेन लोके निवक्षितस्तथेन वेदेपि भनितुमर्शि । '''अर्थनत्यायनार्थमेन यज्ञे मन्त्रोचारणम् । श्रवरं भाष्य.

men. saints or prophets like Sankarācārya, Nānak, Shivaji or Mahātmā Gandhi are born again as avatāras in times of crisis. The Buddhists made Buddha in their Mahayana teachings go through many avatāras as bodhisatīva before he attained Buddhahood. In modern times many persons pose or are made to pose as avatāras by their admirers or followers Recently, Mr. J. G. Bennett (Hodder and Stoughton, 1958) has published a book called 'Subud' (Sushila, Buddha, Dharma) in which he suggests his firm belief that one Pak Subuh who hails from Indonesia is an avatar, the messenger from above for whom mankind is waiting. The Indian theory of avatāras is connected with the theory about yugas and manvantaras. When the world is in serious trouble. people believe that deliverance will come by the grace of God and they are often justified in their belief by the appearance of godly men who appear with some noble mission and masterly idea suited to the particular time and place when they appear.

In medieval and modern times the avatāras of Visnu have been regarded as ten, viz. Matsya, Kūrma, Varāha, Nrsimha or Narasimha (Man-lion), Vāmana, Parašurāma, Rāma (son of Dašaratha), Krsna, Buddha and Kalkin. The Varāha-purāna mentions these ten in that order ¹⁶¹⁹ In an inscription on the lintel above the figure of Śankara-Nārāyana in the Varāha-Perumal ¹⁶²⁰ temple, this Paurānik verse except the first six letters (which cannot be read) is seen inscribed. The locus classicus about the descent of God in different forms is in the Bhagavad-gltā ¹⁶²¹ viz. 'Whenever plety or righteousness (dharma)

¹⁶¹⁹ मत्त्यः कुमो वराहश्च वरसिहोध वामनः। रामो रामश्च कृष्णश्च द्वद्धः कल्की च ते दश । वराह 4. 2, मत्त्य 285. 6-7 (reads कल्कीति च क्रमात्) The मत्त्य verse is quoted by अपरार्क p. 338.

¹⁶²⁰ Vide Memoir No. 26 of the Archaeological Survey of India by H. Krishna Sastri on two statues of Pallava kings and five Pallava Inscriptions in a rock-cut temple at Mahābalipuram (p. 5), which the writer ascribes to the latter half of 7th century A D. The preserved engraving reads " हस्य नारसिंहश्च वामन । रामो रामस्य (श्व) उत्तर्सा (श्व) जल्की च ते द्वा । The same page notes that at Sirpur in the Central Provinces is a shrine of about 8th century A. D. in which are found side by side the images of Rāma and Buddha in his usual meditative attitude.

^{1621.} यदा यदा हि धर्मस्य "सुजाम्यहम्। परित्राणाय साधूना "धर्मसंस्थापनार्थाय सम्भवामि युगे युगे॥ गीता 4. 7-8, compare हरिवंश I. 41, 17 'यदा यदा "भारत। धर्मसंस्थापनार्थाय तदा सम्भवति प्रसु.॥ , जञ्जे पुनः पुनर्विष्प्प्रयेशे च शिथिले प्रसु.। किंगु धर्मः व्यवस्थानमधर्मस्य च नाशनम्॥ वासु 98 69, मत्स्य 47 235 (reads धर्मे प्रशिथिले and अक्षराणा प्रणाशनम्), वहीः संसरमाणो वे चोनीर्वर्तीमि सत्तम। धर्मसंस्थाणार्थय धर्मसंस्थापनाय

declines and impiety grows up. I create myself. Age after age I am born for the protection of the good, for the destruction of evil-doers and the establishment of piety'. same idea occurs in some of the parvans of the Mahabharata, as in Vanaparya 272, 71 and Asyamedhika-parya 54, 13. None of the ten avatāras, except those of Krsna and probably Rāma, ('Rāmah sastrabhrtām-aham', Gītā X. 31) are, however, mentioned by name in the Bhagavad-gītā. The number and names of the avatāras are not uniform in the Mahābhārata. In the Nārāvanīva section of the Śantiparva (chap. 339. verses 77-102) only six avatāras and their exploits are expressly mentioned, viz Varāha (bringing up the earth submerged in the ocean). Narasimha (killing demon Hiranyakasipu), Vamana (vanquishing Bali and making him dwell in Pātāla), Bhārgava Rāma (extirpating ksatriyas), Rāma, son of Daśaratha (kılling Rāvana), Krsna (killing or vanquishing Kamsa, Narakāsura, Bāna, Kālayavana, Jarāsandha, Śiśupāla). Then the same chapter 1622 mentions ten avatāras as follows: Hamsa, Kūrma, Matsya, Varāha, Narasimha, Vāmana, Rāma (Bhārgava), Rāma Dāsarathi, Sātvata, Kalki. Here Buddha is omitted and Krsna is called Sātvata and Hamsa is added. In the Harivamśa 1623 it is said that the past avatāras have been thousands and in future also they would be thousands. Santiparva says the same thing. The Hariyamsa (I. 41. 27 ff) names only the following and their exploits, viz. Varāha, Narasimha, Vāmana, Dattātreya, Jāmadagnya (Paraśurama), Rāma, Krsna and Vedavyāsa. But as Kesava is said to be the 9th (I.41.6) it is to be understood that Matsya and Kurma were counted, though not expressly named and Kalki Visnuyasas is mentioned as a

(Continued from last page)

च। आन्त्रमेधिक 54 13. असता निग्रहार्थीय धर्मसरक्षणाय च। <u>अवतीर्णों</u> महुष्याणामजायत यहुसये॥ स एवं भगवान् विष्णुः कृष्णेति परिकीरथेते। धनपर्य 272. 71-72. ब्रह्मपु॰ (180 26-27 and 181. 2-4) has almost the same words as गीता देवीभागग्त (VII. 39) 22-23 is यदा यद्गा "र्भगति भूधर। अम्यु" तदा वेपान् धिभर्म्यहम्॥

^{1622.} हंस: फूर्मव्य मरस्यश्च <u>मादुर्भावाद</u> द्विजोत्तम । पराहो नरसिंहश्च वामनो राम एर च ॥ रामो दाशरथिश्वेद सालतः फल्किरेर च ॥ शान्ति 339, 103-104. In आदि 218 12 शासदेद is called सालत

^{1623.} प्रादुर्भावसत्साणि अतीतानि न संदायः। भूयश्चेत्र भविष्यन्तीरयेवमाह प्रजापतिः॥ इरिएंका I 41, 11, अतिकान्ताश्च बहवः प्राहुर्भावा ममोत्तमा ॥ ज्ञान्ति 339, 106 Vide भागवत I 3, 26 and अग्नि 16, 11-12 for similar words.

future avatāra. The usual ten avatāras are named in Varāha1624 (4, 2, 48, 17-22, 55, 36-37), Matsya 285, 6-7, Agni (chap. 2-16 stories about all ten), Narasimhapurāna (chap. 36), Padma VI. 43. 13-15). In Vayu 98. 68-104 the text presents the avatāras in a confused manner and appears to mention ten avatāras, viz. Varāha, Narasimha, Vāmana, Dattātreya, Māndhātr, Jāmadagnya, Rāma (Dāśarathi), Veda-vyāsa, Vāsudeva, Kalkin Visnuyasas. In Brahmanda III. 73.75 ff there are lists of avataras different from the present ten. In the Bhāgavata the avatāras of Visnu are mentioned in several places In I. 3. 1-25 twenty-two avatāras including Brahmā. Devarsi Nārada (who promulgated the Sātvata system). Nara-Nārāyana, Kapila (who taught to Asuri the Sānkhya system). Dattātreya, Rsabha, (son of Nābhi and Merudevi),1625 Dhanvantari, Mohini, Vedavyāsa, Balarāma and Krsna, Buddha, Kalki are mentioned. In II. 7 twenty-three avatāras are mentioned, many of which are the same as in I. 3, but in II. 7 Dhruva, Prthu son of Vena. Hayagriva are mentioned, the first two of which are mentioned as avatāras hardly anywhere else. In Bhāgavata XI. 40. 17-22 the following avatāras are mentioned, viz. Matsya, Hayasīrsa, Kūrma, Sūkara, Narasimha, Vāmana, Bhārgava Rāma, Rāma, Vāsudeva, Sankarsana, Pradyumna, Aniruddha, Buddha, Kalki. In Bhagavata XI. 4, 17-22 sixteen avatāras are set out, viz. usual ten plus Hamsa, Datta (Dattātreya), Kumāra (Nārada), Rsabha, Vyāsa and Hayagrīya. Twelve avatāras are noted in Matsya 99. 14 and Padma V. 13. 182-186. The Prapancasaratantra (ascribed to the great advaita teacher Śankarācārya) mentions (in Patala 20.59) Matsya, Kūrma, Varāha, Nrsimha, Kubja (i. e. Vāmana), three Rāmas

^{1624.} The passage of Matsya (285.6-7) is very likely a later interpolation, because in another place in the Matsya, the names of avatāras are different. In Matsya 47.106 there is a reference to the curse on Visnu by Bhrgu that since Visnu killed his wife he would have to be born seven the sas a human being and the seven avatāras are Dattātreya, Māndhātā, Jāmadagnya (Bhārgava) Rāma, Rāma Dāśarathi, Vedavyāsa, Buddha, Kalkin and three more (in 47.237-240), viz. Nārāyaṇa, Narasimha and Vāmana are added; in Matsya 54.15-19, Naksatrapurusa-vrata is described and the usual ten avatāras are named.

^{1625.} Rsabha, son of Nābhi, appears to be the first tīrthankara of the Jamas and was probably raised to the status of an incarnation of Visnu as Buddha was raised. In भागवत I. 3 24 बुद्ध is referred to as follows: ततः कलो संगृच्ते संमोहाय सरिद्विपास । बुद्धो नाम्नाऽजनस्तः कीकटेपु भविष्यति॥; नमो बुद्धाय दुर्वाय देरयतानवमोहिने। भागवत X. 40. 22.

(i. e. Bhārgavarāma, Dāśarathi Rāma and Balarāma), Krsna and Kalkin (i. e. it omits Buddha). The Ahirbudhnya Samhita (5.50-57) enumerates 39 avatāras of Vāsudeva, that are set out by Otto Schrader in his Introduction to the Pancaratra and the Ahirbudhnya Samhita, pp. 42-43. The Visnupurana 1626 states that Laksmi follows Visnu in his avatāras The Purānas are full of the descriptions of the exploits of Visnu in his several avatāras. But it should not be supposed that Siva had no The Vavu (chap. 23) mentions 28 avataras of avatāras Maheśvara the last of which was Nakuli (Lakuli) as verse 221 says. In Varāha 15 10-19 all avatāras are praised except that of Buddha. But Varāha (48, 20-22) provides that worship of Narasimha frees men from the fear of sins, of Vāmana leads to removal of delusion, of Parasurama to wealth, one should worship Dāśarathi Rāma for the destruction of cruel enemies. one desirous of a son should worship Balarama and Krsna, one who desires a handsome form should worship Buddha and one should worship Kalkin for the slaughter of enemies. 1627 The Agnipurana (chap. 49, 1-9) describes what characteristics the images of the ten avatāras should possess and says that the image of Buddha should be represented as having a quiet face, long ear-lobes, fair complexion, wearing an upper garment, seated in Padmasana posture and his hands should have the varada and abhaya poses.

From the facts that Ksemendra in his Daśāvatāra-carita¹⁶²⁸ (composed in 1066 A. D), and the Gitagovinda of Jayadeva (court poet of Laksmanasena) mention the usual ten avatāras with Fish as the first and that the Matsya passage about ten avatāras is quoted by Aparārka (first half of 12th century), it follows that all the ten avatāras of Visnu had become recognised throughout India at least about the 10th century A. D.

^{1627.} शान्तातमा लम्मजर्णश्च गौराज्ञश्चाम्यराष्ट्रतः। अधी प्रमस्यतो छुद्धो वरदाभय-दायकः॥ अग्नि 49 8, बृहत्सिहिता 57 4 प्रमाद्भितजरचरणः शसम्बर्गृती, सुनीचक्रेशश्च। प्रसासनोपनिद्धो पितेन जगतो भगति छुद्ध ॥, vide वराद्ध 48, 20-22 त्रामन मोहनाशाय निर्तार्थो जमद्गिजम्। क्रूरशञ्चुनिनाशाय यजेदाशर्रार्थे दुधः। चलक्रुण्णो पजेद्धीमान् पुनकामो न सक्षयः। स्वकामो भजेद्द् छुद्धौ शृशुधाताय कारिकनम् ॥

^{1628.} मत्स्य कूर्मा तराष्ट्रः एषपद्रियुर्गामनो जामद्रग्यः काकुरस्य कसर्ग्या स च सगतस्तिः जार्कनामा च निष्णुः ॥ दशानतारचरित I. 2.

Kumārila (7th century A. D.) did not accept Buddha as an avatāra, though about that century some people had come to recognize him as such (vide note 1629). Besides, as shown above, there were many views about the total number of avatāras, their names and the order in which they appeared. Vide Dr. Katre's paper in Allahabad University Studies, vol. X. pp 37-130 for discussion on 33 avatāras. The Varāha avatāra is mentioned in the Eran stone Boar 1629 Inscription of Toramana (Gupta inscriptions pp 158-160) in the first quarter of the 6th century. The Raghuvamśa (IV. 53 and 58) refers to the recovery of land near the Sahya mountain from the Western Sea by Rāma (Bhargava): vide pp. 89-90 and note 224 above for references in the Mahābhārata and Purānas to Parasurāma's exploits. The Sarvānukramanī p 42 on Rg. X, 110 names as rsi Jamadagni or his son Rāma The Meghadūta mentions the planting of the left foot of Visnu on Bali (i. e. the Vāmana avatāra). Māgha in Śiśupāla-vadha (XV. 58) regards Bodhisattva (Buddha) as an avatara of Hari and as sought to be tempted by the hordes of Māra. Māgha 1630 flourished about 725-775 A.D. The knowledge of Vāmana and Krsna avatāras can be carried back centuries before the Mahābhāsya of Patañjali, since it refers to works and dramatic representations of the imprisonment of Bali and the killing of Kamsa (vide pp. 130, 203 and notes 330, 521 above). In the Daśāvatāra cave at Ellora one sees the representations of Varāha, Narasimha, Vāmana and Krsna. These caves are ascribed to the 8th century A. D.1631 Therefore, it appears almost certain that some at least (viz. Vāmana, Paraśurāma and Krsna) of the usual ten avatāras had been recognised some centuries before Christ and all ten had come to be recognised by some writers and other people before the 7th century A D.

¹⁶²⁹ The first verse of the Inscription is. जयति घरण्युद्धर्ण घनघोणाधात-यूणितमहीध.। देवी <u>बराहमूर्तिस्त्रे</u> होन्यमहागृहस्तम्भ. ।। Gupta Inscriptions p 159. This was engraved in the first year of the Huna king तौरमाण's reign on 10th of Phälguna, when the temple of Nārāyana in his Boar avatāra was built. The probable date is about 500 to 510 A. D. This अवतार is sometimes called आदिवराह, ग्रज्ञवराह, श्वेतवराह, महावराह Compare हर्पचरित III 'महावराहपीवरस्कन्ध-पीठ नरकासर हव भुवो गर्भाहुन्युत.।'. Vide 'Royal conquests and cultural migrations' by C Sivarāma Mūrti (Calcuttā, 1955) for plate II C. for 'Ādivarāha' of 4th century A D

¹⁶³⁰ इति तत्तद्धा विकृतस्थमभजद्विभिषाचेतसम् । मारवलमिव भयङ्करतां ह<u>रिचोधि-सत्त्वमभि</u>राजमण्डलम् ॥ शिञ्चपालवध् XV 58. For Magha's date, vide the author's 'History of Sanskrit Poetics' (1951) pp 112–113 and 139.

^{1631.} Vide 'Cave Temples of India' by Fergusson and Burgess p. 438; Archaeological Survey of Western India by Burgess, Vol V. p. 25.

The conception of avatāras contributed largely to the increase of Dharmaśāstra material. They gave rise to numerous vratas and festivals. For example, the Varāhapurāna devotes chapters 39–48 to dvādašī-vratas in honour of the ten avatāras from Matsya to Kalkin. There are separate festivals called Jayantīs of the avatāras such as Narasimha-jayantī on Vaišākha su 14, Paraśurāma-jayantī (on Vaišākha su 3) Vide pp. 262–263 for the tithis and months in which the different avatāras appeared 1631a

Descriptions of each of the ten well-known avataras occur in several Puranas: for example, Matsya avatara is described in Matsya chap. 1-2, Agni 2, Narasimha 37, Kūrma in Agni 3, Bhāgavata I 3 16, VIII 7-8-10, Narasimha 38, Varāha in Matsya 247-248, Vāyu 6 11-26, Bhāgavata III. 13. 18-45, III. 19. 25-30, Narasimha 39; Narasimha avatāra in Brahma 58. 12 ff. 213, 43 ff. Matsya 161-163, Bhāgayata I 3, 18, VII. 8, 18, Narasimha-purāna 41-44, Vāmana in Brahma 73 and 213 80-185, Vāyu 98, 74-87, Vāmanapurāna 78 51, Bhāgavata VIII. 18. 12 to VIII. 22. 33, Narasimha 45; Paraśurāma in Matsya 244-246, Bhagavata IX. 15 13, IX. 16. 1-26 and vide above pp. 89-90 and note 204; Rāma in Brahma 176, Agni 5-11 (the seven kāndas of the Rāmāyana are summarised), Vāyu 88. 183-198, Bhag. IX. 10-11, Padma IV. 1-68, Narasimha 47-52, Krsna in Brahma 14-17, 180-212, Agni 12-15, Bhāg X. 3, 44-45, 50-52 &c., XI 1 and 30, Narasimha 53; Buddha in Brahma 180. 27-39, Agni 16, 1-3, Varāha 180. 27-29, 213 32 ff., Padma VI. 31. 13-15, Bhag I. 3. 24, Kalkin - Vide H. of Dh. III. pp. 923-925 for references. The avatāras and their jayantīs are described in many Dharmasastra works, but the Todarananda, vol. I. edited by Dr P. L. Vaidya in the Ganga Oriental Series probably contains the longest account of the ten avataras (pp. 39-386) Thousands of verses are devoted by the Puranas to each of the topics of dana (gifts), śraddha, tirtha and vrata and they have been quoted at length by works on Dharmaśāstra

¹⁶³¹ a The following verse is cited from the पुराणसमुद्राय in नि. सि. p 81. मल्योऽसूद्धुतभुग्दिने मधुसिते, कूमों विधो माध्ये, वाराहो गिरिजासुते नमसि, यन्त्रेते सिते माध्ये। सिंहो, भाद्रपदे सिते हरितियो श्रीवामनी, माध्ये रामो गीरितिथावत परमभूद्र रामो नवस्यां मध्ये। ॥ कृष्णोष्टस्या नमसि सितपरे, चान्यिने यहक्तस्या खुद्धः, कल्की नमसि समभून्युक्कुपष्टचा क्रमेण ॥. The भक्तिमकाश p. 79 (of the वीरिमिनोद्दय) also quotes this The नि सि remarks that others cite some stray verses where some of the tithis are different and that some Konkana writers cite some verses as occurring in वराहपुराण in which नत्स्यजयन्ती is on आयादशुक्क eleventh, Buddha should be worshipped on पौपशुक्क 7th and so on.

best to bring together by way of illustration a few of the pages of the previous volumes of the History of Dharmaśāstra on these subjects.

Vide vol. II. pp. 880-881 (for gifts of certain danas called dhenus from Matsya chap. 82, Varāha, chap. 99-110, Agni, chap. 210), p. 882 (for gifts called parvata or meru from Matsya 83-92, Agni 210 quoted by Apararka pp. 344-454), pp. 884-885 (for grahaśānti from Matsya 93 and about images of planets from Matsya 84), p. 892 (for dedication of a reservoir of water to the public from Matsya 58), pp. 895-896 for the planting of trees and the dedication of a garden to the public (Matsya 59. Agni 70), pp. 896-899 (for dedication of temples and consecration of images in Matsya 264-266, Agni 60 and 66); vol. IV. pp. 162-164 about various hells (Agni 203, 371, Brahma 22, 214-215, Brahmavaivarta, Prakrtikhanda 29 and 33, Nāradapurāna I. 15, Padma IV. 227, Bhavisya, Brāhmaparva 192, Bhāgavata V. 26, Visnu V. 6, Märkandeya 12 and 14); vol. IV. p. 170 about heaven being really happiness of the mind (Brahma 22. 44 and 47, Visnu IV. 6. 46); vol. IV. pp. 177-178 on the doctrine of Karmavipāka (Vāmana 12, Mārkandeya 15, Varāha 203, Visnudharmottara II, 102); vol. IV. p. 181 on the signs of approaching death (Vāyu 19, Linga 91, Mārk. 43. 29-39 or chap. 40 of Venk. ed. and Visnudharmottara III. 218); vol. IV. p. 212 on cremation (Varāha 187, Garuda II. 4); vol. IV. p. 256 on the persons entitled to perform funeral rites (Visnu III. 13, Mark chap. 30 of B. I. ed. and 27 of Venk. ed.); vol. IV. pp. 261-262 the rites after death being called pūrva or nava, madhyama (navamiśra) and uttara (or purāna) in Visnupurāna III, 13; vol IV. p. 265 about an ātivāhika body being assumed by the soul of a dead person (Brahma 214, Märk. 16, Agni 230 and 371); vol IV. p. 272 on āšauca (impurity on birth and death) from Kūrma II. 23, Linga I. 89, Garuda, pretakhanda 5, Agni 157-158, Vāmana 14. It is unnecessary to refer to the pages of this volume itself for numerous references to Puranas as regards tithis, various vratas, times for religious rites, astrological matters &c.

It should not be supposed that the Purānas are restricted to topics called religious in popular parlance and to the five characteristic topics of creation, re-creation (sarga, pratisarga &c.) Some of the Purānas contain an exhaustive treatment of the duties of kings, ministers, commander-in-chief, judge, envoy scribes, court physician and of coronation, invasion &c

Many of these matters have already been dealt with in vol. III. of the H. of Dh. The most exhaustive treatment of what may be called political matters is found in Matsya chap. 215-226 and 240, Agni 218-242, Visnudharmottara II, chap. 2-7, 18-21, 24-26, 28, 61-63, 66-72, 145-152, 177. Among other Purānas Garuda I 108-115, Mārkandeya 24 (in Venk, ed, or 27 in Banerii's ed), Kālikā 87 contain some discussion of matters political. It should be noticed that both Matsya (240.2) and Agni (228.1) employ the two technical words, 'Akranda' and 'Parsnigraha' that are part of the theory of Mandala in Kautilya (VI 2 p 260) explained in H. of Dh. vol. III p. 222. The Matsyapurana is profusely quoted by one of the earliest extant digests on Dharmasastra viz. the Krtyakalpataru on Rājadharma (pp. 23, 25-30, 34-38, 42-43, 55-61, 118-122, 123-124, 158-161 and many verses from chap 227 and 241 of the Matsya on the administration of justice in Vyavahārakānda pp. 342, 345, 348, 377, 406, 409-10, 562, 581, 594-95, 599). The same digest quotes many verses (pp. 9-13, 128, 166, 178-181) from the Brahmapurana which are not found in the printed Brahma (Anan. ed.), but which are quoted by the Rajanitiprakāśa of Mitramiśra (borrowing from Kityakalpataru) pp. 138, 158, 283, 416-419 and some by the Rajadharmakaustubha The Visnudharmottara on of Anantadeva (pp. 326-330) rājadharma is not quoted in the Krtyakalpataru on rājadharma, but it is frequently quoted by the Rajanitiprakasa e. g. Vi. Dh. II. 18. 1, 5-14 are quoted by R. N. P. on pp. 32-33, Vi. Dh. II. 18. 2-4 are quoted by R N. P. p. 61, Vi. Dh. II, 22. 1-185 are quoted by R. N. P. pp. 66-81 (on mantras to be recited and the several divinities to be invoked at a king's coronation). Vi. Dh. II. 23. 1-13 are quoted by R. N. P. on pp. 82-83 (describing the rewards of the mantras recited in Vi. Dh. II. 22). Vi. Dh. 1s quoted 21 times by the Rājadharma-kaustubha. These three Purānas alone (Matsya, Agni, Visnudharmottara) devote several thousand verses to the topic of raja-dharma and allied matters. The Garuda-purāna (I. 108-115) devotes about four hundred verses to Rajaniti (political thought) but many of them are like subhāsitas (bon mots) and are borrowed from Manu (e. g. Garuda I. 109. 1 and 52, 110. 7, 115 63 are respectively Manu VII. 213, VIII. 26, II. 239, IX. 3), the Mahābhārata, the Nāradasmrti (e.g. 'na sā sabhā' in Garuda 115. 52 is Narada III. 18). The Garuda itself states that it will expound the essence of nīts (Rājadharma) based upon Arthaśāstra ¹⁶³² and the like; while the colophous at the end of chapters 108–114 aver that they contain the Nītisāra promulgated by Brhaspati. One verse is almost the same as the Introductory verse 5 of Bāna's Kādambarī ¹⁶³³ and I am disposed to hold that it is the Garuda that is the borrower.

Certain verses from the Mārkandeya-purāna (24.5, 23-33 or chap. 27 and 21-31 in Banerji's ed.) are quoted by R. N. P. pp. 30-31 (about the duties of kings and their acting in the peculiar ways of five gods, viz. Indra, Sūrya, Yama, Soma and Vāyu). The Dāyabhāga quotes the Markandeya-purāna for pointing out that sāpnādya in the matter of inheritance and succession is different from sāpindya for the periods of asauca (impurity on death 1631). The Krtyakalpataru on Rājadharma (pp. 182-183) quotes a passage from Skandapurāna on the festival of Kaumudīmahotsava to be celebrated by the king. The same passage is quoted by R. N. P. pp. 419-421.

The Krtyakalpataru (on Rājadharma) quotes a long passage (pp. 201–212) from Bhavisyapurāna on 'Vasordhārā', which is quoted by the R.N.P. (pp. 447–457) from the Devīpurāna. The Kālikāpurāna in chapter 87 devotes 131 verses to Rājanīti, in which a summary is furnished of the course of conduct that should be followed by the king. This chapter expressly mentions the works of Uśanas and Brhaspati (verses 99 and 130) and advises the king to honour brāhmanas that are advanced in knowledge, learning, tapas and age, to control his senses, to employ the four upāyas (sāma, dāna, danda and bheda), to avoid the vices of gambling, drinking, indulgence in sexual matters and hunting, to practise the six gunas (yāna, āsana &c.), to test the princes, councillors, the queens and other female relatives by upadhās (investigation of character by various tricks).

¹⁶³² नीतिसारं प्रवश्यामि अर्थशास्त्रादिसंश्रितम् । राजादिम्यो हितं पुण्यमायुःस्तर्गादि-दायकम् ॥ गरु । 108 1.

^{1633.} अकारणाविष्कृतकोपथारिण, खलाद्भयं कस्य न नाम जायते । विषं महाहेर्विषमस्य दुर्वेच: सुदु सह संनिपतेत्सद्ग सुखे ॥ गस्ड I 112 16, compare काद्मन्तरी Introductory verse 5 'अकारणाविष्कृतवैरदारुणादसञ्जनात् कस्य भयं न जायते। विषं महाहेरिव यस्य दुर्वेच: सुदुः सहं संनिहितं सद्ग सुखे॥

^{1634.} अशौचाद्यर्थे तु पिण्डलेपसुजामपि तद्यत्तिपण्डलेपभोक्तृत्वेन सपिण्डलं मार्कण्डेय-पुराणे निर्दिष्टं यथा— 'पिण्डलेपसुजश्चान्ये पितामहपितामहात् । प्रभृत्युक्ताख्यपस्तेषां यजामानश्च सक्षम. ॥ इत्येवं तुनिभिः प्रोक्तः सम्बन्धः सामपौज्यः । अशोचकर इत्यर्थः । दायभाग् XI para 41. The verses 'पिण्डलेप ''सामपौज्यः' are मार्कण्डेय 28. 4–5 with slightly different readings (chap 31 in B. I.).

^{1635.} Compare the heading of कोदिल्य I. 10 'उपधासिः शौचाशोचज्ञान-ममारयानाम्। and the following passages; शीरस्वामी, while commenting on the word उपधा, quotes this heading about उपधा from कोदिल्य (expressly named)

It appears that Kautilya's Arthaśāstra was not available to most medieval writers and therefore they relied on the purānas for the treatment of Rājadharma. But the early purānas like the Matsya appear to have made use of Kautilya's work. Vide the author's paper on 'Kautilya and the Matsya-purāna' in Dr. B. C. Law presentation volume II. pp. 13-15.

In the matter of the administration of justice and the law of succession and inheritance also some of the Puranes have influenced the views of writers of mbandhas. The Krtvakalpataru on Vyavahāra quotes about twelve verses from the Kālikā-purāna on the marks of a truthful party or witness and on the appropriate ordeals in the case of the several varnas. 1636 their procedure and the different causes of action (vide pp. 79. 205, 210, 211, 221, 231, 238). Three verses from the Kālikā (chap. 91. 35-37) about the twelve kinds of sons and the impropriety of making a punarbhaya, syayamdatta and a dūsa successor to a kingdom are quoted in the R. N. P. pp. 35 and 42. Verses 38-41 of Kālıkā, chapter 91, about the sons that can be adopted and the age up to which they can be adopted are quoted by the Dattakamimāmsā p. 60 (Anan, ed.) and by the Vyavahāramayūkha p. 114, though the latter remarks that they were not found in two or three mss. of the Purana. 1637 In connection with the question of the seniority among twins the Mayükha quotes Śridhara's comment on Bhagavata (III. 19 18) that the son born first is the younger one; but the Vyavahāramayūkha¹⁶²³ makes the interesting remark that in the Puranas practices opposed to the smrtis are frequently seen.

^{1636.} The three verses on pp. 210-211 beginning with 'paradārābhi-sāpe' &c. in Kriyakalpataru are quoted also by the Vyavabāramayūkha p. 45 and the Rājadharmakaustubha p. 408.

¹⁶³⁷ यत्तु कालिकापुराणे—पितुर्गोत्रेण य पुत्रः 'गृहीत्म पश्चमर्पीयं पुत्रेष्टिं मधम चरेत्। तद्यसगीत्रपरम्। 'इद तु पची न तथा विश्वम्भणीय द्वित्रकालिकापुराणपुरतकेव्यवर्शनात्। इप. म 11+ (BO.R I ed. 1926).

¹⁶³⁸ यज्ञ - द्वी तदा भवती गर्भी स्तिर्देशप्रिवर्ययात्-प्रयादिना भागरते वश्राजातस्य उपैष्ठसस्त तद्दयनन नाध्यते। पुराणेषु स्मृतित्रिकद्वान्ताराणा बहुशी दर्शनात्। स्य. म p. 93,

CHAPTER XXV

Causes of the Disappearance of Buddhism from India.

At the beginning of Chapter XXIV (pp. 913-14, n 1448) above, it was stated that the Puranas had a large share in bringing about the final disappearance of Buddhism from India, the land of its birth. The disappearance of Buddhism from India was complete and seemed to be sudden and is a complex problem. No single cause, nor even a few causes can fully account for this phenomenon. A combination of causes, both internal and external, must have been in operation for a pretty long time to bring about this remarkable event. It may be admitted that some of the causes are more or less conjectural. In the first quarter of the 5th century A.D. Fa-Hian found Buddhism in a flourishing condition in India, while in the first half of the 7th century A.D. Yuan Chwang appears to say that the decline of Buddhism had set in. It-sing found Buddhism very much on the decline in the beginning of the 8th century A.D. An attempt will be made here to discuss briefly the several causes that have been put forward by scholars for explaining the almost total disappearance of Buddhism from India. A few of the contributions bearing on this subject may be noted here. 'Religions of India' by A. Barth, translated by J. Wood (1882) pp. 133-139; Journal of Pali Texts Society (1896 pp. 87-92) on 'Persecution of Buddhists in India' by Rhys Davids, Kern's 'Manual of Buddhism' (in the German Grundriss pp 133-134); 'Buddhist India' by Rhys Davids (1903, pp. 157-158, 319); I. H. Q. vol. IX pp. 361-371 (where the causes of the disappearance of Buddhism emphasized by M. M. Haraprasad Shastri are enumerated); 'The Sum of History' by René Grousset, translated by A. and H. Temple Patterson pp. 101-105 (Tower Bridge Publications, 1951); 'The Decline of Buddhism in India' by Dr. R. C. Mitra (1954), particularly pp. 125-164; 'Life and Teaching of Buddha' by Devamitta Dharmapala (G. A. Natesan & Co., Madras, 1938); '2500 years of Buddhism' edited by Prof. P. V. Bapat, 1956 pp. 360-376; 'The Path of the Buddha' by Prof. Kenneth W. Morgan pp. 47-50 (New York, 1956); 'How Buddhism left India' by N. J. O'Connor, Ralph Fletcher Seymour, Chicago (1957).

Before setting out the main causes of the virtual disappearance of Buddhism from India certain general points have to be emphasized. Buddha was only a great reformer of the Hindu religion as practised in his time. He did not feel or claim that he was forming a new religion, nor did he renounce the Hindu religion and all its practices and beliefs. The Buddha referred to the Vedas and Hindu sages with honour in some of his sermons. He recognised the importance of Yogic practices and meditation. His teaching took over several beliefs current among the Hindus in his day such as the doctrine of Karma and Rebirth and cosmological theories. A substantial portion of the teaching of Buddha formed part of the tenets of the Upanisadic At the time when he was born there were two main currents of thoughts and practices prevalent among the people. one being the path of sacrifices to Gods and the other being the path of moral endeavour, self-restraint and spiritual goal. It has been shown above (pp. 917-918) how the Upanisads assigned a lower position to the Vedas and the sacrifices enjoined therein and how spiritual knowledge after cultivating high ethical qualities was deemed greater than sacrifices. The Upanisads first began by symbolical interpretation of Vedic sacrifices, as for example in Br. Up. I. 1. 1, where Usas, Sūrya and Samvatsara are said to be respectively the head, the eye and the soul of the sacrificial horse or as in Chan. Up II. 2. 1-2, where the five parts of the Saman employed in a sacrifice are symbolically identified with earth, fire, sky, sun and heaven. Then they proceed to belittle the Veda as mere name and as much inferior to brahmandyā (e. g. Br. Up. IV. 4. 21, I. 4.10, Chān. Up. VII. 1-4, Mundaka I. 1. 4-5. It is generally held by all Sanskrit scholars that at least the oldest Upanisads like the Brhadaranyaka and the Chandogya are earlier than Buddha, that they do not refer to Buddha or to his teachings or to the pitakas. On the other hand, though in dozens of Suttas meetings of brāhmanas and Buddha or his disciples and missionaries are reported they almost always seem to be marked by courtesy on both sides. No meetings are recorded in the early Pali Texts or brahmanical Texts about Sakyans condemning the tenets of ancient brahmanism or about brahmanas censuring the Bauddha heterodoxy. Besides, in all these meetings and talks, the central Upanisad conception of the immanence of brahma is never attacked by Buddha or by the early propagators of Buddhism. What Buddha says may be briefly rendered as follows. "Even so have I, O Bhikkhus, seen an ancient path, an ancient road followed by

rightly enlightened persons of former times. And what, O Bhikkhus, is that ancient path, that ancient road, followed by the rightly enlightened ones of former times? Just this very Noble Eightfold Path, viz. right views .. &c. This. O Bhikkhus. is that ancient path, that ancient road, followed by the rightly enlightened ones of former times. Along that (path) I have gone and while going along that path. I have fully come to know. old age and death. Having come to know it fully. I have told it to the monks, the nuns, the lay followers, men and women; this brahmacarya is prosperous, flourishing, widespread, widely known. has become popular and made manifest well by gods and men."1639 It will be noticed that the Noble Eightfold Path which the Buddha put forward as the one that would put an end to misery and suffering is here expressly stated to be an ancient path trod by ancient enlightened men. Buddha does not claim that he was unique, but claimed that he was only one of a series of Enlightened men and stressed that the moral qualities which he urged men to cultivate belonged to antiquity. In the Dhammapada and the Suttanipāta (Mahāvagga, Vāsettha sutta) the truly virtuous man is spoken of as brāhmana: "I speak of him as brāhmana, who causes no harm (or evil) in body, word and thought, who is guarded as regards these three sources"; 'neither by matted hair nor by lineage, nor by caste, does one become a brāhmana'; 'that man in whom there is truth and righteousness is blessed and is a brahmana', 'him who does not cling to desires (or pleasures) as water does not stick to a lotus leaf or as a mustard grain (does not stick) on the top of an awl, I call a brähmana. 1640 Moreover, it does not appear that at any time

^{1639.} Vide संयुत्तनिकाय (P. T. S), part II (Nidanavagga) edited by M Leon Feer pp 106-107 paragraphs 21-33, a few sentences from which may be quoted here: 'एवमेष ख्वाहं भिक्खवे अद्यसं पुराणं मग्गं पुराणंजसं पुट्यकेष्टि सम्मासंबुद्धिह अञ्चयातं॥ कतमो च सो भिक्खवे मग्गो पुराणंजसो अञ्चयातो। अयभेव अदंगिको मग्गो। सेट्यथापि समृद्धिह। ''अयं रवो भिक्खवे पुराणमग्गो अञ्चयातो। तं अञ्चर्यक्षि । ''अवं रवो भिक्खवे पुराणमग्गो अञ्चयातो। तं अञ्चर्यक्षिः। तं अञ्चयव्यक्षिः। तं वित्थारिकं व्यक्षक्षिः। तं वित्थारिकं विद्यक्षिः। तं विद्यक्षिः। तं विद्यारिकं विद्यक्षिः। तं विद्यारिकं विद्यक्षिः। तं विद्यक्षिः। तं विद्यारिकं विद्यक्षिः। तं विद्यारिकं विद्यक्षिः।

^{1640.} यस्स कायेन वाचाय मनसा नत्थि दुक्कतं। संदुत्तं तीहि ठानेहि तमहं हूमि बाह्मणम्॥ न जटाहि न गोत्तेन न जचा होति बाह्मणो। यम्हि सर्च च धम्मो च सो सुखी सो च बाह्मणो॥ वारि पोक्खरपत्तेन आरग्गेरिव सासवो। यो न लिम्पात कामेस्र तमहं हूमि बाह्मणम्॥ धम्मपद् verses 391, 393,401 (of Dr P L Vaidya's edition of 1934 in Devanāgarī type), सुत्तिभेपात (महावग्ग, वासेहसुत्त) bas the last verse. With the verse ' na jatāhi

the whole of India or even large portions of it were completely Buddhistic. The people of India as a whole were always Hindus. There were many millions of people at all times who professed Hinduism and not Buddhism. Bssides, even when Buddhism secured the patronage of emperors like Aśoka, Kaniska and Harsa Buddhism was mainly restricted to monasteries and schools and great tolerance prevailed. For example, Harsa's father was a great devotee of the Sun and he himself is described in his Banskhera and Madhuban plates as a great devotee of Śiva, though his elder brother Rājyavardhana is described as paramasaugata (a great devotee of Buddha) and he appears to have shown favour to the Buddhist pilgrim (Yuan Chwang). 1642

Some recent authors like Prof K. W. Morgan hold that the most important causes of the disappearance of Buddhism were decline of vigour in the Sangha, the Moslem invasions and the opposition of the Hindu community ('The Path of the Buddha' p. 48).

There is a good deal of truth in A. Coomaraswamy's contention that the more profound is one's study of Buddhism and Brahmanism the more difficult it becomes to distinguish between

⁽Continued from last page)

[&]amp;c 'compare महाभारत, वनपर्व 216 14-15 'यस्तु शूद्धों दमे सत्ये धर्मे च सततोत्थित । तं बाह्मणमहं मन्ये पूत्तेन हि भवेद् हिजः ॥ Vide H of Dh. vol. II p 101 for other passages from the Great Epic similar to these With the verse वारि पोक्सर पत्तेव &c. compare Chân Up IV 14 3 'यथा पुण्करपलाञ्च आयो न श्लिष्यन एवमें विदि पाप कर्म न श्लिष्यत इति 'and Gitā V. 10 'लिय्यते न स पार्यन प्रमिवाम्भसा'

^{1641.} Vide for a similar view expressed by a great French savant and Sanskrit scholar Prof. L. Renou in 'Religions of ancient India' (University of London, 1953) p. 100.

¹⁶⁴² For Banskhera Plate of the year 22 (of the Harsa era) i. c. 628-29 A D., vide E. I vol IV pp 210-211 and for the Madhuban plate of Harsa in the year 25 i. e. 631-32 A. D., vide E. I vol. I pp 72-73 (Bühler) and E I vol. VII pp 157-158 (Kielhorn). Yuan Chwang does not mention that Rājyavardhana was a great devotee of Buddha but he tries to paint Harsa as full of faith in Buddha from the beginning and narrates a fictitious story describing how Harsa was prevented from mounting the throne and induced to take the title Kumāra by a Bodhsattva who miraculously appeared to him in return for his worship. This shows that the accounts by the 'Master of the Law' must sometimes be taken with a pinch of salt. Vide Watters on 'Yuan Chwang's Travels in India' (London, 1904) vol I p 343 for this story.

the two or to say in what respects Buddhism is really unorthodox (vide his 'Hinduism and Buddhism' p. 452). Buddha and his successors really attacked some popular varieties of Brahmanism. Mrs. Rhys Davids in her lecture on 'The relations between Early Buddhism and Brahmanism' (published in I. H. Q. vol. X. pp. 274-286) endeavours to show that the Tripitakas do not refer to rupture with brāhmanas and that what Buddha preached was in agreement with the central tenet of immanence in the Brahmanism of the day. Buddha agreed (or at least had no quarrel) with the Upanisad teaching about high moral endeavour being a necessary pre-requisite for brahma realization and moksa (as in Br. Up. IV. 4. 23 'tasmād-evamvic-chānto dānta upratas-titiksuh samāhito bhūtvā ātmanyevātmānam pašyati', Katha Up. I. 2. 23, I. 3, 8, 9, 13, 15, Prašna Up. I. 15-16, Mundaka I. 2. 12-13).

The main matters of controversy between Buddha and the Hindu religious and philosophical system current in his time are generally held to have been caste divisions and pride of caste, the absolute authoritativeness of the Vedas and the great importance attached to sacrifices. 1642a Buddha asserted that righteousness and wisdom were the best, he did not expressly deny the existence of God but proclaimed that it was unnecessary to be definite nor did he pronounce his definite views on such questions as whether the world is eternal or non-eternal, since according to him, to cogitate on such points would be "a thicket of theorizing, wilderness of theorizing, the tangle of theorizing, the bondage and shackles of theorizing nor would it conduce to aversion, passionlessness, tranquillity, peace, illumination and narvāna." 1643 Buddha did not think much

¹⁶⁴² a. Barth in 'Religions of India' (pp. 125-126) scouts the theory that the institution of the Sangha and primitive Buddhism were a reaction against the regime of caste and the spiritual yoke of the brahmanas and calls that theory 'a fiction of romance '

¹⁶⁴³ Vide Majjhima-nikāya (Cūla-Mālunkyasutta and Aggi-vaccagotta-sutta) ed. by V Trenkner, vol I suttas 63 and 72 pp 431 and 486 ' म निव्याचाय न विरागाय न निरोधाय न उपसमाय न अभिज्ञाय न सस्वीधाय न निव्याचाय संवचित । These very words occur in the Potthapada-sutta of the Dīgha-nikāya when Buddha was asked by Potthapada whether the world was permanent or otherwise, whether it was without end or not, whether the soul (jīva) and body were different and he replied that he did not expound these matters because they served no purpose and did not lead to nirvāna (Pali Texts Society, vol. I pp 188–189 para 28).

of worship or prayer. According to him, what mattered was the deliverance of man from sorrow and suffering and the attainment of nirvāna $^{16\,13\sigma}$ (which state he did not care to define clearly and precisely). The original doctrine (called $hinay\bar{a}na$, 'the lesser way or vehicle') held that the experience of enlightenment and $n:rv\bar{a}na$ can be secured by human beings in this very life if they follow the path chalked out by Buddha.

The causes that have been advanced from time to time by scholars for the total disappearance of Buddhism from India must now be dealt with. (1) Persecution is alleged by some scholars as at least one of the main causes. King Pusyamitra of the Sunga dynasty is charged with having proclaimed that whoever would bring to him the head of a snaman would receive one hundred dinaras; 1644 Mihirakula, king of Kashmir,

(Continued on next page)

¹⁶⁴³ a. The word 'Nirvāna' literally means 'blown out, extinguished, or become cooled'. Taking the view most favourable to Buddha's teaching, it implies the blowing out or extinction of the fires of kāma (lust or desire), krodha (anger or ill-will), moha (ignorance or stupidity) and transformation of these into moral purity, goodwill (or charity) and wisdom. It is not like the Biblical Heaven—It is a state of perfect enlightenment, peace and bliss, attainable not merely after death, but in this very life and on this earth. It is really indescribable as stated in the Pall Udāna VIII 'unbecome, unborn, unformed &c.' and resembles the words used in speaking of brahma as 'netineti' in Br Up, II. 3, 6, IV 2, 1, IV, 4, 22, IV 5 15

^{1644.} The words in the Asokāvadāna No. 29 (the Divyāvadāna ed. by Cowell and Neil, Cambridge, 1886, p 434) ' यावत पुष्यमित्रो यापत्सद्वाराम भिर्द्ध मघातयन् मस्थितः। स यावच्छाकलमञ्जमातः। तेनाभिधितमः। यो से अमणिक्तरे। दास्यति तस्यार्ध वीनारकृत दास्यामि। " यदा पुरुयमित्री राजा प्रचातितस्तदा मौर्यवकः सम्राव्यानः ।. Pusyamitra is said by most authorities to be a Sunga and was called senani in the Puranas, in Harsacarita (VI) and in the Ayodhya Inscription (in E I. vol. 20 p. 54), while the above avadana calls him Maurya. This shows either the ignorance of the writer of the Divyavadana (which is a late work) or the text itself may be incorrect or corrupt. Vide Pro. of the 6th Indian History Congress (Aligarh, 1943) pp 109-116 where Mr. N. N. Ghosh propounds the theory that Pusyamitra did persecute Buddhists, though his successors did not, on the other hand Dr Ray Chaudhari in 'Political History of India ' (5th ed.) does not agree to the theory of persecution by पुरुवसिञ्च In the Aryamanjusci-mula-kalpa (T. S S part III, 53rd chapter pp 619-620) it is stated in a prophetic vein that a certain king called Gomimukhya (and also Gomisanda) extending his rule from Last India to Kashmır will make Buddha's sasana (system) disappear, will destroy vihiras and kill monks'. K. P Jayaswal in 'Imperial History of India is a Sanskrit text '(p. 19) holds that Gomimukhya is a concealed name for

is accused by Yuan Chwang (or more correctly, Hsuan Tsang according to some modern writers) with having overthrown Buddhist topes in Gandhara, with destruction of monasteries and the slaughter of myriads of Buddhists (vide 'In the footsteps of Buddha' by René Grousset pp. 119-120 on Mihirakula, the Indian Attila), king Śaśanka is said by Yuan Chwang to have destroyed the Bo-tree (Bodhidiuma), replaced the image of Buddha by one of Mahesyara and to have destroyed the religion of Buddha and dispersed the order (vide Beal's 'Buddhist Records of the Western World' Vol. II. pp. 118, 122 and Watters on 'Yuan Chwang's Travels' Vol, II, pp 115-116); king Sudhanvan is supposed to have issued at the instigation of Kumārila 1644a a proclamation to take effect from the Himālayas to Cape Comorin (which is palpably absurd) that he would put to death any servant of his who did not kill the Buddhists. These instances are carefully examined by no less a scholar than Rhys Davids in the Journal of the Pali Texts Society for 1896 (pp 87-92). After adverting to the facts that there is nothing about persecution in the Pali Pitakas, that the tone of Pali books is throughout appreciative of brahmanas, that no details are given and that hardly any names of persons suffering by

(Continued from last page)

Pusyamitra, that the portion above quoted was written about 800 A D and was translated into Tibetan in 1060 A D. (Intro. p 3). Vide 'Pusyamitra and the Sunga Empire' by Ramaprasad Chanda in I H.Q. vol V pp. 393-407 at p. 397 (for the concluding sentences of the Divyavadana in English) and pp. 587-613 and a recent paper on 'Pusyamitra Sunga and Buddhists' by Hari Kishore Prasad in JBRS. vol. 40 pp. 29-38

1644a. Vide also 'Buddhist India ' by Rhys Davids pp 318-320 (5th ed of 1917, the first being of 1903) about persecution and 'Life and Teaching of Buddha' by Devamitta Dharmapala p. 7 about Kumārila and Sankara having waged only a polemic war and nothing more. The Tantravārtika of Kumārila also suggests that the Buddhists were afraid of polemic skirmishes with the Mimānsakas and that, while stating in one breath that everything is momentary, the Buddhists at the same time foolishly boasted that their sacred texts also were eternal, borrowing that idea from the Vedic doctrines: प्रथा मीमासकचरता: शास्त्रचेशिप्ताच्य'! नित्य एवगमगीऽस्माक्तित्याहुः शूर्य-चित्तम् ॥'p 235, तव ज्ञाक्ये: प्रसिद्धापि मदक्षणिकचादिता। त्यज्यते वेदसिद्धान्वाज्यल्प-द्भित्तित्यमागम् ॥ p 236. Vide note (2011) which will show that Kumārila was prepared to admit the usefulness of Buddha's teachings up to a certain point. Other literary works also show that it was a polemic war e. g. the वासवदात्ता of सुवन्धु (not later than 6th century A. D.) says 'कोचिज्जीमीनिम्नताञ्चासारिण द्व तथागतमत्वचंत्रन.' (p 144, Hall's ed.).

persecution are mentioned, he asserts that he does not believe in these stories, but adds that he does not go so far as to maintain that there is no truth at all in the legend about Pusyamitra (but judgment must be reserved in view of the text in the avadana being corrupt and the author of it being grossly ignorant). As to the legend about Sudhanyan and Kumārila he holds that of all the cases of alleged persecution this is the weakest and that it is no more than boastful and rhetorical exaggeration 1615 emphasizes that the adherents of both faiths so diametrically opposed to each other lived in continuous peace side by side for a thousand years, that this redounds to the credit time of Aśoka of the whole Indian people from the

^{1645.} In the इद्भाविष्याजय of माधवान्वार्य it is stated (I 56 and 59) that king Sudbanyan was an avatāra of Indra and Kumārila of Skanda (who is also known as Kumara). The order of Sudbanvan is couched in that work as follows . व्यथावाज्ञां ततो राजा वधाय श्वतिविद्विपाम् । आ सेतोरा तुपारादेर्गीद्वानागुद्ध-बालकम् । न इन्ति यः स इन्तब्यो भृत्यानित्यन्यशान्त्यः ॥ (श्रप्लावित्यिजय I. 92-93). This seems to be a palpably absurd legend. No king in ancient India, much less one called Sudhanva, ruled over the vast territory from the Himalayas to Ramesvara. Further, it may be noted that the order, supposing one was issued, was addressed only to the king's servants and not to one and all. The Sankaradigvijaya (XV. 1) states that when Sankaracarya started on a pilgrimage to Ramesvara king Sudhanvan accompanied him. Madhavacarya, being blinded by his zeal to glorify his hero to the utmost, goes on heaping one legend on another and casts all history and chronology to the winds. For example, he narrates that Acarya Abhinavagupta (a great Saiva and Tantrika teacher) was vanquished by Sankara in disputations (XV. 158) and that Abhinavagupta practised black magic against the great Acarya. From Abhinavagupta's own works it appears that his literary activity hay between 980 to 1020 A D. (vide the author's 'History of Sanskrit Poetics', 1951, pp 231-232), while no scholar would place Sankarācārya later than 800 A D Mādhavācārya also says (XV. 157) that Sankara vanquished by his arguments Sribaraa, author of Khandanakhandakhādya, who could not be vanquished by Guru, Bhatta and Udayana Sribarsa flourished about the end of the 12th century A D. Taranath in his 'History of Buddhism' says 'It was probably about this time that the terrible enemies of the Buddhists, Sankarācārya and his disciple Bhattācarya appeared, the former in Bengal and the latter in Orissa. A short time after the Buddhists were persecuted in the South by Kumaralila and Kanādaruru; here mention is made of the Buddhist king Šālivābana, though the Buddhists relate that in the end Dharmakirti triumphed in the discussion with Kumarailla, Sankaracarya or Bhaifacarya &c. (I. A tol IV. p. 365) It will be noticed how the account is altogether confused. Vide Dr. Mitra's ' Decline of Buddhism ' p. 129.

downwards and that India never indulged in persecution in anyway approaching to the persecution of reforming Christians by the orthodox Church or the persecution of Christians by the Roman authorities. Dr. R. C. Mitra in "Decline of Buddhism in India" (pp. 125-130) arrives at the same conclusion about the cases of persecution. Barth (in 'Religions of India' p. 136) admits that everything tends to prove that Buddhism became extinct from sheer exhaustion and that it is in its own inherent defects that we must seek for the causes of its disappearance. He prefaces this remark with the words 'the most reliable documents, coins and inscriptions, bear evidence of a tolerance exceptionally generous on the part of the civil powers' (p. 133) and illustrates this by examples. 1645a It should be noted that great Smrtikāras like Yājñavalkya laid down that when an Indian king reduced a kingdom to subjection, it was the conqueror's duty to honour the usages, the transactions and family traditions of the conquered country and to protect them. Aśoka, though himself a believer in Buddha's teachings, shows great

¹⁶⁴⁵ a. यस्मित्वेद्देश य आन्वारो व्यवहार. कुलस्थितिः । तथैव परिपाल्योऽसौ यदा वश्यपातः॥. Nothing is gained by a total denial of even sporadic cases of religious persecution and vandalism. But such cases are very few and their very paucity emphasizes and illuminates the great religious tolerance of the Indian people for more than two thousand years. One interesting instance is found in an inscription from Ablur published in E I vol. V pp 213 ff (at p. 243), where the story is told of an intense devotee of Saivism named Ekantada Rama, who, in a controversy with the Jainas of Huligere (Laksmeśvara) led by a village headman named Sańkagaudā made a wager in a writing on palmyra leaf to the effect that he would cut his own head, place it at the feet of Somanatha in Huligere and have the head restored after seven days and that, if he succeeded, the Jains were to give up their faith and God. Ekantada Rama succeeded, but the Jamas refused to destroy the image of Jina, whereupon Rama routed the horses and guards sent by the Jamas, laid waste the Jaina shrine and built a big Siva shrine there. The Jamas complained to king Bijjala who sent for Rama and Rama produced the writing containing the terms of the wager, but offered to perform the same feat. The Jamas were not prepared to face the same test again Bijjala asked the Jamas to live peaceably with their neighbours, gave a savabatra (document of Rama's success) and granted a village to the temple of Somanatha. It is clear that a Jain image was overthrown and a Saiva one was substituted by Rama (leaving aside the superhuman feat ascribed to him) Rama is to be placed shortly before 1162 A. D. There is a great difference between local brawls as in the above case and a general policy by a community or a king of wholesale persecution.

tolerance by requiring honour to be shown to all beliefs and sects in his 12th Rock Edict in the words 'Neither praising one's own sect nor blaming other sects should take place,' that other sects ought to be duly honoured in every case', that 'concord (samavāva) alone is meritorious, that is they should both hear and honour each other's Dhamma' 1646 In the 7th Pillar Edict (Delhi-Topra p 136) Asoka proclaims that he appointed officers called Mahamatras to look after the Sangha (the community or body of preaching Buddhist mendicants). brāhmanas. Ājīvikas. Nigganthas and all other pāsandas (sects). India has been for thousands of years a country of nearly absolute tolerance, which is literally a religion, while European religiosity has nearly always been intolerant and, when not intolerant, it is tantamount to mental hostility or complete indifference Most Indian religious people were and are ready to agree that there may be alternative approaches to the mystery of life and the salvation of the soul. Indians feel amused at the claims of millions of people that some prophet revered by them has got the monopoly of the knowledge of God and the Here-This tolerance for differing tenets and cults persisted in India with rare exceptions throughout the long stretch of time from centuries before Asoka onwards till about 1200 A. D. when Moslems overran India. A few striking instances (both early and late) may be cited here: (1) Khāravela agam, a Jain king of Kalinga (2nd or 1st century B C). granted freedom from taxation to brahmanas in the 9th year of his reign (E I vol. XX. p. 79 and 88); (2) The Nasik cave Ins No. 10 records that Usavadāta, son-in-law of Ksatrapa Nahapāna of the Ksaharāta lineage made very large gifts to gods and brahmanas on the

^{1646.} Vide 'Inscriptions of Asoka' ed by Dr. Hultzsch (1925) pp. 20-21 for the text and translation of the Rock Edict from Girnar. Dr Minakshi in 'Administration and Social life under the Pallavas' (University of Madras, 1938, pp 170-172) after remarking that Pallava monarchy as a class were tolerant towards all religious sects, points out that king Pallavamalla indulged in some harsh methods and persecution. Prof. Arnold Toynbee in 'East and West' (Oxford Uni Press) points out that Christianity and Islam have seldom been content to follow the practice of 'live and let live' and that both of them have been responsible for some of the bitterest conflicts and cruellest atrocities that have disgraced history' (p 49) Similarly, V O. Vogt in 'Cult and Culture' condemns the unbeading arrogance of Moslems and Christian Missionaries in their claims of revealed authority and laments that religion will meet disaster unless it universalizes its own conception of Revelation to embrace the future as well as the past (p. 70)

s of sacred rivers and at Bharukaccha (modern Broach). pura, Govardhana and donated a field for feeding a congreon of Buddhist monks (E. I. Vol. VIII p. 78); (3) The a kings were generally devotees of Visnu but they also gifts to Buddhist monks e.g. Gupta Inscription No. 5 upta Inscriptions' ed. by Fleet pp 31-34) records a grant by takārdaya (an officer of Chandragupta II) to an Aryasangha he Gupta year 93 (412-3 A. D), (4) Siri Chantamula I, an aku king of Śrīparvata in Andhradeśa, had performed istoma. Vājapeya and Aśvamedha sacrifices but the ladies of family were almost all Buddhist and one of them erected a ar in honour of the supreme Buddha (E I. Vol. XX. p 8 and aswal's 'History of India' 50-350 A D, p 175); (5) The itraka rulers of Valabhi (in Kathiawar) were all orthodox idus and almost all are described as great devotees of heśvara (Śiva) The Journal of the University of Bombay III. (pp. 74-91) sets out five grants (four Buddhist and one brāhmana) The first is issued by a feudatory Gārulaka ıārāja Varāhadāsa in Valabhī year 230 (= 549 A.D.) and the ers by the Valabhi kings themselves. The four Buddhist records te grants to Yaksaśūra-vihāra and Pūrnabhatta-vihāra (both nasteries for nuns) of lands and villages for providing ments, food, beds, seats and medicines to the nuns and for viding for incense, flowers, sandalwood &c. for the worship Buddha images: (6) A king of Orissa, named Subhākaraa, who was son of a Buddhist king and who styles himself ramasaugata, made a grant of two villages in the latter half the 8th century to a hundred brahmanas belonging to various tras (E. I. vol. 15 at pp. 3-5 Neulpur grant); (7) Vigrahapāla. ng of Bengal, who belonged to the Buddhist Pala dynasty, anted in the 12th year of his reign a village to a Sāmavedi hmana after taking a bath in the Ganges on a lunar ipse in honour of Buddha (Bhagavantam Buddhabhattārakam diśya) by the Amgacchi grant (E I. Vol. XV. p. 293 at . 295-298, about 1000 A. D); (8) The successor of king igrahapāla (iii) by name Mahīpāla granted a village in honour Buddha after a bath in the Ganges in Visuva-sankranti to a hmana (E I. Vol. XIV. p. 324), vide also I. A. vol. 21 253-258 for a grant of Devapaladeva, a Buddhist king of engal, about the end of the 9th century, recording a grant of a llage to a learned brahmana (9) In the Kalacuri stone scription from Kasia (E. I vol. XVIII p 128) the first invocaon in prose is to Rudra and then to Buddha, the first two

verses are in praise of Sankara, 3rd in praise of Tārā (a Buddhist deity) and the 4th and 5th verses praise Buddha (who is styled Munindra); (10) Kumāradevi, 4th queen of Govindacandra (1114-1154 A. D.), a Gāhadavala king of Kanauj and an orthodox Hindu, built a uhara in which she placed an image of Dharma-cakra Jina i e, Buddha (vide E. I. Vol. IX p. 319 at p. 324); (11) Govindacandra himself made a gift of six villages to a Buddhist learned ascetic (Śākvaraksita by name) who hailed from Utkala (Orissa) and to his pupil for the benefit of the Sangha at Jetavana Mahāvihāra (vide the Sahet-Mahet plate of Govindacandra dated samuat 1186, i. e. 1128-29 A. D. recorded in E I vol. XI p. 20 at p. 24). (12) The Madanapur plate of the Buddhist king Śrīcandra 1547 of East Bengal records that the king made a grant of land to a brahmana named Śukradeva 'in honour of Buddha-bhattāraka' after having bathed on the Agastitrtiva day. (13) The Dambal (inscription of the times of Calukya Tribhuvanamalla alias Vikramadıtya (in saka 1017 i. e. 1095-96 A. D.) begins with an invocation to Buddha and records certain grants to two vihāras, one of Buddha built by certain Settis of Dharmapura or Dharmavolal (i.e. Dambal in Dharwar District) and the other of Tārādevī by a Setti of Lokkigundi (or modern Lakkundi). (14) In E. I. vol. XVI p. 48 at p. 51 (Inscription of Laksmesvara in 1147 A.D.) a general is styled as the restorer of the four sects viz. Salva, Vaisnava, Bauddha and Jaina (catuh-samaya-samuddharanam). (15) A stone inscription from Śrāvasti (modern Sahet-Mahet) of (Vikrama) samvat 1276 (1219-20 A.D.) records that a certain person Vidyādhara of the Vāstavya family established a convent for Buddhist ascetics at the town where the inscription was put up (I. A. Vol. 17 p. 61). (16) The Kumbhakonam Inscription of Sevappa Nāyaka of Tanjore (of 1580 A. D) records the gift of some land in the brahmana village (agrahūra) of Tirumalıarajapuram to an individual attached to a temple of Buddha at Tiruvilandura.1648

^{1647.} There is divergence of views about the date of Sricandra Vide Dr. R C Majmudar's 'History of Bengal', vol. I p 196 (where the beginning of 11th century A D has been accepted by some scholars as the date of Sricandra)

¹⁶⁴⁸ The last two examples indicate that, though Jayacandra of Kanauj was defeated and Kanauj was taken by the Mahomedans in 1193 A D. Buddhism had not become totally extinct in North India in the first quarter of the 13th century A D and that some remnants of Buddhish existed in South India up to the 16th century A D.

The above examples show that in all parts of India in the North as well as in the South, the general rule among kings and their officers was tolerance and care for all faiths. If there was rarely some persecution it was by an individual king or officer or the like. On the other hand, though Aśoka, as an inheritor of the Indian royal tradition of showing honour and respect to all faiths of the subjects irrespective of his own religious views, breathes a striking spirit of tolerance in his 7th and 12th Rock edicts, one cannot help a suspicion that in the later part of his life he seems to gloat over the fact that the gods worshipped as divinities in Jambudvipa had been rendered false and he proudly proclaims that this result is 'not the effect of my greatness but of my zeal'.

It should be noted that even Asoka's ahimsa was at first not thorough-going but qualified. In his first Rock Edict he himself states that in his royal kitchen thousands of animals were killed, but that he had reduced the slaughter to two peacocks a day and one deer (that too rarely) and that even the three animals would not be killed in future (vide C I. I. vol. I. pp 1-2) Whether this last promise was really carried out is not clear. Besides, Aśoka appears to have carried his solicitude for the protection of all life too far and used against human beings his absolute power like a dictator. In the 4th Delhi Topra Pillar edict (C. I. I., vol. I p. 124) he mentions that his revenue settlement officers called Lajūkas had to deal with many hundred thousands of men and were given the discretion to award punishments including the death sentence and that a respite of three days was allowed in which the relatives of the man condemned to death could persuade the laiūkas to grant reprieves. In the fifth Delhi-Topra pillar edict (1bid. pp 125-128), after 26 years of his being anointed he declared that 23 kinds of birds and other animals (such as parrots, mainas, ruddy and wild geese, doves, certain kinds of fish, tortoises) were not to be killed at all, that ewes and sows that were with young or were in milk or the young of these that were less than six months old were also not to be killed; he also forbade the sale of fish on certain Full Moon days and the days previous to them and following them, the castration of bulls, rams, horses on 8th, 14th and 15th days of a month and the branding of horses and bulls on Pusya and Punarvasu and on caturmasis These sweeping regulations must have caused great hardships to poor people and must have assumed the form of rigid coercion more or less, particularly

when all discretion was left to the lajūkas. Later in life Ašoka appears to have tried to undermine the worship of Hindu Gods. In a Rupnath Rock Inscription 1649 published by Buhler in I. A. vol. VI pp. 154–156 it was stated that he had been an upūsalu (lay worshipper of Buddha) for certain years but that he was not zealous, that for a year or more (he had become zealous), that during that (last interval) those gods that were held to be true gods in Jambudvīpa (i e. India) had been made (to be regarded as) false and that was the reward of his zeal. This could be construed as saying that when he became a zealous Buddhist he tried to dissuade people from the

^{1649.} The important words in the Rock edict at Brahmagiri, Rūpanāth and six other places are quoted here (there are slight variations and some omissions in almost all of them here and there); I follow the reading in the Rūpanath text given by Prof Jules Bloch in 'Les Inscriptions d' Asoka' (Paris, 1950 pp 145-148) 'देवानं पिये हेवमाह स्तातिरेकानि अनुतियानि व

⁽स्तानि) य सुमि पाकासके (उपासके !) नो चु वाडि पक्कते (पक्कन्ते) सातिलेके चु छान्छरे य सुप्ति हकं संघ (संघ) उपेते बाढि च पक्कन्ते या इमाय कालाय जम्बुदिपरिस अमिस्सा देवा हुसु ते दानि मिस्सा कटा पद्ममस्स हि एस फले नी च एसा महत्तता पाण्पोत्तरे खुद्मेन पि पद्धामिनेन सिकेपे पिपले पि स्वर्ग आरोहेंचे · (the rest is omitted). The Erragudi copy of the edict reads ' इमिना च कालेन अमिरसा सुनिस्सा देवेहि ते दानि मिसिस्ता । Two others from near by regions read द्वामिना चु काछेन अमिरसा समाना सनिस्सा There are some gaps and mistakes in these and it जम्बद्धीपस्सि मिस्सा देनेहि is not clear what is meant Probably the sentence in these latter may be rendered 'During that time men that were true (or, if we take amissa as equal to amisra 'that were not mixed with gods') became false (or. became mixed with gods '). The words from quantity onwards mean 'this is the result of zeal; it cannot be attained by greatness (by one occupying a merely high position), even for a small person it is possible to reach Mr. Ramchandra Dikshitar in Prof Rangaswami heaven by zeal. Aiyangar Presentation volume pp. 25-30 argues that Asoka was a Hindu as he refers to 'Svarga' This is not correct, since the edict itself recites that Asoka had been a lay follower of Buddha for more than 21 years before the date of the edict and that for more than a year before it he approached the community of monks and became a zealous Buddhist (or probably a monk) Even early Pali works speak of Gods from heaven coming to pay respect to Buddha. So the mere mention of svarga means little. Azoka does not appear to have been trained in the sacred Pali books if any existed. He bardly ever mentions nirvana, never mentions fundamental tencis of early Buddhism (in all his numerous edicts) like the Four Noble Truths or the Noble Eight-fold Path or Pratitya samutpada He was probably attracted by Buddha's teachings on moral endeavour and subscribed to them and dialiked sacrifices. He appears to have believed in gods and desired that the people should strive for heaven (vide 6th Rock edict at Girnar 'प्रा च सम आराध्यन्तु ' and similar words in the 10th Rock edict) This is all that can be said positively.

worship of gods and probably resorted to coercive measures in that direction. This very inscription is C. I I. Vol. I. pp 166 by Dr. Hultzsch and the translation of the important sentence is changed and is given as 'And those gods who during that time had been unmingled with men in Jambudyīpa have now been made (by me) mingled with them; for this is the fruit of zeal'. This new translation cannot be understood as it stands and on p 168 (note 3) Hultzsch admits that this sentence is enigmatical and tries to show that it refers to religious shows at which effigies of gods were shown in order to convey to the subjects that they would be able to reach the abodes of gods by the zealous practice of Buddha's Dhamma. This interpretation is extremely far-fetched and does not fit into the context How can the mere showing of exhibitions and their effect on people be regarded as the reward of zeal? Besides, in the very first rock edict he forbids assemblies orfestival meetings (C. I. I. vol. I. p. 1 'na ca samājo kattavyo) ' except such as were regarded good by Aśoka and asserts that the king sees great evil in festival meetings. Asoka probably followed what Ap. Dh. S. I. 11. 32. 19 provides for Vedic householders 'sabhāh samājāmsca' (varjayet), sabhā meaning 'gambling hall'. The author is not satisfied with the translation of Hultzsch, particularly when Bühler and Senart (pp. 168 note) agreed as to the meaning of the important sentence about gods, 1650

About Emperor Harsa also Yuan Chwang narrates that he caused the use of animal food to cease throughout the five Indies and he prohibited the taking of life under severe penalties (vide Watters' work cited above p. 344) This also must have been felt by large populations as coercive and bordering on persecution. It is remarkable that Harsa felt no qualms in reconciling his zeal for bird and animal life and keeping vast armies on a war footing for conquest.

^{1650.} Hultzsch himself in JRAS for 1910 at p 1310 translated 'those who at that time were (considered by me) the true gods of Jambudvīpa are now considered false (by me). The Mysore texts of this edict insert 'men' after the word 'those'. The great difficulty is about the words 'amissā' and 'missā katā'. They may respectively stand for 'amissā' (not false) or 'amisrā' (not mixed) and 'mrsā krtā' (made false) or misrā krtā (made mixed). There is no word for 'considered'. A straightforward translation of 'amissā devā husu' would be 'that were the true (not false) gods' and of 'missā katā' would be 'were made false'.

A few more striking examples of tolerance of other faiths and spirit of accommodation may be cited here. The great Hindu emperor Samudragupta allowed the building of a splendid three-storied convent at Bodh Gava at the request of the Buddhist king Meghavarna of Ceylon about 360 A.D Vide 'Early History of India' by V. A. Smith (4th ed. of 1924 pp. 303-304), where the historian further points out that when Yuan Chwang visited Bodh Gaya, that convent was a magnificent establishment occupied by a thousand monks. One Muhammad Ufi relates an anecdote Though Mahomed of Gazni plundered Kathiawad and Gujarat several times and desecrated temples, the Hindus made a distinction between such invading and destructive marauders and peaceful Muslims residing in Gujarat for trade. Some Hindus at Cambay, being incited by some Parsis, destroyed a mosque and killed some Muslims. One Muslim that escaped approached the king Siddharana with a petition. The king in disguise inquired into the matter, punished the offenders, gave to the Moslems one lakh of Balotras to rebuild the mosque and presented to the Khatib four articles of dress which were preserved in the mosque. Ufi declares that he never heard a story comparable to this. Vide Elliotts' History of India, Vol. II pp 162-163. The Somanath-Pattan Inscription (in I. A. Vol. XI p. 241) is a most remarkable document. A Muslim ship-owner from Hormuz acquired a piece of land in the sacred town of Somanath-pattan, built a mosque, a house and shops thereon. The purpose of the grant was to confirm the purchase and to provide for the application of the income from the shops for particular Moslem religious festivals to be celebrated by the Shia sailors of Somanath and to provide that the surplus left, if any, was to be made over to the sacred towns of Mecca and Medina. It is dated in four eras, first in Rasul Mahammad samuat i e Hijra year 662, then Vikrama samuat 1320 (1264 A. D.), Valabhi year 915 and Simha samvat 151 (i e. probably of Cālukya Siddharīja Jayasimha). Syriin Christians were given special privileges by generous Hindu rulers in South India.

The above examples will indicate what tolerance was practised by Indian kings and people even in the mediaeval period when Moslem invaders were ruthlessly attacking India. The reader should visualise to himself what the fate of a Hindu would have been, if he had the audicity to build a templi in Christian or Moslem countries or tried to collect materials for

describing the Christian or Muslim religion and ways of life in the 13th century A D. like Alberuni, who was able to collect, without molestation, from Hindu Pandits and people vast material in the 11th century A. D.

How intolerant most Moslem kings were need not be dwelt upon at length. A few typical examples may be cited from the Cambridge History of India, vol. III. Firuz Shah Tughlak burnt a brahmana who tried to propagate his religion (1b1d. p. 187): Sikander Lodi did the same (thid p. 246) to a brahmana and was guilty of wholesale destruction of Hindu temples: Sultan Sikandar of Kashmir offered his subjects the choice between Islam and exile (1bid p 280); Hussein Shah of Bengal sent an army to destroy Navadvīpa and converted many brāhmanas forcibly Jehangir says in his 'Memoirs' (translated by A. Rogers and edited by H Beveridge, 1909 pp. 72-73) that he killed Guru Arun for his religious activities. Vide 'History of Aurangzeb' by Jadunath Sarkar vol III. chap. XXX, pp. 265-279 for firmans to demolish temples such as those of Somanatha. Mathurā, Visvanātha in Benares, Ujjain and Appendix V. It is not necessary to go into great details about the terrible persecution of the Jews in Europe and the horrible deeds of the Inquisition in Europe and particularly in Spain and Portugal. For the persecution and expulsion of the Jews in Europe one may read 'A short History of the Jewish people' by Cecil Roth (MacMillan and Co 1936) chapters XX-XXI References to several works have been given above on p. 933 note 1494 about the Inquisition A few instances of the intolerance and barbarities of that body may be cited The Inquisition staged what are called acts of faith or 'autos-da-fé'. In the presence of thousands of people severe punishment would be inflicted on harmless individuals whose adherence to the Holy Catholic faith was suspected Those that professed penitence were stripped of their property and condemned to imprisonment, deportation or the galley. The minority who refused to confess to their crime of heresy or gloried in their views would be burnt at once. Kings and nobles graced such spectacles by their presence and such spectacles were arranged at the marriages of high persons or on the birth of a son to the reigning monarch During the three centuries when the Inquisition was active, it is found that the it condemned about 375000 people, of whom at least one-tenth were burnt; vide Cecil Roth's 'A short History of the Jewish people' (1936) p 312. Henry C. Lea in 'Superstition and

force' (1878) pp. 426-427 remarks 'The whole system of the Inquisition was such as to render resort to torture inevitable. Its proceedings were secret, the prisoner was carefully kept in ignorance of the exact charges against him and of the evidence on which they were based. He was presumed to be guilty and his judges bent all their energies to force him to confess. To accomplish this no means were too base or too cruel'

It would be instructive to read what the state of Hindus was under Portuguese rule in Goa, where the infamous Inquisition was established in 1560 A D. and continued its intolerant and inhuman work for about 250 years more. Those interested may consult 'A India Portuguesa', vol. II. published by the Portuguese Government in 1923, particularly the paper by Antonio de Noronha, a former judge of the High Court of Goa, on 'Os Indus de Goa' pp. 211-355. A brief passage from a paper on 'Historical essay on the Konkani Language' by J H. de Cunha Rivara, who was General Secretary to the Portuguese Governor General in India from 1855 to 1870 A D., is very illuminating. It runs (original in Portuguese) 'we shall now endeavour to investigate the causes, which under the Portuguese regime, were either favourable or contrary to the culture of the Konkani language. In the first ardour of conquest temples were demolished, all the emblems of the Hindu cult were destroyed and books written in the vernacular tongue, containing or suspected of containing idolatrous precepts and doctrines, were There was even the desire to exterminate all that part of the population which could not be quickly converted; this was the desire not only during that period, but there was also at least one person who, after a lapse of two centuries, advised the Government, with magisterial gravity, to make use of such a policy.' The writer further notes that the long distance of Goa from Portugal, the invincible resistance offered by a numerous population amongst whom the principal castes had reached a very high degree of civilization, obliged the conquerors to abstain from open violence and to prefer indirect, though not gentle, means to achieve the same end 1650a.

Buddha's renunciation of his princely position, of his young wife, child and home, to become a wandering ascette for discovering the path of humanity's deliverance from sorrow and

¹⁶⁵⁰a. Quoted from p 161 of 'The Printing Press in India' by I cel. A K Priolkar (Bombay, 1958)

suffering, his subsequent mortification of the body for years. his retirement into solitude for meditation, his struggle with Māra and final victory, his confidence that he had discovered the path of deliverance, his constant travels from city to city and village to village for about forty-five years for preaching the great truths he had discovered, his crusade against the slaughter of innocent and dumb animals in sacrifices, his passing away full of years and in peace and contentment - this panorama of Buddha's life had a noble grandour and rresistible human appeal Edwin Arnold in his preface (p. XIII) to his poem 'Light of' Asia' (1884) pays a very eloquent tribute to Buddha's teaching in the following words 'this venerable religion which has in it the eternity of an universal hope. the immortality of a boundless love, an indestructible element of faith in the final good and the proudest assertion ever made of human freedom'. The torch lighted by Buddha was kept burning brightly by a succession of able and worthy disciples till Buddhism reached its peak about the 6th century A. D. A reaction had already begun by that time. Substantial changes in the old Buddhist faith had been made, the ideals had changed (as noted above). From being a faith without a clear acceptance of God, many sects arose that had become thoroughly theistic and Buddha himself came to be worshipped as if he were God and the sects were gripped by the strange doctrines and evil practices of Vajrayāna Tantrik sects; and Buddhism became a medley of conflicting dogmas and was riven with dissensions and internecine rupture. Discussions as to doctrines arose immediately on the passing away of Buddha, when the first council was held at Rājagrha, a second one being held about one hundred years later at Vesāli and a third one at Pātaliputra under Asoka In all four councils appear to have been held to secure 'sangiti' (standardized scriptural recital) according to traditions, but no Pali book can be traced back to a time before the council held in the time of Asoka (about 250 B. C.). These discussions and subsequent schisms very much undermined Buddhism. This is mentioned as the first of the four main causes of the decline and disappearance of Buddhism from India by N. J O'Connor.

III From about the end of the 7th century A. D India was divided into several independent but small and warring States. Buddhism could not secure the favour and patronage of powerful and zealous monarchs and emperors like Aśoka, Kaniska and

Harsa Royal patronage on a large scale having ceased from the end of the 7th century A D, except under the Pāla kings of Bengal, Buddhısın began to wane

IV Many of the ablest and most vigorous exponents of Buddhist thought and faith left India for propagating their faith in other lands Dr. Radhakrishnan in 'India and China' names 24 eminent Indian scholars who went to China for propagating Buddha's teachings from the 3rd Century A D to 973 A.D. (p 27) and also mentions a few Chinese scholars who came to India for visiting the sacred places of Buddhism and for making a study of Buddhism on the spot (101d. pp 27-28)

V. The observance of the high moral ideals inculcated by Gotama Buddha must have been found irksome by at least a great many of his followers, and particularly after his personal example ceased to exist In the Mahaparinibbanasutta (S.B.E. vol. XI. p 127) we are told that Subhadda, a barber who had been received in the Order in his old age, addressed the brethren, that deeply mourned and lamented on Buddha's nirvana, as "Weep not, neither lament We are well rid of the Great Samana We used to be annoyed by being told 'this becomes you, this beseems you not ' But now we shall be able to do whatever we like; and what we do not like that we shall not have to do " Ordinary people could not be fed for long on mere repetition of the sermon on suffering being the lot of man, on monasticism, aversion to speculation and on promises of nirvana which was hardly ever well defined Buddha probably meant extinction of egoism and desires, a state of bliss beyond understanding and not a complete annihilation or extinction But this last was the sense in which many under-Buddha had an aversion to speculation and particularly to issues that were irrelevant to his purely moral approach and purpose. Several questions of a metaphysical or speculative character such as whether the world is permanent or not, whether it is finite or not, whether the soul is the same as the body or other than the body, whether the Tathagata continues after death or not were left unanswered by Buddha (vide Manhima Nıkāya, discourse 63, Trenckner's ed vol. I.) Monastaries of Buddhist monks and nuns became in course of time centres of idleness, pleasures and immorality, and of debased practices like those of Vajrayana Tantricism. A well-known scholar like Rāhula Sānkrtyāyana, himself a Buddhist bhiksu, in a paper on 'Vajrayāna and the 81 Siddhas' contributed to the

Journal Asiatique vol. 225 (1934) pp. 209-230 was constrained to say "The monasteries and temples were gorged with riches due to the pious offerings made by the multitudes. The life of the monk became more comfortable than that of the layman. The discipline weakened and many unfit persons entered the community. 1651 The easy life associated with the culture of a sensual art under the cover of cultured paintings, meditation, gods and goddesses must have inclined the minds towards sensuality. Already from the Kathāvatthu 1651a (XXIII. 1) we know that the Andhaka School was disposed to permit Mathuna (copulation) for a special object; it was introduced in the mystic cult Coming to the south, the practice of mantras, psychical practices, and a certain indulgence in the pleasures of sense were united; the Vajrayāna was complete"

VI. Smrtis like those of Gautama (IX. 47, 68, 73), Manu (IV. 176, 206, X. 63), Yājāavalkya (I. 156, III. 312-313), while asking the people to honour the Vedas and learned brāhmanas laid great emphasis 1652 on $Ahims\overline{u}$, truthfulness, charity, self-

^{1651.} A paper of Dr A S. Altekar in the Pro, of the 17th All India Oriental Conference at Ahmedabad, 1953 (pp. 243-246) on Sramanera-Tikā (about 11th century A. D) on the Ācārasāra (laying down rules for Buddhist novices) enumerates admonitions (some of the striking ones being set out on p. 245) that lead to the conclusion that the monks had a fairly large number among them that brought Buddhism into disrepute In the Questions of king Milinda 'S B, E, vol 35 pp. 49-50, to the question why people joined the sangha, Nāgasena gives the significant answer that some joined the sangha for the reason that sorrow may perish and no further sorrow may arise, 'the complete passing away without clinging to the world is our heighest aim', 'some have left the world in terror at the tyranny of kings, some have joined to be safe from being robbed, some harassed by debts and some perhaps to gain a livelihood.

¹⁶⁵¹ a. एकाधिप्पायो मेशुनो घम्मो पटिसेवित्तब्दो ति। आमन्ता। कथावत्थु XXIII, 1.

1652 अर्हिसा सत्यमस्तेयं शौचमिन्द्रियनिग्रहः। एतं सामासिकं धर्मे चातुर्वव्येंऽव्यक्तिस्तः,॥ मन्तु X. 63; अर्हिसा सत्यमस्तेयं शौचमिन्द्रियनिग्रहः। दानं दमो दया क्षान्तिः
सर्वेषा धर्मसाधनम् ॥ या I. 22, अधाष्टावात्मग्रुणाः। त्या सर्वभृतेषु क्षान्तिरनस्या शौचमनापासो मङ्गलमकार्पण्यमस्पृहेति। यस्येते चत्वारिशत्संस्कारा न चाष्टावात्मग्रुणा न स झ्रह्मणः
साग्रुज्यं सालोक्यं गच्छिति। गौतमधर्मस्त्र VIII 23-25 The सत्स्यपुराण (52 8-10)
mentions these very eight as 'इस्ताब्रुणाव्या 'वर्सिन प्रसावात्मग्रुणास्त्रिस्त्रयानत्वेन संस्थिताः।'
मत्त्य 'वेदोऽसिलो धर्ममुल्माचारश्चेव तद्विद्याम् । अष्टावात्मग्रुणास्त्रिस्त्रयानत्वेन संस्थिताः।'
मत्त्य 52, 7-8, the Atrismrti (verses 34-41) mentions almost all these eight and defines them and Haradattā on Gautama quotes eight verses defining these eight gunas धन्मपद verse 131 is 'सुखकामानि मुतानि यो दण्डेन विहिस्ति। अस्तेनी सुलमेसानो पेञ्च सी न लभते सुखस्॥'

restraint, sexual purity and other virtues for persons of all the four varnas, just as Buddha and early Buddhist works did for his followers. The sentiment in Manu V. 45 and the Visnu-dharma-sūtra 51 68 'he, who kills harmless animals (like deer) merely for the sake of his own pleasure, never increases nor prospers in happiness, whether living or after death,' finds a parallel in Dhammapada 131. Even the Ryveda solemnly says (X. 85 1) 'the earth is supported by Truth, the sky is supported by the Sun'. The Mundaka Up. exhorts 'Truth alone is victorious, not falsehood' (III. 1. 6)

VII The strong faith and the alertness of brāhmanas who welded into a coherent whole the Veda, the philosophy of the Upanisads, Yogic practices of a middle path as in GItā VI. 15-17, the doctrine of salvation by faith and bhakti for all preached in the GItā gradually held an irresistible appeal.

In re-orienting their religious outlook and practices to stem the tide of Buddhism and make Hinduism popular, the brahmanas and other leaders of Hindu society of the centuries before and after the Christian era had to make compromises of a far-reaching character: the old Vedic gods (like Indra and Varuna) receded into the background though not totally forgotten, most of the Vedic sacrifices had to be given up, deities like Devi. Ganesa and the Mätrs had to be brought to the front, Paurānika mantras came to be used along with Vedic ones as the mantras even in śrāddha (e g 'dātāro nobhivardhantām' &c. which occurs in Manu III 259, Yāj I. 246, Matsya 16. 49-50, Padma, Srstikhanda 9 117, Kürma II. 22, 60 and other works). Even an early writer like Varahamihira (first half of 6th century A.D.) in describing the Pusya-snana for the king provides ordinary mantras (Br. S 47, 55-70) to be recited by the purchita along with mantras in the Atharvaveda, Rudra (Tai. S. IV. 5. 1-11), the Küsmända mantras (Vaj S XX. 14-16 and others, Br. S. 47.71) and winds up the whole procedure with a well-known Even Apararka (pp. 14-15) had to say Paurānika verse 1653 that in Devapuiz the procedure to be followed is that in the Narasimhapurāna (probably something like the one in chap. 2 of the Narasimha Purana) and in the matter of 'pratistha' (establishment of images) also Pauranika procedure was to be adopted. Besides, emphasis was laid on ahimai, charity (dina),

¹⁶⁵³ यान्तु देशगणा नर्ने पुत्रामादाय पाधिशतः। मिद्धि दशा तु (१९४) पूनराः नमनाय च॥ वृद्धसं 47.49

pilgrimages and vratas and it was said that the latter two were more efficacious than even Vedic sacrifices. These changes seriously reduced the appeal of Buddhism. The puranas contained stories of gods and avatāras that yied in their appeal to the common man with the Buddhist Jataka tales. Kādambarī of Bāna (first half of 7th century A. D) states that the people of Unjayini were fond of the Mahabharata, Puranas Rāmāyana (Mahābhārata-Purāna-Rāmāyanā-nurāginā &c). This is put down as the last of the four causes of the decline of Buddhism by O'Connor.

IX. From about the 7th century A. D. Buddha began to be recognised by Hindus as an avatāra of Visnu and by the 10th century Buddha came to be so recognised throughout India by almost all Hindus

X. Moslem fanaticism and invasions of India delivered the coup de grace (final blow) to Buddhism about and after 1200 A. D. by ruining famous universities like those of Nālandā and Vikramasilā and the monks were mercilessly killed in large numbers. Those who escaped the carnage fled to Tibet and Nepal. H. M. Elliott's History of India (as told by its own historians) vol. II p. 306 contains a passage from Tabakat-i-Nasiri about Bakhtiyar Khilji that states that Bakhtiyar led his army to Behar and ravaged it, that great plunder fell in his hands, that most of the inhabitants of the place were brahmanas with shaven heads, that they were put to death, that large numbers of books were found and it was discovered that the whole fort and city was a place of study (madrasa). The description indicates that brahmana with shaven heads were Buddhist monks

It should not be supposed that Buddhist bhikkhus renounced wealth altogether Vide I. A. vol. VII pp. 254-256 (Inscriptions 2 and 9 at Kuda) where bhiksus and bhiksun s are donors and Cunningam's 'Bhilsa Topes' p. 235-236 where there are many bhiksus and some bhiksunis among donors appeal of the original Buddhism to common men lay in its spirit of self-abnegation, discipline, service and sacrifice.

When Moslem invaders exterminated the monks the laity became bewildered and were either converted to Islam or became slowly absorbed among Hindus. It has been already stated that Buddha himself was against the admission of women into the Sangha but at the persistent requests of his favourite pupi

Ananda he yielded and prophesied that his pure Dharma, which otherwise would have flourished for a thousand years, would not last so long but only for 500 years Vide 'Cullavagga' in SBE, vol XX p 325

The Pātimokkha for monks contains 227 articles which were to be recited twice a month in an assembly of at least four monks and confessions of breaches of the rules had to be made. If one reads the Cullavagga (SBE XX pp 330-340), one may understand how the gatherings of legions of monks and nuns in immense monasteries led in some cases to sapping the ordinary observances of decency and morality. At first the Pātimokkha could be recited to nuns by monks and the nuns could confess their lapses to monks but this had to be changed later and it was laid down that only bhikkhunis could do these things for them. P 333 of the same shows how nuns quarrelled among themselves and came to blows and p 335 narrates how some monks threw dirty water on nuns and how they uncovered their bodies and thighs before nuns.

The author has cited the above as the main causes of the disappearance of Buddhism following what most have written Different writers attach importance to a few of them according to their individual leanings. While prepared to concede that the causes noted above went a long way in bringing about the downfall of Buddhism in India, he feels that the principal cause was that large sections of the Indian population came to realize that the persistent teaching of the world being a place of suffering, of giving up all desires and of monasticism, which were preached by the writers on Buddhism to all and sundry, was too much for ordinary men to attempt and that the Hindu ideal of an ordered scheme of life into four asiamas, 1654 with peculiar duties and rights, particularly the importance attached to the grhasthasrama showed to vast populations that family life properly regulated and disciplined was capable of realizing the highest that man was capable of and that too much insistence on the giving up of all desires (including desire for life) sapped the very stability and continuance of human society and

¹⁶⁵⁴ In 'the Philosophy of the Upanishads' by Deussen tr. by A. S. Geden (1906), the distinguished author after adverting to the piculiar rights and obligations of the four life-stages (asramas) remarks 'the entire history of mankind does not produce much that approaches in grandeur to this thought' (p. 367)

gradually led on to the loss of physical and mental virility to idleness, base morals and race suicide. Manu III. 77-78, VI 89-90, Vas. Dh. S VIII 14-17, Visnu Dh. S. 59, 29, Daksa II. 57-60 and many other sages and writers praise the stage of householder as the highest. 1655 The Mahābhārata (Śānti 270. 6-11) and the Rāmāyana, Ayodhyā 106, 2) and the Purānas also sing the same tune, vide H of Dh. vol. II. pp. 425-426 and 540-541.

Not only Dharmaśāstras but also great poets like Kālidāsa emphasized the supreme importance of the householder's stage to society. In the Raghuvamśa ¹⁶⁵⁶ (V. 10) king Raghu says to a learned brāhmana student 'it is now time for you to pass on to the second stage of life that is capable of being useful to (men of) other āśramas'. In the Śākuntala also Kālidāsa harps on the same idea.

When Buddha came to be worshipped by Buddhists as God. when Buddhists gave up the original characteristic doctrine of the attainment of the peace and bliss of na vana in this very life through the eradication of selfish desires by following the Noble Eightfold Path, when Buddhists adopted the doctrines of bhakti and the ideal they set up was the evolution of bodhisattvas through aeons by good deeds, the line of demarcation between Buddhism and popular Hinduism became very thin and was gradually obliterated. Buddhism disappeared from India because of these deviations from the original doctrine and because the brāhmanas made Hınduism very comprehensive by finding a place for purely monistic idealism, for the worship of several gods, for the performance of vedic or other religious rites (i. e. Karmamārga) as a preparation for higher spiritual life. The ultimate victory of Hinduism shows the strength and comprehensiveness of its religion and philosophy as against the onesidedness of Buddhism in its various phases and its silence on matters of great moment to the inquiring human mind.

^{1655.} Vide यस्मात्त्रयोप्याश्चमिणो ज्ञानेनात्त्रेन चान्वहम् । गृहस्थेनैव धार्यन्ते तस्मा ज्ययेद्याश्चमो गृही ॥ मह्य III. 78. मार्कण्डेयपुराण २६ ३-६ 'वत्स गार्हस्थ्यमास्याय नरः सर्वमिदं जगत् । पुल्णाति तेन लोकांश्च स जयस्यभिवाञ्चितात् ॥ पितरो हानयो देवा भूतानि मह्यजात्त्तथा । कृमिकीटयतङ्गाश्च वयात्ति पश्चोऽहुराः ॥ गृहस्थहुपजीवन्ति तत्तस्तृप्तिं प्रयान्ति च । सुखं चास्य निर्पाक्षत्ते अपि नो दास्यतीति वे ॥ सर्वस्याधारभूतेयं वत्स घेद्यस्रयीमयी ॥ (chap. 29.3-6 of B. I. edition).

^{1656.} अपि प्रसन्नेन महर्षिणा त्वं सम्यन्त्रिनी<u>याञ्चमता ग्रहाय</u>। कालो ह्ययं संक्रमितुं द्वितीयं <u>सर्वोपकारक्षममाश्रमं ते ॥ रधुवंश</u> V. 10, अध्याक्रान्ता वसतिरस्रमा<u>प्याश्रमे सर्वभोग्ये ।</u> शाकुन्तल I

The Purānas and Dharmasāstras put so much emphasis on ahimsā that millions of people in India became and are even now strict vegetarians not only among brahmanas, but also among vaisvas and sūdras, while it appears that Buddhists all over the world are not strict vegetarians at all. To day very few of the ideal virtues that Buddhism set before even laymen are a matter of endeavour for most Buddhists in all lands. In spite of Buddha's crusade against animal sacrifices and Asoka's drastic measures to eliminate the killing and cruelty to birds and beasts, it is found that some Vedic sacrifices (including animal sacrifices) continued to be performed by Indian kings and common people during the centuries before and after the Christian era. A few examples are cited here (1) Senāpati Pusyamitra (about 150 B C) performed two Asyamedhas (E I. vol. XX. pp 54-58, Hariyamśa III. 2. 35 ff 1657 (which latter speaks of Senānī Kāśyapa-dvija as performer of Aśvamedha in Kalı age) and Kālıdāsa's Mālavıkāgnımıtra (Act V) where he is spoken of as engaged in Rajasūva sacrifice: (2) Kharavela, king of Kalinga and a Jain, performed in the 6th year of his reign a Rājasūya (E I. XX p. 79); (3) Bhavanāga of the Bhāraśiva lineage (about 200 A.D) glorified as the performer of ten Asvamedhas in Vākātaka plates (Chammak plate of Vākātaka Pravarasena II in Gupta Inscriptions No. 55 pp. 236-237, 1658 and in Poons plates of Prabhāvatīguptā, the chief queen of Vākātaka Rudrasena II in E. I. vol. XV. p. 39); (4) Vākātaka emperor Pravarasena I. (about 250 A.D.) is described as the daughter's son of Bhayanaga and as the performer of four Asyamedhas (in E I. vol. XV. p. 39); (5) The great Gupta emperor Samudragupta (about 325-370 A. D) is described in some Gupta Inscriptions as one who restored the Asyamedha sacrifice that had long been in abeyance (vide Bilsad Stone inscription in Gupta Inscriptions No. 10 at p. 42 and the Bihar Stone pillar Inscription of Skandagupta, ibid,

^{1657.} The reference from क्रिक्त is as follows. औद्भिजो भविता कश्चिरसेनानीः कादयमे द्विज । अन्वमेध कलिख्मे पुन. परमाहरिज्यति ॥ तथुमे तत्कुलीनश्च राजस्यमपि क्रतुम्। आहरिज्यति राजेन्द्व न्वेतप्रहमिनान्तकः ॥ भविज्यपर्व २ ४०-४१. Here it is said that a सेनापति of the काद्रयपमीच will perform an अन्वमेध in कलिख्न and a scion of his family will perform राजस्य

¹⁶⁵⁸ About Bhavanāga it is said 'असभारसनिनिश्तितशिषालिङ्गोग्रहनशिब-स्परितृष्ट-सम्रत्यादित-राजवशाना पराक्रमाधिगतभागीरत्यमलजलमूर्याभिषिक्तानां वृज्ञान्धः मेदानश्चथस्नाताना भारशियाना महाराजभीभनाग-दोषिन्स्यः बाजादकाना महाराजभीकदः सनस्य &c '। Gupta Inscriptions No. 55 pp. 236–237.

No. 12 at p. 51); (6) The Pallava King Sivaskanda-varman (about 300-350 A.D.) is praised as the performer of Agnistoma. Valanava and Asyamedha (E. I. vol. I p. 2 at p. 5): (7) The Pallava king Simhayarman is spoken of as the performer of several Asvamedhas (Pikira grant in E. I. vol. VIII. p. 159 at p. 162); (8) Cālukya king Pulakeśi I (about 570 A. D.) performed Asvamedha (Aihole Inscription of saka 536 in E. I. vol. VI p. 1); (9) Cālukya king Pulakesi II performed Asvamedha (Aihole Ins. of saka 536 in E. I. vol. VI. p. 1 and Talamanchi plates of Vikramāditya I, in E, I, vol. IX p 98 in A. D. 660): (10) the Visnukundin Mādhava-varmā (a relative of the Vākātaka family) is recorded (in E.I. vol IV. p. 196) as having performed eleven Asvamedhas, one thousand Agni-stomas, Paundarīka. 1689 Purusamedha, Vājapeya, Sodasin and Rājasūya (about the 7th or 8th century A.D.). For other instances of Asvamedhas performed by kings, vide H. of Dh. vol. II. DD. 1238-39.

It may be noted that even learned brahmanas sometimes performed eleborate Vedic sacrifices For example, the fifth in ascent from Bhavabhūti performed a Vājapeya at Padmapura in Daksināpatha. In the Vājapeya, seventeen was a characteristic number and seventeen animals were to be sacrificed therein. Vide for description of Vajapeya, H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 1206-1212. As Bhavabhūti flourished in the first half of the 8th century A. D. the fifth in ascent from him who performed Vājapeya must have flourished about a hundred years before him i. e in the first half of the 7th century A D.

In these days it has become a fashion to praise Buddha and his doctrines to the skies and to disparage Hinduism by making unfair comparisons between the original doctrines of Buddha with the present practices and shortcomings of Hindu society The present author has to enter a strong protest against this If a fair comparison is to be made it should be made between the later phases of Buddhism and the present practices of professed Buddhists on the one hand and modern phases and practices of Hinduism on the other The Upanisads had a nobler philosophy than that of Gautama the Buddha, the latter merely based his doctrines on the philosophy of the Upanisads If Hinduism decayed in course of time and exhi-

^{1659.} It is possible that this statement about the performance of so many solemn vedic sacrifices is boastful and evaggerated

bited bad tendencies, the same or worse was the case with later Buddhism which gave up the noble but human Buddha, made him a god, worshipped his images and ran wild with such hideous practices as those of Vajrayana. As a counterblast to what modern encomiasts often say about Buddhism the present author will quote a strongly-worded (but not unjust) passage from Swami Vivekānanda's lecture on "The sages of India" (Complete Works, volume III p 248-268, 7th ed. of 1953 published at Mavavati. Almora) "The earlier Buddhists in their rage against the killing of animals had denounced the sacrifices of the Vedas; and these sacrifices used to be held in every house These sacrifices were obliterated and in their place came gorgeous temples, gorgeous ceremonies and gorgeous priests and all that you see in India in modern times I smile when I read books written by some modern people who ought to know better that the Buddha was the destroyer of Brahmanical idolatry. Little do they know that Buddhism created brahmanism and idolatry in India. .. Thus in spite of the preaching of mercy to animals, in spite of the sublime ethical religion, in spite of the hair-splitting discussions about the existence or non-existence of a permanent soul, the whole building of Buddhism tumbled down piecemeal; and the run was simply hideous. neither the time nor the inclination to describe to you the hideousness that came in the wake of Buddhism. The most hideous ceremonies, the most horrible, the most obscene books that human hands ever wrote or the human brain ever conceived, the most bestial forms that ever passed under the name of religion have all been the creation of degraded Buddhism" (pp 264-265)

SECTION VI

CHAPTER XXVI

Tantrik doctrines and Dharmasastra

īn H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 739 while dealing with the worship of Durgā, who is also worshipped as Śakti (cosmic power or energy), it was stated that the influence of Śākta worship has been great throughout India and a promise was given that a brief treatment of Śāktism would follow in a later volume. It is now time to deal with Śāktas and Tantras, which exercised some influence over the Purānas and directly and through the Purānas over Indian religious ritual and practices in the medieval ages.

There is a vast literature on Tantras, published and unpublished There are Buddhist Tantras, Hindu Trantras and Jaina Tantras. There is a philosophical or spiritual side in some of the Tantras which has not been much studied except by Arthur Avalon, B Bhattacharya and a few others. In the popular mind Tantras have been associated with the worship of Śakti (Goddess Kālī), with mudrās, mantras, mandalas, the five makānas, the Daksinamārga and the Vāma-mārga, and magic practices for acquiring supernatural powers. All that can and will be attempted here is to trace briefly the origin of Śāktism and Tantra and point out some of the ways in which tantra has entered into Hindu ritual directly and indirectly through the Purānas.

The Amarakośa states that tant:a means 'principal matter or part', 'siddhānta' (i. e. doctrine or śāstra), a loom or paraphernalia But it does not state that tantra means a certain peculiar class of works. Therefore, the inference is not altogether unjustifiable that in its time works bearing the peculiar character of what are called Tantras were either not composed or had not at least attained great vogue. In Rg. X. 71. 9 the word 'tantra' occurs and appears to mean a loom 'These ignorant 1660 men do not move about lower down (in this world) nor in a higher

^{1660.} इमें वेर्ड्योक्ट् न परखरित न नाह्मणासी न सुतेकरासः। त एते वाचमभिषय पापपा सिरीस्तन्त्र तन्त्रते अञ्जज्ञत्यः॥ द्वर, X 71 9. सायण explains सिरीः सीरिणो भूत्या तन्त्रं कुपिलक्षणं तन्त्रते विस्तारयन्ति कुर्वन्तीत्यर्थः।

world, being neither (learned) brahmanas nor some-extracting priests, these resort to speech (of a vile kind) and with that vile speech they engage in handling ploughs and looms' Atharvaveda (X 7 42) ('tantram-eke yuvati virupe abhvākrāmam vayatah san-mayūkham') employs the word tantra in the same sense and so does the Tai Br., II 5, 5, 3 in a closely sımilar passage Pānini (V. 2 70) derives the word 'tantraka' (a cloth that is recently taken away from a loom) from 'tantra'. The Ap. Sr employs the word 1661 tantra in the sense of 'procedure containing many parts'. The San. Sr. applies the word tantra to what being once done serves the purpose of many other actions. The Mahabhasva on Panini IV. 2 60 and Vartika 'sarvasaderdvigośca lah' cites 'sarvatantrah' and 'dvitantrah' as examples meaning 'one who has studied all the tantras' or 'one who has studied two tantias', tantra probably meaning 'siddhanta'. In Yāj. I. 228 ('tantram va vaisva devikam') the word tantra is employed in the sense in which the commentary on the San Sr. The 15th adhikarana of Kautilva's Arthasastra bears the title 'Tantrayukti' (vide J. O. R., Madras, vol. 4, 1930, p. 82 ff) meaning the main canons or propositions or principles of exposition of a sastra. Caraka (Siddhisthana, chap 12,40-45) also speaks of '36 tantrasya yuktayah' and Susruta (Uttaratantra, chap. 65) names 32 tantravuktis. In Brhaspati and Kātyāyana and in the Bhāgayata the word tantra is employed in the sense of 'doctrine' or 'sastra'. Sabara in his bhūsya on Jaimini XI. I. 1 remarks that when any thing or act. once done. becomes useful in several 1662 matters, that is called 'tantra'. Sankarācārya in several passages of his bhāsya on the Vedīntasūtras speaks of the Sānkhya system as sīnkhya-tantra and of the Pürvamimämsä as 'prathama-tantra'. 1663 In the Kälikä-

¹⁶⁶¹ उदिन आदिरथे पोर्णनास्यास्तन्य प्रक्रमयति प्राग्नुद्वयादमात्रास्यायाः । आप औ I 151, on which the com. 52/9 'अङ्गसन्द्वायस्तन्यम् । तत्यक्रमयति यजमानीऽध्यर्षणा । 'तन्त्रद्वस्यण तत्।' शा औ I 16 6 on which the com says 'यस्सक्ररकृत बहुनानुष्-रस्ति तत्त्वन्यनिन्युस्यते।'

^{1662.} आस्माये न्युतिनन्त्रे च लोकाचारे च स्रिनि । इरीरार्थ स्मृता जाया पुण्यापुण्यः फलें समा। मुहम्पति q by अपरार्क p 710, दायमाग XI. 1 2 p. 119, जुङ्क on मह IX 187, आस्मानन्त्रे सु यजीक तर्द्ध्यांपारतित्रक्षम् । q from कारवायन by रमृतिच p 5, तत्त्र सायतमाच्छ नेवकर्य क्रमणी यत. । भागत I 3 8 Here the पश्चरात्र 19 called मानानतन्त्र कर्म मृत्यतं प्रमासुपकर्राति सत्तन्त्रमिन्युच्यतं यथा बहुना ब्राह्मणाना मध्य मृत्य क्षारात्र भाग्य on ज XI 4 1

¹⁶⁶³ On र मु II 2 1 t.se Sankara-bhāsya .a.s. 'तवावि महाजनगरियधी-तानि महान्ति माहणादितन्त्राणि . सम्यग्दर्शनाणीपादेषानीरथपेदा &c.', vide also नाडव (Continued on next page)

purāna (87.130) the works of Uśanas and Brhaspati on Rājanīti (the science of statecraft) are called tantras and in 92.2 the Visnudharmottara-purāna is called tantra. In all these cases the peculiar meaning attached to the word tantra-in medieval times does not occur.

It is difficult to determine the exact ¹⁶⁶⁴ time when the word tantra came to be employed in the sense in which it is used in the so-called tantra literature nor is it possible to decide what people first introduced tantra principles and practices or where they first arose M. M. Haraprasad Shastri was inclined to hold that the principles and practices of tantra came to India from outside and he relies mainly on a verse in the Kubjikāmatatantra ¹⁶⁶⁵

in three out of the eight mss. on which the edition is based Prof. Choudhuri further asserts that the guru's position 'in tantra' is neither Vaidika nor Paurāṇika Here he is wrong. The Vidyāsūkia in Nirukta (II. 4) and the passage of the Svetāśvatara Upanisad quoted in note 1540 make the Vaidika position of the guru clear. As for the Paurānika position of guru, vide the Lingapurāna (quoted in note 1718 below) and Devibhāgavata (XI. I. 49 'Gurur-brahmā' &c in the same note) and the verse 'परंप देवे परा भक्तिपंघा देवे तथा गुरी ॥ तर्पति काियता हाथा: मकाशन्ते महासमन:॥' स्वेतास्वर उप VI. 23 and आग्रीस. 392 6

⁽Continued from last page)

on V.S. II 1 1, and II. 4 9 (where a half verse from सांख्यकारिका 29 'सामान्य-करणबृत्ति भाणाद्या वायन पञ्च is cited as belonging to तन्त्रान्तर. The सांख्यकारिका itself calls सांख्य system 'Tantra' in verse 70 'tena ca bahudhā kriam tantram'. On वे सू III. 3, 53 the पूर्वभीमांसासूत्र is referred to as 'प्रथमे तन्त्रे' in the भाष्य.

^{1664.} Vide Dr. B. Bhattacharya's Intro to Buddhist Esoterism (p. 43), which work will be referred to hereafter as B. E

¹⁶⁶⁵ I. H Q. Vol. IX p. 358 f n 'गच्छ त्वं भारते वर्षे अधिकाराय सर्वतः। पीठोपपीठक्षेत्रेय कुर सहिमनेकथा॥'. Vide H. P. Sastri's Cat. of Palm-leaf mss. in Nepal Durbar Library (Calcutta, 1905), Preface p. LXXIX, for the verse in Kubjikamata, the ms. of which is written in late Gupta characters (i. e. about 7th century A. D.). Dr. B. Bhattacharya appears to hold the same opinion (p 43 of the work cited in the preceding note). Arthur Avalon in Mahanirvanatantra (3rd ed. of 1953 p. 560) holds that tantra was brought ınto India from Chaldea or Sakadvīpa. In 'Modern Review' for 1934 pp. 150-156 Prof. N. N. Choudhur: tries to prove that Indian tantricism has its origin in the Bon religion of Tibet. He relies on the Tibetan tradition of Asanga having introduced Tantricism in India. But that tradition is mainly based on Taranath's History of Buddhism. Lama Taranath was born in 1573 A. D. (1575 A. D. according to some) and completed his history in 1608 1. c. he wrote about twelve hundred years after Asanga. Choudhurs further relies on the colophon to Ekajatāsādhana (in Sādhanamālā No. 127, आर्यनामार्श्वनपादैगोंदेषु उद्भुतमिति). But this sentence is dropped

which says 'go thou to the country of Bharata for exercising dominance on all sides and bring about new creation in various ways in pithas, upapithas and ksetras'. With all respect to that great scholar it has to be said that the passage does not affirm that tantra principles were then unknowe in India and were first introduced in Bhārata after that verse was uttered. passage could very well have been uttered even when tantra practices had already taken hold of people's minds in India and the reference to pithas and ksetras (in the verse) clearly indicates that what is meant is only a record of an existing fact. just as the Puranas speak in a prophetic vein about what is past. It is possible that a few mystic practices of $Kul\bar{a}c\bar{a}_{l}a$ or $V\bar{a}m\bar{a}c\bar{a}_{l}a$ owe their origin to foreign elements or sources verse on which M. M. H. P Shastri relied is far too inadequate for proving this. The Rudrayamala (ed. by Jivananda, 1892) contains a panegyric of the Atharvaveda (17th patala, verse 4), saying that all gods, all beings (on land, in water and air), all sages. Kāmavidyā and Mahāvidyā dwell in it: verses 10-17 dwell upon the mysterious Kundalını, verses 31 ff dilate upon Yogic practices and six cake as in the body, verses 51-53 mention Kāmarūpa, Jālandhara, Pūrnagiri, Uddiyāna, and a few others as Kālikā pīthas. Bagchi (in 'Studies in Tantra' pp 45-55) adduces some evidence of foreign elements in the tantrik doctrines. The Rudrayāmala 1666 (17th Patala, verses 119-125) states that Mahāvidvā appeared to sage Vasistha and asked him to go to Cinadesa and Buddha, who is said to have taught Vasistha the Kaula path, Yoga practices for the attainment of siddhis (extraordinary powers) and directed him to make use of five makāras (madua &c.) in his sādhanā for becoming a perfect Yogin. All this shows that pithas flourished in India when the Rudrayamala was composed, that Tantrik practices had grown in China or Tibet and that Buddha was deemed to have taught those practices, which is a libel and a vile travesty of Buddha's noble teaching.

^{1666.} य. छुलार्थी सिद्धमन्त्री भवेदाचारनिर्मलः। प्रामोति साघन एण्य वेदानामप्य-गोचरस्॥ बौद्धदेशेऽपर्यवेदे महाचीने सदा व्रज ॥ ""मास्कुलज्ञो महर्षे त्वं महासिद्धो भविष्यति ॥ "ततो द्युनिवरः छुला महाविद्यासरस्वतीस् । जगाम चीनभूमो च यत्र छुद्ध प्रतिष्ठति ॥ " उद्ध खवाच । वसिष्ठ शृणु वश्यामि कुलमार्गमञ्जसम् । येन विज्ञान(त १)मात्रेण सदस्यी भवेव्य्रणात् ॥ "अतः कुलं समाधित्य सर्वसिद्धीश्यरो भव ॥ मासेनाकर्षणं सिद्धिद्धिमासे वाक्यतिभवत् । "शिक्तं विना ज्ञिवोऽज्ञक्तः किमन्ये जब्बद्धयः । इत्युक्त्वा बुद्धक्यी च कार्यामास साधनस् ॥ कुरु विम महाज्ञाक्तिसेवन मद्यसाधनम् । "मिद्रसाधनं कर्ष्वं जगाम कुलमण्डले । मद्य मासे तथा मत्स्यं ग्रह्म मैथुनमेव च ॥ युनः युन साधियत्वा पूर्णयोगी बभूव स ॥ सद्भयामल, 17th पटल verses 121–123, 125, 135, 152–153, 157–158, 160–161,

Magic spells are found in plenty in the Atharvaveda and some mystic words or syllables are used even in the Reveda: e.g. the word 'vasat' occurs in Rg. VII. 99.7, VII. 100.7 and other verses and the word svāhā 1667 occurs over a dozen times in the Reveda (e.g. in I. 13.12, V. 5, 11, VII. 2.11). A sleepinducing spell occurs in Rg. VII. 55. 5-8,1668 which verses occur also in the Atharvaveda IV. 5. 6, 5, 1, 3 and which spell was probably employed by a purchita to put to sleep some noble man complaining of sleeplessness at night. Some Western scholars have held that this hymn is a lover's charm for a clandestine meeting with his lady-love. But the whole hymn has hardly any words of love in it and the author is not able to accept that theory as proved. Rgveda X. 145 is a hymn to be employed against a co-wife, the first verse of which is 'I dig up this herb. which is a most powerful creeper, by which (a woman) injures her co-wife and by which she secures her husband (to herself alone)'

The Reveda frequently mentions magicians who appear to have been mostly non-Arvans described as adeva (godless). antiadera (worshipping false gods), sisnadeva (lecherous, Rg VII. 21. 5, X. 99. 3). For reasons of space, it is not possible to go into great details. Tantrik works describe the six cruel acts which will be dealt with later on. In the Vedic times it was supposed that some wicked people could by charms and incantations bring about the death of men and animals or make them Two long hymns (VII. 104 and X. 87 both containing 25 verses) are enough to show how the Rgveda people were afraid of black magic. Both hymns are full of the words 'Yātudhāna' (one who employs black magic) and 'raksas' (devil or evil spirit), the word yatu being the same as ' $j\bar{a}du$ ' (employed in Marathi and other regional Indian languages) There were female evil spirits also called 'piśāci' (Rg. I. 133. 5 'O Indra! destroy the reddish very powerful pisaci and kill all evil spirits)'. A few verses from the Rgveda may be translated

^{1667.} In the Tantras the word 'svāhā' in mantras is indicated by such a word as 'wife of Agni'. Vide Tāntrik Texts, vol 7. where स्वाहा is called बद्धिजाया, ज्वलनवञ्जभा and द्विड, also शारदातिलक्ष VI. 62-63.

^{1668.} सस्तु माता सस्तु पिता सस्तु श्वा सस्तु विश्वपंतिः । ससन्तु सर्वे ज्ञातयः सस्त्वय-माभितो जनः ॥ य आस्ते यश्च चरति यश्च पश्यति नो जनः । तेषां सं हन्मी अक्षाणि यथेदं हर्न्ये -तथा। ""प्रोडेशया वहोशया नारीर्यास्तल्पशीवरीः । स्त्रियो याः पुण्यगन्धास्ताः सर्वाः स्वापयामाति ॥ ऋ VII. 55. 5-8

'May I (Vasistha) die this very day if I be a practitioner of black magic or if I have scorched the life of any person: may he, who falsely called me a practitioner of black magic, lose his ten sons; may Indra kill with a terrible weapon him who called me vātudhāna, though I am not so and who, being himself a raksas, declares himself to be pure; may he, being most wretched, fall below all beings (Rg. VII. 104. 15-16); O Maruts! may you spread in different places among the people, and wish to seize the devils and pound to dust the devils (raksasah) who assuming the form of birds fly about at night and who, when the sacrifice is shining, produce deadly obstacles (ibid. verse 18): O Indra! kill the male practitioner of black magic and also the female (magician) that destroys with wiles: may the (devils) worshippers of foolish deities perish with their necks cut off; and may they not be able to see the sun rising (Rg. VII 104. 24): O Agni, split the skin of the yatudhana, may thy destructive bolt kill him by its heat; O Jatavedas! shatter his joints, may some carnivorous beast longing for flesh seek (devour) the broken (yātudhāna), O Agni! shatter the yātudhānas by your heat, and the rakeas by your glow and destroy the worshippers of foolish gods ($m\bar{u}radev\bar{u}n$) and, shining towards those that feed on the lives of men (asutrpah), shatter them' (Rg. X. 87. 5 and 14)

In the Ap. Gr. (III. 9. 5-8) it is said that the plant used by the co-wife is called Pāthā and the hymn (Rg. X 145) is employed for securing domination over the husband and for harming a co-wife Rg I 191 is a charm against various poisons In the Atharvaveda there are numerous hymns styled 'satrunāsana' (destructive of enemies) e g. II. 12-24, III. 6, IV. 3 and 40, V 8, VI. 6, 65-67 and 134 Atharva II. 11 is styled 'Krtyā-dūsana' (counteracting black magio). A few of the typical verses may be cited 1669 here. 'Employ magic spells against him, who hates us and whom we hate; attain (i. e. dominate) him who is superior and surpass him who is (our) equal'; 'O Soma! strike in the mouth with your thunderbolt him who speaks evil of us that speak what is good and may he, being crushed, run away'. The Sukranītsāra (ed. by G. Oppert, 1882) provides that the Tantras are the Upaveda of the

^{1669.} प्रति तमभि चर योऽस्माच् द्वेष्टि य वर्षे द्विष्म । आच्छि श्रेयासमिति समं क्रम॥ अथर्षे II 11 3; यो न सोम सुरुसिनो दुःशंस आदिदेशति । चन्त्रेणास्य सुस्रे जिह स सिपेटो अपायति ॥ अथर्षे VI 6 2; विविधोपास्यमन्त्राणां प्रयोगाः सुविभेदतः । कथिताः सोपसहारा-सतद्वर्मनियमैश्र षद् । अथर्षणा चोपवेदस्तन्त्रस्यः स एव हि ॥ श्रुक्रनीतिसार IV, 3. 39.

Atharvaveda. Atharva III. 25 and VI. 130 are spells respectively employed by a man and a woman to soften the heart of the nerson loved: Atharva II. 30 and 31 are charms for driving away or destroying worms that cause diseases and V. 36 is a charm against piśacas (goblins) 1670 The sound 'phat' occurs in Vai. S. In the An. Sr. Sutra phat is employed in offering Soma stalks in ablucara (employment of spells for a malevolent purpose). Phat is a sound frequently employed in the worship of Devi in Tantra works. But no direct connection or line of evolution from the Atharvaveda to the Tantras can be traced. The Tattvasangraha of Śantaraksita (705-762 A.D.) connects even Buddha with magic practices. It says 'all wise men declare that it is dharma from which results worldly prosperity and the highest beatitude. Seen results such as intelligence, health, rulership are produced by properly observing the rules about mantras, yoga and the like declared by him (i. e. 1671 by Buddha)'. But one cannot place implicit reliance on any writer's statement made more than a thousand years after the event or person referred to in it. There are, however, stories in the Pali sacred books about the cultivation of magic powers among Buddha's own disciples, e. g. the story of Bhāradvāja 1672 who rose in the air for a bowl carved out of very fragrant

¹⁶⁷⁰ For the sound 'phat ' in Durgā-pūjā, vide p. 161 n. 416; उपरि युता अङ्गेन हतोऽसौ फद प्राणाय त्वा न्यानाय त्वा । वाज. सं VII. 3. on which the com. महीधर explains 'उपरि आगतेन अङ्गेन आमर्देन असाविति देवद्सादिनामनिर्देशः । असौ देपी हतो निहतः सन् फट् विशीणों भवतु । ''स्वाहाकारस्थाने फडिति अभिन्दारे प्रयुज्यते ।

¹⁶⁷¹ यतोऽस्युत्यनिष्यत्तिर्यते निःश्रेयसस्य च। स धर्म उच्यते ताद्दस् सर्वेरेव विचक्षणैः॥ तदुक्तमण्द्रणीयादिवियमादिधिवत्कृतात् । प्रज्ञारोज्यविश्वतादि दृष्टधर्मीपि जायते॥ तत्त्वसंग्रह p 905, कमलशील (pupil of शान्तरिक्ता) comments 'तेन भगवतोक्तश्चासौ मन्त्र-योगादिनियमश्चेति विग्रहः। योगः समाधिः। आदिशब्देन सद्भाग्डलादिपरिग्रहः। ... The first verse appears to have been based on the वेशेपिकस्त्र I 1. 1-2 'अथातो धर्म व्याख्यास्यासः। यतोऽस्युद्यनि श्रेयसितिद्धः स धर्मः। ... The word अस्युद्य has been variously interpreted by the commentators of Kanāda's sūtra, but gene rally अस्युद्य means 'wordly happiness or prosperity'; compare भरत's नाद्यशास्त्र 'विवाहमसवादाहमनीदास्युद्यगदियु। विनोद्करणं चैव सुत्रमेतस्प्रक्तीतितम्॥ 'chap. IV. 263 (G.OS). Some take it to mean स्वर्ग in contradistinction to नि श्रेयस (which means मोक्ष or अमृतत्व).

¹⁶⁷² The story of Pundola Bhāradvāja, a disciple of the Blessed One (Buddha) rising in the air, taking the bowl and going thrice round the city of Rājagrha in the air, is narrated in Cullavagga (S B. E Vol. XX pp 78 ff). But it is said there that Buddha rebuked his disciple, ordered that bowl to be broken and reduced to powder.

sandalwood. Further, there is a story of miraculous powers possessed by all the members of the family of a layman called Mendaka (viz. himself, his wife, son and daughter-in law) in Mahāvagga VI. 34. 1 ff. (S. B. E. vol. XVII. pp 121 ff) Here again we have to remember that there is nothing in the Trutaka or any early Buddhist document to prove that Buddha or his first disciples had anything to do with mudras, mantras and mandalas and that neither Yuan Chwang nor I-tsing refers to any Tantras, though both of them refer to the Buddhist monasteries as centres of Buddhist culture (vide Dr. De in N. I A. vol. I pp. 1 ff). In the introduction to Sadhanamala (vol. II. LXVIII.) Dr. Bhattacharya relies upon the words 'Sugatopadistam' and 'Sugataih' occurring on pp. 334-335 of the Sādhanamālā for holding that Buddha himself must have promulgated some mantras. There are two weighty objections viz. 'Sugataih' does not always mean Buddha, but means also 'followers of Buddha' and secondly, just as most Hindu Tantras are dialogues between Siva and Pārvati, so later Buddhist writers might have easily said that they are quoting the Buddha; the same objection applies to Kamalasila's remarks quoted by Dr. Bhattacharya, as Kamalasila and his teacher flourished about 1200 years after Buddha.

The question whether Buddhist Tantras were prior to Hindu Tantras or vice versa is difficult to decide. It appears probable that both arose nearly about the same time. Vide 'The Śāktas' by E. A Payne pp. 72-74 for discussion of views. In the Sādhanamālā (a Vajrayāna work consisting of 312 small works composed according to Dr. Bhattacharya from the 3rd century A D to 12th century A D.) four pīthas (chief centres) of Vajrayāna 1673 are mentioned viz. Kāmākhyā, Sirihatta (or Śrihatta), Pūrnagiri and Uddiyāna. The first two are respec-

^{1673.} It appears that in some Tantra works five Pithas are named (according to H P Sastri's Cat. of Nepal Palm-leaf and selected paper mss. in the Nepal Durbar Library, Calcutta, 1905, p LXXX) viz Odiyāna (in Orissa, says H P Sastri), Jāla (in Jalandar), Pūrna Matanga in Śriśaila and Kāmākhyā·in Assam The very fact that five pīthas are named in the work supposed to be delivered by Śiva shows beyond doubt that before the work Tāntrism had spread in all parts of India The Sādhanamālā (vol II pp. 453 and 455) mentions Uddiyāna, Pūrnagiri, Kāmākhyā and Sirihatta, the Kulacūdāmanitantra (Tāntrik texts, Vol. IV) in 6th patala verses 3-7) refers to five pīthas viz. Uddiyāna, Kāmarūpa, Kāmākhyā, Jālandhara and

tively identified with Kāmākhyā or Kāmarūpa (three miles from Ganhati) and modern Sylhet. The exact situation of the other two is a controversial matter. M. M. H. P. Shastri identified Uddivana (which is most frequently mentioned as a pitha) with Orissa. His son Dr B Bhattacharya thinks it most probable that Vairavana Tantricism arose in Uddivana (p. 46 of Intro. to B. E.). Dr. Bagchi in 'Studies in the Tantras' pp. 37-40 furnishes good grounds for holding that Uddivana was near Swat valley in N. W. India and Grousset 'In the footsteps of Buddha' pp. 109-110 holds the same view. The Barhaspatyasūtra (ed. by F. W. Thomas) names eight Śāktaksetras (III. 123-124). In his Intro. to Sādhanamālā (vol. II. p. LXXVIII) Dr Bhattacharya holds that the Hindu tantras were introduced on the model of the Buddhist tantras. But Winternitz (in 'History of Indian Literature', Eng. tr., vol. II. p. 401) states that this view of Dr. Bhattacharya is contrary to the facts and the present writer agrees with this view.

Though Dr. Bhattacharya admits that Buddhism and Jainism exploited Hindu gods in the earlier period, he asserts (on p. 147 of his Intro. to B E.) that 'it is possible to declare without fear of contradiction that the Buddhists were the first to introduce the Tantras in their religion and that the Hindus borrowed them from the Buddhists in later times'. It is no honour to ancient Hindus to be called the pioneers in the practice of black magic. But scholars have to seek truth irrespective of the question of honour or dishonour. Very weighty arguments are advanced by Valleé Poussin (in E. R. E. vol XII. p 193), Winternitz and Payne (on 'Saktas p 73) for the opposite view and the present author agrees with them. Hundreds of works were translated from Sanskrit into Tibetan and Chinese. The traffic of borrowing has been all one way from India to Tibet and China. Vide a paper on 'China's debt to India' by Prof. Liang Chi Chao in Visvabhāratī Quarterly, vol. II. for 1924-25 pp 251-261, where it is stated that 24 Hindu scholars from A. D 67 to 789 came to China, besides 13 from Kashmir and that the Chinese scholars that went to India for study from 265-790 A. D. numbered 187 of which the names of 105 can be ascertained.

⁽Continued from last page)

Pürnagırı (vide also 3rd patala, 59-61). In I H. Q vol. XI. pp 142-144 it is argued that Uddiyana and Sahore are in Bengal. The Devibhagavata (VII. 30 55-80) names over one hundred ksetras of Devi.

There is hardly any evidence of the translations of Chinese or Tibetan works into Sanskrit. Besides, the three great Chinese travellers never refer to the study of Buddhist Tantras in India. Watters on 'Yuan Chwang's Travels in India' vol. I p. 360 narrates a story from the pilgrim's life that when he left Ayodhyā in a boat and proceeded east down the Ganges, thugs that looted the boat decided to sacrifice him to Durga but that the Chinese pilgrim was saved by a hurricane which put the thugs in terror, who released him and treated him with Vide also 'In the Footsteps of Buddha' by René reverence Grousset pp 133-135 for this incident. We see that there is evidence of the prevalence of Tantrık and Śakta worship in India long before the 7th century A. D. There is hardly any evidence of any Buddhist Tantrik work before 650 A D. except perhaps the Guhyasamājatantra and Manjuśrimūlakalpa, both of which contain late elements. In this way, presumption and chronology are both against borrowing by Hinduism from Buddhist Tibetan or Chinese Tantrik works Vide 'Tibet past and present' by Sir Charles Bell (1924) pp 23, 25, 29, Sardar K. M. Panikkar's work 'India and China' (1957) p. 70, 'Introduction of the alphabet in Tibet' by M M Dr Satischandra who holds that it was borrowed from Magadha in 7th Century A.D., that show that a written script based on an Indian alphabet as prevalent in Kashmir was first introduced about 640 A.D., that the Tantrik Buddhist Padmasambhava was summoned from Uddiyāna by a Tibetan king Ti-son De-tson (749-786 A. D) on the recommendation of Santaraksita Bodhisattva and was Bunjiu Nanjio's 'Catalogue of induced to settle in Tibet Tripitaka' (Oxford, 1883), appendix II. p. 445 No 155 shows that Amoghavajra translated many works between 746 and 771 A.D., died in 774 A D. and that it was under his influence that Tantra doctrines gained currency in China. It is clear from the works of Bana as detailed later on that worship of Candika with wine and flesh was prevalent in India long before 600 A. D, that Śrīparvata was famed for its tāntrik sıddhis, that Śivasamhitās existed, that japa of mantras a crore of times in a cemetery was supposed to confer siddhis, that he 14th of the dark half of a month was deemed the proper tithi for japa and black magic. Therefore, it is most likely that Śākta or Tāntrik doctrines were taken to Prof P. V China and Tibet from India and not vice versa Bapat in '2500 years of Buddhism' (pp. 360-376) follows (at p. 363) Dr. B. Bhattacharya and tries to prove that Tibetan Tantrism is earlier than Hindu Tantrism, but his arguments

like those of Dr. Bhattacharya do not carry conviction at all, Dr. A. S. Altekar in his paper on Sanskrit Literature in Tibet (ABORI, vol. 35 pp. 54-66) shows how Buddhism entered into Tibet in the reign of Strong-Tsan-Gampo (637-693 A. D.), that about 750 A D ; Padmasambhava from Orissa and Vairocana from Kashmir were pioneers and how about 4500 works were translated into Tibetan.

. Even Dr. Bhattacharva admits that Buddhist Tantras in outward appearance resemble in a marked degree Hindu Tantras (p 47 of Intro to B E.), but he contends that in the subject matter, the philosophical doctrines and religious principles there is little similarity. As Buddhism did not believe in Hindu gods they do not speak about Sakti or Saktism. But just as in Hindu Tantras there is the male principle Siva and the female principle of Devi, the Buddhists postulate Prama (which is feminine) and Upaya (masculine) as two principles and invest them with the same roles as those of Siva and Devi but reversed in character. They had to graft on the idea of Sunyatā the ideas underlying the concepts of Siva and Devi or Sakti. The subject matter is very similar as regards the goal and means (Yoga &c) and the procedure of mantra, guru, mandala &c. is the same. The most important and early works of Buddhist Tantric cult, the Prajnopaya-viniścayasiddhi and Jnanasiddhi are not earlier than the 8th century A. D., when Śāktism and Tantrism had both been long established in India.

The word 'Sākta' means one who is a worshipper or devotee of 'Śakti' (cosmic power or energy). It appears that long before the 8th century A. D. this cult had spread in almost all parts of India, particularly in Bengal and Assam. Śakti under different names (such as Tripurā, Lohitā, Sdaśikā, Kāmeśvarī) was conceived to be the primordial principle of all activity in the universe and is generally worshipped under the name of Devi. The Devimāhātmya is one of the chief works of the Śāktas and has been described above on pp. 155-156. The chief characteristics of the Śākta cult are the doctrine that God or Deity is one and is to be conceived as the mother and also the destroyer and that there is a special form of ceremonial

¹⁶⁷⁴ One of the divyānas of Devī as Kālī is as follows: श्वास्टढां महाभीमां धोरदर्श्नां एसन्स्ट्रलीम्। चतुर्भुजा खङ्गसुण्ड-वराभयकरां शिवाम्। सुण्डमालाधरां देवीं ललजितां दिगम्बराम्। एवं सञ्चिन्तयेक्तालीं इमशानालयवासिनीम्॥ कालीतन्त्र in शास्त्रममोद (Venk, ed.)

H. D. 131

worship which sometimes assumed debased and revolting forms. Devī has been eulogised in other Purānas also as in Vāmana (18-19), Devi-bhāgayata (III, 27), Brahmānda (that contains the Lalitamahatmya in 44 chapters). Matsya (13, 24-54, where 108 names of Devi and 108 places of her worship are set out). Kūrma (I. 12). In the last Purāna (Kūrma I. 12) Devi is called Mahāmahisamardinī (98), Anāhatā, Kundalinī (128), Durgā, Kātyāyanī, Candī, Bhadrakālī (143 and 148) and it is stated that the sastras opposed 1675 to the Veda and Smrti that are popular among people such as Kāpāla, Bhairava. Yāmala, Vama. Arhata were propagated by Devi for deluding the world and were based on ignorance. Vide also Brahmapurana (181. 48-52) for names of Devi and for the proposition that Devi when worshipped with offerings of wine, flesh and other edibles becomes pleased and grants men's desires. Bhadrakālī is comparatively an ancient name. In the San. Gr. (SBE vol. XXIX p. 86) it is provided that an offering is to be made to SrI at the head of the bed on which the householder sleeps and at the foot of the bed to Bhadra-kali, while Manu provides that, in the daily baliharana, bali (offering) is to be offered to Bhadrakāli in the south-west.

The Tantras and Śākta works have much in common, the main point of difference being that in the Śākta cult Devi (or Śakti) is worshipped as the highest, while Tantras (which include also Buddhist and Jaina works) are not restricted to the worship of Devi or Śakti, but may be agnostic, Vedāntic or Sānkhya in their philosophical outlook. Dr. B. Bhattacharya (in Intro to Guhyasamājatantra p XXXIV and in Intro to Sādhanamālā vol. II. p. XIX) states that, for a work to be called a real Tantra, there must be the element of Śakti in it. But this is plainly rather an over-statement. The Vāyupurāna enumerates the Śākta among six darśanas (philosophic points of view) as in note 1675a.

Even the Rgveda speaks of the Saktis of the great gods of the Vedic pantheon. But the Sakti or Saktis are of the God

^{1675.} यानि शास्त्राणि दृश्यन्ते लोकेऽस्मिन्तिविधानि तु । श्रुतिस्मुतिविरुद्धानि निष्ठा तेपा हि तामसी ॥ कापालं भैरव चेव पामल वाममाईतम् । एवविधानि चान्यानि मोहनार्धानि तानि तु ॥ छूमें I. 12. 261-262. These verses are quoted by रहानग्वन 10 स्मृतितस्व I. pp. 785-786 (under मलमासतस्व).

¹⁶⁷⁵ a ब्राह्म दीय वैष्णवं च सीरं शाक्त तथाईतस्। पह्नदर्शनानि चीक्तानि स्वभाव-नियतानि च। 104 16.

nimself and not a separate creative principle and sometimes Sakti is meant as part of the poet, priest or sacrificer (as in Rg. I. 31, 18, I, 83, 3, IV. 22, 8, X, 25, 5). The word 'Sakti' occurs in the Reveda about a dozen times in the singular as well as in the plural, five times with Indra, 1676 once with Asvins (Rg. II, 39, 7), twice with pitrs (I 109, 3, VI, 75, 9) and once with gods in general (X, 88, 10, who are said to have created Agni with their powers). Sometimes, the word 'Māyā' is used with regard to Indra instead of the word Sakti. 'O Indra! I long for your great friendship and powers (saktih)...understand that you are our great protector; I proclaim your ancient and recent deeds. O Indra endowed with powers (Saktīvah)!.....: 'Indra assuming 1677 many forms by his powers (māyābhih) repairs (to many sacrifices). ten hundred horses are yoked to his chariot'. In these passages there is no question of worshipping šakti or šaktis of the god praised. The more frequent word, however, is 'Sacī' ('sacībhih' occurring 36 times and 'sacyā' 12 times). The word 'Sacīpati' (lord of Sacī or power) occurs sixteen times in the Rgveda and has been applied in all places to Indra, except once in Rg. VII. 67.5 (where it is applied to Asvins). It cannot be said that in the Rgveda 'Saci' is the wife of Indra (as it is said in later mythology), since the plural is more frequent than Saci in the singular and since Asvins also are called 'Sacipati' once. Similarly, the word 'Saciyah' occurs eleven times, in nine of which it is addressed to Indra but it is once applied to Agni (Rg. III. 21. 4) and once to Soma (Rg. IX. 87. 9). The ideas associated with the words 'Sakti' and 'Sacī' are those of creation, protection, valour, and bounty, In Rg. I. 56. 4 Indra's power is called 'DevI tavisi' but the word Śaci does not occur in that verse. There is a sublime hymn (Rg. X. 125) of the power of Vak (speech), wherein Vak is said to associate with Rudras, Adityas, Vasus, all gods and is

^{1676.} मह्या ते सख्य विहेन काकीरा बच्चिन नियुत्ती यन्ति पूर्वीः। महि स्तीचमव आगन्म स्रेरस्माकं स मघवन् वीधि गोपाः॥ ऋ III 31.14, प्रते पूर्वाणि करणानि वोचं प्र नूतना मघवन्या चकर्षे। काकीवो यद्विभरा रोदसी उभे जयकार्य मनवे दाखिचाः॥ ऋ. V 31 6, Vide also Rg, VII. 20, 10, X, 88, 10 (स्तोमेन हि दिवि देवासो अग्निमजीजन व्यक्तिभी स्रोदोसिपाम्॥

^{1677.} इन्द्रो मायाभिः एवंस्प ईयते युक्ता हास्य हरयः शता दश ॥ इर. VI 47. 18. On this the घृष्ट उप. II 5. 19 says 'इदं वे तम्मधु दस्यकाथर्वणोऽश्विम्यायुवाच । सदैतहायैः पश्यक्षवोचत्। इन्द्रो मायाभिः " शता दश ॥ इति। अयं वे हरयोऽयं वे दश घ सएसाणि बहुनि चानन्तानि च । तदेतहब्रह्म &c, '

declared to support Mitra and Varuna, Indra and Agni, the Asvins, Soma, Tyastr, Pūsan and Bhaga. Vāk is said to stretch the bow for Rudra in order that the destructive enemy of brahma (prayer or God Brahmā) may be killed, that Vak stands occupying all worlds and that her body touches heaven, that it is beyond heaven and the earth, that Vak stands so vast by its greatness'. Vak becomes the principle of all energy. According to the Nighantu (I. 11), menā, gnāh and śacī are three of the 57 words meaning 'Vak'. In Tai. S. V. 1. 7. 2 the metres are called 'gnās'. Rg. I, 164, 41 is an enigmatic description of Vāk explained in Nirukta XI. 40. It should be noticed that, just as Devi or Sakti is associated with Siva in later literature, so are Indrani. Varunani, Agnayi, Rodasi associated with Indra, Varuna, Agnı and Maruts respectively as wives. 'I invoke Indrānī, 1678 Varunānī and Agnāyī for my welfare and for drinking Soma'. 'May the women, the wives of gods, partake of the offering, viz. Indrani, Agnayi, the brilliant (wife) of the Asvins, Rodasi: may Varunani listen (to our laud): may the goddesses partake (of offerings) at the time (appropriate) for women'. It must be said, however, that these goddesses (devih) play a very subordinate part in the Rgveda. No direct connection can be traced between these Vedic goddesses and the later conception of Devi or Sakti. Indrani is invoked for protection in Rg. I. 22. 12. II. 32. 8, V. 46. 8, X. 86. 11-12. In Rg V. 46. 8 Indrani and three others are called Devapatnis and 'gnas'. In Rg. I. 61. 8 it is said that the gnas, the wives of gods, wove, when Indra struck the demon Ahi, a song of worship. The word 'gna' occurs 20 times in the Rg. in the nominative, objective, instrumental and locative and is an Indo-European word for wife (Greek has it). Vide Nir III. 21 where 'mena' and 'gna' In the Kenopanisad Umā Haimavatī (daughter of Himavat) tells of Brahma to the gods Agni, Vāyu and Indra (III, 12). In the Śvetāśvataropanisad it is said 'they (brahmavādins) endowed with meditation and Yoga saw Śakti (power) abiding as non-different from God and concealed (from com-

¹⁶⁷⁸ इन्द्राणीक्षण ह्रये वरणानी स्वस्तये। अशायों सीमपीतये। ऋ I. 22. 12; उत या क्यक्त देवपस्भीरिन्द्राज्यमाञ्यान्विनी राद्! आ रोदसी वरणानी शृणोह क्यक्त देवीये ऋतुंनीनाम्॥ स V 46. 8. सूर्यों is said to be the wife of Asvins in Rg. X. 85 8-9, यास्त explains Rg. V 146. 8 in निरुक्त XII 46 and holds रोदसी as the wife of क्यू. in Rg. V. 56. 8 the Maruts are said to have 'Rodasi' on their chariot, in Rg V. 61 4 the Maruts are said to have a beautiful wife, in VI 50 5 Rodasi is called devi and is said to be mixed up with Maruts In Rg I. 167 4 and VI. 66.6 Rodasi is connected with Maruts.

prehension) by its own attributes (or by sattva, raiss and tamas). The same Upanisad (in VI. 8) speaks of Brahma as possessing the highest Sakti 1679 in various forms and this text is quoted by Sankarācārya on Vedāntasūtra II. 1. 24. In the bhāsua on Vedāntasūtra II. 1. 30 and in the sūtra itself Brahma is said to be endowed with all powers. Vide also Svetāsva. IV. 1. Nārāvanopanisad contains an invocation of Durgā-devī 1680 'I approach as a refuge the blazing goddess Durgā, brilliant like fire, luminous owing to tapas, that is resorted to for (vielding) the rewards of religious actions; O goddess possessed of excellent might! adoration to your power'. Raghavabhatta 1681 avers that Tantra cult is based on Sruti as can be seen from the Upanisads called Rāmapūrvottara-tāpanīya and Nrsimhapūrvottara-tāpanīya. Similarly, Bhāskararāya in his commentary Setubandha on Vāmakeśvaratantra mentions several Upanisads as treating in detail of the bhakti of Mahātripura-sundarī and interprets Rg. V. 47. 4 'catvari im' as referring to Kādividyā. But all these Upanisads seem to be purposely composed to bolster up the tantras that had come to be looked down upon and they

^{1679.} ते ध्यानयोगाञ्चगता अपश्य न्वेशत्मशक्ति स्वग्रणीक्तिगृद्धाम् । श्वेताश्वः I. 3 ; परास्य शक्तिविधिये श्रूपते स्वाभाविकी ज्ञानयलकिया चा। श्वेताश्वः VI. 8 ; सर्वेपिता च तद्दर्शनात्। वेदान्तत्प्च II. 1. 30, on which इङ्क्ष्मर says 'एकस्यापि ब्रह्मणो विचित्रशक्तिन योगानुपपञ्चते विचित्रो विकासमण्डच इति।: But this is entirely different from the later Śākta doctrines Here Brahma is said to be endowed with various Śaktis (notone), white Śakti among Śāktas is the female principle that is supreme. It is possible that such a vedānta doctrine of śakti might have suggested the later all-engrossing power of Śakti as the only deity or principle

^{1680.} तामन्निवर्णां तपसा ज्वलन्तीं वेरे।चनीं कर्मफलेषु खुष्टाम् । दुर्गा देवीं शरणमहं प्रपद्ये सर्वरसिवरसे नमः। नारायणोपनिषद् 2. 1

^{1681.} श्रुतिमूलकता तु रामपूर्वीचरतापनीय-द्वसिंदपूर्वीचरतापनीय-सीराप्टाक्षर-होव पञ्चाक्षरात्मिका च साक्षाच्छुतिवपलम्यत एव। राघवभट्ट on ज्ञारदातिलक p. 2; श्रीमहा- विश्वरसुन्दर्यांश्च गौणी भक्ति सेतिकर्तव्यवाक्षां निरूपित्विमेद्दंपर्येण ग्रुन्दरीतापनीपञ्चकं भावनीपनिएकोले। भावनी

are mostly mentioned by late medievel writers like Rāghavabhatta and Bhāskararārya. There are two great hymns addressed to Durgā in the Mahābhārata, 1682 viz. in Virātaparva (chap. 6) by Yudhisthira and the other in Bhīsmaparva (chap. 23) by Arjuna, but they are discarded as apocryphal in the Poona critical edition. The Gangadhara stone Inscription of Visvavarman of 480 of the Mālava era (424 A.D.) refers to Mātrs (Mother Goddesses) and Tantra 1683 The Br S. 57. 56 mentions the groups of Mātrs. The Vrddha-Hārīta-smrti recommends that the householder should not enter places of Śaiva, Bauddha, Skānda and Śākta 1684 cults. The Visnupurāna 1685 (one of the earliest among extant Purānas) speaks of the whole world as that of Visnu, who is the highest Brahma and is endowed with

^{1682.} In JRAS for 1906 pp. 355-362 B. C. Majumdar endeavours to show that the two hymns to Durgā are late interpolations in the Mahābhārata, probably derived from practices of non-Aryan Śūdras in Oriyaspeaking hill tribes of Sambalpur. But he forgets that apart from other sources Kālidāsa (not later than about 400 A D.) speaks of Pārvatī as Umā, Aparnā, Durgā, Gaurī, Bhavānī and Candī in his several works and also that Kālidāsa refers to the Ardhanārīsvara form of Šiva. In the last verse of the Śākuntala Kālidāsa speaks of Siva as 'parigata-saktih' and thereby suggests that at least the germs of the later Śakti worship were not unknown in his times Therefore, the worship of Durgā in her several aspects is older than 300 A D. by at least a century or more; vide pp. 185-186 above

^{1683.} सानूणां च मसुदितधनारपर्थानिद्वांचिनीचा तन्त्रोत्द्रत-प्रवलप्यमिद्वित्तास्भोनिद्यांचाम् । in Gnpta Inscription No 17 p. 72 The बुद्धसहिता 57 56 provides rules about images of Mātrs 'मानूगण. कर्तव्यः सनामदेवाग्रस्थक्रतिविद्धः', the विष्णुधमीचरपुराण (in I 226) mentions a large number of मानूड including काळी वार्य महाकाळी (in all over 180) Vide a recent work on 'the Cult of the Mother Goddesses' by E O. James (London, 1959), of which pp. 99-124 deal with India, 'Matsyendranātha and his Yogini cult' by Dr. Karambelkar in I H. Q. Vol XXXI (for 1935) pp 362-374, which show that Ādinātha (Śiva Himself) was the guru of Matsyendranātha, who was himself guru of Goraksanātha, the former being called Luipa (in Tibet), one of the 84 Siddhas, vide Cunningham's Archaeological Survey Report IX, for the temple of 64 Yoginis at Bheraghat and 'Tāntrik cult in Epigraphs' by Mr. B, P, Desaī in J. O. R (Mad.), Vol. 19 pp 285-288

^{1684.} हैवबौद्धस्कान्दशाक्तस्थानानि न विशेत् क्वचित्। मुद्धहारीतस्मृति XI 143

^{1685.} एतस्सर्वमिद विश्वं जगदेतचराचरम्। परचद्वास्वरूपस्य विष्णी. शक्तिसमन्वितम्। विष्णुपु V. 7. 60; सुरामासीपहारेश्च भस्यभीज्येश्च पूजिता। हणामशेपकामास्त्वं प्रसन्धा संभ्वास्यसि॥ विष्णुपु. V 1 86 This verse occurs in ब्रह्मपुराण 181 52 and the preceding three verses which contain the names of Durga are the same in both.

Sakti, enumerates some of the names of Durga as Arva. Vedagarbhā, Ambikā, Bhadrā, Bhadra-kālī, Ksemadā, Bhāgyadā and winds up by stating that when Durga is worshipped with offerings of wine, flesh, various kinds of foods, she, being pleased, would fulfil all desires of men. In the Kādambarī of Bānabhatta there is a long description of the temple of Candıkā at a few days' journey from Unayini, where there was an old Dravida devotee, in which the following points deserve to be noted, viz. offerings of the heads of animals. lion as $v\bar{a}hana$, the slaughter of Mahisasura, the doctrines of Pasupatas written down on small books of palm leaves containing jugglery, tantra and mantras. Durgā-stotra written on a piece of cloth, ruined temples of the Matrs and description of the Dravida devotee as knowing thousands of wonderful stories about Śriparvata. Bāna describes at length what queen Vilāsavatī, pining for a son, began doing to placate all Gods viz. sleeping in the shrines of Candikā where guggulu was being incessantly burnt, taking auspicious baths on nights of dark 14th in public squares where magic circles had been drawn by great magicians. visiting temples of Matrs. wearing amulets inside which were pieces of birch leaves on which mantras had been written with yellow pigment, and, when delivery was near, her bed was rendered holy with various herbs, roots and yantras (figures or diagrams). Harsacarita (III) there is a reference to magic circles and to human sacrifices in the description of the Saiva ascetic Bhairavācarya who had all the Saiva-samhitas by heart, who performed. the japa of a mahāmantra called Mahākālahrdaya a crore of times in a cemetery and wanted the help of Puspabhūti (an ancestor of Emperor Harsa) for perfection in that mantra to be achieved by subduing a vetāla and who ultimately attained to the position of Vidyadhara and rose into the starry firmament. the last Introductory verse of the Harsacarita the Emperor Harsa is, by means of puns, called the Śriparvata in yielding all Siddhis (supernatural powers, or fulfilment) according to the wishes of all suppliants 1686 These descriptions from the works of Bana (first half of 7th century) show how even long before the 7th century A. D. the worship of Candi with flesh and the Śākta or Tāntrik paraphernalia of mantras, siddhis, mandalas and yantras had gripped the minds of all Indian people, great

^{1686.} जयति ज्वलत्यतापज्वलनमाकारञ्जतज्ञमञ्ज्ञकः। सकलमणयिमनोरथ सिद्धिश्रीपर्वतो वर्षः॥ एर्पचरित 1. 21: सीदासिनी says in मालतीमाधव 'ग्रुकचर्या तपस्तन्त्रमन्त्रयोगाभि-योगजाम्। दुमामाक्षेपिणी सिद्धिमातनोमि ज्ञिवाय वः॥। IX.

and small, rich and poor. In the Mālatīmādhava (Act V) we have a gruesome picture of human sacrifice to Cāmundā. In the same drama Saudāminī is described as observing the vows of a Kāpālika on Śrīparvata and as having secured supernatural powers by means of mantras. Śrīparvata is mentioned as a holy place of Śiva and Devī in Vanaparva 85, 19–20. The Vāsavadatā of Subandhu (p. 87 of Hall's ed.) speaks of Śrīparvata as 'Sannihita-mallikārjunah.' Later on a few passages from Sanskrit and Prakrit literature will be cited to show how the teaching of Tāntrik practices led to great moral debasement and revolting orgies in the name of religion.

The literature on Tantras was vast (vide 'Principles of Tantra' ed. by A. Avalon, part 1 pp. 390-392 for a long list of Tantras). Both Hindu and Buddhist writers composed numerous works on Tantra and a very large number of subjects came to be included in Tantra works. Buddhist and Hindu Tantras are alike in some respects but they differ in the topics discussed, philosophical doctrines and some religious principles and practices. Tāntra works were introduced into Tibet, Mongolia, China, Japan and South East Asia. Originals of many of the Sanskrit Tāntrik works are now not available, but the translations of some of them in Tibetan are available. It is aid that even now if proper search is made, three hundred works on Tantra may be discovered (vide Dr. B. Bhattacharya in vol. X. of Śrī Rāmavarmā Institute of Research at Cochin p. 81).

It is difficult to give a general definition of Tantras. The word 'Tantra' is derived usually from 'Tan' to spread and 'trai' (to save). 'It spreads (dilates upon) many matters including the tattvas and mantras and affords protection;

^{1687.} The following works will convey some idea of Śākta doctrines and practices R. G. Bhandarkars's 'Vaisnavism, Śaivism &c.' (in Collected Works, Vol IV pp 203-210); 'Sakti and Śākta' by Sir John Woodroffe (1920), 'Serpent Power' by Arthur Avalon (Sir John Woodroffe), 'the Śāktas' by E. A. Payne (Oxford University Press, 1933) 'Sakti or divine power' by Dr. Sudhendu Kumar Das (Calcutta University, 1945); 'Doctrine of Śakti in Indian Literature' by Dr. P. C. Chakravarti (1940). Vide Prof. Bagchi's 'Studies in the Tantras' pp 1-3 for the introduction of four Tantrik texts in Kambuja (Cambodia) about 800 A. D. named 'Śiraścheda, Vināśikha, Sammoha and Nayottara and 'Inscriptions from Kambuja' by Dr. R. C. Majumdar (Calcutta, 1953) pp. 362, 373-374, and JRAS for 1950 pp. 163-65 for relics of Sāktism in Moslem Malaya.

therefore it is called Tantra'. 1688 They can only be described but one matter is included in almost all of them, viz. the five 'Makāras'. Often they contain an amalgam of religion, philosophy, superstitious dogmas, rites, astronomy, astrology, medicine, prognostications. They resemble the Puranas in several respects. The Buddhists deified many important personages of Buddhism and took over in course of time certain Hindu deities like Ganesa and Sarasvati. Tantras are classified by comparatively later works into three groups, Visnukranta, Rathakranta and Aśvakranta and 64 Tantras are assigned to each of the three groups (vide Tantrik Texts, vol. I. ed. by Arthur Avalon, Introduction pp. II-IV); but these numbers seem to be fictitious The same Tantra is put in two classes by certain works. The Kulārnava-tantra (III 6-7) speaks of five āmnāyas (East, West, South, North and ardhva) as the paths to Moksa. The Parasurāma-kalpasūtra 1689 I. 2 does the same. Tantrik worshippers are divided into three classes viz. Śaiva, Śākta and Vaisnava. Bagchi states ('Studies in Tantras' p. 3) that Tantrik literature is classified into Srotas (which are three) Pitha and Amnaya. The Saundaryalahari, ascribed by some to the great Advaita teacher Sankarācārya, refers to sixty-four Tantras (in verse 31 which begins 'catussastyā tantraih' which, it is said therein, were declared by God Sankara for deluding 1690 the world. Several Hindu and Buddhist Tantras

^{1688,} तनोति विद्युलानर्थाच् तत्त्वसम्बसमान्वितात् । त्राणं च कुरुते यस्मात् तम्बमिध्य-भिधीपते ॥

^{1689.} भगवान् परमाञ्चित्रभद्दारकः...भगवत्या भैरज्या स्वात्माभित्रया पृष्टः पञ्चभिर्मुन्तैः पञ्चान्नायान् परमार्थमारभूतान् पाणिनाय। परशुरामकत्त्यस् द 2. There exist works that mention the mantras and divänas of the five amnayas, for example, D C. ms. No. 394 of 1882-83 does so (cat vol. XVI on Tantra mss, pp 339-340).

^{1690 &#}x27;The evidence for attributing the work Saundaryalahari to the great हेटब्राप्त is not strong In H P. Sāstri's Cat. of Palm-leaf mss in Nepa Durbar Library p. LXII there is an entry for सारारहरपद्वित्ता, a Tāntrī compilation by a Śahkarācārya of Gaudadeśa. This would emphasize that caution is required in accepting works ascribed to Śahkarācārya as genuine works of the great Advaita teacher. Vide D. N. Bose on 'Tantras, their philosophy and occult secrets' pp 29-30 for the names of 64 tantras mentioned in the Vārāhī-tantra together with the verses therein and Saundaryalaharī (tr pp 117-120) for a list of 64 tantras and Bagchi's 'Studies in the Tantras' p 5 for the names of tantras considered authoritative in the 8th century A.D. and even prior to it The तन्त्राहोत्त of अभिनत्राह states that there are groups of ten, 18 and 64 Sauva tantras 'द्वाद्यद्वाव्यव्यक्ति वे च्हासने विभो: 1

have been published and we have now a fair idea of what the numerous Tantras must have been like. Some of the published Hindu Tantras are Kulārnava. Tantrasara, Nityotsaya, Parasurāmakalpa-sūtra, Pārānandasūtra, Prapancasāra, Mantramahodadhi of Mahldhara, Mahānirvānatantra, Rudrayāmala, Vāmakesvaratantra, Śāradātilaka (about 11th century A.D.). Besides, there are works like the Tantraloka and Maliniviayavārtika of Abhinava-gupta of Kashmir Tantrism. They stand somewhat apart from the works enumerated above. Among the published Buddhist tantras are. Advavavairasangraha. Ārvamañjuśrimülakalpa, Guhayasamāja-tantra (probably 6th century A. D.). Jñānasiddhi of Indrabhūti (717 A. D.), Nıspannavogāvali of Abhayākaragupta (composed between the last quarter of 11th and first of 12th century A. D.), Prajnopayaviniscaya-siddhi of Anangavajra (about 705 A. D.). Sat-cakranirūpana (1577 A. D.), Sādhanamālā (containing 312 small works supposed to be from 3rd to 12th century A. D.). Of the Buddhist Tantras Āryamañjuśrīmūlakalpa and Guhyasamājatantra 1691 are the oldest according to Dr. B. Bhattacharya (Intro. p. XXXVIII. to Guhva-samājatantra). Most of the above works have been published by Arthur Ayalon (Sir. John Woodroffe) and in the Gaikwad Oriental Series. Some of the Hindu Tantras contain sublime philosophical views derived from the Upanisads and the Gita or from the Sankhya and Yoga and the final goal according to them is Muklz (liberation from the

(Continued from last page)

तरसारं त्रिकाशास्त्रं हि तरसार माछिनीमसम्॥ I. 18 (Kashmir S. series, Vol. XXII p. 35). The निर्माणेशिकाणेश (a part of नामकेश्वरतन्त्र) names the 64 Tantras in verses 13–22 of the first विस्नाम, but it includes eight Yāmalas among Tantras, while Dr Bhattacharya (in 'Introduction to B B. p 52) tries to distinguish Agamas and Yāmalas from Tantra and also in his Intro. to Sādhanamālā Vol. II pp XXI—XXII. The नोहालक्ष्मिंग of ज्ञानान्त्रिति (Tantrik Texts, vol. XIV) enumerates numerous tantras including Yāmalas in I. 2–14 and names (I 92–93) eight gurus.

^{1691.} Dr. Bhattacharya in Intro. to Guhyasamāja p. XXXIV holds that Asanga is probably the author of the Guhyasamāja and therefore that work belongs to the 3rd or 4th century A, D. One has only to read the Mahāyānasūtrālankāra of Asanga ed, by Sylvain Levi and compare its refined and correct Sanskrit with the rather barbarous Sanskrit of the Guhyasamāja to come to the conclusion that the latter is not Asanga's work. There is no evidence to prove that Guhyasamāja belongs to the 3rd or 4th century A D. It is probably two or more centuries later than that; Bagchi ('Studies in Tantras' p. 41) is against identifying Asanga, the author of Sādhana No, 159, with the great teacher of Yogācāra.

evels of births and deaths) for all men but to be secured by following the path laid down by the Tantras. As the number of published Hindu Tantras is considerable, reference will be made mainly to a few viz. Kulārnava, Pārānandasūtra, Prapañcasāra, Māhānirvānatantra, Vāmakesvaratantra (Ānan. ed.), Saktisangamatantra. Śāradātilaka and in the case of the Buddhist Āryamañjuśrīmūla-kalpa. Guhyasamājatantra, Praiñopāyavinišcayasiddhi, Jñānasiddhi, Sādhanamālā, Sekoddesatīkā. The purpose of most Buddhist Tantras is to indicate a short path for attaining Buddha-hood through Yoga practices and they introduce the element of Sakti for Yogic practices and for securing miraculous powers (called siddhis). In the History of Dharmasastra not much need be said about Buddhist Tantras except for comparison and stress will have to be laid on the Hindu Tantras alone. The philosophical aspects of Tantrik culture may be studied in the Parasuramakalpasutra, the Vāmakeśvara-tantra, Tantrarāja, the works on Kashmir Śaivism, works of Bhāskararāya, Bhāvanopanisad. This last is a late work dignified with the title of Upanisad, as it deals with $bhar{a}\iota anar{a}$ and summarises the Vasanapatala of Tantrarajatantra (vide Intro. to the latter p. 3). There are also Vaisnaya Tantras like the Gautamiya-tantra (D. C. ms. No. 1120 of 1886-1892) and Kramadīpikā of Keśava (who was a successor of Nimbārka) with the commentary of Govinda Vidyāvinoda (published in the Chowkhamba S. series), which are not referred to in this work from considerations of space. Vide Agnipurana 39. 1-7 for the names of twenty-five Vaisnava Tantras dealing with the establishment of Visnu image and other matters and Māheśvaratantra 26. 16-20.

The Hindu tantras which are supposed to have embodied dialogues between Siva and Devi or Skanda or Bhairava and rarely others as in Dattātreyatantra (D. C. ms. No. 962 of 1887-91) endeavour to show that they base themselves on the Vedas, Agamas, Smrtis and Purānas, that there is an easier and quicker way to the final goal of moksa and they often quote Vedic verses. For example, in the Kulārnava, Siva says to Devi 'I churned the great ocean of Vedas and Agamas with the churning handle of (correct) knowledge. I knew the essence of these and took out the Kuladharma, 1692 that the Kaulašāstras are autho-

¹⁶⁹² मधिला ज्ञानदण्डेन वेदानसमहार्णवस्। सारज्ञेन मया देवि कुलधर्मः सम्बद्धतः॥ कुलार्णदः II. 10, the पारानन्दस्त्र III. 64 is almost the same 'मधिला ज्ञानमन्धेन (Continued on next page)

ritative like Vedic texts and should not be nullified by ratiocination.' The same Tantra further asserts 'one who has studied the four Vedas but is ignorant of Kuladharma is inferior to a cāndāla, while a candāla who knows Kuladharmas is superior to a brahmana. If all dharmas such as sacrifices, pilgrimages and vratas are put on one side and Kuladharma on another side. Kaula (dharma) is superior. 1693 It is, therefore necessary to understand what is meant by Kula or Kauladharma. The Guhya-samāja 1691 states that Guhya means the three viz. body. speech and mind and 'samaia' means 'coming together', that Kula may comprehend five matters or three or 101 and that Guhva (as defined) is tribula 'God Sankara 1695 declared five tattvas, viz. wine, flesh, fish, mudra (hand and finger poses or the woman helper of a vogin) and sexual intercourse, that are acts that become the means for the attainment of the position of a vira and that the mantra of Sakti does not confer perfection unless one follows the practices of Kula; therefore a person

⁽Continued from last bage)

वेदागममहार्णेतम्। पारानग्दमत शुद्ध रसज्ञेन मयोद्युतम्।। द्वते।(p. 7): 'कुलशालाणि सर्वाणि मयेनोक्तानि पार्गति। यमाणानि न सर्वद्यो न एन्तर्यानि ऐतुमि.॥ देवताम्यः पितृम्यश्च मधुवाता ऋतायते। स्वादिष्ठया मदिष्ठया सीरे सर्विमं तुद्धमम्। हिर्प्यपानाः स्वादिश्च अवध्नत्व पुरुपं पश्चमः। दिश्वास्योयादिस्यायाः प्रमाण श्वतयः निये॥ कुलार्णन् II. 139–111 देवताम्यः पितृम्यश्च ।इ वाद्युत् 74. 15, मधु ..यते ।इ Rg I. 90 6. स्वादिः ... द्वया ।इ Rg. IX. 1 1, सीर... द्वसम् ।इ Rg IX. 67, 32, हिर्प्यपानाः ।इ ।व Rg IX. 86, 43. Most of the Vedic references are cleverly chosen to suggest sweet wine and flesh.

^{1693.} एकत. सकला धर्मा यज्ञतीर्थव्रतादय । एकत. खुलधर्मश्च तत्र कौलोऽधिकः मिये॥ चतुर्वेदी कुलाज्ञानी श्वपचादधम मिये। न्वपचोऽपि खुलज्ञानी बाह्मणाद्वितिरन्यते॥ खुलार्णन्तुन्य II 11 and 67 Vide महानिर्भाणतन्त्र IV 42 for almost the same words.

^{1694.} त्रिविधं कायवाक्चित्त ग्रह्ममित्यभिधीयते। समाज मीलनं ग्रीक सर्वेड्डसभि-धानकम्॥ ग्रह्मसमाज 18th पटल p. 152, पश्चमं त्रिकुलं चैव स्वभावेकज्ञत छुलस्।... तरव पश्चकुलं ग्रीक त्रिकुलं ग्रह्मसुच्यते। tbtd. p. 153

^{1695.} वीरसाधनकर्माणि पञ्चतरगेदितानि च। मद्य सासं तथा सत्स्यस्द्रहामैश्चनमेव च। प्रतानि पञ्च तखानि त्वया प्रोक्तानि शङ्कर। सत्तानिर्मण I 57; साधकं are of three kinds पश्च, वीर and दिन्य. Vide शक्तिसङ्गमतन्त्र, कालीखण्ड VI. 21, मत्तानिर्मण I. 51 and 55, IV. 18-19, कीलावलीनिर्णय VII. 186. कुलाचार विना देवि शक्तिमग्नो न सिद्धिद् । तस्माल्कुलाचारत साधयेच्छक्तिसाधनम्। मद्यं मार्ग तथा प्रत्य स्थानित्व । महानिर्मण V. 21-22, आये is vocative of आया applied to शक्ति, the spouse of शिंग The कीलावलीनिर्णय states चण्डिका प्रविचेचस्त विव पञ्चमकारकः। चत्वादि तस्य नश्चित्ते त्व आयुर्विद्या यश्ची धनम्। मधे मार्ग मैश्चनमेव च। मक्तिप्रवार्थक देवि वेवताप्रितिद्यायकम्॥ विवा पञ्चीपचार हि देवीयुजा करोति य। योगिनीना भवेद्धस्य पाप चैव पदे पदे॥ IV 24-28, besides, कीलावलीनिर्णय II. 101-105 are more striking: सस्थाप्य वामभागेत शक्ति स्वानिपरायणाम्।...विना शक्त्या तु पा प्रजा विकला स्तेश्यः। तस्माच्छक्तिग्रतो चीरो भवेद्य यत्वपूर्वकम्। या स्तिः सा महादेवी हरस्वप्सा साधकः। अन्योग्यचित्तवाच्चैव देवत्वस्रवायते।... शक्ति विनापि पूजाया नाधिकारी भवेद्या।।

should be devoted to the Kula practices whereby he would attain to the sadhana of Sakti: wine, flesh, fish, mudra and sexual intercourse—these are declared to be the five tattvas in the procedure of the worship of Sakti. In another place the Mahānirvāna 1696 says that the individual soul, prakrti, space, time, ākāśa, earth, water, fire and Vāvu-these are called 'Kula' and that way of life whereby one looks upon all these as brahma without distinction is called Kulācāra, that confers the four goals viz. dharma, artha, kāma and moksa. The Śaktisangamatantra states that Kula means the upāsakas (worshippers) 1697 of Kali. The Kularnava states 'Kula means gotra and that springs from Sakti and Siva: that man is called Kaulika who knows that moksa is secured from that (i. e. Sakti and Siva). Śiva is called 'Akula' and Śakti is called 'Kula', those who contemplate on Kula and Akula are the wise kaulikas." Various other definitions are given in Guhyasamāja (Ist patala p. 6). in the Preface p. VIII of the Śaktisangama tantra, Tārākhanda But the same Tantra clinches the matter by declaring that "Sakti is known as Kula; her worship and the like are described: that should be known as 'Kulācāra', which is difficult of attainment even for gods. Worship, done with these alone viz. wine. flesh, fish, mudrā and sexual intercourse, is known as Kulācāra," The Pārānanda-sūtra 1698 provides that the highest self is one,

¹⁶⁹⁶ जीव: प्रकृतितत्तं च दिक्कालाकाशमेव च । क्षित्यक्षेजीवायवश्च कुलमित्यभिषीयते । बह्मबुद्धचा निर्विकरपमेतेप्याचरणं च यत्। कुलाचार स एवाचे धर्मकामार्थमोक्षदः॥ महानिर्वाण VII 97-98. In VII. 109-110 it identifies the five तस्वः, मद्य, मांस, मत्स्य, सद्मा and मैथुन with the five elements viz. तेज (आग्नी), पवन, आप., पृथिवी and वियत्.

^{1697.} श्रीकाल्युपासका ये च तत्कुलं परिकीर्तितय। तेपां समूहों वेवेकि कुलं सर्ङ्घोतितं मया। क्षितसङ्घम, कालीखण्ड chap 3 32, मधं मासं तथा मत्त्यं सदा मेथुनमेव च। पिभिव कता पूजा कुलाजारः प्रकीर्तितः। क्षित्तसङ्गमः, ताराखण्ड, 36th पदल, verses 18-20; कुलं गोत्रं समास्यात तज्ञ क्षितिकोद्धवम् । येन मोक्ष इति ज्ञानं कौलिकः सोभिधिते। अकुलं किव इत्युक्तं कुलं क्षित्रं प्रकीरिता। कुलाकुलाव्यस्थानािकपुणाः कौलिकाः प्रिये। कुलाणंव 17. 26-27 The पञ्चमकार-कोधनािवि (ms D. C. No. 994 of 1891-95 folio 13 b) states 'मद्य---मैथुनमेव च। भाग्यदीना (नै.?) च लभ्यन्ते मकाराः पञ्च दुर्लभाः।।।

that there are seven Lords (Īśvara) viz. Brahmā. Visnu. Śiva Sūrva. Ganeśa Śakti and Bhairava, that individual souls are countless, that there are three margas (paths) viz. Daksina. Vāma and Uttara, each succeeding one being superior to each preceding one, that Daksina-marga is the one declared in the Veda. smrtis and puranas, that the Vama (way) is declared by the Veda and Agamas, while the third (Uttara) is the one declared by the words of the Veda and of the Guru and that the Guruvākva is that of one's gurn who is himself Jivan-mukta and who gives instruction as to a mantra. That sutra further provides that the Vāmācāra is of two kinds, madhyama (middling) and uttama (best), that uttama is the one which is concerned with wine. sexual intercourse and hand poses, while madhyama is one where all five, wine, flesh, fish, mudrā and mathuna are resorted to. It should be noticed that the Tantras themselves designate the use of five makāras in worship as Vāmācāra and not their orthodox partisans of Yoga, as Heinrich Zimmer alleges in 'The art of Indian Asia' vol I. p 130 Pārānandasūtra 1699 prescribes that the disciple has to undergo diksa (consecration) from a qualified guru, who instructs the disciple as to the mantra, who holds a mouthful of water in his own mouth and passes it into the mouth of the disciple that accepts the mantra while he gulps down the water. This procedure applies if the guru is a brahmana, but if the guru is a keatriya he should recite the mantra in the right ear of the disciple. The Tantraraja-tantra provides that the guru should wait for 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 years according as the intending disciple belongs to the four varnas or to a mixed caste, should test his qualities and devotion and then communicate to him the mantra: otherwise, both guru and disciple would come to grief (Tantrik Texts, vol VIII, II, 37-38) Most works on Tantra provide that the knowledge conveyed by the guru and worship with five makāras must be kept secret and if made public falling in hell is the result. Vide Parasuramakalpasūtra I 12 and Śaktisangama-tantra 1700 After undergoing diksa and receiving the mantra the disciple has to follow the orders

^{1699.} प्रत्यद्भुख उदद्भुखं शिष्यं तिदिष्टमन्त्रसुपिदेशेत्। मन्त्रं प्राहिपिखा सुर्फ्जलगण्डूपं धूला मन्त्र जप्ला शिष्यसुखे निक्षिपेत्। निगिरलेव शिष्यो मन्त्र गृहीयात्। एप ब्राह्मणोप-देशकमः। क्षत्रश्वेन्मन्त्रं ग्राहिपिलोपिदेशेट् दक्षिणे कर्णे। पारानन्त्रसूत्र p. 5 sütras 12-16

^{1700.} आनन्दं ब्रह्मणो रूपं तच्च देहन्यवस्थितम् । तस्याभिन्यखन्नाः पञ्च मकारा , तेर्स्वनं गुप्त्या, पाकट्याजिरयः। परशुरामकल्पसूत्र I 12, वेदशाखपुराणानि स्पष्टा वेदशाङ्गाः दृषः इयं तु शाम्भवी विद्या गुप्ता कुळवधूरिव । सुग्रतं कीळिजान्वारमञ्जयह्नन्ति देवताः। वाञ्छासि दि प्रयन्द्यन्ति नाशयन्ति प्रकाशने ॥ शक्तिसङ्गम्, वाराः 36. 24-25

of the guru till the former has a vision of the deity. 1701 Guru is higher than all other men. mantra is higher than guru, the deity is higher than the mantra and the highest self is higher than the devata. In order to attain siddles the guru is to be served by disciples with devotion in all ways. There is only one way viz. bhakti (devotion) for those (disciples) that long for worldly pleasures, heaven or moksa, as the Sruti says 'there is no other way'. Jīvan-mukti 1702 means 'to have a vision of the Deity worshipped' and 'one who is liberated, though living. is not tainted by his acts, whether meritorious or otherwise'. This doctrine closely follows what is said in some of the Upanisads about the man who has realised brahma that 'he shakes off punya and papa and having cast aside the body he reaches the world of brahma; he does not return i. e. he never again undergoes samsāra'. One should strive for that stage. Therefore one who has obtained correct knowledge should become a bhakta (devotee). All these, viz. one in distress, the seeker after knowledge, one who seeks some desired object and one who has obtained correct knowledge, are noble, but the man, who has knowledge about God, when he becomes a devotee, reaches the world of the Highest Self, as the Vedic words say 'one who knows brahma reaches the Highest'. With all this sublime philosophy as the background the Pārānandasūtra frankly provides that the guru, after completing the worship up to the offering of a handful of flowers and having offered into fire some food, should make a collection of makaras, should again come to the place for worshipping the Deity and offer food into fire. should hand over to the neophyte a bowl for drinking wine,

^{• 1701.} मन्त्रदक्षितः प्राग्देवतासाक्षात्कारादुर्वसङ्गाप्यो भवति। सर्वेभ्यः परो ग्रुरुग्रेरोः परो मन्त्रो मन्त्रात्परा देवता देवतायाः परः परमात्मेति भावयेत्। भोगस्वर्गपवर्गकाक्षिणा भक्तिरेवैकः पन्था नान्यः पन्था इति श्रुतिः। पारानन्द् pp 6-7 sütras 35, 38, 59. The श्रेताश्वतरोपः III. 8 and VI. 15 bave 'तमेव विद्वित्वातिमृत्युमेति नान्य. पन्था विद्यतेऽपनायाः This half verse also occurs in वाज सं. 31. 18

^{1702.} स्तोपास्यदर्शनं जीवन्युक्तिः जीवन्युक्तीः न कर्मभिर्लिप्यते प्रुप्यैः पार्वेर्षा । न स पुनरावर्तते। न स भूष. नंसारं सम्पद्यते। तस्माराङ्गने यतित्वयम्। ज्ञानी भक्ती भवेत्। आर्तीजज्ञान्स्यपार्थिज्ञानिन उदाराक्तत्रेशस्य ज्ञानी भक्त एव परमास्मलोकं मामोति ब्रह्मविद्यमोति परिमिति ज्ञान्द्वत्। पारानन्द p. 9 sütras 3–8. The words न च पुनरावर्तते occur in द्या. उप. VIII 15 at the end and the words 'ब्रह्मविद्यमोति परम् ' are ते उप. (ब्रह्मानन्द वहीं) at the very beginning The words आर्ते.. ज्ञानी भक्त एव are borrowed from गीता VII. 16–17 'चतुर्तिया भजन्ते.. उदाराः सर्व एवते ज्ञानी त्वालेव से मतम्। र. Compare सुण्डतीप् III. 1.3 (तदा विद्वाच पुण्पपापे विधूय निरक्षनः परमं साम्बस्पेति), द्या. उप. VIII 13. महानिर्वाणतन्त्र IV. 22 (ब्रह्माज्ञाने सम्रत्यके मेध्यामेध्यं न विद्यते। अव VII. 94) (ब्रह्म...क्षे कृत्याकृत्यं न विद्यते।).

mudra, materials for dinner with condiments and a courtegan and should instruct the neophyte, that has accepted the three makāras (madva. mudrā and maithuna). as regards the kaula practices. 1703 Then the Parananda-sutra devotes two pages (16-17) to the kauladharmas taught to the neophyte, from which a few striking passages may be cited, "A young 1704 courtezan is Śakti incarnate, is brahma: women are gods and the very life-breath and are ornaments (of the world); they should not be consured nor angered": 'after worshipping the gods and gurus in the way laid down by the Veda and the Tantras a man does not incur sin if he drinks wine while remembering god or has sexual intercourse with a courtezan. He who partakes of wine and the rest merely for pleasing himself falls into a terrible hell. He who giving up the ordinances of sastra acts as he pleases does not attain siddhi in this world. nor heaven nor the Highest goal (moksa). A worshipper should drink wine only up till his eyes do not begin to roll and up till his mind does not become unsteady; to drink beyond that stage The Paranandasūtra (pp. 70-71) describes the is bestial'. procedure of a festival (Utsavavidhi) among Tantrikas The mantra is 'Isvarātman, tava dāsoham', which may be given to even a candala or may be accepted from a candala. It is further provided that the followers of Vama-marga may employ the following mantras about the three makaras, that (the best among)

¹⁷⁰³ ग्रुफ, पुष्पाञ्चलयन्तामर्चा विधाय हुत्वा हवि. प्रतिपरयगारं प्रविद्य मकारत्रय-सुररीकुरय पुनर्देवतागारमागत्य हुत्वा देवता ससमस्तमण्डलामम्यर्च नविज्ञण्यायालियात्रं ससुद्र सन्यञ्जनभोजनसम्भारं सवेदयाकं प्रयन्केत्। ग्रुहीतमकारत्रयं नव्यं शिष्य कोलिक-धर्माज्ञपतिकोत्। पारानन्द् pp. 15–16, sütras 56 and 63

स्वेच्छाऋतुमती शक्तिः साक्षाव् ब्रह्म न संशयः। तस्माचा पूजयेद्धवस्या वखालङ्कारः भोजनैरिति॥ खियो देवा क्षियः पाणा. ख्रिय एव हि भूषणम्। खीणा निन्दा न कर्तन्या न च ताः क्रीधरीया ॥ इति । देवान् ग्रस्त्समम्यर्च्य वेदतम्त्रीक्तवर्त्तना । देवं स्मरन् पिवन् मद्य वेश्या गच्छक्त द्वोषभाक्त ॥ इति । सेवेदारमसुखार्थ यो मद्यादिकमशास्त्रतः । स याति नरकं घोर नात्र कार्या विचारणा॥ य शास्त्रविधि . . परा गतिम्॥ इति। यावन चलते द्वष्टिर्यावक चलते मनः। तावत्यानं प्रकुर्वीत पशुपानमितःपरम् । इश्ते। जीवन्सुक्तः पिवेदेवमन्यथा पतितो भवेत् ॥ इति। पारानन्द्रo pp. 16-17 sutras 64, 65, 74-76, 80-81 Extravagant praise is bestowed on women in several taniras such as in Saktisangama-tanira. Kālīkhanda 3 142-144 and Tārākhanda 13, 43-50 and in कौलावलीनिर्णय X, 88 The half verse 'लियो , भूषणम् occurs in शक्तिसङ्गतन्त्र, ताराखण्ड 23 10 The verse य. शास्त्र 18 भगवदीता 16. 23 For यावस्त्र. प्रम् compare कलार्णवतन्त्र VII. 97-98. The secure states that every woman is born in the kula of the great Mother and so one should not beat a woman even with a flower even if she be guilty of a hundred misdeeds, one should not mind the faults of women and should make known only their good points (XI, 64-65). Vide also कीलावलीनिर्णय X, 66-69

Vāmācāras should resort to. They are: 'I take this holy nectar, which is a medicine (antidote) for samsūra, which is a means of cutting off the snares by which the paśu (in man) is bound and which is declared by Bhairava' (this when taking the first i. e. wine); 'I take this mudrā which is 'ucchista' of the Lord (i. e. which has been first offered to God), which destroys the torments of the heart, which produces joy and which 1705 is enriched with other food materials' (this when taking mudrā); 'I take this divine young woman who has drunk wine, that always makes the heart full of bliss and that brings about my sādhanā' (when taking one of the women that are brought together).

The Hindu Tantra works present two sides, one philosophical and spiritual, the other popular, practical and more or less magical, which relies on mantras, mudrās, mandalas, nyāsas, cakras and yantras as physical means to realize one's identity with the Supreme Power or Energy by concentration and as conferring extraordinary powers on the devotee. This may be illustrated by reference to two typical tantras, the Śāradātilaka and the Mahanirvana-tantra. The Mahanirvana-tantra, though it speaks of the five makāras as means of upāsanā and though it states that when the great Tantra is understood, the Vedas. Purānas and šāstras are hardly 1706 of any use, puts forward the striking conception in IV. 34-47 that Paramesvara is one and to be described as sat, cit and ananda, that He is one without a second, is beyond the gunas and is to be known from the Vedanta texts. It further on says that the best mantra is 'om saccidekam brahma' (III. 14). that those who perform the upāsanā of the Highest Brahma do not require other means of worship (sadhana); by sticking to this mantra man becomes brahma. In the 4th chap, however, the Mahāparinirvāna starts by saying that Durgā is the highest prakrti of Paramātmā, she has various names such as Kālī, Bhuvaneśvarī, Bagalā, Bhairavī, Chhinnamastakā, that she is Sarasvatī. Laksmī and Śakti, that she assumes various forms for securing the purpose of her devotees and for the destruction of demons. In the Kaliyuga perfection cannot be attained without following kula practices, which lead

^{1705.} Mudra has not here the sense of 'hand and finger poses' but one of the meanings that will be noted under mudra later

^{1706.} र्ति वेदैः किं पुराणेश्व किं शास्त्रेर्वह्वाभिः शिषे। विज्ञातेऽस्मिग्महातन्त्रे सर्वसिद्धीश्वरो भवेत्॥ महानिर्वाण II. 31.

to the knowledge of brahma and the man who possesses knowledge of brahma is a liberated soul, though living (he is Jivanmukta). Then there is high praise (IV, 10 ff) of Devi who is spoken of as the primordial Sakti (adya parama sakti) and all gods including Siva himself derive their powers from this Highest Śakti. A rather astounding statement is made in 1707 the words 'as there was partaking of wine and the rest in Satva. Treta and Dyapara yugas, one should do the same in Kalı-yuga. but in accordance with the kula way, and that Kali does not affect those who give to the truthful Yogin the five tattvas (wine &c.) sanctified according to the kula way'. Then a mantra of ten syllables is declared 'hrim srim krim paramesyari 1708 syāhā', by merely listening to which a man becomes Then by various combinations of the mystic rīvanmukta. syllables with Paramesyari and Kalika twelve mantras are produced (V. 18). But the mantras do not confer siddhi unless the kulācāra way is followed viz. the five tattvas 'madya' &c. are offered (V. 22-23). Then a Gayatri mantra is set out (V. 62-63) as 'adyāyai vidmahe Paramesvaryai dhīmahi! tan-nah Kāli pracodayāt II', which is to be muttered thrice daily. The Sankhya tattvas, Prakrti, Mahat, Ahankara &c. are welded on to the worship of Sakti and the Vedic mantra 'Hamsah sucisad' (Rg IV. 40. 5) with the Tantrik bija Hrim (V. 197). The Tantra gives directions for the sanctification of flesh (V. 206-208, where Rg. I 22, 20 'tadvisnoh paramam padam' is employed), of fish (V. 209-210) where the mantra 'Tryambakam' (Rg. VIII. 59. 12) is employed, of mudrā (V. 211-212 where the mantras 'Tad Visnoh paramam' and 'tad-vipraso' Rg. I. 22. 20-21, are employed) to be offered to DevI. The Mahaniryanatantra

^{1707.} सत्यनेताद्वापरेषु यथा मधादिसे उनम् । कलाविष तथा छुर्पात् कुलवर्ष्माछसारत ॥ ...कुलमार्गेण तत्त्वानि द्योधितानि च योगिने । ये द्यु सत्यवचसे न दि तान् वाधते कि । महानिर्वाणः IV. 56 and 60. It may be stated here that in the two editions of the महानिर्वाण there is a difference of a few verses here and there

¹⁷⁰⁸ In the तन्त्र works the letters of the bijas in mantras are often indicated in a roundabout or mystic way. One example about the माथितक जीज हीं may be set out here. प्राणेश्वरतेजसाखड़ी भेदण्डा क्योमिवन्दुमान् (महानियाण V. 10), here ह is पाणेश, र is तेजस, ई is भेदण्डा, क्योमिवन्दु is अञ्चलार and this gives the bija हीस, हीं and की are described in नित्यापोडिशिका॰ (I. 162-64) in a similar way. ही and श्री are respectively the बीजड़ of माया (or सुवनेश्वरी) and of छल्मी. Vide मानुकानियण्डु (Tantrik Texts Vol I. 5-22, pp 26-34 for बीजनियण्डु, pp 35-45 for मानुकानियण्डु i. e, for ओम् and letters of the alphabet from अ to क्ष) Every bija mantra must have the bindu on it, as in Hrim, Srim, Krim &c. 'चिन्दुयोगं विना बीजलायोगात्' (सेत्यन्थ p 50 on नित्यापोडिशिकाणेब).

(18th century) being composed after Śāktism had come into great ridicule and obloquy is rather sober. 1709 It says that women of good birth should simply smell wine and not drink it. while householder sādhakas should drink only as much as is contained in five cups, since by drinking too much men of good family incur the loss of siddhi. and should drink only so long as the wine quaffed does not make their eyes roll or does not make their mind confused. As to the last tattva (maithuna) the sādhaka was to confine himself to the woman he chooses as his Śakti (VI, 14) and if his wife is alive he is not to touch another woman with a vicious intent, otherwise he would go to hell. 1710 In keeping with its desire to present respectability along with tantrik practices, the Mahanirvana devotes chapter VIII. to the duties of varnas and asramas, the duties of the king, the duties of servants in general, provides 1711 that persons of all varnas are to marry within the varna and dine with persons of the same varna, except when engaged in Bhairavī cakra and Tattva-cakra (VIII, 150), when men of all varnas are like the best brahmanas, and no consideration of the castes of the participants arises nor any question about ucchista. It prescribes that no one has the adhikāra to engage in the performance of Tattvacakra unless he is a sadhaka endowed with knowledge of brahma. In that cakra the tattvas (wine and the others) should be collected and placed in front of the Devi, the mantra 'Hamsah' (Rg. IV. 40. 5) should be recited over all tattvas and the tattvas should be offered to the Highest Self with the verse 'Brahmarpanam brahma havir' (Bhagvadgitā IV. 24 = Mahānirvāna VIII. 214)

^{1709.} अलिपानं कुलसीणा गन्धस्तीकारलक्षणम्। साधवानां ग्रहस्थानां पश्चपात्रं प्रकारितत्म। अतिपानात्कुलीनाना सिद्धिहानिः मजायते। यावस्त चालभेदृद्दिष्टं पावस्त चालभेदृत्तिः मजायते। यावस्त चालभेदृद्दिष्टं पावस्त चालभेदृत्तिः मजायते। यावस्त्र चालभेदृत्तिः पश्चपानमत परम्॥ महानिर्वाणः VI. 194 The cup should be of gold or siver or of glass or of cocoanut shell but should not be more than five tolakas in capacity and not less than three 'पानपात्रं मकुर्वति न पञ्चतिलकाधिकम्। तोलकित्रिवयावसूनं स्वाणं राजतमेव च। अध्यत्त ज्ञाचनितं नारिकेलोद्भवं च व। महानिर्वाण VI. 187–188. For similar provisions about पानपात्र, compare कीलावलीनिर्णय VIII 55-56.

^{1710.} स्थितेषु स्तीयदारेषु श्लियमन्यो न संस्पृज्ञेत्। दुष्टेन चेतसा विद्वानन्यथा नारकी भवेत्॥ महानिर्वाणः VIII. 40.

^{1711.} संप्राप्ते भैरवीचक्र सर्वे वर्णा द्विजोत्तमाः। निवृत्ते भैरवीचक्रे सर्वे वर्णाः पृथकू पृथक् ॥ नामजातिविचारोस्ति नोल्डिटादिविच्चनम्। चक्रमध्ये गता वीरा मम रूपा नरास्वया॥ चक्राद्विनिचताः सर्वे स्वस्ववर्णाभ्रमोदितान्। लोक्तयात्रायसिद्धचर्य कुर्युः कर्म पृथकू पृथकू ॥ महानिर्वाणः VIII. 179-180, 197. The verse प्रवृत्ते भैरवीचक्र...पृथकू occurs also to कीलावलीनिर्णय VIII. 48-49 भैरवीचक्र and तत्त्वचक्र are described in महानिर्वाणः VIII. 154-176 and VIII. 204-219 respectively

and all the sādhakas should engage in drinking and eating. 1712 Chap. IX enumerates and describes ten samskāras from garbhādhāna to marriage for members of the three varnas and nine for śūdras (omitting upanayana), wherein Vedic mantras are prescribed as in the Dharmasūtras and smrtis. One interesting item is what is called Śaiva marriage, which is of two kinds, one entered into according to the rules of cakra and the other lasting for life. It is further provided that in Śaiva marriage¹⁷¹³ no question of varna or age arises and that if a man has children from a wife married in the brāhma form and also children of a Śaiva marriage, it is only the former that take as heirs and the latter are entitled only to food and raiment (IX. 261-264). Chapters X, XI, XII of the Mahānirvāna deal respectively with śrāddhas, prāyaścittas for sins and vyavahāra.

It is necessary now to turn to the Śāradātilaka which belongs to about the 11th century A. D. That work is divided into 25 patalas and contains over 4500 verses. It presents in the beginning a somewhat abstruse and involved philosophy. It says that Śiva is both nrguna and saguna, the former being different from Prakrti, the latter being associated with Prakrti. Then it describes the order of evolution and manifestation as follows:— From the Saguna Paramesvara described as "Sac-cidānandavibhava' Śakti 1714 proceeds; from the latter arises nāda (para) and from nāda arises bindu (para) which is divided into three viz. bindu (apara), nāda (apara) and bija; the first is indentified with Śiva, bīja being Śakti and nāda being the coming together of the two (Śīva and Śakti). Śakti creates the worlds, she is sabda-bhahma (I. 56) and is called parāśakti (I. 52) and paradevatā (I. 57). She flashes like lightning in the ādhāra-cakra. 1715

^{1712.} ततो बाह्मेण महाना समर्त्य परमात्मने। ब्रह्मज्ञे. साधके साध विदृष्णस्पान-भोजनम्॥ महानिर्वाण VIII 216 महा 13 often used in the sense of मन्त्र, vide कुलाण्ड XII. 18, बुद्धहारितसमृति VI 161, 163 मन्त्र and महा are both derived from the same root 'man' to think The ब्राह्म-महा is ओं सिबिदेक ब्रह्म

¹⁷¹³ वयोवर्णविचारोत्र शैवोद्वाहे न विद्यते। ıbid. IX. 279

¹⁷¹⁴ Räghavabbatta, the very learned commentator of the Sāradātilaka, who composed his commentary in Banaras in Vikrama year 1550 (1494 A. D.) explains that in the Sānkhya system Śakti is called Prakrti, in Vedānta Māyā and in Śivatantras Śakti

^{1715.} Vide ঘৰ্মানিক্ষা (Tantrik Texts, vol. II ed by Arthur Avalon) verses 4-49, Daksinā-mūrtisamhitā VII 11-16 for cakras and "Serpent Power" (by A Avalon, ed, of 1953) which contains an English translation (Continued on next page)

Sakti assumes the form of Kundalinī in the human body. From Sambhu in the form of bindu arise in order Sadāsiva, Īśa. Rudra, Visnu, Brahmā; from the avyakta bindu arise in order the mahat-tatīva, ahankāra and the other tatīvas mentioned in the Sānkhya system. Sakti is all-pervading and yet more subtle than the subtlest, she is the Kundalinī coiled like a serpent and manifests herself in the form of the fifty letters of the Sanskrit alphabet (from 'a' to 'ksa').

Before proceeding further some explanation of the six cakras which form an important constituent subject of several tantras is needed. There are said to be six cakras (centres) in the human body viz. Ādhāra or Mūlādhāra (at the base of the spine), Svādhisthāna (near the generative organ), Manipūra (near the navel), Anāhata (near the heart), Visuddha (near throat), and Ajnā (between the brows). Besides these, there is Brahmarandhra figured as the pericarp of the thousand-petalled lotus

(Continued from last page)

of प्रचारानिस्पण in which plate I shows the positions of the six cakras also called lotuses (padma), plates II to VII facing pp. 356, 365, 370, 382, 392. 414 illustrate the six chakras from Muladhara to Ajua together with their colours, numbers of petals, letters, the devatas in each and other details These are drawings used by the Yogis. Plate VIII facing p 430 illustrates 'sahasrāra'. Vide C, W. Leadbeater's work on 'the chakras' (Adyar. 1927), in which the author claims that the illustrations of the cakras represent them as they actually appear to those who can see them and on p. 56 he furnishes a table of the colours of the lotus petals as observed by Leadbeater and his friends, and as described in पतन्तकनिरूपण, जिल्लाहिता and गुरुद्वपुराण, The Rudrayamala (17th Patala, verse 10) speaks of Kundali as 'Atharvavedacakrasthā Kundalī paradevatā', verses 21-24 speak of Kundalinī passing from Muladhara-cakra and reaching the crown of the head that has the thousand-petalled lotus, that when united with Siva he (sadhaka) drinks nectar there. Rudrayamala (27 58-70) dilates on the six cakras and the Sabasrāra together with the dalas (petals) and letters assigned to each, A stern warning has to be given that none should try to experiment about the calras by reading books or try to rouse the Kundalini except under the guidance of a real Master in Yoga, as otherwise very dangerous consequences would follow. Even as regards wrong methods of Pranavama and Dharana, the Vayupurana (chap XI 37-60) states that Yoga practices by ignorant men result in duliness of intelligence, deafness, dumbness, blindness, loss of memory, premature old age and disease and it specifies certain remedies to cure these defects. The present author knew a person who practised pranayama continuously for long periods becoming atone deal, though otherwise he was strong and muscular, and an expert in car diseases declared on examination that there was no possibility of his recovery by the methods of modern medical science.

within the crown of the head. The cakras are often indentified with the nerve plexuses of modern physiology, but the descriptions in Sanskrit works of the Kundalini and the cakras are meant to refer not to the gross body but rather to what is situated in the subtle body that vanishes when a man dies. of the relevant Sanskrit texts is that the Kundalini Sakti ('Kundalin' means a serpent) is asleep in the Mülädhära-cakra coiled like a serpent and has to be roused by the practices of Yoga and deep meditation 1716 The Śāradātılaka asks in an eloquent stanza the sadhaka to meditate upon the Kundalini which when roused passes from the Mülädhära-cakra by means of the Susumnā-nādī (which is in the centre of the spinal column) through all the six cakras, unites with Siva in the Sahasrara (thousand-netalled) cakra and then returns to Miūlādhāra. Each of the six cakras is said to have a certain number of petals viz. 4, 6, 10, 12, 16, 2 (50 in all) in order from Mūlādhāra to Ajñā (vide Rudrayāmala, 17th Patala, verses 55-56). The letters of the alphabet also are 50 (from 'a' to 'ksa') and they are assigned in groups to the six cakras as follows: ha and ksa to Ajñā, 16 vowels to Visuddha in the throat, letters ka to tha (12 in all) to Anahata, letters Da to Pha (10) to Manipura, ba to la (6 in all) to Svadhisthana, letters va to sa (4) to Mūlādhāra. Some tantras state the colour of each of the six cakras and identify them with the five elements and the mind. These speculations of the Yoga and Tantras are

^{1716.} देवीभागवत XI 1. 43 is आधारे लिङ्गनाभिमकदितहृदये तालुसूले ललादे ष्ट्रे पत्रे पोडशारे दिवशदशदलदावशार्धे चतुप्ते। नासान्ते वालमध्ये उफकउसहिते अण्ठदेशे र्गराणा ह क्षं तत्त्रार्थयुक्त सकलदलगत वर्णस्य नमामि॥; that nectar flows over खुण्ठलिनी when it reaches सहसार is stated in verse 47 of the same 'त्रकाशमाना प्रथमे मयाणे प्रतित्रयाणेप्यसृतायमानाम्। अन्त. पद्यव्यामन्तराद्यरन्तीमानन्दरूपामन्नली प्रपये॥, मलोक्तित्रभुजङ्गराजमहिषी यान्तीं सुप्रम्नान्तरं भिष्ताधारसमृहमाञ् विलससीदामिनी -साकिभाम्॥ वयोमाम्भोजगतेन्द्रमण्डलगलद्विष्यामृतीयहुता सम्भाव्य स्वयुद्ध गर्ता पुनारेमी सञ्चिन्तयेत्कुण्वलीम्॥ ज्ञारदा॰ 25 65 , vide ibid. 25. 78 for the वर्णs assigned to the six चक्र मुल and स्वयुद्ध in (verse 65) mean the मूलाधारचक and मुजाराजमहिंपी means कुण्डलिनी. Vide पर्चकनिक्षण verse 53 also, for the stream of nectar flowing over कुण्डलिनी in सहस्रारपदा Vide मन्त्रमहोद्धि IV 19-25, ज्ञानार्णपतन्त्र (24 45-54), महानिर्वाणतन्त्र V. 113-115, for the number of petals in cakras, their colours, the letters assigned to each and their identification with the five elements and mind and सीन्दर्गेलहरी verse 9 'मही मलाधारे ..सहस्रारे पन्ने सह रहासि प्रया निहरसे ॥ for identifying the five elements and the mind with the six cakras. In 'Sarasyatibhavana Studies' Vol II, pp 83-92 Pandit Gopinath Kavirāja describes the system of cakras according to Goraksanātha The रद्रपामल (36, 6-168) sets out 1008 names of फुल्डलिनी, all of which begin with the letter 45.

developments of the ancient Upanisad theories briefly noticed below. 1717

Letters form words and words form mantras, that are the power incarnate of Śakti. Then the Śāradātilaka describes āsana, mandapa, kunda, mandala, pīthas (on which images of gods are to be placed), diksā (initiation), prānapratisthā (vivifying images), production of sacrificial fire. The Saradatilaka (I. 109 and V. 81-91), the Varivasyārahasya (II. 80). the Parasurāmakalpasūtra (I. 4, 'Sat-trimsat tattvāni visvam') and other Tantrik and Agamic works enumerate 36 tattyas (including those of the sankhya system). From chap. VII. to XXIII mantras of different deities, their formation, use and results, abhisekas and mudrās are described. Yantras are dealt with in chap, XXIV and Yoga in chap, XXV. It must be said to the credit of the Śaradatilaka that it treats of only mantras and mudrās and hardly anywhere treats of the other makāras. The Śaradatilaka has been profusely quoted as an authoritative Tantra by medieval Dharmaśāstra writers like Govindānanda. Raghunandana, Kamalākara, Nīlakantha, Mitramiśra and orthers. In J. G J. R I. vol. III. pp 97-108 M. M. Gopinath Kavirāja contributes a learned paper on nāda, bindu and kalā and takes great pains to elucidate these and hopes that his exposition will make the meaning of these words clear (p. 103). But the author feels grave doubts whether most readers will find the meanings clear.

Many tantras speak of the five makāras as the means of worshipping Devī, as enabling a man to possess miraculous

^{1717.} From the times of the Upanisads the heart is likened to a lotu and it is said "There are hundred and one Nadis (arteries or veins) of the heart, one of them penetrates the crown of the head, moving upwards by it a man (who is emancipated) reaches immortality '' Vide 'अथ यदिदमस्मिन बह्मपुरे दहरं पुण्डरीक वेश्म दहरोऽस्मिक्षन्तराकाशस्त्रस्मिन्यदन्तस्तदन्तेष्टन्यं तद्वाव विजिज्ञासितन्यामिति। छा. उप. VIII 1.1., तदेप श्लोक । शतं चैका हृदयस्य नाडचस्तासा मूर्घानमभिनिः सृतैका। तयोध्वमायज्ञभुतत्वमेति विव्वह्डस्या उत्क्रमणे भवन्ति। छा. उप. VIII 6,6 The same verse (हातं चैका) is कठोप VI 16 and compare मक्सोप, III 6 for a similar statement; compare ते. स् 111 2 7 'तदभावी नाडीपु तच्छुतेरात्माने च । and IV. 2 17; in the भारत on IV, 2 17 इङ्किराचार्य quotes the verse 'इतं चैका। &c. The मिता. on सा. 111. 103-109 refers to इहा, पिङ्गला, सुपुम्ना and बह्मसम्भ्र and the उज्जयामल (VI. 49) speaks of ten nadis of which the three Ida &c represent Soma, Surya and Agni मैञ्युपानिपङ् VI. 21 is. अधान्यवाष्युक्तम्। ऊर्ध्वगा नाही सुपुम्नाख्या माणसञ्चारिणी ताल्वन्तर्विन्छिला। The word is written sometimes as सुपुरुणा. The भूर उप. II. 1. 19 speaks of 72000 nadis that start towards Puritat from the heart and q, III 108 also says the same,

powers and as leading to final liberation. The Kulārnava states the great Bhairava has prescribed that in the Kaula system Siddhi (perfection) results from those very substances by (resorting to) which (ordinarily) men incur sin'. That means that the Kaula system eradicates poison by poison or, to employ modern terminology, its principles are like those of Homeopathy. 1718

The Tantras seem to be not unaware that in prescribing the five makāras as leading to mukti (final liberation) they were playing with fire. The Kulārnava itself remarks (II, 117-119 and 122) 'If by merely drinking wine a man were to attain siddhi (miraculous powers, perfection), then all wretched drunkards may attain siddhi. If, by merely eating meat a holy goal were to be secured then all meat-eaters in the world would be holy men. If by mere intercourse with a woman (called śaktı) moksa was to result, then all men in the world may attain liberation. To follow the path (of Kula) is indeed more unattainable than walking on the edge of a sword, than clinging to a tiger's neck, than holding a serpent (in one's hand)' The Kulārnava prefaces the preceding dicta by the words 'Many, who are devoid of traditional knowledge and who profane (the śāstra) by false ideas, imagine that the Kaulika doctrine is this and that, relying on their (poor) intellect' (II. 116)

The Devibhāgavata provides (XI. 1. 25) that so much of tantra as is not opposed to the Veda is undoubtedly authoritative (vedāvirodhi cet tantram tat pramānam na samšayaḥ), but what is opposed to Veda is not authoritative.

There appears to have been great rivalry between the Hindu and Buddhist tantras The Śaktisangama-tantra, one of the most popular and most exhaustive works on tantra, states that Devi manifests herself for the destruction of Bauddha and other heretical sects, for the removal of the confusing admixture of

¹⁷¹⁸ येरेव पतन दृष्ये सिद्धिस्तैरेव चोदिता। श्रीकोलदर्शने चापि भैस्तेण महासमा। कुलार्णव V. 48, vide ज्ञानसिद्धि (Buddhist tantra) I 15 'कर्मणा येन वै सचा. कल्पकोदिशतान्यपि। प्रचयते नरके घोरे तेन योगी विसुन्यते ॥ 'and compare मज्ञोपायः (Buddhist) V. p. 23 verses 24-25 'जनयित्री स्वसारं च स्वप्रत्रीं भागिनेपिकास्। कामयन् तत्त्वयोगेन लघु सिध्येत साधकः॥' (both works in 'Two vajrayāna texts,' G O S) Bagchi in 'Studies in tantras' (pp 36-37) shows that according to some Tāntrik works the words जनयित्री, स्वस्, भागिनेयी have esoteric meanings and not the ordinary meanings But in the context in which they are employed in the two vajrayāna texts, it is rather difficult to hold that they are employed in any esoteric or symbolic sense.

different cults, for the establishment of the (true) cult, for the protection of what the brāhmanas stand for and for the perfect attainment of mantra-śāstra. 1719 The Bauddha tantras, on the other hand, were not slow to retaliate.

It would not be entirely out of place if a few words were devoted to the Bauddha Tantras, particularly of the Vairavana. It has already been shown above (pp. 943-44 note 1516) that all Buddhists, whether of the hinayana or mahayana type, were required to observe strict rules and regulations such as those of pañcaśilas and of taking refuge with Buddha, Dharma and Sangha and of daśaśilas (for monks). The goal of nirvana (particularly under Mahāyāna doctrines) could be reached only after a very long time or after several births. As luxuries viz. flesh, fish, wine and women had been banned, the general mass' of the people and probably monks also were tired of the strict mode of life and long waiting for the goal. Buddhist Tantras like the Guhyasamāja (that belongs to the Vajrayāna school) provided an easy process by which liberation and even Buddhahood could be secured in a short time and even in 1720 one life and averred that Bodhisattvas and Buddhas attained the seatof dharma by enjoying all objects of pleasure as they 1721 pleased. The word Vaira means both 'diamond' and 'thunderbolt'. The first meaning appears to have been principally meant in the Guhyasamāja but the 2nd meaning also must have been sometimes intended. Vajra denotes anything which is hard like a diamond. In the Guhyasamājatantra the word Vaira, either singly or in compounds, occurs hundreds of times. Käya (body), Vak (speech) and citta (mind) are called 'trivajra' (Guhya, pp. 31, 35, 36, 43). Numerous other things 1722 are called 'vajra',

^{1719.} आविर्भवति देवेशि दिग्योषद्वारतः शिवे। बौद्धपाषण्डनाशार्थ संमदायार्थमेव च। संमदायसङ्कराणां विनाशार्थमिहेश्वरि। संमदायस्थापनार्थं बाह्मण्यरक्षणाय च। मन्त्रशास्त्रस्य सिद्धयर्थमाविर्भवति पार्वति। शक्तिसङ्गम॰, कालीखण्ड I. 17–19.

^{1720.} तिर्वेषे जन्माने ग्रह्मसमाजाभिरतो नोधिसत्तः सर्वतथागतानां बुद्ध इति संख्यां गच्छति। ग्रह्मस॰ p 144; vide ज्ञानसिद्धि I. ५ ये तु सत्त्वाः समास्द्धाः सर्वसङ्कृत्यवर्जिताः । ते स्पृज्ञान्ति परा नोधि जन्मनीहैव साधकाः॥ and also प्रज्ञोपाय॰ V. 16.

^{1721.} सर्वेकामोपभोगैश्च सेन्यमानैर्यथेन्छतः। अनेन खलु योगेन लघु बुद्धत्वमाद्भुवात् ॥ दुष्फरेर्रोनेयमैस्तीद्वे. सेन्यमानो न सिध्यति॥...बुद्धाश्च बोधिसत्त्वाश्च मन्त्रन्वर्योग्रन्वारिणः। श्राप्ता धर्मासनं श्रेष्ठ सर्वेकामोपसेवनैः॥ ग्रग्नस 7th पटल p. 27.

^{1722.} Vids Winternitz's 'History of Indian Literature' (English ir.) vol. I, p 388 for the application of the word 'vajra' to many things. It may (Continued on next page)

such as the śwnya (the Absolute of the Mādhyamaka school) and also Vijūāna (consciousness), which is the sele reality according to the Yogācāra school, and the Mahāsukha (Bliss) added by the Śaktas. It also means the male organ in the mystic language of Śāktas. Though the original Buddhist rules insisted on ahimsā, Guhyasamāja permitted several kinds of flesh, such as that of elephants, horses, dogs and even human 1733 flesh. Early Buddhism insisted upon truthfulness and continence (brahmacarya); vajrayāna, being an innovating revolt, allowed the killing of all animals, speaking untruth, intercourse with women (including even incest with the mother, sister 1724 and daughter) and appropriating wealth not donated by any one. This was called vajramārga (the path of vajra), which is said to have been the doctrine of all Buddhas.

The Prajñopāya (I. 20) describes the state reached by the Vajrayāna method as follows. 'It is neither duality nor non-duality, it is full of peace, beneficent, present everywhere, to be realised by one's own self, steady (unchanging), undisturbed and full of Prajñā (wisdom) and Upāya (activity with compas-

(Continued from last page)

be noted that the Jöänasiddh II. 11 (Buddhist work) states 'श्लीन्त्रियं ख यथा पदां वन्ने मुसेन्द्रियं तथा॥, श्लून्यता is called वन्न because it is दृढं सारमसी(सं?) श्लीर्थमच्छेग्राभेद्यलक्षणस्। अद्गाहि अविनाशि च शून्यता वन्नसुच्यते॥ अद्ग्यन्त्रसम् (G. O. S. pp. 23, 37) This is somewhat like the doctrine of Brahma and Atman in the Bhagavadgitā II. 23-25 (नैने झिन्द्रन्ति शक्षाणि &c.). ज्ञानसिन्ति p. 76 explains: सर्वसच्चेषु महाकचणाप्रमाणाद्यत जीधिचित्त वन्न इत्यर्थ. 1 e. वन्न and जोधिचित्त (Enlightenment) are synonymous न द्वर्य नाद्वय शान्ते शिवे सर्वत्र सरिधतस्। प्रसारसेव्यमचलं प्रज्ञोपायमनाकुलस्॥ प्रज्ञोपाय॰ I. 20; प्रज्ञापारमिता सेव्या सर्वधा स्ति। सराक्षियि:।...ललनाव्यमास्थाय सर्वत्रेव व्यवस्थिता। अतीर्थ वन्ननायेन प्रोक्ता बाह्यार्थसम्भवा॥ प्रजीपाय॰ V. 22-23.

1723. मासाहाराविकुत्यार्थं महामास प्रकल्पयेत्।...हस्तिमासं इयमासं इयानमासं स्थोचमस्। भक्षेदाहारकुत्यार्थं न प्वान्यस् विभक्षयेत्। प्रिपो भवति सुद्धाना बोधिसस्वस् धीमतास्। अनेन खहु योगेन लघु सुद्धत्वमामुयात्। ग्रह्मसमाजः 6th पटल p. 26; vide ज्ञानसिद्धि of इन्द्रमुद्धि I. 12–14 for similar verses, प्राणितश्च त्वया पात्या वस्तव्य प्रस्ता प्रवा प्रवा । अहस् स्व त्वया पात्या वस्तव्य प्रस्ता प्रवा प्रवा । अहस् स्व त्वया ग्राह्म सेवनं योषितामिषि॥

1924. अनेन वज्रमार्गेण बज्रसस्तान् पचोद्देव्। एषो हि सर्वबुद्धाना समयः परम-भाग्वतः॥ ग्रह्मस॰, 16th परछ p 120, चे प्रद्वयाभिरता नित्यं सामरताश्च पे।...मातुभिनिनी-प्रज्ञीश्च सामयेशस्त साधकः। स सिद्धिं विषुळा गच्छेत् महायानाग्रधर्मताम्। ग्रह्मस 5th पढळ p 20, 'सर्वाङ्कुलिसनाया वा न कुर्याद्वमाननाम्। क्षियं सर्वकुळोत्पका पूजयेद वज्रधारिणीम्॥ चण्डाळकुलसम्भूता डोम्मिका वा विशेषतः। जुग्रप्तितकुळोत्पका सेवयन् सिद्धिमामुगाद्। भ्रानिसिद्धि I 80 and 82 Vide Dr. Guenther's exposition of this passage and of a similar one from Prajūopāya, V. 25 in 'Yuganaddha' pp. 106-109, Vide Dr. S. B Das-Gupta in 'Introduction to täntrik Buddhism' p 114. sion) and it further provides (V. 22-23) that 'by those who hanker after liberation perfection of wisdom must be sought (resorted to or adored) in all ways. This perfection of wisdom is everywhere present assuming the form of woman'. Prajūā was linked up with an intense state of emotion called Sukha or Mahāsukha (great bliss). "It being of the nature of endless bliss is designated mahāsukha; it is beneficent all round, most eminent and conduces to complete enlightenment' (Prajūopāya. I. 27) and 'this all Buddha knowledge which is by its nature to be experienced by one's inmost self is called Mahāsukha (great bliss) since it is the most eminent of all pleasures' (Jūānasiddhi VII. 3). The word Prajūā is feminine in gender and therefore some Vajrayāna writers identified Prajūā with woman; by erotic symbolism and far-fetched analogies the cult of woman was statted.

Dr. H. V. Guenther has published a book styled 'Yuganaddha' propounding the Tantrik view of life based only on Buddhist Tantras and endeavours to prove in that work (of about 190 pages) that the Buddhist Tantrikas try to restore life in its entirety, which is neither an indulgence in passions nor a rejection and escape, but a complete reconciliation to the hard facts of life, that the sexual aspect of the Tantras is but the corrective against the one-sided intellectualism and rationalism of mere philosophy which is unable to cope with the problems of everyday life and that the symbol of Yuganaddha points to the unique harmony and interpenetration of masculinity and feminity, of blunt truth and symbolic truth, of intellect and humanity. It is not possible even to summarize this work or criticize it here. The core of the doctrine of the Vajrayana tantras is found in the passages quoted in notes 1720-21, 1723-24. The argument is: according to these Tantras perception of wholeness is the most joyous of all human experiences and man's experience will not be full but only partial if he has no experience of feminity i. e. of everything female. He may experience feminity through all female members of his family, Therefore, it is not to be wondered at, says Dr. Guenther, that 'this experience so often has an incestuous character'. Then he offers (on pp 106-112) a lengthy explanation of what he means which, the present author has to confess, is not quite clear to his moderate mental abilities. Dr. Guenther appears to be steeped in all the latest theories of modern psychologists like those of Freud and tries to expound that Buddhist authors of the 8th century A. D. like Anangavajra and Indrabhūti had plumbed the depths of the psychical life on the lines of modern psycho-analysis. Granting for a moment all that Dr. Guenther says about bi-sexuality, about sexual partnership being the best expression for the most intimate relation between two opposites, about woman being for the male a material object and a goddess, the present writer feels that one question appears to have been not satisfactorily answered or explained, viz. why did not the Buddhist Tantrikas simply exhort the sadhaka to understand the emotions, viewpoints and value of woman as a mother, sister, wife, daughter or as a woman in general and why did they frequently and blatantly harp upon sexual intercourse of even an incestuous kind as a quick method of realizing the goal?

The Guhyasamāja-tantra puts forward a quick and short method for realising Buddhahood and for the attainment of miraculous powers (siddhis) through the processes of Yoga. -The siddhis are said to be of two kinds. Samanya (ordinary, 1725 such as becoming invisible) and Uttama (highest 1. e. attaining Buddhahood). Four means for securing ordinary siddlus are mentioned and they are called Varra-catuska. It is further provided that the best siddhi is acquired by the nectar of knowledge due to the six angas 1726 of Yoga (and by no other way) viz Pratyāhāra, Dhyāna, Prānīyāma, Dhīranā, Anusmrti and Samadhi. It is worthy of note that the first three angas of yoga mentioned in the Yogasūtra vız. yama, nıyama¹⁷²¹ and āsana are omitted and a new one, Anusmrti, is added. Yama could not be included because to the Guhyasamāja it did not matter if the sädhaka ate flesh, or indulged in sexual intercourse or told lies, while in Yogasūtra yamas are ahımsā, satya (truthfulness), asteya (not appropriating anything that does not belong to one), brahmacarya (sexual purity) and apararaha (non-acceptance of gifts). Niyamas could not be included because among the five

^{1725.} अन्तर्धानादयः सिद्धाः (१ सिद्धयः) सामान्या इति कीर्तिता.। सिद्धिश्चमः मित्पातुर्बुष्श्या बुद्धत्वसाधनम्॥ चतुर्विधसुपाय तु बोधिवन्त्रेण वर्णितम्।...सेवाविधानं प्रधमं द्वितीयसुपसाधनम्। साधनं तु तृतीय वे महासाधन चतुर्धेकम्॥ सामान्योत्तमभेदेन सेवा तु 'द्विविधा भवेत्। वर्त्र चतुष्केण सामान्यसुत्तमं ज्ञानामृतेन च॥ सुत्समाद्यः 18th पटल p. 162.

^{1726.} उत्तमे ज्ञानामृते चैत्र कार्ये योगपढङ्ग्याः। सेवा पढङ्गयोगेन क्रत्वा साधनम्रजनम् । साधयेवन्यधा नैव जायते सिद्धिरुत्तमा। प्रत्याहारस्तथा ध्यानं प्राणायामोऽध धारणा। अद्धस्तिः समाधिश्च पढङ्गो योग उत्यते। ग्रह्मसमाज pp 163. All these six are defined on pp. 163–164. अञ्चरमृति is defined as 'स्थिर तु वज्रमार्गेण स्कारयीत स्वधातुनु। विभाष्य यद्द्वसमुत्या तदाकारं तु संस्मरेत्। अद्धस्मृतिरिति ज्ञेया प्रतिभासोऽत्र जायते ॥ ग्रह्मसः p. 164

^{1727.} For यम and नियम vide note 1525 above and the eight angas of योग are: यम-नियम-आसन-भाषापाम-प्रत्याहार-धारणा-स्यान-समाधरीऽधारङ्गानि। योगसूत्र II, 29

niyamas are svādhyāya (Veda study) and (Īśvarapranidhāna) devotion to or surrender to God. Many Bauddhas reviled the Veda and acknowledged no Supreme Deity. The Guhyasamāja introduced Yogic practices for quickly securing Buddhahood. The idea of allowing flesh and sexual intercourse seems to have been that the Yogin is to be indifferent as to what he does so long as he is striving for the goal of Buddhahood, for development of his psychic life and that he may disregard all social conventions and rules. 1728 Another innovation of the Vajrayana was the introduction of upasana of Sakti for liberation through Yoga. The Guhya-samāja provides that if even after making efforts for six months, the sadhaka has no realisation, he may repeat his efforts for three times more and if even after that he has no enlightenment he should resort to Hathayoga and then he would attain correct knowledge through Yoga. A further innovation was the theory of the five Dhyani-buddhas, 1729 emanating from the Bhagavan, who represent the five Skandhas or fundamental principles of which the whole creation is composed and each of whom was associated with a female Sakti. The teaching of the Guhyasamāja is that if psychical power and miraculous siddhis are to be developed females must be associated with those who undertake Yogic exercises to achieve their ends. Thus the prophecy of the founder of Buddhism pronounced, when yielding to the pressure and entreaties of his favourite disciple Ananda for allowing women to be members of the sangha and to be nuns. that on account of this innovation his system would stand fast only for five hundred years, though otherwise it would have lasted for a thousand years, was literally fulfilled (vide Cullavagga

^{1728.} भदयाभक्ष्यविनिर्धेक्त. पेयापेयविवर्जित.। गम्यागम्यविनिर्धक्ती भवेद्योगी समाहितः॥ ज्ञानसिद्धि 1, 18, ग्रम्यागम्यादिसङ्कर्त्यं नात्र कुर्यात् कदाचन । मायोपमादियोगेन भोक्तक्यं सर्वमेव हि॥ वज्रोपाय॰ p 23 v. 29.

^{1729.} Vide Dr. Bhattacharya's Introduction to Guhyasamaja-tantra p. XIX and his Intro. to B. E. pp. 32-33, 70, 80-81, 121, 128-130 for the theory of Dhyani-buddhas, their saktis, kulas, the meaning of kula &c. On p. 32 of B. E. Dr Bhattacharya observes 'we have already mentioned that Buddhism was a challenge to and repudiation of earlier Brahmanism. It was now the part of Tantrik Buddhism to challenge the authority of Buddha and repudiate the original Buddhism. All kinds of worldly enjoyments were forbidden (by Buddha), especially wine, women, fish, meat and exciting food All these the Tantrikas of the later age introduced into their religion and even went so far as to declare that without these emancipation

X. 1. 6 in Vinaya Texts, vol. III. S. B. E. XX p. 325). If we accept 483 B. C. as the date of Buddha's Pariniryana (as many scholars hold) or 477 B. C. (as A. Foucher holds) 500 years therefrom would bring us to the first century A. D. and it is clear that by a century or two after that time much of Buddha's teaching appears to have been almost completely swamped by doctrines of Mahayana and Vajrayana Tantras. By a strange irony of fate Buddha's 'dharma-cakra-prayartana' came to be transformed into 'adharma-cakra-pravartana' by many of his so-called followers of the Varrayana. In the Maha-parinibbanasutta V. 23 (S. B. E. vol XI. p. 91) the Buddha was very strict and warned bhikkhus not to see bhikkhunis, not to talk to them if they could not avoid seeing them, and to be wide awake if a nun talked to them. Buddha severely rebuked one of his disciples for showing his miraculous powers (vide p. 1037 and n. 1672 above), but Guhyasamāja and other Buddhist tantras make provision for endowing the sadhaka with miraculous powers, such as causing rainfall in case of drought (Guhyasamāja p. 84), killing an enemy by magical rites over an effigy of the enemy (1bid. p. 96). Besides, the Guhyasamāja knows the six cruel or terrible magic acts (called 'satkarmāni), viz. Śāntı (rite for averting disease or black magic), vasikarana (bewitching women and men and even gods), stambhana (stopping the movements or actions of others), viduesana (creating enmity between two friends or two persons who love each other), uccatana (making a person or enemy flee from the country, town er village), mārana (killing or causing permanent injury to living beings). The Guhyasamāja mentions these six (putting 'ākarsana' for 'vidvesana') respectively at pp. 168, 165, 96, 87 (Akarsana), 81, 130. Vide Sādhanamālā pp. 368-369 for the same and for the shape of mandalas and times for each of Even the rather sober Śāradātılaka-tantra the six cruel rites. mentions these six (23, 122), defines them (23, 123-125), provides that Rati, Vani (speech or Sarasvati), Rama, Jyestha, Durgā and Kāli are respectively the six deities of these six cruel acts and must be worshipped at the commencement, that six periods of ten ghatikas from sunrise are respectively appropriate to these six and so also are certain seasons (23. 126-139). It is most astounding that the Prapancasara (23.5) ascribed to the great advaita teacher Sankarācārya describes at length a mantra called Trailokya-mohana for accomplishing the above six cruel acts.

Both Hindu and Buddhist Tantras¹⁷³⁰ lay great emphasis on the importance and qualifications of the quru. The Bauddha Tantras have the highest praise for the guru. The Jaanasiddki (13.9-12) prescribes high qualifications and the Prainopavaviniscava-siddhi (III. 9-16) contains a grand culogy of the guru. identifying him with Buddha and calling him omniscient and so on. The Advavasiddhi of Laksminkara (about 729 A. D.). who started the astounding doctrine that one should offer worship to one's own body wherein all gods reside, says that in the three worlds there is none higher than the ācārya. In the Nityotsaya of Umananda-natha, pupil of Bhasurananda-natha Bhāskararāya as he was called before he took dīksā) the guru Bhāskararāya is praised in the following hyperbolical terms 1731 'to whom no part of the earth was unseen (owing to his yogic sight), there was no king who was not his slave, to whom no śastra was unknown; why use more words, whose form was the highest Sakti herself.' But the Jñāna-siddhi and Kulārnava (XIII. 128) warn against gurus who falsely pretend to know the truth and give instruction in dharma from greed for money. The Kularnava (ullasas XII and XIII) are devoted to the qualifications and greatness of guru. The Śāradātilaka also sets out the qualifications of the tantrika guru (II, 142-144) and of the disciple (III.145-152). 1732 The guru among other matters 'must know the essence of all Agamas and the principles and meaning of all sastras, he must be one whose words come out true, who has a quiet mind, who has profoundly studied the Veda and its meaning, who follows the path of Yoga and whose bearing is as beneficent as that of a deity.' Among the many requirements about a disciple, 1733 one is that he must keep secret the mantra and pūjā imparted by his guru. The disciple places the guru's feet on his head and surrenders his body, wealth and even life to

^{1730.} आचार्यात्वरतरं नास्ति बैलोक्ये सचराचरे। यस्य प्रसादात्याप्यन्ते सिद्धपोऽ-नेकधा बुधैः। Intro, to साधनमाला vol. II. p LXIV-LXV.

^{1731.} यस्याद्धो नेन सूमण्डलांशो यस्याद्धासी निद्यते न क्षितीशः। यस्याद्धातं नेन शास्त्रं किमन्ये. यस्याद्धातं नेन शास्त्रं किमन्ये. यस्याद्धातं तेन शास्त्रं किमन्ये. या परा शक्तित्व ॥ Introductory verse 4 of the नित्योत्स्व. Dr. B. Bhattacharya ın hıs Intro. to the ग्रह्मसमाज p XIII has completely misunderstood this verse when he translates it as 'the Parā Saktı is she to whom no part of the wide universe remains unseen &c.'.

¹⁷³² सर्वागमाना सारज्ञः सर्वज्ञास्त्रार्थतन्त्रवित्।...अमोधवन्तनः ज्ञान्तो वेदवेदार्थपारगः। योगमार्गात्रसन्धायी देवताद्वदयङ्कमः। ज्ञारद्वाः II. 142-144.

^{1733,} मन्त्रपूजारहस्यानि यो गोपयति सर्वदा। शारदाः II, 151,

The necessity of a guru for the acquisition of esoteric placeful is stressed by the Upanisads also. For, example the function is can be understood well only when expeunded in medical, is can be understood well only when expeunded in medical; the Chandogya (IV. 9. 3) says 'Sir, I have heard non med like you that only knowledge that is learnt from a same latis to real good'. The Lingapurāna 1735 and others are that guru is identical with Siva and the rewards of devotion to Siva and the guru are the same. The Kulārnava (XI. 46) emphasives that the order of the succession of gurus, the Agamas, Amaira has and practices—all these when learnt from the line of a guru become fruitful and not otherwise. The Prapañoasian matrix is the disciple should consider in his mind that the same and should repeat a hundred that a same has a same that he received by the favour of the guru.'

The interest of the development of psychic forces and purious of mantras, mudrās, nyāsa, mandalas, cakras and yenes. The espect for the guru sometimes reached amongst Tantrik writers to extreme and disgusting lengths as the note below will indicate.

1734. नैवा तकेंग मिर 1735. यो ट्रक स कि विधा तथा है। शिव-इक्तिमयो है। । इस्टेब पर्ट असमें असमें एढं पर्ट कार्थित-इस्टो इस्टो इस्टेब पर्ट असमें इस्टेब पर्ट असमें इस्टेब पर्ट असमें असमें इस्टेब पर्ट असमें असमें इस्टेब पर्ट असमें असमें इस्टेब पर्ट असमें

स हि. सुज्ञानाय प्रेष्ठ । कट II. 9.

ा. स्मृतः। यथा शिवस्तथा विद्या यथा सहश फलम्। सर्वदेवसयो देवि सर्व-ग्रुप्तिल्प्पुर्युद्धेने महेश्वरः। ; in the लिल्तीपाख्यान in the : स्वयम्। सिल्डिण्यालुम्बाधीय .। अन्तत्वद्देनो ज्ञह्मा श्रीष्ठरुare the same as to both, The question • de, The शारदातिलक भणमेद दण्डनस्मी हर्ष भाणं च सर्वे तसी नेवरं सम्भावयन्

देषेत्रि तस्य पुण्यं न

the guru. The necessity of a guru for the acquisition of esoteric philosophy is stressed by the Upanisads also. For, example the Kathopanisad 1734 says 'this knowledge cannot be obtained by ratiocination, it can be understood well only when expeunded by another'; the Chandogya (IV. 9.3) says 'Sir, I have heard from men like you that only knowledge that is learnt from a teacher leads to real good'. The Lingapurana 1735 and others sav that guru is identical with Siva and the rewards of devotion to Siva and to the guru are the same. The Kulārnava (XI, 46) emphasizes that the order of the succession of gurus, the Agamas, Amnaya, mantra and practices - all these when learnt from the lips of a guru become fruitful and not otherwise. The Prapañcasāra provides 'the disciple should consider in his mind that guru, devatā and mantra are one and should repeat a hundred times the mantra that he received by the favour of the guru,'

The Vedānta system requires for its understanding high intellectual and moral attainments and could be followed by only a few gifted souls. It is claimed that Tantras provide a method which assists men of ordinary intellect and which utilizes visual and physical processes for the attainment of spiritual experience, for the development of psychic forces and for the quick attainment of liberation by such practices as the repetition of mantras, mudrās, nyāsa, mandalas, cakras and yantras. The respect for the guru sometimes reached amongst Tāntrik writers to extreme and disgusting lengths as the note below will indicate. 1736

^{1734.} नैवा तर्केण मतिरापनेया प्रोक्तान्येनैव सज्जानाय प्रेष्ट । कठ II. 9.

¹⁷³⁵ यो छुदः स शिवः प्रोक्तो यः शिवः स छुदः स्मृतः। यथा शिवस्तथा विद्या पथा विद्या तथा छुदः॥ शिव-विद्याखरोस्तस्माद् भवस्या च सहशं फलम्। सर्वदेवनयो देवि सर्व-शिक्तमयो हि सः॥ लिङ्गपुराण I 85. 164-165; छुद्रभेद्धा छुद्रविष्णुर्धद्रदेवी महेन्द्ररः। छुद्रदेव परं ब्रह्म तस्मै श्रीछुद्रवे नमः॥ देवीभागवत XI 1. 49; in the लिलतोपाख्यान in the ब्रह्माण्डसु it is said 'महुद्रव्यचर्मणा चद्धः साक्षात्परशिदः स्त्रयम्। साच्छिष्याह्यद्याधार्षे यह पर्यशति क्षितौ॥ अश्विनेत्रः शिवः साक्षात्पद्यविद्यस्त्राः। अश्वर्यदेवनी ब्रह्मा श्रीख्रकः क्षित्रः प्रिये॥ 43. 68-70 These verses from लिलतोपाख्यान are the samo as छुलार्ण्य XIII. 54 and 57 and several verses are common to both. The question as to who is the borrower is very difficult to decide. The शास्त्रातिलक्ष (V. 113-114) has 'छुद्रविद्यादेवतानामेक्ष्यं सम्भावयन् धिया। प्रणमेद द्रण्डवसूनी छुद् वे देवतासम्बन्ध्य श्रिष्य पादाम्बुलहन्द्वं निजे मूर्याने योजयेत्। शरीरमधे प्राणं च सर्व तस्मै निवेद्येत् ॥; छुद्रणा समझुग्रहति मन्त्रं सयो जपेच्छतावृत्त्वा। छुद्देवतामन्द्रगमैक्षं सम्भावयन् धिया। श्रव्या सम्भावयन् धिया। श्रिष्ट्यः॥ प्रणस्त्रसार्थः सम्भावयन् धिया। श्रव्या सम्भावयन् धिया। सम्भावयन् सम्भावयन् धिया। सम्भावयन् सम्भावयन् धिया। सम्भावयन् सम्भावयन्यः सम्भा

¹⁷³⁶ भगिनीं था सुतां भार्यों यो दृखात्कुळयोगिने। मधुमत्ताय देवेशि तस्य पुण्यं न शण्यते॥ q by तारामक्तिसुधार्णव IV. p. 116.

The teaching of Tantrika texts about the five makaras must have created a very unhealthy and debased state among all classes, particularly the lower orders of Society. The centuries from the 7th to the 12th A. D. were the peak period of Tantrik works and cults, both Hindu and Buddhist. In a certain cult of the Vairayana the gurus wore a blue vesture. A story is narrated of a guru (a monk) of the sammitiya sect who went dressed in a blue dress to a courtezan. He did not return to the monastery at night. When asked in the morning by his disciples why he put on a blue dress, he explained the great spiritual merit of the blue-coloured dress Since then his followers began to wear blue dress and in their book called 'Nilapatadarsana' it is written 'the god Kāmadeva (Eros) is a iewel. a courtezan is a jewel, wine is a jewel, I bow to these three jewels; other so-called jewels are three glass beads'. It should be remembered that for devout Buddhists. Buddha. Dharma and Sangha are three Ratnas (jewels). The followers of the Nilapatadarsana deemed these last three to be as worthless as glass beads. Vide Bhiksu Rāhula Sānkrtyāyana's paper 'On Vajrayāna or Mantrayāna' in J. A. vol. 225 (1934) p. 216 where this is narrated. False gurus must have gone about deluding people with rosy prospects of bliss and liberation by the easy method of drinking wine, eating flesh and free association with all sorts of women. The Indian literature of those centuries is full of condemnation and caricatures of the methods of Tantrik worship by means of wine, flesh and sexual laxity. A few instances may be cited here. In the prakrit play called Karpuramanjari of Rajasekhara (about 900 A. D.), a character called Bhairavananda 1737 who was

^{1737.} The original verses I. 22-24 are in Prākrit. Their Sanskrit equivalents are set ont here मन्त्राणां तन्त्राणां न किमिप लाने स्थानं च नी किमिप एकमतावाद् । मयं पिथामी महिला समामो मोक्षं च यामो कुलमार्गलग्नाः ॥ रण्डा चण्डा दीक्षिता धर्मदारा मयं गिथा मोक्षं च। भिक्षा भोज्यं चर्मखण्डं च राज्या कोलो धर्मो कस्य नामाति स्म्य ॥ सुक्ति भणन्ति इत्बिह्मसुला आपि देवा स्थानेन वेदयहनेन क्रतुक्तियाभिः । एकेन केवलसुमादायितेन दृष्टो मोक्षः समं सुरतकेतिलसुरासौः ॥ It is quite possible that the name भैरवानन्द to doubly suggestive The पारानन्दसूल mentions several Tantrik teachers whose names end in आनन्द such as अमृतानन्द (pp 54, 73), उत्मादानन्द (pp 54, 76), ज्ञानानन्द (pp 54, 73, 91), देवानन्द (p 44), परानन्द (pp. 72, 91 the author of पारानन्दसूल), सुक्तानन्द (p. 54), स्रानन्द (pp 54, 70, 72). Besides, several teachers have the word भैरत as part of their names and are profusely quoted in the पारानन्दसूल, एाट. आकाशमैरव (9 times), उन्मत्तभैरव (17 times), नालभैरव (11 times); भैरव as an author is mentioned once on p. 66 राजशेखर probably meant a hit at one or more of these

supposed to possess wonderful powers is introduced and he says (while representing that he was somewhat tipsy) 'owing to the favour of our guru we know nothing about mantras or tantras or meditation. We drink wine, dally with women and vet. being devoted to the Kula path, we reach moksa. A fierce strumpet is given diksā and made a lawful wife, wine is drunk and flesh is consumed, our food is got by begging for alms, our bed is a piece of hide. To whom would the Kauladharma not appear attractive? Even gods headed by Visnu and Brahma declare that liberation is attained by meditation, Veda study and performance of Vedic sacrifices: only one God, the husband of Umā. perceived that moksa (can be attained) by dalliance with women and by wine'. The Yasastilaka-campū (composed in 959 A. D.) after referring to the Daksina and Vama paths of Saivāgama quotes a verse of the great poet 1738 Bhāsa "a person should drink wine, look at the face of the woman dear to him, wear a dress naturally charming and free from being odd; may the adorable Siva be long-lived, who (first) discovered such a nath to moksa!" The Daśāvatāra-carita of Ksemendra (3rd quarter of 11th century A. D.) states in one verse what the Tantrik gurus and their followers did 'Gurus declare that liberation follows by the drinking of wine from the same goblet by various craftsmen such as washermen, weavers, workers in hides, kāpālkas, in the procedure of cakrapūjā, and by dalliance with women without the least scruple and by always leading a life of festivities." 1739 The Raja-tarangini (middle of 12th

⁽Continued from last page)

tantrik authors who favoured the malaras. Acc. to Intro. (p. XII) to पारानन्दसूत्र, the work was composed probably between 900 to 1200 A.D. The प्रशुराम कल्पसूत्र (I 40) provides that after दीक्षा the guru is to give a name to the disciple ending in आनन्दनाथ. The महानिर्वाण (X.182) also says the same thing.

¹⁷³⁸ इसमेव च मार्गमाश्रिरपाभाषि भासेन महाकविना। पेया सुरा गियवमासुख-मीक्षणीयं यादाः स्वभावलिलोऽविकृतश्च वेषः। येनेदमीहशमहश्यत मीक्षवर्स दीषांसुरस्त भगवाच स पिनाकपाणिः॥ यशस्तिलक्षचम् p 251. This is verse 7 in the मस्तिलिक्षस्य प्रहस्त of पहुंच king महेन्द्रविक्रसवर्धन् put in the mouth of a कपाली This creates a puzzle. Either the Yasastilaka committed a mistake in naming the author or the verse is one from Bhāsa's genuine dramas not yet found and bodily taken by the author of the मस्तिलास which, being a parody, was after all not a serious matter I incline to the latter view

^{1739.} चुक्रास्थितौ रजक-वायक-चर्मकार-कापालिकशमुखक्किणिभिरेकपान्ने । पानेन मुक्तिमविक्रलपरतीस्त्रवेन वृत्तेन चौत्स्वयता ग्रुप्तो वद्दन्ति॥ p. 162 of द्रशावतारचरित चक्रपत्ता will be referred to a little later

century A. D) has several references to the Tantrikas and their doings. In V.66 Kalhana says that during 1740 the reign of Avantivarman of Kashmir, siddhas (persons possessed of miraculous powers like ammā) such as Bhatta Kallata were born for the benefit of the world. In describing the effects of the rule of a good king Yasaskara (939–948 A.D.), Kalhana 1741 remarks that during his reign were not seen housewives figuring as divinities at the rite of gurudiksa and shaking their heads for detracting from the eminent character (attributed to) of their husbands.' King Kalasa of Kashmir (1063-1089 A. D.) became a pupil of Pramadakantha, son of Amarakantha who was a good brāhmana, but Kalasa, who by nature was evil-minded, was instructed in evil practices by his guru Pramadakantha and the latter made the former ignore the distinction between women who are approachable and who are not. In this connection, Kalhana bitterly 1742 bewails "what other unscrupulousness of this guru (of Kalasa) should be described by me, when, leaving aside all scruples, he committed incestuous intercourse with his own daughter." This establishes that in the 11th century A. D.

¹⁷⁴⁰ अनुग्रहाय लोकाना महश्रीकहृदाद्य.। अवन्तिवर्भणः काले सिद्धा सुवमनातरन्। राजत. V. 66. अवन्तिवर्भन् reigned from 855 A. D. to 883 A. D. Kallata's is a great name in Kashmir Śaivism. It may be noted that the Vajrayāna cult of Buddhism speaks of 84 सिद्धारुवप that flourished from the 7th to the 9th century A. D., Vide Intro to E. B. p. 34 and Bhiksu Rāhula Sānkrtyāyana's paper on 'the origin of Vajrayāna and the 84 siddhas' in J. A. vol. 225 (1934) pp 209-230 in which at pp. 220-225 there is a detailed list of the 84 siddhas from Lūipā to Bhalipā with their castes and status, place of origin and names of the contemporaries of some of them from the 8th century A. D. onwards. सन्दिग्द्याधाs said to be the same as Lūipā; vide I. H. Q. vol. XXXI pp. 362-375 for Dr. Karambelkar's paper on 'Matsvendranātha and his Yoginī cult'

^{1741.} नाहरपन्त च गेहिन्मो गुरुद्दीक्षोत्थय्देवता । कुर्चाणा भर्तृकीलश्रीनिपेधं सूर्धधूननेः॥ राजतः VI. 12. This shows that, there being equality of sexes among Tantrikas, women used to be made gurus in Tantrik rites Vide भागतोषिणी p 179 for qualifications of a woman guru and p 540 for worship of the wife of a guru and of a woman as a guru in her own right. The guru and his predecessors are to be worshipped by disciples as sacrificers. When the latter praised the husbands of the women that had become gurus, they shook their heads in disagreement and thereby impliedly criticized the character of their busbands. Kalhana says that this did not happen in the reign of Yasaskara, who must have frowned upon the practices of tantricism and hence occasions for women being gurus did not arise.

¹⁷⁴² गुरोर्गतजिकत्पत्नं तस्यान्यतिक्रिमिवोच्यताम्। त्यक्तशङ्कः प्रवसूते स्वसुतासुरतेपि यः॥ राजत VII. 278.

in Kashmir there were Tantrik gurus that literally carried out what the Guhvasamäjatantra quoted in note 1724 apparently recommended to Buddhist Yogis In the drama called Mohaparājaya by Yasahpāla under king Ajayadeva. successor of Kumārapāla, among the dramatis personae is a kaula who declares his doctrine to be eating flesh every day, drinking wine without any qualms and allowing the mind free scope. 1743 Apararka quotes a verse which shows that, in the midst of numerous cults. it was difficult to be consistent: "A person may be at heart a Kaula (follower of the Kula doctrine), in outward appearance he may look a Saiva, and he may follow Vaidika rites in his usual practices. One should live grasping what is essential like the cocoanut 1744 fruit". It appears that great scholars and poets had a sneaking admiration for Tantrika worship. The great writer Vidyāpati of Mithilā appears to be a Vaisnava from his devotional songs. but wrote the Śaivasarvasvasāra (so he may be called a Śaiya), and wrote also Durgābhaktitarangmi (and thus appears to have been a Sakta) and composed also a Tantrik 1745 work. The very first verse of Vidyapati's 'Purusaparīksā' contains an invocation of Adi-Śakti.' Bengal and Assam were the strongholds of Sakta doctrines and even now Kall worship is still in vogue there, but it was the great Bengal

^{1743.} In मोहराजपराजय (G.O.S.) p. 100 the फ़ील says 'खायते मासमलदिनं पीयते मद्यं च सुक्तसङ्कलपम् । अनिवारितमन मसर एप धर्मी मया दिष्टः ॥ (Sanskist rendering of a Prakrit verse). The drama was written between 1172-1175 A.D.

^{1744.} अन्त-फोर्ल यहि देवं लोकाचारे हा वैदिकम्। सारमादाय विद्वेतु नारिकेष्ठकरं यथा॥ अपराक्ष p. 10 I prefer the reading of one the mss noted in the foot notes. The printed text reads अन्त.डोवं चि.कोलं लोकाचारे etc. The cocoanut fruit presents three aspects, first there is the hard outside shell, then there is the soft and tasty kernel inside the hard shell and thirdly there is water in addition to the soft kernel. The कुलार्णवरम्डा has 'अन्त कीले पहिन्देवो जननम्थे हा वैद्याव. । कोलं हागेपयेहदेवि नारिकेष्ठफलान्युवत्॥ XI 83. One ms reads अन्त कोला विद्यावा नमायां वैद्यावा मता. and this last is often quoted. The कीलावलीनिर्णय (X. 84–95) reads अन्तःशाका विद्यावा नाता को कि last is often quoted. The कीलावलीनिर्णय (X. 84–95) reads अन्तःशाका विद्यावा नाता. । नानावेशधराः कोला विचरित महीतली. The sect mark of both Saivas and Saktas is tripundra (three parallel lines of holy ashes on the forehead from one eye to the other, drawn with three fingers other than the thumb and small finger). Vide चूहजावालोपनिवर्ष IV. 10–11, देवीमायवत XI. 15. 17–23.

^{1745.} Vide a paper of D. C. Bhattacharya in J. G. J. R. I. vol. VI pp. 241-247 on Vidyapati's work on Tantra The first verse of पुरुपपरिक्षा (Darbhanga ed. of 1888) is 'ब्रह्माप यां नीति छत' सराणां (सराणां !) पासन्वितीयर्ष पन्तीरहमीहि:। यां स्पापति स्थानगतीपि विष्णुस्तामादिशक्ति शिरसा प्रपद्ये ॥

king Ballālasena who discarded Devipurāna as an authority in his encyclopaedic work on gifts called Dānasāgara.¹⁷⁴⁶

It is possible that the founders of the Tantrik or Sakta cult of the five makaras threw defiance at the terrible aspect of God or the Highest Spirit. that rules the destinies of men and things and that made men sometimes suffer terribly even if they led lives of virtue, to do the worst for their disregard of conventional morality and social practices and hoped by their Yoga exercises to attain to high psychical powers and bliss. 1747 There is another possible motive also. Masses of common people were being drawn towards Buddhism. The founders of Hindu Tantrik cults wanted to retain them within the Hindu fold. As common people drank wine and ate meat, they were told that they would attain higher spiritual levels even while indulging in meat and drink provided they followed .Tantrik gurus and practices. The idea was that Śakti was all and was for all; bhoga (enjoyment) need not be given up, as man is part of Devi or Siva. Bhoga should be sublimated, that is all that is required in Kaula-The Tantrikas 1748 substitute a yoga of enjoyment (bhoga) for the yoga of abstinence and asceticism. indulging in the left-hand path practices the sadhaka is supposed or held to be destroying the egoistic elements of the soul.

The Mahānirvānatantra and a few others endeavour to stem the tide of sexual immorality and promiscuity. For example, Rāmeśvara, the commentator of Paraśurāmakalpasūtra, says that one that has not conquered his senses has no adhīkārā for Kaulamārga (p. 153). This is in direct conflict with what even the Mahānirvānatantra says that all men from brāhmanas to the untouchables have adhikāra for Kula practices. Modern apologists for Tāntrism emphasize that the instructions embodied in the Guhyasamāja, the directions for the mode of life to be led by followers of Vajrayāna, apply only to the Yogis who have attained some degree of yogic perfection. But the obvious

¹⁷⁴⁶ नामावेडाधरा कीला कुलाचारेषु निश्चलाः। सेवन्ते त्वां कुलाचारेर्नाह तात् वाधते किलः ॥ महानिर्वाणतस्त्र IV. 63.

^{1747.} Vide Dr. B. Bhattacharya's Intro to Guhyasamāja p. XXII for the above sentiments.

^{1748.} यत्रास्ति मोगो न च तत्र मोक्षो यत्रास्ति मोक्षो न च तत्र भोगः। श्रीसुन्द्ररीसेवन-तत्पराणां भोगश्च मोक्षश्च करस्य एव ॥ quoted ın हंसविलास (from कीलरहस्य) p. 104; वित्रायम्यजपर्यन्ता द्विपदा चेऽत्र भूतले। ते सर्वेऽस्मिन्कुलाचारे भत्रेयुरधिकारिणः॥ महानिर्वाण 14. 184,

reply is 'who is to decide that a particular person had attained the minimum spiritual level except the man himself and. supposing that all the directions were meant for Yogis, why was it necessary to say in a blatant manner and language that a Yogi practising Vajrayāna may engage in what common and less sophisticated people deem to be incest? This is not the place to reply to all apologists for ancient and medieval Tantrik works. But a few must be dealt with because they are liable to create misunderstandings, if allowed to go uncriticized. In his Preface to 'Principles of Tantra' Part 2, Sir John Woodroffe states (p. IX.) that the use of flesh, fish and wine was common in the Vedic age and that the Mahābhārata and some Purānas like the Kālikā, Mārkandeya and Kūrma refer to consumption of wine, meat and fish. This looks like special pleading and is misleading. The question is: was sur \bar{a} offered to the gods in every day or periodic sacrifices as an offering in the Reveda or any other Veda. In the Vedic age wine may have been known and even drunk, but what is material to recognize is that there is a difference made between Soma and surā. Vide Śat. 1749 Br. "Soma is truth, prosperity, light and sura is untruth, misery and darkness" (V. 1. 5. 28). While Soma is mentioned hundreds of times in the Rgveda, has the 9th mandala of the Rgveda specially reserved for its praises and was offered to gods, the word surā occurs only six times in the Rayeda and it is nowhere expressly stated that it was offered to any god as a religious offering: on the contrary, in a hymn to Varuna, sura is put forward as leading to the commission of sin just as anger and gambling do (Rg. VII. 86.6 'na sa svo dakso Varuna dhrutih sā surā manyur-vibhīdako acittih). In his zeal for the vindication of Tantrism Arthur Avalon is injudicious enough to misinterpret simple words. In the Intro. to 'Principles of Tantra' p. VII he quotes Rg. I. 166.7 "arcantyarkam madirasya pitave" and translates "worshipping the Sun before drinking madira (wine)." The word here is madua (and not 'madira'), it is an adjective and means "exhilarating". The word "Madıra" never occurs in the Rg while "madira" as an adjective, occurs at least sixteen times and qualifies Soma, Indu, Amsu, Rasa or Madhu, generally expressed (and larely implied). There is no word for "before." That quarter means 'they (the worshippers or Maruts) worship (Indra) who is worthy of praise (and a friend of the Maruts) in order that he may come for

^{1749.} सत्यं वे श्रीज्याति सोमोऽहर्न पाणा तम सुरा। हातपथ V. 1. 5. 28,

drinking the exhibarating (Soma)' The word madira (for wine) hardly ever occurs in any genuine work of Vedic times. Its earliest occurrence is probably in the Mahabharata. Reference is also made by modern apologists to the offering of surā in the Sautrāmanī isti in honour of Indra. But the circumstances are neculiar. Sautrāmanī is only one out of numerous sacrifices and the occasions for performing it were rare i.e. it was performed at the end of Rajasūva and also at the end of Agnicagana and when a priest who had drunk too much of some vomited. The most important fact, however, is that the remnants of sura offered in Sautramani were not drunk by the priests engaged in it but a brahmana had to be hired for drinking the remnants and if no brahmana could be secured then the remnants were to be poured over an ant-hill (vide H. of Dh. vol. II, p. 1226 for details). The Kāthakasamhitā 1750 contains an interesting passage "Therefore an elderly person and a youngster, the daughter-in-law and the father-in-law, drink liquor and remain babbling together: thoughtlessness is sin: therefore a brahmana does not drink sura with the thought that 'otherwise (if I drink it) I may be tainted by sin': therefore this is for ksatriya; one should say to a brahmana that sura, if drunk by a ksatriya. does not harm the latter." These passages show that not only the priests did not drink surā even in Sautrāmanī but that it had become difficult to hire a brahmana for drinking it by the time of the Kāthaka-samhitā. The passage of the Vājasaneya Samhita 19.5 relied on at p. VII (of Intro. to 'Principles of Tantra' part Π) also refers to Sautramani and to no other sacrifice. The mantra is "Brahma ksatram pavate teja indriyam suraya somah suta asuto madaya" and means that "Soma when mixed with sura becomes a strong drink and leads to intoxication." The Chandogya V. 10. 9 (q above p 1578 n. 943) counts the drinker of sura among the five grave sinners. Therefore, there is hardly any analogy between the offering of wine in Sautrāmanī and the teaching about offering wine to Devī as provided in the Tantras. Similarly, the reference to the Atharvaveda as containing magical rites does not help at all. Society had advanced far beyond that stage and Manu XI. 63 looked upon abhicara (i.e. performance of a magic rite like Śyenayāga for killing a person) and mūlakarma (i e. bringing

¹⁷⁵⁰ तस्माञ्ज्यायांश्च कनीयांश्च स्त्रुपा श्वशुरश्च सुरां पीला सह लालपत आसते। पाप्मा वे माल्पं तस्माइ ब्राह्मण. सुरां न पिजति पाप्मना नेत्सस्वज्या इति तदेतत् क्षात्रियाय ब्राह्मण सूर्यात्तेनं सुरा पीता हिनस्ति। काठकसंहिता XII. 12.

under one's control a person or a woman by herbs and mantras i as a sin, though a lesser one (an upapataka). The reference to the Mahabharata (Udyogaparva, 59, 5 ff) is again misleading. Wine was drunk by people in the Mahabharata times, but not as part of a religious ritual as in the Tantras. Vide pp 964-966 of H. of Dh. vol. III for a note on 'intoxicating drinks.' The reference to Markandeva and other puranes is of no avail as evidence, since at least the present author holds that those parts of the Puranas were written and interpolated after Tantrik rites had taken a firm hold on some sections of the Hindu society. The reference to sexual intercourse in the Mahāvrata 1751 is most misleading. In the Tantras like the Kularnaya and the Guhyasamāja it is the sādhaka himself who is to practise mathuna as one of the ways of securing marvellous powers and higher spiritual attainments. But in the Mahavrata the sexual intercourse is by strangers to the sacrifice (and not by the yazamana nor by any of the priests), is a purely symbolical act and bears no analogy to a sadhaka himself engaging in maithuna as a religious rite to placate the Devi. Even a late reformist work like the Mahanirvana (VIII. 174-175) expressly says that those of the five tattvas which a sadhaka can secure, such as flesh and others, should have recited over them the mantra 'am. hrlm. krom, svaha' a hundred times, that he should reflect that everything is a product of brahma, should close his eyes, should offer those to Kali and should himself drink and eat them. As the Tantrik prescriptions of the makuras for attainment of miraculous powers and of liberation had shocked people and had brought the tantras into great disrepute, later Hindu Tantrik works like the Saktisapgamatantra (between 1555-1607 A D.) began to offer symbolic interpretations. They 1752 say that the

^{1751.} Vide H of Dh Vol II pp 1243-1245 for the Mahāvrata, which is the last day but one in a sattra.

^{1752.} गुडार्द्रकरसो देवि द्युद्धा हु प्रथमा मता। विण्यानं लवणं देवि द्वितीया परिकीर्तिता। रुप्कुर्त तित्तिही चैव हृतीया परिकीर्तिता। गोधूममापसम्प्रता सुन्दरी च चतुर्धिका। जनस्यालाप पञ्चमी स्वात्पञ्च सुद्धाः प्रकीर्तिता। ज्ञास्तिसङ्गम, ताराखण्ड, 32, 13-15, vide महानिर्याणतन्त्र VI 9-10 where products of grains of rice, barely or wheat with ghee or fried grain are called सुद्धाः न मध्यं माध्यीमध्य मर्थ ज्ञास्तिरसोद्ध्यम् । सुपुष्टमा ज्ञाङ्खिनी सुद्धा उत्थमन्यन्त्रस्तं स्तः॥ सामरस्याधृतीहासं मेथुनं च सद्धाजित्तम्। महाकुण्यिलेनी ज्ञासित्त्वार्थार्थे महेन्द्यरिः।. संयोगामृतयंगिन कुण्डल्युत्थानकारणात्। ज्ञासिसङ्घम०, ताराखण्ड 32, 25-27, Vide 'Sakti and Säkta' pp. 339-340 where madya, māmsa, matsya and mathuna are interpreted esoterically for a 'divyabhāva' following Yoginitantra (chap. VI) and from Agamasāra One verse from the formor may be quoted (Continued on next page)

words 'madya, mudrā, maithuna' &c. are not used in the ordinary popular sense but in a special esoteric sense. example, mudra has several senses, viz. a mixture of jaggery and ginger, or of salt and oil-cake or garlic and tamarind fruit, or wheat and masa beans, that madya (wine) is not what is prepared from madhavi (spring flower) but it springs from the joyful experience (or rasa) of Śakti, when effort is made to awaken the Kundalini. It may be admitted that some Tantrik works and writers divide men into three classes, paśu (the bestiał ones), vira (those that have made great progress on the path of spiritual discipline) and daiva (those who are godlike). As regards these three, the five makaras are assigned by some apologistic writers different meanings. D. N. Bose in his work 'Tantras, their philosophy and occult secrets' boldly asserts (p. 110) that the real significance of the five makaras has been deliberately perverted by vicious people and explains (p. 111) that madya is the nectarine stream issuing from the cavity of the brain where the soul resides, matsya means suppression of vital airs, māmsa means 'vow of silence.' 'maithuna' means ' meditation on the acts of creation and destruction.'

The Täntrikas clothe their practices in bombastic and highsounding words. The five makāras are called pañca-tattvas, kuladravyas or kulatattvas. Maithuna is generally referred to as pañcamatattva, the woman with whom sexual intercourse is to be had or who was at least to be associated with a male in Tantra worship is called Śakti (vide Kulārnava VII 39-43 and Mahānirvāna VI. 18-20) or prakrti or latā and this special ritual is called 'Latāsādhana' (Mahānirvāṇa I. 52). Wine is called tīrthavāri (holy water) or kārana (VIII. 168 and VI. 17). The Mahānirvāṇa-tantra, though a reformist work and in certain cases asking the king to punish drunkards (XI. 113-121),

(Continued from last page)

भिष्यारोपरि विन्दो कुण्डल्या मेलनं शिव । मेथुनं परमं झ्न्यं यतीनां परिकीर्तितम् ॥ Substitutional तत्त्वत्र are also variously mentioned for पशु, who is the lowest kind of the worshippers of Sakti. The कोलावलीनिर्णय V. 113–123 suggests various substitutes viz. a बाह्मण may employ honey in a copper vessel or cow's milk or the water of cocoanut fruit in a beli-metal vessel, that, in the absence of fiesh, garlic and ginger may be used for flesh, milk of buffalo or sheep for fish, roasted fruits and roots for maithuna. It will be, however, noticed in the above and also later on that the explanations do not all completely agree and therefore raise doubts about their veracity.

waxes eloquent over wine and praises it as Tara in the form 1753 of a fluid substance, as the saviour of individual souls. as the mother (or producer) of bhoga (enjoyment) and moksa (liberation) and as the remover of misfortunes and diseases and after some further praise of wine winds up by saying that those men who drink wine according to the rules and with a well-controlled mind are gods on earth (XI, 108). For the worship of Sakti the five tattvas are absolutely necessary (Mahānirvāna-tantra V. 21-24 quoted in note 1695 above and 1754 Kularnava V. 69 and 76). Some tantras say that the meaning of tativa differs according as the person concerned is Tāmasika (a paśu kind of worshipper), Rājasika (a vīra as he is called), sāttvika (a divya, a person who is godlike). Madya means according to various Tantrik Texts real wine as well as a substitute like coccanut water or any other liquid: it also means that intoxicating knowledge that comes of Yoga practices, whereby the worshipper becomes senseless as regards the external world. Māmsa is the act whereby the sadhaka surrenders himself and his action to Lord Siva. Matsya (fish, of which the first part 'mat' means 'mine') is that psychical state by which the worshipper sympathizes with the pleasure and pain of all beings. Mathuna is the union of the Sakti Kundalini (the woman inside a man's body) in the Muladhara-cakra with the supreme Siva in the Sahasrāracakra in the highest centre of the brain and is the stream of the sweet juice that drops from the Sahasrāra. Preparation of hemp (called vijayā or 'bhāng') is madya for some. The Mahānirvāna says (VIII. 170 and 173) that 'madhura-traya' may be substituted for wine and for 'Maithuna' meditation on the feet of the (image of) DevI and gapa of the desired mantra may be substituted. The Kaulavalinirnaya (III 111) boldly states that if a man, after partaking of vijayā (bhāng) engages in meditation, he sees directly before him the form of the goddess as described in the Dhyanamantra. Some Tantras like the

^{1753.} सुरा द्वमथी तारा जीवनिस्तारकारिणी। जननी भीगमीक्षाणां नाशिनी विषदी क्जाम्॥ महानिर्वाणः XI, 105.

^{1754.} खुलड्डवीर्षेना कुर्याञ्जपयज्ञतयोद्यतम्। निष्पलं तज्जवेत् देवि भस्मनीव यथा हुतस्॥ मन्त्रपूर्तं कुलड्डव्यं ग्रुवेद्वार्षितं थिये। ये पिंबन्ति जनास्त्रेषा स्तरप्रधान न विद्यते॥ कुलार्णर V. 69 and 76 The words स्तर्य ... विद्यते mean that he is not born again. The कुलार्णव (V 79-80) ordains 'सुरा इक्तिः शियो मौस तज्जोक्ता भैरव स्वयम्। सपोरिष्य-समुद्रायक्त आनण्दो मोक्ष उस्यते॥ आनन्दं ब्रह्मणो स्तरं तज्ञ देवै व्यवस्थितम्। सस्याभिष्यक्षकं मन्ने योगिनिस्तेन पीयते॥ ...

Kaulajñānanirnava and Bhāskararāya 1755 in his commentary on Lalitasahasranama sav that when Kundalini is roused by a vogin and it reaches the thousand-petalled cakra (Sahasrāra) from which (that has on its pericarp the moon) drops nectar which is figuratively spoken of as madya (vide notes 1716, 1752). The Kularnava at first asserts (I. 105-107) 'Mukti does not result from the study of the Veda nor by the study of sastras. it results from (correct) knowledge alone, that the asramas are not a means of moksa nor are the darsanas the means, nor all the sastras, that it is knowledge alone that is the cause, that it is the knowledge imparted by the teaching of the guru that confers mukti, all (other) vidyas are mockery.' Then in the Vedāntic strain it affirms (I. 111-112) "two words (respectively) lead to bondage or liberation viz. (this is) 'mine' or 'nothing is mine'. A person falls in bondage by the thought 'this is mine' and becomes liberated by realising 'nothing is mine' and that that is proper action that does not lead to bondage and that is real lore that leads to liberation." After these high thoughts the same Tantra (II. 22-23 and 29) proceeds to Kaula doctrine. 'If a man is a yogin he does not (ordinarily) enjoy life, while one who enjoys life does not know yoga; but the Kaula doctrine combines both yoga and bhoga and is superior to all (other doctrines); in the Kaula doctrine bhoga turns into yoga directly, what is sin (acc. to ordinary people) becomes meritorious, samsāra turns into moksa. Kaula knowledge enlightens him whose mind is purified by the mantras of Saiva worship, Durga worship &c."

The Kulārnava appears to be in two minds to ordinary men like the present writer. While in one breath as shown in note 1718 it frankly recommends the drinking of wine and eating of flesh to the followers of Kaula doctrine, it also endeavours to give an esoteric meaning to the makūras as follows (V. 107–112): Frequently reaching the brahmarandhra from the mūlādhāra, there arises the bliss of the union of the Kundalinī-Śakti with the moon in the form of Intelligence (cit, Śiva); the person who is intent on tasting the nectar cozing from the lotus in the

^{1755.} सा (कुण्डिलिनी) हि मूलाधाराख्ये चक्रे सार्धित्रवलयाकारेण सुप्ता सती योगिमिक्ष-त्याप्य पद्चकाणि बद्धाविष्ण्वादिग्रन्थीश्च भेदयन्ती सहस्रार नीता सती तत्कणिकाख्यच्य्य-मण्टलादमृतं सावयति। p 52 of भास्करराय's com सीमाग्यभास्कर on लिलासहस्रनाम on verses 87-91 कुलामृतेकरितका ... सहस्राराम्ब्रजाख्दा सुधासाराभिवार्षणी। ... महासक्तिः कुण्डिलिनी विस्तवन्तुवनीयसी॥ (Nir. ed. of 1935). Compare कौलज्ञाननिर्णय VI.

crown of the head is said to drink $sudh\bar{u}$ (nectar, wine); other people drink mere wine When the adept ¹⁷⁵⁶ in Yoga cutting the beast (ego) doing good or evil actions with the sword of knowledge (of reality) makes his mind merge in the Highest, he is said to be eater of pala (the Highest, flesh). The (Yogin) who restraining the several senses by his mind concentrates them on the Self, becomes 'matsyāsi', ¹⁷⁵⁷ others are only killers of living beings. The Śakti (the woman associated with a sādhaka) of a beastly man is unenlightened but that of the Kaulika is enlightened; he who honours (or resorts to) such a Śakti is really a worshipper of Śakti When a man is filled through and through with the bliss due to the union of the Highest Śakti with the Self (Śiva), that is called Maithuna (copulation); all other men are merely licentious persons.

The apologists for unpopular Tantrik practices offer explanations of the five 'makāras' more or less on the lines of the Kulāranava. For example, in his Introduction to the 'Principles of Tantra' (part II) Arthur Avalon (Sir John Woodroffe) gives (pp. CVII-CVIII) an esoteric explanation of the word 'drinking' used in such a verse as that in the Pārānandasūtra, 'Having drunk again and again, having fallen upon the earth, then having got up and again drunk (wine) there is no rebirth.' 1758

^{1756.} आसूलाधारमामहाराण्यं गत्वा धुन धुन । चिञ्चन्यकुण्डलीशिक्तामस्य सुखोदयः॥ च्योमपङ्कजिनस्यन्दसुधापानरतो नरः। सुधापानिमदं प्रोक्तमितरे मद्यपायिन ॥ धुण्यापुण्यपर्द्धं एला ज्ञानखङ्गेन योगवित्। परे लय नयेश्चित्त पलाशी स निगयते॥ मनसा चिन्द्रियगण संयम्यात्माने योजयेत्। मत्याशी स भवेददेवि शेषाः स्यु. प्राणिहिंसकाः॥ अपञ्चद्धा पशोः शक्तिः प्रञ्चद्धा कोल्लिकस्य च। शक्तिं ता सेवयेद्यस्त स भवेद्यक्तिसेवकः॥ पराशवस्यात्म-मिथुनसंयोगानन्दनिर्भरः। य आस्ते मैथुन तत् स्याद्यपरे सीनिषवकाः॥ क्षुलणंव V. 107-112 The 4th tativa is Mudrā, but the latter word is often applied to the Sakti associated with a sādbaka.

^{1757. &#}x27;Palāsī' means 'cater or enjoyer of Pala 'Pala means 'fiesh', and pala stands for Para (Highest) 'as' r and I are often interchangeable in Sanskrit and the root 'as' may mean 'to reach' as well as 'to eat Matsyāsī literally means 'eater of fish,' but in the esoteric interpretation 'matsya' stands for 'manas' (mind) plus 'sya' representing 'samyama' (restraint)

^{1758.} जीवन्म्रक्तः पिषेदेवमम्बथा पतितो भवेदिति। पुनः पीत्वा पुनः पीत्वा पतित्वा धरणीतले। उत्थाय च पुनः पीत्वा पुनर्जन्म न विद्यते॥ पारामन्दस्त्र p 17 sütras 81-82, the Intro to 'Principles of Tantra' (part II) p. CVIII. It reads the first half of the verse as पीत्वा पीत्वा पुनः पीत्वा पीत्वा पतित भूतले But there is hardly any difference in the literal meaning Vide कुलाणवतन्त्र VII. 100 which reads पीत्वा पीत्वा ... यावत्यति भूतले ! रामेश्वर, commentator of परशुराम-करपद्म

He explains 'Being thus awakened Kundalini enters the great road to liberation (mukti), that is, the Sushumna nerve, and penetrating the centres one by one, ascends to the Sahasrāra and there coming in blissful communion with the Lord of Lords. again descends down through the same passage to the Mûladhāra Chakra. Nectar is said to flow from such communion. The sadhaka drinks it and becomes supremely happy. This is the wine called Kulāmrta, which a sādhaka of the spiritual plane drinks ... In reference to a sadhaka of the spiritual (ādhyātmika) class the Tantra says 'Pītvā pītvā...vidvate'. During the first stage of Sat-cakra-sādhanā the sādhaka cannot suppress his breath for a sufficiently long time at a stretch to enable him to practise concentration and meditation in each centre of Power. He cannot therefore detain Kundalini within the Sushumna longer than his power of Kumbhaka permits. He must consequently come down upon the earth i. e. the Mūlādhāra, which is the centre of the element earth, after having drunk of the heavenly ambrosia. The sadhaka must practise this again and again and by constant practice, the cause of rebirth i. e. vāsanā (desire) is removed." This explanation sounds very profound and highly psychical, but it is not at all convincing. Similarly, the present author wonders how many writers on Tantras and how many Tantrikas understood the theory of sublimation put forward in 'Tantras as a way of realization' (Cultural Heritage of India, Vol. IV. pp. 233-235) by way of explaining the insistence on five makaras. The first question is: why was it necessary to employ vulgar language to describe a state of profound bliss? Supposing the explanation of madya offered by Woodroffe is accepted, what is the explanation about offering flesh and fish? One cannot easily get out of 'matsyāśī' and 'māmsāśī' the esoteric sense required by the apologists. The Kulārnava, the Pārānanda-sūtra and several

⁽Continued from last page)

quotes पीला ... पतित भूतले, and takes it in a literal sense by citing a passage आगलानं पिषेट्र इन्षे (on V. 22 p. 213) from कुलाफीर VII. 99. कीलावलीतन्त्र (VIII. 164-165) has this verse but reads पतित्वा च महीतले. The next verse in this last work is: अलिमीनाङ्गनासङ्गे परसुखं जायते जुणाम्। तदेव मोक्षो विदुषामञ्ज्ञपाची च पातकम्। VIII 165-166. Verses 213-214 refer to the fifth makara in cakraphya and verses 223-225 justify promiscuous intercourse in Sricakra and verse 226 says 'it is the sinful vasana that is reprehensible, but to the pure-hearted everything is pure (omnia sancta sanctis)'. The कालिविलासतन्त्र (ed. by A. Avalon, 1917 Tantrik Texts, vol VI.) has the verse पीला पीला ... पुन पीला वचनं सत्पसंमतम्। (VI. 25).

other works almost always employ the words madya, mamsa and fish in the ordinary sense. They rarely, if at all, drop the hint that words like matsva and mamsa are being used in an esoteric sense and proceed to deal with realities of madya and The Kularnava quotes (II. 126) the verse of Manu IX, 93 (sura vai malam-annanam &c.), provides for the preparation of the three kinds of surā (V. 15-21), and says (V. 30) that surā is the 12th kind among intoxicants and that there are eleven other kinds of intexicants made from jack-fruit, grapes. dates, sugarcane &c (V. 29). In XI, 22-35 the Kularnava dilates upon the etimette to be observed in drinking wine as part of Kaula practice. It proceeds (V. 44) to divide flesh into three classes viz. of animals that fly in the sky (birds), of acquatic animals and of those that move on the earth. The 1759 Syacchandatantra ('a work of great authority in Kashmir Śaivism) prescribes that various kinds of fish and meat and other food that can be licked and drunk should be poured in front of Siva (image) and one should not stint for money. Quotations from Pārānanda-sūtra (notes 1698, 1703-4) make it perfectly clear that the words madys, māmsa, and maithuna are employed therein in the ordinary sense. Pārānandasūtra (on pp. 80-81, paragraphs 69-70 and pp 82-83 para, 76-80) describes such obscene details of the sadhaka's sexual intercourse that it is not possible to set them down here. The ordinary worship of Devi was elaborate enough, containing as it did 16 upacaras (vide p. 164 note 420 above). Where was the necessity of bringing in wine, meat and maithuna as an absolutely necessary part of the worship of Dev!? The Kulārnava and other tantras praise the Vedas, employ vedic mantras and quote 1760 Upanisad and Gita passages example, Mahānirvāna V. 197 ff employs Vedic mantras (as noted on p. 1058) for sanctifying the five makaras, the three mantras 'Apo hi sthā' Rg. X 9. 1-3 in Nāmakarana (*bid. IX. 150-152), 'Tac-caksur' Rg. VII. 66. 16 in Niskramana (1bid. IX. 163), 'Visnuryonim' Rg. X. 184. 1 in Garbhādhāna (:bid IX. 96), 'Ayam te yonir' Rg. III. 29 10 in the worship of Agni (sbid. IX. 21) and the verse 'Kāli Karāli' (Mundakopanisad I. 2. 4)

^{1759.} मत्स्यमासान्यनेकानि लेखपेयानि यानि च। अग्रमापूर्वेच्छमोर्वित्तशाल-विवर्जितः॥ स्वच्छन्दतन्त्र II (पटल) 135 (Kashmir S. series with the com उद्योत of क्षेमराज); मर्च मासं तथा मरस्यानन्यानि च वरानने। साचाराश्च निराचाराँहिङ्गिनो न जुगुरस्येत्। shid V. 48

¹⁷⁶⁰ कुलार्णेव XII. 33 cites the verse युद्ध्य देवे &c (which is श्वेताश्वतरोष VI. 23); vide महानिर्वाण II. 44-45 for adopting ते उप 'भीषास्प्राद्वातः प्रवेते भीषोवेति सुर्येः!... युत्युर्धावति पञ्चमः!

in the worship of the seven tongues of Agni. The Mahanirvana (VIII. 214-215) prescribes Gitā IV. 24 (brahmārpanam brahma havir) as the mantra to be recited by the leader of the Tattvacakra in offering the five tativas and in sanctifying them spite of all this lip service to the Veda the tantras ignore the great moral dangers involved in their persistent teaching of five makāras and also pay no heed to the impressive advice of the Gitā (III. 21) that whatever a great or honoured man does or holds as authoritative is followed by all common people. There are several late medieval works about the Kaula cult that speak of drinking wine, eating flesh and maithuna in the vulgar sense as means of Devi worship and yet assert that by doing so mukli (liberation) would be secured. Two verses from a ms of a tāntrik work called Kaularahasya (containing one hundred verses) will bring home to the reader how common people understood the cult of the makaras. 1761

Prof Heinrich Zimmer in the 'Art of Indian Asia' (vol. I pp. 129-130) observes 'such living forms are suggested to the Indian artist by a dynamic philosophy that is intrinsic to his religious and philosophical tradition, for the worship of the lifeforce pouring into the universe and maintaining it, manifesting itself no less in the gross matter of daily experience than in the divine beings of religious vision constitutes the very foundation of Indian religious life. According to this doctrine which was particularly influential in the great periods of Indian art, release from the bondage of our normal human imperfection can be gained not only through the worldnegating methods of asceticism (yoga) but equally through a perfect realization of love and its sexual enjoyment (bhoga). According to this view which has been eloquently expressed in the so-called Tantric symbols and rituals of both the Hindu and Buddhist traditions, there is intrinsically no antagonism between yoga and bhoga. The role played by the guru, the spiritual guide and teacher in the stern masculine disciplines of yoga, is

^{1761.} निधाय धारा बद्दने सुधायाः श्रीचक्रमम्यर्च्य कुलक्रमेण। आस्त्राद्ध मध्ये पितिर्ते सृगाक्षीमालिङ्ग्य मोक्षं सुधियो अभन्ते॥ आस्त्राद्धयन्तः पित्रितस्य खण्डमाकण्ठपूर्णं च सुधा पियन्त । सृगेक्षणासङ्गतमाचरन्तो शुक्तिं च सुक्तिं च वर्षं व्रज्ञामः॥ verses 4 and 7 of क्षीलरहरूर, D C ms. No. 959 of 1884-87 copied in संवत् 1790, i. e in 1734 A. D. Thus may be compared with the doctrine of the नीलपदद्दर्शन quoted above (p. 1073) There is a ms. in the BORI at Poona (D C. No 994 of 1891-1895) called एञ्चमकारशोधनविधि, which describes the sanctifications of five makāras with Vedic mantras on the same lines as the महानिर्दाणतन्त्र cited above,

taken over in the initiations of bhoga by the devout and sensual helpmate. The initiating woman plays the part of Sakti while the male initiate assumes that of Siva and both attain together to the realisation of the immanence within themselves of the consubstantiality of the Goddess and the God.' It has already been stated (p. 1054) that Prof. Zimmer is wrong in thinking that the Tantrik rites were systematically disparaged as 'vamamarga' by the Indian partisans of Yoga. He is also wrong (p. 130) when he says that 'throughout the first millenium A. D. they (Tantric rites) were a basic element of normal Indian experience.' There is really very little evidence to substantiate this claim. He makes this sweeping generalisation as an art critic and historian of Indian Art from the few tantric sculptures on the Purl and other temples in Orissa and some other places in Vide the well-known work 'Bengal Lancer' by F. Yeats-Brown (London, Golancz, 1930) pp 236-237, where the superintendent of the temple of Jagannatha justifies the obscene frescoes on the ground that until a man is master of his gross body he cannot see the Godhead and feels sure that future ages will look on Tantrik psychology with understanding.

One or two very peculiar notions and practices of the Tantrikas may be briefly mentioned here. The offering of flesh, wine and mudra to the devata with the recital of three bijas 'an, hrim, krom' and the mantras 'om Anandabhairavaya namah' and 'om Anandabhairavyai namah' was technically called śuddin 1762. The Mahanirvana and Tantraraja-tantra state that to drink wine without śuddin 1763

^{1762.} ह्याँद्ध विना महापानं केवल विषमक्षणम्। चिरागेणे भवेन्त्र-न्त्री स्वरुपायुर्तियवेश चिरात्॥ सहानिर्वाणः VI. 13 Sir John Woodroffe offers the rather amusing explanation that wine without food produces greater injury and that japa of mantra and the performance of other rites were believed by the sādhakas to remove the curse from wine and that the sādhaka meditates upon the union of the Goddess and God Siva in the wine, the latter being itself a devata सत्यविताहायरेषु यथा मधाविसेवनम्। कलाविय तथा कुर्यात् कुलबर्साद्धतारातः कुलमार्थेण तस्त्रानि शोधितानि च योगिने। ये द्रथाः सत्यवचसे चित्र तान् षाधते कि ॥ महानिर्वाणः IV. 56~60.

^{1763.} The দুন্তাৰ্থাৰ (17 25) defines বীৰ as 'बीतरागमदक्केशकोषमास्तर्यमोहत'। रजस्तमीविद्वरखाद्वीर हुरगभिषीयते॥' In spite of these requirements of high qualities the ক্র্যাসন্ত (10 28. 31–36) provides that a বীৰ should honour the beautiful wife of another (or 'bis own wife or another's') wearing charming ornaments whose body is suffused with erotic passion and who has become

was like swallowing poison, that the person doing so would suffer from diseases for a long time, would soon die prematurely and that wine was to be drunk even by one who has attained some perfection only up till the mind has reached an ecstatic state of absorption (in thoughts of DevI) and that if he drinks beyond that stage he at once becomes a sinner (vide also Kularnava VII. 97-98 for the last proposition).

A most revolting rite from the view-point of all unsophisticated people is what is called 'cakra-pūjā' (worship in a circle). An equal number of men and women without distinction of caste and even near blood relations secretly meet at night and sit in a circle (vide Kaulāvalīnirnaya VIII, 76). The Goddess is represented by a yantra (diagram). There is a leader of the cakra. The regulations were that only persons who had attained the status of Vira (defined above in n 1763) were to be admitted 1764 and pasus (ordinary men with beastly passions not curbed) were to be excluded. What assurance was there that the leader of the cakra himself possessed the noble qualities mentioned in the verse quoted above and would choose only men possessed of similar qualities? The women assembled cast their bedices in a receptacle and each of the assembled men secured a female companion for that night by lot i. e, by taking a bodies out of those contained in the receptacle. The practice of

(Continued from last page)

gay with wine 'अथ विरो पजित्कान्ता परकीयामधापि वा । ... मद्वानलत्त्राङ्गीमासवानन्द्-विद्यताम्। &c. The महानिर्वाणः I. 57 refers to the three categories of साधकंड viz. पशु, वीर and दिच्य, the last being defined as दिव्यक्ष देवताण्यः शुद्धान्तःकरणः सद्। । इन्द्यतितो धीतरागः सर्वभूतसमः असी॥ (sbid. I 55) The Tantras contain conflicting views on these three bhavas. The Kallvilasa-tantra says that men of divya type existed only in Satya-yuga and Tretavuga, Vira only in Treta and Dvapara and these two were non-existent in Kall and Pasu-bhava remained in Kali (VI. 10 and 21).

^{1764.} Vide 'Sakii and Sākia' p. 354, Farquhar's 'Outlines of the religious Literature of India' p. 203, महानिर्वाणतन्त्र VIII. 204-219 श्रीचक्र-प्रसानतं हुमं वा यदि वाह्यमम् । कदान्तिकेव वक्तस्यमित्याज्ञा परमेश्वरि ॥ कुलधर्मादिकं सर्वे सर्वायसम् सर्वदा। गोपवेच प्रयत्नेन जननीजारममंबद् । वेदशास्त्रप्राणानि स्पदानि गणिका द्व। वर्ष स्र ज्ञाम्भवी विद्या ग्रह्मा कुलस्पूरिव ॥ कुलार्णव XI. 79, 84, 85. In the महा-मिर्वाण (IV 79-80), however, Siva is made to say that the Kaulika-tadhana should be made openly and that what he said in other tantras about the concealment of Kaulikadharma not being blamable was not applicable when the Kali age became powerful

Śricakra must have given rise to great obloquy and unpopularity for the Tantrikas. Therefore, the Kularnava 1765 ndvises that cakrapūjā should be sub rosa. 'What happens at Śrīcakra. whether good or bad, should never be uttered (in public): this is the order (of God); one should never give out information about what happens at Cakrapuja'. The 18th century Mahānirvānatantra, which is reformist in character, states that, since in the Kali age (in which people are weak and the influence of sinful age is very strong), for the last tattva (i.e. maithuna) one's own wife is alone to be the Sakti because in that case no fault can be found or some substitute like red sandalwood paste may be employed. In the author's youth whispers floated about that in some towns in Mahārāstra cakrapuia was practised, that even great Pandits thought that their learning was due to the favour of Devi, that they, though very orthodox in other respects, took once a year in Devipūjā a thimbleful of wine as prasada. The learned Achvutarava 1766 Modak of Nasik composed at Nasik a work called 'Avaidikadhikkrti' (condemnation of non-vaidaka practices) wherein he severely handled the cult of the five makaras.

Naturally common people, who could not appreciate or understand the abstruse and subtle philosophy of Šakti, Nāda, Bindu and so forth, seized with avidity upon the apparently easy path of worship of Śakti by the five makāras and the mantras, bljas, cakras and the like taught by the Tantras and it has been seen above (pp. 1073-76) to what depths some persons professing to be gurus, Śāktas and Tāntrikas descended in course of time.

The path of the Tantras was in its higher level one of $Up\bar{u}san\bar{u}$ or Bhakt, though it very often degenerated into magic and moral depravity. The deity worshipped viz. Paramesvari

^{1765;} शेषतस्वं महेशानि निर्धीयें प्रचले कली। स्प्रकीया केवला श्रेया सर्वदोषिवपिनिता। अध्यात्र स्वयम्बावि कुंसुमं प्राणवल्लमे। कथित तस्पतिनिधी कुसीदं परिवीर्तितम्। महानिर्धाणः VI. 14-15, अत्र means 'no offering शेषतस्व' (1 c. the 5th). The comexplains 'कुसीदं रक्तचरदनम्'. Women that could be sakits were of three classes, स्वीया (one's own wife), परकीया (wife of another) and साधारणी (a woman who is a vesyā).

^{1766.} Vide for Achyutarāya Modal 'Taraporevala Commemoration volume' of the Decean College Research Institute, pp 214-220. The work was finished at Pañcavatī in saka 1736 Phālguna bright half 10th (i. c. in 1815 A D)

presented three aspects to the $up\bar{a}saka^{1767}$ (devotee) viz. gross (sthūla), subtle (sūksma) and para (highest). The first aspect is represented by the form of Devi with hands, feet and other limbs, which is fit for worship with the hands and the eyes of the devotee; the second aspect consists of mantras, which are fit for apprehension with the organs of hearing and speech by those who have the good fortune to receive the mantras from a worthy guru. The third aspect (para) is one to be apprehended by the mind of the sādhaka and described as all-pervading consciousness and the like (in Nityāsoḍaśikā VI. 49-50).

Some modern writers have been somewhat unjust to the whole Täntrik literature in labelling it all as black magic or as full of obscenities The present writer is not one of those who sometimes hold that what is not understood is either false, absurd or non-existent. He is prepared to believe that the end and aim of a few of the higher minds among Tantrikas and of some of the works on Tantra was the attainment of high spiritual powers by Yoga practices, the Realization of the Supreme Tattya (Principle) variously designated as Brahma, Visnu, Siva or Devi, and Liberation (moksa). He is aware that many of these claim to be based on Vedic traditions, teachings and practices and to have further developed the conceptions underlying Vedic teachings and ritual and that even the magic rites contained in several Tantrik works had their counterparts, though on a much smaller scale, in the Rgveda, the Atharvaveda, Sāmavidhāna Brāhmana and other Vedic works. The present author, though he has carefully studied many of the Tantras and the Yogasūtra of Patanjali with the bhasya and commentaries thereon, has to admit that he has had no mystic experience, but he is not prepared to deny that prophets, saints, poets and others might have mystic visions and experiences. Man's psychic powers are vast

^{1767.} अधात्रोपास्यायाः प्रसेश्वर्थास्त्रीणि स्वाण्युपास्तियोग्यानि स्पूलं सूक्ष्मं परं चिति । तत्रायं करचरणाद्यवयवशील मन्त्रसिद्धिमता चक्षुरिन्द्रियपाणीन्द्रिययोगाँग्यम्। ततः पञ्चनिभा देवीं धालाकेकिरणारुणाम्, इत्यादिना वरुयसाणम्। द्वितीयं मन्त्रास्मकं पुण्यवतां अवणिन्द्रियः पाणिन्द्रययोगाँग्यम्। ततः पञ्चलिन्द्रयः पाणिन्द्रययोगाँग्यम्। ततः प्रवणिन्द्रयः पाणिन्द्रययोगाँग्यम्। तत्रव्यमाणम्। एतान्त्रितयातीवं त्र वाद्धानसातिवं स्रकेरहत्वाऽद्यभूयमानमञ्जर्षं स्वम् । सेतुवन्ध का नित्यायोग्रहिक्षकाः pp 7-8 The words चेत्रन्यमारमनो स्वपम् occur in नित्यायोग्रहिक्षकाः pp 7-8 The words चेत्रन्यमारमनो स्वपम् occur in नित्यायोग्रहिक्षकाः pp 7-8 हित्या is 'श्रीप्रमहित्राभिका शक्तिरेव तावस्तिये-प्रचयते। सेतुवन्ध on p 25. The नित्यायोग्रहिक्षकाः (I 138–160) contains a finely worded description of the gross aspect of Devi beginning with the half verse 'वतः पञ्चनिभा वेदी पालाकिकिरणाद्याम्.'

and unknown as propounded in Alexis Carrell's work 'Man the unknown' and in 'Invisible influence' by Dr. Alexander Cannon (15th impression, Rider and Co., London, 1935). He knows that some of the Tantrik works made a distinction between the rules of ordinary social life and conventions (samājā-dharma) and the peculiar forms of Tantrik worship, in which, while it lasts, no distinction is made on the ground of caste or sex (vide notes 1711 and 1713). It may further be conceded that the Tantra works placed women on a footing of equality with men, gave them an exalted position and that they endeavoured to provide a common platform (as shown in n. 1704 above) for differing and wrangling sects of Vaisnavas. Śaivas and others by putting forward Devi as the object of worship for all: but they had not much success as is shown by the facts that Vaisnavas and Saivas still carried on their quarrels and that the Tantrik texts themselves fell into five classes. viz. Śaiva. Śakta. Vaisnava, Saura and Ganapatya and that there are differing doctrines among the Tantrikas called Kadimata. Hadimata &c.

The matters that distinguish Täntrik works from other religious literature in Sanskrit are the ¹⁷⁶⁸ promise of the attainment of miraculous powers, the Realization of the one Supreme Principle in a short time by means of the Täntrik sādhanā 'method or procedure' (vide Intro. to 'Principles of Tantra' p. XIV), by their insistence on the worship of Devi with makāras alone as yielding the desired results (as in Mahāniryāna V. 24 'pañcatattva-vihlnāyām pūjāyām na phalod-

^{1768,} Sir John Woodroffe remarks (in the Introduction to his 'Principles of Tantra' part 2, pp. XII-XIV) that the one topic that appears to differentiate Tantras from other religious works is the constituent parts of its ritual such as the mantras, bijas, mudras, yantras, bhutasuddhe and that it is mainly by these items rather than by anything else that the tantrik character of a work is established Vide also 'the Saktas' by E. A. Payne p. 137 for a similar view Sir John Woodroffe, while reviewing Payne's work (in JRAS for 1935 at p. 387), himself agreed that what distinguished the Śākta ritual is the mantra and magical sections and that part of it which deals with the secret ritual, that, while there is ordinarily no bhoga (enjoyment) where there is yoga, in the Sakta doctrine a man may have both yoga and bhoga and that this is a distinct and profound characteristic of that doctrine Even the Buddhist Vajrayana Tantras purport to aim at the attainment of bodhi (vide Guhyasamāja p. 154, Sādbanamālā I. p 225 and II. p 421) and ज्ञानसिद्धि 1.4 ये तु सच्याः समास्द्धाः सर्गसद्भरपयर्जिताः। ते स्पृशस्ति परां घोधि जन्मनीहैव साधकाः ॥

bhavah') and on their peculiar ritual of mantras, bijas (syllables meaningless to ordinary men), nyāsas, mudrās, cakras, yantras and similar things for attaining their goal. The condemnation poured on Tāntricism is principally due to their insistence on wine, meat and sexual unions as the best and the only means for the effective worship of Devi, their theory that by merely repeating some mantra or mantras over wine, meat and other tattvas, by offering them to Devi and by meditation on her, one may drink wine or eat meat, when in the same breath they say emphatically that partaking of wine and meat is sinful without this ritual. This antagonizes those who are not kaulas and who hold that this persistent teaching is very dangerous for the common run of men and sayours of hypocrisy.

Some of the Tantras practically inculcated what appears to non-tantrikas unbridled licence. The Kaulavall-nirnaya (IV. 15 ff) asserts: 'The Śaktas have no higher means of happiness and liberation than the fifth tattva (i.e. maithuna); a sādhaka becomes sıddha only by the (practice) of the fifth tattva. If he resorts only to the first (i. e. wine) he becomes only Bhairava, if only to the 2nd (meat) he becomes Brahmā, by the third (fish) he becomes a mahābhairava, by the 4th (mudrā) he becomes foremost among sādhakas. 1769 The same Tantra goes further and frankly says 'all women are fit for intercourse to a (Śākta) worshipper except the wives of his guru or of those Śāktas who have attained to the status of Virg. that for those who have reached the stage of advasta there is no prohibition nor is anything enjoined. To the pure everything is pure, it is only the hankering that is blamable.' In this connection that work advances certain puerile and obscene arguments (in VIII, 223-225) about illicit or incestuous intercourse that cannot be set out here. That tantra does not stand alone in such statements. For example, the Kālīvilāsatantra (X. 20-21) allows adulterous intercourse to a 'Sakta' devotee pro-

^{1769.} अधातः संपवश्यामि पञ्चतत्त्वविनिर्णयम् । पञ्चमान्तु परं नास्ति ज्ञान्तानां सुख-मोक्षयोः । केवलै. पञ्चमेरेव तिर्ष्ट्वो भवति साधकः । केवलेनाद्ययोगेन साधको भैरवो भवेत् । &c. फोलावलीनिर्णय IV. 15-16, ग्रुक्वीरवधूत्त्त्पवत्त्वा रम्याः सर्वाश्च योषितः । एता वर्ज्याः प्रयत्नेन सन्दिग्धाना च सर्वदा॥ अद्वैतानां च क्षुत्रापि निषेधो नैव विद्यते । " अत एव यदा यर्थ धासना कुत्तिता भवेत् । तदा दोषाय भवित नान्यथा दूषणं क्राचित्। कोलावलीनिर्णय VIII. 221-222, 226; पवित्रं सक्तलं चैव वासना कुत्तिता भवेत् । 1btd. XVII. 170 दीक्षितः परनारीषु यदि मेथुनमाचरेत् । न विन्दोः पातनं कार्य कृते च ब्रह्महा भवेत् । यदि न प्रयतेत् विन्दुः परनारीषु पार्वति । सर्वसिद्धीश्वरो भूत्वा वित्रेद् भूमिमण्डले॥ कालीविलासतन्त्र X.

vided it is not carried to the last stage of emission and avers that, if he observes the condition in the provise, he would become the master of supernatural powers in spite of adultery. It should be noted that the author of this work has unabashed hardshood to make Siva tell this to Parvati. About wine that work remarks 'just as drinking of soma 1770 is prescribed for brāhmanas is solemn Vedic sacrifices, similarly wine should be drunk at proper times (or according to the practice of Kaulas). since it confers enjoyment as well as moksa; drinking wine is blamable in the case of those who hanker after benefits or who are egoistic: but in the case of those that are free from egoism there is neither prohibition (of drinking) nor the enjoining of it. One who is free from the fetters of making distinctions should practise drinking wine for the purpose of remembering the meaning of the mantras and for making his mind fixed (on worship) but he who resorts to wine, and other tattvas merely for pleasure is sinful.' The teachings of works like the Kaulavall-nirnaya about drinking wine and sexual intercourse with all sorts of women as the highest means of Sakti worship by persons professing to be advastans led to great depravity and sexual immorality and orgies as indicated by the criticism in medieval works cited above (pp. 1073-76) that regarded Tantrik teachings as execrable, though some medieval Hindu works admitted into religious practices nyāsa, mudrā, yantra and the like, which were deemed to be innocuous and which would be described in this work later on. The mere intention of doing good if certain conditions were fulfilled is not enough excuse, when it is most likely that the means proposed for attaining a high spiritual level and for liberation would have the opposite effect on most Taking all things into consideration, the present author is constrained to observe that medieval and recent writers who severely criticized Tantrik works were on the whole largely justified in their condemnation of Tantrik practices as enunciated in many Tantrik works and of the works themselves. For one man that attained super-normal powers, high spiritual level and great mystic experience there must have been hundreds of hypocrites, charlatans, and licentious men who deluded trustful and ignorant men and particularly women.

^{1770.} यथा कतुषु विमाणां सोमपानं विधीयते । मद्यपानं तथा कार्य समये भोगमोक्षदम् ॥ फलार्थिनामवङ्कारवता दूषणमेव हि । निरहंकारिणां चैव न निषेधो न वा विधि ॥ कीठावठी॰ VIII. 90-91, मन्द्रार्थस्मरणार्थं द्व मनसः स्थैर्यहेतवे । भेदपाशविनिर्धको मद्यपानं समा-चरेत् । सेवेत यः सुखार्थाय मद्यादीनि स पातकी ॥ ibid, VIII. 74.

Only a few Puranas like the DayInurana. the Kalika. the Devimahatmva in the Markandeva provide for the employment of some of the condemned makāras (madya, māmsa, matsya) in the worship of the Great Goddess. From about the 6th or 7th century AD. Furanas began to incorporate the special ceremonial characteristics of the Śāktas and Tāntrikas. Aparārka motes a passage 1771 from the Devipurana wherein the qualifications of a Sthānaka (one who performs Devapratisthā) are set out. viz. he would be the best Sthapaka for establishing images of Devi and the Matrs, who knows the vama (left or opposite) and the daks.ng (right) path of worship, who has thoroughly mastered the veds relating to the Matrs (the Mother Goddesses), who is clever in the interpretation of Pancaratra works and is proficient in the Tantras of the Matrs &c. The Kalika-purana devotes many chapters (54 ff) to the description of mantras, kayacas, mudrās, nyāsas &c The Bhāgayatapurāna also and Agni 1772 372 34 expressly say that the worship of gods and of Visnu also is either *laidikī*, *tāntrīkī* or *miśrā*, the first and third being for the three higher varnas and the tantriki for sudras. The Bhāgavatapurāna refers to the worship of Keśava laid down in the Tantras for him who desires to cast out the knot (bondage or grief) of the heart. It (Bhagavata) also mentions 1773 Vaidiki and Tantriki diksā (in XI. 11. 37) and refers to the Tantrik method of the angas, upangas, ayudhas and decorations in the worship of the Lord of Laksmi. 1774 Some of the Puranas and medieval nibandhas, however, fully utilized what Tantras had to say about mantras, japa, nyāsa, mandala, cakra, yantra and

¹⁷⁷¹ चदपि देवीपुराणे — वामदक्षिणवेत्ता यो मानुवेदार्थपारगः। स भवेत्र्यापकः भेष्ठी देवीनां मातरा(मृका?) सु च। पञ्चराञ्चार्थकुरालो मातृतन्त्रविशारदः। &c अपराक्त p.16, who then quotes Matsya 265. 1–5 for the qualifications of sthapaka in which there is no reference to बाम, दक्षिण or तन्त्र. This and the quotations from the Bhagavata indicate that the Matsya was composed some centuries before the देविपुराण and भागवतपुराण

^{1772.} चौदिकस्तान्त्रिको मिश्रो विक्णोर्चे त्रिविधो मखः। त्रयाणामीप्सितेनैकविधिना हरि-मर्चयेत्। अग्नि 372 34.

^{1773.} य आजु हृदयग्रस्थि निर्जिदेषुः परात्मनः। विधिनोयचरेड् देवं तन्त्रीक्तेन च केज्ञवम्॥ ल्रह्यानुग्रह आचार्याचेन सम्बर्धितागमः। यिण्डं विज्ञोध्य संन्यासकृतरक्षोचियद्धरिम्॥ भागवत XI. 3 47 and 49. Here पिण्डज्ञोधन refers to the भूतज्ञुद्धि prescribed in such तान्त्रिक works as महानिर्वाणतन्त्र (V. 93-105) and taken over in late medieval works like the पूजापकाञ्च pp. 129-133 and न्यास also is mentioned as a means of protection against evil.

^{1774.} तान्त्रिकाः परिचर्यायां केवलस्य श्रियः पतेः। अङ्गोपाङ्गायुधाकल्पं कस्ययन्ति पर्येत्र हि । भागवत XII. 11. 2.

similar matters. This will be illustrated later by some examples. Even for such a simple and common topic as the 16 upacaras of worship, the Varsakriyā-kaumudi (p. 156) and Ekādaśi-tattva (p. 59) quote the Prapañasāra-tantra (VI. 41-42).

The Puränas and some smitis prescribe short mantras of five, six, eight, twelve, thirteen and more syllables as very efficacious for securing all objects. A few of them are set out in the note ¹⁷⁷⁵ below. Medhātithi or Manu says that the word mantra primarily means a part of the Veda comprising Rgveda, Yajurveda and Sāmaveda, treated as such by those who have studied the Veda, and that expressions like "Agnaye svāhā" ¹⁷⁷⁶ employed in rites like Vaisvadeva are called mantras in a secondary sense by way of praise. The vedic conception was that a mantra has great potency and that it must be correctly repeated to secure the desired result, that a mantra defective as to accent or as to a letter or wrongly applied did not convey the meaning intended and that becoming a thunderbolt in the form of a word or words it destroys ¹⁷⁷⁷ the yajamāna. Vedic mantras are

^{1775.} Vide जारवासिलक I. 73 ff for references to mantras of five or more letters. A pañcakeara mantra is नमः शिषाय (in लिए प्रशाण I, 85); the same becomes a mantra of six letters when 'om' is prefixed. Other mantras of six letters are: ऑ नमी विष्णवे (in प्रज्ञादारीतरमित VI. 213), ओं नमी प्रराय (in देमानि on सत vol I. p. 227), श्रीरामरामरामेति (vide note 219 above) and two more on p. 434 under 'Sadaksaramantra', 'Khakholkaya namah' is a sadaksara mantra of Aditya quoted from भविष्यप्रताण in ऐमाझि (झत II. p. 521) and in Kalpataru on vrata p 9 and 199 (in the latter it is called मुख्यान्त्र under Nimbasaptami, the description of which is taken from Bhavisya, Brabmaparva, chap 215 and 216), mantras of eight letters are. औ नमी नारायणाय (10 नारदपुराज I, 16, 38-39, जत्तपुराज 60 24, वरागुपु, 120, 7), ओ नमो वागुदेवाय (10 वेदरानसस्मार्तसूत्र IV. 12, नरसिंदछ 63 6, q by अपरार्क, मस्त्यमु 102.4 q. by रसुतिन्द I. p. 182 as मूलमन्द्र); a mantra of twolve letters is ओ नमी भगवी पासुदेवाय (in नारदपु 1. 16 38-39, नशीसंग्यु 7. 43); for a manica of 13 letters about राम, vide note 219 above), mantras of 16 letters are भीपीजनगत्तभवारण इारणं प्रपद्धे (in नारद्वपु, II, 59, 44) and हीं भीरि चन्नद्वित योगेश्वरि हुं फद रताहा (in 5114210 IX. 99).

¹⁷⁷⁶ मन्त्रकारदेच देग्तीस्वेशभग्दनान् रमहाकारान्तोऽग्रथे रमहित्येषमादिनिधिष्यते। न ग्रान्ये मन्त्रा वैश्वदेवेषु विनिष्ठकारतेषु च मन्त्रत्व प्रश्नेसयीरपते। न ग्रु स्मान्यायेऽगदितानी मन्त्रत्वमति। स्वान्यायेकदेशः फश्चिर्रग्यतुःसामारमको वेदाश्यायिभिर्मन्त्र एति स्पति।यते। स्पत्तारतश्च पदार्थायमनम्। भेषातिथि on मन्तु III 121 (in Dr. Jha's calition)

^{1777.} Vide II of Dh. vol. II, p. 347 and note 810, where verse 52 from the Pāniniyasikeā is quoted and the story of the result of wrong accentuation of the word 'indrasatruh' is briefly set out from Tal, S. II, 4, 12 1 and Sat, Br I, 6, 3, 8-16.

of four classes viz. rh (which is metrical), yajus (which has no restriction as to metre but which must be a sentence). a saman (which is sung) and nigada (i.e. maisa, meaning words that are addressed by one person to another calling upon the latter to do a certain act. e.g. the words 'srucah sammrddhi, proksanīrāsādaya'. Nigadas are yajus in form but are distinguished from yajus by the fact that the former are loudly uttered, while a vaius is ordinarily recited in a low voice. 1778 The most sacred mantra is the Gayatri (Rg. III. 62. 10 'tat-savitur' &c). The Atharvaveda (XIX. 71. 1) calls it Vedamātā (the mother of the Veda). The Brahadaranyakopanisad (V. 14) contains a grand eulogy of the Gāyatrī. 1779 Om is a very sacred syllable, a symbol of brahma and may be called in the language of the Tantras a bija. There are only a few syllables such as om, phat. vasat in the Vedic literature that on the face of them have no meaning but are like bija mantras in the Tantra sense. There is a Bijanighantu (a dictionary of bija mantras) printed in 'Tāntrik texts' Vol. I. pp. 28–29 (where monosyllabic bijas such as Hrim, Śrim, Krim, Hum, phat, are set out and described in symbolic words indicated in note 1708 above. It is stated about a dozen times in the Aitareya Brahmana viz. it is the perfection of sacrifice when it is rupasamrddha (perfect as to its form) i. e. when the rk verse pointedly refers to the sacrificial act that is being performed. The Nirukta (I. 15-16) starts a lengthy discussion on Kautsa's view that mantras have no sense (or are purposeless) There is a long discussion in the Pürvamimāmsāsütra (I. 2. 31 ff) on the same lines as in the Nirukta. Jaimini states that there is no difference in the meanings of words employed in the Veda and those employed by people and Śabara adds in his $bh\bar{a}sya$ (on P. M. S. I. 2. 32) that mantras are recited in sacrifices only for the purpose of conveying or manifesting the meaning. 1781 It is difficult to

^{1778.} Vide Jat. II 1. 35-45 and H of Dh. Vol. II. pp. 983-984 and note 2232 for further details about these

¹⁷⁷⁹ Vide H of Dh Vol. II pp 302-304 for the meaning and culogies of Gayatri in various Sanskrit works and pp. 301-302 for the culogy of 'om', which is called 'pranava'.

¹⁷⁸⁰ एतहे यज्ञस्य समृद्धं यद्रूपसमृद्धं यत्कर्म क्रियमाणमृतभिवद्गित। ऐ. वा III, (अध्याय), 5 (स्वण्ह)

¹⁷⁸¹ The Nirukta (I 16) quotes this Brahmana passage but reads क्रान्यस्त्रांभित्रस्तीति in its discussion on the question whether Vedic mantras are significant The words of the Ait. Br. 'yat karma kriyamanam rgabbivadatı'

⁽Confinued on next page)

define what a vedic mantra is and it is generally understood, as said by Sabara, that passages or verses are mantras that are recognised as such by the learned 1782 The whole Veda is divided into five categories viz. Vidhi (hortatory passages as in 'Agnihotram juhuyāt'), mantras, nāmadheya (names such as Udbhid in 'Udbhida yajeta' or 'Viśvajit' as in 'Viśvajitā yajeta'). msedha (prohibition as in 'nānrtam vadet') and arthavāda (explanatory or laudatory passages as in 'Vāvu is a deity that is swiftest'). The Nirukta (L 20) embodies the ancient view that the sages had an intuitive perception of Dharma and they transmitted the mantras by oral instruction to those that came after them and that had no intuitive perception of Dharma. The mantras and stolias were supposed even in the Rg. to induce the gods to come to the sacrifices and to bestow on those who recited them protection, valiant sons, cattle, wealth, victory and all sorts of things (e.g. vide Rg. I. 102, 1-5, II, 24, 15-16, II, 25. 2, III. 31. 14, IX. 20. 7, IX. 72. 9, X. 78. 8, X. 105. 1). It has been shown above p. 920 (in notes 4167-4168) how the Puranas prescribed their own mantras for many religious acts but those mantras also are significant and not meaningless.

Mantras are the very heart and core of Tantrasāstra so much so that the sāstra is often spoken of as mantrasāstra. The theory of Tāntrik writings such as the Prapaācasāra and Śāradātilaka about mantras may be briefly stated as follows: In the human body there are ten nādīs, the three principal being Idā (on the left side from the left testicle to the left nostril), Susumnā (in the middle of the body in the spinal chord) and Pingalā (on the right side from the right testicle to the right nostril). The Kundalinī lies asleep coiled like a snake in the Mūlādhāra-cakra. It is a form of the sabda-brahma. The Devi (or Śakti) assumes the form of Kundalinī, all gods reside in Devī and all mantras are Her forms (Śāradātilaka I. 55-57). It has already been stated how from contact with light (Jyotih) Śakti becomes conscious and has a desire to create, then it solidifies and appears as a bindu. Through the instrumentality

⁽Continued from last page)

contain the same doctrine that the PMS and Sabara emphasize viz manitas are 'arthapratyāyaka' or 'arthaprakāśaka'. ज्ञबर on अविशिष्टस्त वाक्यार्थ. (पू मी स 1. 2 32) says 'अर्थमत्यायनार्थमेव यज्ञे मन्त्रीज्ञारणस्'

¹⁷⁸² अनाम्नातेषु मन्त्रत्वमाम्नातेषु हि विभागः। पू भी सू II 1 34 on which Sabara remarks अनाम्नातेषु मन्त्रत्व न स्याद्मिधायकेष्यपि। नाभिधायकत्व मन्त्रत्वे ऐति । किं तर्हि। अभियुक्तपयोगः। येऽभियुक्तमंन्त्रा इति नोचयन्ते न ते मन्त्राः॥।

of Kāla (Time) bindu divides itself into three, gross (i. e. bīja). subtle (sūksma i.e. nāda which is bījabindu) and para (i.e. bindu which is kāryabindu). This last is of the nature of an unmanifest sound and is called sabdabrahma 1782a by the sages (Śāradātilaka I. 11-12. Prapañcasāra I. 41 ff). Śabdabrahma exists in all things as consciousness; it exists in the bodies of living human beings in the form of Kundalini and then appears as letters in prose, poetry and so forth, being carried by Vayu (air) to the throat, palate, teeth &c. The sounds thus produced are called aksaras and when written they are spoken of as varnas (letters of the alphabet, mātrkā, which are 50 from a to ksa). The impulse to sound production arising in mūlādhāra-cakra is called 'parā' (vāk), it is called pasyantī when it reaches 'svādhisthāna-cakra, madhyamā in the heart and vaikharī in the mouth. Both aksara and varna are Kundalini made articulate in speech and visible in writing. All the mantras (some say they are nine crores) are evolved from the varnas of the alphabet that are deemed (by Tantrikas) to be living conscious sound powers. It is the bija mantras like hrīm, śrīm, krīm that make visible the form of the Devatā (Mahānirvāna V. 18-19).1783 It is wrong to suppose that mantras are mere letters or words or language. They assume different forms, such as bija-mantras, kavaca, hrdaya &c. The bijamantras like Hrim (representing Tribhuvanesvari or Māyā), Śrīm (representing Laksmī), Krīm (representing Kālī) cannot possibly be called language, since they convey no meaning to ordinary men. They are the Devatā (Istadevatā of the

¹⁷⁸² a. देवी is described in ज्ञारदातिलक 1. 56~57 as ज्ञान्देवह्मस्वरूपिणी and

^{1783.} ताराचा कमलीधा च वांभवाद्या यथाक्रमम्। ब्राह्मणक्षित्रयिकीं सावित्री फिथता कले। " द्विजादीनां ममेदार्थ शूट्रेम्यः परमेश्वेरि। सन्ध्येयं वैदिकी प्रोक्ता प्रामेवाहिकः कर्मणाम्। महानिर्वाणः VIII 87-88. ओम् is called तार, श्रीं ाड क्रमलाबीज and हैं। वांभववीज About गायश्ची the ज्ञारहंा॰ (XXI 1-3) says 'अयो वश्यामि गायश्ची तत्त्वरूपां 'वर्यामयीम्। यया प्रकाश्यते ब्रह्म सचिदानन्द्रलूक्षणम्। प्रणवाद्या न्याहृतयः संत स्तुत्तत्पदाद्विताः। 'वर्यामयीम्। यया प्रकाश्यते ब्रह्म सचिदानन्द्रलूक्षणम्। प्रणवाद्या न्याहृतयः संत स्तुत्तत्पदाद्विताः। 'वर्षामयीम्। सवित्रत्यत्वाद्विताः। सविद्योद्धतः सारो मन्त्रीयं सम्रुदाहृतः। 'For the seven व्याहृतिडः, vide H of Dh vol. II p. 301 note 713. Each is to be repeated preceded by om. The शिरस्त of वायत्री is ओमापो च्योती रसोऽस्टतं ब्रह्मसूर्श्वः स्वरोम्। . The वित्यक्षमांत्र I 165 says about गायत्री 'अभिचारेषु तां हेर्वी विपरीतां प्रयोजयेष्य। 'कार्या च्याहृतयश्चात्र विपरीताक्षरास्त्रया। विपरीताक्षरं कार्य शिरश्च मञ्जेश्वर। आदी शिरः प्रयोक्तर्य प्रणवान्ते च यादव। स्वाहार्याने च फर्कारं साध्यानासमन्तितम्। गायत्री चिन्तये- त्रज्ञ दीप्तानस्त्रममभाम् " अभिचारे च होतन्या राजिका विपनिश्विताः॥ स्वरक्तमिश्रं होतन्यं सहित्तयापि वा। अल्पागसि न कर्तयमभिचारं तथेव तु॥ महापरार्थं वित्रत देवज्ञाद्वाणकण्यक्तम्। अभिचारेण से होतेष्य तु॥ महापरार्थं वित्रत देवज्ञाद्वाणकण्यक्तम्। अभिचारेण से दोर्पण सिट्रयते॥ verses 55-63.

sūdhaka, the worshipper) imparted to the sādhaka by the qualified guru at the time of diksa (initiation). They are not efficacious if merely learnt by reading books. A mantra according to Tantrik works is the sound body of Sakti charged with the vibrations of the spiritual personality of the original Tantrika seer of the mantra and endowed with a perpetual store of power by the Tantrik seer. What is needed to awaken Power in the disciple and to reap the full effect of the mantra is the touch of the auru, the imagination and concentrated will power of the sadhaka. The deity willed that certain letters uttered by the seer should as sound or sounds convey such and such a meaning and should have a certain potency. The sounds represented by the letters are forms of Śivasakti i.e Śabdabrahma. From this last the whole world proceeds in the form of sounds (sabda) and the objects (artha) which sounds or words denote. The Devata, the mantra and the gurn are the essentials of sādhanā (procedure that leads on to Siddhi, laid down in Tantrik works); the disciple has to revolve in his mind that the three are non-different. Mantra is not the same thing as a prayer. For a prayer a person may employ any words that he may choose, but in the case of a mantra definite letters are considered necessary, which are deemed to be the forms in which Sakti manifests Herself to the worshipper. A mantra may be in the form of words that have an obvious meaning or in the form of letters arranged in a particular order and that have no meaning to the uninitiated. It is recognised in some of the works on this sastra that thought has creative power, that each person is Siva, and can attain to higher and higher spiritual levels depending upon his ability to realise himself as Śiva Thoughts are real, kind thoughts will do good to ourselves and to those around us, evil thoughts and wishes of others may cause us suffering.

Tāntrik works have their own mantras and they also employ Vedic mantras. For example, the mantra 'Jātavedase sunavāma' (Rg. I. 99 1), though addressed to Agni, is employed in later works for invoking Durgā, the mantra 'Tryambakam yajāmahe' (Rg VII. 59. 12) is addressed to Rudra, but is called Mrtyunjaya-mantra or mrtasanjīvinī mantra in Tāntrik works and is prescribed for purifying the mind (attaśnddhi) in the Mahānirvāṇa (VIII. 243). Similarly, the Gāyatrī mantra (Rg. III. 62 10) is employed by the Tāntrikas. Vide Śāradātılaka XXI. 1-8 and 16 (latter for dhyāna of Gāyatrī) and Prapancasāra, which

devotes the whole chapter 30 to the explanation of om, the wyāhrhs and the words of the Gāyatrī and Gāyatrī-sādhana). The Mahānirvāna prescribes the performance of Vaidikī sandhyā to be followed by the Tāntrikī sandhyā. The Tāntrikī Gāyatrī is 'Ādyāyaī vidmahe Parameśvaryai dhīmahi tan-nah Kālī pracodayātu' (Mahānirvāna V. 62-63) Even Śūdra Tāntrikas were to recite this last, while the three higher classes were to repeat the vedic Gāyatrī employing om, śrīm and aim before it respectively. The importance of guru, mantra and devatā is emphatically brought out in the following verse 'the person, who considers guru as a mere mortal, a mantra as mere letters and images (of deities) as mere stones falls into Hell'¹⁷⁸¹ The Rudrayāmala says' If Śiva is angered, Guru can save (the pupil'), but if Guru is angered, no one can save (the pupil').

The Parasurāmakalpasūtra, Jūānārnavatantra, the Śāradātilaka and almost all Tantrika works say that mantras possess wonderful and inconceivable powers, 1785 that a follower of Tantra secures all powers by following the practices of the school of his guru traditionally handed down and by faith, that mantras are the means of securing the fruits desired, that the authoritativeness of Tantraśāstra depends mainly on the faith of the followers of the śāstra. that the sādhaka should come to feel that guru, mantra, the deity, his own soul, mind and lifebreaths are all one and then he will possess the knowledge of the Highest Self. Some of the Tantrik works contain most exaggerated praises of mantras, particularly the śrividyāmantra of sixteen letters; e. g. Jūānārnava says 'Even crores of Vājapeyas and thousands of Asyamedhas are not equal (in merit) to even the utterance of Srīvidyā and so also gifts of crores of Kapilā cows cannot be compared to one utterance of Śriwdyā (24th

^{1784.} ग्रुरो नग्रुप्यबुद्धि च मन्त्रे चासरबुद्धिकम्। प्रतिमासु शिलाबुद्धि कुर्वाणी नरकं व्रजेत्॥ कुलार्णव XII. 45, कोलावलीनिर्णय X. 12-13, क्रम्यामल (II. 65) says 'ग्रुरः पिता ग्रुक्मीता ग्रुक्टेंगे ग्रुक्गीति । शिवे कटे ग्रुक्खाता ग्रुरो कटे न क्षश्रन॥ This verse occurs ।। कुलार्णद 12. 49 (reads ग्रुक्टेंगे महेश्वर.)

patala, verses 74-76). Vide Agnipurāna 125. 51-55 for mantras employed in killing an enemy, chapters 134 and 135 for Trailokyavijayavidyā and Sangrāmavijayavidyā respectively.

Numberless mantras are contained in the Tantras made from different arrangements of the constituent parts of a mantra. The Mahānirvāna first gives a mantra of ten syllables as noted below and then by different arrangements of the letters and by addition of certain other syllables and words like 'Kālike' it forms twelve mantras, remarks that there are crores of mantras and that the mantras contained in all Tantras are all mantras of the Great 1786 Devi.

The word mantra is explained as derived from 'man' to think and 'trai' or 'trai. Yāska's Nīrukta (VII.12) derives it simply from 'man' The Kulārņava says 'mantra' is so called since it saves from all dangers, as the sādhaka thereby is led to ponder over God of immeasurable refulgence that is the only principle (in the world)' A similar derivation is given by Rāmapūrvatāpanīya Upanīsad, by the Prapaūcasāra and other Tantras. Numerous varieties of mantras called Kavaca, Hrdaya, Upahrdaya, Netra, Astra, Raksā and so forth are specified in the Tantrik texts, one or two of which may be illustrated in the note below and the rest are passed over for reasons of space. 1785

^{1786.} The mantra of ten syllables in महानिर्वाण V. 10-13 is हीं श्री जी प्रसिश्विर स्वाहा Then it proceeds 'तब मन्त्रा हासंख्याता कोटिकोट्यर्ड्सास्त्रण।''' येषु येषु च तन्त्रेषु ये ये मन्त्रा प्रजीतिंता । ते सर्वे तब मन्त्रा स्थुस्चमाया प्रकृतिर्पतः॥ महा निर्वाण V 18-19.

^{1787.} क्रियाक्तर्मेज्यकर्तृणामध् मन्त्रो बद्दव्यथ। मननात् प्राणनान्मन्त्र सर्वेशस्यस्य वाचकः॥ त्रामपूर्वेतापिनीय-उप 1.12; द्धाञ्च दिन्यमानं क्षिष्ट्याद्द्रुदितान्यतो भवेदीक्षा। मननात्तत्त्वपदस्य ज्ञायत इति मन्त्रक्षुत्र्यते भयतः॥ प्रपञ्चसार् ४.2, मननाचन्त्ररूपदे देव-स्यामितिकासः। ज्ञायते सर्वमयतस्तरमान्मन्त्र इतीरितः॥ क्षुलाणेत्र 17.54.

^{1788.} The ब्रह्माण्डपुराण III. 33 provides for a मन्त्र of ten syllables, 'गोपीजन- वर्ष्टुभाय स्वाहा। together with फ्रांप, उन्दस्, देवता and विनियोग 'गोपीजनगद्दरपाले वर्ष्टुभाय सम्बद्धित्। स्वाहान्त्रायं महामन्त्री दशाणों मुक्तिमुक्तिहः॥ सदाशिवस्वरप क्रिए पद्कालेश्युट्ट उदाहृत्स्। देवता कृष्ण उदिती विनियोगोऽखिलातये॥। verses 3-4 Then the कवन्त्र called ज्ञलोक्यविजय is described in verses 5-27 beginning with क्रिलोक्यविजयस्याय कवन्त्रस्य प्रजापति.। क्रिलोक्यद्ध जगती देवो राजेश्वर स्वयम्। ब्रेलोक्यविजयाति विनियोग, प्रक्रीतितः। प्रणाने में शिर. पातु श्रीकृष्टणाय नम. सदा। पायाक्त्रपति कृष्णाय साहेति सततं सम॥ कृष्णिति पातु जेत्रे से कृष्ण स्वाहित तारकाम्। ... कृष्टि कृष्णाय सम. कर्णो पातु कत्यत्वर्मम । श्री कृष्णाय नम. पातु नित्यं नैऽपरयुग्मकम्॥ ... इति ने क्षित पूर्य भर्तां पातु कत्यत्वर्मम । श्री कृष्णाय नम. पातु नित्यं नैऽपरयुग्मकम्॥ ... इति ने क्षित पूर्य भर्तां पातु कत्यत्वर्मम । श्री कृष्णाय नाम कवन्त्र परिकृति । &c For a comparatively modern कवन्त्र of आद्या कालिका, vide महानिर्याणतन्त्र VII. 56-55, and जारवषुः II. 56 48-50 for a कवन्त्रमन्त्र beginning with पूर्वं मां पातु गोविन्दो ... यूतले पातु वाराहर्म्य चिविकम-॥ (in four दिकाइ, 4 कोणिटिकाइ, पाताल and ऊर्ध्व with different names of विल्छ)

The Śāradātilaka divides mantras into male, female and neuter: masculine mantras end in 'hum' and 'phat', female mantras in 'svaha' and neuter mantras end with 'namah'. This is stretched further so as to apply to letters viz. the vowels r r. 1 1 are said to be neither and the rest are said to be not neuter but only short and long (Sāradātilaka, VI. 3 and Rāghavabhatta thereon). Śāradātilaka (from chap. VII to XXIII) is devoted to mantras of Sarasvatī, Laksmī, Bhuvaneśvari, Tyaritā and others, Durgā, 1789 Tripurā, Ganapati, Candramas. Many of the mantras are to be repeated thousands of times or lakhs of times in order to secure full effect. For example, in X 105-107 the Śāradātilaka prescribes that a certain mantra should be repeated five lakhs of times and then fifty thousand abutis of ghee should be made and worship of the Devi with the procedure prescribed should be performed. The worshipper then secures whatever he may desire and can make kings sub-servient Another noticeable feature is that the mantras are treated like the mantras of the Veda and mention is made in the manner of the Anukramanis of the sage, the metre, the deity and the viniyoga (or purpose for which it is to be used or employed). Though the Śāradātilaka is a sober work free from the sexual practices of Vamamarga, still it does contain mantras for magical purposes such as bringing women under control (IX. 103-104, X. 76), 1790 bringing about the paralysing or the death of an enemy by mantras (XI. 60-124, XXI. 95 XXII. 1 ff).

The Buddhist Tantras did not lag behind the Hindu Tantras in the matter of the potency of mantras. The Sādhanamāl \bar{a}^{1791}

^{1789.} The दुर्गागन्त is 'ओं हीं हुं दुर्गाये नमः' (ज्ञारदा॰ XI 1-2) and then the work proceeds ऋषिश्व नारदस्छरदो गायत्रे देवता मनोः। दुर्गा समीरिता सञ्जिद्दितापिकारिणीः (XI. 3). दुरितापिकारिणी refers to the विनिधेगः about the monosyllabic mantra 'कंटा' these are set out as follows, बीजमेतान्छ्रयः मोर्क विन्तामणिरिवापरः। ऋषिर्भृशृतिनृत्न्छन्दो देवता श्री॰ समीरिता। ज्ञारदा॰ VIII. 2-3.

^{1790.} मूलमन्त्रं जपेन्मन्त्री नित्यमध्सहस्रक्षम् । सप्ताहाद्वाञ्चितां नारीमाहरेत्स्मर-गिरालाम् । शारदाः IX 103-104 , सिद्धं मर्ग्यं जपेन्मन्त्री सहस्रं शयनस्थितः । यो विच्चिन्स्य स्त्रियं रात्रो सा समायाति तत्क्षणात् । ःbsā X. 76 सूलमन्त्र would be द्वी श्लीं क्रीं

¹⁷⁹¹ किमस्त्यसाह्य मन्त्राणा योजितानां यथाविधि। साधनमाला p. 575; ओं आः हीं हैं ए एः अपं मन्त्राणो शुद्धावं ददाति किं पुनत्त्या सिद्धायः। 1bid. p. 270, यातु किं बहु यचनीयं परमतिदुर्लभं दुद्धानमधि तेषां पाणितलावलीनबद्धरूकफलमिवावतिहाति। 1bid. p. 62, ओम् चलचल चिलिचिलि युक्कयुक्त कुळुकुळ छळुसळ हुहुंहुंहुं फर्फट्फट्फद् परमहस्ते स्वाहा दिने दिने पश्चातात्र मान्त्राम्य प्रसासि। 1bid. p. 87; the

asserts that there is nothing that cannot be accomplished by mantras if proper procedure is followed. For example, it says that a certain mantra that is a prince among mantras confers Buddhahood, what need is there to say about othere suddhis, that by another mantra even Buddhahood which is extremely difficult to attain is like a jupube fruit placed on the palm of the hand and that a certain mantra (of unmeaning words) if repeated five times at three periods in the day viz. the morning, noon and evening, makes even a fool (lit. an ass) master of three hundred works. The Buddhist Tantras also prescribe repetition of mantras for a lakh¹⁷⁹² of times (vide Sādhanamālā, vol I, No 165

(Continued from last page)

सद्धर्मपुण्डरीसस्त्र chap. 21 (B I. series ed by Dr. Nalinaksha Dutt, 1952) contains several धारणाड (Talismanic sentences), one of which may be cited here (p 267) 'अथ खहु बोधिसस्त्रो इमानि धारणीमन्त्रपदानि भाषते स्म। तद्यथा। ज्वले सहाज्वले उसे तुझे अहे अडाविति स्तरे इहिनि विद्विति .. तृत्यावित साहा?

1792. ओं मणितारे हूं। लक्षजापेनार्या अग्रत उपतिष्ठति। यदिन्छति तत्सर्वे ददाति। विना मण्डलकरनानोपवासेन केवलं जापमात्रेण सिध्यति सर्व कार्य च साधयति। साधनमाला vol I. p. 221. आर्थी here means Goddess Tहरबे The most famous manira among Buddhists is 'Om Manipadme hum' where 'manipadme' is vocative (and probably refers to Tara deity, who has a jewel lotus). Vide Dr. F. W Thomas in JRAS for 1906 p. 464. It is often rendered as 'Hail, the jewel lotus'. While these pages were passing through the press the present author received a work called 'Foundations of Tibetan mysticism' according to the Esoteric Teachings of the Great Mantra 'Om Mani Padme Hum' by Lama Anagarika Govinda (pub by Rider and Co London, 1959) sible to deal with this work in this note He states that the Mantra 'Om' &c. is dedicated to Avalokitesvara (a fine photographic plate of whom occurs as the Frontispiece). None who is not a confirmed Tibetan Buddhist scholar or monk will accept his interpretations of the words of the mantra On p. 27 he states that the mantra is pronounced in Tibet as 'Om Mani Peme Hum' and that the complete formula 1s 'Om .. Hum, Hrih' (p. 230), on pp. 84 ff. he scouts the idea that tantrism is a Hinduistic reaction taken over by later Buddhist schools He offers different esoteric meanings of the words of the mantra e g on p. 130 he says 'om is the ascent towards universality, Hum is the descent of the states of universality into the depth of the human heart', on p. 131 'Om is the infinite, but Hum is the infinite in the finite, the eternal in the temporal &c., on p 230 'In the Om, we experience the Dharmakaya and the mystery of the universal body, in 'mani' the Sambhogakāya, in the Padma we experience the Nirmanakāya, in the Hum we experience the Vojiakāya as synthesis of the transcendental body of the three mysteries, in the Hrih, we dedicate the totality of our transformed personality to the service of Amitabha, on p. 256 thus Om., Hum embodies the happy tidings of liberation, of the love towards all living beings and of the way that leads to final realization ' The present author is constrained to say that by similar arbitrary interpretations the words of any mantra may be made to yield similar senses.

p. 336 and No. 108, p. 221). Some of the mantras embody the doctrines of Mahavana with the addition of syllables like on. phat, svähä (such as 'om śūnyatā-jūānavajrasvabhāvātmakoham' in Sadhanamala vol I. p. 62). The Prapañcasara. which is ascribed to the great advaita teacher Sankarācārva and on which Padmanada is said to have written a commentary (both published by A. Avalon in Tantrik Texts, vol. XVIII-XIX. 1935), contains a mantra called Trailokya-mohana for the purpose 1793 of the six cruel magic rites and (in 34, 33) describes a uantra (diagram) by worship of which a sādhaka can make a woman smitten with passion for him and draw her to him. This, among other matters such as ungrammatical forms, raises grave doubts as to whether the work was really composed by the first Śankarācārya. It may, however, be stated that the learned Rāghavabhatta in many places in his commentary on the Śāradātilaka (such as I. 7. 8, 12) quotes the Prapañcasāra ' (I 42, 44) as the great ācārya's work and several later writers do the same. It has to be borne in mind, however, that about 400 works are ascribed to the great advaita Acarya and that Rāghavabhatta was separated by at least seven centuries from the great Acarya and his ascription therefore cannot be accepted with implicit faith without more evidence.

Several Purānas are affected by the theory about the power of mantras of the Tāntrika type The Garuda-purāna (I. chap. 7 and 10) employs many monosyllabic unmeaning mantras like hrām, ksaum, hrīm, hum, huh, ˈsrīm, hrīm and says (I. 23) that 'om Khakholkāya Sūryamurtaye namah' is the mūlamantra of the Sun and this mantra is employed for sun-worship in an early digest like the Krtyakalpataru on vrata (p 9 note). The Bhavisya-purāna (Brāhmaparva 215 4) gives 'Khakholkāya namah' as the mūlamantra in Sun worship. The Bhavisya (Brāhma 29 9-15) provides that 'gam svāhā' is the mūlamantra of Ganapati worship, cites the mantras for hrdaya, sikhā, kavaca &c. and a Gāyatrī suited to Ganapati. The Agni-

^{1793.} मारणोचाटनद्वेपस्तम्भाकर्पणकाक्षिणः। भजेषुः सर्वर्धेवेनं मन्त्रं त्रैलोक्यमोहनम्॥ प्रथसार XXIII. 5. Vide शक्तिसङ्गतन्त्र VIII 102~105 and जपास्त्र्यसंहिता 26th पटल, verse24 for the same Agni-purāṇa Chap 138 deals with the six cruel acts.

^{1794.} म स्वाहा मूलमन्त्रीयं मणवेन समन्तित । मा नमो हृदयं सेयं भी शिरः परिकीर्तितम्। शिखा च मू नमो क्षेप म नम⁻ कवचं स्मृतम्। मो नमो नेत्रसुद्धिष्टं म. फद् कामास्रसुच्यते । भदिष्य (ब्राह्म 29 g ff) The मायत्री is महाकर्णाय विद्माहे वक्कतुण्डाय धीमहि। तस्त्रीत्

purāna also contains many mantras of the Tāntrik type. Chap. 121 verses 15-17 provide 1795 for a mantra whereby enemeis are brought under control (as noted below) and verses 17-19 speak of a mantra for paralysing (stambliana) of an enemy. Chap. 133-135 of the Agni contain several mantras of the Tāntrik type. Chapter 307 contains several mantras of bringing under control the three worlds.

A mantra called Mahāśvetā is spoken of in the Bhavisyapurāna which is mentioned in the Krtyakalpataru on vrata (p. 9) and in Ekādaśītattva p. 40 and it is 'hrām hrīm sah' and the japa of that mantra on Sunday with fast is said to yield what one desires. 1796

The later medieval digests on Dharmaśāstra adopt the Prānapratisthā-mantra evolved by the Śāradātilaka (XXII.71-76). The Devapratisthā tattva 1797 (pp. 506-507) quotes these verses from Śāradātilaka, sets out the mantra and explains the verses at length. The Divyatattva also (pp. 609-610) does the same The Vyavahāramayūkha (p. 86) sets out the same mantra for the consecration of the image of Dharma in the ordeal of Dharma without expressly naming the Śāradātilaka. The Nīrnayasindhu (pp. 349-350) has an elaborate rite of the Prānapratisthā of images full of Tāntrik elements like the words vasat, hrīm, and phat and employs the same procedure as the one prescribed by the Śāradātilaka without expressly mentioning the latter. The Śāradātilaka appears to follow earlier works like the Jayākhyasamhitā (Patala XX) and Prapañoasāratantra (35. 1-9 ff).

^{1795.} पार्थिवे चाप्टर्हींकार मध्ये नाम च दिश्च च ॥ हीं पुट पार्थिवे दिश्च हीं दिश्च रिखेदस्त् । गोराचनाकुङ्कुमेन भूजें वस्त्रे गले धृतम् ॥ शत्रवो वशमायान्ति गन्त्रेणानेन निश्चितस् । अग्नि 121 15-17

^{1796.} उपवासं च ये कुर्युरादित्यस्य दिने तथा। जपन्ति च महाश्वेता ते लभन्ते यथे। पिसतम्॥ महाश्वेतामन्त्रस्वागमे। ह्नामित्युक्त्वा ततो हीं तु सकारश्च विसर्गवान्। महाश्वेताल्य- मन्त्रोथं भानील्यक्षर ईरित ॥ ए त p. 40 The verse उपवास च 16 quoted by हे on ज्ञत vol. II. part 2 p 520.

^{1797.} तेलार्थ सम्ब्र'। आ ही को येर ल शे पे से हों हंस: असुख्य प्राणा इह प्राणाः। असुख्य जीव इह स्थितः। असुख्य सर्वेदिन्द्रयाणि। असुख्य वाद्यनश्चसुःश्रोत्रव्राणपाणा इहाणार्य सुखं चिरं तिष्ठन्तु स्वाहा। देवपतिष्ठातस्व pp 506-507. असुख्य refers to the name of the divinity whose image is to be established. If it is a Goddess then the words असुख्या or अस्पे are to be substituted. The तम्ब्रराजतन्त्र (XIII 62-68) 'sets out the प्राणप्रतिष्ठाविद्या of 40 letters from असुख्य to स्वाहा in the technical language of Tantrik works

Reasons of space preclude further illustrations of the use of Tāntrik mantras by medieval digests on Dharmaśāstra. matter of contrast between Vedic mantras and some Tantrik mantras may be noticed. A Vedic mantra, according to Jaimini (I 2, 32), was significant, but the Tantras go so far as to teach the repetition of mantras that have no meaning or that contain the letters of the mantra in reversed form. For example, the Kālīvilāsatantra (XXII. 21) says that the reverse of the three letters of the mantra 'om Durge', as 'rgedu om' yields all desires ('rgedu om tryaksaram mantram sarvakāmaphalapradam)'. The Sad-dharmapundarika 1798 (a standard work of Mahayana Buddhism) chap. 21 (text edited by Kern and Bunyiu Namiu, 1912 and translated in S. B. E. vol. XXI. pp 370-375) contains spells (called Dhāranīpadāni). It should not be supposed that spells were peculiar to Hindus or Buddhists. Many ancient peoples believed that words and letters have magic power and that belief led on to another belief that it did not matter even if the letters and words had no sense. E. J. Thomas in 'History of Buddhist thought' (reprint of 1953) states that spells similar to Hindu and Buddhist types exist in old English. Old High German and Keltic (p. 186).

The repetition of mantras, Vedic as well as Tāntrik, is called 'Puraścarana' 1799 (which literally means 'performing or carrying out before'). The Mahānirvāna (VII. 76-85) sets out various modes of puraścarana (brief as well as elaborate). One way is to bring together five tativas on the 14th tithi of a dark fortnight or on a Tuesday or Saturday, then the Devī is to be worshipped and the worshipper is to repeat ten thousand times with single-mindedness the mantra in mahāmśā (midnight), then he is to feed persons devoted to brahman and becomes one who has carried out puraścarana. Another way is that he should repeat every day one thousand times the mantra beginning on a Tuesday up to (including) the next Tuesday, so that by repeating the mantra in all eight thousand times on eight days,

¹⁷⁹⁸ A very brief spell from the सञ्चमेषुण्डरीक may be set out here by way of sample: ज्यले सहाज्यले उसे सेक अडे अडावारी . साहा। p. 372 of SBE vol XXI which is quoted above in note 1791. All the words excluding स्वाहा are in the vocative जम्मे, for उस्के, ज्यले for ब्याले

^{1799.} Purascatana of a manira has several constituent elements viz. dhyāna (medatation on the form of the deity to be worshipped), worship, japa of the manira, homa, tarpana, abhiseka and feeding brāhmanas. In a brief purascarana the first three alone are included. Tarpana means satiating with water the deity and the pitrs.

he will be deemed to have performed purascarana. Sometimes, it is prescribed 1800 that a mantra such as 'Sivava namah' (this is five-lettered mantra) or 'Om Śiyāya namah' (a sadaksara mantra) should be repeated 24 lakhs of times and the sadhaka should offer into Agni twenty-four thousand alutes of payasa. Then the mantra becomes perfect and confers on the sadhaka whatever he desires. The Kularnava states that Purascarana is so called because by the five-fold upasana the deity desired (as if) moves 1801 before the worshipper for conferring on him her favour. A mantra like the Gayatri is 1802 to be repeated every day 1008 or 108 or 10 times. This requirement is in keeping with what the Puranas and Dharmasastra works say. For example, the Nāradapurāna (II. 5754) provides that a mantra is to be repeated 8, 28, or 108 times. The Ekādasītattva¹⁸⁹² quotes Devipurana for the proposition that the offerings in the homa to planets should be 108, 28 or 8 according to one's ability.

Rāghavabhatta in his commentary on Śāradātılaka (16 56) has a very exhaustive and learned note on the details of puraścarana that are common to all mantras. According to the Vāyavīya-samhitā 1833 the perfecting of the procedure of the mūlamantra is called puraścarana, since it has to be practised before the acts in which it is to be employed The Kulārnava 1804 provides that there are at least five constituent elements of puraścarana viz. pūjā (worship of the desired deity) three times a day, japa (muttering of the mantra), tarpana, homa, and

¹⁸⁰⁰ हृद्य वपरं साक्षि लान्तानन्तान्ति मस्त् । पञ्चाक्षरो मन्त्र भोक्तस्तारायीऽय घट्टक्षर ॥ .. तरवलक्ष जऐन्मन्त्र दीक्षित शैववर्त्मना । तावरसंख्यासहस्राणि जुतुयात्पायसे श्रुभैः॥ ततः सिद्धो भवेन्मन्त्र साधकाभीद्यसिद्धिदः। शारदाः 18 2, 15-16. The first verse says that शिवाय नम. or औं शिवाय नम is the mantra o. Siva.

^{1801.} पञ्चाङ्गोपासनेनेष्टा देवता प्रीतिद्यानत । पुरश्चरति भक्तस्य तरपुरश्चरण प्रिये॥ कलार्णव 17 87

¹⁸⁰² अष्टोत्तरं सहस्र वा शत वा दशधापि वा। जपाना नियमो भट्टे सर्वजाद्विककर्मणि॥ प्रहातिर्वाण VIII 79; compare एकादशीतत्त pp 59 होमसख्यामाह देवीपुराणे। होमी प्रहादिपुजायां शतमधीत्तर भवेत्। अष्टविंशतिरष्टी वा यथाशक्ति विधीयते॥

¹⁸⁰³ वायवीयसहितायाम्। साधन मूलमन्त्रस्य पुरश्वरणसुन्यते। पुरत्रश्वरणीयताः द्विनियोगारुयर्फ्तमणाम्। पुरतो विनियोगस्य चरणाद्वा तथोदितम्। राघवभद्व ०० ज्ञारदाः, ००० सत्त्रमध्य of भारकरराय p 99 reads पुरतश्चर्यमाणत्वाद्विनियोगाद्धि

^{1804.} संसारे दु सम्विष्ट यदीन्छेलिसिस्मारमनः। पञ्चाङ्गीपासनेनैव मन्त्रजापी मजेत् सुख्यम्। पूजा चेकालिकी नित्यं जपस्तर्पणमेत च। होमी बाह्मणश्चित्रश्च सुरक्षरणहुन्यते। सुख्यम् वेकालिकी नित्यं जपस्तर्पणमेत्र च। होमी बाह्मणश्चित्रश्च सुरक्षरणहुन्यते। यथदङ्कं विद्यीयेत तस्तर्ययाद्विष्टणो जपः। कुर्योद् द्विज्ञचतुःपञ्चसंत्या वा साधकः मिथे। सभ्यक्तितिद्वे विषेषु सर्वे हि सफल भवेत्। सम्यक्तिसिद्धेकमन्त्रस्य पञ्चाङ्गीपासनेत च। सर्थ सभ्याद्वेतितिद्वेत विष्टपन्ति द्वत्यसादारकुलेश्वरि। कुलार्पवतन्त्र XV 7-9 and 11-12 q b) सम्बाक्ष्य सिष्टपन्ति द्वत्यसादारकुलेश्वरि। कुलार्पवतन्त्र XV 7-9 and 11-12 q b)

dinner to brahmanas, that if any one of these cannot be carried out, then he should perform japs of the mantra twice as many times more as the number prescribed for that constituent, that, in the absence of some constituents, by providing a good dinner to brahmanas everything becomes successful and that if one mantra is perfected by performing the five constituents mentioned above, then he secures siddly of all mantras. Rāghavabhatta suggests another wav of purascarana viz. one should be pure, 1835 observe a fast and then in an eclipse of the sun or moon should stand in the navel deep water of a river directly falling into the sea, should recite a mantra with concentration of mind from the time the eclipse begins till its end, thereafter perform home and the rest in order reducing each to 1/10 of the number of japa recitation, then secure the guru's contentment (by gifts) and that by this method mantra becomes perfect and the deity becomes favourable The Kaulāvalīnirnaya describes (14th patala, verses 75-260) a terrible sādhanā whereby in a single night a sādhaka socures mantra-siddhi viz. by going to a cemetery or other lonely spot after one watch of the night. securing the corpse of a candala, or of one who is killed by some one with a sword or one bitten by a snake or a young handsome warrior dying in a battle (not killed by himself), he should wash the corpse, offer worship to it and to Durga and repeat the mantra ('om Durge Durge raksanī svāhā'). If he is not frightened by the terrific sights that he may see and after following a very long procedure he secures manitasiddhi. The Tārābhaktisudhārnava (taranga IX pp 345 ff) describes the 'śavasādhana-vidhi' and so does the Kulacūdāmani-tantra (Tantrik texts, vol IV.) VI. 19-28

Rāghavabhatta further quotes a passage 1806 to the effect that if the sādhaka satisfies his guru who is a form of the deity

^{1805.} अधवान्यमकारेण पुरश्चरणसुन्यते। यहणेर्ड्कस्य चेन्दोर्षा श्रुचिः पूर्वसुपोधितः। नद्या ससुन्नगामिन्या नाभिनाचेऽम्मसि स्थितः। यहणादि तुं भोक्षान्तं जपेन्मन्त्रं समाहितः। अनन्तर तह्दशाशकगाद्धोमादिकं चरेत्। तहन्ते मन्त्रसिद्ध्यर्थे गुरुं संपूज्य तोपयेत्। ततश्च मन्त्रसिद्ध्यर्थे गुरुं संपूज्य तोपयेत्। ततश्च मन्त्रसिद्ध्यर्थे शुरुं संपूज्य तोपयेत्। ततश्च मन्त्रसिद्धि स्थात् देवता च प्रसीद्वति। q by राधवभट्ट on शारदाः। 16 56 and by स्मृति कीस्तुभ (pp 73-74); vide च कि. जो pp 109-110 where these verses (with slight taffations) are quoted from आगम Vide शक्तिसङ्गानन्त्र (ताराखण्ड) 17. 40-45, some portion of which is 'चन्द्रमहे पुरश्चर्या सर्वसिद्धिकरी मता। यद्यदृङ्गं विद्दियेत तस्यंत्रपाद्विग्रणो जप्।।

¹⁸⁰⁶ अधवा देवतास्य गुर्च भक्त्या प्रतीपयेत्। पुरश्चरणहीनेपि मन्त्र सिध्येस संशयः॥
... पुरात्काया तु मन्त्राणां प्रधानं धीजसुच्यते। येषां जपे च होमे च संख्या नोक्ता मनीपिभिः।
(Continued on next page)

worshipped, he would secure perfection of mantra even without purascarana, that purascarana is the main seed (cause) of (the perfection of) mantras, that where the number of times a mantra is to be recited is not expressly stated, it should be repeated 8000 times. Rāghavabhatta quotes a verse saying that just as a man in the grip of diseases is incapable of performing all actions a mantra devoid of purascarana is declared to be in a similar condition

The Agnipurana, Kularnava and Śaradatilaka lay down rules about the places where purascarana of a mantra is to be practised. The following places are commended 1897 for those who are engaged in perfecting a mantra, viz holy places, river bank, caves, mountain top, ground near a tittha, confluence of rivers, holy forests and parks, the root of a bilva tree, mountain slopes, temple, seashore, one's own house or any place where the mind (of the sadhaka) feels happy. Rules were also laid down as to the food on which the sadhaka was to subsist during the days of purascarana viz. food 1608 obtained by begging for alms (for brahmacarin and yatr), havisya food (prescribed for vratas), allowed vegetables, fruits, milk, bulbous roots, barley meal The Mantramahodadhi (25.66-71) sets out what is havisya food in Rāghavabhatta santi and proper food in the other cruel rites (on 16.56) adds many rules from other sources, such as the sādhaka performing purascarana should avoid sexual intercourse, flesh, wine, should not speak with women and śūdras, nor speak untruth, nor pamper his senses, should carry on the japa from morning till noon, should not allow a break, should repeat the mantra the same number of times every day.

⁽Continued from last page)

तेपामप्ट सहस्राणि सर्वोक्ता जपहोमयो.। राघवमद्ध on शारदा॰ 16. 56. The verse प्र ...
मुज्यते occurs in कीलावलीतन्त्र XII 108 (reads प्रधानं जीव उच्यते) व्याधिमस्तो यथा
देही सर्वकर्ममु न क्षमः। पुरश्वरणहीनोयं तथा मन्त्रोऽपि कीर्तित.। राघव॰ on शारदा॰ 16. 56.
This mantra is q by नित्याचारपद्धति of विद्याक्तर p. 454 (but reads जीवहीनो यथा॰)
साधकः साधयेन्मन्त्रं देवतायतनादिके। शुद्धसूमी गृहे प्राच्ये मण्डले हरिमीश्वरम्। अग्निष्ठ 29. 1.

^{1807.} पुण्यक्षेत्रं नदीतीर ग्रहा पर्वतमस्तकम्। तिथ्यदेशाः सिन्धूना सङ्गम पावनं वनम्। उद्यानानि विविक्तानि विल्यमूलं तर्द गिरे । देवतायतनं कूलं सग्रहस्य निज ग्रहम्। साधनेषु प्रशस्तानि स्थानान्येतानि मन्त्रिणाम्। अथवा निवसेत्तव्र यत्र चित्तं प्रसीदिति॥ कुलूर्णेव XV. 22-24, क्षारदाः II 138-140 (except the last half verse), vide अहिद्युक्त्यसहिता 20 52-53 for similar provisions

^{1808.} मैक्ष्यं इविष्यं ज्ञाकानि विहितानि फलं पयः। मूल सक्तुर्यगेत्यको भक्ष्याण्येतानि मन्त्रिणाम्। ज्ञारदाः II 140-141, for इविष्य, vide H. of Dh vol IV p. 149 note 343.

The Javakhva-samhitā (19th patala, verses 13-33) says that, for three years after the sadhaka begins purascarana of the mantra chosen, various obstacles and disturbances present themselves but if his mind and action are not affected by them, then from the 4th year he comes to be served by disciples that surrender everything to him, that after seven years even proud kings approach him for favour, after the 9th year he notices many wondrous things such as joy, sound dreams, sweet music and fragrance, 1809 hears loud vedic recitation, he eats and sleeps little (yet does not become lean), that these are signs of his having reached perfection in the mantra, same work provides that such signs he should not divulge to any one but his own guru and if he divulges those signs to others siddhis run away (19.34-37). The same Samhitā (15.186-188) provides that the syllables svāhā, svādhā, phat, hum and namah are to be respectively employed in homa, in rites for manes, destructive activities, creating batred among friends and for securing moksa. All tantrik works emphasize that mantra must be received from a qualified guru and the $s\bar{a}dhan\bar{a}$ of the mantra must be done under the guidance of the guru till the disciple himself becomes a siddha. As shown above it was believed that mantras would confer the highest spiritual and supernormal powers, would bring to the sadhaka all desired objects and moksa. The Kularnava states 1810 'It it declared in the doctrine taught by Siva that without diksā there would be no moksa, that diksā cannot be had without an ācārya (guru) and that mantras would yield no fruit unless a guru instructs (a disciple) about them'.

^{1809.} The Yogasūtra III 36 and bhāsya thereon state that some of the powers developed are that the yoga expert hears divine music and receives the impression of fragrant scents. In 'Bengal Lancer' F Yeats—Brown (London, 1930) narrates (pp. 246-247) how he had the experience that the room in which he and his American friends were sitting was filled by a jogic teacher who were nothing but his dhoti with the perfume of attar of roses, then with the scent of violets, musk, sandalwood, with nothing else with him except cotton wool on which he focussed a magnifying glass. The Sv. Up. II. 13 details the first signs of the effectiveness of yoga practices 'लयुन्तमारोग्यमलोह्यपन्नं वर्णमसादः स्वरसीष्टवं च। गन्धः ग्रुमो मूनप्ररीपमल्प योगप्रदृत्ति नथा

¹⁸¹⁰ विना दीक्षां न मोक्ष स्यासदुक्त शिवशासने । सा च न स्याद्विनाचार्यमित्याचार्य-परम्परा॥... अन्तरेणोपदेशरं मन्त्रा स्युनिष्कला यत.। कुलार्णव XIV, 3-4.

It was further provided ¹⁸¹¹ that there was no siddhi (miraculous powers due to mantras) nor moksa for him who had not had diksa performed for him, that therefore a man should by all means receive diksā from a guru and that when one is endowed with the ceremony of diksā the distinctions based on caste vanish and a śūdra and a brābmana cease to be so (when both have undergone diksā) It was held that, if a person were to engage in the japa of a mantra written in a book, he would not secure siddhi and he would surely meet losses at every ¹⁸¹² step.

In the Mahanirvana (II. 14-15 and 20) it is said that Vedic mantras yielded desired results in Satya and other yugas, but in Kaliyuga they are like serpents without poison or like dead ones, that in the Kaliyuga the mantras declared in tantra works yield fruits quickly and are commended for employment in all actions such as jana and sacrifices. There is no such path as the one propounded in Tantras that would lead to moksa or to happiness in this world and the next. The Mahānirvāna prescribes that 'om sac-cid-ekam brahma' is the best of mantras (III. 14), that those who perform the upasana of the highest brahma are in need of no other sadhana and that by the mere perfection of that mantra the individual soul merges in brahma 1813 So Moksa was one of the aims. Another aim was the attainment of miraculous or supernormal powers The Prapañcasara enumerates 1814 the eight siddhis and states that one who is endowed with these eight is a liberated soul and is apoken of as a youn. The theory of siddhis is an ancient conception and occurs in the Apastamba-dharmasütra. 1815 The eight siddhis are named and

^{1811.} देवि दीक्षाविद्यीनस्य न सिद्धिर्न च सद्गतिः। तस्मात्सर्वप्रयत्नेन ग्रुकणा दीक्षिती भवेत्॥ गर्त श्रृद्धस्य श्रृद्धत्वं विप्रस्यापि च विप्रता। दीक्षासंस्कारसम्पन्ने जातिभेदी न विद्यते॥ क्रुह्मार्णव XIV, 97 and 91

¹⁸¹² पुस्तकाल्लिखितो मन्त्रो थेन सुन्दरि जप्यते। न तस्य जायते सिर्खिर्झानिरेव पदे पदे । q. by राघवभट on जारदा॰ IV. 1.

^{1813.} परब्रह्मोपासकाना किमन्ये साधनान्तरे। मन्त्रग्रहणमाञ्चेण देही ब्रह्ममयो भनेत्। महानिर्घाण III 23-24 The मन्त्र is ओं सिञ्चिदेक ब्रह्म and it is preceded by एँ, र्री or श्री according as विद्या, माया or श्री is to be worshipped (महानिर्धाण III 35-37).

^{1814.} अणिमा महिमा च तथा गरिमा लिघिमीलेता विश्वल च। प्राप्तिः प्राकाम्य चेरविधेश्वर्याणि योगयुक्तस्य ॥ अधेश्वर्यसमेतो जीवन्युक्त प्रवश्यते योगी। प्रपञ्चसार 19. 62-63. For a personal experience of levitation in modern times, vide 'The invisible influence' by Dr Alexauder Cannon (15th impression, 1935) chap II. pp. 39-41. The कल्पवरू (मोक्षकाण्ड pp. 216-17) quotes a long prose passage from the ancient writer देवल who names and illustrates the eight siddhis or vibhütis (omits गरिमा and adds यञ्चकामात्रसायित्व).

¹⁸¹⁵ अधापि सङ्गल्पसिद्धयो भवन्ति। यथा वर्ष प्रजा दार्स दूरे दर्शन मनोजवता यद्यान्यदेवं युक्तम् । आप घ. सु 11 9, 23 6-7

explained in the Yogasūtrabhāsya as follows 1816: Animan (becoming small like an atom, atomization), laghiman (levitation), mahiman (becoming magnified like a mountain or the sky, magnification), prapti (all objects becoming near to him such as touching the moon with one's fingertip, extension), prākāmva (the non-obstruction of his desire such as diving into the earth underground and coming up as if he were in water), vasitva (mastery of the five elements and their products such as a jar), Isitva (sovereignty over the production, absorption or arrangement of elements and their products), yatra-kāmāvasāvitya (the power to determine things according to his wish or will i. e. he may will that poison should have the effect of nectar and brings about that result). A siddha would be one who has secured these eight siddhis. The Gita (X. 26) says that the sage Kapila is the great one among siddhas ('siddhanam kapilo munih'). The Yogasūtra further speaks of siddhis as fivefold viz. proceeding from birth, from drugs, from mantras, from tapas (austerities) and from concentration. There were other objects also sought to be achieved by mantras, such as the six cruel rites and making women to have passion for a man. This shows that not only Tantrikas, but those who practised yoga believed in the power of mantras to confer supernormal powers on the yogin. The Yogasütra provides that some of the siddhis (as in III, 37) are obstacles to the attainment of samādhi and they are siddhis only to those who have awakened from trance. 1817 Yaı (III, 202-203) provides that the power to disappear, to enter another body and temporarily to abandon one's body, to create at one's will objects-these and other powers are characteristic signs of the attainment of siddhis by Yoga and that when one attains perfection in Yoga one can cast away the mortal coil and be able to become immortal in brahms.

A great deal is said about the six cruel rites in the Tantras such as Prapañcasāra (V), Śāradātilaka (23. 121-145), Śaktisangamatantra (Kālikhanda VIII. 102-106), Mantramahodadhi (25th Taranga). The Śāradātilaka (23. 137-141) deals with the six methods or arrangements of mantra along with the name of the enemy against whom the six rites were to be practised.

^{1816.} विमृतिर्भूतिरैन्वर्यमणिमादिकमट्या । अमरकोशः, ततोऽणिमादिपादुर्भावः काय-सम्पद्धर्मानभिषातस्त्र । पोगस्त्र 111. ४४ , जन्मीवधि-मन्त्र-तपः-समाधिजाः सिद्धपः । योगस्त्र IV. 1 The भाष्य explains मन्त्रेराकाशयमनाणिमादिसिद्धिः.

^{1817.} ते समाधावुपसर्गा न्युत्याने सिद्ध्यः । योगसूत्र III. 37.

H. D. 140

vir. the arrangements called grathana, redarbha, sampula, rodhana, mora and rollara. These are all passed over here. But it appears that even the early Puranas were influenced by rites of black magic. For example, the Matsyn 1818 says in rules my (creating omnity among friends or among those who love one another) and in abhicara, a triangular kunda is recommended and home should be offered in it by priests that wear rod flowers and red sandalwood paste, that wear the sacred thread in the mel'a way, that put on red turbans and red garments, the fuel sticks should be smeared with the fresh blood of crown collected in three vescels and should be offered with the left hand holding the hones of a hank. The priests should have their hair untied on the head and should contemplate the befalling of evil on the enemy; they should recite the mantra 'durmitriyaq-tasmai tantu' and also the syllables 'hrim' and 'phāt' and having recited over a rayor the mantra used in syenayana, the priest should cut into pieces an offigy of the enemy with that rayor and throw into the fire the pieces of the officy. This rite yields results only here (in this world), but no good results in the next world and therefore one (who engages in this rite) should then perform a santi. The Matsya also provides for a rite for bringing a person (or a woman) under control or for neculana (chap. 93, 139-148) It is possible that the Tantrikas and the Mateya both developed their magic rites of six kinds from the Syonayaga mentioned in the Brahmanas and Śrauta-The Agnipurana (chap. 138) also deals with those evil The Ahirbudhnya-samhita, though mainly a work of the Pāncarātra cult, is full of lore on mantras. 1519 In chap. 52 (verses

¹⁶¹b. विदेषणेऽभित्तारे च विक्रोण कुण्डमिष्यते। ... होम कुर्युस्तती विमा रक्तमाल्याउँ हिप्ता । निर्धाताङोहितोव्यीया होसिताम्बरधारिण । नववायसरकाट्यपाववयसमिता । सिमिधी पामएरतेन रंपनाश्चित्रस्त्रसा । होतन्या शक्तिकीस्त श्यायद्भिराहेच रिपी। बुर्मिवियाः स्तरी सन्तु सथा हूं फाटितीति च। इपेनाभित्तासमञ्चेण शुरं समिभित्रस्य च। मित्रक्षं रिपी। कुर्मिवियाः एत्या श्वेषण परिकायित्। रिशुरूपर्य शक्तहान्यधेवात्री विनिक्षिपेत्। ... इहेव फलवं श्वामित्र सामुत्र कोभनम्। सहमान्यानिकनेवात्र कर्तत्रथ भूतिभित्रस्ता । मत्त्र्य 93. 149–155. For inivita' way of wearing Yajöopivita, vide H of Db. vol. II pp. 287–289 footnotes 673–674 and 679 In the ते से I.4 4.5 and ते सा, II.6 6 3 occurs the mantra समित्रा न आप ओषधयः सन्तु दुनिवास्तर्म भूवासुर्योद्धमान् दृष्टि य व पर्य हिस्स. । १ इपेन अत्र the name of an ablucāra (magic) rite (vide Jaimini I 4.5 and Sābara thereon), was a modification of सोमयान and in the texts about इपेन (viz. इपेनलाभित्रस्य चलेत) occur the words 'लोहितोष्णीया लोहितवसना निर्वति महित्राः भवसन्ति' (आप. क्षो 22.4 13 and 23) q. by श्वर on जै. X. 4. 1. Vide महित्राः मवसन्ति' (आप. क्षो 22.4 13 and 23) q. by श्वर on जै. X. 4. 1. Vide पर्खिकानाह्मण III. 8 2 and 22 for the same passages.

^{1819.} पद्मराजमयी दिव्या सोख्ययोगादिसीमता। संदितेयं महाग्रह्मा नानामन्त्रमपी परा॥ अटिर्ज्यस्य 59. 70.

2-88) it deals with the linguistic occultism of such mantras as 'om name Visnave'. It says that mantras have three senses sthula (gross), suksma (subtle) and highest; chap. 51 explains these three about the Tara or Taraka mantra viz. Om.

It may be noted here that the Buddhist Tantras also claimed to show the way to the attainment of various objects, from success in love affairs to liberation and all these could be mostly secured by mantras. The Buddhist Tantra writers of the Vajrayana school state that there were 84 siddhas, whose names are still cherished 1820 and honoured in Nepal and Tibet. The Buddhists mentioned eight siddhis but they were somewhat different from those of the Yogasutra. The Sadhanamala mentions them as follows: Khadga (a sword over which mantras have been muttered whereby the user of it succeeds in battle), Añjana (collyrium applied to eyes which enables a person to see buried treasure). Pādalepa (ointment applied to the solesof the feet enabling a person to move anywhere undiscovered). Antardhana (becoming invisible before the very eyes, of persons watching him), Rasarasayana (power of transmitting baser metals into gold or finding out an elixir for immortality), Khecara (being able to fly up in the sky), Bhūcara (going swiftly anywhere on the earth) and Pātālasiddhi (diving underneath the earth 1821) As the Buddhist monks were to possess no property, they had a craving for wealth and supposed that by means of certain mantras, the lord of wealth (Kubera) would confer on them riches that would last for ever. 1822 They also believed that by mantras they would make

¹⁸²⁰ Vide Dr. Bhattacharya's 'Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism' pp 84, 96 and 126 for references to 84 Siddhapurusas and 'Cultural Heritage of India' Vol IV, pp. 273-279 on 'Cult of the Buddhist Siddhāchāryas' by Prof. P. C. Bagchi at p. 274 for the names of 84 Siddhas according to Tibetan tradition The tradition of Siddhas continued right down to modern times, vide ABORI, Vol 19 pp 49-60 for the account of a brāhmana called 'Sivayogi' of Srāgārapura in the Ratnagiri District, who went from Konlana to a siddha at Rādhā in Bengal, served him devotedly for a long time, himself became a Siddha, returned to his native place and built a monastery there. The exalprinction (I. 5-8) names about thirty Mahāsiddhas from Ādinātha (Sīva), Matsyendranātha, Goraksanātha, Allamaprabhu and others.

^{1521.} राङ्गाक्षनपादलेपान्तर्धानरस्तरसायनखेचरभूचरपातालसिद्धिमहालाः सिद्धीः साध-पेत्। साधनमाला No. 172 p. 350.

^{1822.} एउप्रकारे सिललप्रदाने. सन्तुष्टचित्तो प्रविणाधिराजः। ददेज्ञनान्यक्षयवृत्ति-भाशि तस्नाद्यको यत्नवरेण साध्यम्॥ साधनमाला No. 296 p 580.

some of the Hindu gods their servants, viz. that they would be surrounded by many apsarases (heavenly dameels), that Indra would be their umbrella-bearer, Brahmā would be counciller and Hari door-keeper. 1223 They wanted to defeat opponents in public discussions and to acquire proficiency in Sāstras without study through the power of mantras alone (vide Sādhanamālā Nos. 151, 155, 256). They were also anxious to cure and avert diseases and remove snake poison. They thought that by mantras they would attain to omniscience and Buddhahood (vide notes 1791-92).

As stated above (notes 1810-11) a mantra had to be received from a guru after initiation (diksi). Therefore, a few words must be said about diksa. The Tantrikas did not discover any new concept in diksä. From very ancient Vedic times there was upanavana regarded as the beginning of the spiritual birth of a boy and the sacrificer had to undergo a purificatory ceremony before embarking on the performance of a sacrifice, but both were not so elaborate as the dikea described in some of the Tantrika works. Vide H. of Dh. vol. II, pp. 1135-1140 for Vedic dikea (in Agnistoma), the observances and references to the Brahmanas and the Śrautasūtras. The Tai. S. (VI. I. 1-3 and VII. 4. 8) refers to diksā and the Ait. Br. (I 3) mentions the main items in Vedic dikea, such as bath with sacred water on the sacrificer, smearing the face and other parts of the body with butter, applying collyrium to the eyes, purification of the sacrificer's body twice by the adhramu with three bunches of seven darbhas each above the navel and then below it with mantras, entering a pavilion specially prepared for the sacrificer, covering him with a garment that envelopes him as a sack envelopes an embryo, dark antelope skin as an upper garment, The Sat. Br. also refers to the details of dikea and states that thereby the sacrificer becomes one among the gods for the time being (vide III. 1. 2, 10-21, III. 1, 3, 7-28) and for the idea that diksā symbolizes a new birth for the sacrificer. The Atharvaveda says 'Great truth, formidable moral order, vows of initiation, austerities, prayer (or knowledge) and sacrifice support the earth," 1524

^{1823.} किं च मगरनो लुझबयजापात् उमयधकविनाज्यमासुग्रीभवति, अनेकाप्नतिमि परिदृतः प्रत्मकृतो विद्याधरम्याने घटलसुर्जमहमवक्षेत्र निद्यति, देनेन्द्रभ्छबयां भवति, ब्रह्मा च मन्त्री ... टिए वितृहार, । साधनमाजा No. 260 pp. 509-510.

^{1824.} The झतपम III 2. 1. 19 and 22 is 'देजाना एव उपार्यते यो दीशत म देवतानानेको भगति'; सत्यं घ्रटदृनसुग्रं दीक्षा तपा बहुत यक्षः पृथिरी धारपन्ति। अर्था XII. 1. 1.

Dîksā is treated elaborately in some of the Tantras such as Prapancasāra (V and VI), Kulārnava (14.39 ff), Śāradātilaka (4th patala), Nityotsava (pp. 4-10), Jñānārnava (24th patala), Visnusamhitā (X), Mahānirvāna (X. 112-119) and in Lingapurāna (II.21). The Nirnaysāgara Press brought out an edition (in 1935) of a work called Dīksāprakāšikā of Visnubhatta, pupil of Satyānandanātha, composed in šaka 1719 (1797 A.D.). Almost all of them derive 1825 the word from the root 'dā' (to give) and 'ksi' to destroy, in various ways. The Kulārnava defines it as 'the good call it dīksā because it confers a divine (holy) state and wipes off all sins, thereby freeing a man from the bondage of samsāra'; the Śāradātilaka states 'since it confers divine knowledge and destroys sins it is named dīksā by the teachers that know the Tantras'

The Saktisangama-tantra regards an eclipse of the sun or the moon (particularly of the moon) as the best time for undergoing initiation for a mantra from a guru and remarks that when performed in an eclipse, the week day, tithe, naksatra or month or Yoga or Karana need not be considered. 1826 The Kālivilāsatantra states that if one is fortunate enough to secure Svätī naksatra and Friday on the 5th tithi of the dark half of Phälguna and undergoes diksä on that date, that yields the rewards of a crore of ordinary diksas (VI. 3-4). The N.S. (p. 67) quotes the Jnanarnava to the effect that the diksa as to a mantra should be on the day of a solar or lunar eclipse or within seven days from an eclipse and adds that the principal time is solar eclipse and quotes Kalottara to the effect that, if the time of a solar eclipse is secured for diksa, one need not consider the month, tithi, the week day and the like, N. S. also quotes a passage from Yoginītantra condemning diksā on a lunar eclipse. Vide Muhürtakalpadruma of Vitthala p. 94 verse 6 (Nirn. ed.) for further details about times and places for diksa.

^{1825.} दशाद्य दिग्पभावं क्षिष्प्रपाद् दुरितान्यतो भवेद्दीक्षा। प्रपञ्चसार V. 2: दिन्यभाव-प्रदानाञ्च क्षालनात्कल्मषस्य च। दीक्षेति कथिता सद्धिर्भववन्धविमोचनात्॥ कुलार्णव 17. 51 (bere क्ष is said to represent क्षालन and not क्ष्य as in others); दिन्यक्षानं यतो दथारक्वर्षात्यापस्य सक्षयम्। तस्माद्दीक्षेति संमोक्ता देशिकेतन्त्रवेदिभिः॥ शास्त्रात् IV. 2.

^{1826.} चन्द्रसर्पग्रहे दीक्षा सर्वेदीक्षाज्ञमा मता । चन्द्रग्रहे शक्तिदीक्षा सर्वेदीक्षात्तमोत्तमा । न बार-तिथि-ऋक्षादि न मास्तिथमस्त्रथा। न योगकरणं वापि न विचारं समाचरेत्। शक्तिसङ्गम्, ताताखण्ट 17. 36–38 . मन्त्रदीक्षामकारस्तन्त्रे द्वष्टव्यः। दीक्षाग्रहणस्पर्यक्रक्षणम् । सुगे दे दीक्षासीदुपदेशः काली द्वरो । चन्द्रसूर्यग्रहे तीर्थे सिस्ट्रक्षेत्रे शिवालये । मन्त्रमात्रप्रकथन-सप्देशः स उप्पते । धर्मस्तम्यु p. 32,

The Agnipurāna (chapters 27, 81–89 and 304) deals with dīksā at great length and is full of Tāntrik mantras and rites, but reasons of space forbid any treatment of the same here. The Jānārnava (24 45–53) provides that at the time of initiation (dīksā) the guru has to instruct the disciple or novice as regards the six calcras (Ādhāra up to Ājāā) together with the number of petals in each, the colour of each, the letters of the alphabet assigned to each.

Late Dharmaśāstra works relied for the mitiation into mantras on tantra works. For example, the Dharmasindhu (p. 32) expressly says so There is a difference between Diksā and upadeśa, which latter means the communication of only a mantra in a solar or lunar eclipse, at a tirtha, Siddhaksetra (sacred region once inhabited by a siddha) or in a Śiva temple. Raghunandana in Diksātattva (vol II. pp. 645–659) gives a lengthy treatment of diksā at the beginning of which he remarks that in the Śāradātilaka and other works numerous topics of diksā are described but as in his time all those were not being followed he attempts only a brief treatment.

The Mahānirvāna states (X. 201-202) that the guru for giving dīksā when the disciple is a Śākta, Śaiva, Vaisnava, Saura or a Ganapatya, should be of the same sect, but a kaula is a good guru for every one. The Mahanirvana (X. 112) provides that a person does not become a Kaula by merely drinking wine, but he becomes so only after abhiseka Then (in verses 113-193 of 10th ullasa) the Mahanirvana provides an elaborate procedure of complete abhiseka somewhat resembling Christian Baptism. There is first on the day previous to the day of abhiseka worship of Ganesa, then of eight Saktis (Brāhmi &c), lokapālas and their weapons. Then the next day (i e the day of abhiseka) after a bath the neophyte donates sesame and gold for the removal of all sins and approaches a guru with the prayer to Then the guru draws a sav vatobhadra perform abhiseka mandala on an altar, sanctifies the five tattvas, places an auspicious jar and fills it with wine or with holy water. The principal part consists in the guru's sprinkling with water the disciple to the accompaniment of 21 mantras (X. 160-180) invoking 1817 the gods Brahmā, Visnu, Sıva, the Mātrs, various Saktis, the avatāras, the numerous forms of Devi, the dikpālas,

¹⁸²⁷ One अभिवेकमन्त्र may be quoted for sample 'ग्रुस्वस्ताभिषिञ्चन्तु नहाः' विरुद्धमहेश्वराः । दुर्गालक्ष्मीभवान्यस्त्वामभिषिञ्चन्तु मातरः॥१ X. 160.

the nine planets, naksatras, yogas, weekdays, karanas, seas, sacred rivers, nāgas, trees &c. Then the guru gives the disciple a new name ending in Anandanātha, who honours the guru and other Kaulas present This ceremony may go on for 9, 7, 5, 3 nights or one night. Vide Tantrarājatantra II. 58-72, Jūānasiddhi (XVII) for similar procedure of abhiseka. Vide the Introduction to the Sekoddeśatīkā, a Buddhist Tāntrik work (in G.O.S.) edited by Mario E. Carelli, for its resemblance to the Christian rite of Baptism. The Ahirhudhnya-samhitā (chap 39) provides a procedure of Mahābhiseka as one remedy against all diseases, as one destroying all enemies and for the attainment of all desired objects.

There were four kinds of diksas, Kriyāvati, Varnamayi, Kalāvati and Vedhamayi and there were elaborate rules about vāstuyāga, construction of mandapa, kundas and sthandila, which are all passed over for reasons of space.

CHAPTER XXVII

Nyasas, Mudras, Yantra, Cakra, Mandala, etc.

One of the important items in the Tantrik ritual and worship is nyāsa, which means 'mentally invoking a god or gods, mantras and holy texts to come to occupy certain parts of the body in order to render the body a pure and fit receptacle for worship and meditation'. Several works such as the Javākhva-samhitā (Patala XI). Prapancasāra (VI). Kulārnava (IV. 18 ff) refer to several kinds of nvāsa: the Śaradātilaka (IV. 29-41, V. 5-7), Mahānirvānatantra (III. 41-43, V. 113-118) describe several varieties of nyāsa. Rāghavabhatta on Śāradātilaka (IV. 29-41) cites numerous quotations on nuasa from an extensive literature. There are several kinds of nyāsa such 1828 as Hamsanyāsa, Pranavanyāsa, Mātrkānyāsa, Mantranyāsa, Karanyāsa, Anganyāsa, Pīthanyāsa. Pranavanyāsa is illustrated as 'om ām Brahmane namah', 'om ām Visnave namah' and so on for all the names set out by Rāghavabhatta on Śāradātilaka 25. 58. The Anganyasa (nyasa on parts of the body) is illustrated as follows: om hrdayāya namah, om sirase svāhā, śikhāyai vasat, om kavacāya hum, om netratrayāya (or Several Puranas netradvayāya) vasat, om astrāya phat'. The Garudapurāna (I. contain provisions about nyāsa. chapters 26, 31, 32) describes anganyasa as part of worship, japa and homa. The Nāradiyapurāna (II. 57. 13-14), the Bhāgavata (VI, 8, about 40 verses), Brahma (60, 35-40) provide for nyāsa of the mantras 'om namo Nārāyanāya', and 'om The Kalikapurana (chap. 77) deals with Visnave namah'. The Smrtimuktaphala (Ahnika pp. 329-331) Mātrkānvāsa. quotes several passages dealing with nyasa of the 24 letters of the Gayatri (Rg. III. 62.10) on several parts of the body, meditation on each of the 24 letters as having certain colours of flowers and as identical with certain gods and avataras and The Brahmapurana nyāsa of the Gāyatrīpādas on limbs.

¹⁸²⁸ राववभद्ध illustrates हंसन्यास as 'ह प्रुरुपात्मने नमः, सः प्रकृत्यात्मने नमः, हिंसः मकृतिप्रुरुपात्मने नमः। on ज्ञारदा॰ IV, 29; आत्मनो देवताभावयदानदिवतेति च। पर्द समस्ततन्त्रेष्ठ विद्वज्ञिः सहदीरितस्॥ हृद्वपित्रित्तः शिखायां कावचाश्यकेषु सद चतुर्धाप्तः नत्या हृत्या च वपद्व हुं वीपद् फद्पदैः पटङ्गिधि ॥ प्रपञ्जसार VI. 5-6 Compare ज्ञारदा॰ IV, 33-35 and महानिर्वाण III, 142 for similar provisions,

(60 35-39) deals with the nyāsa of the mantra 'om namo Nārāyanāya' on the fingers and also on other parts of the body, and speaks of Karanyāsa and Anganyāsa in 28. 26. Padma 1829 (VI 79. 17-30) describes the nyāsa of the names of Visnu on the limbs of the body from the head to the feet and Padma VI. 85. 26 speaks of Anganyāsa and Karanyāsa with the mantra 'om namo bhagavate Vāsudevāya'. The Matsyapurāna 1830 provides for the employment in nyāsa of mantras with 'om' prefixed to them Karānganyāsa and Anganyāsa of Gāyatrī are dealt with in Devibhāgavata XI. 16. 76-91 and it expressly names nyāsa as part of sandhyā worship. 1831 Vide also Devibhāgavata XI. 7. 26-38 for nyāsa and Kālikāpurāna 53. 36. The Devibhāgavata (VII. 40. 6-8) mentions the nyāsa of certain letters on such parts of the body as the chest, the space between the eyebrows, the head. The Brhad-yogiyājūavalkya 1832 contained

^{1829.} The passage of एस VI 79, 17-30 begins and ends as follows: शिलाया श्रीधर्र न्यस्य शिलाधः श्रीकरं तथा। ह्रपीकेशं सु केशेखु सूर्धि नारायणं परस्॥ एवं न्यासविधि कुत्वा साक्षास्तारायणो भवेत्। यावस्र न्याहरेत्सिनित्त तावहिष्णुमयः स्थितः॥

^{1830.} ओङ्कारपूर्वका होते न्यासे विलिनिवेदने। मन्त्राः स्युः सर्वकार्याणां दृद्धिपुत्रकल-प्रदाः॥ मत्स्य 256. 29,

^{1831.} अध न्यासविधि वस्ये सन्ध्याया अङ्गसम्भवम्। XI. 16. 76. संस्काररत्नमाला, a comparatively modern work, remarks (p. 229) that Nyasa has no Vedic authority and hence some do not perform it 'एपोड्सन्यासः। एतमेके नेच्छन्ति स ह विधिरवैदिक इति।।. The षहद्रन्यास of गायत्री takes the form 'तत्सवितुर्हदयाय नमः, वरेण्यं शिरसे स्वाहा, भगों देवस्य शिखायै वषद, धीमहि कवचाय हुं, धियो यो नो नेत्रत्रयाय वौषट . प्रचीटयात अस्त्राय फट । हति पहडुन्यासः कार्यः । न वा कार्यों न्यासविधेरवैदिकत्वादिति मृह्यपरिक्षिष्टे स्पष्टम्। तेनाक्षरन्यासपादन्यासादीनां सुद्रादिविधे शापमोचनादिविधेश्व तान्त्रिकत्वेनावैदिकत्वादनावश्यकत्वं वेदितन्यम्। धर्मासेन्ध्र III p. 272 The passage quoted by Apararka is interesting and a few verses are cited here 'अप्त्वय़ी हृदये सुर्थे स्थण्डिले प्रतिमासु च। षट्स्तेतेषु हरेः सम्यगर्चनं शुनिभिः रमृतस्। अग्नी कियावतां देवो दिवि देवो मनीपिणास्। प्रतिमास्वल्पख्छीनां योगिनां हृदये हरिः॥ आतुष्टभस्य सुक्तस्य जिष्टबन्तस्य देवता। पुरुषो यो जगद्वीजसृपिर्नारायणः स्मृतः॥ प्रधमा विन्यसेहामे हितीया दक्षिणे करें। तृतीया वामपादे तु चतुर्थी दक्षिणे न्यसेत्। ... अक्ष्णोः पञ्चदर्शा चैव पोडर्शी मुर्ग्नि विन्यसेत्। अपरार्क pp, 140-141. आनुष्टभस्य सुक्तस्य refer to the पुरुषपुक्त (ऋ X 90), the first fifteen verses of which are in Anustubh metre and the 16th (last verse) 15 10 जिल्हुम् metre. The verses from अफ्तक्षी to नारायण. रमृत. are नरसिंहपुराण 62 5-8 (with slight variations).

¹⁸³² अङ्गुढे चैव गोविन्दं तर्जन्यां तु महीधरम्। सहयमायां ह्पविकेशमनामिक्यां विविक्रमम्। किनिष्ठित्रयां न्यसेद्विष्णुं हस्तमध्ये च माधवम्। स्मृतिच I. p 198 quoting योगिपाझारन्य These verses are quoted by स्मृतिस्काफल (आद्विक p. 331) from the स्कृतिचिन्द्रका The ed of कृहसोगिपाझ (chap. V) published by the केवल्यधाम of Lonavala deals with nyāsa but does not contain the above verses

verses dealing with the nyāsa of the names of Visnu such as Govinda, Mahīdhara, Hrsīkeśa, Trivikrama, Visnu, Mādhara on the fingers of the right hand and the palm and back respectively, which are quoted by the Smrticandrika (I, p 198) as from Yoga-vājāavalkva and which have been taken over in the sandhuā worship in modern times The latter work (Sm. C. I. p. 145) also contains Apganyasa of several portions of Gāyatrī, the nyāsa of the single letters of the Gāyatrī on the limbs and Aparārka (p. 140) quotes a passage for the employment of the sixteen verses of the Purusasukta (Rg X. 90) for nyāsa on the several limbs in the worship of Visnu For the nyāsa of the letters of the alphabet from 'a' to 'ksa', vide Śāradātilaka V. 5-8 and Rāghayabhatta on V 4 who quotes verses setting out how each letter is to be meditated upon. Mahānirvāna (V. 176-178) also specifies the limbs on which there is to be nyasa of the letters of the alphabet from the forehead downwards.

The above passages show that the item of nyāsa was taken over from Tantrika works in the Puranas for the rites of the orthodox people several centuries before Yogayājñavalkya, Apararka (first half of 12th century A. D.) and the Smithcandrikā. The Varsakriyākaumudī 1833 (about first half of 16th century) shows that long before it the Garuda and the Kālikā purānas contained provisions on nyāsa. The Devapratisthātattva of Raghunandana (p. 505) speaks of Mātrkānyāsa and Tattvanyāsa The Pūjāprakāša section of the Vīramitrodaya dwells upon Mātrkānyāsa. Anganyāsa. Gāyatrinyāsa on pp 130, 131, 132 respectively. The Bhaktiprakāśa (pp. 88-89) of the same work deals with Mātrkānyāsa. In modern times some orthodox people still perform two kinds of nyāsas as follows; The Antarmatrka which consists in the mystic assignment (mentally) of the letters of the alphabet (from 'a' to 'ksa') on the fingers of the hands and on the palm and back of the hands and on several parts of the body viz. the throat, organs of generation, the adhara place and the middle of the eyebrow (as the seats of the six psychic cakras mentioned in note 1715 above). The Bahirmātrkānyāsa consists in assigning the individual alphabet letters with anusvara on each on the limbs from head to feet in such forms as 'ām namah mūrdhnì' and so on,

^{1833.} गरुढकालिकापुराणादिषु प्रथमान्ताना केवलानासृष्यादीना न्यासदर्शनाञ्च। व. कि. को p. 124

The word nyāsa is derived from the root 'as' to cast' with 'ni' and literally means 'placing or depositing in or on.'. The Kulārnava explains it as follows: 1834 'nyāsa is so called because therein riches that are acquired in a righteous way are deposited or placed with persons whereby all-round protection is got' (so by the ritual touching of the chest and other limbs with the tips of the fingers and the palm of the right hand accompanied by mantras the worshipper can act fearlessly in the midst of bad men and becomes like a god). Vide Jayākhyasamhitā quoted below. 1835

The subject of nyāsa was briefly dealt with in H. of Dh. Vol. II p. 319-320. In 'Principles of Tantra' Sir John Woodroffe (pp. LXXI-LXXVII) compares the ritual of nyāsa with the Christian method of making the sign of the cross.

Mudrā is another characteristic item in Tantrik ritual. The word mudiā has several meanings, four of which have a bearing on Tantrik practices. It means a posture in yogic practices in which the whole body plays a part. It also means the symbolic or mystic intertwining of the fingers and hands as part of religious worship. Mudrā is also the 4th of the five makāras and means various kinds of grains mixed with ghee or other ingredient (vide note 1752 above) or parched grains. A fourth meaning of mudrā is the woman with whom a Tāntrika yogi associates himself (as in Prajñopāya o V. 24 and Sekoddeśatikā p. 56). The Kularnava derives the word from 'mud' meaning 'delight' or 'pleassure' and 'dravay' (causal of 'dru') and says 'mudras' (ritual finger and hand poses) should be shown (in worship) and are so known because they give delight to the gods and make their minds melt (with compassion for the worshipper). But the Śāradātilaka 1836 appears to derive it from 'mud' and 'ra' (to

¹⁸³⁴ न्यायोपार्जितवित्तानामङ्गेषु विनिवेशनात्। सर्वरक्षाकराष्ट्र देवि न्यास इस्यभिधीयते॥ গুল্লার্ডাৰ 17 56

^{1835.} संशुद्धविद्यहो मन्त्री मन्त्रन्यास समाचरेत्। येन विन्यस्तमात्रेण देवदेवसमी भवेत्। पूजादो सर्वेकार्यणामधिकारश्च जायते। यं छत्वा निर्भयस्तिष्ठद्देदेशे दुष्टसमाकुले। विजयश्चापमृत्यूनां स्याद्येन विहितेन च ॥ जयाख्यसंहिता, पटल XI. 1-3.

^{1836.} सुदं कुर्वन्ति देवानां मनासि झावयन्ति च। तस्मान्सुझ इति स्याता दक्षित॰याः फुटेश्विति कुरुएपार 17. 57. सुदं कुर्वन्ति देवानां राक्षसान्द्रावयन्ति च॥ विष्णुसंहिता VII. 43; आवारन्यादिका सुद्राः प्रवस्थानि यथाक्रमस्। याभिविरन्तितामिस्तु मोदन्ते सर्वदेवताः ॥ शारदाः 23, 106, оत which राघरमञ्ज comments 'रा दाने। सुदं राति ददातीति सुद्रेति निर्वचनस्। अत् एत तद्क्षिन देवताहर्षोत्तिः। स्त्राङ्गुल्यो हि पञ्चभूतात्मिका अङ्गुद्राद्या

give) and according to it mudra means 'what affords pleasure to the Gods'. Other derivations are also proposed (vide J. O. R. Baroda, vol. VI, p. 13). Rāghavabhatta states that the fingers from the thumb to the small finger are identified with the five elements viz. ākāśa (sky or ether), wind, fire, water and earth and that their contact with each other tends to make the derty favourable and delighted and induces the deity to be present at the worship, and that various appropriate mudras are to be employed in worship, at the time of japa, in meditation and in all rites performed for securing some desired object or benefit, since they induce the deity to be present (near the worshipper 1837). It was supposed that mudras helped in enhancing concentration on the part of the worshipper. Even as early as the 7th century it was believed that the making of mudras may bring to life one who, being poisoned had fallen into coma, as the Kadambari quoted below shows. The Varsakriyākaumudi quotes a verse saying that lapa. pranayama, worship of gods, yoga, meditation and asanas are fruitless unless accompanied by appropriate mudras

The word mudrā occurs in the name of Lopāmudrā, 1838 wife of Agastya, who figures in a hymn of the Rg. (I. 179.4). The word 'mudrā' is not mentioned in the Amarakośa.

(Continued from last page)

आकाशायात्रश्रीसालिलसुरूपास्तासा मिथ संयोगरूपसङ्ख्वितास्त्रीपि देवतात्रग्रुणीभावपूर्वकी मीदः सानिध्यक्तरो भवति। सदुक्तम्। पृथिध्याद्वीनि भूतानि कित्तप्राधाः क्रमान्मताः। तेपान्यिः स्थोन्यसम्भेदमकारिस्तर्यपञ्चता।? योगिनीहृद्य I. 57 derives as in कुलाण्व The verse 'भोज्यन्ति बहादिग्यः ? quoted in J. O. I Baroda, vol. VI, p 13 as from कामकलाविलास occurs in a footnote appended by the editor (in the Kashmir series of texts) and not in the text of the कामकलाविलास The half verse 'याभिवि ... देवताः' of ज्ञारदाः 23 106 is the same as सद्भानिचण्डु (Tantrik texts vol I, p. 46).

1837 अर्चने जपकाले तु ध्याने काम्ये च कमीण। तत्तन्छ्द्वाः प्रयोक्तम्य देवतासँविध्यापका वृ in पूजापकाश। p. 123 and by राधवभट्ट on शारदाः 23.336 रनाने चावाहमें चेव प्रतिष्ठार्या च रक्षणे। नैवेद्ये च तथान्ते च तत्त्त्त्वर्भभकाशेन। स्थाने छुद्राः प्रकर्तव्या रवस्त्रलभ्याचेताः ॥ Tantrik texts vol. I. p. 46, verses 1-3, सुद्रान्य प्राचाहा विष्यप्राप्त स्थाने स्य

¹⁸³⁸ लोपासद्भा वृषण नी रिणाति धीरमधीरा धयति श्वसन्तम्॥ ऋ र 179.4.

The H. of Dh. vol II. (pp. 320-321) makes a brief mention of mudrās in worship and refers to the work of Miss Tyra de Kleen on the mudrās practised by Bauddha and Śaiva priests called *pedandus* in the island of Bali. Here the same subject will be dealt with in a little more detail and from different points of view.

There is a great divergence among the Tantra, Purāna and Yoga works on the number, names and definitions of mudrās. This may be briefly illustrated.

In the Tāntrik texts (Vol. I. pp. 46-47) there is a nighantu (a glossary or enumeration) of mudrās with names and definitions, where it is stated that nine mudrās (āvāhanī and others) are common (i. e. capable of being employed in any worship); and then are enumerated mudrās specially appropriate to Visņu worship (19 in all viz. Śańkha, Cakra, Gadā, Padma, Venu, Śrīvatsa, Kaustubha, Vanamālā, Jūāna, Vidyā, Garuda, Nārasimhī, Vārāhī, Hayagrīvī, Dhanus, Bāna, Paraśu, Jagan-mohinī, Vāma; ten of Śiva (Linga, Yoni, Triśūla, Aksamālā, Abhīti i. e. abhaya, Mrga, Asikā, Khatvānga (a club with a skull at the top), Kapāla, Damaru; one of the Sun (viz Padma); seven of Ganeša (Danta, Pāša, Ahkuša, Avighna, Paśu, Ladduka, Bījapūra (i. e. a citron). 1839

The Śārādatilaka (23.106-114) names only nine mudrās and defines them, while the Visnusamhitā (VII) says that mudrās are innumerable (verse 45), names about thirty and defines them and Jūānārnava (IV), mentions at least nineteen. The Jayākhyasamhitā (8th Paṭala) has about 58 mudrās. The Tāntrik 1840 works provide that mudrās should be practised

^{1839.} These Mudrās are also mentioned in a work called Mudrā-laksana (D. C. Ms. 291 of 1887-91). Some of these mudrās in connection with the worship of individual gods occur in Visnusambitā VII and in Jāānārnava IV. The Mudrānighantu names and defines mudrās of Śakti, Agni, Tripurā and other deities. The mudrās specially appropriate in the worship of Visnu, such as Śankha, Cahra, Gadā, Padma, Kaustubha, Śrīvatsa, Vanamālā, Venu are described in a work called Nāradatantra quoted by Varsakrīyāhaumudī pp. 154-156.

^{1840.} पदान्ते बन्धयेष् सङ्घा न चापि कथयेष्ट् द्वधः। कथनाज्ञायते संसत्तस्माहोप्या सदा द्वधेः। आनन्त्यादाविला सङ्घा वक्तं ब्रह्मन् न शक्यते। विष्णुसंहिता VII. 44-45 (T.S.S.), न जातु दशेयेन्स्ट्वा महाजनसमागमे । सुद्यमेतन्स्तिनेश्रेष्ठ तस्माद्रद्वसि योजयेत्। नादीक्षितस्य सङ्गणां रक्षणानि प्रकाशयेत्। धुम्यन्ति देवतास्तस्य मन्त्रं च विकलं भवेत्॥ quoted from महासदिन by राध्वमह on शारदा॰ 23. 114; स्मृतिच, (I p 148) quotes the first verse and the last half verse

secretly under cover of a garment and not in the presence of many people and should not be announced to another as otherwise they become fruitless. The Kāmakalāvilāsa of Punyānanda expressly mentions (in verse 46) Trikhandā-mudra and refers to the fact that there are nine mudrās. 1811 The Nityāsodaśikārnava (3rd viśrāma) first defines Trikhandā, then names and defines nine mudrās most of which bear names different from those in the Śāradātilaka. The Mudrās mentioned by Nityāsodaśikā apart from Trikhandā, are: Sarvasanksobhakārinī, Sarvavidrāvinī, Ākarsinī, Sarvāveśakarī, Unmādinī, Mahānkuśā, Khecarī, Bījamudrā, Yonimudrā.

The Jūānārnava Tantra (IV. 31-47 and 51-56 and XV. 47-68) names over thirty mudrās, several of which bear the same names as those in Nityāsodaśikārnava, are defined in a similar way and are quoted by Bhāskararāya in his commentary on the latter work. In these circumstances the author proposes to name and describe first of all the nine mudrās of the Śāradātilaka. 1812 (1) Āvāhanī mudrā consists in folding both hands in a hollow and filling the hollow completely with flowers; (2) shāpanī is the same as āvāhanī but the folded hands are held upside down; (3) the 'sannidhāpana-mudrā' occurs when the two hands are formed into a closed fist, are joined together with only the

¹⁸⁴¹ आधारनवकस्था नवचकानेन परिणति याताः॥ नवनाधशक्तयोऽपि च सदाकारेण नाम्बके॥ कामकाराविन्याम verse 67.

¹⁸⁴² सम्यक सम्परितः पुष्पै. कराग्या कल्पितोऽश्वलि.। आवाएनी समाख्याता सुद्र। देशिकसत्तमे.॥ अधोसुकी कृता सेत्र भोक्ता स्थापनकर्मणि। आश्विष्टसुटियुगला मोजताद्गृष्ट-युग्मजा॥ सन्तिथाने सद्धिटा सुदेयं तन्त्रवेदिभिः। अङ्गप्टगर्भिणी सैव सन्तिरोधे समीर्रिता॥ उत्तानी हो फुता सट्टी मंसुरीकर्णी रसुता। देखाङ्गे पढद्वाना न्यास स्यात्मकलीफृति ॥ सम्यहस्तकृता सुष्टिर्दीर्घाधोसुखतर्जनी। अवगुण्ठनसुद्देयमभिती भ्रामिता सती॥ अन्योन्याभि मुखाश्लिष्टकानिष्टानामिका पुन । तथा च तर्जनीमध्या धेनुसुद्दा समीरिता ॥ अमृतीकरण कुर्यात्तया देशिकसत्तम । अन्योन्यग्रथिता द्वष्टा मसारितकराद्वरो॥ महासुद्देयसुदिता परमी-करणे बुधे । प्रयोजयेदिमा सुद्धा देवतायागर्फ्सणि॥ शारदाः 23 107-114. It may be noted that the ज्ञानाणीयसन्त्र (IV, 32-37) names and describes eight of these nine mudras almost in the same words, omitting समुखीकरणी and calling the महासुद्रा of शारदा॰ by the name परमी करण. The झुलार्णेर (17, 90-93) has eight out of these nine, omitting समुत्रीकरणी and employing the words परमीकरण for महासद्भा. Both कुलाणेंग and ज्ञारदा॰ connect अमृतीकरण with धेतसदा. The कीला ग्लीनिर्णय VII 5-14 has eight out of the nine described by शारदा॰ and appears to be based on the कुलार्णन The half-verse देवताही . फुलि occurs in कुलार्णव 17 92 and कुलार्णव 17. 93 says 'क्षमस्वरमञ्जलिदंवि परभीकरण विचे।। वहा-निर्माण VI. 77 states 'भीक्षयम् देवहाउदचर्ष पएक्ने सकलीकृतिः। The mudras described in जारदातिलक are quoted by व कि की. p. 153.

thumbs raised up; (4) 'Sannirodhani' means the same as the preceding but with the thumbs closed inside the fist: (5) the mudra is called 'Sammukhikarani' when the two closed fists are held unwards; (6) 'Sakalikrti' mudrā is the action of making the nyasa of the six limbs on the limbs of the (image of) the devatā (deity worshipped), (7) the 'Avagunthana' mudrā consists in closing the fingers held straight and turned downwards and waving the hand round (the image): (8) 'Dhenumudra' (a complicated pose) is formed by putting the right small finger over the right ring finger, intertwining the former with the left ring finger, the left small finger as it is, to be intertwined with the right ring finger, the left ring finger is to be passed over the left middle finger and the left thumb, and to be intertwined with the right hand middle finger brought to the right side of the left index finger and the right hand index finger to be joined with the left hand middle finger. (9) Mahamudra is constituted by intertwining the thumbs of both hands and holding the other fingers straight.

Some of the works on Yoga describe various mudrās. For example, the Hathayogapradīpikā (III. 6-23) describes at length ten mudrās and the Gherandasamhitā (III. 1-3) speaks of 25 mudrās and describes them in one hundred verses. The Śivasamhitā (IV. 15-31) mentions ten mudrās as the best. An important mudrā in Hathayoga practices is the Khecarīmudrā described in Devibhāgavata XI. 66. 62-65, Śivasamhitā IV. 31-33, Gherandasamhitā III. 25-27, Hathayogapradīpikā III. 32-53. This description differs from the definition of Khecarī in Jūānārnava (15. 61-63) and Nityāsodasikārnava III. 15-23. The Vajrolīmudrā (in Hathayogapradīpikā III. 82-96) cannot be set out here for reasons of decency and it was supposed to prolong the life of a yogin even after indulgence in sexual orgies.

Some of the Purānas contain an extensive treatment of mudrās. The Kālikāpurāna mentions anganyāsa, karanyāsa in chap 66 and mudrās like Dhenumudrā, Yonimudrā, Mahāmudrā, Khecarīmudrā in 70. 36-56, 78. 3-6, Devībhāgavata (XI, 16. 98-102) speaks of 24 mudrās at the time of Gāyatrī-japa; the Brahmapurāna¹⁸³ and Nāradīyapurāna set out eight mudrās

^{1843.} एसं श्राप्तुम्ब श्रीवत्सो गदा गरुड एव च । चक्रं खड्गश्च शाङ्गि च अष्टी सुद्रा: मर्जीतिताः॥ ब्रह्म 61 55, नारदीच II 57, 55-56. It may be noted that these are mentioned among 19 Visnu mudrās enumerated from Tāntrik texts vol. I

⁽Continued on next page)

of Visnu worship. Vide Agnipurāna, chap. 26, for a brief reference in 7 verses to some mudrās. The Kālikāpurāna (70 32) states that there are 108 mudrās, 55 for general worship and 53 on special occasions, such as collecting materials, drama, acting (natana).

Most verses of the Brahmandapurana (in the Lalitonakhyāna, chap. 42) are the same as in the Mudrānighantu pp. 55-57 verses 110-118; but the largest number of mudras in dancing is contained in the Visnudharmottara (III) In chap 32 it speaks of numerous rahasya (secret) mudras in prose called mudrahastas and in chap, 33 (1-124) it describes over one hundred samanyamudras and the colonion at the end of the chapter calls them 'nrttasastramudras' (mudras in the science of dramaturgy). 1811 This opens up a subject which cannot be gone into here, viz. whether the mystic hand poses (mudras) in worship were derived from the postures (karanas), recakas (gestures) and 32 anacharas (movements of limbs) described in the Natyasastra 1815 of Bharata (chapters 4, 8 and 9). It may be noted that the Natyasastra (in IV, 171 and 173) speaks of 'nrttahastas'. Pānini knew natasūtras of Šilālin and Kršāsva. 1816 Bharata speaks of four kinds of acting (abhinaya 8 9-10) viz. āngika, vācika, āhūrya, and sūttvika. In the 9th chapter the movements of the hands and fingers intertwined or joined are set out. Musti is defined by Bharata in 9.55. Mudrās would fall under angika abhinaya; angahūras depended upon karaņas

⁽Continued from last page)

cited above. All these except श्रीवरस are named and defined in the शूजामताश pp. 124-125 The यूजामताश p. 136 prescribes that in the worship of विष्णु 'ब्रेग्बेhana' should be made with the mantra 'Sahasrashrea' (Rg. X. 90. 1) and 14 mudras shown 'सएसशीपेंतिमन्त्रेणावाएनं छुर्णात् । तत आवाएनादिन्तर्दश छद्मा. मद्द्रशेयत् । ताथ आवाएनी स्थापनी समुखीकरणी सित्तरीधिनी प्रसादमुद्द अवग्रुष्ठन छद्मा शुक्त चक्रवराय मसुसलखन्न ध्वर्षाण्डन ।

^{1844.} Vide विष्णुधर्मोत्तर III 32 which begins अधातो सुद्राहस्तान् ष्पाख्यास्याम and ends with a verse एता रहस्यसुद्दास्ते मयोक्ता स्टयसत्तम । अत.पर अवस्यामि सामाः न्यास्ता निवोध मे॥ ; इत्युदेशे तु ते गोक्ता सुद्राहस्ता मया स्टप । दश्येन्मन्बर्यक्ताना परा सिद्धि-मभीप्सताम्॥ विष्णुधर्मोत्तर III. 33 121.

^{1845.} करणैरिह संयुक्ता अङ्गदाराः प्रकल्पिताः। एतेषामिह नश्यामि हस्तपाद्विकरूपनम्। नाट्यशास्त्र IV 33–34, illustrations of the 108 abgabaras enumerated in नाट्यशास्त्र IV. 34–55 are given in the GOS edition of the नाट्यशास्त्र (vol. I), copied from the Nataraja temple gopuras at Chidambaram in South India.

^{1846.} पाराक्षर्यशिलालिम्या भिक्षुनटस्त्रयो.। कर्मन्द्रकुशाश्वादिनिः। पा. ¹ । 110-111.

nd the latter consisted of different arrangements of hands and set. It is likely that the mudras in the Hindu and Buddhist antrik works are based on the poses that were evolved in incient Indian dance and drama and that find their earliest attent description in Bharata-natyasastra and that are also to e found in later medieval works on dramaturgy such as the Abhinayadarpana 1847

The Āryamañjuśrīmūlakalpa names and defines 108 mudrās [p. 380]. On p. 376 it states that the combination of mudrās and mantras would bring success in all actions and neither titlu, nor naksatra nor fasting would be required. In the Visnudharmottarapurāna there is a fine eulogy of the mudrās made in dancing viz. they are the graces of the rich, the removers of the sorrow of the distressed, the instruction of the ignorant, the increase of the blessedness (or charm) of women; they were created by Vāsudeva for the removal of portents, as the means of prosperity and of the attainment of desired objects.

The Buddhists also had mudrās. One of the early works of the Mahāyāna school viz. Āryamañjusrīmūlakalpa is full of mudrās in the 35th patala (pp. 355-381) and states (on p. 380) that there are 108 mudrās. 1849 L. H. Waddell in 'the Buddhism of Tibet or Lamaism' (London, 1895) describes nine mudrās practised in Tibet by the Lamas (pp. 336-337).

In the Indian Antiquary, vol. 26 (1897), pp. 24-25, Burgess mentions nine Buddhist mudrās (slightly differing from Waddell's descriptions) as follows:—(1) Bhūmisprś or Bhūmisparśa mudrā, an attitude of Śākya Buddha (making the earth as witness); (2) Dharmacakra mudrā (teaching attitude); (3) Abhaya mudrā (of blessing), in which the left hand is open

^{1847.} Vide अभिनायपूर्पण (edited by Dr. Manomohan Ghosh, 1957) p 47 where certain postures of the hands are called Śankha, Cakra, Samputa, Pāśa, Kūrma, Matsya, Varāba, Garuda, Simhamukha, which, as noticed above, are among the mudrās mentioned in the Mudrānighantu (Tāntrik texts, edited by Avalon, vol. I p 46 verses 5-7 and pp. 49-50 verses 32 ff, which define Vaisnava mudrās some of which like Garuda occur in the Nāṭyašāstra IA 201 also

¹⁸⁴⁸ ईन्यराणा विलासं हु चार्ताना दुःखनाझनम्। मूढानासुपदेशं तत् श्लीणा सीभाग्य-वर्षनम्। झान्तिकं पौष्टिकं काम्य वासुदेवेन निर्मितम्। विष्णुधमीतर III, 34 30-31.

^{1849,} Vide आर्थमञ्जूश्रीमूलकल्प (35th paiala) p. 372 for अभयसुद्धा and वरराज्ञ

on the lap, the right hand is raised in front of the chest with the fingers and thumb half extended and with the palm facing forwards; (4) Jāānamudrā (Dhyāna mudrā?) or Padmāsanamudrā (posture of contemplation); (5) the Vara or Varadamudrā, in which the right hand hangs down over the knee, the palm of the hand turned outwards symbolising charity; (6) Lahtamudrā (enchanting or bowitching); (7) Tarkamudrā (right hand raised to the chest and slightly constricted; (8) Śaranamudrā (of refuge or protection), (9) Uttarabodhimudrā (pose of highest perfection, which is apt to be confounded with Dharmacakramudrā).

The Jainas also had mudrās. In J. O. I (Baroda), vol. VI. No. 1 pp. 1-35 Dr. Priyabala Shah contributes an interesting paper on two Jaina works, one of which called Mudrāvicāra describes 73 mudrās and the other called Mudrāvidhi lists 114 mudrās.

In a recent work 'Royal conquests and cultural migrations' Mr. C. Sivaramamurti (Calcutta, 1955) remarks (on p. 43) that the 'hastas' and 'karanas' on the Gopura at Chidambaram are found also in the Siva temple at Prambanan in Java, where one can observe many of the karanas like Patākā, Tripatāka, Ardhacandra, Sikhara, Kartarīmukha, Sūci and hastas like Añjali, Puspaputa. In another recent work 'Contributions to the History of the Indian Drama' by Dr. Manomohan Ghosh (Calcutta, 1958) it is stated that in the bas-reliefs of Bayon (Angkor Thom) certain aspects of the Cambodian dance and drama, particularly certain gestures depicted and actually observed in practice now in the dances in the royal palace, are similar to those described in the Nātyašāstra of Bharata such as Añjali, Patākā, Ardhacandra, Musti, Candrakalā and Kapota (p. 63).

Some Sanskrit medieval Dharmasīstra works from the 13th century A. D dilate at some length on mudrās Hemādri on Vrata (vol I. pp. 246-247) speaks of mudrās called Mukula, Pankaja, Nisthura and Vyoma. The Smrticandrikā (1st half of 13th century A.D.) names and defines 24-mudrās (I. pp. 146-147). The names are the same as in Devibhāgavata XI. 16. 98-102. The Pūjāprakāša (part of Viramitrodaya) defines in all 32 mudrās of which eight, viz. Āvāhanī, Sthāpanī, Sannidhāpanī, Samrodhinī, Prasāda, Avagunthana, Sammukha, Prārthanā are common to the worship of all gods, some are specially appropriate

in Visnu worship, some in worship of the Sun, Laksmī and Durgā and the Añjali and Samhāra mudrās (the last two) used in the worship of all gods. The Āhnikaprakāśa (part of Viramitrodaya) names 24 mudrās to be shown at the time of the japa of Gāyatrī (pp. 298-299) which are the same as in Devībhāgavata XI. 16. 98-102, but it quotes them as from Brahmā. 1850 It is difficult to say what work is meaut by Brahmā. Mudrās were not universally practised. It is clear from the Dharmasindhu and Samskāra-ratnamālā quoted in note 1831 that Nyāsa and Mudrā were held to be non-vedic in Mahārāstra at least.

Another item in the Tantrik worship is that of Mandala which has been also a prominent feature of orthodox Hindu practices in medieval and modern times. But it cannot be said that the concept of mandalas was borrowed by Sanskrit writers from the Tantrikas. The word was used in the sense of orb or circle. In the Tai S. V. 3. 9. 2 reference is made to circular bricks (mandalestaka); vide also Sat. Br. IV. I. 1. 25. The orb of the Sun is also called the wheel (cakra) in Rg. IV. 28. 2 and V. 29. 10. The Br. Up. says 'this Aditya is what is (called) truth 1851 (satya)' and refers to the Person in the orb (mandala) of the sun. Then it came to mean any figure or diagram (generally

बरदाभयसुद्दे च बरदाभयवत् प्रिये । ज्ञानार्णवतन्त्र IV. 39, the Vara and Abhaya mudrās are defined in Javākhyasambitā VIII. 104-5 as follows: सुरपष्टं दक्षिणं हस्तं स्वात्मनस्त पराङ्कसुखम्। पराङक्तुखं लम्बमानं वामपाणि प्रकल्पयेत्। क्रमाद्वरा-भवारचं तु इदं सुदाहवं हिज ।, विज्ञेयं लोकपालानामिन्द्रादीनां समासत ।. See 'Buddha and the Gospel of Buddha' by A Coomaraswamy (London 1916) p. 262 for a Bhumisparsa-mudra from Ceylon (of 18th century A. D.) and Buddhist Art in India' by Prof Grünwedel tr by Agnes C. Gibson, figure 126 p. 178 for Bhumisparsamudra (of Buddba) and pp 38 and 330 (of Coomaraswamy's work) for Dharmacakramudra respectively of Gupta period and from Gandhara (1st or 2nd century A. D) and Dr. B. Bhattacharya's 'Buddhist Iconography' plate XXVIII for the same Vide 'Serpent Power' by A Avalon (5th edition, 1953 pp 480 and 488) for illustrations of Yogimudra in Siddhasana and Mahamudra respectively as practised by modern practitioners of yoga In the Memoirs of Arch S. I No 66 plate XIII (g) has Abbayamudra of standing Buddha and 'Buddhist Art in India' (above) p 192 has Abhayamudra of Mastreya from a relief in Swat, while in V. A. Smith's 'History of Fine Art in India and Ceylon' (ed. of 1930) plate 113 shows Buddha seated in Abhayamındrā from Java Vide N. K. Bhattasali's 'Iconography of Buddhist and Brahmanical sculptures in the Dacca Museum' (1929) plate VIII opposite p 30 for a fine Bhumisparsa mudra of Buddha and pp. 56 and 57 plates XX and XXI for Varada mudras (right hand).

¹⁸⁵¹ तरासत्यमसी स आदित्यो य एव एतस्मिन्मण्डले पुरुषो यश्चापं दक्षिणेऽसन् पुरुष । द्वृत उप V.5 2; vide द्वृत. उप II. 3 3.

circular) drawn on an altar and the like. In the Sulbasutras of Apastamba and Katyavana reference is made to the squaring of a circle (mandala). The Matsvapurana refers in several passages to mandalas drawn with powders of five colours (as in 58.22). It also refers to the figure of a lotus of twelve or eight petals drawn with saffron or red sandalwood paste or with various colours (72. 30; 62. 15; 64. 12-13; 74. 6-9 a lotus figure with eight petals and pericarp for sun worship). Varāhamihira in Br. S. (chap. 47) describes 1853 a very auspicious ceremony called Pusvasnana in which a mandala was to be drawn on holy ground with powders of different colours in which positions were to be assigned to gods, planets, stars etc. The Brahmapurana (28, 28) mentions the invocation of the Sun on the figure of a lotus and Brahmapurāna (61, 1-3) about the worship of Nārāyana on a mandala in the form of a lotus is quoted by Raghunadana in Purusottama-tattva (p 569). The Harsacarita 1854 (first half of 7th century A. D.) refers to a large mandala being drawn in The Varahapurana refers to the images or several colours. paintings of Laksmi and Nārāyaņa in a mandala for worship (chap. 99, 9-11). The Agnipurana (chapter 320) refers to eight mandalas, Sarvatobhadra and others. Several mandalas are described in Śāradātīlaka III. 113-118, 131-134, 135-139 (navanābhamandala), in Jñānārnava 26. 15-17 and other works. According to the Amarakośa 1855 Sarvatobhadra is a variety of

^{1853.} हस्तिन् मण्डलमालिस्य कल्पयेचत्र मेहिनीम्। मानारत्नाकसर्वर्धे न्यानानि विविधानि च। ... वर्णकविविधेः कृता हर्यग्नयगुणान्तिते। यथास्तं पूजयेहिहान्गन्यमाल्यास्त्रेः पर्तः। बृहर्त्वहिद्धा ४७. २४ धि. तस्तिन् refers to सूत्रदेशे.

^{1854.} महामण्डलमित्रानेक्तत्रर्णरागमालिन्दर्नं ... जिन्नतिमित्र टिश्च विक्षिपनं (मैरवाः चार्च...) बदर्भ । इपेचरित III.

^{1855.} सीघोऽत्री राजमदनसुपकार्यापकारिका । स्वस्तित्रः सर्वतीमदी नन्यावर्गाद्वयोऽपि च ॥ अनरकोश II. (प्रतर्ग).

residence for kings or rich people. The Śāradātilaka (III. 106-130) deals at length with the construction of a Sarvatobhadramandala and remarks that it is common to all worship 1856 and provides (III. 122-124) that the mandala should be drawn with five coloured powders viz yellow with turmeric powder, white produced from husked grains of rice, red with Kusumbha powder, black with the fine powder of half burnt inferior cereals sprinkled with milk and greenish with powder of the leaves of bilva. The Prapancasāra (V. 64-65) and Agni (30. 19-20) provide for similar coloured powders. The Vāstuyāgatattva of Raghunandana (p. 416) quotes the Śaradātilaka (III 123-124) about the five powders. The Jñānārnavatantra (24.8-10 and 26.15-17) appears to hold that mandala and cakra are synonyms and states that a mandala with nine angles may be drawn on an altar in a pavilion (mandapa) with saffron or vermilion powder 1857 The Mahānirvānatantra also refers to mandalas drawn with coloured characteristics of mandala powders (X. 137-138). Four ceremonies are mandala, mantra, püjā and mudrā.

The Buddhist tantras also make much of mandalas. In the Mañjuśrīmūlakalpa mandalas are described with special directions for painting them. The Guhyasamājatantra speaks of a mandala of 16 cubits with a cakra inside. Vide Prof. G. Tucci's 'Indo-Tibetica' vol. IV part I (Rome, 1941) which gives tables containing mandalas and A. Getty's 'The Gods of Northern Buddhism' (1908) Plate XVI for a mandala of nine elements, and 'Contributions to the study of mandala and mudra' by Erik Haarh pp. 57-91 of vol XXIII. Nos. 1 and 2 (1958) of Acta Orientalia of the Oriental Societies of Denmark, Norway &c. in which at the end there are photographs of about 100 hand poses The Nispannayogāvali (G.O.S.) of Abhayākara-(mudrās). gupta, a contemporary of Rāmapāla, king of Bengal (1084-1130 A. D.), describes 26 mandalas in 26 chapters, each mandala having a central deity and other minor Buddhist divinities, sometimes more than one hundred in number. 1858

¹⁸⁵⁶ मण्डलं सर्वतीभद्रमेतत्साधारणं स्मृतम् । शारदा॰ III. 131.

^{1857.} मण्डपं (पे?) वेदिकां फुत्वा पुष्पवैतानमण्डितम्। ...वेदिकायां वरारोहे कुङ्कुने-नाप वा प्रिये। सिन्ट्र्रजसा वापि विलिखेञ्चकमुत्तमम्। ज्ञानार्णव 24. 8-10; नवकीणं विरच्याथ सिन्ट्रोण महाप्रभम्। कलशान् नव संस्थाप्य हेमरत्नादिपूरितान्। एकं वा कलशं रम्यं स्थापयेदेशिकोत्तमः। दक्षतो मण्डलं कृत्वा कुङ्कमार्थैविचित्रितम्। 1bid. 26. 15-16.

^{1858.} Vide Dr B Bhattacharya's article in J. G. J. R. I Vol. VI. pp 273-281 for the importance of Nispannayogāvali of Abhayākaragupta for Buddhist deities and psychic literature.

In the collection of the rites and worship called 'Rgyeda. brahmakarmasamuccaya' published by the Nirnayasagara Press (6th ed. Bombay 1936) there are at the beginning several mandalas, plain or drawn in various colours, such as the Sarvatobhadra, Caturlingatobhadra, Prāsādavāstumandala, Grhavāstumandala, Grahadevatāmandala, Hariharamandala, Ekalingatobhadra. The Smrtikaustubha mentions Dyadasalingatobhadra. Harihara-mandala inside which there is Sarvatobhadra pp. 410-411 (of Nirn. ed. of 1909). They are all passed over here. Sarvatobhadra literally means 'auspicious on all sides'. This concept of an auspicious figure or diagram was carried over in the realm of Poetics. Dandin in his Kāyyādarśa cites a verse in the form called Sarvatobhadra, which is an illustration of what are called 'citra-bandhas'. About a century before Dandin, the Kirātārunīva (15 25) gives an illustration of 'sarvatohhadra'

In the Acta Orientalia volume referred to above there is an interesting analysis of the contents of two Tibetan mss., one containing what is called 'Rice-mandala' in which 37 elements

1859 प्राह्मरर्धभ्रमं नाम श्लोकार्धभ्रमणं यदि। तदिष्टं सर्वतोभद्रं भ्रमणं यदि सर्वतः॥ काष्यादर्शे III 80, किरातार्ज्जनीय (sarga 15 v. 25) furnishes the following as सर्वतीभद्र, देवाकान्निनकावादे वाहिकास्वस्वकाहि वा। काकारेभभरे काका निस्वभव्यव्यमस्यनि॥ An example of a verse in the सर्वतीभद्रवन्ध is furnished by काष्यादर्शे III 82, which is as follows

सा	मा	या	मा	मा	या	मा	सा
मा	₹1	ना	या	या	ना	श	मा
या	ना	चा	रा	रा	वा	ना	या
मा	या	₹₹	मा	मा	₹1	या	मा
मा	या	रा	भा	मा	रा	या	मा
या	ना	घा	रा	₹1	वा	ना	या
मा	₹1	ना	या	या	ना	₹1	मा
सा	मा	या	मा	मा	या	मा	सा

are indicated by their names (vide figure 1) and the other containing 123 drawings of mudrās (hand poses).

Another characteristic item in Tantrik worship is 'uantra' (geometrical diagram), sometimes called cakra also, which one finds to some extent also in the Puranas and slightly in modern orthodox practices. A yantra is a diagram (or figure) engraved, drawn or painted on metal, stone, paper or other material. It resembles a mandala but differs from it in this that a mandala may be employed in the worship of any devatā, while a yantra is specially appropriated to the worship of a particular deity or employed for a particular purpose The Kulārnava-tantra 1860 states: 'Yantra is a development (or product) of mantra and a deity apprehended in the form of a mantra; the deity worshipped on the yantra immediately becomes favourable; yantra is so called because it removes all pains (or perturbations) arising from such faults as love and anger: if God is worshipped in yantras, he is pleased'. The same Tantra further says 'if worship is done without a yantra the detata is not pleased'. Here the word 'yantra' is derived from the root 'yantr'. In another place the same tantra states 'Yantra is so declared because it always saves (the worshipper) from Yama (god of death) and goblins and other dangers'. The Rāmapūrvatāpanīya Upanisad 1861 observes 'the arrangement (or preparation) of a yantra is the body of the deity which confers safety'. The Kaulāvalīnirnaya observes 'worship (of a deity) without employing a yantra, satiating (the goddess) without offering flesh, drinking wine without being accompanied by a Sakti (the wife or other woman associated with a Sakta worshipper)-all these yield no fruit' Some works evolved a 'yantra-gāyatrī' also 1852

^{1860.} यन्त्रं मन्त्रमयं भोक्तं देवता मन्त्रक्षिणी। यन्त्रे सा पूजिता देवी सहसेव प्रसी-दित्ते॥ कामक्रोधादिद्दोपोध्धसर्वटु खनियन्त्रणात्। यन्त्रभित्याहुरेतस्मिन् देव भीणाति पूजितः॥ छुलार्णव VI 85-86 (The first half verse is quoted from अगस्त्यसंहिता by ब. क्रि. क्री p 147); विना यन्त्रेण पूजा चेट् देवता न प्रसीद्दित। ibid. X. 109; यमभूतादिसर्वेंभ्योभि कुळेश्वरि। त्रायते सततं चेव तरमाद्यन्त्रमितीरितन्। ibid XVII 61. Here य is supposed to stand for यम and others, न्न is derived from the root 'trai' (or 'tra') विना यन्त्रेण या पूजा विना मांसेन तर्पणम्। विना हाद्यया तु यत्यानं तरस्व निष्फलं भवेत्॥ कोलावलीनिर्णय VIII 41-42. सामयस्यास्य देवस्य विग्रहो यन्त्रकल्पना॥ विना यन्त्रेण चेरपूजा देवता न प्रसीदित। सामपूर्वतापनीयोप. I. 13.

^{1861.} It will be noticed that the latter half is the same as the latter half of फुलार्णन X. 109. Vide Heinrich Zimmer's 'Myths and symbols in Indian Art and civilization' pp 140-148 on 'yantra'; also अहिर्देक्ट्यसंहित chap. 36 where the construction and worship of Sudarsanacakra is described.

^{1862.} The यन्त्रमायत्री is: यन्त्रराजाय विदाहे वरमदाय घीमहि। तस्त्री यन्त्रं प्रची-

These passages make it clear that a yantra was deemed to be a factor helping in restraining the restless movements of the mind due to anger, love and the like and in making the mind concentrate on a diagram or model deemed to represent the deity worshipped. It induced concentration and encouraged the mental realization of the deity. The distinction between the devatā and yantra is similar to that between the soul and the body. Most Hindus look upon such forms of worship without antipathy because it is felt that they serve as a way of approach to divinity for ordinary unsophisticated people and that it is better to have one's foot on the lowest rung of the ladder to higher life than to have it on no rung at all.

Yantras are described in such Tantrik and other works as Tripurātāpanī Upanisad (II 3), Prapancasāratantra (patalas 21 and 34), Śāradātilaka (VII, 53-63, XXIV), Kāmakalāvilāsa (verses 22, 26, 29, 30, 33), Nityāsodašikārnava (I, 31-43) Nitvotsava (pp. 6. 64-55), Tantra-rājatantra (II 44-51, VIII 30 ff. XXXIII), Ahirbudhnyasamhitā (chap. 23-26), Mantramahodadhi (20th Taranga), Kaulajūānanirnaya (X, which speaks of them as cakras), Kaulāvalīnirnaya (III. 105-135), Merutantra (33rd prakāśa, 562 verses), Mantramahārnava-tantra (Uttarakhanda, 11th taranga). It is not possible to deal with all this large material here. The Padma (Pātālakhanda, 79, 1) provides that the worship of Hari (Visnu) may be performed on the Śālagrāma stone or on a jewel or a yantra, a mandala, or images, and not merely in a temple The Ahirbudhnya-samhitā (chap. 36, verses 5-66) describes the procedure of the worship of a Sudarśana-yantra by a king or other personage desiring wealth or prosperity. One yantra or cakra may be explained and illustrated here. The most noted is Sricalra described in two verses quoted 1853 below and explained in some detail in the

^{1863.} बिन्दु-त्रिकोण-वसुकोणदशारग्रम-मन्त्रस्नागहरुसंगुतपोहशारम् । वृत्तवर्यं च घरणीसद्मव्यं च श्री-वक्रराजस्दित परदेवतायाः॥ q. by शङ्करविजय of आनन्दिगिरि (B I ed. of 1868) p. 255 and by संतुबन्य on नित्यापोहिश्का 1. 31 from यामरु (probably from the स्वृयामरु said to be composed by the great शङ्कराचार्य himself) and चतुनिः श्रीकण्ठे शिवयुवितिमः पञ्चमिरिप पोभक्ताभि शम्मोनंवभिरिप यूरुमकृतिमः। वय-श्रवारिशहसुदल्जाराश्रविवरूपविरस्तामः सार्ध तव भवनकोणाः परिणताः॥ सौन्दर्यरुद्धरि verse 11 in ed, by Ganesh and Co. Madras, 1957 with Com रुश्मीचरा Some writers read in the 2nd verse चतुन्धवारिशत् वसु stands for 8, मसु for 14, नाग for 8, मसु for 16. There are two ways of describing it viz. from the bindu onwards (which is called Sisti-krama) or from the outer lines to the bindu (which is called Sisti-krama). Vide 'Shakti and Shākta' by Sir John (Continued on next page)

Setubandha commentary on Nityāsodasikārnava (I. 31-46). The latter verses explain how the Cakra is to be drawn with the bindu in a small triangle. The bindu represents Sakti or Mülaprakrti solidified In published works on Tantra the Śrīcakra is represented in colours (as in Saundaryalahari published by Ganesh & Co. Madras, 1957, facing the title page), while others represent it only by means of plain uncoloured lines (as on the outer cover of the above edition of Saundarvalahari or on the cover of the Kāmakalāvilāsa ed by A Avalon and published by Ganesh and Co. 1953). In some works the figure of Śrīcakra shows no gates as on the cover in 'Principles of Tantra' by A. Avalon or on the cover of Kāmakalāvilāsa, while gates are shown in other works (as in the above edition of Saundaryalahari before the Sanskrit text). There are nine triangles, five of which have their apex pointing downwards and these represent Śakti and four (representing Śiva) have an apex pointing upwards. The bindu is situated in the smallest triangle pointing downwards. Then there are two pairs of ten triangles (shown in some books as blue and red respectively), then fourteen triangles (coloured blue in some works), then eight-petalled lotus (sometimes coloured red), 16 petalled lotus (coloured blue). then three circles, then three boundary lines with four gates, these two latter being the outer sections of the yantra and the lotuses of 8 and 16 petals being the inner section of the yantra. There are in all 43 angles (some works make 44). The part of the Cakra within the boundary lines is called bhunura. The worship of yantra is bahn yaga (outer or external worship of Śakti). The internal worship (antaryāga) consists in taking the awakened Kundalinī through the cakras from Mūlādhāra to Ajñācakra and then uniting it with the Lord Siva in the Sahasrāra-cakra, the six cakras from Mūlādhāra being identified with

(Continued from last page)

Woodroffe (3rd edition of 1929 published by Ganesh & Co, Madras) pp 399 ff for explanation of the figure of Śrīcakra. A tāntrik work called Devirabasya (D. C. ms. No 490 of 1895-98) quotes the verse निन्दुनिकोण...चेवतायाः (on folio 31 a) but also cites another verse describing this चुक्त somewhat differently. Calras are differently enumerated in different works. For example, in D C. ms No 962 of 1884-1887 called चक्रभेस्, five cakras employed in the worship of Durgā according to Kaulāgama are राजचक्र, महाचक्र, सेरचक, वीरचक्र and पशुचक्र (Cat. vol. XVI on Tantra p. 163). while in another ms D, C No 964 of 1887-91, several other cakras are described such as अकटमचक्र, क्रणधनकोधनचक्र, राशिचक्र, नक्षत्रचक्र (Cat. vol. XVI on Tantra p. 251).

the five elements and mind. This is described in Saundaryalahari (verse 9). To what lengths 'Sakti' worshippers had gone in the worship of Devi by the bahrryāga method would be clear from a passage quoted from the commentary of Laksmidhara, almost the latest among the numerous commentators of the Saundaryalahari, who was horrified by the methods of Kaulikas. 1864

The Setubandha on Nityāsodaśikārnava is at great pains to emphasize that the worship of Tripurasundarī is of the nature of upāsanā and not of the nature of bhaktı and that this upāsanā is of two sorts, one consisting of the recitation of the mantra of the Devī and the other consisting of the worship of the yantra¹⁸⁵⁵ (or cakra). Verses 126-204 of the Nityāsodaśikārnava detail the different items in the worship of the Śrīcakra. The Nityāsodaśikā and other Tāntrik works say that the great Tripurasundarī is seated in the Śrīcakra ¹⁸⁶⁶ The great aim of the Śākta sūdhaka is apparently to realize his identity with the yantra, the mantra, the guru and Tripurādevī The Varsakriyākaumudī quotes a verse stating that worship of yantra performed with all mantras is commended and by so doing a worshipper may secure whatever he desires ¹⁸⁵⁷

Even a comparatively sober work like the Śāradātilaka provides for the drawing up of yantras for wicked purposes. For example, in VII. 58-59 it describes an Agneya-yantra to be drawn on a garment secured from a pile in a cemetery and buried near the house of one's enemy for the latter's destruction.

¹⁸⁶⁴ तवाधारे मूछे सह ममयया छास्यपरया मवान्मानं मन्ये नवरममहाताण्डवन्डम्। उमाम्यामेनाम्यामुद्दयविधिसुहित्र्य द्वया सनाधाम्या जल्ले जनकाजननीमज्जगदिदम्॥ सीन्दर्ये॰ verse 41 p 181 (ed. of Ganesh and co 1951). The com. of लक्ष्मीधर remarks 'अत एव कोल्लाक्किणो बिन्दूं निस्यं ममर्चयन्ति। ... श्रीन्वकस्थितनवयोनिमध्यातयोनिं मूर्जेहम-पहक्षपीठादो लिखितां प्रवेकाला पूजयन्ति। तरुण्या. प्रत्यक्षपीठादो लिखितां प्रवेकाला पूजयन्ति। तरुण्या. प्रत्यक्षपीनसुचरकोला पूजयन्ति। उभयं योनिद्धयं वाह्यमेव नाम्तरस्। अतस्थिताधारचक्रमेव पूज्यस्। . . अत्र बहु वक्तस्थमित तत् अविदेकमार्यत्वात् स्मरणाईनपि न भवति।

¹⁸⁶⁵ अस्य तन्त्रस्य पाधान्येन त्रिष्टसुन्दर्युपासनाविधान एव तात्पर्यावनमात्। सा चोपामना मानसक्रियाविद्येपरूपा। ... तस्तादन्तरागव्याद्वता क्रियेवोपासना। सा च द्विविधान तन्मन्त्रजपरूपा तद्यन्त्रपूजारूपा चेति। मेतुवन्य on निरंपाः I 125 p 68

^{1866.} संरियतात्र महाचके महात्रिष्ठरसुन्दरी। नित्यापोडिजिजाः I 82; ज्ञाता सारमा भवेज्ञानमर्क्य ज्ञेषं बहि.स्थितम्। श्रीचक्रपूजनं तेषामेकीकरणमीरितस्॥ तन्त्रराजतन्त्र 35.6, आसीना बिन्दुमये चके सा त्रिष्ठरसुन्दरी देवी। कामेश्वराङ्क्षनित्या कल्या चन्द्रस्य कल्यिती-चंसा॥ verse 37 of कामकलाः.

^{1867.} सर्वेदामपि मन्त्राणां पूजा चन्त्रे मझस्यते। चन्त्रे मन्त्रं समाराध्य चद्दभीष्टं तदाः मुपात्। व कि की p. 147.

In XXIV. 17-18 and 19-21 two yantras for the destruction of an enemy are described. Vide above p 1105 for Prapañcasāra (34.33) providing a yantra for creating passion in a woman and making her run to the person practising magic.

The Tantrarājatantra provides (8th Patala, verses 30-32) that yantras that yield all desired objects should be engraved, painted or drawn on pieces of gold, silver or copper or on cloth or birch leaf with paste of sandalwood, agalochum, camphor, musk or saffron and should be worn on the head or the arms or neck, waist or wrist or one should worship them after placing them somewhere (on the ground &c.); vide also Prapañcasāratantra XI. 46 for similar provisions.

At the end of this chapter on Tantrik doctrines and practices reference must be made to a remarkable circumstance. Sarvadarsanasamgraha of Sāyana-Mādhava (14th century A.D.). that deals with 15 Darsanas (points of view or philosophical views). has not a word to sav about Tantras. though it devotes considerable space to the atheistic Carvaka-darsana and the unorthodox Bauddha and Jaina systems It is impossible to believe that the most learned brothers Sāyana and Mādhava were unaware of the works on Tantra. The silence must be due to some special reason. If one may make a conjecture, it appears that Tantrik doctrines were discarded for the same reasons that induced the Bengal king Ballālasena in his Dānasāgara to exclude the Devipurana from the authorities on which he meant to rely. At the time when Sayana and Madhava flourished (about middle of 14th century A. D.) Tantrik doctrines and practices had come into great disrepute. Therefore, Tantrik doctrines were probably passed over in silence by Sayana-Mādhava.

APPENDIX TO SECTION VI

Some important published texts consulted by the author on Tantricism are set out here. Sanskrit works are arranged in the order of the Sanskrit alphabet, but are transliterated in English and their names are printed in italics. Information about authors, dates and editions is set out briefly where available.

- Advayavojrasangraha of Advayavajra (11th century A. D.); contains 21 short works on Buddhist philocophy (in G.O.S.), ed. by II. P. Shastri, with a valuable Introduction.
- Arya-Manjuśrimulakalpa (published in TSS in three parts and 721 pages); contains parts of different dates (from 4th to 9th century A.D.). It is Buddhist and included in Tibetan Kangyur. It has now 55 chapters, but a Chinese translation of 10th century has only 28 chapters. Dr. B. Bhattacharya ascribes it to 2nd century A.D.; but Winternitz disagrees (vide IHQ, IX. pp. 1 ff). Jayaswal in 'Imperial History of India' gives the text of patalavisara 53 containing 1003 verses, out of which verses 6-314 deal with the biography of Buddha up to his niriūna and real history is given from about 78 A.D to 8th century A.D. in verses 345-980.
- Īśūnaśiragui uderapaddhati of Īśānaśiragui uderamiśra, in four parts, Sāmānyapāda, Mantrapāda, Kriyāpāda and Yogapāda, it contains about 18000 slokas and is published in T. S.; it mentions Gautamiya Tantra, Prapañcasāra and Bhojarāja; composed about 1100 A. D. or a little later.
- Kūmakalūnlūsa of Punyānandanātha, with the commentary Cidvalli of Natanānandanātha (Kashmir S. S.); there are 55 verses with tr. and notes by Arthur Avalon (pub by Ganesh & Co. Madras, 1953), originally in Tāntrik Texts vol. X.
- Kālacakratantra (Buddhist)-Vide J. A. S B, Letters, vol. XXVIII (1952) pp. 71-76 for an account of this work by Biswanath Bandopadhyaya
- Kūlajnūnanrnaya-ed by Prof. P. C. Bagchi (in Calcutta S. Series, 1934), H. P. Shastri assigned the ms of it to 9th century A. D., but Prof. Bagchi (p. 3) places it in the

- middle of the 11th century A. D. It is ascribed to Matsyendrapāda in the colophons. Vide p. 1115, `n 1820 above for Matsyendranātha.
- Kālivilāsatantra—ed. by A Avalon in Tāntrik Texts, vol VI (1917) in 35 patalas. In X. 20-21 it frankly allows adultery provided the sexual act is not completed. It mentions (in XX. 1) the Kālikāpurāna and in XV. 12-13 contains a mantra in a language resembling Assamese and eastern Bengali.
- Kulacūdāmam-tantra (ed. by A. Avalon in Tantrik Texts, vol IV, 1915) in seven patalas and 430 verses. In I. 4-12 names of 64 tantras are cited.
- Kulārnava-tantra—It is in 17 ullāsas and contains over 2000 verses. It is a famous work profusely quoted (published in Tāntrik Texts, vol. V, London, 1917). It is an early tantra, probably composed before 1000 A. D. In the colophons it is said to be a tantra of the Urdhvāmnāya (the fifth of the five āmnāyas) and as part of the work of one lakh and a quarter verses. Vide A. B. O. R. I. vol. XIII. pp. 206-211 for a paper on 'Kulārnava-tantra, its extent and contents' by Prof, Chintaharan Chakravarti.
- Kaulūvalīm naya of Jūānānandagiri in twenty-one ullāsas, ed. by A. Avalon in Tāntrik Texts, vol. XIV; in I. 2-14 names numerous Tantras including yāmalas and in I. 92-93 eight former gurus are named.
- Ganapalitativa Old Javanese Text, critically edited, annotated and translated by Dr. (Mrs.) Sudarśadevī Singhal (pub. by International Academy of Sciences, New Delhi, 1958); refers to Mülädhära and other Cakras with their positions and colours, six angas of Yoga excluding yama, niyama, āsana and adding tarka; gives an account of the production of nāda from Niskala and of bindu from nāda and so on, of mantras, bījās &c.
- Guhyasamājatantra or Tathāgata-guhyaka (Buddhist): It is published in G O. S.; it is assigned to 4th century A. D. by Dr. B. Bhattacharya (in Intro to Sādhanamālā, vol. II, p. XCV); vide p 1050 above for criticism of this view. It probably belongs to the 5th or 6th century A. D. Vide notes 1673 and 1691

- Goraksasiddhāntasangraha published in S. B. Texts (1925); mixture of Yoga and Tantra
- Cidgaganacandrikā; ascribed to Kālidāsa; ed. by Trivikramatīrtha in Tāntrik Texts, Vol. XX.
- Jayākhyasaihhtā (published in G. O. S)—a Pāñcarātra work ascribed to 450 A. D. by Dr B. Bhattacharya; contains some Tantra topics such as Yaksinī-sādhana, Cakrayantrasādhana, Stambhana.
- Jūūnasiddhi of king Indrabhūti, disciple of Anangavajra and father of guru Padmasambhava; pub. in 'Two Vajrayāna Texts' (G. O, S), assigned to about 717 A. D. (Intro. p. 10); gives in a nutshell leading doctrines of Vajrayāna.
- Jāānārnavalantra, pub. by Anan Press, Poona; contains 26 patalas and about 2300 verses.
- Tantrarājatantra, ed in Tāntrik Texts, vol VIII and XII and pub. by Ganesh & Co. Madras, 1954, with the commentary Manoramā by Subhagānandanātha; it has 36 chapters. It gives an account of the Kādimata.
- Tantrasūra of Krsnānanda, pub. in Ch. S. S.; about 17th century
 A. D.
- Tantrasūra of Abinavagupta, a summary of Tantrāloka, pub. in Kashmir S. S. (1918). About the first quarter of 11th century A. D.
- Tantrābhidhāna with Bîjanighantu and Mudrānighantu, ed. by A. Avalon in Tantrik Texts, vol I. (1913)
- Tantrūloka of Abbinavagupta with the com. of Jayaratha, pub. in Kashmir S. S. in several volumes; composed about 1000 A. D.
- Tārātantra, ed. by Śrī Girísacandra and pub. in the Gaudagranthamālā No 1 (1913); in 6 patalas and 150 verses. It declares that Buddha and Vasistha were Tāntrik sages of old and nine Kaula gurus whose names end in 'nātha' are mentioned; refers to Mahācīnākhya Tantra and provides for devotee (only male) offering his own blood to Tārā.
- Tūrūbhaktisudhūinaia, of Narasimha Thakkura, who was 5th in descent from Govinda Thakkura, author of Pradipa, com. on Kāvyaprakāśa; composed about 1680 A. D., ed. by Pancanan Bhattacharya (in Tāntrik Texts vol. XXI, 1940);

- a large work in eleven Tarangas and 435 pages on the worship of Tārā, one of ten vidyās connected with Śakti (not the Buddhist divinity Tārā). The 9th Taranga deals with the horrible rite of Śavasādhana (pp. 345-351).
- Tārārahasya of Brahmānanda, pub. by Jivananda (1896); mentions Mahācīna, Nīlatantra, Yoginītantra, Rudrayāmala.
- Tripurārahasya of Hārītāyana, with com. called Tātparyadīpikā of Śrīnivāsa; pub. in S. B. series; it is a discourse by Hārītāyana to Nārada. Tārākhanda portion of it is philosophical.
- Tripurāsārasamuccaya of Nāgabhatta with the com, of Govindācārya; pub. by Jivananda (1897).
- $Daksinar{a}mar{w}ti$ -samh $tt\bar{u}$ on Śrīvidyopāsanā in 65 patalas and about 1700 verses; pub. in S. B. series.
- Nityāsodašikā nava (part of Vāmakešvaratantra) with the com. Setubandha by Bhāskararāya (1700-1750 A.D.); pub. by Anan. Press (1944).
- Nulyotsava of Umānandanātha (who bore the name of Jagannātha before diksā and who was a Mahārāstra brāhmana patronized by the Tanjore Maratha Chief); this is a supplement to Paraśurāmakalpasūtra. Umānandanātha was a pupil of Bhāsurānandanātha (Bhāskararāya before dīksā) and composed the work in Kali era 'rasārnava-karivedamitesu' (Kali 4846 i. e. 1745 A. D.). It is probable that the word arnava is used for seven instead of for 4 as usual (i. e. 4876, equal to 1775 A. D.); pub. in G. O. S. (1923).
- N: spannayogāvalī of Abhayākaragupta, a contemporary of king Rāmapāla of Bengal (1084-1130 A.D.). It is Buddhist. The author was a Professor at the Vikramasilā University in Bihar; describes 26 mandalas, each having a central deity and other minor Buddhist deities that sometimes exceed 100 It is valuable for later Buddhism, its pantheon and ritual; pub. in G. O. S. (1949).
- Paraśurāmakalpasūtra, with com. Saubhāgyodayā of Rāmeśvara, pub. in G. O. S (1923); earlier than 1300 A. D.; claims to be composed by Paraśurāma, son of Jamadagni and chief disciple of Lord Mahādeva.
- Pādukāpaūcaka, ed. by A Avalon in Tāntrik Texts, vol. II (1913).

 Pārānandasūtra, pub in G. O. S (1931); not earlier than 900

 A. D., says Dr. B. Bhattacarya.

- Projnopāya-vimšcaya-siddhi of Anangavajra, one of the 84 siddhas venerated in Tibet; Buddhist Vajrayāna work pub in G. O. S. (1929); composed about 705 A. D. acc. to Dr. B. Bhattacharya in Intro. p. XII.
- Prapaūcasūra (ascribed to Śankarācārya) with com. Vivarana by Padmapāda; pub. in Tāntrik Texts (vol. III) and later a new ed in vol. XVIII-XIX (1939), Vide p. 1105 above. In 36 patalas.
- Prānatosīnī, compiled by Rāmatosana Bhattacharya and pub. by Jivananda (Calcutta), it is a very large modern work in 1097 pages.
- Brahmasamhıtā, with the commentary of Jīva Gosvāmi, for Vaisnavas; pub. in Tāntrık texts, vol. XV.
- Manti amahodadhi of Mabidhara with his own commentary, composed in Vikrama year 1645 (1588-89) A. D.; pub. by Jiv and Venk. Press.
- Mahānırvānatantra, with the ccm. of Hariharānanda Bhāratī. It is a leading but late work on Tantra, published very often, ed. by A Avalon in Tāntrik Texts, vol. XIII in 14 Ullāsas as the first part; the ed. of 1929 by Ganesh & Co. has been used in this work; the third ed of 1953 differs slightly here and there.
- Mātṛkā-cakra-viveka of Svatantrānandanātha, with the com. of Śivānanda; pub. in S. B. series, 1934.
- Mūhesvaratantra, in 51 patalas and 3060 verses (pub. in Ch. S. S.); mentions that Tantras are 64 (1.15 and 26.11) and names 25 Vaisnava tantras (26.16-20) and holds that Bauddha tantras are misleading and meant for cruel rites (26.21-22).
- Merutantra, extensive work in 35 chapters, 821 pages and about 16000 verses, pub. by Venk. Press, Bombay, 1908.
- Yogınitantı a, published by Jivananda; q. by Raghunandana in Ekādašītattva p. 58.
- Yogınīhrdaya—the last three chapters of Nityāsodaśikārnava (VI-VIII) are so called.
- Yogmihrdayadimkā of Amrtanandanātha, disciple of Punyānandanātha, pub. in S. B. series, 1923; about 10th or 11th century A. D.
- Rudrayāmalatantra, ed. by Jivananda (2nd ed. in 1892). A very extensive work in 66 chapters and in over 6000 verses

(mostly in Anustubh metre), supposed to have been declared by Bhairavi to Bhairava (Siva). It was said to be a huge work in a lakh and a quarter slokas, e. g. D. C. ms. No. 667 (i) of 1895-1902 called Dhanadapurascaranavidhi states in the colophon that it is part of the Rudrayamala (iti Rudravāmala-sapādalaksagrantho ... Kinkini-tantrokta-Dhanadā-purascarana-vidhih, BORI cat. of mss., vol. XVI. on Tantra p. 247).

- Lalitasahasranama with the com. Saubhagyabhaskara of Bhaskararāya son of Gambhīrarāya, minister of the Bijapur Moslem king, written in samuat 1785 i.e. 1729 A.D.: Nir. ed. of 1935.
- Varrasyārahasya of Bhāskararāya (called Bhāsurānandanātha after dīksā) with his own com, called Prakāśa. He flourished between 1700-1750 A. D.; pub. at Adyar, 1934.
- Visnu-samhitā in 30 patalas; pub. in T. S. S. 1925.
- Śaktisangamatanti a, in four parts, viz. Kālī, Tārā, Sundarī and Chinnamasta; three parts dealing with the first three pub. in G. O. S.; between 1505-1607 A. D Vide Poona Orientalist, vol. XXI. pp. 47-49 (between 1530-1700 A. D.).
- Śaktisūtra—Vide Sarasvatībhavana Studies, vol. X. (pp. 182-187); has 113 sūtras and com. on 19 sūtras; attributed to Agastya by the commentary, the sūtra mentions Jaimini and Vyāsa.
- śāktapramoda (a recent work), compiled by Śrirājadevanandanasimha, chief of Śivahara; pub by Venk. Press, 1951; contains 17 tantras viz. Kālītantra, tantras called Sodašī, Bhuvaneśvari, Chinnamastā, Tripurabhairavi, Dhūmavatī, Bagalāmukhi, Mātangī, Kamalātmikā, Kumārikā, Balidānakrama, Durgā, Śiva, Ganeśa, Sūrya, Visnu.
- Śaradātilaka of Laksmana-deśikendra (disciple of Utpala); one of the most famous works on tantra. Aufrecht (p. 64) enumerates several commentaries, the most learned and lucid of which is the Padarthadarsa of Raghavabhatta, composed in samuat 1550 (i e 1493-94 A.D.). Rāghavabhatta hailed from Mahārāstra and was a resident of town Janasthāna (Pancavati) on the banks of the Godavari; pub. in Kashi S. S. and in Tantrik Texts, vol. XVI and XVII. Śāradātilaka was composed about the 11th century

- A. D. Raghunandana expressly names Rāghavabhatta as the commentator of the Śāradatilaka in Jyotistattva p. 580.
- Śricakrasambhāratantra—A Buddhist work; Tibetan text and English translation by Lama Kazi Dawa Samdup, and edited in Tāntrik Texts, vol. VII by A. Ayalon, 1919.
- Śyāmārahasya of Pūrnānanda in 16 chapters, ed. by Jivananda; 16th century A. D.
- Sat-calra nivūpana, of Pūrnānanda in 85 verses, pub. in Tāntrik Texts, vol. II; composed šaka 1499 (1. e. 1577-78 A.D.).
- Sanatkumāra-tantra is a dialogue between Sanatkumāra and Pulastya in eleven patalas and about 375 verses Published by Jyestharam Mukundji in Bombay in 1905. It is a mixture of Yoga and tāntrik technique and inculcates the worship of Krsna in Tāntrik bījas like 'klīm, gaum &c'.
- Sādhanamālā, published in two volumes in G O S. with a long Intro to vol II by Dr B. Bhattacharya; contains 312 Sādhanas, many of which are anonymous and are known to Tibetan Kangyur; Dr Bhattacharya states that the Sādhanas range in dates from third century A. D. to 12th century A. D. Winternitz, in I. H. Q. vol IX (pp. 5-6), does not accept the view that the Prajūāpāramitāsādhana was composed by Asanga.
- Sāmrājya laksmī-pīthkā—said to be part of Ākāśa-bhairava Mahātantra, published in Tanjore Sarasvatī Mahal Series in 139 chapters, of which the first 30 are connected with mantra, japa, homa,; chapters 31 ff deal in detail with departments of State, coronation (chap 43 ff) and festivals like those of New Year, Rāmanavamī, Navarātra, &c.
- Sekoddeśatīkā a Buddhist work of Śrīnadapāda,; text ed. by Mario E. Carelli in G. O. S. with an Intro. in English.
- Saundaryalahari, ascribed to the great Śankarācārya, has numerous commentaries; ed by Sir John Woodroffe and pub. at Adyar (1937); ed. of 1957 with three commentaries and English translation published by Ganesh & Co. Madras. There is an excellent edition of this work in 100 verses (text, English translation by Prof. W. Norman Brown, Harvard University Press, 1958).
- Srīvidyāratnasūtia, attributed to Gaudapāda (in 101 sūtras) with the commentary of Sankarācārya, pupil of Vidyāranya,

on 21 sūtras; ed. by Pandit Gopinatha Kaviraja in S. B Texts series, Benares (1924).

Hamsavilāsa, of Hamsamitthu; pub. in G. O. S. (1937); the author was born in Gujarat in Vikrama year 1794 on Phālguna Full moon day (1738 A D.). Though not a purely Tāntrik work it quotes many Tāntrik works such as the Kulārnava (pp 68-76), Kaularahasya (p 104), Yoginītantra (p 103), Śāradātilaka (pp. 84-85, 105 ff) It deals with many other topics such as figures of speech, erotic subjects

Hevan atantra, edited and translated by Dr D. L Snellgrove (Oxford University Press, 1959), in two parts This work came to the author's hands while this Appendix was passing through the Press Part I (pub in 1959) contains Introduction (pp. 1-46). English translation (pp. 47-119), contents (pp 121-125), diagrams (pp 126-129), Glossary (pp. 131-141) and Index (pp 142-190): Part II contains Sanskrit texts and Tibetan texts based on a Nepalese ms. lent by Prof. Tucci; com. called Yogaratnamālā by Pandita Kānha has been included from an old Bengali ms. The editor holds (part I p. 14) that Hevairatantra was in existence towards the end of 8th century A. D. and that Advayavajrasangraha and Sekoddeśatika borrow from Hevajra-tantra, Sādhanamālā No 229 (two opening verses) are the same as Hevajra II. 8. 6-7 Hevajra is an invocation of vajra. On p. 11 part I the editor questions how the yogins could call themselves Buddhists when they experienced enlightenment in the embrace of a yogini Part I p. 70 mentions Jalandhara. Oddiyana, Paurnagiri as pithas and several upapithas, upaksetras. The term Sakti does not occur in Hevajra but its place is taken by Prajnā Part II verses 11-15 p. 98 express how the followers of this tantra had sexual experiences with women called mudras and how thereby they secured siddha. Part I p 54 contains ritual for gaining mastery over a young woman. Part II p. 2 states 'Hekārena mahākarunā vajram Prajūā ca bhanyate; Prajūopāyātmakam tantram tan-me nigaditam srunu'. This is a very valuable addition to the literature on Tantra and has been very well edited.

Some important works and papers on Tantra—vide also note 1687 for works on Sakti cult.

- Catalogue of Palm-leaf and some paper mss. in the Durbar Library of Nepal, by M. M. H. P. Sastri (1905)
- Tārānāth's History of Buddhism in India (translated into Germān by A. Schiefner (St. Petersburg, 1869). Portions were translated into English in I. A. IV. 101 ff. and 361 ff.
- 'Lamaism' by L A. Waddell (Allen & Co., London, 1895).
- 'History of Buddhism in India and Tibet' by Bu-ston translated from Tibetan by Dr. E Obermiller.
- Descriptive catalogue of mss in the Library of Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. VIII (deals with 648 mss. on Tantra in 892 pages).
- Bhandarkar's Vaisṇavism, Śaivism &c (in collected works, vol. IV. pp. 202-210 on Śaktas)
- Tantra of the Great Liberation (translation of the Mahānirvāna Tantra) with Introduction and commentary by Arthur Avalon (1913).
- Tantrik Texts edited by A. Avalon, vol. I-XXII, Introductions, notes, analysis &c.
- The Serpent Power by A. Avalon (1914), containing translation of Sat-cakranirūpana and Pādukāpancaka (5th ed. of 1958, by Ganesh & Co, Madras).
- 'Principles of Tantra' parts 1 and 2, ed by A. Avalon (1914, 1916) with a long Introduction to part 2.
- 'Wave of Bliss' translation of Ananda-lahari (first fortyone verses of Saundarya-lahari) and comments by Sir John Woodroffe (1917)
- 'Wave of Beauty'-translation of Saundarya-lahari (with text and commentaries) pub. by Ganesh & Co. (Madras, 1957).
- 'Chakras' by Right Rev. C W. Leadbeater (Adyar, 1927) with plates
- 'Śivasamhitā' translation of by Shrischandra Vidyarnava
- 'Thirty minor Upanishads' translated by K. Narayanswami Aiyar.
- 'Mysterious Kundalini by Dr. V. G Rele (1927).
- 'Sakti or Divine Power' by Dr. Sudhendu Kumar Das (Uni. of Calcutta, 1934).

- P. C. Bagchi's Introduction to Kaulajñāna-nirnaya (Calcutta Sanskrit Series, 1934).
- 'Tibetan Yoga and secret doctrines' by W. Y. Evans-Wentz (Oxford Uni Press, 1935).
- P. C. Bagchi's 'Studies in Tantras' (Cal. Uni. 1939).
- Dr B. Bhattacharya's Introduction to Sādhanamālā vol. II, (G.O.S.) pp. XI-LXXVII; the same scholar's Introduction to Guhyasamājatantra (G.O.S.) and 'Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism' (Oxford University Press, 1932).
- 'Philosophy of Tripurā Tantra' by M. M. Gopinath Kaviraja in Sarasvati Bhavan Studies (1934), vol. IX. pp. 85-98.
- 'Some aspects of the philosophy of Śākta Tantra' by M. M. Gopinath Kaviraja in Sarasvati Bhavan Studies, 1938 vol. X, pp. 21-27.
- 'Buddhist Tantra Literature' by Prof. S. K. De in New Indian Antiquary, vol. I. pp. 1-23.
- 'Influence of Tantras on the Tattvas of Raghunandana' in I. H. Q. IX. (1933), pp 678-704 by Prof. R. C. Hazra.
- 'Influence of Tantra in Smrtinibandhas' in ABORI vol. 15 pp. 220-235 and vol. XVI. pp. 203-211 by Prof. R. C. Hazra.
- *The Tantric Doctrine of Divine bi-unity by A K. Coomaraswamy in A. B. O. R. I. vol 19 pp. 173-183:
- 'Comparative and critical study of Mantraśāstra' by Shri Mohanlal Bhagavandas Jhavery (1944);
- Prof. Chintaharan Chakravarti's following Papers: 'Antiquity of Tantricism' in I. H Q, vol VI pp 114 ff; 'Controversy regarding the authorship of Tantras' in Prof K. B. Pathak commemoration volume pp 210-220; 'A note on the age and authorship of the Tantras' in Journal and Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, New Series, vol XXIX (1933) No 1 pp. 71-79; 'Ideals of Tantra rites' I H. Q. vol X. pp 468 ff; 'Sākta festivals of Bengal and their antiquity' in I. H Q. vol 27 (1951) pp. 255-260; 'Application of Vedic Mantras in Tāntrik rites' in J. A. S B, Letters, vol 18 (1952) pp. 113-115; 'Kāli worship in Bengal' Adyar Library Bulletin, vol XXI, parts 3-4 pp 296-303;
- 'Tantras, their philosophy and occult secrets' by D. N. Bose (Calcutta, Oriental Publishing Co.);

- 'Vajra and the Vajrasattva' by Dr. S. B. Das-Gupta in 'Indian Culture' vol. VIII. pp. 23-32;
- 'Introduction to Tantrih Buddhism' by Dr. S. B. Das Gupta (Calcutta, 1959);
- 'Philosophies of India' by Heinrich Zimmer (1951) pp. 569–502;
- 'The Veca and the Tantra' by Shri T. V. Kapali Sastry (Madras, 1951) pp. 1-255;
- 'Yuganadcha' (which literally means' bound together or poked together' in the case of apposites) 'the Tantrik view of Life' by Dr. Herbert V. Guenther in Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series, Banaras, 'Studies' vol. III (1952);

The following articles in the Cultural Heritage of India vol. IV, viz. 'Evolution of the Tantras,' by Dr. P. C. Bagchi pp. 211-225: 'Tantra as a way of realization' by Swami Pratyagatmanana, pp. 227-240; 'The Spirit and Culture of the Tantras' pp. 241-251 by Mr. Atal Behari Ghosh: 'Sakti Gult in Scuth India' by Shri K. R. Venkataraman, pp. 252-259; Tantribulture among the Buddhists' by Dr. B. Bhattacharya pp. 260-272; 'The cult of the Buddhist Siddhacharyas' pp. 273-279 by Prof. P. V. Bapat.

'Lights on the Tantra' by M. P. Paudit (published by Gaussia & Co., Madras, 1957) This is a booklet of 54 pages of text and pp. 55-71 of notes containing hardly anything valuable of the author's own; over three-fourths of it consists of long cuctations from the works of Woodroffe (particularly from 'Snakti and Shakta'), Sri Aurobindo and Shri Kapali Saster and is here and there marked by bold and unwarranted assertions such as 'It is the central truths of the Tentra thought and ritual that form the backbone of the Hirdu religion today' (p. 36). The present author repudiates totally this assertion. The author of this booklet has to admit that in the case of the Tantrik cult that abuse of the body of occult impriedge for malevolent purposes instead of for the material and spiritual advancement of man and the vulgarization of the profound rationale of the mystic ritual are deplorable deformations (p 26), that there has countless been a gross misuse of the knowledge developed by this science and that sportous texts have EDITIES UP (p. 21).

- 'History of Philosophy, Eastern and Western' ed by Dr. S. Radhakrishnan, vol I pp 401-428 on 'Exposition of Śākta belief' by M M Gopinath Kaviraj (1953)
- 'Yoga, immortality and freedom' by Mircea Eliade translated from French by Willard Trask (pub. by Routledge, Kegan, Paul, London, 1958), pp 200-273 for 'Yoga end Tantrism'.
- 'Tibetan Book of the Dead' by Dr. W Y. Evans-Wentz (3rd ed., Oxford University Press, 1957).
- 'Tibetan Yoga' by Bernard Bromage (2nd ed. 1959 by Aquarium Press)—deals with magical and religious practices of Tibetans, spells, and exercises which are deemed to produce supernatural powers.

SECTION VII

CHAPTER XXVIII

MĪMĀMSĀ AND DHARMASĀSTRA

The Yājñavalkya-smīti provides that there are fourteen sources of vidyās (knowledge) and of Dharma, ¹⁸⁶⁸ viz. Purāna, Nyāya, Mīmāmsā, Dharmaṣāstra, Angas (six) and the Vedas (four). A similar verse is quoted by some as Manu's, but it is not found in the extant Manusmrti. It is therefore necessary to consider the origin and meaning of the word Mīmāmsā, to set out the principal doctrines of that Śāstra, to mention the important rules of interpretation and the maxims of the Mīmāmsā in their application to topics of Dharmaṣāstra and to refer to some of the important works of that Śāstra together with their approximate dates.

The word mimāmsā has a great antiquity behind it. The Tai. S. VII. 5. 7. 1 1869 says 'the expounders of Brahma (Veda) discuss (the question) whether a day should be omitted or not'. Here the verbal form 'mimamsante' is employed in the sense of discussing or investigating a doubtful point and arriving at a decision thereon. In another passage of the Tai. S. the same form

^{1868.} पुराणन्यायमीमांसा ... च चहुर्द्दश ॥ या. 1. 3. quoted in n 1337 above. The बुह्दोगियाज्ञवल्क्य reads 'पुराणावर्कमीमांसा ... चहुर्द्दश (12.3). अपरार्क p. 6 quotes from विष्णुपुराण the verse 'अङ्गानि चेदाध्यत्यारो मीमासा न्यायविस्तरः । पुराण धर्मशार्श्व च विद्या एताध्यतुर्दश ॥ It is विष्णुपु III 6.27, वाषु 61 78 This is quoted as Manu's by Prof. T. R. Chintamani in JOR, Madras, vol. XI. supplement p. I It occurs in भविष्य (जाह्मपर्व 2 6) also Vide H. of Dh. vol. I. p. 112 note 198 where औश्चानसधर्मशास्त्र is quoted for 14 विद्याद and II of Dh. vol III p. 10 note 17 (for the four additional vidyās bringing the total up to 18) Vide a recent publication of Dr G S. Ghurye (1957, Bombay) on Vidyās (or Indian contribution to Sociology of knowledge) for detailed treatment. Even before the days of Kālidāsa the Vidyās had been 14 as indicated by Raghuvamsa V 21 (विचस्य विद्यापरिसंखयया मे कोटीश्वतस्त्रो दश चार्रति॥)

^{1869.} उत्सुज्यां 3 मोत्सुज्या 3 मिति मीमांसन्ते बह्मवादिनसहाहुकत्युज्यमेषेति...! ते सं VII. 5. 7 1; ध्याष्ट्रते देवयज्ञने याजयेष्ट्रच्याप्तुत्काम य पान्ने पा तहवे वा मीमांसेर्त्र... नैनं पान्ने न तहवे मीमासन्ते। ते सं VI 2, 6. 4-5 The last sentence means 'they do not have any doubt about his being fit for dining with them or fit for relation with him by marriage'.

and another 'mimamseran' are used in the same sense. In several other places the Tai. S. raises points for discussion with the words 'the brahmavadins discuss' but without employing the word mimamsante or a cognete word. Vide Tai. S. II. 5. 3. 7 (about the deity of sannayya), V. 5. 3, 2, VI. 1, 4, 5., VI. 1, 5, 3-5. The Kāthaka Samhitā 1870 puts forward a doubtful point for investigation without the words 'Brahmavadins say', but with the word 'mimamsante'. The Atharvaveda 1871 states 'men separately discussing many times noted on the earth her actions'. In another place it employs the words mimämsita and mimāmsamāna. The Sānkhāyana 1872 Br. has 'they discuss the question whether one should offer home after the sun rises or before the sun rises'. The Tai, Br. employs the word mīmāmsā and the Satapatha also in the Kanva recension does so (vide S. B. E. vol. 26 note 1). In the Chandogyopanisad 1673 it is stated that five scholars very learned in the Veda and possessed of large houses (wealth) named Prācīnaśāla Aupamanyava and others got together and entered upon the consideration of the question 'what is (the nature of) our self and what is Brahma?'. In the Tai. Up. occur the words 'this is the investigation into (the nature of) Bliss (Brahma)'. In both these passages the word mimāmsā is used in the sense of 'consideration' (vicāranā) of high philosophical topics.

Pānini provides 1874 for the formation of seven roots with san affix (Desiderative), one of which is 'mimamsate' from

^{1870.} आधेयोश्री ३ नींघेया ३ इति मीमासन्ते श्वोशिमाधस्यमानेना ३ इति । काठकसं. VIII 12

^{1871.} पर्यन्त्यस्याश्चरित पृथिन्या पृथङ्गरो बहुधा मीमासमानाः। अथर्व IX. 1. 3, स य् एव विद्यास द्विपत्तरूनीयास द्विपतोऽसमश्रीयास मीमांसितस्य न मीमांसमानस्य । अथर्व IX. 6 (2), 24,

¹⁸⁷² उदिते होतन्या र मछदित इति मीमांसन्ते। ज्ञां ज्ञा II. 8. Compare मनु II, 15; सेपा मीमासाअग्रेहीच एव सम्पन्ना। ते ज्ञा III 10 9.

^{1873.} प्राचीनशाल औपमन्यव.... ते हैते महाशाला महाश्रोवियाः समेत्य मीमांसां चकुः को न आत्मा किं बह्नेति। छा V, 11. 1; सैपानन्दस्य मीमांसा भवति। तै छप्, II. 8

¹⁸⁷⁴ मुसिज्किन्द्रच. सन्। मान्ययन्दान्-ज्ञान्स्यो दीर्घश्चाम्यासस्य। पा. III. 1.5-6; the काज़िका explains ' मान पूजायाम्। ... एतेम्यो घातुम्यो सन् प्रत्ययो भवति। अम्यासस्य चेकारस्य दीर्थादेशी भवति। सीसासते ... सानेर्जिज्ञासाचाम् । 1 The bhasya of Sabkaracarya on V.S I. 1 winds up the discussion with the words तस्ताद् बहाजिज्ञासीप-न्याससुर्येन वेदान्तवारयमीमासा वदविरोधितकीपकरणा निःश्रेयसमयोजना प्रस्तूयते । १ on which the भामती remarks ' नाक् माने दृत्यस्माद्वा मान पूजायाम् इत्यस्माद्वाती., मान-षध प्रत्यादिनानिन्द्राधे सनि न्युत्पादितस्य मीमांसाशब्दस्य पूजितविचारवचमलात् &c.

'mān' and the Kāsikā adds that it means 'desire to know i. e. investigation and final conclusion', having in view probably the sūtras 'Athāto dharma-jijnāsā' and 'Athāto brahma-jijnāsā'.

The foregoing brief discussion shows that long before the Upanisads the word 'mīmāmsā' had come to mean 'investigation into a topic of discussion and coming to a conclusion thereon'. The same word acquired a restricted sense (as in the verse of Yā, quoted above) viz. investigation into Dharma and arriving at conclusions on doubtful matters by interpretation and reasoning.

Some of the Dharmasūtras disclose familiarity with purely Mimāmsā terms and principles. For example, Gaut. states 1875 that an option is allowed only when there is a conflict between two texts of equal authority. The Apastamba Dharmasūtra is the only Dharmasutra that contains numerous Mimamsa terms and doctrines. It says 'a positive Vedic text has more force than an usage which merely leads to an inference (of its being based on a Vedic text now lost)'. 1876 This is similar to Jaimini I. 3. 3 If there is a conflict (between an express Vedic text and a smrti text) the latter is to be discarded but if there be no conflict an inference may be drawn (that the smrti text is based on some Śruti)'. Ap says 'the rules about anadhywya (stopping Veda study on narvan &c.) are applicable only to learning the Vedic mantras and not to their application in sacrifices'. In another place Ap. provides 'where activity takes place because of finding pleasure therefrom (i. e. from a worldly motive), there is no (inference of its being based on) sastra', which is similar to Jaimini's rule. For reasons of space other examples of close agreement between Ap. Dh. S. 1877 and Jaimini are simply referred

¹⁸⁷⁵ हुल्यवलयोविकल्पः। गी. I. 5, compare जे XII. 3. 10 एकार्थास्त -विकल्परन् समुज्ञये ह्याद्वत्तिः स्यारमधानस्य, ज्ञवर explains. ये खेकार्थाः एककार्यास्ते विकल्पेस्त यथा जीवियवी ; vide ज्ञवर 'द्वल्यार्थयोर्धि हुल्याविषययोर्विकल्पो भवति न नानार्थयोः।' on जे X 6.33; compare महा II 14 'श्रुतिहृयं तु यज्ञ स्यास्त्र धर्मादुभी स्मृतौ।'.

^{1876.} श्रुतिर्धि चलीयस्यालमानिकादाःचारात्। आप. ध I. 1. 4. 8; compare विदेशे स्वनयेक्ष स्यादसति साल्यानम् 'जी. I. 3, 3, विष्णा मत्यनध्यायः श्रूयते न फर्मयोगे 'विदेशे स्वनयेक्ष स्यादसति साल्यानम् 'जी. I. 3, 3, विष्णा मत्यनध्यायः श्रूयते न फर्मयोगे मन्धाणाम्। आप ध. I 4 12 9, compare जी XII 3 19 विद्यां मति विधानाहा सर्यन्तकालं अयोगः स्यात्कर्मार्थत्वात् मयोगस्य।', यञ्च तु भीत्युपलन्धितः मयुक्तिनै तत्र झास्रमतित। कालं प्रयोगः स्यात्कर्मार्थत्वात् मयोगस्य।', यञ्च तु भीत्युपलन्धितः प्रयुक्तिनै तत्र झास्रमतित। अप. I. 4 12 11, compare जी IV. 1.2 'यिसमन् प्रीतिः पुरुषस्य तस्य लिप्तार्थन्त्रमार्थन्त्रमार्थन्तिन स्वात्।',

^{1877.} अङ्गानां द्व प्रधानैरम्यपदेश द्वति न्यायविस्तमय.। आए.ध II 4.8.13, compare जैमिनि I. 3. 11-14 (कल्पस्त्राधिकरण); अधापि नित्याद्यवादमविधिमाद्वर्ग्याय-

tó in the note below and not explained at length. These examples show that in Apastamba's day Mimāmsā doctrines had been far advanced and since he employs the words 'Nyāyavitsamaya' (the siddhanta or doctrine of those who know Nyaya) and 'Nyāyavidah', it follows that he is referring to some work on Mimāmsā or some author who composed a mimāmsā-sūtra. The correspondence in ideas and words between Ap. and the Pürvamimāmsāsūtra is so close that one is tempted to argue that Ap. knew either the extant mimāmsāsūtra or at least an earlier version of it containing almost the same expressions. will not do to say that all the above passages are later inter-They have all been commented upon by Haradatta. polations.

Some Śrautasūtras such as that of Kātyāyana contain rules of the interpretation of Vedic texts that are similar to Jaimini's sūtras and sometimes are stated almost in the same words. 1878

बिट: &c.। आए घ II 6. 14. 13. compare जै VI 7 30 'अर्थवाटो वा विधिशेषलात' तस्मान्नित्पाञ्चवादः स्पात्। , तस्पां ऋषशब्दः स्तुतिमाञ्जम्। धर्माद्धि सम्बन्धः। आप. ध. 11. 6. 13. 11, compare जै VI, 1. 15 क्रयस्य धर्ममाञ्रलम् ।

1878. It may be noted that P. M S writers are often called 'Nyayavidah' by Sanlara (on V. S III 4 22), by Visvarupa and others Bhaskara in his commentary on Brahmasütra (I. 1 1. p. 5, Chowkhamba series) states · यच्छव्द आह तदस्माकं प्रमाणमिति हि न्यायविदः These are the words of Śabara in his bhīsya on P. M S III 2 36. On Yāj. I. 58 the Bēlakrīdē of Visvarūpa says "Tathā ca naiyāyikāh, na hi vacanasyātibhātostītyžhuh''' These are the words of Sabara on Jaimini III. 2.3 viz. 'Kimiva vacanam na Luryāt nāsti vacanasyātibhārah! So here Sabara is called Nanjāyika On Yāj I. 53 the Bālakrīdā says 'Nyāyavidasca Yājñikāh lapi vä sarvadbarmah syät tannyäyatväd vidhänasya'. This last is Jai. I 3.16 So here Jamini is called Nyayavid and Yajutka. Similarly, on Yaj. I. 87 the Balaktida quotes Jai. VI. 8. 17 as the words of 'Nyayavido yajnikah'. The J. N. M. V says nyayas are the adhikaranas, deciding points of Dharma and expounded by Jaimini 'जैमिनियोक्तानि धर्मनिर्णायकान्यधिकरणानि न्यायाः. The writers of Srautasutras are called merely Yājūikas by Bālakrīda on Yāj. I, 38 'Tathā ca yājūtkāh vyavahāryā bhavanti ityāhuh'. This quotation is from Katyayana Srautasutra 22. 4. 27-28. Besides, the sutra 'Prajascittavidhanacca,' is the same in both Kat. S I. 2. 19 and P. M. S. VI. 3. 7 and Kat. S I. 8. 6 is the same as P. M. S. XII. 3. 15; moreover, Kāt, Ś. IX 11. 14-15 employ almost the same words as in P.M S. III. 5. 36-39, though they hold opposite views. The P. M. S. (IV. 4, 19-21) holds that the Pindapitryajña is not an anga of the rites on darsa (i. e amāvāsyā), but an independent rite, while the Kāt. Sr. (IV. 1. 28-30) holds that it is an anga. In the discussion on the meaning of the word Vaisva-

⁽Continued from last page)

Only a few examples may be cited here; compare Kāt. I. 1. 9-10 (about rathakāra) with Jai VI. 1. 44; Kāt I. 1. 12-14 with Jai. VI 1. 51 and VI. 8. 20-22, Kāt. I 1. 18-20 with Jai. XII. 2. 1-4; Kāt. I. 2. 18-20 with Jai VI. 3. 2-7 (about nitya-harma yielding full reward, even though some anga could not be performed), also Kāt. I 3. 1-3 with Jai. I. 1. 35-40; Kāt. I. 3. 28-30 with Jai. VI. 6. 3. Sometimes, the Kāt. Ś. takes a view opposite to that of the Pūrvamīmāmsā but the words are often almost the same.

The Vartikas of Katyayana on Panini and the Mahabhasya show that MImamea technical terms and destrines had been elaborated long before them. For example, the Vartikas employ the technical Mimamsa terms 'prasayyapratisedha' (Vartika 7 on Pānini I. 1.44, Vārtika 5 on I. 2.1, Vārtika 2. on VII. 3.85), naryudūsa (Vārtika 3 on I. 1. 27), the word 'Sastrātidośa' (in Vartika on VII. 1. 96), the distinction between 'nivama' and 'vidhi' (in Vārtika 1 and 2 on III. 3, 163), the word 'prakarana' (vārtika 4 on Vi. 2. 143). Pataūjali's Mahābhāsya is full of Pürvamimämsä matters. The word 'Mimämsaka' occurs in bhāsya on Pānini II. 2. 29. The Mahābhāsya cites the wellknown passage 'the five five-nailed animals may be eaten' and remarks that the sentence conveys that others than those five were not to be eaten. 1879 But Patanjali does not employ the word Parisankhyā of which the above (five) is an example, according to mimamsa works. Jaimini employs the word

⁽Continued from last page)

nara occurring in Rg. I. 98, 1. and I. 59, 6 the Nirukta (VII 21-23) cites the views of 'ācāryāh', of the ancient Yājñikas (who held that Vaiśvānara means the sun in the sky) and of 'Śākapūni' (who holds that it means the terrestrial Agni) The Nirukta mentions the views of Yājñikas in V. 11, VII 4 (where Yājñikas differ from the Nairuktas), in IX. 29 where the Nairuktas hold that Anumati and Rākā are wives of the gods while the Yājñikas held that these were the names of Paurnamāsī), in XI, 31 (where a similar conflict between the views of the two interpreters of Sinīvālī and Kuhū is mentioned) and XI 42-43 (where also the views of Yājūikas and Nairuktas are mentioned).

^{1879.} भह्यनियमेनाभह्यप्रतिवेधो गम्यते। पद्म पद्मन्ता भह्या दृश्युक्ते गम्यत एतवुक्त तोडन्येडभह्या द्वति। महाभाष्य (cd Kielhorn vol. I. p 5), Compare क्षपर on जी. X 7.28 'ति तु परिसंख्यया प्रतिवेधः स्यात्। यथा पद्म वस्यतः भक्ष्या द्वति क्षाक्षीनी पद्माना क्षितिनाद्वयेषां भक्षणं प्रतिविध्वत दृश्यमध्यो वाज्येन गम्यते। The five animals are, क्षण्यकः भव्यविधो गोधा क्षाक्ष प्रमृश्य पद्माना श्रामायण 1V, 17.39; मतु V 18 adds वहु (rhinoceros) to these five. Vide या I. 177 for five and जी. ध. सू 17.27 पद्मनुकाक्ष । क्षान्यकाकाक्षणाविद्योधारमञ्जक्षकारः (अभृष्याः).

Parisankhyā in VII. 3. 22. The Mahābhāsya on IV. 1.14 (vārtika 5) and on IV. 1. 93 (vārtika 9) gives valuable information viz. a brāhmana woman is called 'Kāśakrtsnā' if she studies the Mimāmsā expounded by Kāśakrtsni. 1880 This establishes that in Patañiali's time a mīmāmsā work composed by Kāśakrtsni was in existence and women were found studying it. It is not certain what the Kāśakrtsni-mīmāmsā contained i. e. whether it was like the Pürvamīmāmsā of Jaimini or it was like the Uttaramīmāmsā (Vedāntasūtra) or dealt with both mīmāmsā and vedānta, which latter is not unlikely. The V.S. mentions the opinion of a teacher Kāśakrtsna (in I. 4.22), which Sankarācārya regards as the final conclusion supported by the real import of Śruti. The son of Kāśakrtsna might have been called Kāśakrtsni (Pānini IV. 1. 95). The Vartikas and Patañjali are full of the discussion of important matters on which the Mimāmsā has its own doctrines. In the Vārtikas 35 to 59 on Pānini I. 2. 64 (Sarūpānām-ekasesa ekavibhaktau) there is a lengthy dissussion on the question of the import of words, viz. whether it is ākrts or vyakts. It is said in Vārtika 35 that, according to Vājapyāyana, ākrti is the import of a word, while, according to Vyādi (in Vārtika 45 'dravyābhidhānam Vyādih), dravya (or vyaktı) is the import of a word (pada). The Mahābhāsya notes that Pānini wrote some sūtras (such as I. 2. 58 'Jātyākhyāyām' &c) in which he accepted $\jmath ar a t t$ as the import of words, while in other sutras (such as I. 3. 64 'sarûpānām' &c) he

¹⁸⁸⁰ काशकुल्मिना भोक्ता मीमांसा काशकुल्मी काशकुल्स्नीमधीते काशकुल्मा बाह्मणी। महाभाष्य on पा IV 1 14. If काशकृतिकांड सीनांसा dealt with पूर्वमीमांसा matters it is surprising that the extant पूर्वमीमासास्त्र makes no reference to काशकृत्सि, when it names, besides जैमिनि, nine predecessors viz आजेय, आलेखन (VI. 5. 17), आइमरध्य (VI. 5. 16), ऐतिशायन, कासुकायन, कार्व्णाजिनि, वादरायण, बाद्दरि and लायुकायन Dr. Umesha Mishra omits by oversight आइमरस्य from the list of the predecessors of जैमिनि in his 'critical bibliography of mimamsa' added at the end of M. M. Jha's ' Fūrvamīmāmsā in its sources ' काशकृत्सि's मीसांसा, being mentioned by पतञ्जलि, must be placed not later than 200 B C. If काशकृत्सि wrote on पूर्वमीमासा as is most likely, one would naturally expect that if the extant पूर्वभीमासासूत्र was composed after 200 B. C. and about 200 A. D. (as both Jacobi and Keith propose) काशकुत्झि should have been mentioned by the पू भी सु. But if लैमिनि was earlier than काशकुत्स्नि or was a contemporary of the latter, then it would be natural if the पू भी स् does not mention bim. Therefore, though an argument from mere silence is not very strong, it is likely that the present P. M. S. was composed at least before 200 B. C.

accepted 'dravya' as the import of words. ¹⁸⁸¹ It is to be noted that, according to Jaimini (I. 3 33 'ākrtistu kriyārthatvāt), ākrti is the import of words. On vārtika 3 on Pān. IV. 1. 92 (Sāmānyacodanāstu višesesu) Patañjali says that the vidhis declared with reference to certain things and objects in general really apply to individuals and he gives purely mimāmsā examples in this connection as set out in the note. The Vārtikakāra and Patañjali both use the word 'codanā' ¹⁸⁸² in the Pūrvamimāmsā sense and give examples which are familiar to us from Śābarasbhāsya. Among the purposes served by a study of grammar, ūha (which is the subject of the 9th chapter of PMS) is one. On Pānini I. 4. 3 Patañjali uses the language of Mīmimsā 'apurva eva vidhirbhavisyati na niyamah,'

It appears that the Sankarsakānda was very much neglected from early times. There is a conflict of views about its authorship The Nyāyapariśuddhi of Venkatanātha (I. H. Q. vol. IX. at p. 299) states that Kāśakrtsna was the author of the Sankarsakānda. It appears from Śabara's bhāsya that ¹asa that Kānda existed in his day and was looked upon by him as Jaimini's work. Śankarācārya in his bhāsya on V.S. III. 3. 43 (pradānavadeva tad-uktam) mentions the Sankarsa, quotes a sūtra therefrom, holds that it was known to the Vedāntasūtra and appears to convey that it was a work of Jaimini. Rāmānuja also appears to hold that Jaimini was the author of 16 chapters (comprising

^{1881 &#}x27;किं पुनराक्वतिः पदार्थं आहोस्विद् द्रव्यम्। उभयमिरयाह । कथ झायते । उभय-था ह्यान्वार्थेण सूज्ञाणि पठितानि । आक्वतिं पदार्थं मत्वा जास्यारुपा ... तरस्याम् इत्युव्यते । वृत्य पदार्थं मत्वा सरूपाणाम् – इत्येकशेष आरम्यते । महाभाष्य Just before 'सिन्धे शब्दार्थ-सम्बन्धे vol I. p 6 Kielhorn's ed., आक्वतौ शास्त्राणि प्रवर्तन्ते । ... आक्वतिस्तु प्रत्येकं परिसमाप्यते । महाभाष्य vol II p. 392 (Kielhorn).

^{1882.} चोद्दनाया चैकस्योपाधिवृत्तेः। वार्तिक 44 on पा. I. 2 64; on which the महाभाषय remarks 'चोद्दनायां चैकस्योपाधिवृत्तेमंन्यामह आकृतिरिभधीयत दृति। आग्नेय-भाक्षमाल निर्वित्। चोद्दनासु च तस्यारम्भात्।' वार्तिक 47 on I. 2 64, on which the महाभाष्य comments 'चोद्दनासु च तस्यारम्भान्यन्यामहे झ्न्यमभिधीयत दृति। गौरज्यन्थ्योऽ जोऽप्रीषोमीय दृति। गौरज्यन्थ्योऽ जोऽप्रीषोमीय दृति। गृ क्रानि पुनः शब्दानुशासनस्य प्रयोजनानि। रक्षोद्दागमलव्यत्तर्वेताः प्रयोजनम्। p. 1. of Kielhorn's edition, सामान्यचोद्दनास्तु विशेषेऽवातिष्ठन्ते। तस्या। भौरज्यन्थ्योऽजोऽप्रीषोमीय दृत्याकृतौ चोद्तिया दृश्य आरम्भणालम्भनपोक्षणविश्वसनाद्वीनि क्रियन्ते। पत्रक्षलि on वार्तिक 3 on पा IV. 1 92

^{1883.} विधिनिगमभेदः प्रकृती कृतः। स्विष्टकृद्धिकारश्च वनस्पतिरिति सङ्घपे वहयते। शबर on X. 4 32 (р. 1934), यथा पत्नी तुरुयवस्त्रृथते इति सङ्घपे वहयति। शबर on जै XII 2. 11 (р. 2242); 'एव तस्वाभेद्धप्याधेयाशप्रधन्त्वादाध्यानप्रधन्त्वमित्यर्थः। तहुकं 'नाना वा देवता प्रधाज्ञानात्। इति। शङ्कर on वे स् III 3 43. Vide Siddha-Bharati part II pp. 102–105 for some siitras from Sankarsa-kānda and Intro, to Tattvabindu pp. 12–13.

the twelve called Pürvamimāmsā and the four forming the Sankarsa). The Kalpataruparimala of Appayyadīksita on V. S. III. 3. 43, while conceding that the Sankarsakānda was undertaken for discussion about devatās, insists that it is a parišista (supplement) of the P. M. S. of twelve chapters consisting as it does of discussions on several topics treated in the P. M. S. and being of a miscellaneous nature, that it does not begin with nor conclude with the discussion about devatās and that its first sūtra is 'anuyajatīti anuvasatkāraś-codyate'. Since the Sankarsakānda has had hardly any influence on Dharmaśāstra works no further reference need be made to it in this work. 1884

Later medieval writers look upon the mīmāmsāśāstra as the most important of vidyāsthānas (other than the Vedas), since it removes the ignorance, doubts and mistaken notions about the sense of several (Vedic) passages, and since all vidyāsthānas require its aid for the determination of the nature of their real meaning. 1885

In some works like the bhāsya of Rāmānuja on V. S. and the Prapañcahrdaya 1886 the Mīmāmsāśāstra is stated to be as one whole containing twenty adhyāyas (chapters) and we are told that there was a (bhāsya) commentary called Krtakoti on the whole of it by Bodhāyana, that later on a concise commentary was composed by Upavarsa, that Devasvāmin wrote a commentary

^{1884.} Vide a paper by Pandit V. A. Ramaswami Shastri in I. H Q. vol. IX pp. 290-299 on Sankarsa as a supplement to the P. M. S.

^{1885.} प्रातिस्विकानेकवाक्यार्थगततत्त्वद्भानसंशयविषयंयन्ध्युदासेन पारमार्थिकार्थसत स्वस्वरूपिनर्णयार्थ समस्तैरप्पेभिविद्यास्थानैरम्यर्थमानत्वात्त्रेभ्येषि भीमांसारूयविद्यास्थानं गरीय-स्तरम् । तथा ह्याहुः । चतुर्बश्चाह्य विद्याह्य भीमासेव गरीयसी । जैमिनीयसूत्रार्थसंग्रह् of ऋषिदुत्र-परमेश्वर part. I p 2 (T, S, S,),

^{1886.} तन साङ्गोपाङ्गस्य वेदस्य पूर्वोस्तरकाण्डसंभिन्नस्याशोपवाक्यार्थविन्वारपरापणं मीमांसाशाख्य । तिद्दं विश्वत्यध्यापनिनद्ध्य । तत्र वोडशाध्यायनिनद्धं पूर्वमीमांसाशाख्य पूर्वफाण्डस्य धर्मविन्वारपरायणं निमित्तकृतम् । तत्र्य विश्वत्यध्यायन्तकृत्रकुत्तरमीमांसाशाख्यस्य क्राविन्वारपरायणं व्यासम्भत्तम् । तत्त्र विश्वत्यध्यायनिषद्धस्य मीमांसाशाख्यस्य क्राविनामधेय भाष्यं घोधायनेन कृतम् । तद्व्यन्थवाहुत्यभयादुपेद्य विद्यालक्ष्मपपूर्वभीमांसाशाख्यस्य कृतम् । तद्विप्तम्यकृतम् । तद्विप्तम्यकृतम् विद्यापेद्यपे वोडशलक्षणपूर्वभीमांसाशाख्यस्य कृतम् । तद्विप्तम्यकृतम् विद्यापित्तावित्यप्ति कृतम् । भवदासेनायि कृतं निमित्त्यभाष्यम् । प्रपञ्चद्वस्य (T S S. pp 38-39; तद्वाद प्रतिकारः । प्रचादनमीधिगमादनन्तरं व्यवावित्वित्यति । वद्यति च कर्मम्बद्धमीमासपीरकशाद्यपं संदितभेतन्द्यारीरकं निमित्त्येन पोडशलक्षणेनेति शाखेकव्यसिद्धिः धिभाष्य p 2 [Bom. S, S,) Vide ABORI vol. X. pp 153-54 for the author's paper on Bhavadāsa as a predecessor of श्वार. भवदास is named in श्लोकवार्तिक (प्रतिज्ञास्त्र verse 63) and the न्यायरत्नाकर on p. 133 states that भवदास split द्व. भी स्तु. I 1. 4 into two. Vide Intro. to तत्त्विन्द्र pp 19-20 for भवदास.

on 16 chapters and Bhavadāsa also composed a commentary on Jaimini, but that Śabara wrote a bhāsya only on the first 12 chanters and did not comment on Sankarsa. In I H.Q (vol. 15 pp. 262-263) reference is made to an inscription of Rajaraja (999 A.D.) which records the gift of certain lands to a learned brahmana who was to provide to four pupils board and teaching in several subjects, one of which is specified as the mimamsa of twenty adhyayas. These 20 chapters are made up as follows:-12 chapters (each divided into four padas, except chapters III, VI and X, each of which has eight padas, in all sixty padas) ascribed to Jaimini, 4 chapters called Sankarsakanda and 4 chapters constituting the Vedāntasūtra. The twelve chapters often called Pūrvamīmāmsā make an extensive work containing 915 or about one thousand adhikaranas (according to different writers) and about 2700 sūtras, dealing with numerous topics and laying down important rules of vedic interpretation. Yāj. (in I. 3) means by Mīmāmsā probably the work of Jaimini in 12 chapters. Many writers such as Mādhavācārya 1887 speak of two Mīmāmsās, Pūrva and Uttara, respectively comprising the 12 chapters ascribed to Jaimini and the four chapters forming the Vedantasutra. Śankarācārva refers to the extant Pūrvamīmāmsā as Dvādaśalaksanī in his bhāsya on Vedāntasūtra III. 3. 26. as 'Prathamatantra' in bhasva on V. S. III. 3. 25. III. 3. 53 and III. 4. 27, as Prathama-kānda in bhāsya on V. S. III. 3. 1, III. 3. 33, III. 3. 44, III. 3. 50, as Pramānalaksana in bhāsya on V. S. III. 4. 42. On V. S. III. 3. 53 he speaks of the first pada of the P. M S. as 'Sāstrapramukha eva prathame pāde' and thereby conveys that he regards that the PMS and VS form one entire sastra.

From here onwards up to note 1890 the discussion is also comprised (though a little more briefly) in the present author's paper on 'Pūrvamīmāmsā, Brahmasūtra &c' contributed to the Prof. De Felicitation volume (pp. 119-139).

There are very difficult and controversial questions about the authorship of the extant Pūrvamīmāmsāsūtra and of the extant Vedāntasūtra (or Brahmasūtra) and their relation to each other All of them cannot be gone into here. The first matter to be noted is that, though the number of the Vedāntasūtras is only about 1/5th of the number of the P.M.S., the V.S. contains

^{1887.} ये पूर्वोत्तरमीमासे ते ध्याख्यायातिसंग्रहात्। कुपालुर्मोधवाचार्या वेदार्थे वस्तुसुरातः। Intro. verse 4 to the Com. on the ऋग्वेद (Poona ed.), Some mss, read सायणाचार्यो for साधवाचार्यों.

more personal references (i.e. 32) than the P. M. S. (i.e. 27). In the 2nd place, we find that the Vedantasutras mention Jaimini eleven times and Badarayana nine times, while the P. M. S. names Bādarāyana and Jaimini only five times each. The question arises whether Jaimini and Badarayana were contemporaries and, if not, what the relation between the two was. Scholars are generally agreed that they were not contemporaries. There is a tradition of some antiquity contained in the Sāmavidhānabrāhmana 1883 according to which Jaimini was a pupil of Pārāsarya Vyāsa. It has been shown above (p. 857. note 1390) how the Puranas declare that Vyasa Parasarya, also called Krsna Dvaipāyana, arranged the one Veda into four and imparted the Rgveda, Yajurveda, Sāmaveda and Atharvaveda respectively to Paila, Vaisampayana, Jaimini and Sumantu. In the Mahābhārata Sumantu, Jaimini, Vaisampayana, Paila are stated along with Suka (the son of Vyāsa) to be the pupils of Vyāsa; vide Sabhā 4. 11 and Śāntiparva 328, 26-27 (cr. ed. 314, 23-24, 29) and 350 11-12 (cr. ed 337, 11-12). In the tarpana provided for in the Aśvalāyanagrhyasūtra (III. 4. 4) occurs the very interesting passage 'Sumantu-Jaimini-Vaisampāvana - Paila - Sūtra - bhāsva - Bhārata - Mahābhārata - Dharmā caryah.' The above passages make it clear that several centuries before the Christian era Jaimini's was an honoured name and connected with the Samadeva Scholars have examined the references to Jaimini and Bādarāyana in the P. M. S. and the V. S. Prof. K. A. Nilankanta Sastri in I. A. Vol. 50 pp. 167-174 on Jaimini and Bādarāyana arrives at the somewhat startling conclusion that there were three Jaiminis. T. R. Chintamani on p. 14 of the supplement to J. O. R Madras, Vol. XI agrees with Prof. Nilakanta Sastri. Jaimini is named five times in the extant P. M. S. viz at III 1.4, VI. 3.4, VIII. 3.7, IX. 2 39, XII. 1.7. Commonsense requires us to hold that these five

¹⁸⁸⁸ सोर्थ प्राजापत्यो विधिस्तमिम प्रजापतिर्वृहस्पतिये वृहस्पतिर्गरदाय नारदो विध्वक्र्सेगाय निश्वक्सेनो व्यासाय पाराज्ञयीय व्यासः पाराज्ञयाँ जैमिनये जैमिने, पौषिणसूयाय
पौष्पिण्ड्य पाराज्ञ्यायणाय पाराज्ञयायणो बादरायणाय ज्ञाद्यायणसाण्डि-शाट्यायनिया गण्टिशाट्यायनिया बहुम्य &c.। सामविधानज्ञ (at end) The न्या र on श्रुरेक्कवा.
(प्रतिज्ञास्त्र v. 23) sets out the ग्रुरुपरम्परा of पूर्वमीमासा वड ज्ञह्या-प्रजापति इन्य-आदिरय-पत्तिष्ठ-पराज्ञा-कृष्णद्वीपायन-जीमिने. The ग्रुक्तिस्तेत्वपूरणी p 8 (in Chowkhamba series)
gives two similar ग्रुक्तमङ slightly differing from that of the सामविधानज्ञा and
from each other. The ग्रुपरम्परा is practically useless up to वसिष्ठ It may
be noted that in the सामविधान Jaimini is said to be the disciple of
Vyāsa Pārāśarja while between Jaimini and Bādarāyaṇa intervene two

references about Jaimini must have been made to the same person. If two different Jaiminis were intended by the P. M. S. (other than its own author) in the five sutras a clear warn ing would have been given by the author. As shown below a sutra should leave no ambiguity Prof Sastry holds that Jaimini mentioned in VI 3, 4 is different from the Jaimini in the other four passages, because Sabara does not employ the word ācārva for qualifying Jaimini on VI. 3. 4 as he does in the four other cases and because the view put forward in VL 3.4 appears to be Pürvapaksa, while in the four other cases Jaimini's view is the siddhanta view of the Mimamsa-sutra. The number of sūtras in which Jaimini is named is very small (only 5), out of which the word acarya is applied to Jaimini by Sabara in four places and not so applied in one. This is a very slender and inadequate ground for holding that the Jaimini in P. M. S. VI. 3.4 is different from the Jaimini named in four other places. The usages of ācāryas as to employment of qualifying words like 'ācārya' or 'bhagavat' to authors mentioned by them differ. Kumārila applies no epithet like 'ācārya' or 'bhagayan' to Jamini and in one place 1839 charges Jaimini with composing sūtras containing not much substance, In the sūtras in which Jaimini is named in the VS (viz. in I. 2, 28, I. 2, 31, I. 3, 31, I. 4, 18, III. 2, 40, III. 4, 2, III. 4, 18, III. 4. 40, IV. 3 12, IV. 4. 5, IV. 4. 11) Sankarācārya adds the qualification 'ācārya' in all except on III. 4.40. although Jaimini propounds many propositions not acceptable to Badarayana the author of V. S. or to Sankara. In III. 4. 40. however. 1899 he omits the qualification 'ācārya' as regards both Jaimini and Bādarāvana. No one has argued that because the word ācārya does not qualify Bādarāyana in III. 4. 40, the Bādarāyana in that sūtra is different from the Bādarāyana named in other sūtras. In another place (on V. S IV. 1 17) it may be noted Sankarācārya remarks that both Jaimini and Bādarāyana are in agreement that certain acts of the kāmua type are of no help in

^{1889. &#}x27;मिना च जैमिनेरेनंत्रकाराण्यनत्यन्तसारधूतानि सूत्राणि।' तन्त्रवार्तिक p 895 (on उपवीतं लिङ्गदर्शनात् सर्वधर्म स्यात्, which is the first of six surfas after III 4 9 that were omitted by Sabara)

¹⁸⁹⁰ The sutra is 'तज्ज्ञतस्य तु नातन्त्रावो जैमिनेरपि नियमातद्भूपाभविष्य॰ वे स् III, 4. 40 on which Sankara remarks. जैमिनेरपीत्यपिशब्देन जैमिनिवादरायणपी-रत्न संग्रतिपत्ति ज्ञान्ति गतिपत्तिहाहयोय This means that बादरायण is the author of III 4. 40 (in which बादरायण is not named at all) and therefore of the entire Vedānta-sutra

attaining real knowledge of brahma. This shows that according to Sankara. Jaimini had treated of how brahma-vidyā arises. As regards the second reason, it does not clearly or indisputably appear that VI. 3. 4 is a purvapaksa view. In that adhikarana the Pürvavaksa is stated in the first sütra 1891 viz. as to such nitya rites as Agnihotra or Darsapūrnamāsa a man who can carry out all the details in their entirety is alone entitled to engage in them. The 2nd sūtra states the siddhānta view that. as regards nitya acts, it is not absolutely necessary that one should be able to perform all details (angas) therein; the third sutra only states that smrti declares that it is a fault if the principal rite is not performed and therefore the principal rite being obligatory must be performed. Then comes the 4th sutra in which the name of Jaimini occurs. Sabara's bhāsya on this sutra is extremely meagre (23 lines in print) and not clear. The Tup-tika does not comment separately on each of the sūtras of VI. 3. 1-7, 1892 it omits the name of Jaimini in its explanation and its concluding words on this adhikarana appear to support the interpretation of the 4th sutra advanced by the present author. No one doubts that sutras 5-7 support the siddhanta view. If VI. 3.4 were a purvapaksa sūtra, it is expected that sūtra 5 should contain the word 'tu' or 'api va' in order to indicate that it refutes the

^{1891.} सर्वश्वाको महत्तिः स्वास्त्रयाभूतोपदेशात् । आपि वाप्येकवेशे स्वास्त्रधाते हार्यानि वृत्तिर्धणमात्रमितरस्वर्धात् । तद्वस्तर्भणि च दोपस्तरमास्त्रतो विशेषः स्वास्त्रधानेनाभिसम्बन्धात् । कर्माभेदं त जिमिनि प्रयोगवच्नेकत्वात् सर्वेषाद्यपदेशः स्वादिति । अर्थस्य न्यपर्वर्धित्वादेकस्वपि प्रयोगे स्वाद्यधा क्रत्वन्तरेषु । पू. भी सू. VI. 3, I-5. It appears that the अध्वरम्भिमांसा-अप्तल्यत्ति by Väsudeva-dilsita (ms. in the Madras Govt. Oriental Mss. Library) reads कर्मभेदं for कर्माभेदं and अर्थस्वपर्वागित्वात् in sutras 4 and 5. I am indebted to Dr V. Räghavan for this reference In a complete ms (zz A in Prof. Velankar's Catalogue p 317 No. 1091) of the bhāsya of Śabara in the Bombay Asiatic Society's Mss. Library on VI. 3, 1 the words जैमिनिर्मन्यते स्प are omitted and कर्मभेदं is read for कर्माभेद्य. The word 'upadeśa' in the 4th sūtra may be taken in the same sense in which it is used in PMS I. 1. 5 'autpattikastu... tasya jāānam-upadeśah' or in the general sense of 'instruction',

^{1892.} The दुप्हीका on पू. भी. सू VI. 3. 4 appears to make this clear 'सूत्रकारो नित्ये दितिकतिष्यतामिन्द्यति। ... एवं च साङ्गं यावज्जीवं न शक्नोति कश्चिदपि फर्तिनिति कुला पावच्यक्त्रयादिन्द्यपनिवध्यते। शक्तस्य कामतो वैग्रुण्यं स्पात्।. The last scatence means 'if one, being able to finish an obligatory rite with all details, omits some part at his sweet will, then there would be defect in the rite litel!'

view expressed in sūtra 4. What that sūtra means is that the dropping of some anga in the nitya rite does not make that rite a different one from the same nitya rite when performed with all angas, since the text about its performance (with all angas or with some angas) is one and the same and the vedic instruction is that all angas have to be done (if possible). Interpreted thus, that sūtra is not a pūrvapaksa at all, but only emphasizes the siddhānta with additional reasons. The upshot would be that Jaimini mentioned five times in PMS is only one person and that he is a different person (who had written on P. M.) from the reputed author of the extant PMS

A parallel may be cited. Śankarācārya makes one adhikarana of sūtras 26-33 of V. S. I. 3 (devatādhikarana) which establishes that not only men but gods are qualified to study the Vedāntašāstra and names Bādarāyana twice in the same adhikarana (in I. 3. 26 and 33) and in I. 3. 33 he employs the word tu' because that sūtra is a reply to Jaimini's view contained in I. 3. 31-32. Similarly, the PMS puts forward the siddhānta view in VI. 3. 2 and names Jaimini in VI. 3. 4 for the same purpose.

Even Prof. Sastry admits that in four out of the five references where Jaimini is expressly named, his views are the siddhanta views. The cases of PMS IX. 2.3 and XII. 1.59 are somewhat remarkable. The adhikarana in both cases consists of only one sūtra, which is the siddhanta view and Jaimini is expressly named therein. In PMS III 1.4 Jaimini differs from Bādari (III. 1.3) and two more sūtras are added to complete the adhikarana. In PMS VIII. 3.7 Jaimini's view is opposed to that of Bādari (VIII. 3.6), is the siddhanta view and there is no separate sūtra setting forth the view of the author of the PMS.

From the remarks of Sankarācārya on V. S III. 4 40 (quoted in note 1890) it follows that he regarded Bādarāyana as the author of the Vedāntasūtra. In V S III. 2. 38-39 the Siddhānta, is stated that the rewards of actions are given by Īśvara (God), while Jaimini's view is that it is Dharma that gives the rewards of actions (III. 2. 40) and in the next sūtra (III. 2. 41) it is stated that Bādarāyana holds the former view (or that the former of the two, Īśvara and Dharma, gives the reward is the view of Bādarāyana) Here Bādarāyana is expressly mentioned as holding the same view as that in the Siddhāntasūtra III, 2. 38,

The observations of Śankarācārya in introducing ¹⁸⁹³ the last sūtra of the VS make it perfectly clear that he regarded Bādarāyana as the author of the entire Vedāntasūtra. No satisfactory explanation is offered why it was necessary to mention Bādarāyana nine times in the VS when all the 555 sūtras thereof are deemed to have been composed by Bādarāyana, or why it was necessary to mention in a work containing about 2700 sūtras all deemed to be composed by Jaimini, the views of Jaimini five times when in all cases or at least in four out of the five cases the views are identical with the reputed author of the PMS. Only two theories are possible viz. to hold that there is no explanation or to hold that there were two Jaiminis and two Bādarāyanas.

The problem of the author of the VS is rather complicated. Bhāskara (like Śankara) holds that Bādarāyana is the author of VS. since he begins his commentary on VS with an obeisance to Bādarāyana who sent forth (into the world) the Brahmasūtra that brings about the cessation of the bondage of birth. The Pañcapādikā of Padmapāda (a disciple of Śankarācārva) performs (in the 2nd Introductory verse) an obeisance to Badarayana. 1894 Rāmānuja, on the other hand, makes confusing statements. In the 2nd verse of his introduction the Śribhāsya 1895 on VS he calls upon all good men to drink the nectar-like words of Pārāsarya, but in his bhāsya on VS II. 2. 42 he holds that Badaravana was the author of the Mahabhārata, wherein the Pāñcarātra-śāstra is expounded at great length (in Śantiparva chap. 334-339 = cr. ed. 321 ff.) as also of the VS 1896. But Yāmunācārya, the preceptor of the teacher

^{1893.} नन्त्रेवं सित सातिकायवस्त्रादम्तवस्त्रमैश्वर्यस्य स्यात्तत्रश्चैपामाद्वतिः प्रसञ्येतित्यत उत्तरं भगवान् वादरायण आन्दार्यः पठति — अनादृत्तिः ... शब्दात् । शाङ्करभाष्य on ने. सू. IV. 4, 22,

^{1694.} नम श्रुतिशिरःपद्मपण्डमार्तण्डमूर्तेचे। वाद्ररायणसंज्ञाय शुनये शमवेश्मने॥ पञ्च-पादिका 2nd Intro verse (Madras Govt, series, 1958)

^{1895.} कथनेवं ब्रुवाणी वादरायणी वेदविद्येसरीवे दान्तवेद्यवरब्रह्मभूतवासदेवीपासनार्च-नादिपतिवादनपरस्य सात्वतशास्त्रस्यामामाण्यं ब्रुयात्। p. 509 of श्रीभाष्य (B S. S.) on वे स्. II 2 42.

¹⁸⁹⁶ वद्यपि भगवता पादरायणेन इदमर्थान्वेव स्वाणि भणीतानि विवृतानि च तानि परिनितगमीरभाषिणा भाष्यपृता विस्तृतानि च तानि गम्भीरन्यायसागरभाषिणा श्रीवत्साङ्क-निश्रेणापिः। सिद्धित्रय (Chow. S S.) pp 5-6.

of Rāmānuja, ascribes the VS to Bādarāyana, In spite of Śankarācārva. Vācaspati-miśra. author of the famous Bhāmati on 1897 Sankarācārya's bhāsya on VS. makes an obeisance to Vedavvāsa. the author of Brahmasütra The Paratora. mādhavīya is in two minds. in vol I part I pp. 52, 97, vol. II. part 2 pp. 3 and 275 Badarayana is said to be the author of the VS. but in a few other places VS is spoken of as Vyāsasūtra (vol. I. part 1, pp. 56, 113). The above conflict of testimony gives rise to the important question whether Badarayana, the supposed author of the Vedantasütras, is identical with Vedavyāsa or is different from him Šankarācārva's bhāsva points to the conclusion that in his opinion at least they are different. 1898 For example, on V. S. I. 3. 29 he quotes a verse of Vedayyasa in support of the proposition of the VS that the Veda is eternal, On VS II. 3.47, in support of the proposition that, though the individual soul is an amsa of the Supreme Self, the latter is not at all affected by the suffering of the individual soul. Sankara quotes two verses as smrti from the Mahabharata. This makes it clear that, if the author of the VS was identical with Vedavyāsa, the author of the Mahābhārata, Śankarācarva would not have cited the latter as an independent smrti source supporting the former or would at least have used some such language as this very author has said elsewhere that &c. The same reasoning would apply to Sankarācārva's comments. If that great acarva was of the opinion that the author of the VS was the same as that of the Mahabharata and the Gita he would not have cited passages from the Epic and the Gita as Smrtis supporting the reasoning of the VS.

If it were contended that there is only one Jaimini (and not two, much less three) a serious difficulty arises. Why should the author of PMS (containing about 2700 sūtras) refer to himself by name in five places only. The usual explanation offered

¹⁸⁹⁷ ब्रह्मसूत्रकृते तस्मै वेदन्यासाय वेधसे। ज्ञानशक्त्यवताराय नमी भगवतो हरेः॥ भामती, 5th Intro. verse

^{1898.} अत एव च नित्यत्वम् । वे सू I. 3. 29; भाष्य वैवन्यासम्भेवमेव स्मरित । वेयुगन्तेन्तर्हितान्वेदान्सेतिहासान्महर्षयः । हिमिरे तपसा पूर्वमद्धज्ञाताः स्वयम्भ्रवा ॥ इति Ths verse is ज्ञान्तिपर्व 210 19 (cr. ed. 203. 17), स्मरन्ति च वे. सू II 3. 47, भाष्य "स्मरन्ति च व्यासादयो यथा जैवेन दु स्नेन च परमात्मा दुःखायत इति । 'तत्र यः परमात्मा हि स नित्यो निर्मुण स्मृत । न लिप्यते फलेश्वापि पद्मपत्रामिवान्मसा ॥ सर्मात्मा त्वपरो योसी मोक्ष- स युज्यते । स सप्तद्शक्तेनापि राशिना युज्यते पुनः ॥ इति". These two are ज्ञान्तिपर्व 352. 14–16 (cr. ed. 339. 14–15).

by some commentators when Pānini names 1899 his predecessors, viz. that they are named for showing his reverence for them, would be of no avail as to Jaimini, since it cannot be said that the author of PMS Jaimini shows respect to himself When Jaimini mentions Bādarāyana in I. 1. 5 and XI. 1. 65 Śabara 1900 explains this is done for showing respect to Bādarāyana or for spreading his fame. Another explanation that ancient authors often refer to themselves in the 3rd person will not do Why should the author of about 2700 sūtras choose five places only for referring to himself. Therefore, one is compelled to hold that, if Jaimini is the author of the extant PMS and mentions the views of Jaimini five times only, there was a predecessor and namesake of his called Jamini who had expressed his views in a different work.

There are eleven sūtras in the VS in which the views of Jaimini are referred to. They are (as stated above) VS I. 2. 28 and 31, I 3. 31, I 4. 18, III. 2. 40, III. 4. 2, III. 4. 18, III. 4. 40, IV. 3. 12, IV. 4. 5, IV. 4. 11. Out of these eleven there are six references to Jaimini (viz I. 2. 28, I. 2. 31, I. 4. 18, IV. 3. 12, IV. 4. 5, IV. 4. 11) for which no corresponding adhikarana or sūtra in the PMS can be pointed out, while sūtras III. 2. 40, III. 4. 2, III. 4. 18 attribute views to Jaimini, which are well-known doctrines of the PMS. VS I. 3. 31 corresponds to PMS VI. 1. 5 and on III. 4. 40 (quoted in note 1890 above) Jaimini and VS agree. Therefore, it appears that the Jaimini who expresses views on purely Vedāntic topics and whose views are not found in PMS composed a work on Vedānta.

There are in VS nine sūtras in which Bādarāyana is named viz. in VS I 3, 26 and 33 (Bādarāyana being twice named in the same adhifarana as opposed to Jaimini), III. 2. 41, III. 4. 1, III. 4. 8, III. 4. 19, IV. 3. 15, IV. 4. 7, IV. 4. 12. It may be noted that in all these cases (except in IV 3 15) the views ascribed to Bādarāyana are opposed to those of Jaimini or are slightly different (only VS IV. 4. 7 and IV. 4. 12). Prof. Nilakanta Sastri thinks that all the views mentioned as Bādarāyana's are the views of the author of the VS who employs

^{1899.} On नृपि-कृषिकुरो. काह्यपस्य (पा I 2, 25) the महाभाष्य says 'काह्यप ग्रहणं किमर्थम् । काह्यपग्रहणं पूजार्थम् រ.

^{1900.} बादारायणग्रहणं बादरायणस्येदं सतं कीरवेते वादरायणं कीर्तियितुं नात्मीयं सतं पर्युदतितुम् । कायर on पू नी सू I. 5 p. 25, बादरायणग्रहणं कीरवेथे नैकीयमतार्घम् । कायर on पू मी सु. XI. 1. 65,

the third person for himself as ancient authors do (I. A. vol. 50 at p. 169). This does not afford a satisfactory explanation of the question why it was necessary to invoke Badarayana's name in nine cases only for buttressing up the position of the author of the VS (that has 555 sūtras). If the author of the VS and Badarayana named nine times are identical, the name of Badaravana should ordinarily appear towards the end of the adhikarana and not in the middle. Two examples may be cited here to illustrate how VS deals with references to Badarayana. In III. 2 38-41 (which is one adhrkarana) the siddhanta view is first stated with reasons in III. 2, 38-39, then Jaimini's view is cited (III, 4, 40) and then Badarayana is mentioned as agreeing with the view first put forth 'purvam tu Badaravano hetuvyapadeśāt), the difference being that the siddhūnta is based upon 'upanatti' while Badarayana relies upon 'hetuvyapadeśa' (in sruti and smrti). This shows that different reasons were assigned by the author of VS and by Badarayana for the same proposition, VS. III. 4.18-20 form one adhikarana about sannyūsa. Jaimini starts the purvapaksa that the Chan Up. (II. 23.1 'trayo dharmaskandhāh') only makes a passing reference to the asramas, there is no vidhi (injunction) in that text and there is no exposition also. Then Badaravana's view is cited 'anustheyam Bādarāyanah sāmyaśruteh' i e. sannyūsa should be resorted to just as one resorts to the householder's stage. Then comes the view of the author of the VS that there is a vidhi of sannyūsa in that text. If Bādarāyana and the author of VS be held identical, why was it necessary to add the sutra 'vidhirvā dhāranavat' (VS.III. 4, 20) after stating Bādarāyana's view? It would be also noticed that the reasons given in the two sutras differ. In VS IV. 4. 10-14 first the views of Badarı and Jaimini on Chan. Up. VIII 2. 1. 5 are set out, then Badarayana's view is set forth (in IV 4.12) and then two more sutras are added by the author of VS Therefore, these illustrations show that, though the final conclusion of Badarayana and the author of VS is the same, the language and the reasons are different, and that Badarayana named in the VS was a predecessor of the author of the extant VS that had composed a work on Vedanta, whom the author of the VS strengthens with reasons of his own.

In Pānini's time there were mendicant ascetics (bhiksus) who studied the 'Bhiksusūtra of Pārāšarya' or 'the Bhiksusūtra of Karmanda' and were designated 'Pārāšarinah' and

'Karmandinah'. 1901 A'bhiksu represents the order of Sannyāsa. Therefore, a Bhiksusütra must have had as its subject sannyāsa. the time for it, the rules of the order, the final goal to be attained and so on. The Br. Up (III. 5.1 and IV. 4.22) emphasizes that those who realize brahma give up all desires and practise begging. The Gautama-dharmasūtra 1902 says the same thing. No trace is found of the Bhiksusūtra of Karmanda. But it is possible to say that the Bhiksusūtra propounded by Pārāsarya must have been somewhat like the extant Brahmasütra or one of its predecessors. This would be the earliest reference to a sūtra by Pārāśarva on the sannyāsāśrama. The date of Pānini is not beyond dispute. But no modern scholar would place him after 300 B.C. The present writer would place him as early as at least 500 or 600 B.C. The result would be that the Bhiksusūtra of Pārāsarya named by Pānini would have to be placed at some time between 400 B. C. to 700 B. C. Further light is shed by Vārtika 1903 (1) on Pānini IV. 1 97, from which it follows that Vyāsa's 'apatya' (son) was called Vaiyāsaki, that is Śuka (according to the Mahābhāsva). Bādarāvana is formed from Badara which is a word in the Nadadi-gana (consisting of about 76 words), according to Pānini IV. 1. 99 (Nadadibhyah phak), Bādari being the son of Badara, Bādarāyana being the grandson (or a remoter male descendant) of Badara. At some period Vyāsa and Bādarāyana came to be confounded and Śuka. who was the son of Vyāsa according to the Vārtika and the Mahābhāsya, came to be called Bādarāyani (son of Bādarāyana) as shown by the Bhāgavatapurāna (XII 5. 8 where Śuka is said to be 'Bhagavan Badarayanıh'). It appears that from the 9th century A.D. Bādarāyana came to be confounded with Vyāsa Pārāsarya.

^{1901.} पाराशर्यकिलिलिंग्यां भिक्षुनदस्त्रयोः । कर्मन्दक्रशाश्वादिनिः। पा IV 3, 110-111. पाराशर्येण प्रोक्तं भिक्षस्त्रमधीयते पाराशरिणों भिक्षनः, कर्मन्देन प्रोक्तं भिक्षस्त्रमधीयते पाराशरिणों भिक्षनः, कर्मन्देन प्रोक्तं भिक्षस्त्रमधीयते कर्मनिद्देनों भिक्षसः। सि क्षी It is possible that Pānini refers to a sūtra work on ancient Sānkhya by Pancasikha. This will be briefly discussed in another chapter below It will be shown later from the Mahābhārata that Pancasikha was called bliksu and Pārāsarya (vide note 2186 below).

¹⁹⁰² वहाचारी गृहस्थो भिर्खुर्वेखानस । . अनिचयो भिक्षु । ... भिक्षार्थी ग्राम-मिपात्। गौतमधर्मसूत्र III. 2. 10-13.

¹⁹⁰³ सुधातुरकङ् च। पा. IV 1. 97; सुधानृत्यासयोः वार्तिक।; सोधातिकः। पंपासिकः ग्रुकः। महाभाष्यः

A brief examination of the citation of the views of Badaravana and Jaimini in the PMS and the Brahmasūtra 1994 is necessary. Bādarāyana is named only five times by the P. M. sütra as said above. (1) In P. M. S. I. 1.5 the author claims that he and Badarayana are agreed on the eternity and infallibility of the Veda; (2) In P. M. S. V. 2. 17-20, there is a discussion on Naksatresti. In the model sacrifice there are certain homas called Nāristha; the question is whether in the modifications of the model wherein certain subordinate homas colled upahomas are prescribed, the Nāristha homas precede or follow the Upahomas. The siddhanta view is that Naristhahomas precede, Atreya being of the opposite view and Badaravana supporting the siddhanta. (3) In P. M. S. VI. 1, 8 Badaravana's view is that not men alone but women also are entitled to take part in kratus (Vedic sacrifices) and this is the siddhanta of the P. M. S.: (4) P. M. S. X, 8, 35-46 make an extensive adhiharana in which the question is whether the texts prescribing Agneya and Aindragna purodāšus in Daršapūrnamāsa for a sacrificer who has not performed some sacrifice constitute a vidhi (of the two) or only an anuvāda. Bādarāvana's view in PMS. X. 8. 44 being that its is a widh; and the siddhanta being that there is an anuvada (X. 8. 45). (5) PMS XI. 1. 54-67 constitute a lengthy adhikarana and the discussion concerns the question whether āahāra and other angas are to be repeated with each of the principal matters (Agneya and others) in Darsapurnamasa or are to be performed only once.

With regard to these five cases where Bādarāyana is cited in the P. M. S. three points emerge, viz the author of the P. M. S. agrees with Bādarāyana's view in all except in X. 8 44 (where the two differ), that the view attributed to Bādarāyana in P. M. S. I. 1. 5 has correspondence with the views of the V. S. (1. 3. 28-29) and that four views out of five refer to purely sacrificial matters to which nothing corresponds in the V. S. It follows that the author of the extant P. M. S. had some work of Bādarāyana dealing with Pūrvamīmāmsā matters before him and that, if Bādarāyana be the author of the extant V. S., the latter had composed a work on Pūrvamīmāmsā matters also or that there was another Bādarāyana who wrote only on Pūrva-

^{1904.} Prof. Nilakant Sastry's paper referred to above is valuable, but several of his conclusions set out on p. 172 of I. A. vol. 50 cannot be accepted by the present writer, who regrets that Prof. Sastry did not consider much other matter relevant to the points discussed by him.

mimamsa. The five references to Jaimini in P. M. S. have been noted above and the sutra VI 3. 4. which led Prof Sastry to postulate three Jaiminis, has been already explained as not necessarily leading to any such conclusion.

Another alternative might be put forward viz. that works by Jaimini and Bādarāvana did not exist before the extant V.S. and P M S, but that the references to Jaimini and Bādarāvana concern views current in the schools of Jaimini and Bădarāvana But this is not a likely hypothesis The extant V. S and P M S are meant for all throughout Aryavarta and it is not likely that the oral traditions of two schools were supposed to be known to all and sundry in the whole of the country.

In several cases where Bādarāyana is mentioned, the extant V. S makes certain additions and explanations. It has been shown above that Śankarācārya. Bhāskara and Yāmuna ascribe the V S to Badarayana and that Vacaspati and others hold that Vyāsa Pārāśarya is the author of V. S. It is difficult to explain how Vedavyāsa came to be identified with Bādarāyana after the 9th century A. D. Some other relevant matters have also to be considered. A verse about ksetra and ksetrama in the Bhagavadgītā raises a problem. In Gītā 1905 13, 4 it is said 'this

ऋपिभिर्बह्वधा गीत छन्दोभिर्विविधैः पृथकः । ब्रह्मस्वपदेश्वैव हेतुमिद्धिर्विः॥ गीता 13. 4; the first half refers to the passages in the Vedas and Upanisads and the 2nd half to the Brahmasutrapadas. वहासूनपरेश्वेन must be connected with gitam according to all commentators. The present writer feels that the word 'rsibhth' also must be understood in the second half of the verse. If residual is required to be connected with 'chandoblih', there is no reason why that word should not be connected with 'Brahmasutrapadaih' also. Two words in the instrumental are employed in the first half (viz. rsibbili (agents) and 'chaudobhih' (means); if we understand 'rsibhih' in the 2nd half, nc shalf have a symmetrical arrangement viz 'rsibhih' (agents) and 'Brahmasutrapadath' (means). There is a contrast between Vedic and Upanisadic passages in the first half and Brahmasutra passages that are well reasoned and definite in the 2nd half. Then the meaning will be that sages had composed several Brahmasutras The author is inclined to hold that the Gila refers to several Brahmasutras current in its day and not to the extant Vedantasutra. Here commentators other than Sankaracarya understand by the word 'Brahmasuira' the work going under that name in these days The late Lokamanya Tılak ın his Marathi 'Gitarabasya' dıscusses (in appendix part 3 pp 527-534 of the ed. of 1915) the question of the relation of the Gita and Brahmasutra and puts forward his own surmise

real nature of keetra and keetrajna has been separately sung (i. e. propounded) in many ways by the sages in different vedic hymns (or metres) and by the words of the Brahmasütra that are full of reasoning and that arrive at definite conclusions'. Here the Gita expressly mentions Brahmasutra. If one turns to the extant Brahmasūtra (or Vedāntasūtra), it is found that in many sütras reliance is placed on smrti, which is taken to mean the Gita by all acaryas. For example, on 'Smrtisca' (V. S. I 2 6) the only Smrti passages cited by Sankarācārya are Gitā 18.61 and 13 2. Similarly, on 'api ca smaryate' (V S. I. 3 23) Sankara cites only Gita 15, 6 and 12; on 'api ca smarvate' (V S. II. 3, 45) the only Smrti passage quoted by Sankara is Gita 15 7, on 'Smaranti ca' (V. S. IV. I. 10) only Gītā 6. 11 is quoted; on 'Yoginah prati ca smaryate smārte caite' (V S. IV 2 21) Gita 8 24-25 alone are set forth as the passages meant to be explained. Therefore, though the Bhagavadgītā is not expressly named in the Brahmasūtra, the ācāryas are agreed that the Bhagavadgita alone is relied upon and referred to in all the above-mentioned sutras We have, therefore reached this position that the Gita mentions the Brahmasūtra which must be held to be earlier than the Gita, but as Gita passages are declared to be at the basis of some of the Vedantasūtras, the Gitā must be earlier than the V.S. This is contradictory, Sankarācārya, 1995 who saw the contradiction, therefore explained 'Brahmasūtrapadaih' as passages (of Upanisads) that suggest (i. e. propound) doctrines about brahma (i. e. he interprets 'sūtra' as meaning 'sūcaka'). But this explanation is far-fetched and has not been accepted by other commentators. Therefore, other theories have to be put forward viz, that the author of both is the same or that the Mahābhārata and Gitā were receiving accretions from time to time and that when the

(Continued from last page)

that the author who composed the extant Brahmasūtra redacted the original Mahābhārata and Gitā and gave them both the present form. The present writer regrets that he cannot accept this surmise of the late Lokamānya It may be pointed out that Prof R D Karmarkar in (ABORI vol III pp. 73-79) did not accept Lokamānya's explanation of 'Brahmasūtrapadaih' and held that in Gitā 13. 4 the word 'Brahmasūtrapadaih' does not refer to the Bādarāyana sūtras but refers to some similar other works. But he did not pursue that matter further.

^{1906.} ब्रह्मण सूचकानि धाक्यानि ब्रह्मसूज्ञाणि तैः पद्यते ग्रम्यते ज्ञायते द्वति तानि पद्मान्युच्यन्ते । तैरेव च क्षेत्रक्षेत्रज्ञज्ञयोर्थायात्म्यं 'गीतस्' इत्यञ्जवर्तते । 'आत्मेरयेवायासीत । इत्यानिभिद्धं ब्रह्मसूज्ञयदेशतमा ज्ञायते । शङ्कर ०० गीता 13 4

final redaction of the Mahābhārata (including the Gītā) was made the verse about Brahmasūtra was inserted in the Gītā or that in the times of the Gītā there were several works called Brahmasūtra other than the extant one.

The present writer thinks it highly probable that the Gītā had before it several works called Brahmasūtras and in 13.4 refers to them and not to the extant Brahmasūtra of Bādarāyana. A brief examination of the authors mentioned in the PMS and VS is necessary. Both these works mention by name several individual authors (besides Jaimini and Bādarāyana). Both PMS and VS mention the following:—

Atreya - PMS IV. 3. 18, V. 2. 18, VI. 1. 26 and VS III. 4. 44,

Asmarathya - PMS VI. 5. 16 and VS I. 2. 29, I. 4. 20;

Kār snāmu - PMS IV. 3, 17, VI. 7, 35 and VS III, 1. 9.

Bādan - PMS III. 1. 3, VI. 1. 27, VIII. 3. 6, IX. 2. 33 and VS. 1. 2. 30, III. 1. 11, IV. 3. 7, IV. 4. 10;

PMS also names Alekhana (VI. 5. 17), Aitišāyana (III. 2. 44, III. 4. 24, VI 1. 6), Kāmukāyana (XI 1. 58 and 63) and Lāvukāyana (VI. 7. 37), these being not mentioned at all in the VS. On the other hand VS mentions Audulomi (I. 4. 21, III. 4. 45, IV. 4.6) and Kāśakrtsna (I. 4.22), both being absent from PMS. The PMS very rarely refers to some ācāryas as 'eke' in I. 1. 27 and IX. 3. 4; V. S. has 'eke' in I. 4 9 and 18, II. 3. 43, III. 2. 2. and 13, III. 4. 15, IV. 2 13 and 'ekesām' in I. 4. 13, IV. 1. 17, IV. 2. 13 and 'anye' in III. 3. 27, in all of which the reference in V.S. is to other recensions of the Veda or Upanisads, while in III. 4 42 'eke' refers to ācāryāh and in III. 3. 53 'eke' refers to Lokāyatikas. Vyāsa or Pārāsarya is not mentioned by name in PMS or VS. The case of Bādari requires careful consideration. The PMS mentions both Badarayana and Jaimini only five times each, while PMS and VS mention (each) Bādari four Bādari differs from Jaimini on two important points viz. the denotation of the word 'sesa' and the remarkable view that even sudras are entitled to perform agministra and other Vedic rites. In VS. Badari is mentioned as differing from Jaimini on the upāsanā of Vaišvānara in Chān Up. V. 18. 1-2 and on the words 'sa enan brahma gamayati' (Chan. Up IV. 15.5) and in VS IV. 4.10 Badari is opposed to Jaimini about a released soul. It follows from this brief analysis and the mention of Badari four times in PMS and also in VS that both the latter had before them a work of Bādari dealing with Pūrvamīmāmsā matters and also with Vedānta. Alekhana and Aśmarathya are both quoted at least 16 times in Ap. Śr. Sūtra, their views are quoted frequently as in conflict on the ritual of sacrifices and these are the only two individual authors quoted in Ap. Śr. S. It is likely that Atreya, Aśmarathya and Kārsnājini composed a work or works dealing with both systems and Audulomi (quoted thrice by VS) and Kāśakrtsna composed works on the Vedānta Vide Tantravārtika on I 3.2 p. 169 note 2010 for the view that the present PMS was preceded by several efforts in the same direction.

From the above discussion it may very plausibly be held that the word 'Brahmasūtrapadaih' in Gitā 13. 4 refers to some sūtra works such as those of Bādari, Audulomi, Āśmarathya and one or two others and not to the extant Brahmasūtra. No one can say that Bādari and Ātreya are not 'ṛṣis'. Śabara refers to Ātreya as 'Muni' on PMS VI. I. 26.

It should be remembered that Jaimini, Bādari and Bādarāyaṇa are gotra names. But Vyāsa is not a gotra name and Pārāśarya is one of the three *pravaras* of the group of Parāśaras. 1207

The Ap. Sr. Sūtra 24. 8. 10 (ed. by Garbe) and the Pravaramanjari (ed. by Chentsalrav, Mysore, 1900) p. 61 mention Bādarāyaṇa as a sub-section of Visnuvrddhagotra, while p. 38 of the latter work mentions Jaimini along with Yāska, Vādhūla, Mauna and others as having the pravara 'Bhārgava-vaitahavya-sāvataseti' and pp. 108 and 178 cite Bādari (or Vādari) as a sub-division of Parāśaras. Therefore, it was possible that several individuals separated by a century or more could bear the name of Jaimini or Bādarāyana.

We have also to answer the observations of the Naiskarmyasiddhi of Sureśvarācārya (the most famous of the disciples of Śańkarācārya himself) that Jaimini does not mean that all passages of the Veda relate to sacrificial rites and that if he really meant that, he would not have composed 'Śarīrakasūtra' beginning with 'athāto brahma-jijñāsā' and 'janmādyasya yatah', containing an investigation of the purport of all Vedānta passages, laying bare the nature of brahma and supporting his words with profound reasoning; but that as a matter of fact he

^{1907.} अध पाराजराणां ज्यार्षेय । बसिष्ट-जान्त्य-पाराज्ञर्येति । पराज्ञरबन्छक्तिवद्वसिष्ट-विद्यति । आप श्रीः सूच, 24 10 6

did compose such a śārīrakaśāstra. This passage means that Jaimini composed a sūtra work called Śārīrakasūtra on the investigation and knowledge of brahma, which began with two sūtras that were the same as the first two sūtras of the extant Vedāntasūtra. 1908 Col. Jacob in his Introduction (p 3) to the first edition of the Naiskarmyasiddhi thought that the Naiskarmyasiddhi made Jaimini the author of the Vedāntadaršana. He is inaccurate, since all that Suresvara says is that Jaimini composed not only a sūtra work on the Karmamīmāmsā but he also composed a work called Śārīrakasūtra on the doctrines of brahmamimāmsā, but he does not convey that the whole of the extant Vedāntasūtra is the work of Jaimini. Dr. Belvalkar 1939 postulates two propositions, viz. that there were brahmasūtras written separately for the Chandogya Upanisad and the Brhadāranyaka-Upanisad and other Upanisads for each Śākhā and secondly, that the Śārīrakasūtra of Jaimini was bodily incorporated within and forms the main part of the contents of the present text of the Brahmasūtra. The present author takes strong objections to both these propositions. He cannot go into this question at length here but has to remark that these propositions are based on little evidence. If 'janmādyasya yatah' was also a sūtra of Jaimini who was specially connected with the Sāmaveda by the Mahābhārata and Purānas, why is it that that sūtra is supposed by the bhasyakaras as based on a passage of the Taittiriya-upanisad? The Chandogya and Brhadaranyaka Upanisads are each nearly thrice as long as all the other eight Upanisads (out of the principal ten Upanisads) and six times longer than the Taittiriya Upanisad. Therefore, these two Upanisads figure largely in the discussions in the extant Brahmasútra. The 2nd proposition is hardly more than a pure guess. There is no evidence to establish that the main part of the extant Vedantasūtra is bodily taken from Jaimini's Śārīrakasūtra, when the latter has not come down to us and when no sūtras therefrom

¹⁹⁰⁸ यतो न जैमिनेरयमभियाय आन्नाय सर्च एव क्रियार्थ इति। यदि ह्ययमभियायोऽभ-रिप्यद् अधातो बह्यजिङ्गासा जन्माधस्य यतः—इत्येबमादिबद्धवस्तुस्वरूपमात्रयाथास्त्यप्रकाशान-परं गम्भीरत्यायसन्दृष्यं सर्वेवदालार्धमीनांसनं श्रीमच्छारीरकं नास्त्रविप्यत्। असूत्रयत्र । तस्त्राज्ञीमिनेरेवापमभियायो यथैव विधिवाक्याना स्त्रार्थमात्रे प्रामाण्यमेवमैकात्स्यवाक्यानामप्यत्र-धिमत्रस्तुर्परिर्द्धेक्साम्यादिति। नैष्कर्म्यसिद्धि pp 54-55 (ed. by Col Jacob in B. S. S 1906).

^{1909.} Vide his 'Gopal Basu Mallik Lectures on Vedanta Philosophy' pp. 141-142

(other than the two noted above) are quoted anywhere as from Jaimini's Śārīrakasūtra.

Then some sutras of VS in which the words 'tad-uktam' 1910 occur have to be considered. There are eight sutras that contain those words. Sankarācārya holds that in VS I. 3. 21, II. 1. 31, III. 3. 18 (where 'tad uktam' occur) the reference is to the preceding sutras of the VS itself. On V S. III, 3, 26, III, 3, 33. III. 3. 50 and III 4 42 Sankarācārya holds that these respectively refer to PMS X 8, 15, III, 3, 8, XI, 4, 10 and I, 3, 8-9 and that VS III. 3. 43 refers to a sutra of the Sankarsakanda. The other ācārvas differ from Śankarācārva in several places and among themselves Vallabhācārya, who had come to regard the Bhāgavata as of equal authority with the Veda and as even superseding the latter, holds that the words 'tad-uktam' in VS III. 3, 33, III. 3 50 and III. 4. 42, refer to passages of the Bhagavatapurana. The VS III. 3, 44 appears to echo the words and principles of PMS III. 3. 14. 1911 The words 'tad-uktam' should ordinarily mean the same thing throughout i.e. they should everywhere be taken as referring to the PMS or to VS. But no acarya is prepared to accept entirely one of these two alternatives. It may further be noted that the extant P. M. S. very rarely employs the words 'tad-uktam' as in V. 3. 9 where it refers to PMS V. I. 19. 1912 The P. M. S., though it mentions Badarayana five times, nowhere appears to be influenced by the V.S On the other hand, not only are some of the sutras of V.S. containing the words 'Tad-uktam' deemed to be references to the P. M. Sūtras, but the V. S frequently employs peculiar Pūrvamīmāmsā words such as arthavada, prakarana, linga, vidhi, sesa and purely P. M. matters such as in III 3 26 (kuśāchandastutyupaganavat), III. 3. 33 (aupasadavat), III. 4. 20 (dharanavat), IV. 4, 12 (Dvādašāhavat). Therefore, the extant VS very much presupposes the P. M. S., while the latter cannot be said to have been influenced by VS to any noticeable extent.

The present author now wishes to draw together the separate threads that have been spun so far about Vyāsa, Jaimini,

¹⁹¹⁰ Vide a brief note 'The problem of Tad-uktam Sutras' by Prof. P. M. Modi in I, H Q. Vol 13 pp. 514-520.

^{1911.} Compare 'लिङ्गसूयस्तात्तस्त्रि वर्लीयस्तद्वि १ स् III 3. 44 with 'श्रुतिलिङ्गवास्यमकरणस्थानसमाख्यामा समवाये पारदीर्वरुयसर्थविमकार्यात् पू मी. स् III 3.14.

^{1912.} अन्ते वा तदुक्तम् । पू भी. स्. V 3 9 This refers to V 1. 19 (अने छ बादरायणस्तेषां मधानशब्दत्वात्). In पू. भी IX 2 2 occur the words 'तदुक्तदोषस' which refer to पू भी VII. 2 13.

Bādarāyana, the PMS and the VS and tries to present a tentative pattern of conclusions as follows:—

- The Mahabharata and some Puranas state that Jaimini was a pupil of Pārāśarya Vyāsa. But this is said in relation to the transmission of the Sāmaveda to Jaimini and therefore must be confined to that matter alone (and not extended to other matters) in accordance with the mimainsa maxim-'vavadvacanam vācanikam.' We have got a Jaiminīya Brāhmana. a Jaiminīya Śrauta-sūtra and Grhya-sūtra. The tradition about the imparting of Samaveda to Jaimini may be true and at present there is no evidence to show that it is wrong. There is, however. no warrant for extending this tradition to the reputed authors of the PMS and the VS. Late medieval writers like Vallabhācārya, whose weakest points were lack of correct knowledge of history and chronology and the obsession to glorify to the skies their favourite authors and works, extended the above tradition about the Samaveda to the authors of the two sutra works. 1913 viz. PMS and VS. From the above discussion it follows that the extant PMS is earlier than the extant VS and that the author of the extant PMS could not have been a disciple of the author of the extant VS. The medieval writers failed to pay proper attention to the fact that Jaimini and Badarayana are also gotra names and not merely individual names.
- 2. From Pānini we know that there were two bhiksu-sūtras composed by Pārāśarya and Karmanda before his time. Patañjali mentions a mimāmsā work composed by Kāśakṛtsna. There is, therefore, no doubt that sūtra works on 'bhiksus' and mimāmsā had come to be composed several centuries before the Christian era.
- 3. From the examination of the views of Jaimini mentioned in the extant VS it appears that Jaimini composed a work on Vedānta also. Some corroboration is lent to this view by the remarks of the Naiskaramyasiddhi quoted on p. 1175 above. There is nothing to show that this Jaimini was a pupil of Bādarāyana or of Pārāśarya On the contrary, the words 'Jaiminer-api' in VS. III. 4. 40 convey great solicitude on the part of the author of the extant VS for Jaimini's support. The author of the

^{1913.} Oa ततु समन्वयात् (V S I.1.4) बहुभाचार्य says. तथा हि जैमिनिर्धर्म-जिज्ञासामेर प्रतिज्ञाय तत्प्रतिपादकस्य पूर्वकाण्डस्य समन्वयमात्। अवान्तरवाक्यानां प्रकार-रेपत्यात्। न च सर्वस्मिन् वेदे धर्म एव जिज्ञास्यस्तद्वरुणीय व्यासेन बद्धाजिज्ञासायाः

extant VS shows special respect for Jaimini's views, since he quotes Jaimini more times than other acaryas (including Bādarāyana). It becomes necessary to hold that there were two authors named Jaimini, one dealing with both PM and Vedanta subjects and the other deemed to be the author of the extant PMS. This Jaimini is different from Jaimini deemed to he the author of the extant PMS.

- The fact that PMS mentions five times Badaravana's views, four of which are concerned with purely sacrificial matters and the fact that the VS mentions Badarayana nine times in connection with Vedanta matters, leads to the inference that Badarayana must have composed a work dealing with PM and Vedanta topics. That work has not come down to us. This Badarayana is different from the Badarayana regarded by Sankaracarya and others as the author of the extant VS. Thus there are two authors named Badarayana.
- 5. The author of the extant VS was, according to Sankarācārya. Bhāskara and some other early bhāsyakāras, also Badarayana, but from about the 9th century A. D. onwards he came to be confounded with Vedavyāsa.
- 6. So far as the PMS and VS are concerned, there are only two Jaiminis (and not three, as Prof. Sastry holds in I. A. vol. 50 p. 172) and two Badarayanas.

The present section is concerned mainly with the influence of Pürvamimāmsā doctrines and methodology on Dharmaśāstra works. But it must be mentioned here that Purvamimamsa works from that of Jaimini onwards also rely upon smrtis and Dharmaśāstrą. A few examples may be cited. The PMS I. 3 deals with the limits of the authoritativeness of smrtis, PMS VI. 7. 6 mentions the word 'Dharmaśāstra'. The P. M. S. expressly relies on smrti in support of its propositions (as in XII. 4. 43). On PMS VI 1. 12 Śabara quotes a smrti verse, which is almost the same as Manu VIII. 416 and Adiparva (82, 23=Cr. Ed. 77, 22). Sabara frequently quotes Dharmasutras and metrical smrtis to elucidate and strengthen his arguments; e.g. Śabara on PMS VI. I. 10 quotes Ap. Dh. S. II. 6. 13. 11 and states on PMS VI. I. 15 that the sale of a daughter mentioned in the smrtis is not approved of by sistas. 1914 It is not necessary to cite further

(Continued on next page)

^{1914.} विक्रया हि श्रूयते शतमतिर्थं दुहितृमते दद्यात्, आपं गोमिधुनम्-इति । शबर ०० VI 1, 10 and 'स्मार्त च श्रुतिविरुद्धं विकय नाजुमन्यस्ते। on PMS, VI, 1, 15, Vido

examples to support the proposition stated above. Those interested may consult the present author's paper on "Gleanings from Śabara and the Tantravārtika' JBBRAS vol. 26 (old series, 1924) pp. 83–98 and on 'Tantravārtika and Dharmašāstra' in JBBRAS, New series, vol. 1 and 2 for 1925 (pp 95–102).

We must now turn to the Purvamimamsasutra itself. In relation to every sastra there are what are called four anubandhas (indispensable elements) 1915 viz. Visaya (the subject to be treated of), prayoura (the purpose or object), sambandha (the relation of the sastra to the pravoiana) and adhıkārın (the person entitled or competent to study the sastra). The Ślokavartika 1916 remarks 'as long as the purpose of any sastra or of any undertaking (or act) whatever is not declared, so long no one will take it (i.e. study or do it)' Therefore, the very first sutra of the PMS puts forward the subject (visaya) and the purpose of the sastra (prayojana). 1917 That sutra states 'next, therefore, (should be undertaken) the investigation and consideration of dharma'. The sambandha of this sastra with the prayojana is that of sadhua (the object to be attained) and sadhana (the means of attaining) i.e. this sastra is the means of attaining the knowledge of dharma. Therefore, as remarked by the Śāstra-

4

į

ţ

⁽Continued from last page)

आप ध स् II. 6. 13 11 for the first sentence and मन्त III. 53 for 'आर्षे गोमिधुनं शुल्कम्', on P. M. S. VI. 8 18 शवर quotes यथैव स्मृतिः, धर्मे ... नातिचरितव्येति, धर्ममजासंपन्ने दारे नान्या कुर्वितिति च। एवमिदमपि स्मर्थत एव, अन्यतरापायेऽन्यां कुर्वितिति ।; आप. ध (II 5. 11. 12–13) bas the two स्वा, धर्ममजा... कुर्वित and अन्यत... कुर्वित (with slight variations).

^{1915.} The four अनुबन्धs in the case of the पूर्वमीमांसा may be briefly put as 'शास्त्रे धर्मादिर्विषय , सद्ववोधः प्रयोजनं, जैवर्णिकोऽधिकारी, विषयविषयिभावाद्यः सम्बन्धाः .

^{1916.} सर्वस्पेव हि शाखस्य कर्मणो वापि कस्यन्वित्। यावत्मयोजनं नोक्तं तावत्तत्केम रूग्यते॥ श्लोफवा (प्रतिझास्च) 12. q by बालकीला on या 1. 1. p 2

¹⁹¹⁷ अधातो धर्मजिज्ञासास्त्रमाद्यमिदं कृतस्। धर्माख्यं विषयं वक्तं भीमांसायाः प्रयोजनस्॥ श्रीकवा (प्रतिज्ञास्त्र) v 11. अध means आनन्तर्य 1. e. after the study of the Veda from a guru that has already taken place. The शास्त्रतिपिका says (p 12) 'तित्तस्त्रमध्ययनादनन्तर धर्मजिज्ञासा कर्तव्येति। सा चतुर्विधा धर्मस्वस्प-प्रमाण-साधम फरे: 1) The न्यायरत्नाकर comments on verse 18 (of प्रतिज्ञास्त्र) 'योप पूर्वोक्तंन प्रयोजनेन सए शास्त्रर साध्यसाधनसम्बन्धः स एव शास्त्रारम्भदेतुः. Compare the well-known maxim 'प्रयोजनमत्तुदिङ्ग न मन्दोऽपि प्रवर्तते found in श्लोकवा. (सम्बन्धास्परित्र v 55). Writers of the Prābhākara School hold that the word dharma in P. M. S I. 1. 2 means 'Vedārtha'. Vide Rjuvimalā-pañcikā on Brhatī (p. 20) 'चोदनास्त्रेण चोदनाहरूक्ण कार्यस्य एव वेदार्ध, न सिद्धस्य इति प्रतिज्ञातम्। तदनेन भाष्यण स्थारणायाये। धर्मशस्य वेदार्थमात्रप्र ।'.

dipikā (on P M.S. II. 1. 1), the proper subject of this kāstra is Dharma and not the meaning of the Veda (tasmād dharma ityeva śāstravisayo na vedārtha iti). The adhikārin is one who has studied the Veda or a part of it from a guru and is treated of at length in the 6th chapter of the P. M. S.

The Mimamsasutra does not state how much of the Veda has to be studied before one enters on understanding the meaning of it. Here the Smrtis come to one's help. Gautama II. 51-53 prescribes several options viz. twelve years for one Veda or 12 years for each of the four vedas or so long as he can commit to memory (one Veda). Manu III. 1-2 are similar viz. one should study vedas for 36 years under a guru or for 18 years or nine years or for as many years as would be required for committing Veds to memory and they permit the option of studying the three Vedas or two Vedas or one Veda Yai, I. 36 remarks that Vedic studenthood lasts 12 years for each Veda or five (for each Veda) or some sages say for as many years as the student would require to master (one Veda or more). But even these prescriptions must have been rather a tall order for many brāhmanas, ksatriyas and vaisyas. Further, the Mimāmsā requires that not only should a person of the three varnas (classes) study the Veda but he must also engage in understanding its meaning. On PMS I. 1. 1 Sabara states that the venerable Yājnikas do not 1918 declare that rewards result from the mere study (memorizing) of the Veda and that where the Vedic texts appear to assign a reward for the mere memorizing of Veda they are merely arthavadas (intended only to praise Veda study). as in Tai. Ar. II. 15 which states 1919 whatever (vedic texts about a), sacrifice he memorizes the result is that he. as it were actually performs that, and he secures absorption into (or communion with) Agni, Vāyu, Sūrya. The Tai. Up. I. 9 attaches the greatest importance to svadkyaya (memorizing the Veda) and pravacana (teaching it or expounding it) and after stating the views of two sages cites the view of Naka Maudgalya that svādhyāya and pravacana are the most important to be resorted to or striven for, though rta, satya, dama, sama, agnihotra, hospitality and others may be combined with them, the reason

^{1918.} न च तस्याध्ययनमात्रात्तनभगन्ती याङ्गिकाः फर्ल समामनन्ति। ज्ञावर op 1.1.1,

^{1919.} तस्मात्स्वाध्यायोऽध्येतच्यो यं यं ऋतुमधीते तेन तेनास्वेर्ध भारयशेर्बायोगीतिवस्य सासुज्यं गच्छति। ते आ. II 15, ऋतं च स्वाध्यायमाचने च .. सत्यमिति सत्याचा राधीतर । तय इति तयोनित्यः पौरुशिष्टिः। स्वाध्यायमाचने ग्वेति नाको मौदृश्यः। तस्ति तयः तस्ति वर्षः। तै सः I. 9.

being that those two constitute tapas. The PMS in III. 8.18 (jñāte ca vācanam na hy-avidvān vihitozsti) provides that only he who knows the Veda has adhikara for performing sacrifices. Sabara 1920 raises the question how much of the Veda must a man know in order to be entitled to perform a vedic sacrifice and replies that he must have memorized as much of the veda as would enable him to carry to its completion the vedic sacrifice undertaken by him. The Tantravartika on the same sutra adds that the whole veda is to be studied during the period of studenthood, but if anyone is unable to memorize the whole veda. but somehow only the portion on Agnihotra and Darsapurnamasa, then it cannot be said that he has no adhikara for performing those two. To memorize the Veda and also to study its meaning was a formidable task. Many verses of the Veda had a threefold application with reference to sacrifices (adhiyajña), with reference to the deities (adhidaivata or adhidaiva) and adhyātma (with reference to the spiritual or metaphysical meaning). Vide Nir. III. 12 (where Rg. I. 164. 21 is explained in two ways, adhidaivata and adhyātma). X. 26 (where Rg. X. 82 2 is explained in two ways, adhidaivata and adhyātma), XL 4 (where Rg. X. 85. 3 is explained in adhivajña and adhidajvata ways), XII. 37 (where Vaj. S. 34. 55 is explained in the adhidaivata and adhyātma ways). XII 38 (where Atharva X, 8, 9 is explained in adhidaivata and adhyātma ways). Manu (VI.83) lays down the japa (muttering) of Veda of the adhiyajña. ādhidaivika and ādhyātmika types. Manu I. 23 and Vedāngajyotisa say that the mantras of the three vedas were drawn from Agni, Vāyu and Sūrya for the carrying out of yajāas. Visvarūpa on Yāj. I. 51 1921 explains the words 'vedam vratāni vā pāram nitvā' as memorizing the Veda and completely understanding its meaning and not merely memorizing it. Daksa says that Vedābhyāsa (study of the Veda) comprehends five 1922

¹⁹²⁰ न ह्यविद्वान् विहितोऽस्ति। यो ह्यविद्वान्तासाविधिक्वतः सामर्थ्याभावात्। ... कियता पुनर्गिदितेन विद्वानिधिक्रियत इति। यावता विदितेन शक्तो भवति यथोक्तं क्रातुमिभिनर्वर्तियत्तं तावद्यो वेद स तेन क्रातुनाधिक्रियते। .. सर्वे क्रातवः कर्य ज्ञायेरन् पृथक् पृथिगिति क्रास्तरम् वेदस्याध्यम श्रूयते। शबर on पू भी च् III. 8. 18; अवस्ये ब्रह्मचर्यकाल एव समस्ती वेदोऽध्येतस्य.। यदि तु कश्चिदशक्तो नाधीते सकलम्, अग्निदोनदर्शपूर्णमासमाचं कथंचिद्विधिग्राप्ति न तत्र तस्यानिधिकारः। तन्त्रवा. p. 1122 on same sutra.

^{1921.} वेदस्य पारनयनमर्थतो ग्रन्थतश्च स्त्रीकरण न ग्रन्थत एव। विश्वरूप ०० या 1.51,

^{1922.} वेदस्वीकरणं पूर्व विचारोऽम्यसमं जार । तद्वानं चैव शिष्येभ्यो वेदाम्यासी हि पञ्चाम दशस्य II. 34, प b) मिता॰ on या. III. 310 (without name), अपराकी p 126 on या I 99 (from दक्ष).

matters viz. first memorizing it, reflection over it, constant repetition of it, *japa* and imparting it to pupils. These were ideals attained by a few persons only, while most brahmanas generally rested content with memorizing one Veda or a portion of it.

The Pürvamīmāmsāšāstra is the most extensive of all the darśanas, 1923 Śāstra is that which regulates and declares (human) activities and abstentions by means of eternal words (Veda) or by works composed by men. 1924 And it has about 2700 sūtras and over 900 adhikaranas (called nuguas or conclusions on topics for discussion). Some sutras are often repeated such as 'Lingadarśanāc ca' (which occurs about 30 times) and 'tathā canvarthadarsanam' (that occurs about 24 times). An adhikarana is described as having five constituents, viz (1) the topic for discussion, (2) the doubt about it, (3) the prima face view. (4) the refutation of the prima facie view, (5) the final conclusion, 1925 A sutra (aphorism) should be concise 1926 (contain a few letters), but clear in its meaning, substantial, of wide application (lit. facing all directions), should be without pauses or interjected letters and should be faultless. A bhasya is that which sets out the meaning of the sutra in sentences that follow the words of the sūtra and that makes its own contribution (to the elucidation of the subject of the sutra), while a vārtika is one that considers what is stated in the sūtra, or what

¹⁹²³ The Darsanas are many, as appears from the सर्वदर्शनसग्रह of माधवाचार्य, but the orthodox and famous darsanas are six and run in pairs, viz. न्याय and वैशेषिक, सांख्य and योग, पूर्वमीमांसा and उत्तरमीमांसा In I.A vol. 45 pp. 1–6 and 17–26, it is stated that the सर्वदर्शनसंग्रह was not composed by भाधवाचार्य who became later on Vidyaranya, but was composed by a nephew of माधवाचार्य, who was a son of सायण (at pp. 22 ff)

^{1924,} प्रवृत्तिर्वा निवृत्तिर्वा निर्देष क्रुतकेन वा । शासनाच्छंसनाञ्चेव शास्तित्यभिः धीयते॥ भामती on वे स् I. I 3, which is quoted by परा मा II 2 p 288 as from a पुराण. The first half is श्लोकवा (शब्द्वपरिच्छेद v 4)

^{1925.} विषयो विशयक्षेव पूर्वपक्षस्तधोत्तरम्। निर्णयश्चेति पञ्चाङ्गं शास्त्रधिकरणं स्मृतम्॥
प् by तिथितन्त p 92, अधिकरणकोद्धदी of रामकृष्ण (Chowlbamba series), सर्वदर्शनकौद्धदी p 89 (T.SS). Some read निर्णयश्चेति सिद्धान्त , others like माधवात्वार्य
set out the five as विषय, विशय (or सन्देह), सङ्गति, पूर्वपक्ष and सिद्धान्त

¹⁹²⁶ अल्पाक्षरमसन्दिग्धं सारविद्विश्वतोसुख्य । अस्तोभमनवर्धं च सूत्रविद्वो विद्वः। (एऋपादिका of पद्मपाद p 82, ब्रह्माण्ड II, 33 58, बाग्र 59 142, युक्तिद्वीपिका p 3 which explains अस्तोभं as अयुनवक्ता). The पञ्चपादिका quotes this verse as from पौराणिकs and remarks 'सर्वतीसुख्रमिति नानार्थतामाहः। and the com. sass 'अर्थेकत्वादेकं वाक्यमिति न्यायस्य स्ञान्यविपयत्वात् न वाक्यमेदः '.

is omitted or what is not well stated. 1927 The Kāvya-mīmāmsā of Rājašekhara defines the words sūtra, bhāsya, vrtti, tīkā, kārikā &c. in chap. U.

Having declared in the first sutra that after a person has studied the Veda and because he has done so. PMS proceeds to say that he should start on the consideration of what dharma 1928 is. The 2nd sūtra, therefore, defines 'dharma as an act conducive to a man's highest good, that is characterized by an exhortative (vedic) text.' Sabara explains that 'codana' means a sentence that urges or exhorts a person to do an act. So this conveys that the means of knowledge (pramāna) as regards dharma are vedic sentences and it also means that what is characterized or indicated by codanā is dharma i. e. the nature (svarūpa) of Dharma is made manifest. The word 'artha' is put in to exclude acts (from being designated dharma) that may be mentioned in the veda but the result of doing which is evil, such as the sentence 'one practising black magic (to harm a person) may perform the Suena sacrifice.' This is not dharma, but adharma, since the practice of black magic is condemned as sinful. This vedic sentence does not say that one should inflict injury, it only says that syenayaga brings about injury and if one desires to inflict injury, syena is the means. 1929 The Ślokavārtika remarks that the words, 'codana', 'upadeśa' and 'vidhi' are synonyms according to

^{1927.} सूत्रार्थों वर्ण्यते यत्र वावयैः सूत्राह्यसारिभिः। स्वपदानि च वर्ण्यन्ते भाव्यं भाव्यं विद्वे विद्वः। भामती on ब्रह्मसूत्र I 1. 1 quotes a verse 'लघूनि सूचितार्थानि स्वरपासर्प्यानि च। सर्वत सारस्तानि सूत्राण्याहुर्मनीदिणः॥' q by शुक्तिदीरिका p. 3; उक्ताहुक्तः दुरुक्तिचित्तात्ररं वार्तिकस्। आनन्दागिरि on बृहदारण्यकीर्पानेषद्भाष्यवार्तिक p. 7 quotes a verse 'उक्ताह्यक्तादिरुक्तादिचिन्ता यत्र प्रवर्तते । तं ग्रन्थं वार्तिकं माहुर्वादिकज्ञा मनीदिणः।' Should we read दुरुक्तादि for द्विषकादि ?

^{1928.} इावर says 'एवमधीती वेदी धर्मजिज्ञासाया हेतुर्जातः, अनन्तरं धर्मो जिज्ञासि-तच्य द्रत्यत.ज्ञन्दस्य सामध्यम् ।, the न्या. र. says एतदुक्तं भवति । वेदाध्ययनादनन्तरमत एपाधीतवेदनाःकारणात् तद्यै धर्मजिज्ञासा कर्तन्येति । (on v. 112 of प्रतिज्ञासुत्र of श्लोकवा).

^{1929.} तस्माञ्चोदनालक्षणोऽर्ध. श्रेयस्कर'। .. य एव श्रेयस्कर: स धर्मशब्देनोस्यते। उभयमित् चोदनया त्रश्यते अर्थोऽनर्धश्चेति। कोऽर्ध., यो ति.श्रेयसाय उपेतिष्टोमादिः। कोऽर्म., य मत्यशयाय चपेनो वन्त्र इपुरित्येबमादिः। तत्र अनर्थो धर्म उक्तो मा भूतिति अर्थेवत्णम्। कर्ष पुनत्सावनर्थः। हिंसा हि सा दिंसा च श्रतिपिद्धितः। ... नैव वृषेनादयः कर्तव्या विज्ञायन्ते। यो रि हिंसितिमन्द्येत तस्यायमम्श्रुपाय इति तेपासुपदेशः। इपेनेनामिन् सत्य पन्नेत इति हि समामनन्ति, न 'अभिचरितत्यम्' इति। श्वर on I 1 2 at end. Vide पु श्री सू I 4.5 and III. 8 36-38 for च्येनपाम which is a modification of उपोविष्टोम and पू मी सू. VII. 1. 13-16 for इपुषाय, where on VII. 1 13 श्वर quotes आप. श्री. 22. 7. 18 'समानमितरपुद्धयोन '

Sabāra, the bhāsyakāra. The word 'vidhi' is often translated as infunction (i.e. an authoritative order) but in common parlance 'injunction' means 'restraining a person from doing something.' Therefore, the word, 'codana' or 'vidhi' has often been translated in these pages as 'exhortatory passage or exhortation.' The result is that dharma means a religious act (a yaga) which confers highest good. In Rg. X 90. 16 'yajña' is spoken of as the first (or ancient) dharma (yajñena yajñam-ayajanta devāstāni dharmāni prathamānyāsan) and Sabara (in the bhāsya on P. M. S. I. 1. 2) quotes this verse for the proposition that the Veda expressly says that dharma means 'yaga'. That Vedas have been promulgated for yajūa is stated by the Vedāngaiyotisa 1930 verse 3 (Vedā hi yajnārthamabhipravrttāh). Medieval Dharmaśāstra writers like the Mıtāksarā (on Yāi. II. 135). Dayatattva (p. 172), Vy. M. (p. 157) quote a verse ascribed to Devala or Kātyāyana, which provides that all wealth is created for sacrifices, that, therefore, one should expend it on purposes of dharma and not on women, fools and irreligious people 1931 (vide H. of Dh. Vol. III. p. 609 n. 1155 for further passages of similar import and their application).

Śabara introduces the 2nd sūtra by saying that what is to be investigated and understood is what dharma is (i.e. what is its nature-svarūpa), what are its characteristics, what are the means of attaining it, what are deceptive (wrong) means of attaining it and what does it lead to (what the fruit or reward of knowing it is) and replies that the second sūtra explains the first two of these (viz. what dharma is and what its characteristics are 1932).

¹⁹³⁰ चोदना चोपदेशश्च विधिश्चैकार्थवादिन.। श्लोकवा on औरपत्तिकसूत्र v, 11, on which the काशिका remarks 'तत्र चोदना विधिपदपर्यायः शब्दविशेषो भाष्यकारस्याभि॰ मत छुत्याह चोदनेति। एतदुक्तं भवति — विधायकशब्द उपदेश इति '

^{1931.} यज्ञार्थ विदितं वित्तं तस्मात्तद् विनियोजयेत्। स्थानेषु धर्मजुटेषु न स्नीमूर्व-विधर्मिषु॥ The मिता on या II, 135 strenuously opposes the proposition contained in this verse.

^{1932.} स पुनः कथं जिज्ञासितन्यः। को धर्मः कथलक्षणः। कान्यस्य साधनानि कानि साधनाभासानि किंपरश्चेति। तत्र को धर्मः कथलक्षण इति एकेनैव सुत्रेण व्याख्यातम्
न्यादनालक्षणो धर्मः इति। ... चोदना इति क्रियायाः प्रवर्तक वचनमाहः। आचार्यचोदित
करोमीति हि दृश्यते। लक्ष्यते येन तह्नक्षणम्। धूमो लक्षणमग्नेरिति हि वदन्ति। तथा यो
लक्ष्यते सोऽर्थः एरुपं नि श्रेयसेन संग्रुनक्तिति प्रतिज्ञानीमहे। शावरभाष्य on I. 1 2; agan
on II 1 'चोदना च कियाया अभिधायकं वाक्यं वाक्ये च पदानामर्थाः।', श्लोकमाः
'तेन प्रवर्तकं वाप्यं शाखेऽसिनश्चोदनोच्यते। चोदनासूत्र v 3), तत्र यो धर्मः हृत्युद्धिय स
चोदनालक्षणश्चोदनाप्रमाण इति प्रमाणवचन इति प्रमाणवचनव्यक्तिः। यश्चोदनालक्षण
हृत्युद्धिस्य स धर्म इति स्वस्त्वचनव्यक्तिः।

That is, 'codanās' (vedic hortatory texts) are the pramāna (means of knowledge) about dharma and whatever is laid down by hortatory vedic texts is dharma (i. e. Dharmasvarupa). The relation of Dharma to the Veda and the Pürvamīmāmsā-śāstra is clearly and succinctly brought out in a verse of Kumārila himself as follows: 1933 "When discussion about the correct knowledge of Dharma is being carried on, Veda being the means of such knowledge, mīmāmsā will supply complete information about the subject of the procedure or method' Just as even if a man has good sight he cannot perceive anything unless there is light, so unless a man knows the methods laid down by PMS he cannot correctly judge what Dharma is. Jaimini then examines the means of knowledge (pramanas) and holds that except sabda (i. e the Veda) there is no means of knowledge about Dharma. One cannot perceive directly what Dharma is i.e. Dharma is not pratyaksa. All the other pramanas except sabda are based on pratyaksa and therefore they cannot define or According to Kumārila there are six explain what Dharma is pramānas, pratyaksa (direct perception), anumāna (inference). upamāna (analogy), sabda, arthāpatti and abhāya (non-existence) Prabhākara does not accept the last as a pramāna.

The subjects of the twelve chapters of the PMS are stated in the J N. M. V. to be respectively. (Ist chapter) pramāna (means of knowledge, (2nd) bheda (six grounds on which religious rites are distinguished from each other and the distinctions of rites as principal and subsidiary), (3) Sesa (the meaning of sesa being 'ancillary or what subserves another that is called sesin or what is helpful to another'), how it is employed and the relative strength of sruti, linga, vākya, prakarana, sthāna and samākhyā; (4) prayulti (what is obligatory and what is addressed to the performer's conscience i.e. what is kratvartha and what is purusārtha), (5) Krama (principles of settling the order or sequence depending on sruti &c.), (6) adhikāra (persons entitled to perform yāga), (7) sāmānyātideśa (extension of items in the model yāga to its modifications), (8) Visesātidesa (extension of items to individual rites); (9) ūha (adaptation of mantras and samskāras); (10) bādha

^{1933.} धर्मे प्रभीयमाणे हि वेदेन करणासमा। इतिर्फतन्यताभागं मीमासा पूर्ययस्यति॥ युद्द्दीका (of कुमारिल) q. by तन्त्ररहस्य (G. O. S., 1955, p. 36). This verse is introduced with the following lacid remarks 'वेदवान्यार्थसंदाये सति तिक्षणेयौपियन्ययमियन्यने हि आसं मीमांमा। ...सा च करणीभूतस्य वेदस्येतिकर्तन्यता। यथा चधुप आस्रोकः। यथा यानुमानस्य न्यातिस्मरणम्। यथा वोपमानस्य साहद्वयम्। यथा वा अर्थापत्ते, सन्देशपत्ति ।:

comitting certain items or details in modifications of model vagas: (11) tantra (one item being useful and enough for several acts or persons); (12) prasanga (extension of application) In the four padas of the first adhyava four matters are respectively dealt with viz. vidhi (hortatory texts), arthavada (laudatory or explanatory passages including mantras), smrtis (including customs and usages) and names (of rites, such as udblad, cut a). Sabara himself gives a summary 1934 of the first chapter of PMS as follows: viz. the pramanas: principles of the conclusions about vidhi, arthavada, mantra and smrtis, examination of gunavidhi (texts laying down some subsidiary or accessory matter as in 'dadhnā juhoti', where curds are prescribed as offering) and namadheya; the determination of doubtful matters by the help of the remainder of a passage or by the samarthya (the suitability of things for the actions prescribed) It is unnecessary for the purpose of this section to furnish here a summary of the contents of the other chapters of the PMS. For a tolerably full summary of the contents of the twelve chapters of the PMS the reader is referred to the author's paper 'a brief sketch of the Pürvamimāmsā system' in ABORI, vol. VI. pp. 6-12. At the beginning of each chapter Sabara summarizes what he dealt with in the preceding chapter and sets out the principal topics of that chapter.

The P.M.S. itself is of enormous extent and it has been overlaid with commentaries and with numerous commentaries on commentaries. Even before Sabara there was a commentator described as Vrttikāra, ¹⁹³⁵ who is mentioned in several places

¹⁹³⁴ प्रथमेऽध्याये प्रमाणालक्षणं चुत्तम्। तत्र विध्यर्थवादमन्त्रसमृतयस्तरति निर्णीता । ग्राम्यक्षणं परिक्षितम् । सन्दिग्धानामर्थाना चाक्यक्षेपादर्थाचाध्ययसानम् काव्य । कार्य । कार्य

^{1935.} Śabara expressly mentions (Bhagavān) Upavarsa (on I, I, 5) as to what is 'sabda', while Rāmānuja says (note 1886 above) that Bodhāyana composed a bhāsya on both P. M S and V. S. There are controversies about Vrttikāra, Upavarsa and Bodhāyana Vide M. M. Prof. Kuppuswami in Pro. of 3rd Ali India O. Con pp. 465–468 and Pandit V. A. Ramaswami in I, H. Q, vol. X. pp 431–433 for identity of Vrttikāra and Upavarsa, while Dr. S K. Iyengar in 'Manimekalai in its historic setting' p 189 and

by Sabara (sometimes with great respect) viz. on II. 1. 32 and 33. II. 2.26, II.3.16, III. 1.6 ('atra bhagavān Vrttikārah'). VIII. 1.1. ('vrttikāraih' in the plural), X. 4. 23. On P. M. S. I. 1. 3-5, II. 1, 33, VII. 2, 6 Sabara differs from Vrttikāra. The earliest extant commentary on the P.M.S. is the bhasya of Śabara, Śabara quotes many verses concerning PMS matters and a few also on other topics. Verses quoted on PMS matters are found on II. 1. 32 (one on p. 434 and another on p. 435). II. 1.33 (p.436, two verses). 1936 II, 2 1 (p.462, two verses on adrstartha), on IV. 3.3 (one verse on p. 1247), IV. 4. 21 (p. 1279 a verse on pindapitryajña). IV. 4. 24 (p. 1280 an Āryā verse on the girdle of sacrificial post), IV 4, 28 (p. 1281 about 'svaru'), V. 2 23 (p. 1319, same verse on XII, 2, 30 p. 2251), VII, 1, 12 (p. 1534 definition of atidesa), X. 4. 20 (p. 1924 one verse), XI. 1.1 (p 2096 on tantra and prasanga), XII. 3. 20 (p. 2262 on bhāsika-svara). All these verses are apparently quoted by Sabara from some work or commentary on the PMS or on Pürvamimāmsā, one or two probably from some Śrautasūtra and one or two may be his own composition.

Many glosses on PMS composed by writers of the 10th and following centuries are extant, of which 22 are referred to on p. 166 of vol. VI. of the Sarasvatībhavana Studies (Benares) by M. M. Gopinath Kaviraja in his paper on the 'Mīmāmsā Mss. in the Government Sanskrit Library at Benares' (pp. 165-196). On Śabara's bhāsya there were numerous commentaries as is

⁽Continued on next page)

the present author in JBBRAS for 1921 pp. 83-98 at p. 84, hold that Vritikāra and Upavarsa are different M M. Kuppuswami Sastry (in Pro. of 3rd O Conference pp. 465-468) holds Bodhāyana and Upavarsa identical. Sankarācārya twice names Upavarsa with great respect (as bhagavān) on VS I. 3 28 and III 3 53, but he nowhere mentions Bodhāyana to whose extensive commentary Rāmānuja refers at the beginning of his bhāsya on VS. Vide J. I H Madras, 101 VII. pp. 107-115 on Bodhāyana and Upavarsa and V A. Ramaswami Sastry's Intro to the Tattvabndu pp. 14-18 (1936) Vide I II. Q 101, X pp. 431-452 on 'Vritikāras of Pūrvamūmāmsāsūtra'

^{1936.} It is remarkable that the two verses about the ten topics dealt with by Brāhmanas (on P. M. S., II. 1.33) occur also in the Brahmanda purāna II. 33, 47-48. In जार they are: हेतुर्निर्वचनं निन्दा प्रशंसा संशयो विधिः। परिना प्रशंसा रावधारणकराना। उपमानं दशेते तु विधयो बाह्मणस्य तु॥ एतस्यात् मर्वचेद्द नियतं विधित्रसम्म . There are a few variants in the ब्रह्मण्ड. The verse about निर्धित्याम् ए. 3 3 is introduced with the words एवं दि पदवावयार्थ-रपायिदः स्होकमामनन्ति-कुर्पारिकयेतः.

clearly indicated by the Ślokavārtika that says that the very first sentence ¹⁹³⁷ of Śabara's bhāsya was interpreted in six different ways before it by commentators and by the Tantravārtika that the bhāsyakāra did not notice six sūtras after the 'nivītādhikarana' (III. 4. 1-9) and that commentators differed in their reasons for the omission, but all commentators other than Śabara did explain those six sūtras. ¹⁹³⁸ None of the commentaries composed before Kumārila is now available.

Kumārila wrote the Ślokavārtika on Śabara's bhāsya on P. M. S. I. 1 (in about 4000 verses) and the voluminous Tantravartika on I. 2 to the end of chap, III of PMS and the Tup-tika on P. M. S. IV-XII (stray notes, not a regular commentary), Kumarila is credited with having composed two more commentaries, 'Madhyama-tika' and 'Brhat tika' on P. M S. 1939 The latter has been referred to by the Nyāyaratnākara 1910 and the Nyāyasudhā on Tantravārtika quotes several verses from it (on pp. 201, 329-30, 393) and the Jaiminiya-sūtrārthasangraha of Rsiputra Parameśvara quotes Brhat-tika several times. On the Ślokavārtika two commentaries have so far been published viz. the Nyayaratnakara of Parthasarathi and the Kasika of Sucaritamièra (in T. S. S.). In the Introduction to his English translation of the Tantravartika, M.M. Dr. Ganganath Jha notices eight commentaries on the Tantravartika of which the Nyāyasudhā or Rānaka of Someśvara (published in Chowkhamba Series) is a very exhaustive one, the others being in Ms. The

^{1937.} होक द्वरवादि भाष्यस्य पद्यर्थान्समचक्षते। भाष्यकारानुसारेण प्रयुक्तस्यादितः पृथक्त ॥ श्होकवा (प्रतिज्ञास्त्र, verse 26)

^{1938.} अतः पर पर स्त्राणि भाष्यकारेण न लिखितानि तत्र प्याख्यातारो निवनी। केचिदाहुर्षिस्तृतानि। लिखितो ग्रन्थः प्रलीन हत्यपरे। फल्ह्यादुपेक्षितानीत्यन्ये। अनार्षे यत्वादित्यपरे। ... श्वत्यन्तरकारेस्तु सर्वन्यीत्यातानि। सन्ति च अभिनेरप्रथकाराण्यप्यनस्यन्ति-सारसृतानि स्त्राणि। तन्त्रवा. alter III 4 9 p. 895.

¹⁹³⁹ Vide 'Kumārila and the Brhat-līkā' by K S Ramasvaml Sastri and A. Sankaran in Pro of 3rd All India O Conf. pp 523-529 where on p. 526 the Sarvadarśanakaumudī of Mādhava-bhāratī is quoted as cataloguing all the five works of Kumārila and 10rd. p. 475 where Prof. Kuppuswam cites the same Sarvadarśanakaumudī

¹⁹⁴⁰ सामभागेत्यितामन्यामर्थोपतिसदाहरेत्। पक्षद्वोषेतु चान्यासासुदाहरणपिस्तरः॥
१९रोकवा (अर्थोपत्तिपरिच्छेद v. 9), on which न्यायर fomarks "अर्थोपत्त्वन्तराणामण्डदाहरणप्रपञ्चः पक्षदोषावसरे 'श्रोन्नादिनास्तितायाभित्यादिना बृष्टदीकार्या दर्दित प्रन्याद
पक्षदोषेटिगति "

Tuptīkā 1941 has some regular commentaries but none is published. The Tantraratna of Parthasarathimisra deals at some length with the same chapters of P M.S (published partly in Prince of Wales, Sarasvatibhavana S.). On Sabara's bhāsya Prabhākara wrote a commentary called Brhati, a portion of which on the Tarkapāda (PMS I 1) with the commentary Rjuvimalāpañcikā of Śālıkanāthamiśra has been edited by Pandit S K. Ramanatha Sastri and published by the Madras University (1934). The Sastra-dinikā of Pārthasārathi is not a regular commentary on the P M.S. but it is a classic work on the P.M.S and follows the views of Kumārila Another very useful work is the Jaiminīva-nyāva-mālā-vistāra 1912 of Mādhavācārya (published by Anan. Press. Poona) that gives summaries in verse of the adhikaranas of the PMS with brief prose comments and also points out some of the differences of Prabhakara (called 'guru' by Śālikanātha and others) from Kumārila (in all about 15 points of difference being noted between the two as regards the first chapter of the PMS and three in the 2nd chapter). Śālikanātha wrote an independent work called Prakaranapañcikā (published in the Chowkhamba S S). There is another work of Prabhākara's school called Navaviveka of Bhavanātha or Bhavadeva (ed. by Pandit S. K. Ramanath Sastri and published by the Madras University in 1937). This Bhavanatha is eulogised in the Madanaratnapradīpa on Vyavahāra (pp. 321-325, published by the Anup S. Library, Bikaner, 1948) as the sun to the lotus of Prabhākara's doctrine. The Tantrarahasya of Rāmānujācārya (2nd ed published in G O.S., 1956) composed about 1750 A.D. is the last noted work of the Prabhakara School and this work furnishes some useful information about the works of Prabhākara and the commentaries thereon by Śālikanātha. A verse in the Prabodhacandrodaya (Act II) after referring to Guru, Kumārila

^{1911.} It is difficult to explain the name हुप्हीका It is explained as an abridged form of अलुटहुप्टीका or हुप् is an indeclinable in the sense of 'small' 'हुप् इत्यक्षाधेऽस्थयम् '

¹⁹⁴² The जै ज्या मा वि verse 9 says: सर्वधापि सहस्रे है नातिकामति संग्रह , and it is remarked सर्वधापि सहस्रन्यायसंग्रहरूपी ग्रन्थः अभेकसहस्रद्रयपृतिकामति । This works puts down the number of अधिकरणड in पू भी स् at 1000, while some other works say there are only 915 adhitations. The भीमासासारसंग्रह of झङ्करमह (pub by Haridas Gupta at Benares in 1904) gives the summary of 1000 adhikaranas in 250 verses, the concluding verse being आचार्यरधितरणान्युक्तानि सहस्रसंख्यानि। तिसिद्धान्तान्याई-स्वर्धसंख्यानि।

(or Tautātita), Sālikanātha and Vācaspati refers to Mahodadhi and Māhāvratī (work of Mahāvrata), the last two being mentioned in the Nayaviveka (pp 271, 273 respectively).

Prabhākara differed from Kumārila on numerous points ¹⁹¹³
The divergence starts from the very first sūtra of the P.M S (as the note below will show ¹⁹¹⁴). Prabhākara is called guru' by Śālikanātha in many places in the Prakaranapaūcikā (vide first Intro verse and pp. 17, 32 &c.) There is a very great divergence of views about the relative chronological position of Kumārila and Prabhākara Vide 'the Prābhākara School' (1911) by M. M. Ganganath Jha, A B Keith's 'Karmamīmāmsā' (1921) pp 9-11, Pro. of 2nd All India O. Conf pp. 408-412 and Pro. of 3rd All I. O. Conference pp. 474-481 (both by Prof Kuppuswami Sastri), J. O. R. Madras, vol I pp 131-144 and 203-210. The fundamental question is whether Śālikanātha was a direct pupil of Prabhākara or only a later follower. From several considerations the present writer holds that Śālikanātha was a direct pupil of Prabhākara Śālikanātha not only speaks of Prabhākaraguru,

¹⁹⁴³ Vide Journal of the Benares Hindu University, vol. II. pp 309-335 for collection in Sanskrit of the points of difference between Prabhālara and Kumārila (called Bhatta), particularly pp 331-335 for a table of the points of difference Vide also Intro to Tattvabindu by Pandit V. A. Ramasvami Sastri, 1936, pp. 37-40 for a few important points on which the two differ.

^{1944.} According to the Bhātta school, the 'visayavākya' of PMS I. 1 1 is स्वाध्यायोऽध्येतव्यः in हातपथ XI. 5 6 3 and ते. आ. II 15 1 (एतस्मास्वाध्यायोऽ ध्येतव्यो यं यं ऋतमधीते तेन तेनास्येष्ट भवतीति) According to the प्रामाकर school the visayavākya is अष्टवर्ष बाह्मणसुपनयीत तमध्यापयीत, the idea in this latter case being that study of Veda (Vedadhyayana) is only an anga (a subsidiary matter) of the vidhi to teach a student the Veda after his upanayana The objections of the Prabhakara school against the visayavakya 'svadhyayocdhyeta yah' ate that it has a seen fruit or reward and that when a seen fruit can be found it is improper to suppose that there is an unseen reward. Vide H. of Dh vol III p. 837 n. 1628 where several passages from Sabara and others are cited about this maxim The एकादकीतत्त्व (pp. 88-89 on मन्त्र) after quoting P.M S. III. 2. 1 winds up 'इति द्वष्टार्थसम्पत्ती नाइएमिह करूप्यते इति। I have not been able to find from what Vedic work the sentence 'अप्तर्वे पयीत' is taken It seems that the view that this passage contains a vidhi about teaching the Veda is only an inference from passages like Manu II 140, III. 2, and Gaulama I. 10–11. The भक्तरणपश्चिका (on p. 6) admits this कः पुनराचार्यकरणपिधिः, 'उपनीय . . प्रचक्षते' (महु 2. 140) इति समरणानुमितः According to this उपनयन 15 only an anga of the अध्यापनविधि On p 225 of the पञ्चपादिका of पदापाद (published with two commentaries in the Govt. Oriental Series, Madras, 1958) there is a scathing criticism of this विषयवाक्य (अप्टवर्ष ... पर्यात)

but in one place states 'our teacher does not tolerate this'. 1915 Śālikanātha in his Prakaranapaūcikā quotes several verses of the Ślokavārtika (e. g. on p. 5 he quotes and criticizes verse 11 of Ślokavartika quoted in n 1916 above, on p. 122 quotes Ślokavārtika v. 28 of abhāva-pariccheda and on p. 114 verse 21 of arthapatti-pariccheda). Mandanamiéra wrote several works on PM viz. Vidhi~viveka (published at Benares with Nyāyakanikā of Vacaspati), Bhavanaviveka (with com of Umbeka, edited in S. B series), Vibhramaviveka and Mimāmsānukramanī (Chow. S. S.). The Sastradipika (on PMS II. 1.1) cites Mandana's explanation of Kumārila's verse 1916. Therefore, Mandana is later than Kumārila or was a younger contemporary of Kumārila and flourished about 690 to 710 A.D. Śālikanātha quotes Vidhiviveka of Mandana (pp. 243, 302) in Prakaranapañcikă p 178 and Brahmasiddhi in Rjuvimala (p 20). Moreover. Santaraksita in his Tattvasangraha (GOS) frequently criticizes the kārikās of Kumārila (without naming him) and his pupil Kamalasila names Kumārila many times. Śāntaraksita does not name or quote Prabhākara He flourished between 705-762 A. D. (vide Foreword to Tattvasangraha p XVI, GOS). Therefore, Kumārila must be placed about 650-700 A. D. As Śālikanātha quotes the Ślokavārtika and Mandana's works he would have to be placed between 750-800 If Śīlikanātha was a direct pupil of Prabhākara it follows that the latter (who appears to be unknown to Santaraksita, should have been a contemporary (i. e. should be held to have flourished nearly between 700-760 A D, or a little later) and was later than Kumārila. There is a tradition that Prabhākara was a pupil of Kumārila. Traditions (such as of

¹⁹⁴⁵ यद्य बदीयु ज्यालाखेकवर्तिवाँतेनीयु ज्यालाखे सामान्यं अत्यभिज्ञायीन्तरः केश्चिदिन्यते तद्यि गुरुरस्मार्क न सुद्यति। प्रक्तरण॰ p 31. He would have said simply 'गुरुर्न सुन्यति' if he were a later follower and not a pupil

¹⁹⁴⁶ काखदीपिका on पू भी. सू II. I. 2 (p. 101) says: एक होतदाचारी.। धालप्रेयक्रिकेण - गर्यते॥? This is तन्त्रवार्तिक p 382, then जाखदीपिका proceeds. विद्युत्ते ॥ This is भावनाविक p 50 (with slight variations) The भावनाविक on p. 61 quotes तथा कमत्रतीर्भित्यं ...स्तीयते। This occurs in तन्त्रवा, p 381. The author regrets that M. M. Dr]hn (in Intro, to 'Pürvamimāmsē in its sources' p. 21) asserts, on the very stender Lasis of the above quoted words of the Éastradipikā, that Mandams wrote a commer lary on the Tantravörtha M. M. Jha himself felt uneasy about his own sweeping assertion (p. 22 bottom), but he was not able to discover the verse of the Bbāvanēvireka referred to above.

the nine gems at the court of Vikramāditya) often arise without much evidence to support them; they should not, however, be summarily rejected but should be tested by other available evidence.

At one time Prabhākara appears to have occupied a very prominent position. The Gadag inscription of Vikramaditya VI (in 1098 A. D) refers to the founding of a school for teaching Prabhākaras' system at Lakkigundi (vide E I. vol. XV p. 348). This and the reference in the Mitaksara (on Ya, II. 114) to the views of Guru on 1917 the Lipsäsütra (PMS IV. 1. 2.) in the third alternative interpretation but on that sutra. holding that the rules about the acquisition of wealth (in Gautama and other smrtis) are murusaitha (addressed to the conscience of the performer of sacrifices) and not kratva tha. show the outstanding position that the Prabhakara school occupied in the 11th century A. D. in the Carnatic and Maratha countries. The Madanapārijāta, a north Indian work (1360–1390 A D.), quotes a half verse of Guru 1918 (on p. 89). The Smrticandrikā (on Vyavahāra p. 257), the Viramitrodaya (on vyavahāra p. 523) and the V. M. (p. 89) refer to the Nayaviveka of Bhavanātha, almost the last outstanding work of the Prābhākara school. Gradually, the Prābhākara School lost ground and the Bhatta school of Kumārila has been most predominant for several centuries. The present writer holds that Prabhākara is later then Kumārila but he is not in a position to say from whom he borrowed his peculiar views or whether they were started by him for the first time (though this appears more plausible) Pandit K. S. Ramaswami Sastri (in Intro. to Tantrarahasya. G. O S., 2nd edition of 1956) holds that Prabhākara took his views from Bādari (p. XXV). The learned Pandit offers hardly any tangible evidence for his remark (on p. XIX of the Intro) that Bidari held views similar

^{1947.} नियतोपायकं स्वत्वं लोकसिद्धमेवेति न्यायविद्दो मन्यन्ते। तथा हि लिप्सास्त्रे मृतीये वर्णके द्वन्यार्जनित्यमाना कृत्वर्थत्वे स्वत्वमेव न स्यात् स्वत्वस्यालीकिकत्वादिति पूर्वपक्षाः सम्भवमानान् कृप द्वन्यार्जनस्य प्रतिभ्रद्धादिना स्वत्यसाधनत्वं लोकसिद्धामिति पूर्वपक्षः समर्थितो पुरुणा। नस्त्र द्वन्यार्जनस्य कृत्वर्थते स्वत्यमेव न भवतीति याय एव न स्वर्तेतः। प्रलिवित्मेव क्षेनाणि अर्जनं स्वत्वं नापाद्यतीति विभित्तिषद्धामिति वदता। तथा सिद्धान्तेषि स्वत्वस्य लोकिकः स्वमङ्गीस्त्रत्वेव विचारमयोजनस्यक्तम् — 'अतो नियमातिक्रमः पुरुषस्य न क्षतोः' इति। मिता ०० सा ।।। ।। ।।

^{1948.} न च निरपेक्षयोर्क्षणयोर्मियः सम्बन्धः। ग्रुणाना च परार्थवादसम्बन्धः समवा-त्स्यात् — इति सूत्रकारवचनात्। कर्तृश्च शेषवग्रपदिष्ट ग्रुरुणा। अधिकारो हि पज्ञेषु कर्तुं शेषस्य चिन्त्यते। इति। मदनपारिजात p. 89, 'ग्रुणाना ... स्यात्' is पू मी.सू III. 1, 22

to those of Bhartrmitra who so interpreted the PMS as to make it atheistic. Bādari's views on Mīmāmsā matters are quoted only four times in PMS viz in III 1 3 (on what matters are śesa), VI. 1.27 (that vedic sacrifices can be performed even by sūdras), VIII. 3 6. (purely sacrificial matter about application of Saḍaha procedure), IX. 3.33 (about method of singing sāman, Bādari's view being accepted by Jaimini). In none of these does one find the slightest touch of Bhartrmitra's atheistic tendencies or of Prabhākara's special propositions.

From Kumārila onwards there are numerous commentaries, commentaries on commentaries and manuals on some aspects of mimāmsā doctrines or of the contents of the PMS. Several difficult questions of identity and relationship among some of the early writers whose works are wholly or partially extant have also arisen during the last fifty years and many papers have been written. The present writer has read most of them, but if the whole evidence is to be set out and discussed a bulky volume of several hundred pages would have to be written. That cannot be done here. The several questions of identity and relationship are set out below and the present author's replies to all of them will be given with a little discussion on a few out of them:

- (1) Whether Prabhākara was a pupil of Kumārila; the reply is that there is no substantial or compelling evidence for this except tradition, but Prabhākara is certainly later than Kumārila;
- (2) Whether Śālikanātha is a direct disciple of Prabhākara
 yes;
- (3) Whether Mandana was a disciple of Kumārila; there is no substantial evidence for an affirmative reply, but Mandana certainly explains in his Bhāvanāviveka a verse of Kumārila and quotes another verse from Tantravārtika Vide note 1946 above In the Vidhiviveka also (Benares ed. 1907), which Mandana wrote after Bhāvanāviveka he quotes (on p. 15 'abhidhābhāvanā...linādayah) from Tantravārtika (p 378) and on p 315 of the Vidhiviveka quotes (yathoktam-śreyahsādhanatā... ... pratiyate') from Ślokavārtika (codanāsūtra, verse 14) Mandana also quotes a passage from the Brhatī (p 38) of Prabhākara in Vidhiviveka ¹⁹¹⁹ (p. 109) So Mandana, if not a disciple, was

^{1949.} उक्तं च-कर्तन्यताविषयो नियोगो न नियोग कर्तन्यतामात् । विधिविवेक p. 109, on which the न्यायक्रणिका of वास्तरात remarks 'अत्रेग जरमाभाकरोत्तीतार्थ गुरीवेखः सङ्गराने'. This sentence occurs in बृहती p 38 (तर्कपाद. pub by Madras Un ,

certainly later than or a younger contemporary of Kumārila

- (4) Are Mandana and Umbeka identical—no; Umbeka wrote a commentary on the Bhāvanāviveka of Mandana in which on pp 17 and 76 he discusses various readings in it, it is not possible to hold that a writer would discuss variant readings in his own work (as would follow if the two were identical).
 - (5) Are Mandana and Viśvarūpa identical—No:
- (6) Are Viśvarūpa and Sureśvara identical—yes; the latter name being assumed after Viśvarūpa became a sannyūsu.
- (7) Is Umbeka identical with Bhavabhüti—the evidence is not enough to give a definite affirmative reply, but it is likely that they may be identical
- (8) Is Umbeka a disciple of Kum \bar{s} rila yes; as shown in note below. 1950

^{1950.} That Umbeka was a pupil of Kumārila follows from the following considerations. In his com on the Bhavanaviveka (p 43) Umbeka quotes a ball verse from Bhattapada (यथोक्तं भहपादे । अन्यदेव हि धात्वर्थसामान्य करणा-रमकम्) and on the same page he quotes another half verse ' यथोक्त गुरुणा-अन्यज्ञ भावना नाम साध्यत्वेन व्यवस्थितम् ' These two halves male one verse on p 383 of the Tantravartika, the latter half of which is again ascribed by Umbeka (on p 92 of his com on the same work) to Bhattapada It follows that Bhattapada was his guru Prof Kunban Raja tries to wriggle out by advancing the theory (on pp XXXVII-XXXVIII of his Intro) that some later scribe inserted the word 'guruna' and argues that there is no proof of Bhattapada being Umbeka's guru. Unless another reliable ms. of Umbeka's commentary is found which omits the word 'guruna' or substitutes in its place some other word it is not open to us to hold that the reading is not trustworthy and then build positive or negative propositions on our own speculations alone. The Uveyaka whose view is quoted by Kamalasila in Tattvasangraha (G O S p 812 'उवेपकस्याए न षोधारमकं नाम ज्ञानाना पामाण्य, कि तर्हि, अर्थाविसंवाहित्वम् :) is probably उम्बेक, whose name is written in several different ways in works and mss, (vide M M Jha's Intro to Bhavanaviveka p 2). If this identity is correct उपवेक must have flourished before 750 A. D and chronology would not be against Umbeka being a pupil of Kumārila The Yukti-snehaprapūranī on Śāstradīpikā quotes Umbekabhatta in several places. But one long quoiation from Umbeka on p. 30 is very striking. In this both Sabara and Vārtikakara (1 e Kumarıla) are severely criticized and after quoting the famous verse frequently cited in the Mababhनrata गुरोरप्यवलितस्य . परित्यागी विधीयते be discards the views of the Vartikakara. Sabara is separated from Umbeka by several centuries and was not Umbeka's guru Therefore, this passage (that occurs on pp 105-108 of the तात्पर्यद्वीका of Umbeka on Ślokavārtika, pub by Madras Un in 1940) leads to the inference that the Vartikakara was (Continued on next page)

(9) Is Sureśvara, a pupil of Śankarācārya? — Yes.

The result of the above replies is that the following is the most probable chronological order of Pūrvamīmāmsā writers mentioned in questions 1 to 9 viz Kumārila, Prabhākara, Mandana, Umbeka, Šālıkanātha They flourished between 650 A. D to 750 A. D., Kumārila being the earliest of the five, Prabhākara (who quotes Kirātārjunīya II. 30 twice on pp 242, 343) and Mandana being contemporaries or Mandana being younger than Prabhākara.

The opening and ending verses in Suresvara's Vārtika on the bhāsya of Śankara on the Bṛ. Up. and the Tai. Up. leave no room for doubt that he was a disciple of Śankara.

From the present author's paper in JBBRAS for 1928, pp. 289-293 and Prof. Kuppuswami's paper on 'Mandana, Suresvara equation in the History of Vedānta' in ABORI vol. 18 pp. 121-157 it follows that Mandana and Suresvara are not identical.

Some remarks in the learned Introduction of Prof. Kunhan Raja to the edition of Umbeka's Com, on the Ślokavārtika invite criticism. Prof. Raja (on p. XLIX) states that his attempt has been only to raise doubts and to attempt at weighing the evidence for and against various theories. The present writer has no serious objection to this. But he thinks that Prof Raja has gone wrong in his interpretation of words like 'anupāsitaguravaś-codayanti'(p 33) and 'anupāsitaguruprajñā-vılasitam-iti' 1951 grantha-jñāna-mānino manyante' (p 30). He starts (XLII) by saying "whether it meant (i e. 'anupāsitaguru' meant) one by

⁽Continued from last page)

Umbela's guru The शुक्तिसेहमपूरणी writes 'अञ्चोम्बेक्तभद्धिरिदं तु वार्तिककारीयं ट्रपणं समर्थनं सर्वमेवालुभविशीर्णमिरपादिना श्येनादो न कश्यचिदनर्थस्य ... तस्यानर्थपित-पादनपर श्येनो वज्र हत्यादि भाष्यसुपैक्षणीयम् । ग्रुरोरस्य । विधीयते इत्यमेन शन्थेन वार्तिकं भाष्यं च द्रुपितम् । It is quite clear that at least the श्रुक्तिसेहमपूरणी holds that the वार्तिक view is discarded by उन्वेक्त The words इदं वार्तिककारीयं ट्रपणं ... विश्वीयम् occur on p 105 (at end) of the तार्त्यर्थदीका; the words श्येनो वज्र इपु व्याप्त विश्वीयम् विश्वायां के श्रिष्ट के स्वाप्त विश्वायां के श्रिष्ट के स्वाप्त विश्वायां के सम्बन्धिक स्वाप्त के स्वाप्त विश्वायां के स्वाप्त विश्व

^{1951.} The words are to be dissolved as अञ्चपासित ग्रुक येन (or यै: if अञ्चप्तितग्रुक occurs) तस्य (or तेपां) मज्ञा तस्याः विकासितम्, उपासित. ग्रुकपैन (कुमारिलेन) तस्य भाग उपा ग्रुक्ता (in the Introductory remarks in Tātparyatīkā to Ślokavātīkā verse 2) In this last the word 'guru' is meant for Kumārila's guru (or gurus) to whom he makes obeisance in the 2nd verse, while with regard to the objector, who is ridiculed, guru is meant to refer to Kumārila.

whom the teacher was not respected or a teacher who was not respected (by his disciples)' and then proceeds 'but the expression 'upasitagurutam' (appearing in the commentary on the 2nd verse of the Ślokayārtika) settles the point. It must mean 'one by whom the teacher was not respected'. 'Upāsita-guru' literally means 'one who waited on the guru 1, e listened to the explanations of his teacher and made them his own' 'Honoured' is at most a secondary meaning of 'upāsita'. Upa-ās literally means 'sit near, wait upon' I fail to see how it settles the The word 'upāsita-gurutām' (when introducing the 2nd intro, verse of the Ślokavārtika) is applied by Umbeka to Kumārila himself in relation to the Ślokavārtika verse 'abhivandya gurūnādau' It means 'the characteristic or quality of one who has served his teacher 1 e who has carefully listened to what the guiu expounded and understood it.' The expression 'anupāsitaguru' (which, according to Prof Raja, always refers to Prabhakara) frequently (vide also pp. 14. 52. 75. 291. 441) used by Umbeka means 'he or those who have not waited upon the guru and listened to his words carefully and therefore have failed to grasp the correct meaning of the passages'. The inference suggested by these words of derision is that the objector (either Prabhākara or some one else) has not understood the doctrine taught by Kumārila to him and the present author is disposed to hold that the frequent emphasis on the word 'guru' in 'anupāsitaguru' is a veiled reference to Prabhākara-guru (as Śālikanātha puts his name in his Prakarana pañcikā 'Prabhākara-guror drstyā), who was Kumārīla's pupil but deviated from his guru's doctrines and wrote against them and Umbeka attacks and derides him for this. Supposing that Prabhākara had once been a pupil of Kumārila and later on propounded views that very much diverged from Kumārila's, it is natural if Umbeka took up the cudgels on behalf of Kumārila and attacked Prabhākara as one who had forsaken his Guru's views, had not digested them properly and had written a work (not now available but well-known to Umbeka) severely attacking Kumārıla. Prof. Raja (Intro pp. XLIII and XLIV) throws out the suggestion that on p 14 and p 291 Umbeka possibly suggests that Prabhā-There is nothing of the kind. kara preceded Kumārila Umbeka holds that Prabhākara had not grasped his master's doctrines and tries to show what the real doctrine misunderstood by Prabhākara was

It appears desirable to set out a tentative chronological table of the outstanding works and writers of the Pūrvamīmāmsā, with

a few remarks here and there Most of the dates are a proximate and tentative.

Pūrvamīmāmsāsūtra of Jaimini - 400 B C to 200 B.C.

Vrttikāra-There is great conflict of views about the person who the Vrttikara quoted several times by Sabara was. Pārthasārathi in Sāstradīpikā p 48 (first line) holds that he is Upavarsa Vrttikāra is cited with great respect by Sabara, but he also differs from him frequently. The Prapancahrdaya (p. 39) attributes to Bodhāyana an extensive commentary called Krtakoti on both mimāmsās. It is remarkable that Bodhāvana is not mentioned by name by any early work on P.M.S. nor does Sankara mention him though he twice mentions Upavarsa. Rāmīnujācārya in the opening words of his bhāsya on Brahmasūtra refers to the extensive commentary of Bodhāyana on the Brahmasūtra. But he does not say that Bodhāyana commented on P. M. S. The present author is not even now prepared to hold that Vrttikāra mentioned by Śabara so often is identical with Upavarsa. Sabara cites at some length the different interpretation of PMS I. 1 3-5 by Vrttikāra and in the midst of it mentions (on p 45) by name the view of Upavarsa on 'what is sabda'. He appears to regard the two as different. The fact that the Tantravartika (pp 602-3 on II. 3. 16) appears to identify Upavarsa with Vrttikāra is not conclusive. From Kumārila himself we know that several Vrttis were written on PMS before as well as after Sabara. So even Upavarsa may have been deemed to be Vrttikāra by Kumārila (on II. 3. 16) and his views quoted, though the Vrttikāra in other places in Śabara's bhāsya may be different.

Upavarsa — between 100 B. C. to 100 A. D

Bhavadāsa — The Ślokavārtika (Pratijūāsūtra, v. 63) mentions him by name and verse 33 of the same as explained by the Nyāyaratnākara shows that Bhavadāsa was deemed to be earlier than Sabara by the Ślokavārtika; between 100-200 A. D.

Salana—between 200-400 A D. (nearer the former date). From the Tantravārtika on II. 3. 23 p 612, on II. 3 27 p 620 and III 4 31 p 967 it appears that there was another person called Bhāsyakārāntara, who was earlier than Śabara From Tantravārtika on III. 4 12 (p 909) and Tup-tikā on VI. 5 10 (p. 1462) it seems that Kumārila sometimes applies the word vittikāra to Śabara also

Bhartrmita—On verie 10 of the Ślokavārtika, the N.R. Lays that the former refers to Bhartimitra who so interpreted the Mimāmfa as to make it atheistic. According to Umbeka (in Tātparyatikā p. 3) his work was called Tattvafuddhi; between 400-600 A.D.

Kumārilabhatta — About 650-700 A. D. 1952

Prabhīkara—author of Bṛhatl on Śabara's bhūṣya-between 675-725 A. D.

Mandana—Disciple of Kumīrila or a younger contemporary, wrote on both P. M. and Vcdīnta; in Vidhiviveka p. 109 he quotes the Brhati (vide Pro. of 3rd O. Conf. p 479); his other works are Bhāvanāviveka, Vibhramaviveka and Mimāmsīnukramapikā; between 680-720 A. D. Vide ABORI vol. 18 pp. 121-157 (by Prof. Kuppuswami Sastri), J. I. II. vol. XV pp. 320-329.

Umbeka—pupil of Kumārila and commentator of Ślokavārtika and of Mandana's Bhāvanāviveka; generally identified with dramatist Bhavabhūti between 700-750 A. D.

Śalikanātha—pupil of Prabhākara and author of the commentary Rjuvimalā on Prabhākara's Brhatl and of an independent work 'Prakarana-pañcikā'. It is noteworthy that in Rjuvimalā on Brhatl (p. 91) he quotes a verse from Ślokavārtika (Vākyādhikarana verses 43-44) and refers to Kumārlia with great respect as 'Yadāhur-Vārtikakāra-miðrāh'; 710-770 A.D.

Sureśvara—(called Viśvarūpa before he became a sannyāsin) disciple of Śankarācīrya. Between 800-840 A. D.

^{1952.} One circumstance which fires the earliest limit of Kumvila't time is furnished by the Kātkō (com. on Astādhyāyī). In the lengthy pūr/apakṣa againti Grammar contained in the Tantravērtika on P. M. 5. I. 3. 24 (from p. 254 onwards), on p. 260 an objection is raised that Pinins himself violates his own rules laid down in 'trjakābhyām kartari' (Pān. II, 2. 15) in the tūtras 'Janikartuh prakrtih' (Pān. I. 4. 30) and 'tatprayojako hetulea' (Pān. I 4. 55). The Kākkā of Jayādtļa and Vāmana delends the tūtra 'tatpra/ojako hetulea' in the wordt 'नश्य प्रयोजकः नव्ययोजकः, नियानाः स्मामः' (p. 91 of Benares ed.). The पूर्वपृत्ती in नश्यानिक on p. 260 does not accept this explanation of the Kākkā in the words: च चेषां नियाने साधुन्य किटा। द्वनः। प्रयामन्त्रामी नानिन से सिक्षपुत्तियानंतः। अवयान्त्रामान वृत्यमां पार्षि स्मृतिः। द्वनः। प्रयामन्त्रामी हो अपूर्तिः। अव्यान्त्रामान वृत्यमां पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान्तरः। अवयान्त्रामान वृत्यमां पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान्तरः। अवयान्त्रामान वृत्यमां पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान्तरः। अवयान्त्रामान वृत्यमां पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान्तरः। अवयान्तरामान वृत्यमां पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान्तरः। अवयान्तरामान वृत्यमान पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृतिः। स्मृतिः। स्मृतिः। स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान्तरः। अवयान्तरामान वृत्यमान पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान स्मृतिः। अवयान्तरामान वृत्यमान पार्षि स्मृतिः। स्मृतिः। स्मृतिः। स्मृत्यान सिक्सीयमानुता।.

From I-tting's remarks it appears that Jayāditya dicd in or about 661 A. D. Therefore the Tanitavārtii'in which fault is found with Kākkā's explanation must be later than about 650 A. D.

Vācaspati-miśra — wrote famous works on all śāstras; author of Nyāyakanikā on Mandana's Vidhiviveka and Bhāmatī on Śānkarabhāsya; between 820-900 A. D.

Pārthasārathimiśra—author of Śāstradīpikā (pub. by Nirn. Press 1915), Nyāyaratnākara (com on Ślokavārtika), Tantraratna (com. on Tuptīkā) and Nyāyaratnamālā (pub. in G. O S. with com. Nāyakaratna of Rāmānujācārya), between 900-1100 A. D.

Authors later than Pārthasārathi are placed below in one paragraph.

Sucaritamisra — author of com called Kāsikā on the Ślokavārtika (a part published in the T.S.S), Bhavanātha (or Bhavadeva), author of the Nayaviveka, pub. in Madras Uni. Sanskrit series, with the com. Vivekatattva of Ravideva (on Tarkapāda, 1937), between 1050-1150 A.D.: Someśvara-son of Mādhava, author of the Nyāyasudhā or Rānaka (a voluminous commentary on the Tantravartika), pub in Chowkhamba S. S. at Benares, 1909, about 1200 A.D. Murārimiśra - who is supposed to have founded a third school of Mimāmsā (Murārestrtīyah panthāh), author of Tripādīnītinayana (part pub. in J. O. R Madras, vol II pp 270-273 and vol. V. pp. 1-5) and Angatvanirukti, Anan. ed. pp. 1141-1190), between 1150-1220 (acc. to Intro. to Tattavabindu), Mādhavācārya, author of Jaiminīya-nyāyamālāvistāra (pub by Ānau Press); vide H. of Vol. I pp. 374-381), between 1297-1386; Appayyadiksita, author of Vidhirasāyana (pub. at Benares), is deemed to have written 100 or 108 works on différent sastras, said to have flourished between 1520-1593 A D., another view being that he flourished between 1554-1626 A D; Laugāksibhāskara, author of Arthasangraha (text with translation, pub. by Dr. Thibaut in 1882 and by several others), Sankarabhatta, author of Mināmsā-bālaprakāsa (Benares), between 1550-1620 A.D.; Apadeva, son of Anantudeva, author of Mimämsä-nyäyaprakäsa (pub by BOR. I. Poona, with a lucid commentary by M. M. Vasudevasastri Abhyankar, 1937); there are several other editions of this work, one of which edited by Prof. Edgerton with transliterated text, translation and notes in Harvard O. Series is very useful; between 1610-1680 A. D.

Khandadeva, author of Bhāttakaustubha with Bhāttadīpikā (published in Mysore Govt Oriental series), and Bhāttarahasya About 1600-1665 A. D.

Gāgābhatta or Višvesvarabhatta, son of Dinakarabhatta, author of Bhāttacintāmani (part published at Benares); between 1620-1690 A. D.

Rāmānujācārya, author of Tantrarahasya (G O. S), belonging to the Prābhākara school and of Nāyakaratna (com, on Nyāyaratnamālā of Pārthasārathi, G. O. S., 1956), about 1500-1575 A. D.

Mīmāmsākośa (in Sanskrit)—An encyclopaedic and most learned work on Pūrvamīmāmsā—prepared by Swami Kevalānanda Sarasvatī, published by the Prājūapāthaśālāmandala at Wai in the Satara District, Bombay State, four parts have been published so far, covering over 2400 pages and four more are to be published. The press copy of the whole is, it is understood, ready. Unfortunately the great Swami entored Brāhmī state five years ago

Some of the works written in English on the P. M. S., apart from short papers and the translation of the Śābarabhāsya (3 vol. in G. O. S.), the Tantravārtika and the Ślokavārtika of Kumārila (Bibliotheca Indica, Calcutta, 1900) by M. M. Dr. Ganganath Jha, may be noted here for those who desire to make further studies in P. M. S. The following works and papers are also useful

Max Muller's 'Six systems of Indian Philosophy' in collected works (ed. of 1899), pp. 197-214; The Prabhakara school of Pürvamīmāmsā by M. M. Ganganath Jha (1911); Karmamīmāmsā by A. B Keith (1921): 'Indian Philosophy' by Prof. Das-Gupta, vol. I. pp 367-405 (Cambridge, 1922); 'A Brief Sketch of the Pürvamīmāmsā system' by the present author in A. B O. R I. vol VI pp. 1-40 (1925); 'Outlines of Indian Philosophy' by Prof. M. Hiriyanna, pp. 298-325 (Allen and Unwin, London, 1932); Introduction on 'a short History of the Purva-mimamsa-sastra' to the edition of the Tattvabindu of Vācaspatimišra by Pandıt V. A. Ramaswami Sastri (Annamalai University S. Series, 1936); 'Indian Philosophy' by Dr. S. Radhakrishnan, vol. II. pp 374-429 (1941); Introduction (pp. III-LI) to the edition of the Tatparyatika of Umbeka on Slokavārtika by Prof C. Kunhan Raja (Madras Un. 1940), 'Pūrvamīmāmsā in its sources' by M. M Ganganath Jha (Benares Hindu University, 1949) with a critical Bibliography (pp 5-51) by Dr. Umesha Mishra; Citations in Sabarabhāsya' by Dr. D. V. Garge (Poona, 1952), a painstaking work

in which the learned writer has been able to identify many of the quotations in Śabara's bhāsya that had eluded such a deep scholar as M. M. Ganganath Jha, though even Dr. Garge has not been able to identify a large number; Introduction to the Tantrarahasya of Rāmānujācārya by Pandit K. S. Rāmaswami Sastri (G. O. S. 1956); Mīmānsā—the Vākyaśāstra of Ancient India, by Prof. G. V. Devasthali (Book-sellers' Publishing Company, Girgaon Back Road, 1959); Mīmāmsā Jurisprudence by Shrī Nataraja Aiyyar, Allahabad (Jha Research Institute).

CHAPTER XXIX

Some Fundamental Doctrines of Purvamimāmsā

Some of the characteristic fundamental doctrines of the Pūryamīmāmsā will now be set out with some references and a few remarks as to some of them, the views of Prabhākara and his followers being also rarely referred to.

(1) Veda is eternal, self-existent, not composed by any author, human or divine, and is infallible. This is the core of the P. M. system. Vide P. M. S I 1, 27-32 and Sabara on I. 1, 5 (p. 53) and Ślokavārtika (Vākyādhikarana, verses 1953 366-368). The argument briefly is, Veda is learnt at present and was studied in the past also by students from teachers, that there is no evidence about the person who first composed it or who first studied it. If it be argued that such a reasoning may be put forward as regards the Mahābhārata, the reply is that people know that Vyasa is the author of it. Similarly, the passages in Smrtis and Purānas that Prajāpati created the Veda are merely arthavadas (laudatory) not based on any evidence or perception and are meant only to convey the absolute authoritativeness of the Veda. If the connection between word and sense is eternal and not brought about by any person, the same reasoning holds good as to the Veda. This view is opposed to the view of the Naiyāyikas who hold that God is the author of the Veda or the interpretation of V S. I 1 3 (Śāstrayonitvāt) by Sankaracarya based on Br Up II. 4. 10. Manu I. 21 (stating that Brahmā created from the words of the Veda the names and appropriate duties of all) seems to suggest that (according to

^{1953.} On P M S I. 1. 5 Sabara comments (pp 52-53) 'तस्मान्मन्मामिं केनापि पुरुषेण शब्दानामर्थे सह सम्बन्धं कृत्व। सन्यन्वर्धं वेद्या प्रणीता वृति। तदिदानीहरूवते। अपीरुषेपयत्वात्सम्बन्धस्य सिद्धानिति। कथ पुनिर्दमनगम्पतेऽपीरुषेण एव सम्बन्ध वृति। पुरुष्प सम्बन्धुरभावात्। कथं सम्बन्धो नास्ति। प्रत्यक्षस्य प्रमाणस्याभागात् तार्युनेकत्वाञ्चेतरेषाम्। वेद्यस्यप्रयाध्ययनं सर्व गुर्वेद्ययनपूर्वेकम्। वेद्यस्यप्रयाव्याच्यात् प्राप्ताः भारतेति भोदेव कर्तृद्वस्या स्व वास्यते। वेद्यपि तारस्यति स्व साध्यति। वेद्यपि तारस्यति साध्यति। वेद्यपि तारस्यति साध्यति। स्व साध्यति। वेद्यपि तारस्यति साध्यति। स्व साध्यति। वेद्यपि तारस्यति साध्यति। साध्य

Manu) the Veda was self-existent Similarly, the Mahābhāsya on Vārtika 3 on Pānini IV. 3. 101 ('tena proktam') remarks 1954 that the Vedas were not composed by anyone, that they are eternal, that the meaning of the Veda is eternal, but the arrangement of the letters is not eternal and therefore we have different vedic texts like Kāthaka, Kālāpaka, Paippalādaka &c. The Smrtis also sometimes state that there is no author of the Veda, that Brahmā remembers it and that Manu also has remembrance of Dharma in different Kalpas (Parāśarasmrti I. 21).

In P.M S. I. 1. 28 ('Anityadarśanāc-ca') the opponent of the eternality of the Veda puts forward such passages as 'Babara Prāvāhani (son of Pravāhana) desired' (Tai. S VII 1. 10. 2) and 'Kusuruvinda Auddālaki desired' (Tai. S VII. 2. 2. 1), which mention men named Prāvāhani and Auddālaki (son of Uddālaka) that are mortals and argues that Veda did not exist before those mortals and is, therefore, not eternal. To this the Siddhānta replies in P M. S. I. 1 31 ('param tu śrutisāmānyam') that such examples are to be explained differently viz, 'Babara' is a onomatopoetic word meaning 'rustling' and Prāvāhani (from 'pra' and 'vāhay' causal of vah) means the wind.

It may be noted that centuries before Jaimini and Yāska there was a school of Vedic interpreters called 'Aitihāsikas'. For example, Rg. X. 98. 5 and 7 refer to Devāpi, son of Rstisena, and to Śantanu. Yāska (in Nirukta II 10), starting with the words 'tatra-itihāsamācaksate' states that Devāpi and Śantanu were brothers of the Kuru family and the younger brother Śantanu was crowned king ignoring the rights of the elder and that these verses refer to them In Rg. X 10 there is a dialogue between Yama and Yami and Nir. V 2 refers to the 8th verse of it. Those who hold that Veda is eternal would explain Yama as Ādītya and Yami as 'Night'. Rg. III. 33 is a dialogue between the sage Viśvāmitra and the rivers. The Nir. (II 25-27) explains verses 5-6 and 10 of that hymn from the Aitihāsika point of view and states that Visvāmitra was son of king Kuśika and does not mention the Nairukta interpretation of these verses.

^{1954.} नतु चोकं न हि उन्दासि क्रियन्ते नित्यानि उन्दासीति। यद्यप्रधी नित्यो पा ससी धर्णात्रपूर्वी सा नित्या। कट्टेदाखेलद्भवति काठकं कालापकं मीदकं पैप्पलादकमिति। मराभाष्य on शांतिक 3 on 'अधिफुल्य कृते अन्ये। पा IV. 3. 87. पाणिनि emphasizes this distinction between being the author of a work and being only the expounder or transmitter in the two sūtras 'तेन मोक्तम्। (IV. 3. 101) and 'कृते प्रभे (IV. 3. 116). The पूर्वमीमासासूच I 3 30 'आख्या पश्चनात्। also emphasizes the difference between कृत and मोक्त

About the Asvins the Nirukta (XII. 1) states several views viz. they are Heaven and Earth, or Day and Night, or the Sun and the Moon and remarks that according to the Aitihasikas they were kings who had accumulated great ment. Probably the Nairuktas were divided among themselves and explained that the Asvins represented different natural phenomena. About Vrtra (occurring in such verses as Rg I. 32, 11) the Nairuktas (Etymologists) said in Nırukta II. 16 that the word means 'cloud', while the Aitihasikas say that he (Vitra) was an Asura, son of Tvastr. As to the hymn Rg. 1. 105 of 19 verses (in the first 18 of which the refrain is 'vittam me asya rodasi') the Nirukta IV. 6 states that the hymn was seen by Trita who was thrown into a well. In Rg. VII. 33, 11 there is mention of Urvası and Vasistha (Maitra-Varuna) as born of Urvası and Nirukta (V. 13-14) explains that verse and remarks that Urvasi was an apsaras and Rg. X. 95 is a dialogue between Aila Pururayas and Urvasi. But the interpretation of the Nairuktas and Aitihāsikas on this story are not expressly set out. Probably the Nairuktas would explain Urvasi as lightning and Pururavas as the roaring wind. The hymn Rg. X. 108 is a dialogue between Saramā (Indra's bitch) and the Panis and the Nirukta XI. 25 explains the first verse and remarks that there is a story (Akhyāna) therein viz. that there was a dialogue between Saramā sent by Indra and the Panis who were Asuras. In all such legends the Nairuktas would insist that they are to be taken allegorically as referring to natural phenomena, while the Aitihāsikas would insist that there is historical basis in all these. Though it is not stated by the Nirukta expressly that the Aitihasikas do not hold the doctrine of the eternality of the Veda it implicitly follows from their explanations that they did not subscribe to that doctrine.

The connection between word and sense is eternal. 29.5 This is explained by the words of Sabara (in n. 1953) that there is no person who could be put forward as having brought about

^{1955.} The sutra (P. M. S 1, 1, 5) contains several conclusions. The first is औत्पात्तिक (नित्यः) डाब्दस्य अर्थेन सम्बन्ध, the 2nd is तस्य ज्ञानस्पदेश (exhortation is the means of knowing it i, e, dharma); here ज्ञान means ज्ञायते थेन (श्लीकता औरपचिकसूत्र verse 9); the next part 16 अध्यतिरेकसार्थेऽतुवलुखे (11 15 unfailing or infallible as to all that is not perceptible), तस्त्रमाणमनपेक्षवात् 1 e Vedic injunction is a valid means of knowledge since it is independent; चान्रायणस्य (this is the view of Badarayana also). The question 'what is a

the connection of word and sense. Vide P.M.S I 1.6-23, Śabara's bhāsya thereou, the elaborate treatment in 444 verses in Ślokavārtika (pp 728-8'5) and Prakarana-pañcikā pp. 133-140 (seventh Prakarana). On the question "what does a word like 'Gauh' denote," the P. M S. replies that a word denotes 'ākrti' (or jāti) i. e. the universal, the class. Briefly, the Mīmāmsakas hold that the word, the denotation and the relation of these two are eternal. Vide P. M S. I. 3 30-35.

3 The individual soul. The P.M.S. does not expressly affirm the existence of the soul in any sūtra Śankarācārya on V S III. 3.53 refers to this fact, states that Śabara, the Bhāsyakāra, propounded the existence of the soul and that the venerable Upavarsa refrained from going into that question in his exposition of the Pürvamimāmsā with the remark that he would expound it when dealing with Śaīraka (i e the Vedānta-sūtra). It was probably due to this fact of the absence of a statement about the soul that the Pürvamīmāmsā was interpreted by some as favouring atheism Kumārila complains that the Mīmāmsā, though not really atheistic, was put forward as Lokāyata (atheistic) 1955 by some and that therefore he endeavours (in the

(Continued from last page)

word' is answered differently by different writers. The revered Upavarsa said that in a word like 'gauh' it is the letters that constitute the word (vide Sabara quoting Upavarsa p. 45 on I. 1.5 and Sahkara on V S. I. 3 28). The other view is that the letters manifest the sphota and it is the sphota that conveys the sense. This subject cannot be dealt with in this work.

^{1956.} प्रायेणेव हि मीमासा लोके लोकायतीकता। तामास्तिकपथे कर्तमयं यत्न कर्ता मया॥ श्रीकवा verse 10 The न्या रू. notes that भनेभित्र put forward several wrong doctrines about the Mimamsa such as the one that the performance of obligatory acts or of probibited acts leads to no desirable or undesirable results Vide II of Dh. vol III. pp 46-47 note 57 and vol II. pp 358-359 on लोकार्यातिक and नास्तिक The meaning of लोकायत bad changed from time to time काहिल्य (I 2) woluded लोकायत under आन्बीक्षिकी along vith सांख्ययोग पाणिनि appears to have known the word लोकायत, his sutra (IV 2. (0) is 'मत्रधादिस्वान्ताहरू' and होकायत is the 2nd word in the उक्धादिगण, the havida on this sutra mentions होनापतिक. , at least before the 6th century A. D लीकापतिक had come to be identified with one who did not believe in the existence of a soul independent of the body. The कादन्यरी (paragraph 153) has 'लांकायतिकविययेवाधर्मकची '. शृङ्कराचार्य on वेदान्तसूत्र III. 3 54 says that the Lofavatilas do not admit any principle other than the four elements (carth, water, heat and wind) and do not believe in the existence of a soul apart from the body Vide Prof. Das-gupta's 'Indian Philosophy' vol III. p 512-533 and 'Lolayata' by Dr. W. Ruben (Berlin 1954). From the Chan, Up VIII. 8 it appears that Asura Virocana held that there was no soul (Continued on next page)

it is so, the phala (the reward) is conferred by the sacrifice and not by the deities (Indra and Agni in this case) and the words 'Indra 1960 and Agni confer progeny on the sacrificer' are purely laudatory. P. M. S. IX. 1. 6-10 are very important in this connection. Sabara quotes Vedic passages like Rg. X. 47. 1, III. 30.5, VIII. 17.8 (where Indra's right hand, fist, neck, stomach and arms are mentioned), Rg I. 95 10, VIII, 77, 4 (where Indra is said to have put in his stomach all foods and to have drunk thirty vessels full of soma), Rg. VIII, 32, 22 and X. 89. 10 where Indra is said to be lord of the world, of heaven and earth, waters and mountains and then remarks that these are all arthauadas, though at first sight they appear to postulate that Vedic deities have bodies, eat and drink. The Śāstradīpikā advances the argument that if the devata had a body, ate, drank and was pleased, devatā will be anitua (ephemeral) and could not in that case be treated of in the Veda that is eternal. He further asserts that men of limited intelligence not knowing the real import of the Vedic passages may hold wrong opinions. Sabara notes (on X. 4, 23) that there are various opinions as to who the Devatās are to whom either a hymn (sūhta) is addressed (as Rg. I. 94) or to whom 'havis' is directed by the Veda to be offered as in ' one should offer to Agni an offering cooked on eight potsherds'; that the devata becomes connected with the sacrifice not in its own nature but by the word used with reference to an offering and that where the Veda directs an offering to be made to Agni, one cannot use a synonym for Agni such as 'Śuci, Pāvaka, Dhūmaketu, Kıśānu, Vaišvānara or Śāndilya. Thus Devatā is a matter of words, as admitted by 1661

¹⁹⁵⁰ Note the following sutras. विमतिपत्ती हविषा नियन्येत कर्मणसंदुषार पत्तात्। VIII, 1 32, अपि वा इव्ह्यूर्वत्वात् पज्ञकर्म मधान स्याहुणले देवताश्वति। IX. 1 9 इत्तर's words on VIII 1 34 are quite frank and clear स्यादेवदेव यदि देवतात फर्ल स्यात्। यागात्तु फल स्वर्गकामी यजेतिति श्रुयते। यन्तु 'मृत एवेनमिन्द्र' इति तत्रेवस्यते ग्रुणलेन देवताश्वति,। यागे ग्रुणभूता देवता। तस्या दातृत्व स्तुत्या उच्यते। यथा अमारयेन मे ग्रामो दत्त, यथा सेनापतिना मे ग्रामो दत्त इति। न न्यामात्यः सेनापतिर्वा ग्रामच्य प्रभवति। राजेव प्रभवति। इत्रर्शस्त्रन् ग्रुणभूते स्तुत्या दानुस्ववाद्,। ग. ग्रुणभूत means 'that has become a subordinate factor 'as opposed to प्रधान

^{1961.} तस्मान्मन्त्रत्वे विधिशन्द उपादातन्य इति। उन्यते। ननेत्र शब्द एर देवता मानिति। अज्ञोन्यते। नैतद्दस्माभिः परिहर्तन्यम्। न हीद्दसुन्यमानमस्मत्पर्शं बाधते। शनर on X 4, 23, यागादीना देवताराधनहेतुत्वे प्रमाणाभावात्। अतो देवतोद्दिशेन व्रन्यत्यागो याग इति गौण देवतापुजात्मकत्वमवगमियतन्यम्। प्रकरणपश्चिका pp 185–186, यद्यपि देवता विद्यद्वती परिग्रह्म धुक्तवा तृण्यति मसीदिति च तथापि यागादेव फले विद्यद्वती चानित्या स्यात्। शास्त्रदीपिका p. 580 on PMS IX 1 6–10.

Śabara. The Prakaranapańcikā also states that there is no proof that a sacrifice (yāga) is a means of pleasing the deity and that to say that there is worship of a deity in a $y\bar{a}ga$ is really metaphorical From this and the preceding doctrine (No. 1) it follows that P. M. S., Śabara, and Kumārila discard the idea that the Veda is the word of God or that rewards of religious acts are due to the favour or grace of God. It is therefore that the Padmapurāna quoted (in note 1957a) above dubs Jaimini's doctrine 'nirīsvaravāda' (Godless)

When the Veda says 'one desirous of heaven should perform a sacrifice', three $\bar{a}k\bar{a}nks\bar{a}s$ (expectancies) arise. The first is 'what is to be brought about'; this is satisfied by the word svarqa, stating the reward or the purpose. The 2nd is 'by what means' is the first to be brought about. That is satisfied by the meaning of the root 'yaj' (i e by yāga), the third is 'what is the procedure or in what manner', that is satisfied by the establishment of the sacred fires and other rites that are described in the context of the passage 'svargakāmo yajeta'. It is learnt from this passage that the reward or purpose (svarga) springs from (is brought about by) yāga and not by the devatā. 1962

Later writers could not digest all these ideas about the deities in sacrifices. Venkatanātha (or Venkatadeśika, 1269—1369 A. D) composed a work called 'Seśvaramīmāmsā', in which he criticizes both Bhātta and Prābhākara schools and being an ardent Vaisnava of the Rāmānuja school attempts a synthesis of the two mīmāmsās and brings in the idea of God as the dispenser of the reward of sacrifices against the combined testimony of Sabara, Kumārıla, Śālikanātha and others. Vide Dr. Radhakrisnan in 'Indian Philosophy,' vol. II pp. 424-429 for 'God and world according to Pūrvamīmāmsā'.

5. There is no real creation nor dissolution of the whole universe. The constituent parts may come and go but the universe as a whole has no beginning and no end. The descriptions of creation and dissolution are only meant to illustrate the power of dana (fate, destiny) and the vanity of human effort and urge men to perform duties enjoined by the Veda. Without any human effort the world may come into being and in spite of

^{1962.} रजेतेत्येत्रमादयः साकाठा यजेत कि केन कप्मिति, स्वर्गकाम इत्यनेन प्रयोजनेन शि मिराप्राठ्याः। इषर on II.1.1. Vide दुप्टीका on IX 1.9 अपि वा ..देवताश्चति quoied in note 1960.

all effort it may come to an end The world is real and has always been there and will go on for all time. 1963 Vide Ślokavārtika (V. 112-117), Prakaranapañcikā pp 137-140 and Nyāyaratnākara on the former The Ślokavāratika goes so far as to say 'it must be admitted that all these (worlds &c) existed before the creator, and again such a creator may be proved (by anumāna) to have been preceded by the Veda on account of his being an intelligent being, just as ourselves are praceded by the Veda'

It would be noticed that the Mīmāmsa idea of creation and dissolution is opposed to the Mahābhārata and Gītā (X. 8 aham sarvasya prabhavo mattah sarvam prayartate).

The doctrine of apūria (invisible mysterious or subtle potency). The Veda lays down that one desirous of heaven should perform a sacrifice. But the reward heaven comes off only after a long time, while the sacrifice lasts only for a short time. There would thus be no direct connection between the sacrifice (the cause) and heaven (the reward) or purpose must be presumed from the Vedic injunction that there is some connecting link between the activity of man to perform a yaga and the reward. Before the principal and subordinate acts in a sacrifice are performed men have no capacity for svarga and sacrifices (described in the texts) are also incapable of producing the effect 'svarga'. The principal and subsidiary acts in a sacrifice when carried out remove the incapacity and produce a certain capacity or potency for syarga. This must be admitted by all Unless such a capacity exists there would be the unacceptable conclusion that not doing the acts and doing them are on the same level. This capacity or potency either residing in the man (agent) or arising from the sacrifice that is performed is called apūr va in this śāstra. It is true that this capacity cannot be proved by direct perception or other means of knowledge except by srutārthāpatts. When we are told that a man

¹⁹⁶³ तस्मादद्यवदेवात्र सर्गप्रत्यकल्पना। समस्तक्षयजनम्या न सिध्यस्यमाणिका॥ सवज्ञवाज्ञिषध्या न्य छुण्डुः सद्भावकल्पना॥ तस्मात् मागपि सर्वेऽमी छण्डुरासन् पदादयः। स्याचरपूर्वकता चास्य चेतन्यादस्मदादिवत्॥ एवं ये युक्तिभि माहृस्तेषा दुर्लभम्रत्तरम्। अन्वेष्यी व्यवहारोयमनादिर्वेदवादिभिः॥ श्रुतेकवा (समन्धाक्षेप० verses 113–117). छुद्ध was called सर्वेज्ञ, as the अमस्कोज्ञ states 'सर्वेज्ञ सुगती खुद्धो। &c The न्या र remarks on verses 113–114 'यथा च छुद्धादिः सर्वज्ञत्वे युक्तपत्रादिविष्विष्यस्म, एव माणवेरपि अष्टुत्वं निषेध्यमित्याह सर्वज्ञवदिति । तेन देवप्रमावकथनार्थोय स्टिप्रलयवादः। समस्ति पुरुषकाराभावेऽपि स्टिकाले देवविष्ठीने सर्वे प्रवर्तते, मलयकाले च सत्यि पुरुषकारे देवीपरमादेवी तस्माद्धमान्नद्वाण एव यतितव्यमित्येतत्यरं स्टिप्रलयवचनमिति। न्या. र. ०० श्लोकन्वा (मस्वन्द्याक्षेपपरि॰ verse 112).

who is fat does not eat by day we presume, in order to account for this, that he must be eating food at night. Similarly, the Veda brings sacrifice and svarga together; we have to presume that sacrifice gives rise to a subtle potency, though the sacrifice itself comes to an end in a short time, and that this potency is the cause of bringing about the reward svarga and that we may look upon it as abiding in the soul of the sacrificer or as an invisible effect (a reaching forth of the yaga itself that has vanished). 1964 Mīmāmsakas do not admit that the results of religious acts are given by God. The V S III 2 40 states that this is the view of Jaimini (dharmam Jaiminir-ata eva) and is opposed to the view of Badarayana, Sankara and the Bhamati that it is God who confers the reward. According to the Prakaranapañcikā (p 186) the invisible force or potency is not in the agent but arises as a subtle form from the action itself. Four kinds of Apurva¹⁹⁶⁵ (with further sub-divisions) as regards Darśapūrnamāsa sacrifice are stated by Mādhavācārya.

The idea is that every rite as a whole produces an apurva, and that each subordinate part (anga) of the rite has also an (apurva) which is subordinate to the apurva of the whole rite.

The Tantravārtika explains why it is called apūrva. The invisible potency was not in existence before the performance of

^{1964.} चोदना युनरारम्भः। पू मी सू. II 1 5, चोदनेत्यपूर्व बुम । अपूर्व युनरस्ति यत आरम्भः शिष्यते स्वर्गेकामो यजेतेति । इतरथा हि विधानमनर्थकं स्याद्भिङ्गलाद्यागस्य । यद्य-न्यद्युत्याच यागो विनर्श्येत् फलमसति निमित्ते न स्यात् । तस्माद्रुत्याद्यसीति । श्रधरः फलाय विहितं कर्म क्षाणिकं चिरभाविने। तत्त्तिद्धिर्नान्यधेत्येवमपूर्वे प्रति गम्यते ॥ वेदवानयोपात्तस्वर्ग-यागादिसम्बन्धान्तपपस्या चोदनयैवापूर्वमपि चोदितं भविष्यतीति प्रमाणवत्। तस्मादस्यपूर्व-मिति। सम्त्रवार्तिक p. 390, मधानकर्मणामङ्कर्मणा वा प्राक्करणात्त्वर्गादिपाप्त्ययोग्याः पुरुषाः क्रतवश्च स्वर्गकार्यायोगया । तासभयीमध्ययोग्यतां व्युदस्य प्रधानैरङ्गेश्व योग्यतीपजन्यत इत्यवस्यं सर्वेणाभ्युपगन्तन्यम् । असत्यां तस्यामकृतसमत्वप्रसङ्गात् । सैव च पुरुषगता ऋतुगता षा योग्यता शास्त्रेऽस्मित्नपूर्वमित्युपदिश्यते । यत्त प्रत्यक्षादिगम्यत्वमस्य नास्तीति सत्यं, श्रुतार्थापत्ति-व्यतिरिक्तेर्न गम्यते स लझोप'। तन्त्रवा० p 394; in the श्रीकवा (अर्थापत्ति०) Kumārila says 'पीनो दिवा न भुङ्क्ते चेत्येवमादिवच'श्रुती। रात्रिभोजनविज्ञानं श्रुतार्थापत्तिरिज्यते॥ v. 51 तन्त्रवा॰ further proceeds 'एवं स्वर्गाद्योप्यङ्करादिस्थानीयां तावहपूर्वावस्थां प्रतिपद्मन्ते तथा चौत्पद्यमानया त एशेत्पन्ना भवन्तीति ?. तस्मादस्त्यपूर्वम्। सूत्रस्यापि च न पक्ततीपथिकमन्यमधी पश्याम । तेनारम्भन्वोदनैवापूर्वस्थापि चोदनेत्ययमेवार्धः। तन्त्रवा p. 400. शहूराचार्य on वे स् III 2. 40 puts briefly and clearly Jaimin's view 'श्रुतिश्चेत प्रमाणं यचायं कर्मफलसम्बन्ध श्चन उपपद्यते तथा कर्ल्पयितन्य । न चानुत्पाद्य किमप्यपूर्व फर्न विनश्यस्कालान्तरितं फलं दातु अझ्तोति। अत. कर्मणो वा चुश्मा काचिदुत्तरावस्था फलस्य षा पूर्वावस्थाऽपूर्व नामास्तीति तक्यते।; vide भामती on वे. सू III. 2. 41.

^{1965.} तदापूर्व दर्शपूर्णमासयोरनेकविधम्। फलापूर्व, सम्दायापूर्व, उत्पन्यपूर्व, अङ्गर-पूर्व चेति। पेन स्वर्ग आरम्यते तत्फलापूर्वम्। This is जै न्या मा वि This फलापूर्व is also called परमापूर्व

the sacrifice and arises as something new after its performance and hence apurva has a purely etymological sense. 1966

If one holds the view that apurva is some potency coming to reside in the performer of a sacrifice one's views would be like those of some modern writers who hold that real prayer is not mere repetition of certain words deemed to be sacred, but it is an upward movement or a real increase in the intensity of spiritual power in the man who prays, it being immaterial to ask to whom he prays (vide W. James' 'Varieties of religious experience' p. 467).

- 7. Svatah-prāmānya (self-validity of cognition). It has already been stated that the pramānas are six (five according to Prabhākara). The P. M. system postulates that all cognitions as cognitions are intrinsically valid in themselves, do not require any extraneous help to establish their validity but invalidity of cognitions is (paratah) established extraneously by showing that there was defect in the organ that produced the cognition or it is established later on that a particular cognition was wrong. 1967 Prabhākara goes much further and holds that every experience as such is valid and no experience can be said to be wrong or illusory.
- 8. Svarga. The ideas about heaven entertained by Jaimini, Śabara and Kumārila are different from the description of heaven in the Veda and Purānas. Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp.

^{1966.} यागादिजनितं च पुंसा फलमिसिसामर्थ्यमपूर्वशब्दवाच्यं यागानुष्ठानारपूर्वमधूतमः चुष्ठानोत्तरकाल जापूर्व जायत इति योगिकत्वादेवापूर्वशब्दाभिधान सर्वेत्र लम्यते। तन्त्रवा. p 299 on I. 3 30; on पू. मी स् VII. 4 1 शबर says 'इत्मिपि चीक्तं यजिरपूर्वे साधयित ततश्वापूर्वत्वालान्तरे फल भवतीति चोदना पुनरारम्भ इत्यत्र।

¹⁹⁶⁷ सर्व विज्ञानविषयमिद् तावस्प्रतिक्ष्यताम्। ममाणत्वाप्रमाणत्वे स्वतः किं परवोऽधवा॥ स्वतः सर्वप्रमाणानां प्रामाण्यमिति ग्रम्थताम्। न हि स्वतोऽसती शक्तिः कर्त्वमन्येन शक्यते॥ समाहोधात्मकत्वेन प्राप्ता छुद्धेः प्रमाणता। अर्धान्यथात्वदेत्त्यदेणज्ञानाद्यपोद्धते॥ श्लोकवा॰ (चीदनास्त्र) verses 33, 47, 53, the last two verses are respectively quoted by शान्तरिक्षत and क्षमलशील 10 तरश्तम्रह pp. 745 and 788 On verse 33 the न्या. ए comments 'तिहेदेव चिन्ता। किं ज्ञानस्य प्रामाण्यमप्रामाण्य च स्वतः एव निर्णीयते। किं वीभ्यमिष कारण्यायोषादिना निरूपयितन्यम्, उत्ताप्रमाणय च स्वतः एव निर्णीयते। विवर्धति वेति। ' Here four possible views are put forward about श्रामाण्य वाते अपमाण्य. ' The सीमासक view is that cognition is स्वतःप्रमाण, while its invalidity has to be established by other means (अपामाण्यं परतः). श्वर on I. 1. 5 says 'तश्मावस्य च दुर्ध करणं यत्र च सिक्ष्येति प्रस्ययः स एवासमीचीनः प्रस्यो चान्य वृति।', 'यत्र प्रसन्तेनान्विद्यसाणोऽपि कारणदीयो वाधमञ्चानं वा नोयलभ्यते तत् प्रमाणम्, इतरद्याः प्रमाणम् श्वास्त्रीचिनः प्रस्यो चान्य वृति।', 'यत्र प्रसन्तेनान्विद्यसाणोऽपि कारणदीयो वाधमञ्चानं वा नोयलभ्यते तत् प्रमाणम्, इतरद्याः प्रमाणम् श्वास्त्रीचिनः प्रस्यो चान्य वृति।', ' यत्र प्रसन्तेनान्विद्यसाणोऽपि कारणदीयो वाधमञ्चानं वा नोयलभ्यते तत् प्रमाणम् , इतरद्याः प्रमाणम् । श्वास्त्रीचिनः प्रस्यो चान्य विविः प्रमाणम् । श्वास्त्रीचिनः प्रमाणम् ।

165-167 and 168-171 for descriptions of the joys of heaven in the Vedic literature, the Epic and the Purānas. From considerations of space the remarks will have to be brief. In Rg. IX. 113. 7-11 the sage prays to Soma to place him in that immortal world where there is continuous light, where all desires are fulfilled, where there are joys of various grades. Heaven was deemed to be the place where the souls of valiant men that fought battles and sacrificed their lives went (Rg. VI. 46. 12) and in Rg. X. 154. 2-4 the soul of the dead is asked to join those departed men that had become invincible by great tapas, that had died in battle, that had made gifts of a thousand cows, that had led a life of right and virtue and that were wise sages

The Atharvaveda IV. 34.2 and 5-6 say that in heaven there are many women, they get edible plants and flowers of various kinds, there are streams of ghee, honey and wine ($sur\bar{a}$). milk, curds and there are lotus ponds all round. The Satapatha Br. (XIV. 7.1. 32-33) states that the joys of heaven are 100 times greater than those on earth. Vide Macdonnell's 'Vedic Mythology' pp 167-168 and A B. Keith's 'Religion and philosophy of the Veda' etc. pp. 403-406 (1924). Even the Upanisads refer to the joys of heaven e.g. Chan. VIII. 5 3. speaks of two lakes in the world of Brahmā, asvattha tree showering down Soma and the city of Brahmā calld Aparājitā; the Kausītaki Up. (I. 3 and 4) elaborates this and adds that five hundred Apparases go out to meet him (who reaches heaven), one hundred with garlands in their hands, one hundred with ointments, one hundred with perfumes, one hundred with garments and one hundred with fruits. Poets like Kālidāsa love to dwell upon the instantaneous arrival of the soul of the warrior whose head was cut off in battle and who was at once joined by an apsaras in heaven (vide Raghuvamśa VII. 51 'Vāmāngasamsaktasurānganah syam urtyat-kabandham samare dadarsa') The Puranas furnish graphic descriptions of the joys of heaven. Vide Brahmapurāna 225. 6, Padma II. 95 2-5, Mārkandeya 10. 93-95, which enumerate Nandana garden, aerial cars with bevies of Apsarases, golden beds and seats, absence of sorrows, all pleasure &c. Sabara on P. M. S. VI. 1. 1 refers to two popular views about starga; one is, whatever gives pleasure to a person such as silken garments of fine texture, sandalwood, damsels sixteen years old is designated starga. Another popular view is that starga is a certain place where there is no heat nor cold, no hunger nor thirst, no dissatisfaction, no tiredness.

Śabara and Kumārila say that popular 1968 ideas about svarga are invalid, that the Mahābhārata and Purānas, being composed by men, need not be considered and that the Vedic descriptions of svarga are mere arthavādas for praise.

P. M. S. IV. 3 15. provides that svarga ¹⁹⁵⁹ is the reward of all religious acts (such as Viśvajit) for which no express reward is provided by the texts. Śabara states 'happiness is svarga and all seek that'; an old verse says 'that state of happiness in which there is no mixing of pain, which is not immediately overwhelmed by pain, that comes to one when one desires it, is called by the word star (svarga)'.

Medhātithi remarks that smrtis sometimes state ¹⁹⁷⁰ that the gift of a single cow yields all rewards and relieves from sins with the result that fruits of great religious acts and of slight ones would be deemed to be the same but it should be understood that the rewards differ in their duration; otherwise, no one would engage in great or difficult rites.

Some Vedic rites expressly declare fruits of sacrifices that are other than svarga. For example, the Tai. S. provides one desirous of (plenty of) cattle should offer the citrā sacrifice (II. 4. 6. 1.) or one desirous of (leadership or control over) a village should offer the Isti called Sāngrahani (Tai. S. II. 3. 9. 2.).

^{1968.} नद्य च ठोकादाल्यानेम्यो वेदाच्यावगम्यते देश एवजातीयकः स्वर्ग इति। तज्ञ। पुरुषाणामेवंविधेन देशेनासम्बन्धाद्यमाणं वच । आख्यानमपि पुरुषमणीतत्वादनादरणीयम्। वैदिकमपि धर्माख्यानं विधिपरं नास्त्येव भवति तु विध्यन्तरेणेकवाक्यभूतं स्तुतिपरम्। शयर on VI. 1. 1 p. 1348

^{1969.} स स्वर्ग स्पारसर्गाम्प्रस्पविशिष्टलात्। पू भी सू IV 3. 15; इाबर says 'संवें दि युरुषाः स्वर्गकामाः कुत एतत्। भीतिष्टिं स्वर्गः सर्वश्च भीति प्रार्थयते। र स्वर्ग is the सास्य and याग is साधन acc to हुपृष्टीका on P M S VI 2.4; यक्ष हु खेन सिभन्नं न च अस्तमनन्तरस्। अभिलापोपनीतं च तत्सुखं स्वन्पदास्पदस्॥ q. by साख्यस्प्रकीष्ठदी of वाचस्पति p. 45 (Chow. edition) and by नीलकण्ठ on उद्योगपर्व 33 72. Some quote this verse as from the विच्छुपुराण. The अक्तरणपश्चिका (pp. 102-3) appears to echo the words of the verse 'ज्योतिहोमेन स्वर्गकाभी यजैतेरयेयमादि समामनाय सकल्डु ख्यमभेद्रिशिक्षापिलापोपनीतदीर्धतरसुखसाधनत्वेनार्थवादे स्तूयमानं कर्म दृश्यते।...तथा च यावतावासु-रक्षसाधने स्वर्गकास्य सकल्डु क्षप्रकार कर्माक्षसाधने स्वर्गकानिकापोपनीतजनिका, नेधान on महा IV. 87 where narakas are said to be 21 remarks 'नरक्तब्दों निरतिकायदुःस्वरचनः। गक्रविज्ञतिसंख्या अर्थवादः। The printed विच्छुपुराण II. 6. 46 is: मनःश्रीतिकार स्वर्गों नरकरते-द्विष्टर्पर:। नरकस्वर्गसंज्ञे वे युण्यपापे द्विज्ञोतम ॥.

¹⁹⁷⁰ स्मृत्यन्तरे सर्वफलता पापममास्वनार्थतापि गोदानस्य श्रुना यावतामत्योपकाराणी महोपकारेः फलसाम्यस्यस्यते तेषा लोकात्परिमाणतः फलबिहोपोऽपगन्तम्यः। प्राप्यते तदे कर्ले न सु स्विश्कालस् । आवास्यो हायं न्यायः। पणलम्यं हि तत्याद्यः क्रीणाति वक्षिभ पर्तः — इति समानकलस्ये महाप्रयासानर्थक्य प्रामोति । मेधा॰ ०० मसु 111, 95.

Sahara says the Veda does not say that the result of such sacrifices cannot be had in this very life. This the Tuptika 1971 expands in an interesting note. The remedy declared by the Veda for securing desired objects (son's birth and the like) is bound to yield the result in this life or in the next. If a man has committed evil deeds in a previous life he has to undergo the effects of those sins and while he is undergoing the effects of sins the fruits of sacrifices are postponed. But if the effects of sins have been extremely reduced he secures the fruits of kānua rites in this very life The words of the Veda laying down an injunction state only so much that the fruit of the rite performed does come about but they do not say that the fruit follows immediately (after the rite is performed). Therefore, there is no definiteness (about the time when the fruit will follow). But svarga is to be enjoyed only in another life (as a fruit of rites performed in this life). It (svarga) means unequalled happiness and is achieved in a degree proportionate to the actions, but it cannot be enjoyed in this life, since men experience in this world every moment both happiness and misery. Every happiness is not the fruit of Jyotistoma and every being does not perform Jyotistoma. But some happiness does come to a man. Therefore, it (happiness) is natural The existence, however, of another body has to be imagined for the experience of unequalled happiness, there being no other reasonable explanation. unequalled happiness does not come to a man unless he dies; therefore svarga is to be enjoyed in another life.

 Moksa (liberation): Neither the P. M. S. nor Sabara nor Prabhākara deals with the topic of Moksa. Kumārila and

¹⁹⁷¹ पुत्राहीनि कामयमानस्योपायो विधीयते। उपाये च कृते नियतसुपेयेन भवितन्यम्। तदा पूर्वजन्मयमुभं कृतम्। तद्वानुभाव्यं तस्तारपूर्वजन्मकृतमञ्जूम्यते । तत्र यदि जन्मान्तर-कृतोऽधर्मः पक्षीणरतत उद्देव जन्मनि फलम्। अधाक्षीणस्ततस्तेन चद्धसाधकं फलसुत्कृत्यते। फलं भवतिरयेताविति विधिशन्द्ये।ऽस्ति न व्वनन्तरत्वे तस्मादिनयमः। स्त्रार्गसु जन्मान्तरे एव। स हि निरातिशया भिति कर्मानुस्त्रा चीति न शक्येह जन्मन्यञ्चभवितुम्। यतोऽस्मिद्धोके सणे सणे सुन्त्रपुर्गस्त्रपुर्वि अञ्चमवन्ति। न च भितिमात्र ज्योतिष्टोमफलस्। माणिमाञ्चस्य च सा विद्यते न च भाणिमाञ्च ज्योतिष्टोमं करोति। तस्मान्दशभाविक्यसे। देवान्तरं तु निरातिशयप्रीत्यञ्चभवनायान्यथाञ्चप्यच्यो । त्यामृतस्य न भवतिरात्रो जन्मान्तरे स्त्र्यां। दुप्टीका on IV. 3 28 It should be noticed that a distintion is drawn here between priti (a moment of bappiness) and mratisaya-priti. The दुप्टीका on VI. 1. 1 says that the siddhānla view is that svarga means 'priti' (happiness), while the purvapakea holds that svarga signifies the means for things that cause happiness, but both do not hold that svarga is some place 'एकस्य पीति. स्तर्गशन्द्वाच्या, अपरस्य भीतिनस् ञ्रायम्। विशिष्टो देश उभयोरप्रप्रवाचयः। दुप्टीका on प्र सी. स्. VI 1 1 p. 1348.

Prakaranapañcikā deal with it. They both say that liberation consists in not having to assume a body again. 1972 The Ślokavārtika states 'one desiring Moksa should not do any act that is forbidden or is Kāmya (prescribed for those who desire an object like riches, son &c): he should perform obligatory rites (such as agnihotra) and naimitika (like bath, japa and gifts on eolipse &c.) in order to avoid the sin that would accrue owing to nonperformance of those two: if he does not desire the rewards of doing nitya and naimittika acts they will not come to him, as such a reward comes to him who seeks it. The results of acts in a former life would be eliminated by undergoing them in the life ın which he seeks liberation. This differs from Śańkarācārva's position who holds on V. S. IV. 3. 14 that, as declared in the Syet. Up. III. 8, there is no other way to Moksa except knowledge and realization of the Atman. In his bhasya on the same sutra he seems to attack 1973 Kumārila's position. According to Kumārila Upanisad passages about the knowledge of the Atman are only arthavadas as they convey to the performer the knowledge that he has a soul and that the soul has certain characteristics, while Sankara maintains (on V. S. I. 1. 1) that the phala, the subject of investigation and the Vedic exhortation (codanā) are different in Pūrvamīmāmsā and in Brahamamīmāmsā. There exist smrtīs that ridiculed the idea that the mere

^{1972.} तत्र ज्ञानास्मतत्त्राना भोगात् पूर्विक्रियाक्षये । उत्तरमञ्चयासत्त्वाहिग्री नोश्यणते पुन'॥ ... मोक्षार्थी न प्रवर्तेत तत्र काम्यनिष्युयोः । निश्यनिमित्तिके कुर्यात्मस्यवाजित्वस्या । प्रार्थ्यमानं करं ज्ञातं न चानिष्छोर्भविष्यति । आत्मक्षे चैतदस्तिति तज्ज्ञानस्ययुज्यते । अर्ज्ञोक्ष्या (सम्बन्धाक्षेप० vv. 108, 110-111) On v 111 न्या, ए, quotes गीता VI. 1 अनाम्भितः कर्भकलं कार्यं कर्म करोति यः । the दुप्टीका on VI. 3. 2 propounds the same views. 'उपात्तद्वस्तिक्षयार्थानि नित्यानीति भद्यादिभित्रस्यपगमात् । चित्रका on नेव्कर्म्यसिद्धि I 83; प्रकरणपश्चिका p. 156 says 'न अविद्यास्तमयो मोक्षः । आत्यन्तिकस्त हेडोच्छोदो निःशेषधर्माधर्मपरिक्षयनिष्यमो मोक्षः इति सिद्धस्य । ..

¹⁹⁷³ Vide the passage (in शाक्तुरभाष्य on हे. सू. IV. 3.14) यनु केश्विज्ञन्यते नित्यानि नीमित्तिकानि कर्माण्यद्वश्रीयन्ते मरयवायाद्वरणत्त्रये कान्यानि प्रतिषिद्धानि च परिक्रियन्ते सर्वान्यत्व कान्यानि प्रतिषिद्धानि च परिक्रियन्ते सर्वान्यत्व कान्यानि प्रतिषिद्धानि च परिक्रियन्ते सर्वान्यत्व कान्यानि कान्यत्व विवादि कान्यत्व विवादि कान्यत्व विवादि कान्यत्व विवादि कान्यत्व कान्यत

knowledge of the Self would lead to Moksa. For example, ¹⁹⁷⁴ the Br. Y. Y. affirms that both knowledge and actions lead to Liberation, that to say that knowledge alone leads to liberation is a sign of indolence, that out of the fear of bodily labour ignorant men do not want to engage in actions.

The doctrines of the early and principal writers on Purvamīmāmsā are rather quaint and startling. Their arguments about the eternality and self-existence of the Veda are fallacious and were not accepted even by other ancient Indian systems. Both Prabhākara and Kumārila have in their scheme no place for God as the dispenser of rewards or as the ruler of man's destiny. as being pleased with men's prayers. They do not expressly deny the existence of God, but they assign to God or the deities mentioned in the Vedic texts a secondary role or rather practically no role at all. They raise vaina to the position of God and their dogmas about yajña seem to be based upon a sort of commercial or business-like system, 1975 viz. one should do so many acts, dispense gifts to priests, offer certain offerings, observe certain ethical rules and other rules of conduct (such as not eating flesh, subsisting on milk) 1976 and then the reward would follow without the intervention of God. There is hardly any appeal to religious emotions, there is no omniscient Being, no Creator and no creation of the world. The Pürvamīmāmsā no doubt lays emphasis on (the rights and) duties of man in life. The other darsanas are far more concerned with the question of escaping permanently from the world and with man's destiny after death. The P M.S., Sabara and Kumārila, however, make substantial contributions to the exegesis of Vedic

^{1974.} ज्ञानं प्रधानं न तु कर्महीनं कर्म प्रधानं न तु चुद्धिहीनम्। तस्माद् द्वयोरेन भनेत सिद्धिनं ह्येकपक्षी वित्तग्, प्रयाति॥ परिज्ञानान्द्रवेन्द्यक्तिरतदालस्यलक्षणम्। कायक्केशभयाज्ञैन कर्म नेन्द्यन्त्यपण्डिताः॥ वृहद्योगिया. IX 29, 34 q. by कुत्यकत्प० (on मोक्ष) p. 146

¹⁹⁷⁵ For a striking example of the spirit of bargaining with God, vide the mantra देशि ने ददामि ते नि मे धेहि नि ते दधे। निहारमिन मे हरा निहार्र नि हरामि ते ॥ ते स I 8 4.1-2, वा सं III 50 (with slight variations), compare अधर्वेद

¹⁹⁷⁶ Vide Tai. S. II 5 5 6 about one engaged in Darsapurnamasa: तस्पेतर्वतं नाहत बदेख मांसमञ्जीयाचा स्त्रियस्पेयाचास्य पत्यूलनेन वासः पत्यूलयेषुः 1 and ते. सं. VI. 2 5 2-3 where पय . पतार् and आमिक्षा are prescribed as the proper food for a बाह्मण, क्षत्रिय and वैद्य sacrificer respectively. Jamini (IV. 3. 8-9) declares that this is kratvartha (obligatory). Vide H. of Dh. vol II. pp. 1139-1140 for the observances of the person who has undergone diksa

texts. There are about three thousand quotations in Sahara's bhāsya, out of which several hundred have not been yet identified. At least one thousand of these are taken from the Tai. S. and Br. About twelve adhikaranas are concerned with the Adhrigupraisa (a long formula) which is quoted in H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 1121 note 2504. Some adhikaranas are devoted to the explanation of certain words in that praise e. g. PMS IX. 4, 22 explains urūka as 'vapā', IX. 4, 23-24 explain 'praśasī', IX. 4, 25-27 explain five words (śyena, śalā, kaśyapa, kavasa, srekaparna). Similarly, X. 1, 32 explains the word brsaug as meaning 'brhat.' Sahara's and Kumārila's stands on the individual soul and moksa confer on the P. M. system some status as a philosophical one. For a systematic and exhaustive study of Sabara's contribution to Vedic and post-vedic exegesis, vide Dr. S. V. Garge's citations in Śābara-bhāsya' pp. 140-213 (Poona, 1952).

The doctrine that the Veda is eternal and of absolute and unquestioned authority has led to certain undesirable tendencies. Propounders of new doctrines make great efforts to show that there is Vedic authority for what they hold. For example, the sūtras in V. S. I. 1. 5-18 are concerned to show that the Upanisads do not postulate Pradhana as the cause of the world, as the Sankhyas imagine. Sankarācārya expressly states that the Sankhyas explain Vedanta passages as favourable to their theories and therefore a refutation of their arguments was 1977 provided in V. S. I. 1. 5-18. It has been shown above how followers of Śākta worship try to interpret a verse like Rgveda V. 47. 4 (catyāra îm bibhrati &c) as referring to the Śākta doctrines and how treatises dignified with the title of Upanisads were produced by Śākta writers such as the Bhāvanopanisad. Śabara in his bhāsya on PMS. I. 1. 5 states that the Vijnanavadi Bauddhas put forward Br. Up. IV. 5 13 (Vijnānaghana evaitebhyo bhūtebhyah samutthāya tānvevānuvinasyati na pretva sañjñāsti) as supporting their position. One of the most glaring examples of this tendency is the explanation of Rg. I. 141. 1-3 by Anandatīrtha, also called Madhvācārya, who in 'Mahābhārata-tātparya-nirnaya' claims to be the third incarnation of Vayu, the other two being Hanumat and Bhimasena, one

^{1977.} सांख्यादयस्त परिनिष्ठितं पस्त प्रमाणान्तरगम्यमेवेति मन्यमानाः प्रधानादीनि कारणान्तराण्यद्वमिमानास्तत्परतथैव वेदान्तवाष्ट्यानि पोजयन्ति। श्राहर on पे. सू 1. 1.5; सांख्यादयः स्वपक्षस्थापनाय धेद्वान्तवात्र्यान्यव्युदाद्वत्य स्वपक्षाञ्चग्रुण्येनैव योजयन्ती ब्याचक्षति। शहर on वे. स. II. 2. I.

of the Pandavas, and who endeavours to interpret Rg. I. 141. 1-3 as referring to these three avataras. 1978 The words 'Madhyah' and 'Mātariśvā' (meaning 'wind-god') occur in Rg I 141. 3. This was deemed sufficient to claim that Madhya, the propounder of the Dyaita system, was mentioned in the Rgveda. I do not know how Madhvācārva would have met the charge of Veda not being eternal if it referred to Bhimasena (who even according to the traditional account in the Mahabharata lived at the end of Dyapara age i.e. . about 5000 years ago) and to Madhya himself who lived barely seven centuries ago. The Veda would be later than these dates. The argument that this refers to a previous Kalpa would not be available to rebut the charge, as the Kalpa. Manyantara and Mahāvuga when Bhīma and Madhvācārya flourished and in the present day are the same. There was no pralaya (dissolution of the world) at the end of Dyapara and Kaliyuga immediately set in. The Bhārata war was fought between Dvāpara and Kali (Adiparva 2. 13) and Kaliyuga was about to start at the time of that war (vide Vanaparva 'etat kaliyugam nāmācirād-yad pravartate' and Śalya 60. 25 '(praptam Kaliyugam viddhi)'. It is on account of this pretention that he was very roughly handled by eminent writers like Appayya-diksita who charges Madhva with citing fabricated Vedic and other texts in support of his doctrines. Vide I. A. vol. 62 at p. 189 where the writer, Shri Venkatasubbiah, sets out over thirty works mentioned by Madhva which he states occur nowhere else. M. M. Chinnaswami, who has edited (Benares, 1941) Appayya's work in 60 verses with the commentary of Appayya called Madhvamatavidhyamsana and notes of his own, sets out on p. 4 thirty-six unknown works and the sūtras where they are cited by Appayya.

¹⁹⁷⁸ तलुस्तुतीया पवनस्य सेयं सद्भारतार्धमित्याद्वनाय। ग्रान्धं चकारमसुद्वीणिविद्या पित्तन् रानन्तं हिरिपाद्वमक्ता. ॥ तृतीयमस्य वृपभस्य द्वोहसे दृश्यमति जनयन्त योषणः । निर्यदीं प्रध्नोन्मिदियस्य वर्षसं ईशानासः शवसा करत स्र्यः। यदीमल प्रदिवो मध्व आधवे सुद्दा सन्तं मात-रित्या मधापित ॥: यस्य द्वीण्युद्धितानि वेदवच्चे स्थाणि दिन्यान्यले चद् तह्श्रीतीमस्थेमव निहितं देगस्य भर्गो महत्। वाये। रामवचोनयं प्रथमकं पृसो द्वितीयं वर्षुमध्यो यत्तु तृतीयमेतद्वसुना ग्रन्थः एत्र केश्रवे॥ महाभारततात्यर्थनिर्णय chap 32 verses 176–181 (Nira. ed. of 1911; तृतीय अर्थाणा form latter half of Rg I, 141, 2 and निर्यदीं ...मधायित is Rg I, 141, 3. Rg I, 141, 1 begins with चल्लित्या In the भाष्य on बहासूच्च the verse यस्य etc. quoted here reads the last line as 'मध्ये यत्तु तृतीयकं फुतिनदं भाष्यं हरी तेन हि ।. The celebrated Appryyadilisita delivered a heavy onslaught on Madhvā-cārya in his मध्यनव्यस्त्रतं (Āष्रवा.) for this claim of avatāraship.

It is remarkable that great ācāryas like Śankara and Rāmānuja never claimed in their own works that they were the avatāras of some deity. It was left to their disciples to say so, if at all.

Having established that Veda is eternal and self-existent the Mimāmsakas give free rein to their ingenuity, powers of reasoning and logic. They have a logic of their own which governed not only the interpretation of Vedic passages but also of the Smrtis and the medieval works on Dharmasastra (including law and procedure). As Colebrooke, one of the most accurate and level-headed of Western scholars of Sanskrit, said more than 130 years ago 'the disquisitions on the mimamsa bear therefore a certain resemblance to juridical questions; and in fact, the Hindu law being blended with the religion of the people, the same modes of reasoning are applicable and are applied to the one as to the other. The logic of the Mimansa is the logic of the law: the rule of interpretation of civil and religious ordinances. Each case is examined and determined upon and from the cases decided the principles may be collected. A well-ordered arrangement of them would constitute the philosophy of law and this is in truth what has been attempted in the Mimansa' (Miscollaneous Essays, Vol. I. pp. 316-317, Madras ed. of 1837).

The first division of Vedic material is into Mantra and Brāhmana. It has been already stated above (p. 1096 note 1776) that those are mantras that are recognized as such by the learned. P. M. S. (II. 1. 31-32) provides 1979 that mantra is that which simply asserts (and is not hortatory) or (to say the same thing in another way) 'those are mantras that are so called on account of their asserting something'. On P. M. S. I 4. 1 Sabara describes mantra as one that at the time when the procedure of a sacrifice is being followed, recalls or reminds or makes clear to the performer the matter that is prescribed e.g. 'I cut (the blades of) kuśa grass that is an abode for the deity'. This is only a general description of mantra and not an accurate definition. Mantras are-not supposed to be useful in sacrifices by

¹⁹⁷⁹ अपि या मयोगसामध्यान्मन्त्रोऽभिधानवाची रयात्। पू. भी. सू. II. 1. 31; इगायरभाष्य 'प्रयोगे क्रियमाणेऽस्य सामध्ये विद्यते गोदानं गोयाग च प्रत्याययितं न विधात्य "। सच्चोत्वेत्यु मन्त्राल्या। पू. मी. सू. II. 1. 32; इगायरभाष्य 'अभिधानस्य चेदिकेदेवजातीः यक्केव्यमिद्धका उपविद्यान्ति सन्त्रान्धामिष्ठे, मन्त्रान्धामप्रयामः, मन्त्रा वर्तन्त इति । । क्षात्र व्यक्तियाति वार्ति वार्ति वार्विकेद्ये वार्ति अभिधानं चोत्र प्रयोगका प्रेपामिति बहुन्नीहिः। क्षात्रिन वार्वान्ति वार्वे प्रयोगकाले प्रवासित । यथा यहिर्देवसदानं द्वामि पृत्यवमादि । इपर on पू. सी. सू. I. 4. 1. पहिर्देवन occurs in मे. सूं. I. 1. 2.

their mere utterance (uccarana) but are really 'abhidhauaka' (reminders of what is to be done or is being done). Sabara remarks that laksana is the only way for the recognition of mantras and not an enumeration of some characteristics of mantras as done by the Vrttikāra such as these viz. 1980 some end in 'asi' (thou art) or 'tva' as in Tai, S I. 1. 1 'ise tva'. prayer or wish (as in 'ayurdha asi' in Tai, S. I. 6. 6. 1), praise ('agnir mūrdhā dìvah' in Tai. S. IV. 4.4). Śabara points out that 'asi' and 'tva' occur even in the middle of mantras, the other characteristics such as blessing and praise are found in Brāhmanas also. The M B P. remarks that there are (p. 66) one hundred kinds of mantras and that if we take into account the fourteen Vedic metres and their sub-varieties there would be 273 different varieties of rk mantras alone (p 67). There are certain texts regarded as mantras (e g. 'Vasantāya kapiñjalānālabhate' Vāl. S. 24. 20) which are not merely assertive but rather relate to the procedure of a yaga (in the case of Vaj. S. 24. 20, of Asvamedha).

Mantras are classified under three heads viz. Rk, Sāman and Yajus. These are defined in P M S. II. 1, 35–37; the name rk is applied to those 1951 mantras that are divided into feet, metrical $p\bar{u}das$ (often) based on the meaning. Sāman is the name applied to Vedic mantras that are sung. It has been established in P. M. S. VII. 2. 1–21 and IX. 2. 1–2 that the mantra 1932 texts are not called Sāman but only the music, that

^{1980.} ऋपयोऽपि पदार्थांना नान्तं यान्ति पृथक्तकः। लक्षणेन तु सिद्धानामन्तं यान्ति विपिश्चतः। q. by शबर on II 1 32, तन्त्रवार्तिक proposes to read this very sloka as 'ऋपयोऽपि हि लक्ष्याणा '.

¹⁹⁸¹ तेपासुण्यन्नार्धवशेन पाद्मन्यवस्था। गीतिषु सामारन्या। शेषे यज्ज शब्दः। पू मी. सू II 1.35-37 In अग्निमीळ पुरोहित (Rg. I 1 1) the first pāda has a complete sense, but in अग्निः पूर्वेभिक्सिपिभिरीडचो नृत्तनैकत (Rg I 1.2) the meaning is not complete in the first pāda Therefore, the only definition is 'पाद्मव्यवस्था' and अर्थगशेन is only illustrative as शब्द says 'यती नार्थवशेनेति स्तादिवशन्यान्त्र्यथी, किंति अञ्चवाद एप प्रदर्शनार्थः। . तस्मास्यन्न पादकृता न्यवस्था सा ऋगिति '

^{1982,} तस्माइतिय सामानि न प्रगीतानि मन्त्रवाद्यानि । शवर on IX, 2, 2, साम-वेदे सहस्रं गीलुपाया । ... गीतिर्नाम क्रिया । सा आम्यन्तरप्रयत्नज्ञानितस्वरविशेषाणाम-भिष्यश्चिता । सा सामशब्दाभिल्ण्या । सा नियतपरिमाणा । ऋषि च गीयते । शवर on ए मी स्व IX 2 29 In the महाभाष्य on the Vārtila सर्वे देशान्तरे in the first आदिक we read चतारो वेदा. साङ्काः सरहस्या बहुधा विभिन्ना एकशतमध्वर्यक्षास्ताः सहस्वयन्तं मामवेद एकविंशतिया याद्युच्यं नत्रधार्थ्यणो वेद्द &c. Here the word शास्ता ।s not used in relation to सामवेद but the word वर्त्मन् (way) As शवर expressly

vite is an action which manifests various tunes owing to the internal effort made by the singer and in order to bring about the musical effect the singer has to resort to modifications of the letters of the rk. to disjunction (of parts of it), to omission (of a letter), to repetition, to pauses, to 1983 stobha. In VII.2, 1-21 the PMS establishes that the words 'Rathantara-saman', 'Brhatsaman' refer only to the music and not to the rk or basic text set to music. Yaius means those Vedic mantras that are neither rk nor suman. There is another word 'nigada' applied to certain mantras that are addressed to others as directions to do certain acts and are uttered loudly such as 'agnidagnin vihara', 'proksapīrāsādaya, 'idhmābarhirupasādaya'. They are Yajus (i. e. in prose), the only difference being that they are loudly uttered (in order that the persons to whom they are addressed may hear them), while ordinary Yajus is uttered inaudibly. Vide P. M. S. II. 1. 38-45 for discussion on Nigadas and Mai. S. III. 6. 5 for 'uccair-rcā krivata uccaih sāmopāmšu vajusā'.

Mantra and Brāhmana constitute the Veda. The P. M. S. 1984 states that all those parts of the Veda that are not or cannot be called Mantra constitute Brāhmana. Sabara notes that the Vrttikāra, in order to enable students to decide what texts are Brāhmana texts, pointed out certain characteristics found in Brāhmana texts such as abounding in the word 'iti' or 'ityāha' (so it is said), anecdote, stating the reason for an injunction, etymology, condemnation, praise, doubt, injunction, instance where another did something of the same kind, what happened in

(Continued from last bage)

says that in सामनेद there are a thousand गीत्युपायड, सहस्रवत्मी means 'सहस्रवीत्यु-पायबान्। and it is not correct to render सहस्रवत्मी as सहस्रशाखः, as many scholars do. The निष्णुपुत्रण III 6 gives a confused account of the recensions of the सामनेद, verses 3 and 6 speaking of 1000 संहिताs propagated by स्वर्मी and 24 सहिताs propagated by a pupil of हिरण्यनाम respectively.

^{1983.} Stobhas are musical interjections and flourishes added or introduced in the chants such as 'hāu, haī, ī, ū, hum'. Vide Chān. Up. (I. 13. 1-3) where hum is said to be the 13th stobha and undefinable (identified with highest Brahma) and the other 12 stobhas are specified among which are gif, gif, \$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \f

^{1984.} शेपे बाह्मणशब्दः। ए मी. स् II. 1, 33; 'मन्त्राश्च बाह्मणं च वेदः। तत्र मन्त्ररक्षण उक्ते परिशेपसिद्धत्वात् बाह्मणलक्षणम्बचनीयं मन्त्रलक्षणबचनेनेव सिद्धम्। शबर.

past ages, changing the meaning after considering the context. 1985 Sabara also quotes two verses summarising under ten heads the characteristics of Brāhmana texts, points out that all this is only illustrative and that the characteristics mentioned by the Vrttikāra sometimes occur in mantras also, such as 'iti' in Rg. X. 119. 1, 'ityāha' in Rg. VII. 41. 2, 'ākhyāyikā' as in Rg. I. 116. 3, reason (hetu) in Rg. I. 2. 4. The Rgveda alone contains over ten thousand mantras. Hardly one-third of them are employed in all the Vedic rites. The rest are employed in japa. Besides, the other Vedas also have thousands of mantras. Therefore, no formal definition of mantra is attempted and all that is stated is that mantras are those which have been recognised as such by the 1986 learned.

Brāhmanas are attached to each Veda, such as the Aitareya and Kausitaki Brāhmanas to the Rgveda, the Taittiriya to the Krsna Yajuryeda, the Śatapatha to the Śukla Yajuryeda, the Tāndya to the Sāmaveda and Gopatha to the Yajurveda. The Brāhmanas contain the oldest known specimens of prose in Indo-European languages, though prose formulas (probably earlier in date than those in the Brāhmanas) are found in the Krsna and Sukla Yajuryeda Samhitās. They are the primary source of information about sacrifices, ritual and priests. They contain numerous myths and legends put forward for illustrating ritual and sacrifices. They often mention contests between gods and asuras (titans) and abound in etymologies. Their contents can be divided into two broad classes, viz. injunctive (hortatory) passages (vidhis) and explanatory (or laudatory) passages (arthavādas). The scope and purpose of arthavādas will be explained later on. But one important point to be noted is that the Mimamsakas are strongly opposed to holding that any part of the Veda, even the smallest, is useless or meaningless.

It is now time to see how the Mimamsakas deal with the contents of the Veda. The extant Vedic literature is of

^{1985.} ऐतुर्निर्मचनं निन्दा प्रशंसा संशयो विधि-। परिक्रमा पुराक्तरपो च्यवधारणकल्पना। उपमानं दशैते तु विधयो ब्राह्मणस्य तु। एतत् स्थात् सर्ववेदेषु नियतं विधिलक्षणम्॥ q by शबर on II, 1.33 The तन्त्रवा explains that the word विधि in विधिलक्षण here means ब्राह्मण About व्यवधारणकल्पना it says 'यञ्चान्यधार्थ प्रतिभातः पौर्वापर्यान्त्रत्वेत स्पत्रधार्य अन्यधा अन्यधारणकल्पना तद्यधा प्रतिग्रह्णीयादिति छुतं प्रतिग्रह्णेविति कल्यपिचति।। and परकृति and पुराकृत्य वड 'एत्युक्यकर्तृत्वसुपाख्यानं परकृतिः प्रतिग्रह्णेवं पुराकृत्य...' The क्षह्माण्डपुराण II 34.63-64 explains 'अन्यस्पान्यस्य चौक्तिर्या द्वेथे सोनना पुराकृतिः। यो छात्यन्तपरीक्षार्थः स पुराकृत्य च्यन्ते॥।

¹⁹⁸⁶ स्वाध्याये पञ्चमानेषु येषु मन्त्रपर्द स्मृतम् । ते मन्त्रा नाभिधानं हि मन्त्राणां हक्षणं स्थितम् वन्त्रवार्तिक on पू भी. सू II. 1. 34

enormous extent and of greatly varied content. Once it is admitted that the Veda is self-existent and not the work of any author, human or divine, every sentence in it apparently becomes of infallible authority. The Veda being the only means of knowing Dharma, the Mimāmsakas had to accept that whatever the Veda says was authoritative and binding on them. as Śabara ¹⁹⁸⁷ admits. But many Vedic texts are apparently in conflict with each other and often are in conflict with ordinary experience. A few striking instances may be given to show how difficulties arose. The Tai. S V. 2. 7 and Mai. S state one should not pile the fire altar on (bare) earth, nor in the sky, nor in heaven'. 1988 No one can build a fire altar in the sky, nor in heaven. The Veda apparently prohibits something which is quite impossible and therefore this prohibition seems at first sight senseless. The Tai. Br. (III 8, 10, 5) states that by offering the Pūrnāhuti the performer secures all desired objects. Purnahuti yields all objects, then one need not perform any further rites of Agnihotra &c Does the Veda mean this? The Veda contains stories and legends about individuals e.g. Tai. S. mentions Babara Prāvāhani who desired to be an eloquent speaker and therefore performed a sacrifice called Pañcarātra and secured his object. The Veda would then be later in time than this Babara and this would forfeit the claim of being eternal &c. Therefore. Sabara states that a story that never happened is described for the sake of praise. This may be an escape from a tight corner but the explanation does not redound

¹⁹⁸⁷ शब्द्यमाणा नर्षे यच्छव्य आह तदस्माकं प्रमाणम्। शबर् on पू मी सू III 2 36. These very words occur in the महाभाष्य on नार्तिक 9 in the first आदिक

^{1988.} न पृथिन्यामग्निस्टेंबतस्यो नान्तरिक्षे न दिनि – इत्याहुः। असृतं वै हिरण्यमसृते वा एतदाग्निश्चीयते। मैं. सं III 2 6 Vide P. M. S. 1 2.5 and 18 on this and न्यवहारमयुख p 202 (which says it is निषेधानुवादमान्नस्) All that is meant is that, just as agnicayana in the air or sky is not at all known, so doing it on bare earth also is unknown and it should be done after placing a piece of gold on the ground This is a stuti (laudation) of gold. पूर्णाहृति is explained as पूर्णया सुन्ता आहृति by the com. on कारयायनश्री स् IV 10.5. व्यवर प्रावाहणिरकामयत वान्त्र अवदिता स्यामिति स एतं पञ्चरात्रमाहरत् तेनायज्ञत ततो वै स वान्तः प्रविद्याद एव विद्वान् पञ्चरात्रभा पज्ञते अवदितेव वान्त्रो भवत्ययो एनं वान्त्रसरित्याहुः। तै. सं. VII. 1. 10 2-3. प्रावाहणि would mean 'son of प्रवाहण' Vide P. M. S., I. 2. 6 and 18 for this ज्ञावर remarks 'असहृत्तीन्तान्वाल्यानं स्तृत्यभैन महीताया गम्यमानत्वात्' on I. 2. 10, on which तन्त्रवा remarks 'एवं वेहेणि विधिना तावत्कळमवगितमर्थवादास्वसत्येन नाम प्ररान्त्यम्त न तद्गते सत्यासत्यत्वे किंचित् वृथयतः प्रविनमात्रीएकारित्यात्। तस्माहृपाल्यानासत्यत्वमतन्त्रम् । तन्त्रवा त्यासत्यत्वे किंचित्र वृथयतः प्रविनमात्रीएकारित्यात्। तस्माहृपाल्यानासत्यत्वमतन्त्रम् । तन्त्रवा, on I 2. 10.

to the credit of the Veda which is here admitted to have manufactured a non-existent story for pushing up one of its injunctions: besides, if people come to know from what Sabara says that the story is false, people would not be induced to go in for performing that rite. A true story might encourage persons to follow the prescription of the Veda in this case. The Tantravartika (p. 125) on this explanation of Sabara tries to meet the objection raised here. Sometimes Veda is divided into three portions viz. Vidhi, Arthavada and Mantra. names of yagas like udbhid and visvajit being placed under vidhi. The Ślokavārtika refers to this threefold division in its last verse. 1989 Though the whole Veda is the only means of right knowledge about what Dharma is i. e. what should be done and what should not be done, the different parts of the Veda are not all directly related to right knowledge about Dharma. Large portions of the Veda are related only mediately to the principal part, 1990 In one place Sabara very succintly but clearly defines the three classes of Vedic texts and illustrates them. The Veda is also divided into five parts viz. vidhi. arthavāda, mantra, nāmadheya and pratisedha. These five have already been mentioned above. Here some details will have to be added on each of these. Vidhi is an injunction (or exhortation) that is meaningful on account of enjoining a matter that has a (useful) purpose and it prescribes

^{1989.} इति प्रमाणत्विमिदं प्रसिद्धं युक्त्येह धर्म प्रति चोद्यनाया.। अत परं तु प्रविभज्य धेवं त्रेधा ततो वश्यित यस्य योर्थ ॥ q ın मी वा प्र. p. 7, on this न्या. र remarks 'तेन सिद्धेपि चोदनाप्रामाण्ये तत.परं विध्यर्थवादमन्त्रात्मना वेदं त्रेधा विभज्य तस्तुत्यादि प्रयोजनप्रतिपाद्यने कुत्त्नस्य वेदस्य तन्मुलुयोश्च स्वृत्याचारयोधीम प्रति प्रामाण्यसुपरितने पाद्यत्रे पतिपाद्यिप्यत हृति समस्तोध्यायः प्रमाणलक्षणं, नैवेह समाप्तमिति। On the पूर्वपक्षय्व 'उक्तं समाम्नोधेदमर्थ्य तस्तात् सर्व तद्यी स्थात् (पू मी. सू I. 4. 1) शक्य states 'फश्चिदस्य (वेदस्य) भागो विधियोऽविद्वितमर्थ वेद्यति यथा सोमेन यजेतिति। काश्चिवस्थिपादो य प्ररोचयन् विधि स्ताति यथा वार्खुव क्षेपिष्ठा देवता इति। काश्चिनमञ्जो पो विधितमर्थ प्रयोगाताले मकाश्चर्ति यथा वर्षिदेवसद्य द्यामि-इत्येवमादिः। अयं अर्थ यस्य सः इक्ष्मर्थः तस्य भावः ऐदमर्थम् (साम्नाय means वेद उक्तं refers to पू मी. सू I 2 1 (आम्नायस्य क्रियार्थताठ &c).

¹⁹⁹⁰ The शासदीपिका on I. 4 1 states 'तत्र चींद्रनैव साक्षात्रमाणम्। अर्ध-षाइमन्त्रस्मृतिनामधेपानि तच्छेपत्नेन तन्मूल्तेन च प्रमाण भवन्तीति धर्मप्रतितिरितिकर्तर्यता-रथाने नियत नियतन्ति । (p. 54). That निधि means something not known before or from another source follows from the पूर्वपक्षसूत्र I. 2 19 निधिर्वा स्थादपूर्वत्वात् &c. On I. 4.4 (p 333) शघर says 'अविदित्वेद्रनं च निधिरित्युच्यते।'; अज्ञातस्य दि झापनं पिधि.। शघर on X 3 20, on I 4.8 p 339 'यद्यझातस्त्रतो विधि, यदि झातरतनोत्तादः।... न ह्यारयातमन्तरेण स्ट्रस्य पा नामशब्दार्थस्यापारो निधीयते।; this last states that ordinarily a sidhi is laid down by a verbal form or by a potential passive participle (ending in य, तस्य, or अनीय).

something that does not follow (or is not established by) from any other authority. Sabara himself describes in many places what vidhi means. For example, the injunction 'one desirous of (attaining) Heaven should offer Agnihotra' prescribes (the performance of) homa that does not follow from any other authority and that has a beneficial purpose, its meaning being 'by the Agnihotra offering one should effect (the attainment of) svarga'. Where, however, a rite is otherwise established, all that can be done is only to enjoin an accessory with regard to it. Thus in the sentence 'one should offer an oblation with curds' the homa being already established by the words 'one should offer Agnihotra (if one desires heaven)' all that is enjoined is the offering of curds with regard to it, the meaning being 'by curds one should effect the oblation'. Vide Tup-tikā on P. M. S. VI. 3. 17 and M. N. P. p. 17 (B. O. R. I ed.). 1991

The collection of vidhis in the Vedic texts forms the core of the Veda and refers to many specific rites. The central element in a vidhi is the verb or verbal form, which will be analysed later on. The question is: how is one to recognize a vidhi. Sabara quotes a verse which those who know the propositions about the meanings of words and sentences declare traditionally, viz. in all the Vedas the fixed (or definite) sign of a vidhi is the words 'one shall do', 'it should be done', 'it ought to be done', 'it should be so', it ought to be so'. 1992 From this it follows that a vidhi is ordinarily couched in the optative form (called vidhiling in Sanskrit), and that a verb in the present tense cannot ordinarily be taken as laying down a vidhi 1993 But sometimes a vidhi may be inferred even from a text in which the verb is in the present tense. For example, there is a vedic text occurring in the Mahāpitryajña which states "he should follow holding the

^{1991.} यत्र तु कर्म प्रकारान्तरेण पातं तत्र तदुदेशेन ग्रुणमात्रविधानम्। यथा [']दध्ना खुद्धयात् । इरयञ्ज होमस्य [']अग्निहोत्रं खुद्धयात्। इरयनेन प्राप्तत्वात् होमोद्देशेन द्धिमात्रविधानम्, दक्ष्मा होमं मावयेत् इति। मी न्या. प्र. p. 17.

¹⁹⁹² एवं हि पदवाक्ष्यार्थन्यायविदः श्लोकमामनन्ति । क्रुर्यात् क्रियेत कर्तस्य भवेत्याः विति पद्धमस्। एतस्यात्सर्वेवेदेपु नियतं विधिलक्षणस्॥ ज्ञावर ०० पू मी. स्. 17. 3. 3.

^{1993.} ज्ञाबर himself says on पू मी सू 1.3.13. 'प्रतिक्जो हुणीते ... देवयजनमध्यवस्यन्तीति ! जाज विधिर्गम्यते वर्तमानकालप्रस्ययनिर्देशात् न न्द्राज्ञ वाक्रयक्षेपः स्तावकीरित !
and on III. 4 15 (while discussing तै. सं. II 5.2. 4 तस्माज्ञक्षम्यमानीःड्जूबानमिय
दक्षक्रत् इति माणापानविवात्मन्यत्ते) 'नाज्ञ विधायकः क्ष्वदस्यलभामदे य एप प्राणापानविवासम्यत्ते हति । वर्तमानापदेश एप न विधायकः स्तावकस्तु भवति मन्त्रवन्त्रतरः , similarly,
on IV. 1.32 (p. 1215) 'नाज्ञ विधितिभक्तिः, वर्तमानापदेशो द्यायमिति ।', मेधातिपि on
मज V. 40 employs very similar words.

fuelstick below the handle of the ladle in the pitryaiña, since the performer of rites for the gods holds the fuel-stick above the handle. 1991 This has been construed as a vidhi (exhortation) and not as a mere arthavada. Another example is that of Ratrisattras (Soma sacrifices occupying more than twelve days). A passage in the context of Ratrisattras states 'those who perform ratrisattra attain stability (or fame), are endowed with brahma lustre and eat or possess food'. This looks like a mere praise (arthavada) of the performance of ratrigattras, but really it is a vidhi about the reward of ratrisattra mentioned in the above passage and states an exception to the rule that svarga is the reward of a rite in which no reward is specified by the Vedic texts. This is employed in the Mit. on Yai, III, 226 which states that the sin committed through ignorance is removed by the performance of penances Ordinarily, a sinful act is deemed to be destroyed by undergoing the penalty that God may inflict, but Yāj. states a special rule. Medhātithi on Manu V. 40 (stating that animals, birds and herbs dying by being offered in yajñas reach higher levels) says that this is merely an arthayāda and no vidhi can be imagined from this by the maxim of Rātrisattra. 1995 Vide Par. M. (I. 1. p. 149) which holds that an

^{1994.} दिष्टगताग्निहोत्रे महापित्रयज्ञे वा श्रूयते । अधस्तास्समिधं धारयस्तद्भवेद्धपरि हि देवेम्यो धारपति। quoted by तन्त्रवा. on III. 4. 6 (Sabara has dropped this sütra along with five preceding ones); it then proceeds 'पिज्ये होनेऽधस्तात् सुग्दण्डस्य समिद्धारियतथ्या । देवे च प्रनक्परिष्टादिति । विधित्वे चैवमादीनासुक्तः कल्पनामकारः । तस्माद्विधिरिति ॥' p. 899. It may be noted that the स्मृतिच (I. pp. 72-73) mentions this Vedic passage and the conclusion in its discussion about the validity of marriage with one's maternal uncle's daughter or one's paternal aunt's daughter, after quoting a Satapatha text I. 8. 3. 6 'तस्मात्समानादेव पुरुपादत्ता चाद्यश्च जायेते इदं हि चतुर्थे पुरुपे तृतीये संगच्छामहे इति विदेव दीव्यमाना जात्या आसते। (where the verbs are in the present tense and not in the optative mood and yet the Sm. C. holds that it is not a mere anuvada, but it leads to the formulation of a vidhi). Vide also परा मा 1. 2 pp. 66-67 for a similar treatment. It was held that the use of words like 'hi' indicating a reason or 'vai' (indicating what is well-known) is not generally allowed in a vidbi. Vide शबर on पू. भी स IX. 1. 41 (р 1690) ैन च विधीयमाने वैशन्दो भवति प्रसिद्धवचनो होप हृष्ट', न वै छेणानि सख्यानि सन्ति— इति पधा।'. न वे &c occurs in Rg. X. 95, 15

¹⁹⁹⁵ राजी. प्रकृत्य श्रूपते। प्रतितिष्ठन्ति ह वा य एता राजीकपयन्ति। ब्रह्मवर्चस्विनोर्ऽ-फादा भगन्ति य एता उपणन्ति। The words प्रति ... पन्ति occur in ताण्ड्यमहाब्राष्ट्राय 23. 2. 4. This is considered in पू मी स् IV. 3. 17-19. The सुप्रीका holds that there is a शिष्ठ here. The मिता॰ on Ya) III. 226 says 'म चेदमर्थवादमाञ्चम्। अधिकासिग्रितेषणाक्रांकाणं राजिसजन्यायेनार्थवादिककलस्पेव कल्पनाया न्याय्यवात्। अतो पुक्त प्रापिक्षत्तेरिपेत्येन द्वति।? This अधिकरण contains an exception to the (Continued on next page)

adhilūravidhi about one desirous of stability has been assumed from the passage about rātrisattra. Raghunandana in Ekādā-sitattva (p. 28) explains the sūtras (P. M. S. IV. 3. 17-19) and illustrates this nyūya.

Following the Vedas, the Smrtis also lay down numerous vidhis by means of verbal optative forms or participles ending in 'ya, tayya &c.'. For example, vide Manu IV, 25 'agnihotram ca juhuyat' and XI. 53 ('caritavyam-ato &c.') as instances of the two ways. From different points of view widh is classified in various ways. One classification is into four. Utpattividha (originative injunction). Viniyogavidhi (applicatory), prayoga vidhi (performance), adhika u-vidhi (eligibility). Utpattividhi is one which shows in a general way the nature of the rite, as in 'agnihotram juhoti' (he offers the agnihotra oblation): an applicatory injunction is one which conveys the connexion of a subsidiary matter with the main rite as in 'dadhna juhoti' (ne offers oblation with curds) and this is dealt with in the 3rd chapter of the P. M. S.; a prayogavidhi is an injunction that governs the order of parts in a rite and suggests non-delay or promptness in the performance, though often times it is implied rather than expressly stated. This is considered in the chapters IV and V of the P. M. S. An Adhikaravidhi (injunction of qualification or eligibility) is one that points to the ownership of the fruit of an action as in 'svargakamo yajeta' (he who desires to secure heaven should perform a yaga). 1996 This is the subject of the 6th chapter of P. M. S.

⁽Continued from last page)

general rule in पू भी. स्. IV 3.15 'स स्वर्गः स्यास्मवीन्त्रस्यविशिष्टलात् ग. About such special passages Sabara remarks 'चन्नु वर्तमानापदेशोयम्। सरयमेषमेतत्। आनर्थवयः परिदाराय फलन्वोदनया सम्बन्ध एपितन्यो भवति।। on पू भी. स् III. 4.24 (p. 955) and the तन्त्रवातिक on the same states 'सरयि चार्थवादसरूपत्ने पारार्थ्यानवभाष्णादे संजातियकेषु फलविधित्वं वर्ष्यति 'फलमात्रेयो निर्देशात्। इति।। फलमान is the सिद्धारत in पू भी. स्. IV. 3.18 (about राजिसन्न)

^{1996.} The मी. न्या प्र. gives the following definitions 'तिसिखं विधिः प्रयोजनवन्तमप्राप्तार्थ विधन्ते । तत्र फर्मस्यरूपमाञ्जवोधको विधिन्त्यात्तिविधिः, यथा अग्निहोत्र छहोतिति । अङ्गप्रधानसम्बन्धभोधको विधिन्तिविधिः। विधिन्तिविधिः। यथा द्वस्ता छुहोतिति । ... प्रयोगछहोतिति । अङ्गप्रधानसम्बन्धभोधको विधिः प्रयोगविधिः । स चाङ्गचार्ययेकतामापसः प्रधानविधित्व । ... फरुसाम्यबाधको विधिन्दिमिकारविधिः । फरुसाम्यं च कर्मजन्यफरुभोक्तृत्वस् । स च यजेत स्वर्गकाम इत्येकं
बाधको विधिन्दिमिकारविधिः । फरुसाम्यं च कर्मजन्यफरुभोक्तृत्वस् । स च यजेत स्वर्गकाम इत्येकं
बाध गृति हित्र प्रविद्यस्य छुहुयात् । Here छुहुयात् । इत्यत्तिविधि, सिख्दस्य वृद्धिन्दर्यः
'सायं गातः सिद्धस्य वृविद्यस्य छुहुयात् । Here छुहुयात् । इत्यत्तिविधि, सिख्दस्य वृद्धिन्दर्यः
would be विनियोगविधिः The अर्थसंग्रह gives another definition of प्रयोगविधि क्षिः
'अङ्गामां क्रमचोधको विधिः प्रयोगविधितित्विषे स्वरूपम्, (р 11 text) i. e. prayogavidhi
is one that conveys the order of the subsidiaries in a principal rife,

Another and an important classification is apurva-vidhi (injunction of something quite new and not otherwise established as in 'svargakāmo vajeta'), niyamavidhi (a restrictive injunction) as in 'he pounds the rice' and parisankhvavidhi (an injunction in form where two alternatives being simultaneously possible one is precluded). The Tantravartika defines these three in a well-known verse. 1997 For a sacrifice a plot of land is required which may be quite flat or may have ups and downs. Here there are two alternatives only and both cannot be availed of at the same time (i.e. a man cannot perform a sacrifice at the same time on even as well as on uneven ground). Therefore, 'same dese yajeta' (one should offer a sacrifice on an even spot) is a nyama (it restricts the performance to flat land only) and excludes the use of uneven land for a sacrifice. 'Five five-nailed animals are to be eaten'. This is a This sentence is not a vidhi, as eating flesh is parisankhyā already established by men's appetites. Nor is it a niyama, since one can eat the flesh of five-nailed animals as well as of other animals at the same time. It is a parisankhyā, i. e. it prohibits the eating of the flesh of animals other than of five five-nailed animals. In form the sentence is a vidhi (as it employs the word 'bhaksyāh' (which is optative or potential passive participle), but in substance it is a prohibition of eating the flesh of animals other than the five five-nailed ones. The

^{1997.} विधिरत्यम्तममाप्ते नियमः पाक्षिके सति। तत्र चान्यत्र च माप्ते परिसंख्येति कीर्र्यते ॥ विधिरेत्र हि केनिचिद्धिशेषेणैर्व भिद्यते । तत्र योऽस्यन्तममाप्तो न च प्राप्स्यति भाग्वचनादि-त्यवगम्पते तत्र नियोगः शुद्ध एव विधिर्पमा बीहीन्मोक्षतीति। यत्र तु भाग्वन्त्रनात् पाक्षिकी माप्तिः सम्मान्यते तत्रामासिपक्ष पूर्यम्यो विधिः प्रवर्तते स नियन्तृत्वाजियम इत्युच्यते यथा बीहीन-पर्न्तीति । तन्त्रवा. on I 2, 34 p 152. On P. M S. VI. 3, 16 the दुप्हीका says 'यन्न कदाचिद्रि मामोति स विधि । यथा, अग्निहोत्रं जुहुयात् — इति । पूतीकाः मामुबन्ति तरमाधुक्तसुक्तं नियमार्थे कचिद्विधि.।?. ' मेथा॰ on सत्तु, III. 45 (ऋतुकालाभिगामी॰) reads प्राप्ती in both balves and परिसंख्या नखिद्धितव १० नखिद्धित refers to the sentence पद्म पद्मनम्मा भक्षाः If these words are taken as परिसंख्पा the three faults are; 'पञ्च भदपा इति यदान्त्रयतः पद्मनखिषयं भक्षणं प्रतीयते तदा तत्त्यक्तं भवति, सद्दृश्यतिरिक्तनियेध-परत्राद्वादयस्य । अञ्चतश्च निपेध', अतः परार्धकल्पना । अधित्वाच्च सर्वविषयमक्षणं द्वारमाप्तं तस्य पाध । मेथा on मतु. III. 45. The sprinkling of water on rice in a rite is not established by any other means and is therefore a vidhi. Rice can be unbushed with the nails or by being pounded by the use of a mortar and pestle Therefore 'vribin avabanti' is a nizama, since it does not allow the use of nails and puts the restriction of pounding

word parisankhyā occurs in P. M. S X, 7, 4 and 7 and Sabara notes that it is liable to three faults. 1998

Dharmasastra writers make frequent use of the doctrine of myama and parisankhyā. Medhātithi on Manu III, 45 (rtukālābhigāmī syāt) has a long note on niyama and parisankhvā. quotes the verse of Tantravartika and explains the passage about five five-nailed animals. The Mit. also on Yaj on I. 79 (tasmin vugmasu samviset 'the husband should approach the wife on every even night next to the 4th night up to the 16th after her monthly illness starts) and on I 81 holds a lengthy discussion whether there is a vidhi or niyama or parisankhyā. The Mit. defines the three in prose. cites examples and tells us that some thought that there was only a parisankhyā. while Bhāruci. Viśvarupa, and others (including the Mit) hold that there is a niyamavidhi only in I. 79 and 81. Ap. Dh. S. II. 1. 17 deals with the same subject as Yaj. I. 79 and 81 and Haradatta says it is a niyama, while others thought it was a parisankhyā and that in any case it is not a pure vidhi. On Gautama V. 2 Haradatta comments that the acarva (i.e. Gautama) holds that there is a parisankhvā only (the sūtra is 'sarvatra vā pratisiddhavarjam'). Compare Yāj. I. 81 (yathākāmī bhaved-vāpi &c.), on which the Mit. emphatically says that in both Gautama and Yāj, there is a restrictive rule (nivama). Gautama prescribes that a brahmana should eat at the houses of persons of the three higher classes that are commended for the performance of their duties and should receive gifts also from such persons. Haradatta treats these two rules as 1999 parisankhyāvidhis. Āp. Dh. S. II, provides the rules of conduct for husband and wife after their marriage, the first being 'taking food two times (morning and evening)'. Haradatta takes it as a parisankhyā, meaning that eating a third time is prohibited (but they may or may not eat twice in the day), while others take it as a niyama meaning 'they must eat twice in the day'.

^{1998,} On पू मी. सू X 7.5 शबर says 'न चैतद्दास्त परिसंख्येति। तत्र हि वयो दोषाः। स्वार्थपहाणं परार्थकल्पना पातनाध्यक्षेति.) Vide शबर on III, 7, 33 for the same words As said in the भाह्यदीपिका 'शब्दतः फलतो वा यस्य शास्त्रस्थान्यतिद्वति-विवास परिसंख्याविद्विः। तत्र चान्यत्र च प्राप्तिनं ह सापि लक्षणघटिकेति ध्वेयम्। (p. 9. B. I ed)

^{1999.} शुदुपधातार्था भोजने प्रवृत्तिः। शक्या च यस्य कस्यन्तिहृहे शुक्षानेन शुदुपहन्तुस्। तत्र परिसञ्चाटे एवामेव गृहे ब्राह्मणो शुक्षात नान्येवामिति। हरदत्त on गौतम 17. 1, पाणिग्रहणा-द्धि गृहमेधिनोर्व्यतम्। कालयोर्भोजनम्। आप. घ स् II 1, 1, 1-2; कालयोर्श्वयोरिय भोजनं कर्तन्यं सार्य प्रातश्च। हरदत्त (this is a नियम and not परिसंख्या).

Nivamavidhis are of three classes, viz. those concerned with mainidhis (substitutes), those about pratipath (concluding act or final disposal of certain materials employed in a sacrifice). and those that are concerned with matters other than the preceding two. The Tandya-brahmana says 2000 ' if one is not able to obtain the some plant, one may extract juice from pūtīkas'. Jai. III. 6. 40 and VI. 3 13-17 deal with this matter and Jai. and Sabara prescribe that if no some plant is available in a some sacrifice. the sacrificer should substitute putikas for Soma and no other substance, even if the latter be more similar to Soma. can be used. 2001 The word pratipatti is used in several sūtras by Jai; vide IV. 2, 11, 15, 19, 22) The casting into water of all utensils and things smeared with some (such as the dregs left after Soma is extracted, the stones, two wooden boards and the post of udumbara in the midst of the sadas) at the time of the final purificatory bath (avabhrtha) in Jyotistoma is said to be pratipattikarma (P. M S. IV. 2. 22). This terminology is employed in Dharmasastra works. Manu (III, 262-263) provides that out of the three pindas (balls of boiled rice) that are offered to the three male ancestors of the performer of śrāddha the wife desirous of a son should eat the middle pinda (meant for the paternal grandfather) and Devala provides that the pindas may be given to a brahmana or may be eaten by a goat or a cow or cast into fire or water. 2002 This is the pratipatti of the pindas according to Apararka (on Yai, I, 256) and the Sm. C. II p 486. Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV pp. 480-481. The word pratipatti is opposed to a thakarma. For example, in Tai. S. we read after the some plant is bought, he gives the staff to the Maitravaruna priest'. Here the staff had been first given to the performer (Yajamāna) at the time of Dīksā and then transferred to the Maitravaruna who has several uses for it, viz. he can walk with its help in darkness, may enter water, cau prevent cows and snakes from coming near him and he can

²⁰⁰⁰ यदि सोभं न विन्देश: पूर्तीकानभिषुग्रुश्चर्यदि न पूर्तीकानर्श्वनानि च। ताण्ड्य IX 5, 3

^{2001.} नियमार्था गुणश्रुति । पू भी सू. III. 6 40; नियमार्थ क्विचिद्विधिः। पू मी सू VI. 3 16. on which Sabara comments 'सोमाभावे बहुपु सहकेषु प्राप्तेषु नियमः क्रियते। पूतिका अभिपोतस्या दृति। तस्मात्मितिविधसुपादाय प्रयोग क्रतेव्य दृति।।

^{2002.} तेन परनीप्राक्षित मध्यमपिण्डे पिण्डह्रयस्यापि प्रतिपत्तिः कार्याः अपरार्के p 550 (quoies नत्स्प 16 52-53 which are similar to याज्ञ. I. 256).

support himself on it. So this is different from pratinatti, where the substance is finally disposed of and no further use is made of it. This is dealt with in P. M. S. IV. 2, 16-18. This (giving of the staff) is a thakarma as opposed to pratipatti-karma. is mentioned in Tai. S. VI. I. 4, 2 (krīte some maitrāvarunāva dandam prayacchati &c.). Another example of pratipatti is the easting of the horn of the black antelope on the catvala (Tai. S. VI. I. 3. 8 and PMS IV. 2. 19). PMS XI. 2. 66-68 deal with an example of arthakarma. Cremating a sacrificer when dead with his implements of sacrifice (mentioned in Tai. S. I. 6, 8, 2-3) is pratipattikarma of the implements (P. M. S. XI. 3, 34). Manu V. 167 provides that if the wife of an ahitagni dies before him she is to be cremated with the sacred fires set up by him and with the sacrificial implements. An example of the third kind of nivama (which is not concerned with pratinidhi or pratipatti) is 'one should take his meal, 2003 facing the east'. A man can take food facing any direction but this restricts him to the east alone. Here no question of a pratinidhi or of pratipatti arisess.

Vidhis are also divided into Kratvartha (for the rite) and Purusārtha (for the man) They are concerned with 'Prayukti'. (motivating force) which is the subject of chapter IV of the P.M. S. P. M. S. IV. 1. 2 defines 2004 purusārtha and Śabara advances three interpretations of that sūtra, one of which is '(Purusārtha) is that matter on doing which follows the happiness of man, as its understanding is due to man's desire for obtaining it (happiness) and purusārtha (man's purpose) is not different (from happiness)'. From this rather obscure and clumsy definition it appears to follow that purusārtha is what a man ordinarily undertakes for securing the reward of happiness, while kratvartha is that which helps in the accomplishment of purūsārtha and does not itself directly yield any reward to the performer. All principal sacrifices like Darša-pūrnamāsa are included under purusārtha, while under kratvartha are put all those auxiliary acts that have the

^{2003.} प्राह्मसुखोऽस्नानि मुखीत — occurs in आप ध सू. I. 11, 31. 1.

^{2004. &#}x27;पस्मिन्नीतिः पुरुषस्य तस्य लिप्साऽर्थलक्षणाऽविभक्तत्वात्।' पू मी. सू IV.
1. 2. The meaning of the sūtra as given by मयुत्तमालिका on शासदीपिका ।
'यस्मिन् पदार्थे कृते पुरुषस्य श्रीतिः स्वर्गीदिलक्षणोपजायते स दर्शपूर्णमासादिः पुरुषार्थः
चतस्तस्य लिप्सा अनुष्ठामं अर्थलक्षणं पुरुषार्थस्य श्रीत्या अनिमक्तत्वात्।'. The words of
the sutra are underlined in this explanation. लिप्सा is a desiderative none
from the root 'labh' (to get) and means लब्धुमिन्छा. The मिता. on भा. II.
114, therefore, calls it लिप्सासूत्र.

purpose of accomplishing the principal rite itself e.g. the five 2005 praucias that are auxiliary to the Darsapurnamasa are Kratvartha, while Darsapūrnamāsa itself is purusārtha. The importance of this distinction lies in this that if what is kratvartha is not followed the rite itself becomes defective, while if what is purusartha is not followed, the result is that the man himself becomes blamable or sinful but the undertaking or rite does not become defective One set of the three interpretations of P. M. S. IV. 1. 2 given by Sabara is that it refers to the rules about the acquisition of wealth viz. that a brahmana should earn wealth by receiving gifts, a ksatriya by conquest and a vaisva by agriculture and the like (vide Gaut X. 40-42, Manu X. 76-79). These are in the nature of niyamas. If the acquisition of wealth is kratvartha and, if one acquires wealth by means other than those prescribed by the Sastra and performs a sacrifice with such wealth, the sacrifice itself would be defective and would not yield the desired reward. But if the acquisition of wealth be purusartha, then, by whatever means wealth with which a uana is to be performed be obtained, the sacrifice would not be affected. The Mit on 2005 Yaz. II, 114 quotes a passage from Guru Prabhākara (vide note 1947) which is quoted by the Dayabhaga also (II. 67) but without name, while the Sm. C. II. pp. 257-58), Madanarstna (on Vyavahāra pp. 324-325) and Vyavahāraprakāśa (p. 420) quote a similar passage from the Nayaviyeka. Višvarūpa also on Yāl II. 144 holds that rules about acquisition of wealth are 'purusartha'. To acquire wealth is natural to man and acquisition of wealth does not depend upon Śastra. Besides, every one can directly perceive that wealth when earned confers pleasure on the acquirer. Therefore, wealth is purusartha and sacrifices which are performed with wealth are also purusārtha. The general rule is that all angas (auxiliary rites) are kratvartha and all principal rites (like

²⁰⁰⁵ The Tai. S III. 6, 1. 1 mentions five prayājas (oblations of clarified butter) introductory to the principal offerings of Darśapūrņamāsa viz. 'Samidho yajati, tanūnapātam jajati, ido yajati, barhir-yajati, siābākāram jajati' There is a difference of view as to whether these are names of rites or of devatās Vide H. of Dh. vol II p. 1057 note 2361

^{2006.} The मिता॰ explains 'यहा द्रव्यार्जननियमानां कत्वर्यत्वं तदा नियमार्जितेनैव द्रव्येण फतुसिद्धिनं नियमातिकसार्जिते द्रव्येण फतुसिद्धिनं नियमातिकसार्वेषः पूर्वपत्ते। सिद्धाने त्यज्ञानियमस्य एउपार्थत्वाज्ञद्विकमेणार्जितेनापि द्रव्येण क्रतुसिद्धिर्भवति, प्रश्वद्येव नियमातिकसदोष द्रति नियमातिकमार्जितस्यापि स्तत्वमङ्गीकृतस्य। अन्यया ऋतुसिद्धच्यायात्। Intro. to पा. II. 114.

Darśapūrnamāsa, Somayāga) are purusārtha; all texts prescribing the rewards of rites are purusārtha. Some individual examples may be given.

In the Śankhāyana Br. (VI.6) after saying that certain observances (wrata) must be followed by the sacrificer, it is provided that he should not see the sun rising or setting. These observances are called 'Prajāpativratāni' by Śabara and are declared by him to be purusārtha, the meaning being that the sacrificer should make a resolve not to see the rising or setting sun.

This distinction between Kratvartha and Purusartha is often taken over into Dharmaśāstra matters. For example, Yai, I 53 provides that one should marry a girl who is free from diseases, has a brother and who does not belong to the same gotra or mavara as that of the bridegroom. The Mit 2337 explains that the status of being a wife does not at all arise if the girl is a sapında or is of the same gotia or piavaia as the bridegroom (i. e. marriage itself is null and void), but a girl who has some disease when married becomes a wife, the only result being that there is a visible defect (such as a diseased wife is a constant source of anxiety and unhappiness). Kullūka on Manu III. ? (providing that one should not marry a girl from a family in which prevail diseases like phthisis. epilepsy, white and black leprosy, refers to this doctrine of Sabara elaborated above. The Mit. on Yaj III. 280 quotes a verse 'a wise man should not marry a girl who has no brother, nor father, for fear that she may be a putrika (daughter appointed as a son). Here the prohibition is like the prohibition of marrying a deformed girl i. e. it is a perceptible purpose. Therefore, the marriage would be valid i. e. the prohibition is purusartha-Manu says (IX. 168) 'that is known to be an adopted son whom the mother or father might give (to another) with water in a time of distress &c. '. The Mit. on Yaj. II. 130 quotes this verse and remarks that, as the word 'distress' is specifically mentioned, a son is not to be given in adoption to another when there is no distress and that this prohibition would affect only the giver (but not the act of adoption) i.e. this prohibition would be

^{2007.} सपिण्डा-समानगोत्रा-समानम्बरासु भाषांत्वमेव नोत्पद्यते रोगिण्यादिषु उ भाषांत्वे उत्पन्नेऽपि दृष्टविरोध एव! मिता on पा I. 53; this means that the provision against marrying a sapinda, sagotra or sapravara girl is ऋत्वर्ध, while that about not marrying a diseased girl is only पुरुषार्थ.

purusārtha and not kratvartha. ²⁰³⁸ It may be noted that the Vyavahāramayūkha disagrees and holds that the prohibition is kratvartha. It may be said in a general way that those prescriptions that have an invisible, spiritual or other-worldly result or reward are kratvartha, while those that have a visible result are purusārtha.

Before proceeding further it is necessary to analyse the notions underlying the word 'yajeta' used in such Vedic sentences as 'one desiring heaven should offer a sacrifice' (svargakāmo yajeta). In the word 'yajeta' 2009 there are two parts viz. the root 'yaj' (to sacrifice) and the termination (of injunctive third person singular). In the termination also there are two parts (elements) viz. general verbal nature and injunctiveness (or injunctive force). General verbal nature is found in the ten laka as (verbal forms in ten moods and tenses). but injunctiveness is found only in the injunctive form. Both general verbal nature and injunctiveness express only bhavana (force that brings into being something, efficient force). Bhāvanā (lit) means a particular operation or activity of an agent which conduces to the coming into being of the result (lit. which is to come into being). And this bhavana is of two kinds, $\dot{s}\bar{a}bd\bar{i}$ (word force) and $\bar{a}rth\bar{i}$ (result-producing force).

It has been already stated that vidhis are the very core of the Veda. The doctrine of bhāvanā is the very heart of vidhis and is therefore one of the most important doctrines of the mimāmsā.

In ordinary life when a person says to another 'this should be done by you', the prompting to do something comes from a person. But Veda has according to the Mimāmsā no author, human or divine. Therefore, in a Vedic vidhi the prompting arises from the injunctive or the optative form of the word; there is no person, human of divine, behind the injunction; hence the bhāvanā is said to be 'sābdī (i. e. based on the word itself and not on the will or direction of a person). There-

^{2008.} आपर्अदणादनापदि न देगः। दातुर्यं प्रतिपेधः। मिता on या II 130; the हर. म. p. 107 disagrees. अर्थं निपेधो दातुरेत पुरुपार्थः, न ऋत्वर्थं इति विज्ञानेश्वरः। तदा। अस्य वाज्ञ्यादष्टष्टार्थतया ऋत्वर्थावरामात्।ः

^{2009.} यथाएि यजेतेत्यज्ञास्त्यंशद्वयम्, यजिर्धातुः मत्ययश्च । तज्ञ प्रत्ययेऽप्यस्त्यंशद्वयम्, आरुपाततः टिक्त्व च। आरुपातत्वं च दशस छकारेषु विद्यते। टिक्क्तं च पुनः केवर्ट टिक्ट्येव। तज्ञारुपातत्विटिक्त्वाभ्या भावनवोच्यते। भाउना माम भवितुर्भवनाद्यकूटो भावकप्पापारिक्षियः। ता प द्विविधा शा सी भावना, आर्थी भावना खेति। मी भ्या. प्र. pp. 4-6 (BORI ed.),

fore. śābdi-bhāvanā is defined as a particular operation (or activity) of an agent (here the word of the Veda) that conduces to the activity of a man; and it is expressed by that part or element which is optative. It is called 'sabdi' because it is (centred in the word of the Veda) and not ' śabdanistha purusanistha (centred in a person). In a śābdībhāyanā there are three elements viz. (1) there is prompting of the agent to activity, (2) the injunction is the instrument and (3) the manner is furnished by the desirableness proclaimed by arthavada passages. The sabdi-bhavana gives rise to arthi-bhavana. In an arthi-bhavana (that aims at or seeks the artha, the phala) also there are three elements viz. (1) svarga is the phala that is to be brought about, (2) the means or instrument is vaga. (3) there is also the procedure or method (itikartavyata) of the yaga. All this is based on the P. M. S. sūtra II. 1. 1. on Śabara's bhāsya and certain important verses of the Tantravārtika quoted below. This whole discussion leads on to the idea of apūria. Yāga lasts for a short time, while svarga comes to a man after death, which may be years after the performance of the sacrifice. What is the connecting link between vaga and svarga (the cause and the result)? It is the force or potency generated by vaga that brings about svarga.

Briefly, the idea is; both the root and the affix together express the sense of the affix and as the bhāyanā is the princpal element in this, it is held to be the sense of the affix. There are numerous 2010 'bhāyasabdas' such as yajati, juhoti, dadāti.

^{2010.} भाषार्थाः कर्मशब्दास्तेम्यः क्रिया प्रतीयेतेष स्वर्था विशियते । प्र. मी. स. II. 1.1; in the मयुद्रमालिका on शास्त्रहीपिका this is explained as follows: भागार्था, भागना-प्रयोजनकाः ये कर्महान्दाः धातउस्तेम्पोऽपूर्व प्रतीयेत एव हि धालर्थः पद्धरया भावनाकरणस्त्रेन विधीयते. कर्मशब्दाः means कर्मप्रतिपादकाः. कः प्रमर्भातः के ते प्रमर्भावशब्दा दृति। यजित द्वदाति छुद्दीरयेवमाद्यः।...यजेतेत्येवमादयः माकाद्भक्षा यजेत किं केन कथमिति स्वर्गकाम प्रत्येतेन प्रयोजनेन निराकाङ्क्षाः। इायर (q above in note 1962); अभिधाभाउनामाहुरन्यामेव लिटादय:। अर्थातमभावना त्वन्या सर्वीत्व्यातेषु गम्यते। तन्त्रवा. p. 378; शास्त्रे त सर्वत्र प्रत्ययार्थी भारनेति च्यवहारः। तत्रायमिभायः। प्रत्ययार्थं सह वतः प्रकृतिप्रत्ययी सवा। प्राधानयान्त्रावना तेन प्रत्ययार्थोऽवधार्यते॥ तन्त्रवा. p. 380. The महाभाष्य on पार्तिक 2 on पा. III. 1. 67 has the maxim प्रकृतिप्रत्यथी प्रत्ययार्थ सह अतः and झगर refers to it as आचार्यपितेश on III. 4. 13 p 922. Pāṇini coins special technical names for tenses and moods and does not employ words expressive of meaning, present, past or future. They begin with & and therefore are called Exits. They are: लद्ध (वर्तमान, present); लेड (Vedic subjunctive), लिह (परीक्षे लिह, perfect), हुङ् (Aorist), लङ् (अनदातनमृत Imperfect), लिङ् (optative, पा. III. 3, 161, 173), स्रोट (blessing or prayer, ज्. III. 1. 173), सुद (distant future in (Continued on next page)

dogdhi, pinasti. These are of two sorts, viz. pradhana (orincipal) and gunabhūta. 2012 Those by which a substance is not intended to be produced or embellished (or made fit) for a religious rite, are expressive of principal karma (such as pravaias), while those which are meant to produce a substance or to make a substance fit are aunabhuta (such as pounding rice grains or chiselling a piece of wood for making a sacrificial post. wiping sruc ladle) Therefore the latter kind of actions are called gunakarma. There are two kinds of verbal forms (1) those in which the form only conveys that the karta (doer of the action) exists such as the forms 'asti. bhavati, vidyate'; (2) there are others where not only there is the existence of the kartā but there is also the understanding of some activity that produces a result such as 'vaiati' (meaning 'vagam karoti'), 'dadāti' (i. e. dānam karoti), 'pacati' (pākam karoti), 'gacchati' i. e gamanam karoti). That is, in such cases the sense of karoti is also understood. Jaimini (in P. M. S. II. 1. 4) divides words into two classes viz. Nāmām (nouns) and Karmašabdāh (verbs). Under the first Sabara would include pronouns and adjectives. The 2nd is called 'ākhyāta' Sabara (on II, 1, 3.) paraphrases (nāmāni as 'drayya-gunasabdāh ' and remarks that in the sūtra (II. 1. 3) the word 'nāmāni' is employed in the sense of dravvagunasabdāh' 2012. Sabara remarks that dhātvartha (the

⁽Continued from last page)

सा), लट् (future in स्य), लड् (conditional). The words भावार्थाः कर्मशब्दा echo the निरुक्त I. 1 भावमधानमाख्यातम्

²⁰¹¹ नद्ध केपं शाब्दी भावना। उच्यते पुरुषमञ्चरसमुकूले व्यापारविशेषः। स एव विषयि (१. ६. विधिश्ववार्धः)। लिङादिश्रवणेऽयं मा प्रवर्तपतिति नियमेन प्रतितिः। मी. न्या प्र. p 267 (BORI ed.) and अतस्य शब्दिन्छ एव भेरणापरपर्याची व्यापारः शब्दी भावना। मी न्या प्र. p. 269. र्वर्येच्छाजनितो योगानिषयी य. प्रयत्न स भावना (आर्थी) स एव चाल्याताशेनोच्यते। यजेतेत्याख्यातश्रवणे पागेन यजेतेति प्रतिकायमानव्यात्। मी न्या प्र. p 274 Vide तन्त्रवार्तित pp. 376-377.

²⁰¹² तानि हैधं ग्रुणमधानसूतानि। रैंट्रेंब्यं न चिकीव्येते तानि प्रधानसूतानि द्रव्यस्य ग्रुणभूतत्वात्। पेरतु द्रव्य चिकीव्येते ग्रुणस्तव प्रतीयेत तस्य द्रव्यप्रधानत्वात्। पू मी सू II 1 6-8 and क्षायर thereon, विहिते कर्मणि तद्यङ्गनया द्रव्यदेवतादिविधायकं शाक्यं ग्रुण-धार्यं द्रारा जुहुयादिति। मी परिभाषा p 2

meaning of a verbal root) can have no ākāṅksā (expectancy) for Dharmas but it is the pratyayārtha that has ākāūksā for the procedure (itikartavyatā).

We must now turn to the 2nd great division of Vedic texts. viz. Arthavadas. They are dealt with in P. M. S. first adhvava. There are numerous Vedic passages such as 'he roared: because he roared he came to be called Rudra' (Tai. S. I. 5. 1. 1.), 'Prajapati cut out his own fat' (Tai. S. II. 1. 1. 4), 'the gods, having reached the sacrificial ground, did not know the directions' (Tai S VI. 1. 5. 1.); 'who knows whether one lives in the other world or not '(Tai, S. VI. I. 1. 1.); 'there should be no piling of fire altar on the earth nor in the sky nor in heaven' (Tai. S. V 2.7.1). The objector says 'you have yourself declared that enjoining religious rites is the purpose of the Veda' (P. M. S. I. 1. 2). The above and similar passages do not serve any purpose in the matter of religious acts, they are therefore useless and are non-eternal (or do not refer to any permanent matter). The reply is that these passages form one syntactical or connected whole with hortatory passages of the Veda and they serve the purpose of commending (or glorifying) the hortatory Sabara cites on I. 2. 7 the passage 'one desiring prosperity should sacrifice a white animal in honour of Vayu; Vāyu indeed is the swiftest deity; he runs near Vāyu with the share proper (to Vāyu); he (Vāyu) leads the sacrificer to prosperity', 2014 These words form one whole passage; the first

⁽Continued from last page)

grains therein when the water is boiling, stirring it and taking it down from the fire. The निरुक्त says 'भावमधानमाख्यातम् सस्त्रप्रधानानि नामानि ... पूर्धपरीधूतं भावमाख्यातेनाचाडे द्वाजति पचतीति उपक्रममभृत्यपवर्भपर्येन्तम् ॥। (I, I) हावर also follows this 'एनं तस्याख्यातस्याधितपित्रान्ति, उपक्रममभृत्यपवर्भपर्येन्तमाहिति। उपक्रमादारम्य यावरपरिममासिरित्येताचाच् व्यापारविशेषस्तरस्याधों न वथा पाकः, त्यायाः इति। सच्च दि पाकसत्तामाञ्च निर्दिश्यते चारम्य परिसमापयितव्यमिति।। on पू. मी स् VI. 2. 13; का दि धात्वर्धस्य च धर्माणा च परस्परेणाकाक्षा विवते, मत्ययाधीन दीतिकार्तव्यताकांत्रपति कार्व्य क्षायमिति। जावा प्रस्परेणाकाक्षा विवते, मत्ययाधीन दीतिकार्तव्यताकांत्रपति कार्व्य क्षायमिति न यजिः क्षाद्वश्च द्वाराम्यकार्तव्य तस्यवितिकार्तव्यता सम्मन्धः। अपूर्व च तत्। तस्माद्युर्वप्रद्वा द्वारा क्षाद्वश्च द्वारा श्वार on पू. सी. स् IX 1.1 p. 1639

^{2014.} आस्नायस्य क्रियार्थत्वादानर्थक्यमतदर्थानां तस्मादनित्यसुन्यते (पूर्वपक्ष)। विधिन्ताः स्वेक्कवाक्यस्वात्सतुत्यर्थेन विधीना स्तुः। पू भी. सू I. 2. 1 and 7. The passage quoted on 1. 2 7 is 'वायन्य श्वेतमालभेत भूतिकामः। वार्युर्वे भेषिष्ठा देवता वार्युसेव स्वेन भागधेयेनीर पधावति। स एवेनं भूतिं ग्रामयति। This अर्थवाद (वार्युर्वे भेषिष्ठा देवता) is an auxiliary (श्वेष) to the विधि 'वायन्य ... लभेत । etc.; this occurs in ते. सं. II. 1.1.1. The भाग्य on I. 2. 10 (ग्रुणवादस्तु) points out the passages of which the three passages cited under I. 2. 1 are अर्थवाद्यः. For example, the passage 'सोडरोहीद्यवरोदीत्त- (Continued on next page)

part 'vāŷavyam ..bhūtīkāmah' is clearly a vidhi, as the word 'ālabheta (in the optative form) shows. The latter portion is an arthavāda for the purpose of commendation. People are familiar with the fact that Vāyu is swift. Therefore, the latter portion (Vāyurvai &c.) merely repeats what is already well known (that is, it is an anuvāda). In sūtras 19-25 of I. 2 the P. M. S. considers certain passages that look like vidhis but are declared to be arthavādas. For example, (Tai. S. II. 1. 1. 6) 'the sacrificial post is to be of udumbara wood; the udumbara wood is indeed vigour (or food or sap); cattle are vigour; by this strong (or juicy) post, he (sacrificer) secures cattle, for 'the securing of strength'. The objector says that this is a phalaudhi (an injunctive text about a result) as the words 'ūrjosvaruddhyai' contain the purpose and there is no word of commendation. The reply is that there is only commendation.

There are some passages in the Veda in which occur words like 'hi' (because) such as in 'one should offer into fire the oblation with the winnowing basket, because food is prepared by means of it' (Tai. Br. I. 6. 5). 2015 The question that arises is

⁽Continued from last page)

दुब्स्य रहत्वम् । is an अर्थवाद of 'बर्हिणि रजतं न देयं।' ते स. I 5.1 1-2. This arthavāda (सोंडरोदीत् etc.) is an auxiliary (ज्ञेष) to the प्रतिषेध 'बर्हिणि रजतं न देयम् The word अनित्य in the sūtra is purposely used Veda is nitya and therefore pramāna. Hence the passages not referring to any religious rite are different from the portion dealing with rites and anitya i e apramāna (not authoritative). Vide p. 927 note 1482 about calling utivis as merely arthavādas

^{2015.} हेतुर्वा स्यादर्थवन्त्रीपर्वत्तिभ्याम् । स्तुतिरतु विव्दपूर्वत्वादन्त्रीदना च तस्य । पू भी. स् 1. 2. 26-27, अथ ये हेतुवित्तगदा सूर्पेण छहोति तेन हार्च क्रियत इत्येवमादयः। तेपु सन्देवः। किं स्तृतिस्तेषां कार्यद्वा हेतुरिति। अस्मत्पक्षे पुनः शूपै स्तूपते। तेन हानं क्रियत इति वृत्तान्तान्वारुपानं न च वृत्तान्तज्ञापनायं किं तर्हि परोचनायैव। तस्माद्धेतुवित्तगदस्यापि रतुतिरेव कार्यमिति। ज्ञावर on I 2 30 जूर्प was used like a juhu ladle to make a homa of Karambhapātras (pots full of husked grains of yava slightly fried, ground and mixed with curds) in the Varunapraghasa, one of the caturmasyas The position of the P. M S is that what Veda declares is authoritative, there is no need to cite reasons for the statements of the Veda It may cite a reason for its declaration, even though no necessity exists. As stated by the Bhattacintamani "अनेन नेदविहितेऽथे हेलपेक्षा नास्तीति पार्थसार्थिमतिपादितानपेक्षत्वं हेतुग्राहर्येति सुचितम्। उक्तं च 'न हि वेदेनोच्यमानं हेतुमपेक्षते। इति ॥ ". Vide for a detailed discussion of this हेतुवाक्रियदाधिकरण in connection with the rule of Vasistha 15. 3-4 (na teekam putram dadyat pratigrbniyadva, sa hi santānāya pūriesām) in H. of Dh. vol III. pp 676-77 n. 1277 Here the first is a vidbi, 'dadyat' and 'pratigrbniyat' being in the optative mood, (Continued on next page)

whether this and other passages containing words edenoting reason should be construed as arthavadas commending what is enjoined in the preceding sentence or whether as stating a reason for the injunction. The established conclusion is that they are commendatory. If the other view were adopted (viz. that śruti gives a reason for the vidhi) then it may be argued that the ladle, pan and other utensils also may be used for making an offering (not merely the surpa), as they also are required in preparing food. Raghunandana in Malamasatattva p. 760 relies upon and explains this maxim with reference to Laghu-Hārīta's words 'cakravat parivarteta sūrvah kālavaśād yatah'. It should not be supposed that commendation (stute) is the purpose of all arthavadas. In 'he lavs down ancinted pebbles, ghee is indeed brilliance '(Tai. Br. III. 2 5, 12) a doubt arises about the material with which pebbles are to be anointed. That doubt is removed by the remainder of the passage that it is ghee with which they are to be anointed (P. M. S. I. 4. 24)

Arthavādas are of three kinds viz. gunavāda, anuvāda and bhūtārthavāda; 'when an arthavāda text is in conflict with ordinary experience it is metaphorical, when something is definitely ascertained by other means of knowledge and becomes the subject of a text it is called anuvāda and when a text is not opposed to other pramānas or is not definitely ascertained otherwise, it is called 'bhūtārthavāda' (statement of an accomplished fact, or of a past event); in this way arthavāda is of three kinds'. ²⁰¹⁶ An example of the first kind is 'during day-time

⁽Continued from last page)

while the latter part containing a reason (in 'sa hi &c') is on arthavāda, lauding the importance of a son) This topic of Hetuvan-nigadādhikarana figured very much in the case of Beni Prasad vs. Hardai Bibi I. L. R. 14 All 67 (FB). The court was misled on two points, by counsel as to the first and by Mandik's wrong statement (in 'Hindu law' p. 499) as to the 2nd. viz. that Jaimini flourished in the 13th century A. D and secondly (p. 73, 125) 'it is a rule of the Pūrvamīmāmsā that all texts supported by the assigning of a reason are to be deemed not as vidhis but simply as arthavādas (recommendatory)'. This case went up to the Privy Council as Radha Mohan vs Hardai Bibi L. R. 26 I. A. p. 153, where the Privy Council was more cautious and did not accept in its entirety the wrong statement of Mandlik

^{2016.} निरुक्त 1. 16 speaks of <u>चिंदतालुवादः</u> स भवति. विरोधे ग्रुणवादः स्याद्यक्षः वादोऽवधारिते। भूतार्थवादस्तद्धानादर्थवादिष्ठिधा मतः॥ q, by मी, धा. प्र, p. 48; अतः वादोऽवधारित इत्यरयोदाहरणं तु त्रसिंहाश्रमैरुक्तम्। अग्निर्दिमस्य भेपजस्-द्वति। तत्स्वाध्यायाः

only the smoke of fire is seen and not its flame '(this is Tai. Br II 1. 2 10). One sees both smoke and fire during the day and night. What this text desires to emphasize is that by day the light of fire is not so well seen as at night (or not well seen from a distance by day as at night).

'Fire is the medicine (autidote) for cold' (Vāj. S. 23. 10 and Tai S VII. 4. 18. 2) is cited by some as an example of anuvāda. The M B. P. finds fault with this on the ground that it is well-known as a mantra and does not form part of a vidhi by syntactical relation, and that it is cited by Nrsimhāśrama as an example owing to neglect of the study of the Veda or absent-mindedness. A proper example would be 'Vāyu indeed is the swiftest deity' cited above. 'Prajāpati cut off his own fat' is cited by some as an example of bhūtārthavāda, but the M. B. P. does not approve of it and cites the verse 'yan-na duhkhena sambhinnam' (cited above in note 1969) as an example.

The Mimāmsāparibhāsā of Kisnayajvan classifies Arthavādas into four kinds, viz. nindā (censure), stuti (praise), 'parakrtı (performance by another great person) and pur ākalpa (what

⁽Continued from last page) ध्ययनवैधुर्णाड् वैचित्रपाद्वा। भी बा. म p 48 It may be noted that मधुसूद्वन-सरस्वती in प्रधानभेद, अर्थसंबह (p 26, Thibaut) and M. M Jha cited this very text as an annvada (in 'Pūrva-Mīmāmsā in its sources' p 201) A strict definition of अनुवाद 15 'स नामानुवादो भवति योऽत्पन्तसमानार्थत्वेनावधार्यते । १ (तन्त्रवा॰ p 911 on II, 4. 13). Medhātīthi on Manu II 227 (=Matsya 211. 22) saying that a man cannot requite even in a hundred years the parents for the troubles they undergo in the birth and upbringing of the child holds that it is a भूतार्थीछ-वाद मधुस्तनसरस्तती 10 प्रस्थानमेद and अर्थसंग्रह (p 26) cites इन्झे वृत्राय वज्रसुत्वच्छत् as an example of स्तार्थवाद. and अर्थसंग्रह defines it as ' प्रमाणान्तर-विरोध-तत्मासिरहितार्थयोधको वादो मृतार्थवाद.! When the Tat S I 7. 4. 4. or II. 6. 5. 3 says 'yajamanah prastarah' or 'yajamanah yupah' the literal meaning is opposed to our perception (there is pratyaksavirodha), therefore the sentence has to be understood in a metaphorical sense' (as when a boy is called 'fire') and is therefore a गुणवाद, that is, the meaning in 'yajamānah yupuh' is that he stands up (or erect) like a sacrificial post and looks brilliant. Where a statement (not being a vidhi) is neither an annvada nor a gunavāda it is called vidyamānavāda or bhūtārihavāda lucidly explained by Sabara in P. M S I 4. 23 and by Sankaracarya on Vedantasutra I. 3 33. The first handful of darbha grass cut is called 'prastara'. These passages have to be interpreted in such a way as to avoid conflict with perception and other pramanas but also as intended to convey praise (of some vidhi already expressed) Vide भामती न च आदित्यों है पूप इति पाषचमादित्यस्य पूर्वत्यप्रतिपादनपरम्, अपि तु यूपस्तुतिपरम्!. The तृष्ण stressed is सैजरिशना (brilliance) since the post is smeared with पून

happened in bygone ages). ²⁰¹⁶ Devala states that sages have laid down expiation for the first wrong, for committing a second double of that, for committing a third time thrice as much but there is no expiation for committing it a fourth time. The Prāyaścitta-prakarana of Bhavadeva says that this is not to be taken literally, but it is only nindārthavāda. The P. M. S. itself (VI. 7. 26 and 30) states that parakrti and purākalpa are arthavādas.

The Vyavahära-mayūkha quotes a verse of Devala 2017 'on the father's death the sons should divide the paternal wealth, for they have no ownership as long as the father who suffers from no blemish (that deprive him of ownership) is alive'. Here the first half lays down a time for partition (it is a vidhi), the latter half is only an arthavāda praising the vidhi and means that, as long as the father is alive, the sons are not independent and not that they have no ownership in ancestral property.

Smrtis also abound in arthavādas. For example, Medhātithi on Manu V. 56 (na māmsabhaksane dosah) says that from V. 28 up to V. 56 all verses except two or three are arthavādas. Medhātithi points out in several other places in the Manushrti a few vidhis and numerous arthavādas. For example, Manu II. 117 contains a vidhi about abhtvādana, while verses II.

^{2016.} स (अर्थवादः) च चतुर्विधः निर्म्या-महासा-परक्राति-प्रराक्षरणमेदात्। ... परेण महता पुरुपेणेवं कर्म क्रुतमिति प्रतिपादकोर्थवादः परक्रतिः - यथा अग्रिमी अमामयतः - हत्यादिः। परमक्ककार्थोदिमतिपादकः प्रराक्षरः - यथा तमहापद्धिया थिया थ्या वध्यासु - हत्यादिः। मी॰ परि. pp 27-28. On मह II. 151 where an Angirasa taught his pitrs and is said to have called them 'putrakāh' मेधातिथि remarks 'पूर्वस्य पितृमृद्धृतिविवेद्यं वाद्योयं परक्रतिनामा। The वायुप्राण (59.134-137) defines मिथि, स्तृति, निन्दा, परकृति वाद्योयं परक्रतिनामा। The वायुप्राण (59.134-137) defines मिथि, स्तृति, निन्दा, परकृति वाद्योयं परक्रतिनामा। कि. परकृति वाद्योयं च महाव्यथमः स्याद्योयं ह्याद्यभितेनम्। ... अर्थवादो या विधिह्मेयत्वाचसमाक्रित्याद्यादाः स्यात्। प्र. भी, स्., VI. 7. 26 and 30 The story of sunahsepa whom his father sold to Hariscandra's son and was wiling even to kill him as offering to Varuna (natrated in the Ait, Br.) is really an अर्थवाद of the परकृति type, Vide मह X. 105 where this legend is mentioned and तथान thereon.

^{2017.} यस देवलः पितर्युपरते पुत्रा विभज्ञेस्थर्मं पितः। अस्त्राम्यं हि भरेदेयां निर्दार्थे पितिः स्थिते – तत्र पूर्वार्धे ताबिद्धभागकालिधायकं निर्धियस्यश्रवणातः, उस्तर्धि त तस्पैव स्तृत्यर्थत्वयाऽस्त्राम्ह्यप्यं न त स्वत्याभावप्यम्। स्य. म p. 90. The स्य. म. extends this to a stitra of Sankha which forbids partition by sons during the father's life-time even of property earned by them after they are born and states that they have no independence as regards wealth and religious ceremonies during the father's life and that the words about absence of independence are only an arthavada eulogising the prohibition about non-division.

118-121 are arthavādas in relation to it. In Manu II. 165 a vidhi is laid down for the study of the whole Veda by persons of the three upper classes; when Manu (X. I) again lays down that the three classes should study the Veda, this is merely an anuvāda. Medhātithi on Manu. IX. 135 remarks that many verses in Manu contain arthavādas.

The Vasistha-dharmasūtra and Visnudharmottara²⁰¹⁸ provide that cow's urine, excreta, milk, curds, clarified butter, water in which kuśas have been dipped and a fast for a day and night will purify even a śvapāka. A Śvapāka was the lowest amongst untouchables and followed the same avocations as a cāndāla and was governed by the same rules (vide Manu X. 51-56). This verse is not to be taken literally, as nothing could make a cāndāla touchable. Therefore, this is a mere arthavāda meant to praise the purifying effects of pañcagavya and a fast.

It would have been noticed that every Vedic passage is not of the nature of a vidhi (of an injunctive or exhortatory character). There are numerous Vedic passages that are commendatory of a vidhi, condemn an act that is prohibited, give an illustration of some one having performed the vidhi in the past or put forward an easily understood reason for the specific act which is enjoined. These commendatory, condemnatory and illustrative passages are not to be treated as unnecessary or purposeless, but are to be taken along with the *vidhi* passages to complete their full import. This doctrine of arthavadas saves a huge portion of Vedic texts from being neglected or treated as unnecessary.

The Garudapurāna states 'Gāndhārī, who observed a fast on Ekādaśī mixed with daśamī, lost her hundred sons, therefore Ekādaśī mixed with daśamī should be given up'. Here the first part is only s nindānuvāda (i.e. it indirectly supports the positive rule 'tām parivarjayet'), as the maxim is that 'mndā in a text is not meant for the mere sake of condemnation but for prescribing the opposite of what is condemned.' Vide Krtyaratnākara p. 635 for this interpretation. The M. B. P. (pp. 50-58) gives another classification of arthavādas into 38 varieties. They are passed over here from considerations of space,

The arthavadas constitute the largest part of the Veda, particulary in the Brahmana texts. About arthavada the

^{2018.} गोमून गोमर्च क्षीरं दिध सर्पि कुशोदकम्। एकरात्रोपवासश्च श्वपाकमपि शोधयेत्। एसिष्ट 27 3, विष्णुधर्मोत्तर II 42 31-32.

Tantravārtika makes 2019 a general statement that arthavāda passages coming after *vidhi* passages are weak, while those that come before vidhis are stronger.

The 3rd class of Vedic texts are mantras. They have already been treated above (vide p. 1220, notes 1979 ff.). Some mantras also lay down an injunction as in Rg X. 117. 5 'prnivad-innādhamānāya-tavyān' (the strong must bestow wealth on one who begs), also the mantra 'vasantāva kapinjalānālabhate' (Vāi S. 24. 20) cited above on p. 1221. But generally mantras are only expressive or assertive and call to mind things that are connected with the acts enjoined by vidhi-vakyas (injunctive passages). The Tantravartika 2020 notes that it is definitely understood that religious acts that are performed with mantras that recall to the mind the things enjoined lead to prosperity (or to svarga). The reader would notice how the Pürvamimämsä system came to relegate mantras to a secondary place and made them play a passive role in the sacrificial system. The Rgveda contained sublime prayers, but in the mimamaa system the most prominent place was given to Brāhmana texts, that contained almost all the vidhis. It has been seen above pp. 950-952 how Reveda mantras are full of faith (bhaktı) in God and fervent appeals to God after confession of sin and repentance. vide Rg. VII. 86. 4-6 (kim-aga asa varuna 19estham &c); Rg. III. 39 iq a hymn full of beatitude, verse 2 of which says 'this prayer (dhih) born of yore in heaven, sung in the holy assembly with earnestness, dressed in pure (lit-white) and auspicious garb is ours, ancient and inherited from our forefathers'

The 4th part of Vedic texts bearing on Dharma is 'Nāmadheya' (proper names of sacrifices). There are such texts as 'one should sacrifice with the udblnd' (Tāndya Br. 19. 7. 2-3), 'one desirous of possessing cattle should sacrifice with $ctr\bar{u}$ ' ²⁰²¹ (Tai.S. II. 4. 6. 1) The question is whether in these passages what is laid down is some substance to be offered in some rite (as in

^{2019.} चे हि विध्युद्देशास्परस्तावर्धवादाः श्रूयन्ते तेपामस्ति दौर्घल्यम्। च पुरस्तान्सृयन्ते ते मुख्यत्वाद् धलीपांसी भवन्ति। तन्त्रवा ०० III 3 2.

^{2020.} ज्ञाबर 02 पू. मी सू I. 2 32 says अर्थपरवायनार्थमेन यज्ञे मन्त्रीज्ञारणप्। यज्ञाङ्गपकाशानमेव प्रयोजनम्।; मन्त्रेरेव स्मृत्वा कृतं कर्माम्युद्यकारि भवतीश्यवधार्यते। तन्त्रवा on II, 1. 31 p. 433,

^{2021.} पशुक्तामी यजेत। यदुद्धिदा यजते घलमेवासमै विश्वागयति यद्दलभिदा बलमेवासमै भिन्ति। ताण्ड्य. 19 7. 2-3. Vide H. of Dh. vol. II p 269 n 618 for the application of the Udbbidadhikarana (P. M S. I. 4 1-2) by संस्कारपकाञ्च and above p. 137 by the समयमयुख

'dadhnā juhoti) or whether they are names of sacrifices. No substance is well-known as 'udbhid' (as dadhi is a well-known substance). Citra means a female animal of variegated colour. If it is gunavidhi (in the case of 'citraya yajeta'), there would be the fault of Vakyabheda (one sentence broken up as laying down two vidhis) i.e. the injunction would be that a female pasu was to be sacrificed (not a male) and further injunction that its colour should be variegated. Therefore, Udbhid, Citra, Balabhid, Abhijit, Viśvajit (Kausītaki Br. 25, 14) and Agnihotra (P. M. S 1 4 4), Vājapeya (P. M S I, 4 6-8), Vaisvadeva (P. M. S I 4 13-16) are names of rites and are not substances. Similarly, in 'Syenenabhicaran vajeta' (one practising black magic for bringing about the death of an enemy may perform a yaga called syena). Here 'syena' is the name of a yaga. because the yaga swoops down upon the enemy and seizes him just as a falcon swoops down upon its victim and catches it (Sadvimsa Br. III 8. 1. 3). The point to be noted is that these names serve the purpose of 2022 particularising the meaning of what is enjoined. The Vedic text 'svādhyāyosdhyetavyah' enjoins the study of the Veda consisting of all parts including nămadheyas of sacrifices and we directly see that in such Vedic vidhis as 'Citrayā yajeta paśukāmah' the name citrā is a part of the vidhi. Therefore, nāmadheva also is a purusārtha and is authoritative like other parts of the Veda (vide Śāstradīpikā on Jai. I. 4, 1) In the sentences mentioned above yaga is enjoined with the fruit as the object, since it is not otherwise established. Since it is not intended to lay down a general injunction to sacrifice, a specific variety of sacrifice has to be enjoined. When one wants to know the specific variety enjoined, from the word 'udbhid' it is known that it is the sacrifice called udbhid Dharmasāstra writers apply this maxim called 'Udbhid-nyāya' to 'Upanayana' which literally means 'taking (a boy) near an ācārya' (teacher of the Veda)'. The Samskāraprakāša says so 2023

²⁰²² नामधेयानं विधेयार्धपरिन्देद्धकतयाऽर्धवन्तम्। तथाहि-उद्भिदा यजेत पञ्चकाम प्रत्यच उद्भिन्दान्द्रो यागनामधेयम्। तेन हि विधेयार्धपरिन्द्धेदः क्रियते। अनेन हि वाक्येना-मामत्वात्कलोदेशेन यागे विधीयते। यागसामान्यस्य चान्धियत्वाद्यागविकेष एव विधीयते। तच कोऽमा विशेष हत्यपेक्षाया उद्भिन्द्यन्द्राद्धिद्वपूर्णे यो याग हति ज्ञायते। मी न्या. प्र. p 206 (AEORI)

²⁰²³ तत्रोपनयनज्ञान् कर्मनामधेयम्। तद्य योगिकसुद्धिद्द्यायात्। योगश्च भाव-गुरानरपा करणन्तुत्परया वेत्याह भावन्ति.। स यथा। उप मसीपे आचार्यादीनां घटोर्नयनं भाषणतुपनयनम्। मनीपे आचार्यादीना नीयते पदुर्पेन तदुपनयनिनिते वा। संस्कारमकाञ्च p. 331.

The fifth (and last) part of Vedic texts consists of 'pratisedha' (nisedha, prohibition). Prohibitions 2014 serve the ends of man by causing men to desist from actions which would produce undesirable results. To explain, just as vidhis, which express an urge or prompting (to do something), suggest, in order to effectuate their prompting quality, that the matter to be enjoined such as a sacrifice is the means to attain a desirable result and so urge a man to do it, so also prohibitions such as 'one should not eat Kalañja (red garlic)' or 'one should not utter what is false' (Tai. S II. 5. 5. 6) denote a turning away and in order to effectuate their own deterring quality. suggest that the thing to be prohibited viz. eating kalanja or speaking untruth will be the cause of bringing about an undesirable result and so make a man desist from it. The particle 'na' may come before a verbal form, a noun or an adjective and 'na' becomes in certain cases 'a' (as in 'abrāhmana.' 'adharma') and 'an' in certain others when it is prefixed to a word beginning with a vowel (as in 'anaśva'. 'anusna'). Pānini devotes several sūtras to 'na' and expressly refers to 'pratisedha' as one of the meanings conveyed by 'na' (vide Pan. II. 2. 6. VI. 2. 155 &c.) 2025; 'na' is said to convey six senses as noted in the verse quoted below, 2026

The first meaning of 'na' is 'abhāva' (non-existence). But that meaning would not suit all cases When one says 'bring abrāhmana' the meaning conveyed is not 'non-existence' since, if that were the meaning, one could not bring non-existing brāhmana and so might not bring any one at all, or might

^{2024.} अनर्थेहेतुकर्मण सकाज्ञात्पुरुषस्य निवृत्तिकरत्वेन विवेधाना पुरुषार्थात्वास्यस्य । सथा विधयः प्रवर्तनामभिद्धतः स्वप्रवर्तकात्वविद्याद्ये विधेयस्य यागादेः श्रेय-साधनः स्वप्रवर्तकात्विद्याद्ये । निवेद्याद्ये । नि

²⁰²⁵ नजो छणमतियेधे सम्पाद्यईहितालमधीस्तद्धिताः। पा. VI. 2. 155.

^{2026.} तत्साह्वयसभावश्च तद्दन्यलं तद्दर्यतः। अमाज्ञस्यं विरोधश्च नार्याः पर् प्रकीर्तिताः॥ q on p 430 of the com. भाहालंकार on मी. न्या प्र (Chowlamba 5 5.)! अवाह्मण means ब्राह्मणाद्दन्य (i. e. नञ् suggests here तद्दन्यस्य) and अपर्न means धर्मविरोधि, as stated by श्लोकवा (अपोह्वाद् verse 33) 'नामधात्वर्धयोगी च नेव नज् प्रतिवेधकः। वद्दतोऽब्राह्मणाधर्मावन्यमात्रविरोधिनी॥. The महाभाष्य on पार्तिक 4 on पा. प्राप्तिक 4 on पा. 111. 1. 12 says 'नञ्जित्वस्तमन्यसङ्गाधिकरणे तथा स्पर्धगति.' and explains 'अब्राह्मणमानपेरसुक्ती (के) ब्राह्मणसङ्ग आनीयते नासी लोसमानीय कृती भवति'.

bring a clod of earth which would not fulfil any purpose of the nerson addressing these words and therefore, on hearing this, a person not a brāhmana but resembling a brāhmana (such as a ksatriva) is brought So in this case 'abrāhmana' means a person other than a brahmana; 'na' also conveys the opposite (urodha) of that to which it is prefixed. It has been seen above that the verb is the main part in a sentence and in the verbal form it is the termination that is the principal part. So. in 'one must not eat kalanja' the negative particle 'na' must be held to be connected with the optative termination in 'bhaksavet'. In a vidhi 2027 (or on hearing a vidhi) one perceives that the sentence urges the listener to be active. When 'na' is prefixed to an optative from it conveys the opposite of urging viz. 'mantana' (desisting from something) The phala that one understands from a vidhi is as in 'yajeta svargakāmah' svarga, while in a prohibition the phala is cessation of harm (anartha-nivrtti). The adhikārī in a vidhi is one who desires svarga; in a nisedha the adhikārī is one who is afraid of harm and desires to avoid what is undesirable. Therefore, these considerations establish that exhortation and prohibition are entirely different in their import.

But when there is an obstacle or difficulty in construing 'na' with the verbal termination, then it is construed with the meaning of the root. These obstacles are of two sorts; one is where the whole passage is introduced by the words 'his vows are' or where an option will emerge if the meaning of prohibition is stuck to. In the case of these two obstacles in sentences where

^{2027.} अतो हिह्त्वांशेन नञ सम्बध्यते। तस्य सर्वापेक्षया प्राधान्यात्। नञ्जश्चेष स्वभावो पत्स्त्रसम्यान्धपतिपक्षघोधकत्वम्। .. तदिष्ठ हिङ्ग्यस्तावत्पवर्तना्। अतस्तेन सम्बध्य-मानो नञ् पर्यतनाप्रतिपक्ष निवर्तनां गमयति। अतश्च सर्वज निपेधेषु निवर्तनेव वाक्यार्थः। एवं च विधिनिवेधरोभिन्नार्थत्वं सिद्धं भवति। यथाहु । अन्तरं याहर्शं लोके ब्रह्महत्याश्वनेधयोः। हरुकते ताहरेवेद विधानवितेषेषयों ॥ इति। तथा। . सर्वथापि तु नजा. आधान्यात्मत्ययेनान्वयः। पदा तु तदराये किञ्चिद्याधकं तदागत्या धारार्थेनान्वयः। तञ्च वाधकं द्विविधम्। तस्य व्रतामि-रपुपमनो विज्ञत्पमसिक्तिश्व। तेन च याधकद्वयेन नञ्जुक्तेषु वाक्येषु पर्यदासाश्रयणं भवति। तदभाने निषेध एर। पर्युदास स विज्ञेयी यज्ञीत्तरपदिन नज्ञ्। प्रतिवेधः स विज्ञेयः क्रियया सह यञ्च मञ् ॥ इति च तपोर्लक्षणम् । तत्र-नेक्षतोद्यन्तमादित्यम्-द्वत्यादौ पर्युदासाश्रयणम् , तस्य वतिनिर्द्रपक्रमात्। तथारि व्रतशब्देन कर्तस्योर्घ उच्यते। मी न्या प pp 250-253. The न्यायसुधा (or सामक) p 201 on तन्त्रज्ञा. quotes the verse अन्तरं .. वेधयो. as from the झुटझिका (of फुमारिल) and cites verses explaining the five points (फल &c.) in which रिधि and निर्देश differ. The word उत्तरपद is a technical सीनांसा word and means the termination in the verbal form and पूर्वपद means the pada minus the termination. शिधः मर्रातमानी हि श्रेयःमिद्धं पर्वते । प्रतिपेधः गुन पापास्तिर्र्तपति भेदतः। सन्त्रा on पू भी सू. III, 4, 13 p. 911.

'na' occurs, we would have to resort to paryudusa (exclusion or exception). In the case of the Prajapativratas 2028 (which are purusārtha as decided in P. M. S. IV. 1. 3) the passage starts with the words 'his vows are' and then comes the sentence 'he must not see the sun frising or setting' (Kaustaki Br. VI. 6). Vrata means a mental act, a resolve not to do a certain thing, the meaning being 'he should make a resolve to act in such a way as not to see the rising or setting sun and stick to it'. This really is niyama (restriction). This passage does not mean that he should never look at the sun (there is no prohibition of seeing the sun) but it only excludes the sight of the rising or setting sun; therefore, this is only exclusion and he who observes this niyama (restriction) secures the reward while in the case of eating kalania there is a total prohibition. 'Paryudāsa (exclusion) is to be understood where the negative particle is taken with another word (i.e. with the verbal root or a different word such as a noun): prohibition is to be understood where the negative particle is taken with the verbal form'.

In Dharmasāstra works, 'na' is frequently explained as laying down a paryudāsa (proviso or exception). In the section on the duties of a snātaha in Yāj. I. 129-166 'na' occurs very often. The Mit. explains (on Yai. I. 129) that in this section wherever 'na' occurs it is meant to denote a paryudāsa (sarvatrāpi asmin snātakaprakarane nañ-śabdah pratyekam paryudāsārtha eva), To take only one example, Yāj. in I 132 provides that one should not speak (to a man or woman) what would be painful without any necessity or cause. This does not altogether prohibit speaking what is painful; what it means is that a snātaha may say to another what is painful except when there is no proper reason nor proper occasion to do so. One may have to speak what is painful to an erring son or friend or near relative. Aparārka (pp 206-207) quotes two well-known

verses on the distinction between paryudāsa and pratisedha (the two halves of which are quoted and underlined in note 2027) on the interpretation of a verse requiring a man having a son not to observe a fast on certain days &c. The first halves of those verses are; 'Pradhānatvam vidhauyatra pratisedhespradhānatā (paryudāsah...nañ) 14 aprādhānyam vidhau yatra pratisedhe pradhānatā 1 prasayyaprati ..nañ '!

When the particle 'na' is employed in a sentence, it is either a prohibition or a paryudāsa or an arthavāda. These three have to be clearly distinguished. In Darsapurnamasa the two ayabhagas are an anga (P M. S IV. 4 30) and a passage states that the two ajyabhagas are the eyes of the Darśapurnamāsa sacrifice 2029 In connection with this the Veda says 'these two are not performed in an animal sacrifice nor in a Soma sacrifice'. The question is: is this a prohibition or a paryudāsa or an arthavāda. A pratisedha occurs only when there is the possibility of what is prohibited following as a matter of course. As the anyabhagas are prescribed in Darsapurnamāsa there is no possibility of these two being required in a Somayaga and there is no real prohibition. Nor is there paryudāsa, for if it be a paryudāsa there would be no proper connection, since in paryudasa one would have to say 'there are ājyabhāgas in daršapūrnamāsa except in somayāgs', which would be absurd. Therefore, these words 'na tau pasau karoti na some' contain an arthavada. A true 2030 prohibition would occur only when something is first prescribed and then prohibited. The stock example is of the Sodasin cup; there are two Vedic sentences of equal authority 'he takes the sodasin cup in Atiratra' and 'he does not take the Sodasin cup in atiratra'; owing to this conflict an option is allowed. Similarly, there is a Vedic passage 'Vrihibhir yajeta yavairvā' (one shall offer a sacrifice with grains of rice or with yavas) Therefore, in the above two cases where the two texts are irreconcilable, there is

^{2029.} चशुपी वा एते यज्ञस्य यदाज्यभागौ यज्ञति चशुपी एव तद्यज्ञस्य प्रतिद्धाति। ते. सं 11. 6 2. 1

^{2030.} शिष्ट्वा स प्रतिपेधः स्यात् । पू भी सू X 8.6. श्वार explains 'यथा नातिराचे यहाति पेछाशनमिति । न तज शरुषं वक्तुं पर्धदास इति । सम्बन्ध एव हि न स्यात् । अतिराज्ञः वर्षाजातिराचे एक्षाति पोटशिनमिति । नापि कस्पचिवर्धवादत्वेन सम्भवति । ... यज्ञ पुनरस्या वस्यानायक्तिरित पात्रयस्य तज्ञ न विकल्पो भवति । एनमेषोऽध्दर्दोषोऽपि यद् बीद्धियववाक्ययोः । विरात्य आक्षितस्या न विद्यते । बीदिशास्त्रमञ्जूची हि यवशास्त्रेण सुस्यते । श्रीता तज्ञ महत्ते। श्रीदिशास्त्रमञ्जूची हि यवशास्त्रेण सुस्यते । श्रीता तज्ञ महत्ते। श्रीदिशास्त्रमञ्जूची हि यवशास्त्रेण सुरुष्ते। स्वातिपूर्वो हि सित्देषो भवति । श्राप्त ०० पू. मी सू VII 3. 20 and VII 3. 23.

no way except that of allowing an option (vikalpa). But 'vikalpa' is liable to eight faults. 2031 Therefore, an effort has to be made to avoid option and accept as far as possible a paryudāsa or arthavāda, since by accepting an option, the authoritative statement in one case or the other has to be regarded as unauthoritative and not binding. Śabara and Tantravārtika provide that vikalpa should be resorted to only in case there is no other way. The P. M. S. lays down that vikalpa may be accepted when several things having the same object (or sense) are stated by several authoritative texts.

There is one more word that must be explained, viz. mtyānuvāda. 2032 This word occurs in Apastambadharmasūtra II. 6. 14. 13 (vide n. 1877 above). It occurs frequently in Jaimini (vide II. 4. 26, IV. I. 5, VI. 7. 30, VII. 4. 5, VIII. 1. 6, IX. 4. 36, X. 2.38) and Śabara uses it oftener still. He explains that where the Vedic text apparently prohibits something when there is no possibility of what is prohibited as happening, there is nityānuvāda (as in 'the fire altar should not be piled on bare earth nor in the sky nor in heaven'). The Tuptīkā states the same thing in a different form when it says that where a prohibition amounts to an arthayāda, that is nityānuvāda.

Vikalpas (options) are grouped under three heads viz. those indicated by logic or reasoning, (2) those due to express words and (3) those dependent on the will of the performer. An example of the first kind is the option about rice grains and barley grains (yavair vrihibhir-vā yajeta). The 2nd may be exemplified from Manu III. 267, which says that the Manes derive satisfaction for a month when offerings are made of sesame or of rice or of barley or of māsa pulse or of water or of fruits and roots.

^{2031.} एकार्थोस्त विकल्पेरन् समुख्ये ह्याद्वासिः स्पात्यधानस्य। पू भी सू XII. 3, 10. The eight faults of allowing an option between two provisions are set out in भी. न्या भ p. 151 and Prof. Edgerton's translation of भी न्या भ paragraph 318 pp. 163–164, n. 212. The एकाद्यशीतस्य (p. 42) discusses the झीहियग्वान्य, quotes the verse एवमेलोट्योपोए॰ and points out the eight dosas. The three words पुरीदास, भतिष्य and विकल्प occur in one sutra viz पू भी सू X. 8 15 'अपि स साव्यक्षियलादितरपर्श्वसः स्यात्यतिपेधे विकल्पः स्यात् 'which is quoted in the शाङ्करभाष्य on हे. सू III. 3. 26) The भामती remarks (on this sutra) that these words are only a summary of the original sutra which is 'अपि वा वान्यरोपः स्याद्याद्यलाद्विकल्पस्य विधीनामेकदेश' स्यात्।.'

^{2032.} असित प्रसङ्गे मितिषेषी नित्याद्यवादः। ज्ञावर on I. 2. 18. यथार्थवादत्वेन प्रति-षेधस्तन्त्र नित्याद्यवादो भवति। हुपूरीका on VII, 3. 21; on IX. 4. 36 (परो नित्याद्यवादः स्थात्) ज्ञावर explains; नित्यमेतमर्थे सम्तमद्यवद्गितिः

An option depending on the will of a person occurs in the Jābālopanisad ²⁰³³ (4) 'after finishing the period of studenthood one should become a householder, after becoming a householder, one should become a forest hermit and after becoming a forest hermit one may become a wandering ascetic (sannyāsin or parivrād), or following another method one may become a wandering ascetic after finishing studenthood or after becoming an householder or after becoming a forest hermit.' The latter part of this passage gives an option as to āśramas.' This option is referred to by Gautama in the words 'some sages allow an option as to āśramas to him (to brahmacārin).' When Yāj. I. 14 provides that the *Upanayana* of a brāhmana boy may be performed in the eighth year from conception or from birth there is an option depending on the will of the father.

Manu IV. 7 provides that a dvija should amass as much corn as would fill a granary (i.e. as would last for a year) or a Kumbhi (for six months) or as much as would suffice for three days or may not care to amass even for to-morrow. These are four options and then Manu IV. 8 provides that a dvija who is an householder may choose one of these four, but each succeeding one is superior in point of merit and otherworldly rewards.

A whalpa may also be vyavasthita (restricted or limited to a certain set of circumstances) or avyavasthita (not restricted). The Ap. Dh. S. provides 2031 that one should offer balt with his hand in the aupāsana fire or in the kitchen fire with the first six mautras. Haradatta remarks that 'This is a limited option' viz. in the aupāsana fire bali should be cast every day by those who have kept up that fire and in the ordinary kitchen fire by

^{2033.} बहाचर्य परिसमाप्य गृही भवेत्। गृही भूला वनी भवेत्। वनी भूला मजजेत्। विदे वेतरथा बहाचर्यदिव प्रज्ञजेतृहाद्वा बनाहा। जावालीप. 4, q. by शहूराचार्य in his भाष्य on बहाच्य III. 4, 20 with the remark 'अनपेक्षेव जावालश्चिताश्चमान्यरं विधायिनीत्रपमाचार्यणे विचारः मवितः'. The विषयवाक्ष्य of बहाच्य III. 4 18-20 is छान्दोग्य II 23, 1 'त्रयो धर्मस्कन्धाः ... बहासंस्थोऽसृतल्बमेति।' and the बहाच्य mentions the views of जीमिन and बादरायण on this छान्दोग्य passage and the established conclusion is 'विधिव धारणवत्' III 4 20. वीतमधर्मसूत्र says 'तस्या-अमवितरपमेक बुवते' III. 1, तस्य referring to बहाच्यारित् The जावालोपनिषद् does not appear to have been known to the author of the Brahmasūtra while views similar to those propounded by it were known to Gantama. The Mit. on Yāj. III. 56 refers to the Jābālopanisad as allowing an opinon 'तथा च विकलपो जापालश्चरी श्र्यके बहाच्यें ... बनाहा। हति। ... एतेयां समुद्ययविकलप्याध्यक्षाणां सर्वेषां स्वित्तमूरत्यादिर्घणा दिकल्यः।'.

^{2034.} ओपासने पचने वा पङ्भिरारी: मितमण्यं हस्तेन खुहुयात् । आप. घ. सू. 11. 2.3. 16. 'ओपासनवतामोपासने निप्रुरादें. पचने द्वाति स्पवस्थिती विकल्पः । दुरद्वसः

him whose wife is dead. Manu III. 82 prescribes that one should offer Śrāddha everyday with food, water, milk etc. Here there is vvavasthita-vikalpa i. e. first food, then in its absence milk, fruits and roots, and in the absence of these water. When Manu IV. 95 prescribes "Having performed the Upakarma rite according to proper procedure on the Full Moon of Śrāyana or of Bhadrapada a brahmana should diligently study the Vedas for four months and a half." Medhātithi savs that this is a 'vyavasthita-vikalpa' viz. the Samavedins should perform upākarma on Bhādrapada Full Moon, while Rgvedins and Yajurvedins on Śrāvana Full Moon. Vide the Mit. on Yaj. I. 254 about the sapındana of a mother, where the Mit. introduces order among conflicting texts. When Gaut, prescribes (III, 21) that a sannyāsin should completely shave the head or keep only a top-knot, there is an option depending on the will of the person. Gaut. II. 51-53, Ap. Dh. S. I. 2, 11, Manu III, 1 prescribe brahmacarya for Veda study for 48, 36, 24, 12, 3 years. Here there is an option depending on the capacity and wish of the student. It should be noted that the eight faults about accepting an option do not occur in a vyavasthita 2035 vikalpa nor do they apply where the option is dependent on the volition of the performer nor where option is given by express texts. Those eight faults apply only to an option indicated by reasoning.

The Mimāmsābālāprakāša (pp. 153-165) provides a long list of the divisions and subdivisions of Vikalpa.

Śāstra, is meant to give definite rules as stated by Patanjali. 2016 and therefore all Śāstrik works try to reduce options to the minimum and assign to apparently conflicting passages separate and definite provinces of application (Visayavyavasthā). Sometimes, the options are so many that commentators give up the task of assigning them different scopes e.g. the Mit. on Yāj. III. 22, after quoting the conflicting passages on impurity on birth and death, for ksatriyas, vaiśyas and śūdras

^{2035.} सर्वेस्निश्च व्यवस्थितविकालेपे पूर्वोक्ता अही दोषा न सन्ति। ...एवमेप्छिकाविकालेपेऽ दयष्टी दोषा न सन्ति कस्पापि शास्त्रस्य प्रतीतमामाण्यत्यागाद्यभावातः। ... एवमेष वाचिनिकेपि विकारिपेऽष्टी दोषा न सन्ति। ... तस्माष्यायमम्ये निकार्ष्य एवाष्टदोषस्वमिति सिद्धम्। मी, बा. म. pp. 161–162.

^{2036.} न हाश्यवस्थाकारिणा आखेण भवितव्यम्। आखतो हि नाम व्यवस्था। महाभाव्य on वार्तिक 4 (तथा चानवस्था) on पा. VI 1. 135; एवमनेकोन्नावचाशीचकरूपा बहिता। तेषां लोके समान्वाराभाषाकातीय श्यवस्थामदर्शनसुपयेगीति नाम व्यवस्था मह्मगी। मिता. on पा. III, 22.

from Parāśara, Śātātapa, Vasistha and Atri gives up the effort to bring order because it would be of no use as people do not accept the dicta of these in practice.

Two other words require some explanation. They are 'ārādupakāraka' and 'sannipatyopakāraka'. In the 3rd chapter of the PMS the author deals 2037 with sesa, its definition and explains how to determine what things are called sesa and what are sesin. Kumārila sets out five definitions of the word sesa, discards four and accepts one, viz 'sesa' is that which serves the purpose of another. Sabara comments that what serves to help another is called sesa and the other is called sesiu. Sabara adds a qualification viz. that is sesa which is of very much help to another. Badari holds that there are three groups of sesa viz dravya (materials for sacrifice such as rice-grains). ouna (such as the reddish colour of the cow that is the price of the some to be purchased). samskares (purificatory acts such as the pounding of the grains in mortar and pestle which make the grains fit for turning them into purodaśa) Jaimini adds that rites (karmāni) like yāga, fruit or result (of yaga) are sesa as regards the performer and the performer is sesa with reference to the yaga. According to Badari, dravya. quna, samskara are always sesa, while according to the established conclusion, yaga, reward and performer (yaqa, phala and nurusa) may be according to circumstances either sesa or sesin. After a long discussion the Tantravartika sums up the discussion that dravya, guna, and samskāra are with reference to $y\bar{a}ga$ invariably sesa, though they may be sesin with reference to their own constituent elements, while as regards phala, yāga and the performer they can be both sesa and sesin with reference to each other For example, in the Darsa-Pürnamäsa sacrifice there are various items, such as taking out handfuls of rice grains (for sacrifice), sprinkling water on them, pounding them; then certain acts are to be performed with reference to \bar{a}_{jya} (clarified butter) viz. purifying it with two blades of kuta grass, melting it, also bringing a branch, starting the cows towards the meadows &c These auxiliaries are of two kinds, viz. (i) that are already accomplished, (ii) that are of the nature

²⁰³⁷ होषः परार्धलात्। पू भी. सू III. 1, 2, क होषः कैन हेतुना होष. कथं च विनियुग्पते। हाते। श्रुत्याद्वीनि च विनियोगे कारणानीति वह्यग्ते च। तेषां च महाद्वयहवत्ता। शबरः होषत्वं केचिदिराजेना ह्याविनाभावहक्षणम्। अयोज्यहक्षणं त्वन्ये तदाधिक्येन चापरे। अपरे व्याननदा तर्थ विश्वयनतत्वपरिव्राहात्। उपहारेण होषत्वं यहुभिश्वेन हितितम्। तन्त्रवा. on 111. 1. 2 p. 654

of actions. The first comprehend substances, number &c.: those that are of the nature of acts are two-fold viz. sanningtuonakāraka and arad-unakaraka. In the Paurnamasi rite there are such auxiliaries as Pravajas, the Agharas, the Ajvabhagas. These are Sannipatyopakārakas are also called sāmaārādunakāraka. vāyika, or āśrayikarmāni; they are such as the pounding of grains, proksana etc. The Aradupakaraka are 2038 rites prescribed without being meant to do something about substances and are directly the angas of the principal rite. These do not give rise to any samskara (embellishment or making fit) in the substance meant to be offered in sacrifice. are helpful in producing the paramanurva that yields the fruit of the whole rite. They produce a subordinate anuiva of their own. They are directly angas of the principal rite and are distinguished from sannipatvopakārakas, which are samskāraka (bring about some embellishment or fitness). The Sannipatyopakārakas are more powerful than Araduvakarakas and therefore the Tantravartika states the proposition that where it is possible to hold an act 2039 in a rite to be sannipatyopakāraka or sāmavāyika, it is not proper to regard it as ārādupakāraka. It is somewhat remarkable that Prof. Keith in his 'Karmamimāmsā' (p. 88) inverts the meaning of these two words. The explanation of sannipatyopakāruka in 'Prābhākara School' (by M. M. Jha) p. 181 also is rather obscure. The Ekādasttattva (p. 97), while discussing the substitutes (pratinidhis) to be employed on Ekādašī for ghee, for milk and honey (being milk-powder, curds and jaggery) quotes P. M. S. VI. 3. 18 'na devatāgnišabdakrivam-anyārthasamyogāt', explains that in place of a prescribed krujā like prayājas (that have an unseen or spiritual reward) there is to be no substitute, since what has an invisible result is aradupakaraka, but in place of rice grains (that are the means of preparing purodasa) a substitute may be employed, since the rice grains are sannipatyopakārakas and have a seen purpose viz. preparing purodāsa. Śankarācārya in his bhāsya on

^{2038.} अपि च द्विविधानयङ्गानि । आराष्ट्रपकारकाणि सामवायिकानि च । आराष्ट्रपकार-केम्यः सामवायिकानि गरीयांसि । तत्र वि साक्षाद्वाक्यम् । आराष्ट्रपकारेषु प्रकृतेन परोक्षणैक-वाक्यता स्यात् । तस्मार्त्सस्कारकर्मेति । इत्तर on X. 4.38. आरात् means दूरात् acc. to इावर on X. 8. 16. तत्र कर्माङ्गद्रव्याद्युदेशेन विधीयमानं कर्म संनिपत्योपकारकम्, यधावधात-इावर on X. 8. वि. तत्र कर्माङ्गद्रव्याद्युदेशेन विधीयमानं कर्म संनिपत्योपकारकम्, यधावधात-इत्यादि । इत्योव चाश्रयि कर्मोङ्यते । सी न्या. प्र pp. 163–164, द्रव्याद्यद्विश्य केवर्त प्रीक्षणादि । इत्योव चाश्रयि कर्मोङ्यते । सी न्या. प्र pp. 168; vide सीमासायरिमाया p 13.

^{. 2039} न च सामवायिकत्वे सम्भवत्याराष्ट्रपकारककल्पना शुक्ता। तन्त्रवा, on III 4. 12 p. 902.

V. S. IV. I. 16 states that the performance of obligatory vedic rites (like Agnihotra) by a man who has to acquire knowledge of brahma is helpful for attaining it as an ārādupakāraka. ²⁰¹⁰

Apart from the Veda and smrtis the Pürvamīmāmsā relies upon or refers to loka or lokavat (usage of the ordinary people) for elucidation. For example, I, 2, 20, I, 2, 29, II, 1, 12 (Lokavat). IV. 1.6 'tathā ca lokabhūtesu' meaning 'lokepi'), VI, 2. 16 (loke karmāni vedavat-tatosdhipurusajñānam), VI. 5. 34 (na bhaktitvād-esā hi loke). VI. 8. 26 (yancā-krayanam-avidyamāne lokavat), VII 4. 11 (Lingahetutvād-alinge laukikam syāt), VIII 2. 22 (payo vā tat-pradhānatvāl-lokavad-dadhnas-tadarthatvāt) gives the illustration that in order to solidify milk a little of curds is enough: VIII. 4.6. (na laukikānām &c. where 'laukikānām' means 'lokānām'); X. 3.44 (sabdārthascāpi lokayat). X. 3. 51, X. 6. 8, X. 7. 66 (Lokavat, Sabara saying 'Yatha matsyan na payasa samasniyat), XI. 1. 23, 26, 62. Śabara himself uses the word 'Laukikanvāva' in bhāsva on PMS III. 4. 13 (evam varnyamāne laukikayāyānugatah sūtrārtho varnito bhavisyati' p. 926), 2011

Jaimini dealt with the eternal, self-existent and absolutely authoritative character of the Veda as regards Dharma in the first $p\bar{u}da$ of the first chapter and also dealt with the means of knowledge, the eternal character of the relation of words and senses. In the second pāda of the first adhyāya he pronounced that arthavādas which form a very large portion of the Veda were meant to laud the Vidhis with which they were connected and were not to be treated as useless. He also provided that mantras (that formed part of the veda) had a purpose to serve viz. that of recalling to the mind the meaning of the rites performed, that some mantras like 'catvāri śrngā' 2041a (Rg. IV.

^{2040.} तद्वस्तर्भणोऽपि ज्ञानसंयुक्तस्य मीक्षकार्योपपत्तेः। नम्बानारभ्यो मोक्षः कथमस्य कर्मकापत्त्वसुस्यते। नैय दोषः। आराद्वपकारकत्वास्तर्भणः। ज्ञानस्यैव हि यापकं कर्म प्रणाड्या मोक्षकारणमित्युपचर्यते। वेदान्तसूत्रभाव्य IV.1.16.

^{2041.} इत्यर on VII. 4. 12 explains the nyaya स्थालीयुलाक वधा स्थाल्या तृत्वपाकानां युलाकानामेकस्यम्धान्यपामपि सिद्धता जानाति। श्वर on VIII.1 11 employs it 'अलिङ्गारवि स्थालीयुलाकविसिद्धःः; the तन्ववर्तिक employs it on III. 5 19 (p 991) 'एकदेशस्पमपि लिङ्गं स्थालीयुलाकवायिन समस्तयोतकं भविष्यति।.

²⁰⁴¹a The objections about 'catvāri इंग्लेड ' and the quotations are raised in P. M. S. I. 2, 31, which is a very long stirra, and are answered in I. 2 32-45 P. M. S I 2 38 deals with the verse चलारि हाझा This verse is explained in Nirokta MII 7, in पत्रश्रतिंश महाभाष्य, by Sabara, by Kumārila (Continued on next page)

58. 3) are laudatory of yāgu, by means of a metaphor, that the sense of some words in the mantras like 'Jarbhari turpharitā (Rg. X. 106. 6) or 'Indrah somasya kānukā' (Rg. VIII. 77. 4) about which it is argued that no sense can be made out of them are really explicable with the help of Nirukta (etymology) and grammar, that some words like 'Kīkata', 'Naicāśākha', and 'Pramaganda', that are claimed to refer to a country, a city, a king and therefore are claimed to make the mantra (Rg. III. 53. 14) non-eternal, can be explained in a different way. In this way, no part of the Veda is anarthaka (without sense or useless) or non eternal. The Mimāmsakas are very keen on avoiding ānan thakya of any Vedic words or sentences.

In the third pada of the first chapter Jaimini deals with the authoritativeness of smrtis, the usages of respectable and learned people (sadācāra), the Vedāngas &c.

It appears that before Jaimini composed his sutra, smrtis had come into prominence and the usages of the sistas had been recognised as one of the sources of Dharma. The Dharma-sutras of Gautama, Apastamba, and 2012 others had declared that the Vedas, the Smrtis and the usages of those who know the Vedas are the sources of Dharma. Therefore, the Santiparva (137.

⁽Continued from last page)

⁽in Tantravārtika p. 155-156), by Durga and Sāyana These differ a good deal (even Kumārila widely differs from Sabara). जभरी हुफरीत् are epithets of the Aśvins and explained in Nirukia (XIII. 5), Kānukā (in Nirukia V. 10), Kīkata and other words in Nirukia VI. 32, Yāska says 'Kīkata is a country in which non-Āryans resided, while Tantravārtika (p. 158) first explained it as meaning a country and affirmed that a country is eternal. Then Kumārila proposes that Kīkata means 'close-fisted', Pramaganda 'usuriosa rila proposes that Kīkata means wealth of an impotent person. Sabara on P. M. S. I 2, 41 (pp 156-157) विद्यासानोट्यर्थ प्रमानोट्यर्थ प्रमान हिन्द्यान हिन्द्यान कि स्वाप्त कि कि एवं कि प्रमान हिन्द्यान हिन्द्यान कि स्वाप्त कि कि एवं कि स्वाप्त कि स्वाप्त कि कि एवं कि प्रमान कि स्वाप्त कि एवं कि प्रमान कि एवं क

^{2042.} वेदी धर्ममूर्ल तिहिदा च स्पृतिशीले। गी. I. 2, धर्मज्ञसमय. प्रमाणं वेदाधा आप. ध स् I. 1. 2-3. तस्य च व्यवहारी वेदी धर्मशाखाण्याङ्गास्यपवेदाः प्रराणस्। गी XI. अभय. ध स् I. 1. 1. 2-3. तस्य च व्यवहारी वेदी धर्मशाखाण्याङ्गास्यपवेदाः प्रराणस्। गी XI. 19, where हरदत्त explains तस्य राज्ञः व्यवहारी लोकमर्यादास्थापनम् Vide मह II. 6 and या I. 7.

23, 135. 22 in Citrao ed.) mentions Dharmasastras and Anusasanaparva (45. 17) quotes gāthās from Yama's Dharmasāstra. Therefore, Jaimini had to consider whether smrtis and usages were authoritative in the matter of Dharma and, if so, to what extent. Even if smrtis were held to be unauthoritative, the authoritativeness of the Veda would not be affected; but the very first satra (of P. M. S.) postulated that the work would consider the question about the characteristics of Dharma and, therefore, smrtis that were called Dharmaśastra (Manu II 10) were connected with the discussion about Dharma. Besides, from VI. 7, 6 it follows that²⁰¹³ Jaimini knew Dharmaśāstras as he provides that in a Viśvajit the performer cannot make a śūdra a subject of gift simply because he serves a man of higher caste on account of the ordinances of Dharmasastra. Even in the Upanisads (as in Tai. Up. I.11) the teacher after the pupil had completed Veda study impresses upon the latter that whenever he would have a doubt about the rites enjoined or about proper conduct he should follow the conduct of brahmanas in his country that act after proper consideration, that are devoted to their duties and are not driven to do anything by another, are not harsh of character, that are intent on doing their duties. This amounts to following 'sadācāra' as a source of dharma. Jaimini employs the word 'smrti' in the sense of works in several sūtras as in VI, 8, 23 (which contains the very words that the Ap. Gr sūtra employs), IX. 2. 1, XII, 4. 43. Sabara mentions 'smrti' and the word 'smarati' and 'smaranti' more than a dozen times.

The following passages may be noted On 2011 P. M. S. I. 3. 2. Sabara says "pramānam smrtih"; on P. M. S. I. 3. 3 he

ţ

?

4

^{2043.} श्रृद्ध धर्मशास्त्रलात्। पू. भी सू VI. 7 6: विश्वजित्येव सन्दिहाते। किं परिचारक. श्रृद्दो देगो नेति। . . एवं प्राप्ते कृमः। श्रृद्धः त देग इरयन्त्रादेशः। कुतः। धर्म-शास्त्रलात् धर्मशासनोपनतत्त्रात्तर्तर Vide सञ्ज X, 123 for südra's duty to serve higher varnas and नौतम (XI. 57~59).

^{2044.} अष्टाचलारिंश्रद्धाणि वेद्रबद्धाचर्यवर्ण जातपुत्र' कृष्णकेशोऽसीनावधीत इत्यनेन विरुद्धम् । क्षीतराजकोऽभोज्यास इति 'तस्माद्गीयोनीये संस्थिते यजमानस्य ग्रहेऽशितर्यमिरपनेन विरुद्धम् । ज्ञान क्षा 3.2; यो ध स् I 2.1 ाऽ अष्टाचलारिंश्रद्ध्याणि वेद्रबद्धान्तर्यम् । इत्यर का I 3.2; यो ध स् I 2.1 ाऽ अष्टाचलारिंश्रद्ध्याणि वेद्रबद्धान्तर्यम् । स् I 6.18.16 and 23 are सङ्घानमभोज्यम् । सीक्षितोऽकीतर्पाजकः, Manu X. 89 forbids the sale of horses and other animals that are classpha, while Tai. S II. 3.12.1 stating that Varuna seizes him who accepts the gift of a horse practically forbids it. The Reyveda highly praises donors of horses c, g in X.107. 2 ज्ञा दिनि स्थिणावन्त्री अस्पूर्णअन्वद्धा सह ते स्ट्रांण. On p 226 of 'Pürvamimāmsā in its sources' Sir. G. Jha translates 'giving and accepting in gift and buying and selling of lons, horses &c.' क्सिंग्स् means' lion' and also as an adjective 'having a mane' qualifying 'horses', vide H. of Db, vol, III, p. 850 n 1647 criticizing this translation,

mentions three smrti rules, two of which can be said to corres. pond with extant smrtis; on PMS VI. I. 5, while dealing with the question whether lower animals (dogs &c.) have adhikāra for Vedic rites. Sabara denies their adhikāra, since they do not study the Veda nor the smrtisastras (as men do), on PMS VI 2, 21-22 (when the question is whether the smarta rules that a teacher should be followed or obeyed and obeisance be made to him and whether one should get up and honour an old man apply to even children whose upanayana is not performed) Sabara states that smrti is equal to Veda (Vedatulyā hi smritih, vaidikā eva padārthā smaryanta itvuktam). On VI. 8. 23 he quotes one quarter of a śloka as smrti (smaranti-tesu kālesu daivāni-iti). On VI. 7. 31 he says that smrti speaks of gandharvas living for a thousand years. On VI I. 20 Sabara says a woman owns no wealth, if one follows smrti, but is owner of property if śruti be followed On IX. 2. 2 Sabara says 'naisā smrtih pramānam, drstamūlā hyesā '; on X. 1.36 Śabara says that from the usage of respectable people smrti is inferred and from smrti Śruti text is inferred: on X. I 42 Śabara says 'Smrti 18 stronger than usage'. On X. 3. 47 Sabara says 'there is a smrti that one should not sell a horse'. In one place Sabara employs the words 'pramānāyām smrtau' instead of 'pramāne smrtau' as one would expect and the Tantravartika is at great pains to show that this lapse on the part of Sabara may be somehow justified (pp. 183-184) on I. 3. 3. The Baudhayana Dh. S. (1. 1. 19-26) sets out five practices peculiar to the countries of the South (Southern India) and five others peculiar to the North and says that if one belonging to the South follows any of the usages peculiar to the North and vice versa he would be guilty of sin. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. III. p. 858 and note 1663 for the passage from Baud. Dh. S. The objector says 2015 that smrtis should be

^{2045.} धर्मस्य शब्दमूल्लवादशब्दमनपेक्षं स्यात्। अपि वा कर्तृसामान्यात् प्रसाणमञ्जानं स्यात्। I. 3. 1-2. The words कर्तृसामान्यात् are explained by the भारपकार as कर्तृसामान्यात् स्थात्। देविकापदार्थयोः I. e. the people who perform Vedic rites and also observe the Smrti prescriptions are the same; they would not do so unless they firmly believe that smrti prescriptions are based on Vedic authority though it may not be possible in every case to point out Vedic passages expressly or impliedly referring to them भेषातिथि on मह II. 6 clearly puts it quoting from his own work called स्मृतिविवेक, the following verse: प्रामाण्य स्वयं बेद्यविद्धः परिग्रहः। तहुक कर्तृसामाण्यादद्यमानं श्रतीः प्रति ॥, the words understrive स्वयं वेद्यविद्धः परिग्रहः। तहुक कर्तृसामाण्यादद्यमानं श्रतीः प्रति ॥, the words understrive स्वयं वेद्यविद्धः परिग्रहः। तहुक कर्तृसामाण्यादद्यमानं श्रतीः प्रति ॥, the words understrive स्वयं वेद्यविद्धः परिग्रहः। तहुक कर्तृसामाण्यादद्यमानं श्रतीः प्रति ॥, the words understrive स्वयं वेद्यविद्धः परिग्रहः। तहुक कर्तृसामाण्यादद्यमानं श्रतीः प्रति ॥, the words understrive स्वयं वेद्यविद्धः परिग्रहः। तहुक कर्तृसामाण्यादद्यमानं श्रतीः परिग्रहः।

discarded, since smrtis were composed by men (i.e. they are pauruseya and not apauruseya, as the Veda is) and men are often deluded and forgetful This is the main basis of the objector. To this the reply is that for prescriptions of the smtris, there are passages in the Veda that suggest some of the smrti rules e.g. that the Astakā srāddha was prevalent long before the smrtis is indicated by the Vedic mantra 'yam janah pratinandanti'. 2246 The usages about obeying the teacher, about providing cisterns for travellers have a seen purpose, viz. the benefit of others. Even the Veda mentions ' n apā (Rg. IX. 4 1 'dhanvanniva prapa asi 'meaning' O Agni! thou art like a prapa in a desert'. The Tantravārtika is most exhaustive on this and the following sútras, differs from the Bhāsyakāra in many places. finds fault with him and gives alternative matters as the subjects for discussion. He puts forward two theories about smrti provisions for which it is impossible to suggest Vedic indications. He says (firstly) that Smrti 2017 prescriptions may be based on lost Vedic śākhās, or (2) they may be based on texts contained in the very parts of the Veda that are available at present. If any one asks 'how is it that they are not found'. Kumārila gives the reply: the several branches of the Veda are scattered about (in many distant territories), men are negligent, and the texts are contained in different sections of the Veda; on account of these one cannot point out the texts that are the basis of the smrtis.

That many Vedic texts were lost or are unavailable now was the view even before the days of the Ap. Dh. S (I. 4. 12. 10), which states 'rites were promulgated in the Brāhmana texts, but the exact words (of the Brāhmana texts) are lost and have to be inferred from the performance of the rites (or from procedure prescribed in Smrtis)'. ²⁰⁴⁸ There was danger in relying on the

⁽Continued from last page)

मञ्जा परिफीरितः। स संगोभिष्टितो बेदे सर्वज्ञानमयो हि सः॥). मेधातिथि, गोविंद्रराज and some other commentators take स्, as referring to Veda, while Kullüka refers it to मञ्ज Vide H, of Dh. III, p. 828 n. 1612 for another meaning of these words,

²⁰⁴⁶ The verse या जना: श्रीतनन्दन्ति रात्रिं occurs in अधर्वदेद III. 10.2, आप म पा. II. 10 27. Vide H of Dh. vol. III. p. 828 note 1612.

^{2047.} तेन वर्ष मलीनश्चरपञ्चमानमेव। यहा विद्यमानशाखागतश्चतिमूललमेवास्तु। फपमञ्जपतिन्यस्ति चेदुरुपते-शाखाना विम्तीर्णलाधुक्याणां प्रमावतः। नानामकरणस्थलात् स्क्षोर्मृतं न प्रस्थते॥ तन्त्रवा on I. 3. 1 p 164, quoted by विम्वस्त्य in his com on राज्ञ I 7 p. 14 (without name),

²⁰¹⁸ ब्राह्मणीका विधयरनेपासुत्सकाः पाठाः प्रयोगावृद्धमीयन्ते। यत्र प्रीत्युपछन्धितः प्रयुक्ति तत्र शास्त्रमस्ति। आप. ध स् I.4.12.10-11.

theory that Smrtis were based on Vedic texts that are lost (or disappeared), because that very argument might be urged by heterodox sects like the Bauddhas. Therefore, Kumārīla put forward the other theory viz. the basis of smrtis are texts that are not found in the existing vedic texts because the Vedic $s\bar{a}kh\bar{a}s$ are scattered about (as said in the verse quoted above)

This whole subject about Smrtis according to the views of the Mimamsakas has been dealt with at great length by the author in H. of Dh. vol. III. pp 827-841. Therefore, only a few examples and conclusions may be mentioned here. Sabara himself proposes that PMS I. 3. 4 2050 should form a separate Adhikarana by itself and puts forward an important maxim viz. where one can find out or ascribe a visible motive for an act one should not postulate an unseen Vedic text or a transcendental motive. 2051 The result of Sabara's dealing with PMS I 3 3-4 is neatly put by the Śāstradīpikā as follow; Smrti rules that are opposed to Sruti rules and such smrti provisions as can be shown to have a clear worldly motive are not authoritative or hinding. while the rest of smrti texts are authoritative. This doctrine is older than the Ap Dh S (I 4 10.12) which provides 'where men act because they find pleasure in doing that act there Śāstra has no scope' Kumārila does not agree with Śabara. He says that visible and invisible or spiritual motives are often inextricably mixed up. 'Unhusking rice grains' has a visible purpose in order that they may be well boiled and the boiled rice may be offered in a sacrifice. In this act then there is a visible purpose and yet this act is prescribed by the Veda. In a trenchant, finely worded and very accommodating passage redolent of a cosmopolitan outlook and the desire to give even the devil his due. Kumārila examines (in pp. 166-168) all the known classes of Sanskrit works with regard to their usefulness

^{2049.} यदि सु प्रलीनशाखासूलता करूप्येत ततस्तासा खुद्धादिस्मृतीनामपि तद्द्वारा प्रामाण्यं प्रसञ्यते। तन्त्रवा, on I 3, 1 p, 163.

^{2050.} जिरोधे लनपेक्षं स्पादसति हाहामानम्। हेतुदर्शनाञ्च। पू मी सू I. 3. 3-4. There is another reading in I. 3. 3 viz. अनपेक्षम् Vide तन्त्रवा. p. 193 quoted on p. 833 n. 1622 of vol. III. of H. of Dh.

²⁰⁵¹ On I 3.2 Sabara says 'ये स्टार्थास्ते तत एव प्रमाण ये लस्टार्थास्तेषु वैदिकः हाब्दालुमानम्।'. The तन्त्रवा on this says 'उपपन्नतः चैतद् वेदवाक्यालुमानतः। स्टे दि सत्यस्टस्य कल्पना निष्प्रमाणिका।' (p. 186). Vide H. of Dh vol. III. p. 837 n. 1628. It appears that जुमारिल thought that Sabara stated his case as merely a counter blast and his own opinion is that a smrti text which has a visible purpose may still be Vedamüla.

and relation to Veda and ordinary worldly experience. Only a few sentences will be set out here and in the notes. Therefore, he provides that all Smrtis are authoritative according to the purpose they serve. Those parts of Surtis that are concerned with Dharma and Moksa have the Veda as their source, while whatever parts of Smrtis are concerned with wealth (artha) and worldly pleasures (kama) are based on worldly practices. The same rule applies to the hortatory passages of Itahasa (the Mahābhārata) and Purānas, both of which are often spoken of as Smrti. The episodes and tales in these two should be taken as arthavadas. Then he refers to the descriptions of the divisions of the earth and the vamsas (dynastic lists) (both of which are among the subjects treated of in the Puranas) and sets out the purposes they might serve. The six Vedangas (grammar. metrics, etymology, astronomy &c.) are useful as kratvartha and purusārtha, and Mīmāmsā and Nyāya (logic) have been established (worked up) from worldly experience by means of pratyaksa (direct perception) and inference based upon the technique evolved by a continuous and unbroken line of learned men. that no single person would have been able to put together for the first time such a large collection of arguments 2052 (as in the Mimāmsāśāstra). For the necessity of Nyāya (in the interpretation of the Veda) he relies upon Manu XII. 105-106. Kumārila is prepared to concede that philosophical systems in which are postulated pradhana and purusa (Sankhya) or the supreme Lord or atoms (Vaisesika) should be deemed to explain the creation and dissolution of the world, should be looked upon as exhibiting perceived, minute and gross substances divided into causes and effects due to the knowledge derived from mantras and arthavadas. The purpose of these is to make known the distinction of svarga and $y\overline{a}ga$ as result and cause. The purpose of the description of creation and dissolution is to show the

^{2052.} सर्वत्र च यथा कथनिहोकपङ्कि (पिकि?) सहायोपादानात्मरक्षणभित्युत्वादादिका दृष्टार्पना भाष्यकारोक्ता शत्य वकुम्। न चावधातादीना वृद्धिकामयागादीनां च दृष्टार्थानामविदिक्तत्वम् । वस्तात्मत्यपि दृष्ट्यित्वे सम्भाग्यते वेद्दमृतुत्वं नियमादृष्टिक्तिन्वम्यागादिनां च दृष्टार्थानामविदिक्तत्वम् । वस्तात्मत्यपि दृष्ट्यित्वे सम्भाग्यते वेद्दमृतुत्वं नियमादृष्टिक्तिद्धान्तमायाग्यकत्वात्। तन्त्रवा p 166 (on पू. मी स् I. 3.2); मीमाता त ह्योकादेव भत्यकाग्रुमानादिभित्वविविद्यक्तसंभवाधपण्डितत्यवार्थाः प्रवृत्ता। वन्त्रवा p. 167 on I 3. 2. M. M. Jha in his
translation of Tantravartuka (p. 121) translates 'mimamsă is based on the
veda, upon ordinary experience &c. But in the Anan edition there are
ro Sanskitt words corresponding to the words underlined. This passage
indicates that Kumārila firmly held that many authors had tried their hands
at composing sûtra works on Mimāmsă before the present P M. S. emerged.

distinction between the power of luck and human effort. He goes even a step further and is prepared to admit that even heterodox hypotheses of Bauddhas such as the theory that only Vijñāna (cognition) exists, that everything is in an eternal flux and that there is no (permanent) soul, which (theories) sprang from the arthavāda passages in the Upanisads, serve the purpose of inducing people to give up extreme attachment to sensual pleasures and are useful and authoritative in their own ways.

He sums up the distinction by stating that as regards those smrtis (or portions of them) in which the fruit or result promised cannot possibly be experienced in this life, but where the result is promised as fructifying in the remote future (i. e. after death), an inference as to their being based on Veda may be drawn, but, as in the case of scorpion lore 2053 (i. e. incantations for curing the sting of a scorpion), works dealing with matters that can be seen are authoritative only because the result can be perceived in other men similarly stung.

The medieval Dharmaśāstra works take up this discussion about the distinction between smrtis based upon the Veda and those on perceptible motives or purposes. For example, the Kalpataru (Brahma-cāri-kānda p. 30) and Aparārka (pp. 626-627) quote verses from Bhavisyapurāṇa (Brāhmaparva chap. 181, 22-31) that divide the contents of smrtis in five categories and exemplify that division. The Sm. C. II. p. 24 quotes two of these and the Paribhāṣāprakāśa of Mitramiśra (p. 19) quotes all of them. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. III. p. 840 note 1634 for all the verses.

Kumārila (pp. 194-196 of Tantravārtika) holds that the passages quoted by Šabara on I. 3. 3 are not really opposed to Veda at all and that the proper subject for discussion under I. 3. 3-4 is the authoritativeness in matters of Dharma of the schools 2054 of Sānkhya, Yoga, Pāsupata, Pāñcarātra and Śākyas

^{2053.} विज्ञानमाञ्च-क्षणभङ्ग-नैरात्स्याविवादानामण्डानेपदर्धवाद्वप्रभवलं विववेषात्त्वः न्तिकं रागं निवर्तियद्विमित्युपपर्कं सर्वेषां प्रामाण्यम्। सर्वेञ्च च यञ्च काळान्तरफळार्धत्वाविद्वात्त्रीश द्वभवासम्भवस्तत्र श्रुतियुरुता। सान्द्वविक्तकछे ह्य दृश्चिकविद्याची पुरुषान्तरे स्ववहारदर्भनादेव ग्रामाण्यमिति विवेकसिद्धिः। सन्त्रवा० p. 168 on 1, 3. 2.

^{2054.} यान्येतानि त्रयीविद्धिने परिष्यक्षेतानि ... सांख्ययोग-पाञ्चरात्र-पात्तुपत-शावय-ग्रन्थगृहीतधर्माधर्मनिवनधनानि ... अर्दिसासत्यवचनदमदानद्गादिद्धतिरमृतिसंवादिस्तीकार्य-ग्रन्थवासितजीविकाभागार्थान्तरोपदेशीनि यानि च बाह्यतराणि म्हेन्छाचारमिष्ठकभोजनाचरण-निवन्धनानि वेवानेवेतच्छ्रतिविरोधदेतुदर्शनाम्यामनपेक्षणीयस्तं प्रतिपाद्यते । सन्त्रया. p. 194, Here the reading in the sutra would have to be अनिपेदयं.

and he holds that all these are outside the pale of the three Vedas and are to be discarded as unauthoritative, although they contain a few matters such as ahmsā, truthfulness, self-control, charity and compassion, that agree with Sruti and smrti. It will have been seen from the above that Kumārila was aware of the emphasis placed by Buddhists on some moral values but he differed from them in many other matters, that he was ready to admit that Buddhist works had some value and he did not preach that they should be burnt or destroyed. Therefore, it appears that his attitude was far from being one of total hatred and persecution of the Buddhists, as alleged by Taranatha cited above on p. 1010 n. 1645

Sabara explains that sutras 5-7 of P. M. S. I. 3 are 1055 concerned with certain religious acts such as acamana (sipping water) when one sneezes in the midst of a rite, the wearing of Yajūopavita (the sacred thread), the use of the right hand alone in all acts. These interfere with the sequence and quick performance of the subordinate items in a religious rite, says the objector. Sabara establishes that there is no substance in the objection. Kumārila feels that Sabara is not right as regards the three instances cited by him. He splits the three sūtras into two adhikai anas (topics for consideration): sūtras 5 and 6 deal (acc to Kumārila) with the contention that those precepts of Buddha and other founders of unorthodox sects, such as the construction of monasteries and parks, the insistence on desirelessness (varāgya), the continuous practice of meditation, ahimsä, truthfulness, restraint of senses, charity and kindness are such as are laid down also by the Veda, are not opposed to the ideas of sistas and cannot rouse the antipathy of those who know the Vedas and that therefore those parts of the unorthodox systems should be deemed to be authoritative. This contention is repelled by Kumārila with the remark that only 14 (four Vedas, 6 Vedāngas, Purānas, Nyāya, Mimāmsā and Dharmaśāstra) or 18 (adding four Upavedas) have been accepted by the Vedic sistas as authoritative in matters of Dharma and the works of Bauddhas and other sects are not included therein, 2056 Kumārila gives a telling example viz milk, though by itself pure and useful,

²⁰⁵⁵ Vide H of Dh. vol. III. pp 841-844 for details of Sabara's views on P.M.S. I 3 5-7 and Kumārila's criticism thereof.

²⁰⁵⁶ Vide Yāj. I. 3 for the 14 vidyās and H of Dh. vol III p. 10 note 17 for the four Upacedas viz. Ayurveda, Dhanurveda, Gāndharvaveda and Arthafāstra

becomes useless and unacceptable, when poured into a bag of dog-skin.

Kumārila holds that sūtra 7 of PMS I. 3 is an adhika ana by itself and is concerned with the authoritativeness of sadūcāra (the oustoms and usages of sistas). His position as elaborated in the Tantravartika is that those usages alone are authoritative that are not opposed to express Vedic texts, that are practised by sistas under the belief that they are right conduct (dharma) and for which no visible motive (such as pleasure or the satisfaction of desires or the acquisition of wealth) can be predicated, Sistas are only those that perform the religious rites and duties expressly enjoined by the Veda. The practices traditionally handed down from generation to generation that are observed by sistas (as defined above) with the conviction that they form nart of Dharma must be regarded as Dharma and as leading to heaven. The Tantravartika remarks that practices do not become authoritative merely by the fact that no visible motive or purpose can be postulated for them: but they become so only when they are observed by sistas as part of Dharma, 2057 Many activities such as agriculture, service or trade, that are the means of securing wealth and pleasures and such actions as eating sumptuous food, drinking, sleeping on soft beds, possessing a charming house or garden, all of which are common to Arvas and Miecchas are not deemed by any one to be part of Dharma and it does not follow that because a few actions of sistas are accepted as Dharma, all their actions are to be looked upon as Dharma. Kumārila quotes the advice that a man should follow the path by which his father, grand-father and other ancestors went, provided it is the path of the good, following which he will not come to harm. 2058

Very intricate questions arise with regard to the relative force of sruti (Veda), smrti and sadācāra (usages observed by sistas as defined above and in Manu XII. 109). The Mit. on Yāj. I. 7 (laying down five sources of Dharma viz. śruti, šmrti.

^{2057.} हष्टकारणहीनानि यानि कर्माणि साधुभिः। प्रयुक्तानि प्रतियेरत् धर्मलेनेत् तात्वपि ॥ प्ररयक्षवेद्वितितधर्मिक्रियया हि लन्धिक्षेद्वव्ययदेशा यत्यरभ्यरामासमन्यद्वि धर्मब्रुद्ध्या कुर्वन्ति तत्वपि स्वर्भव्याद्धर्मेक्ष्पमेव। तत्त्वप pp. 205-206, vide H of Dh. vol. III. p. 843 note 1639 for the whole passage.

^{2058.} येनास्य पितरो याता सेन याताः पितामहाः। तेन यायात्सता मार्ग तेन याय्यात्सता मार्ग तेन याय्यायां नाय्याताः स्मृत्यन्तमार्मितिषद्धस्य ते ते यरिहरन्त्येव। अपरिहरन्तो या स्वजनाविभिः परिहीपन्ते। Vide मेघा. and मिता॰ on this in H. of Dh. vol. III. p. 857 n. 1661.

sadācāra, and two more) states the general rule that in case of conflict each preceding one is more powerful than each succeeding one. Manu (I. 12) states that, for those who desire to know Dharma, Śruti (Veda) is the highest authority. Therefore, in case of conflict between sruti and smrti the former prevails. There are a few exceptions even to this clear rule which may be But where two smrtis are in conflict, the illustrated later on ordinary rule, following the Sodasi-nyaya and the words of Gautama I. 5 (Tulyabalavirodhe vikalpah), should be an option. Many works on Dharmasastra must have been composed long before 500 B. C., since Gautama mentions Manu (in 21, 7) and 'ācāryāh' (in 3. 35, 4. 18) and Ap. Dh. S. (I. 6, 19, 2-12) mentions no less than nine individual authors' cpinions on the single question as to whose food may be eaten. Manu (in III. 16) mentions three views held by four sages on the position of a brāhmana who marries a śūdra wife or has a son or child from her. A striking example of a conflict between smrtis is the rule of Manu (III. 13), Baud. Dh. S. I. 8. 2, Visnu Dh. S. 24, 1-4, Vas. I. 25, Par. Gr. I. 4 allowing anuloma marriages and permitting a brāhmana to have a śūdra woman as a wife and the emphatic statement of Yal. I. 56-57 that it does not agree with those that declare that persons of the three higher classes can take a śūdra woman as wife. Later writers of smrtis and mbandhas should have said that on account of this conflict there is an option. But they do not say so. They struck upon various devices to wriggle out of such apparent contradictions. The first device was declared by Brhaspati (about 500 A. D.) that the Manusmrti occupies a pre-eminent position as it correctly represents the sense (the real view) of the Vedas and that a smrti which is opposed to the teaching of Manu is not held in esteem. 2059 But this was not satisfactory and some other devices were resorted to. One was to insert passages in Manusmrti itself and in other works opposing what had already been declared as the law Two examples may be cited. As against Manu III. 13 (referred to immediately above) we find in the extant text (III. 14-19) verses very strongly condemning persons of the three

^{2059.} चेदार्घोषिनियन्द्रशृत्वात् प्रामाण्यं तु मनुस्पृत्तो। मन्दर्भविपरीता या स्मृतिः सा न मन्दर्भते॥ सृद्रस्पति वृ b) अवरार्कं p 628 on या II.21 and by कुट्स्क on मनु I 1 मनुस्तृति II 7 (quoted above in note 2045) claims that whatever it says on dharma has all been declared in the Veda The मनुस्तृति frequently echoes the very words of the Veda, e.g., Vanu I 31 and Rg X 90 12, Manu II. 2 and बाज, सं. 40. 2, Manu IX 6 (about Jāyā) and Ait. Br 33.1 (7th gāthā), Manu IX.32 and Ait Br, 33.3 (4th gāthā).

higher tainus taking a sūdra woman as wife. Manu allowed the practice of myoga (in IX. 59-62), but the extant Manusmiti (IX. 64-68) condemns it in no measured terms. These contradictory statements were known to Brhaspati who expressly says that Manu permits nivoga and himself forbids 2060 it and assigns a reason viz. that in former ages (Krta and Treta) neonle practised (aras and were endowed with knowledge while in the Dyapara and Kali ages men have lost the powers possessed by men of the past ages and therefore ninoga is forbidden. Yainavalkva himself proposes (II. 21) that when two smrtis are in conflict 'reasoning based on the practices of elders was of greater force'. Nārada has a similar rule. 2061 Another device was to declare that the nature of Dharma differed in each of the four yugas and that in the krta, treta, dvapara and kali ages the Dharmas respectively promulgated by Manu, Gautama, Sankha-Likhita and Parāšara were to prevail. 2062 This also could not solve all difficulties, since medieval commentators and digests found that even what was allowed by Parasara (such as permitting a brahmana to eat food at the 2663 house of such sūdras as his dāsa, cowherd, barber, family friend and a cultivator of his land for a half share of the crops, permission for a married woman to re-marry in certain circumstances), came to be disapproved of or condemned by people. Another expedient in cases of conflict among smrtis was provided by Gobhila viz. where there is a conflict of (smrti) passages, the opinion of the majority should prevail. 2064

^{2060.} उक्ती नियोगी महाना निषिद्धः स्वयमेव हु । युगक्रमाइक्षवयोगं कर्तुनन्ये विधानतः॥ बृहस्पृति q. by कुलूका on महा IX. 68, two more verses of Brhaspati cited by Kullüka are not quoted here,

²⁰⁶¹ Vide H of Dh, vol III pp 866-867 notes 1682-83 for several renderings and explanations of Yaj II. 21. Compare 'धर्मशास्त्रविरोधे तु युक्ति' सुक्ती विधिः स्मृत.।। नारदस्मृति I. 40

^{2062.} अन्ये फ़ुतसुणे धर्मास्त्रेताया हापरेऽपरे। अन्ये कलियुणे नूणा गुण्हासास्क्यता ॥ सञ्ज I. 85. The same verse occurs in झान्तिपर्व 232. 27 (≃cr ed. 224. 26) and in पराझरस्मृति (I. 22) (which reads सुगरूपाझसारतः); फ़ृते हु सानवो धर्मस्रेतायां गीतमः स्मृतः। हापरे झाङ्गुलिखितः कली पाराझरः स्मृत ॥ पराझर I. 24 q, by स्मृतिच I p 11.

^{2063.} दास-नापित-योपाल-कुलिमेवार्धसीरिणः । एते झूद्रेष्ठ भोज्याका यश्चास्मानं निवेद्देणेत्॥ पराझरस्तृति XI. 21. Compare याज्ञ I 166 for about the same words and स्वदासी नापिती गोपः झुस्भकारः क्रवीवलः । ब्राह्मणैरित भोज्याकाः पश्चैते झूद्रयोतयः ॥ देवल q. by अपरार्क on p. 245 on पर. I. 168 नष्ट सूत्र प्रवित्ते कृषि च प्रतिते पत्तौ। पश्चस्तायस्त वारीणां पतिरस्यो विधीयते॥ पराझरस्युति IV 30, on which परा मा. II . p. 53 remarks 'अयं च युनकहावी युगन्तर्तविषयः !.

^{2064.} विरोधी यन वाक्याचा मामाण्यं तच स्यसायः। गोभिलस्मृति q. by मलमासतल p. 767.

As shown above smrtis had been composed before 500 B. C. and they continued to be compiled till about 900 or 1000 A. D. i. e. for over 1500 years. Yaj (I. 4-5). mentions 19 smrtis including his own. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. I. pp. 132-135 for the enumeration of different numbers of smrtis in different works. At least a hundred smrtis if not more can be named. During the long period of over 1500 years vast changes in the religious and social ideas of the Indian people, in their customs and usages had occurred. Buddhism arose, flourished and disappeared from India. the caste system became rigid in the matter of food, marriage and social behaviour; Vedic rites, divinities worshipped and language underwent great transformations. animal sacrifices, though occasionally performed, had ceased to be looked upon as meritorious. The religious literature had therefore to be recast to suit new ideals, new worship and new patrons (viz. the common people who had ceased to follow ancient ritual and worship). Smrtis reflected the changes in ideas, beliefs, worship and usages that occurred from time to time and naturally gave rise to great conflicts among themselves. At last it appears that the learned men of the 10th and following centuries of the Christian era hit upon the plan of providing that certain customs and practices, though formerly allowed and practised, were harmful in the Kali age. A theory was put forward that great sages came together at the beginning of the Kali age and declared that certain rites, customs and usages, though allowed in past ages, should be prohibited in the Kali age. 2065 The actions prohibited or to be avoided in the Kali age (about 55 in number and therefore called Kalivariya) have been set out and discussed at length in H of Dh. vol. III. pp 926-967. It is clear from Medhātithi's bhāsya on Manu (IX 112) that long before his time (9th century A. D.) there were writers who had condemned govadha (in Madhuparka &c.). niyoga and the giving of a larger share to the eldest son and expressed the view that those practices were only allowed in bygone ages.

This subject of Kalivarjya requires some serious consideration. Three of the Kalivarjyas are the prohibition of niyoga, of

^{2065.} एवानि होक्रगुट्चर्थं कलेरादी महात्मभिः। निवर्तितानि कर्माणि व्यवस्थापूर्वकं प्रुपे.॥ lines 39-40 from the appendix (pp. 1014-1015) to vol. III. (of H. of Db); 'तस्मादुद्धार-नियोग-गीवधरमृतय उपिद्धार नाम्हेया ।। सेधा on मन्न IX 112; रुधा नियोगपर्मो नो नामुबरम्पायोऽपि च। तथोद्धारिवभागोपि नैव संप्रति वर्तते॥ q. by निकाक्षरा on पा II. 117.

the offering of the anubandhyā cow (after avabhṛtha) in Jyotistoma and of the allotment of the largest share (of ancestral wealth) to the eldest son. All these three were either enjoined or permitted by the Veda. From Rg. X. 40. 2 it follows that a sonless widow raised a son for the sake of the spiritual benefit of her husband's soul by sexual intercourse with the husband's brother. 2055 The Tai. S. (III. 1. 9. 4) has two contradictory passages viz. 'Manu divided his wealth among his sons' (without making any distinction among them) and 'therefore they endow the eldest son with (the paternal) wealth' (Tai S. II. 5. 2.7). In this last case it may be argued that as two Vedic passages are in conflict there is an option. But from very early times there is a prohibition against allowing the whole ancestral wealth or a major portion of it to the eldest son. Apastamba quotes both 2067 Vedic passages, holds that equal division among sons is the proper rule and remarks that allowing the eldest son to take the whole or a major portion of ancestral estate is prohibited by the Śāstras. Among actions forbidden in the Kali age but practised in Vedic times some striking ones (apart from the three already cited above) may be mentioned here: (1) being initiated for Sattras (which were sacrifices extending from 12 days to 12 years or even more and which could be performed by brāhmanas alone): Jaimini 2068 deals with them at some length in VI. 6. 16-32 and other places. It is remarkable that neither Šabara nor Kumārila says anything against Sattradīksā as prohibited in Kali. So it was not among generally recognized Kalivarjyas till at least the 8th century A. D. (2) killing of a cow or bull. There were several occasions on which this was

^{2066.} को वा शयुजा विधवेव देवरं सर्प न योषा छुछते सर्पश्च आ ॥ इ. X. 40.2. For the treatment of myoga from ancient times, vide H. of Dh. vol. II. pp 599-607. Some regard this verse as indicating re-marriage and not myoga But that does not appear to be correct. Manu (IX. 65) states that myoga is nowhere mentioned among the mantras relating to marriage not is the remarriage of a widow referred to in the procedure of matriage. But Gautama and some other sūtrakāras and even Yāj (I. 68-69) prescribe in detail the procedure and conditions governing myoga, all writers are entirely silent about the procedure of the re-marriage of widows. Therefore, it has to be said that Rg. X. 40.2 was rightly held by ancient smrtis as referring to the practice of niyoga.

^{2067.} ज्येष्टो दायाद इत्येके। ... तच्छास्त्रेवियतिषिद्धम्। .. मनु पुत्रेग्यो दायं ध्यभज दिश्यविशेषेण श्रुयते। अथापि तस्माज्ज्येष्टं पुत्रं धनेन निरवसाययन्तीत्येकवच्छूयते। ... सर्वेदि धर्मवक्ता भागिनः। आप. ध स्त्र II 6. 14. 10-12, 14.

^{2068.} Vide H of Dh Vol. II. pp, 1239-1246 for details about Satiras.

done in the Vedic age. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. III. pp 939-940. As flesh-eating came to be looked down upon, cow-sacrifices became most abhorrent and medieval Kalivariya texts only register a prohibition which had been acted upon for centuries before them. (3) The employment of cups of wine in the Santrāmanī sacrifice. 2059 Jaimini. Sabara and the Tup-tikā of Kumārila describe it and both Sabara and Kumārila refer to the offering of cups of wine in it. Therefore this rite must have become Kalivariya after Kumārila's day. (4) Offering an animal with the recital of Vedic mantras to the bridegroom, to a guest and in honour of pitrs. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II, pp. 542-546 for Madhuparka in which a bull or a cow was offered according to the Ait. Br. and Vol. III, pp. 945-46 for this Kalivariya Manu (V. 41-44) allows the killing of animals in Madhuparka, in sacrifices and in rites for the pitrs (Manes) and gods and emphasizes that sacrificing an animal as laid down by the Veda is no himsā but is ahimsā. Yāj. (I. 258-260) prescribes the periods of time for which putrs are gratified by the offering of sacrificial food (such as rice or sesame), various kinds of fish and the flesh of several animals. The Mit was 2070 constrained to observe that, although Yāj shows that sacrificial food (rice &c), flesh and honey are offerings in Śrāddha for all varnas, yet (in its day) the rule established by Pulastya should be followed viz. food fit for muni (i e. rice) should be offered by brāhmanas, flesh by ksatriyas and vaisyas and honey by sūdras.

According to the Pürvamimāmsā Veda is eternal, self-existent and of absolute authority, one fails to understand how sages could have authority at the beginning of the Kali-yuga to prohibit what the Veda enjoined or permitted. This seems to be a fiction invented to accommodate as Dharma the changes in people's ideas and practices that had occurred. It would have been honest and straightforward if the writers on Dharmasāstra had

^{2069.} For reference to Sautrāmanī, vide above p. 1079 note 1750 Jai. 111 5 14-15 and IV. 3. 29-31 deal with it. The Tai S. V. 6, 3-4, states 'Agnim citvā sautrāmanyā yajeta'. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II, pp. 1224-1228 for a description of this sacrifice, which is a combination of an isti with animal sacrifice. The peculiarity of this rite is that, along with cups of mill, cups of wine are offered to Asvins, Sarasvatī and Indra.

^{2070.} अत्र पदापि सुन्यसमासमध्यादीनि सर्वपर्णानां सामान्येन श्राद्धे योग्यानि हरितानि तथापि पुन्तरयोक्ता स्वारयादरणीया । सुन्यस्तं ब्राह्मणस्योक्त मासं क्षत्रियपैठययोः । मध्यदानं शहरय मर्वेना चानिरोधि यत्॥ हति। निता ०० याज्ञ I 260-261

said that changed circumstances required that the words of the Veda or of the old smrtis should not be followed. And there is nothing novel or revolutionary in saving this. Both Manu 2011 and Yāiñavalkva prescribe that one should not observe but give up, what was (once) deemed to be dharma, if it had become hateful to the people and if it would end in unhappiness and not lead to the attainment of heaven. Even the Mitaksara follows this precept of the two smrtis and expressly says that unequal distribution (at partition) of ancestral wealth, though found in the Sastras, should not be followed because people had come to hate it. It may be noted that the word used by Yai, and others is 'lokavidvista' or 'lokavikrusta' (hated or reviled by the people) and not 'sista-vidvista', the idea being that even if orthodox learned pandits insist that people must follow what the Veda and smrtis declare to be Dharma, common people may give up practices condemned by them or hateful to them. This attitude recognizes the historical facts that practices change in the course of centuries and common people are entitled to ignore the dicta even of the Veda (much more of the smrtis). To the question why should one not be allowed to marry one's mother's sister or

^{2071.} परित्यजेदर्थकामी यी स्थातां धर्मवर्जिती। धर्म चाप्यस्रकोदकी लोकविक्रटमेत्र स मतः, IV, 176; विष्णुपुराण III, 11 7 reads धर्मपीडाकरी त्रुप and विद्विष्टः, कर्मणा मनसा बान्ता यत्नाद धर्म समान्त्ररेत्। अस्तर्ग्य छोकविद्विष्टं धर्म्पमप्यान्तरेन्न तु ॥ याझ I. 156. Vide विष्णुधर्मसूच 71, 17-21 (परिहरेत्) धर्मविरुद्धी चार्धकामी लोकविद्विष्टं च धार्ममणि। घुडकारदीयपु I. 24. 12 has the verse कर्मणा मनसा ... चरेन्न तुः सर्वहोकविषद्ध च धर्ममध्याचरेल तु । फूर्मे॰ I. 2. 54. 'विषमो विभागः शाखदृष्टस्तथापि होकविद्विष्टत्वासाहुरेयः' मिता. on या II 117; on याज्ञ I. 156 the मिता says 'धर्म्य विहितमपि लोकविद्दिष्ट लोकाभिशस्तिजननं मधुपर्कगोवधादिकं नाचरेत् यसमादस्वर्गमग्रीपोमीयवत्स्वर्गसाधनं न भवति 17. Vide also मिता on या. III. 8, which says about the different days of impurity for sapindas of the 4th, 5th, 6th or 7th degree prescribed by a Smrti that it should be discarded 'तहिगीतत्वासादरणीयम्। यद्यव्यविगीतं तथापि मभुपर्काह्मपत्रात्रमनबह्रोकविद्विष्टत्वाचानुष्टेयम्।'; the स्मृतिच (I p. 71) says 'त त्रुमः शास्त्रती न पारिणेयेति किंतु स्रोकविचह्नतात्। यद्य धर्म्यमपि स्रोकविचह्नं तत्रानुष्टेयम्। युदुक्तं महुना−अत्वर्ग्य•़, । वराइनिहिरोपि छोकाचारस्तामदादी विचिन्त्यो देशे देशे या स्थिति संव कार्यो । I. Vide above p. 555 note 825 b for this verse which is there quoted from राजमातंण्ड. The शतप्रात्राह्मण (III. 4. 1-2) has 'तस्मे (सोमाय) एतदाया राहे वा त्राह्मणाय वा महोक्षं महाजं वा पत्रेत्तदृष्ट मानुपं इविदेवानामेवमस्मा एतदातिवर्षं करीति ' वसिष्टधर्मसूत्र (4.8) and पाझ I 109 have the same provision as in Saiapatha Medieval writers could not subscribe to this practice. Visvarupa says that an ox or goat is killed only if the guest desires it. फाल्यतर (नियतफाल section p 190) quotes both वसिष्ठ and याज्ञः and remarks अन्न गृहागतस्रोजियतुरस्वर्ध गोवधः कर्तव्य इति प्रतीयते तथापि कल्लिस्रो नार्यं धर्मः किं तु सुगान्तरे, while the मिहा explains "उपकल्पयेत्, भवदर्थमयमस्माभिः परिकल्पित इति तत्त्रीत्वर्धे न त दानाय व्यापादनाय हा, 'अलग्पं... ज तु ' इति निपेधाच "

...other's sister's daughter if a maternal uncle's daughter can be married, the Smrticandrika replies 'we do not say that she could not be married according to Sastra, but she should not be married as people abhor such a marriage ' and it quotes Yaj I. 156 (by oversight it ascribes the verse to Manu). In modern times when any change or reform in religious or social practices is suggested. Pandits calling themselves Sanātanis put forward the pleas that the proposed change or reform is opposed to the sastras, that the controversy should be carried on according to the Mimamsa rules, that all Smrti and other dicta should be so construed as to lead to samanvana (consistent arrangement of apparently discordant texts), that the historical approach leads nowhere and should not be resorted to. All these objections are here briefly dealt with. It has been shown how from Vedic times to the present day great changes have taken place in religious ideas, worship and practices, how smrtis from Gautama, Apastamba, Manu downwards espouse conflicting views so much so that as early as the Mahabharata Vyasa 2072 had to protest that 'rationalisation is unstable, Vedas are in conflict with each other, there is no single sage whose opinion is held to be authoritative (by all), the truth about Dharma is enveloped in a cave (i.e it cannot be clearly discerned) and that therefore the path (to be followed) is the one followed by the great mass of people. The Mimamsa does not often lead to certain conclusions and it has already been seen how great Mīmāmsā writers like Śabara, Kumārila, Prabhākara differ among themselves on numerous topics and it would be further illustrated later on how even great Mimāmsakas evolve highly conflicting conclusions in the interpretation of simple smrti passages. Change is the one absolute in the long history of our religious and social ideas and what those who appeal to the historical approach mean is that the smrtis were composed by human authors during a period of 1500 or 2000 years and were greatly influenced by the then prevailing religious and social atmosphere, that many of their dicta cannot be fully reconciled so as to form a consistent code of conduct, that they cannot be

²⁰⁷² तर्रोऽपतिष्ठ श्रुतयो विभिन्ना नेतो सुनिर्यस्य मतं प्रमाणम्। धर्मस्य तस्यं निष्टितं
गृहायो महाजनो पेन गृतः स पन्थाः॥ वनपर्यं chap 313. 117 (ın यक्षप्रश्न). But this
verse does not occur in the Cri ed of बनपर्य chap 297, though several other
questions and answers do occur The words 'महाः पन्याः' may also mean
the path to be followed is the one that some great man (or men) takes:
महाजन in the rense of 'mass of people' is employed by श्रुत्तराचार्य on वे सु

1V. 27 in the words 'ग्रामियमपुरक्राग्निर्माग्राजनगर्तेगानुक्रीस्पेते'.

regarded as forever binding on all Hindus, that in the 20th century our people are free to introduce or recognize such changes as are required or have already taken place among the people in the changed circumstances and that this course is sanctioned even by Manu and Yājāvalkya and medieval eminent authors and works on the Dharmaśāstra such as the Mitāksarā and the Kalpataru. It is of course clear that changes in practices and principles should not be made merely for the sake of change or for the whims of some leader, but the governing principle of conscious change should be the feelings and needs of common people, while retaining the foundations on which society has been based for thousands of years.

It has further to be noted that the Mimāmsā rules were concerned only with the interpretation of the Vedic passages on sacrificial rites and matters relating thereto and had very little to do with the practices of people unconnected with sacrifices or religious rites. 2073 The Mimāmsāsūtra nowhere says that the same rules should be applied to the interpretation of smrtis. On the contrary, the P. M. S. is very critical about smrtis and usages (vide P. M. S. I. 3.3-4 and 7). There are fundamental differences between the Veda and the smrtis. The Veda was deemed to be self-existent, eternal and of absolute authority, while smrtis are pauruseya (works of human authors), have only a derived authority (as being presumed to be based on Vedic passages most of which are not now available), their number is

^{2073.} For an example, where Dharmasastra refused to allow the application of Mimamsa rules to vratas and utsavas vide above p. 133 n. 337. For examples of medieval digests and commentaries saying that certain inconvenient smrti texts referred to previous Kalpas and Yugas, vide Sm. C. I 24 and Par M. I 2 p 83 about Hārīta speaking of Upanayana for women (H. of Db, Vol II. p. 295); Par. M. I. part 2 p 97 refers to Mann III, 13 allowing the marriage of a brahmana with a sudra woman and III. 14 forbidding it and to YEj quoted above in note 2071 and remarks that this conduct is to be resolved by saying that there is difference of opinion among smrtis on that point or that they refer to different yugas. Vide also above p 89 n 222 on the divergence about Yugadi Tithis The V. M. (P. 99 of my edition, Poona, 1926) states that the verse of Narada which says 'as to those sons who are separated by their father himself by giving to each of them less or more, it is their duty to abide by it since the father has power over all ' is declared as referring to another Yuga, Vide also V. M. pp. 242-243 about killing an atatayin brahmana अतः कती स्वयोधतीऽप्यातताथी विमो ल वध्य:। शुगान्तरे हु वध्य एव।. This conclusion is due to the verse in कालिवर्ज्य section 'आवतायिद्विजायचाणां धर्मशुद्धेन हिंसनम्। ... श्रुमान् धर्मान् किंडुपे वर्ज्यानाहर्मनीयिणः॥."

very large, they often differ so much from each other that even eminent authors and works like the Mitāksarā had sometimes to give up in despair the idea of bringing order out of the welter of smrti passages and in their efforts at samanava had to say that certain smrtis refer to a former Kalpa or Yuga (i e. to a society of several thousands or millions of years ago). One of the well-known maxims of the P. M. S. is the one called 'Sarvasakhāpratyavanyāva' 2074 or 'Śākhāntarādhikarananyāya' (II. 4. 8-33). In the several recensions of the Veda and the Brahmanas attached to the Vedic recensions the same rite is mentioned and is dilated upon with details some of which occur in one or more recensions but are absent from others. Jaimini and Sabara establish that all the Sakhas of the Veda and the Brahmanas form one corpus, that such rites as Agnihotra and Jyotistoma are one and the same in all recensions of the Veda, though the details may vary here and there, and this is the proper conclusion because the name (Jyotistoma and the like) is the same in all recensions, the promised reward of the rite is the same, the materials of the sacrifice and the devatā are the same and the codanas (the exhartatory sentences) are the same. very maxim was extended to smrtis from very early times. Visvarūpa, Medhātithi, the Mıtāksarā, 2075 Aparārka and other commentators extend this to smrtis and provide that where smrtis are in conflict there is to be an option but that in other cases all the details are to be added up. But as an option was liable to eight faults the tendency developed that all smrti passages on a topic were to be so interpreted as to give no rise to conflict or to allow no option by means of various devices

^{2074.} एकं वा संयोगस्यचोदनाख्यां विशेषात्। पू मी. सू. II. 4 9; इावर says 'सर्वशास्त्रायरययं सर्वब्राह्मणप्रस्ययं चैकं कर्म' on जे II. 4. 9 pp. 635-636; the तन्ववा. p. 635 remarks 'एकस्यामपि शाखायां ब्राह्मणानेकत्वेपि तदेव कर्मेत्यभिप्रायः। सम्रापोड़ानूणा पश्चविश-पद्धविश-ब्राह्मणयोज्योतिद्योमद्वादशाही॥ ' Compare सर्ववेदान्तप्रत्ययं चोदनासिश्चात्। वे स III 3 1.

such as 'Visaya-vyavasthā', reference to another Kalpa or Yuga and so on. For example, even as regards the stock example of vikalpa (viz. taking or not taking the Sodasin cup in Atiratra) the Mit. says that it is proper to assume that it should be taken if it is possible to do so, or it may be assumed that by taking the Sodaśin cup in Atirātra the attainment of svarga is hastened &c. 2076 The result of regarding all smrtis as constituting one Śāstra was that many simple rites became in course of time overloaded with details, complicated and taxing to the performer. But sometimes it is necessary to apply this doctrine. For example. Yai, I, 135 requires the snataka not to look at the sun I neksetarkam): this would mean that to look at the sun if prohibited at all times, but the dictum of Yan should be read along with Manu IV. 37 which forbids a person to look at the rising or setting sun or at the sun when eclipsed or as reflected in water or when it is at the meridian. So the rule will be as stated by Manu.

٦

While on this subject of the authoritativeness of Smrtis it would be useful to glance at what Jaimini and particularly Kumārila say about the Vedāngas. As regards Šiksā (Phonetics) Kumārila says that the account given in that work about the organs employed in pronunciation and the rules about Vedic accents have their use in the correct recitation of mantras. On the Kalpa-sūtras Jaimini 2077 has a separate adhilarana (I. 3. 11-14). Śabara mentions by name the Māšaka, Hāstika and Kaundinyaka Kalpasūtras and the Tantravārtika draws a distinction between kalpa (ritual of Śrauta sacrifices) and Kalpasūtras, and refers by name to eight

These sūtras (PMS I. 3. 11-14) have been interpreted by Kumārila in several different ways, firstly as referring to the authoritativeness of Kalpasūtras (as done by Śabara), secondly

^{2076.} न च पोडिशिग्रहणाग्रहणबिद्धिमायोरिय विकल्पोपपितिरिति घार्य, यतस्त्रापि सित सम्भवे ग्रहणमेविति युक्तं कल्पयिद्धम् । यद्वा पोडिशिग्रहणानुगृहीतेनातिरात्रेण क्षिपं स्तर्गादि सिद्धिरतिश्चितस्य वा स्वर्गस्येति कल्पनीयम्। मिता॰ ०० याझ. III, 243.

^{2077.} के पुनः कल्पाः कानि स्त्राणीति उच्यते। सिद्धस्यः प्रयोगो यः कर्मणामग्रुग्यपेत। ते कल्पा इक्षणार्थानि स्त्राणीति प्रचक्षते। कल्पनाद्धि प्रयोगाणा कल्पोऽड्यानसाधनम्। घ्रषं ग्रु स्वचात्तेषां स्वयं कल्प्यप्रयोगकम्। कल्पाः पिटतसिद्धाः ष्टि प्रयोगाणां अतिमृतः। तन्त्राः on प्रचारा स्वयं कल्प्यप्रयोगकम्। कल्पाः पिटतसिद्धाः ष्टि प्रयोगाणां अतिमृतः। तन्त्राः on I. 3.11 (प्रयोगहास्त्रमिति चेत्) p. 229. The principal distinction is that Kalpas about each vedic sacrifice lay down simply the procedure that is ready made as handed down by oral tradition, while Kalpasütras like those of Aivalāyana, Baljavāpi. Drāhyāyana, Lētyāyana and Kātyāyana contain sanificās, þ: bhāṣās, general rules, exceptions and definitions etc

as referring to all the six Vedāngas and thirdly as referring to the so-called smrtis of Buddha and others. That the Buddhist works claimed to be smrtis is clear from the Manusmrti 2078 'the smrtis that are beyond the pale of the Veda and whatever other false systems may exist, they are all fruitless as to the (soul's) Hereafter (destiny after bodily death), since they are all based on tamas (ignorance).' Here some words will be said about the Vedangas according to Kumarila. According to both Sabara and Kumārila, vyākarana is dealt with in Jaimini I. 3. 24-29. In the Tantravartika Kumarila launches an elaborate and (pürvapaksa) onslaught against Pānini himself. telling aganist Kātyāyana (the author of the Vārtikas) and Patañjali (author of the Mahābhāsya) in which some very interesting points are made but they have to be passed over for reasons of space. Kumārila says that the proper subject of grammar is to determine what words are correct or incorrect. It is intersting to note that in the mima facie attack against grammar two Pürvamimämsä sütras are said to offend against grammar, 2079

The Nirukta of Yāska, which is one of the six angas of the Veda, is an extensive work and deals with the derivation of words, semantics, explanations of several hundred Vedic verses in whole or in part. Jaimini agrees with several conclusions of the Nirukta, which claims that without its help one cannot understand the meaning of the Veda, that it has a purpose of its own and is a complement of grammar, and it controverts at great length the view of Kautsa²⁰⁵⁰ that Vedic mantras have no meaning

^{2078,} या वेदवासा. स्मृतयो पाश्च काश्च कुदृष्टयः। सर्वास्ता निष्फलाः पेस्य तमोसूला ि ताः स्मृताः॥ महस्मृति XII, 95,

^{2079.} गरवश्च च तवादिषु । पू भी सू VIII 1 18; here गर्य is employed in the sense of गवासयन sacrifice, छान्नोस्त्रथिति चेत्। पू भी सू IX 3, 18. Here धार्योः stands for छान्नापृधित्योः, On these the सन्त्रवा (p. 259) remarks 'तथा धीनासायानपि-गन्यस्य च तवादिषु इति गोर्चिकासावयविष्यसाधुप्रयोगयोग्यः शब्दोऽन्यदेव गनायये गयुक्तः । तथा छान्नोस्त्रथिति चेत्- इति छानापृथिय्योगिति वक्तन्ये स्वध्यक्तिय् गानायये प्रयुक्तः । तथा छान्नोस्त्रथिति चेत्- इति छानापृथिय्योगिति वक्तन्ये स्वध्यक्तिय् गानायये प्रयुक्तम् ।, Sabara quotes the Mahābhāsya several times and refers to Pātañyah as ācārya and abbiyukta Vide the present author's paper on the 'Mahābhāsya and the bbāsya of Sabara in the Journal, Bhāratīya Vidyā, vol, VI pp 43-45 (1945) and 'citations from Sabara' by Prof D. V. Garge in the Deccan College Dissertation series No. 8 (1952), pp 239-242 for Sabara's quotations from the Mahābhāsya

^{2080.} अधापीदमन्तरेण मन्द्रेष्वर्धप्रत्ययो न बिद्यते तद्विदं विद्यास्थानं स्थाकरणस्य कार्त्स्य स्वार्थमाधकं च। यदि मन्द्रार्धप्रत्ययायानर्धकं भयतीति कीरसीऽनर्धका हि मन्द्राः!... अर्धरन्त सन्द्रमामान्यादेतद्वे यक्षस्य समृद्धं यह्यपसमृद्धं यत्कर्मे कियमाणमृत्यकुर्वाभिवद्दतीति

(or purpose) and emphatically asserts that Vedic mantras have a meaning (and a purpose) because the words they contain are the same as those employed in popular speech and that a Brahmana passage states that sacrifice has a perfect form when a rk yerse or vajus formula refers to the rite that is being performed. Jaimini (in I. 2. 4, ff and I. 3. 30) holds that Mantras are meaningful and that Vedic words and words in popular Sanskrit are the same and the things denoted by them both are the same except that some difference may be caused by accentuation in the Veda). 2081 The very first sentence of Sabara's bhasva says the same thing. Jaimini further 2031 agrees with the Nirukta about the denotation of verbs and nouns Sabara frequently quotes either the very words of the Nirukta or pointedly refers to them. 2033 Jaimini agrees with the Nirukta in the matter of the nature and functions of the Devatās in sacrifices 2081

⁽Continued from last page)

से बाह्मणम्। निहत्त I. 15 The word विद्यास्थानं which is employed by Yaj also (I. 3) may be noted Vide notes 1780-81 for the passage एवर्ट ... बदाते The Ait, Br (chap. III. 5) states that 13 verses are repeated at the time of producing fire by attrition and examines them to show how they are स्वयस्त्र All that it says on these 13 verses is not quite convincing, but in the case of several of them such as Rg VI 16 13-15 it is convincing; vide 'Our Heritage' (Calcutta Sanskrit College) vol. V. part 2 (for July-December 1957) for a lengthy paper 'on the justification of rupasamrddha verses in the Ait, Br ' by Vimana Chandra Bhattacharya (pp. 119-146).

^{2081.} लोके येथ्वर्धेषु प्रसिद्धानि पदानि सति सम्भवे तद्धर्भान्येव स्त्रेव्विययगन्तायम्। ... 0वं वेटनाक्यान्येवैभिव्यांख्यायन्ते। शवरभाव्य, first sentence.

^{2082.} Compare भावप्रधानमाख्यातं सत्त्वप्रधानानि नामानि। निरुक्त I. 1 with जै II. 1. 1 भावार्थाः सर्मशब्दास्तेम्य. क्रिया प्रतीयेतैष द्यर्थो विधीयते। '

^{2083.} Vide for example, ज्ञाबर on जे IV. 3.38 p 1267 (the verse अङ्गायङ्गात् occurring in निरुक्त III. 4 which cites it as a rk, ज्ञाबर on जे. VI. 2.13, p. 1396 (एवं तस्याख्यातस्यार्थे स्पत्तिकान्ति — उपक्रममभूरयपवर्गपर्यन्तामाहिति उपक्रमादारण्य वादायित्तामातितिर्यतावान् व्यापारविशेषस्तरपार्थों न यथा पाकस्त्याग इति, which quotes the words in निरुक्त I. 1); ज्ञाबर on जे. X. 4. 23 p. 1927 (the words अतिथिदेवस पिट्टे देवस्पमिति that occur in निरुक्त VII 5), ज्ञाबर on जे X. 4. 34 p. 1935 (न एवं स्वर्यस्तारों ... ग्रुणेनान्तितीं) भवतः, which occur in निरुक्त II 1 in the first sentence), ज्ञाबर on जे. X. 8 35 quotes the verse यमेव विद्या occurring in तिरुक्त II. 4 and remarks that एवं should be placed after ज्ञान्तिम् ' यं ज्ञान्तिमेत निया होते विद्यार यमेव विद्याः ज्ञान्तिमिति.

²⁰⁸⁴ Compare निरुक्त VII 6-7 with जैमिन IX. 1 9 आप वा जानपूरी साध्यक्षकर्म मधानं स्याहणले देवताश्चति.; ज्ञाप on this has several phrases like पौरंप साध्यक्षकर्म मधानं स्याहणले देवताश्चति.; ज्ञाप on this has several phrases like पौरंप साध्यक्षकर के (ज्ञाप p. 1654, निरुक्त VII. 7), which appear to be taken from the निरुक्त

Kumārila makes a general remark that all the six angas and the Dharmaśāstras are comprehended under Smrti. 2085

It appears that Jaimini did not attach much importance to Smrtis, since out of 915 (or 1000) Adhikaranas barely about a dozen are concerned in any way with smrtis alone, viz I. 3. 1-2, I. 3. 3-4. I. 3. 11-14, I. 3. 24-29, VI. 2. 21-22, VI. 2. 30, VI. 8. 23-24, VII. 1. 10, IX. 2. 1-2, XII. 4. 43. Sabara, however, refers to smrtis in many more places such as on VI. I. 5 and 13, IX. 1. 6-9.

We are here concerned with the attitude of Jaimini and his early commentators like Sabara and Kumārila towards Smrtis. The established conclusion arrived at by Jaimini (in I. 3.2) is that in case of conflict with the Veda Smrti is to be discarded, but if there be no conflict (of Smrti with Veda), it may be inferred (that Smrti is based on some Vedic text).

This amounts to saying that there is always a presumption that smrtis are based on Veda, if their prescriptions are not directly in conflict with the Veda. Smrtis prescribe the observance of Astakā śrāddhas, the digging of tanks, following and obeying a teacher. These are authoritative because they do not conflict with any Vedic text of opposite import. The Smrtis do claim that they are based on the Veda. Gautama says so (in XI. 19 in note 2042) and Manu states (II. 7) 'whatever Dharma is proclaimed by Manu for anybody has all been (already) declared in the Veda, since Veda possesses all knowledge'.

In connection with smrtis and usages an important question arises viz. if there is conflict between smrtis and usages of sistas, which is to be regarded as more authoritative. Kumārila holds that if the practice of good men is not opposed to what is taught in the Veda and Smrti, such practices can be understood to be authoritative but if there is contradiction between what is taught by Veda and by Smrti and by usages, then their authoritativeness would be opposed (i e would be lost) and further that 2006 smrti is more authoritative because it is based directly

^{2085.} स्मृतितं लड़ाना धर्मस्त्राणा चाविशिष्टम्। तन्त्रवा. p 285 on I. 3. 27.

^{2086.} शिर्ट यारपुरितरमुरयोस्तेन यक विक्रध्यते । तत्त्विद्धान्तरणं धर्मे प्रमाणक्षेत्र ग्रम्यते। यदि शिरस्य कोष स्वाद्विरुद्धित प्रमाणता । तद्वकोषामु नान्वारपमाणतं विक्रध्यते ॥ तन्त्रवा on I 3 8 p 216 and again on p 220 'उभयो श्रुतिमूल्रवं न सुरयानारयोः समम् । सम्परपप्रणीता हि स्मृति सोयनियन्यना । तथा श्रुत्यत्वमनं हि निर्विष्ट्यप्रणापते । आनारामु समृति ज्ञारा श्रुतिद्वारा त्राप्ते । तन वृत्यन्तरितं तस्य पानाण्यं विद्यन्तरित । प्रस्यय шहत्राक्ष ज्ञानं विद्यन्तरितं वर्ष पानाण्यं विद्यन्तरित । प्रस्यय шहत्राक्ष ज्ञानं विद्यन्तरीय (as Madu and others were sages),

on the Veda, while in the case of usages, one has to infer that sistas must have based their conduct on some smrti, which in its turn has to be deemed to be based on some Vedic text i.e. usage is removed from the Veda by one step further than Smrtis and besides it is well-known that smrtis are compositions by persons who were deeply versed in the Veda, while the origins of usages are vague and unascertainable.

Though this is the theoretical rule, supported by Dharmaśāstra works such as Vas. I. 5, Mit. on Yaj. I. 7 and II. 117, Kullūka on Manu II. 10, one finds that usages opposed to smrtis have been in vogue from ancient times (such as the usage of marrying one's maternal uncle's daughter that is condemned by Manu and authoritative smrtis). The Vyavahāramayūkha (p. 98 of the text in B. G. S.) was constrained to observe that in the Puranas one often finds usages opposed to smrtis. The courts have held that 'immemorial usage is transcendental law' and that this is the translation by Sir William Jones of 'acarah paramo dharmah' in Manu I. 108. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. III. pp 874-876 for two interpretations of this verse of Manu I. 108 in modern times. Manu in (II. 10) asserts that Veda and smrti are in all matters not to be subjected to (hostile) reasoning, since Dharma shone forth from these two. Manu further provided that as regards matters not specifically provided for, whatever brahmanas that have studied the Veda together with the supporting lores (viz. the six angas, mimāmsā, Purānas &c.) and that make others realize what Sruti says are sistas and whatever they declare to be the Dharma in such unprovided cases is undoubtedly the Dharma.

It was declared by the Privy Council that 'under the Hindu system of law clear proof of usage will outweigh the written text of the law.' ²⁰⁸⁷ From very ancient times customs and usages have been held to be authoritative. For example, Gautama XI. 20 says 'the usages of countries, castes and families are authoritative, provided they are not (directly) opposed to Vedic texts.' Manu I. 118 states that he has embodied in his Śāstra the long-standing customs and usages of countries, castes, families, heretical sects and guilds. In some matters

^{2087.} In Collector of Madura v. Mootoo Ramlinga, 12 M. I. Λ. 397 at p. 436 the Privy Council say so.

modern legislation in India allows to customs and usages over-riding 2098 authority.

In the examination of certain Kalivariyas mentioned above, (p. 1268-1270) it has been shown how several actions prohibited in the Kalivariya texts were practised in Vedic times or were enjoined by Vedic texts.

Kumārila points out that even brāhmana women of Ahicchatra and Mathura drank 2089 liquor in his day, that northern brahmanas engage in such transactions as the gift and sale of horses that have a mane, of mules, camels and animals that have two rows of teeth and eat from the same plate with their wives, children and friends, that brahmanas of the South enter into matrimony with the maternal uncle's daughter and take their meals while seated on a couch of wickerwork, that both (brāhmanas of the North and South) take cooked food (kept in pots) that remains after their friends or relatives have partaken of it or that has been touched by them (at the time of eating), that they chew $t\bar{a}mb\bar{u}la$ of betel leaves, betelnut, catechu and lime made into a roll that has been touched (in a betel-seller's shop) and do not sip water at the end of the chewing, wear clothes cleaned by washermen and brought on the back of asses, that they do not avoid the contact of persons guilty of grave sins except of the murder of a brahmana: there are also an infinite number of obvious violations of the subtle dictates of Dharma laid down for each man, caste or family, that these (transgressions) are contrary to Sruti and Smrti and have a visible purpose and that it is not possible to regard such adulterated practices as Dharma inculcated by sadācāra. The requisites of valid usages according to the Purvamimamsa school are that they must be ancient, not opposed to the express texts of the Veda or of Smrti, must be such as are deemed by sistas to be obligatory and must

²⁰⁸⁵ For example, the Hindu Marriage Act (25 of 1955) by section 5 provides that the parties to a marriage must not be within the degrees of prohibited relationship and must not be sapindas of each other and yet adds 'unless the custom or usage governing each of them permits of a riarriage between the two'.

^{2089.} For the original text of this interesting passage of the Tantra-vārtika, vide H, of Dh, Vol. III. p. 848 note 1645, for different views on marrying a maternal nucle's daughter vide H, of Dh Vol. II pp 458-463; for exting from the same plate with wife and children, vide H, of Dh Vol II. p 705, and about gift or sale of horses and animals with two rows of teeth, vide H of Dh Vol II. p 181 and Jan, 111, 4 28-31

he observed by them with the consciousness that they are so, they must not have a visible purpose and must not be immoral. Vide H of Dh. Vol. III. p. 853-855 for discussion on valid customs and usages

The general rules laid down by Dharmasastra works about the binding character of customs and usages are in line with the rules of the Pūrvamimāmsā. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. III. pp. 871-884. But deviation from Vedic texts and smrtis gradually arose as noted above.

Even all actions done by great men cannot be called sadācāra, according to Kumārila, if they can be attributed to greed or some such base motive and should not be looked upon as Dharma. Gautama, 2000 Ap. Dh. S. and the Bhagavata-purana remark that even great men were guilty of violations of Dharma and defiant excesses, that those great men incurred no sin (got over the effects of such violations) on account of their being endowed with eminent tapas (spiritual merit due to austerities) but that others of later ages following their examples and treading the same path would sink (into hell). Kumārila cites twelve such instances of lapses, explains them away or says they were due to wrath or other passions and were not intended by the authors (of those acts) as dharma and are not looked upon as sistācāra by modern men. The twelve instances are: Prajapati who became enamoured of his own daughter (Usas, explains Kumārila). Indra is described as the jāra (paramour) of Ahalyā (night, according to Kumārila's explanation); Vasistha through grief for the slaughter of his hundred sons by a demon contemplated suicide; Viśvāmitra who became officiating priest to Triśanku that had become a candala through a curse; Nahusa who, when he occupied the position of Indra, made approaches to Saci, wife of Indra and was transformed into an ajagara (huge reptile), Purūravas, when separated from Urvašī, thought of dying (by hanging &c.); Krsna-dvaipāyana who was naisthika (perpetual) brahmacarin raised sons on the widows of his uterine brother, Vicitravirya; Bhisma, though unmarried, performed Asvamedha sacrifices; Dhrtarastra, though congenitally blind, performed

^{2090.} दृष्टो धर्मस्यतिकमः साहस च महताम्। अवरदोर्घस्यात्। गी 1 3-4; दृष्टो धर्मस्यतिकमः साहसं च पूर्वेदाम्। तेषा तेजीविकोषेण प्रत्यवायो च विद्यते। तद्वविध्य प्रयुक्तानः सीद्रयवरः। आप ध सू. II 6. 13 7-9, vide भागवत X Pürvärdha 33, 30 धर्मस्यतिकमो दृष्ट ईश्वराणां च साहसम्। तेजीयसा च दोषाय बहेः सर्वमुजो यथा That by prānāyāma and other practices the impurities of the senses and mind are cleared away is stated by Manu VI, 71.

sacrifices which blind men are not authorised to do (compare Jai. VI. I. 42); five Pāndavas marrying one Draupadi; Yudhisthira who prevaricated and thereby caused the death of Drona, his brāhmana guru; Krsna and Arjuna are described in the Mahābhārata as drunk with wine ('ubhau madhvāsavaksībau dṛstau me Keśavārjunau' in Udyogaparva 59.5) and married their maternal uncle's daughters; Rāma performed Aśvamedha by associating a golden image of Sītā with him.

Kumārila displays great ingenuity in explaining away most of these transgressions by plausible and specious arguments, by relying on the great tapas in some cases (such as about Visvāmitra) and in some cases by downright denial (as in the case of Subhadrā being the sister of Krsna). 2091 Those interested may refer for all these instances and their explanations by Kumārila to H. of Dh. Vol. III. pp. 845-848.

One interesting adhīkarana is the Holākādhikarana (Jai. I. 3.15-23). It is said that Holākā should be observed by the easterners, Ahninaibuka by Southerners, Udvrsabhayajña by northerners. The established conclusion is that such observances are meant for all (and not merely for persons of the East or South &c.), if they are fit to be done by 2092 easterners or southerners (there is no reason why these should not be observances fit for all). The general rule about Vedic vidhis is that they are applicable to all Aryas, no cogent reason exists why a restricted Vedic text should be inferred in the case of the above observances. Vide H of Dh. Vol. III. pp. 851-853 for detailed explanation of this maxim and above pp. 237 ff. The Dāyabhāga (II. 40 and VI. 22-23) refers to this maxim in connection with a double share to be allotted to the acquirer and with reference to a person acquiring property without detriment to the ancestral estate and explains the purpose of the Holakadhikarana.

The Holākādhikarananyāya is frequently mentioned by writers on Dharmaśāstra. Viśvarūpa on Yāj. I. 53 quotes the

^{2091.} The आदिपर्व expressly says about समझा 'दुष्टिता वसदेवस्य वासदेवस्य व स्ता। शादिपर्व 219 18 (cr. ed chap 211. 18). The मीमांसाकोस्तुम of खण्डदेव states 'ग्वमर्जनस्य मातुलक्ष्यकायाः समझायाः परिणयेऽपि समझाया वस्त्वेवकस्यालस्य साक्षात् कचिद्रप्रअग्रास्। (p. 48, Chowkbamba S. S. 1924). This is a sample of what to expect from some orthodox Sanskrit writers, when hard pressed by facts contrary to their pet theories or views.

^{2092.} च्याचरितश्च पालिका — अपि वा .. विधानस्य-वृति (जी. I. 3. 16)। अतः वार्रेश्वे सति सर्वपर्मत्तम्। विश्वस्य on या. I 53.

CHAPTER XXX

On Mimāmsā principles and rules of interpretation in relation to Dharmasastra

The Pūrvamīmāmsā evolved a method of its own and a set of principles for the interpretation of Vedic texts. It is now time to set down and explain some of these principles and rules and point out how they have been employed by Dharmaśāstra writers for solving their own difficulties.

The Mimāmsā principles and rules of interpretation fall into several groups. There are rules that are concerned only with the details of Vedic sacrifices and their inter-relations. The most important rule in this field is this that only *Vidhus* properly so called have absolute authority and binding force, while what are called arthavādas have authority in so far only as they form one syntactical whole with the vidhis and as they are meant to praise the vidhis. (P. M. S I. 2.7) Vidhis and Arthavādas are not discussed consecutively, but they are scattered over the several chapters of the P. M. S. For example, arthavādas are first dealt with in I. 2. 1-18 (the arthavādādhikarana), but in many other places there is a discussion about arthavādas as in III. 4 1-9, III 4. 10, III 4. 11, IV. 3. 1-3, VI. 7. 26-30, X. 8. 5, X. 8. 7 and 8.

It should not be forgotten that the Mimāmsā is not concerned with legislation by the king or a sovereign popular assembly. It promises to convey correct knowledge of Dharma (meaning religious rites and matters connected therewith) and the means of arriving at that knowledge is the Veda itself and the main purpose of the Mimāmsā is to regulate the procedure (itikarla-iyalā), the various auxiliary and principal matters in Vedic sacrifices. 2091

There is a great deal of difference between the interpretation of statutes and the Mimāmsā rules of interpretation. In the

^{2094.} धर्मे प्रमीयमाणे तु वेदेन करणात्मता। इतिकृतंत्र्यताभागं मीमांसा पूर्ययेष्यति॥

प by युक्तिकेटनपूरणी on भाव्यदीषिका (p 36), अधिकरणकीसुदी of देवनाथ p 3, तन्त्ररहस्य
The word itikariavyatā occurs in P. M. S. itself (III. 3, 11 असंदुक्तं प्रकरणादिलिप्नेरपतार्थितात्) On the preceding stira (भूयस्त्रेनोभयश्चति) भावर remarks
'६ च भूयांसी गुणा सेतिक्र्नियता' and on P M. S XI. 2.8 (अङ्गानि तु विधानत्वात्रियानेनोपिदिर्थेरलस्नास्यादेकदेशत्वम्) श्वर explains 'विधानं कर्ण इतिकर्तम्पतिरार्थः'.

first place, statutes are man-made, they express the will of the enacting authority, have mostly secular purposes, may be amended or even repealed and have to be expounded according to the intent of those that made them. But the Mimamsa is concerned with the Veda that is deemed eternal and self-existent (and not man-made), that deals with religious matters, cannot be amended or repealed and is to be expounded according to the intent of the Vedic words. Therefore, though some rules of the interpretation of Vedic texts evolved by the Pürvamimämsä are identical with or resemble the rules of the interpretation of statutes developed in such works as Maxwell's on 'interpretation of statutes', the author will not enter into any detailed treatment showing the parallelisms between Mimamsa rules and Maxwell's rules. This task was attempted over fifty years ago by Mr. Kishorilal Sarkar in his Tagore Law Lectures published in 1909. It would appear ungracious on the part of the present author to offer criticisms against a predecessor in the same field who wrote more than half a century ago when Mimāmsā studies by modern educated Indians were in their infancy. But the author cannot help stating that Mr. Sarkar was obsessed by the notion that he must show somehow or other that Jaimini's rules of interpretation were not inferior to those of Maxwell's and agreed closely with him. For this purpose he relies often on far-fetched analogies and employs obscure explanations. In several cases it appears that he had not been able to grasp correctly what Jaimini and Sabara meant. 2095

In this work the author is concerned only with the question of the influence on Dharmaśāstra of Pūrvamīmāmsā doctrines and rules of interpretation. He has already shown how many of the doctrines and technical expressions of the Mīmāmsā have dominated Dharmaśāstra He would now turn to the rules of interpretation.

The first rule is that no part of the Veda (not even a word) can be treated as anathaka (meaningless or purposeless). It is therefore that a very large part of the Veda is treated as arthavadas intended to praise vidhis. This has been stated above (p. 1243). The result of the vital importance attached to vidhis

^{2095.} Vide his explanation of the words 'Vedam kriva vedim karoti' in pp. 241-242 of his work and the remarks of the present author in H, of Dh Vol, III, pp, 841-42 and his explanation of 'arthakarma' and 'pratipattikarma' as 'essential act' and 'non-essential act' respectively (pp. 186-187) and the explanations of these given above on pp 1231-32,

in the PMS and of the assignment of a very subordinate role to arthavādas (as merely intended to praise) and to mantras (as merely 'abhidhāyaka') has resulted in this that a small portion of the Brāhmanas has been held to be of supreme authority, while a large part of the Brāhmanas and of Samhitās containing mantras has become of secondary importance or of little importance.

The Mimārisā rules of interpretation fall into different classes from different standpoints. Some rules are general and some are special. Some rules have to do with the proper procedure to be followed when several texts laying down matters relating to the same subject are in conflict by means of the application of the technical words śruti, linga, vākya, prakarana, sthāna and samākhyā (III. 3. 14) and there are rules about adhikāra, atideśa, ūha, bādha, tantra and prasanga.

Some examples of general rules may first be cited. That vidhis alone have special obligatory authority and that arthavādas are authoritative only in so far as they form one syntactical whole with the vidhis is a general rule. The rules distinguishing between vidhis (properly so called), niyama vidhis and parisankhyā are general.

The Mimāmsā rule is that where there is a 296 doubt about the exact meaning of a part of a passage, it may be determined by relying on the remaining parts of the passage. Vide above for the example 'he puts down anointed pebbles' &c. (p. 1240). The Mimāmsā is very much against assuming conflict among Vedic texts and therefore allows an option only if there is no other way out. Vide remarks on vilalpa above (p. 1250). Another general rule is that the singular includes the plural. In the Mimāmsā it is called 'Grahaikatvanyāya (P. M. S. III. 1. 13-15). In the Jyotistoma sacrifice a number of cups (grahas) filled with Soma are offered to deities and drunk at the three savanas (pressings of Soma) and Śruti states 'he wipes or cleanses the cup with the strainer made of white wool' (daśāpavitrena graham sam-mārsti) and in the Daršapūrnamāsa it is said 'he carries a firebraud round the purodāša (cake)'. The question is

²⁰⁹⁶ सन्दिग्येषु पात्रपक्षेषात्। पू. भी सू I. 4 24. The निषयवाक्य is 'अस्ताः इत्याति तेजी वे धृतम्' (ते. जा III. 2. 5 12]. Compare Maxwell p. 29 'the words of each clause should be so interpreted as to bring them in burmon) with other provisions.'

whether a single cup ('graham' is the word used) is to be cleansed or a single purodasa is to have a firebrand carried round it or whether all cups called grahas or purodasas are to be treated as stated. The established conclusion is that all grahas are to be cleansed and all purodasas have the firebrand carried round them. The singular number is not intended to be insisted on. 2097 From this a further general rule is derived by Kumārila and others that an attribute of the subject (anuvadya or uddisyamana) 2093 about which something is predicated (udheva) is not intended and should not be insisted upon. This is frequently relied on in Dharmasastra works Yai II 121 states that the father and son have equal ownership in land, a corrody and wealth (gold and silver &c.) acquired by the grand-father Here the word pitamaha is not to be insisted upon and the same rule applies to land or wealth acquired by a great-grand-father. as the V. M. says. 2099 Similarly, when Naradasmrti says (16, 37) 'of brothers being undivided religious worship (or rites) are one, but if there is a partition then they may have separate religious worship'. Here the proper subject is the word 'undivided persons', and the word 'brothers' is used only as an attribute, which is not intended to be insisted on and the same rule applies to undivided grand-father, father, sons, uncles and nephews. Medhātithi on Manu II, 29 mentions this maxim. The same rule is applied in some cases to gender also i. e. a word importing males includes females also For example, Yaj II. 182 and Nārada (8. 40 ff) lay down certain rules about a $d\bar{u}sa$ (male slave). The V M. says that the masculine gender in these passages is not intended to be insisted upon, but the rules

²⁰⁹⁷ Vide Maxwell (10th ed. of 1953) p. 349 for the propositions that words importing masculine gender include females and that the singular includes the plural and vice versa

²⁰⁹⁸ The Tuptika on III 4, 22 remarks 'उद्दिश्यमानस्य विशेषणमिविष्रितं' मिति स्थितमेव ' and on X, 3, 39 'उद्दिश्यमानस्य च संख्या न विवश्यते ग्रहस्येव '

^{2099.} The व्य स says 'वस्तुतस्तु पितामहण्दमविवक्षितस्। अन्यथा प्रवित्तामहा चुपाचे सर्दशस्वान्यस्थाभाव्यसक्तेः। अनुवाद्यविशेषणस्वाञ्च । p. 90. अनुवाद्य means the same thing as विहिश्यमान or ज्हेद्य (the subject, of which something is to be predicated) 'अत्र अविभक्तान्यस्थिते हेश्यस्य कर्म । आनुणानिति तु तिहिशेषणवाद्यविवित्तय' व्य स, p 132. मेघातिथि on मतु II 29 says 'च च प्रधाने लिङ्गसंख्यादि विशेषण विवस्यते यहं संमार्थिति सत्यप्येकचचने सर्वे ग्रहा समुज्यन्ते।'. श्लोकचा वेविधावड उद्देश्य अर्थ 'बहुत संस्थापित सत्यप्येकचचने सर्वे ग्रहा समुज्यन्ते।'. श्लोकचा वेविधावड उद्देश्य अर्थ 'बहुत योग' प्राथम्यमित्याद्युद्देश्यलक्षणम्। वद्रस्यर्थ स्वशस्या च शह्दो वक्त्रनपेक्षया॥ अनुमानपरि० verses 109-110),

apply even to female slaves. ²¹⁰⁰ There are exceptions to these rules. The rule about grahas does not apply to camasas (P. M. S III. 1. 16-17). ²¹⁰¹ This rule that the qualification (visesana) of the subject in a vidhi is not meant to be taken literally and emphasized is applied in many other cases. Three verses from the Kālikāpurāna are quoted by Kalpataru (on vyavahāra pp. 210-211) and V. M. (pp. 45-46) about the performance of an ordeal in certain grave charges and this maxim is applied by the V. M. there in the words 'paradārarūpam višesanam-avivaksitam-abhisāpasyānuvādyatvāt'; vide notes to V. M. pp. 83-84. But in 'pasum-ālabheta', where there is a vidhi about 'yāga' it must be held that what is laid down is yāga as qualified by being made with a male animal and therefore only one pasu (and that pasu a male) is to be sacrificed.

Although the Veda employs the masculine gender (in svargakāmo yajeta, 'one desiring heaven should offer a sacrifice'), yet Jaimini establishes (in VI. 16-16) that even women are included and have a right to offer a yāga. Jaimini further provides that husband and wife should perform a religious right in co-operation (VI. 1.17-21), but he provides that where the Sruti specifically provides for certain matters to be done by the yajamāna 2103 (the male performer) it is the male alone who can perform them as the wife is not equal to the husband in the knowledge of mantras and is ignorant and therefore she is restricted to the performance of those acts that are expressly enjoined for her such as looking at the clarified butter, observing brahmacarya and the like (VI. 124 'tasyā yāvad-uktam-āsīrbrahmacaryam-atulyatvāt'.) The wife also performs bath and such

²¹⁰⁰ अस्मिन् मकरणे दासपदगतपुंस्वस्थाविषक्षितत्वाद् दास्यामप्येष सर्वे विधिज्ञेषः। स्य. म. p. 210. Vide also स्यवहारमकाञ्च (part of वीरिमिज्ञेद्य) p. 322. As to 'पशुमालभेत 'श्वर remarks on VI. 1. 9: 'इदं तु पशुन्तं यागस्य विशेषणत्वेन श्रूपते। तत्र पशुन्तरय यागस्य सम्बन्धे न द्वन्ययागयोः। यथा पशुन्तं यागसम्बद्धमेवं पुस्तमेकत्वं च। सोपमनेकविशेषणविशिष्टो यागः श्रूपते। स यथाश्चर्येव कर्तस्यः। उपादेयत्वेन चोदितत्वात्।। p. 1359,

^{2101.} These two rules that the singular includes the plural and words importing the masculine gender shall be taken to include females are the same in section 13 of the Indian General Clauses Act X of 1897, subject to this that if there is anything repugnant in the subject or context the rule will not apply.

²¹⁰² तस्मात्कर्राधिनी सत्ती स्मृतिमप्रमाणीकुरप द्वर्प परिगृहीयाद्यजेत चेति । शवर ९१ पू. मी सू (VI 1, 13),

²¹⁰³ सस्तारसर्वे यजमानेन कर्तव्यम्। आहत्य विहित वन्न्या च। हुपर्विका on VI. 1.

acts as applying collyrium, sipping water (acamana), observes silence till the morning or evening agminotra is gone through. She has her waist girt up with a yoktra (a triple cord of muña grass) in Darsapūrnamāsa and other sacrifices. She has also to look upon the clarified butter in the pot with a mantra (Tai. S II.). 10. 3 'mahinām payosyosadhinām rasossi adabdhena tvā cakusās vek se suprajāstvāya' ('thou art the milk of cows, the fluid of herbs, with an invincible eye I look on thee for securing good progeny'). The wife was to learn the mantras she had to repeat in sacrifices from her father or husband before the husband set up the sacred fires (vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. p. 1041 n), Gradually, the wife lost all importance in Vedic sacrifices and came to be a mere silent spectator 2104 of all the weary details that had to be gone through by the sacrificer and the priest.

In spite of the above restrictions on the wife's powers about Vedic sacrifices many smrti rules apply to women also, though the text employs the masculine gender. For example, Manu XI. 93 provides that a brāhmana, ksatriya and vaisya should not drink $sur\bar{a}$. This prohibition applies to wives of the members of the three varnas according to the Mit. on Yāi, III. 256.

The P. M. S. holds that the gender and number of a word in a vidhivākya may in certain cases be intended and insisted on. For example, in PMS IV. I. 11-16 it is established that the Agnisomiya animal to be sacrificed in Jyotistoma as prescribed in the words 'yo diksito yad agnisomiyam pasum-alabhati' (that the person who has undergone diksā and offers the animal to Agni and Soma) is only one and that the words in the Asvamedha 'he offers kapinialas to the season of 2105 spring', the

^{2104.} The Paddhati in the Com. on कात्या आ IV. 13 remarks 'उपवेजन-न्यातिरिक्तं पत्नी किमाप न करोतीति संप्रदायः। तञ्च साधुतरस् ग विद्वत्तया प्रमानेव कुर्यादः विदुषीतरा । वेदाध्ययनशूल्यत्वात् । प्रतिषिद्धं हि तत्स्त्रियः ॥ शास्त्रदीपिका on VI. 1. 24.

^{2105.} वसन्ताय कपिञ्जलानालभते ग्रीष्माय कलविङ्कान् &c ; this occurs 15 वाज सं 24. 20 and मैत्रा सं. III, 14. 1. This is कपिश्वलच्याय embodied in पू भी सू XI 1 31-46. The plural Kapıñjalan is satisfied by offering three as the lowest number. To offer a thousand would not yield more abundant reward, since only a single provision is made and not several alternate numbers. The शास्त्रदीपिका remarks 'यो हि त्रीनालभते यश्च सहस्रं तयोरभयोरपि बहुत्वसम्पादनम-विशिष्टम्। ... निवृत्तव्यापारे च विधौ, च हिंस्यादिति निषेषशास्त्र प्रवर्तत इत्यधिकानास्त्रम्। १. It is referred to in परा मा. I. 2 281 माणायामेरिति बहुवन्दनस्य कपिञ्जलन्यायेन त्रित्वे पर्यवसानात् विभिः पाणायामः शुस्पति इत्पर्थः ।'. Compare also P.M.S. IV. 1. 11 ff.; 'तथा च लिङ्ग्यं' पू. मी स् IV. 1 17. The passage is ते सं. II 1. 2.5 'वसनी पातराद्मेथीं कुण्णयीचीमालमेत ग्रीष्मे मध्यन्दिने सहितामैन्द्रीं शरद्यपराह्ने श्वेतां षार्हस्परयाम् । and then in ते सं. II. 1. 2.6 'गर्सिणयो भवन्ति, इन्द्रियं वे गर्भ इन्द्रियमेवास्मित् इधित.

kapiñjala birds to be offered are only three (and not one nor two nor more than three) Similarly, the gender in the passage 'he sacrifices in the morning in spring an animal with dark coloured neck to Agni, in summer in the noon an animal of different colours, in the autumn in the afternoon a white animal to Brhaspati', it is a female animal that is offered because immediately thereafter the words 'they become pregnant' occur. Dharmasastra works often say that the masculine gender used in many texts excludes women. For example, the Agnipurana 2106 (175. 59-61), when dealing with the rules to be observed in all vratas in general, provides that the person undergoing vrata should take a bath, should worship the golden images of the deities of the tratas, perform sana and homa and at the end of the vrata make gifts according to his ability and should feed 24, 12, 5 or only three upras. The N. S. quotes this from Prthylcandra and observes that as the masculine gender 'viprah' is employed only males of the brahmana class are to be fed and not women.

As against this, when Hemādri 2107 quoting Padma says 'If a woman is pregnant, freshly delivered, or is ill and becomes impure, she should get her wata performed through another and when she becomes pure she may observe it personally,' the N.S. remarks that this applies to men also when they are impure, since the gender here is not intended to be emphasized.

There are Mimāmsā rules about the interpretation of words and also about sentences. First a few of the rules about words may be illustrated (1) Śabara in the very first sentence of his bhāsya propounds the principle that as far as possible the words in the sūtras of Jaimini and in the Veda are to be understood in the sense in which they are understood in popular usage and not in a secondary or technical sense. The same rule is propounded by Jaimini in III. 2 1-2 in connection with the meaning of 'barhis' in the mantra 'I cut the barhis as an abode for gods'. Here the conclusion is that barhis is to be taken in the primary sense of 'handful of kusa grass' and not in a secondary sense

²¹⁰⁶ प्रतीचन्द्रोद्येऽग्रिपुराणे-स्नात्म व्रतम्त सर्ववतेषु व्यतमूर्त्यः। पूज्याः सुवर्ण-मच्याचाः ... व्यताने दानमेन च। चहुर्विशः ... एद्य वा त्रय एव च। विमा भोज्या यथाशक्ति नेन्यो द्याच्य दक्षिणाम्॥ अत्र निमा द्वति प्रक्तिः निर्देशात् प्रमांस एन भोज्याः, न ह विषयः। एवं सहसभोजनादान्यि। स्थिपशेषस्य प्रमाणास्तरं विनाद्यक्तत्वात्। नि. सि p 24. It also relies on what Sabara says on III 3. 17 and 19.

^{2107.} तथा देमाई। पाइमे। मार्भिणी मृतिकादिश्च छुमारी वाध रोगिणी। यदाऽशुद्धा मदाग्देग पारपेत् मनता स्वयम् मृति धुंमोप्येय विधिः, तिकृत्याविवक्षितत्वात्। नि. सि p. 28.

such as kasa or other kind of grass. Sabara winds up with the ²¹⁰³ words 'between the primary and secondary senses of a word it is proper to hold that the primary sense is to be taken for the business in hand'. Again, on I. 3. 30 Sabara says ²¹⁰⁹ that the words are the same as in the Veda and popular use and the meanings are the same.

As regards the setting up of Vedic fires, the Tai. Br. I. 1.4 and Ap. Sr. V. 3. 18 prescribe different seasons for men of the three varnas and add that a 'rathakāra should set up Vedic fires in the rainy season'. The question arises whether the word 'rathakāra' in these passages means a person of that caste (i.e. whether it is to be taken in the popular sense) or whether it refers to any one of any varna who manufactures chariots (i.e. the etymological sense). The established conclusion is that the popular sense is to be taken and not the etymological (P. M. S. VI. 1. 44-50). In the case of the nathakara the mantra for ādhāna (setting up of Vedic fires) is 'rbhūnām tvā' (Tai. Br. I. 1. 4.8). Though not belonging to one of the three higher varnas, the rathakāra could repeat that mantra because the Śruti expressly authorized him to do so, but he was not entitled to upanayana. The P. M. S. (VI. 1. 50) held that the rathakāra (mentioned in the Tai. Br. and Ap. Sr.) was a caste called Saudhanyana which is neither śūdra nor one of the three higher varnas but is slightly inferior to them. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. pp. 45-46. The S. Kau. argues that 2110 if once the right of a Hindu

^{2108.} तस्मान्मरूपगीणयोर्ग्रुप्ये कार्यसंमस्ययः। ज्ञावर on III, 1. 1. The same words occur in the महाभावय on वार्तिक 4 on पा. VI. 3. 46 as गीणसुरूपयोर्ग्रुप्ये कार्यसमस्ययः; तस्माञ्जाकवेदयोरभिजाः ज्ञाव्हाचा इति। सम्ब्रचा on same सूत्र p. 293, ज्ञाङ्कराचार्य on V. S. IV 3. 12 employs the same words as the first quotation from Sabara. Vide ज्ञान्त्रद्दी. on III 2.1-3 In Umaid Bahadur V Udaichand I. L. R. 6 Cal. 119 (F B) at p 126 (where the question was whether the word 'sapinda' defined by the Mitāksarā in the ācāra section as a person connected by particles of one body can be taken for purposes of inheritance as persons connected by the offering of funcral oblations) it is stated 'it is well understood rule of construction among the authors of the Institutes of Hindu Law that the same word must be taken to have been used in one and the same sense throughout a work, unless the contrary is expressly indicated.' Vide Ramchandra V Vinayak L. R. 41. I. A. 290 where the above passage in the Calcutta case is quoted with approval at pp 303-304.

^{2109.} य एव लीकिकाः शन्दास्त एव वैदिकास्त एवेषामर्था इति। शवर on I 3.30.

²¹¹⁰ न च प्रतिग्रहमन्त्रामावेनाधिकाराभावीक्तिरापि घटते। अनुज्ञायां सर्पामिवासायां मपि तस्यामुक्तरीत्याधिकारनिर्णये रथकार्यनमन्त्राध्ययनकल्पनासम्भवात्। एतेतान्त्राप सर्पाममन्त्रकः प्रतिग्रह द्वति निरस्तम्। सं. को p. 168.

widow to adopt is conceded, the mere fact that she is not entitled to repeat Vedic mantras in general cannot deprive her of that right and that it is possible to hold, as in the case of the rathakāra, that she can repeat the specific mantra required in adopting a boy. The Tai. S. IV. 5. 4. 2 separately mentions several craftsmen such as taksan, rathakāra, kulāla, karmāra &c. From Atharvaveda III, 5. 6 and Vāj. S. 30. 6 (medhāyai rathakāram dhairyāya taksānam) it appears that the rathakāra held a good position in the then society.

A word is to be understood in that shade of its meaning that is appropriate to the act in hand. For example, śruti says 'he cuts off with sruva, he cuts off with a knife, he cuts off with the hand' (the same verb 'avadyati' being used for all the acts). The question is whether one is to cut all offerings, whether liquid or solid, whether of flesh or other substances, with sruya ladle or whether he is to employ the method or implement appropriate for each i.e. clarified butter should be taken from a pot and offered by means of a sruva ladle. flesh should be cut off with a knife and offered, and hard or thick things (like fuel sticks) should be offered with the hand. The conclusion is that one is to offer in the way most appropriate to each kind of offering. This is called 'sāmarthyādhikarana' (PMS. 2111 I. 4.25). The V. M. relies on this when dealing with the homa prescribed by Pitāmāha for all ordeals in the words 'homa should be offered in the four directions with clarified butter, boiled rice and fuel sticks &c' and declares that the homa of clarified butter should be made with sruva ladle, of havis (i. e. 'boiled rice &c') with sruc and of fuel sticks with the (right) hand, on account of the fitness (samarthya) of these for the various offerings and sharply criticizes Raghunandana who in his Dayatattva provided that the homa should be made of all three together (and not separately). A similar rule is applied to the ten sacrificial implements

^{2111.} अर्थाहा करपने प्रदेशलात्। पू. सी. स् 1.4.25; ज्ञबर quotes ' ख्रवेणावद्यति, स्वितिनावद्यति एत्तेनावद्यति, हित श्रूयते। कि सुवेणावद्यत्वत्यं सर्वस्य व्वस्य संहतस्य प्रोत्तर्य या तथा स्विधितेना हरतेन च उत सर्वेषामर्थतो स्ववस्थाः The पूर्वपक्ष 15 अविशेषा-भिधानादस्यस्थिते . The conclusion is अर्थाहा करपना, सामर्थ्यात्करपनेति सुवेणावद्येद्यया तथा एस्य शक्तुयत् तस्य चेति। आस्यातशब्दानामर्थे नुवतां अक्तिः सहकारिणी। एः चेष्यशक्ति स्ववस्था भवितुमर्हति। तथा अञ्जलिना सक्तू प्रदान्ये खुरोति हृति।. This last is a quotation from Tait. S. III 3.8. Vide ध्य. स. p 54 for the text and notes pp. 89-93 for detailed explanations of the passage by the present author. The रासदीपिका on the above remarks 'तस्माच्यक्तिसदायो विधिरेव प्रधानामर्थि थिये स्वस्थाययित ;

enumerated in Tai. S. I. 6. 8. 2 viz. sphya (the wooden sword). the potsherds &c. Here the Pürvapaksa is that one may employ any one of these for any purpose required in the sacrifice the established conclusion (PMS III. 1 11, and IV. 1, 7-10) is that the enumeration of ten implements is a mere annuada and is not to be understood as the purvapaksa states, but each is to be employed for the purpose for which the Vedic texts prescribe it. (such as one cooks the purodasa on potsherds, pounds grains in mortar and pestle). Vide H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 985 n. 2233 for ten implements of sacrifice and others that are required and p. 1232 above for their disposal.

One and the same word must not be used in two senses in the same sentence, that is, in the primary sense and also in the figurative sense. 2112 This maxim is relied upon by the Dâyabhaga. When brothers (sons of the same mother) come to a partition, smrti texts (like Yāj. II 123) prescribe that the mother takes a share equal to that of a son On this the Dayabhaga remarks that the word 'mātā' (in Yā1 II. 123 and others) primarily means a real mother (janani, that gives birth) and this smrti rule has no application to the step-mother, since it is improper to hold that the same word once used in a sentence has a primary and a secondary sense at the same 2113 time. But it must be pointed out that all Dharmasastra works do not observe this rule Apararka (p 730) on Yaj II. 123 (pitur-úrdhyam vibhalatām mātāpyamsam samam haret) includes the stepmother under the word 'mātā' following a text of Vyāsa. The Mitaksarā interpreting Yāj. II. 135 about obstructible heritage stating the order of succession as wife, daughters, parents, brothers, their sons, provides that full brothers first succeed, in default of them half brothers, in default of the last, full brothers'

^{2112.} अन्यायश्चानेकार्थलम् । शबर on III 2.1 and VII 3 3, न होकरण इनब्दस्यानेकार्थता सत्यां गती न्याच्या । ज्ञबर on VIII 3 22 Vide ज्ञबर on IX. 4 18 also. হাছুধাৰাৰ in his bbasya on Brahmasūtra II 4. 3 puis this rule very clearly न होकस्मिन्प्रकरणे एकस्मिश्च वात्रये एकः शन्दः सञ्चन्नारितो पहुभि सन्वापमानः क्राचिन्सस्य क्रिचेट गीण इत्यध्यवसातं शत्यम्। वैस्त्यमसङ्गत्।.

²¹¹³ पितरि चोपरते सोवरभ्रातृभिविभागे कियमाणे मात्रेपि पुत्रसमाशो दालव समांशहारिणी मातेति षचनात् । मातृपदस्य जननीपररराज सपरनीमातृपरत्वापि स्टब्धुः तस्य मुख्यगौणत्वालुपपत्तेः। दायभाग III 29-30, p 67; मातृग्रहणं तस्तपत्त्रपादिमदर्शनार्थपः। suction p 730 It may be noted that in Vithal V. Prahlad (I. L. R 39 Bon. 373) a paternal step-grandmother was held entitled to a share when partition took place between the sons of a deceased son of her co-wife.

sons. The V. M. disagrees ²¹¹⁴ with this and says that the word 'brother' primarily means 'full brother' and only secondarily 'half-brother', that, as it is not allowable to use a word like brother in two senses in the same passage, in default of full brother his son succeeds (and not half-brother as the Mit provides). The primary sense of a word is got by 'abhidhā', the secondary sense by 'laksaṇā' ²¹¹⁵ and sometimes a third sense called suggested sense is said to be due to vyañjanā. These are the three *vrttu*? (functions) of a word.

One of the rules about the interpretations of words is contained in P.M S.I. 3. 8-9. Śabara cites three instances of words²¹¹⁶ viz caru made from yavas, sandals made of boar skin, a cane mat. The words yava, varāha and vetasa are respectively employed by some people in the sense of 'priyangu' (long pepper), dark bird (crow) and jambu (black berry). The prima facie view insists that one may use those words in any one of the two senses. The Siddhānta is that those words must be used in the sense in which the Veda (or sāstra) or sistas employ them i. e. the usage of learned Aryan people is to be followed where words

^{2114.} मातुरभावे आता सोदर , तद्यभावे तत्युत्र । यनु विज्ञानेश्वरादयः सोदराभावे भिजोदरत्तदभावे सोदरहता इत्याहुरत्तक, आतृपदस्य सोदरे शक्त्या भिजोदरे च गौण्या पृचिद्वयनिरोधात्। व्य म p 142.

^{2115.} According to तम्ब्रना॰ there is a slight difference between लक्षणा and गीणो Vide अभिषेयाविनाभूते प्रतीतिर्लक्षणेष्यते। लक्ष्यमाणगुणेयोंनास्कृतिरिष्टा त्र गीणता ॥ बह्नित्वलक्षिताद्धांचलेङ्गल्याम् गम्यते। तेन माणवक्ष द्वद्धिः साहश्याद्धपनायते॥ p. 354 on I. 4. 12. गङ्गाया घोष. is लक्षणा (गङ्गातिरे घोष.), while अग्निर्माणवक्षः (the boy is fire) is an example of गीणीजृत्ति (possession of a quality common to both). गीणी is a variety of लक्षणा which latter occurs in a far larger number of cases. The boy has some of the qualities (गुण) of fire such as very brown colour and the like and therefore here the word 'fire' is applied to the boy in a figurative sense.

²¹¹⁶ तै-उद्यक्तिनाहिरोधस्य ममा विमित्तपत्तिः स्पात्। पू मी. सू I 3 8; यवमयश्चरः; बाराष्टी उपानदीः वैतमे कटे प्राजापत्यान् सिश्चमीति इति यवचराहवेतसशब्दान् समाममन्ति । सञ्च किचिर्धमेति कृति यवचराहवेतसशब्दान् समाममन्ति । सञ्च किचिर्धमेति प्राच्च प्राच्च स्वाप्तान्ति । सञ्च किचिर्धमेति प्राच्च प्राच्च किचिर्धम्या वा तिकिसित्तः विचाराम्यं किचिर्द्धश्च किचिर्द्धमान् । श्चिरः The सिद्धान्तस्त्र । के शास्त्रस्था वा तिकिसित्तः वात्। प्र मी. स्व I 1.3.9; हायर explains च शास्त्रस्थानां स शब्दार्थः। के शास्त्रस्थाः निष्याः विचारितिष्ठाः स्वति शब्देषु च 1. भामती on चे. सू. III. 3.52 relies on this for holding that the meaning assigned to a word among Aryas in India prevails over the meaning of the vord among Andhras (e g of the word राजन्). As repards चीट्ट. Grut. (I 22) preseribes that a kastriya or vaisya brahmacīnin should carry a staff of the ascatitha or pilu tree (अन्तर्यस्त्री शेष्ट), while visua II 45 prescribes a staff of pilu or udumbara tree for a vaisya brahmacīnin The Amarakośn states that pilu means a tree as well as an elephant.

may have two or more senses. As in several other cases Kumārila does not like Śabara's explanation and proposes two other explanations, viz. one being that the sutras relate to the word 'pilu' used in the sense of a tree and mlecchas employ it in the sense of elephant. The meaning of the word in smrtis is a tree and that should be followed. Here 'Sastrasthah' means 'the sense given to the word in the Sastra i.e. smrti'. Another meaning he sees into those sutras is the comparative strength of smrti and ācāra. This adhikarana is relied upon by Viśvarūpa on Yar (I. 225) on the question of the primary meaning of the word Śrāddha which he states is 'pindadāna' (offering balls of hoiled rice to the manes) and not feeding brahmanas. The Paräśara-mādhaviya refers to Paräśara-smrti (VI 70-71) about the food cooked from rice in such quantities as measuring an adhaka 2117 or drona and rendered unclean by being pecked by crows or touched by dogs and smelt by asses and provides that the measures ādhaka and drona were to be taken as those known from sastras and not those known among mlecchas.

Another rule (PMS I. 3.10) about words is that ²¹¹⁸ words, though of foreign origin, that are in vogue in Sanskrit, are to be understood in the sense which they have in the foreign language and one should not think of an attempt to derive them with the help of Nirukta and grammar. Sabara cites four such words viz pika (cuckoo), nema (half), tāmarasa (lotus), sata (circular wooden vessel).

Another rule about words is that where a single substance connected with several attributes is concerned in an action laid down for being carried out, all of these attributes should be taken to refer to the same one substance (PMS III. 1 12). The Tai. S. prescribes 'he purchases Soma with a heifer one year old and having brown eyes and reddish in colour'. Here the two words 'pingāksi' and 'ekahāyani' yield a good etymological meaning, are in the same case and so refer to the same substance

^{2117.} For adhaka, drona and other measures of corn, vide H. of Db. vol II. p. 881 n. 2053, vol III. p. 124 n. 165.

²¹¹⁸ चोदितं तु प्रतियेताविरोधात्ममाणेन। पू. भी सू 1,3.10, न चामीवा (पिकावि पद्मानां) आर्थेदवर्थे. कश्चिद्दित्ति। ... तस्मान्मलेच्छपसिद्ध एवाध्येदमीवा नित्योध्यवसीयते। शास्त्रदीपिका on I 3.10. The मयुखमालिका gives स्त्रार्थे वह म्लेच्छेश्चीवितमिप प्रतियेत। शास्त्र स्पादिति यावत्। प्रमाणेनार्यन्यवहारेणाविरोधात्।. The underlined words occur in the sutra.

(heifer in this 2119 case). But the word 'arunaya' (of red colour) causes a doubt viz. whether it should be separated from the other two words in the sentence and taken in the sense of 'any reddish substance such as a piece of cloth' or whether it should be connected with the verb (he purchases), should thus be subordinate to the purchase and should be taken as referring to the one year old heifer. This last is the established conclusion. How Soma is to be purchased does not follow from any other text Therefore, in such a case several subsidiaries can be laid down in one injunction. If 'arunava' be taken as referring to any red substance such as a piece of red cloth this one sentence would have to be divided into two vidhis 'he should purchase with a red piece of cloth' and 'he should purchase with a browneyed (heifer) one year old'. This would be a fault called 'vākyabheda'. This nyāya is explained by the Madanapārijāta pp. 88-89 and Apararka (p. 1030) makes use of 2120 it in explaining the correct import of the words of the Br. Up. IV. 4. 21 'tametam vedanuvacanena brahmana vividisanti' by saying that when the highest object in view is one but the subordinate elements are different these latter are to be lumped together.

Another rule about words is evolved by what is called 'nisādasthapatinyāya' (PMS VI. I. 51-52). 2121 It is stated that the *Ist* in which an offering of boiled rice is made to Rudra is

^{2119.} अर्घकते द्रव्यमुणयोरैककर्मान्नियमः स्यात्। पू. मी सू III. 1. 12; ज्योतिष्टोमे क्रयं पहत्त्व श्रूयते। अरुणया पिङ्गक्ष्येकहायन्या सोमं क्रीणाति। हति। तत्र सन्देषः। किमनणिमा कृत्स्ते प्रकरणे निविदेतीतःक्रये एवेकहायन्यामिति। ज्ञूबर. The sentence अरुणया... क्रीणाति is ते सं VI. 1. 1. 6-7. ज्ञूबर has a very lengthy discussion on this. The तन्त्रवार्तिक on पू भी सू. II 2. 6 states 'प्राप्त क्रमणि नानेको विधातं ज्ञूबरते ग्रुणः। अयाते तु विधीयन्ते चह्वोत्येक्यरन्त ॥' p. 485 quoted in भी न्या. प p. 39 (Abhyankar's ed.). For example, आद्ध is laid down as a त्रीयि but if one wants to lay down some matters about आद्ध separate विधिक would be required for each matter, such as गरायां आद्धं दद्यात्, कुनपे आद्धं द्यात् But where there is already no vidhi laying down a guna (subsidiary matter) there can be one main vidhi embodying several guṇas, as laid down in P M S. I. 4. 9.

²¹²⁰ किं च परमकार्याभेदेऽवान्तरण्यापारभेदे तु कारकं कारकान्तरेण समुझीयते एका — अरुणया - भीणाति दृत्यारुण्यमे कहायन्या। अपरार्क p 1030 on याज्ञ III 205 (ज्यापार्कित ... मृहस्थोपि तिमुच्यते ॥).

^{2121.} रापतिर्मियाद. र्याच्छव्दसामध्याँत्। पू. भी सू. VI. 1. 51. ... निषादामां रापतिरिति पद्यीसमासो भविष्यति । ... एवं प्राप्ते बृत्त. र्यपतिर्मियाद. रयात्। ... करमात् इव्द्रसामध्यंत्। ... समानाधिकरणसमासन्त् घटीयान् । तत्र दि स्वार्धे इक्द्री वृत्ती भवतः। दितीया च निष्ठक्तिस्वन्त्रेणोभाश्यां सन्तर्यते । श्राषर, compare मेन्ना. सं II 2,4 for the दृष्टि and the nords तथा निषादस्थपति याजयेत्। अत्र तावन्तिषादस्थपतिन्यायेन यदी-(Continued on next page)

one that should be performed for nisada-sthapati. A nisada is a person born of a brilmana father and sudra mother (vide Manu X. 8). He does not belong to one of the three higher varnas. Sthapati means 'chief or leader'. The question whether the compound word means 'a nisada who is a chief (that is a karmadharaya compound) or whether it means 'the ruler of nisadas' who may not necessarily be a nisada himself but may be a ksatriva (i. e. whether one should take the word as 'sasthitatpurusa' as 'nisādānām sthapatih') The conclusion is that a karmadhārava is more powerful than a tatpurusa, since in the former both the words may be directly connected with the verb (nisādaścāsau sthapatiśca, tam yājayet). The V. M. makes use of this 'maxim'. The Saunaka-smrti authorizes śūdras to adopt a son, yet some writers like Rudradhara, author of Suddhiviveka, held that adoption required a home with mantres and as a sudra could not repeat vedic mantras he could not adopt. To this V. M. replies that his power to adopt a son being established by Saunaka's smrti all that is required is that he may get the home performed through a brahmana. The Bhamati on Śankarā's bhāsva on V. S. I. 3. 15. where the meaning of the word 'brahmaloka' in Chandogya-Un. VIII 3.2 is in question, says that the nisadasthapatinyaya applies and so 'brahmaloka' means 'brahma as the goal' and not 'the world of brahma.' Manu XI. 54 enumerates five Mahāpātakas (deadly sins), one of which is 'gurvanganāgama' (sexual intercourse with gurvanganā). Commentators have differed about the meaning of this word, some like Bhavadeva in Prāyaścittaprakarana stating that, following nisādasthapatinyāya, the word must be dissolved as a Karmadhāraya compound (guruh or gurvī cāsau angana ca), the meaning being one's own mother, while many others dissolve it as a tatpurusa compound meaning 'guror or gurunam angana' (which would then include a step-mother, an elder brother's wife and teacher's wife &c.). Vide H. of Dh. vol. III. pp. 23-25 for a discussion of this.

Prabhākara held that no word was significant in isolation, but that words became significant when joined together in a

⁽Continued from last page)

समासात्कर्मधारयो घलीयानिति स्थितमेव। भामती on शाङ्करभाष्य on वे सू I. 3.15. प्रायश्वित्ते तु यथा स्थपतीटी मन्त्रादिरहितोऽपि साक्षाच्छूत्या निपावः कर्तृतया गृहीतस्तथा सूद प्रायश्वित्तेषु। अपरार्क on या III. 262 p. 1090. In the Ait. Br. 37, 7 nisadas, selagas and evil-doers are spoken of as robbing a rich man and running away with the booty.

sentence. Therefore, he and his followers were called 'anvita-bhidhānavādinah' as opposed to Kumārila and his followers who held that words have different senses of their own and then they combine in a sentence and yield a further sense of the sentence. These latter were called 'abhihitānvayavādinah'. Vide notes to Sāhityadarpana (I., II., X) pp. 86-88 for explanations of these two terms by the present writer (ed. of 1956).

Let us now turn to the interpretation of vākya (sentence). The Rayeda and the Samaveda are metrical and therefore there is generally no difficulty in arriving at what constitutes in them one syntactical unit (vakya). But much of the Krsna Yajurveda is in prose Therefore, PMS (II. 1. 46) defines 2122 what makes one vakya by stating that when a number of words serve a single purpose, but if one or a few of those words are separated from the rest, the latter words are incomplete in effecting a purpose and stand in need of the words separated, then all these words make one vakya. An example is the mantra 'I offer thee, that art dear to Agni, at the command of God Savitr with the arms of Asvins, with the hands of Pusan'. This is one sentence, the purpose of which is nirvapa (offering). For other definitions of vakya, vide the author's notes on Sähityadarpana (II. 1) p. 34. For constituting words into a sentence with an understandable meaning expectancy 2123 (ākānksī), compatibility (yogyatā) and proximity (sannidhi) are required, particularly the first. For example. Sankarācārya on V.S.I. 4 3 says that without ākānksā there is no cognition

²¹²² अर्थेकलादेकं वाक्यं साकाव्ह्रकं चिद्विभागे स्थात्। पू. मी स्. II 1 46; अत्र प्रभित्रपिति एक्टपिति । यावता पदसमूहेनेज्यते तावान्यद्वसमूह एक पञ्च । कियता चेज्यते । यावता क्रियाया उपकार. प्रकाश्यते तावत् । वक्तस्याद् वाक्यमित्युत्यते । तस्तादेकार्थे पदसमूहो वाक्यं यदि च विभज्यमानं साकाञ्चलं पदं भवति । किग्रदाहरणं देवस्य त्वा सिवृत्त. प्रसव इति । अवर The मन्त्र १६ देवस्य त्वा सिवृत्त. प्रसवेऽभित्तिगीतुन्यां पूरणो हत्तान्यामग्रये जुद्धं निवेद्यामि (ते. सं I. 1. 4 2. काठक I. 4) and is one वास्य Irom देवस्य 10 निवंद्यामि Vide also कावर on I. 1 25 (तम्बृतानां क्रियाधें स्तापनायोऽपेश्य तिक्रितिकत्वात्). The word अर्थ १० both क्रांत्रक means प्रयोजन रिक्तपायायुत्रम् १८८६ be word 'ariba' as meaning 'sense' (अभिधेप) in order to give to the क्रांत्रक व wider scope while sabara appears to limit 11 to yayus texts and holds that अर्थ means प्रयोजन Vide also वावन्ति पदान्यके प्रयोजनमभिनिर्वर्तपनित तारक्षिक वाज्यम् । राज्य on पू अ. स् II. 2 27 p. 560. The कात्या. श्री. bas a rimilar क्षीर्त्व 'तेवां वाज्य निराकाह्यसम् । 1.3.2 The com explains तेवा वह यञ्चपाम्

^{2123.} आज्ञाह्सा सिक्षियानं च योगयता चेति च जयम्। सम्मन्धकारणत्वेन क्रुतं नानन्तर-द्यति ॥ तन्त्रमा p 455, याज्यबोधे समासानामङ्गाङ्कित्वायपेसयर। वास्थानासेकवाज्ञ्यस्यं पुनः संहरय जायते ॥ तन्त्रमा p. 366 on 1. 4. 24 (सन्दिग्धेषु चाज्यकेषात्) This verse is q in the साहित्यहर्षण् । र. १. न चाजात् सामन्तरिणकमज्ञ्यत्रतिविचित्तर्ततः। झाङ्करभाव्य on व सू १. ४, ३.

one that should be performed for nisada-sthapati. A nisada is a person born of a brahmana father and sudra mother (vide Manu X. 8). He does not belong to one of the three higher varnas. Sthapati means 'chief or leader'. The question whether the compound word means 'a nisada who is a chief (that is a karmadhāraya compound) or whether it means 'the ruler of nisādas' who may not necessarily be a nisāda himself but may be a ksatriya (i. e. whether one should take the word as 'sasthitatpurusa' as 'nisādānām sthapatih') The conclusion is that a karmadhāraya is more powerful than a tatpurusa, since in the former both the words may be directly connected with the verb (nisādaścāsau sthapatiśca, tam vājayet). The V. M. makes use of this 'maxim'. The Saunaka-smrti authorizes sudras to adopt a son, yet some writers like Rudradhara, author of Suddhiviveka, held that adoption required a homa with mantras and as a śūdra could not repeat vedic mantras he could not adopt. To this V. M. replies that his power to adopt a son being established by Saunaka's smrti all that is required is that he may get the home performed through a brahmana. The Bhamati on Sankarā's bhāsya on V. S I. 3. 15, where the meaning of the word 'brahmaloka' in Chandogya-Up. VIII. 3, 2 is in question, says that the nisādasthapatinyāya applies and so 'brahmaloka' means 'brahma as the goal' and not 'the world of brahma.' Manu XI, 54 enumerates five Mahāpātakas (deadly sins), one of which is 'gurvanganagama' (sexual intercourse with guryanganā). Commentators have differed about the meaning of this word, some like Bhayadeya in Prāyaścittaprakarana stating that, following nisādasthapatinyāya, the word must be dissolved as a Karmadhāraya compound (guruh or gurvī cāsau anganā ca), the meaning being one's own mother, while many others dissolve it as a tatpurusa compound meaning 'guror or gurunam angana' (which would then include a step-mother, an elder brother's wife and teacher's wife &c.). Vide H. of Dh. vol. III. pp. 23-25 for a discussion of this.

Prabhākara held that no word was significant in isolation, but that words became significant when joined together in a

⁽Continued from last page)

समासात्कर्मधारयो बलीयानिति स्थितमेव। भामती on ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे सू I. 3. 15, प्रायश्चित्ते तु यथा स्थपतीष्टी मन्त्रादिरहितोऽपि साक्षाच्छ्रत्या निषादः कर्तृतया गृहीतस्तथा सूदः पायश्चित्तेषु । अपरार्क on या III. 262 p. 1090 In the Ait, Br. 37. 7 nisadas, selagas and evil-doers are spoken of as robbing a rich man and running away with the booty.

sentence. Therefore, he and his followers were called 'anvitābhidhānavādinaḥ' as opposed to Kumārila and his followers who held that words have different senses of their own and then they combine in a sentence and yield a further sense of the sentence. These latter were called 'abhihitānvayavādinaḥ'. Vide notes to Sāhityadarpana (I., II., X) pp. 86–88 for explanations of these two terms by the present writer (ed. of 1956).

Let us now turn to the interpretation of vakua (sentence). The Reveda and the Samaveda are metrical and therefore there is generally no difficulty in arriving at what constitutes in them one syntactical unit (vākya). But much of the Krsna Yajurveda is in prose Therefore, PMS (II. 1. 46) defines 2122 what makes one vakya by stating that when a number of words serve a single purpose, but if one or a few of those words are separated from the rest, the latter words are incomplete in effecting a purpose and stand in need of the words separated, then all these words make one vakya. An example is the mantra 'I offer thee, that art dear to Agni, at the command of God Savitr with the arms of Asvins, with the hands of Pūsan'. This is one sentence, the purpose of which is nirvapa (offering), For other definitions of valua, vide the author's notes on Sāhityadarpana (II. 1) p. 34. For constituting words into a sentence with an understandable meaning expectancy 2123 (ākāńksī), compatibility (yogyatā) and proximity (sannidhi) are required, particularly the first. For example, Sankarācārya on V. S. L 4 3 says that without ākānksā there is no cognition

^{2122.} अर्थेकतादिकं वाक्यं साकाङ्कः चिद्विभागे स्पात्। प्र. मी स् 11.1 46; अञ्च प्राफ्तिप्रपार्वतेषु यञ्च पु कथमवगस्येत, इयदेकं यञ्चरिति । यावता पदसमूहेनेज्यते तावान्पदसमूह एक यञ्चः। कियता चेज्यते। यावता क्रियाया उपकार. प्रकाश्यते तावत्। चक्कव्याद् वाक्यमिन्सुन्यते। तस्ताहेकार्थे. पदसमूहो वाक्यं पिट् च विभज्यमानं साकाङ्कं पट् भवति। क्रियापरणं देवस्य त्वा सावितः प्रसावेऽ-विनोधीहरणं पूष्णो हस्तान्पामग्रये जुर्धं निवंपामि (ते सं. 1.1.4 2, काठक I. 4) and is one वाक्य from देवस्य कि निवंपामि. Vide also क्रावर on I 1 25 (तज्ज्ञानां क्रियायंन समामनायोऽर्धस्य ताक्षितिकत्वात्). The word आर्थ in both stitras means प्रयोजन समामनायोऽर्धस्य ताक्षितेक्तवात्). The word sub in both stitras means प्रयोजन The न्यायसुधा lakes the word 'artha' as meaning 'sense' (अर्तिभिय) to order to give to the stitra a wider scope while Sabara appears to limit it to yapus texts and holds that अर्थ means प्रयोजन. Vide also यावन्ति पदास्यके प्रयोजनमिनिवेत्यन्ति तामन्यकं वाप्रमम्। क्रवर on प्र. भी. स् 11. 2. 27 p. 560. The कात्या. श्री has a similar stitra के तिया वाक्यं निताकाङ्कसम्। 1.3.2 The come explains तेषां as यञ्चपाम

^{2123.} आफाएका सिक्षियानं च योग्यता चेति च त्रयम्। सम्बन्धकारणत्वेन क्रुप्त नामन्तर-श्वति ॥ तन्त्रया p 455, राज्यवोधे समाप्तानामङ्गाद्वित्त्वाद्ययेक्षया। वाक्यानामेकवाक्यत्वं प्रनः संदृष्य जापते ॥ तन्त्रवा p 366 on I. 4. 24 (सिन्दिग्धेषु वाक्यकेषात्) This verse is q in the साहित्यदर्पण II. 1, न चाकाद्शामन्तरेणक्रयाज्यतायित्वित्तरित्ति। हाङ्करभाष्य on रे सू I. 4, 3.

that the words form a $v\bar{u}kya$. The word $ekav\bar{u}kyat\bar{u}$ occurs in V. S. III. 4.24 and conveys that there is a twofold ākānksā viz. grammatical as well as psychological. It is the listener or reader who has, on hearing or reading a word, a desire to know another idea or word to get a complete sense. When several sentences, each conveying its own sense as far as it goes, are brought together having regard to the fact that one of them may be principal and the others auxiliary, they form one syntactical whole. This shows that sentences are of two kinds, vākyas and mahāvākyas, as the Sāhityadarpana puts it.

It follows from the definition and the bhasya thereon that, in order to constitute a vakva, three conditions are necessary viz. (1) there must be a number of words uttered or put down together (padasamuha), (2) the words must have an expectancy for each other (i.e. if a word in the collection is dropped no complete sense can be had), (3) all the words must serve one purpose or convey together one meaning (arthaikatva, acc. to another view). It is not absolutely necessary that the words in order to form a väkya must be in close proximity. Even if some words intervene there may be a sentence, provided there is ākānksā between the words. Sabara on PMS IV. 3.11 illustrates this well as stated in the note. 2124 In the verse quoted 'paśyasi' is to be connected with 'paradgayam', though several other words intervene. Though there is proximity (sannidhi) between 'pinaksi' and 'jaradgayam' they do not form a sentence as there is no capacity as also ākānksā between the two. The upshot is that sannidhi (proximity) of words is generally required to form a sentence, though not necessarily required in every case.

The different parts of a mantra text, meant to serve different purposes, may be regarded as distinct sentences. For example, the mantra in Tai. Br. ²¹²⁵ (III, 7.5) 'I make an agreeable

^{2124.} व्यविद्वितमपि पराण्डय व्यवधायकमानन्तर्येण मनसि विपरिवर्तमानम्हमेव भविति विशेषम् प्रजनियम् । यथा । इतः पश्यसि धावन्त दूरे जात वनस्पतिम् । त्या व्रवीमि विशालाक्षि या पिनक्षि जरङ्गवम् ॥ इति । अञ्च इतः पश्यसीति शब्दो खुद्धौ भवति । सः दूरे जातं वनस्पतिमिन्देवैः पद्दैव्यविद्वित जरङ्गवमित्यनेन शब्देन व्यवधायकान्यपीद्य सम्बद्धयमानः सम्बद्धयो ।
यानि पुनर्थतो द्यसमर्थानि तान्यानन्यपि सति न परस्परेण सम्बद्धमानः या पिनक्षि जरङ्गविद्यमादिन । शब्द ०० पू. मी सू 1V. 3. 11 p 1254, भामती ०० वे. सू 1II.
4 21 states नित्त समिन्द्रवाद्वतैर्यक्षवाक्यता भवतीति कश्चिष्ठियमहेतुरस्ति । अञ्चपद्वातिदेशइन्द्रविद्वित्यमानित्याद्वतैर्यक्षवाक्यता भवतीति कश्चिष्ठियमहेतुरस्ति । अञ्चपद्वातिदेशइन्द्रविद्वित्यसमिन्द्याद्वतैर्यक्षवाक्यता भवतीति कश्चिष्ठियमहेतुरस्ति ।

^{2125.} स्योनं ते सदनं छुणोमि छृतस्य धारपा सुशेवं कल्पयामि। तसिन्त् सीवास्ते मितिछि द्वीष्टीणा मेध सुमनस्यमान ॥ ते जा. III. 7. 5. शघर says (on पू भी सू II. 1. 46) हे तु मयोजने क्रियेते सदनकरणं छुरोढाशमतिष्टापनं च। तस्माद् भिक्षे वाक्ये पूर्वे सदन-करणे विनियुज्यते, उत्तरं छुरोढाशमतिष्टापने।.

seat (or abode) for thee (O cake!), I prepare it as a very happy one with a stream of clarified butter; do sit thereon with pleased mind, be established in ambrosia, O sacrificial essence of rice'. These are two sentences, the first part being concerned with making a seat, the latter part being concerned with depositing the purodāsa on the seat. Similarly, in the passage 'I (cut) thee (O palāša branch) for food, I (wash or rub) thee for vigour' there are two different sentences, that are independent of each other, as the Śat Br. employs these with the words 'he cuts the palāša 2126 branch with the words 'for food (I cut) thee', he washes it with the words 'for vigour thee'. The same rule applies to the ten different clauses 'āyuryajñena kalpatām, prāno yajñena kalpatām' in Tai. S I. 7. 9. 2. They are all different sentences. 2127

The doctrine of Vākyabheda plays a very important part in Mīmāmsā as well as in Dharmašāstra. Vākyabheda literally means 'split of sentences'. When the sentences are equally independent and one sentence does not require or expect words from the other sentence to complete it, they should be treated as separate sentences. This is one sense of 'Vākyabheda.' Another and more frequent sense of Vākyabheda is as follows: The underlying principle of vākyabheda is that one and the same text cannot be construed as laying down two separate vidhis (prescriptions) or that when a certain matter has already been prescribed and then several auxiliary matters are to be prescribed, prescribing 2128 all the auxiliaries in one sentence would

^{2126.} समेषु धावयभेदः स्यात्। पू मी. सू II 1. 47; समेषु परस्परामाकाङ्केषु वाध्यं भिद्यते। द्वरे त्वा इत्यनेनेकोऽर्धः क्रियते, ऊर्जे त्वा इत्यनेनापरः।... श्वत्या तु गम्यते इपे त्येति छिनति ऊर्जे तेत्यत्वमार्टि इति। इपे त्वोर्जे त्वा are the very first words of ते सं. The क्षतपथ I 7. 1. 2 has तमान्छिनति इपे त्वोर्जे त्वेति The sutra may be explained as समेषु परस्परनिरोक्षतपा तुल्पेषु च्छार्भेदः स्यात्।.

^{2127.} तत्रैकामायुःक्रसिं 'आयुर्यज्ञेन कल्पतामः' इत्येष मन्त्रः शक्तोति वदितुस्। माणी यज्ञेन कल्पताम् - इत्ययमपि प्राणक्रुविसपराम्। एवं तु सर्वे क्रुविविवेषवन्त्रनाः। ज्ञावर on II I. 47.

²¹²⁸ प्राप्ते कर्मण्यनेकग्रणविधाने बाक्यभेदापतिः। यशाहुः। प्राप्ते कर्मणि॰ (तन्त्रवा. p. 455 on II 2 6 quoted in n 2119). अत्र कर्मणद्वत् ग्रुपेत्यपळ्त्रणम्। एकीन्द्रिशेनानेकिविधाने बाक्यभेदात्। सी. त्या प्र pp 36, 39. All this is based on Sabara's words 'कर्मचोदना चा आग्नेपादयः स्तु.। जुनः। ग्रुणाना युगपच्छासनात्। एकेनेव वाक्येनाञ्चानेकी ग्रुणो विधातिम्वते भवता। न च कान्द्रान्तरेण चौदिते कर्मण्यनेको ग्रुणः परस्परसम्बन्धे वासाति क्षयपे विधातुम्। (on II 2 6 pp 485-486), Vide also कान्द्र on p 593 on II, 3. 4. The क्षद्रनणिरकात (on p 85) has an elaborate discussion upon the proper tithi and time for upākarma in which it echoes the very words of the क्षत्रका quoted at the beginning of this note, when मद पा. states 'तथा च दुर्पिरस्तियी वात्रयमेद. प्राते कर्मण्यनेकग्रणविधानातः.

give rise to the fault of vakyabheda (syntactical split of sentence). In Tai. S there is the following passage 'the sacrificial post is to be of udumbara tree, the udumbara (tree) is vigour, cattle is vigour; he obtains for him (the sacrificer) by means of vigour ūri (i.e. by udumbara post) vigour (i.e. cattle) for the attainment of vigour'. This is one syntactical whole (vākya). If it be said that there is a vidhi prescribing the use of udumbara post in a sacrifice and that there is also another vidhi about the fruit viz securing vigour (i.e. cattle): this would give rise to vakvabheda. Therefore there are no two vidhis in the sentence but only a vidhi and an arthavada (praise). 2129 Sankarācārva on V. S. III. 3 57 remarks 'ekam hīdam vākvam Vaišvānaravidvāvisavam paurvāparvālocanāt pratīvate ... ekavākyatāvagatau satvām vākvabheda-kalpanasvānvāvyatvāt. This is said with reference to the first aspect of the idea of Vākvabheda.

The basic idea underlying the latter sense of vakyabheda is this. If an act or a substance or a secondary matter has already been the subject of a vidhi and if concerning the act (or substances &c) a number of other matters (actions, substances &c.) are enjoined in one sentence, there would be vākyabheda (i. e there would have to be a separate vidhi for each of the other matters relating to what has already been the subject of a vidhe) On the other hand, if an action, substance or a guna is enjoined for the first time along with several secondary matters in one sentence, there would be no fault of vākvabheda i. e. in a single vākva however long or containing many matters, if there is a single vidhi there is no fault. If, in the passage quoted on p. 1258 above 2120 'one desiring prosperity should offer in sacrifice a white animal to Vāyu', one were to hold that first there is to be a wdh about prosperity as the fruit or reward, there would be two vidhis and so the fault of vakyabheda, but if one holds that the yidhi is only concerned with offering a white animal and what follows 'vayurvai ksepisthā.....bhūtim gamayati' is merely an arthavāda (praise of the preceding vidhi), there is no vākyabheda. The fault of vākya-

²¹²⁹ विधी च वाक्यभेट स्यात । प्र मी. स. 1. 2. 25; औद्भवरी यूपी भवतीति विधानेतरिमलाश्रीयमाणे ऊर्जोऽनरुस्यै इत्येतरिमश्र नाक्यं भिरोत। इत्यमीदुम्बरी यूपः मशस्तः म चीर्जोबरुद्धचा इति। शबरः

^{2130.} भूतिकाम इत्येतद्ग्नो विध्युद्देश । तेनैकवाक्यभूतो वार्यु क्षेपिटा देवतेरपैवमादि ... वाक्यं हि सम्बन्धस्य विधायकं द्वी चेत्सम्बन्धा विदृष्टयात्, भूतिकाम आलमेन, आलम्मेन चैप गुणो भविष्यतीति। भिद्येत तर्हि एवं सति वाक्यम्। शबर on I. 2.7 pp 117 and 119.

bheda arises when more vidhis than one are held to occur in the same vākya. ²¹³¹

A few examples must be cited to illustrate the principle of vākuobheda. A simple example is 'graham sammārsti'. If one were to interpret this as meaning 'he is to clean the cup' and also as meaning that only a single cup is to be cleaned there would be vākyabheda. Therefore, it was decided that the singular number in 'graham' is not to be insisted upon and cleaning of all cups was to be resorted to; otherwise there would be two vidhis viz. 'graham sammriyāt' and 'ekam-eva sammrivāt'. Sabara quotes (on PMS I. 3.3) a srutt one who has a son and whose hair is dark should consecrate the vedic fires'. Annuadhana is laid down by śruti texts such as Tai. Br. I. 1. 2. 6. Sat II. 1. 2. Therefore, the above text only lays down certain subsidiary matters about it A man may have dark hair but no son or he may have a son when his hair is turning grey. Therefore, if that sentence is meant to prescribe both attributes (having a son and also having dark hair), there would be two distinct vidhis in the same $\iota \bar{u}kya$, that is, there would be the fault of $\nu \bar{a}kya$ bheda, which has to be avoided. Therefore, that sentence must be understood as indicating a certain age viz. he must not be a mere boy (at the time of agnyādhāna) but of an age to bear a son, nor very old (when hair turns grey). i. e. he must be neither too young nor too old at the time of agnyādbāna. A laksanā as regards the words 'jātaputrah' and 'krsnakesah' is resorted to and laksanā is only a fault as to a word, while vākyabheda is a fault of a sentence and therefore laksana should be preferred to vākyabheda. The V.M. quotes (p. 115) the verse of Manu 2132

^{2131.} बहबोऽपि हार्था युगपदेकेन सम्बध्यन्ते। न च तावता वाक्यं भिद्यते। अनेक-विधितो हि बाक्यभेद उक्त.। तन्त्रवा p. 551 on II. 2 26.

²¹³² This text of Manu has been a subject of several conflicting judicial decisions, which are now of no use since there is now a law governing Hindu Adoptions viz. the Hindu Adoptions and Maintenance Act 78 of 1956, by section 12 proviso b of which the decisions in 40 Bom. 429 and in some later cases have been set aside. Those interested may read the present author's notes in his translation of the V. M. pp. 121-123 and the footnotes and his paper (Brief sketch of the Pürvamīmāmsā system? pp 37-38 (ABORI, vol VI pp 1-40) and also H. of Dh vol. III, pp. 690-697. In Dattaircy a V. Govend I L.R 40 Bom. 429 (where a person, in whom property had already become vested as the sole surviving male in the family, was given in adoption into another family) it was held, relying on Manu IX 142, that on adoption that person lost all rights to the property of the

IX. 142 'the son given shall not have (share) the family name (gotra) and the wealth (riktha) of his natural father; the pinda (cake offered to deceased ancestors) follows the family name and the wealth; of him who gives (his son in adoption) the svadhā (obsequies) cease (so far as that son is concerned)'. After citing the above smrti passage 'one having a son' and another text for the position of the sacrificial post (vide PMS III. 7. 13-14) with reference to the altar, the V. M. holds that one should not merely emphasize the words gotra, riktha, pinda and svadhā used by Manu and take them literally but should hold that the verse contains a laksanā (indicated meaning); it indicates the cessation of all those consequences that are due to connection with the pinda in the case of the natural father and Manu says nothing about the property taken by a son before he is given in adoption into another family.

Another illustration of vākyabheda can be cited from the law of re-union. The Mit., Dāyabhāga and Sm. C. (on Vyavahāra p. 302) quote a text ²¹³³ of Brhaspati 'that man who being once separated from his father, brother, or paternal uncle again dwells

(Continued from last page)

natural family that had become vested in him before adoption. decision holds that Manu laid down two propositions, viz (1) a man forfeits by adoption into another family the property that once belonged to the family and that became vested in him before adoption, (2) A person given in adoption into another family does not, after the adoption, take any property of the family to which he belonged but whose connection with the family ceased after adoption. These are two distinct propositions and to hold that Manu's text is capable of these two propositions is liable to the fault of Vakyabheda. The V M (p. 115) further relies on the construction of another vedic text 'He fixes half of the yupa inside the vedi and half outside it.' This is interpreted by PMS III. 7, 13-14, Sabara and the Tantravartika as merely indicating a certain region for the fixing of the yupa and that the words are not to be taken literally, because in that case there would be Vakyabheda. The analysis brings out the meaning clearly. 'समस्तस्य यूपस्यान्तर्वहिर्वा माने प्राप्ते-अर्धमन्तर्वेदि-इति नियम्यते। द्वितीयस्यार्धस्य प्रनरप्य-नियमप्रसक्ती - अर्थे चिहवेदि - इत्यपि विधातन्यम् । तत्रश्चानेकार्थत्वाहाक्यभेद्यो मिनोत्याख्या-तानुपङ्केशस्य प्रसुज्यते । अत्रश्चेदृश्चमवधारणमधीमन्तरवेद्येव न त्वर्धमेवान्तर्वेदीति । तथा सति चार्धान्तरमानियतत्वात कदाचिदन्तरपि प्रामोतीति न पिर्ह्मावः सिद्धचतीति । ... तस्मादुभाग्यां विशिष्ट एको देशोऽभिधीयत इत्येवमवाययभेदः। १.

^{2133.} विभक्तं धनं पुनर्मिश्रीकृतं संस्प्ष्टं तदस्यास्तीति संस्प्ष्टि। संस्प्ष्टलं च न येन केनापि किन्तु पित्रा भ्रात्रा पितृत्वेण वा। यथाह बृह्मपतिः। विभक्तो यः पुन पित्रा भ्रात्रा वैकत्र संस्थितः। पितृत्वेणाथ वा भीत्या स तत्संस्प्ष्ट उच्यते ॥ निता. on या, II 138, दायमाग chap 12 quotes बृह्मपति and remarks 'परिगणितव्यतिरिक्तेषु संसर्गकृतो विशेषो नादरणीयः परिगणनानर्थक्यात् ?.

through affection with them (or any of them) is said to be re-united with them (or him). According to the Mit,, a reunion is possible only with father, brother and paternal uncle and with no one else, since no one else is mentioned in the text of Brhaspati. The V. M. does not approve of this limitation and says that a re-union is possible with all or any of those that participated in the partition and that the three persons, father, brother and uncle are mentioned only by way of illustration (i. e. there is $laksan\bar{a}$). A man may separate not only from the three named persons but also from his paternal grand-father, the grandson of his brother and the son of his paternal uncle and several other persons and therefore the construction of the text of Brhaspati by the Mit. is liable to the fault of vakyabheda. since on that interpretation there would be two separate propositions viz. (1) that man is to be called re-united who having become separate again stays together with the one from whom he separated. (2) one can re-unite only with the father, brother, or paternal uncle. Thus there would be two distinct prescriptions in one sentence. Therefore, one should have recourse to lakeana viz. that the three named persons indicate a class of persons from whom one may have separated but with whom he then stayed together. The Viramitrodaya (on Vyavahāra), V.R. (pp. 605-67), V. C. p. 533 hold the same view as that of the V. M. 2134

The Smrticandrikā provides that after the death of a man when the sons divide, the mother is entitled to a share equal to that of each son if the estate to be divided is not very large, but if the estate be very large she is to get as much only as would be necessary for her maintenance (although Yā, II. 123 and others employ the words 'samam amsam'). The Madanaratna on Vyavahāra criticizes this as a bad provision on the ground that it is vitiated by Vākyabheda, since there would be two different propositions (vidhis) about the meaning to be attached to the

^{2134.} अत्र पितृष्ठातृषितृर्ध्वेस सह संस्टाता मान्यम वन्त्रनेतृषादानादिति मिताक्षरादिषु! निभागकृत्तमामन्यापिकरण्येनेव सिति क्षक्रम् । पित्रादिपद्दानि स विभागकर्तृमान्नोपलस्काणि। अर्धमन्त्रगेदि निमोत्पर्ध परिपंदीतिवत्। अन्यथा दाक्ष्यभेदात्। स्य म p. 146 This text (अर्धमन्त्रगेदि etc) is discussed in P.M S. III 7. 13-14 (तथा यूपस्य पेदि । देशमान्ने । सिर्द्धादि । देशमान्ने । सिर्द्धादि । स्थानान्त्रगेद्धाद । अर्थ the conclusion is that this text is not to be taken literally (sirce in that case there would be two propositions and the fault of Vikyabheda) but as merely indicating the region where the sacrificial post is to be planted. Vide the author's notes in translation of V. M. p. 191.

words 'samam amsam' (equal share) according as the estate to be divided is large or small, 2135

There is another principle about sentences called 'anusanga' (elliptical extension) or the extension of a word, phrase or clause from a sentence to another or other sentences, provided all those sentences are of the same type or form. This is one type of anusanga. Another type is where each of two or more sentences appears to be complete by itself. but there are certain words of a subordinate character in the last sentence of a passage which have to be taken up or understood in the preceding sentences. This latter is also called anukarsa. In the three upasads of Jyotistoma, the first 2136 is in honour of Agni in which the mantra is 'vā to Agne avāsavā tanūr-varsisthā gavharesthogram vaco apāvadhīm tvesam vaco apāvadhīm svāhā': the other two Upasads have the two mantras 'yā te Agne rajāšaya' and 'yā te Agne harāšayā' which are incomplete and in need of complementary words to complete the sentences. The conclusion is that the words 'Varsistha ... svaha' are to be supplied from the first sentence and not other words from the popular language that one may choose. Another passage of the Tai. 2137 S. is 'cit-patistvā punātu, vākpatis-tvā punātu, devas-tvā savitā punatvacchidrena pavitrena vasoh süryasya raśmibhih'. Here the first two clauses appear to be prima facie complete, but when we look to the last clause where the word 'punatu' is particularised by certain other words, we at once feel that the first two clauses also should be connected with the words 'acchidrens... rasmibhih' and that then they would be quite complete.

^{2135.} यरपुनः स्मृतिचिन्द्रिकाया समझब्द्रस्य सार्घकत्वसुक्तां विभाज्यधनस्य भूगस्वे जीवनमात्रोपयोगि-धनग्रहणं खल्पले तु समाझहरणमेव, न तु जीवनोपयोगवझाद्रधिकहरण-मित्येवमर्थः समझब्द इति तद्युन्द्रस्य । कदाचिजीवनमात्रीपयोगिधनग्रहणविधानं कदाचित्रः समाझग्रहणविधानमिति वाक्यमेदापादकविधिवैद्यत्यमसङ्गात् । मदनरस्न on व्यवहार p. 331. Vide स्मृतिच् II. p. 268

^{2136.} अञ्चलको वाक्यसमासिः सर्वेषु तुल्ययोगित्वात्। पू. भी सू II 1.48; या ते अग्ने अग्ने अग्ने आपाश्चा तन्त्रीर्षष्ठा गहरेष्ठोग्रं वन्त्रोऽपावधीं त्वेषं वन्त्रोऽपावधीं स्वाहा, या ते अग्ने रजाशया, या ते अग्ने हराशया इति। अञ्च सन्देहः। तन्त्रीर्षिष्ठति किं सर्वेष्वञ्चलक्तन्यामाहोत्तिश्चीकिको वाक्यशेषः कर्तव्य इति।. For the mantra, vide ते. सं I. 2.11 2 and वाज सं V 8° Vide H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 1151 n. 2562. The वाज सं. and शतपथ III. 4 4. 23–25 read अयःशया, रजःशया and हरिशया.

^{2137.} चित्पतिस्ता ... रिक्मिभिः। ते. सं I. 2.1.2, ज्ञवर on PMS II. 1. 48 says अग्रेह कर्ण भवितव्यम्, यत्र निराकाक्षाणा सैनिघो परिपूरणसमर्थः श्रूपते। यथा चित्पति . रिक्मिभिरिति। ... एकश्वासौ पुनातुशव्दः पुनः पुनरुद्धितः। तेनावगन्छामो यत्र पुनातुशव्दोऽ शब्दः प्रयुक्तस्तत्रत्र तेनेकवाक्यत्वाद्यन्छिद्देणेत्ययमपि प्रयोक्तव्यः। ... स च पुनातुशव्दोऽ व्हिद्येणेत्यनेन विशिष्टः। तेन पुनातुशव्दोऽ सिद्धपद्गेणेत्यनेन विशिष्टः। तेन पुनातुशव्दोन साद्यपद्गेण नियोगतः सर्वे निराकाक्षीकर्वव्याः।

The V. M. has a long disquisition on the principle of anusanga applied by the Mit and Madanaratna to the succession to the wealth of one who died re-united. Yāi, II 135-136 lav down the order of succession to the wealth of a person dying sonless Yāi, II. 137 deals with succession to the property of a forest hermit, an ascetic or a perpetual Vedic student then holds that Yāi, II. 138 'samsrstinastu samsrstī' is to be construed as an exception to Yai, II 135-136 and understands that the words 'of one dying without having a son' (grandson or great-grandson) are to be supplied before Yaj II. 138 from II. 136 (i. e. there is to be anusanga of the words 'svaryātasyāputrasya'. The V. M. does not approve of this and states that there is no rational ground for applying the principle of anusanga and therefore the order of succession to the property left by one re-united propounded by V. M. becomes different from that of the Mit. The whole discussion is rather abstruce and lengthy and the present writer feels that the space required for exposition should be saved. Those interested may consult the exhaustive notes (pp 265-275) in the edition of the Vyavahāramayūkha (Poona, 1926) on the passages of the V. M. beginning with the one in the note below 2138

This principle of anusanga cannot apply where words of a different type intervene between the several sentences. For example, when the animal to be offered is killed a long passage occurs which contains the 2139 words 'sam te prano vayuna gacchatām, sam yajatrair-angāni, sam yajūapatir-āsisā' &c. (may your limbs be joined to the worshipful deities and may the sacrificer be united to blessing &c). Here the first clause is

²¹³⁸ संस्रुटिधनहरणाधिकारिणमाह याज्ञवहनयः — संस्रुटिनस्तु संस्रुटी सोदरस्य हु सोदर । पत्नी दुहितरः (या 2 135-136) इत्यादेरपवादोऽयम् । ... यस् विज्ञानेश्वरमदना-दयाऽपवादस्योत्सर्गसमानविषयत्वनियमात् पूर्वोक्त-स्वर्यातस्यापुत्रस्येत्वेतत्पटानुपङाञ्चेतद्वपि पुत्रपीत्रमपीत्रहीनविषयम् , । अतस्तादृशमृतसस्विधनमसंस्रविसनिविहतपस्यादिसद्भावप्यन्यः मंसम्ब्येत्र गृहीयादिति। तञ्चिन्त्यम्। तेन विनापि गती सम्भवन्त्यामञ्च्यङ्गे मानाभावात्। व्य म p, 147, vide मदनरान (on ध्यवहार) p. 372 'न च संदृष्टिनस्तु संयुष्टीति याझवल्यव-बाक्पेऽपुत्रस्येनि पदाश्रवणात्संस्टिमरणमेव प्रयोजकामिति बाच्य, स्वर्णातस्य ह्यपुत्रस्येति षाप्रपोपासस्यापुत्रस्येति पदस्य स्तर्यातस्येतिपद्वत् संस्टिश्वाक्येऽखपङ्गात्।गः

²¹³⁹ रदवायाकानुपज्येत। पू. मी. स् II. 1 49; ज्ञानर says सं ते वायुर्वातेन गरुजा सं पजत्रेरहानि सं पक्तपतिराशिया इति । वायुर्णतेन गच्छताम् व्हरपेष सं यजत्रेरङ्गानि-द्वति पहुनचनान्तेन रववितत्वात् स यज्ञपतिराशिषा इस्यत्र नात्तुपञ्जते। .. तस्माद्वहुनचनान्तस्य परस्य च तर्प्यवेतस्य लीकिको चारपशेष कर्तन्य इति।।. The से सं. I.3 8 1 reads 'सं ते प्राणी पासुना'. It is probable that Sabara quotes from memory or sets out the mantra from the Mai, Sambita I 2 15 which reads सं ते वासुवातिन,

separated from the 3rd clause by a clause in which there are two words in the plural while the first and third clauses have two words in the singular; therefore there is no anusanga of the words of the first clause into the 2nd and one may employ for completing the sense of the 3rd clause some ordinary word from popular language (and not the words from the 1st clause).

Veda enjoins many acts such as performing a $y\bar{a}aa$, casting an oblation into fire, making a gift, milking a cow, melting clarified butter &c, but all are not on the same level. Some are pradhana (primary 2140 or principal), while others are gunabhūta (subsidiary). The acts denoted by words such as Pravaias by which some substance is not embellished or not made fit or is not produced are primary, while those which produce a substance or make it fit (such as pounding in the case of grains of paddy) are subsidiary. Acts are further classified into various groups such as nitua, naimitika or kāmua or as kratvartha and purusartha. These have already been explained. There are six means 2141 by which is ascertained the difference or nondifference among acts viz. (1) sabdantara (different words, such as yajati, juhoti, dadāti, i. e. yāga, homa, dāna are different acts); abhyāsa 2142 (repetition) as in 'samidho yajati, tanūnapātam yajati' &c. (Tai. S. II. 6. 1. 1-2), where the word yajati is repeated five times and therefore there are five different acts prescribed: (3) sankhyā (number) as in 'he sacrifices seventeen animals to Prajapati' (Tai. Br. I. 3, 4 3), which are seventeen distinct acts; (4) guna (an accessory detail such as the deity

^{2140.} तानि हैर्ध गुणप्रधानभूतानि । यैर्झन्यं न चिकीर्ण्यते तानि प्रधानभूतानि इन्यस्य शुणभूतत्वात्। येस्तु द्रव्य चिकीवर्यते शुणस्तव प्रतियत तस्य द्रव्यपधानत्वात्। पू. मी स् II 1.6-8.

^{2141.} तदिह षड्विधः कर्मभेदो वस्यते-शब्दान्तरं, अभ्यासः, संख्या, ग्रुणः, मिक्रया, नामधेयमिति। तदेतज्ञानाकर्मलक्षमित्यध्यायमाचक्षते। श्रवर OD पू. भी सू II. 1. 1 'भावार्था: कर्मशब्दास्तेम्य किया मतीयेतैष हार्थी विधीयते!!. These Six are mentioned in P M. S. II. 2. 1., II. 2. 2 (अध्यास), II. 2. 21 (संख्या), II. 2. 23 (शुज), II. 2 22 (नामधेय or संज्ञा), प्रकरंण (or प्रक्रिया) in II. 3 24. Sabara mentions the six in one order, while the P. M S. deals with them in a slightly different order Parasara I. 38 says that a man should be intent on six actions, viz. bath, sandhyā etc. and relies on sabdāntara to establish that they are six distinct acts and not one composite act परा मा. I. 1. 2.

^{2142.} For the distinction between yaga, homa and dana, vide H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 714 n. 1703.

or substance in such a passage as 'when curds 2143 are put into heated milk it turns into curdled solid mass amiksa which is offered to Visvedevas and the liquid called vaiina is to be offered to Vaiins, these two being two distinct offerings); (5) prakarana, (context). In the sentence 'one should offer agnihotra' (Tai, S. I. 5. 9. 1) there is a vidhi of the daily performance of Agnihotra. In the Kundapāvinām-avana 2144 it is said 'he offers agnihotra for a month'. Since this last occurs in a different context altogether (while the former occurs in the context of Darsapūrnamāsa), this sentence (from Kundapāyinām-ayana) deals with a different act from that of the daily Agnihotra. (6) The name (Sanjaa) also differentiates acts, since they occur in utpattivākya (originative injunction) as in the passage quoted in the note below. 2145 This technique about determining what actions are different on account of the several grounds noted above, was employed by Hemādri, the Kālanirnaya and the Nirnayasindhu in deciding whether Janmastamiyrata and Jayantivrata are really one vrata or separate vratas. Vide pp 132-133 above.

It has already been stated above (p. 1228) that there are four kinds of vidhis of which viniyogavidhi is one. This last conveys the relation between a religious act as principal (śeṣīn or aṅgın) and 2146 its auxiliaries (angas). This is the concern of the third chapter of the P. M. S., which first defines 'śesa', states why it is called 'śesa', how it is employed in religious acts, what are the means of determining the relation of śesa to śesin and the comparative strength of each of the means.

^{2143.} सते पर्यास दृष्टपानयति सा वैश्वदेष्ट्यामिक्षा वाजिन्यो वाजिन्यो।; ज्ञावर on IV. 1. 23 cites this and states 'आमिक्षाया दृष्टिपयसी विद्येते न वाजिने। ... बाजिने तिक्ष उत्तर्धा रहे.। वैश्वदेवी is a सद्धित meaning विश्वदेवाः देवता अस्याः, formed acc. to पाणिनि IV. 2. 24 '(सास्य देवता); वाजिनामिक्षास्पग्रणभेदाद्वाजिनदृष्यकं कर्मान्तरस्' आमिक्षावृष्यकं च कर्मान्तरमिति चिनितन्य। वाजिनं नामामिक्षोत्पत्तिशिष्टसुद्धस्य । आमिक्षा गाम पयोद्धिमिश्रणजनितं दृद्धाकारं दृष्यम्। सर्वदर्शनकोसुदी p 100 (T. S. S.), ज्ञाङ्गरामं mentions this text on वे सू III 3. 1. In वे वा I. 6 2. 5 we have 'वैश्वदेपानिक्षा भवति। वैश्वदेष्यो वे भजाः। ... वाजिनमानयति।' आमिक्षा is the प्रयोजक (inducing force or motive) of putting curds into boiled milk and vājina is not the prayojaka, since in producing āmiksā it appears of itself

^{2144.} इतर on VII. 3 1 says कुण्डपायिनामयने श्रूयते मासमग्रिहोत्रं छुहोतीति। तत्रेतरसमधिगतं नैयमिकादग्रिहोत्रान्कर्मान्तरमेतदिति।.

^{2145.} संज्ञा चोत्पत्तिसयोगात्। पू. मी च् II 2 22, ज्ञापर 'अथेप ज्योतिरयेप विश्वज्योतिरथेप मर्ज्योति। क्तेन सहस्रदक्षिणेन यजेत इति श्रूयते। The conclusion is that all the three are names of three different nets

²¹⁴⁵ अङ्गलक्षणमेनेदामिहोक्तं देपलक्षणम् । तद्विरोपमपञ्चलात्त्रज्ञान्यान्तर्गतिरिधतेः॥ हामा p 647 on पूर्मी स् III 1, 1.

Some examples of anga and angin may have to be given here. In 'vrihin proksati' (he sprinkles rice grains with water) the sprinkling (proksana) is an auga (stands in a subsidiary relation to) of rice grains as directly shown by the objective case (vrihin). The proksana (is motivated by) has the purpose of contributing to the transcendental result (the animum), since what is meant is that if, without the sprinkling of water on the rice grains, a sacrifice (yaga) were performed, apurva would not be brought about. To take another example, 2147 'he takes hold of the bridle of the horse with (the mantra beginning with) 'they took hold of the bridle of rta (cosmic order)'. Here the direct assertion (śruti) shown by the objective case in 'raśanām' shows that that mantra stands in a subordinate relation to (is an anga of) the bridle of the horse, since the utterance of it when taking hold of the bridle of the horse effects a samskara in the bridle and taking hold is also an anga of the horse bridle (which is in the objective case), just as proksana is an anga of rice grains

It has already been stated that 'sesa' means 'what subserves the purpose of another' and it is sesa of that other (P. M. S. III. 1. 2 'Sesah pararthatvat') and that, according to Badari (III, 1. 3) 'to substances, properties (like reddish colour of a cow), samskāras 2148 (what makes a person or thing fit for being employed in a yaga or for any other purpose) the word sesa is always applied, while, acc. to Jaimini (III, 1, 4-6) religious rites are sesa to the result or fruit (expressed or implied), the fruit is sesa to the agent of religious acts and an agent is sesa to certain acts. The word 'sesa' is often employed by Dharmaśāstra works. For example, the Mit, while commenting on Yaı II. 118-119 states that the first half of verse 118 is sesa to all the rest 2149 (1. e. serves the purpose of the remaining verse and a half). The result is (if the Mit. be followed) that if a gift is

^{2147. &#}x27;Imam-agrbhnan rasanam-riasya-ityasvabhidhanim-adatte' .-- this occurs in Tai, S. V. 1. 2. 1. The words 'imam-agrbbnan rasanam-rtasya' constitute a quarter of the mantra in Tai, S. IV, 1, 2, 1,

^{2148.} सस्कारो नाम स भवति 'यस्मिखाते पदार्थी भवति योग्यः कस्यचिदर्थस्य। तेनापि क्रियायां कर्तव्याया प्रयोजनमिति सोपि परार्थः। शबर on PMS III, 1.3; तथा संस्कारोप्यवहन्त्यादिर्यागसाधनपुरोह्याक्षादिनिर्पृत्तये चोदितातां ब्रीह्यादीनां स्वरूपेणायोग्यः स्वादवहताना योग्यस्वमापादयञ्चरपरयेवाङ्गं भवतीति । तनत्रवा on the same p. 660.

^{2149.} अत्र च पितृत्रव्याविरोधेन याल्किःचित्त्वयमजितामिति सर्वशेषः। ... तथा पिटू॰ द्रव्याविरोधेनेत्यस्य सर्वेशपत्वादेव पितृद्रव्याविरोधेन प्रतिग्रहरूब्धमपि विभजनीयम्। मिता. on या II, 118-119

acquired (by a coparcener) from a grateful friend who was placed under an obligation by spending family wealth, if a property is acquired by a gift from a member's father-in-law who was paid from the family property for securing the bride for that member or if the property of the family lost to it was recovered by a member with the help of (other paternal estate), or if a member of the family learnt at the expense of the family and made gains from that learning, then those kinds of property were liable to be partitioned among all members. This view of the Mit. was not shared by several other writers and works such as the Dāyabhāga (VI. 1. 8), and Viśvarūpa Vide H. of Dh. vol. III. pp. 579-580 for further remarks.

The P. M. S speaks of six means that are helpful in determining, as regards viniyoga-vidles, what are principal and what are auxiliary when there is a doubt or when there is a conflict. They are śruti (direct vedic statement or assertion), linga (indirect indication), vākya (syntactical connection or relation), prakarana (context), sthāna (place or sequence), samākhyā (sañjñā, name) When several of these come together and refer to the same subject each succeeding one is weaker than each preceding one, since each succeeding one is more remote than each preceding one from the objective (viz. the viniyoga). P. M. S. III, 3, 14 is called 'balābalādhikarana'. 2150

An example where both sruti and linga come in conflict is 'with the Aindri verse (verse addressed to Indra) he should worship the Gārhapatya fire'. The verse addressed to Indra 2151

^{2150.} श्रुतिलिङ्गवाक्यमकरणस्थानसमाख्यानां समवाये पारदीर्घन्यमधीवप्रकर्पात्। पू मी. सू III. 3. 14 , समवाय means एकार्थोपनिपात The सन्ववातिक states 'समान-विपयतं हि समवायोऽभिधीयते' and adds 'न होकच सम्भवमात्रे समवायः कि ति विपय-जूनम् (р 823); दुर्वेलस्य भावः दीयत्यम् (प 823); दुर्वेलस्य भावः दीयत्यम् (प रस्य दोवत्य परदीवत्य ति तदेव पारदीवत्यम् । विप्रकर्ष means विलम्ब; शाख-दीविका on this सूच (р 241) remarks 'इदानीं श्रुत्पादीनामैकविपयसमवायेन विरोधे सित परावर विवायते'

^{2151.} निवेशन: सङ्गमने वस्नामित्येन्द्रा शाईपरवस्रुपतिष्ठते। मैत्रा सं III 2. 4 This verse occurs in चयन. According to some (e. g. भागती on वे स् III. 3. 25) the Andri verse is कदान्वमसरीरसि नेन्द्र सम्बसि दाश्चे। ऋ. VIII 51 7 and पान सं. VIII. 2. It is used in अशिशेष्ठ (सशिपरधान) The words ख़ति and लिङ्ग, have technical senses in PMS III 3. 14. Sruti ordinarily means Veda or a vedic text But here ख़ति and लिङ्ग mean respectively निर्पक्षो राः श्वति., जन्दसामध्ये लिएम्। e a vedic word or a passage that is independent (i.e. does not require any intermediate step to be taken) and linga means the suggestive power of words Both the definitions are given by the अर्थसंग्रह', 'यसावन्द्र-

is 'nivešanah sangamano vasūnām…Indro na tasthau samare pathīnām' (Tai, S. IV. 2.5.4). Here the doubt arises whether one should worship Indra as the word 'Aindrya' indicates or whether one should worship Garhapatya (as the passage directly asserts) with a verse addressed to Indra, or whether one should worship both or whether one should worship either Indra or Garhapatya as one likes. The conclusion is that sruti (direct Vedic assertion) is more powerful than linga On hearing the words 'Garhapatyam upatisthate' we are directly told by the Veda about the worship of Garhapatya. The word 'Aindrya' being in the instrumental (as in 'dadhnā juhoti'-he performs home with curds) merely supplies a detail (guna) viz. that the mantra to be repeated is one addressed to Indra and there is no word that directly asserts that Indra is to be worshipped. 252 Sabara on PMS III. 2. 4 explains that even Garhapatya has some of the qualities of Indra and so metaphorically he may be called Indra (as a brave man is called a lion), since Garhapatya is a means of accomplishing yaina like Indra or Garhapatya may be called Indra from the root 'ind' and may be taken to mean 'a master or lord'.

The six means may each come in conflict with all the means that follow each of them. Therefore, there will be five cases of conflict of sruti with linga (which has already been described) or with vākya, or prakarana or sthāna or samākhyā; there will be four cases of conflict between linga and vakya or each of the three ones (of the means) that follow vakya in the sutra, in the same way vakya may be in conflict with prakarana and the other two (in all three cases), prakarana may be in conflict with sthana or samakhya (i. e. two cases) and sthana may be in conflict with samakhya. So that there are in all fifteen cases of conflict of the six means among themselves. We need not

⁽Continued from last bage)

ब्दस्यार्थमभिधातं मामर्थ्यं तिष्ठद्वम्, यदर्थरयाभिधान ज्ञब्दस्य श्रवणमात्रादेवागस्यते स श्रत्या बगम्पते। अवर्णे श्रुति: । जायर on III. 3. 13 p 825; compare पाणिनि 'कर्तुरोध्विततर्थ कर्मः (I. 4. 49), 'कर्नुकरणयोग्नृतीयाः (II. 3. 18). The word ऐन्ह्या being in the instrumental conveys the idea of करण, while बाईपूर्य being in the objective case at once conveys that it is principal in Equality

^{2152.} गुणाद्वाप्यमिधानं स्यात्मम्बन्धन्याजास्त्रहेतुत्वात्। प्र. मी सु. III. 2. 4; शनर, 'मन्नति हि गुणादण्यभिधानम् । यथा सिंहो देनदत्तः ... इति । गामिहाण्यनिन्दे गार्हपत्य इन्द्र अन्दो मविष्यति । अस्ति चास्पेन्द्रमाद्वरुपम् । यथैनेन्द्रो पज्ञसाधनसेत्रं गार्टपरपोऽपि । अधनेन्दते॰ रैश्वर्यक्रमण इन्हो भवति। भवति च गाईपरयस्यापि स्वस्मिन् कार्य ईश्वरस्त्रम्। १, vide मामती on 8, g. III. 3, 25

take into account the conflict of one of the six means with its predecessors, since to say that linga may be in conflict with sruti is the same thing as saying that sruti may be in conflict with linga. Very large space would have to be devoted if all these fifteen possible conflicts are to be exemplified from Vedic and Dharmasāstra texts. Therefore, the author does not essay that task.

Dharmašāstra works often utilize this adhikarana called baiābala (PMS III. 3 14). For example, the Par. M. quotes ²¹⁵³ a śruti passage that one should perform the daily evening sandhyā adoration of Āditya (the sun) with mantras addressed to Varuna and remarks that "Vārunībhih" (like Aindryā) is only linga while, 'Ādityam-upsthāya' is śruti (direct assertion) and therefore the sun is to be worshipped in the evening with mantras addressed to Varuna and refers to the example 'aindryā gārhapatyam upatisthate' for support.

The fourth adhyava of the PMS deals mainly with the subject of prayogya and prayogaka and kratvartha and purusartha. The latter two have been explained and illustrated above A few illustrations of the first two may (pp. 1232-1235). be given. The Pravaias have been declared above to be kratiartha (p. 1233). Therefore, kratu (sacrifice) is the prayojaka (motivating force) of Prayajas. The reward (phala, svarga or the like) is the prayojaka of yaga or a purusartha rite. That is a prayojaka for the sake of which a man is led to perform something by a Vedic exhortatory passage. The sentence is 'one should offer the Darsapurnamasa sacrifice for securing syarga': therefore the reward (svarga and the like) is the prayoiska of Darsapurnamasa-yaga, 2154 The injunction to mix curds with milk makes a person do so for producing āmiksā and not vānna, since this latter arises of it-

²¹⁵³ वारुणीभित्तथादिरपद्धपरधाय प्रदक्षिणम्। यद्यपि वारुणीभिर्वरुणस्योपस्थानं लिङ्ग-पलात् प्राप्तं तथापि श्रुने. प्रावस्थात् तथा लिङ्गं वाधित्वा आदित्योपस्थाने एव विनिद्धप्यते। पत्त ना I 1 pp 293-299. प्रावस्तः refers to इमं में वरुण (ऋ I 25.19-21) as पारुणी verses Io Mahārāstra even in these days the vedic mantras recited in सापसम्भय are यद्याद्धि ते निकी यथा प्र देव वरुण इतम् and the following nine verses (i c, Rg I, 25 1-10)

^{2154.} Compare Sabara on PMS IV. 1. 1 (athātah kratvarthapuru-म्हारीकपुठा-गुगुतेहेड्डे) 'यापि प्रयोजकाययोजकारुविध्यर्थपादाङ्गप्रधानचिन्ता सापि कल्य्य-इरपार्थितिहासी। कपम्। अनुं कल्य्यं प्रधानं पुरुषार्थः। फलविधि पुरुषार्थः, अर्थगदः कल्य्यं, । प्रयोजकः कश्चित्रुवपार्थेऽपयोजकः प्रत्ये । तत्नात्क्राच्युरुषार्थेयोजिज्ञासीते स्चितम्।... प्रकृते य स प्रत्यर्थः, दृष्पाय य स पुरुषार्थः।

self in preparing āmiksā. Therefore, it is āmiksā, being the hams in the Vaisvadevayaga that is the prayojaka of the Vaisvadevavāga and vājina-yāga is not the pravojaka of putting curds into milk 2155 (P. M. S. IV.1 22-24). The result is that, if by accident amiks is destroyed, in order to secure the havis (āmiksā) curds will have again to be put in boiled milk. but if vānna. not being prayojaka. is destroyed, curds need not be put into boiled milk again.

Examples of purusartha acts have been given above (p. 1234) such as the Prajapativrata. This chapter (in 2nd nada) deals with several cases of pratipattikarma and arthakarma already explained above (pp 1231-32). There are certain substances. certain embellishments and subsidiary actions with which some phala is associated. For example, it is said (in Tai S III. 7.5.2) 'he who has juhū ladle made of parna (palāśa) wood never hears an evil word about himself': 'that he applies collyrium (to his eyes), he injures the eye of his enemy' (Tai. S. VI. I. 1.5); 'That he performs the Prayājas and Anuyājas, that is indeed the armour of the sacrifice'. PMS declares that these texts about rewards associated with substances, embellishments and subsidiary acts are really not vidhis about rewards but are mere arthavadas, because all these serve the purpose of the principal kratu. 2156

This fourth chapter (in 3rd pada) also decides that, although no reward is expressly declared by Sruti (the Veda) for the performance of the Visvajit sacrifice, still in the Visvajit sacrifice (and in other sacrifices where no reward is expressly mentioned) svarga is the reward. 2157

The Visvajit is a striking sacrifice in which the performer donates all belongings of which he is the owner ('Viśvajiti sarva-

^{2155.} तरमाद्यामिक्षा प्रयोक्त्री वाजिनमप्रयोजकामिति । शघर on III. 1. 23; यद्युमप प्रयोजकं वाजिने नष्टे पुनस्तते पर्यासे दश्यानेतव्यम् । अथ वाजिनसमयोजकं नष्टे वाजिने लेपि सध्यानयनस्य । ज्ञाबर on IV, 1. 24.

^{2156.} द्वन्यसंस्कारकर्मस परार्थत्वात्फलश्चतिरथेपाद स्यात्। पू भी सू IV.3 10, शमर quotes among others the following three passages: पर्य पर्णमधी जुरूभंबति न स पापं श्लोकं शृणोति (द्रव्य), यदाङ्क्ते चश्चरेव भ्रातृत्यस्य ब्रद्धके (सस्कार), यत्मयाजाद्यमाः जा इज्यन्ते धर्म वा एतद्यज्ञस्य क्रियते (धार्म).

²¹⁵⁷ स स्वर्गः स्यात्सर्वान्मस्यविशिष्टत्वात्। पू मी स् IV. 3, 15, सर्वान् menns सर्वपुरुवान् श्रवर explains 'सर्वे हि पुरुवाः स्वर्गकामाः। कुत एतत्। मीतिर्हि स्वर्गः। सर्वश्च मीति प्रार्थयते।, मेघा on मह II. 2 refers to it, vide परा मा I. 1. p. 148. The विष्णुपुराण II, 6. 46 has: मनःप्रीतिकरः स्वर्गी नरकस्तिहिपर्ययः। नरकस्वर्गसङ्गे वे पुण्यपापे ष्टिजीत्तम ॥

svam dadāti'). Jaimini devotes about fourteen adhikaranas 2258 to it, viz. IV. 3. 10-16 (three adhikaranas), VI. 7. 1-20 (nine adhikaranas), VII.3 6-11 (one adhi.), X. 6.13-14 (one adhi.). Some of the interesting propositions are the performer cannot donate his own relatives (such as father or mother) but can denote that of which he is real owner or master; that even the emperor cannot make a gift of the whole kingdom, as other people have rights over land and the king protects the people and has only the right to a certain share in the produce of the land, that the performer cannot donate horses as sruti expressly forbids the gift of horses in Visvajit; that the performer can donate only that which exists as his property at the time of giving daksina in the sacrifice and not that which may become his at a future date: that a sūdra 2159 who waits upon the performer because it is his duty to serve (acc to Manu) cannot be donated: a person of a higher varna cannot be donated; only he who has 112 cows or more wealth is entitled to perform Visvajit.

The 5th adhyāya of P. M. S. deals with krama (the order in which the several component parts or acts that go to make up a sacrifice are to come one after another). Vidhis speak of the performance of many acts in a sacrifice and do not always lay down the order in which those acts (principal and auxiliary) are to be performed. It would not do to perform them in any order that the performer likes. For determining the order of sequence of several acts in a sacrifice one has to depend upon six means 2160 viz. sruti (direct assertion as to order), artha

^{2158.} स्वदाने सर्वभविशेषात् (पूर्वपक्ष)। यस्य वा मधु स्यादितरस्याशक्यत्वात् (सिद्धान्त)।
1V 7 1-2, vide H. of Db. vol II pp. 849-50 which summarise PMS.
VI 7 1-7, ष्प म p. 92 विश्वजिति . . इद्याति occurs in कीषी व्या 25. 14 मेधा॰
remarks " फुल्स्नगोलकाभिभाषमेव चादेवसं भूमेर्विश्वजिति मीमासकैषकं 'न भूमि स्यात् ।।
सर्वात् पुष्पान् मति चद्गमणादियोग्यतयाऽविशिष्टा भूमि स्वामीकर्तुमशक्ष्या कथं दीयते
इत्यर्थ ॥".

²¹⁵⁹ शुद्ध धर्मशास्त्रवात्। VI 7.6, vide स्म म p. 93, and notes p 132 thereon; एउमेन तु शुद्धरम मधु कर्म समादिशत्। एतेपामेन सर्णाना शुश्रूपामनस्वया। हा 1 91 and A. 123, vide H of Dh. vol II p 182 n. 424 for शबर's words, थिकार सर्जुभगतोऽनिजेपात्। अधिकं वा प्रतिप्रस्वात्। अनुग्रहाञ्च पादनत्। पू मी स् VI. 7 18-20. तच च हाद्दशक्षतमिकं वा प्रतिप्रस्वा । हृद्यित तहदेव। तस्माक न्यूनधन-राधिकरः। शावर: the प्रशाविक (ताण्डय) वा has तस्य हाद्दशं शत दक्षिणा (16.1, 10-11).

²¹⁶⁰ एरेदानीं क्रमनियमत्रक्षणमुख्यते। सच्छुत्यर्थ-पाठ-प्रवृत्ति-काण्ड-मुख्येर्द्रयते। मुश्यदिनां च पदाधदम् । दावर on पू. मी. स V 1 I. It may be noticed that both अर्धसंग्रह and नी. न्या म slightly differ from Sabara in the order and names of the ex means viz. as सब पद प्रसाणानि भुत्यर्थपाठस्थानमुख्यप्रपूपाल्यानि । तब क्रमी नाम दितनिरिदेश, पार्श्वर्यर्थन्ते मा अर्थसंग्रह p. 12 and मी स्था प्र p. 173.

(purpose, suitability), pātha (verbal texts), pravrtti (commencement), kānḍa (place in the texts), mukhya (principal).

In the Vedic passage about the diksā in a sattra, the adhyaryu, after performing the diksā (initiation rite) on the grhapati (the yajamāna, the performer of the sacrifice) performs diksā on the brahmā priest, then on udgātr etc. the Vedic text directly lays down the sequence (by means of the termination 'tva') that the diksa of brahma priest comes after the diksa of the yajamāna. In the passage 'samıdho yajati tanunapātam yajati &c'. the very order in which the sentences occur in the text (i. e patha) determines the order of the performance of the several vagas (PMS V. 1 4). The Veda first speaks of the offering of Agnihotra and then of the cooking of gruel. Here the offering of Agnihotra comes first and preparation of gruel comes after that But, unless the material to be offered is ready, no Agnihotra offering can be made. Therefore, here the pathakrama has to be given up and arthakrama (order as dictated by the purpose and suitability) has to be followed i.e. first gruel must be prepared and then Agnihotra offered. 2161 This is an example showing that arthakrama is more powerful than pathakrama (PMS V. 4 1). The Paräsarasmrti lavs down that every day one should perform sandhyā (morning adoration), bath, japa (muttering of sacred texts), homa, study of the Veda, worship of gods, Vaisvadeva and feeding guests. The Par. M. says that 2161 setting aside the pāthakrama one must follow the suitability and therefore bath comes first and sandhyā afterwards. The Sm. C. quotes Vrddhamanu to the effect that a childless chaste widow should offer pinda to her deceased husband and take his wealth. Here it is proper to hold that she should first take his wealth and then perform his śrāddhas. In the Väiapeya the text says that the performer (yajamāna) has to tie to the sacrificial post seventeen pasus to be offered to Prajapati (Tai. Br. I. 3. 4.

^{2161.} अग्निहोर्च जुहोतीति पूर्वमाम्रातम्, ओद्धनं पचतीति पश्चात्। असम्भवात् पूर्वमीदनः पक्तन्यः। ज्ञावर् on V 1.3. Vide also ज्ञावर on V 4.1

²¹⁶² सम्ध्या स्नानं जापो होसो देवतातिथियूजनस्। आतिथ्य वैश्वदेवं च षद्वर्माणि दिने विने ॥ पराज्ञारस्मृति I. 39; vide परा मा I. 2. 18 that says "सम्ध्यास्नानितयत्र यवाग्रः पाकन्यायेन स्नानस्य प्राध्यः च्याख्येयस्। ... 'यवाग्वाझिहोत्र छहोति यवाग्रं च पचित गृति श्रूपते । ... यवाग्वा हित तृतीयया श्रुत्या होमसाधनत्वावगमादस्ति च द्रव्ये होमानिष्यते- र्थाद्यवाग्र्याकः पूर्वभाविति सिद्धान्तः। एवमत्रापि स्नानस्य छुद्धिहेतुत्वाच्छुद्धस्यैन सन्ध्यावन्दः न्याद्यवाग्र्याकः पूर्वभाविति सिद्धान्तः। एवमत्रापि स्नानस्य छुद्धिहेतुत्वाच्छुद्धस्यैन सन्ध्यावन्दः न्याद्यिकारित्वारत्वानं पूर्वभाविति इष्टव्यम्। हृद्धमद्धः—अपुत्रा श्रूपनं भर्तुः पाळ्यन्ती व्रते रिधता । पत्यवेव द्यात्वातिष्य छुत्स्नमंशं छभेत च ॥ and remarks 'उत्तराधे त्वर्थक्रसेण पाळक्रमवाधी प्रष्टव्यः। तत्रश्चायमर्थः। उत्तरक्षायमर्थः। उत्तरक्षाय पत्यवेव भर्त्वेशं छुरस्नं छभेत पश्चात्यिण्डं द्यात्। न पुनस्तस्यां सत्यां भ्रात्राविदिति। स्मृतिच्च II p. 291.

2-3). It is also provided that each of the 17 pasus should have several samskāras performed on it such as proksana (consecration by sprinkling sacred water on it), upākarana (bringing near). One may take any of the 17 animals first and begin to perform the first of the samskaras on it; but having once begun with a particular animal he must perform the second and other samskāras one after another on the same animal: i.e. the order of the samskaras on an animal is determined by the commencement. Kānda or sthāna is exemplified as follows: The Jvotistoma is the model sacrifice (prakrti) of which Sadvaskra is a vikrti (modification). It is prescribed by the Veda in the case of Sadyaskra that all animals should be sacrificed together at the savaniya stage. 2163 In Jyotistoma, there are three animals offered viz. 'agnisomiya' in the morning, 'savaniya' in the noon and 'anubandhya' in the evening. Sādyaskra, being a vikrti, all these have to be performed in it: but the particular text about it provides that all three must be sacrificed together at the savaniya stage. This (offering all three simultaneously) is impossible and therefore all that can be done is to offer (them) one after another (and not at three different times in the day); it would appear at first sight that the Agnisomiva pasu comes first; but that is not so, since simultaneous offerings being laid down at the savaniya stage in sādyaskrayāga, the savaniyapasu is to be first offered (and not Agnisomiya) and then the Agnisomiya immediately after and then Anubandhya or these two may be offered in any order one likes (but immediately).

An example of sequence being determined by the mukhya (first or principal) is: there is a sruti passage 'two Sārasvata offerings are to be made; this is indeed a divine couple'. 2161

^{2163.} अत प्राजापत्येव्वेकं पदार्थे सर्वेत्राच्छाय हितीय पदार्थोऽस्टेयः । तत्र प्रथमपदार्थाः त्रुडानं कस्माञ्चित्पक्षोरारम्य कार्यम् । हितीयस्तु पदार्थो येन क्रमेण प्रथमोद्घष्ठितस्तेनैव क्रमेणाः चुत्रेय. मयोगनिध्यागतस्य मिथोङ्क साहित्यस्योषपत्तये। सी. न्या प्र pp 189-190.

^{2164.} सारस्वती भवत एतहे देव्यं निथुनं दैव्यमेवासी मिथुनं मध्यती द्वधाति पुण्ड्ये अज-ननाय। ते. सं II. 4. 6 1-2 This occurs in relation to चित्रायात in which seven subsidiary offerings are prescribed of which two are सारस्वत. सारस्वती means 'सरस्त्रतीदेवताकः सरस्वदेवताकश्चेरसुभी सारस्त्रतीं?. The पू भी सू is 'सुरूपक्रमेणा-ट्रानां सदर्थनात्। (V. 1. 14 वा.) याज्ञ. II 135 mentions as heirs to a man dying sonless, his wife, daughter and parents (पितरों). Suppose a man dies leaving his father and mother as nearest relatives, who should succeed, vizwhether mother should be preferred to father or vice versa or whether both should tale the estate equally. The सितासरा prefers the mother to the lather, the Sm. C. refers to this instance of सारस्त्री भवत. and there being

Details are provided as regards the two offerings to Sarasvati and Sarasvata The doubt arises: are the details about the offering to be made to the female deity to be performed first or are they to be first performed as the offerings to the male deity? The prima facie view being that as no śāstra exists regulating priority one may do as one likes, the established conclusion is that the order of sequence in the case of the details should be determined by the order of the Yayanuvakya verses. These are mentioned first about the female deity in the words 'pra no devi Sarasvati' (Tai. S I. 8, 22, 1, Rg VI. 61, 4). Therefore. the conclusion is that in the details also the offering to the female deity should come first.

In PMS V. 1 16 it is decided that the order expressed in mantras should be followed in preference to the order contained in Brāhmana texts. 2165 The Darsapūrnamāsa sacrifice is made up of three component sacrifices, Agneya, Upamsu and the Agnisomiya. In Tai S. II. 5 2. 3, the Agnisomiya sacrifice is first described and in Tai II, 6 3.3 the Agneya is described. But these are held to be Brāhmana texts, though now appearing in the Samhitā texts, since they lay down a vidhi; but in the Mantrapātha the Agneya mantra 'Agnir-mūrdhā' (Tai. B. III. 5.71) is first set out and then follows the mantra 'Agnīsomā savedasā' (Tai Br III. 5, 7, 2) Therefore, the Agneya is to be first performed and the Agnisomiya afterwards.

If there are several deities or brahmanas to be honoured with several acts or things, or there are several vupas (sacrificial posts) as in Aikādasina animal sacrifice on which several samskāras are to be performed from aniana to nurumāna (sur-

(Continued from last page)

no special ground of choice between the two, holds that the father takes first following certain other smrtis like Brhat-Visqu The Dayabhaga prefers the father to the mother and several works like the Vyavabāraprakasa, (p 524), the Madanaraina (p 364) do the same The words of the स्मृतिच (II p 297) are "सारस्त्रती भवत. इत्युत्पत्तिवाक्ये क्रमावगत्यभावेन याज्या-क्रमात प्रधानयोः क्रमः पञ्चमे दक्षितो न प्रनः सारस्वताबिरयेक्कोवत एव कथन्वित क्रमावगतिः कपपादितेति निबन्धमेव (?) मात् प्राधन्यसमधनम् । अत् एव श्रीकरेण पित्रोर्धनग्रहणस्कै तद्रप्ययुक्तम् । .. दोहित्राभावे पितृगामित्वमवगन्तव्यम् ।. 'पञ्चमे । in the above passage refers to fifth adhyava of P M S.

पदार्थवोधकवाक्यानां य· क्रमः स पाठकमः । तस्माञ्च पटार्थानां क्रम आश्रीयते । येन हि क्रमेण वाक्यानि पठितानि तेनेव क्रमेणाधीतान्यर्धमत्ययं जनयन्ति । यथार्धमत्ययं च पदार्थानामनुष्ठानात् । स च पाठक्रमो द्विविधः मन्त्रपाठो ब्राह्मणपाठश्व । तत्राग्नेयाग्नीपोमीययो-स्तज्ञद्याज्यासुवाक्याक्रमाद्यः क्रम आश्रीयते स मन्त्रपाठात्। स चार्यं मन्त्रपाठो बाह्यणपाठाद्रः षलवान् । अनुष्टाने ब्राह्मणवाक्यापेक्षया मन्त्रवाक्यस्यान्तरङ्गत्वात् । मी. म्या म pp 176-177.

rounding with a girdle), then one should perform all the samskāras from anjana to parivyāna on the vūpa first and then all these on the 2nd and so on up to the last vupa or whether one should perform aniana on all vupas one after another, the next samskāra on allyūpas one after another and so on till the last samskara of parivyana is done on all vunas one after another. The former way is called kandanusamaya and the latter 'padarthanusamava '.2166 Jai. V. 2 7-9 refers to the first method and Jai. V. 2. 1-3 to the 2nd Vide for the explanation and illustration of these two. H. of Dh. Vol. II. pp 739-740, p 1132 n 2528 and Vol. IV. pp 441-42 n 987. On Yāj I 232 ('apasavyam tatah krtyā) the Mit. remarks that the performer of Śrāddha follows the kāndānusamava method for the Vaisvadeva brāhmanas i. e. gives them water for washing the feet, then acamana, seat, sandalwood paste, flowers &c. then he should wear the sacred thread on the right shoulder and offer the upacaras to the pitrya brāhmanas.

The sixth adhyaya of PMS is a very interesting one. It deals with the various aspects of the question of adhikara, that is, qualifications of the performer of a sacrifice. It is a very extensive chapter having eight padas like chap. III and X the numerous propositions contained therein that have influenced the works on Dharmsastra have already been set out, such as women's right to participate in Vedic sacrifices, sūdra's ineligibility for them, the rathakāranyāya and nisādasthapatinyāya and a few more will be dealt with here. As a preliminary Jaimini establishes (in P. M. S VI. 1. 1-3) that in such texts as one desirous of heaven should offer the Darsapurnamasa sacrifice or one should offer the Jyotistoma sacrifice, the Veda prescribes yūqa for one who desires heaven is the principal (or predominant) factor while yaga is subsidiary or subordinate; thereby it follows that the Vedic text lays down the characteristics of the performer. The Tuptikā says that 1267

^{2166.} On जे V 2 1-3 पार्थसार्थि states 'प्रथमं पदार्थ सर्वेषा कृत्वा तती द्वितीयः कर्तव्य । ता दर्शपूर्णमासादिक्वनेकप्रधानसम्बाचे पदार्थानसमय एव क्याय्यी न काण्डानुसमय एव क्याय्यी न काण्डानुसमयो ००० आश्व ग्र सू 1 24.7 व्यायानसमयो नाम एकर्य्य निरादिगोनिवेदनान्नं समाप्य ततीऽक्यस्य सर्वे ततीऽक्यस्येति। The प्य म. (p 66) refers to पदार्थानुसमय in the worship of detties in the balance ordeal

^{2167.} तस्मासदर्गकानस्य पायक्रमीपदेश. स्वात् । अत स्वर्ग. मधानत. कर्म ग्रुणतः इति रागकाममधिकाय पजेनेनि वचनमिरवधिकारलक्षणमिद् सिद्धम् । शतर on पू. मी सू VI 1.3, (Continued on next page)

'adhikari is the owner (or master) who stands above all actions (yāga).' Another more elaborate definition is given as 'adhikāri is one who desires some reward (such as heaven or happiness). who is possessed of the capacity to perform the act prescribed. who has learning and who is not excluded from performing a sacrifice (by Śruti)'. Eyen lower animals desire happiness; hence to exclude them the words 'possessed of the capacity' &c. are added. A man may be totally ignorant and so the word 'vidyan' is added: a śūdra may desire happiness, may have capacity and be also learned but he is excluded by the vedic text. 'Therefore the Sūdra is not fit (or ordained) for sacrifice'.

In VI. I. 39-40 it is established 2168 that every man belonging to one of the three higher classes has a right to perform Vedic sacrifices A man though at one time devoid of wealth may acquire wealth by various means. Similarly, it is said in VI 1. 41 that one who is defective in a limb is just like one who is devoid of wealth i. e. such a man has a right to offer Vedic sacrifices provided he takes steps to remove the weakness. VI. 1 42 it is further provided that if the defect is congential and incurable, the person who suffers from such a defect is not entitled to perform Vedic sacrifices.

(Continued from last bage)

अधिकारीति कर्मणासपरिभावेनावस्थित' स्वामीत्यर्थः । हुपुटीका (on same); अर्थी समर्थो विद्वान शास्त्रेणापर्यटस्तोधिकारी । सर्वटर्शनकौमुद्धी p. 103 This summarises in one place what Sabara and Kumārila state in different places e, g. न चैतद्क्ति विर्यगादीनामप्यधिकार इति । कस्य तर्हि । यः समर्थः क्रत्सन कर्माभिनिर्वर्तयितम् । न देवानां देवतान्तराभावात् । न ह्यात्मानसृद्धिदय त्यायः सम्भवति । त्याय एवासी न स्यात् । तिर्यञ्जो न कालान्तरफलेनार्थिनः। आसस्रं हि ते कामयन्ते।.. न चैते (तिर्यञ्जः) वेदमधीयते नापि स्मृतिशास्त्राणि। नाप्यरपेरपोऽवगच्छन्ति। तस्मास्त्र विदन्ति धर्मम्। अविद्वांसः कथमन्तुः तिष्ठेयुः । शबर on पू. मी. सू VI. 1 5. शूद्रो सतुष्याणासन्त्वः पश्चनां तस्मास्तौ भूतसंक्रामिणा-षश्यश्च सूद्धः तस्माच्छ्दो यज्ञेऽनवक्कसः ते सं VII. 1 1.6 सायण explains अनवक्रुतः as राजी प्रवर्तितं न योग्यः

2168. जयाणां ज्ञूच्यसंपद्धः कर्मणो ज्ञूच्यसिद्धित्वात् (प्रवेपक्ष)। अनित्यत्वाच् नैव स्या-वर्थाद्धि वृज्यसयोगः । अङ्गहीनश्च तद्धर्मा । उत्पत्ती नित्यसंयोगात् । पू मी स् VI.1. 39-42. तद्भी means द्वारितधर्मा तत्त्वय इति ' The last sutra may be paraphrased as उत्पत्ती एव येषामङ्गवैकल्य जात्यन्धादीना सर्वेषाममतिसमाधेयाङ्गवैकल्याना ते नाधिक्रियस्ते आज्यांबस्रणादिभिः । क्रतोनित्यसंयोगात् ।. ते. सं VI. 3. 10.5 states 'जायमानी ह बे नाह्मणिसिर्भिर्भणवा जायते नहान्वर्येण ऋषिग्यो यज्ञेन देवेम्यः प्रजया पित्रम्य एव वा अदृणी यः युत्री यज्वा नद्वाचारिवासी 'On this, पू मी सू VI 2, 31 is नाह्मणस्य सोमविद्यामजः सुणवाक्येन संयोगात ', on which श्वर explains that the word brahmana is only ıllustrative 'ब्राह्मणग्रहण तु प्रदर्शनार्थम्। जायमानी ब्राह्मणी राजन्यी वैश्यश्चेति। तथा जायमानो जातश्चेति । १.

The ancient and medieval Hindu law of inheritance and succession was modelled on this. Vide Yāj. II 140, Manu IX. 201 and Nārada (dāyabhāga, verses 21-22) Yāj II. 140 declares that the impotent, the outcast and his son, a lame man, a lunatic, an idiot, a blind man and a person afflicted with an incurable disease are not entitled to a share, but are entitled to receive maintenance. This subject has been dealt with in H. of Dh. Vol. III pp 610-612 The Mit. on Yāj. II. 140 provides that the grounds of disqualification apply to males and females alike. But the recent Hindu Succession Act (No. 32 of 1956) sweeps away all these grounds of disqualification by providing that no person shall be disqualified from succeeding to any property on the ground of any disease, defect or deformity or, save as provided in this act, on any other ground whatever (section 28).

A good many sūtras (in chap. VI. 3. 17-41) deal with what are called 'pratinidhi' (substitute, representative or proxy). These have been described in H. of Dh. Vol. II, pp. 684' 1110, 1203, Vol. III. pp. 471, 637, 653, 654 (where Satyāsādha Śr S. III containing similar rules is mentioned). A few of them are briefly mentioned here. The first rule is that if the substance declared by the Veda for preparing an offering perishes or is lost as regards an obligatory rite or as regards $k \overline{a} m y a$ rite that is already begun, one should substitute another substance (like nivāras, wild rice grains) for wihi (rice grains) or yaza i.e. barley grains and finish the rite (VI. 3 13-17). In some cases Vedic texts prescribe a substitute for the substance required to be used, as in ' if he (the performer) cannot obtain the Soma plant, he should substitute pūtika stalks and extract juice therefrom It is argued by the objector that, as the Veda expressly substitutes pūtikas for soma, one should conclude that where Veda does not expressly mention a substitute for a prescribed substance, it means that a substitute is not allowed by the Veda in other cases. The siddhanta is that the prescribing of putlkas as substitute is a restrictive rule 2169 viz. that although several plants may resemble soma, there is a restriction that only pūtikas should be substituted. It is provided (in III. 6 37, 39) that when a substitute like nivāras is employed, the subsidiary acts of sprinkling with water, pounding with mortar and pestle that are performed on rice or barley grains should also be per-

²¹⁶⁹ निपमार्थ फचिहिथि: 1 VI 3 16, सोमाभावे षहुषु सहक्षेषु मातेषु नियमः रिस्ते पूर्वीका एमभिषीनस्य दृति । सबर The ताण्ड्यबाह्मण (IX. 5. 3) provides धर्मे सीमं न जिन्हेषु. 1 which is quoted in n. 2001 above.

formed on them. When rice grains are employed the mantra expressly speaks of the rice offering as the sap or essence of rice There adaptation (\(\vec{u}la\)) is to be made as 'nīvārānām medha' (vide PMS IX 3, 1-2), 2170 But in certain cases no substitute is allowed viz the devata with respect to which an haves (offering) is prescribed cannot have another substituted in a rite e. g. 'Agneyostākapālah' cannot be changed into 'aindrostākapālah', since in that case the rite will cease to be what was intended Similarly, when the text says 'he offers into the Ahavaniya fire,' one cannot substitute the Garhapatya fire; another mantra cannot be substituted for the one prescribed nor can other rites be substituted for the Prayajas 'samidho yajati' &c.), 2171

The Veda forbids the use of varakas, kodravas and māsas as unfit for a sacrifice. If a person mistakenly takes masa grains or particles believing them to be mudga grains or particles and uses them in a sacrifice in which an oblation of boiled mudga pulse is prescribed, he will not be performing the desired rite as what is forbidden as unfit cannot be a substitute. 2172

This nyāya is relied upon by the Mit. on Yāj. II. 126 (which simply states that if joint family properties are suppressed or concealed by some members and kept for themselves, they should be distributed in equal shares even after partition (when this is found out), which holds that this verse cannot be interpreted so as to absolve the concealer of such joint property from guilt simply on the ground that he was himself a part owner and explains that just as a sacrificer mistakenly believing masa particles as mudga ones loses the fruit of the sacrifice, so the concealer of joint property is guilty of wrong. The Vyavahāraprakāśa (p. 555) and Aparārka p. 732 take the same view, but the Dayabhaga (XII. 11-13) and V. R. (p. 526) are opposed to this (vide H. of Dh Vol. III. p. 636). The Prayascittatativa p. 482 has an elaborate note on this nyāya.

²¹⁷⁰ अस्ति तु प्रकृती बीहिलिङ्घी मन्त्र —स्योनं ते सदनं ... प्रतितिष्ठ बीहीणा मेध सुमनस्यमान इति । इावर on IX. 3. 1 This is ते. वा VII. 7 5, 2-3, तेध means सारभूत

^{2171.} न देवताशिशब्दक्रियमन्यार्थसयोगात् । पू. मी स् VI 3. 18.

^{2172.} प्रतिपिद्धं चाविशेषेण हि तच्छति.। VI. 3. 20. प्रतिपिद्धं च न प्रतिनिधातन्य-मिति । अविशेषण होतदृष्यते — न यज्ञार्हा मापा वरका कोडवाश्रीति । शघर. The सूत्र may be explained as मतिविद्धं मापादिकं न मतिनिधेय यस्मात् अविज्ञेषेण यज्ञसम्बन्धमात्रे निपेध श्रुति: ! The ते. सं V 1. 8, 1 has 'अमेध्या वे मापा ', vide H of Dh vol. III. p. 637 and note 1209 for Jaimini's sutra and the quotation from the Mit.

Another rule is that there can be no substitute for the performer of the sacrifice (VI. 3.21), since (in Jai. III. 7. 18-20) it is laid down that the fruit of the rite belongs to the owner, though after beginning the rite he leaves everything to the priests engaged to perform the rite. The only exception is in the case of sattras (Jai VI. 3, 22) performed by many persons together acting both as performers and as priests.

One important adhikarana is VI. 7. 31-40. There is a Sattra called 'Viśvasrjām-ayanam' which is said to last for 1000 samvatsaras. After referring to Tai. Br. I, 3, 7, 7 and I, 7, 6, 2 (satāyuh purusah) and to the views of Kārsnājini and Lāvukā. yana. Jaimini boldly establishes the conclusion that samuatsara here means day. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II p. 1246 n. 2683 for the Mahābhāsya stating that the Yājnikas in speaking about such sattras only follow the tradition handed down by ancient sages. Medhātithi on Manu I 84 ('vedoktam-āyur-martyānām) has a long note wherein he refers to the viw of Jaimini. quotes 'satāyur vai purusah' and also 'satam-innu sarado anti deva' (Rg. I. 89. 9) and cites the views of other interpreters. The Katyayana Sr. (I. 6. 17-27) discusses this very subject at great length, refers to the differing interpretations of Bharadvāja, Kārsnājini and Laugāksi but ultimately holds that samyatsara means 'day 'here

In the first six chapters of the P. M. S. the procedure of such rites as Darsapurnamasa the details of which are expressly laid down by the Veda has been considered. In the six chapters from the 7th consideration will be given to sacrifices like Aindragna, the procedure of which is not expressly laid down by the Veda. 2173 In the 7th chapter what is considered is whether in the vikrtis (sacrifices that are modifications of the model sacrifices) details have to be added and, if so, which and how many of the details have to be carried out.

The 7th chapter deals with the general question of the extension or transference of details in general (i. e. atidesa in general) to Aindragna and other sacrifices. Atadesa is the

^{2173.} The न्यायरत्नमाला (in G. O. S.) 4th परिच्छेद p. 257 says: एवं तानदी-पदेशिका इसम्बन्धवकारः सवमाणकः पूर्वाध्यायपत्कगोचरः प्रदर्शितः। इदानीमातिदेशिकाष्ट्र-सम्बन्धविधानं मतिपाछते। द्वितिध हि शब्दजातस्पदेशात्मकमतिवेशात्मकं च। दृत्धीमद कर्तकः भिरत्युष्देश , बहुदिदं फर्तस्यमित्यतिदेशः । ', अतिदेशो नाम थे परत्र विहिता धर्मान्नमतीत्याभ्यत्र तेषां देश रं... यथा देवदचायझदचे। भोजिपतम्य इति । श्रोकमम्युदाहरन्ति । प्रकृतात्कर्मणो रस्मास समानेषु कर्मसु । धर्ममदेशो पेन स्पात् सोडातिदेश इति रिधति ॥ इति । स च नाम्ना बचनेन था। बचने पुनर्द्विषिधं प्रस्वक्षञ्चननात्त्रमानिक च । इध्यर on जी. VII, 1. 12

process or method by which the details prescribed in connection with one rite are taken beyond that rite and transferred to another. Sabara quotes from some ancient author a sloka defining atidesa. The sacrifice from which details are transferred is called prakrts (model or Archetype) and the sacrifice to which details are transferred or extended is called vikrti (or Ectype). Atidesa may be provided for by vacana (Vedic text) or by the The first is of two kinds viz. by a direct statement or by an inferential process. For example, as regards a magic sacrifice called Isu the text says, after speaking of some details. that the rest are the same as in 2174 Svena. An instance of an inferential vacana is the extension to the Saurva, sacrifice of the details of the Agneya in Darsapürnamäsa, because both are very closely connected and because no details are prescribed by the text about 'Sauryayaga' (PMS VII. 4. 1). The name also is two-fold, viz. name of a rite and name of a samskāra. The Māsāgnihotra prescribed (vide PMS II. 3. 24) in Kundapāyinām-ayana is a different rite from the obligatory Agnihotra (as in 'vāvanivam-agnihotram juhuyāt') as proved above, but the name 'agnihotra' being common to both, the details of the usual Agnihotra (such as milking the cow, offering curds or milk, using a Khadira fuel stick &c.) are to be extended to Māsāgnihotra (Jai. VII. 3 1-4). Extension due to samskāra name is instanced in Jai.VII. 3 12-15. In the Varunapraghasa (one of the caturmasyas), Avabhrtha (bath) is prescribed, but no details are added and therefore the requisite details are to be taken from the rules about the Avabhrtha in Somavaga. 2175

Atidesa is frequently resorted to by smrtis and digests. For example, Yāj. I. 236 and 242 extend the procedure of Pindapitryajāa as regards *Agnaukarana* and offering of pindas to the Pārvanaśrāddha. The Parāšarasmrti (VII. 18-19) speaks 2176

^{2174.} अस्तीषुर्णाम एकाहः। अपर इयेनः। ती द्वावच्याभिन्वारिकी तत्रेषी काश्चिद्धर्माः न्विधायाह् समानमितरच्छचेनेनेति। शबर on VII. 1 13, समा ... नेन is आप. औ. 22. 7 18.

^{2175.} तथावभूथ, सोमात्। जै VII. 3. 12; वरुणमधासेषु श्रूयते। वारुण्या निष्कासेन तुर्वेश्वावभूथं पन्तीति। यथा पडहारपुष्टानामतिदेश एवं सामिकादवभूषादिह धर्मातिदेश। छतः। अभिदित्तो न्यायः। उक्तं क्रियाभिधानं तन्द्र्युतावन्यत्र विधिष्रदेशः स्यात्-द्रति। उक्तं ... स्यात् अभिदित्तो न्यायः। उक्तं क्रियाभिधानं तन्द्र्युतावन्यत्र विधिष्रदेशः स्यात्-द्रति। उक्तं ... स्यात् असे VII. 3. 1.

^{2176.} प्रथमेऽहनि चण्डाली हितीये बहाघातिनी। तृतीये रजकी प्रोक्ता चतुर्थेऽहनि छुप्पति॥ पराञ्चर VII. 18–19, on which परा. मा. (vol. II. 1. pp. 168–169) remarks 'चण्डाल्यादिगमने यावाच् प्रत्यवापस्तावाद्धदक्यागमने इत्यभिप्रत्य तैर्नामभित्येवहारः। यथा कुण्डपायिनामपने मासमग्निहोत्रं छुहोति इत्यग्निहोत्रनामनिर्देशेन नित्याग्निहोत्रधर्मा तेरेडास्तहत्। ।

of a woman in her monthly illness as a cāndāli on the first day of the illness, as the murderess of a brāhmana on the 2nd day and as a washerwoman on the 3rd day. The Par. M. remarks that the intention of calling such a woman by these names is to convey that intercourse with her will result in the same sin as would be incurred by a person of higher classes if he had intercourse with a cāndālī &cc. For an instance of express extension, vide V. M. pp 56-57 when it quotes a verse of Pitāmaha on the balance ordeal (in which the word 'yūpavat' is used) and remarks that atideśa is prescribed expressly by the word 'yūpavat'.

The 8th chapter deals with specific cases of extension (atideśa). The Darśapūrnamāsa is the prakrti of all 217 1sts and 'Darśapūrnamāsābhyām yajeta' is called vidhyādi and vidhyanta is the whole procedure of Daršapūrnamāsa (except the originative injunction 'darśapūrnamāsyābhyām yajeta) detailed in the Brāhmanas about the offering of purodāśa (cake) &c. In the Vikrtiyāga called Saurya 2178 the sentence 'one who desires to secure the lustre of Vedic learning should offer boiled rice to the Sun' is the vidhyādi, but no details are mentioned there. There is an expectation of some procedure and, though there are numerous vidhyantas in relation to sacrifices, the special word 'murapati' is indicative of the procedure of Daršapūrnamāsa (in which also there is nirāāpa) and one comes to understand that the Saurya caru is offered as in Āgneya (the first rite in

^{2177.} Vedic sacrifices are usually divided for convenience into three varieties, viz. Isti (in which offerings are of milk, clarified butter, rice, barley and other grains), Paśu and Soma and the latter again into Ekāha (lasting for one day like Agnistoma), Ahūna (lasting for more than one day up to 12 days) and Sattra (lasting from 12 days to a year or more) Sabara on PMS IV. 4. 20 states that there are four Mahāyajūas, viz. Agnihotra, Darśapūrnamāsa, Jyotistoma, Pindapitryajūa. There are seven Soma sacrifices as stated by Gaut Dh S. VIII. 18. Besides these Srauta rites, there are other rites prescribed in the grhyasūtras, which are offered in grhya fire and seven important ones from which are called pākayajūas. Vide H. of Dh. Vol II. pp. 193—194.

²¹⁷⁸ विस्त्रतो या प्रकृतिबद्धोदनायां प्रतंत तथाहि लिङ्गदर्शनम्। पू भी सू. VII. 4. 10, वेदेपि दर्शपृणेनासारनां यजेतेति विस्तादिः। विस्त्रतीऽपि प्रधानविधिवजितं कुरस्यं पीराटाशिक बाह्मणम्, तेन समेतोऽपं विस्त्रादिविशिष्टापूर्वनिर्द्शीर मति पुरुषं प्रवर्तयति। तस्त्रान्तिः। शुन्तरः। शुन्तरः ०० पू भी, सू VIII 1 2 शब्द explains: विस्त्रत्तापेसो यो बेह्नते विस्त्रान्तिः मीपि यदिनेत विस्त्रतनाहु सुद्धां संनिदितेनैक मान्यतां पास्यति। यथा सीपि पर्द निर्मेद्दाना वर्षाया सार्वित। यथा सीपि पर्द निर्मेद्दाना वर्षाया होति। तत्र कथनिति विस्त्रन्ति। विस्त्रता सार्वित। पार्यति। पार्यति। पर्वा सीपि विस्त्रता द्वित। तत्र कथनिति विस्त्रन्ति। विस्त्रता सार्वित। पर्वा सीपि विस्त्रता सार्वित। वर्षायोगिना लिङ्गन सदीयो विस्त्रता सार्वित।

Darśapūrnamāsa). The details of the prakrti are to be performed in all other *istis* along with the few details that may have been stated expressly as to some.

The Jyotistoma is the prakrti of all soma sacrifices of one day and of Dyādaśāhā and its details are performed in all modifications of soma sacrifices such as Atırātra. The Aguisomiya is the prakrti of all sacrifices in which a paśu (animal) is to be sacrificed, the details of which are to be performed in all vikrtis of paśuyāgas. Dyādaśāha is of two kinds, Ahīna and Sattra and is the prakrtı of all Ahīna sacrifices like Dyirātra, Trirātra up to Śatarātra; and Dyādaśāha of the sattra type is the model of all sattras. Gavām-ayana is the prakrti of all sacrifices like Adityānām-ayana. Darvihomas are sur juris and are not prakrtis or vikrtis of any other sacrifices. All these are considered in the 8th chapter.

The 9th chapter deals with $\overline{u}ha$ (adaptation). When applying the principle of atideta certain alterations and adaptations are necessary in the matter of mantras, sāmans and samskāras. The word $\overline{u}ha$ ordinarily means only tarka or $vic\overline{u}ran\overline{u}$ (reasoning), yet in PMS it has a special meaning. 2179

The Agneya is the prakrti in which the nirvāpa (offering) is to be made with the words 'I offer to Agni what is liked by him'; in the Sauryayāga, which is a vikrti of Agneya, the offering has to be made with the words 'I offer to Sūrya what is liked by him.' In the Vājapeya we read 'he offers to Brhaspati nirvāpa grains cooked on seventeen pans'. The Vājapeya is a modification of Daršapūrnamāsa in which the grains of rice are sprinkled with water; therefore sprinkling has to be done on nīvāra grains also (PMS IX. 2. 40). On the 2nd and following days of the Jyotistoma sacrifice there is recitation of the Subrahmanyā litany 2180 addressed to Indra by the Subrahmanya priest, beginning with the words 'Indra āgaccha, hariva āgaccha, Medhātither-mesa &c'. In the Agnistut sacrifice also there is

^{2179.} यद्यपि चोहराब्दरतर्कमात्रवचनस्तथाप्यस्मिन् शास्त्रेऽन्यथावगताना पदार्थानाम-र्यथाभावर्विपयो यसर्के स ऊहराब्देनोच्यते। न्या र मा, 4th परिच्छेद p. 263, vide न्यायसूत्र I. 1. 40 'अविज्ञाततन्त्रेऽथे कारणोपपत्तितस्तन्त्रज्ञानार्थसृहस्तर्कः '.

²¹⁸⁰ तथा मेघातियेर्नेष इत्येवमादि इतिहासवचनमिव प्रतिमाति इतिहासे च विधी सति आदिमत्ता दोषो वेवस्य प्रसञ्चेत। .. तस्माचिते ग्रुणा इन्द्रे विद्यन्त इत्यसमेतवचनत्वादविकारेण अयोग इति तदेतक रोच्यपन्ते पाझिका । ऊर्ह कुर्वन्ति । अग्र आगन्छ रोहिताम्ब शृहज्ञानी भूमकेतो जातवेद्दो विन्वर्षण इति । ज्ञाचर ०० पू. मी. सू IX 1, 44,

Subrahmanyā-nigada 2181 addressed to Agni. In reciting it the word 'Agne' is substituted for 'Indra': but the following words 'Hariya agaccha' is are not to be changed and are to be recited. as they are attributes which may be predicated of Agni also (PMS IX. I. 42-44). The principle deduced by the Mimāmsakas seems to be that, only if the words in the original mantra cannot at all be extended to the modified yaga as they stand. then $\bar{u}ha$ may have to be resorted to but not otherwise. Sabara however, notes that the 'yājnikas perform wha (i.e. adapt them by suitable changes) i. e. they recite 'agne agaccha rohitasva brhad-bhano' etc.). It is noteworthy that, according to PMS II. 1.34 and Sabara thereon, a mantra as adapted ($\bar{u}hita$) is not called a mantra, since only those are mantras 2182 that are recognized as such by the learned. In the Darsapurnamasa when the priest takes out four handfuls of grains and puts them in a winnowing basket he repeats over three of the handfuls the mantra which literally means 'at the command of God Savitr. with the arms of Asvins and with the hands of Pūsan I take out for Agni thee that art dear (to him)'. 2183 The PMS holds (in IX. 1. 35-37) that the words Savitr. Pūsan, Aśvin are not to be changed by uha in the modifications of Darsapurnamasa where the deity to which the offering is to be made is not Agni. Sabara assigns rather far-fetched meanings to the words Savitr. Asvins and Pusan (as in the note below) and says that they are meant to eulogize the laying aside of the handfuls of grains for offering. There is another interesting case where there is no

²¹⁸¹ Vide H. of Dh. Vol II. pp. 1144-1146 and note 2550 for the Subrahmanyā-nigada and its meaning.

²¹⁸² अनाम्नातेष्वमन्त्रत्वमाम्नातेषु हि विभागः। पू मी. सू II. 1 34; ऊहमवरनाम-धेपेषु सशपः—मन्त्रा उत नेति। अभिधायकत्वानमन्त्रा इति माप्ते ब्रूमः। अनाम्नातेषु मन्त्रत्वं न स्यादभिधायकेष्यपि। नाभिधायकत्व मन्त्रत्वे हेतु.। किं तर्हि। अभियुक्तप्रयोगः। येऽभियुक्तिमन्त्रा इति मोस्यस्ते न ते मस्त्राः। न चैवमाद्ययो मन्त्रसमाम्नाये सन्ति। तस्त्राद्यमन्त्राः। प्रयोजनं मन्त्रे भ्रष्टे यत्मायश्चित्तममन्त्रेषु तक्त्र। श्रवर

^{2163.} स्तो दर्शपूर्णमासी । सत्र निर्वापमन्तः । देवस्य त्वा स्रवितः प्रसंवेऽभ्विनीर्वोह्यस्यं पूर्णो एसाम्प्रास्त्रये ज्ञष्ट निर्वपामीति । ज्ञावर on IX 1.36, देवस्य ... निर्वपामि occurs in ते स 11 6 4 1. देवस्य स्रवितः प्रसंवे-देवेन स्रित्रा यजमानेन प्रस्ते, उदिते वा स्रवितरि । अभिवनोर्याद्रम्यां परिक्रयमभियत्य यजमानवीर्यम्यत्यां हम्पास् । अभ्विनोर्याद्रम्याचेष्टम्यत्यां । त्राप्ति । देवताच्चर्योऽभ्विन्यत्ते, अशिनवन्ती वा तयज्ञकरमञ्जूवते। त्वस्याद्र द्वति स्वी चातः व्यवदिशति । देवताच्चर्योऽभ्विन्यत्ते। चात्र एत्यादे । त्वस्य विवर्षप्रकाशनार्था । ज्ञावर on 13 1 37. The words 'परिक्रयमभियायः refer to the fact that the priest adhrary is engaged by the yajamāna as agent for a payment of fee and therefore adhrary arms are yajamāna's arms.

ūha. In the Darśapūrnamāsa there is a praisa (direction) 'set down ²¹⁸⁴ the water for sprinkling, put down the fuel sticks and the bunch of kuśa grass, clean the sruc and śruva ladles, gird up the wife (of the sacrificer) and come out with clarified butter'. Suppose the sacrificer has two or more wives. Still the singular 'patnīm' was to be used and not the dual or plural either in the model itself (IX, 3, 20) or in any modification thereof (IX, 3, 21). Dharmaśāstra works make use of *ūha*. The Visnudharmasūtra provides that one should perform Srāddha of one's maternal grand-father and the latter's two male ancestors in a similar way by the ūha of the mantra. ²¹⁸⁵ The mantra in the case of the śrāddha of male ancestors is 'Śundhantām pitarah' (Āp Śr I. 7. 13), which should be changed into 'Śundhantām mātāmahāh', vide Mit, on Yāj, I. 254 and, H. of Dh. Vol. IV p. 513 n. 1142a.

When in a sacrifice boiled rice is to be offered the mantra is 'syonam...vrihīnām medha sumanasyamānah' (vide pp. 1298-99 n. 2125 above). If boiled rice is destroyed or not available and nīvāra grains are substituted, there is no ūha as 'nīvārānām medha' but the words 'vrīhīnām medha' are to be retained (PMS IX. 3. 23-26), because, as stated in PMS VI. 3. 27 (sāmānyam tac-cikīrsā hì), nīvāras are substituted on account of the similarity of parts of boiled nīvāras with the parts of rice grains.

In the third and fourth pādas of the 9th chapter there are twelve adhikaranas about the adhrigu-praisa recited by the Hotr in Pasubandha. Vide H. of Dh Vol II p. 1121, note 2504 for that praisa. There is ūha to be made about certain words therein and PMS explains some of the unfamiliar and difficult words in that text.

The tenth chapter is the longest chapter in the PMS, having eight $p\bar{a}das$ and 577 sutras (i. e. more than one-fifth of

²¹⁸⁴ अपूर्वे त्वविकारोऽप्रदेशात्मतीयेत। पू मी सू IX 3 20, दर्शपूर्णमासयोराममनित । प्रोक्षणीरासाद्येध्मावार्ष्टिरुपसाद्य सुर्च च सुवश्च संमृह्यि पत्नी सनह्याज्येनोदेहीति । शबर on IX. 3. 20 The words प्रोक्षणी .. देहीति occur in आप श्री सू, II 3 11 This is ते जा III. 2 9.14. Then शबर proceeds तत्र पत्नी संनह्यति चित्रयते। अस्ति हि यज्ञान एकपत्नीको बहुपत्नीकश्च । .. इदं तु सन्दिह्यते । कि द्विपत्नीको बहुपत्नीके च प्रयोग कहितस्य पत्नीशब्द उत नेति । .. एवं प्राप्ते जमा। अपूर्वे तु अप्रकृतिपूर्वके कर्मणि अविकारण प्रयुज्येत ।. The next sutra is विभूती चापि तद्वचनात् । IX. 3. 21.

^{2185.} मातामहानामप्येवं श्राद्धं क्रुर्योद्धिचक्षणः। मन्त्रोहेण यथान्यायं शेषाणां मन्त्र-वर्षितम् ॥ विष्णुधर्मसूत्र 75. 8.

the total number of sutras) just as the third (with 363 sutras) and the 6th (with 349 sutras) have eight podas each. This chapter is concerned with $b\bar{a}dha$ (annulment or exclusion) and abhuuccava or samuccava which is the exact opposite or antithesis of badha. The general rule is that the details of the orakrtiyāga (model sacrifice) should be taken over in the vikrti (modification). But in some cases the vikrti-yaga 2156 has a different name, some of the samskaras (purificatory and embellishing acts) and some of the substances (draya) employed in the prakrti cannot be extended to the vikrtis. because there are express texts negativing their employment or because they serve no purpose or have become useless or senseless. Sabara states that badha occurs when an idea or knowledge determined for certain as this type or that has to be understood as wrong owing to some (special) reason while abhyuccaya (addition or combination) occurs when even after we have the knowledge that certain matters are to be extended to the viketi there is the further idea that a few others also are to be additional in the vikrti.

The Mai. S prescribes that one desirous ²¹⁸⁷ of long life should offer a lump of one hundred *krsnalas* (golden pieces shaped as grains of rice) heated in clarified butter. But no pounding (axaghāta, threshing) is to be done in this, as the grains being made of gold, there is no chaff which can be separated by pounding (X I. 1-3). Similarly, no upastarana ²¹⁸⁸ (pouring of clarified butter) and abhighārana (the subsequent pouring of clarified butter) are to be done, since in the model sacrifice these two are done for making the oblation to have a sweet flavour (X. 2 3-11). A caru of rice has to be boiled (i. e. the heat of fire is to be applied to it). In the same way the golden pieces are to be heated in clarified butter by fire (X. 2. 1-2). The golden pieces have to be sucked like sugarcane pieces (X. 2. 13-16), because they cannot be eaten while in the

²¹⁸⁶ पाधे नाम यदेवेदमिति निश्चितं विज्ञानं कारणान्तरेण मिडयेति कल्प्यते । तथा, अम्युज्ञयो पदिदानिष्ट भवतीति विज्ञातेऽपरमपि भवतीति विज्ञानम् । ज्ञावर on X. 1 1, अपि याऽभिधानसंरकारङ्क्यमर्थं क्रियेत तादर्श्यात् । यू सी. सू X. 1 2, नैतद्दितः सर्व प्रास्तुने विज्ञती कर्नायमिति । प्रविद्विभधानमस्कारङ्क्यं निर्वातितुमर्दति यहुतार्थम् । ज्ञुत एतत् । अभिधान-सरकारङ्क्यं निर्वातितुमर्दति यहुतार्थम् । ज्ञुत एतत् । अभिधान-सरकारङ्क्यं निर्वातितुमर्दति यहुतार्थम् । ज्ञुत एतत् । अभिधान-सरकारङ्क्यं विच्योजने सित क्रियते नामयोजनम् । ज्ञावर

^{2187,} प्राज्ञापाय पूर्व चर्च निरिषयातकृष्णसमायुष्कामः। मै. सं II. 2. 2. प्रायः वि. p. 37 refers to the absence of threshing to the matter of कृष्णसs.

²¹⁸⁸ Fo- उपस्तरण and अभिधारण, vide H of Db. vol 11. p. 528 a 1233,

model sacrifice $id\bar{a}$ and $pr\bar{a}sitra^{2189}$ are actually eaten. In a magic rite like syena reeds are spread on the ground and not kuśa grass (as in the model sacrifice). This bādha is due to a special text. 2190 The general rule in vedic sacrifices is that priests have to be chosen and daksina is to be paid to them at the end but sattras are an exception, since in sattras all are priests as well as performers (valamanas). exclusion of varana (choosing) is due to the fact that in the other sacrifices the yajamana and priests are different and the latter are engaged for fee. There is a visible purpose in selecting or engaging priests, 2191 but in a sattra where ex hypothesi all are yajamānas and priests as well, there is no visible purpose in going through the ceremony of choosing priests (rtvigvarana).

One example of samucoaya may be given. In the Vajapeya (which is said to be a form of Jyotistoma according to PMS III. 7. 50-51) seventeen animals are to be sacrificed. In the model sacrifice (i.e. Jvotistoma) also there are certain animals to be offered. The question is whether there is badha of the pasus prescribed in the prakrtiyaga or whether there is combination (samuccaya). The conclusion is that there is samuccaya (X. 4.6), because in the Tai, Br. there occur passages like this-"The Brahmavadins say 'why is it that all sacrificial rites are contained in Vaianeva'? He should reply 'by means of pasus, viz. he sacrifices an animal to Agni. thereby he contains Agnistoma, he contains the Ukthya &c." This shows that he has to sacrifice also other animals besides the seventeen. 2192 The Mit.

^{2189.} For ida, vide vol. II p. 1065 n 2382 and for prasitra, vol II. p. 1039 n 2339, स्पाद्वा निर्धानदर्शनात्। पू भी सू X. 2. 14, स्पाद्वा हिरण्यस्य भक्षः। भक्षणविशेषदर्शनात्। निरवधयन्तो भक्षयन्ति चुच्छुपाकारं भक्षयन्तीति। माते भक्षे केवलं भक्षोपाय विधास्यति शब्द इति । शबर, पूर्ववाक्ये कृष्णलानां प्राधान्यादाज्यस्य च तदङ्खात् तेपामेव भक्षणवाक्येऽन्वय । तेषा चानदनीयानामपि प्रदानवद्भक्षणमपि बच्चनाद्भविष्यतीति। तेषामेव चुन्छ्वाकरणविशिष्टं भक्षण विधीयते। शास्त्रदीपिका चुन्छ्या 18 an onomatopoetic word for making a smacking sound when sucking juice

²¹⁹⁰ सोमारीडं चर्च निर्वपेत्कृष्णाना ब्रीहीणामभिचरन श्रुसम्यं चर्हिर्भवति वैभीदक इध्मः। शुणादिति शरमयं वहिर्भवति। मै स II 1 6 and पू मी स X 4, 1-2

वरणमृत्विजामानमनार्थत्वात्सत्रे न स्यात् स्वकर्मत्वात्। पू. मी. सू 🐰 2 34. वरणं ऋत्विजां सत्रेषु न स्थात्। न कर्तव्यमिति। स्वार्थे हि तेषा कर्म। न च कश्चिदात्मान वृणीते । मकुतौ च आनमनार्थ वरणं दृष्टप्रयोजनमिति न शक्यमदृष्ट कल्पयितुम् । तस्मारसत्रेपु वरणं न स्यात् । शबर. आनमन means 'submission or obedience to another's direction.'

²¹⁹² प्राजापत्येषु चाम्नानात्। पू. भी सू. X. 4. 6; ज्ञावर quotes the passage ' महावादिनो पदन्ति . एतावन्तो वै यज्ञकतवः , तान् पद्मभिरवावकन्धे । (तै. मा I, 3. 4) and remarks 'इति ससुच्चयं दर्शयति '.

on Yāj, III. 243 furnishes examples of samuccaya in the matter of expiations.

The Tantravārtika (on PMS III. 3. 14 pp. 859-860) collects together about three dozen cases of $b\bar{a}dha$ in general not dependent on either the conflict between sruti, linga &c. or not falling under the bādha instanced above. They are 'inference and such appearances as mirage are dispelled by or invalidated by direct perception, deceptive means of knowledge by the six means of knowledge, smrti by sruti, a contradictory and untrustworthy smrti by a trustworthy and uncontradicted smrti, a smrti with a visible purpose by another with an unseen purpose &c.'.

There is in the 10th chapter an important topic about daksınā (sacrificial fees). In X. 2. 22-28 it is established that daksinā is to be given not for an unseen purpose (adrsta) but for engaging the priests to perform rites required in sacrifices. In III. 81-2 it is established that the yajamāna (the svāmin) is to engage priests for sacrifices except where a vedic text expressly directs otherwise (as in Tai. S. V. 2, 8, 2). In X. 3. 39 the items of $daks_i n\bar{a}$ are set out from Tandya XVI. 1, 10-11; it is held that the words 'dvādašašatam daksinā' mean 2193 that the cows are to be 112 (X. 3 39, 49) and in X. 3. 50 it is provided that the yajamana should himself distribute the fees and X. 3 55 sets out the method of distribution. All priests are not to receive equal shares. The four principal priests are hotr. adhvaryu, 2194 udgātr and brahmā and each of these four have three assistants arranged in a certain order set out in the note below. Suppose there are one hundred cows to be distributed

^{2193.} अस्ति ज्योतिष्टोम', ज्योतिष्टोमेन स्वर्गकामो पजेतेति । तत्रैवसाम्रायते । गौश्राश्व-श्वाश्वतरश्च गर्दभश्वाञाश्वाचपश्च त्रीष्ट्यश्च पवाश्च तिलाश्च मापाश्च । तस्य ह्वाद्दशशतं दक्षिणा । इावर on X. 3. 39. There is great divergence of views about the dalsina to be given, vide H. of Dh. vol II p 1188.

^{2194.} ऐति मैनावरणोऽरहावाको प्रावस्तुत्, अध्यक्षः भितप्रस्थाता नेहोकेता, मह्मा प्राप्तणारहंसी आग्रीप्त. पीता, उद्दाता मस्तीता पतिहर्ता सन्नह्मण्य-हृति। The four principal priests are underlined, three assistants come after each principal one. The assistants immediately after all four principals are called aid'inali (they get half of their principal, they being मैनावरण, भित्रस्थाता, नासणारहासिन् and they for thirds in each group of four constitute what are called tribyinali (they get ¿ of what their principals get, they being अरहामकः, दा, आग्रीधः, भिरुत्ता); the last in each of the four groups are called padinah (they get one-fourth of what their principals get, they being भावस्तुत्, उसेता, पीता and सुम्हामण्य) Vide H. of Dh. vol. II pp. 1188-1189 and vol. III. p. 459 for distribution of fees among sacrificial priests.

as fee. Each group of four is assigned 1/4 i, e. 25 for hota and his assistants, the hota getting 12 and the other three getting respectively 6, 4, and 3 i. e. they get respectively half, 1/3 and 1/4 of what their principal gets. The same method applies to the other three groups. The prima facie 2195 view is that there should be an equal distribition of the fee (cows) as struti does not lay down any unequal distribution; this is not accepted and it is proposed that the fee should be distributed in proportion to the work done by each. The established conclusion is that both the views are unacceptable and that the distribution should be in accordance with the meaning of the words 'ardhinah, 2196 trtlyinah and pādinah' employed by Śruti.

Manu (VIII 210) mentions the method of the distribution of fees in Vedic sacrifices outlined above and extends its principles of division to men working jointly on such undertakings as the construction of houses &c. ²¹⁹⁷ Though the sūtra (samam syād-aśrutivāt) is only the pūrvapaksa view and not accepted as to the distribution of the cows as fees in Vedic sacrifices, it has been frequently followed as an equitable rule by medieval Dharmaśāstra writers. The Sm. C. relies on it about the liability of sureties (II. p 152), about equal shares between father and sons in partition (II. p. 260) and II. 285 (distribution of yautaka among unmarried daughters), II. 404. Kullūka on Manu III. 1 (where 36 years are laid down as the period of studentship for mastering the three Vedas) states, relying on the sūtra 'samam' &c. that thirty-six years should be equally distributed over the three Vedaśākhās. The Madanaratna (on Vyavahāra) p. 202

^{2195.} समं स्यादश्चितित्वात्। अपि वा कर्मनेषम्यात्। अतुरुयीः स्युः परिक्रये विषमारुवा विधिश्चतौ परिक्रयास्त्र कर्मण्युपपणते दर्शनाद्विशेषस्य तथाम्युद्वये। पू भी. सू X, 3.53-55.

^{2196.} अध्यर्धे गृहपतिं दीक्षयित्वा ब्रह्माणं दीक्षयति तत उदातारं ततो होतारम्। ततस्तं मित्रमस्थाता दीक्षयित्वा अधिनो दीक्षयति।... ततस्त नेष्टा दीक्षयित्वा तृतियिनो दीक्षयति।... ततस्त नेष्टा दीक्षयित्वा त्याप्तिः। द्वावर on V. I. 1 and also on X. 3. 55. Vide आप. श्री. स् XXI. 2. 16-20 for a similar passage.

^{2197.} सर्वेषामधिनो सुख्यास्तद्वधेनाधिनोऽपरे।... अनेन विधियोगेन कर्तव्यांशमकत्पना।
मञ्ज VIII. 210-211: एतत्तत्तद्वशपरिकल्पनिधानं तस्य द्वाद्वशस्तं दक्षिणिति क्रतुसम्बन्धः
मान्नेण विद्वितायां दक्षिणायां न तु ऋत्यिग्धिशेषसम्बन्धिन विदितायाम्। अर्थ्यं द्व्यापिविदी
राख्ये द्वित तत्मितिपाद्वकश्चतिविशोधापत्तेः। मद्वनरस्त (च्या॰) pp. 202-203 मद्वनरस्त adds
(p. 204) पश्चन्द्वाद्वी विषमविभागो नोक्त हति तन्न साम्येन दक्षिणाविभागः। .. If there be
112 cows, 28 cows are to be assigned to each of four धर्मेड (श्राः होतुष्मी,
अध्यर्थुवर्ग, उद्गानुवर्ग and ब्रह्मवर्ग); then होतुवर्ग share is to be divided into 25 and
होतु is to get 12 out of 25 parts and his assistants 6, 4, 3 respectively
1. e the shares would approximately be 13, 6, 5, 4 (in 28 cows).

quotes both verses of Manu (VIII. 210–11), then quotes Manu VIII. 210 (on p. 213) and explains and then sets out the distribution of the daksinā if it was 112 cows. The Vyahāraprakāśa pp. 443 and 548 (on division of mother's yautaka strīdhana by unmarried daughters) refer to the rule of equal division. Most of these works (as printed) read the sūtra as 'samam syādaśrutatvāt' and not as Jaimini and Śabara read.

The eleventh chapter deals with tantra which embraces cases where one act serves the purpose of several other acts or rites. 2193 For example, there are three yagas viz. purodaśa (cake) baked on eight potsherds for Agni, curds for Indra and milk for Indra: one performance of the Pravaias serves these three (XI. 1. 5-19 and XI. 1. 29-37). Adhana (establishment of the sacred fires) is to be done only once and is not to be repeated in each isti, pasuyāga or somayāga (XI. 3. 2); the utensils required for Śrauta rites are prepared only once and are to be kept till the death of the sacrificer (XI. 3. 34-42). 2199 All these are cases of tantra. The general rule is that the place, time and the performer as regards all principal matters in one composite rite such as Agneya and others in Darsapürnamāsa are one (XI. 2.1) and also that they are the same for angas (details): but in the case of the angas (details) the place, time and the performer may be different on account of express texts.

If the fruit or result (phala) follows from all the component sacrifices collectively, then the subsidiary details have to be

^{2198.} यत्सकुत्कृतं बहुनासुपक्तरोति तत् तत्विमित्युच्यते यथा बहुनां ब्राह्मणानां मध्ये कृत प्रदीपः। यस्तावृत्त्योपक्ररोति स आवापः। यथा तेपामेव ब्राह्मणानामछुठोपनम्। श्रीक्रमप्युदाहर्शनि-साधारणं भवेचत्वं परार्थे त्वप्रयोजकः। एवमेव प्रसङ्घः स्याहिद्यमाने स्वके विधा । शयर on Al. 1.1; against repeating such acts as प्रयाजक, श्वर remarks 'येन सफ्रत्कृतेन नीपकृतं स युनः क्रियमाण उपक्षिरिच्यतीति काञ्चा।' on XI. 1.30, even the Mahābbāsya of Patanjah mentions this rule, on वार्तिक 4 (तज्ञावयवे शास्त्रार्थ-संग्रत्ययो पथा लोके) on पा VI. 1.84 it remarks 'पथा लोके वसन्ते ब्राह्मणोऽग्रीनाद्योतित सफ्रदाधाय छत. शास्त्रार्थ इति छत्वा युनः प्रवृत्तिनं भवति। पथा गर्भाष्टमे ब्राह्मण उपनेय इति सफ्रदुपनीय छतः शास्त्रार्थ इति छत्वा युनः प्रवृत्तिनं भवति। यथा गर्भाष्टमे ब्राह्मण उपनेय इति सफ्रदुपनीय छतः शास्त्रार्थं इति छत्वा युनः प्रवृत्तिनं भवति।

^{2199.} यज्ञायुधानि धार्यरम् मतिपत्तिविधानाहजीववत्। पू. भी. सू X1. 3 34. The Yedic text is आहिनाग्निमिमिनिवहन्ति पञ्चापानेश्व, Ten पञ्चायुध्य are enumerated in त. स. I. 6 8. 2-3 (रुपथ्य कपालानि च etc.). For these and other utensils required in Yedic sacrifices, vide H of Dh vol II. p. 985, n 2233, vide also पू. भी सू. XI 3. 43-45 which establish that the Yajñapātras are to be kept from the day of Agnyādheya and that placing them on the body of the sacrificer after his death is pratipatitearma of the utensils and of the sacred fires, Vide हायर on जे. XI 3, 45.

performed only once and not separately in the case of each component; this is tantra. But if the result follows from each of the component sacrifices separately, then the subsidiary details should be performed with each one of those components. That would be a case of āvāpa (decentralisation or scattering). In the Daršapūrnamāsa there are really two sets 2200 of sacrifices, one called Darša (on amāvāsyā) and the other Pūrnamāsa. The subsidiaries prescribed for each are almost the same. Yet they have to be repeated with each of the two sets, the principal reason being that the two are performed on two different days separated by a fortnight, though the two sets together make one single sacrifice leading to a single fruit. Vide PMS XI, 2, 12–18 which is a case of āvāpa.

The Avesti is a sacrifice which is performed as part of the composite sacrifice called Rājasūya that could be performed only by Ksatriyas. It is also an independent sacrifice that could be performed by any one of the three higher varnas. This is no part of the Rājasūya and is different from it, though the passage about it occurs in the midst of the description of Rājasūya. 2201 This is relied upon by the Nirnayasindhu in connection with the Navarātra from Āśvina 1st to 9th of the bright half. There were different views, viz. Devlpūjā was to be performed for 9 days or on 8th or 9th tithi (vide above p. 154). The Kālikāpurāna quotes 2202 a verse about Devlpūjā on the 8th or 9th alone of Āśvina (śukla) and the N. S. interprets it as a separate worship on 8th or 9th alone distinct from the whole Navarātra.

²²⁰⁰ On XI 2.15 हाबर says 'अपि वा न तन्त्रमङ्गानि स्यु. । क्रुतः कर्मपृथक्तात् । विषां च तन्त्रविधानात् । कर्माणि ताबदेतानि भिषानि अन्यः पौर्णमासः सम्रदायोन्य आमाः वास्यः । एवं सर्वत्र । तेवा च देशकालभेदः । पौर्णमास्या पौर्णमास्या पजेतेत्वेवमादिः साङ्गानां च तेवा तत्र तत्र देशकालविधः । तस्मात्यौर्णमास्यङ्गानां पौर्णमासीकालः । अमावास्याङ्गानाम-मावास्याकालः । तत्र गृह्यते विहोच । विहोचग्रहणाद्भेदः । ?.

^{2201.} अवेष्टी यज्ञसंपोगात्कत्तुमधानग्रन्थते। पू मी सू II. 3, 3; अस्ति राजस्यः। राजा राजस्येन यजेतिति। तं प्रकृत्यामनन्ति—अवेष्टिं नामेष्टिम्। आग्नेपोऽष्टाकपाली हिएयं दक्षिणा इत्येवमादि। ता प्रकृत्य विधीयते। यदि बाह्मणो यजेत बाईस्पत्यं मध्ये निधायाद्वृतिः माद्वृतिं हुत्वाभिधारयेत्। यदि राजन्य ऐन्द्रं यदि वैश्यो वैश्वदेवम् - इति। शबर; for यदि बाह्मणो ... वैश्वदेवम् vide आप अते. 18, 21. 11. The सूत्र 'अवेष्टी यज्ञसयोगात्' may be expanded as follows 'अवेष्टी यदि बाह्मण इत्यादिकं बाह्मणादिकद्विकमयोगात्तरप्रधानं स्यादेकस्यैव क्षात्रियस्य राजस्ययम् संयोगात्, then come अवेष्टी चैकतन्त्रयं स्याहिद्धवर्दानात्। प्रचा सूर्यास्तानामसंयोगेन। करवर्धायामिति चेक्स वर्णसंयोगात्। प्रमी सू. XI. 4. 8-10.

^{2202.} एकाहपक्षोपि कालिकापुराणे-यस्त्वेकस्यामधाष्टम्यां नवम्यामध साधकः। पूजवे-द्वरदा देवीं महाविभवविस्तरेः ॥ इति। तस्त तु राजसूचेन्ययानैः समप्रधानायाः सिंहताया अध्यवेदेरेतयाकाध्यकामं पाजयेदित्येकात्वान्मध्ये विधानाञ्च पथा फलार्थो विहः प्रयोगस्तधा नवराञ्चमध्यस्थाया अष्टम्या नवम्या वा फलार्थः पृथकप्रयोगः। निृ सि p. 164.

The same adhikarana where the Pürvapaksa proposes that Rāiā means any one of any varua who rules over a kingdom and offers protection to the country and its cities, the siddhanta (PMS and Sabara) holds that 'rājā' is a word expressive of a caste viz. Ksatriya, and this is referred to by several late Dharmaśāstra works like the Rajadharmakaustubha (p. 5). The V. P. refers to this adhikarana and interprets the verse of Nārada viz. 'one who is an apostate from the order of ascetics would become the slave of the king' as providing that even a ksatriya apostate would have to be the slave even of a vaisya ruler, though the word 'rājā' in the primary sense means a ksatriya yet in a secondary sense (laksanā) any one who protects subjets could be called raja. The Par. M. discusses this adhikarana at great length (I. 1. pp. 449-55). It may be noticed that the meaning of 'rafa' as ksatriya in early texts changed to any ruler of any class who protects the country and people ruled over by him. This change is brought out briefly in Tantravartika on III, 5, 26.

The 12th chapter deals with the topics of prasange, vikalpa and the like. Prasanga occurs when something done in one place is helpful in another place also, just as when a lamp is lighted in a mansion it illumines the public road also. 2203 In connection with the Agnisomiya animal sacrifice an offering of pasupur odāsa (a cake of the meat of the animal sacrificed) in the words 'having offered the omentum of the animal to Agni and Soma one offers a paśupurodaśa cooked on eleven potsherds to Agni and Soma'. The question is whether the details such as mayājas should be performed afresh for this or whether those details performed at the time of offering the omentum will suffice. The established conclusion is that the details already performed at offering pasu (omentum) will serve for the offering of the meat cake also 2201 In such cases the place or time and performer are to be the same. This conclusion is relied upon in the Prāyascittaviveka which says that when a man performs the expiation for twelve years in connection with grave sins of

^{2203.} अन्यत्र फुतस्पान्यत्रापि प्रसक्तिः मसङ्गः। यथा प्रदीपस्य प्रासादे कृतस्य राजमार्गे-ध्यात्रोक्तकरणम्। इत्तर on पू मी XII 1 1

^{220:} अग्रीपोसीचे पत्नी प्ररोहाताः, अग्रीपोसीचस्य वपचा प्रचर्याग्रीपोसीचं पद्युप्ररोहात्रासे-फादराक्ष्याते निवंपितिते। किं तस्य प्रथन्तेनाङ्गानि कार्त्व्यानि उत्त यानि पत्नी कृतानि तस्याप्युप-पुर्वनीति। त्रावर on XII, 1. 1; अथवा नेव भेदेन पुरोहात्तस्य तन्त्रं कार्तव्यम्। किं तर्हि सुर्वयन्त्रचेन सिद्धिः स्वात्। पागुक्रमेत्र तन्त्रं पुरोहात्रस्योपकुर्यात्। किं कारणम्। तन्त्रमध्ने विधानात्। पागुक्रस्य मध्यस्य अर्थे पुरोहात्राो निर्हित्, अग्रीपोसीयस्य वपया ... कपाह्

different kinds or of the same kind, there is no necessity of a separate expiation for venial sins on the analogy of pasupurodāsa. 2205 Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 88-91 for twelve years' expiation for brāhmana-murder,

Vikalpa has already been dealt with above (pp. 1249-1253).

The preceding pages are enough to exhibit the main doctrines and some of the principal MImāmsā rules of interpretation and the great influence that the Mīmāmsā exercised for over two thousand years on Dharmašāstra works from the Apastambadharmasūtra to late medieval works like the Smrtitattva, Nirnayasindhu and Vyavahāramayūkha. An exhaustive treatment of the numerous technical terms, topics and principles of the mīmāmsā would easily cover a thousand pages, would enormously add to the extent of this work and has to be given up here for reasons of space, if for no other reason.

The Mimamsa rules have been of considerable help to Dharmaśāstra writers But it should not be supposed that application of the Mimāmsā rules is easy or that the rules always enable scholars to arrive at agreed or certain and definite conclusions. Apart from the schism between the Prabhakara and Bhātta schools already referred to above (on p. 1189) there are many circumstances which militate against the certainty and usefulness of mimāmsā conclusions. There are variant readings in the sutras themselves (e.g. on I. 2.9 there are three readings according to Tantravartika p. 123, on I, 2, 14 two readings acc. to Tantray pp. 128-129). Sabara omitted the sutra II, 4, 17 (vākyāsamavāyāt) and the Tantravārtika notes (pp. 895-897) that Sabara omits six sutras after III. 4.9. Sabara frequently mentions a predecessor called Vrttikara with great respect but differs from him in some cases (e.g. on I. 1. 3-5), does not like his way of dealing with sutras like II. 1, 32, 33 and VIII. 1. 2. Sabara gives alternative explanations of the same sūtra (e. g. of I. 3. 4. VII. 4. 13. VIII. 1. 39). Sometimes he treats two or more sūtras as forming one adlukarana and alternatively treats one of them as forming a separate adhikarana (as in I. 3. 3-4, II. 2. 23-24). He proposes more than two explanations of the same sūtra viz. IV. 1. 2. He puts forward two or more explanations of the same adhikarana (as in IV. 3. 27-28, VIII. 3. 14-15

^{2205.} एवमतुल्यरूपेष्ठ पापेषु समानजातीयेषु विजातीयेषु वा ग्ररुपापोद्देशेन द्वादशः वार्षिकादिरूपमायश्चित्ते कृते स्वल्पपामाना प<u>श्चप्रतेवाशन्यायेन</u> प्रसङ्गादेव कार्यसिद्धेर्लावगत् न पृथकु प्रायश्चित्तान्नुद्वानम् । प्रायः वि p. 85.

of two sutras out of four), IX. 1. 1, IX. 1. 2-3, IX. 1. 34-35, IX. 2, 1-2, IX. 2, 21-24, IX. 2, 25-28 four explanations, X. 2, 30-31 three explanations, X. 1. 1-2 three explanations, X. 4. 1-2 three explanations. On 'Visave pravadarsanat' II 3.16 Sabara himself is at a loss as to what the doubt intended by the sūtrakāra is or what the sūtrakāra wants to establish and relies upon what the vrttikara savs about the passage to be discussed and about the doubt that arises. Besides, Sabara and Kumārila differ about the subject matters of certain adhikaranas as in 1. 3. 3-4 (where Kumārila gives on pp. 194-195 a different interpretation altogether after first accepting Sabara's view). I. 3. 5-7. I. 3. 8-9. I. 3. 11-14. Kumārila shows scant respect for Jaimini by naming him without the honorific title 'bhagavan' or 'ācārya' on pp 495,650,655,895, charges Jaimini with composing sutras not containing much substance (p. 895) and remarks that Jaimini's sūtra is improper or wrong (e.g. vide p. 1241 about IV, 2, 27),

Kumārila is often critical of Śabara's bhāsya and remarks dozens of times that the bhāsya is improper (ayukta) or deserves to be discarded, is unmeaning or absurd (asambaddha) as on pp. 165, 302 (upeksitavya), 313 (asambaddha), 314, 662, 710, 731, 863 (asambaddha), 950, 953, 1090-91, 1615 (bahavo dosāh), 1714, 1980, 2004, 2193, 2204.

Another circumstance that makes one entertain grave doubts about the universal validity and usefulness of the principles evolved by the PMS system must also be adverted to. The greatest students of Mimāmsā arrive at entirely different conclusions on many points. Some striking cases may be referred to here. One of the most glaring of such cases is the interpretation of the short sūtra of Vasistha 2006 (15. 5, na stri putram dadyāt pratigrhnlyād vā anyatrānujūādbhartuh) 'a woman should not give or take a son in adoption except with the assent of her husband.' This has been interpreted in four different ways by works and authors about a Hindu widow's power of adopting a son. The Dattakamimāmsā holds that no widow can adopt a son, because, the husband being already dead, no assent of his can be had at the time of adoption. Vācaspati,

²²⁰⁶ अत एव बसिद्ध । न स्त्री पुत्रं ... प्रत्तुं —हति । अनेन विध्यापा भर्त्रे ग्रह्तानामम्भवा-दनीयकारो पत्रको । ... किं च व्याहितिमहें त्वा अष्ट्रस्वान्यत्रं स्तिन्छटमेव मतिग्रह्शीयात् —हति समानकनुरनाषीयद्व-स्तायत्ययअवणात् होमकात्तेत्र मतिग्रहसिद्धेः स्त्रीणां होमानधिकारत्वात् परि-दहानिषकारः – इति वाचरपतिः । दत्तकमीनासा pp 19 and 22–23 (Āपan)

a Maithila writer, was of the same opinion on the further ground that, as Vasistha lays down that one about to take a son in adoption should, after having performed a homa in the middle of his house with the vyährtis, take (in adoption) only him who is closely related and who is a kinsman and not remote (in habitation &c.) and, as women cannot perform a home with Vedic mantras, all women including widows have no power to adopt. But in Bengal, it was held that the husband's assent need not be given at the time of adoption and that it may be given long before the actual adoption. In Madras it was held that the word husband in 'except with the assent of the husband' is only illustrative and that therefore the assent of the agnates of the father-in-law (husband's father) or of the husband's agnates would be enough to enable a widow to adopt. The Vyavahāramavūkha. 2207 the Nirnavasindhu and the Samskāra-kaustubha hold that the assent of the husband is required for a woman whose husband is living and that a widow can adopt provided she has not been forbidden to adopt by her husband. For a detailed presentation of these four views and the case law, vide H. of Dh. vol. III. pp. 668-674. The Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act (No. 78 of 1956) has made far-reaching and radical changes in the Law of Hindu adoptions and section 4 of that Act overrules all texts, rules or interpretations of Hindu Law or customs and usages as part of that law, except in so far as they may have been expressly saved by that Act.

The Mitāksarā and the Dāyabhāga, both steeped in Mīmāmsā lore, differ on numerous points, some of which are:—
(1) The Mit. holds that property or ownership arises by birth, the Dāyabhāga denies it and says it is on the death of the previous owner or by partition, (2) superior right to inherit depends on religious efficacy according to the Dāyabhāga, while nearness of blood relation is the determining factor according to the Mit.;
(3) Members of a joint family hold family property in quasi-severalty and can dispose of their shares even before partition according to the Dāyabhāga; the Mit. does not say so; (4) acc. to Dāyabhāga even in a joint family a widow succeeds to her husband's share on his death without māle issue, while the Mit. does not accept this view.

^{2207.} भर्त्रेद्धज्ञा तु सधवाया एव दृष्टार्थत्वात् । विध्यायासतु ता विनापि पितुस्तद्भावे ज्ञातीनामाज्ञया भवति ... अतो यस्यामवस्थाया भर्त्रद्धज्ञा माप्ता सेवात्रान्ह्यते न स्वपूर्वा विधीयते ।

अतो विधवाया भर्तुराज्ञा विनाप्यधिकारः। च्य. म p. 113; उक्तविधदःत्तकस्वीकारः धुंभिरिव स्त्रीभिरपि सधवाभिर्विधवाभिश्च कार्यः। स को p. 160

One may note the great cleavage of opinion (above p. 1230) on such texts as Yāi I S! (whether there is a vidhi or a nivama or parisankhyā), in note 2111 (p. 1291) between the V M and Raghunaudana both great Mimāmsakas; in note 2113 p 1292 on the interpretation of the word 'matr' by Apararka and the Davabhaga: on pp 1302-3, notes 2133-34 above on Brhaspati's verse on re-united coparceners.

In passing it may be stated that to call the Act 'Hindu Adoptions Act' is a travesty and misnomer. It should have simply been called the Law of Adoptions in India and should have retained the ancient Hindu rules for Hindus as developed by the courts and should have been of a permissive character for all Indians like the English Statute (of 1926) on the adoption of children. The general Hindu law of adoption as developed in the authoritative smrtis and commentaries and the case law did not contemplate the adoption of a daughter (except when she was made in ancient times a putrikū) and to allow the adoption of a daughter when a son was living throws to the winds the basic priniciple of the Hindu Law that a sonless man should adopt a male as a son for the sake of the presentation of pindas and water (to the Manes) and for continuance of the family name (sections 7 and 11 of the Act). The Act allows a woman (even if not married) to adopt to herself a son or daughter or both and under it a woman, if married, can adopt a daughter even if she has a son but a married woman cannot adopt a daughter if she has already a daughter or a son's daughter living at the time of adoption (sections 8 and 11) The Act does not recognize dvyāmusyāyana adoptions which were recognized by Sanskrit texts and also by judicial decisions The ministers in the Government and their followers (most of the latter hardly know what Hindu Law was and has been) were carried away by enthusiasm for equality of rights for both men and women. the Indian Union is now a welfare State and it is professed that laws are to be made keeping in view a socialistic pattern, Govt. should have disallowed adoptions altogether or at least put great curbs on indiscriminate adoptions. Instead, they extended the scope of adoption among Hindus by allowing a man to adopt a daughter even when he had a son or sons and by allowing even unmarried women to adopt a son or daughter or both against a socialistic pattern. If adoptions had been disallowed entirely or allowed within narrow limits cases of escheat to Government for want of heirs would have been many and to that

extent a socialistic pattern would have been advanced. There is no evidence to show that women were keen on the right to adopt a son or daughter even when they were unmarried. The first principle of introducing reforms in the existing law is that only strictly necessary changes should be put forward. Reformers and legislators should take to heart the advice of Mr. Aldous Huxley (in 'Ends and Means,' p. 30) that change as such is more or less distressing to most human beings, that man's conservatism is a fact in any historical situation and that social reformers should abstain from making unnecessary changes or changes that are of startling magnitude. Legislators should not forget that when they introduce far-reaching changes to lessen hardships, real or supposed, deemed to be caused by existing social conditions, they very often create new problems for the immediate future. To take a simple example, under the old Hindu Law all girls were to be married before puberty, though Manu (IX. 90) provided that a girl should wait for three years thereafter during which, her parents or relatives might select a bridegroom for her, but that if they failed to do so she may herself choose her partner. This was not a bad provision. People however went in for child marriages for girls and the problem of spinsters never arose. Then over thirty years ago came the Child Marriage Restraint Act (XIX of 1929) whereby the legally allowed marriageable age for girls was fixed at 14. By subsequent amendments and by the Hindu Marriage Act (25 of 1955) the lowest marriageable age for girls is now fixed at 15. This Act of 1929 originally went a long way in raising the minimum age for the marriage of girls. Then, after the second world war, owing to economic stringency, and other factors, the tendency of marrying at a late age increased and now the problem of spinsters among Hindus has emerged and great difficulties about the marriages of grown-up women in middle class families are being experienced.

Appendix to Section VII Chap. XXX.

It would be helpful to the students of Pūrvamimāmsā and of Dharmaśāstra, if some of the important and frequently cited maxims (nyāyas)
of the former, are brought together in one place with references from the
P M. S. Śabara, Kumārila, Pārthasārathi, the Mahābhāsya of Patañjali,
Śahkarācārya's bhāsya on the Vedānta-sūtras, the Bhāmatī on the Śāhkarabhāsya and a few others. Kumārila, particularly, is very fond of employing
Nyāyas in the Tantravārtika e g. on p. 415 (on Jai. II. 1. 8) he employs
five different nyāyas. Many of these nyāyas have been explained in the
several volumes of the History of Dharmasāstra to which references will be
furnished at the appropriate places. Several of the nyāyas here mentioned
occur in the Laukika-nyāyānjali (in three parts) published by the indefatigable scholar, Col. Jacob. In some cases his explanations are not accurate
or satisfactory, but one must not forget that he wrote about half a century
ago

अग्निहोन्नन्याय - जी. VI. 2. 23-26, vide जुड़्र on वे सू III 4 32.

अञ्जाणविरोधन्याय - जै XII. 2, 25, vide ज्ञवर thereon and मी. न्या. म p. 166.

अङ्गभूयस्त्वे फलभूयस्त्वम् — ज्ञाबर on जी. X. 6, 62 and XI, 1, 15.

अङ्गाङ्गिन्याय — जे II. 2 3-8. Vide above p. 1308 n 2146

अङ्गानां प्रधानोपकाररूपैककार्यार्थत्वम् — जै. XI. 1. 5-10.

अगुरपि विशेषोऽध्यवसायकर - vide ध्य, प्र p. 525 and ध्य. म p. 143.

अधिकारम्याय — जे VI. 1. 1-3 and 4-5 (sastra is meant only for human belings), but vide वे स् 1. 3 26-33, where ज्ञाह्वर on I. 3. 26 holds that Sabara's words have no application to brahmavidya.

अनन्यतः शब्दार्धः — मी न्या प्र p. 92 'अञ्चाहुः । स एव शब्दस्यार्धो यः प्रकारान्तरेणे न तम्यते। अनन्य...र्थ इति न्यायात्।, vide भामती on वे सू I. 3. 17 (प्रसिद्धेश्व).

अञ्चयस्गरपाय — जे II. 1. 48 , vide pp. 1304-1306 above and स्मृतिच (on आद्ध p. 381), ध्य म p. 147,

अन्तरष्ट्रचिहरञ्जयोरन्तरङ्गं चलीय. — vide ज्ञावर on जै. XII. 2. 27, महाभावय (on पा. I. 1. 4, I. 1 5) says 'असिद्धं चिहरङ्गनन्तरङे :

अन्धपरम्परान्याय — तन्त्रवा on जै. I 3. 27 p. 282 and on III. 3. 14 p. 858, मेधा. on मह X 5, शहर on दे. स्. II. 2 30.

अन्यायश्चानेकार्यत्वम् — ज्ञाबर on जै 11. 1 12 p. 410, on V. 4. 14 p. 1340. on VI. 1 22 p. 1366, on VII. 3 3. p 1550, तन्ज्ञवा. on II. 4. 10, p. 639, भामती on वे. सू I. 3. 17, vide मद पा. p 369 and p 1292, p. 2112 above

अपरवेदन्याय — हाबर on जी. VI. 5. 49-50 defines अपरवेद as 'संयुक्तस्य हि पृथामा-वेद्रपरवेद । and व्या प्र p. 535 The word occurs in जी VI 5 56.

क्षमाते मास्त्रमर्थाम् — This is part of जै VI 2 18 and means 'विधिना तावसदेव विधेषे परमकासान्तरेणामानम् भी न्या, प्र p. 222.

अभिनर्राणनाच — जं III 7 8-10 ; स्पव. म. p 535.

- अभ्यामाधिकरण जै II. 2. 2 (with reference to five Prayajas in ते सं. II. 6. 1. 1-2). Vide H. of Dh vol. II p. 1057 n 2368 and above p 1306.
- अम्बद्धितेष्टित्याय -- जै VI 5. 1-9, मिता: on या III 253 and ह्य म pp. 151-152 and notes thereon pp 277-279 and भामती on बे. स III. 3. 7.
- अरुणान्याय वर अरुणाधिकरण जे III. 1 12 on ते मं VI 1 6.7 अरुणया विद्वारण क्रीणाति, vide अपराक्त p. 1030 on या. III 205, सट पा pp. 88-89, H. of Dh vol II p 1142 n 2547 and above pp 1294-5
- श्रकों चेन्मध् विन्देत किमर्थ पर्वतं ब्रजेत-ज्ञाबर on जे 1 2 4 quotes the latter half also as इटन्यार्थस्य मसिद्धी को विद्वान् यत्नमाचरेत् He explains अर्क as a plant (Calatropis Gigantea); vide also तन्त्रना, on same p. 111, विश्वसप् on या III 243 (first half), जङ्गर on ने सु. III 4 3 quotes the first half as a स्याय
- अर्थकुक्कृत्विपाल -- means the same thing as अर्थन्त्रतीय, vide तन्त्रनाः p 720 on जै. It means 'it involves a total contradiction to say that one would cook half a hen for food and keep the other half for laying eggs'
- अधेजरतीय Vide महाभारत on वार्तिक 5 on पा. IV. 1. 78 (अर्थ जरत्याः क्रामयतेऽर्ध निति) जाङ्करभाष्य on वे सू 1.2.8 (यथाज्ञास्त्र तर्हि ज्ञास्त्रीयोंर्थः प्रतिपत्तस्यों न तत्रार्धजस्तीयं लम्यम्), परा मा II. 1 p 702
- अर्धवैद्यास Resembles अर्धजरतीयन्याय Vide तन्त्रवा. pp 170, 174, 180, 261; ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे सू. III. 3 18 वैद्यास means 'destruction, rending into pieces, conflict ' The BHITHING IV. 31 employs the word in the literal
- अर्धमन्तर्वेदि मिनीत्यधे बहिवेदि Vide श्वार on जे. III. 7. 14 and तन्त्रवा pp. 1083-84, quoted by Eq. # pp. 115, 146 and notes (by the present author) pp 189-191 and H, of Db. vol. II. p. 1114 and vol III pp. 694-95.
- अवयवप्रसिद्धे. मसुद्रायविमद्धिर्वलीयसी vide डावर on जे VI. 7. 22, who gives अञ्चल्ला (name of a tree) with leaves like horse's ears as an instance (which has neither अध्वत्व nor कर्णन्व), तन्त्रवा on जे 1, 4, 11
- अनेट्याधिकरणन्याय र्ज II 3 3 and XI. 4 10. Vide H. of Db vol. III. p 38 and above pp 1332-33 n 2201-2, जाङ्गरभाष्य on ने सू III. 3 50
- अन्वाभिधानीन्याय इमामगृम्णन्रजनामृतस्यत्यन्वाभिधानीमादत्ते ते सं V 1. 2 1 and the mantra occurs in ते सं. IV 1 2, 1, explained in सी न्या प्र. p. 80, अर्थसंग्रह p 5. Vide p 1308 n 2147.
- अञ्चक्तर्णस्याय -- vide हुपुटीका on के IV. 4 1 p 1270 This is put forward to assert that in राजस्य the conventional meaning has to be taken and not the literal one.
- आकाशसृष्टिहननरेनाय vide तन्त्रवा on जै. I 3.12 p 236 (ग्रस्तन्त्न्त्वादाय सुरीमात्र-परिव्रहान् । पट कर्तु समीहेन म हन्याद् व्याम सुष्टिभि), शाङ्करभाष्य on हे. सू II, 1, 18,
- आख्यातानामर्थ ब्रुवतां व्यक्तिः सहकारिणी व्यवर on जे I 4 25, अर्थमंग्रह (p 16, calls it a न्याय), श्लोकवा (on चोदनामुख verse 47 p 59), तन्त्रवा on जे II. 1. 1 p 378 (ब्रान्सय मर्बभावानां नात्त्रयाज्या स्वभावत । तेन नाना वदन्त्यर्थात् प्रकृतिः मन्ययादन. ॥) Vide p 1251 note 2111 above.

- आगन्तुनामन्ते निवेज' --- Vide ज्ञावर on जे V 3.4 and X.5.1, ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे. सू. IV.3 3, II of Dh. vol. III. p. 731 notes 1413-14 and तिथितस्य p. 63, स्प स p 143
- आनन्तर्मम्बारणम् Vide यस्य रेनार्थसम्बन्ध below Vide the sütra आनन्तर्यमचीवृत्ता (जे. 111, 1, 24) and जे IV. 3, 11 a part of which is 'अर्थती हासमर्थाना-मानन्तर्येत्यसम्बन्ध '.
- आर्त्यधिकरणन्माय जे VI 4 22, ते बा III. 7 1 7-8 bas यस्पीभयं हविरार्तिभाष्ट्रें-देन्द्र पञ्चभरावसीहनं निर्मपेत् Here the word उभय 18 अविवक्षित and 18 no part of the विधि
- उद्दिस्यमानस्य (or उद्देश्यगत) विशेषणमविवक्षितम् Vide above p 1286 notes 2098—99 on ग्रहं समार्ष्टि वार्ष दुप्टीका on ज VI 4, 22 p 1438, on VII 1 2 p 1526, on IA 1 p 1636, on A 3, 39 p 1882 (उद्दिश्यमानस्य च सख्या न विवक्ष्यते ग्रह्सेव) and व्य म pp 45-46, 90, 132, 210 and विश्वरूप on या. III. 250 (न च स्ट्यमाणस्य विजेषण विवक्षितमिति न्याय)
- चिद्रदिधिकरण— जं I 4 1-2. उद्भिद्द, चिन्ना, अग्निहोत्र are names of yagas (and not गुणत्रिधि) and are प्रमाण Vide pp 1244-45 n 2021-22 above
- उपक्रमोपमहारन्याय —1f the उपक्रम is doubtful it is the उपमेहार 'that settles the same and not vice versa Vide भामती on ने सु III 3 17.
- डपसहारम्याय जे III. 1 26-27, डपसहारो नाम सामान्यत प्राप्तस्य विशेषे सङ्कीन्वरूपी म्यापारविशेषी विषे । मी म्या प्र p 261, vide मिता on या. I. 256, नि. सि pp 37 and 71, न्य म p 111 and the present author's notes to V. M. p 179
- ऋतुरिष्ट्रस्याय This refers to the verse यथर्तावृत्तिष्ट्रानि मानारूपाणि पर्यये । हडयय्ते तामि तास्येव यथा भावा सुमादिषु ॥ आदिपर्व 1 39 (= cr. ed 1 37), ज्ञान्तिपर्व 210 17 (= cr ed 233, 15) This verse is quoted by तस्त्रवा on जै. I. 3 7 p 202, in ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे सू I 3 30 It is also वायुस्राण 9 65, विष्णुपुराण I. 5. 61, मार्कण्डेय 45 43–44
- एकमाम्पतान्याय जे II 1 46 Vide M M Jba's 'Pūrvamīmāmsā in its sources' pp 192-193 for explanation and examples and H, of Db vol, III p 443 note 744 and pp. 1297-98 n 2123 above विश्वस्प on या III 248 exemplifies this न्याप The word एकबाज्यता occurs in ने. सु III. 4 24.
- एकहायनीन्याय m. by तन्त्रवा on II 1 12 p 415 It is the same as अरुणान्याय above
- एकार्धान्त विकल्पेरम् This is part of जी XII 3 10. मिता on या III 257 states 'गकार्धानाभेर विकल्पो द्वीतियत्रयास्त्रि म च दण्डतपसीरेकार्थत्वम् ।
- ऐन्द्रीन्याय \ide pp 1309-10 notes 1251-52 on ऐन्द्रा नाईपरयस्पतिश्रते
- आडमेपिन्सन If a man's name is आउमेपि one at once infers, without being expressly told, that he is the son of one called उटमेश Vide श्वार on जै lil. 5 26 p 1003 and on il 3 3 at 0 580 and तम्ब्रा p 580.
- ओहुरन्यधिकरण जे I. 2 19-25 concerning ने मं II 1 1 6 औहुम्बरी सूपी भवति, ज्याने उद्स्वर जर्क् पदान तस्त्रज्ञा p 352, मी न्या प p. 134 ff.
- क्षपातन्त्रात वर जवालाधिकरणन्याय के X 5 1, explained in मलमासतस्व p. 779.
- क्षिज्ञात्रमात्र जे Al 1 35-46 and alo epp 1239-89, n 2105 Vide सम्बद्धा p 415 (on to II 1 12), and p 1001 (on के III 5, 26) saying क्षिज्ञल-म्य निकास पुण्यभूतिरस्तास्त्रते , परा. मा I 2 p. 291

- कम्बलनिर्णेजनन्याय शबर on जै. II. 2. 25 p. 545 (निर्णेजन ह्युमर्य करीति कम्बलहार्ष्ट्रि पादयोश्च निर्मलताम्).
- कर्मभूयस्वारफलभूयस्त्वम् Vide स्मृतिन्तः II. p. 264 and परा. मा I. 1. p. 25 (कर्मा-धिक्यात्फलाधिक्यमिति न्यायसमाभ्रयात्)
- कलकरपाय इबर on जै. VI. 2. 19-20 on 'न कलक भक्षचितव्यं' says that this is downright prohibition (मतिषेध) and not a पर्युवास Vide मी. न्या प्र. pp. 248-249 and तिथितस्य p 6.
- र्फांस्पभोजिन्याय This occurs in the पू भी. सू XII. 2. 34 iteelf (अधिकश्च ग्रणः साधारणेऽविरोधात्कांस्पभोजिवद्युरूपेऽपि), शंबर explains 'शिव्यस्य कास्यपात्रमोजित्व-नियमः, उपाध्यायस्य न नियम । यदि तयोरेकस्मिन्यात्रे भोजनमापद्यते, अधुरूपस्यापि शिव्यस्य धर्मो नियम्येत मा भूद्धर्मलीप इति ।।.
- कांकदन्तपरीक्षाच्याय Vide हुप्टीका p 1388 on जै. VI. 2. 1. Some actions such as counting the hair on the skin of an ass or the teeth of a crow are useless or profitless.
- काकाक्षिगोलकन्याय Vide तन्त्रवा p. 198 on जै I. 3. 7, मेधा on मनु VIII 1, ह्य प्र p. 534, ह्य म p 95 and notes thereon at p. 135.
- काण्डास्त्रसमय ज्ञाबर on जे V. 2 3 pp. 1310-11 Vide H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 739-740, vol. IV. pp. 441-442 note 987 and प्रदार्थोन्समय below
- कारणाञ्जविधायिकार्यन्याय तन्त्रवा p. 245 on जे I. 3. 16. The qualities of, the cause are found in the effect.
- फुण्डपायिनामयनन्याय जै VII. 3. 1-4 Vide आप स्त्री 23. 10. 6 ff and H of Dh. vol. IV. pp 136-137 n 312 for references and p. 1307 above.
- क्कांकाशावलस्थनन्याय Vide तक्त्रवा. p. 268 on जै I. 3. 24. (क्रुश is दर्भ and काश is a grassy plant with white flowers). These are so frail that no one can support himself by means of these, so metaphorically it means "supporting by frail or hopeless arguments" Vide ब्यव प्र. p 527.
- कुलाचिन्तान्याय Taking up a purely hypothetical point for discussion, occurs frequently in Sābarabhāsya, e. g, on जे VI 8. 43 p. 1522 (कुला चिन्तायां प्रयोजनं वक्तस्यम्), on XI. 3. 16 p. 2175, XII. 2 11 p. 2242, vide तन्त्रवा p. 287 (on जे. I 3. 27) and p 890 on जे III. 4 1 (यस्तु भाष्यकारे- णोपन्यासः कृतः स कुलाचिन्तान्यायेन)
- कैस्रतिकम्पार्यं—The word is derived from किस्त, used in such passages as in काइम्बरी ' गर्भेश्वरत .. ज्ञाकित्वं चिति महतीयं खल्बनर्धपरम्परा, सर्वाविनयानामेवैकम-प्रयेपामायतनं किस्त समवाय '। Vide ध्य म p 241 and present author's note on p, 419 of the notes to ध्य म
- क्षाभेदिन्याय जै. VI. 4. 17-20. If the Purodasa to be offered in वृद्गेपूर्णमास is partially burnt, still one should perform the rite with the unburnt part of the cake and a prayascitta would be necessary only if the whole purodasa is burnt Vide मिताक्षरा on या III. 243.
- खलेकपोतवत् Meaning 'like all pigeons, young and old, simultaneously alighting on a threshing floor 'Vide शबर on जे XI 1. 16 p 2111, मी न्या. प्र p, 65
- गाईपरवस्याय --- Same as ऐंग्ड्रीन्याय above. Vide ज्ञाबर on जी, III, 2 3 and अर्थसंग्रह

- गुणकामाधिकरण जे II 2, 25-26 based on दध्नेन्द्रियकामस्य जुहुयात् (ते आ II. 1. 5 6), the meaning being द्धिकरणत्वेनेन्द्रियं भावयेत् Vide मी. न्या प्र. pp 42-43, and 36-39 and p. 1310 above
- ग्रणमुख्यव्यतिक्रमन्याय This is part of जै. III. 3 9 (ग्रुणमुख्यव्यतिक्रमे तदर्थता-नमुख्येन वेदसंयोगः). Vide तन्त्रवा. pp. 810 and above p 1290 n. 2108, ज्ञाङ्कर्ण भाष्य on वे. सू. III 3. 33 quotes this sutra
- शुणालीपे च सुरूपस्य This is जै X. 2. 63 The words किया स्यात् (or भवति) are to be understood
- गोचलीवर्द्रन्याय In such a sentence as गाव आनीयन्ता चलीवर्दाश्च, the separate mention of balivardas that are really included under 'gavah' is due to the fact that bulls are more intractable than cows and require special attention and effort. This is often used in Dharmassatra works. Vide मिता- on या III 312-313, स्मृतिच (on स्पवहार) pp. 66, 67, 102, 169, 260, 300, कुह्च्त on मह VIII 28, स्य म p 2 and H of Dh vol. III p 526 note 966
- गीणसुरुवयोर्हेस्ये कार्यसंप्रत्ययः Vide हाघर on ज. III. 2 1 This न्याय is also cited as सुरुवगोणयोः ... संप्रत्ययः काङ्क्त्रभाष्य on हे, स् IV. 13. 12 cites it सुरुव and गोण respectively mean 'primary sense' and 'secondary sense.' The महाभाष्य has the परिभाषा 'गोण ... संप्रत्ययः on वार्तिक 1 on पा I. 1 15 and on वार्तिक 4 on पा VI. 3. 46. Vide H. of Dh. vol. III. p. 725, note 1399.
- यदैकत्वरपाय ज़ै III 1 13-15 based on दशापवित्रेण ग्रहं संमाप्टि (ते सं. III 2 2 3). Vide H of Dh. vol II. p 589 note 1380 and above p 1285-86 and n. 2098.
- चतुर्घोकरणन्याय जै. III. 1. 26-27. Vide भी न्या प p. 261, अर्थसंग्रह p. 24. Vide vol IV, p 670 n 1517.
- राजिन्याय Vide ज्ञाबर on जै 1.4 23 (यथा राजिणो गन्यान्तीत्पेकेन राजिणा सर्वे लक्ष्यको), तन्त्रवा on I 4.13, p. 347, हुप्तीका on जै IV 4.1 p 1270 and VII 3 7, p 1552 and H. of Dh. vol II. pp 500~501, ज्ञाङ्करभाव्य on वे सू III 3 34 employs it in explaining ऋतं पियन्ती (क्षतेष. III. 1)
- जातिलयवान्या जुहुयात् This appears like a विधि, but it is only an अर्थवाद for praising प्रयोहोम The vedic passage is in ते सं V. 4, 3 2 and जै X. 8. 7 deals with it. भामती on वे सू III 3. 18 relies on it.
- जातेष्टिन्याय जै IV. 3. 38-39 on ते. सं II 2. 5. 3 वैश्वानरं द्वादशकपालं निवेधेत् पुत्रे जाते Though the performer of the rite is the father, the fruit accrues to the son that is born. Vide मिता on या. II 56 and III. 220, प्राय वि p. 18, स्पर प्र p. 253-54, दस्त भी p. 136 (Āpan. ed.)
- जुहून्याय जे IV. 3. 1 based on passages like यस्य पर्णमयी जुहूर्भवति न स पापं श्रीकं शृणोति (in ते सं. III. 5 7 2). Such sentences are not फलविधिs, but merely अर्थवादृड.
- त्रफर्कोप्टिन्यन्याय or ब्राह्मणकीण्डिन्यन्याय Vide तन्त्रवा p. 860 (द्वधि ब्राह्मणेम्यो वीपता तकं कीण्टिन्याय), श्रीकवा. (चनवाद, verse 15) If only the words द्वधि. दीपता were uttered, कीण्टिन्य being a ब्राह्मण would be included, but if the whole sentence be used he would be excluded from the first part. The महाभाष्य frequently cites this nyāja, e g. on वार्तिक 4 on पा VI 1. 2 and वार्तिक 1 on पा 1. 1. 47 and on वार्तिक 2 on पा. VI. 2 1; vide मिना on पा III. 257 for this maxim

- तरमस्यप्रयाय र्ज 1. 4. 4 (तरमस्य चारयजास्त्रम), which means तम्य गुणम्य प्ररूपं प्रापकं अन्यकालं यच भवति. In त म 1 5.9.1 we read अग्निहीच छहाति (सर्गकाम,). Here अग्निहीच is the name (नामनेष) of a rite (अग्नये होने हामी यहिमन्) and not a ग्रुणिशि Vide भी न्या प्र. p 64, धर्महृतनिर्णय p 3, अर्थमग्रह pp 4 and 20.
- तद्व्यपदेशन्याय जे I. 4 5 The example 15 इंपननाशिचान्त् यजेत Here the word इपेन (meaning 'hawk') is applied to the rite celled syena, because it resembles the bird in its swift action. Vide भी न्या. प्र p 238 (तेन व्यपदेश उपमानम् । नदन्यपालुपप्रवेति यावत) and p. 1245 above
- दण्डापूपन्याय or दण्डापूपिकनीति This is very often employed in धर्मजास्त्र works. Vide विन्यस्य on या I 147 and III 257, भिता on या II 126, स्मृतिच on ध्यवहार pp. 142, 146, 242, 249, 283, 296, 301, 315, 326, दायभाग X 30, दायत्तच p. 170, ध्य म p. 131 (and my notes on the same at pp. 221-222). For the derivation of दण्डापूपिक, vide अलङ्कारसर्वस्य on अर्थापत्ति p. 196 and जयर्थ thereon
- द्विहामन्याय जं VIII 4.1, तन्त्रवा p 115 on जे I.2 7, मी. न्या प्र p. 149. In this compound word होम is the principal word and the word द्वि is उपसर्जन (or अपनान). Therefore द्विहाम is the name of a rite.
- दशहरान्याय Vide भायश्चित्तप्रकरण of भाद्य p 18, प्राय गिके p.81, शुद्धित्त pp. 240-241. There is a ज्ञत on the 10th tithi of the bright half of Jyestha called दशहरा, since it removes ten sins. The maxim means that in certain cases, by a single performance one may be able to secure several objects

इष्ट प्रयोजनस्रस्यज्य न धाम्यमदृष्ट कल्पयितम्।

इप्टे फले अदृष्टफलफल्पना अन्याज्या।

इष्टे सति अहएकल्पनाऽन्याय्या ।

हरे संभारयहरस्यान्याययतम्।

Vide हाबर on जे IX 3.3 p 1745, on जे X 2 23 p 1835 and on X.2 34 p 1838, मी. न्या प्र. p 201, जहादकतिस्व p. 89, भागती on वे सू III. 3. 14 and H. of Dh. vol III p. 837 n 1628 and above p 1260 note 2051.

- देहलीदीपन्याय-देहली door-sill. A lamp thereon sheds light inside the 100m as well as outside. This is the same as मासाददीपन्याय below. प्रदीपनत् occurs in ज XI 1.61, vide ज्ञार thereon. ध्य. म p. 149 explaining पा. II 139 employs it.
- ह्रयो. मणयन्तिन्याय जे VII 3 19-25, Relied upon and explained in Mit. on Yāj. II 135, दायभाग XI 5 16 p. 194 and च्या प्र pp 500-502 and 535, vide H. of Dh vol III pp 704-705 note 1347a for explanation.
- धेलुक्तिजोरन्याय र्ज VII 4.7 (in which the words वधा धेतु: किज्ञोरेण occur), ज्ञाबर explains it clearly धेतु. ordinarily means 'cow', but किज़ोर means 'foal' Therefore in कुल्लाकिज़ोरा धेतु , धेतु means 'mare'.
- न तो पत्नी करोति न मोमे जे X. 8. 5 and XII 1 7 ती refers to आज्यभागी, vide ध्यवहारसार p. 231 (part of चुमिह्मसाङ्), द भी p 182 and above p 1249.
- न विधी पर: इन्दार्थ means that it is not permissible to hold that in a निध-साम्य a word therein has a meaning other than the direct one. The कल्पतद on भामती (on वे स् I.1 1 p 10) explains 'निधायंक झन्दे परो ल्र्य-इन्दार्थों न भवति', vide झन्चर on IV. 4. 19 (which says अनुनादे च ल्र्याणा न्याय्या न निधी) and also on IV. 1 8, where the ten यज्ञासुध्ध (न्य्य wooden sword etc.) mentioned in ते सं. I, 6. 8. 2-3 are held to be an अनुनाद and not a निधि. Vide परा. मा. I. 2. p. 298 and मद पा. p. 372, दक्त. मी. p. 180.

- नद्दाश्वद्रभ्यस्थान्याय Vide हावर on जे II 1 1 p 376, तन्त्रज्ञा on जे, I 2.7 and on III. 3. 11 p. 818 This is an old न्याय. वर्तिक 16 on पा I 1.50 is संप्रयोगी वा नद्दाश्वद्रभ्यस्यवत् and the महाभाष्य explains 'तवाश्वो नदी ममापि रथी द्रम्य., उभी संप्रयुज्यावहै इति । मधा, on मह्य V 51 and भामती on I. 1 4 p 108 mention it The idea is इतरेतरोपकारकल.
- न हि निन्दा निन्धं निन्दितुं पयुज्यते, अपि तु विधेषं स्तोतुम् vide H of Dh. II. p. 581 n 1359 and above p 96 n 237 for passages from Sabara and Tantravārtika The मिता on या. III 221 paraphrases it
- न होकस्य इन्द्रस्यानेकार्धता सत्यां गती न्याय्या —Vide झबर on जै. VIII. 3, 22 and IX. 4, 18 and the न्याय 'अन्यायश्वानेकार्धत्वम्' above p 1339
- नागुहोतिविशेषणान्याय This is often expressed in the form नागुहोतिविशेषणा विशेष्ये बुद्धिन्नत्यस्यते (as in श्वर on के VII 2, 13) or as न हामतीते विशेषणे विशिष्ट केचन मत्येतुमहान्ति (as in श्वर on के I 3, 33 p. 304). Vide तन्त्रवा. pp 304, 326, 916, एका तस्त्र p. 15, बुद्धितस्त्र p 313, न्य म p. 89 (and the present author's notes thereon pp 117-118).
- नास्ति बचनस्पातिभार. This ज्याय occurs very frequently in ज्ञाबर and धर्मज्ञास्त्र works in different forms, but the meaning is the same viz 'there is nothing too heavy (i. e impossible to prescribe) for a sacred text' Vide ज्ञाबर on जे II. 2 27 (किमिन हि वचनं न कुर्यास्तारित । भार). on जे III 2 3, X 5. 11, on जे VI. 1 44, the wording is 'न हि बचनस्य किचिद्दलस्य नाम । जञ्जराचार्य on वे सु III 3. 41 and III. 4 32 employs it Vide विश्वस्त्य on या. 1. 58 (referred to in H of Dh vol II. p. 525 n 1224), मिता on यात्त्र III 298 (H. of Dh vol IV. p 66 n 161, परा. मा. II 1 p 202 and II. 2 p 64).
- निमित्तगर्ते विशेषणमविवक्षितम् Similar to आर्त्यधिकरणस्यायः Vide विश्वस्तप् on या. III 212
- निमित्तापृत्ती नेमित्तिकाञ्चति जे VI 2.27-28 and 29. Such passages as मिले जुदोति स्कले जुदोति really lay down that whenever such a nimitta as breaking occurs a fresh homa has to be performed. Vide मेथा on मज XI, 220 (तत्तुड्डास्तथा &c) and मिता on या 1.81.
- निपादस्थपतिन्याय जे VI. I 51-52. Vide H. of Dh vol II. p 46, vol. IV. p 2: n 58 and above pp. 1295-96 and n 2121 It is employed by परा मा, I. I p 49, प्राप वि p 132, स्प, म p 112.
- न्यायसाम्य नि सि. p 67 states that rules about srāddha on a solar eclipse apply by analogy to srāddha on a lunar eclipse
- पद्भमशालनन्याय This is expressed in the half verse 'मशालनाझि पङ्कस्य पूराय-म्पर्शनं वरम्। introduced by विश्वस्य on या I. 210 with the words नथा च लाजिका This balf verse is जनपर्व 2 49, which reads श्रेयो न स्पर्शनं चुणाम् and the other half is धर्माध्य यस्य विसेद्धा जर तस्य निरीहता। जाङ्करभाष्य on वे स् III 2 22 quotes it
- पदार्धनापत्नाविकरण के 1 3 5-7 on the place of आखमन 10 view of the words वर्द छत्वा वेदि कुर्मिन and explained by जबर on I. 3. 7.
- पदाभाशमनद -- दे. V 2 1-2 and vide काण्डासुसमय above p. 1342.
- हरमत्त्रसमितिन्त्रम्तमुमतं भारति—This is lile 'silence shows consent:—Vide दस्त. मी p E2 and H of Db vol. III. p 669 n 1264 and झाङ्करभाष्य on हे. सू.

- पर्णमिथान्याय जै. III. 6 1-8; certain passages like यस्य पर्णमिथी जुहूर्भविति न स पापं श्लोकं भूणोति occur in ते सं. III 5 7.2 without express reference to any topic. They are to be applicable to the modifications. Vide सी. न्या, प्र p 117 and भामती on वे. सु. I 1.4 pp. 123-124.
- पञ्चन्याय— जे IV. 1. 11 and हुप्दीका pp 1203-5 on the Vedic text यो दीक्षितो यद्मीषोमीयं पञ्चमालभते The emphasis is that both एकत्व and पुंस्त are to be insisted on
- पञ्जपुरोडाज्ञान्याय जै XII 1.1-6, vide प्रायश्चित्तपकरण of भवदेव p. 20, प्राय वि. p. 85 and गोविन्दानन्द's तत्त्वार्थकौमदी on the latter and n 2205
- पिछपेषणन्याय Occurs in Śabara's Bhāsya on Jai IX 2.3, XII 2.16, तन्त्रवा. I. 2.31 p 147. पिछपेषण — Means grinding what is already well ground and therefore unnecessary repetition (of arguments).
- पृष्ठाकोटन्याय Literally means 'bending the back again and again to observe each of many objects lying on the ground 'Vide फ्रांबर on जे II. 1. 32 and तन्त्रवा thereon p 434. The मिता. on या III. 216 employs it
- मक्रुतिम्रस्ययो मत्ययार्थं सह व्यतः Vide ज्ञवर on जे III 4 12 p 922 and on जे. XI 1 22 p 2013, तन्त्रवा. on जे. II 1, 1 p 380, III 1.12 p 674, III. 4.12 p 902, III. 7 10 p 1080 It occurs in the महाभाष्य on वार्तिक 2 on पा. III. 1.67 Vide above pp 1235–38 n 2010.
- प्रतिनिधिन्याय जै. VI 3 13-17, स्मृतिच (on श्राद्ध p. 460). It means श्रुतद्रन्या-पचारे द्रव्यान्तर प्रतिनिधाय प्रयोगः कर्तव्यः
- प्रतिनिमित्तं नैमित्तिकशास्त्रमावर्तते Vide the न्याय ' निमित्तावृत्तौ' etc. above, मिता. on था. III. 263-64 and 288 for this form of the न्याय.
- प्रतिपदाधिकरण मी. न्या प्र p 47 The first part of the interpretation of जै. II 1 1 by Sabara is so called and the 2nd part is called भावार्थाधिकरण
- प्रतिप्रधानं गुणा हृत्तिः क्ष्यर on जै III 3, 14 p. 844, प्रा. मा. I. 1 p. 391 illustrates it
- मधमातिक्रमे कारणाभावात् जै. X. 5. 1 and 6 (on which क्वर says ये क्रमवन्त आरब्ध-व्यक्ति प्रथमादुपक्रमितव्याः), तन्त्रवा. on जै. III 220 p 772 and on III. 4. 51 p. 988, व्य म. p 134
- प्रधानमञ्जिबहेणन्याय Lit. 'Crushing the principal wrestler', the idea being that lesser champions would be regarded as vanquished if the principal wrestler is vanquished. Vide शाङ्करभाज्य on वे सू I. 4 28 and II 1.12.
- मधानस्य चोद्दिश्यमानस्य विशेषणमविवक्षितम् Vide दुप्टीका on जै VII. 1 2. p 1526 and the न्याय 'उद्दिश्यमानस्य' etc. above.
- प्रयोजनमद्धिद्द्य न संन्दोऽपि प्रवर्तते Vide श्लोकवा (सञ्चन्धाक्षेप॰ verse 55 p. 653 quoted above on p. 1179 n 1917.
- प्रस्तर्महरणन्याय जे III. 2 11-15 referring to the direction in द्रश्येणीमास 'स्क्रवाकेन भस्तरं महरति' (the priest casts the bunch of kusas called prastara into the fire to the accompaniment of Sūktavāka manta, which thus becomes an anga) For मस्तर, vide H. of Dh. vol. II p. 1013 and for स्क्रवाकमन्द H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 1073 n 2398.

- मासाददीपन्याय—same as देहलीदीपन्याय. Vide श्वर on जै. XII. 1.1 and 3.
- प्रेयङ्गवन्याय—Vide तन्त्रवा on जे II, 1. 12, p 415 It refers to the example cited by ज्ञवर on जे I 3, 8 'तत्र केचिद्दीर्घज्ञतेषु यवज्ञव्दं प्रयुक्तते केचित्रियङ्गपु '
- फलबरसंनिधानफले तदह्न म्—In जै IV 4.34 (which is a lengthy sūtra) we have the words तत्पुनर्जुरूवलक्षण वत्फलबन्दं तत्संनिधानसंदुक्तं तदङ्ग स्यान् ' Vide झनर on जै IV. 4.19, कुलूक on मद्य II 101–102 employs it and so does the Sānkara-bhāsya on V.S II. 1 14. Vide H. of Dh vol IV p 481 n 1082.
- पहिन्योय जै. III 2 1 ज्ञार cites the mantra पहिनेश्वसदमें झामि (I cut off barhis as an abode for the deity) and remarks that the mukhya sense is to be taken and not gauna (secondary sense, based on similarity)
- ब्राह्मणक्षीण्डश्यन्याय—Vide तक्रकौण्डिन्यन्याय above p. 1343. The मित्ता on या. III 257 refers to it
- बाह्मणपरिद्वाजकन्याय—क्षत्रर on र्ज II. 1. 43 has 'झती बाह्मणा भोज्यन्तामितः परि-बाजका इति।'. The भानती on वे. सू III. 1. 11 states that this nyāya means the same thing as गोयलीवर्दन्याय The ज्ञाङ्करभाव्य mentions it on वे. सू I 4. 16, II 3. 15, III 1 11 Vide सुवीधिनी on या II. 96 (ed. by Mr Gharpure).
- बाह्मणबसिष्टस्याय मेधा. on मञ्ज VII. 35 employs it. बसिष्ठ also was a brahmana but he may be separately mentioned as he was most eminent in tapas.
- भावार्घाधिकरण जै. II 1 1, मी न्या. प p 128 and p. 1236 n 2010 above.
- मूतभव्यसमुज्ञारणन्याय or भूतभव्यसमुज्ञारणे भूतं भव्यायोपदिङ्यते ज्ञावर frequently mentions it as on जे II, 1, 4, III. 4 40, IV. 1 18, VI, 1 1, IX. 1 9. The सुपटीका on जे IV 1 18 explains 'भूतं द्रव्यं भव्यां क्रियां निर्वतयतीति क्रियातोऽद्यम् १. व्य. म. p. 111 mentions it, vide the present author's notes thereon pp 173 ff and H of Dh, vol III, p. 695 n 1322
- भूगसान्याय or भ्यसा स्यात्सधर्मत्वम् based on जे XII. 2. 22 (विप्रतिविद्धधर्माणा समवाये भूगसा स्यात् सधर्मत्वम्) When there is a composite sacrifice comprising several rites the various details of which present conflicts the procedure to be adopted is such as to secure the performance of the details common to the largest number; this is relied upon in स्मृतिच (on श्राह्म p 498) and स्पन नि. p 202.
- मापसुद्रन्याय जे VI. 3 20 The rule is that if a substance prescribed for a sacrifice cannot be had, a similar substance may be used (as पूर्तीच for सीन mentioned by Sabara on Jai. VI 3. 14), but where a substance is expressly forbidden it cannot be employed as a substitute, even when it is similar to the one prescribed If mudga pulse cannot be had māsa pulse cannot be used, as Tai S. V. 1. 8. 1 declares māsa grains to be unfit for sacrifice. Vide निता. on सा II. 126, नासभा XIII. 16 (in both this oyāya is mentioned), सारा. तस्त p 482, स्पन प. p. 555.
- मिथ सम्बन्धन्याय EAME as वार्त्रप्रीन्याच (जी. III. 1, 23).
- भियोऽसम्बन्धान्य जे III 1.22 and दावर thereon and सद या p 89. A genavilya (claute laying down a subsidiary matter) is not to be taken as subsidiary to another genavikya, as both are subsidiary to the main purpose and are of equal status. There are two rites viz. Agnyādheya

and Pavamāna offerings and it is stated that one of these is subordinate to the other. Both subserve the same purpose, viz. being employed in Daršapūrnamāsa and other sacrifices. A Vedic text states that vessels made of Varana and Vaikankata wood are fit for sacrifices, but a vessel of Varana wood is not to be used for homa while one of Vaikankata may be used. Both classes of vessels are subsidiary to sacrifices, but the text about not using Varana vessel in homa is of a general character. Therefore, one of the two clauses is not subordinate to the other, Hence Vaikankata vessels are to be used in yāgas in which homa is requisite, but Varana vessels are not to be used in such yāgas.

- सुरूपगोणयोश्च सुरूपे सप्रत्ययः ज्ञावर on जे III 2 1. Vide above गोणसुरूपयोश्च etc. सुरूपायचारे (or सुरूपालाभे) प्रातीनिधि ज्ञास्तार्थ. - जे VI 3 13-17; vide तिधितस्य p. 13, दत्त. भी p. 206.
- यथाक्षक्तिन्याय जै. VI 3 1-7, vide H of Dh vol. III p 373 and above pp 1163-64, धर्मद्वेतानिर्णय p 105, एका. तस्व pp 18, 26.
- पववराहाधिकरण जे. I. 3. 9 and above p 1293 note 2116
- पश्चीभयो. पक्षयोद्धेंपे। न तमेकश्चीद्यो भवति or यश्चोभ ... नासावेक पक्षं निवर्तयति or पश्चो ... नासावेकस्य वाच्य. —Vide इावर on जै. VIII, 3 7 and 14 (pp 1616, 1619) and X 1 25 p 1816.
- यस्य येनार्थसभ्वन्ध इति न्यायात् This is part of the verse यस्य येनाभिसभ्वन्धो दूरस्थेनापि तस्य स । अर्थतो हासमर्थानामानन्तर्यमकारणम्। q by न्यायसुधा (p. 1076) on तन्त्रवा III. 1 27 as वृद्धश्रहोक, तन्त्रवा p 744 has यस्य .. सम्यन्ध इति न्यापात् Vide above p 1298 a 2124 This न्यायाद employed also in works on Poetics, e g. the स्यक्तिविवेक-स्यारच्या p. 39 (TSS) and by अभिनवभारती on नाट्यशास्त्र (G O. S. vol I. p 210 'तथापि यस्य येनार्थसम्बन्ध इत्यर्थक्रम आदर्तस्यो न शब्द इति')
- याबद्धसनं वास्विकस् Vide ज्ञावर on जे. V 4 11 (यात्र क्ष न तत्र न्यायः क्षतते) and on V 3 12 (याव.. क्ष न सहशास्यसंकामति). The idea is in the case of an authoritative text that much only is to be accepted as covered by it which is expressed by the words used and that it should not be made applicable to other cases on the ground of similarity or analogy. Vide तन्त्रवा on जे III 5.19. भामती on वे स् IV 1.4 and on IV. 3.4; मेखा on मह X. 127 applies this maxim. Vide H. of Dh. vol. II p. 469 n 1101.
- युगपद्गृत्तिद्वयविरोधन्याय One and the same word in a vidit cannot be taken to have been used at the same time in the primary sense as well as in the secondary sense. Vide जे III. 2 1 and ज्ञान् thereon, व्य. म p, 92 and notes there on by the present author (pp 129-131), नायभाग III. 30 p 67 and H of Dh. vol III, p 725 note 1399 and above pp. 1292-93 notes 2112-14
- योगसिद्धचिकरण जै IV 3 27-28 The ज्योतिष्टीम does not simultaneously bring out all the rewards but only one after another. The word occurs in sutra 28 itself and योगसिद्धि means पर्याय according to ज्ञाबर. Vide भेषा on मह XI. 220, छाद्धितन्त्र p. 239 (for explanation), प्राय. वि. p. 78 and पाप मकरण of भवदेव (p. 18).

- रथकाराधिकरणश्याय Vide जे VI. I 44-50, मी न्या प्र p. 113 and above p 1290 n 2110 and परा मा I. 1. p 48.
- राजिसजनपाय जे IV. 3, 17-19 and H, of Dh. vol IV, p. 602 note 1367. above p 1227 n 1995 and दत्त मी p 207, भामती on ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे स् I 1 4 p, 111 as part of the Purvapalsa
- हार्देगोममपहरति This means that the conventional meaning of a word is to be preferred to the etymological meaning as in the case of the word 'ratbakāra' in Jai VI 1 44 ff, tide परा सा. I. 1 p, 300. As against this one must note another nyāya sometimes relied upon viz, योगसम्भवे परिभाषाया अञ्चलतात् (used by the मिता on या II. 143 about the meaning of the word ह्रीधन), Vide H of Dh vol II p 780 n 1508 and the मी. न्या. म. pp 112-113
- रेवस्याधिकरणन्याय जी. II 2. 27 and सी न्या प्र pp 40-42
- लक्षणा हारहएकरूपनाया ज्यायसी Vide ज्ञाबर on जै I 1, p. 7 and I. 4, 2 p. 324.
- वर्चोन्याय जे III 8 25-27. In द्र्शृपूर्णमास the adhvaryu priest recites 'ममाग्ने वर्चो विद्वेद्वस्ति' (मे सं. I 4.5). The reward, however, goes to the sacrificer and not to the adhvaryu, as the latter works for a fee,
- वाजिपेयन्याय जे 1. 4 6-8 In the sentence वाजिपेयन स्वाराज्यकामी यजेत, वाजिपेय is the name of a yaga and does not lay down any detail about a sacrifice, mentioned in Mit on Yaj. I. 81.
- षार्चमीरियाय जे III. 1 23 Tar S. II. 5. 2. 5 lays down that the Vartraghni verses are recited on Paurnamāsi and the Vrdhanvatī verses on Amāvāsjā These two are prescribed in relation to sacrifices that require the recitation of two anuvākyās There is only one anuvākyā at the Darśa or Paurnamāsī rite; therefore these verses cannot be used in Darśapūrnamāsa. But two anuvākyās are known to be used in the Ājyabhāgas, which are subsidiary offerings in Darśa-Pūrnamāsa. Therefore, the two anuvākyās 'Vārtraghnī' and 'Vrdhanvatī' are to be connected with Ājyabhāgas alone and not with the principal rite.
- विधिविक्तगदाधिज्ञरण—Vide above pp 1239-40 note 2015; दायभाग II. 30 (स्थादरे द्विपदं ... न विक्तयः) remarks 'कर्तस्यपदमवड्यमज्ञास्याहार्यम्) This is a विधि, though there is no word expressing exhortation.
- विश्वजिल्याय जे IV. 3 15-16. Where no fruit or reward is expressly prescribed for a sacrifice svarga is the reward. This is so with the Visvajit sacrifice in which the sacrificer had to donate all that he owned at the time of the sacrifice, mentioned by भेधा on महा II. 2, परा. मा I 2 p. 148, एका. तथा p. 23.
- विधी हक्षणा अन्यादया—Vide क्षवर on जे 1. 2. 29, IV. 4 19 and the न्याय ' न विधी पर क्षव्दार्ध : above महमासतत्त्व (p. 760) relies on this
- र्थभदेशन्याय र्ज I 4. 13-16 वैश्वदेव is the first parvan. of the four parvans of Cālvīrīāsjas It is a name (nāmadheja) and not a gunavidhi. It is employed in the इस भी p. 239
- वेश्वान्साधिकस्णन्याच Vide आतेष्टिन्याय.
- शास्त्रान्तरन्याय -- र्ज. II 4 8-33 It is the same as सर्वशास्त्रायस्त्रयम्याय below.

- श्वतिलक्षणाविद्यां च श्वतिन्यांच्या न लक्षणा Vide द्यावर on IV. 1.23, IV.1.46, IV 2-30.
- षोडशिन्याय -- जै X. 8 6, vide above p, 1249 n 2030 on अतिरात्रे पोडशिनं गृहाति and नातिरात्रे... गृह्वाति, अर्थसंग्रह p. 24.
- संयोगपृथक्तन्याय जै. IV. 3 5-7 The word संयोगपृथक्त occurs in जै IV. 3 5 itself; vide above p 86 note 217, मेधा on महु II 107, परा मा I. 1.60, प्राय तस्व p 474, एका तस्व pp. 29-30, तिथितस्व p 44, नि. सि. p. 84.
- सफ़ुत्कृते फ़ुत क्राह्मार्थ: Vide क्षवर on जै. XI. 1. 28 and XII. 3. 10, employed in एका तस्त्र p. 32 and उद्घाहतस्त्र p. 133, it is mentioned in the महाभावय on पार्तिक 4 on पा VI 1 84 This nyāya has a limited application and often the maxim निमित्ताभृत्तौ etc. (i. e. repetition) applies
- सफुच्छूत शन्दस्तमेवार्थं गमयति—Vide दायभाग III. 29-30 p 67, मद पा p. 369, accepted in 6 Cal. 119. 126 (F. B) and in L. R. 41 I A p. 290 at pp. 303-4
- समें स्यादश्चतित्वात् This is the पूर्वपक्षसूत्र in जै. X. 3 53-55, but it is held generally applicable unless there is a special or express provision for unequal distribution. This is followed in मिता on याज्ञ. II. 265, द्वायमाग IV 8 p 80 (on स्त्रीधनविभाग), स्मृतिन्व (II pp 152 and 285), कुल्लूक on मद्य III 1. (सम स्यादश्चतत्वादिति न्यायेन मित. हादशवर्षाणि व्यवान्वरणम्), परा मा I. 2 p 362, मद्वनरस्त (on ब्यवहार) p. 204.
- समदशसामिधेनीन्याय जै III 6. 9 Passages like समदश सामिधेनीरस्ज्ञायात् in Ait Br 1. 1 that occur without being attached to any particular sacrifice are applicable only to vikrtis and not to the model (prakrti) sacrifice, vide मिता on या 1.256
- सर्वेपरिदानाधिकरण जै III. 4 17 based on Tai. S. II. 6 10 1-2 which prohibits threatening or striking a brahmana Vide H of Dh vol. III. p 515 note 937-938 and प्राय तस्व p. 479, प्राय वि p 6
- सर्वशक्तच्यधिकरणन्याय Vide यथाशक्तिन्याय and एका तस्त्र pp 18, 26
- सर्वेज्ञाखामत्ययन्याय जै. II. 4. 8–33. Vide H. of Dh vol. III p. 870 note 1693, vol IV. pp 89–90 note 211, pp. 453–55 note 1011 and मिता. on या। III. 325, अपरार्क p 1053, स्मृतिच. (I p 5), मद् पा. pp 11 and 91, जुद्धितस्व pp. 378, 380 and above p. 1273 n 2074
- सामान्यविशेषन्याय—Vide श्वर on जै VII. 3 16 (बाध्यते च सामान्यं विशेषेण) and तन्त्रवा. p 1030 on जै III. 6 9 'तन्न नाम विशेषेण सामान्यस्य निराक्तिया। प्रत्युक्षी यन्न सम्बन्धो विशेषेण प्रतीयते। तुल्यप्रमाणको हि विशेषो बाधको भवति न दुर्वेछ-प्रमाणकः and p. 1120, vide for examples स्मृतिच (on ब्यवहार pp 142, 299, 381) and प्रा मा. I. p. 233.
- सामध्याधिकरण जै. I 4 25 Vide above p 1291 note 2111.
- सारस्वती भवतः जै V 1 14, vide above pp. 1315-16 n 2164 and स्मृतिचः (on ध्यवहार p 297), सुबोधिनी (on पितरौ) on p 72 (text) and p 183 (tr by Mr. J. R Gharpure)
- सार्थक्यन्याय जै. I 2.1 and 7, vide p. 1284 and ज्ञाबर on जै II. 2 6 and on III 1 18 (on आनर्थक्यात्तदङ्गेषु). अनर्थक means either 'meaningless' or 'purposeless'.

- सुवर्णधारणन्याय जे III. 4. 20-24. In ते. जा. II. 2. 4. 6 there is a passage not connected with any specific sacrifice viz सुवर्ण हिर्चण भाषेम्। (one should wear bright gold) This is a purusadharma and not a sarvaprakaranadharma. Vide मिता, on या. II 135-136, where this is used as an argument against the view that all wealth is for yayña.
- द्यक्तवाकरपाय Vide जै. III, 2 16-19 and प्रस्तर्महर्णन्याय (p 1346) for the meaning of सुक्तवाक and प्रस्तर In these surras it is established that the whole सुक्तवाक is not to be recited in both Paurnamasa-işti and Darsa-işti, but only those portions of it that refer respectively to the deities of the two istis.
- रथालीयुलाकन्याय The word रथालीयुलाक occurs in Jai VII. 4 12 itself, vide शवर on जै. VIII. 1. 11 and तन्त्रवा on जै. III. 5 19 p. 998 The महाभाष्य knew it since it says on वार्तिक 15 to पा I. 4 23 'पर्याप्ती होक. युलाकः स्थाल्या निदर्शनाय'.
- रधूणानिखननश्याय Fixing a post firmly in the earth by frequently pushing it down and about, occurs in ज्ञाबरभाष्य on ज्ञे. VII 2, 1 and ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on बे, स् II, 1 34 and III 3, 53
- स्वर्गकामाधिकरण जै. VI 1. 1-3, referred to in H. of Dh vol. IV. p. 169.
- ऐतुविज्ञगदाधिकरण जै I, 2 26-30. Vide H, of Dh, vol III. p. 676 and notes 1276-77, विश्वस्प on या. III. 263, सलमासतन्त्र p. 760 for application.
- होलाकाधिकरण जै. I. 3. 15-23. Vide above p. 1281 n 2093 for explanation

SECTION VIII

Relation of Sānkhya, Yoga and Tarka to Dharmaśāstra

CHAPTER XXXI

Dharmasastra and Sankhya.

Sānkhya is one of the well-known six daršanas (philosophical points of view)

Śankarācārya in his bhāsya 2203 on V. S. II 2.17 says that Manu and others who were deeply versed in the Veda took up to some extent in their works the doctrine of madhana being the cause of the world with the idea of relying on that part of its doctrine viz. the effect is (already) existent in the cause. Similarly, on V. S. I. 4. 28 he says that great effort was made by the Sütrakara and himself for refuting the doctrines of the Sankhva system (and not for the refutation of the theory of atoms being the cause of the world), since the Sankhya system is near to the Vedanta as it accepts the view of the non-difference of cause and effect and as some Dharmasûtra writers like Devala have had resort to it in their works. On V. S. II. 1.3 Sankarācārya remarks that, though there are many smrtis dealing with metaphysical topics, great effort was made for the refutation of Sankhya and Yoga only, since the two systems are well-known in the world as means of securing the highest goal of man, as they have been accepted by sistas (respectable and learned people) and are supported by Vedic indications in their favour (as in Śvetāśvatara Up. 'tat-kāranam sānkhyayogābhipannam' VI. 13). It would be shown later on that Manu and Devala rely on and propound (some) Sänkhya doctrines.

^{2208.} प्रधानकारणवाद्दो वेदविद्धिरिप कैश्चिन्मन्यादिमि. सत्कार्यत्वायंशोपजीवनाभि-गायेणोपनिवाद्ध । अय तु परमाणुकारणवाद्दो न कैश्चिद्धपि शिष्टै. केनचिद्धप्येशेन परिगृष्टीत इत्यत्यन्तमेवानादरणीयो वेदवादिभि । शङ्कर on वे सू II. 2 17 (अपरिग्रहाद्यात्यन्तमन-पेक्षा), "ईक्षतेनाशब्दम्"-इत्यारम्य प्रधानकारणवादः सूत्रेरेन युन. युनराशब्दम्य निराकृतः तस्य हि पक्षस्योपोद्धलकानि कानिज्ञिल्लिङ्गाभासानि वेदान्तव्यापातेन मन्दमतीन्प्रतिभानतीति। स च कार्यकारणानम्यत्वार्युप्यमात्प्रत्यासको वेदान्तवादस्य । देवलमभूतिभिक्ष कैश्चिद्धर्म-सूत्रकारिः स्वग्रन्थेण्वाश्चितस्तेन तर्रमतिषेषे यरनोऽतीव कृतो नाण्वादिकारणवादमतिषेषे।।। शाङ्कर-भाष्य on वे. स I. 4, 28.

It would not be irrelevant to say a few words about the origin and development of the Sankhya system. This (rise of Sankhya) is one of the most difficult problems in Indian philosophy. A good many works and papers have been written on the Sankhya system, 2100 As to what the original Sankhya teaching revised in the Sankhyakarika of Isvarakısna was, no generally accepted answer can be given. From the 5th century A. D at least the Sankhyakarika of Isyarakısna has been regarded as the foremost exponent of the Sankhya. The Sankhyakārīkā and a commentary on it were translated into Chinese about 546 A D by Paramartha, originally a brahmana of Bhāradvāja gotra and a śramana from Ujjayinī (vide BEFEO, 1904. p 60). Sankarācīrya on V. S I. 4. 11 quotes the whole of the 3rd verse of the Sankhvakarika and the first quarter of it on V. S. I 4. 8. But the Sankhya system, it appears, went through saveral phases. Chinese sources say that there were eighteen Sānkhya schools (p 2 of Johnston's "Early Sānkhya," quoting Takakusu in BEFEO, 1904, p. 58).

There is the Sānkhyasūtra or Sānkhyapravacanasūtra attributed to Kapila (published with two commentaries viz that of Aniruddha and parts of the *Tīkā* of Vedāntin Mahādeva edited by Garbe in B I. Series 1881). This is a late work composed about 1400 A. D as shown by Garbe in his Introduction and by

^{2209.} Those interested in Sankhya may consult the following: Fitz-Edward Hall's 'Introduction to Sankhya-pravacana-bhasya' (B. I. Series 1856); 'Sankhya-Karıka' exposition of the system of Kapıla, translation and notes by John Davies (first published in 1881, 2nd ed in 1957, Calcutta), Richard Garbe's 'Die Sankhya Philosophie', 1894, and Introduction to his edition of the Sankhyapravacana-bhasya of Vijñanabhiksu in the Harvard Oriental Series; 'Six systems of philosophy' by Prof Max-Muller ed. of (1903) pp. 219-330, 'the Philosophy of the Upanishads' by Paul Deussen translated by A S Geden (1906) pp 239-255. Prof. A B Keith's Sankhya system (1924); 'Early Sankhya' by E. H. Johnston (Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain, 1937). Das-Gupta's 'Indian Philosophy', Vol. I, pp. 208-273 (1922), Dr. Radhakrishnan's 'Indian Fhilosophy'. Vol. II, pp 248-335 (1927) and 'Philosophy, Eastern and Western', Vol. I, pp. 242-257, 'Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanisads' by Prof A. B Keith, Vol. II, pp. 535~551, 'Beginning of Epic Sankhya' by Dr W. Ruben in ABORI, Vol 37 (1956) pp. 174-189, 'Sankhya in the Moktaparva ' b) Shri Jayadev Yogendra in J of Bom. Uni. (1957), Vol. 26, New Series, Arts number, pp. 123-141; 'Studies in Sznihya, Pancasikha and Caraka' in ABORI Vol 38, pp. 140-147 and 'Studies in Sankhya', the teaching of Pañcadha in the Mahabharata ' ABORI, Vol. 38, pp. 233-244, both by Shri V. M. Bedekar,

Fitz-Edward Hall in his learned Introduction to the edition of the Sankhyapravacana-bhasya (B. I. Series, 1856). an edition of 23 sutras called Tattvasamasa with a commentary called Kramadipikā in the Chowkhamba Sanskrit series which has also published several other brief late works that are passed over here. Several commentaries on the Sankhyakarika have been published. The earliest appears to be the one translated into Chinese by Paramartha about 546 A.D., which has been reconstructed into Sanskrit from the Chinese by the learned Pandit Aiyaswami Sastri and published (in 1944) in the Sri. Venkatesvara Oriental Series with a valuable Introduction: another commentary styled Matharavetti was published (in 1922) in the Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series. Dr. Belvalkar (in ABORI vol. V. pp. 133-161) contributed a long and scholarly paper on the Matharavrtti in which he holds that the Matharavrtti is the original of the commentary translated into Chinese by Paramartha together with some accretions made from time to time, that Gaudapāda's commentary is a simplified abridgment of the Matharavetti (p. 148), that the Matharavetti cannot be placed later than 450 A.D. (p. 155) and that Isvarakrsna cannot be assigned to a date later than 250 A. D (p. 168). Prof A. B. Keith in 'Sānkhya system' (p. 51) places Īśvarakrsna at a date not later than 325 A.D. Another early commentary is the Yuktidipikā of an unnamed author edited from a single ms. by Shri Pulipabehari Chakravarti in Calcutta Sanskrit Serios (1938). This is a very valuable commentary and has been very competently edited even from a single ms, though there are a few gaps here and there. That commentary is replete with quotations and polemical discussions, mentions several Sankhya teachers who differed among themselves and whose opinions are cited on many topics. For example, vide under Vindhyavasin It mentions some ācāryas that are hardly ever mentioned in any Sankhya work. It frequently cites an author called Pañcādhikarana (vide pp. 6, 108, 114, 132, 144, 147, 148 where two Aryas apparently of Pancadhikarana are quoted). Another hitherto unknown Sankhyacarya mentioned by this com. is Paurika on p. 169 and p. 175, who holds the surprising view 2210 that there is a separate madhāna for each Purusa. Patanjali is frequently mentioned on pp. 32 (who denied the existence of

^{2210.} प्रतिपुरुषमन्यत् प्रधानं शरीराद्यर्थे करोति । तेषा च माहारम्यशरीरप्रधान यदा प्रपति तदेतराण्यपि, तक्षिप्रत्ती च तेषामपि निवृत्तिरिति पीरिकः सारुयाचार्यो मन्यते। युक्तिः p. 169.

Ahankāra), 108, 132 (there are 12 karanas and not 13 as S. Kārikā in verse 32 asserts), 145, 149, 175; Vārsaganāh (in the plural) are mentioned on pp. 39, 67, 95, 102, 133, 145, 170; Vārsagana (pp. 6, 108) and Vārsaganavīra (on pp. 72, 108, 175) styled bhagavān on p 72 and Vrsaganavīra (on p. 103 probably meaning 'the son of Vrsagana'); all these refer to the views of Vārsaganas Paūcaśikha (p. 31 in the plural, p. 61, p. 175) is mentioned and one passage which is quoted in the Vyāsabhāsya (on Y. S. I 4) and ascribed to Paūcaśikha by Vācaspati is quoted by the Yuktidīpikā on p. 41 as Śāstra. From pp. 113 and 129 it appears that the author of the com. was a Vedāntin. 2211 It is probable that he flourished between 500 and 700 A. D., since he quotes (on p 39) Dinnāga's definition of 'pratyaksa' and is not cited by Vācaspati and other commentators on the Sānkhya

Gaudapāda composed a commentary on the S. Kārikā but only on 69 verses published in the Ch. S. Series. The Commentary called Sankhyatattvakaumudi by the famous writer Vacaspatimiśra was published in the Chowkhamba S. S in 1919. A commentary called Jayamangalā ascribed to Sankarācārya (in the colophon) on the S. Kārikā was published at Calcutta in 1933 by Sri H. Sarma with a brief but interesting Introduction by Principal Gopinatha Kaviraj (vide also I. H. Q. vol. V. pp. 417-431) where Sri H. Sarma tries to prove that the Jayamangalā is earlier than Vācaspatimiśra. Vijūānabhiksu composed (about 1550 A.D.) a bhāsya on the Sankhyapravacanasūtra. Sütrakāra and Vijūānabhiksu make efforts to establish the impossible thesis that the teachings of the Sankhya system are not in irreconcilable conflict with the doctrine of a personal God or with the Advaita Vedānta The Mātharavrtti on S. Kārikā 71 furnishes the names of certain teachers that flourished between Paūcašikha and Īsvarakrsna viz Bhārgava. Ulūka (Kaušika?), Vālmīki, Hārīta, Devala and others, while the Jayamangalā mentions Garga and Gautama as Sankhya teachers after Pancasikha (vide note one in Pandit Aiyaswami's edition on p 99). The Sanskrit commentary reconstructed from the Chinese version of Paramartha (p. 98 of Pandit Aiyaswami's edition

!

1

²²¹¹ सङ्गल्यम्होरिजज्ञविषयम् गृहण्यो हि अयं यतिरिन्द्रियाणामस्य करणस्य च प्रशासिन प्रश्योति । एकाग्र एकारामोऽनिद्यापर्वणोऽतिकास्तः परस्य बह्मण परयनन्तरो भवति p 113: मीर धर्नादिषु प्राणस्तव्यनिपकाषकान्तः सस्वारामो विनिष्ठसाभिमानो ज्ञानिषठ सरिष्ठार्यगीनरिचरेण परं ब्रह्मायपद्यन हति । p 123

states that the line of teachers and pupils from Pañcaśikha is: Pañcaśikha-Gārgya-Ulūka-Vārsagana-Īśvarakrsna. It is clear from the above that several teachers, in any case not less than five or six, intervened between Pañcaśikha and Iśvarakrsna. From a somewhat mutilated passage 2212 of the Yuktidīpikā (p. 175) it appears that at least ten persons intervened between Pañcaśikha and Īśvarakrsna. If this be accepted and if Īśvarakrsna be assigned to about 250 A.D., then Pañcaśikha cannot be placed later than the first century B. C. and may be much earlier still. On 'tena ca bahudhā krtam tantram' (S. Kārikā 70) the Yuktidīpikā speaks of bhagaval Pāncaśikha as 'daśama-kumāra' (tenth son of Prajāpati?) and states that he expounded the sāstra to many persons such as Janaka and Vasistha and thereby identifies Pañcaśikha with the teacher Pañcaśikha mentioned by the Śāntiparva (vide note 2186 below).

Vācaspatimiśra in his commentary on the Yogasūtrabhāsya (II. 23) sets out eight alternative views among Sānkhya writers on the question of 'darśana' and 'adarśana' and remarks that the fourth out of the eight alternatives is the real doctrine of the Sānkhyaśāstra ²²¹³ From about the 5th century A.D. the Sānkhyakārikā has been regarded as the standard work on the Sānkhya system. The S. Kārikā itself states that the holy śāstra was expounded by Kapilamuni to Asuri, who expounded it to Pāncaśikha and the latter expounded the (Sānkhya) system to several disciples and that it came down to Īśvarakrsna in a succession of teachers and disciples, who summarised it in Āryā

^{2212.} अम्य तु झान्त्रस्य भगज्ञीऽयं प्रधुक्तन्त्रात् न झान्त्रस्वत यझः झज्ये। वर्षमर्टसः रच्याग्र्यातुम् । संक्षेपेण तु झानु ... (४२०) हारीन-नाङ्गत्रि-करात-पंतिक-ऋषमेश्वर-पद्माधिः करण-पत्र अत्रि-वार्षगण्य-काण्डिण्य-मुकाद्यिकझिव्ययरम्परयागत etc । युक्तिः p 175.

^{2213.} On 'इत्यंते आखगता विकत्पाः' of त्यासभाव्य on योगस्त्र II. 23 याचम्पति remarks: 'तदेव जिक्तरप चतुर्थ जिक्तप सीकर्तिमतेरपं जिक्तपानां मार्ग्यशास्त्रगतानां मर्वेष्ठरप्रसाधारण्येन भागपंत्रास्त्रगतानां मृत्रप्रसाधारण्येन भागपंत्रास्त्रगतानां स्व

^{2214.} एतन्यविज्ञमध्ये सुनिरासुर्येऽद्युक्तस्यया प्रद्रद्वी। आसुरिरिष पश्चिक्षिण्य तेन च चसुधा छुन तन्त्रम् । डिाट्यप्रस्पर्याग्रत्तमीश्वरङ्ख्या चन्द्रम् । मंशितमार्यमतिना मस्पित्रम् । स्रित्रमार्यमतिना मस्पित्रम् । स्रित्रमार्यमतिना मस्पित्रम् । स्रित्रमार्यमतिना मस्पित्रम् । स्रित्रमार्यमतिना मस्पित्रम् । स्रित्रम् । स्रित्यम् । स्रित्रम् । स्रित्रम् । स्रित्रम् । स्रित्रम्

verses. Kapila-muni is here said to be the first promulgator of the Sānkhya.

Before proceeding further the most characteristic and fundamental conceptions of the standard Sankhya must be set out. The most fundamental conception is that there are two entirely distinct essences existing from eternity, viz. makrt: (i.e. nature or matter) also called pradhana and avyakta, and pur usa (soul or spirit, the knowing subject). The 2nd fundamental conception is that purusas are many. Another most characteristic feature of the Sankhya system is the doctrine of three 2215 gunas (factors) called sattra (light, intelligent), rayas (energetic, active. domineering), tamas (coarse, inert, enveloping, dark). Pradhāna or prakrti or avyakta is said to be constituted by the three gunas (trigunātmaka) when they are in equilibrium (Sattvarajastamasām sāmyāvasthā). The Sānkhya analysed all physical and mental phenomena. The lowest factor is the heavy impenetrable matter and coarse foolish impulses. They are called tamas (described as guru, heavy and varanaka, enveloping). Then there is the factor of constant change in the physical world as well as in the mental. This is called rajas (cala, changing and upastambhaka, exciting, as the S. Kārikā puts it). The third factor or element in the world is the varied operation of consciousness that leads to knowledge and experience, which is called Sattra (laghu, light as opposed to the coarse, merely material objects and prakāśaka, luminous, as contrasted with tamas). These three factors or elements combine in various proportions and make up the evolving universe. The three are called gunas from several points of view viz they are qualities, they also bind as with a rope the Purusa to samsura. The basis of the universe is to be found in the gunas. Pradhāna

^{2215.} सस्यं लग्न मकाक्षाक्रमिष्टस्पष्टमकं चर्लं च रजः। ग्रुष बरणकमेव तमः प्रदीपवज्ञाधितो पृत्तिः॥ सां काः 13. ग्रुणं are so called because they multiply
(ग्रुणंचतीति) and evolve objects Compare 'मोहात्मकं तमस्तेषा रज एपा प्रार्तकम् ।
प्रकाराबेहुल्लाज्ञ सस्य ज्याय प्रशेष्यते॥ वनपर्व 212 4 and गीता 14.5-18 for a discourse on the three gunas, particularly the following 'सस्यं रजस्तम इति ग्रुणाः
प्रजीतस्थाः। V.5. तज्ञ सन्यं निर्मल्यात् प्रकाशकमनामयम्। सुखसङ्गेन परनाति ज्ञानसङ्गेन
पान्य । verse 6. रज्ञो रामात्मकं विद्धि तृष्णासङ्गसम्बद्धवम्। verse 7. On वे स् II 2 10
पान्य । verse 6. रज्ञो रामात्मकं विद्धि तृष्णासङ्गसम्बद्धवम्। verse 7. On वे स् II 2 10
पान्य । verse 6. रज्ञो रामात्मकं सिद्ध तृष्णासङ्गसम्बद्धवम्। प्रवाद contradictions in the
वीव्यक्त विद्याः। भाग जिल्लात्मक्तम्माद्धसाय सांल्यानामम्यपाम । क्रवित्यतिन्दियाण्यव्यक्षामन्ति
प्रणानि गर्णानि व्यक्तिकाति।। The seven undriyas would be the slin, five
larmendrias and mind, the three autablarmas vould be buddhi, abriblara

is not different from the gunas, but it is only the name of the primordial matter before evolution starts. Prakrti being anādi and eternal, the Sankhya system did not postulate God as creator, and held God to be a superfluity. The Sankhya propounds a theory of cosmic evolution which is practically as reasonable as any modern theory of evolution. It is probably the earliest attempt to furnish an answer from reason alone to the questions about the origin of the world, about the nature of man and his relation to the physical world and man's future destiny. In the nineteenth century mind and matter were held to be entirely diferent and atoms were supposed to be indivisible. Modern physics has reduced matter to energy but the nature of this last also is a mystery. Under the Sankhya system, purusa or prakti or both serve no religious purpose. There is no definite or convincing answer as to why purusa becomes entangled in the web of prakrti, The Sankhya simply says that as a result of non-discrimination purusa somehow becomes entangled. The Vedantasūtra refers to pradhāna as smārta in I. 2. 19 and as 'ānumānika' in I. 4. 1. From Prakrti evolves $mah\bar{a}n$ (i. e. buddhi, consciousness) from which arises ahankara, from which evolve on the one hand the five tanmātras (subtle elements, viz. sabda, sparša, gandha, rasa, rupa) and on the other hand manas (mind) and the ten indrivas (sense organs). From the five subtle elements are evolved the five great elements, earth, water, tejas, vāyu and ākāśa (ether). These are twentyfour 2216 tattvas (categories) and purusa is 25th. Pradhāna is distinct from purusa and serves the purpose of purusa (who is passive and a sāksi) who is distinct from the evolutes of prakrti and is bhoktr (and not kartr). The Sankhya 2217 does not admit God Prakrti and purusa come together in order that the latter may see its working; just as a blind man and a cripple (a lame man) may come together (and the blind man may carry the lame man on his shoulder, the latter showing the way and both may reach their destination by this joint effort) 2218

²²¹⁶ In V. S. 1. 4 11 the pūrvapaksa is advanced as follows (after quoting Br. Up IV. 4. 17 'yasmin pañca pañcajana) तथा पञ्चविज्ञतिसंख्यया यावन्तः संख्येया आकाक्ष्यन्ते तावन्त्येव च तत्त्वानि साख्यैः संख्यायन्ते 'यूलमकृतिरविकृतिर्मह' दाद्याः प्रकृतिविकृत्त्य' सा। षोडशक्ष्य विकारी न प्रकृतिर्न विकृति पुरुष'॥'. This last yerse is सा. का 3.

²²¹⁷ The Sankhya-pravacana-sutra (I. 92-93) states 'ईश्वरासिखें'; सक्ताचद्धयोरन्यतराभावाक तसिद्धिः।'

^{2218.} पुरुषस्य दर्शनार्थ केंबल्यार्थ तथा प्रधानस्य । पङ्ग्बन्धबहुभयोरिय संयोगस्तरकृतः सर्गः । सां का 21 The sixty topics dealt with in the Sastitantra, according (Continued on next page)

purusa realizes the difference between himself ²²¹⁹ and the gunas constituting Prakrti he is liberated. Both Sānkhya and Yoga treat the external world as real. They both postulate plurality of souls (purusas), that are eternal and unchanging. This latter is one of the most crucial differences between Sānkhya and advaita Vedānta. It is not necessary for our purpose in this chapter to go into greater details. One more doctrine of the Sānkhya is called satkāryavāda i. e. the theory that the effect already exists in the cause and does not arise from nothing (S. Kārikā, verse 9); compare Chān. Up. VI. 2. 2 (kathamasatah sajjāyeta) and Gītā II. 16 (nāsato vidyate bhāvo).

It is difficult to assign a definite date to the Sānkhya-kārikā As Paramārtha translated the Kārikā as well as commentary on it into Chinese in about 546 A. D. the Kārikā cannot in any case be placed later than about 250-300 A D. It may be earlier by a few centuries. Umbeka in his commentary on the Ślokavārtika of Kumārila mentions an author called Mādhava as 'Sānkhyanāyaka' and Yuan Chwang also refers to a Sānkhya teacher called Mādhava. Dr. Raghavan in 'Sarūpa-Bhāratī' (pp 162-164) shows that Mādhava was really a destructive critic of Sānkhya, that the proper reading is 'Sānkhyanāśaka-Mādhava' and that he was probably earlier than even Dinnāga and Dharmarkīrti (i. e. earlier than 500 A. D.).

Sankarācārya in the passage quoted in n. 2208 remarks that certain Upanisad passages may be relied upon as apparently supporting the Sānkhya system. Some of the Upanisad passages that either foreshadow Sānkhya doctrines or employ words that have technical meanings, in the Sānkhya system would be

⁽Continued from last page)

to the युक्तिदीपिजा p 2 verses 10-12 and the राजवार्तिक quoted by Vacaspati in his commentary साल्यवस्वनीसदी, were: प्रधानास्तित्वमेजन्तमधिक्तमधान्यता। पाराध्यं च तथाऽनैक्यं वियोगी योग एव च। होपश्चित्कर्तृत्वं मौलिकार्थाः स्मृता दशः। विपर्वेष पदाधिक्तायोज्ञा नव तुष्टयः। करणानामसामध्यंत्रशिक्तियां मतम्। इति पष्टि पदार्थानामधि सर सिद्धिभिः । साल्यवस्त्रीसदी ed by Ganganatha Jha, Bombay, 1896) Vide सा ला. 47 for the enumeration of 50 topics (in addition to the ten basic ones in the verse प्रधानास्तिः) The अपिर्युक्त्यसंतिता in chap XII 20-29 ects out the sixty topics of Sanlbya-tantra, but they differ from those enumerated in the Rajavärtika quoted by Vacaspati

^{2219.} धर्मेण गमनमूर्ध गमनसधस्ताद्भवरयधर्मेण। ज्ञानेन चापउगाँ विपर्ययादिव्यते घरः । मां पारिका। 44, compare शीना 14 18 'उन्धें मन्द्रान्ति &ट.' ब्राह्मराचार्य on वे सू. 1. 4. 4 states 'क्षेपदीन च सांर्ये, प्रधानं स्नर्यते गुण्युक्पान्तर<u>ज्ञानारकेउल्</u>पमिति यदद्धि. ().

cited. 2220 But one passage from the Atharvaveda X. 8. 43 deserves notice, viz. the knowers of Brahma know that waksa endowed with atman which abides in the lotus with nine gates (the physical body) covered by three gunas,' With this may be compared Sv. Up. III. 18 and Gita V. 13 (navadyāre pure debi). The Mundaka Up, states 'From Him are born prana, mind, all indrivas, the five elements, and sky, wind, myotis (tejas). waters and the earth.' The Katha Up refers to indrivas, the objects of senses, mind. buddhi, mahan, avvakta, purusa as a rising series, similar to that of the Sankhya with one exception viz the Up, does not mention ahankura and holds that buddhi and makan are different, while the official Sankhya identifies them. It is, therefore, clear that the evolution in these two Upanisads is very similar to the theory of evolution stated by the classical Sankhya, the only difference being that the Upanisads postulate a supreme Creator (that produces the cosmos) while Sankhya drops the creator and accepts the evolutionary series only. On V. S I. 2. 12 Sankarācārya cites the verse 'dvā suparnā sayujā' (which is Mundaka Up. III 1. 1, Sv Up III. 1 and also Rg. I. 164. 20) and explains it, as referring to the individual self (jiva) and the Highest Spirit (paramatman) The acarva then mentions the argument of a predecessor who relies upon Paingirahasyabrahmana where the latter half of the verse is explained as mentioning sattva (buddhi) and ksetrajna (i. e. the individual self). This indicates that some took that verse as putting forward Sankhya ideas. The Katha Up III, 4 states that the description of the individual soul as the enjoyer (bhoktr) results

²²²⁰ पुण्डरीकं नवद्वारं त्रिभिर्ग्यणेभिरावृतम् । तरिमन्यद्यक्षमात्मन्यत तद्दे ब्रह्मविदो विद्र ॥ জাহাতি X, 8, 43 It is difficult to say what খুল here means That word also occurs in Rg IV. 3 13. V. 10. 4, VII 61 5, where सायण gives different meanings एतस्माज्ञायते प्राणो मन सर्वेन्द्रियाणि च । ख षायुज्येतिरापः प्रथिवी विश्वस्य धारिणी ॥ सुण्डकोप॰ II 1 3, डिन्झ्येन्यः परा हार्था अर्थेन्यश्च पर मनः । मनसरत् परा इद्धिई-द्धेरात्मा महान्पर ॥ महत परमन्यक्तमन्यक्तात्पुरुपः परः। पुरुषाञ्च परं किंन्दित्सा काष्टा सा परा मति:। कठोए III. (valli) 10-11. These occur with slight variations in बृह्यो-गियाञ्चवल्ययस्मृति IX. 184-186. On V. S I. 4.1 the Sankhya objector relies upon this Katha text as providing evidence of the Sankhya doctrines being based on the Veda, compare भगवद्गीता III. 42-43 Then ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे सू 12 12 cites द्वा सुर्गणा संयुक्ता सखाया समानं बृक्ष परिवस्वजाते। संगेरिकः पिष्पल स्वाद्वरयनञ्चलन्योऽभिन्वाकशीति ॥ सुण्डक III 1.1, श्वेताश्वतरोप॰ IV. 6 and द्म. I. 164.20) and states 'अपर आत्। द्वा सुपर्णा - इति॥ नेयसुगस्याधिकरणस्य सिद्धान्त भजते पैड्निस्हरयः बाह्मणेनान्यथा म्याख्यातत्वात्। तयोरेक पिप्पलं स्वाह्नतीति सत्त्वम्, अनश्चन्नत्यो अभि पद्यति ज्ञस्तावेतौ सत्त्वक्षेत्रज्ञो। This verse (द्वा सुपर्णा) is the subject of V S III. 3 34 also.

from the union of the atman with organs of sense and the mind. The Svetāsvataropanisad expressly 2221 refers to Sānkhya and Yoga (in VI, 13) and says that 'on realizing that cause which can be apprehended by means of the study of Sankhya and Yoga he is released from all bonds'. That Upanisad is full of words that are frequently employed in the Sankhya system such as 'avvakta' (I. 8), guna (V. 7 'sa višvarūpas-trigunah' and VI. 2, 4 and 16), jūa (v. 2, VI 17), prakrti ('māyām tu prakrtim vidyāt' IV. 10), purusa (I 2, III. 12, 13, IV. 7), pradhāna (I. 10, VI 10 and 16), linga (I 13, VI 9). The Sv. Up. (VI 11) describes the one God as 'sāksī cetā keyalo nirgunas-ca'. The Sankhva denies God and transfers these epithets to the Purusa. who in his true essence is, according to the Sankhya, merely a passive witness, pure intelligence, unaffected by gunas. The Prasna Up. (IV 8) speaks of the five elements and their matras (prthivi ca prthivimatra ca &c), the ten indrigas and their objects, manas, buddhi, ahankara &c. The Sankhyas rely on the verse 'ajāmekām' (Śv. Up. IV. 5)2222 for Upanisadic support to their theory about prakrti and its three gunas (vide bhāsya of

^{2221.} नित्यो नित्यान चितनश्चेतनानामेको बहुना यो निद्धाति कामान्। तत्कारणं साद्ययोगाधिमम्यं ज्ञात्न देवं गुरुयते सर्वपाद्याः॥ देवान्यः VI 13. The first half occurs in कठोप V 130. शहुराचार्य on दे, स् II 1.3 remarks 'यज्ञु दर्शनग्रक्तं नत्कारणं सार्ययोगाभिपक्तम् दृति, वैद्विकानेव तत्त्वज्ञानं ध्यानं च साद्ययोगशब्दाग्यामिल्रप्यते प्रत्यास्तिरियगन्तव्यम्॥ Compare गीता 13. 19 and 21 प्रकृति पुरुपं चैव etc. for the relation of पुरुप, प्रकृति and गुण; साक्षी is explained ay Panini as 'साक्षाद् स्पृष्टि सङ्गाणाम्' V 2 9 The word केव्हण्य, the simmum bonum of the Sankbya, is Uerived from केव्ह (which word occurs in Sv Up I. 11 and VI. 11) and means केव्हर्य भाव.

^{2222.} अजामेता होरितह्रक्रकृष्णा यही प्रजा: सजमानो सस्पा। अजी शिको ह्यमाणोऽन्तरीत जहारवेना स्क्रमोगामजोऽन्य ।। श्वेताश्व उप 4 5 This verse meta phorically speaks of Prakti, Purusa and Gunas acc, to the Sānkhya objector, अजा and अज ordinarily mean 'she-goat' and 'he-goat'. The words also mean 'unborn'. Therefore, ajā stands for Prakții and aja for Purusa, both of which are elemal acc to the Sānkhya system. Lohita (red) stands for the guna rojas, sukla (white) for sativaguna (which is prakāsaka) and krsna (dark) for tamas From Prakti are evolved numerous objects The latter half refers to a soul that is enveloped in ignorance and therefore lives in bondage, but one who has realised the distinction between gunas and furusa abindons prakții i e is liberated The relations of the numerous purusas (poetically represented as he-goats) to the one Prakti (represented as a she-goat) are mentioned in this verse These three colours really stand for three elements viz tegas, waters and food (i e, earth). Vide Chân, Up VI, 3 1 'uzh tilla evi domentagu uzuja azui urgeni azure :

Sankara on V. S. I. 4. 8). That verse means: there is one unborn (female), constituted by red, white and black, but producing manifold progeny resembling each other, there is one unborn being (a male) who resorts to her (loves her) and lies by her: there is another, who leaves her after having experienced Similarly, Sankhyas argue that Kapila, the enjoyment. founder of the Sänkhya system, is mentioned in the Sv. Un. (V. 2) 'it is he who, in the beginning, bears (nourishes) by thoughts Kapila sage when born and looks on while he is being born.' If one looks to various passages of the Sv. Up, such as III. 4. IV 12. VI. 18 one must hold that rsi Kapila. 2223 the reddish sage, is Hiranyagarbha (the golden child), the first creation (Hiranyagarbhah samavartatägre,' Rg X. 121.1). Śankarācārya (on V. S. II. 1.1) replies that from the mere occurrence of the word 'Kapila' one cannot at once jump to the conclusion that he must be the founder of the Sankhya, since another Kapila, called Vasudeva, who reduced to ashes the sons of Sagara by his angry look, is well-known. 2224 Sankarācārya concedes on V S. II 1.3 that both Sankhya and Yoga are within the pale of Vedic orthodoxy as regards those portions of them that are not in conflict with the Veda. The five elements (mahābhutāni) are mentioned in the Ait. Up. (III. 3). Prasna VI. 4 and the five special qualities of these five in Katha Up. (III, 15).

In view of the fact that the word 'Sānkhya' occurs in the Śv. Up, and that the Katha and Mundaka have some doctrines similar to the Sānkhya system and the Śvetāśvatara employs many words that occur frequently in the works on Sānkhya, the question arises—what is the relation of the Sānkhya to the Upanisads. There are three possible views, (1) that Upanisad thought and Sānkhya made parallel progress, (2) that Sānkhya adopted and expanded some of the germinal ideas

²²²³ या तु श्रुति कपिलस्य ज्ञानातिशयं प्रदर्शयन्ती प्रदर्शिता न तथा श्रुतिविरुद्धमिष् कापिलं मतं श्रुद्धातुं शक्यं कपिलमिति श्रुतिसामान्यमात्रत्वात् । अन्यस्य च कपिलस्य सगर-पुत्राणां प्रतप्तुर्वासुदेवनाञ्चः स्मरणात् । भाष्य on वे सु II. 1.1, येन त्वंशेन न विरुध्येते तेनेष्टमेव साख्ययोगस्सुत्योः सावकाशत्वस् । शङ्करान्वार्य on वे सु II. 1 3.

²²²⁴ In the Visnupurāna IV 4 12 Kapila is said to be a part of bhagavān Purusottama, who reduced to ashes the 60 thousand sons of Sagara, that suspected that the Asvamedha horse grazing near that sage must have been stolen by him (IV. 4 16-23) For Vāsudeva Kapila, vide Vanaparva 107, 31-33, where we read (if Chitrasālā ed.) 'ततः कुद्धो महाराज कापिले सुनिसत्तमः। वासुदेवित यं माहु' कापिले सुनिसुद्धव्यम्। ... ददाह सुमहातेजा मन्त्रदुद्धित् सं सागरान्।. The story is also mentioned in Vanaparva 47 (7-18)

of the Upanisads, (3) that some Upanisads borrowed from the Sānkhya. It is not possible to discuss these questions here for reasons of space and relevance. The present author holds that it is the Sankhya that based itself on the thoughts of the Upanisads, particularly when the older Upanisads like the Br. Up and Chandogya hardly exhibit any traces of Sankhya doctrines or technique, when Sankhya reference are found only in Katha, Mundaka, Svetāśvatara, Praśna (which are held to be later than Chan. Up. and Br. Up by modern scholarship) and when there is hardly any work or writer of purely Sankbya doctrines that can be assigned to a period even a few centuries earlier than the Christian era and when no one can place the principal Upanisads (about twelve, including the Svetāsvatara) later than 300 B C, since even the Sv. Up. is referred to as 'Śruti' in V. S I. 4, 8, II. 3 22 Garbe (in 'Die Sānkhya Philosophie pp 3 ff) denies that Sankhya underwent any essential modifications in its long history. Jacobi does not agree, holding that Sankhya originated out of a common cultural and philosophical heritage and Oldenberg sees the origin of Sānkhya in the Katha and Sv Up, and holds that epic Sankhya is an independent development (' Die Lehre der Upanishaden und die Anfange des Buddhismus', 1915, pp. 206 ff) Sānkhva and Yoga were known to Kautilya (Sankhyam Yogo Lokayatam cetyanviksiki' Arthasastra I 2. p 6.). Therefore, we may say that Sankhya as a philosophical school started at least before the 4th century B C.

We should now turn to Sanskrit works other than the Upanisads for seeing the origins of the Sanshya system. First comes the Mahābhārata.

In many passages of the Śāntiparva 1225 references to some of the doctrines, technical words and persons connected with the mature Sānkhya system occur. The references are so many that the present writer will have to select only a few passages by way of sample. In chap 203 there is a dialogue between a clever pupil and his guru. It begins by stating (in verse 8) that Vāsudeva is all this (Vāsudevah sarvam-idam), then it proceeds 'just as from one lamp thousands of lamps can proceed, so prakrti

^{2025.} I or the Santiparva only the entited edition of the Mahabharata issued by the LORI is cited in this section on Sankhya from this paragraph orwards. The quotations from other parvans are taken from the Cutasala Press edition.

creates endless things, but does not become reduced (in size) thereby: buddhi springs from the activity of avvakta (i.e. prakrti) and ahankāra is produced (from buddhi) and from ahankāra is evolved $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\dot{s}a$ from which $v\bar{a}uu$ arises and then teias, water and the earth arise each from its predecessor: these eight are the mulapraketis 2226 and the whole world is centred in them (verses 24-26). Then the chap, mentions five organs of knowledge (ear, skin, eye, tongue, sense of smell) and five senses of activity (hands &c) and then there are the five objects of sense (viz. sabda, sparsa &c) and the mind is all-pervading and the 16th (verses 27-31). Then the chapter speaks of purusa (the soul) that resides in the city with nine 2227 gates and is undecaying and immortal, which shines in all beings just as a lamp, whether big or small, does. In chapter 204 the same dialogue is pursued and the first verse says all bhūtas spring from avijakta and are merged (die) in avuakta, it refers to ksetra (the body) and ksetrajāa (in verse 14) and winds up by saying that, just as seeds burnt by fire do not grow, the soul is not again connected with klesas 2228 when they are burnt by the fire of (correct) In chap. 205, verses 22-23 speak of the characteristics of the three gunas; chap, 206 says that when a person brings under control anger, avarice, 2229 fear, pride and becomes pure he merges into the highest self that is Visnu in avyakta form and chap 207 deals with the measures for reaching the highest goal and puts forward brahmac arya (sexual purity) as

²²²⁶ मूलप्रकृतयोऽद्यो ता जगदेतास्ववस्थितम् ॥ ज्ञानेन्द्रियाण्यतः पञ्च पञ्च कर्मेन्द्रियाः पर्यपि । विषयाः पञ्च चैकं च विकारे पोडशं मन ॥ verses 26-27. Compare साह्य- कारिका 3 q above in n 2216 for पोडशंकश्च विकार etc.

²²²⁷ नवहार पुर पुण्यमेतिमधिः समन्वितम् । व्याप्य होते महानात्मा तस्मात्पुरुष उदयते ॥ ह्यान्ति 203 35 Compare also भगवद्गीता 'नवहारे पुरे देवी नैव क्षुर्वन् न कार्यम्' The word पुरुष is generally derived as पुरि होते हाति पुरुषः. Vide निरुक्तः I 13 यथा चापि मतीनार्थानि स्युस्तयेतान्याचक्षीरम् पुरुष पुरिहाय हत्याचक्षीरम् , but in II. 3 it gives three derivations of 'युरुषः पुरिषादः पुरिहायः पूर्यतेवां' (the first being पुरि+प from सह to sit) The derivation of the word from पुरि होते occurs ever in the हृद्ध एप. II. 4 18 'स ना अय पुरुषः सर्वाद्ध पूर्व पुरिहायः' The words नवहारे पुरे देवी occur in क्षेतान्यः III 18.

^{2228.} क्लेश is a technical term in the Yogasūtra where it occurs frequently as in I 24, II. 2 and 3, II. 12, IV 28 and 30. Yogasūtra II 3 enumerates the five kleśas as अविद्या-अस्मिता-राग-द्वेप-अभिनिवेशाः क्लेशाः , They are so called because they harass man (क्लिश्यन्ति पुरुषम्).

²²²⁹ क्रोधलोभो भय दर्प एतेषां साधनाच्छुचि.॥ <u>परम परमात्मान</u> देवमक्षयमध्यग्र। विष्णुमस्यक्तसंस्थानं विशस्ते देवसत्तमम्। chap 206, 1-2.

ne first measure. In these chapters, though some doctrines eculiar to the standard Sankhya of the Karika appear, they are all brought in line with the Vedanta of one supreme Being, which is negatived in the official Sankhya.

That there were philosophers, who adopted some of the Jankhya and Yoga doctrines, postulated Parameśvara (Supreme Deity) and held that the three, viz Pradhāna, Purusa and Īsvara were different from each other, is expressly stated by Sankaracārya^{2:30} in his bhāsya on V. S II. 2 37. Therefore, the Mahābhārata references to Sānkhya probably relate to philosophical doctrines in which all three viz Prakrti, Purusas and Supreme Self were recognized, from which arose the later official Sankhya that discarded any supreme Ruler of the Universe. In the Nārāyanīya section of the Sāntiparva (quoted in note 1544 p. 954 above) 'Sankhya, Yoga, Pancaratra, Vedas and Pasupata are said to be knowledges (jñānāni) and ' nānāmatāni ' (different points of view) and Kapila, a paramarsi, is said to be propounder of Sankhya. Chapter 294 (in verses 26-49) deals with the twenty-five tattvas of the Sankhya from Prakrti or Avyakta. mahat, ahankara, the five elements from ahankara (these eight being called prakrtis) and then 16 ukāras (verse 29). These are called ksetra, the soul is the 25th (v 35) and is called ksetrajña and Purusa (v 37 'avyaktake pure sete purusas-ceti kathyate', and Isvara or brahma is not brought in anywhere in that chapter

Chapters 211-212 (containing in all 100 verses) of Śāntiparva deal with what Janaka, called Janadeva, ²²³¹ king of Mithilā, learnt from Pañcasikha, when the latter, after wandering over the whole earth, visited Mithilā and who is described as the first (or foremost) pupil of Asuri and who is said to have performed a sattra for a thousand years on Pañcasrotas ²²³²

²²³⁰ तस्मात् प्रकृतिराधिष्ठाता केवल निमित्तकारणमीश्वर इत्येष पक्षी वेदान्तविद्वित-ब्रह्ममत्वमतिपस्रलाग्रान्नेनाच मतिपिश्यते। सा चेय वेद्वाह्येश्वरकल्पनानेकप्रकारा। केचिल्सास्य-योगस्यपाश्रयाः कल्पपन्ति मधानप्रकपयोराधिष्ठाता केवल निमित्तकारणमीश्वर इतरेतरविलक्षणाः भधानपुरुषेश्वरा द्विते। शाद्भरभाष्य on वे सू 11 2 37 (परसुरसामश्वस्यात्).

^{2231.} Janadeta may be a proper name of Janaka and may mean 'Lord of men' (i e same as 'Janadhipa')' In the Brhan-Nāradiya-purāna I. chap 45 the tame story about Janaka and Pañcasikha is narrated often in the words of the Sāntiparta.

^{2232.} Prēcairotas probably means 'Palicanada' (the five rivers of the Punjab) Vine II, of Dh. vol IV p 787. The cr ed of the Santiparva (Continue i on next page)

(verse 10). He was the son of a brahmana woman called Kanila and was therefore known as Kāpileya (verses 13-15). Janaka had a hundred ācāryas in his palace who propounded the different views of different sects. Verse 9 savs that Pancasikha made people wonder when he appeared like Kapila, the great sage (paramarsi) and Praiāpati, that he confounded the hundred ācārvas by his arguments (v. 17). Later Janaka abandoned those ācārvas and followed Pañcasikha (verse 18) creating disgust in Janaka about his caste or about rites and about everything, expounded to Janaka the highest moksa which is declared as Sānkhya. In chāp. 212 Pañcaśikha expounds five elements, five jūānendriyas, five karmendriyas, mind (verses 7-22) and the signs (lingas) of sattvika. raissa and tamasa bhāvas (verses 25-28) and describes how a person who seeks the Self is freed from the fetters of pleasure and pain and being beyond the fear of old age and death reaches immortality. In the first place, these two chapters are not sometimes very clear, offer no consistent clear-cut system and employ words that are difficult to construe and are not completely identical with the scheme of the 25 tattvas of the official Sankhva of the Kārikā, since chap, 211 verse 13 speaks of 'ekāksara brahma assuming many forms'. For example, it is difficult to say what is meant by 'purusāvastham-avyaktam'. The meaning that can be drawn out of the words seems to be 'he (Pañcasikha) conveyed knowledge (to Janaka) about Avyakta (i. e. Pradhāna) that depends upon Purusa (i. e that becomes active through union of Purusa with it), which is the highest truth. It further says that Pañcasikha became perfect (in knowledge) by the performance of istis and sattras, again by abounding tapas, had a vision of the deity (God) and he realized the distinction between ksetra and ksetrajūa and also realized brahma symbolized 2233 by om. There-

(Continued from last page)

omits one verse in chap. 211 (corresponding to chap. 218 of the Citrassia Press ed.), which is पञ्चलोतिस निष्णात. एञ्चरात्रविशास्त्र । पञ्चल पञ्चलुत्वज्ञाण पञ्चित्रित्वः स्मृतः॥ which is 11-12 in that chap Here पञ्चलित्वः is said to have been profoundly learned in पञ्चरात्र (चेंच्णव) doctrines. As he was called सापिलेय, it was probably imagined that his mother was Kapila.

^{2233.} तं समासीनमागम्य मण्डलं कापिलं महत्। पुरुषावस्थमध्यक्तं परमार्थे न्ययोधयत्। इप्टिसचेण संसिद्धी भूयश्च तपसा सुनिः। क्षेत्रक्षेत्रज्ञारोध्यक्तिं बुश्चधे देनदर्शनः॥ पतः देकाक्षरं ब्रह्म नानारूप प्रदृश्यते। ज्ञान्ति 211. 11–13, पुरुषावस्थं must be dissolved (in order that some sense can be made out of it) as पुरुषे अनस्था (अनस्थान परंथ) or 'पुरुषे अनतिष्ठते हति । The meaning of मण्डल कापिल महत् is not at all clear,

⁽Continued on next page)

fore, the doctrine of Pañcasikha in these chapters of Śāntiparva is really advarta (monism) on which are grafted some doctrines similar to the later official Sānkhya for explaining creation and so forth. 2231 In Śāntiparva 306. 56-66 (= Chitra ed. 318 58-62) Viśvāvasu tells Yājñavalkya that he has heard about the 25th tattva (i. e. Purusa) from Jaigīsavya, Asita-Devala, Vārsaganya (of Parāšara gotra), Bhrgu Pañcašikha, Kapila, Śuka, Gotama Ārstisena, Garga, Nārada, Āsuri, Pulastya, Sanatkumāra, Śukra and Kaśyapa (father of Viśvāvasu) and 336.65 (Chitra. ed 318.67) states that Yājñavalkya had mastered completely both Sānkhya and Yoga. Śāntiparva 304 4 (=316.4 of Citra. ed.) states that Sānkhya and Yoga are one 2335

Pañcasikha figures frequently in the Mahābhārata. In Śāntiparva, chap. 307 (containing only 14 verses) Yudhisthira asks Bhīsma how one can rise superior to old age and death,

(Continued from last page)

but from the passages in the Ahirbudhava-samhita, chap XII, 18-29 it follows that the doctrines of Kapila's Sankbya-tantra were divided into two mandalas called Prakta and Vankta and the two had 32 and 28 topics respectively. 'सांख्यस्वेण सङ्खल्यो वैद्यावः कापिलाहयेः। उदितो याहराः पूर्व ताहरां शृष्ट मेऽिरालम्। पष्टिभेदं स्मृतं तन्त्रं साख्यं नाम महासुने । प्राकृतं वैकृतं चिति मण्डले हे समासतः ॥१. The com. Arjunamista appears to take it as 'the great doctrine of Kapila came to him (Pañcasikha) in the form of an aura of light and expounded to him the highest truth' etc. This is extremely far-fetched. There is a doubt about the agent of 'nyabodhayat and as to whom 'samasinam' refers. To me it appears that the meaning is that 'Parcasilha came to him (i e to Japaka) and imparted to him the great Kapila mandala, the highest truth, the avyakta etc ' According to the usual sanskrit construction the agent of 'agamya' and 'nyabodhayat' must be the same (i e Pañcasikha). समासीनं refers to जनक Compare 'एकासरं परं ब्रह्म' मन II 83 and 'ओमिरपेकाक्षरं ब्रह्म स्वाहरन मामलुस्मरन । भीता VIII 13. Verse 13 of chap, 211 is आस्रिसेण्डले तरिमन मतिपेदे तदःपयम्। (अन्ययं refers to एकाक्षर-ब्रह्म) Therefore, मण्डल should be taken to mean 'the circle of the doctrines first propounded by Kapila'

^{2234.} The position reached by Janala on Pañcasikha's teaching is stated in Santi 212. 50-51 'न राष्ट्र मम सुपोऽपि द्यातेडव स्ययमिदमाए किल स्म मुनियार । एदममृतपद विदेशराज स्वयमिए पश्चिरित भाष्यमाण ॥ Compare ज्ञान्ति 171. 56 अनन्ते पर मे विश्व पस्य मे नास्ति किलना । मिथिलाया पदीसाया न मे दलाति किलना । धन्यपद 203, उत्तराध्ययमसूत्र 9. 14 सुर्ग रसामो जीवामो जेसि मो पार्थ किला। मिरिलाए एउपमाणी न मे एज्युट किलाण इमा तु पो येद विमोक्षद्वस्थितानामान्येक्छित चाप्रमत्तः। च हिष्पणे प्रमेक्षरेतिन्द पत्र विसस्पेव जन्नेन सिक्तम्॥ ज्ञान्ति 212-44, vide note 1640, пр 1035-6 above for the figure of a lotus leaf and water falling thereon

²²²⁵ प्रदेश योगाः पर्यस्ति तस्तार येरपि दृर्यते। एकं सालयं च योग च यः परयति स पर्यति ।

whether by very great tapas 2236 or by rites or by Vedic learning or by the use of rasayanas (elixirs of life or alchemist's prescriptions). Bhisma speaks of an ancient dialogue between Janaka and Bhiksu Pañcaśikha. where the same question was put by Janaka and Pañcasikha replied that none can escape these two and that union with wife and relatives is like meeting persons when on a journey (i. e. the company of these is not durable or permanent). nobody has seen heaven or hell, that one's duty is not to violate the ordinances of Vedas, and to make gifts and to offer sacrifices. In this chapter there is hardly anything which approaches the special doctrines of the Sankhya, though Pañcasikha's views are here set forth Chap. 308 (191 verses out of which less than 30 have anything to do with Pancasikha's teachings directly) begins with the question of Yudhisthira 'who secured moksa without giving up the stage of householder.' Then Bhisma narrates to him the dialogue between Janaka called Dharmadhvaja 2237 and Sulabhā, a nun Janaka was learned in the Veda, in moksasästra and in his own sästra (rājadharma), he had controlled his senses and ruled the earth. She heard from ascetics about Janaka's righteous conduct and she desired to find out the truth. She gave up her form as a nun by her yogic powers and became a woman, a paragon of beauty, and met Janaka. The latter informed her that he was the pupil of old bhiksu 2238 Pancasikha of the Pārāśarva gotra who stayed with him during the four months of the rainy season and who expounded to him the threefold moksa (viz. Sānkhya, Yoga and the science of government) and yet who did not ask him to give up rulership. Janaka said

^{2236.} तपसा वा सुमहता कर्मणा वा श्रुतेन वा। रसायनप्रयोगीर्श केनीपीत जरान्तर्जी। ... केन हुत्तेन भगवकतिकामेज्यरान्तर्को। तपसा प्राथ बुद्धवा वा कर्मणा पा श्रुतेन पा॥ शान्ति 307. 2 and 5.

^{2237. &#}x27;Dharmadhvaja' may be a proper name here; if not, it means 'one who has raised aloft the tanner of dharma' 1. e, who proclaims to the world that he follows dharma in all matters.

²²³⁸ पाराश्यमगोन्नस्य प्रञ्जस्य सुमहारमनः। भिन्नोः पद्मिरास्याद्यं शिष्यः परम-मंमतः॥ सांरयज्ञाने तथा योगे महीपालियिं तथा। त्रियिधे मोक्षधर्मेऽस्मिन् गताध्या दिलसंशयः॥ तेनाई सार्यस्य पेन सुदृष्टार्थेन तस्यतः। आवितस्त्रियि मोक्षं न च राज्याद्विचालितः॥ सीह ता निरितला वृत्तिं त्रिविधां मोक्षसंत्रिताम्। सुक्तरागश्रवराग्येकः पद्दे परमके स्थितः॥ वैरापं प्रवेत् तस्य मोक्षस्य परमो विधिः। ज्ञानादेत्र च वैराग्यं जायते येन सुच्यते॥ शान्ति 308.24-29. A conjecture may be hazarded that this Pañcasikha is entirely different from the Pañcasikha who was one of the founders of the Sāhkhya and that he is probably the same as the Pārāsarya who is credited by Pānini as having composed a 'bhiksusūtra', 'पाराश्यिक्तिलालिग्यां भिद्यनप्रस्थाः। पा IV. 3.110. Vide note 1901 p. 1169 above.

"I, giving up all attachment, though occupying the highest position (as ruler), follow the three paths of moksa, the highest rule of this moksa is 'freedom from attachment, the absence of attachment is due to correct knowledge whereby one is freed from bondage (of samsāra)". Janaka further avows that 2239 on account of the knowledge imparted to him by the bhiksu who is called after his top-knot, he is free from all attachment. though looking after the kingdom and that he is different from other sannyāsins. Then Janaka gives in verses (308, 38-41) another meaning 2240 of the threefold Moksa propounded by Pañcasikha to him viz. (1) highest knowledge and renunciation of actions, (2) strict adherence to the patha of karma (duties prescribed by Sastra) and (3) combination of knowledge and actions, and it is said that those who follow this third path resemble householders in several respects. Janaka asserts his view wearing ochre-coloured 2241 garments, shaving the head, carrying a water-vessel placed on three staves-these are merely outward signs and do not lead to moksa and that moksa does not consist in non-possession of wealth and bondage does not follow possession of some wealth, but it is naana by which a person secures deliverance, whether there is possession or non-possession of wealth'. It appears from verse 40 that Pañcasikha emphasized the third kind of Moksanistha (Jaanakarmasamuccaya) and Janaka followed that teaching. The rest of the chapter (308) is concerned with Janaka's charges against Sulabhā and Sulabhā's scathing remarks against Janaka.2242 She says at the end 'you

²²³⁹ ग्रह्म्युगवता तेन शिखामोक्तेन भिक्षणा। ज्ञानं फ़ुतमभिन्नं से विषयेषु न जायते॥... स्कत्तद्भः स्थितो राज्ये विशिष्टोन्येखिदण्डिभि ॥ Chap. 308. 34-37. पञ्चशिख literally means 'one having five sikhās (locks of hair on the bead)'. Therefore, he is referred to as शिखामोक्त (lit declared by his sikhās).

^{2240.} ट्रोंके हि त्रितिधा निष्टा एटा पूर्वेर्महर्पिभः। ज्ञानं ट्रोकोस्तरं यद्य सर्वत्यागश्च फर्मणाम्। ज्ञाननिष्टा वदन्त्येके मोक्षशास्त्रविदो जनाः। कर्मनिष्टा तथैवान्ये यतपः स्क्ष्मदर्किनः। भहायोभयमध्येतत् ज्ञानं कर्म च केन्द्रम् । तृतीयेयं समाख्याता निष्टा तेन महात्मना॥ 308, 38-40.

^{2241.} फायानपारणं मोण्डां चिनिष्टचः कमण्डलः। लिङ्गान्यत्पर्धमेतानि न मोक्षायेति मे मति ॥ ... आर्किन्यने न मोक्षाऽत्नि केञ्चन्ये नार्तित चन्यनम्। केञ्चन्ये चेतरे चेव जन्तु-र्हानिम सुन्यते n Chap 30s 47 and 50 अक्तिचन means one who possesses nothing and अक्त्यन्य means 'the state of being अक्तिञ्चन !. Should we read अक्षार्थम् or अर्धार्थम् (or अर्प्यम् as some mss. do? The verses are chap, 320 47 fl of चिन्नः ed.

^{2212.} Some of her telling retorts are set out here. 'यद्यात्मनि परस्मिश्च मनतामध्यत्रवासित अध मां फासि करवेति जिमर्थमत्तुगुच्छिति। ... मर्द सी न्वे गुहे राजा सर्दे (Continued on next pace)

have indeed heard from Pañcasikha the whole (doctrine) about moksa together with the means (for attaining it), with the Upanisad passages expounding it or with the auxiliaries (of meditation) and with definite conclusions.

This passage expressly refers to Upanisads in connection with moksa and the preceding verses emphasize the freedom from attachment on the part of Janaka (in 308. 37 'muktasanga'). The Br. Up in III. 1 refers to the sacrifice performed by Janaka, king of Videha, who set apart a thousand cows to be given to him from among the brahmanas assembled at it, that would be the most profound in learning and be brahmistha (most learned in or devoted to brahma). Yājñavalkya asked his disciple to take the cows away; thereupon followed a learned disquisition by questions (of angry brahmanas including a woman) and answers by Yājñavalkya; 2242 the questioners were Aśvala (the holy priest of Janaka). Jāratkārava Ārtabhāga, Bhujyu Lāhyāyani, Usasta Cākrāyana, Kahola Kāusītakeya, Gargī Vācaknavī, Uddālaka, Āruni, Vidagdha Śākalya (III. 1-9 ending with 'vijñānam-ānandam brahma)'. In Br. Up. IV. 2 it is said that Janaka Vaideha approached Yājñavalkya, did obeisance to him and said 'instruct me' and that then the sage spoke to him 'you have studied the Veda and the Upanisads have been expounded to you by acaryas, but, when you will be leaving this body, where will yo go'? Janaka replied that he did not know it and requested the sage to enlighten him on that point. Then a long disquition follows (Br. Up. 17. 2 ff) in which occurs the famous passage ('sa esa neti netyātmā, agrhyo na hi grhyate asango na hi sajiate abhayam vai Janaka praptosi' (IV. 2. 4). It appears to the present writer that some one desirous of boosting Sankhya teachings inserted the chapters about Sānkhya in which Pañcasikha is substituted in place of Yājñavalkya as the teacher of Janaka.

It would be seen from the brief synopsis of the chapters 211-12, 307 and 308 that the views described in all of them are not the same as those of the official or standard Sānkhya, that Pañcaśikha's views as presented in chapters 211-212 seem to be different from those in chap. 308, particularly when chap. 308 emphasizes that jūāna-karma-samuccaya was the view of

⁽Continued from last page)

स्ते स्त्रे गृही । विग्रहात्रग्रही कुर्वेस्तृहचो जमक राजभिः ॥ 308, 126-27, 147, नह नाम त्त्रया मोक्ष. कुरस्नः पञ्चशिषाच्छुतः। सीपायः सीपनिषदः सोपासङ्गः सनिश्चयः॥ chap ^{308,} 163. The Com, Ndakantha explans: उपासङो ध्यानाङ्गानि यमादीनि.

Pañcaśikha, while the official Sānkhya holds that jñāna alone leads to liberation. It should be noted that these chapters nowhere refer to a work of Pañcaśikha but show him to be a wandering ascetic holding certain views. The present writer believes that the author of these passages in the Śāntiparva had no work before him and only knew by hearsay and tradition that Pañcaśikha was a great Sānkhya teacher. Prof. Keith holds that the Pañcaśikha of the Śāntiparva is not identical with Pañcaśikha, the author of Sastitantra (p. 48 of 'Sānkhya System'). ²²¹³

There are other chapters in the Śāntiparva where the peculiar Sānkhya doctrines and terminology are employed but they are brought in line with Vāsudeva or Highest Self to which the Sānkhya doctrines are made subordinate. For example, in chap. 340 Nārada is said to have been 2244 told by God himself some of the tenets of Sānkhya such as the twenty-four tattvas and Purusa as the 25th tattva, the three gunas, that Purusa is ksetrajūa and bhoktr, that ācāryas, who have arrived at definite conclusions about Sānkhya, call God who is in the orb of the Sun as Kapila, that Hiranyagarbha, who is well praised in the Veda and is announced as (author) of Yogaśāstra, is 'myself'.

Not only in the Śāntiparva, but also in other parvans of the Mahābhārata, Sānkhya doctrines are dwelt upon. For example, the Asvamedhika (in chap. 35. 47–48) speaks of sattva, rajas, tamas as ātmagunas and mentions their equilibrium. In another place, the same parvan enumerates the 24 tattvas viz. avyakta, mahān, ahańkāra &c and describes the three gunas. 2215

^{2243.} Vide p. 11 (note) of Hall's Preface to the edition of Sānkhyapravacanasūtra (1856), where passages ascribed to Pañcasikha are grouped together and Richard Garbe in Festgruss an Rudolph von Roth (Stuttgart, 1893) pp. 75-80 for fragments of Pañcasikha.

^{2244.} हिर्द्वीदशेग्यसत्त्रोग्यः स्थातो य पद्मविश्वः । पुरुषो निविध्यश्चीय ज्ञानहृद्यश्च कर्यते॥ य मिह्य भवन्तीए सुक्ता वे हिजसन्तमः। स वासुदेवो विश्वयः परमात्मा सनातनः॥ .. सस् रजस्मश्चीय ग्रणानेनात्त्रचसते। एते सर्वश्चिरेषु तिर्द्धान्त विचरन्ति च। एतान्युणास्त्र क्षेत्रज्ञो स्ते मीभि स सुभ्यते॥ .. विद्या सदायवन्तं मामादित्यस्य सनातनम्। कपिलं पाहुराचार्या सात्यमिश्चितित्रथयाः॥ हिर्ण्यमभी भागातेष्य रुन्द्दासं सुरुद्धतः। सोर्ट् योगगतिबद्धान् योगन्तात्रेष्ठ प्रान्दितः॥ ज्ञानितः 340. 23, 24, 26-27, 64-65. हिर्ण्यमभी प्र praised in the hymo हिन्न X. 121. 1 and appears to be identified with Prajapatı ın the last verse of the byma (X. 121. 10 Prajapate na tvadetānyanyo). The ज्ञान्तिपर्व chip 340 corresponds to chap. 339 of the Chitrashala edition and the verses quoted here all occur in that chap.

^{2245.} सस्रं रजरनमञ्जेति जय आत्मग्रणाः स्मृता । तेषा ग्रणामां साम्यं चेत्तदाहुः रसभ्यत्रराजम् । तेषामायतमोरसेरे विधानग्रपदिस्यते ॥ आश्वते । 12 4-5; compare झान्ति-(Continued on next page)

Asuri is mentioned by the S. Kārikā as the pupil of Kapila. also in a quotation in Yogasūtrabhāsya on I. 25 (note 2251) and by the Santiparva (chap. 306). But no work is attributed to him and hardly any quotation from him is cited by writers on Sankhya (except a verse quoted as his by Haribhadra a late Jain writer). Kapila is a legendary figure. In Reveda X. 27. 16 Kapila 2246 is mentioned as one among ten (Angirases) whom. though similar to them, they (Angirases) urge on for a sacrifice. Vide Hall's Preface to Sankhya-pravacanabhasya (ed. 1856) pp. 14 ff for the confused legends about Kapila. Some references to Kapila in the Mahābhārata have already been noted. In Vanaparva (221, 26) Kapıla is styled as the promulgator of Sānkhya-yoga, as Paramarsi and as an incarnation of Agni. In the Matsyapurana 2247 it is stated that water should be offered to the seven sons of Brahmā, viz Sanaka, Sananda, Sanātana, Kapila, Asuri, Vodhu and Pañcasikha. The Vāmana-purāna (60, 70) mentions Kapila (as knower of Sānkhya), Vodhu, Asuri, Pañcasikha (as 'yogayukta') and states that Sanatkumāra approached Brahmā for yoga lore.

In the Snānasūtra of Kātyāyana (kandikā 3) attached to the Pāraskaragrhyasūtra the above seven are the only persons to whom *tarpana* is offered among *rsis* (vide H. of Dh. vol. II.

(Continued from last page)

पर्च 16 11-13. Gunas in the Sānkhya system must be understood to be of the nature of both substance and qualities. Vide also तमो रजस्यथा सम्ब ग्रुणाने सान प्रम्थक्षते। अन्योन्यमिश्चनां सर्वे तथान्योन्याञ्चलित ॥ तमसो मिश्चन सन्तं सन्तर्य मिश्चनं रजः। रजस्थापि सन्तं स्वारमस्वरंय मिश्चनं तमः॥ आश्वमे 36 4 and 6 Vide chap, 36 12-15 for तामसग्रुणंड and 17-20 for तामसग्रुन्त and chap, 37 for राजसंग्राणंडन्त and 38, 1-9 for सन्तग्रुणंड.

^{2246.} दशानामेकं कपिलं समान त हिन्चन्ति क्षता पार्थाय। गर्भ साता सुधितं वशणा-स्ववेनन्तं सुपयन्ती विभत्ति॥ क्ष X, 27, 16. The latter half is mystical and not clear.

^{2247.} महाज्यास्तर्पय झुक्त्या झ्रामुं ह्या हुपींस्तथा। सनकश्च सनन्दश्च तृतीयश्च सनातन.। किपिलश्चासुरिश्चेव बोद्धः पञ्चित्तिस्तथा। सर्गे ते तृप्तिमायान्तु मह्त्तेनाम्युना सद्या॥ मत्त्यः 102. 17–18. The Brahmanda-purana (IV 2 272–274) mentions these seven sons of Brahma but in a different order. The वामनपुराण (60 69–70) mentions the seven sons as सनस्कुमार, सनातन, सनव्द, सापिल, बोद्ध and आसुरि and adds पश्चित्तिया. In the बृह्छोगियाज्ञवल्ययस्तृति (VII. 66) these same seven are said to be human sons of Brahma. The स्तृतिचा. I. p. 193 quotes मत्स्य 102. 14–21 on the topic of त्रपण, but reads 'कपिलश्चासुरिश्चेव सीद्ध पश्चित्तिरस्त्या।' in verse 18. बोद्ध or बोद्ध is a strange name and no verse or prose passage of his has been cited in works and commentaries on Sāṅkhya. It looks moro like a prakrit form of some Sanskrit word. Vide Garbe in 'Die Sānkhya Philosophie' p. 72 for Voḍhu,

p. 693). In the Bhāgavatapurāna (I. 3. 10) Kapila is said to be the 5th avatāra of Visnu, is called siddheśa (the lord of siddhas) and the teacher of Āsuri in Sānkhya that had become decadent (by lapse of time). The Gitā also (X. 26 'siddhānām Kapilo munih') mentions Kapila as a mun and the most eminent among siddhas. The S. Kārikā also refers to him as muni. The Kūrmapurāna (II. 7. 7) echoes the very words of the Gitā ('siddhānām Kapilo muniḥ').

In the ramsas in Br. Up. II. 6. 3 and VI. 5. 2-3, in which succession lists of teachers and pupils differing from one another occur, Asuri is said to be disciple of Bharadvāja in the first and the pupil of Yājñavalkya in the second. Each list contains at least 60 teachers from Brahmā downwards. In the first place, it is difficult to hold that these lists are authentic and there is no evidence to establish that the Asuri mentioned in both is the same as the pupil of Kapila.

Pañcasikha's is a great name in the Sānkhya system. His systematic work on that system was called Sastitantra. S. Kārikā (70, 72) refers to him as the author of the Sastitantra containing great details, illustrative tales and discussions of others' views. It was called Sastitantra because it expounded sixty topics and it contained sixty thousand gathas. 2248 The Yogasütrabhāsya (IV. 13) quotes a verse which is ascribed to Sastitantra by Vācaspati on Yogasūtrabhāsya IV. 13. present writer cannot agree with Keith p. 69 that the reference to Sastitantra in S. Kārikā (verse 72) is not to a work but to a philosophy of sixty topics. The arva 72 had a Sanskrit commentary which was translated into Chinese in 546 A. D. in which it was stated that the work contained six thousand gathas, 2249 but the Bhamati (by Vacaspati) on V. S. II. 1, 3 ascribes it to Vārsaganya. It may be a slip on the part of Vācaspati or it is possible that he regarded Pancasikha and Varsaganya as the names of the same person, the first being a nickname and the latter a gotra name. On Yogasūtra I. 4, 25, 36, II. 5, 6, 13, 17,

^{2248.} अर्थ पळक्षिम्य पष्टिसएसगाधात्मकं निषुर्लं सन्त्रसुक्तन्राम् । p. 97 of Pandit Alyaswami's ed. ; पष्टिपदार्धा यस्मिन् कास्त्रे तन्त्र्यन्ते रतुत्पाद्यन्ते सत् पष्टितन्त्रम् । माठरप्रति

^{2249. &#}x27;Gāthā' here seems to mean 'a group of 32 syllables or a fixed number of mātrās as a unit.' The few quotations attributed to Pañcasikha are almost all in prose, only one under Y. S. IV. 13 being in verse and later commentators like Bhāvā-Gaṇesa on Sānkhya-sūtra quote verses of Pafcasikha

18, 20, III. 13 and 41, IV. 13 (tathā ca sāstrānusāsanam 'Gupānām &cc), prose passages occur which Vācaspati ascribes to Pañcasikha. On S. Kārikā 2 Vācaspati quotes in his com. the view of Pañcasikhācārya. In Yogasūtrabhāsya on I. 25 a sūtra is quoted which Vācaspati ascribes to Pañcasikha in which Kapila is styled 'ādividvān' (the first master of Sānkhya) and 'paramarsi' and is said to have imparted to Āsuri the Tantra, the Sānkhya Siddhānta.

In the dialogue between Viśvāvasu Gandharva and Yājñavalkva (in Śāntiparva, chap. 306) there is a long list of sages from whom Viśvāvasu says he learnt a great deal (in verses 56-60) but prays to Yamavalkya 2250 that the latter should expound to him the knowledge of Sankhya and Yoga (verses 65-66). Then Yājāavalkya states that prakrii is also called pradhana, that it does not know the 25th (viz. the purusa) and that there is a 26th (Highest Self) and so on. That list contains the following names: Jaigīsavya, 2251 Asita, Devala, Vārsagamya of the Parāśaragotra, bhiksu Pañcašikha, Kamla, Śuka, Gautama, Ārstisena, Gārgya, Nārada, Āsuri, Pulastya, Sanatkumāra, Sukra, father of Kasyapa. The sages are not mentioned in chronological order. Five of them (that are in Italics) are important so far as Sankhva and Yoga are concerned. We saw above that Pañcasikha is said to be of Parasara gotra and in the above list Vārsaganya also is said to be of the same gotra, Vācaspati on S. Kārikā 47 ascribes to Vārsaganya the view that aviduā has five facets or aspects. 2252 The Yogasūtrabhāsya on III. 53 quotes a sūtra of Vārsaganya. It is shown above from

^{2250.} तथा च शास्त्राद्धशासनम्। गुणानां परमं रूपं न दृष्टिपथमुच्छति। यत्तु दृष्टिपथं प्राप्तं तन्मायेव सुतुन्द्रकम्। इति। योगसूत्रभाष्य IV. 13; अनेव परितन्त्रशास्त्रस्यास्त्रीशिक्षः। मायेव न तु माया। सुतुन्द्रकं विनाशि। तद्मवैद्यारद्वी on योगसूत्र, अत एव योगशास्रप्रत्या द्वाचिता ह सम भगवान् वार्षभण्यः। गुणानां ... सुतुन्द्रकम्। भामति on वे. सु. II. 1. 3.

^{2251.} यथाह स्म भगवाम् पञ्चित्तिसाचार्यः न्दाहणसङ्करः सपरिहारः प्रत्यामर्यः इति।

On अविश्वाद्धि 10 सा. फारिका 2; तथा चोक्तम् । आदिविद्वालिर्माणि-उत्तमिष्ठाय कारण्याः
द्भगवान् परमर्थिरासुरये जिज्ञासमाचाय तन्त्र मेताचेति । योगस्त्रभाष्य 1. 25, तथा चोक्तं
पञ्चित्तिसाचार्यणः । आदिविद्वान् कपिल इति । वाचरपति । दीक्ताः

^{2252.} पञ्च विषयेयभेदा भारन्त्यशक्तिश्च करणवेकल्यात्। सां. कारिका 47; 'अविधाः अस्मिता-रागद्वेष-अभिनिवेशाः ... पञ्च विषयेयविशेषाः। ... पञ्चपर्वे अभिषेत्यात् भगापि वार्षिपणः। सां. तत्त्वक्रीस्त्रदी of वान्वस्पति; सुद्धन्वस्ति (of अश्वचिष) XII, 33 is: इत्यविद्या वि विद्यास्त स्थाः स्थाः स्थाः स्थाः तमो भीतं महामोगं तामिस्रद्धयभेव न्यः। The श्वेताश्वः उप. I, 5 has the words 'पञ्चशन्द्रदेवां पञ्चपर्वामधीमः,' In the Kurmapurana (II, 11, 129) kapıla 18 said to bave given instruction to both Jaigisavya and Pañeasikha. It is difficult to say whether that Purana had before it any ancient tradition to this effect.

the reconstruction of the Sanskrit Com. from Chinese that Vārsagana was one of the teachers after Pañcasikha and before Īśvarakrsna. So it is extremely doubtful if Pañcasikha and Vārsaganya are identical. Vide JRAS for 1905 pp. 33-53 (by Takakusu who shows on p 47 that Vrsagana was a teacher of Vindhyavāsa and that Vasubandhu wrote a work called Paramārthasaptati in opposition to Vindhyavāsa and that Paramārtha was in China from 546 to 569 A. D.

In the Introduction to the Uttarādhyayana-sūtra (a Jain work) Charpentier refers to two different lists one older and shorter which speaks of the four Vedas, Itihāsas (as 5th), Nighantu as the sixth and of the Vedic angas and upāngas and then of Sastitantra. This last may be a reference to Paūca-sikha's work or simply to the 60 topics dwelt upon in the Sānkhya system.

In Śantiparva (chap. 222) Yudhisthira asks Bhīṣma: by what character, conduct, lores and by being intent on what does a person reach the abode of Brahmā which is higher (or beyond) prakti and Bhīsma mentions the dialogue of Jaigīsavya and Asita Devala in which it is stated that he who is unperturbed by being reviled or praised, who keeps silent over his good deeds, does not harm even him who harms him, is always calm and does good to all beings, reaches the abode of Brahmā. The Buddhacarita (XII. 67) speaks of Jaigīsavya, Janaka and Vrddha-Parāśara as having secured moksa by the path described in that chapter. The Yogabhāsya (on III. 18) gives a long story about Āvatya (called prince of Yogins) and Jaigīsavya. Jaigīsavya is quoted in Vyāsabhāsya on Y. S. II. 55 for indrigajāya (control over senses). He appears to be a Vedāntic writer on Yoga.

Not only does the Śāntiparva dilate upon some of the peculiar doctrines associated with the later official doctrines of the S. Kārikā, but the Bhagavadgītā also does so A few striking passages are cited here. Gītā XIII. 5 (Mahābhūtānyahankāro buddhiravyaktam eva ca: Indriyāni dasaikam ca paūca cendriyagocarāha) enumerates the twenty-four tattvas omitting purusa and mentioning the five elements in place of the five tanmātrās); XIII. 19-20 (prakrtim purusam caiva viddhyanādi ubhāvapi vikārāmsca gunāmścaiva viddhi prakrtisambhavān s Karyakārana-kartrīve hetuh prakrtir-ucyate: Purusah sukhduhkhānām bhoktrīve heturucyates); XIV. 5-9 (sattvam rajās tama iti gunāh prakrtisambhavāh &c.); VII. 4

(bhūmirāposnalo vāyuh kham mano buddhireva ca i ahankāra itlyam me bhinnā prakrtir-asṭadhā "), VII. I3, II. 28. The Gitā in VII. 6 and 8 emphatically states that God is the source of the whole world, which ultimately is merged in Him. Herein the Gitā differs materially from the standard Sāṅkhya. The Gitā exprossly mentions "Sānkhya-krtānta" ²²⁵³ (i. e siddhānta) in XVIII. 13; what that means is that by that time Sānkhya tenets had assumed the form of a system but there is no express or definite reference to a work such as in the case of Veda or Vedanta (in XV. 15) or Brahmasūtra (in XIII. 4).

Vindhyavāsa or Vindhyavāsin (dweller on Vindhya mountain) is identified with Isvarakrsna by Takakusu in B.E.F. E. O. for 1904 p. 48 and by Keith on pp. 73-79 in 'Sankhya System.' His view about the non-existence of the ativalita body after a man's death is mentioned by Kumārila.2254 Dr. B. Bhattacharva (in J. I. H. vol. VI. pp. 36-49) goes into the question of the identity of Vindhyavasa with Tsvarakrsna. The present writer agrees with him but he does not agree with his further conclusion (p. 49) that Vindhyavasa lived earlier than Isvarakrsna whom he assigns to the period 330-390 A.D. The evidence is too meagre to prove this. In JRAS for 1905 pp. 47 ff. Vindhyavāsa is said by Takakusu to be a pupil of Vrsagaņa and that according to Paramartha Vrsagana and Vindhyavasa lived in the 10th century after Buddha's nirvana. From Kamalasila (p. 22 of Tattva-sangraha) it appears that Rudrila was also a name of Vindhvavāsa.

The Abhinavabhāratī of Abhinavagupta distinguishes between the two; ²²⁵⁵ so it is possible that Vindyavāsa only revised the

^{2253.} Vide p. 954 note 1545 above where the five Siddhantas (Krianta-pañogka) are Sanlhya, Yoga, Pañografira, Śaiva and Paśupata.

^{2254.} अन्तराभवदेहस्तु निपिद्धो विन्ध्यवासिना। सदस्तित्वे प्रमाणं हि न किंचिवन गम्यते॥ श्र्रोकवार्तिक, आत्मवाद verse 62 p. 704 on which the com. Nyāyaratnākara explains: 'यद्दिप आतिगाधिक नाम शरीरं पूर्वोत्तरदेष्ट्योरन्तराले ज्ञानसन्तानसन्धारणार्थं करूटयते तद्दिप विन्ध्यवासिना निराकृताभित्यादिः. While criticizing the Sāhlbya and its 'Satkāryavāda 'कमल्हशील makes fun of the epithet Vindhyavāsin (which may also suggest 'a man who belongs to a wild tribe on the Vindhya mountain) applied to Rudrila 'यदेव दिध तत् क्षीरं यत्क्षीरं तह्दधीति च। यदता श्रिटेलेनेव स्वपापिता विन्ध्यवासिता॥?

^{2255.} On नाट्यशास्त्र (22.88-89, G O.S. vol. III. p. 184 मनसिलियो भाष.) it is said by Abhinavagupta 'कापिलडिका त विन्हयवासिनो मनस एव ईम्बरकुण्णा दिमते मन शब्देनात्र हुन्दिः। मेधातिथि on मतु I. 55 remarks "केश्विदिक्यते अस्य वियत्तराभवं शरीरं यस्पेयसुरक्षान्तिः। ... सांख्या अपि केन्द्रिक्षान्तरामधिक्कृतित विन्हयवास- प्रमुत्तयः। ग. Vide सां काः 39-41 for the intermediate subile body.

Sānkhya system after Īśvarakrsna. Bhojadeva in his Rājamārtanda 2256 on Yogasūtra IV. 22 (23 of most editions) 'drstidrśyoparaktam cittam sarvārtham ' quotes a prose passage from Vindhyavāsin. As there is no evidence to show that Isvarakrsna composed some work other than the Sankhyakarika, Vindhyavāsin must be treated as different from Isvarakısna according to Bhojadeva. The Yuktidīpikā several times mentions the views of Vindhyavāsin and indicates that he is different from the author of the S. Kārikā. Vide pp. 4, 108, 144, 148. This work says that the ācarya (author of S Kārikā) did not enumerate nina 2257 and other constituents of the Sastra but other ācāryas like Vindhyavāsa did mention them in other treatises. On pp 144-145 this com. shows that Vindhyavāsin held that indrivas were whhu (all-pervading), denied the existence of a subtle body (sūksma śarīra), while in S. Kārikā (40-41) Isvarakrsna held that the indrivas were not vibhu and that there was a linga or sūksma body and Yuktidīpikā p. 144 says that Patanjali postulated a subtle body.

How the name Sankhya came to be applied to a system of philosophy has to be considered. 'Sankhya' means 'number', hence enumeration. As the Sankhya system enumerated twenty-five tattvas and as the Sastitantra of Pancasikha dealt with sixty topics, it is likely that the philosophy came to be called Sankhya. The Sv. Up. I. 4 is full of numbers. 2258 Verse I 5 of Sv. Up. employs the word panca (five) seven times and also has the word 'pancasadbhedam', similar to 'satardharam' in I. 4); vide VI. 3 also. In this sense Sankhya means the

²²⁵⁶ स एव भोगोऽत्यन्तसनिधानेन विवेकाश्रहणादभेत्कुरिए पुरुपस्य भोग इति व्यप-दिश्यते। अनेभेवाभित्रायेण विन्ध्यत्रासिनोक्त 'सत्त्वतप्यत्वमेव पुरुपतप्यत्वम्'। भोजदेव on यो. सू IV 22.

^{2257.} एवं चेन्मन्यसे सन्ति जिज्ञासादयोऽत्रयवा ज्ञाले तेषामलुपदेशे प्रयोजनं वक्तस्यम्। अगुष्मालेतोराचार्येण नोपदिश्यन्ते सन्ति च त द्वति । उच्यते प्रमाणान्तर्भागत् । ... तन्त्रान्तरेषु विश्वयासिश्वतिभिराचार्येचपदिष्टाः प्रमाणं नत्ते आचार्या द्वर्यतश्रालुपदेशे जिज्ञासादीनानिति । उक्तिदीपिका १ ४. विरुप्यासिनन्तु विश्वतादिन्द्वयाणां चीजदेशे वृच्या जन्म तत्त्रयाणे मरणम् । तस्मालाति द्वर्यक्रिरास् । तस्मालिविशेष संसार द्वति पक्षः । ... तस्माल करणाना विश्वत्यप्रपरि । तस्माल् करणाना विश्वति । विश

^{2258.} तमेरनेमि निद्रनं पोतशान्त काताधीरं मिंशतिवरवराभि.। अष्टतेः पद्मभिनिन्द-रूपिरपासं निमानिन्दं द्विनिमिन्तेकमीएम् ॥ श्वेना एप I. 4 क्षताधीर means 'having fifty स्ट्राट्टेस्ट ' The सां का (46-47) refers to 50 bliedas of Buddhisarga There are eight bar o elements viz Prahett, mahat, ahabkara and five tanmatras. 'सौन्य सहयानकरणञ्ज कविलादिभिक्षयने १७ सन्द्य III. 29. Vide also शान्तिक 294. 41.

philosophic system which postulates twentyfive tattvas, Prakrti, Purusa and others. This is the sense in which the word is used once even in the Gitā (18.13, Sānkhya krtānte proktāni &c). The Matsyapurāna also emphasizes this aspect of the Sānkhya system.

The word 'Sankhyā' has another sense as the Amarakośa states ('carcā sankhyā vicāranā) viz. 'rational examination' or 'discernment'; and the word Sānkhya may be derived from it in the sense of 'system of rational examination' and in the sense of 'philosopher' (masculine) according to 'tadadhīte tadveda' (Pān. IV. 2. 59) meaning 'Sānkhyam veda'. 2:59 The Bhāmatī explains the word in the second sense. Sānkhya in a general sense means tattvajūāna (knowledge of ultimate reality, including Vedānta) or a 'person who knows the ultimate reality.' The word Sānkhya is frequently used in the Bhagavadgītā in the sense of tattvajūāna (as in II. 39, V. 5, XIII. 24) and in the sense of a person knowing ultimate reality (in III. 3, V. 5).

Some comparatively early Sanskrit works that disclose tenets similar to the standard Sānkhya of the Kārikā may be briefly noticed here. The Buddhacarita of Aśvaghosa (in chap. XIII. 17ff) mentions a dialogue between Arāda and Gautama (the future Buddha) in which occur prakrti, five elements, ahankāra, buddhi, the indriyas, objects of sense, mind, ksetrajāa as Ātman and states that Kapila with his pupil was enlightened as to these, that nescience, actions and desires are the causes of samsāra; that avidyā has five aspects (verses 33-37) which are defined, speaks of brahmacarya, and mukti. Though the tattvas are mentioned here the rest of the doctrines bear very little resemblance to the official Sānkhya.

The Carakasamhitā ²⁻⁶⁰ (Jamnagar ed. 1949), Śārīrasthāna chap. L (particularly verses 17, 36, 63-66) contains some doctrines similar to the Sāpkhyakārikā scheme and verse 151

^{2259. &#}x27; संख्या सम्यग्रहुद्धिर्वेदिकी तया वर्तन्ते इति साख्या. ! भामती on के स् भाष्य II. 1. 3.

^{2260.} पुनश्च धातुभेदेन चातुर्विश्वितकः स्पृत । मनी दशेन्द्रियाण्यर्था प्रकृतिश्वाष्ट्रधातुर्वि॥
... रजस्तमोग्या युक्तस्य संयोगोऽयमनन्तवान् । ताम्या निराक्ततिश्या तु सत्ववृद्धचा निर्वते॥
स्नादीनि बुद्धिरुवक्तमहङ्क्षारस्तथाद्यमः । भूतप्रकृतिस्विद्या विकाराश्वेष पोडशः। बुद्धिन्द्रियाणि
पञ्चेष पञ्च कर्मोन्द्रियाणि च । समनस्काश्च पञ्चार्था विकारा इति संज्ञिताः॥ जापते बुद्धिरुवर्धः
काब् बुद्ध्याहमिति मन्यते। पर स्नादीन्यहङ्कारादुत्पश्चन्ते यथाक्रमस् ॥ अत परं ब्रह्मसृत्तो स्वास्मा
नोपलम्यते। नि स्तस्सर्वभावेम्यश्चिद्धं यस्य न विद्यते। ज्ञानं ब्रह्मतिद्या चात्र नाज्ञस्तरुज्ञातुम्बृति।
चरक (शारीरस्थान cbap 1, verses 17, 36 63–64, 66, 155.

refers to Yogins and Sānkhyas and ultimately the liberated soul is said to become *brahma*. Therefore, it is more like the philosophy of Katha and Śv. Upanisads.

The Susrutasamhitā also (in Śārirasthāna, chap. I paragraphs 3, 4-6, 8-9) sets out the Sānkhya, 2261 is much closer to the standard system of the Kārikā than either the Buddhacarita or the Carakasamhitā as the quotations in the notes will show.

From p 1352 and note 2208 above it would be seen that the tenet of madhana being the cause of the world was mentioned in their works by Manu and others. This will be briefly illustrated. Manu I, 15 in speaking of creation mentions mahān, the three gunas, objects of sense, five senses. Manu I. 27 refers to the five tanmūtrās of the five elements. In Manusmrti XII. 24 sattva, ratas and tamas occur as the three gunas, verses 26-29 define and illustrate these three and XII. 30-38 dilate upon the different effects of the three gunas and the indications about these gunas: XII. 40 provides that those who rest in sattva-guna become gods. those who are rājasa become men and those that abide in tamas become lower animals. 1262 Manu XII. 50 speaks of mahān and avyakta. Yāj. smrti (III. 91-92) speaks of the five objects of sense, five manendrivas, five karmendrivas and mind (16 in all): these sixteen together with ahankara, buddhi and five elements. ksetrajūa and Īsvara are mentioned in Yāj. III, 177-178 and verses 179-180 set out the evolution of buddhi from avyakta, of ahankāra from buddhi, of tanmātrās from ahankāra, the five gunas (śabda, sparśa &c) of the five elements and verse 182 speaks of the three gunas.

^{2261.} सर्वभूतानां कारणमकारणं सरप्रस्तसानेल्सणमद्यस्यमिखिलस्य जगतः सम्भवहेतुरायकं नाम। तदेवं यहूनां क्षेत्रज्ञानामधिष्ठानं ससुद्ध द्ववीद्यकानां भाषानाम्। सुञ्चतः I 3 ;
तरमादायकानमहानुत्ययते तिहिद्ध एव तिहिद्धाचा महतसाहक्षण एवाहङ्कार उत्त्ययते स् त्रिविधो
पैजारिज्ञलेना भूताविरिते। सुश्चत I 4, तत्र शुद्धीन्त्रयाणां शब्दाद्यो विषया. कर्मेन्द्रियाणां
यचनादानानन्दरिसम्पिरणानि। सुश्चत I. 5, अत्र्यक्तं महानहद्भारः पश्च तन्मात्राणि चिश्यष्टी
महत्यः, देवा पोड्या विकासा I. 6, तत्र मर्ग एवाचितन एए धर्म, पुष्ठपः पश्चविद्यानितमः
कार्यकारणसंत्रक्रथेनपिता भवति। सत्यप्यचितन्ये प्रधानस्य पुष्ठपत्रिज्ञप्यार्थे प्रश्वविद्यानित
क्षीरार्टीक्षात्र ऐतृत्वहारान्ति। I. 8, compare with this last सां. कारिका 57 'वरसविष्टृद्धिविमित्तं क्षीररप प्रधा प्रश्वविद्याहर । पुरुषिनोह्यनिमेले तथा प्रश्वविद्यान्तर ॥ ।.

²²⁶² पुद्धेरत्यांतरायक्षांत्रताहरू(स्तम्भागः । तन्मात्रादीन्यष्टद्धारादेकीसरहणानि च ॥ नाजः III. 179; compare सन्। ज्ञान तमोऽज्ञानं रागद्वेपी रजः स्मृतम् । मनु XII 26 with मी का. 13 मन्त्रं तपु q. above p. 1357 and मीता 14. 6-8, वा. III. 137-140

On p. 1352 and in note 2208 above occurs the statement of Śankarācārya that Devala, a Sūtrakāra on Dharma, accepted Sānkhya doctrines. This will be briefly indicated here. Aparārka on Yāj. III. 109 quotes a very long passage ²²⁶³ from Devala, which after stating that there are two goals of human life (purusārtha) viz abhyudaya and mhśreyasa and that the latter comprises Sānkhya and Yoga, defines Sānkhya as the knowledge of twenty-five tattvas, and yoga as the fixing of the mind on the desired goal after turning it away from objects of sense, and

2263. On the word योगशास्त्र in याज्ञ III 109 अपरार्क quotes a long passage (all in prose except one verse) from Devala, almost the whole of which is set out here देवसाञ्चवयोद्धिविधः पुत्तवार्धः, अम्युद्धयो नि श्रेयसमिति । तयोरम्युद्धयः पूर्वोक्तः । द्विषिणं नि श्रेयस साख्ययोगाविति । पञ्चविद्यतितत्त्वज्ञानं साख्यया । विषयेग्गो निवर्याभिमेतेऽर्धे मनसोऽवस्थापनं योगाः । उभयज्ञापवर्गः फलम् । जन्ममरणदुः खयोरस्थन्ताभावीऽपवर्गः । एती साख्ययोगी चाधिकृत्य येर्थुक्तित समयतश्च पूर्वमणीतानि विशालानि गम्भीराणि तन्त्राणीह सक्षित्योन्द्वेशतो वस्यन्ते । तज्ञ साख्यानामेका मूलमकृतिः । सस प्रकृतिविकृतयः । पञ्च तन्मात्राणि षोद्धश्च विकाराः पञ्च पञ्चेन्द्रयाणि । अर्थाञ्च (अर्थाश्च कोशाः । पञ्च वायुविशेषाः । ज्ञयो ग्रुणाः । ज्ञिविधो वन्धः । ज्ञयो वन्धनहेतवः । द्वी वन्धराणी । ज्ञीणि प्रमाणानि । ज्ञिविधे दुःखम् । ज्ञुतियः प्रयय-वर्गः । तथा विषयेगः । तथा विषयेगः । तथा विषयेगः पञ्चिविधः । सस्य व्यविद्याः । तथा विषयेगः पञ्चविधः । अशक्तिरद्याविधेति प्रत्ययभेदाः । तथा विपर्ययः पञ्चविधः । अशक्तिरद्याविधित तथ्यभेदाः पञ्चाञ्चत्। । सिद्धिरद्यविधेति प्रत्ययभेदाः पञ्चाञ्चत्।

अस्तित्वमेकत्वमथार्थवर्त्वं परार्थमन्यत्वमथो निवृत्तिः।

योगो वियोगो बहवः प्रमासः स्थितिः शरीरस्य च शेषवृत्तिः॥

इति दशमूलिकार्थाः । अथ मूलप्रकृतिरन्यका (क्तं ?) महानहड्वारः पञ्च तन्मात्राणीति प्रकृति-विकृतय । शब्दतन्मात्रं स्पर्शः, रसः, रूपः, गन्धतन्मात्रामिति तन्मात्राणि । द्विविधानीन्द्रियाणि । भूतविशेषाश्च विकाराः । चक्षुःश्रोत्रद्याणजिद्धालचो सुद्धीन्द्रियाणि । रूपशन्दगन्धरसस्पर्शासी षामधी । वाक्पाणिपादपायूपस्था कर्मेन्द्रियाणि भाषण क्रिया गमनसुस्तर्ग आनन्द एषा कर्माणि। वाय्वसञ्चनाकाशृधिक्या भूतविशेषाः । दशेन्द्रियाणि बद्धञ्चहकारमनासि च करणानि । तेषु मनोबुद्धचहङ्काराश्वान्त.करणानि। दश बहि करणानीन्द्रियाणि च । गुणसाम्यलक्षणमध्यक्त प्रधानं प्रकृतिर्विधानमित्यनर्थान्तरम्। अध्यवसायलक्षणो महान् बुद्धिर्मतिषपलव्धिरित्यनर्धान्तरम्। अभिमानलक्षणोऽहङ्कारो वैकारिकोऽभिमान इत्यनर्थान्तरम्। न पूर्वपूर्विका प्रकृतिः। प्रकृतेर्महा-म्रुत्पद्यते ततोऽहङ्कारः । अहङ्कारात्तनमात्राणीन्द्रियाणि च । तन्मात्रेभ्यो विशेषा इस्युत्पत्तिकमः । यो यस्माहुत्पद्यते स तस्मिल्लीयत इति वाप्ययं ऋम. (चाप्ययक्रम.)। अपरार्क pp. 986-988 on या III. 109 For अभ्युद्ध and नि श्रेयस vide p. 1037 n 1671 above Compare pp 1358-59 note 2218 (verse प्रधानास्तित्वमेक्त्व etc) with the verse अस्तित्वमेक्त्व ... शेषवृत्ति. of this passage. On p 100 of Pandit Alyaswami's edition the verse अस्तित्व .. शरीरस्य विशेषद्वति 1s restored from Chinese The तत्त्वसमास-स्ववृत्ति p 135 (Chow S S) reads 'न्यस्वमकर्तृता च On p. 165 of क्रुश्यकल्पतर (मोक्षकाण्ड) the portion देवमनुष्ययोः द्विविधः पुरुषार्थं ... वर्गः फलम् ıs agaın quoted from देवलः on p. 124 of the same work 24% in prose is again quoted for three bandhas (Prakritibandha, Vaikārikabandha and Daksinabandha) and for three bandhanahetus. On the same page three verses and a half are quoted from Brahmandapurana for the three bandhas (Brahmandapurana, Venk Edition IV. 3 37-40).

states that the fruit of both is appeared which means the total disappearance of the sufferings of birth and death. The quotation then proceeds to say that there are extensive and profound tantras concerning both Sānkhya and Yoga composed by former (sages) relying on ratiocination and tradition and these will be summarized and their subjects set out. As to the Sānkhyas there are these: mūlaprakrin, seven categories that are both prakrtis and vikrtis, five tanmātrās, sixteen vikāras (products); indriyas are five (jūānedriyas) and five (karmendriyas), five objects of sense, five elements; thirteen karanas, three being antahkaranas; viparyaya of five kinds, ašaktı of 28 kinds; tustı of nine kinds, siddlus of eight kinds; in this way there are 50 pratyayabhedas, there are ten basic matters, viz. astitva (existence of prakrti &c.). After this there is again an enumeration of prakrti, avyakta, mahān, ahankāra, five tanmātrās &c.

It would be noticed that the quotation from Devala in Apararka contains two parts, the first stopping at the words 'iti dasa mulikarthah' and the 2nd containing the rest. It appears that the two parts cover mostly the same ground and it is probable that the two parts are summaries of two different works on Sankhya.

Another important digest of the first quarter of the 12th century A. D. namely the Krtyakalpataru of Laksmidhara in its Moksakānda pp 100-101 also quotes from Devala's Dharmasūtra a prose passage which agrees word for word with a portion of the passage quoted above from Aparārka viz. from the words 'tatra sānkhyānām ekā mūlaprakrtih' up to 'trīnyantahkaranāni' Then the portion from 'catasras-catasro' to 'iti dasa mūlikārthāh' is dropped by the Kalpataru and then it has the whole of the passage from 'atha mūlaprakrtiravyaktam' up to 'abhimāna ityanarthāntaram', 2261 after which it adds a short passage not found in Aparārka (which is quoted below). It has the passage 'prakrter mahānutpadyate' up to 'ityutpattikramah'.

Both Aparārka and Krtyakalpataru (Moksakānda) quote verses from Yama on the Sānkhya system, which are cited in

²²⁶⁴ Alter अभिमान इत्यनर्थान्तरम् occurring in note 2263 the कृत्यक्रत्यत्तर reads सत्तामात्रत्रत्यणानि तन्मात्राणि त्यान्त्यवल्यानीन्द्रियाण्यक्षाणीन्द्र्यण्युरुयन्ते! सङ्क्षर्णन्त्रस्था समः। इन्द्रियाधेत्रस्था विषयिष्ठेशया सूतानीत्यनर्थान्तरम्। सर्वपूर्विका प्रकृति,। In some cases the editor of the Molsakända has not correctly separated the clauses On p. 100 he should have printed 'यन्यतन्मात्रानित तन्मात्राणि । द्विविधानीन्द्रियाणि । मनो भूतिविशेषास्त्र विकारा । ... दश वृद्धि करणानीन्द्रियाणि ॥।

the note below. It will be noticed that after enumerating the twenty-five tattvas Yama adds Purusottama as the 26th tattva. 2265

Purānas contain lengthy disquisitions on the Sānkhya doctrines. For example, the Visnupurāna I. 2. 19-23, 25-62, VI. 4. 13-15, 17, 32-40 (in all about 66 verses) are full of Sānkhya doctrines and are all quoted in the Krtyakalpataru (Moksakānda pp. 102-108). But that Purāna emphasizes that Paramātman (called Visnu) is the support of all tattyas. In Visnupurāna I. 2. 22-23 it is asserted 2266 that brahmavādıns who are proficient in Vedic doctrines recite (a verse) that expounds pradhāna, (and state) 'there was then (before creation) neither day nor night, no sky nor earth, neither darkness nor light, there was only one male brahma that was evolved as pradhāna and that could not be apprehended by the ear (and other indriyas) or by buddhı. Verses 39-40 (of Visnupurāna VI. 4) assert that both 2267 prakrti and purusa become dissolved in the Highest Self who is called Visnu in Vedas and Vedāntas (Upanisads).

Many other Purānas devote a great deal of space to the elucidation of Sānkhya doctrines and terminology. Considerations of space preclude any statement beyond references to

2265. मनो दुद्धिरहङ्कारः खानिलायिजलानि सू । एताः मक्रतयस्त्वधी विकाराः पोडशागरे ॥ श्रीत्राक्षिरसम्त्रवाणत्वन्तः सङ्कल्प एव च । शन्दस्त्यपसम्पर्शगन्धवाक्षपाणिपायवः । पादादुपरथ इति वि विकाराः पोढश स्पृताः॥ चतुर्विक्षक्षित्वेत्वज्ञानमाहुर्मनीपिणः । पद्मविक्षक्षम्यक्तं
पद्भविक्षः पुरुपोत्तमः । एतञ्ज्ञात्वा द्व सुच्यन्ते पत्तयः शान्तदुद्धयः। पद्मविक्षितत्त्वज्ञी यत्र
तत्राश्रमे रतः । मक्कृतिक्चो विकारक्षो पाति विष्णोः पर पत्तयः ॥ यम प. by अपरार्त्त p. 988 and
द्वार्यकारपत्तर (मोक्षकाण्डः) pp. 101–102, which combines the first and 4th
quarters of the last verse and reads पद्मविक्षातित्त्वज्ञो याति विष्णोः पर पद्यः । 10
the commentary reconstructed from the Chinese translation by Paramartha
(edited by Pandit Aiyaswami) occurs the verse पद्मविद्यातित्वज्ञो यत्र तत्राश्रमे
स्तेत् । जदी सुण्डी किस्त्री वापि सुच्यते नात्र सुद्याः॥ । (on p. 5 and again on p. 55 on
Karika 37) This verse occurs in गोह्याद's भाष्य on कारिका one, भाषागणेश
(1550–1600 A. D.) in तत्त्वपाधार्थस्त्रीपन (Chow. S. S.) ascribes this last verse

2266. चेदवादिविदो चिद्दिलियसा ब्रह्मवादिनः। पटिन्त चैवनेवार्थे प्रधानमतिवादकम् ॥
नाहो न रात्रिर्न नभी न भूमिर्नासीत्तमे ज्योतिरमूख नान्यत्। श्रोत्रादिग्रद्धयाद्धपहरुष्मेक
प्राधानिकं ब्रह्म पुर्मास्तदासीत्॥... ततस्त तत्परं ब्रह्म परमात्मा जननमथः। प्रधानपुरुषो चापि
भविदय स्वैच्छ्या हरिः। क्षोभयामास संमाते सर्गकाले व्ययाव्ययी॥ विष्णुपुराण I 2, 22-23,
28-29 quoted by कृत्यकल्पतरु (मोक्षकाण्ड, p. 103) The first two verses have in
view the famous Reveda hymn X. 129 (verses 1-2).

2267. प्रकृतियों मणा स्थाता व्यक्ताव्यक्तस्वरूपिणी। पुरुपश्चारस्यातेती हीथेते पर सारमानि। परमारमा च सर्वेपामाधार. परमेश्वर.। विच्छुनाम्ना स वेदेषु वेदान्तेषु च गीयते॥ विच्छुपुरु VI 4.39-40, q. by कृत्यकृत्प॰ (on मोक्ष p. 108),

chapters and verses of some Puranas and the citation of a few striking or informative verses. Matsva (3, 14-29) begins with prakrti, gunas and all the twenty-five tattvas, remarks that the three gods, Brahmā, Visnu, Maheśvara, though one, arose from the gunas being put into activity and winds up by saving that Sānkhya is full of enumerations and was proclaimed by Kapila and others. 2268 The Brahmapurana (1. 33-35, 33, 3-4, 242, 67-70, 76-85) recounts the tattvas from prakrti to ksetraiña and remarks at the end that the soul becomes ketala (free from all contacts and liberated) when he realizes the truth about himself. The Padmapurana in several places dilates upon the tattvas of the Sankhya such as in Patalakhanda 85, 11-18. Srstikhanda, chap. 2. 88-103, chap. 15 177-187, which closely follow the S. Kārikā verses. The Kūrmapurāna (I. 4. 13-35) states that Maheśvara enters prakrti and purusa, activates them and sets out Sankhya ideas and in II. 7. 21-26 it refers to the twenty-four tattvas and the state of equilibrium (sāmyāvasthā). The Markandeya-purana in chap. 42. 32-62 treats of creation with Sankhya terminology. Three verses of the Brahmanda IV. 3. 37-46 referring to the threefold bondage (bandha) are quoted by the Krtyakalpataru (moksa) p. 124. Similarly, Brahmanda (II, 32, 71-76) employ the Sankhya terminology of mahat, ahankara &c. Prof. Das Gupta in 'Indian Philosophy' vol. IV. pp. 24-48 deals at some length with Kapila's philosophy in the Bhagavatapurana and Sri Siddhesvara Bhattacharya contributes a paper 'a critique of the Bhagavata' in JBRS for 1950 pp. 9-50 in which he severely criticizes Prof. Das Gupta.

^{2268.} सत्तं रजस्तमश्चेव ग्रुणत्रयस्त्रवाहतम्। साम्यावस्थितिरेतेषां पक्वतिः परिकीरितता। केचिरमधानमित्याहुरस्यक्तमयरे जनाः। एतद्वेव प्रजास्तृष्टिं करोति विकरोति च ॥ ग्रुणेम्यः सीम्यमाणेम्यख्ये देवा विजित्तिरे। एका मृतिख्ये भागा ब्रह्मविष्णुमहेन्द्रराः! ... सारुपं कपिलादिभिक्चर्यते। मत्य 3.14-15,29. Vide वैकारिकस्त्रीजसश्च भूतादिश्चेवं,तानसः। विविधीयमहङ्कारो
महत्त्रवाद्यवायतः। सुतिन्द्र्याणां पञ्चानं तथा कर्मेन्द्रियेः सह॥ पद्म, सुस्त्रवण्ड chap. 2,
89-90; compare सा. का 24-25 आकाशवाद्यतेणासि सिललं पृथिवी तथा। इन्द्रादिभिर्ग्यणेविर सुकानीत्युत्तरोत्तरेः। ज्ञान्ता घोराश्च मृद्राख्य विज्ञेषास्त्रेन तस्तुता । 12bid chap 2.
99-100, the half verse शान्ता स्मृता. occurs in मार्कण्डेयस्. (Venk. ed.) 42 57 व्यक्त मृतं 1. 4. 33, compare सां का. 38 तम्मात्राण्यविशेषास्त्रेम्यो भूतानि पञ्च पञ्चम्यः। एते
स्मृता विशेषाः शान्ता घोराश्च मृद्राख्य॥. vide पद्म, सृत्यिखण्ड 15.177-179 चतुर्विज्ञितितत्त्वानि
प्रधानाद्यानि संख्यया। अचेतनानि भीग्यानि सुच्य पञ्चावित्रका । चेतन सुक्यो भोक्ता न कर्ता
तस्य कर्मण। आत्मा नित्योद्ययश्चैत अधिष्ठाता प्रयोजकः।, पातालख्यण्ड 85. 11-12 are the
same with slight variation; compare सां का 17 and 19 'पुरुपोस्ति भोन्द्रमुभावात्ते
वल्पार्थ मृत्रुत्वश्च ॥ हस्माञ्च विषयासात् सिद्धं साक्षित्वस्त्र एक्पर्यः। केवल्यं माह्यस्त्रथं
प्रमुत्तमकर्तृभावश्च ॥ हस्माञ्च विषयासात् सिद्धं साक्षित्वसस्य एक्पर्यः। केवल्यं माहयस्त्रथं

Vide Bhagavatapurana III. 26. The Varahapurana (B. I. ed. of 1893) narrates the story of king Asyasiras to whom Kapila and Jaigisavva (the prince of Yogins) came after he had performed the avabhrtha bath and was surrounded by brahmanas and who asked them how the highest Näräyana was to be worshipped. when the two told him that they were themselves Nārāvana. On his doubting these words of theirs Kapila assumed the form of Visnu and Jaigisavya of Garuda and after other similar wonders, the king requested that his doubt whether Moksa is attained by him who follows karmamarga or manamarga be resolved. The same Purana in chap. 25 (verses 1-5) declares that avuakta, the first tattva, made up of three (gunas) arose from the highest Purusa, from the union of the two ahankāra was produced also called mahat, that Purusa is named Visnu or Siva while avvakta is called Umā. Devī or Srī and Guha (Kārtikeya) is ahankāra. The Bhāgavatapurāna (I. 3. 10) mentions Kapila as the fifth avatāra of Visnu (vide above p. 1373) and (in X. 8. 37-38) states that when Yasoda asked the child Krsna to open his mouth she saw inside the mouth the whole world, the five elements, the indrivas, mind, matras and the three gunas. Even great poets like Kālidāsa and Bāna are fond of utilising Sankhya doctrines and terminology. For example, vide Kumārasambhava II. 4 (Gunatrayavibhāgāya &c.). Raghuvamsa X, 38 (anginām tamasevobhau gunau prathamamadhyamau), Raghuvamśa VIII. 21 (Raghurapyajayad-guna-trayam prakrtistham &c.); Kādambarl, very first verse (rajojuse janmani...trigunātmane namah).

Even the Tantras were influenced by the Sänkhya system as the Śāradātilaka shows (vide above p. 1061).

It is not a vain boast when the Śāntiparva²²⁶⁹ asserts that whatever knowledge is found in the Vedas, in Sānkhya and Yoga, in the various Purānas, in the extensive itihāsas, in the arthaśāstra and whatever knowledge exists in the world, all that is derived from the Sānkhya. For a dispassionate appraisal of the Sānkhya theory of evolution and its stages, vide Dr. Behanan's work on 'Yoga' chap. IV. pp. 63-91.

²²⁶⁹ ज्ञानं महद्यद्धि महत्तु राजन् नेदेषु सांख्येषु तथैव योगे। यञ्चापि हुए विविधं पुराणं (णे?) सांख्यागतं तत्तिविद्धं नरेन्द्र ॥ यञ्चतिहासेषु महत्तु हुएं यञ्चार्यज्ञाले द्वपिहार खुष्टे। ज्ञानं च लोके यदिहासि किंचित्सांख्यागतं तच्च महन्महास्त्रन्॥ ज्ञान्ति 290, 103-104 (= 301 108-109 of Chitrashala edition).

CHAPTER XXXII

Yoga and Dharmasastra

Sānkhya and Yoga often go together in the Upanisads as well as in the Mahābhārata, the Bhagavadgītā and the Purānas and their relationship to one another is the same in all these In Śv. Up. VI. 13, Vanaparva 2 15, Śāntiparva 2270 228. 28, 289. 1, 306. 65, 308. 25, 326. 100, 336. 69, Anuśāsana 14. 323, Bhagavadgītā V. 4-5, Padma purāna (Pātālakhanda, 85. 11 ff), the two are mentioned together.

Though Sankhya greatly influenced all works dealing with the evolution of the world in its various aspects, it did not enjoy that great esteem among all sorts of people in India that the Yoga system enjoyed and still enjoys. The word yoga is derived from the root 'yuj' to join or to unite (of rudhadi class). The germs of yoga can be traced back to the Rgveda. Rgveda V. 81.1 (a verse in praise of Savitr) reads 'wise men, priests and sacrificers concentrate their minds and join their prayers to the wise, the great (Savitr), who knows all (prayers)'. Another Vedic 271 verse also speaks of concentrating the mind. The word 'yoga' occurs frequently in the Rgveda in several shades of meaning. Sayana in many passages takes 'yoga' to mean 'acquiring what is not already possessed' (as in Rg. I 5.3). In Rg. I. 18.7 God Sadasaspati (Agni) is said to pervade the prayers (or thoughts) of sacrificers. In Rg. I. 34 9 it means 'yokıng' (kadā yogo vājino rāsabhasya yena yajñam nāsatyopayathah'. The word 'yoga' is often used with the word ksema (separately as in Rg. VII. 54. 3, VII. 86. 8) or as a compound

²²⁷⁰ पञ्चितिशानि तृल्यान्युभयतः समम् । योगे साख्येपि च तथा विशेषांस्तत्र मे शृणु ॥ शान्ति 228 28 (=236 29 Ch ed.)

^{2271 &}lt;u>र्युक्षते मन उत युक्षते</u> धियो विमा विमस्य बृहतो विपश्चितः । वि होत्रा द्वधे वयुना-विदेक इन्मही देवस्य सबितु परिष्टुति ॥ ऋ V 81 1 ≔ ते. सं. I 2 13 1, काठकसहिता II 51 and XV 36, बाज सं 11 4, ब्ले. उप II. 4 Rg I. 18.7 ाऽ यस्माहते न सिम्यति यक्को विपश्चितश्चन । स धीनां योगमिन्वति ॥, युक्षानः प्रथम मनस्तत्त्वाय सविता क्षियः । अग्रेज्योतिर्विचाय्य पृथित्या अध्याभरत् । ते स IV.1 1.1, बाज सं. XI 1 and श्ले. उप.

(as in Rg. X. 166. 5 'Yogaksemam va ādāyāham bhūyāsamuttamah'). There is a great distance or gap between the meaning of the word yoga in the Rgveda (even in the verses about concentrating the mind) and its meaning in some of the Unanisada and in classical Sanskrit. In Rg. X. 136, 2-3 there is mention 2272 of munes, sons of Vatarasana, who were dirty and tawny garments and who say in verse 3 'we being in ecstasy (or wild bliss) owing to cur way of life as munis resort to winds: mortals! you look on our bodies only'. This shows that even in those ancient times, some people practised tapas, did not care what clothes they were and thought they (i. e. their souls) would be merged in the wind (that is, the souls are formless and could not be seen). In Rg. VIII. 17. 14 Indra is said to be a friend of munis and muni is also a friend of every god in Rg. X. 136. 4. But as to yates the position was rather different. The word yate occurs several times in the Reveda but in most cases that word has no certain connection with the meaning of 'ascetic'. In Rg. VIII 3.9 by which (says brahmā priest) wealth was given to Bhrgu from the yatis and by which you helped (or protected) Praskanya': here Indra seems to be opposed to yatis. In Rg. VIII. 6. 18 the poet says 'O valiant Indra! listen to my prayer alone from among the yatis and Bhrgus that praised thee'. Here Sāyana explains 'yatayah' as 'Angirasah'. In any case 'vatis' are here shown to be devotees of Indra. But in other Samhitās it is said that Indra threw 'yatis' to the wolves or hyenas, 2273 Later on, however, the meaning of yatt seems to have changed. In these Samhitā passages 'yatis' appear to be persons hostile or alien to the Vedic rites, but what they did to deserve

^{2272.} सुनयो वातरज्ञानाः पिज्ञङ्गा बसते मला। चातस्यान् आजि यन्ति यहेवासो अविक्षतः उन्मदिता मीनयेन वाताँ आ तस्यिमा वयस। इरिरेद्दस्माक यूरं मर्गासो अभि पद्यय । इरिरेद्दस्माक प्रतिविक्ष्यति विक्षान्ति । कि स्वान्ति । कि स्वन्ति । कि स्वान्ति । कि स्वानि । कि स्वान्ति । कि स्वान्त

^{2273.} इन्द्रो यतीन् सालाष्ट्रकेम्यः प्रायच्छत् तान् दक्षिणत उत्तरवेद्या आदन्। ते सं VI 2.7.5, vide also ते सं II.4.9 2 'यतीनामद्यमानानां शिर्पाणि परायत्त् ते खत्राः अभवन्।, Vide also काठकर्सहिता VIII.5 and XI.10 and ते, जा.35.2 In कीषीतव्यतः III., 1 (Indra says) 'त्रिशीर्पाणं लाष्ट्रमहनम् । अरुगुस्तान् यतीन् सालावृकेग्यः प्रायस्त्रम्।

slaughter by Indra is not clear. In Atharvaveda II. 5. 3 Indra is said to have killed Vrtra as he did in the case of yatis. Some of the Upanisads, however, show that 'yati' was a person who had given up worldly affairs, practised yoga and endeavoured after the knowledge of Atman and realized Brahma; vide Mundaka Up III. 1. 5 (yam paśyanti yatayah ksīnadosāh) and III. 2. 6 (sannyāsayogād-yatayah śuddhasattvāh). Some like Hauer in 'Die Anfrange der Yoga-praxis', 1922 pp. 11 ff) hold that the Vrātyas of Atharvaveda XV were ecstatics of the ksatriya class and forerunners of Yogins.

The word 'yoga' occurs in some of the Upanisads in the same sense in which it is employed in the Yogasūtra. In Katha Up. II. 12, it is said 2774 'the wise man reflecting on God by means of yoga, by concentrating the mind on the inner spirit becomes free from joy and grief' (adhyātmayogādhigamena). The same Upanisad says that the state described in VI. 11 is regarded as Yoga because therein the organs (and the mind and buddhi) are firmly held under control. In Katha Up VI 18 it is said that Naciketas having understood the $mdy\bar{a}$ and the whole procedure of Yoga propounded by Yama attained (the realization of) brahma. The word 'Yoga' occurs in the Tai. Up. II. 4 (in speaking about viinanamaya atman. yoga is said to be its ātman, the exact sense being doubtful), and in the Sv Up. II. 11 and Vi. 13. Prasna (5.5-6) speaks of the three matras (a, u, m) of Om In Sv. Up. 1.3 occurs the word 'dhyānayoga'. The Śv. Up. (II. 8-13) refers to āsana and pranayama and sets out the first signs of the successful practice of Yoga. The Chān, Up. VIII. 15 appears to refer to pratyāhāra (though the technical word is not used) in 'atmani sarvendriyāni pratisthāpya' (having brought all organs of sense to rest in the atman).' The Br. Up. (I. 5. 23) appears to refer to pranayama in 'he should observe the one vow, viz. inhalation and exhalation (tasmād-ekameva vratam caret prānyāc-caiva apānyācca).

^{2274.} तां योगमिति सन्यन्ते स्थिराभिन्दियधारणाम्। कठः VI.11; मृत्युपोक्तां निचकेतोऽध लघ्ना विद्यामेतां योगविधि च कृत्स्मम्। ब्रह्ममासी विरज्ञीऽभृहिमृत्युरन्योरयेवं यो
विद्रध्यारमभेव॥ कठः VI. 18, The important words in this last are कुरस्रं
योगविधिम् The idea appears to be that yoga had been fully developed at
the time of the Katha Up. but that Upanisad did not set it out in detail. It
may be further noted that the words एतां विद्यां refer to Brahmavidya and
that yoga-vidhi is separately mentioned (probably as a means to brahmarealization)

The Vedantasūtra (II. 1. 3 'etena yogah pratyuktah) states that Yoga is refuted by the reasoning adopted for the refutation of the Sankhya system. The position of Śankarācarva as to both Sankhva and Yoga has been stated above (pp. 1352 and 1361 n. 2208 and 2221). He points out in the purvapaksa that Veda 2275 prescribes Yoga as a means of achieving correct knowledge and refers to Br. Up. II. 4.5. He further points out that in the Sv. Up. Yoga is expounded in great detail after first mentioning the proper posture (for the practice of Yoga) in the words 'having held the body straight but raised high in three places viz. chest, neck and head' (Sv. Up. II, 8). From the words of Sankarācārya that in Yogasāstra also Yoga is held to be a means of the realisation of right knowledge, it follows that he had a yogaśāstra before him in which occurred the words 'atha...yogah,' but as he does not employ the word Yogasütra he probably does not refer to a sutra work. If a conjecture may be hazarded, it is possible that the Yogasastra meant by Śankara is a work like the Yogaśāstra ascribed to Yājñavalkya in Yāj. Smrti III. 110 (Yogaśāstram ca mat-proktam &c.). Sankarācārya admits on V. S. II. 1. 3 that a part of the yoga is acceptable to him but other parts conflict with the Veda. Mundaka Up. (II 2.6) enjoins samādhi in the words 'om-iti dhyāyatha ātmānam' as Śankarācārya states on V. S. II. 3.39 (samādhvabhāvāc-ca). In the Upanisads 'Muni' and 'Yati' have come to denote 2276 the same meaning, e g. Br. Up. IV. 4. 22 states one becomes muni by realizing this very self.

²²⁷⁵ सम्यग्दर्शनाम्युवायो हि योगो वेदे विहितः श्रोतच्यो मन्तव्यो निर्दिष्णासित्यगः इति । 'ब्युक्ततं स्थाप्य समं शरीरम्' इत्मादिना चासनाद्दिकत्वनापुरःसरं बहुमपद्धं योगविधानं स्वेतान्यतरोपनियदि हृइयते। ... योगशास्त्रापे अथ तरबद्दश्नीपायो योगः' इति सम्यग्दर्शनाम्युवा यसेनैव योगोऽङ्गीक्रियते। शाङ्क्तरभाष्य on वे स् II. 1 3, The words अथ...योग' Imply that it is a quotation. Compare 'समं कायिशरोग्रीवं धारयक्तच्छ रिथरः ।' गीता VI. 13.

^{2276.} एतमेव विवित्वा मुनिर्भवति । एतमेव महाजिनी लोकमिन्छन्तः प्रवजनित । एत उप. IV. 4, 22; vide कठ. IV. 15 'यधोदकं...एवं मुनिजानत आत्मा भवति गौतम।' The कीबीताकि-उप II. 15 has परि वा बलेत्. The word परिवाजक, however, does not occur in the other Upanisads In पाणिनि's day परिवाजक was well-known as the sutra 'मस्कर-मस्कारिणी वेणुपरिवाजकयोः' VI. 1, 154 shows which states that मस्कर means bamboo (staff) and मस्करित् means परिवाजक The महाभाष्य comments that मस्करित् is not so called because he carries a bamboo staff but because he advises people not to do actions for securing desired objects and that for people quiescence is better 'ना छुत कर्माणि मा कुत कर्माणि झान्तिवै: अयसीत्याहातो मरकसे परिवाजकः'

while the Mundaka (III. 1. 5)2177 states 'this self is to be attained by Truth, by tapas, by right knowledge and by sexual purity at all times that self resides inside the body (like a light), is pure, whom spotless ascetics realize.' The Kathopanisad (III, 13) provides that the wise man should restrain speech in mind (speech and mind, as the text stands), he should keep it within the self which is knowledge, he should keep down knowledge within the self that is the great, and he. should keep that (the great) within the self which is quiet. The Upanisads thus not only employ the word 'Yoga' but provide some of the stages of yoga and its technique for realizing the Supreme Spirit. About twenty Yoga Upanisads are published at Adyar, edited by A. Mahadev Sastri (1920). but as their chronology is most uncertain and as most of them appear to the present writer to be later than the Mahābhārata. Manu and probably the Yogasütra, they are passed over here and are referred to in this work sparingly 2278

Pānini provides for the formation of the words yama and niyama (two angas) of Yoga and the word 'Yogin' appears to have been derived by him from the root 'yuj' with the affix 'ghinun' (i. e. in) in the sense of tācchīlya (being habituated to) according to Pān. III. 2. 142 (which is a very long sūtra).²²⁷⁹

à.

4

^{2277.} कामक्रोधिवयुक्तानां यतीनां यतचेतसाम्। अभितो वद्गानिर्वाणं वर्तते विदितास-नाम्॥ गीता 5 26, यच्छेद्वाड्मनसी प्राज्ञस्तद्यच्छेज्ज्ञान आत्मिनि। ज्ञानमात्मिनि महिति नियच्छेत्तद्यच्छेच्छान्त आत्मिनि॥ क्षठोप. III 13. ज्ञङ्कत्ताचार्य on वे. सू I 4, 1 explains this at length 'वाचं मनिस संयच्छेत् वागादिवाहोन्द्रियच्यापारमुत्सुज्य मनोमान्नेणावतिष्ठेतः' He takes मनसी as an arsaprayoga equal to सनसि.

²²⁷⁸ That the yoga Upanisads are late productions may be briefly indicated here Verses 10-14 of the गोरसञ्चल (dealing with the ādhāra and svadhisthāna cakras) are found in the स्थान्तिन्द्व (verses 43-47) and in the योगच्हामाण (verses 4-9) with slight variations. Vide pp. 1060-1062 and notes 1715-1717 above for the six chakras and the nādīs. In the description of prāṇāyāma the Śāndilya Upanisad quotes certain verses with the words तहेते श्लोका भवन्ति, some of which occur in the गोरसञ्चलक One cannot say definitely that the Śāndilya borrows from G. S., but this is possible. All the ancient and medieval works on the several branches of yoga are not yet available, and therefore one cannot preclude the possibility that Śāndilya and other yoga upanisads and गोरसञ्चलक borrow from earlier sources not yet discovered

^{2279.} यम. समुपनिविधु च।पा. III. 3. 63, एषु असुपसर्गे च यमेरप् वा।... नियम: नियाम:। यमः यामः। सि की याम means प्रहुर (watch, 1/8 part of the whole day), while यम means 'restraint' 'यम्यते चिक्तं अनेन'. On पा. III 2. 142 the कोशिका remarks 'युज समाधी दिवादि.। युजिश् योगे कथादि.। द्वयोरपि ग्रहणाम'.

The Apastambadharmasūtra (I. 8 23.3-6) quotes a verse 'The total destruction of dosas (taints) is due to Yoga in this life; a wise man after having expelled all dosas that cause harm to all beings reaches peace (moksa)' and then it sets out fifteen dosas such as anger, ecstatic joy, greed, hypocrisy, the destruction of which is due to Yoga and enumerates the qualities that are opposites of the dosas. This shows that long before the 4th or 5th century B. C. Yoga as a discipline of the mind had been well developed.

The V. S. II. 1. 3 indicates that the Sūtrakāra had before him a body of Yoga doctrines, some of which were the same as those of the Sānkhya and he also knew Samādhi (V. S. II. 3. 39). Again, the V. S. mentions (in IV. 2. 21) Yogins and distinguishes Sānkhya and Yoga as smārta (and not śrauta). Śankarācārya on V. S. I. 3. 33 quotes the extant Yogasūtra II 44 (svādhyāyādista-devatā-samprayogah) and on 2280 V. S. II. 4. 12 he appears to be willing to admit that the Yoga-sūtra preceded V. S. and quotes Yogasūtra I. 6 in the 2nd interpretation of that sūtra.

The important question is whether the author of the V.S. refers to the extant Yogasütra. The present author holds for various reasons that the V.S. does not refer to the present Yogasütra, but to the Yoga doctrines that had been developed even before the Katha Mundaka, Śvetāsvatara and other Upanisads.

In the Śāntiparva it is stated that the propounder 2281 of Sānkhya was paramarsı (highest sage) Kapila, Hiranyagarbha

^{2280.} पञ्चवृत्तिमेनोबद्वयपदिश्यते । वे स् II 4 12, शाकरभाष्य 'इतशासि सुरूपस्य प्राणस्य वैशेषिकं कार्य यत्कारणं पञ्चवृत्तिस्यं व्यपदिश्यते श्रुतिषु प्राणोऽपानो ध्यान उदान. समान न्द्रति (बृद्ध उप. I 5.3)।...एवं तार्हि परमतमप्रतिपिद्धमञ्जमत भवतिति न्यायादिवापि योगशास्त्रपसिद्धा मनसः पञ्च वृत्तय परिग्रह्माने-प्रमाणविषयपिकत्यिन्द्राः स्मृतयो नाम ।. It would be noticed that Sahkarācārya first gave one explanation of the word 'manovat' in the V.S. and then he gave another by way of concession.

^{2281.} सांख्यं योगं...नाना मतानि वे ॥ सांख्यस्य वक्ता कापिल प्रसर्षि स उच्यते। विश्वपत्ता स्वापति प्रसर्षि स उच्यते। प्राचित्तमार्भे विश्वपित प्रसर्षि प्रवद्नसीह केचन ॥ शान्ति 337. 59-61 = विश्व॰ ६५, ३४९ ६४-६६. For the first verse, vide above p 954 n 1544 and also 'सार्ष्य योग पञ्चराजं वेदारण्यकसेव च। ज्ञानान्येतानि ब्रह्मणें लोकेषु प्रचरित हि ॥ ज्ञान्ति 337. 1: एवमेके सांख्ययोगं वेदारण्यकसेव च। परस्पराङ्गान्येतानि पञ्चराजं च कश्यते। एव एकान्तिनी वर्मा नारायणप्रशासकः ॥ ज्ञानित 335 76 (= 348 81-82 Ch. ed). वेदारण्यक probably refers to upanisads like the Brhadaranyaka and Chândogya, which contain passages about 'nididhyāsa' and the non-difference of nua and brahma such as 'tai-tvam-ası'. A paramarşı ıs defined in the वायुशाण वर्ध 'नियुत्तिसमकालं ह चुद्धचाडम्यक्तपृष्टिः स्वयम्। परं हि ऋषते यस्मात्यस्मिहततः हात । 59, 80 (vide same verse in Brahmanda III 32, 86

was the ancient knower of Yoga, no one else (knew it); Apāntaratamas was Vedācārya whom some called the sage Prācīnagarbha. In the previous chapter it is stated that Sānkhya. Yoga. Vedāranyaka and Pancarātra are one and are angas of each other. In Śānti. 326. 65 Hiranyagarbha is again connected with Yogasastra, Videp 1371 above. In the Anusasanaparva 2252 Sanatkumāra appears to be connected with Yoga as the founder, just as Kapila was the founder of Sankhya. In the Ahirbudhnya Samhitā (XII. 32-33) it is stated that Hiranyagarbha first propounded two Yogasamhitas, one was called 'Nirodhayoga' and the other was called 'Karmayoga', the first of which was again divided twelve-fold The Bhāmatī 2283 on V S II. 1.3 states that that sutra does not totally negative the authoritativeness of the Yogasastra of Hiranyagarbha and Patañjali. The Visnupurana 2184 appears to quote two verses from Hiranyagarbha (vide note below). Vācaspati in his commentary on Yogasūtra I. 1 states that Yogi-Yājūavalkya mentions that Hiranyagarbha was the propounder of Yoga. Vacaspati regards the Yogasutra of Patañjali as later than Yoga-Yājñavalkya-smrti. fore. it is almost certain that the V.S. refutes the doctrines of that Yoga system that was known to the Santiparva.

In Śalyaparva (chap. 50) a story is told about bhīkṣu Jaigisavya who was a great Yogin and of 'Asita Devala', a householder staying on the holy Sārasvata-tīrtha.

In the Santiparva (cr. ed. chap. 222 = Ch. ed. chap. 229) there is a long dialogue between Jaigisavya and Asita about Yoga, one verse (14) 2285 from which may be cited

'

å

大人 大学学 大学の大学を

^{2282.} सनाकुमारो योगाना साख्यामां कपिलो हासि । अनुज्ञासन 14.323 (addressed by उपमन्यु to महादेव).

^{2283.} नानेन शेगज्ञास्त्रस्य हैरण्यगर्भपातञ्जलादेः सर्वधा प्रामाण्यं निराक्रियते किं तु जगद्रुपादानस्वतन्त्रप्रधान-तद्विकारमहद्वदद्वारपञ्चतन्मात्रागोचरं प्रामाण्य नास्तीत्सुरयते ।... न चैतानि प्रधानादिसद्भावपराणि किंतु योगस्तस्पातस्ताधन-तद्वान्तरफलविभूति-तत्परमफल-केप्रलप्युत्पादनपराणि। भामती on ज्ञाङ्करमाण्य II 1.3.

²²⁸⁴ नसु हिरण्यगर्भो योगस्य वक्ता नान्यः पुरातनः इति योगियाज्ञवत्त्रयस्मृते. कथं पतञ्जलेयोगञास्त्रकर्मृत्वमित्याञ्ञङ्कय सूत्रकारेणास्त्रकासनित्यक्तस् । शिष्टस्यानुशासनं न त ज्ञासनित्वर्ष । धाचस्यति on यो I. 2. The half verse हिरण्य पुरातनः is बृह्र-योगियाज्ञवत्त्वय XII 5 (Lonavla); संभावना परां हार्नि योगर्द्धे: सुक्ते यतः। जनेना-धमतो योगी योगसिद्धिं च विन्दृति । तस्माञ्चरेत यो योगी सतां धर्मसबूययन्। जना यथावस-प्येरन् गरहोरक्षेत्र सङ्गतिस् ॥ हिरण्यगर्भवत्यनं विचिन्त्याथ महानति. । आत्मानं दर्शयामास जनोन्नतासृतिं जने ॥ विरुष्ण II. 13, 42-44.

^{2285.} निन्दामशंसे खाल्पर्थं न मदन्ति परस्य ये। न च निन्दाप्रशंसाम्यां विक्रियन्ते कवाचन ॥ ज्ञान्ति 229.14 (Ch. ed.)

here 'Yogins do not talk much by way of censure and praise of others and their minds are never affected by the praise and censure of them indulged in by others.' In that chap. Jaigisavya is described as one who was never angry nor joyful. In the Varāhapurāna (4.14) it is stated that Kapıla and Yogirāt Jaigisavya came to king Aśvaśiras that had taken the arabhrtha bath after Aśvamedha and assumed the forms of Visnu and Garuda respectively. It may be noted that on Y. S. II. 55 the bhāsya quotes several views, but prefers that of Jaigisavya. On Y. S. III. 18, 2266 the bhāsya mentions the dialogue between Avatya and Jaigisavya, where the latter's opinion is stated to be that from the point of view of karvalya, the happiness of contentment is also misery, though as compared to pleasures of sense contentment is supposed to be and may be called happiness.

In the Buddhacarita (XII) when Gautama (the future Buddha) approached a philosopher Arāda, the latter described to Gautama his idea of the path to moksa and mentioned Jaigīsavya, Janaka and Vrddha-Parāśara as persons that had become liberated by following that path.²²⁸⁷

From these references it follows that Jaigīsavya was a great teacher of Yoga long before the Christian era and probably had composed a work on Yoga not now available.

There are numerous English translations of the Yogasütra (wholly or partly) and of the Bhāsya and Vācaspati's Commentary, such as that by Dr. Rajendralal Mitra with text of Y. S., bhāsya and com. Rājamārtanda and an appendix (p. 218-227) noting 150 mss. on Yoga (B. I. ed. 1883); Swami Vivekananda's 'Rājayoga' (Vol. I. of collected works, ed. of 1946) pp. 200-304, which translates and explains all the sūtras; Dr. Ganganath Jha's translation (Bombay 1907); translation by Rama Prasad (pub. by Panini office, Allahabad 1910); translation by Prof. J. H. Woods (in the Harvard Oriental Series, 1914) who read with the present author in Bombay for some months in 1909, Geraldine Coster in 'Yoga and Western Psychology' (London, 1934) translates and explains many of

^{2286.} भगवाक्षेगीवन्य उवाच। विषयसुखिषेक्षयैवेदमञ्ज्ञमं सन्तोषसुखसुक्तम्। क्षेत्रत्यः सुखापेक्षया दुःखमेव। भाष्य on यो सू III 18 सन्तोष is one of the five plyamas (यो. सू II. 32) and यो. सू II. 42 is सन्तोषादञ्जनाः सुखलाभः.

^{2287.} जैनीपन्योपि जनको बृद्धश्रेष पराशरः। हुमं पण्यानमासाध सक्ता हान्ये स ो ।। बारचरित VII 67.

Patanjali's sūtras (pp. 100-131); 'Aphorisms of Yoga' done into English by Shree Purohita Swami and Intro. by W.B. Yeats (Faber & Faber, London, 1937) with figures of Śiddhāsana, Baddhapadmāsana, Paścimottānāsana, Bhujangāsana, Viparītakaranī and Matsyendrāsana; 'Bhāratiya Mānasa-śāstra' or 'Pātanjala-yoga-daršana' (Sanskrit text, with a table of contents, errata, Introduction of 232 pages, and meaning and explanation pp. 787 in Marathi) in all 1051 pages, edited by Krishnaji Keshav Kolhatkar and published by K.B. Dhavale, Bombay, 1951—a very exhaustive and useful work, though rather diffuse and marred by a few uncritical statements.

The number of works and papers on Yoga in general written by Indian and Western authors is very large. The present author has not read many of them. He may mention the following among those that he read or consulted; 'Rajayoga' (complete works of Vivekananda, ed of 1946, Mayavati, vol. I. pp 119-313). 'Yoga technique in the Great Epic' by W. Hopkins in JAOS vol. 22 for 1901 pp. 333-379; 'Yoga as a philosophy and religion' by Prof. S. N. Das Gupta (London, 1924), and 'Yoga philosophy (Uni, of Calcutta, 1930), Dr J. W. Hauer's 'Die Anfrange der Yogapraxis im Alten Indien' (Stuttgart, 1922) and 'Der Yoga Als Heilweg nach den Indischen quellen Dargestellt. Stuttgart. 1932, this is a careful and systematic study of Yoga; this work (pp. 101-127) gives the transliterated text of the Yogasūtra with translation in German not in serial order but by subjects (such as yogāngas, kriyāyoga, Īśvarapranidhāna): Dr. Radhakrishnan's 'Indian Philosophy' vol. II. pp. 336-373 (London, 1931); 'The mysterious Kundalini' by Dr. J. G. Rele (Taraporevala and sons, Bombay, 1927); 'Yoga, the science of health' by Felix Guyot (in French), translated by J Carling, London, 1937 (3rd ed.), expounding the practical principles of Hatha-yoga; 'Yoga, a scientific 2188 evaluation' by Dr. K. T. Behanan pub. by Macmillan & Co., New York, 1937 (the author studied at Kaivalya-dhāma for a year); 'Tibetan Yoga and secret doctrine' by W. Y. Evans-Wentz (Oxford Uni. Press, 1935) and 'Tibetan Book of the Dead' by the same author (Oxford. 1927); 'A search in secret India' by Paul Brunton (London. 1947); Paul Tuxen's 'the religions of India' (Copenhagen, 1949),

^{2288.} This is one of the best modern books on Yoga. The present author is much impressed by Dr. Behanan's sincerity, detailed and scientific treatment of Yoga and the very frank appraisal of some Yoga practices (pp. 225-249) at the end

'Tibetan Yoga' by Bernard Bromage (2nd ed. of 1939) pp. 108-111: 'Yoga the method of re-integration' by Allain Danielon (London, 1949); the author states that the exposition is based on voga works and also on the teachings of living exponents: it is a useful book with six illustrations and 352 Sanskrit texts: 'The Tibetan Book of the Great Liberation' ed. by W. G. Evans-Wentz (Oxford Uni. Press, 1954), pp. XXIX-LXIV contain C.G. Jung's Psychological commentary and pp. 63-69 on astrology: 'Source-book of Indian Philosophy' by Dr. Radhakrishnan and C. A. Moore; gives translation of the whole vogasutra with extracts from the bhasya, Mercea Eliade's 'Yoga, immortality and freedom' translated from French into English by Willard R. Trask (London, 1958), 'Hathayoga, an advanced method of physical education and concentration' by Prof. S. S. Goswami (L. N. Fowler, London, 1959); this is a very valuable book; it deals scientifically with yoga in all its aspects. but particularly with asanas of which 108 photographs are given; 'Concentration by Mouni Sadhu (London, 1959): 'The Lotus and the Robot' by A. Koestler (London, 1960).

Many editions of the Yogasūtra of Pataūjali with the bhāsya of Vyāsa and the commentary (called Tattvavaisāradī) of Vācaspati have been printed in India. I shall mention only two or three editions of the text of the Sūtra and the two commentaries viz. the edition by the late Pandit Rajaram Shastri Bodas, printed in beautiful type at the Nirnayasāgara Press in 1892 and the other, the Ānandāšrama edition of 1932 which contains the sūtra, bhāsya, Vācaspati's commentary and also the commentary called Rājamārtanda of king Bhoja. In the Kashi Sanskrit series the Yogasūtra was printed (in 1930) with six commentaries viz. the Rājamārtanda of Bhojarāja, the Pradīpikā of Bhāvā Ganeša, vrtti by Nāgoji-bhatta, the Maniprabhā by Rāmānandayatī, the Candrikā by Anantadeva and the Yoga-sudhākara by Sadāšivendra Sarasvatī. 22226

The Yogasūtra, as compared with the sūtras of some other darsanas, is a brief one. It is divided into four $p\bar{a}dus$, viz.

²²⁸⁸a. It should be noted that Bhojadeva omits Yogasütra IV, 16 (स चेकाचित्रतन्त्रे चेद्वस्तु तत्प्रसाणकं तदा कि स्पात्) which is commented upon in the Vyāsabhāsya and by Vācaspati On the other hand, Yogasütra III. 20 (na ca tat-sālambanam tasyāviṣayībhūtatvāt) does not occur in the edition of Pandit Bodas Shastri and is not commented upon by Bhāvā Gancka and Nāgoji. The form of the sūtra in Y. S. IV. 16 makes one feel some doubt about its genuineness, but as it is explained by the hhāṣya and Vācaspail, one has to accept it as genuine,

Samādhi (concentration), Sādhana (means of attainment), Vibhūti (super-normal powers) and Kaivalya (aloofness, liberation). There are only 195 sūtras in all the pādas together (51+55+55+34).

Dr. Radhakrishnan in 'Indian Philosophy' (vol. II. of the ed, of 1931 pp. 341-42) holds that the author of the Yogasütra is not later than 300 A.D. Prof. S.N. Das Gupta in 'History of Indian Philosophy' (vol I. pp 226-238) is in favour of the identity of the two Patanjalis and therefore holds that the author of the Yogasūtra flourished in the 2nd century B C. 2289 The Sanskrit paper of Pandit R. Ramamūrtišarmā in J. of Venkatesvara Institute of Tirupati (vol. II. pp. 289-294) and Mr. Govind Das's brief note in I. A. vol. 44 (for 1915 p. 24) may also be read in this connection. Jacobi and (following him) Keith hold that the Yogasūtra (I. 40), meaning at the most 'the mastery of the Yogin extends from the minutest particle to the greatest magnitude imaginable', 2290 refers to the atomic theory of the world. This is a good sample of the way in which even great Western writers read in simple words later theories and try to give late dates to early works. The Upanisads speak of the self as more minute than what is anu and as greater than the great and the Mahābhārata also uses the same phraseology. There is no convincing reason to suppose that the Yogasütra refers only to a theory of atoms propounded in the Vaisesika system and is not paraphrasing the words of the Upanisad and the Mahāhhārata.

We have also to consider the early tradition embodied in an introductory verse in Bhojadeva's commentary (not later than 1055 A D.) and mentioned by Cakrapāni (commentator

²²⁸⁹ Most scholars accept 2nd century B C as the date of the Mahābhāsya, Dr D C. Sucar in I H.Q. vol. 15 (pp 633-638) tries to show that the Mahābhāsya cannot be placed earlier than the 2nd century A, D His arguments cannot be discussed here The present author does not agree with Dr. Sircar and holds that the arguments for placing the Mahābhāsya in the 2nd century B, C are far more weighty than those put forward by Dr Sircar.

^{2290.} अणोरणीयान महतो महीयानारमास्य जन्तीनिहिती ग्रहायाम् । कठोप. 11. 20, भ्येता. ज. 111. 20, भ्येता. ज. १००० व्यातम्यत्वा. भ्येत्व. १ अत्यत्वता. १ व्यातम्यत्वा. भ्येत्व. भ्येत्य. भ्येत्

of the Carakasamhitā 2291 (about 1060 A. D.) that Patañjali (deemed to be an $avat\bar{u}ra$ of Sesa) composed works on Grammar, Yoga and Medicine.

The present author cannot deal at length in this volume with the two questions of identity and of the dates of the two works, as he is mainly concerned with the influence of Yoza on Dharmaśāstra works. He thinks that hardly any cogent arguments have been advanced to positively disprove the identity of the authors of the Mahābhāsya and of the Yogasūtra. Whether the revision of Caraka's work was done by the same Patañiali is very doubtful. In the Santinarva the founder of Cilcisita (medicine) is said to have been Krsnätreya and not Caraka nor Pataniali. The Caraka-samhitā uses the expression 'iti ha smāha bhagayān-Ātreyah' in the beginning of its chapters. Caraka (in I. 1. 23 ff) states that the sage Bharadvāja learnt Ayurveda from Indra. His pupil was Punarvasu Atreya, who had six disciples viz. Agnivesa. Bheda. Jatūkarna. Parāšara, Hārīta and Ksārapāni. First. Agnivesa composed a treatise on Avuryeda and read it to Atreya and then Bheda and others did so. In the chapter called 'Tisraisaniya' in Caraka-samhita (I. 11. 75) Krsnatreva's view is specially mentioned. it appears that Krsnätreya 2292 is different from Atreya who is reverently mentioned at the beginning of Caraka's chapters. Even the Buddhacarita of Aśvaghosa mentions Atreya as the first propounder of medical science.

It is possible to argue that the tradition that Pātañjali dealt with Yoga and Grammar is older than the Vākyapadiya of Bhartrhari. That work states in its first section²²³ (called Brahmakānda) that the taints that affect the body, speech and

^{2291.} पातजल-महाभाष्य-चरकप्रतिसंस्कृतेः। मनोवाक्कायदोपाणां हर्चेऽहिपतये नवः॥ Intro, verse to com on चरका. Another verse to the same effect is योगन चित्तस्य पद्मेन वाची मल कारीरस्य च वैद्यकेन। योऽपाकरोत्तं प्रारं सुनीनां पत्रज्ञलिं प्राप्तलिरानतोऽस्मि॥ cited in योगवार्तिक of विज्ञानभिक्ष.

^{2292.} बेद्दविद्देद भगवान् बेदाङ्गानि बृहस्पति । भागवी नीतिशास्त्रं च जगाद जगते हितस्॥ गान्धर्व नारदो बेद्द भरद्वाजो धर्छ्यह्द्द् । देवपिचरितं गार्ग्य छूप्णान्नेपश्चिकितित्तर्। स्यायतन्त्राण्यमेकानि तैस्तैरक्तानि चादिभिः । ज्ञान्ति 203. 18-20 (cr ed. = 210. 20-22 of Ch. ed); चिकित्सितं यञ्च चकार नाजिः पश्चात्त्वन्त्रेय ऋषिर्जगाद्॥ बुद्धचिति 1. 50. Asvaghosa is held to have flourished in the first or 2nd century A. D.

²²⁹³ कायशम्बुद्धिविषया ये मलाः समवस्थितः । चिकित्सान्द्रक्षणाध्यालशाक्षितेषां विद्युद्धयः॥ वाक्यपदीय I. 148; अलुष्धगाधे गाम्भीर्योद्धत्तान इव सीष्टवात् । वाक्यपदीय II. 485; तदेवं ब्रह्मकाण्डे कायवाग्बुद्धिविषया ये मलाः—इत्यादि श्रृतेकेन भाष्यकारमंता एक्ता, द्वष्ट चैव भाष्यपर्शतेति शास्त्रस्य शास्त्रमर्द्धश्च टीकाकृता महत्तोपवणिता । हेलाराजाः टीकाः

intellect are purified (respectively) by the sciences of medicine, grammar and metaphysics. Then in the eulogy of the Mahābhāṣya it remarks 'alabdhagādhe gāmbhīryād-uttāna iva sausthavāt', on which the commentator explains that in the verse from the Brahmakānda the author of the Mahābhāsya is praised and in the other verse there is praise of the bhāsya itself. From this it appears to follow that according to the commentator the Vākyapadīya attributes the three śāstras on medicine, grammar and metaphysics (i. e. Yoga) to Patañjali himself.

Even if it be held that the authors of the Yogasūtra and of the Mahābhāsya are different persons, there are hardly any reasons for definitely assigning the author of the Yogasūtra to a date later than the 2nd or 3rd century A D. The date of the Yogabhāsya of Vyāsa is of considerable importance in arriving at the probable date of the Yogasūtra. But the question of the date of the Yogabhāsya is also debatable. The Vyāsa who composed the Yogabhāsya would have to be held as different from Vedavyāsa, the reputed author of the Mahābhārata.

The author of the Yogasūtra is, according to the tradition embodied in such comparatively early commentaries as that of Vācaspatimišra, said to be Patanjali. Important questions arise as to his age and his identity with Patanjali, the author of the famous Mahābhāsya on Pānini's grammar and the Vārtikas thereon. The grammarian Patanjali is generally held to have flourished about 150 B.C. Therefore, the question of identity becomes important for the date of the Yogasūtra. Some scholars like Prof. B. Liebich, Dr. Hauer (p. 98 of the work of 1932) and Prof. Das Gupta favour the identity of the two, but several others such as Jacobi, Keith, Woods, Renou are against it. Prof. Renou (in I. H. Q. vol. XVI. pp. 586-591) examines the question from the grammatical point of view, points out that certain words like Pratyāhāra, Upasarga, Pratyaya are used in the Yogasütra in senses entirely different from the senses of these words in the Mahābhāsya. But as the subjects of the two works are entirely different, the same words may have acquired different senses. Similarly, Prof. Renou relies on breaches of grammatical rules (in the Yogasütra I. 34), while he says that Patañjali în the Mahābhāsya is very strict in following Pānini's rules though Pānini himself is rarely not strict in observing his own rules as in 'tat-prayojako hetusca' (I 4 55) which is against his own sūtra 'trjakābhyām kartari' (II. 2. 15); but

Patañjali also employs such an expressions as 'aviravikanyāva' 224 (which should be avyavikanyāyena) for which Patañjali is criticized in the long Pürvapaksa on Vyākarana contained in the Tantravartika and which is not explained away in the reply to the Pürvapaksa. It cannot be supposed that the Yogasütra for the first time settled the technical terms of Yoga, Yoga terms had been evolving from Upanisad times and Patañiali only used them in the sense which they had acquired in the course of centuries. Prof. Renou arrives at the conclusion that the Yogasütra is later by several centuries than the Mahabhasya. Jacobi in his paper on 'the dates of the philosophical sutras of the Brāhmanas' (in JAOS, vol. 31 pp. 1-29) holds (p. 29) that the Yogasutra must be later that the 5th century A.D. and, following Garbe, thinks that it is not improbable that the Vyāsabhāsya was composed in the 7th century A.D. The views of Jacobi are strongly criticized by Jwala Prasad in J. R. A. S. for 1930 pp. 365-375. The present author disagrees with Jacobi and Prof. Renou.

The date of the Yogabhasya will have considerable bearing. on the date of the Yogasütra. The Yogabhāsya presupposes much literary activity on Yoga. It mentions by name on Y.S. II,55 and on III. 18 Jaigisavya who is a prominent figure in the Mahabharata as shown above (pp. 1367 and 1374). Vide also the story of Asita Devala with whom Jaigisavya stayed for many years as a bhiksu and adept in Yoga (Salyaparva, chap. 50). It has to be remembered that several interpretations of the same Yogasuira are noted in the bhasya (as on II, 55). The Yogabhasya quotes several $K\bar{u}r_{i}k\bar{u}s$ and verses dealing with the matters treated of in the Y. S. as on Y. S. I. 28, 48, II. 5, 28 (on nine causes that lead to Vivekakhyāti), II. 32, III. 6, III. 15 (on seven Cittadharmas of the apandrsta type). Besides these, several quotations in prose are cited in the bhasya, many of which are attributed to Pañcasikha by Vācaspati (vide pp. 1373-74 above), and some (as on II. 22, 30, 52) to agamins (those who know the tradition or Veda). Therefore, it follows that some centuries intervene between the Yogasūtra and its bhāsya.

The Bhāsya on Y. S. II. 42 quotes a verse with the words 'tathā coktam' (it has also been said), which is identical with

^{2294.} In the महाभाष्य on पार्तिक 2 on पा. IV 1.88 and on पा V. 1.7 tbs words अविरविकन्यायेन occur. In the पूर्वपक्ष in the तन्त्रवार्तिक against grammat (vyākarana) it is urged 'आस्प्रेय्यपिशिकस्यायेनेति हम्हार्ग तरपुर्व पूर्वतागतप्रे पहराया सुप: —सुपो धातुमातिपविकायो. (पा II. 4. 71) हाते प्रत्यक्षीपविद्योऽपि हाई न सुत: 17 (p. 250. Ānan. ed.)

a verse from the Santiparva.2295 It is most unlikely that an author will rely on his own work for supporting a proposition propounded in another work of his own. Besides, the Yogabhasya quotes on Y. S. I. 28 a verse, which is Visnupurāna²²⁹⁶ VI. 6, 2. The Visnupurana is one of the earliest among extant Puranas (vide above pp. 907-909) and cannot be assigned to a date later than the 3rd century A. D. Therefore, the Yogabhasva which quotes the Mahābhārata and the Visnupurāna may be assigned to about 4th century A. D. As argued above, the Y. S. would therefore have to be assigned to a date not later than the 2nd or 3rd century A. D. Though the present writer holds that the Yoga that is said to be refuted in V. S. II. 1. 3 is not that of the Yogasūtra but the Yoga found in the Śāntiparva, yet he is not prepared to place the present Yogasütra earlier than the 2nd century B. C. Mr. K. K. Kolhatkar in his very exhaustive and learned Introduction (in Marathi) asserts (on p. 126) that the Yogasūtra is later by 3000 years than the Brahmasūtra and that the Bhagavadgita was composed about 5000 years before the present day (p. 224). But he adduces no evidence for such an ancient date for that work. He probably relies on the traditions that Vyāsa, the reputed author of the Mahābhārata (including the Gita), flourished towards the end of the Dvapara age and that Kaliyuga started in 3179 B. C. Modern critical scholarship does not accept these traditional datings.

Not only do some of the Upanisads contain references to Yoga technique and practices, but the Mahābhārata also dilates upon matters that pertain to the domain of Yoga. A few examples may be referred to here (the cr. ed. of the Mahābhārata is relied upon). In the Śāntiparva, chap. 232 (241 of Ch. ed.)

ŧ ţ

^{2295.} सन्तोपादछत्तमसुखलाभः। योगसूत्र II. 42. The only भाष्य on this is तथा चोक्तम्। यद्य कामसुखं लोके यद्य दिन्य महत्सुखम्। तृष्णाक्षयसुखस्येते नाईतः पोडशीं कलाम्॥ इति. This Verse is शान्तिपर्व 171. 51 (cr. ed., =177 51 of Ch. ed.) and वासु 93 101, see p. 939 n. 1510 for this verse, On I 47 'निर्वचारवैद्यारवैद्यारम्परात्मसादः,' the भाष्य is: तथा चोक्तम्। भ्रज्ञाभासादमारुद्ध अशोच्यः शोचतो जनाम्। भ्रमिष्ठानिव शैलस्यः सर्वाभ्याज्ञोऽद्यप्रस्थिति॥ ।. On this वाच्यस्पति remarks 'अवैव पारमर्थी गाणास्रदाहरति।।

^{2296.} तन्त्रपस्तदर्थभावनस्। यो स् I 28; तदस्य योगिनः प्रणवं जपतः प्रणवार्थि च भावयतिश्वत्रमेकाग्रं सम्पद्यते । तथा चोक्तम् । स्वाध्यायायोगमासीत योगात्स्वाध्यायमामनेत् । स्वाध्याययोगसम्पत्त्रा परमात्मा मकाशते हति The printed विष्णुपु reads 'आचरेत्' for आमनेत्, which latter is probably the older reading

Dhāranā and Prānāyāma. The Āśvamedhikaparva 19. 17 (Ch. ed.) appears to refer to pratyāhāra. 2300

There is also striking similarity between the Bhagavadgītā and the Yogasütra. 2301 For example, the definition of Yoga in the Yogasütra as the 'mastery over (or elimination of) the functions (or fluctuations) of the mind' has a close parallel The Gītā insists on the yogin being 'aparigraha' in the Gita. (VI. 10): 'aparigrha' is one of the five yamas (Y. S. II. 30). Similarly, the seat and posture (asana) in which a yogin is to practise must be stable (this refers to the seat) and comfortable (says Yogasūtra); the Gītā says the same thing in greater detail. In VIII 12 the Gita speaks of Yogadharana. The Gita says (in VI. 35) that the mind is certainly restless and difficult to control. but it can be controlled by practice and passionlessness and the Y. S. (L 12) speaks of the same two means. The Gitā (in V. 4-5) emphasizes that it is the ignorant that regard Sankhya and Yoga as different, that he who applies himself or stands committed to one of these secures the fruit held out by both and that he who sees both ways as identical sees truly. Here Sankhya means (sannyāsa) 'renunciatien' and Yoga means 'Karmayoga'.

The Yogasūtra of Patañjali nowhere expressly sets out its scheme of the evolution of the world. But it contains enough material to enable us to hold that it presupposes and accepts some of the Sānkhya doctrines such as the theory of Pradhāna, the three gunas and their characteristics, the real nature of the individual self and kawalya (the state of the soul in final liberation). This may be established by a few references to Y. S. Y. S. III. 48 describes the results that ensue to the yogin from subjugation or mastery of the organs (indriyas), one of which is

^{2300.} Compare 'स्विषयासंप्रयोगे चित्तस्वरूपानुकार इचेन्द्रियाणा प्रत्याहारः।' योग-सूत्र II 54. Vide also शान्ति 232. 13 मनसन्त्रेयाणां च कृत्वैकाग्रयं समाहितः। प्रायात्रापररात्रेषु धारयेनमन आत्मना॥

²³⁰¹ योगश्चित्तवृत्तिनिरोधः। योगसूत्र I. 2, compare गीता VI. 20 यत्रीपरमते वित्तं निरुद्धं योगसेवयाः स्थिरसुखमासनम्। योगसूत्र II 46, compare गीता VI. 11-13 छुची देशे प्रतिष्टाप्य स्थिरसासनमासनः। नात्युन्द्धितं नातिनीचं चैलाजिनकुञीत्तरम्॥ .. समं कापशिरोग्रीव धारयज्ञचल स्थिर ।, असंशयं महावाहो मनी दुर्निग्रहं चलम्। अम्यासेन तु कीन्तेय परायेण च गृह्यते॥ गीता VI 35, compare 'अभ्यामवैराग्यास्या तिस्रोधः।' योगसूत्र I. 12.

' mradhananaya '2302 (subjugation of Pradhana the primary cause of the world according to the Sankhya). The Y. S nowhere describes what Pradhana is and what its evolutes are. Therefore. it follows that it takes over from the Sankhya all that is said about Pradhana. About the individual soul the Y. S. states 'the seer (Purusa) exists 2203 as merely pure capacity of awareness (or as the mere power of seeing) and although pure (changeless or undefiled or free from any taints) appears as if he sees all experiences (that really pertain to Buddhi)' The characteristics of the three gunas (sattva, rajas and tamas) are clearly and succintly 2301 stated in Y.S. as in S. Kārikā 13, when it says 'the seen (phenomenal universe) is characterized by light (sattva), activity (raiss) and inertia or dullness (tamas), it is the essence of the elements and organs and it exists for the purpose of giving experience and liberation (to the soul)'. The gunas are frequently referred to in Y. S. I. 16, IV. 13, 32, 34 and sattraguna in Y. S. II. 41, III 35, 49 and 55. The Y. S. postulates three pramanas (in I.7), but does not define them: the S Karika (4-6) mentions the same three pramanas and briefly defines They both agree about the plurality of souls. It may further be noted that Vyāsabhāsya on Y. S. is full of Sānkhya doctrines and quotes, according to Vācaspati. Pañcasikha twelve times and Sastitantra once (vide above p. 1374 and n. 2250).

In spite of the fact that the Yogasūtra accepts some of the fundamental doctrines of the Sānkhya, there are certain points of difference between the two. The standard Sānkhya finds no place for God (Īśvara) i. e. it is frankly atheistic (if theism means belief in God who is the creator of the universe and regulator of rewards and punishments), while Yoga finds a place for God in Y. S. I. 23-29, though not a basic one, but only secondary, probably as a concession to popular feelings and belief. The

^{2302.} ततो मनोजिदिलं विकरणाभाव प्रधानजयश्च । यो स् III 48. These are three perfections. On प्रधानजय, the क्यासमाज्य remarks ' सर्वप्रकृतिविकारवशित्व प्रधानजय, । इति एतास्तिज्ञः सिद्धयो मधुप्रनीका उच्यन्ते । '.

^{2303.} द्रष्टा हिनिमाच शुद्धोऽपि प्रत्ययान्नप्रथ । यो. सू II 20, व्यासभाष्य explains प्रत्ययान्नप्रथे यत प्रत्ययं बीद्धमन्त्रप्रथित । तमन्त्रप्रध्यस्तद्दात्मापि तदात्मक इव प्रत्ययमामते . Compare सां कारिका 19 तस्माच्च विषयीसात्सिन्दं साक्षित्वमस्य पुरुषस्य । क्षेत्रकृषं माध्यस्यं द्र्ष्ट्रत्यमकर्तृभावस्य ॥

²³⁰⁴ प्रकाश-क्रिया-स्थितिशीलं मुतेन्द्रियान्मकं मोगापवर्गार्ध दृश्यम् । यो स् 11.18: प्रकाशशीलं सत्त्वं क्रियाशील रकः स्थितिशीलं तम इति । एते ग्रुपा. ... प्रवानशन्द्रवाच्या भवन्ति। एतद् हृश्यमित्युच्यते । च्यासभाष्य, compare सां कारिका 13 मन्त्र लघुः quoied in note 2215 p 1357.

Y. S. nowhere expressly says that Isvara is the creator of the world and all that it says about Him is that in him omniscience is at its highest, that He was the teacher of primal sages and that by repetition of the mystic syllable 'om' and reflection on it the yogin attains correct knowledge of the real nature of the self. In the next place, though the summum bonum in both systems is called kawalya (S Kārikā 64, 68 and Y. S III. 50, 55, IV. 34), yet the Sānkhya does not set out any elaborate discipline for attaining it except correct knowledge, that is, it is metaphysical and rational, while the Y. S. gives an elaborate treatment on mind discipline, insists on effort rather than mere jñāna, attaches great importance to prānāyāma and meditation (dhyāna) and is psychological.

The Sānkhya postulated that an intellectual understanding of the nature of purusa and of makrtı (or gunas) and of the difference between the two was sufficient for the emancipation of the individual self from the liability to rebirth, while yoga, on the other hand, was not content with this philosophic easy-going mental frame and emphasized systematic training of the will and emotions In both Sānkhya and Yoga each individual soul is eternal and his destiny is to become free from the influence of Prakrti and its evolutes and to remain for ever the same (viz. pure intelligence). Herein they differ from the Advaita Vedānta, according to which the final destiny of the self is to become absorbed in and one with brahma.

There is another matter for consideration. In the Yājūa-valkya-smrti, Yājūavalkya is put forward as saying that the soul shining like a lamp in the heart should be realized, that on such realization the soul is not born again and as adding that for the purpose of attaining to Yoga one should understand the Āranyaka 2505 which 'I received from the Sun and also the

^{2305.} क्षेय चारण्यक्रमहं यदादित्याद्वाप्तवादा योगज्ञास्तं च मत्योक्तं क्षेयं योगमभी-त्यता । या III 110. याज्ञवरूत्रयो महायोगी दृष्ट्वाञ्च तपसा हरम्। चकार सिन्योगेन कायज्ञास्त्रमम् ॥ कुर्म I 25.44 (=B I ed. p. 269) एह्यास्त्र व्याख्यास्यापिते ते र्याचक्षाणस्य तु मे निद्दिस्यासस्त्रेति। ... आत्मा वा और दृष्टस्य श्रोतस्या मन्तस्यो निद्दिस्यास्त्रयः। बृह उप II. 4 4-5 Compare बृह उप IV 5 5-6 for the same words and ते. सू IV 1.1 for this Br Up passage as the basis along with द्या. ट्य VIII 7.1 य आत्मायह्वपात्मा ... सीडन्येटस्य. स विजिज्ञासितस्यः। of that topic in V S It is not unlikely that Yāj III 110 is an early interpolation, but since all commentators from Visvarūpa downwards treat it as authentic, one has to accept it as a genune part of Yāj smrti until some explicit evidence to the contrary is forthcoming,

Yogaśastra propounded by me.' In the Kürmapurana it is said that Yājūavalkva composed Yogasastra at the order of Hara and the Visnupurāna (IV. 4 107) states that Hiranyanābha attained the knowledge of Yoga from the great Yogisvara Yājñavalkva who was a pupil of Jaimini. In the Brhadaranyaka Up. (IL 4) Yājñavalkya tells his wife Maitrevī (who hankered after immortality and cared naught for worldly goods) that he would expound to her the path to immortality and the very first sentence calls upon her to cultivate 'nididhyāsa' (i. e. dhyāna) and the first part of his discourse ends with the memorable words (ātmā vā are drastavyah śrotavyo mantavyo nididhyāsitavyah, Br. Up II. 4.5). What work is meant by the Yogasāstra composed by Yāj is a debatable point. There are three works (other than the Yal. smrti) connected with the name of Yājñavalkya viz. .. Vrddha-Yājñavalkva. Yoga-Yājñavalkya and Brhad-yogi-yājñavalkya (vide H. of Dh. vol. I. p. 188-190). The last has been published by Kaivalyadhama, Lonavla (1951), the Yoga-Yal, was published in T. S. S. (1938) based on a single ms., then in the JBBRAS (vol. 28 and 29) by Shri P. C. Diwanji and now available in book form (1954). This last is in the form of a dialogue between the great yogin Yājñavalkya and Gargi (who is identified with Maitrey! by Shri Diwanji on pp. 27-28 of his ed. of 1954) and sages and learned brahmanas Yājūavalkya narrates what he learnt from Brahmā. On Yāj III. 110 the Dipakalikā of Śūlapāni remarks that the Yogaśāstra referred to is called 'Yogiyajnavalkya'. But this again leads to uncertainty as both the works viz that published by Kaivalyadhama and the one by Mr. Diwanji are so referred to as Yogi (or Yoga) Yājñavalkya in several digests Mr Diwanji2306 tries hard to establish that the work published by him 'has a better claim to be adjudged the specific work on Yoga referred to in Yal III, 113. I think that the claim is not at all justified. The work edited by Mr Diwanji no doubt contains a good deal of Yoga knowledge: while the work published by Kaivalyadhama also contains a good deal of Yoga material, though not as much as the work edited by Mr. Diwanji contains It would be irrelevant to discuss here all the points made by Mr. Diwanji. The present author is convinced that the work sponsored by Mr. Diwani is not the work referred to by Yājnavalkya (in his smrti III. 110). It is a compilation of a later period. Some striking

^{2306.} Vide Shri P. C Diwanji's lengthy paper on 'Brhad-Yogi Yajña' yalkya and Yoga-Yajñavalkya' in ABORI vol, 34 pp. 1-29.

matters alone may be pointed out to show that the Yoga-Yajñavalkya could not have been the work of the author of the Brhadāranyaka and the Yogaśāstra (as stated in Yāj III. 110) and of Yaı, smrti. In the Br. Up. II. 4.1 and IV 5.1 (Yajñavalkyasya dve bharye babhūvatur-Maitreyi ca Kātyāyanī ca) it is expressly stated that Yajñavalkya had two wives, (philosophyminded) Maitreyi and Katyayani (worldly-minded). Maitreyi desired such knowledge as would lead to immortality and she asks questions, in all of which she addresses Yajñavalkya as 'bhagavan' (in Br. Up. II. 4.3 and 13 and IV. 5 4, 14) and never as mere Yājñavalkya. On the other hand. Gārgī in the Br. Up. is called Vācaknavī (in III. 6. 1. III 81 and 12), is not Yaiñavalkva's wife but a pert and rationalistic woman inquirer like Aśvala, Ārtabhāga, Bhujyu Lāhyāyani, Usasta Cākrāyana, Kahola (all present in the court of Janaka), who including Gargi questioned the claim of Yajnavalkya to be regarded as 'brahmistha'. In Br. Up. III. 6.1 when Gargi pursues her ratiocination too far (in matters depending upon agama and being beyond reasoning) Yājñavalkya reprimands her and says that she would die (lit. her head would fall away) if she pursued the subject in a pure logistic way. All those inquirers address Yājñavalkya as mere Yājñavalkya without any honorific epithet like 'bhagavān' and Gārgī does the same (in Br Up. III. 6. 1, III. 8. 2-6). Acc. to Yai Smrti III. 110 the Br. Up, a Yogašāstra and the smrti are the works of one man (whose two wives were Maitreyi and Kātyāyani) and who had a philosophical skirmish with Gärgi Vācaknavi (according to the Br. Up.). The present Yogayājāavalkya (ed by Mr. Diwanji) makes Gārgī wife of Yājāaralkya²³⁰⁷ (vadhūh). One would like to know whether Yāj. had three wives, as Br. Up. says he had only two Mr. Diwanji (pp 27-28 of the ed. of 1954) tries to make light of this discrepancy and regards Maitrey1 as another name of Gārgī. We are here concerned not with pure Yoga doctrines but with the question

²³⁰⁷ The चोगया I. 6-7 are तमेलंगुणसंपर्क नारीणास्त्रमा वधूः। मैदेवी च महाभागा गार्गी च बहाविह्स ॥ समामध्याता चेपहुणीणाह्यतेजसाम्। पणस्य दण्डनभूगो गार्गीतह् वास्यमवशीत्॥. The two चंड may be noted; that would ordinarily show that मैदेवी and गार्गी were different. It might be argued that having already learnt from Yāj. (in Br. Up) Maitreyī was present but took no part in the discussion and Gārgī alone asked questions. Verse 6 of chap. I could be considered as using the words जन्मा वधू for मैदेवी and the words महाभागा and बहाविह्स as applicable to गार्गी, but this is made impossible by the text which in I. 43 and IV. 5 refers to Gārgī as the wife (bhāryā) of Yāj. and the is addressed aṣ 'priye' (IV. 7), 'varārohe' etc.

whether a work attributed to Yaj which makes Gargi the wife of the ancient Yājñ avalkya (when the Upanisad makes her only a more or less insolent woman) can be regarded as the work of the same Yan who propounded brahmandya in the Br. Up, and is supposed to have composed the Yar smrti This one circumstance alone is enough to brand the Yoga-Yājñavalkya (of Mr. Diwanii) as a fabrication sought to be passed off as an ancient work. If identity was really meant the verse could easily have been read as 'Maitreyyākhyā mahābhāgā' without any metrical Therefore, it is not possible to hold that Yogayājāavalkya is the Yogaśastra composed by Yanavalkya before the Smrti going under his name was composed. A few other decisive grounds may also be urged. The work edited by Mr. Diwanji mentions Tantras (in V. 10) and Tantrikas (in VIII. 4 and 25). The Yan smrti nowhere mentions these two and is free from the peculiar Tantrik words or technique. Therefore, the present Yogayājñavalkya edited by Mr. Diwanji was composed long after the Yaj smrti and probably in the 8th century or after when Tantrik rites and works had become common. One more important matter may be adverted to. The Yaj surrt and the Yoga-Yanavalkya (ed. by Mr. Diwann) both enumerate ten yamas and ten muamas. But the two diverge materially in the ten names as the note below will show 2308 The number of yamas and niyamas differs in different works, but if the Yal smrti and Yoga-Yajnavalkya were the works of the same author, the differences in the ten names would not have occurred at all. Therefore, the author of the Yal. smrti is quite different from the author of the Y. Y. There is no evidence for holding that the latter flourished before the 8th or 9th century A. D.

A good deal has been said by Mr Diwanji in his paper on 'Brhad-yogi-yājñavalkya and Yoga-Yājñavalkya' in ABORI, vol XXXIV (1953) pp. 1-29, in his preface to Yoga-Yājñavalkya in JBBRAS, volumes XXXVIII and XXXIX pp 103-106 and by Swami Kuvalayanand in his reply in ABORI, vol. XXXVII for

^{2308.} ब्रह्मचर्य द्या क्षान्तिदीन सत्यमकल्कता। अहिंसारतयमधुर्ये दमश्चेति यमाः स्मृता.॥ स्मानं मीनोपवासेज्या स्नाध्यायोपस्थनिग्रहा। नियमा ग्रुष्ठाश्चूला शीचाक्रोधानमादता॥ या III 312-313, compare अहिंसा सत्यमस्तय नहाचर्ये द्याजेवम्। क्षमा धृतिर्मिताहारः शीचं त्वेते यमा दश॥ तप सन्तोष आस्तिक्यं द्यानमीश्वरपूजनम्। सिद्धान्तश्रवण चैव हीर्मितिश्च जापो प्रतम्। एते हा नियमाः भोकास्ताश्च सर्गान् पृथक् पृथक्। योगयाझः I. 50-51. The striking dissimilarities between the two are शीच is a नियम acc. to या स्मृ but a यम acc to योगया and there are other notable additions and omis sions which any reader will find.

1957 pp. 279-289 and in the paper 'the real Yogayajñavalkyasmrti' in the journal 'Yogamimāmsā' vol. VII. No 2 (and also published separately as a pamphlet in July 1958). It would not be relevant in this work to discuss the points in dispute between Mr. Diwanji and Swami Kuvalayanand. In the bhasya on the Śvetāśvataropanisad attributed to Śankarācārya 2309 (published by Anan, Press) on p. 28 four verses and a half are quoted from Yogi-Yajñavalkya, none of which is found either in Br. Y. Y. or Y. Y. Besides on Sv. Up. II. 9 (pp. 42-44) 29 verses on Yoga matters are quoted in the bhasya, but the name of the author or work whence the quotations are taken is not mentioned. Not one of the 29 verses wholly occurs in Mr. Diwanji's Y Y. He is able to point out only five or six half verses as occurring in Y Y. out of the 29 verses, while a whole verse quoted in the bhasva (viz. 'prānāyāmair' &c) occurs in Br. Y. Y. (8. 32). It may be further pointed out that Aparārka and the Smrticandrikā quote in all about 100 verses from Yogi (or Yoga-) Yājñavalkya which are found in Br. Y. Y., but not in Y. Y. The Krtyakalpataru (on Moksakānda alone) quotes (on pp. 146, 149, 166, 171, 196-197) about 70 verses from Yogi-yājñvalkya which are found in chapters 2, 8, 9 and 11 of the Br. Y. Y. Mr. Diwanji has not been able to show that verses of the Y. Y. (ed. by him) are cited anywhere in the above three digests. Mr. Bhabatosh Bhattacarya in his paper on Yogi-Yājūavalkya-smrti and its utilization in the medieval digests of Bengal and Mithila (in J. G J R. I vol. XV for 1958 pp. 135-140) points out that king Ballālasena of Bengal (1158-1179 A.D.) in his Dānasāgara contains a fairly large number of quotations from the Brhad-Yogi Y_{51} (pub. by Kaivalya-dhama). It appears that Visvarūpa²³¹⁰ (first half of 9th century A.D.) quotes a half verse from the Br. Y. Y. and remarks that the work is composed by the author of the Yaj

^{2309.} The authenticity of the bhāsya as the great Śahlarācārya's work is extremely doubtin! In the whole of the extensive bhāsya on the Brahmasūtra Śahlarācārya quotes no Porāna by name but cites only a very few verses with the words 'iti porāne'. But in the bhāsya on the Śv Up of only 76 printed pages over 30 verses from Brahmapurāna, about 30 verses from Visnupurāna, about a dozen from the Lingapurāna and about half a dozen verses from the Śivadharmottara (on pp. 7, 34, 35) are cited.

²³¹⁰ On स्नानमब्देवतैर्मन्त्रेर्मार्जनं ग्रांगस्यमः। या. स्मृति I 22. विश्वस्य comments ''स्नानं प्रत्यदं कुर्यात्। तस्य च विधिराचार्यणेगान्यज्ञाभिष्टित , विद्यमाने प्रभृते हि नाल्ये स्नानं स्माचतेत् '' इति Compare जुद्दं यो या VII 6 'प्रभृते विद्यमाने तु उद्दके शुमनीवरे '; अपरार्क p 235 (योगयाज्ञवल्स्य) प्रभृते ... हरे। नाल्योदके द्विजः स्नायात्) and स्मृतिचः, I. p 129 reads as अपरार्क does

smrti himself. Therefore, the Br. Y.Y. is an early work and cannot be assigned to a date later than the 7th century A.D.; while Y.Y. is a much later compilation composed in the 8th or 9th century or even later. The present author is not, however, prepared to hold that Br. Y. Y. is the Yogasāstra of Yāj. mentioned in Yāj smrti III. 110, since the Yoga material contained in it is meagre as compared with the Smrti material The present author is glad to find that on pp 7-8 of the Sanskrit Preface to the edition of the Brhad-Yogi-Yājñavalkya-smrti the editors express grave doubts about the identity of the author of the Yāj. smrti with the author of the work edited by them.

The Yogavāsistha is an extensive work in 32000 Ślokas (one śloka meaning 32 syllables) printed in two volumes with a commentary by Anandabodha by the Nirn. Press. It is really an eclectic work embodying ²³¹¹ the tenets of the Gītā on anāsakt, the tenets of the Trika system of Kashmir, of Advaita Vedānta &c Its text appears to have been added to from time to time. Controversies have raged about its date and its contribution to Indian philosophy. The present writer holds on reading the contributions ²³¹² that the extant Yogavāsistha is a late work and was composed some time between the 11th and 13th century A. D.

It is now necessary to furnish a brief outline of the main teachings of Y. S. Though the work is comparatively small, its rendering in English requires to be amplified in order that it may be understandable. Only the most important and instructive matters are set out here.

Yoga is defined (2nd sūtra) as the elimination of or mastery over the functions (activities) or fluctuations of the mind This is provisionally explained by Vyāsa (on l. 1.) as

^{2311.} Though there is not much compact teaching on pure Yoga, the योगवासिष्ठ here and there has remarks on योग For example, the उपज्ञमण्डरण chap. 78 verse 8 states' ह्यों क्रमी चित्तनाहास्य योगो ज्ञानं च राष्ट्र । योगस्तद्भृतिरोधो हि ज्ञानं सम्यगदेक्षणम् ॥.

^{2312,} Vide Dr. Aireya's thesis on the 'Philosophy of Yogavāsistha' published in 1936 at Adyar by the Theosophic Publishing House (he holds that it belongs to 6th century A. D.); I H. Q. vol. 24 pp. 201-212 (Prof. S. P. Bhattacharya), I. H. Q vol. 25 pp. 132-134 (Dr D. C Sarlar); Mr. Diwanji's papers in Pro. of 7th All India O Conf pp. 15-30, P. O. III 1 pp. 29-44, N. I. A vol I pp. 697-715 (on Laghu-yogavāsistha), JOR, Mad vol. XIII, pp. 71-82 and 118-128 (Dr. Raghavan), ABORI vol. 32 pp. 130-145.

Samādhi. The different stages viz (bhūms) of the mind are five, being restless (ksipta), infatuated (mugdha or mūdha), distracted (viksipta), one-pointed (ekāgra) and controlled (niruddha).

In this connection (i. e. on the topic of bhūmis) the present author has to bring to the notice of readers a paper by Shri Kuvalayānanda contributed to the Yogamimāmsā vol. VI. No. 4 on 'Traditional reading of Pātañjala-yogasūtra III. 11; is it accurate.' The traditional reading accepted by the bhasya of Vyāsa and all other commentators is 'Sarvārthataikāgratayoh ksayodayau cittasya samādhiparināmah'. The Svami²³¹⁴ argues that the correct reading should be 'sarvarthatarkarthayoh &c.' He states that the bhumis should be six, the sixth being 'ekartha' and bases his arguments on the combined explanations of) ogasūtra I. 2-4, 18 and III. 9-12. All that the present writer can say is that his arguments deserve serious consideration. fact that even Vyāsa, the bhāsvakāra of Yogasūtra, would have to be held not to have seen the difficulties in the traditional reading of Y. S. III. 11 would have to be given due weight before any final conclusion can be arrived at. That sutra states the goal of Yoga viz the soul that is seer abides in its own form then (i e. when the functions of the mind have been mastered), while in ordinary life the soul appears to assume the forms of the fluctuations of the mind. The Vrttis 2315 are five, some of which are

^{2314.} योगश्चित्तवृत्तिनिरोधः। तदा द्रष्टुः स्वस्त्येऽवस्थानम्। वृत्तिसास्त्रप्यमितरत्र। यो स् 1.2.4, it would be interesting to note some definitions of yoga from other works विषयेग्यो निवर्त्याभिनेतेऽर्धे मनसोऽवस्थापनं योगः। वेवस्यम्धसूत्र प. in note 2263, p. 1380 above, वृत्तिहीनं मन. कुता क्षेत्रक्षं (०ज्ञ v 1) परमातानि। एकीकृत्य विद्यन्ति योगोपं सुख्य उच्यते ॥ द्रशस्मृति VII. 15. आत्मत्रयत्नसापक्षा विशिद्य या मनोगतिः। तस्या ब्रह्माणं संयोगो योग इत्यमिधीयते॥ विष्णुपु. VI 7 31. All three are q by अपराक्षं (on या III. 109) p. 986 and by कृत्यक्त्य- (on मोक्ष) p 165 अपराक्षं humself says 'जीवप्रमात्मनोरमेद्विज्ञानं विषयान्तरासम्भिनं योगः.

^{2315.} वृत्तयः पञ्चत्रत्य. क्लिप्टाकिप्टा । प्रमाणिवपर्ययक्तिक्टास्मृत्व । प्रत्यक्षान्तमाना प्रमाणानि । . अभावप्रत्ययालम्बना वृत्तिनिङ्गा । अनुभूतविष्यासंप्रमोप स्मृति । यो स् 1, 5-7 and 10-11. The क्लेड्डा (hindrances or obstacles) are five, viz. अविद्या-अस्तिता-राग-द्वेष-अभिनिवेशा क्लेड्डा (ग्री स् 11, 3). The भाष्य on I, 8 is 'सेयं पश्चवर्षा भवत्यविद्या अग्रियास्मिता ... निवेशा क्लेड्डा इति । एत एव स्वसंज्ञाभित्तनो मोही भए।भेडिस्तामिलोऽन्यतामिल इति । . अविद्या has five aspects (lit joints) viz. अविद्या etc which are respectively called मोह etc , वान्तस्पति explains all these About अस्तिता he says योगिनामप्रस्त्रणिमादिकेष्ट्रेश्वर्येष्टश्चेष स्त्र श्रेयोञ्चित्तरप्रविधा मोह पूर्व-स्माज्यव्य स न्यास्मितोच्यते । The बुद्धन्यस्त्र XII 33 has these technical स्वर्थिक एरपिया हि विद्यास पञ्चपर्य समिहित । तसो मोह महामोह तामिलस्यमेन न्याः They are so called because they afflict men plunged in Samsira with several kinds of suffering 'अविद्याद्य' क्लेडा क्लिडनिल ग्रस्वमी पुरुष सासारिक्ष विविधदुःवपद्यरिणीति । नाचस्पति on यो. स् 1 24),

afflicted by hindrances called klesas and the others are not so hindered (those that are hindered are to be mastered or eliminated and the others are to be accepted). The five willis are mamana (means of valid knowledge), viparyaya (wrong conception), vikalna (fancy), nidrā (sleep), 2316 smrti (memory). Pramānas are three, pratuaksa (perception), anumāna (inference). agama (verbal testimony). The means for the suppression of the vrttis are abluasa (practice) and van aqua (passionlessness) (simultaneously carried on), the first being the effort to secure a calm flow of the mind free from vittis, continued for a long time uninterruptedly and earnestly and the latter (vairagya) being the consciousness of mastery over (i.e. freedom from thirst for) seen objects (such as woman, food and drink, high position) and objects promised by Revelation (such as heaven, disembodied existence &c.), 2317 'Vairāgya is of two kinds apara (described in Y. S. I. 15 just above) and para (highest) described in Y.S. I 16 and bhasya thereon. In the highest varragya the yogin (who has reached discrimination between the self and the gunas, sattva &c.) is free from thirst not only for objects of sense, but also free from the gunas, attains a stage of undisturbed consciousness only and leads the yogin to reflect 'I have attained what was to be attained, the klesas (hindrances

^{2316.} According to the Yogabhasya on Y S. I 10 sleep is a special positive idea or experience (pratyaya) and not a mere absence of any activities or fluctuations of the mind, since when a man rises from sleep be reflects. I have sleep well, my mind is happy and makes my intellect clear, and this reflection on waking would not be possible if there had been no experience (during sleep) of the cause of such a feeling. Just as in samadin one has to master other ideas (viz. misconception, fancy &c), so the Yogi has to master sleep also as a hindrance to the attainment of Samadhi.

avidya &c) that have to be destroyed have been destroyed. the close-knit succession of births and deaths has been out off'. 'the highest limit of consciousness The Bhasya remarks and Kaivalva is (knowledge) is Vairāgya connected with it.' Vacaspati states that this last is called 'Dharmamegha-samādhi' (mentioned in Y.S IV. 23). Sūtras 17 and 18 of first pada deal respectively with samprajāātasamādhi (conscious concentration) or sālambanasamādhi and asamprajñāta-samādhi (concentration in which there is no consciousness of objects, gross or subtle). The first is of four kinds (or of four stages) viz. savitai ka (deliberation over or realization of a gross object like Salagrama or the image of a god with four arms and the like), savicaia (where there is reflection over a subtle object such as the lan-matras). sananda where the reflection is on the mind which is full of sattva (that is a samādhi of joy) and sāsmitai ūna (i. e where there is only a sense of personality in which the knower himself is the object of perception). 2318 These four kinds lead on to the asamprajñātasamādhi, which results when all vrttis have disappeared, when there is persistent exercise of this state and the mind consists of sub-liminal impressions only. Sūtras 19-51 (to the end of the first $p\bar{a}da$) deal with the different kinds of samadhi, the different ways of attainment, the position of Isvara in the Yoga system. the nine obstacles (antarava) that cause distraction of the mind of the person who practises Yoga and the companions of these

वितर्कविचारानन्दास्मिताञ्चगमीत् संपज्ञातः। विरामप्रत्ययाग्यासपूर्वः संस्कार-शेपोइन्य: । यो. स. I 17-18. These two are called सबीज and निर्धीज or सालस्वन and निरालम्बन or सर्विकल्प and निर्विकल्प समिधि. The भाष्य on I. 18 states तदम्यास-पूर्वेक हि चित्तं निराहम्बनमभावप्राप्तिन भवतीत्येष निर्वीज. समाधिः The भाव्य on यो. स I 2 states 'स निर्योज. समाधिः। न तत्र किंचित्संप्रज्ञायत इत्यसंप्रज्ञातः। द्विविध. स योगश्चित्तवृत्तिनिरोध इति । अस्मिता is one of five क्रेज्ञाड (vide n 2315 above) and avidya is said to be the root of four out of five klesas (II. 4), and II 6 defines 'asmita as the identification of the seer (the self) and the instrument of sceng i. e buddhi'. It is somewhat surprising that one kind of samadhi is characterized by 'asmita'. Probably asmita here means no more than the conciousness 'I am' (i. e of personality). It is remarkable that Buddhist texts exhibit a close parallel to the four kinds of संप्रजातसमाधि (vide मिडिज़मिनिकाय vol I pp. 21-22 Trenckner's ed. of 1888). 'सी खो अहं बाह्मण विविद्ये एव कामिटि विविद्ये अकुसलेहि धम्मेहि सवितक्क सविन्तारं विवेककं पीतिससं पटमं झानं उपसप्पक्र विशासि। etc. पीति (शीति) is the same as आनन्द in the योगसञ Dr. B. C. Law's paper on 'Prince Jeta's Grove in Ancient India ' (] I H. vol XXXVII, Part III, December 1959 p 353 note 94) brought to my notice this close correspondence and he kindly furnished the reference to the Majjhimanikaya.

obstacles, the means of preventing obstacles &c. such as practice of concentration on a single entity, the cultivation of friendliness, compassion, joy (towards holy men), indifference (towards unholy men) or by prānāyāma.

The Pātañjalasūtra (in I. 19-23) divides vocins for asampraiñāta-samādhi into various categories (nine in all). which are passed over here. Patañiali says that asampraiñātasamādhi in the case of yogins (who are not gods or not those whose bodies are resolved into primary matter) follows upon that practice which effects the cessation of all vittis and which comprises only subliminal consciousness and is preceded by faith. perfect repose or serenity, energy, mindfulness and discrimuatory insight (into what is real) due to passionlessness. It would he noticed that so far Patanjali asks the aspirant for Yoga to rely on his own efforts, practice &c. He does not mention God or his grace anywhere so far. From this it follows that any man or woman and even one who is an agnostic may pursue yogic practices and attain to asampramata-samadhi and liberation without devotion to God or without God's grace. But Patanjali as a keen psychologist knew the help that faith affords. Therefore, he provides that, if you believe in God. He will help you in the practice of Yoga and from that point of view he assigns to Îśvara a role of a limited scope.

In Y. S. I. 23-28 Patañjali provides that Samādhi and liberation (the result of Samādhi) may also be secured by 7349

^{2319.} ई-वरप्रणिधानाहा । क्रेशकर्सविपाकाशयैरपराग्रटः प्रकपविशेष ईश्वर.। तद निर्तिहार्थं सर्वेङ्गत्वशीजम् । स प्रवेदामपि ग्रकः कालेनानवन्छेदात् । तस्य याचकः प्रणवः। तञ्जपस्तद्रभभावनम् । तत प्रत्यक्चेतनाधिगमोऽप्यन्तरायाभावस्त्र । यो स्. 1. 23-29. द्वेश्वरमणिधान is explained in two ways by the च्यासभाज्य (1) special devotion (भक्तिविद्रोष on I 23) and (2) offering up of all actions to the Supreme Teacher (Isvara) or the renunciation of the fruits of all actions (grav-भिणधान सर्वेक्रियाणा परमग्रुरावर्षण तत्फलसंन्यासी वा। on II. 1) The भावागणेक्षपृति on this quotes कुर्मपुराण for the meaning of ब्रह्मार्पण 'नाई कर्ता सर्वेमेरीतइ ब्रह्म कुरुते तथा। एतद् बहुमार्पणं प्रोक्तसृपिभिस्तत्तवदिशिभिः॥) The यो. सू. (1 22-23 add II. 45) states that samadhi may be very near of attainment by devotion to God It is remarkable that the Brhadyogiya, (published by the Kaivalya dhama of Lonavla) appears to reproduce Y. S. I 24, 28-29 as Sruti in the following verses 'क्लेशकर्मविपाकैश्व वासनाभिस्तथैव च। अपरामृष्टमेवाह पुरुषं शिक्षा द्धतिः। वाच्यो यज्ञेश्वर (वाच्या स ईश्वर ?) श्रीको वाचकः प्रणवः स्पृतः। वाचकेन छ विज्ञातो वाच्य एव प्रसीदिति॥ तदधी प्रणवं जप्य ध्यातन्य सतत छुधै । ईश्वर पुरुषाएयरह तेमोपास्तुः मसीदति॥ शृहद्योगि II 43-45 On यो सू I 28 the Bhasya explains 'ततृस्य योगिनः प्रणवं जपतः प्रणवार्थे च भावयतश्चित्तमेकाग्र सम्पराते (१ and वाच॰ explain) (Confinued on next page)

devotion to Īśvara (iśvara-pranidhāna) who is a special purusa, who is untouched by hindrances, by karma (good or evil) or by the results of actions or by hankerings, in whom the omniscience, that is only a germ (in others), is infinite; He, being not limited by time, is the teacher of even the ancient teachers. The mystic syllable (Om) is expressive of (i. e. representative or symbol of) God and japa of that syllable and constant reflection upon the meaning of 'om' (i e. on Īśvara) leads to one-pointedness (ekāgratā) of mind. Devotion to Īsvara secures for the practitioner attainment of right knowledge of the nature of the soul and the absence of hindrances (antarana) that distract the mind (I. 29) These hindrances are nine such as illness, langour. doubt &c and they are also called Yogamala (taints or blemishes of Yoga), the enemies (pratipaksa) of Yoga. These hindrances are accompanied by pain, mental distress, tremor of the limbs. irregular inhalation and exhalation (I. 31). These distractions and their accompaniments that are the foes of samādhı may be prevented or mastered in various ways such as practice of concentration on one entity (Isvara or the like), by the practice of such attitudes of mind as friendliness, compassion, cheerfulness and indifference respectively towards the happy or the distressed, the good and the evil (I 33) or by the expulsion and retention of breath (bhasya uses the word 'pranayama'). When the mind is concentrated then arise the four kinds of samprainatasamādhi (called sautarka &c. in I. 17). The knowledge that is gained in the last kind of samprajñātasamādhi is of a higher order than what is obtained from scripture or inference and the subliminal impression made in this samadhi is hostile to all other impressions and when this last impression also is suppressed or removed, then results the seedless samadhi (i. e. asampramātasamādhi). In this last the mind itself ceases (from its task) and the yogin's soul abides in itself, shines forth in its

⁽Continued from last page)

भावन as ' धुम धुनश्चित्ते निवेशनम् : For the enlogy of 'Om' vide H. of Dh vol. II pp 301-302 and for japa (inaudibly done or mental) Manu II. 85-87, Visnu Dh S. 55 19, Vasistha 26 9 and p 686 of H. of Dh II. The Măndük jopanisad, which, Şahkarācārya declares, contains the essence of the thought of Vedānta' (Vedāntārthasārasangrahabbūta), has a disquisition on 'om'. Om was in the Upanisads (and before them) a symbol of the whole Universe, of the supra-sensible brahma and was of metaphysical use. Yoga took it over from the Upanisads and made it an instrument of psychology and of dhyāna' Compare Mundaka Up II. 2.4 'प्रणावी धनुः श्री सालमा अग्र महत्वरप्रचयते। अग्रमत्तेम बेसून्यं श्रवन्तम्मयो भवेत् ॥'

own light and is called pure, isolated and liberated.²³²⁰ Išvara-pranidhāna does not lead to union with Išvara, but it enables the soul that is still bound to become what Išvara always is. In the Yogasūtra there is very little about bhahtı for God.

Pāda II. The first pāda ends on the note of samādhi and liberation i. e. describes the Yoga for him who has succeeded in concentration. The 2nd pada deals with the procedure for a person whose mind is not used to concentration but is restless. infatuated or distracted (vyutthita) 2321 and who is desirous of learning the technique. This pada is the most important of all the four padas for modern students, either Indian or Western, and has exerted great influence on Dharmasastra works. The fundamental idea of Yoga is that the individual self is real. eternal and pure, but it is immersed in the objective world and, though eternal, pursues transient objects. Patailiali is a master psychologist. The goal being set (viz how to secure the isolation of the soul from awdyā and the gunas and its attainment of its own pure nature) Y. S. prescribes a rigorous discipline for the attainment of the goal. Patanjali differs from many modern Western psychologists (like Freud) 2322 in two very fundamental matters The first is that Patanjali puts all emphasis at his command on the freedom and liberation of the soul from bondage, prescribes restraint of the activities of the mind as the

^{2320.} त्तरिमन् (चित्ते) निवृत्ते पुरुष राष्ट्रपमाञ्चणतिष्ठोऽत शुद्धः केवलो सुना हारपुरुवते। भाष्य on यो. सू I. 51 (तस्यापि निरोधे सर्वनिरोधासिर्वीजः समाधिः)

^{2321.} स्तुत्थान is explained by भोजदेव as 'खुत्थानं क्षिप्तमूहविक्षिप्ताख्य भूमिन्नयम्' on यो. सू III. 9 and on III. 37 (ते समाधानुपसर्गा स्तुत्थाने सिद्धय) as स्पवहारदशा

²³²² Freud gives the name 'libido' to the energy of the sexual instinct, while Jung, who was once a disciple of Freud, diverged from Freud, holds it as applicable to all forms of mental, psycho-physical or conative energy. The theory of the Oedipus complex is the central core of the Freudian system. It appears that Freud later in life modified his theory of the Oedipus complex and, though he assumed that the Oedipus complex is present in every infant, he came to hold that in the course of normal development the complex becomes cradicated in early childhood. Vide 'An outline of abnormal psychology' by W. Macdougall (London, ed. of 1952) p. 418.

Prof J B. Watson propounded the theory of 'Behaviourism' (vide the work so called, London, 1925), which denies the existence of mind or mental traits, dispositions or tendencies. On this view the subject matter of psychology is not mind but the behaviour or activities of the human being and the concept of instincts on which most psychologists dwell at length becomes meaningless.

means and several preliminary steps of the training of ordinary emotions and will, while several modern psychologists condemn repression of the mind. In the 2nd place Patañjali is a firm believer in the doctrine of karma and rebirth and (in II. 12–15) holds that even good actions that give rise to a future life of pleasure and happiness are a misery to the enlightened, while modern psychologists speak of several innate instincts and are not at all agreed on what these are, they do not tackle the problem of karma and rebirth and their relation to what they call instincts If there is no pre-existince of the soul as Christians and many others believe, how do the human instincts arise? There is hardly any convincing or satisfactory explanation of this.

The first sutra (of pada II) says that the activities or practices that are preliminaries to the attainment of Yoga are $tapas^{2323}$ (austerity), $s \iota \bar{u} dh y \bar{u} y a$ and devotion to Iśvara; these,

(Continued on next bage)

^{2323.} तपःस्वाध्यायेश्वरप्रणिधानानि क्रियायोगः । समाधिभावनार्थः क्रेज्ञतनूकरणार्थश्च । अविद्यास्मितारागद्वेषाभिनिवेज्ञाः क्रेज्ञाः। यो स् II. 1-3. Various definitions of tapas occur in the Dharmasastra and other works The word tapas occurs in the Rgveda over a dozen times Vide Rg. VI. 5 4, VIII 59 6, VIII. 60 16, X. 16 4, X. 87 14 in all of which it is possible to take tapas in the sense of heat But in Rg X 109 4, X. 154 2, 4 (pitrntapasvatah), 5 (rsin tapasvatah), X. 183 1, X. 190. 1 tapas means 'austerities, asceticism or bodily mortification ' तपसा चेऽनाधृहयास्तपसा ये स्वर्थयुः। तपो ये चिकिरे महस्ताश्चि-देवापि गच्छतात्॥ इत X. 154 2 (this is addressed to the soul of the deceased person) 'your them that are unassailable owing to tapas, that went to heaven by tapas and that performed great tapas'. The emphasis on asceticism (or tapas) appeared among Indians earlier than among any other known people. In Rg X, 190 1 at as stated that right and truth and the sun and the moon and the universe are born of tapas. In Rg X 109.4 the seven sages are said to have sat down for tapas In Rg. X 136, 2 sages (munis) having long hair and wearing dirty yellowish clothes are said to roam over paths. In the Sat Br. VI 1 1. 13 and Ait. Br XI 6, 4 it is indicated that tapas like Yajña would give everything. The Upanisads (e g. Tai Up III 5 'tapasā brahma vijijnāsasva', Br. Up IV. 4, 22) emphasize that tapas is one of the means of realizing brahma Chan, Up II. 23 postulates tapas as the 2nd of three dharmaskandhas. The Ap Dh. S. I. 2 5. 1 holds that the strict observances laid down for a Vedic student are called tapas (नियमेषु तपःज्ञन्द.); Gautama Dh. S 19. 15 provides that sexual purity, truthfulness, bathing three times in the day, wearing a wet garment, sleeping on the sacrificial ground and fast are austerities. Manu X 70 provides that even three pranayamas are the highest tapas for all brahmanas provided they are performed according to prescribed rules and are accompanied by the seven vyahrtis and pranava Manu (XI. 234-244) contains a grand eulogy of tapas, verse 238 states 'everything can be

when resorted to, serve for the cultivation of samādhi and for minimising the klesas, which are avidyā (nescience which is the field that produces the other four), asmitā (the feeling of personality), rāga (attachment to pleasures), dvesa (aversion, that dwells angrily on pain and its causes) and abhiniveśa (will to live or clinging to life). Tapas is explained by Vyāsabhāsya (on Y. S. II. 32) as bearing or enduring the pairs (of opposites) such as hunger and thirst, cold and heat, standing and sitting, stillness of a stump (i.e. not indicating what is passing in one's mind even by gestures), stillness of the body (not speaking at all), and also such observances as Krochra, Cāndrāyana and Sāntapana (vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 120 and 132, 134–137, 151 respectively for krechra &cc.).

The Vyāsabhāsya explains 'svādhyāya' as the 7apa (inaudible repetition) of om and other holy texts or study of the śāstras treating of liberation ^{232‡} The Śat. Br. XI. 5. 7 contains a eulogy of svādhyāya and the words 'svādhāyosdhyetavyah' (one should study the Veda) occur frequently therein. Om is the most prominent among the symbols (pratīkas) under which the upāsanā of the supra-sensible brahma was to be carried out. Vide Chān. Up. I. 1. 1 (om-ityetad-aksaram-udgītham-upāsīta', Tai. Up. I. 8 (om-iti brahma, om-itīdam sarvam), Mundaka Up. II. 2. 4 (pranavo dhanuh śaro hyātmā brahma tal-laksyam-ucyate, 'om is the bow, the soul is the arrow, brahma is the

⁽Continued from last page)

accomplished by tapas, since tapas has invincible power' Yāj. also (I. 198-202) emphasizes the great importance of tapas Jaimini (in PMS III, 8.9) employs the word tapas for 'fast' Frequent eulogies of tapas are found in the Mahābhārata as in Vanaparva 259. 13. 17. Sānti, chap 5 and 12 'Gods and sages secured their position by tapas', Ānusāsana 122. 5-11) Sāntiparva 79 18 explains अहिंसा सत्यवचनमाद्यशेल्यं देशी पूणा। एतच्यो विद्वर्धीरा न इरिश्च शोषण्या। All passages of the Mahābhārata are taken from Chitra- किंदि Press edition in this note Yogins are said to repeat the Ajapā japa i e while they breathe inwards there is सोह and when they breathe out there is हंस: and the combined words सोह हंस: mean 'I am that hamsa' (the eternal Spirit)' Compare बृहदोशिया. II 115. ' हंस सुर्य पर बहा'

^{2324.} स्वास्थायः प्रणवादिपविज्ञाणा जयो मोक्षज्ञास्तास्थयन वा। स्थासभाव्य on यो. स्र् 11 1 गी ध. स् 19 12 (= बी ध स् III 10. 10, विसष्ट 22. 9) enumerates the Upanisads, Vedānta and some vedic texts as holy texts by repeating which a man expiates sins विस्टिंधमेस्त्र 28. 10-15 (=विष्णुधमेस्त्र 56 in prose = श्रञ्जात chap 10 12 and chap 11) enumerate holy texts (pavitrāni) from all Vedas The word 'pranava' occurs in the Tai. S. III. 2 9. 5-6 'उन्नेध एवोद्गावृणास्त्रः मणा उक्थहोसिनाम, which is quoted by ज्ञावर on पू भी स् III. 7. 42.

target &c). Prasna Up. V. 5 (Yah punar-etam trimatrenomityetenaivāksarena param purusam-abhidhvāvīta). The Y. S. borrows this importance of Om from the Upanisads. The five kleśas are defined in Y. S. II. 5-9. Avidyā (ignorance) 2325 consists in regarding the non-eternal as eternal, the impure as pure, pain as pleasure, the non-self as the self. Asmitā (sense of personality) consists in the seer (the self) being held as if identical with the instruments of seeing (such as mind and sense organs). Abhinivesa (clinging to life) means the craving ('May I not cease to live, may I live') which sweeps on by its own force and is established in this form even among the learned. Iśvarapranidhāna has been explained above (p. 1412 note 2319). The Y. S. (II. 11 and 12) says that the klesas have subtile conditions (viz. avidyā and asmitā) and coarse effects in the form of vrttis (the fluctuations of the mind, raga, dvesa and abhinivesa): escape from the former is secured by resolving them by means of real knowledge while the coarse ones are controlled by contemplation (dhyana). The accumulated deposits of Karma arise from the five klesas. are fit to be experienced in the seen birth (that is in the present birth) and in an unseen (i. e. future) birth. So long as the root (viz the klesas) exists the accumulated karma leads to fruition in three forms viz. birth, life (long or short) and experience, which three result in giving joy or

^{2325.} अनिस्याञ्चिद्दःखानात्मस्र नित्यश्चित्तस्यात्मरूयातिरविद्या । द्वग्दर्शनशक्त्यो॰ रेकात्मतेवास्मिता । सुखाद्यक्षयी रागः । द्र खाद्यक्षयी द्वेषः । स्वरसवाही विद्रपोऽपि तथा स्द्वोऽभि-निवेश । यो सू II. 5-9, the भाष्य explains the last 'स्वस्य प्राणिन इयमात्माज्ञीनित्या भवति मा न भूवं भूयासमिति । न चानसुभूतमरणधर्मकस्यैषा भवत्यास्माज्ञीः। स चापमभिनिवेश क्रेंज़. .. कुमेरपि जातमात्रस्य ... मरणजास उच्छेददृष्टचात्मकः पूर्वजन्मानुसूत-मरणदुःखमत्रमापयति । ' With the यो स II. 5 compare ब्रह्माण्डपुराण 'अनित्वे नित्य-सज्ञा च दुः ते च सुखदर्शनम्। अस्ते स्वमिति च ज्ञानमञ्जूची शुचिनिश्चयः॥ रागद्वेषविद्यस्थि तद्ज्ञानसुदाहृतस्। IV 3 39-40 q by कुस्यकत्प॰ (on मोक्ष p 124). In Venk, ed. the last half is different. Compare also विष्णुपुराण IV. 7. 11 अनारमन्यारमञ्जूद्धिर्या &c. क्रेशमूलः कर्माशयो इप्राइप्टनम्मेवदमीयः। सति मूले तद्विपाको जात्यायुर्मोगाः। ते ह्वादपरितापफलाः पुण्यापुण्यहेतुत्वात् । परिणाम-ताप-संस्कार-दु खेर्गुणबृत्तिविरोधाञ्च दुःखमैव सर्वे विवेक्तिन । यो सू II. 12-15. वाचस्पति explains आज्ञाय as 'आज्ञेरते सासारिकाः पुरुषाः अस्मिजित्याशयः। कर्मणामाशयो धर्माधर्मी '. On योगसूत्र II. 13 the भाष्य puts forward four possible alternatives about Larma and punarjanma, rejects the first three and accepts the 4th It may be quoted here 'तंत्रेदं विचायत किसीत कर्मकर्प जन्मनः कारणम्, अथैकं कर्मानकं जन्म आक्षिपति-इति। द्वितीया विचारणा-किमनेकं कर्मानेक जन्म निर्वर्तयति, अधानेकं कर्मेकं जरम निर्वर्तयति इति On II 14 ते ... हेतुत्वाच् one may note the following Upanisad passages on which the sutra is based, viz हु. उप III 2 13, छा उप. V. 10 7. The last two passages illustrate the meaning of जाति in यो सू II 13. The above passages will have to be quoted later under Larma and punarjanma,

anguish according as the accumulation is due to meritorious or evil acts. The Yogasutra says that the Yoga system has, just like the system of medicine. 2325s four divisions or aspects, viz. samsara (the round of births or transmigration), the cause of samsara, the release from samsara, the means of release (viz. samyak-darsana, insight into reality or discriminating discernment between the purusa and sattva. unassailed by false knowledge); sūtras 16-27 of the 2nd pada set out these four and explain some of the terms used in defining them. Sutra 28 provides that when impurities are washed away by practising the angas of Yoga, the knowledge shines forth (more and more) till discriminatory discernment becomes perfect. Then sutra²³²⁶ 29 enumerates the eight angas (aids, or auxiliaries) of Yoga, viz. yama (abstinences), nulama (observances), āsana (bodily posture), pranayama (control or regulation of breath), pratyahara (withdrawal or suppression of the organs of sense), dhāranā (fixed attention), dhyana (meditation or contemplation), samadhi (concentration or absorption into the object of meditation). The Vaikhānasasmārtasūtra enumerates the same eight

²³²⁵ a यथा चिकिरसाझार्श्च चतुर्व्यूष्ट्य ... एवनिद्दमपि झार्श्च चतुर्व्यूत्तमेव ... सद्यथा। थीनभाष्य on II 15, vide p. 939 note 1510a for the whole passage. हेपं दु.स्व-मनागतम्। मृष्ट्रहृद्वयोः संयोगो हेथहेतुः। ... तस्य हेतुर्राविद्या। तद्भावात्संयोगाभाषो द्यानं तद् इहो. कैवल्यम्। विवेक्तल्यातिरविद्वा द्यानोपायः। यो. स् II. 16, 17, 24–26 Compare these four aspects with the four Noble Truths of Buddhism cited in note 1510a above. विश्व means मिथ्याज्ञान acc. to वान्वस्पति.

^{2326.} येताङ्गानुष्ठानादशुद्धिक्षये ज्ञानदीप्तिराविवेकख्यातेः। यम-नियमासन-पाणायाम-भत्याहार-धारणा-ध्यान-समाधयोष्टावङ्गानि॥ यो. सू II. 28-29. The भाव्य on II. 29 18 . 'तेषा (योगाङ्गाना) अञ्चष्टानात् पञ्चपर्वणो विपर्ययस्याशुद्धिरूपस्य क्षयी नाज्ञः। ... यथा यथा च साधनान्यवृत्तीयन्ते तथा तथा तत्तत्तमञ्जन्तिरापद्यते यथा यथा च क्षीयते तथा तथा क्षयक्रमातः रोधिनी ज्ञानस्यापि दीप्तिर्वर्धते । सा खल्वेषा विदृद्धिः प्रकर्षमन्त्रभवत्याविवेकख्याते , आग्रुण-प्रस्वस्वस्वविज्ञानादिरपर्थः । ' The five क्रेज़ड mentioned in यो. स् II 3 are called विष्येय. It is somewhat surprising that the eight angas 'यम...समाधयोधावडानिं (in prose) are quoted as from महाभारत in the कृत्यकल्प॰ (मीक्षकाण्ड p. 167) and by अपरार्क p 1022. The वैज्ञानसरमार्तस्त्र (B. I ed) VIII. 10 divides yogins into three classes owing to the differences in their practices and abstentions, viz. सारझ, एकारचे and विसरग. and each of these is again subdivided into further sub-classes It further states that some of these called Amrodhakah do not practise pranayama, others called Margagah practise only pranayama and the rest and those called vimargagah practise all the eight angas, but they regard even God that is proper for contemplation as not so The original words are 'ये विमार्गगास्तेषां यमनियम ... स्पष्टाङ कल्पयन्तो ध्येयमध्यन्यथा कुर्वन्ति । It is difficult to say what the last clause exactly means. It is probable that in this sentence reference is made to some class of yogins that do not contemplate on God, but hold that without contemplation on God they can secure liberation (kaivalya, mikti)

angas of Yoga. The remaining sūtras of $p\bar{a}da$ two (from 30 to 55) deal with the enumeration and definitions of yamas and niyamas, their explanations and the treatment of āsana, prānāyāma and pratyāhāra. The Śāntiparva (304.7 = 316.7 of Ch. ed.) speaks of Yoga as 'astagunita' or astagunin). Out of the eight angas the first five are indirectly useful for samādhi, because they eradicate what are opposed to samādhi (such as himsā, asatya &c), and are therefore called bahıranga (external, indirect) sādhanas (means) of Yoga, while dhāranā, dhyāna and samādhi are said to be antaranga to Yoga (Y. S. III. 7, 'trayam-antarangam pūrvebhyah'. The last three are dealt with in the 3rd pāda. It is these sūtras in the 2nd pāda that are emphasized and elaborated in Dharmaśāstra works. Therefore some detailed treatment of these matters is needed here.

In some works, such as the Goraksasamhitā the angas of yoga are said to be only six (omitting yama and niyama or omitting some others). The Maitravani Up. (VI. 18). the Dhyanabindu Up. the Atri-smrti XI. 6, Daksa VII. 34, Skandapurāna, Kāšīkhanda, 41. 59 and Buddhists say the same. Manu 2327 provides (IV, 204) 'a wise man should always practise yamas but not the myamas always, one who only practises niyamas and does not practise yamas incurs sin (or falls into hell)'. This does not mean that nivamas are forbidden but it means only this that yamas are far more important than niyamas. The words 'yama' and 'niyama' both occur in the Santiparva (cr. ed. 326. 15 and 339 16 of Ch. ed.). Some Smrtis omit them from the angas of voga probably because they are prescribed for all persons in general by Manu. Yāj. and others. Manu does not enumerate yamas and niyamas. but Yāj (quoted on p 1406, n. 2303 above) enumerates ten yamas and ten nivamas. The five yamas 2328 of the Y.S. are

²³²⁷ तथा तत्ययोगकल्प । प्राणायाम' प्रत्याहारो स्थानं धारणा तर्क' समाधिः पढङ्ग इत्युस्यते योगः। मैत्रा उप. II 18, अभिस्मृति IX. 6 and दक्षस्मृति VII. 3 mention the same six angas (including tarka) as in मैत्रा उप. 'आसनं प्राणसंरोधः प्रत्याहारश्च धारणा। स्पानं समाधिरेतानि योगाङ्गानि भवन्ति पद् । स्थानंबिन्दु उप ० veise 41 (Adyar ed p 196), गोरक्षज्ञातक I. 4, and स्कन्द्सु (काश्चात्वण्ड 41. 59). अपराक् on या III. 110 p 990) quotes a smrtl text enumerating six augas of yoga (omitting यम, नियम, आसन and adding तर्क) The बृहद्योगियाङ्ग IX 35 and लिङ्ग - प्रताण (I. 8. 8-9) mentions eight angas. अपराक (p. 990) explains 'ततो मनो- द्विद्यिरित्यागेनात्मिन विमर्ज्ञासर्वक '. वायुपुराण XI 76 mentions only five, प्राणायाम, स्यान, प्रत्याहार, धारणा and स्मरण in that order.

^{2328.} अहिंसा-सत्यास्तेय-बह्मचर्यापरिग्रहा यमा । जातिदेशकालसमयानवन्धिकाः सार्वभीमा. महाव्रतम्। यो स् 11. 30-31; some words of the भाष्य may be quoted; (Continued on next base)

ahımsa (non-injury to all creatures and at all times), salya (truthfulness), asteya (non-stealing i. e. not appropriating others' things in a way not sanctioned by the Sastra). brahmacarya (i. e. control of the organ of generation while guarding the other organs of sense also), apartgraha (non-acceptance of anything from another beyond what is necessary for preserving the body). These five constitute for a vogin a great vrata when practised without reference to species, place, time and occasions (or exigencies). As provided by Manu. yamas have to be observed by all but there are exceptions. The observance of yamas would be called vrata, but the rigorous observance of vamas without allowing exceptions is called by the Y.S. mahawata which has to be observed by yogins without exception at all stages. The observance of yamas and nivamas is a first step for the soul's ultimate success in winning Liberation or Isolation (kaivalya), since, unless the soul is purged of all sexual and egoistic desires, it cannot live the divine or spiritual life that the higher stages of yoga require. What is meant may be briefly exemplified as follows: Smrtis recognize some exceptions to all these in the case of ordinary people. For example, it is the ksatriya's duty to fight and therefore it was provided by Manu (VIL 87, 89) that a ksatriya should not run away from battle and that ksatriyas fighting and dying in battle on both sides went to heaven. Vide Yal. I. 324. So himsā was allowed to a ksatriya but if he wanted to follow the Yoga discipline he had to give up himsā. Similarly, Smrtis excused untruth on five occasions (vide Gautama 23, 29, Vasistha 16 36, Adiparva 82 16, Śantiparva 34. 25 and 165, 30, quoted in H of Dh vol III, p 353 and notes 536, 537) Manu in IV. 138 makes an exception for ordinary men viz one should not speak what though true is painful to another (na brūvāt satvamaprivam). But one who enters on the discipline of Yoga must always speak the truth except when speaking the truth would result in the ruin of

⁽Continued from last page)

तत्राहिंसा सर्वधा सर्वस् सर्वभूतानामनभिद्रोहः । उत्तरे च यमिवयमास्वन्यूलास्तिस्तिप्रत्तवैव तद्यतिपादमाय प्रतिपाद्यन्ते । ... ब्रह्मचर्य ग्रुतिन्द्रयस्योपस्थस्य संयमः । विषयाणामर्जनः स्थण-क्षयसङ्गित्ताद्वस्ताद्वस्तिकरणमपरिग्रहः । सिद्धः ın the भाष्य above means ज्ञान and not उत्पत्ति , on श्रुतिन्द्रयस्य, वाचस्पति explans 'संयतोपस्थाद्गि किन्न्रेशण-तदालाप-कर्न्द्यपंग्यन-वद्गुरुपश्चेनसक्तो न ज्ञानुर्व्यविनिति तक्तिरासायोक्तं श्रुतिन्द्रयस्यित । The भोजन्नि and योगस्थात्रक्तं स्वति । The भोजन्नि का योगस्थात्रक्तं स्वति । स

creatures 2329 The Mitaksara on Yaj III 312 remarks that telling a falsehood in the case of arranging a marriage though excused (by smrtis) should be avoided and also the beating of a son or a pupil as punishment by one who observes a vrata. A householder who approaches his wife on certain specified days after her monthly illness and avoids the parvan days (vide H. of Dh. vol. III n 1425) is to be regarded as one observing brahmacarya, acc, to Yaj I, 79 and Manu IV. 128, but if he takes to the path of Yoga, he would have to give up this latitude (vide note 2308, 2330 above) and avoid all contact whatever with all women including his own wife as the Lingapurana empha-The Yuktidipika. one of the earliest commentaries on the Sānkhyakārikā. mentions (on p. 112) five yamas but puts 'akalkata' (absence of crookedness) as the 5th instead of aparigraha. The Visnupurāna (VI. 7. 36-37) enumerates five yamas and five nivamas as in Y. S. but substitutes 'mind bent on the highest brahma' (kurvîta brahmani tathā parasmin pravanam manah) for 'Īśvarapranidhāna'. The five nivamas according to Y S. II. 32 2331 are sauca (cleanliness), santosa (contentment), tazas (austerity), svādhyāya (study of Veda). isian apranidhana (devotion to God, or surrendering all actions to him). Three of the five niyamas, viz. tapas, svadhyaya and Īśvarapranidhāna constitute $krvyar{a}yoga$ as already stated in Y. S. II. 1. It is most difficult to give an objective definition of duty, but duties can be defined on the subjective side. The object of emphasizing duties is to make men rise above lower desires and to make the higher self shine forth. These are

^{2329.} यदि चैवनव्यभिधीयमाना (वाक्) भूतोपघातपरेव स्यात् न सत्य भवेत्यापमेव भवेत्तेन प्रुण्याभासेन प्रुण्यप्रतिरूपेकण कष्टं तनः प्राप्तुयात् । तस्मात्परीक्ष्य सर्वभूतद्वितं सत्यं ब्रुयात् । भाव्य on यो स् II. 30, यर्घा विवाहादिष्यम्यद्भज्ञातस्याव्यस्तवचनस्य निवृत्यर्थे सत्यत्वविधानम्। प्रव्वविध्यादिकमपि न ताडनीयमेवमर्थमदिसादिविधाननित्येवमादि । मिता on या. III 312-13. देवल (in कल्यतरु गृहस्थकाण्ड) states 'अन्नतं द्विविधं, असत्यं विसवाद्धा । प्रागम्युपगतानामसंकीर्तनं विसवाद्धात । प्राप्ति । प

^{2330.} कर्मणा मनसा वाचा सर्वावस्यासु सर्वदा। सर्वेत्र मैथुनत्यागं ब्रह्मचर्ये प्रचक्षते॥ भूमें II 11.18, थो. या I.55, अङ्गारसदृशी नारी वृतकुम्भसमः पुमान्। तस्माकारीपु संसर्गे दूरत परिवर्जयेत्। लिङ्गेषु I 8 23

^{2331.} श्रीच-सन्तीप तप-स्वास्थायेश्वरप्रणिधानानि नियमा: । यो सू. II. 32, भाष्य 'तत्र श्रीचमुक्तलादिजनितं मेध्याभ्यवहरणादि वाद्यं, आभ्यन्तरं चित्तमलानामाक्षालनम् : for तप acc to यो सू vide n. 2323 above. Vide कृमेषु II 11. 20-29 for these five and their definitions. The युक्तिदीपिका (р 112) conumerates the नियमं as अक्रीधी ग्रुष्ठशुखूषा श्रीचमाहारलाध्वमत्रमाद इति पश्च नियमा. The same five are quoted as Vyāsa's in a verse by कृत्यकः (गृहर्थकाण्ड p 302). वाचरपति explains 'चित्तमतः सदमानास्यादयस्यत्वपत्तये मन्तशीचम् ?.

based more or less on the Unanisads; vide e. g. Chan. Un. III. 17. 4 where tapas, ahimsa, truthfulness, dana, (charity) and munu (straightforwardness) are put forward as virtues to be cultivated by a sacrificer, Bt. Up. V. 2.3, where all men are asked to cultivate dama (self-restraint), dana (charity), dana (kindness). Therefore, the yamas prescribed by the Yogasütra constitute what may be called extra-regarding duties and are mostly in the nature of prohibitions (such as 'don't insure anyone, don't tell a lie, don't rob a person, don't accept gifts'), while the nivamas are concerned with the individual himself (who has embarked on Yoga practice) and are of a positive character (viz. be clean, be contented, be austere, do study the Veda and be a devotee of Isyara). The Amarakosa 2332 defines 'yama' as actions that are obligatory (or to be always performed) and that depend upon the body as the means for being carried out, while niyamas are acts that are not obligatory (or not to be continuously engaged in) and that depend (for carrying them out) on means that are outside the body (such as water &c). Sauca (cleanliness) is of two kinds, būhya (of the body effected with water, loose earth, pancagavya, pure food &c.) and abhyantara (internal or mental). Vide H. of Dh. vol, II. pp. 651-52 and vol. IV. pp. 310-311 for details of the sauca of both kinds. A yerso of Manu (V. 106) deserves to be noted 2333 in this connection viz 'of all kinds of sauca the highest is the one that relates to wealth (one must desire wealth without depriving another of his wealth by improper means), that man is succession (pure) who is pure as to wealth and not he who is purified by loose earth and water.' Sutras 33-34 of pada II provide that when the practitioner of Yoga is assailed by the outbreak of the fever of perverse thoughts (such as 'I shall kill him who has harmed me', 'I shall tell a lie, I shall appropriate another's wealth, I shall commit adultery with another's wife'), he should revolve in his mind and cultivate the opposites of such thoughts and dwell on the consequences of such thoughts, viz. they cause ondless suffering and lack of right knowledge. Yama and

²³³² इारीरसाधनापेक्ष निरयं यत्कर्म तद्यम । नियमस्त स यरक्रमीनित्यमागन्तुः साधनम्॥ अमरकोश (2nd फाण्ड, जद्मवर्ग) क्षीरस्वामी quotes the yogasütta definitions and explains 'आगन्तु बाह्यं मुज्जलादि साधनं यन्नेति, अत एव सुन्निमक्रमें नियसः।'

^{2333.} सर्वेषामेव शीचानामर्थशीच परं स्मृतम्। योऽर्थे शुचिहि स शुचिनं सृद्वारिशुचिं शुचिः॥ मत्रस्मृति V. 106, विष्णुधर्मस्त्र 22.89 has the same verse, but reads अल for अर्थ in both places. The विष्णुधर्मोत्तर् III. 275. 13 states: तस्माद्धि सर्वशीचाना मनःशीच परं रमृतम्। Compare आदारशुद्धी सचशुद्धिः in छा. उप VII. 26. 2 and आहार ... शुद्धिरित्याचार्याः as quoted from हारीतधर्मस्त्र by अपरार्क on या. I, 154,

niyama constitute the preliminary ethical code which an aspirant for yoga must observe and some part of it must be followed by all men as Manu and Yāj, prescribe.

In sutras 35 to 45 (of pada II.) the results of the continuous practice of the several yamas and niyamas are set out viz. when the aspirant has become established in ahimsā. all beings (men and animals) give up enmity 2334 in his presence. When the aspirant for Yoga is well grounded in the practice of abstinence from falsehood his speech comes out to be effective unfailingly (that is, if he says to a person 'be you a righteous man' or 'may you attain heaven'. the man becomes righteous or attains svarga). When he becomes rooted in abstinence from theft all jewels wait upon him from all quarters (that is, though he may not hanker after riches or means, the latter come to him of their own accord). When the Yogin is firmly fixed in brahmacarya, 2335 he secures energy (whereby he treads the path to such supernormal powers as anima) and when he reaches perfection he is able to transfer knowledge about Yoga and its angas to his disciples. In Y. S I. 20 it is stated that asamprajnata-samadhi follows when the yogin has faith, virya (energy) and other qualities. The greatest emphasis is laid on chastity in thought, word and deed for the yogin or the seeker for brahma (vide Mundaka III. 1. 5, 'satyona labhyas-tapasā hyesa ātmā samyagiñanena brahmacarvena nitvam'). The idea is that the yogin makes very rapid progress towards the final goal of samadh; and kanalya if he is thoroughly chaste and that without it the practice of raisyoga is futile and dangerous. Those interested in the importance of 'brahmacarya' should read Mahātmā Gandhi's 'self-restraint versus self-indulgence' (3rd ed. 1928). particularly the Appendix I, pp. 137-158 which reproduce W. L. Hare's article). When the yogin is firmly established in non-

²³³⁴ अहिसाप्रतिद्वाया तत्संनिधी बैरत्याग । जो स् II, 35; द्वास्थित remarks 'शाश्वितिक्वियोधा अप्यश्व-महिष-मूपक-भाकाराहिनकुलाह्यगेऽपि भगवत' प्रतिद्वितिर्हिसस्य संनिधानात्तविद्वाद्वकारिणो वैरं स्वजन्ति : Sanskrit poets love to dwell on this aspect of the hermitages of sages e. g. vide कादम्बरी, पूर्वभाग p. 45 (of Peterson's ed , description of Jābāli's hermitage') 'अस्य भगवतः मसादाव्येषाझान्तवेरसप्यास-साद्यं त्रीवनम् । अही प्रभागे महास्मताम् । अह हा श्वितकस्पराय विद्योधस्परानात्तास्य निर्देश्योऽपि तिपावनस्यास्यमन्तियान्त्र । स्वाहि एय विद्यति किवितः कलापमात्यावति कि क्राह्मति । अयस्रस्वत्यम् मातं . . प्रश्वरत्वीरधारमाविद्यति कुरङ्गसावकः सिर्द्यारान्त्र ।

^{2335.} Vide हा उप VIII 2 10 यं यमनतमिकामो भवति य कामं कामधते सीऽस्य सङ्गल्पादेव सञ्ज्ञिहाति तैन सम्पक्तो महीयते १, ब्रह्मचर्यमतिष्ठाया वीर्यस्ताम । थो स् II. 38, I. 20 is 'श्रद्धावीर्यस्तिसम्बिपद्वापूर्वक हतरेषाम्' अपरियत्तर्येर्गे जन्मक्षेतासम्बोधः। यो स् II. 39, कर्यता means किंपतारता

acceptance of gifts he has a desire to know his past, present and future lives (and receives illumination thereon).

As a result of having to clean ²³³⁶ one's body the Yogin becomes disgusted with his own body and avoids contact with the bodies of others. Other results of cleanliness (of the mind) that arise are the purity of sattvaguna (i. e. not being assailed by rajas and tamas), gentleness, one-pointedness (of the mind), mastery over the senses and fitness for the sight (realization) of the Self. From contentment results ²³³⁷ supreme happiness. Tapas gives rise ²³³⁸ to perfection in the body (i. e. acquisition of some occult powers like animā) and perfection as to the sense organs (such as being able to hear and to see what is distant) owing to the eradication of impurities (i. e. the kleśas and sin); (continuous) study of the Veda (and japa of om &c.) leads on to the union with (realization of) the deity he chooses. From devotion to Iśvara results perfection in Samādhi.

Asana (bodily posture) 2339 is defined in Y S. as one that is stable and easy (or comfortable). Asana means a seat which is covered with $\lambda n \dot{s}a$ grass, deer-skin and a garment in that order (this would be $b\bar{u}hya$ $\bar{u}sana$) as laid down in Gitā (VI. 11),

^{2337.} सन्तीपादस्त्रमः सुखलाभः। यो सू. II 42. The भावप on this only quotes a Santiparva verse (vide p. 1399 n 2295 above). नान्त्रपति explains न विद्यते अस्माहन्तमः हत्यस्त्रम

^{2338.} कायेन्द्रियहाद्धिरहाद्धिश्चगत् तपसः । स्वाध्यायादिष्ठदेवतासमयोगः । समाधि-सिद्धिरीश्वरमणिधानात् । यो. स् II 43-45 भोज explains सम्प्रयोग as 'सा देवता मत्यक्षी-भवति । and समाधिसिद्धि as 'स भगवानीश्वरः प्रसन्धः सन्धन्तरायरूपान् क्रेज्ञान् परिहृत्य समाधि सम्बोधयति । Vide यो. स् I. 23 for समाधिलाभ from ईश्वरपणिधान. The भाव्य on II 44 explains 'देवा प्रतयः सिद्धाश्च स्वाध्यायज्ञीलस्य दर्शनं गच्छन्ति, सार्थे चास्य वर्षन्ते ।

while the word asana (in Yoga) means bodily posture. It should be noticed that the Yogasūtra does not expressly prescribe any of the numerous asanas mentioned and defined in the Hathayogapradīpikā and other works on Hathayoga and indicates that those asanas are not necessary in the practice of the Pātanjala Yoga and that any posture which is easy, stable and comfortable would be enough for the yogin. The Y. S. here follows the Sv. Up. (II. 8 and 10) and not the works on Hathayoga, if any existed in its times. In order to secure the posture described above the yogin should relax the usual physical movements of the body (prayatnaśaithilya) and bring the mind to reflect on the Infinite (brahma). As a result of perfect mastery over posture, he is not overwhelmed (or overcome) by the pairs of opposites (such as heat and cold, hunger and thirst).

A few words must be said about āsanas. The present author recommends to all those interested in āsanas a very useful and instructive handbook (in 188 pages) on 'āsanas' composed and published by Sri Kuvalayānanda of the Kaivalyadhāma at Lonavla near Poona (third impression in 1949) with 81 plates (78 on different āsanas and 3 on naulı). The Daksasmrti (VII. 5) mentions Padmāsana and Yāj, in III, 198 appears to allude to it. Dr. K. T. Behanan on 'Yoga, a scientific evaluation' inserts 16 figures (between pp. 186–187) of several āsanas. Though the Y. S. does not mention any āsana by name yet the Vyāsabhāsya 2340 names ten and indicates by the word 'ādi'

^{2340.} तद्यथा पद्मासन वीरासनं भद्रासनं स्वस्तिकं दण्डासनं सोपाश्रयं पर्यङ्कं क्रीञ्च-निपदनं इस्तिनिपदनसुष्टनिपदनं समसंस्थानं स्थिरसुखं यथासुखं चेत्येवमादीनि । भाष्य on योगसङ्घ II 46. About क्रीञ्चः and the following two वाचस्पति says 'क्रीञ्चाटीनां नियण्णानां सस्थानदर्शनात् प्रत्येतस्यानिः सोपाश्रयं 'with the support of a cushion ' 'योगपद्दक्तयोगात् सोपाश्रयम् (वाचस्पति). In E I. vol. XXI p. 260, the Kolagallu Ins. of the Rastrakuta king Khottiga of Sala 889 (exact date, 17th February 967 A D.) mentions 'Dandasana,' (p 263) and 'Lohasanī' p 264 i. 35. ਵਾਵਾਜ਼ਜ਼ is explained by वाचरपति as 'उपविष्य क्षित्रहाङ्गलिकौ क्षित्रहणुक्कौ मूमिश्लिएजङ्गोरपादौ प्रसार्य दण्हासनमम्पसेत् : Yoga had permeated society so much that in several Inscriptions Yogasastra and Yoga techniques are mentioned, e.g in the Tewar Stone Inscription (C. I. I vol IV p 306) of Gayakarna in the Ceds year 902 (=1151 A D), Yogasastra (verse 6) and Patanjalagama (verse 8) are referred to and in verse 11 the first quarter is प्राणायाससमाधि-. सिद्धानियमध्यानासनैरन्वहम्, न च पद्मासनाद्योगो न नासाद्यनिरीक्षणात् । दक्षसमृति VII 5, explained by विश्वस्त on या III 189 (T S. S) ऊवस्थीतानचरण. सब्ये न्यस्यो-त्तरं करम्। उत्तानं किंचिट्रकाम्य सुर्वं विष्टम्य चीरसा॥ याज्ञः III. 198.

that it know more. Virāsana 2341 is mentioned in Raghuyamša 13. 52. Šankarācārya on V. S. IV. 1, 10 remarks that Padmakāsana and other special Isanas are propounded in Yogaśāstra. The V. S. (IV. 1 7-10) has in view, acc. to Sankarācārva, the description of asana in the Gita (VI. 11), the relaxation of bodily activities and stableness of posture indicated by such words as 'dhyayativa prthivi' (Chan. Up. VII 6.1). According to the H. P. (I. 17) asana is the first anga of Hathayoga, that Sive speaks of 84 asams, of which four asams called Siddha, Padma, Simha and Bhadra are the most essential (sārabhūta) and it recommends Siddhāsana as the best (I. 34) and describes it (1.35). The Hathayogapradipikā names and describes 15 asanas in I. 19-55. The Dhyanabindu Upanisad states that there are innumerable asanas but mentions the same four as the most prominent. Vide figures 16 (p. 55), 18 (p. 57), 62 (p. 97) in Śri. Kuvalayānanda's work for Siddha, Padma and Simha asanas respectively and figures 105 (p. 186), 106 (p. 187), and 108 (p. 188), for the asanas called Siddha, Padma and Baddhapadma respectively in Prof. S. S. Goswami's work on Hathayoga and p. 28-30 for Siddhāsana, Padmāsana and Baddha-padmāsana respectively in Alain Danielou's work (London, 1949). The Śwasamhitā (III. 100) and Gherandasamhitā (II. 1) mention that there are 84 asanas, while the Goraksasataka 2342 states that there are as many asanas as there are living species, that all of them are known to Siva, that out of 84 lakhs of asanas Siva chose 84 and that out of these Siddhasana and Padmasana are the best and defines these two (I. 5-9).

The word Yoga is applied to many matters in an extended sense (i. e. the method or methods by which union with the

^{2341.} बीरासन is explained by बाच॰ as 'स्थितस्वैकतर॰ पादो भून्यस्त एकतर॰ आकुश्चितज्ञानोरुपरि न्यस्त प्रत्येतद्वीरासनम् ।', while महिनाथ (on रष्ठ॰) quotes बसिष्ठ's description एकपादमधैकित्तिस्वन्यस्योकिण संस्थितम् । इतर्रास्तस्त्रधा चान्य धीरासनः स्वाद्यत्वम् ॥ This is almost the same as ह यो प्र I. 21. बाचरपति explains all the āsanas named by the bhāsya except the padnāsana (which he says is well known), पद्मासन is described in ह यो प्र II 58 and नीरक्षनत्वर verse 9. The चिकितिसमाद्वाणेपनियद् (verses 39–40) describes पद्मासन and चद्धपद्मासन and so does Kāsīkhanda 41. 62–63. भद्मासन is defined by बाच॰ as 'पादतले ब्रुवणसभीप सम्प्रदेशकृत्य तस्योपिर पाणिकच्छिपिता झुर्यात्त्रद्मासनम् ' (on यो स् II. 46). The योग- वाज्ञ० (ed. by Mr Diwanji) defines if as 'पार्श्वपादी च पाणिभ्या दृढ बब्धा सुनिश्चलम् । भद्मासनं भवेदेतस्तर्वव्याधिविषापद्म॥ (III. 12).

^{2342.} आसनानि च तायन्ति यावन्त्यो जीवजातयः। एतेषामतुलान्भेदान् विजानाति महेश्वरः॥ सिद्ध भद्र तथा सिहप्तः चेति चतुष्टयम्। ध्यानविन्द्र्॰ 42 p 196 (Adyar) The verse आसनानि ... महेश्वरः occurs in गोरक्षशतक 5.

Supreme Self may be promoted) in the Bhagavadgita, which itself is described as Yogaśāstra and each chapter of which is also called Yoga. For example, we have in the Gitā 'abhyāsayoga' (8.8, 12.9), karmayoga (3.3, and 7), jñānayoga (3.3.), bhaktivoga (14 26). A few other works also do the same. Some Western writers speak of several kinds of Yoga such as Mantrayoga, Jūānavoga, Bhaktivoga, Karmavoga, Rājavoga and Hathavoga (vide 'Bengal Lancer' by F. Yeats-Brown, London, 1930, p. 284), R C Oman's 'The Mystics, ascetics and saints of India' p. 172 (ed. of 1905), Geraldine Coster's 'Yoga and Western psychology' p. 10, Alain Danielou's work pp. 83 ff. (for descriptions of Mantrayoga, Layayoga, Kundaliniyoga, &c.). Some late Sanskrit works like the Yogatattvopanisad 2343 and Sivasamhita (5.9) speak of four Yogas viz Mantrayoga, Hathayoga, Lavayoga and Rajayoga. All these have in view the system of Patañjali, but each emphasizes some particular aspect of yoga discipline. But there are really only two main systems of Yoga. viz. the one expounded in the Yogasūtra and its bhāsya by Vyāsa and the other dealt with in such works as the Goraksaśataka. the Hathayogapradipikā of Svātmārāma-yogin²³⁴⁴ with the commentary called Jyotsnā by Brahmananda. Briefly, the difference between the two is that the Yoga of Pataniali concentrates all effort on the discipline of the mind, while Hathayoga mainly concerns itself with the body, its health, its purity and freedom from diseases. This is illustrated by the facts that Patanjali defines āsana as any posture that is 'sthirasukha', while works on Hathayoga describe many asanas like Mayurāsana, Kukkutāsana, Siddhāsana, which are deemed to remove

^{2343.} योगो हि बहुधा ब्रह्मच् भिद्यते व्यवहारत.। मन्त्रयोगो लपश्चेत्र हरोसौ राज-योगक ॥ माठुकादियुतं मन्त्र हादकाव्यं तु योजयेत्। क्रमेण लभते ज्ञानमणिमादिग्रणान्त्रितम् ॥ अल्पर्यस्तिरिम योगं सेवते साधकावमः॥ लययोगश्चित्तलयः कोटिश परिकीर्तितः। गच्छेरितप्रम् स्वपन् भुक्षत् भ्यायेन्त्रिक्तलमीश्वरम्। स एव लययोग स्थात् & । योगतत्त्वोप० verses 19. 21-23.

²³⁴⁴ A translation of this work (which contains 388 verses) into English by Srinivasa Iyengar has been published by the Theosophical Publishing House, Madras (3rd ed 1949). The proper name of the work appears to be ट्रुग्यहोपिका, as shown by I. 3 'ब्रुग्यहोपिकां यसे स्वास्त्रासाः कृपाकरः'. by the colophons at the end of each Upadesa and the first verse of the commentary of Brahmānanda 'Hathapradīpikā Jyotsnā'. Acc to the com, ह and उ mean सूर्य and स्पन्न and represent respectively right and left nostril-breath. The Sivasambitā is translated by Rai Bahadur Srischandra Vidyārnava, chandra Vasu, Bombay, 1896

diseases (I. 31) and which are said to be 84 (for the names of the 84 āsanas vide pp. 146-149 of Danielou's work) and Hathayoga deals with such processes ²³⁴⁵ as Dhauti (washing the stomach), Basti (yogic enema) and Nauli (shaking the abdomen) about which Patanjali is silent. Hathayoga, if practised with proper guidance and perseverance, will not only endow a man with a strong, healthy, pure and active body but also may develop inner strength and happiness. The technique of Hathayoga claims three kinds of results viz (1) cure of diseases and disorders of the mind also; (2) attainment of supernatural powers called siddhis; (3) leading to Rājayoga and Kaivalya. The Hathayogapradipikā itself states that Hathayoga

The six कियाs of इंडयोग are: धौतिर्धस्तिस्तथा नेतिखाटकं नौलिकं तथा। कपालभातिश्वेतानि पद कर्माणि प्रचक्षते ॥ ह यो प्र. II. 22. धौति is described in the Journal योगमीमासा vol. II. pp 170-177, शस्ति (yogic enema) in vol I. pp. 101-104, नोहि in vol I pp 25-26 and vol IV, pp. 320-24 and क्यालभाति in the Handbook on 'Pranayama' (by Shri Kuvalayananda), part I, pp 79-100 There are various methods of Dhauti. The present author, who has been suffering from hyperacidity for over 60 years and from duodenal ulcer for at least 35 years, practised on the advice of an Indian doctor holding an English degree in medicine washing of the stomach by swallowing a large quantity of tepid water and then vomitting it. This was done for several years, generally once a week (or oftener). He can say from personal experience that it washed the stomach and reduced acute pain. But he found or thought that it was no cure and gave up the practice after some years नेति is cleansing the nose and जादक is fixing the eyes without winking on a minute object till the tears fill the eyes (निरीक्षेत्रिश्वलद्दशा सुक्षमल्दय समाहित: । अश्रसम्पातपर्यन्तमान्वार्थेखाटक स्मृतम् ॥ ह यो प्र II. 31). ज्ञारक is of various types such as नक्षत्रत्राटक. सर्पत्राटक. आढर्शत्राटक, भूमध्यत्रा॰, नासायद्दष्टित्रा॰, One with weak eyes must not attempt it except under the guidance of an expert. It is a preliminary to ekāgratā (one pointedness of mind) and dhyāna. Those interested in the Hathayoga may read 'Hathayoga, the report of a personal experience', by Theos Barnard, Columbia University Press, New York, 2nd impression, 1945 This author travelled over the whole of India, settled down at a guru's retreat in the hill near Ranchi, and went to Tibet also at his guru's bidding The book contains 36 photographs, 28 of which represent various asanas, VII, XXVI-VII of Mahamudra, Vajrolimudra and Pasinimudra, XXXII and XXXIII represent the first and second stages of Uddiyana-bandha and Nos XXXIV-VI represent Nauli-madhyama, Naulivāmā and Nauli-daksınā. The H Y. P. (III. 6-7) names ten mudrās. Another recent work is 'The Yoga of Health, Youth and Joy' (a treatise on Hatha-yoga adapted to the West) by Sir Paul Dukes (Cassell, London 1960) This is a very useful book, contains about seventy excellent photographs and is written with great moderation after long personal practice. The author was engaged for years in lecturing to the army on the usefulness of yoga practices

is expounded only for leading on to Rājayoga ²³⁴⁶ i. e. Rājayoga is the principal fruit of Hathayoga and not siddhis and Kawalya is due to Rājayoga. The Hathayogapradīpikā enumerates the names of the same eight angas as Patañjali does, but its yamas are ten of which taking a light meal is the principal and ahımsū is the first among niyamas. Besides the eight angas, it deals specially with Mahāmudrā, Khecarī, Jālandhara, Uddiyāna and Mūlabandha, Vajrolī, Amarolī and Sahajolī (I. 26-27). The Hathayogapradīpikā (I. 5-8) mentions that Hathayoga started from Ādinātha (i. e. Śiva) and then enumerates 35 great siddhas in all from Matsyendranātha, Goraksanātha onwards. The famous exposition of the Bhagavadgītā by Jñānadeva called Jñāneśvarī cites at the end the Guruparamparā as Ādinātha, Matsyendranātha, Goraksanātha, Gahinīnātha, Nivrttinātha, Jñānadeva.

There are other differences between the works on Hathayoga and the Pātañjala Yoga According to the Goraksaśataka and H. Y. P. the main aim of āsana and prānāyāma is to rouse the Kundalini (the vital force in a person slumbering at the base of the spine coiled like a snake) and take it through the several cakras and the Susumnānādi to brahmadvāra, while the Y. S. hardly ever dilates upon chakras and nādīs ²³⁴⁷ Several people after reading some modern works on Kundalini are tempted to make efforts to rouse the Kundalini. This is a dangerous experiment. Shri Purohit Swami in his 'Aphorisms of Yoga' states

^{2346.} केवर्ल राजयोगाय इटविद्योपिद्दिश्यते । इठ- I. 2, on which the com ज्योतस्ता remarks राजविद्या एव सुरुषं फर्लं न सिद्ध्यः । राजयोगद्वारा केवन्य फर्लम् । ; after mentioning several siddhas such as मत्स्येन्द्रनाथ, शावरानन्द, भेरव, गोरक्ष, the इटयोगमदीपिका (V. 8) concludes द्वत्याद्यो महासिद्धा इटयोगमभावतः ।

^{2347.} Y. S. mentions Nābhicakra, which means no more than the navel that is circular in shape, and Kūrmanādī in III 29 and III. 31 respectively Vide Goraksasataka verses 10-23, 54-67 for the chakras, nādis, brahmadvāra &c and H. Y. P. III for the awakening of Kundaknī The Goraksasataka is set out (transliterated text and translation) in W. G. Briggs' work 'Gorakhnath and the Kanphātas' pp. 284-304 and was recently edited and published by Swami Kuvalayanand with translation and notes (1959) Dr. Hazariprasad Dwivedi has written a work called 'Nath Sampradaya' (1950) and Dr Mohansingh also has a work on 'Gorakhnath and medieval Hiadu mysticism'. If we take the Guruparamparā set out in the Jāāneśvarī, Gorakhnath should be taken to have flourished about 1100 A D. or a little later Vide 'the life of Goraksanāth and the succession of disciples' (in Marathi) by Mr. R. C. Dhere (1959), pp. 224.

that the awakening of the Kundalini is a terrifying experience. that on the first day on which the Kundalini was awakened, the whole body was as it were on fire and he thought that he was dying and that he drank in three months gallons of milk and clarified butter and ate all the leaves on two numba trees (pp. 57-58 of the translation). The germ of the doctrine of nadis in Tantras is found in a verse occurring in Katha Up. VI. 16 and Chan, Up. VIII, 6, 6 'there are hundred and one nadis (arteries) of the heart; one of them penetrates the (crown of) head; moving upwards by it, one attains immortality; the others (of the nadis) serve for departing in various directions. The Prasna Up. (III. 6-7) states that each of 101 nadis has 72 sub-nādīs, each of which again has 1000 more. Mundaka Up. II. 2. 6. In Chan. Up. VIII. 6. 1 it is stated that the nadis of the heart consist of a subtle substance, brown, white, blue, yellow or red in colour. This is probably the germ of the statement of a nadi being called pingala. The Maitrayanī Up. VI. 21 speaks of the nādī going upwards as Susumnā,

The Visnupurāna mentions Bhadrāsana ²³⁴⁸ which passage is quoted by Vācaspati. The Purānas, Vāyu (11.13), Mārkandeya (36.28), Kūrma (II.11.43), Linga (I.8.86), Garuda (I.238.11) mention the same three āsanas, viz. Svastika, Padma and Ardhāsana. The Visnudharmottara-purāna (III. 283.6) prescribes for dhyāna the āsanas called Svastika, Sarvatobhadra, Kamala (Padma) and Paryanka. The Bhāgavata III. 28.8 employs the same words as the Gītā VI.11 about āsana (viz. 'śucau deśe pratisthāpya).

Asanas are of two kinds, one type being helpful for prānā-yāma, meditation and concentration, such as Padma, Siddha and Svastika (figure 20 p. 59 in Śrī Kuvalayānanda's handbook on 'Asanas'). The other kind of āsanas are helpful in eradicating diseases and for physical culture. But most of them require different physical movements and the final form assumed by these postures would make deep meditation difficult, if not impossible (vide Śīrsāsana figures 24-27 between pp. 62-63 of Śrī Kuvalayānanda's handbook on Āsanas), Sarvāngāsana (figures 33-36) of the same work, Hālāsana (fig. 42-47 of the same), Viparītakaranī (figures 77-78 pp. 121-123), Mayūrāsana

²³⁴⁸ आसनमध्युक्तं विष्धुपुराणि—एव भद्रासनादीनां समास्थाय गुणेर्युतम्। इति। धाचः on यो स्. II. 48, The half verse is विष्णुपु VI. 7. 39.

(figure 72 p. 109). The Tejobindu Upanisad I 23 (Adyar ed)²³⁴⁹ holds 'that alone is (proper) āsana which makes continuous meditation on brahma possible; other postures only lead to discomfort' It should not be supposed that one who seeks higher yogic disciplines must devote some time to āsanas and then pass on to the next stage. The āsanas are primarily meant for physical culture and eradication of diseases. If the individual yogin possesses a tolerably sound body he may proceed with prānāyāma and other angas. Besides the postures, the practitioner of Yoga is asked to gaze steadily at the tip of his nose (Gitā VI. 13).

Some regulations are prescribed about the food to be taken or avoided by a seeker after Yoga and about the places where he is to practise. The Śantiparva 2350 of the Mahabharata provides that the vogin should subsist on cooked small particles of grains. or oilcakes and should avoid oily substances and even when subsisting on yavaka (i. e. kulmasa or cooked barley grains) for long, he would still be strong; he should drink water and milk mixed together and should resort to caves. The Markandevapurāna (q by Krtyakalpataru on Moksa pp. 167-177) states 'The yogin should begin meditation in unoccupied spots, in forests, caves and should avoid practising Yoga in noisy places. near fire and water, in old cowsheds, where four roads meet, near a heap of dried leaves, on a river bank, in a cemetery or in a place where creeping creatures exist, in a dangerous place. near a well, near a caitya (funeral ground) or an anthill'. The same purana says that he should not practise when his stomach is windy, or he is hungry or tired or when disturbed in mind, nor when it is very cold or hot or windy. The Devala Dharmasūtra 2351

^{2349.} सुखेनैव भवेद्यस्मिन्नजन्नं ब्रह्मीचिन्तनम् । आसनं तिद्वजानीयादन्यत्सुखिवना-शनम् ॥ तेजोधिन्दु-उप. I 25.

^{2350.} कणानां मक्षणे युक्तः पिण्याकस्य च भक्षणे। स्तेद्वाना वर्जने युक्तो योगी बलम-वाग्रयात्॥ युक्तानो यावकं रूसं दीर्घकालमिरिन्दम। एकारामो विद्युद्धात्मा योगी वलमनामुयात्॥ प्रशानः मासाइत्रंथेताच् सञ्चास्थ खरास्त्रथा। अप. पीत्वा पयोमिश्रा योगी बलमनामुयात्॥ ज्ञान्ति. 289. 43-45 (cr ed.) = 300. 43-45 चित्र ed) त. by कुर्यकाल्य॰ (on मोक्ष pp. 173-176). Vide मार्कण्डेय (36 48-50), ब्रह्मपुराण 234. 7-9, कूर्म II 11. 47-52, स्कन्द, काजीखण्ड 41 65-66, लिङ्गु I. 8, 79-84 for places to be avoided for yoga practice.

²³⁵¹ देवतायतनशून्यागारागिरिकन्दरनदीष्ठलिनग्रहाख्यानामन्यतमे शुचौ निराबाधे निभक्ते ... मनसा तश्चिन्तनं ध्यासम् । देवल q. by फुल्पकल्प॰ (मीक्ष p. 181). Compare

prescribes that a yogin should practise meditation in a shrine. empty house, mountain cave, on the sands of a river, in caves, or in a forest, in a pure spot free from danger. H. Y. P. I 61 and 64 prescribe what foods to avoid and what foods may be eaten. The Goraksasataka 2352 prescribes that the yogin should give up pungent, sour and salted food and should subsist on milk diet. The Gita (VI. 16-17) says 'one cannot succeed in Yoga who eats too much nor one who observes total fast and Yoga removes pain for him who takes proper food and exercise. The Chan, Up. (VII, 26, 2) where Sanatkumāra gives instruction to Narada about the Reality remarks 'Purity of the mind follows on purity of food &c. (āhārasuddhau sattvasuddhih' &c.). Aparārka on Yāi I. 154 quotes a long prose passage (on p. 221) from Harita "one should be pure and should subsist on a limited quantity of food that would be enough or useful for his purpose as the acarvas say 'purity of mind ...food". It is clear that Hārīta takes the words 'āhāraśuddhau &c.' literally, while Sankarācārya in his bhāsya on Chān takes āhāra to mean 'experience of objects of sense such as Śabda'.

Prānāyāma—It is this anga of Yoga that figures most in the works on Dharmasastra from the earliest times. Literally the word means 'restraint or pause of prana'. Other synonymous words are 'pranasamyama' (note 2310) and 'pranasamrodha'. The important point is: What does 'Prana' mean here. The word is derived from the root 'an' (to breathe) with the prefix 'pra'. The verb and its forms occur in the Rgveda as in I, 101.5, X. 121 3, X. 125.4. In various passages of the Rg. prana means simply 'breath' (as in I. 66. 1, III. 53. 21, X. 69. 6). In Rg X. 90. 13 (prānād-vāyur-ajāyata) it is stated that Vāyu (air, wind) arose from the prana of the primeval purusa. The word 'asu' also is used in the Rgveda in the sense of 'prāna' in I 113, 16 (udhīrdhvam jīvo asur-na āgāt), I 164, 4 We have both prānana (breath) and jīvana (life) in Rg. I.48 10 (addressed to Usas). Perhaps apana is suggested in Rg. X. 189. 2 (antascarati rocanasya pranad-apanati). All the five

²³⁵² कद्वम्ळलवणत्यामी क्षीरभोजनमाचरेत्। गोरक्षशतक 50, कट्वम्ळतीक्णलवणोध्ध-हरीतशाकसौवीरतेळातिळसर्षपमद्यमात् । आजादिमासै-दिधि-तककुळत्यकाळ-पिण्याक हिड-ग्रळक्कुनाचमपथ्यमाहुः ॥ गोधूमशालियवपाष्टिकशोभनार्ज क्षीराज्यखण्डनवनीतिता-मधूनि । शुठीपटोळकफळादिकपञ्चशाक सद्भादि दिश्यस्टर्कं च यमीग्व्यथ्यम् । पुष्टं सुमधुरं / स्निग्धं गृप्यं धातुप्रपोषणम् । मनोभिळषितं योग्यं योगी भोजनमाचरेत् । ह यो प्र 61,64-65.

names of pranas appear in pairs as in the note 2353 below from Tai, S. In the Tai, S. I. 7. 9. 2 occur the three words 'prana, apāna and vvāna'. The Atharvaveda (in VIII. 1. 1) mentions 'pranah' and 'apanah' in the plural and 'asu', 'prana' and 'āyuh' in VIII. 1.3. all probably meaning 'life'. In the Upanisads, prāna becomes the vital force or principle of all beings, the representative or symbol of brahma; vide Br. Up. I 6.3 (Prāno vā amrtam, nāmarūpe satvam, tābhyām-ayam prānaschannah), Br. Up. I.5. 23, which, after quoting a verse that the sun rises from Prana and sets in Prana, goes on to say (tasmādekam-eva vratam caret, pranyac-caiva-apanyacca, nen-mā rāpmā mrtyur-āpnavad-iti) 'therefore one must observe only one vow. he should inhale and exhale with the (fearful) thought that otherwise, the Evil one, Death, might seize me'. Here it appears that we have the germ of the theory of the importance of pranayama. In Chan. Up. V. 18-24 it is stated that the five ahutis are offered to prana, vyana, apana, samana and udāna (in the words 'Prānāya svāhā' &c) at the time of a meal and that one who knows the true meaning of Agnihotra and offerings offers it in all worlds, in all beings and in all selves. Even now these ahutis are taken before meals by brahmanas, only the order of the five differs. Prasna II. 13 savs :- All this that is established in the three worlds is under the dominance of Prana. In Chān. Up IV. 3. 3 Prāna is again given five names on account of its working in the different parts of the human body viz, prāna, apāna, vyāna, samāna and udāna (all five being named in the Tai. S.). It follows that some distinction among. the operation of the five pranas must have been made at least a thousand years before the Christian era.

²³⁵³ वाणापानां से पाहि सत्तानच्यानों से पाह्यदानच्यानों से पाहि। ते सं 1, 6.3.3. On this सायण has in the com. the clear and interesting note 'एक एव वासुः इरिरियतस्थानभेदात् कार्यभेदाच प्राणादिनामिभिंग्रते। स्थानभेद्व. कैश्विदुक्तः। दृदि प्राणो ग्रेदेऽपान. समानो नाभिसंस्थित । उदानः कण्ठदेशस्यो च्यानः सर्वकारितः ॥ इति । उच्छ्वास्तिः श्वास्ते प्राण्यापार । महमूत्रयोरधः पातनमपानच्यापारः। स्रक्तस्यान्तरसस्य इरिरे साम्येन नयनं समानच्यापारः। उदारदिकादिवदानच्यापारः। इत्स्तासु इरिराच्यापायापात् । महमूत्रयोरधः पातनमपानच्यापारः। स्रक्तस्यान्तरसस्य इरिरे साम्येन सम्यान हे शरिरत्य वह्यदानं च्यानच्यापारः। प्राप्ता सायण relies on द्या स्प. 1.3.3 यहे प्राणिति स प्राणः यदपानिति सोऽपानः। अथ यः प्राणापानयोः सन्धिः स च्यानः। यो च्यानः सा वाक् In connection with the parts of the animal to be offered in a sacrifice as प्रायश्चितः, the ते सं. (III 4 1 3-4) states: पुरस्ताहै नाम्ये प्राण उपस्थिताना यात्रानेव पश्चतित्वावाति ।; यथा सम्रविवाधिकृतान् विनयुद्धका। गतान् ग्रामानेतान् ग्रामानविनिव्यस्ति। एप्रसेयेप प्राण द्वरान् प्राणान्त् पृथक् पृथवे संविधते। पायुपस्थेऽपानम् । च्या । श्रेषे स्रान्ति स्थानी प्राप्ते स्थान प्राप्ति स्थानि प्राप्ते प्राप्ति स्थानेव प्राप्ते प्राप्ति स्थानेव प्राप्ते प्राप्ते स्थानिवाधिकतान्त स्थाना । गय द्योतस्यानम् । च्या । श्रेष्ते स्थानास्ति स्थानम् स्थानिवादि स्थानीति । प्राप्ति स्थानिवादि स्थानीति । स्थानिवादि स्थानीति । स्थानिवादि स्थानीति । स्थानिवादि स्थानिवादि स्थानीति । स्यानिवादि स्थानिवादि स्थानिवादि स्थानिवादि । स्थानिवादि स्थानान । स्थानिवादि स्थानिवादि स्थानिवादि स्थानिवादि । स्थानिवादि स्यानिवादि स्थानिवादि स्

In this work it is not necessary to onter upon an exhaustive discussion on prana from the Upanisads. A controversy has gone on about the meaning of prana and apana. Caland, Keith, Dumont and a few other: hold that 'prina' means in the ancient Vedic literature 'expiration' and 'apana' means 'inspiration'. but that this mouning was revised in later days On the other hand almost all Sanstrit commentators and writers and G. W. Brown, Edgerton and other; hold the opposite view.2351 The present author agrees with the latter view vir. that 'prana' mount and means 'inhalation or thoracic breath', while 'apina' means 'abdominal breath,' All scholars are agreed that these are certainly the meanings of the words pring and aping in all classical Sanskrit literature, the opposite view being confined to the ancient period even by these who hold that prana and apana me introspectively 'expiration' and 'inspiration'. We should as far as pos ible to construe all Upani ad passages as to yield the same cense concistently. The Prasma Up. (certainly an early Upani ad, though not among the earliest ones) contains the following intere-ting and rather decisive passage "just as the king appoints his officers saying '(each of) you should govern such and ruch villages', in the same way this prans nations to the other proma suparate spheres viz. apina in the organs of excrement and generation, the prana going in from the mouth and nose establishes himself (as king) in the eyes and ears, Sunana in the middle (of the spheres of Prina and Apina) i. c. in the navel, since it is he (Samana) that carries the food offered (in the fire i e in the stomach) equally (to all bodily parts)."

Caland, Domont and others who hold that the word 'prāna' in ancient Sanskrit literature means 'expiration', chiefly rely on Sankarācūrya's explanation of Chān 2355 Up I. 3. 3 (yadvai

^{2354.} Vide Z. D M G vol. 55 pp. 261-265 and vol 56 pp 556-558, J. A. O S. vol. 39 (1919) pp 104-112 on 'Prāna and Apāna' by G W. Brown, J. A O S vol 77 (1957) pp. 16-47 by Prof Dumont, J. A. O S. vol 78 (1955) pp 51-57 (Prof Dumont versus Frof Edgerton).

^{2355.} इंदराचार्य on छा. I. 3. 3 explains 'यहे पुरुष माणिति सुध्वतिस्वान्यां पारं बार्नि सारयति स प्राणार यो वायोर्निविदेष , गदपानिस्वपश्चासित तान्यामेवान्तरा कर्यति या स्वाधित सार्यामेवान्तरा कर्यति या सीऽपानारस्या पृत्ति. 11, vide also झान्द्रशाच्य on व स. II 4. 42 (वश्चारृत्तिमेवी- गद्दर्यादिश्यते) 'माण प्राग्ट्रिकिश्चर्यासाविकामो, अपानीऽर्यग्नुत्तिनिश्वासाविकामी। व्यानस्त्रये सन्यी पर्वमानी पीर्यवस्त्रमिति । उद्यान अर्ध्यात्तिकान्त्रयादिहेतुः। समान समं सर्वेद्यन्त्रेषु योजस्त्राज्यसीति । The शीता in IV 29 'अपाने छादति प्राण प्राणेऽपान तथापरे। प्राणापानगती रद्द्या प्राणापानपरायणा ॥ appears to use the two words in a special sense.

prāniti sa prāno yad-apāniti sospānah &c). They hold that 'antarākarsati vāvum' in Śānkarabhāsva (on Chān Up I, 3 3) only mean 'inhaling': but that expression is capable of meaning 'it draws the air into the inside of the body (i e. in the abdomen) and what is more important, one has to note that the interpretation put on Sankara's words here by Caland, Dumont and others is directly opposed to Sankarācārva's own explanations in several other passages of the Upanisads, such as Br. Up. I. 5 3, III. 4, 1, Chān Up. III. 13, 1-6, Katha 5, 3; Prasna III. 4-5 (vide note 2353). The bhasva on Br. Up. I. 5, 3^{2356} remarks 'Prana is an activity of the heart which moves about in the mouth and nose and is so called because it is led forth; Apana is a downward movement starting from the navel and is so called because it casts out urine and excreta'. On Prasna Up. III. 4 (quoted in n. 2353) the Śānkarabhāsya says the same thing about Prāna and Apāna. Not only Sankarācārya but a much earlier authority viz the Dharmasūtra of Devala (mentioned by Sankara, vide p. 1352 n. 2208 above) defines the working of Prāna and Apāna as done by Śankarācārva in his bhāsya on Br. Up. I. 5. 3.

In the Yoga system (which is based on the Upanisads) prāṇa implies more than mere breath. It means vital energy, powers such as speech, eye, ear, manas in the body as well as in different forms in the universe. Its most perceptible manifestation in the human body is the movement of the lungs. The Y.S. inculcates on the yogic student the doctrine that by scientific control (as laid down in it) of prāna in the body it is possible to obtain control over the deep-seated (and ordinarily imperceptible) forces in human consciousness as well as in the external world.

^{2356.} On बृह उप 1 5.3 'श्रीण्यात्मनेऽक्कुयतेति मनो बार्च प्राणम्।. य कश्च शब्दी बागेव सा। एपा हान्तमायत्तेपा हि न प्राणोऽपानो व्यान उद्यान. समानोऽन इत्येतसर्भन प्राण एउ...।, the important भाष्य passage is अथ प्राण उत्यते। प्राणो छत्वनासिकासञ्चार्य एउ...।, the important भाष्य passage is अथ प्राण उत्यते। प्राणो छत्वनासिकासञ्चार्य एउत्यत्वि, प्राण्यात्वि, अन्यामित्रधान. , on प्रश्न III. 5 the relevant भाष्य on अपान is अपानमात्वभेदं, मृत्युर्तिपाद्यपन्यन कुर्वैतिस्तृति सिन्धे । , on करोप, 5.3 'उन्दे प्राण्युत्त्वयत्वपानं प्रत्यमस्त्री the भाष्य is 'उन्दे प्राप्यात्वाणं प्राण्यात्वि वाउत्यत्वयत्वर्ष्ट् ग्राम्यति तथा अपानं प्रत्यमधी अस्यति क्षिपति य. इति एदयात्वाणं प्राण्यात्वि वाउत्यत्वयत्वर्ष्ट् ग्रामयति तथा अपानं प्रत्यमधी अस्यति क्षिपति य. इति प्राप्यग्रेपः। This clearly shows that prāna is taken by the bhāṣya as meaning inhalation or iboracic breath' and apāna as 'abdominal breath' or 'exhalation' तत्र उन्ते नाभेगीतो रेचनोच्छ्यासक्षरणाद्धारकर्मा प्राणः। अधो नाभेकत्वर्गानन्दकर्माऽपान.। देवल q. by कृत्यकल्प (भोक्षक्वाण्ड p. 170), चनपर्व 213.7 (चित्रक्ष cd.) says 'धात्ममृत एद चैव पावकं सत्तुपाक्षितः। वहन मृत्व पुरीपं वाष्यपानः परिवर्तते॥,

The word 'pranayama' does not occur in any of the principal Upanisads, 2347 But it occurs frequently in the Sutras. example, the $\Lambda_{\rm D}$. Dh. S. provides that if a householder 2358 is still asleep when the sun rises he should fast and keep silent that day (till night) and observes that, according to some acarvas, he should continue to perform pranayama tall he feels exhausted (by way of penance). The Gaut. Dh. S. states that if animals other than dogs, snakes, frogs and cats pass between the teacher and the pupil when the latter has sat down before the former for study, he (pupil) should practise three pranayamas and should partake of some clarified butter (by way of prayascitta). Similarly, it provides that if a person smells the odour from the mouth of one that has drunk liquor, he should perform (as penance) three pranayamas and should partake of clarified butter and that if a Vedic student chances to see an impure person like a Candala, he should, after performing one Pranayama, look at the sun. Similarly, Baud Dh. S. (IV. 1. 4-11, prose) provides pranayamos as expiation for several lapses.

The above examples show that in the times of the Sütras (i. e. several centuries before the Christian era) the conception of prānāyāma had been so developed as to hold that it was a sort of penance and religious rite for removing the taint attaching to acts and omissions that were condemned by the then society. At this stage prānāyāma appears merely to have been a religious act independent of any theory about its being one of the eight angas of yoga.

To the five pranas mentioned in Vedic literature, the Puranas and other medieval works added five more pranas with different ²³⁵⁹ names.

^{2357.} In a श्लोक ten ancient and principal upanisads are enumerated 'ईश्-केन-कठ-प्रश्न-सुण्ड-माण्डुम्य-तिसिरि। ऐतेरयं च छान्द्वीरयं बृहदारण्यक तथा॥।

²³⁵⁸ स्यपन्नग्युद्धितोऽनाभ्वान्नाग्यतोऽहास्तिद्वेत् । आ सिन्तीः प्राणमायच्छेद्वित्येक् । आप धः स् 11 5 12 14-15, श्वनकुलसर्पमण्डुकमार्जाराणा व्यवस्प्रधासी विश्वासश्च । प्राणायामा धृतपाशनं चेतरेयाम् । गौ I. 61 ; गन्धामाणे सुरापस्य प्राणायामा धृतप्राशनं च । अशुर्वि दृष्ट्वादित्यमीक्षेत्र माणायामं कृत्वा । गौ. 23. 6 and 22, Compare with गी. 23. 6 मस XI 149 and with गौ. 23. 22 मसु V 86

^{2359.} प्राणोऽपान समानश्च उदानो ब्यान एव च। नाग छुमैस्तु छुकलो देवदत्तो धनश्चरः । ... उद्दारे नाग आख्यातः शूर्म उन्मीत्रने तु सः। छुक्का ध्वकार्यंव देवदत्तो विजुन्मणे । धनश्चरो महायोपः सर्वेग स स्तेषि हि। इति यो दशायद्वा प्राणायामेन सिध्यति। तिङ्क्षपु 1. 8. 61, 65-66, compare योगयाज्ञवत्त्रय (ed. by Mr. Diwanji) IV. 64-71 for the ten vayus and their functions, चनप् र 213. 16 (वर्एन्यक्ससम् न ज्ञाञ्च दशायाच्यिताः) mentions the function of ten pranas. Vide Dr. B N. Scal's 'the positive sciences of the ancient Hindus' (Longmans, Green, 1915) pp 228-231 for explanation about these ten.

It is now proper to see how the Y. S. defines and explains pranayama. 'When the stability of posture has been assured (or secured) pranayama is the pause (lit. cutting off) in the movements of inhalation and exhalation'. The bhasva explains 'syāsa' as 'drawing in of the air which is outside one's body' and 'prasyesa' as the expelling of the air in the trunk (or chest)' and the absence of both these is pranavama. This shows that the chief element in pranayama is the absence of both inhalation and exhalation i. e. humbhaka in the technical language of yoga works The next sutra states that pranayama (the pause in movement) is of three kinds, viz. external, internal and suppressed. The idea is that Kumbhaka (stopping or pause) may be done after one has taken in air from outside (first kind) or after one has expelled air from the trunk (2nd kind) or when one is in a normal condition (i. e. neither making an effort to fill his chest with air or emptying it of air) one may make a pause (3rd kind). Each of these may be regulated and considered from the point of the units of space, time (measurement by kalās or mātrās) and numbers. Prānāyāma is called mrdu (mild) when pause is kept up for 36 mātrās, then moderate one when kept up for 72 mātrās and keen (tīvra) when kept up for 108 mātrās. When practised for days, fortnights and months, it is called protracted and when performed with great skill it is called sūksma (subtle).

In connection with prānāyāma we must look to Y. S. I. 34 also. That sūtra lays down that for securing the undisturbed calm of the mind one remedy is the expulsion and retention of the breath. 260 It appears from that sūtra and its bhāsya that rīdhānaņa (retention of breath, i. e. Kumbhaka) is prānāyāma.

Some explanation must be offered about deśa (space), kāla and sankhyā in relation to prānāyāma. Ordinarily a healthy grown-up man breathes in and out in about four seconds i. e. about 15 times a minute (21600 times in one day and night). In order to measure the force of the recaka a piece of cotton or a thin thread is held at some distance from the nostrils and the distance up to which the air driven from the nostrils makes the cotton or thread move or cease to move is measured by means of finger-breadths. As regards time several units of time are mentioned because in those ancient days there was no scientific

^{2360.} भच्छर्दन-विधारणाभ्यां वा भाणस्य। यो स् I 34; कीण्ट्यस्य वायोर्नासिका-एटाम्या प्रयत्नविशेषाद्वमनं भच्छर्दनं विधारणं भाणायामस्ताभ्या वा मनसः स्थितिं सम्पादयेत्। भाष्य,

apparatus. The time taken for winking (nimesa) is equal 2361 to the time required for pronouncing a short vowel and this was The time taken for touching one's knees thrice called materia with one's hand and snapping the thumb and forefinger was also called matra. Other units are passed over The general rules are that both recuka and nuraka must be uniform and quiet. that the time given for puraka should be half of that given to recaka. Three views about the duration of puraka, humbhaha and recake are that they should be in the proportion of 1:4 · 2 or 1:2:2 or the same for all. The Puranas give different matras for pranayamas e g. the Markandeya (36.13.14) says that the lanhu (mrdu of the bhāsya) is of twelve mātrās. double of that is madhyama and the utariya (tivra in the bhasya) is for three times twelve matras, while the Garudapurana (I, 226, 14-15) puts down the figures as 10, 20, 30 respectively and the Kurmapurāna (II. 11. 32) agrees with the Markandeva. The Mitaksara on Yal. III. 200-201 provides that pranavama is of three varieties (adhama of 15 matras, madhyama of 30 matras and uttama of 45 mātrās). The Lingapurāna (I. 8. 47-48) also puts forth 12. 24, 36 mātrās as the time of nica udghāta, madhyama udghāta and mukhya and states that obvious results of these three are respectively perspiration, tremor and utthana (prasada-kampanotthāna-janakasca vathākramam). Compare Mārk. 36. 16 which states that those three are to be mastered respectively by the different lengths of pranayama (prathamena payet svedam madhyamena ca vepathum i visadam hi trtīyena jayed-doşan anukramāt II).

It should be noticed that neither Patanjali nor the Vyasabhasya employs the well-known words, pūraka, recaka and

^{2361.} निमेवकालतिस्य ि विद्याङ्ग्डस्यां च यत्। वाष्ट्रपु 57.6, निमेवो मानुवो योय मान्नास्त्रप्रमाणतः। यद्वा 231 6, निमेवोन्मेवणा मान्ना ताली लघ्नसरं तथा॥ मार्ना॰ 36 13 (Venk ed) निष्णुधर्मोत्तर I 73.1 लघ्नसरसमा मान्ना निमेव , परिकीर्तित । वाचरपति says 'राजाञ्चसण्डल पाणिना नि. परामुश्य च्छोटिकावच्छिनः कालो मान्ना तामि बर्द्विशता मान्नाभि परिमितः प्रथम उद्धातो मुदु । स एव द्विष्टणीकृतो द्वितीयो मध्यमः। स एव त्रिष्टणीकृतत्त्वियस्तितः।। ол यो. स II. 50, अङ्गुलिमोक्षत्रितयं जान्त्वौ परिमार्जनमधापि। तत्कालज्ञयमपि तञ्ज्ञा मान्नासङ्गा प्रशस्तित्व । वृद्धशिवपा VIII. 12 व व्य योगियाङ्गवलन्य । कृत्यकल्प॰ (मीसकाण्ड p. 171). The गोरक्षशतक says 'प्राणायामो भवेदविधा मान्या द्वाद्यस्त्रपुत्र ॥ द्वाद्यशम्यमे मान्ना मध्यमे द्विष्टणस्तत । उत्तमे निष्टणा मान्नाः प्राणायामस्य निर्णुय ॥ श्वाद्यश्चरित्रस्तिमानिकः ॥ उत्तमः स्यात् निरुद्धाते मान्नाः प्रवृत्तिश्चरस्त । स्वत्ता द्वाद्यशमान्नस्त उद्धाता द्वाद्यस्त्रस्ति । उत्तमः स्यात् निरुद्धाते मान्नाः पर्विश्वरूपते ॥ The योगतत्त्वोपनिषद् (verses 41-42) lays down the proportion of the three (पूरकः, कृभ्यक् and रेचकः) is as 16, 64, 32 mātrās, while the गरुडपु (I 226. 14-15) speaks of 10, 20, 30 mātrās.

kumbhaka, but only śvāsa, praśvāsa and gativiccheda. ²³⁵² Besides, Pataūjali and Vyāsa say nothing about the japa of Om, Gāyatrī or Vyāhrtis in prānāyāma, as the smrtis and later medieval works do. A third matter to be considered is that in some later works recaka, pūraka and kumbhaka are said to be three prānāyāmas and this latter is said to be of four kinds, three set out in Y. S. II. 50 and the fourth in II 51.

The words 'recaka', 'pūraka' and 'kumbhaka' also must be, however, regarded as ancient enough. They are mentioned and defined by the Dharmasūtra of Devala 2363 referred to by

^{2362,} तस्मिन्सिति श्वासप्रश्वासयोगैतिविच्छेदः प्राणायामः । वाह्याभ्यन्तरस्तम्भ वृत्तिदेशकालसख्याभि परिदृष्टी द्विवृत्त्स्त । वाह्याभ्यन्तरस्तिययाक्षेपी चतुर्थ । यो स् II वृत्तिदेशकालसख्याभि परिदृष्टी द्विवृत्त्स्त । वाह्याभ्यन्तर्विषयाक्षेपी चतुर्थ । यो स् II 49-51 , सत्यासक्तव्ये वाह्यस्य वायोगिचमन श्वास कोण्ट्यस्य वायोगि सारणं प्रश्वास तयोगीतिविच्छेदः स्मयाभाव प्राणायामः । भाष्य on II. 49 The word वृत्ति is to be connected with each of the three words चाह्य, आभ्यन्तर and स्तम्म Here the कुम्मक that follows रेचक is called वाह्यद्वित्त and the one that comes after पूरक is called आम्यन्तरवृत्ति The कुम्मक that is made when there is neither रेचक nor पूरक is called स्तम्भवृत्ति . For an exhaustive and lucid exposition of the Patanjala sūtras on prānāyama, the bhāsya thereon and the views of other commentators, vide Yogamīmāmsā (of Shri Kuvalayānanda) vol, VI. pp. 44-54, 129-145, 225-257

देवलः। त्रितिधः प्राणायामः। क्रम्भो रेचनं पूरणमिति। निश्वासनिरोधः क्रम्भः। अजसनिःश्वासो रेचनम्। निश्वासाध्मानं पूरणभिति। स पुनरेकद्वित्रिभिरुद्वाते - (इघाते ?) र्भूटर्मन्द्रस्तीक्ष्णो वा भवति। प्राणापानन्यानोदानसमानानां सक्रुट्टद्रमन मुर्घानमाहृत्य (हत्य।) निवृत्तिश्रोद्वातः (इ्घात.?)। कुत्यकल्प॰ (मोक्षकाण्ड p. 170) and अपरार्क p 1023. Compare न्यासभाष्य 'संख्याभिः परिदृष्टा एतावद्भिः श्वासप्रश्वासैः प्रथम उद्धातस्तद्वनि-गृहीतस्यैताविद्विद्वितीय उद्घात.। एवं नृतीयः। एवं मृदुरेवं मध्य एवं तीव इति संख्या-परिदृष्ट । on यो स् II, 50 The राजमार्तण्ड explains 'उड्चातो नाम नाभिमूला-त्मेरितस्य वायोः शिरस्याभेहनवस् ? This word is differently explained by different writers, vide योगमीमांसा vol. II. part 3 pp 225-234 Sometimes पूरक, रेचक and कुम्भन are said to be three प्राणायासs, sometimes all three together are deemed to be one प्राणाचाम. Each of these again is either मुद, सन्द (or संस्थम) and तीव Vide बृहद्योगिया VIII 7 त्रिविधं के चिदिच्छन्ति तथा च नवधा परे। मृद् मध्याधिमात्रलावेकैकं त्रिविधं भवेत ॥. Vide विष्णुधर्मोत्तर III 280. 1 रेचकं प्रकं चैव कुम्भकं च तथा द्विजा । एकरूपवस्थो विज्ञेयः प्राणायामो महाफलः॥ रेचक-पूरक-कुम्भके-प्यस्ति श्वास-प्रश्वासयोगितिविच्छेट इति प्राणायामसामान्यलक्षणमेतदिति । तथाहि । यत्र बाह्यो वायुराचम्यान्तर्धार्यते पूरके तत्रास्ति श्वास-प्रश्वासयोगीतिविच्छेदः। यत्रापि कौष्ठवी वायुर्विरेच्य षदिर्घार्यते तत्रास्ति श्वास-पश्चासयोगितिविच्छेदः। एवं कुम्भक्तेपीति। वान्वस्पति on यो सु II 50; पूरक कुम्भकश्चेत्र रेचकस्तदनन्तरम्। प्राणायामखिवा ज्ञेयः कनीयो मध्यमीत्रमः॥ पूरकः कुम्भको रेच्यः प्राणायामञ्जलक्षण.। बृहद्योगिया VIII 9-10, the last half verse is quoted by स्मृतिच, I p 142 (as योगचा.) and the three are defined in बुएशोगिया. VIII. 19-21 of which verse 19 is q. by स्मृतिच. I p 142 कुम्मक is so called because in it there is resemblance to a jar filled with water (which remains steady in it). राजमार्तण्ड explains 'तरिमञ्जलभिव कुम्भे भिश्वलतया पाणा अवस्थाप्यन्ते इति कुम्मक. 17. Vide पाणिति V. 3. 97 'प्रतिकृती च 1: इवार्थे कर स्यात ससुदायेन चेरसेजा गम्यते ।. So क्रम्भक means क्रम्भ इव क्रम्भकः क्रम्भसद्दशस्य संज्ञा

Śañkarācārya (vide note 2208 above). The Brhad-Yogi-Yāj. and Vacaspati also mention them. The Visnupurana in a nostic description of the Sarad season employs them by way of ślesa 2364 (as below). Several modes of performing pranayama are prescribed. One of the simplest is to stop the right nostril with the thumb, to fill in air through the left nostril according to one's ability; then to throw out the air through the right nostril: again inhale through the right nostril and eject air through the left. Do this at least thrice. Practise this at least twice every day, preferably in the morning after bath and in the evening or four times (before dawn, during midday, in the evening or at midnight). In the beginning kumbhaka need not be practised at all. After some practice with puraka and recaka, kumbhaka may be begun after recaka. Kumbhaka after puraka is to be practised with great caution and should be attempted under the direction of an expert.

The Manusmrti contains ²⁴⁶⁵ a great eulogy of prānāyāma in the following words 'even three prānāyāmas performed according to the rules prescribed and accompanied by the vyāhritis and pranava (the syllable om) should be regarded as the highest tapas for a brāhmana; just as in the case of metals (like gold and silver) impurities are burnt when they are melted in the blast of a furnace, so blemishes (like passion &c.) of the organs of sense (including the mind) are destroyed by the control of breath; one should extinguish the blemishes (passion, hatred &c.) by prānāyāmas, sin by dhāranā, contact with the objects of sense by pratyāhāra and such attributes as are ungodly (viz. anger, avarice, jealousy &c.) by meditation (on brahma). The Y.S. states that from the practice of prānāyāma results the dwindling of actions (or klešas) that envelop enlightenment (which is the characteristic of sattra) and the

^{2364.} प्राणायाम इवामभोभिः सरसां कृतपूरकैः । अम्यस्यतेऽनिद्वसं रेचक्राकुम्भकाः विभि ॥ विष्णुषु V. 10. 14.

^{2365.} प्राणायामा बाह्मणस्य च्योऽपि विधिवत्कृताः। व्याह्मतिपणैर्वर्द्धता विदेशं परमं तप्.॥ द्वान्ते ६मयमानाना घातूना हि यथा मलाः। तथेन्द्रियाणा दहान्ते दीषा प्राणस्य निग्रहात्॥ प्राणायमैदेहेद् दोषान् धारणाभिश्च किल्यियम्। प्रत्याहारेण संसर्गान् ध्यानेनानी-व्यालाम्॥ मन्नस्यृति VI. 70-72 All these are बृहद्योगिया VIII. 29, 30, 32 The last verse occurs also in शृङ्खस्यृति VII 13, वाद्यपु ※ 93, भागवत III 28, मार्कण्डेयपु 36 10=39, 10 B, I, ed., it is quoted from मार्कण्डेय by फुरयकल्प० (मोक्षकाण्ड p. 168), which explains 'द्योषान् स्वेदनेपश्चमञ्जतीन्, अनीश्वरान्, प्रामृततान् मार्मानां अपरार्क p 990 explains 'अनीश्वरा ग्रुणा रागदिवाद्य-।

mind of the yogin becomes fit for fixed attention. 2365 Goraksaśataka 2367 provides 'a yogin always eradicates diseases by asans, sin by pranayama and mental disturbance by pratyāhāra'. The Smrtis state that prānāyāmas have great efficacy in destroying sins. Manu XI. 248 (= Vasistha 26. 4, Baud, Dh. S. IV. 1, 31 and Sankhasmrti XII, 18-19) states 'sixteen pranayamas performed everyday for a month together with the vyāhrtis and pranava (om) purify even the murderer of a brahmana. Manu XI 199 and 201 prescribe the performance of a single pranayama as a purification for light lapses or riding an ass or a camel and such incidents as being bitten by a dog, jackal, horse, camel, boar or man. Yāj. III. 305 prescribes the performance of a hundred pranayamas for the destruction of all sins, of upapātakas (lesser sins described in Yāj. III. 234-242) and of sins for which no specific prayascitta is provided. Manu II. 83 (= Vasistha X. 5 and Visnudbarmasūtra 55.83) states 'the one syllable (om) is (the representative of) the highest brahma and pranayamas are the highest tapas'.

It may interest readers to note that the great Jaina monk, ācārya Hemacandra, condemns prānāyāmas by saying that they allow no rest to the mind, that there is physical effort in pūraka, kumbhaka and recaka and that prānāyāma is an obstacle to multi. 2368

In kumbhaka performed after pūraka the nerves, heart and lungs are under strain and permanent damage to these may result from careless or hasty practice of kumbhaka after pūraka. Those suffering from lung or cardiac affections should not enter upon the practice of prānāyāma on their own initiative but must first consult an expert. Swami Vivekananda said long ago that all students of Yoga ²³⁶⁹ 'are expressly and earnestly reminded

²³⁶⁶ ततः क्षीयते प्रकाशावरणम् । धारणासु च योग्यता मनसः । घोः सू II. 52-53 ; 'प्राणायामानम्बरपतीऽस्य योगिनः क्षीयते विवेतज्ञानावरणीयं कर्मः । ...तथा चीक्तम् । तपो न परं प्राणायामात्ततो विद्युद्धिर्मलाना दीतिश्य ज्ञातस्य । हृति । भाष्य

^{2367.} आसनेन चजो एन्ति प्राणायामेन पातकम्। विकारं मानसं योगी प्रत्याहारेण सर्वेदा॥ गोरक्षशतक 54.

^{2368.} तकामीति मनः स्वास्थ्य प्राणायामेः कर्दार्थतम्। प्राणस्यायमने पीडा तस्य स्याचित्तविह्वः॥ पूर्णे कुम्भने चेव रेचने च परिश्रमः। चित्तसक्केशकरणान्मकेः मस्यूह-कारणम्॥ योगशास्त्र ol हेमचन्द्र, 6th प्रकाश verses 4-5 (pub. in जैनग्रन्थमाला, Surat, Vikrama Samvat 1995)

^{2369.} Vide p 123 Vol. I of the complete works of Swami Vivekānanda, Mayāratī ed. of 1946 Vide a similar warning given by Swami Kuvalayā-(Continued on next page)

that with few exceptions Yoga can safely be learnt by direct contact with a teacher'. The fact, that the Yogasūtra containing 195 sutras in all devotes only five sūtras (II. 49-53) to prānāyāma and that even these five are of a very general nature, indicates that Pataūjali intended that the yogin should not practise prānāyāma by merely listening to or reading these sūtras but should approach an expert yogin for instruction and guidance.

It should be noticed that Patañjali does not require in his definition of prānāyāma the mental or inaudible repetition of any syllable like 'om' or any mantra like the Gāyatrī. But the Smrtis prescribe the practice of prānāyāma every day in the Sandhyāvandana. Yāj. (I. 22) prescribes 2370 that a man of the three higher varnas must every day take a bath, perform mānjana (sprinkling water with kuśas) with the mantras (Rg. X. 9. 1-3 'āpo hi sthā &c.'), practise prānāyāma, worship of the sun and japa of Gāyatrī (Rg. III. 62. 10) and that prānāyāma comprises the japa three times of Gāyatrī preceded by the Vyāhrtis, each being preceded by Om and followed by the Siras. Prānāyāma as described by Yāj. is prescribed even in modern times for the morning and evening Sandhyā adorations. Prānāyāma with mental repetition of 'Om' or a 'mantra' is called 'sagarbha' 2171 or 'sabīja,' while prānāyāma not so accompanied

⁽Continued from last page)

nanda in 'Prānāyāma' part I p. 66 and by Shree Purohit Swami in 'Aphorisms of Yoga' (London, 1938 p. 56). Figures 27 to 40 (pp. 68-89 in Shri Kuvalayānanda's popular handbook on Prānāyāma, part one) illustrate the three (pūraka &c) in prānayāma of the best scientific type.

^{2370.} रनानमब्देवतिर्मनेमांजिन माणसंयम'। स्वेश्य चाण्युपश्यानं गायज्ञ्याः प्रत्यहं जपः। गायज्ञीं शिरसा साध जपेव् न्याहृतिपूर्विकास्। प्रतिप्रणानसंयुक्ता जिरयं प्राणसयसः॥ याज्ञ I. 22-23. The second verse occurs in बसिष्ठ 25 13, बृद्धोगिया VIII 3, अज्ञिसिता verse 298. The whole japa for प्राणायाम would be आं भू- ओं श्वः ओं स्वः ओं महः ओं जनः ओं तत्य ओं तत्सवित्विर्विष्यं भर्गो देवस्य धीमहि। धियो यो न. प्रचोद्यात्। ओं आपो ज्योति रसोऽस्तं ब्रह्मसूर्श्ववः स्वरेस्। This has to be repeated thrice in the सन्ध्यावन्दन The words आपो ज्यो ... रोम् are called शिरः of गायज्ञी. Vide बृद्ध- छोगिया VIII. 4-6 q by स्मृतिच I p. 141 as योगया

^{2371.} अगर्भश्च सगर्भश्च द्वितीयस्तु ततो वर । जपध्यान विनादगर्भः सगर्भस्तस्समन्तिर ।। क्र्मी II. 11. 31 mentions these two. माणारूयमनिल वश्चमम्यासारकुरते हु यः। माणायामः स विज्ञेयः सजीजोड्बीज एव च ॥ विल्लुपु. VI. 7 40 q. by वाचस्पति on यो. सू. II. 52 and by अपराक्त p. 1022 (which explains सबीज समन्त्रक , अबीज इतरः). Videi also क्रूमी II. 46. 40–41 'आरुरुक्षस्तु सन्तुणं पूज्येश्वरमेश्वरम्। पिनाकिन जिनयन जटिलं क्रितिवाससम्। रुक्माभ वा सहस्राक्षीचिन्तयेहैदिजी श्रुतिः। एष योगः समुद्धिः सबीजो अतिपुद्धवाः॥'.

is called 'agarbha' or 'abija' and it is said that 'sagarbha' is the better of the two. Śāntiparva (304. 9. cr. ed. = Ch. ed. 316. 9-10) speaks of saguna and nirguna prānāyāma. The Yogabhāsya (on Y. S. II. 52) quotes a passage ²³⁷² 'there is no higher tapas than prānāyāma, therefrom arises purification of the taints and knowledge flashes forth.'

The H. Y.P. speaks of eight kinds of Prānāyāma (in II. 44). The two most important ones, viz Ujjāyī and Bhastrikā are described by Śrī Kuvalayānanda in chap. IV. pp. 67-68 and chap. VI. pp. 101-115 respectively in his handbook on Prānāyāma, part one and the other six viz. Sūryabhedana, Sītkārī, Sītalī, Bhrāmarī, Mūrcchā, Plāvinī in. pp. 6-25 of the handbook part 2. H. Y.P. (II. 48-70) describes all the eight at some length.

Dr. Rele in his work 'Mysterious Kundalini' provides at the very beginning a diagram of the autonomic nervous system according to the Western anatomical science, showing the six cakras and identifying the positions of these six and the sahasrāracakra (lit. thousand-petalled i. e. having numberless petals). He propounded an original view that the Kundalini is the right vague nerve. His book is very interesting and he has explored a very large field of yogic practices. He has very thoroughly utilized his deep knowledge of Western anatomy and physiology, but he himself admits in his preface (p. 2) that the explanations of the various Yogic practices and phenomena given by him are possible suggestions only. But it may be noted that Sir John Woodroffe, who made a deep study of Yoga and of Tantra works and who wrote a foreword to Dr. Rele's work, was not prepared to accept Dr. Rele's identification of Kundalini with the right Vagus Nerve (p. ii) and holds that Kundalini is not a nerve or any other physical substance or mental faculty but rather the Ground Substance of both (Foreword p. iii). Similarly, Shri Kuvalayanand (in his popular handbook on pranayama part 1, p. 57 n. 3), after referring to Dr. Rele's admission about his explanations being possible suggestions only, points out that Dr. Rele had not tried a single experiment in the laboratory nor had he taken much care to consult the practical experience of the students of Yoga and he states that to him the whole book of Dr. Rele seems to be of doubtful scientific value. He goes further and asserts that Swami

²³⁷² तथा चोक्तम् । तपो न परं प्राणायामात्तते विद्युद्धिमेलाना दीप्तिश्च ज्ञानस्य । इति । धोगमाप्य ०० 11. 52, compare विष्णुधमीत्तर 111, 280, 4 प्राणायामात्यरं मास्ति द्विजातीना तथा तप्, ।.

Vivekananda's lectures on Rājayoga suffer from the same drawbacks as the work of Dr. Rele does. Śrī Kuvalayānand shows (on pp. 121-126) the value of prānāyāma for physical health and the proper functioning of the lungs, the organs of digestion, the liver, pancreas and kidneys and asserts that the spiritual value of Prānāyāma is very great.

Pratuāhāra (withdrawal of the sense organs from the objects of sonse) is defined 2373 in Y. S. II. 54 as 'when the senses have no contact with (are withdrawn from) their objects (because the mind is restrained) and thereby resemble the state of the mind itself, there is pratyāhāra,' When the mind, being restrained by the yogin. does not come in contact with the objects of sense, viz. sound, touch, form, taste, smell, the organs of sense also become disconnected with them, the organs come to resemble the mind itself (hence the words 'anukāra iva' in the sūtra). From this (non-contact) results the complete mastery over the senses. The idea is that in restraining the mind from the objects of sense the organs also are withdrawn from contact with them. Since the mind is made chana (one-pointed) the sense organs together with the mind do not cognise or apprehend the objects. Pratyahara is the checking of the outgoing activities of the mind and freeing it from being the slave of the senses. The Santiparva (cr. ed. 188. 5-7 = Ch. ed. 195. 6-7) speaks of it. The Visnupurana in V. 10. 14 (in describing Sarad, i. e. autumn, states 'Sarad removed the turbidness of waters as pratyāhāra withdraws the organs from their objects') refers to pratyahara 23/4

^{2373.} स्विषयासमयोगे चित्रसर्पानुकार होनिन्नयाणा मत्याहार:। ततः परमा वर्षते चित्रयाणाम् । यो स् II, 54-55 The word मत्याहार is formed from the root ह with मित and आ The राजमार्तण्ड explains ' हिन्द्रयाणा विषयेग्यः मतीयमानीयन्तिस्मिति मत्याहारः!. The word मत्याहार literally means 'bringing back'. The mice explains 'स्विषयसंभयोगाभागे चित्तस्वरूपानुकार होति चित्तानिरोधे चित्तपिष्ठस्तानीव्द्रयाणि नेतरिन्न ज्वायाह्माराधान्ते। यथा मधुकरराजं मितिका उत्पादनममृत्यवन्ति निविद्यन्तमान निविद्यन्ति किविद्यन्ति विद्यानिरोधे किस्तुनिरोदे पर्याहारः।!. The illustration of the queen bee and the honey-making bees occurs in Prasa Up. II, 4 'तद्यया मित्रका मधुकरराजानमुत्यानम्तिका नेतरिन्न स्वर्थक्षः सिविद्यन्तिका स्वर्थक्षः प्राप्तिकानम्तिका क्षेत्र प्राप्तिकानम्तिका स्वर्थक्षः प्राप्तिकानितिका स्वर्थक्षः प्राप्तिकानित

^{2374,} इन्द्वादिव्यञ्चपक्तानि निगृह्माक्षाणि योगतित्। छुर्योचित्राञ्चकारीणि प्रत्याहारपरायणः॥ धइयता परमा तेन जायते निश्चलात्मनाम्। द्विन्द्रयाणामप्रदेवस्तैने योगी योगसाधकः॥
विक्युष्ठ VI. 7. 43-44. These are also quoted by कुरयकत्परः (मोक्षफाण्ड p. 173)
and by अपरार्क p. 1025. The मार्कः ष्ठ (39. 41 of Cal, ed =36, 41-42 of Venk,
edition) says ' इन्द्वादिभ्योऽनिग्ननानि यदक्षाणि यतालाभिः। प्रत्याद्वियन्ते योगेन प्रत्याहारस्ततः स्मृतः॥ q. by कुरयकत्परः (मोक्ष p. 173).

as 'Indriyānindriyārthebhyah pratyāhāra ivāharat'. Vācaspati quotes two verses from the Visnupurāna which employ the very characteristic words of the Y. S. and probably borrow the idea and language from the Y. S. The Dharmasūtra of Devala ²³⁷⁵ explains pratyāhāra as 'establishing (or yoking) the mind to the soul by bringing it back (to the soul) when it has swerved from the path of Yoga, because of its subtlety, its restlessness, its thoughtlessness or its force'. The Kūrmapurāna ²³⁷⁶ defines it as 'the suppression or mastery over the organs of sense that are naturally attracted to objects of sense'. Vide Śāntiparva (or. ed. 232, 13) also

It appears that when the Chān. Up. (in VIII. 15) enumerates the circumstances which enable a person to reach the worlds of brahman it has an idea of pratyāhāra in the word 'ātmani sarvendriyāni sampratisthāpya' ('when he concentrates all his senses in the self').

The third pada of the Y. S. is called 'Vibhūti-pada' (pada that deals with the super-normal powers of the yogin). The word 'vibhuti' occurs in Prasna 5. 4 where it is stated that he who contemplates on Om of the dvimātra type is taken to the world of the moon where he enjoys 'vibhūti' and again returns to the earth. There the word probably means nothing more than prosperous life. The third pada first deals with the last three of the eight angas of Yoga, the first five being called bahiranga (indirect helpers of samprajñāta-samādhi), while the last three are said to be antaranga of sampramata-samadhi (but even these three are babiranga with reference to nirbija Yoga, as the latter may occur even in the absence of the three 'dhāranā' &c. These three are called dhāranā, dhyāna and samādhi and these three when practised on the same object are called samyama, which is a technical term in the Yoga system. Vibhūtis are the results of samyama of various kinds. Instead of employing the three words dhāranā, dhyāna and samādhi Patañjali employs expressly or impliedly the word 'samyama' in most of the sūtras from 16 to 52 of the 3rd pada.

^{2375.} अणुत्ताञ्चापल्याञ्चाघवाद्धल्यस्ताद्धा योगभ्रष्टस्य मनसः युनः प्रत्यानीयार्थे योजनं प्रत्याद्दारः। देवल q. by कृत्यकत्प॰ (मोस॰ p. 173), अपरार्क p. 1025 ascribes this sūtra to हारीत and reads 'अणुत्वाञ्चापलाद्धा योगीनभ्रष्टस्य ... प्रत्याद्दारः (we should read ॰लाह्य योग॰). कृत्यकल्प॰ (मोस॰ p. 173) explans 'अर्थोऽञ्चात्मा !,

^{2376.} इन्द्रियाणा निचरतां विषयेषु स्त्रभावतः । निग्रहः मोस्यते सङ्गः मस्याहारस्तु सत्तमः । क्रुमं II 11, 38. स्कन्द, फाशोरम्ण्ड 41. 101 'इन्द्रियाणां हि चरता विषयेषु पहस्त्रया । यत्मत्याहरणं युवरया प्रस्याहारः स उच्यते ॥, युवस्या means 'विषयदोषदर्शनेन'.

Dhāranā. dhvāna and samādhi are said to be the direct auxiliaries of Yoga and are three successive stages, the preceding one leading to the next. Dharana is the holding of the mind to a certain spot or point 2377 or object. The bhasya explains that the mind is to be held down to such spots (in one's body) as the navel, the heart-lotus, the head, the light (in the eye), the tip of the nose, the tip of the tongue and the like or on external objects (such as images of gods in various forms). In this stage attention has to be firmly held upon the chosen object for a period of time determined by the will of the yoga aspirant. In this stage there are three elements, the subject, the object and the act of concentration. The next stage is dhyana, which will be described immediately below. The Mārkandeya Purāna speaks of ten dhāranās on the different parts of the yogin's own body 2378 and is in accordance with the plural 'dhāranāsu ca yogyatā manasah' (in Y. S. II. 53) employed by Patañjali himself. The Asvamedhikaparva also employs the plural 'dhāranāsu'. Vide the Śāntīparva (cr. ed. 188. 8-12 = Ch. ed. 195.8). The Yamavalkvasmrti 2379 in a succint manner brings

²³⁷⁷ देशवन्धश्चित्तस्य धारणा। तच प्रत्ययेकतानता ध्यानम्। यो स्. III. 1, 2, the भाष्य on these is: नाभिचके हृदयपुण्डरीके मूर्धिन ज्योतिषि नासिकांग्रे जिन्हाग्र इत्येवमादिषु देशेषु धाक्षे या विषये भित्तस्य पृत्तिमान्नेण बन्ध इति धारणा। तस्मिन्देशे ध्येयालम्बनस्य प्रत्ययस्येकतानता सद्दश प्रवातः प्रत्ययान्तरेणापराष्ट्रशे ध्यानम्। The लिङ्गपु I. 8. 42-43 appears to echo the words of यो स्. in 'चित्तस्य धारणा मोक्ता स्थानबन्धः समासतः। ... तन्नेकचित्तताध्यानं प्रत्ययान्तरवर्जितम्।.' The Upanisads speak of the heart as lotus (vide above note 1717 and शङ्कराचार्य on वे स् I 3. 14-21 दृष्टर उत्तरेयः. &c.). ज्योतिषि probably refers to the Punusa in the eye (as in छा VIII. 7 4 or IV. 15. 1 (य एपोऽक्षिणि पुरुषो दृश्यत एप आत्मेति होवाच) or the Lord in one's heart, वाच्यत्यति explains बाह्ये वा विषये by quoting several verses of the विष्णु-प्रत्याण VI 7 77-85 where it speaks of contemplation on the form of Visnu with a benign face, lotus-like eyes, wearing ear-rings and having the Śrīvatsa jewel on his chest, with four or eight long arms, wearing a yellow garment and holding conch, śārnga bow, mace.

²³⁷⁸ प्राङ्गनान्या हृदये चाथ तृतीये च तथोरासि । कण्ठे हासे नासिकांग्रे नेत्रश्लूमध्य-मूर्यंद्ध ॥ किंचित्तस्मात्पर्श्तमश्च घारणा परमा स्मृता । दक्षेता घारणा भाष्य प्रामोत्यक्षरसाल्यताम् ॥ मार्कण्डेय (36.44-45 =39, 44-45, Cal. ed.), गरुहपु (I. 226.21-22) q. by अपरार्क p. 1023 and छुत्यकल्प॰ (मोक्ष॰ p. 173). Compare कूर्म 'हृत्युण्डरीने नाम्या ह्या मूर्षिन पर्वस्र मस्तके । एवमादिखु देशेषु धारणा चित्तवन्धनम् ॥ । II 11.39, तथैव चृपते योगी धारणासु समाहितः । प्रामोत्यासु परं स्थान लक्ष्यसुक्त ह्वासुगः ॥ आश्वमेधिक 19 37

^{2379.} ऊरुश्थोत्तानचरण. सन्ये न्यस्योत्तर करम्। उत्तान किंचिद्धकाम्य झसं विद्यम्य चोरसा । निर्मालिताक्षः सम्बन्धां दन्तिर्दन्तानसस्युशन् । ताल्कस्याचलित्वश्च सद्वतस्यः झनिश्चलः। संनिरुध्येन्द्रियग्रामं नातिनीचोन्द्वितासनः। द्विग्रण निग्रणं वापि प्राणायाम- सुनश्चलः। स्वाते प्रेथः स्थितो योऽसौ हद्वये दीयक्त्यक्षः। धारयेन्तत्र चात्मानं धारणा धारयन् (Continued on next मृंबहुट)

in the angas from asana to dharana and dhyana as follows: (The vogin) occupying a seat neither too high nor too low. having placed his upturned heels on his thighs and having placed on the left (palm placed on the upturned right heel) his other (right) palm (turned upwards), having slightly raised his face and having braced up his body with his (raised) chest, having closed the eyes, being free from raigs and tamas. not allowing the upper and lower rows of teeth to touch, holding his tongue firmly in the palate, and allowing no shaking of the body, covering the mouth, holding back the organs of sense from their objects, should perform pranayama of the twofold or threefold type (of 24 or 36 mātrās), should contemplete on the Lord that occupies his heart like a lamp, and fix his mind on that Lord by way of Dhāranā. Devala states 2380 that dhāranā. is the holding in check of the body, senses, mind, intellect and ātman (egoism).

M. Charles Baudouin in 'Suggestion and auto-suggestion' tr. by Elder and Cedar Paul (ed. of 1922, Allen and Unwin) says (on p. 150) 'As one of the curiosities of history and further as a lesson in humility we must point out that the states just described under the names of collectedness, contention and autohypnosis are described with considerable psychological acumen (though not in modern psychological terminology) in the precepts by which for centuries past the Yogis of Hindustan have been accustomed to attain to self-mastery' and the author refers to Pratyāhāra and Dhāranā in this connection and on p. 151 remarks that auto-hypnosis is encountered in Yoga but it is tinged with mysticism because the sacred word 'om' is repeated hundreds of times.

Dhyāna (contemplation) is the one-pointedness (continuous flow) of the apprehension of the object contemplated upon;

⁽Continued from last page)

हुध ॥ याज्ञ III. 198-201 These except the last are quoted by फुत्यकल्प॰ (मोसकाण्ड p. 180) and occur in स्कन्द, काशीखण्ड 41. 69-71 and the last (verse 201) is q. by फ़ुत्पकल्प॰ (नोक्ष on p. 194) Vide figure 16 in Shri Kuvalayānanda's handbook on 'Asanas' (pp. 54-55) for प्यासन These verses in या. are commented upon by Vist arupa, the Mitaksara and Apararka, Therefore they cannot be said to be later interpolations.

^{2380.} इरिरिन्द्रियमनोद्युद्धदात्ममा धारणाद्धारणा। देवल प् by अपरार्थ्य p 1025, फुरयकल्प॰ (मोक्ष p. 174). अपरार्क says 'अन्नारमार्टकार:; the कुरयकल्प॰ says nothing

unaffected by any other idea or apprehension. The Upanisads 2381 insist upon dhyana, e. g. Mundaka says 'contemplate upon the Self in the form of Om': the Br. Up has the famous passage 'the self should be seen, heard, understood and reflected upon. The Chān. Up. (VII. 6. 2) employs the word 'dhyāna' in the sense of 'concentrating all thoughts on one object'. The Sy. Up. (I. 3) mentions dhyanayoga and also Gita 18. 52 does so (dhyanayogaparo nitvam). The Santiparva (cr. ed. 188, 13 ff = Ch. ed. 195, 13-18) speaks of dhyana. The Krtyakalpataru (on Moksa p. 181) quotes a long prose passage from Devala-dharmasūtra about dhyana. The Visnupurana 2382 closely agreeing with the Yogabhāsya in phraseology describes dhyāna as the one-pointed succession of the apprehension of the Lord's form, unmixed with another idea, brought about by the practice of the first six angas of Yoga. Aparārka (pp 1025-27) quotes a long prose passage from Visnudharmasūtra (chap 97), which asks the vogin to contemplate upon the all-pervading and all-powerful Lord who is free from gunas (sattva, rajas, tamas), is beyond the twenty-four tattvas and who is imperceptible to the senses, and that, if he be unable to focuss his mind on formless God all at once, to

^{2381.} आत्मा वा और द्रष्टच्यः श्रोतच्यो मन्तच्यो निदिध्यासितच्यः। वृह उप II. 4. 5; ओमिर्यवं ध्यायथ आत्मानम् । सुण्डस II. 2. 6. निदिध्यासितच्यः 1s the potential passive participle of the desiderative form of ध्ये with नि. The ह्या. उप. VII. 6 is 'ध्यानं वाव श्वित्ताद्भ्यः। ध्यायतीव पृथिवी ... ध्यायतीव देवमह्य्या । तस्माद्य वृह मह्य्याणा महत्तां प्राप्तवन्ति ध्यानापादाशा इवैव ते भवन्ति। ... ध्यानस्यात्ति। The earth 1s motionless, just as a yogin when deep in contemplation is motionless and therefore it is said "the earth is as it were engaged in contemplation"

^{2382.} तद्र्पप्रत्ययेकाऱ्यसन्ततिश्वान्यनि स्पृद्धा । तद् ध्यानं प्रथमेरङ्के. षद्भिर्मिनेष्पाद्यते द्भप ॥ विष्णुपु VI. 7. 91 q. by वाचरपति, कुत्यकल्प॰ (मोक्षकाण्ड p 175) and अपरार्क p. 1026 who explains ' ब्रह्मरूपप्रत्ययास्मिका सन्ततिः मवादः सा विषयान्तरासंस्प्रष्टा सती ध्यानमित्युच्यते। । सग्रुण वर्णभेदेन निर्गुणं केवलं सतम्। समन्त्रं सग्रुणं विद्धि निर्गुण मन्त्रविज्ञतम् ॥ स्कन्द, काशी॰ 41 120. One verse from चुसिंहपुराण is repeated even now in worship viz ध्येयः सदा सवित्रमण्डलमध्यवर्ती नारायणः सरसिजासन-संनिविष्टः । केयूरवान् मकरकुण्डलवान् किरीटा हारी हिरण्मयवपुर्धृतशङ्खनः ॥ 26 17 g, by फ़्रात्यकरूप॰ (मोक्ष p. 192), हृत्स्थस्य ध्यानयोगेन देवदेवस्य दर्शनम्। ध्यानं प्रोक्त प्रवक्ष्यामि ध्यानयोगमतः शुभम्॥ शृङ्करमृति VII. 16. This is followed by seven verses, two of which are अणोरणीयान and वेदाहमेत (VII. 20 and 23) that are the same as श्वेताश्व. उप III 20 and 8 respectively, and all the eight verses are q. by करवक्तरप (मोक्ष p. 193) The editor did not identify them from शृह्यः पहिर्द्धेखानि सर्वाणि कृत्वा चान्तर्गुखानि वै। मनसैवेन्द्रियद्यामं मनश्चात्मनि योजयेत्। सर्वभावविनिर्शक्त क्षेत्रज्ञं ब्रह्मणि न्यसेत्। एतञ्ज्ञान च ध्यान च शेषोन्यो ग्रन्थविस्तरः॥ दक्षस्यृति VII. 20-21. These and the following five verses are q. by कृत्यकल्प॰ (मोस॰ pp 207-208) which the editor did not identify. The verse सर्वभाव ... विस्तर, is q. by अपरार्क p. 970 (reads एतट ध्यान च योगश्र).

gradually rise up from Prthvi and the other elements, mind. intellect, the soul, avyakta (unmanifested Spirit); if he be unable to do even this he should contemplate on the person that is like a lamp in his own heart (lotus); if this is impossible, he should contemplate upon the form of Vasudeva having on his chest vanamala, having four arms, holding the conch. the quoit, mace and lotus: and adds that he secures whatever he contemplates upon and that this is the secret of dhyana. This shows that dhyāna is either sagung or nirgung as stated by the Padmaourāna. IV. 84. 80-86 (nirguna) and IV. 84. 88-96 (saguna) or sūkāra and mrākāra (Padmapurāna, II, 80 70, 77-78). The Visnumurāna (VI. 7. 78-90) contains a passage similar to the Visnu Dh. S. Skanda (Kāšīkhanda, chap. 41 19) speaks of dhyāna as saguna. and nirguna and defines saguna as depending on different forms or as accompanied by repetition of mantras and nirguna as 'not depending on any particular form or as not accompanied by mantras'. The Narasimhapurana (17. 11-28 and 26. 17) describes at some length the form in which Narayana or Visnu is to be contemplated upon and these verses are quoted by the Krtyakalpataru (Moksa, pp 191-192). The Sankha-smrti states that one has realization (darsana) of the Lord of gods that abides in the heart (of every person) by means of dhyanavoga and describes the mode of dhyana. Daksasmrti also emphasizes dhyāna and māna (correct knowledge) and states that description of others serves no purpose beyond adding to the bulk of the work. In this stage there is only the duality of subject and object, there is no awareness of effort to tie down the mind on an object (as in mere dhāranā).

Samādhi (concentration and absorption)—Dhyāna itself is Samādhi, when only the contemplated object shines forth and when dhyāna is as if emptied of its own form because there is no apprehension of dhyāna as distinct from dhyeya. 2383 When

^{2383.} तदेवार्धमात्रनिर्भासं स्वस्पश्च्यिति समाधि: । त्रयमेकत्र संयमः । तद्यपि चिहरह्वं निर्धीकत्य । यो स्. III. 3, 4, 8 The भाष्य on these three is: ध्यानमेव ध्येयाकार-निर्भासं प्रत्यवात्मकेन स्वस्पेण श्च्यिति व्या भवति ध्येयसभावावेशात् तदा समाधिरद्वाच्यते ॥ तदेवद् धारणा-ध्यान-समाधि-त्रयमेकत्र संयमः । एकविषयाणि त्रीणि साधनानि संयम इत्युच्यते । तद्य त्रवस्य तान्त्रिक्षा परिभाषा संयम इति ॥ तद्ययनत्यः साधनत्रयं निर्वीकत्य योगस्य धिर्द्धं भवति । कामावि । तद्यप्यनत्यः साधनत्रयं निर्वीकत्य योगस्य व्यवस्य तान्त्रिक्षेत्र त्रवस्य तान्त्रिक्षेत्र स्वाचनत्यः समाधि । तद्यप्याधित्रवे विक्षेपान् परिद्धस्य मनो यत्र समाधि । The योगस्यकार्षे p. 118 (Kashi series, 1930) brings ont the distinction between संप्रज्ञात वार्यस्त्रात समाधि as follows: व्यक्षाकारमनोग्चित्रमवाद्येश्चर्ति विना । संप्रज्ञातः समाधि ।

meditation is carried to a stage where the object contemplated upon alone appears and the meditator is not conscious of the idea of contemplation, because the object meditated upon entirely ongulfs the meditator. The yogin becomes so much identified with the object meditated upon that he is not conscious that he is contemplating upon an object. This is what is meant by 'svarūvašūnyam-iva' (in Y. S. III. 3). In Samādhi the subject and object, the individual and Paramatman are completely blended into one, so that the consciousness of the subject as separate from object disappears. The word 'Samādhi' does not occur in any of the ancient Upanisads, though it is known to the Maitrayani Up. (vide n. 2327 above). The word Samadhi occurs in the Bhagavadgita (II. 53-54) and in Vanaparva (3 11) and in Santiparva 195, 19-20, Ch. ed.). The Visnunurana²³⁸¹ provides that it is called Samadhi when the mind grasps as a result of dhyana the real nature of that (the Paramatman) in which there is absence of the separate apprehension (of the object to be meditated upon, the act of meditation and the meditator). The three (dhāranā, dhvāna and samādhi) are direct aids in samprajñāta-samādhi but they are indirect aids in asamprajūātasamādhi, as this last may follow without these. The Hathayoga-pradipikā 2385 states 'that is called Samādhi where there is equality and oneness of the individual self and the Highest Self and where all desires cease to exist'. Sabira and narbīja samādhis would be equal to savikalpa and nirvikalpa samādhi defined by the Vedāntasāra. There are several grades or stages in samādhi. There are four grades 2386 of Samprajūāta

(Continued from last page)

स्थाद्रध्यानाभ्यासप्रक्रपंतः । द्वति । ... परवेराग्यपूर्वकनिरोधमयरनेन तस्यापि निरोधे सर्ववृत्तिः निरोधाजिनीज रामाधिर्भवति । तदुक्तम् । मनसा वृत्तिश्च्यस्य मह्माकारतया स्थितिः । याऽसमज्ञा-तनामासी समाधिरभिधीयते । द्वत्येप विभागो द्वष्टस्यः । योगसुधाकर ०१ सदाज्ञिनेन्द्रसरस्वती ०० यो स् ।।।. 3.

^{2384.} तस्येव कल्पनादीनं स्वस्त्यग्रहणं हि यत्। मनसा ध्याननिन्वायं समाधिः सीऽभिधीयते ॥ विष्णुपु VI 7 92 q. by वान्तस्यति, कृत्यकल्प॰ (मोक्ष p 175), अवरार्वे p. 1026 (who explains तस्य प्रह्मणः कल्पनाहीनं ध्येयं ध्यानं ध्यातेति भेदमस्ययरिते etc.). The लिङ्गपु I 8 44 is 'चिद्मासमर्थमाञ्चस्य देएशूस्यनिद स्थितम्। समाधिः सनिद्धतस्य प्राणायाम इति स्थित॥

^{2385.} तत्समं च द्वयोरैक्यं जीवात्मपरमात्मनोः । प्रनष्टसर्वसकरूपः समाधिः सोऽभिधीयते॥ त् यो प्र IV. 7. This is practically the same as स्कन्द, काझीः 47. 127 यतः मत्वं द्वयोक्ष ... भिधीयते॥

samādhi, viz. savitarka, nirvitarka, savicāra, nirvicāra p. 1411 note 2318 above. The word gauh. the object cow denoted by it and the concept or idea (juana) 'this is a cow' are all really three separate matters, but they are apprehended as mixed up. When a yogin concentrates upon a gross object and his intellect is conscious of that object as permeated by all the above three, then that samadhi is savitarks (Y.S.I 42). For the others vide note 2318 and below. In the asampraiñāta reality dawns on the Samadhi. the ultimate prakrti does not affect him in any way, his soul which abides in itself and is not conscious of personality and even of joy is all cit or citiakti and nothing else. In this section about the influence of yoga on Dharmaśāstra it is not necessary to go deeply into the several stages of samadhi described in the voga works. The Goraksasataka 2357 describes the final stage of samādhi as follows: 'A yogin in Samādhi does not apprehend smell, flavour, form, touch or sound nor does he apprehend himself or others (as distinct); knowers of brahma hold it to be pure, immovable, eternal, not engaged in activities, free from the gunas, expansive like the sky, as intelligence and bliss: the knower of yoga reaches non-duality in the highest stage as milk poured in milk, ghee into ghee or fire into fire.'

It would have been noted that in dharānā, dhyāna and samādhi the principal emphasis is mental. External conditions do help in the training but they are subsidiary. As noted above (pp. 1421-22) cleanliness, contentment, austerities (tapas), sexual purity, certain easy postures and living in detachment, restrictions as to quantity and quality of food—these are the chief physical or external conditions While the yogin practises the above three he may develop certain super-normal powers which he is advised to ignore, as they are hindrances in the attainment of his goal (Yogasūtra III. 36). Though this is the opinion of Pataūjali, from the fact that with most yogins the siddhis are an important part of yoga doctrines and the fact that, out of 195 sūtras of the Yogasūtra, 35 (III. 16-50) are devoted

^{2387.} न गर्ध न स्तं न स्तं न स्त्रं न स्त्र्य न च नि स्वनम् । आत्मानं न परं वेत्ति योगी सुक्त समाधिना ॥ निर्मल निश्चलं निर्द्धं निर्द्धः निर्दं निर्द्धः निर्देषः नि

to the description of siddhis, the present author is constrained to say that siddhis are an integral part of Yoga. The Varkhānasa-smārtasūtra says that the yogin can vanish from people, can see distant objects and hear in spite of great distance.

It is unnecessary to dwell upon the results of all samyamas mentioned in Y.S. pada three. A few are cited by way of sample. By samyama on the power of an elephant one secures the strength of an elephant (III. 24), by samyama on the sun there arises the knowledge of the seven worlds (IIL 26) and by samyama on the moon, there arises knowledge of the arrangement of the stars (III, 27), by samyama on the navel cakra arises knowledge of the arrangement of the body (III. 29, viz. the three dosas, vata, pitta, kapka and the seven dhatus viz, skin, blood, flesh, sinews, bones, marrow and semen). By samyama on the coarse 2358 forms, the essential attributes (svarupa), the subtle form (tanmatras), the inherence (anvaya) and the purposiveness of the five elements results the conquest (or mastery over) elements and from this results the appearance of the perfections called anima and others, perfection of body and nonobstruction (of the actions of the yogin by the qualities of the elements i. e the earth cannot prevent the yogin by its hardness from penetrating inside the earth's rocks nor can fire burn him In IV. 1 Pataniali states that supernormal powers (siddhis) arise in five ways viz. by birth in certain 2389 bodies

^{2388.} स्थूलसरूपस्त्मान्यार्थवत्त्वसंयमान्न्रतत्त्वायः। ततोऽणिमादिमादुर्भाव. कायसम्पत्तद्वर्मानिभवातश्च। रूपलावण्यवत्वव्वस्तरंगिनात्वाति कायसम्पत्। योगस्व III. 44-46 स्वस्प consists of nature or attributes of the five elements and is explained as solidness (or hardness) of the earth, liquidity of water, heat of fire, mobility of the wind, all pervading nature of ākēsā The 4th rūpa of the elements viz anvaya is the attributes of khyāti (cognition), activity and inertia. साध्य explains: अन्वियो सुणा प्रकाशम्बितिशितिस्पत्वया सर्मविवान्यियत्वे समुपलम्बन्ते Vide यो स् II 18 प्रकाशक्तियारियतिशील भूतेन्द्रियातम् भोगापवर्गार्थ स्वयम्। The three प्रका .. स्थिति stand for gunas सन्त, तमस् respectively. Vide above p. 1357 note 2215 (सर्च लेंबु etc.) The fifth 'arihavatva' consists in the five elements serving the purpose of affording experience and liberation to the soul. वज्यस्वम्नत्व having a body as hard as diamond वज्यस्य हम सहनर्न संहिति अस्य तस्य भाव. वज्यसंहननत्वम् The भाष्य explains तद्धमानिभिचातश्च as पृथ्वी मृत्यां न निकणस्ति योगिन. शरीराविद्यित्वा, शिलामप्यञ्चिवशतिति। भाष, रिनग्धाः क्रेडयन्ति। नाशिक्षणो दहति etc

^{2389.} जन्मीवधिमन्त्रतपःसमाधिजाः सिद्ध्य । यो. स् IV 1 Ernest Wood on 'Yoga' (1959, in Penguin Books) observes on p. 104 about levitation (laghima) 'I remember one occasion when an old jogin was levitated in a recumbent position about six feet above ground in an open field for about (Continued on next page)

(e.g. being born as a bird which can fly high in the sky), by the use of certain drugs, by the *japa* of certain mantras, by *tapas* (which is one of the niyamas) and by samādhi, each succeeding one being superior to each preceding one.

The siddhis have been enumerated and explained above on pp 1112-13. The Devaladharmasūtra has a long note on siddhis, which is quoted in Kalpataru (Moksakānda pp. 216-217). ²³⁹⁰ The Yājāavalkya smrti (III. 202-203) enumerates some characteristic signs of Yogasiddhi, viz. becoming invisible to others, remembrance of incidents in past lives, charming appearance, ability to see past and future happenings or objects that are distant, knowing what is being said at a great distance, entering another body after leaving one's own body, creation of things at one's will (without possessing the appropriate materials).

A great deal has been said above on mantras under Tantra (pp. 1096-1107 and notes thereto). There are two theories about mantras; one is the vibration theory, viz. that the letters of the mantra are charged with certain powers by the original composer and user of it and when the mantra is repeated certain imperceptible vibrations are set up which help in securing the purpose for which the mantra is repeated. The other theory is that the mantra comes down from antiquity and from

(Continued from last page)

half an hour, while the visitors were permitted to pass sticks to and fro between'. He further refers to levitation which a princess of Sikkim asserted she saw as a girl, A. Koestler in 'The Lotus and the Robot' (London, 1960, on p. 114) doubts the quality of the evidence of E Wood on the ground that no exact date or place is mentioned and asserts that no experiment on levitation with definite date and place has been published. But Dr Alexander Cannon in 'The invisible influence' (1935) pp 39-41 narrated a personal experience of lavitation (laghima). It is not clear whether A, Koestler was aware of this statement

^{2390.} A short extract from the long prose passage of Devala-dharmasūtra may be set out here. तत्र देवलः । अणिमा महिमा लिघमा माति माताम्पर्मातित्वं
विक्षात्तं यत्रकामावसायित्व वाद्यवैश्वर्यग्रणः । तेपामणिमा महिमा लिघमा माति माताम्पर्मातित्वं
द्वयः पश्चेत्द्वया । ... शरीराष्ट्रमामित्वं लिघमा । तेनातिद्वरस्थानिय सण्नेमात्तव्यति । विश्वविषयावाप्ति माति । पाष्ट्या सर्वमयसद्वर्धी भवति । ... अमतिद्वतैश्वर्यमीशित्वम् । ईशित्वेन देवतागाप्त्रीतेते । ... यत्रकामावसायित्वं जिविधम्-द्यायविष्ठा , अवस्थानावेद्यः, अङ्गमवेद्य द्वति ।
यत् परस्य अञ्चमवेद्यमाचेण विन्तं वद्यीक्ररीति सं द्यायविद्या । यद्वरस्थानामि अनुस्थानेन विन्ताः
धिद्यानं सीऽवस्यानावेद्य । यस्त्रजीवस्योभिस्ते (१) जीवस्य वा शरीराद्यमवेद्यां सोऽङ्गमवेद्याः ।
अन्तर्यार्थं स्मृतिः कान्तिदृष्टि श्रीवञ्चात्वाया । तिनं शरीराद्यस्यप्य परकायायवेद्यानम् । अर्थानां
द्यन्ततः सृद्धियागितिस्त्रेश्च लक्षणस्य । या 111. 202-203

a great sage and its power of suggestion is great. But the real effective power of a mantra seems to the present author to depend far more on the knowledge, the responsiveness and the high spiritual level which the person who repeats it brings to bear on its use. No scientific tests have been employed and different works emphasize with exaggeration one of the two theories about mantres. All is speculation. The present author believes that the 2nd theory has greater elements of trust-worthiness than the first, as it relies on human psychology, while the first degenerated so much that the famous mantra 'om mani padme hūm' (dedicated to Avalokitesvara) written on some material and pasted on a wheel which was turned round and round hundreds of times was supposed to yield great results. The 2nd theory led to the importance of quru and diksā (initiation) and here also extravagant claims were made. This latter theory, however, required that the disciple should possess certain qualifications such as implicit faith in the guru. respect for matters spiritual, some knowledge of the tenets and the basic scriptures and readiness to devote time and energy to the study and practice of the teachings of the guru. Vide Siva-samhlta (III. 10-19) for the relation between guru and disciple.

The fourth pāda deals with Kairalya. The yogin who has gone through the whole discipline up to samādhi and has become thoroughly aware of the distinction between Purusa and the gunas (sattra and others), becomes free from the influence of the three gunas, because they merge in Pradhāna after having served the purpose of the soul, that is Kairalya (isolation) or it (Kairalya) may be stated to be the principle of consciousness that abides by itself (and does not become connected with even sattra-guna). 2391 This has also been alluded to in Y. S. II. 25 that states that when andyū (nescience) is removed by discrimination the self (who is the perceiver) does not come into contact with the gunas, which state is Kairalya. 2392 Kairalya

²³⁹¹ पुरुपार्थज्ञून्याना गुणानां प्रतिपसन' क्षेत्रतयं स्वरूपमितद्या वा चितिशक्तिरिति। यो. सु IV 34. भाष्य 'कृतभोगापवर्गाणा पुरुपार्थज्ञून्यानां यः मितमसव कार्यकारणासम्भानां गुणानां तत्केत्रत्यम् स्वरूपमितद्या पुनर्द्धिस्तानाभिसम्बन्धारपुरुपस्य चितिशक्तिरिव पेत्रस्ता, तर्गाः सदा तथैनानस्थान क्षेत्रत्यमिति । वाचस्पति explains मितमसवः वश स्वस्तर्यो प्रधाने हत्यः

²³⁹² तस्य हेतुरिन्द्या । तद्दभागत्सयोगाभागो हानं तत्तृहको कैवल्यम् । यो. स् II. 24-25; । तस्यादक्षेनस्याभागाद् छिद्धिष्ठचर्सयोगाभाव आस्यन्तिको षःधनोपरम द्वर्त्यर्थ । एतद्धानम् । तद्द्र्ष्टको एकपस्यामिश्रीभागः पुनरसयोगो ग्रुणीरित्यर्थः । द्वःराकारणानिद्वती द्व ग्रो- एतद्धानम् । तद्द्र्ष्टको एकपस्यामिश्रीभागः पुनरसयोगो ग्रुणीरित्यर्थः । द्वःराकारणानिद्वती द्व ग्रो- एर्काविता । राम्याविद्वः एकप्याविद्वः एकप्याविद्वः एकप्याविद्वः प्रमुक्तिम् । भाष्यः कैनल्य meana (एकाविता । remaining alone by itself

is put forward in IV. 34 from two points of view. purpsa ceases to be affected in any way by the gunas (that constitute prakrti) because he has become entirely passionless, prakrti becomes aloof (kevala) so far as that purusa is concerned. When purusa has thorough knowledge and ceases to be affected by gunas he remains as bare consciousness (citisakti) and remains aloof (kevala) and that is the 2nd point of view about In that state of kaivalya or moksa we cannot predicate any happiness or bliss about him but we can only say about him that he is in a state of bare consciousness (citisakti). The Upanisads declare 2393 that in such a state the liberated soul has neither joy nor sorrow, that happiness or its opposite does not touch such an one. since he has risen above identifying the body with himself. The ideal of Yoga is to be jivan-mukta (i e. to reject Life and Personality, to die to this world though the body may persist for some time).

The eight angas of Yoga are described in more or less detail in several Purānas. Vide Agni, chap. 214-215 and 372-76, Bhāgavata III. 28, Kūrma II. 11, Narasimha 61 (verses 3-13 of which are quoted by Kalpataru, moksakānda pp. 194-195), Matsya chap. 52, Mārkandeya (chap. 36-40 of Venk. edition, 39-43 of Cal. ed.) about 250 verses, many of which are quoted by Krtyakalpataru (on Moksa), Aparārka and others; Linga I. 8, Vāyu, chap. 10-15, Visnu VI. 7 (which closely follows the Y. 8, in thought and phraseology), Visnudharmottara III. 280-284, Skanda (Kāšīkhanda, chap. 41).

Geraldine Coster in 'Yoga and Western Psychology' (Oxford Uni. Press, 1934) observes 'I am convinced that the ideas on which Yoga is based are universally true for mankind and that we have in the Yoga-sūtras a body of material which we could investigate and use with infinite advantage (p. 244)... My plea is then that Yoga as followed in the East is a practical method of mind development, quite as practical as analytical therapy and far more practical and closely related to real life than the average university course. I am convinced that the Yogasūtras of Pataūjali do really contain the information ²³⁷³ that some of the most advanced psychotherapists of the present day are ardently seeking '(p. 245).

^{2393.} अहारीरं वाव सन्तं न मियाप्रिये स्पृष्टतः। छा उप VIII 12 1; अध्यातमयोगा-धिगमेन देवं मत्वा धीरो एर्पशोकी जदाति। कठ II. 12. वे सू IV. 4. 2 (मृक्ता. मितज्ञानात्) is based on छा. उप VIII. 12 1.

The last chapter in Dr. Behanan's book on 'Yoga, a scientific evaluation' is interesting and important. He makes an appraisal of several aspects of Yoga by means of experiments which he carried out on himself after spending one year under the guidance of Swami Kuvalayanand of Lonavla and after he had three years of experience in Yogic breathing. It is not possible for reasons of space to give here a summary of his appraisal of several aspects of Yoga but reference may be made to a few of his findings. He finds that by vogic practices the mind is turned inward and detached from the external world (p. 232), it seems to him very probable that the pranayamic breathing induces a state of relaxation, thereby inducing the mind to take an inward course (p. 234), that taking normal breathing as a basis of comparison it was found that oxygen consumption increased 24. 5 per cent in Unayi. 18. 5 per cent in bhashika and 12 per cent in Kapālabhāti; that the nasal gaze in a meditative posture is an aid to checking the wandering propensities of the mind (p. 242), that Yogic practices lead to an emotional stability and that watching at close range for a year the daily lives of more than half a dozen practitioners of yoga Dr. Behanan concluded that they were the happiest personalities that he had known and that their serenity was contagious (p. 245).

Dr. P. A. Sorokin of the Harvard University, one of the greatest living sociologists, contributes a very valuable paper on 'Yoga and man's transfiguration' to the Bhāratiya Vidyā Bhavan's Journal for November 1958 (pp. 111-120), the very first sentence of which opens with the words 'The methods and techniques of the yoga, particularly those of the Rājayoga, contain in themselves nearly all the sound techniques of modern psycho-analysis, psychotherapy, psycho-drama, moral education, and education of character.'

That a person engaged in Yoga practices is succeeding in reaching higher and higher spiritual levels is shown by the appearance of certain traits in himself. The Śv. Up. states that the first favourable signs of the working of Yoga are: lightness or agility of body, health, freedom from desires, brightness of complexion, fineness of voice, pleasant bodily odour, passing only a small quantity of urine or excreta. Almost the same verse occurs in the Vāyu 2394 and the Mārkandeya Purānas and

^{2394.} लघुत्वमारोग्यमलोलुपत्वं वर्णप्रसादः स्वरसीष्टवं च । गन्धः घुमो मूलप्रीवमर्त्यं योगमवृत्तिं प्रथमा वदन्ति ॥ खेता. उप II. II , बासु II. 63 reads सन्त्वं तथारोग्य • • (Continued on next page)

Mārkandeya further says 'people come' to like the yogin and sing praises of his qualities behind his back and all animals are not afraid of him; he is not affected by severe cold or heat and is not afraid of others; these show that s_iddh_i in Yoga is approaching' The Vāyupurāna adds 'if the practitioner of Yoga sees the earth or his body as if on fire and if he sees himself entering the elements (or all beings) he should understand that success in Yoga is near.' 7395

The Markandeva-purana (chap. 38 verse 26) and the Visnupurana II. 13 describe at some length yogicarya (i. e. behaviour or conduct of a vogin). It is not possible to set out all that is said in these chapters but two striking verses are rendered here. The Mārkandeya 23% says 'honour and disrespect cause pleasure and distress to man (in general), but these two have the reverse effect and serve to produce perfection in the yogin; these two are called poison and nectar respectively; disrespect is nectar for the yogin and honour is terrible poison.' The Visnupurana emphasizes that a yogin should so act that people will disrespect him and would not seek his company. The Manusmrti (in VI. 38-85) enlarges upon the duties of sannyasins, many of which are applicable to yogins. In VI 65 Manu calls upon the sannyasin to ponder by means of Yoga over the subtleness of the Highest Self and in VI. 73 Manu advises the ascetic to practise dhyanayoga. The Yajñavalkyasmrti also (in III. 56-67) prescribes the duties of sannyasins, one of which (in verse 64) is the same as Manu VI. 73.

The Śźntiparva provides ²³⁹⁷ that a man is said to be a yogin by those who know the procedure and ordinances of Yoga,

⁽Continued from last page)

वर्णप्रभा सुस्वरसीम्यता च गन्ध .. योगमञ्जिः मथमा झरीरे ॥ The मार्कण्डेय reads अलीहय-मारोग्यमानिष्ठुरत्वं गन्धः ... त्यम् । सान्ति- प्रसादः स्वरसीम्यता च योगमञ्जसे. प्रथमं हि चिद्धम् ॥ 36 63 (= 39 63 ın Cal. ed.) This and two more verses of Mārkandeya (36 64-65) are q by कुरयकत्य- (on मोक्ष) p. 211.

^{2395.} आतमानं पृथिती चैव ज्वलन्तीं यदि पद्दपति। भूतान्याविद्यते चैव विद्यास्मिद्धिन मुपरिधताम् ॥ वायु 11 64 q. by कुरयकल्प॰ (on मोक्ष) p. 211

²³⁹⁶ मानापमानी यानेती भीरपुट्टेगकरी दृष्णाम्। तावेव विपरीतार्थी योगिनः सिद्धि-कारकी ॥ मानापमानी यावेती तावेबाहुर्विषामृते । अपमानोऽमृतं तत्र मानस्तु विपर्म विषय् ॥ मार्के 35.2-3; compare विष्णुपुराण II 13. 42-43 संमानना परा दानि योगर्द्धे कुफते quoted in note 2284 above

^{2397.} श्विरीकुरवेन्द्रियममं मनसा मिथिलेश्वर । मनी ब्रद्धचा स्थिरं फुला पापाण इव निश्चल ॥ स्थाणुनच्चाध्यकम्य स्थान्निरिवच्चापि निश्चलः । ब्रद्धचा विधिविधानज्ञास्तदा युक्त (Continued on next page)

when he curbs the senses by his mind and makes the mind itself firm by his intellect and he becomes unmoved (by objects of sense) as if he were a stone, is unshakable like a tree-trunk, strong and motionless like a mountain. The wise call a man yukta (a yogin), when he neither hears, nor smells fragrance, nor tastes nor sees, nor touches and when his mind does not form (ever changing) ideas, when he does not regard anything as his own, when he does not cognise (external objects) as if he were a log of wood and when he can be said to have recovered the original real nature (of the Self). The Devaladharmasūtra provides that all beings come under bondage owing to ahankūra (egoism that one will do this or that) and mamatva (regarding transient things as owned by oneself) but he who is free from these becomes liberated.²³⁹⁸

Through centuries Sannyāsins and Yogins have been paid the highest honours in India. Special emphasis is laid on inviting a Yogin at a śrāddha and it is said by some authorities that a single yogin is equal to hundreds and thousands of brāhmanas. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. IV. pp. 388, 398-399 for original authorities. In cases of doubt as to what the proper conduct or Dharma is in a set of circumstances. the matter was left to be decided by a parisad (an assembly) of ten learned brahmanas or at least three, but even one may constitute a parisad, provided he is learned in Veda and knows Dharma (vide Manu XII, 108-113). But Yāj. I, 9 and others say that four persons knowing the Veda and Dharmasastra or three of the same type or even one who is the best among knowers of spiritual matters (ascetics) may constitute a parisad and what he declares would be the right course of conduct. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. 969 for texts on this point. The Bhagavad-gita says 'the yogin (who is a real karmayogin surrendering the

⁽Continued from last page)

प्रचलते। न श्रुणोति न चाधाति न रस्यति न पद्यति॥ न च न्पर्ध विज्ञानाति न मुद्भूत्ययते मनः॥ न चाभिमन्यते तिथ्वित्र चुरुषाते च काष्ट्रात्। तदा प्रकृतिमापत्र युक्तमाहुमनीविण ॥ शान्तिपर्य। (cr ed. 294. 14-17 = 306. 14-17 Ch. ed.) q. by क्रत्यकत्यत्य (on माझ pp. 187-188). The editor did not identify this passage. The माल्यकानक प्. above in n. 2387 appears to be based on this passage of the शान्तिपर्य

²³⁹⁸ उर्द नमेति यम्बाम्यमारमनीऽर्वेषु मन्यते । अजानंम्यटिनरथः ममरामिति तिष्ठि ॥ अवस्थितियभिमानन यः क्रियासु प्रश्नते । कार्यज्ञारणसुक्तासु नदहः द्वारत्यास्य । अवस्थार ममत्यभिमानन यः क्रियासु प्रश्नते । कार्यज्ञारमात्रात्या प्रश्नम्य (सक्तासुर्व) गुरुपते ॥ देवल समत्यास्य प्रश्नम्य (सक्तासुर्व) गुरुपते ॥ देवल स्थलिक प्रश्नम्य (सक्तासुर्व) गुरुपते ॥ देवल स्थलिक स्यलिक स्थलिक स्यलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थलिक स्थल

fruits of his actions to God) is superior to persons practising tapas (such as fasts or following Hathayoga) and superior even to those who have mastered philosophical knowledge (like that of Sānkhya) and he is superior to those who are performers of Vedic rites (leading to starga); therefore, O Arjuna! be a yogin, that does acts (because it is his duty to do so and who does not hanker afer the fruits of those actions).

Manu (XII. 83) states 'study of the Veda, tapas, true knowledge (about brahma), restraint of the sense organs, ahrinsā, attending on one's guru-these are the highest means of niḥśreyasa (i.e. moksa). Then verse 85 proceeds 'of all these six means true knowledge of the Self is the highest, it is at the head of all vidyās (lores), since immortality (moksa) is obtained by means of it.

The highest value is attached to Yoga ²³⁹⁹ as an integral part of Vedānta by the Yājñavalkyasmrti when it states that realization of the Self by means of Yoga is the highest Dharma. Then the same Smrti provides ²⁴⁰⁰ 'study of the Veda, performance of sacrifices, brahmacarya (sexual purity), tapas and dama (restraint of senses), faith, fasting and freedom from attachment to worldly objects; these are the causes of securing knowledge of the Ātman.' It should be noticed that some of these fall under yama, myama and mayāhāna. The Daksasmrti avers 'even the country, where a yogin, who has profound knowledge of yoga and who is given to dhyāna, becomes holy; what need is there to say about his relatives (i. e. they will certainly be pure). ²⁴⁰¹

The Yogasūtras are difficult and do not give complete explanations of the several stages of Yogic practices. They are in the nature of brief notes or hints that are calculated to rouse the curiosity of the hearer or reader and induce him to go to a competent teacher and learn Yoga practices. A few examples may be cited Y S. II 50 refers to three kinds of Prāṇāyāmas, and II, 51 mentions a fourth (bāhyābhyantaravisayāksepī caturthah). There is no further explanation of this 4th variety. In IV. 1 Pataṇạli lumps together siddhis arising from janma, osadhi, mantra, tapas and samādhi There is a vast difference

^{2399.} अय तु परमो धर्मो यह योगेनात्मदर्शनम् । याज्ञ I. 8.

^{2400.} वेदातुरचनं यज्ञो ब्रह्मचर्ये तपो दम । अद्धीपवासः स्वातन्त्र्यमात्मनो ज्ञानहेतव ॥ याज्ञ III 193, compare बृद उप. IV. 4 22 q. ın note 1464, p. 918 above

^{2401.} यसिन्देशे वसेपोनी ध्यापी योगित्रचक्षण. । सोऽपि देशो भवेरपूतः कि पुनस्तरप

between a siddhi due to a drug and siddhis due to samādhi Pataniali savs that Om is the symbol of Isvara and that renetition thereof and reflection on its meaning is a means of attaining ekāmatā, but there is no explanation why Om is expressive of Isvara nor is any reference made to the Upanisads &c. about the importance of Om or how iapa is to be performed. This is probably due to the agelong tradition that spiritual knowledge should be kept secret, should not be taught to all and sundry. but only to a disciple possessing certain qualifications. Already on pp. 1071-72 notes 1733, 1734 it has been shown from a few Upanisad quotations how esoteric knowledge was imparted only by a guru to a disciple In the dialogue of Yājñayalkya and Artabhäga (Br. Up. III 2. 13), when the latter asked after saving 'after a man's death his speech enters fire, his breath enters into wind, his eye into the sun, his body into the earth' 'where does then the man remain?' Yajnavalkya replied take my hand, on this matter we two alone must come to some understanding, but not here in the midst of company.' Then the two went aside and conferred with one another. That shows that the knowledge about what happens after death was not held to be a matter proper for being discussed in an assembly of people. The Chandogya Up. (III. 11.5) states 'therefore a father may tell that doctrine of Brahma to his eldest son or to a worthy pupil but not to anyone else even if the latter gave him this earth girt by waters (seas) and full of wealth, for this doctrine is worth more than that'. The Br. Up. VI. 3, 12 provides 'one should not speak of it (brahma doctrine) to one who is not his son or pupil'; vide Śv. Up. VI. 22, Maitrāyanī Up. VI. 29 for similar The Santiparva in a chapter dealing with spiritual knowledge provides that it should be imparted to one's dear son and an obedient disciple, but not to one whose mind is not quiescent nor controlled, nor one who is pealous or crooked or a backbiter or a logic-chopper.²⁴⁰² H. Y. P. states 'a Yogin desirous of attaining siddhi should keep the knowledge of Hatha as highly secret; it becomes potent if kept secret, but becomes impotent if exposed to all; one should practise yoga alone in the way expounded by the guru'. 2403 This applied in ancient

^{2402.} तिहिंदं नामज्ञान्ताय नादान्तायातपस्तिने। नास्यकायान्त्रजे न चानिर्दिएकारिणे। न सर्वज्ञास्त्रद्रग्धाय तथैव पिद्युनाय च। ज्ञान्ति॰ 246 16-18 (Ch ed) The words अस्यकायान्द्रजेवे seem to be an echo of the verse quoted in the निरुक्त II. 4 विद्या ह वै ... अस्कायान्द्रजेने &c.

^{2403.} हरूविद्या पर गोज्या योगिना सिद्धिमिच्छता। भवेद्विर्यवती ग्रसा निर्वीर्या त प्रकाशिता॥ गुरूपविद्यमार्गेण योगमेव समम्यसेत्। ह. यो. प्र. I 11 and 16,

times not only to esoteric knowledge but to other scholastic studies. The Nirukta (IL 3) says that it (Nirukta) should not be taught to one who does not know grammar, nor to one who does not approach (the guru) for knowledge or who is not aware of the importance of the śāstra, since an ignorant person always bears ill-will to knowledge; and the Nirukta quotes (II. 4) four verses (called Vidyāsūkta) on this matter. 2401 In the Bhagayadgītā Krsna frequently emphasizes that the knowledge of Bhaktiyoga is a sovereign secret (IX. 2), in XVII, 63 the knowledge conveyed to Ariuna is stated to be more secret than all secrets and in XVIII. 64-65 Krsna asks Aruna to listen to the most secret words of his viz. 'fix they mind on Me, be my devotee. offer sacrifice to me, prostrate thyself before me; thou shalt come to me: I promise to thee truly as thou art dear to me'. This last is repeated from IX. 34. At the end of chap, XV it is said 'O blameless one! this most secret doctrine has been expounded by me to you'.

Whether the path of Yoga is desirable or feasible in its entirety cannot be debated here. But there have been great men in India for thousands of years who have trodden the path of Yoga that led to the desired goal of freedom of the self from avidyā and the bondage of birth and death by means of Yoga. Even as early as the Santiparva (chap. 289, cr. ed. verses 50 and 54), the path of yoga is said to be very difficult and that it is easy to stay on the sharp edges of razors, but that those whose souls are not purified would find it difficult and painful to continually practise Dhāranās. Kālidāsa so finely describes in the 8th canto of the Raghuvaméa (verses 16-24) how Yoga was practised by king Raghu. Kālidāsa refers (in VIII. 16) to apararga as the goal of the ascetic Raghu as contrasted with mahodaya (abhyudaya or bhoga), both words occurring in Y. S. II 18 (prakāśa bhogāpavargārtham drsyam), mentions dhāranā (in VIII. 18), pranidhāna practīce and consequent mastery over the five pranas (in Raghu VIII, 19, Y. S. II, 45 'samādhisiddhirīsvara-pranidhānāt), mastery over the three gunas that constitute prakrti (Raghu VII. 21, Y.S. III. 48 mentions pradhanajaya), Yogavidhi as a means of paramatmadaršana (Raghu VIII, 22, Yāj smrti I, 8 quoted in note 2399).

^{2404.} Vide the Gospel of Mark IV. 11 and 33-34 where Christ is said to have expounded all things to his twelve disciples, but only in parables to the multitude.

Rājayoga holds the supreme goal to be liberation from Nature (prakrti) or Māyā of the Advaita) and its attitude towards the world of senses and our empirical life is that of shunning these. Mukti means absorption into brahma for the Vedantist or Kaivalva (isolation of the Individual self from the bonds of birth and death and from prakrti according to pure Yoga). For the vast majority of men and women the path and final goal of Pātañjala Yoga or of the Advaita Vedanta are almost inaccessible and unattainable, as the GIta itrelf states (All. 5) "Those whose mind is set on the Unmanifested encounter greater difficulties (than those who worship a personal God), since the goal of the unmanifested is hard to reach by embodied beings'. The path of Karmayoga (performing good deeds and acts prescribed by Sastra without hankering for rewards) and Bhaklinga (wherein there is deep devotion to God and self-surrender) appear to be more suitable and practical for ordinary human beings. The Gitā in chap. XIII. (verses 13-17) contains one of the best descriptions of God as transcendent and immanent and verse 18 adds that the devotee of God who understands this reaches God.

Many readers familiar with Shri Aurobindo's as ama at Pondicherry and his voluminous writings might express surprise at the present author's non-mention (in what precedes) of Shri Aurobindo (who is called Mahayogi by his disciples and admirers) in this rection on Yoga and its influence on Dharmaśāstra. But the reasons are obvious. In the first place, Shri Aurobindo says hardly anything about Dharmasastra in relation to Yoga. In the 2nd place, Shri Aurobindo admits that he had 'no touch from a guru', that he got an inner touch and practised Yoga, that he got some help from Mr. Lele of Gwalior, that when he came to Pondicherry he got from within a programme for his sudhanu, that he could not make much progress as to the help to be given to others and that when the Mother (Mira Richard) came to the asrama in 1920 he found with her aid the method of helping others. In the next place, he departs from the teachings of a galaxy of Sanskrit writers on Yoga, viz. that a yogin must shun women (vide p. 1421 and note 2330), while his biographer Mr. Diwakar states that the Aurobindo Ashram was founded on 24th November 1926, that the Mother was entirely in charge of it from that day and that Shri Aurobindo thenceforward cut off all contacts except through the Mother (p. 257). In this, he strikes an entirely new line and appears to ordinary

men like the present author to have diverged far from the well trodden path of ancient Yoga and become like one described in the well-known words ('Murärestrtīyah panthāh'). Aurobindo is a mystic. 2405 the experiences of mystics are peculiar and their own, ordinary words and modes of speech fail to communicate them to those that cannot claim to have ever shared such experiences. Aurobindo became a recluse from November 1926 to his Mahāsamādhi on 5th December 1950 and gave daršana 2406 only on four days in the year viz. 15th August (his birth date), 24th November (day of victory for him), 21st February (the birth date of the Mother) and 24th April the day of the Mother's coming to the Ashrama (vide Mr. Diwakar's 'life of Mahayogi' p. 265). For forty years Aurobindo lived in Pondicherry. His Ashram became a centre of the gospel of integrated Yoga and a home for those who sought real life and light, a place of pilgrimage for men and women attracted by his teachings.

On 15th August 1947 when India attained Independence (which happened to be the date of Aurobindo's birth) he issued a long statement referring to the dreams of his youth, which he thought were arriving at fruition or were on their way to achievement. He stated 'the first of these dreams was a revolutionary movement which would create a free and united India. Another dream was the resurgence and liberation of the peoples of Asia and her return to her great role in the progress of human civilization. The third dream was a world union forming the outer basis of a fairer, brighter and nobler life for all mankind. A catastrophe may intervene and interrupt or destroy what is being done; but even then the final result is sure. For unifica-

^{2405.} It is difficult to give an accurate definition of 'mysticism' W. R. Inge in his work 'Christian Mysticism' publishes 26 definitions of the word in an Appendix. James H. Leuba defines it as follows: "Mysticism means for us any experience taken by the experiencer to be a contact (not through the senses, but immediate or intuitive) or union of the self with a larger than self, be it called the World Spirit, God, the Absolute or otherwise" ('Psychology of religious mysticism,' Kegan Paul, 1929). In a recent work 'Mysticism, sacred and profane' Prof. R. C. Zaehner (Oxford, 1957) says 'Mysticism is the realization of unity' (p. 144) and translates and examines Chān Up. VI. 9.1-4, Br. Up II. 3-6, III 7.1. ff. Bhagavadgitā II. 55-72 (pp 136-145) for that purpose.

^{2406 \}incent Sheean in his work 'Lead, kindly light' (Random House, New, York, 1949) pp 269-277 has a very illuminating and sympathetic note on what 'obtaining darshan' of such Great Men as Mahatma Gandhi and Shri Aurobindo means to the crowds that throng for it.

tion is a necessity of Nature, an inevitable movement. Another dream, the spiritual gift of India to the world, has already begun; India's spirituality is entering Europe and America in an ever-increasing measure. The final dream was a step in evolution which would raise man to a higher and larger consciousness and begin the solution of the problems which have perplexed and vexed him since he began to think and to dream of individual perfection and a perfect society. Here too, if the evolution is to take place, since it must proceed through a growth of the spirit and the inner consciousness, the initiative can come from India and, although the scope must be universal, the central movement may be here'.

These emotional and eloquent words are flattering to the pride of all Indian people, but it is possible that, bearing in mind that the whole of India was mostly under the heel of ruthless or exploiting conquerors for over seven hundred years from the 13th century A. D. (except for some parts and for brief periods as under the Vijayanagar Empire or under the Marathas for about 150 years and for about 50 years under Maharaja Ranjit Singh in Panjab) these proud assertions of Aurobindo are likely to bring derisive smiles on the faces of many non-Indian readers. It is for discerning Indian readers to judge whether any of the dreams of Shri Aurobindo (except the first about 2407 Independence) are on the way to achievement or realization, whether during the last fourteen years after Independence India has progressed in the way of spirituality among men in general, whether there is any likelihood of there being a union of peoples and nations in the near future or whether the world is on the brink of a precipice.

Shri Aurobindo had set his heart on the unity of the human race by an inner oneness and purpose and not by an external association of interests; for '24 years he completely withdrew from the outer world and gave darsana only on four days in the year. What efforts he made for the inner oneness of the human race except by his writings is not clear and nor does it appear that in the community of men and women that gathered under his leadership and influence at Pondicherry any one occupied

^{2407.} There has been no 'United India' after Independence. The country was partitioned and Pakistan came into being as a separate entity and has been a source of constant irritation to India, in spite of the policy of the appearement of Pakistan followed by Indian leaders throughout 'There is today hardly a Hindu or a Sikh to be found in West Pakistan says Mr. V. P. Menon in 'Transfer of Power in India' on p. 43

a pre-eminent position and energetically and fruitfully pursued the nath marked by the Master and carries on the work that the master dreamt of and left unattempted and unfinished. ages quoted from his letters by Shri Diwakar (on p. 258) clarify what visions he had 'there will be first a race representing the supermind as man represents the mind'. 'in what I am trying to do the spiritual realization is the first necessity, but it cannot be complete without an outer realisation also in life, in men in this world, spiritual consciousness within but also spiritual life without'. About his Sadhana he says 'I began my Yoga in My Sādhanā was not founded upon books but upon personal experiences that crowded on me from within.....It is a fact that I was hearing constantly the voice of Vivekananda speaking to me for a fortnight in the Jail' (p. 131 of Mr. Diwakar's book).

In a letter to his brother Barindra dated 7th April 1920 which is set out in full on pp 309-314 in the work 'Shri Aurobindo on himself and on the Mother' (1953), Aurobindo asserts 'the main defect in the old Yogas consisted in the fact that they knew mind and intelligence and the Atman: they used to be satisfied with spiritual experience on the mental level; but the mind is capable of only partial knowledge and it can comprehend only parts and not the whole. The mind can know the infinite and the total reality only through Samadhi, Moksha or Niryana and by no other means. Of course, some people do attain this kind of Moksha which may be said to be a blind alley. But then what is the use of it? But what the Bhagwan intends to do with man is to enable him to realize God in life, in the individual as well as in the collective society (to manifest God in life). The old systems of Yoga could not bring about a synthesis or unity between spirituality and life; they disposed of the world calling it Maya or the transitory play of the Lord. The result is vitality and vigour in life have been sapped and India has decayed'. In this passage Aurobindo tries to bring out the distinction between his integral Yoga and the Yoga of ancient and medieval Indians. There is hardly anything very new in his theory of Yoga. The Gita also says the same in 'ajnānenāvrtam' (Gitā V. 15), in 'utsīdeyur-ime lokā' (Gitā III 24-25, II 47, III 8, 19, IX 27, XVIII 45-46, all of which emphasize that disinterested work is worship of God). Aurobindo should have brought into being an organised body of workers after his own heart. The Patanjala Yoga does not employ the

word Māyā at all nor did it say that the world was a play of God. It was the Vedānta-sūtra II. 1. 33 (lokavat-tu lilākaivalyam) that said so by way of repelling an objection. In the Pātañjala yoga Īśvara has nothing to do with the creation of the world (vide above pp. 1402-3) but it speaks of àvidyā by which the individual self is enmeshed (Y. S. II. 3-5 and 24) and not God or the Supreme Self. Besides one is tempted to echo the question of Aurobindo himself 'What is the use of integral Yoga, mind, overmind and supermind?' Can anybody point out even half a dozen men and disciples of Aurobindo who have devoted all their energies to the regeneration of the country and of the human race on his lines? The position of the Ashram itself is rather vague; anomalous and undefined. But it is unnecessary to say in this work anything further about it. 2408

The writings of Shri Aurobindo are numerous voluminous. For a list of his writings along with a few other works, vide the Bibliography (pp. 267-269) given by Shri Diwakar at the end of his work 'Mahāyogi'. The present author has read only the following works of Shri Aurobindo 'Yoga and its objects' (1938, a brief work in which 'adhyātma Yoga' is said to be higher than Hathayoga and Raiayoga): 'The Mother' (1937), 'Essays on the Gita (5th ed. of 1949), 'The Synthesis of Yoga' (1948) which attempts to show that all three paths, viz. of knowledge (Jñānayoga), of Devotion (Bhaktiyoga) and of Divine works (Karmayoga) can be integrated; 'the problem of Rebirth' (published by the Aurobindo Ashram in 1952 after his passing away); 'Foundations of Indian culture' (essays brought together in one volume, revised by Shri Aurobindo), New York, 1953; Aurobindo's Magnum Opus is 'Life Divine' (originally in three volumes, now available in one of 1272 pages, published by the Aurobindo International University Centre, Pondicherry 1955). The present author has read only the first volume of this last work. Men of ordinary intelligence like the present writer find this philosophical work not easy to read, and are often at a loss to find out, in the flood of high sounding phrases used by Shri Aurobindo concerning the mind, overmind,

^{2408.} I have to acknowledge my obligations to two biographies of Sri Aurobindo, one by G. H. Langley (former Vice-Chancellor of Dacca University) published in 1949 and 'Mahāyogī' (on the life, Sādhanā and teachingā of Aurobindo) written by Shri R R Diwakar and published by the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan in Bombay, 1953. There is an interesting work published by the Aurobindo International University Centre at Pondicherry called 'Sri Aurobindo on himself and on the Mother ' (1953)

supermind, his precise meaning. Only one sentence is cited here for sample (from p. 345 of the big one volume edition): 'Overmind, intuition, even supermind not only must be principles inherent and involved in the Inconscience from which we arise in the evolution and inevitably destined to evolve, but are secretly present, occult actively with flashes of intuitive emergence in the cosmic activity of mind, life and matter.' The present author regards the book 'Foundations of Indian culture' as the best of the works of Shri Aurobindo which he has read. Prof. R. D. Ranade in his Lectures on 'Bhagayadgītā as a philosophy of God Realization' (Nagpur, 1959 pp 163-176) submits to examination Aurobindo's 'Essays on the Gîtā' and disagrees with him on some points. While these pages were passing through the press the present writer received a copy of 'Integral Philosophy of Aurobindo', a symposium edited by Dr. Haridas Chaudhuri and Dr. Frederic Spiegelberg (and published by Allen and Unwin, 1960), which contains 30 papers written by Indian and Western scholars on the Philosophy of Aurobindo. p. 32 defining the essence of integral Yoga and p. 44 distinguishes between 'mind' and 'supermind' (of Aurobindo's philosophy).

CHAPTER XXXIII

Tarka and Dharmasastra

The Yājāavalkyasmrti (I. 3) mentions Nyāya (logic)²⁴⁰⁹ as one of the fourteen vidyās and as one of the means of knowing what Dharma is. Nyāya is rendered as 'tarkavidyā' by the Mitāksarā on Yāj. and the Mit. states that the fourteen vidyās are the helu (means) of (knowing) dharma.

Both the Nyāyasūtra and the Vaisesikasūtra of Kanāda postulate that 'nihśreyasa' follows from the correct knowledge of the categories of the two darśanas, ²⁴¹⁰

One of the earliest occurrences of the word tarka is in the Kathopanisad ²⁴¹¹ where it is declared 'This knowledge (about the Self) cannot be obtained by (mere) ratiocination' and the previous verse states that the Self is subtler than the subtlest and not capable of being understood by mere inference or reasoning. The word 'mantavyah' in the passage ²⁴¹² 'Atmā vā are drastavyah śrotavyo mantavyo' (in Br. Up. II. 4.5 and IV. 5.6) is held by the objector (in bhāsya on V. S. I. 1.2) and also by Śankarācārya as referring to tarka on V. S. II. 1.4. Tarka is accepted as an anga of Yoga in the Maitrāyanī Up. (vide note 2327 above), which further quotes a passage stating that by the control of speech, mind and prāna one apprehends brahman

^{2409.} Some works read the verse as 'Purana-tarka-mimamsa', vide note 1337 for the verse.

^{2410.} अथाती धर्मे ज्याख्यास्यामः। यतोऽग्युद्यानिःश्रेयसासिद्धिः स धर्मः। द्रव्यगुण-कर्मसामान्यविशेषसम्बायाना पदार्धाना साधर्यवैधर्म्याम्या तत्त्वज्ञानं निःश्रेयसति । विशेषिकसूत्र I. 1 2 and 4, प्रमाणप्रमेयसज्ञयप्रयोजन-दृष्टान्त-सिद्धान्तावयवतर्कः-निर्णय-वाद्यज्ञानिवित्रण्डाहेत्वाभासञ्च्छलातिनिश्चह्यानाना तत्त्वज्ञानास्तिःश्रेयसाधिगमः। न्यायस्त्र I 1.1 The word नि.श्रेयस occurs in 'अन्ततुर्यः (a long sutra) in पा and in कौषीतक्युपनिषद् II. 14 and III. 2.

^{2411.} नैषा सर्वेज मितरापनेया प्रोक्तान्येनैव ह्यक्तानाय प्रेष्ठ। कठीप. II. 9 q, by इाङ्करान्वार्य in भारय on वे स् II. 1. 6. The provious verse ends with the words 'अणीयान्हातक्ष्येमणुप्रमाणात्.' आपनेय is an irregular form for आपनीय। (आप्+ अनीय) or for अपनेय (from नी with अप)

^{2412.} अधान्यत्राप्युक्तम् । अतः पराऽस्य धारणा तालुरसर्नाद्मनिपीतनाद्वाद्मन-भाणः निरोधनाद् ब्रह्म तर्केण पर्यति । मैद्रा. उप. VI. 20.

with the help of tarka 2113 The Gautamadharmasūtra 2414 remarks 'tarka is the means for securing justice (among contending parties)'. One of the replies given by Yudhisthira to the Yaksa guarding a lake is 'ratiocination is unstable (leads to no certain conclusions), the Vedic texts differ (among themselves). there is no single sage whose opinion is held authoritative (by people or other sages); the essence of Dharma is placed in a cave (i.e is enveloped in darkness and cannot be seen clearly), that is the path (to be followed) by which the majority of people 1415 proceed'. This appears to make man in the mass the ultimate tribunal on all questions of value. In its peroration at the end. the Manusmrti observes 'one who desires to secure the pure Dharma must know well the three viz. direct perception (or experience), inference, and sastra based on various traditions: none but that man who considers the sayings of sages (i. e. the Veda), the instruction on Dharma (contained in the Smrtis) with the help of reasoning that does not (directly) conflict with Veda and śāstras knows what Dharma is '2416 This is the position of most orthodox Sanskrit writers about Tarka. Their reasoning appears to have been this. If one were to rely on mere reason, the result would be uncertainty and anarchy. Every theorist states that his system is based on reason; but the answers arrived at on the basis of reason as to questions of vital importance to ordinary men are bewilderingly different. Thinkers brought up in different environments and of different experiences have different logics and propound even different moral laws. Whom should an ordinary man follow? The Vedas and Smrtis

^{2413.} श्रुतिरापि 'श्रोतस्यो मन्तस्यः' इति श्रवणस्यतिरेकेण सनम विद्धाती तर्कमप्य-त्राह्तंच्यं दर्शयति (this is पूर्वपक्ष in भाष्य on वे. स् II 1.4), to this the भाष्य replies 'यद्गपि श्रवणस्यतिरेकेण सननं विद्धान्छन्द एव तर्कमप्याद्देव्यं दर्शयतीस्युक्तम् । मानेन मिषेण शुम्कतर्कस्यात्रास्महाभः सम्भवति । श्रुत्यस्यग्रहीत एव ह्यत्र तर्भोऽद्धंभवाङ्गलेना-श्रीयते । ... The following sentence in the भाष्य cites three examples of inferepces not opposed to srutt.

^{2414.} न्यापाधिगमे तर्कोऽस्युपायः। तेनाभ्युद्ध यथास्थानं ग्रमयेत्। गौ धः स्.

^{2415.} तर्को (प्रतिष्ठः। पन्याः। चनपर्व 313. 117 (Chitrashala ed. whole verse q. in n. 2072). Some mss read नैको सुनिर्यस्य The stitra तर्कामतिष्ठाना-द्रप्यन्यधानुमेयामिति चेदेवमप्यविमोक्षप्रसङ्घः (वे स्. II i. 11) seems to echo the words of बनपर्वे. Vide H of Dh. vol III. p. 860 n. 1667, where मेधातिथि on मन्तु. II. 1 refers to 'महा ... पन्याः। as a न्याय.

^{2416.} मरपक्षमद्यमानं च शास्त्रं च विविधागमम् । जयं सुविद्तिं कार्यं धर्मछुद्धिमभीप्सप्ताः॥ आर्षं धर्मोपदेशं च वेदशास्त्रविरोधिना । पस्तर्केणान्तसन्यचे स धर्मे वेद नेतरः ॥ मसु XII. 105, 106, relicd ppon by शङ्कराचार्यं १६ भाष्य ०० वे सु. II. 1.11

embody the principles of conduct in all spheres of life arrived at by eminent and selfless sages during the course of thousands of years i e, they ombody the experiences and the reasonings of numerous wise men. Therefore, if a modern man says that reason leads him to hold opinions opposed to the Veda, most people are not prepared to accept a single man's opinion pitted against the views of numerous ancient sages. This point need not be elaborated further. On many important questions viz. whother there is God or a Supreme Intelligence guiding the universe, or whether there is an individual self, or what the future of a man when he dies is, the wisest among men have given widely differing answers 2117 On such questions final answers acceptable to all or most people cannot be given by reason alone. Though the orthodox position is as stated above, it has been shown above (e.g. on pp. 1267-1269) how Vedic practices have been discarded by the weight of popular opinion from time to time. It is laid down by Smrti writers themselves that blindly following the words of Sastra would lead to loss of Dharma and that in case of conflict between two Smrtis reasoning should be resorted to and popular opinions and practices should be considered. Vide H. of Dh. vol. III, pp. 866-868 for this. The Mahabharata enjoins 2418 one should not try to solve incomprehensible matters by ratiocination.' In the dialogue between the famished sage Visyamitra (who desired to eat the tail of a dog) and a candala the great Epic winds up by saying 'therefore a learned man whose soul is pure should act after relying on his intelligence in the matter of deciding what Dharma and adharma are'.2419 It should not be supposed that Sankarācārya and other great Indian writers wanted to throw reasoning overboard altogether. What they emphasized was that mere reasoning of one or more men should not as a rule be followed if the

^{2417.} Pascal quoted in 'This I believe' edited by E. P Morgan (London, 1953) on p. 60 says 'The heart has its reasons which reason does not understand.'

²⁴¹⁸ अचिन्त्या खलु ये भावा न तास्तर्कीण पोजयेत्। मक्नुतिम्य पर यञ्च तद्विन्त्यस्य स्वाध्याम् । भीष्मपर्व 5. 12, q. by शङ्कराचार्य as स्तृति on वे. स् II 1.6. First half only q. by शङ्कराचार्य on वे. स्. II 1.6. This also occurs in मत्स्य 113. 6, पत्र (आदि 3. 12), the first half in ज्ञद्धाण्ड II. 13. 7-8. ज्ञद्धाण्ड reads तद्वचिन्त्यं मचलते and some read साधयेत् for योजयेत्. मझति would mean material cause The मत्स्य (Anan ed.) reads अचिन्त्या. .. तास्तु तर्कीण साधयेत्, thus reversing the position adopted by others.

^{2419.} तस्मारकीन्तेप विदुषा धर्माधर्मविनिश्चये। बुद्धिमास्थाय होकेस्मिन्वर्तितन्यं कृतात्मना॥ ज्ञान्तिपर्वे 141.102 = çr, ed. chap. 139. 94,

conclusions arrived at by reasoning are in direct conflict with Vedic and smrti texts. Śańkarācārya clearly states his position on V S II. 1.1. and 11.2420 Beliefs (such as those of Jains and Buddhists) were held to be heterodox, because they did not acknowledge the authority of the Veda and the sacred tradition. though they often observed Hindu practices and married with Hindus: but within this limit there was room enough for an enormous and bewildering variety of convictions, rites and practices that claimed to be orthodox. This may be illustrated by the attitude of some Upanisads For example, the Mundaka Up. (I 1.4-5) speaks of two vidyas, $par\bar{a}$ and $apar\bar{a}$, includes under aparā the four Vedas and the six angas and parā (highest) undya is that by which the imperishable brahman is attained. In the Chan Up (VII. 1.1-5) when Narada approached Sanatkumāra for instruction, the former recounted what he had already learnt viz. the four Vedas, Itihāsapurāna and other lores; then Sanatkumāra tells him that all that he has studied is mere name and then explains what is better than all those. Mundaka (I. 27) condemns yarnas as leaky vessels. It is extremely surprising that the Chān. Up. in I. 12. 2–5 compares the procedure of the five priests and the sacrificer touching each other and creeping from the sadas towards the catvala where' the Bahispavamana chant is to be sung with a procession of dogs. each dog holding the tail of the preceding dog in his mouth. Vide for the creeping of priests noiselessly and silently Tandya Brāhmana VI. 7. 9-12 and Ap. Śrauta-sūtra XII. 17. 1-4 and H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 1166 and 1169. Though this is so, the Upanisads are called Vedanta, are looked upon as orthodox and as the highest end of the Vedic religion and literature. Most ancient Upanisads, however, quote Vedic Samhitā texts as authorities For example, Br. Up. I. 4, 10 and Ait. Up. II. 5 quote Rg. IV. 26. 1 and IV. 27. 1 respectively, Br. Up. II. 5. 16-17 quote Rg I. 116, 12 and I. 117, 22 and Br. Up II, 5, 19 cites Rg. VI. 47. 18; Katha IV. 9 is the same as Atharvaveda X. 8. 16, Prasna I. 11 is quoted from Rg. I. 164.12. The Mundaka III. 2.10

^{2420.} वेदस्य दि निर्पेक्षं स्वार्धे मामाण्यं स्वेरिव स्वपविषये। प्रक्षवन्त्रसां तु सूलान्तरार्थं पेक्षं वम्मुस्युतिस्वविद्ये चिति विमक्षं.। तस्माद्वेदविक्ष्ये विषये स्मुरवन्वकाशदोषमसङ्घो न दोष । भाष्य on ते. स् II 1 1, तक्षंज्ञानानां त्वन्योन्यविद्याधास्मासिद्धा विमतिषक्तिः। याद्धि केन-चित्तार्षिक्षणेदमेन सम्यन्ज्ञानमिति मित्तपादितं तद्यपेषण खुत्थाप्यते तेनापि मतिद्यापितं तत्वोऽपरेण खुत्थाप्यते होते प्रसिद्धं होते। कथमेकस्यानवस्थितविषयं नक्षंप्रमतं सम्यन्ज्ञानं भवेत् । न च अधानवादी तर्किनिद्यास्त्रसम इति सर्वस्तार्किकः परिगृहीतो येन तदीयं मतं सम्यन्ज्ञानमिति प्रतिपरीमिति । हाद्भुत्तमाद्य on ते. स् II, 1.11.

provides that brahmavidyā is to be expounded to śrotriyas (those who have studied the Veda). Herein the Upanisads rely upon the principle of adhikārabheda.

One of the oldest philosophical problems is Authority and Reason or to put it in different words. Faith and Reason and there has been throughout the ages a constant struggle between these two. Most men want to rely on some authority, on some one deemed higher than themselves. For vast numbers of people this authority or the something greater than themselves is Revelation and God. They have neither the leisure nor the inclination nor the intellectual ability to think for themselves rationally on such abstruce questions as the existence of God or an individual self, free will and determinism, the general principles of conduct, the final destiny of men after physical death &c. In sociological matters human judgement is often warped by prevailing dogmas and prejudices; on questions which are deemed to be religious (and in India the field of matters deemed to be religious has always been very extensive) a frank discussion is almost impossible without creating anger and rancour. Several ethical questions such as divorce and birth control often assume the position of religious dogmas and when openly discussed create great heat. In several so-called democracies of the present day rational discussion comes last and vital questions are determined by party loyalties or by personality cults or by lust for power and self-aggrandisement. It should not be supposed that ancient and medieval India had no rationalists nor atheists. As a matter of fact there were several rationalists. Vide the present author's note 875 pp. 358-359 in H. of Dh. Vol. II, Vol. III. pp. 46-47 note 57 on Lokayatas and their views. 2421 and pp. 974-975 note 1596 above for rationalistic criticism of sacrifices, śrāddhas &c. Many rationalists hold that there is no proof of the existence of God, they deny an individual soul, they deny immortality and the existence of any intelligence in the universe higher than man's, deny that there is any design or purpose in the Universe and they believe that in all religions there is some truth mixed with a great deal of error. The case of the rationalist is that

^{2421.} For Lokāyata or Laukāyatika, vide the work Tattvopaplavasimha of Jayarāsibhatta (GOS). The word Lokāyata occurs in the gana Ukthādi mentioned in Pāṇini 'Kratūkthādisūtrāntat-thak' IV. 2. 60. Vide Dr. Dakshinaranjan Shastri's 'Short History of Indian Materialism' (Calcutta, 2nd ed. of 1957) and pp 1205-6 note 1956 above.

he should not be called upon to prove that there is no God (which is a negative proposition), that it is for theists to prove that God exists (which is a positive proposition) and that he is omnipotent and omniscient. They say that to attribute anger, love, pity to God is to implicitly negative God's omnipotence The problem of evil in the world is, according to rationalists, a great stumbling blook in accepting God as good, kind, allknowing and all-powerful. The rationalist might agree with the theist that man as an individual depends on something greater than himself that is able to give directions and to punish him for the disobedience of directions For the rationalist it is some kind of community or society in which men live and have their being that is greater than themselves This would be substituting the worship of the human community or of collective human power for the worship of God. What human community is to be in the place of God? Is it to be the whole human race (now having over two thousand million human beings) or some big or small groups out of these? There are now two well-defined blocks between whom there is a conflict of ideological ends, viz. the communist block represented by the U. S. S. R. and China and the capitalistic block represented by U. S A., England and several other countries of Europe, and a third unorganized block of countries professing to have no alignment with anyone of the two blocks.

In these days Communism is really a form of worship i. e. worship of man or men in place of the worship of God. It may be conceded that probably the masses of the Russian people are far better off in the matter of physical needs than they were in the regime of the Tsars. The masses seem to have devotion to Communism. But that devotion is more apparent than real, based on the hope of quick gains or the fear of prompt punishment and is the product of rigid State control of education and the environment. The communists have an attractive slogan in the words 'Workers of the world | unite, you have nothing to lose but your chains.' There are also the catchwords 'Dictatorship of the proletariat'. But this ends in fact in a dictatorship of the Communist Party over the proletariat. In return for physical well-being the common people have had to bargain away several freedoms, freedom to think for themselves, freedom of expression, freedom of intercourse with foreigners, freedom to choose their occupation &c. The communists make no secret that they want to bring the whole world under Communism. Therefore, they pose that they are the

saviours of common men and women throughout the world and they cannot be charged with aggression since they mean to liberate people from the bonds of capitalism or colonialism &c. Their creed is one of fanaticism, of intolerance or hatred of others. The only experiment of a godless society on a large scale was made by the Sowiet, but it does not appear to outsiders to have been a happy or successful one. The universal exhibition of portraits of great Soviet leaders (some of whom were declared by successor leaders to be no better than murderers) 2422 proclaims the necessity of worship even in a godless society. Dictators have nationalized not only all the means of the production of wealth but also all the labour in the country. They have established themselves in the place of God and desire to have total control over the bodies and the minds of all their subjects. The faith of the communists is that their country is a heaven on earth and one must accept their word for that without wanting to see for one self the real state of things. The Communists have their own special versions of history, economics and science. These one must not question.

The followers of Judaism, Christianity and Islam (all believing in one God and one Book) did not hesitate for centuries to inculcate their doctrines and practices by terrible persecutions and bloody wars. This spirit is very shocking to people brought up in Hinduism or Buddhism. If Rationalists substitute blocks of humanity or the leaders of such blocks for worship and authority, humanity itself would soon be wiped out. Conceding for argument that the existence of an omnipotent and omniscient Power cannot be proved to the satisfaction of the so-called rationalists, the present writer feels that it is for the good of most societies consisting of millions of common men and women that they believe in God and the individual soul. Most men are influenced to keep to the path of virtue and right by the fear of God, by the prickings of conscience (which is the inner light implanted in man by God), by the fear of public opinion, and by fear of punishment at the hands of the rulers of the State (vide

^{2422.} Those interested in this phase of Communism should read 'Krushchev and Stalin's Ghost' by Bertram De Wolfe (1957), pp 88-252 contain on even pages Krushchev's secret report to 20th Congress on the night of February 24, 25 in 1955, translated into English and odd pages contain notes and commentaries by the author. On p 91 note it is stated that Stalin placed the number of Kulaks disposed of at one crore. Vide 'Assignment in Utopia' by E Lyon (1937) for the horros in Russia before 1934, and 'Communist China to-day' by S. Chandrasekhara, Asia Publishing House, Bombay, 1961 for the present state of morals in China.

Santinarya quoted in H. of Dh. vol. III. p. 389 note 619). Those who reject the first of these would have to reject the 2nd also and would have to fall back upon hedonism (the greatest pleasure for themselves) or the idea of greatest good of the greatest number or progress towards some ideal state of society painted by imagination. The pursuit of purely secular or worldly happiness is incompatible with the precepts and ideals of Hinduism and all higher religions. Rationalism accepts the postulates which science finds convenient and useful. Though these postulates work well up to certain limits, it must be said that those limits are very narrow. Science aims at establishing general rules or laws. From those laws we know only the behaviour of nature and how man can utilize natural forces for realizing human aims. but science does not tell us what those aims should be, it is amoral and not concerned with morals or spirituality. Rationalism appears to smother many experiences of the human mind which are beyond the present machinery of science. When the scientific method is adopted in social studies, there is little to show that it leads to increased wisdom about the values that should be pursued. Rationalism insists that all our beliefs ought ultimately to be based on tangible and definite grounds and that modern scientific method is the only reliable method for acquiring knowledge of all kinds. But there are sub-conscious and non-rational impulses, beliefs and intuitions in men that are held by men to be truer or of a higher order than what is on the rationalistic level (vide W. James' 'Varieties of religious experience' p. 74, ed. of 1920). It should be the endeavour of leaders of thought in each generation to find out what is essential and vital in tradition (without believing in the infallibility of all traditions) and to present reasoned dogmas which, while retaining the essential elements of tradition, will meet the demands of modern thought and conditions and environment. It is not necessary to say here more about modern rationalism. For over two thousand years our ancient writers and smrtis like those of Manu (XII, 105-106 which are relied upon by Kumārila himself as shown on p. 1261 above) have allowed to tarka a place in the matter of finding out what Dharma is and have been most tolerant of differing views even on fundamental matters as shown above and brought about great changes even in religious rituals, philosophical views, social customs and practices without perpetrating atrocities and indulging in wholesale persecutions. A man may be a monotheist, or a polytheist or

an image-worshipper, an agnostci, or atheist or an idealist philosopher holding 'nirguna brahma' as the only reality, yet he may nevertheless be held to be a full Hindu. if he conforms to the general attitude towards Veda and social usages. A more radical tolerance than what our people showed throughout the ages is almost unthinkable. Western writers while admitting great tolerance in religious views and practices harp often on the requirements about observing caste rules in matters of food. marriage etc. But caste is more a social institution than a religious one and therefore just as in Western countries there were rules of etiquette and observance (such as the number 13 and the regulations against working on Sabbath and against theatre going, card playing and physical exercise except walking) that had to be observed meticulously, in India caste rules had to be observed. Besides, even when caste rules were broken the offender had in a meeting of the caste people to admit his mistake, to pay a fine to the caste or village temple and then was restored to all privileges of the caste. As the Christian churches were extremely intolerant of the slightest departure from religious views held at particular times, there arose in Europe the emphasis on rationalism and freedom to hold Government could effectively mould the opinions opinions. of its subjects by controlling education, by censorship of books and by inflicting severe penalties on those who expressed opinions opposed to those espoused by them. The Roman Church prepared an Index of forbidden books and an Index expurgatorius (a list of passages to be expunged from books that were otherwise permitted). The first Index Librorum Prohibitorius in its latest edition (before 1949) contains 5000 titles. Lecky in his 'History of the rise and influence of rationalism in Europe' 2423 points out to the extirpation of Christianity from Japan, of Protestantism from Spain, of the Huguenots from France, of most Catholics from England. The Jesuits acted on the 2424 principle that the end justifies the means.

^{2423.} Vide Lecky's work, vol. II p. 5 for these instances (ed. of 1890). The Toleration Act (1689) in England expressly excluded Catholics and Unitarians from the benefits of the Act. In 1401 the English Parliament passed the Statute for the burning of hereics which was not repealed until the Stuarts came back. Vide 'Conscience and Liberty' by Robert S W. Pollard (1940) pages 46-48, for harsh laws in force in England a little over one hundred years ago against non-Conformists and Roman Catholics

^{2424.} Vide 'Rationalism in Theory and Practice' by Archibald Robertson (pub. by Watts & Co., 1954) p. 57 for the Jesuits. Vide p. 59 of the (Continued on next page)

Their end was the 'greater glory of God', which meant to them the conversion of peoples and kingdoms to Roman Catholicism. Their means included incitements to assassination and to war. It has been mentioned above (p. 483) how Galileo was persecuted for espousing the Copernican theory in astronomy. Not much difference is made to religion whether the Sun revolves round the earth or the earth round the sun. In this connection it may be pointed out that Aryabhata (born in 476 A, D.) appears to have held the view that the stars did not revolve round the earth, but the latter revolved round itself and gave the illustration of a man sitting in a moving boat feeling that it is the stationary objects on the banks that rush past in the opposite direction. 2425 The Pancasiddhantika (13. 6) of Varahamihira refers to this view and rejects it, not on the ground that it is opposed to the Veda, but on the ground that, if that view were correct, hawks and other birds flying high up in the sky would not be able to come back to their nests without fail. He did not know that the atmosphere also goes with the This was more than eleven hundred years before Galileo and there is nothing to show that Aryabhata suffered in the least for his views For Aryabhata's view vide 'Aryabhatiyam' by W. E. Clark (Chicago, 1930) p 64. As observed by Archibald Robertson the history of the Rationalist movement in Europe is to a very great extent the history of a fight for the right to hold and propagate opinions unpopular for the time being and the growth of religious toleration in Europe has in fact gone hand in hand with the decay of traditional religious beliefs. Reason arrives at different conclusions on the same matter in different ages and even in the same age what appears reasonable to one group or people is held to be unreasonable by another people. Vide Robert Bridges' 'Testament of Beauty' Book I lines 465-470 on what is reasonable. Millions of people saw fruits falling from tree tops to the earth, but it was only the intuition and logic of Newton that enabled him to formulate the laws of gravitation.

⁽Continued from last page)

same book and also 'The flame and the light' by Hugh T Anson Fausset (London, 1958) pp. 11-12, where the author says that Western man is very little sustained or guided by the doctrines of orthodox Christianity and that European civilization of the last five hundred years is dissolving.

²⁴²⁵ अनुलोनगतिनींरच. पड्यस्यचलं बिलोनगं यद्वत् । अचलानि भान्ति तद्वसमपश्चि-मगानि रङ्काराम् ॥ आर्यभदीय, गोलपाद verse 9.

Doubt has been and is a frequent and legitimate attitude of the mind as recognised by the Br. Up. (I 5.3) 'Kāmah sankalpo vicikitsā śraddhā, aśraddhā, dhritir, adhritir hrīr-dhīr-bhīr-etyetat sarvam mana eva' (desire, fancy, doubt, faith, want of faith, steadiness, restlessness, shame, understanding, fear, all these are aspects of mind). Even the Rgveda (II, 12.5) refers to doubters about Indra (utem āhur-naisostītyenam'). In the Katha Up. Naciketas says "when a man dies there is the doubt, some saying 'he (the self) exists', others saying 'he ceases to exist" and prays to Yama to resolve that doubt for him as the third boon.

Descartes held that only one truth is beyond doubt viz. coato er go sum (I think, therefore I am). In Europe the whole of the 18th and 19th centuries were dominated as far as thoughtful men were concerned by boundless faith in reason and progress. But owing to the two world wars (particularly the second), their aftermath and the rise of two powerful Communist countries have greatly weakened the faith in progress guided by reason and ethics, faith in the dignity of man and in equality is on the decline and is assailed in many regions and the view that might creates or is right is gaining ground.

The Upanisads teach that a sound moral preparation must precede the acquisition of true vedantic view. The Br. Up. states 'therefore one who knows this, after securing quiescence, restraining his senses, being free from all hankerings, bearing with fortitude all pairs of opposites (such as heat and cold), having his mind concentrated, sees the Self in the self, looks upon everything as Atman'; the Kathopanisad II, 24 says 'one who has not ceased from doing evil actions, who is not quiescent, who has not concentrated his mind nor has made his mind quiescent would not realize this Self by correct knowledge'; Prasna I 16 'those secure the pure world of brahman who are free from crookedness, falsehood and deceitful conduct'; Sv. Up. VI 22 this highly esoteric knowledge of Vedanta should not be imparted to one whose mind is not quiescent or who is not a son or disciple'. The great formula 'thou art That' teaches one to look upon all men as Atman or as the GHa (VI. 29-30) puts it 'he who is endowed with Yoga and knows Atman to be in everything and everything as abiding in Atman, is not lost to the Lord nor is the Lord lost to him'. Compare Isa Up. 6 and Manu XII. 91. In the Chan. Up. (III. 16. 1) man is symbolically represented as sacrifice and in III. 17, 4 it is stated that tapas, charity, straightforward conduct, ahimsā and truthfulness are the daksinā (sacrificial fees).

This shows that Vedanta in its highest form is the best support of pure morality for individuals. It is on account of this teaching that many sages cultivated these virtues in hermitages and were honoured by kings and common people in ancient times in India, but in medieval times the sages became²⁴²⁶ rare and as regards common people they were tied down by customs and caste and very few people made strenuous efforts for solidarity of all their countrymen, for meeting their common needs and safeguarding all peoples' interests, and by the side of sublime philosophical tenets our country witnessed abject poverty among large masses of people and political domination by alien or brutal invaders. The tendency to rely on the Vedas. to believe and to argue that what has been is the best, and to appeal to the past has been very strong throughtout our history for centuries. Our motto must not be 'back to the Vedas' but rather 'forward with the Vedas'. While giving due weight to the Veda and authority, we must not condemn all independence in thought.

Some Western rationalists such as Bentham. James Mill and John S. Mill put forward the theory of utilitarianism which briefly comes to this that actions are to be judged by their consequences and are right in proportion as they tend to make for the greatest good or happiness of the community or of the greatest number. This theory has great drawbacks, the most important being that it is not really a moral theory at all as it does not tell us exactly what a man or community ought to do. Religions tell their followers what they ought to do or not to do. Another difficulty is that what may appear to one man as being for the greatest good of the greatest number may not be so accepted by others and further it is difficult to define what the greatest number regards as good or happiness. Besides, many men care nothing at all about the happiness of others. Moral, political and economic actions are confused by this doctrine. In practice, as the emphasis was put on happiness, this theory

^{2426.} Even in these days our country can produce men imbued with the true spirit of Advaila-vedžinta like Raman Maharshi, the sage of Arunachal (1879-1950) about whom Arthur Osborne has published a very interesting and revealing work 'Raman Maharshi and the path of Self-knowledge' (Rider and Co. 1954)

was made the excuse for epicurianism and indulgence in things material.

The present author is not at all against freedom of thought, but what he objects to is making a fetish of rationalism and making millions of common men and women waver in their faith and principles of conduct by the constant talk of the nonexistence of God or of the individual soul &c. The rationalists and utilitarians hardly agree upon any values and principles of conduct to be inculcated among ordinary young men and women. If God and soul be eliminated, they have not been able to put something more valuable in their place, for which the young generation that is growing up would be ready to live laborious days or to sacrifice themselves. Though we may not subscribe to the position that the last word of wisdom on all religious and social matters is to be found in the Veda or in the works of ancient sages and writers, even wise men may hesitate before they decide the question whether we should carry on a campaign for eradicating beliefs in God and an immortal self. The Gitā strikes the right note for most people when it utters the warning (III. 26) 'let not the knowing or wise man (the manin or undvan) unsettle the minds of the ignorant that are attached to (certain) actions (by habit); the enlightened man, himself doing all work in the true spirit of a yogin, should set others to do all actions.

As a result of the two world wars in which unspeakable atrocities and barbarities 2627 were committed by highly educated and organized countries most of whose people professed Christianity, there is a revulsion of feeling and several eminent men are busy in attributing the present difficulties to the decay of religious belief and in inviting humanity to return to religion. But the crux of the problem is what religious beliefs and practices are to be inculcated and practised by men in the present age. It appears to the present writer that religions can hardly be a panacea for the ills of the world. Very large blocks of modern educated men are dissatisfied with the tenetal and practices of several current religions and their authoritative books. The real difficulty to be grappled with is to

^{2427.} Vide 'Scourge of the Svastika' by Lord Russell of Liverpool p. 171 where he cites the confession of Hoss that not less than three million people were put to death at Auschwitz, 2500000 of them by pre-chambers and on p. 250 he remarks 'the murder by the Germans of over five villion European Jews constitutes the greatest crime in World History.'

define what must be the content of the faith that would appeal to and secure the allegiance of most or all good, intellectual and educated modern men. At different times different virtues (such as monachism, charity, humility, patriotism, social service or philanthrophism) were preached as of supreme importance. The growth of the virtue of patriotism and of the feeling of nationalism in Western countries was not due to Christianity's teachings but to various other causes in Europe's political and economic history. There are religious virtues, heroic virtues. amiable virtues &c. The Christian people of Europe and America during the last four centuries achieved great wealth and prosperity by throwing to the winds the virtues preached in the Sermon on the Mount, by extending their colonial empires for exploiting vast regions, by decimating millions of unoffending backward races, by hunting human beings as if they were beasts and forcing on them slavery and by preaching from the house tops the great need of competition in all spheres and worshipping it as if it were God. After the holocaust of the great wars, many eminent men, not only religious men, but even those that occupy positions in Government and political life, preach the necessity of the moral sense, of benevolence and kindness towards others, restraint, unselfishness and a desire to allow others to share in the good things of life, which virtues were emphasized for all men in the Br. Up. V. 2. 1-3 quoted above 2428

In India Emperor Asoka in the 3rd century B. C. inculcated in his Edicts tolerance for the Brahmanic and the Buddhist faith (vide pp 1011-12 above). Aśoka does not enter into dogma of any kind, but regarding himself as the father of all his subjects enjoins on them a morality that is practical and would be acceptable to all, such as tolerance, humanity, charity to monks and also to the poor and compassion towards dumb animals. Later on, it was at the most necessary to show that the doctrines put forth by reasoning were not directly opposed to the dicta

^{2428.} Archibald Robertson in "Rationalism in theory and Practice " (Watts and co, London 1954) asserts (on page 41) that Christian morals have never been practised and that a society would not last for a month if run on the lines of the Sermon on the Mount W. R Mathews in 'Christ' (London, 1939) agrees (on page 76) with Professor Whitehead that the adoption of the principles of the Sermon on the Mount literally understood on any large scale would mean the sudden death of civilization. C. H Tawney in 'Acquisitive Society' (1921) asserts that what was Christian in Christianity had largely disappeared from about 1700 A. D. (pages 12-13).

H. D. 186

of the Veda. To take only one example: though the Upanisads express Advaita in such Mahāvākyas 'aham brahmāsmi' (Ch. Up. III. 14.1), 'tat-tvam-asi' (Ch. Up. VI. 8.7), Madhvācārya could expound his thorough-going draita doctrine, could explain away by reasoning the above passages and claim to be the only true expounder of Veda and denounce the advaita doctrine as Buddhism in disguise without any serious persecution on either side. Yājāvalkya (II. 192) calls upon the king to safeguard the special usages of guilds and heretics and their modes of livelihood. This tolerance for multiplicity of forms and practices in religion and for diametrically opposed philosophic views has had also its weakness viz it permitted the growth of endless variations in the formulation of faith, ritual and philosophic doctrines and led in great measure to several abuses, to some unhealthy and even revolting practices.

SECTION IX

CHAPTER XXXIV

COSMOLOGY

All writers on Dharmasastra are agreed on the existence of God. They rarely enter upon the task of adducing arguments for the existence of God. Christian theologians for hundreds of years put forward various arguments pointing to the existence of God. They are briefly summarised in William James' 'Varieties of religious experience' p. 437 (ed. of 1920). The cosmological argument reasons from the ordered universe to a First Cause which must be conceived as God who must at least possess whatever perfection the universe itself contains The argument from purpose or design (teleological argument) bases itself on the evidence of purpose or design in Nature and concludes that the First Cause (God) must be a creative intellect or mind. Then there are other arguments also such as the 'moral argument' (viz. the moral law presupposes a law-giver), the argument ex consensu gentium (viz. there is widespread belief in God all over the world and it should carry weight), 2419

^{2429.} Vide also F. W. West-way's 'Obsessions and convictions of the human intellect' (Blackie & Son, 1938), which adds a fifth to James' four viz, ontological argument (the very idea or concept of God makes the existence of God requisite) pp. 378-80. W. James in 'Pragmatism' (p 109, ed 1910) states that the evidence for the existence of God lies primarily in inner personal experience Mr Westway (on p 374) gives a definite answer that there is no proof of the existence of God, but (on p. 387) he admits that the argument from design carries an extremely high degree of probability and that he believes that the Universe is not merely a chance-made affair as some philosophers believe. The argument from design (for God's existence) is held to have been shattered by the theory of evolution. If everything has a cause, it is also argued, why should God not have a cause? And it is said by some that there is no reason to suppose that the world had a beginning at all. This is the view of famous Mimanisakas like Kumārila. Vide above pp 1209-1210 and note 1963. H. G. Wells in his 'You can't be too careful' (London 1942, p. 282) holds that the idea of God's omniscience, omnipresence and omnipotence must be (Continued on next page)

The Upanisads speak of the Absolute brahman as the creator. preserver and destroyer of the bhūtas (beings or elements or both). For example, the Tai, Up. III, 1 (Bhrgu 2430 is instructed by his father Varuna) 'desire to know that from which all bhūtas spring, by which, after being created, they live (are sustained) and to which they return and are absorbed therein: that is brahma'. This is the basic text on which V. S. I. 1. 2 (janmādvasva vatah) is based. This means 'from which the creation (sustenance and dissolution) of this (world) proceed' (that is brahma). Another passage of the Tai, Up. (II, 1) states that 'from this Atman Akasa sprang, from akasa Vayu, from Vāvu Agni, from Agni waters, from waters the earth, from earth plants, from plants food, from food man'. A passage of the Chan, Up, states 2431 'all this, indeed, is brahma; a man, cultivating restraint of the mind, should meditate on that (world) as springing from, ending in and breathing on account of that (brahma)'. This is the basis of V. S. I. 2. 1 (sarvatra prasidahopadesat). Here the three attributes of brahma are creator. preserver and destroyer of the universe.

The Vedantasūtra of Bādarāyana further says that śāstras (sacred canon or texts) are the source of the correct knowledge

⁽Continued from last page)

abandoned, since, according to him, these are preposterous absolutes. On the other hand Dr. F. W. Jones in his work 'Design and purpose' (London, 1942) puts forward the view that many people are in danger of losing sight of the truth that the Cosmos is an ordered entity and that many have lost belief in the purpose of human life (p 13). The teleological argument may strengthen the faith of a person who already believes in God, but if appears that it cannot create faith in God in the case of one who does not subscribe to that view Abel Jones in 'In search of truth' (1945) holds that the three main arguments for the existence of God are cosmological, teleological and ontological.

²⁴³⁰ यतो वा इमानि चुतानि जायन्ते येन जातानि जीयन्ति यध्ययन्त्यभिसंग्रिशन्ति तद् विजिज्ञासस्य । तद् ब्रह्मेति । ते. उप. III. 1.

^{2431.} सर्व राश्विदं महा तब्जलानिति शान्त उपासीत। द्या उप. III 14.1, The world तज्जलान् applied to brahma is peculiar, it is explained by श्राद्भराचार्य as follows: तज्जलानित। तस्माद् महाणो जाते तेजीयजादिक्तमेण सर्वद्य। अतस्तज्जद्य। तथा तैनैव जननक्रमेण भतिलोमतया तस्मिन्नेव महाणि लीयते तदारमतया व्लिप्यते इति तह्य। तथा तस्मिन्नेव स्थितिमाले अनिति माणिति चेष्टते हति।, Vide also द्या, उप 1. 9.1 सर्वाणि इ वा हमानि सुतान्याकाशादेव समुत्यखन्त आकाशं प्रत्यस्तं यन्त्याकाशो होनैग्यो ज्यायान्। आकाशः परायणम्।

of brahma. 2432 To the objection that the Veda is concerned with rites, that some portions of it are meant for the praise of rites, that the Vedic mantras only remind the performer about the various ingredients of sacrifice, that, therefore, the Vedānta texts either serve no purpose at all or at the most give information about the soul of the sacrificer or the deity to be worshipped in sacrifices, the reply is given by the Vedāntasūtra I. 1. 4 (tat-tu samanayāt), which means that all Vedānta texts are agreed that their purport is to establish that brahma, which has been postulated (in V. S. I. 1. 2) as the creator, preserver and absorber of the world has that character and is omniscient and omnipotent.

That the argument from design also was present to the minds of the propounders of Vedānta follows from the fact that the Vedāntasūtra (II. 2. 1, racanānupapattešca nānumānam) denies that the *Pradhāna* of the Sānkhya (that is postulated as acetana) can be inferred as the cause of the universe. 2433

It may be noted here that, according to Śankarācārya, the detailed ¹²³⁴ statements on the doctrine about creation contained in the Upanisads are not to be taken literally, that no special purpose dependent thereon can be found nor is such a purpose laid down by Sruti (Veda), but all those statements are intended to lead on to the knowledge of brahma and to expound the non-difference of the world from brahma. ²⁴³⁵ From early times most

^{2432.} क्रास्त्रयोनित्वात् । वे. सू I 1.3; क्राङ्करभाष्य । यथोक्तमृग्वेदादिशास्त्रं योनि। कारणं प्रमाणमस्य ब्रह्मणो यथावत्त्वरूपाधिगमे । तत्तु समन्वयात् । वे सू. I 1 4, भाष्य 'तद्द ब्रह्म सर्वज्ञं सर्वशक्ति जगदुत्पत्तिस्थितिलयकारणं वेदान्तशास्त्रादेवावगम्यते । कथम् समन्वयात् । सर्वेद्य हि वेद्दान्तेष्ठ वाक्यानि तात्पर्येणीतस्यार्थस्य प्रतिपादकावेन समस्यातानि ।.'

^{2433.} अतो रचनान्तुपपतिश्च हेतोर्नाचेतनं जगत्कारणमन्त्रमातव्यं भवति। शाङ्करभाषय on वे स् 11. 2. 1.

^{2434.} न हामे सुष्टवादिमपञ्चः मितिषपादेगिषितः। न हि तत्मितिबद्धः क्षित्रैत्युक्षपार्थो हृइपते श्रुपते वा। न च कत्पिषितं इत्मयते, उपक्रमोपसंहाराम्यां तव तत्र वहाविषयेर्वाक्ष्ये साक्ष्मेक्षत्वव्याया गम्यमानत्वात्। दर्शगिति च सुष्टचादिमपञ्चस्य ब्रह्मपतिपत्त्र्यर्थताम् — 'असेन सेन्य छुड्गेनापो मूलमित्वस्य । सन्तुलमित्वस्य । एत VI. 8 4) इति । मुदादिह्दद्यान्तैश्र्यु कार्यस्य क्षार्योनाभेदं विद्वतं सुष्टचादिवपञ्चः श्रुप्त्यत् इति गम्यते । शाङ्कर्भाष्य ०० वे स्' । 4.14. For मुदादिह्दद्यान्त, vide द्या उप VI. 3 4-6 प्रधा सोम्येकेन सुविष्टेनः सर्व सुन्त्रमं विज्ञातं स्थात्। वाचारम्भण विकारो नामधेयं मृत्तिकृत्येव सत्यस्य ॥ Again on V S IV. 3. 14 Sankaraçarya remarks: मुदादिह्द्यान्तिंति सतो ब्रह्मण एकस्य सत्यस्व विकारस्य चादतत्वं मित्रवादं मित्रव

^{2435.} The following works may be read for cosmology of Veduc texts: H. W. Wallis on 'Cosmology of the Rgveda' (1887): 'Veduc mythology (Continued on next page)

philosophers oscillate between the doctrine of the First Principle as immanent in the universe and the doctrine that God is the creator and is transcendent. The Reveda and Upanisads appear to postulate the first doctrine according to which the Absolute enters into it when it creates the Universe (Tai. Up. II. 6 'tat srstvā tad-evānuprāvišat'), Chān. Up. VI. 2. 1, VI 3. 2, Br. Up. I. 4. 10. They also speak of God as ruling the Universe (antarvāmin) as in Br. Up. III 7. Kausītaki Up. III. 8. At that time there was no atomic theory. Early Greek thought also wavered between the two doctrines. Later on came the rival theory of cosmology in which atoms played a great part, that was adumbrated by Democritus (died about 370 B. C. acc. to William James in 'Some Problems', and explained by Lucretius. In India also the Vaisesikas put forward the theory that the world is paramanus (atoms). Kanāda or Kanabhuk (lit. one who assumes or subsists on kanas, very subtle particles) is the reputed founder of the Vaisesika system. He does not expressly say anything about God. But later medieval writers on Nyāya-Vaisesika combined the two doctrines of God and atoms. As the Tarkadīpikā2436 puts the theory it is as follows: when God desires to create, activity is produced among the atoms, two atoms join, dyyanuka (dyad) is produced, tryanuka is produced from three dyyanukas and in the end the great earth is produced; of things created when God desires to bring about dissolution an activity is produced in the atoms. The atoms are eternal and infinite in number.

Though there was almost universal agreement about the existence of God among the Dharmasastra writers, there was (as in the 2437 West) divergence about the names, nature and

⁽Continued from last page)

by A. A. Macdonell pp. 8-15. Deussen's 'Philosophy of the Upanishads' translated into English by A S Geden (1906) pp. 180-253; 'Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and the Upanishads' by A B. Keith, pp. 570-584. A recent work 'Theories of the Universe' by Milton K. Munitz (pub. by Free Press, Glencoe, Illinois, 1957) deals with the cosmologies of several countries from Babylonian myth to modern science (but omits the Indian material)

^{2436.} ईश्वरस्य चिकीर्षावज्ञात्परमाणुषु किया जायते। ततः परमाणुद्वयसंयोगे सित द्वचणुकसुत्पद्यते जिभिद्वर्चणुकैस्त्रचणुकम् । एवं चतुरणुकादिक्रमेण महती पृथिवी .. वासुकत्वते। .. एवसुत्वज्ञस्य कार्यमृक्यस्य सिक्षहीर्षावज्ञात् परमाणुषु किया। तर्कदीपिका p 9 (Athalye's .. एवस्त्वज्ञस्य कार्यमृक्यस्य सिक्षहीर्षावज्ञात् परमाणुषु किया। तर्कदीपिका p 9 (Achalye's 2nd ed, of 1918).

^{2437.} In the West, Jeans in 'Mysterious Universe' (Cambridge 1931) goes so far as to say (on p. 134) 'the great Architect of the Universe...

(Continued on next page)

attributes of God. Most were agreed that God is one without a second, is spiritual (and not physical, though several people worshipped Him in the form of Siva or Visnu or DevI), immutable, omnipresent, omniscient, omnipotent, creator, holy, good and pust &c. Difficult questions arise about belief in God. One or two may be mentioned: Is God omniscient in the completed and strictest sense viz whether he could do anything whatever as he likes or whether there are certain things which he cannot do. Another question is whether all existing things other than himself have been created by him or whether there are some things whose existence is as ultimate as God's. All religions are faced with difficulties and therefore religion is a matter of faith.

Though the Rgveda is full of the deeds of and prayers to individual Gods (such as Agni, Indra, Mitra, Varuna, Soma), the Rgveda contains several hymns and verses to the effect that the original Principle is only one, that it creates the world out of itself, enters into it and inspires it. In Rg. I. 164 46 the sage says 'the wise speak of the One existing (principle) under various names, they call it Agni, Yama, Mātariśvan (wind god)'. This is not a solitary verse. There are similar verses in the Rgveda. For example, Rgveda VIII. 58. 2 (a verse from the Valakhilya hymns) says 'The one fire is kindled in many places, the one Sun appears in the whole world, the one Dawn shines over all this world and the One (Principle, Spirit) became all this'. In Rg, X. 90. 2, it is declared 'all this universe is (in reality) the Purusa alone, (both) what has been and what will come into existence in future'. In Rg. II. 1.3-7 Agni is identified with Indra, Visnu, Brahmā, Varuna, Mitra, Aryaman, Tvastr, Rudra, Dravinodas, Savitr and Bhaga. All these verses establish that ultimately all plurality is only a play of words, a mere name ('Vācārambhanam vikāro nāmadheyam' as the Chān. Up. VI. 1.4 states) and that unity is the only Reality and that the fundamental teaching of the Upanisads appears in germ in the Rgveda.

⁽Continued from last page)

begins to appear as a pure mathematician. Einstein, the greatest scientist of modern times, is reported to have cabled back to Rabbi H. S. Goldstein of New York (who asked by wire 'Do you believe in God) that 'I believe in Spinoza's God who reveals himself in the barmony of all beings, not in a God who concerns himself with the fate and actions of men.' In 'Out of my later years' he holds that the main conflict between science and religion lies in the concept of a personal God. Vide Viscount Samuel's address in the symposium. 'In search of faith' edited by E. W. Martin p. 78, where four views on the relation of God and the world are mentioned.

In the Rgveda there are several hymns about the creation of the world in the 10th Mandala (X. 72, X. 81 and 82, X. 90, X. 121, X. 129). For reasons of space all these cannot be set out at length, but some important texts will be mentioned. The main purpose of the hymn (X. 72) is to describe the birth of eight Ādityas. Rg. X. 72. 2 states 2438 that Brahmanaspati brought about the (births of) gods like a smith (that works with bellows) and that in the primeval ages of the Gods' sat was produced from asat. In Rg. X 72. 4-5 and 8 it is said that Daksa was born from Aditi and Aditi from Daksa, that the gods were born after her (Aditi) and that from Aditi eight sons were born. The two hymns X. 81 and 82 refer to Viévakarman who fashioned the worlds. X. 81. 2 and 4 ask questions 'what was the base 2439 (from where he created the world), what was the

^{2438.} ब्रह्मणरपतिरेता सं क्षमीर इवाधमत् । देवाना पूर्व्ये युगेऽसतः सद्जायत ॥ ऋ X. 72 2. Here 'Asat' must be taken to mean 'undeveloped' (Avyakta) and not as meaning 'non-existent'. The Br Up (I. 4.7) states 'all this was then (before creation began) undeveloped and it was developed by name and form' Similarly, in the Tai. Up II. 7 it is said single great आसीत् ततो वै सदजायत But the Chan. Up. (VI, 3, 1-3) emphatically asserts "in the beginning there was that only which was 'sat', one only without a second; some say 'in the beginning there was that only which is asat, from which arose sat'; but how could it be thus, how would sat (that which is) spring from asat (that which is not)? It is sat only that was at the beginning, one without a second. It reflected 'may I be many, may I produce', it created fire &c'' Sankarācārya on V. S I 4 15 refers to Tai Up II. 7 'asad vā idam-agra āsit' and Chān. Up III. 19, 1 'asad-evedamagra asit ' and explains what is meant by asat in such passages viz 'नामस्प न्याकृतवस्तुविषयः प्रायेण सन्छन्दः प्रसिद्ध इति तद्ग्याकरणाभावापेक्षया प्राग्रत्पत्ते सदेव ब्रह्मासिनासीतित्यपचर्यते : and points out that in such passages Sruti itself adds words which give the go by and refute the words 'asad-evedam' &c In Rg X. 72.4 (adıter dakso ajayata) Prof Velankar (Pro of All I. O. Conference, 17th Session in 1953) holds 'aditeh' to be in the genitive case (p 62) and not in the ablative case, to avoid the riddle how Daksa and Aditi were born of each other, but that breaks the symmetry of the words, such as 'asatah', 'uttanapadah', 'bhuvah' that precede and are taken as The present author demurs to this explanation offered by ablatives Prof. Velankar.

^{2439.} किं स्विदासीदिधिष्ठानमारम्भणं कतमस्तित्वकथासीत्। यतो भूमिं जनयन्त्रिकतां वि ,द्यामीणोंन्महिना विश्वचक्षी ॥ विश्वतक्ष्यसुक्त विश्वतास्त्रत्वो विश्वतं चाहुकत विश्वतरपात्। सं चाहुम्या धर्मति सं पतन्त्रेद्यांवाभूमी जनयन्त्रेव एकः॥ किं स्विद्वनं क उ स दृक्ष आस यतो द्यावाष्ट्र-थिवी निष्टतस्त्र । मनीपिणो मनसा पृच्छतेषु तथद्रध्यतिष्ठद्भवनानि धारयन् ॥ इत X. 81. 2-4. The half verse किंस्विद्वनं occurs in Rg X. 31. 7 also सायण explains कथासीत् in the first verse as 'कथमभूत् किं स्वयं सदसद्वा भवेदित्यर्थः। उभयमपि नोपपथते। सञ्चे

material (out of which he fashioned the earth), what was the forest and the tree from which heaven and earth were chiselled out' and the third verse describes the one God as follows 'The one God who sees all round, whose face is turned in all directions, who has hands and feet everywhere, who when creating heaven and earth sends them forth with his (two) hands as with bellows and with wings' (as a bird is propelled). Rgveda X. 90 (containing 16 verses) is the famous hymn called Purusasükta and postulates a supreme creator as a Purusa (called Adipurusa by Sayana) with a thousand heads, eyes and feet, asserts that all this that has come into being and that is yet to come is Purusa, that from Purusa arose Virāj, from whom arose (what we may call the second) Purusa (Hiranyagarbha) whom the gods offered as haves (oblation or rasu) in a symbolical sacrifice in which the three seasons, Vasanta, Grisma and Sarad were respectively the ghee, the fuel and the haves This hymn was probably composed at a time when, it appears, there was a firm belief (as in Sat Br. V. 2 4, 7, VI. 1 1. 3 and Tai, S. VII. 4, 2, 1) that nothing great can be accomplished without yayna or tapas. The hymn then proceeds to say that from that primeval yayna all animals (horses, cows &c), the four varnas, the Sun, the Moon, Agni, Indra. the Veda, heaven and earth were produced. In the Atharvaveda XIX 6 also there are 16 verses of which the first fifteen correspond with the first 15 verses of the Purusasūkta, but the order of verses is different and some of the words also are slightly changed. The Vaj. S. 31 has all the 16 verses of the Purusasukta but it contains five more verses and a prose passage at the end. Rg. X, 121, 1 declares 2440 that in the beginning Hiranya-

⁽Continued from last page)

दहैतमहू मसङ्गः। असचेत् सदात्मसयोर्धाचाष्ट्रिक्योरुपादानानईस्वात्। नाम्यस्तिन्यन मियत् द्वि श्रुतेश्वेत्पिभायः। ग. The ते झा (II 8.9.3 ff) repeats all the verses of Rg X. 129 except the 3rd verse and in II 8 9 6 states that the answer to the question कि स्तिह्मं (Rg. X. 81.4) is नम्रा वर्न नम् सम्भा साह्य आसीर्यने चावाप्रियो सिरस्ता । मनीर्पणो मनसा विनवीमि चो नम्मान्यतिष्ट्रस्तुवनानि भारपन्॥ 'Brahma was the forest and the tree from which they chiselled heaven and earth (and norlds)'.

²⁴⁴⁰ दिरण्यार्भ, समवर्तताचे सूतस्य जात पतिरेक आसीत्। झ. X. 121. 1. The ते सं. V. 5. 1. 2 provides 'तिरण्यार्भ, समवर्तताचे इत्याधारमाचारयति मजापतिचे हिरण्यार्भ, मनवर्तताचे इत्याधारमाचारयति मजापतिचे हिरण्यार्भ, मजापतिच्छत्पत्वाच । च आत्मदा चलदा पत्म विश्व जयासते महिष्यं यस्य देवाः। यस्य द्यापामृतं परम चृत्युः कस्मे देवाय हिष्या विधेम ॥ झ. X. 121 2 'He gives life and strength, his commandments are honoured by all the Gods, whose shadow is immortality and also death; who is this God whom we worship with other offerings (or to what God we may offer worship with havis?),

garbha (the Golden Egg) was born; and verse 10 identifies him with Prajapati and verses 8 and 10 declare that waters were produced by him from which issues forth Hiranyagarbha (the Golden egg), which was Prajapati Himself. The second verse is quoted in n. 1440. Rg. X. 125 is a hymn placed in the mouth of Vak (speech), wherein speech is portraved as a nower even beyond the gods and as creative. Three (1, 6, 8) out of the eight verses may be rendered here 'I move along with the Rudras and Vasus, with the Adıtyas and Visvedevas: I support both Mitra and Varuna, Indra and Agni and the two Asvins. I stretch the bow of Rudra in order to kill the enemy, the hater of brahma (sacred prayer): I cause war for men: I entered heaven and earth. I blow like the wind, producing all the worlds: I am beyond heaven and beyond this earth; by my greatness (power) I have become such a one'. Here one must hold that the sage is not referring to ordinary speech or language but to the conception that word has creative power and that it is one with God or was the thought uttered by brahman.

Rg. X. 129 (called Nāsadiya-sūkta from the opening words) is a unique hymn. Several passages of his hymn are yet very obscure in spite of the labour bestowed upon it by eminent scholars. In this hymn the First Principle or Creator is not given any name but is simply spoken of as 'tad-ekam', as done in the Upanisads in 'Tat-tvam-asi' or 'ekam-evādvitīyam' (Chan. UpVI. 2.1-2.). The important and tolerably clear passages are translated here: "There was then neither 'asat' (what is not,

^{2441.} नासदासीको सदासीत्तदानी नासीद्रजो नी व्योमा परो यत्। किमावरीयः कुट कर्प शर्मकम्मः किमासीद्रहन गभीरम्॥ न मृत्युरासीदमृतं न तर्हि न राज्या अद्ग आमीत् प्रकेतः । आनीदवातं स्वधया तदेक तम्माद्धान्यस्र परः किं च नास ॥ तम आसीत्तमसा ग्रह्मधे Sप्रकेतं सलिल सर्रमा इदम्। ... कामस्तद्ये समवर्तताथि मनसो रेतः पथम यदासीत्। ... को अद्धा वेद म डह प्रवेश्वत् कुत आजाता कुत इय विस्टिर । . इय विस्टिर्यत आध्रम्य पदि वा दधे यदि वा न । यो अस्याध्यक्ष. परमे व्योमन सो अङ्ग वेद यदि वा न वेद ॥ ऋ X. 129. 1-7. The ज्ञातपथनाहाण (X, 5 3, 1-2) makes an interesting reference to this hymn: 'नेव वा इदमग्रेऽसदासीक्षेत्र सदासीत्। आसीदित वा इदमग्रे नेवासीचान्न तनमन एवास। तस्मादेतहिषणाम्यज्ञसम्। नासदासीको सदासीसदानीमिति। नेव हि सन्मनो नेपासत् तदिद सनः सुष्टमाविरश्चभूपत्। ' This Br makes it clear that this (Universe) was as it were neither non-existent nor existent and it further says in the beginning this (Universe) as it were existed and did not exist. there was then only the mind and that mind as it were was neither existent nor non-existent, It may be noted that the Bhogavatapurana speaks of the Lord as importing the esoteric (gubya) Truth in verses 32-36 of II. 9, verse 32 which reminds us of Rg. X 129 1 is अहमेत्रासमेवाचे नान्यद्यत्सदमन्यरम्। पश्चादद पदेतच योवशिष्येत सोरम्यहम्॥

non-being) nor 'sat' (what is); there was no sky nor the heaven which is beyond; what was it that covered all? Where was it and under whose shelter? Was there deep unfathomable abyss of waters?; (2) There was no death, hence nothing immortal; there was no consciousness (distinction) of night and day; that one breathed by its own nature (power) without there being any air, really nothing other than that existed; (4) desire came into being, that was the first flow (seed, offspring) of the mind: (6) who knows directly, who can proclaim it here whence this creation came into being, (7) He from whom arose this creation, whether he made it or did not make it, the Highest seer in the highest heaven, he indeed knows or even he does not know?

It would be noticed that the sage, who was a poet and philosopher, proclaimed that there was that one Being, raised high above all gods, conditions and limitations; he, the sage. gives expression to what he conceived to be the state before the creation of the universe. Night and day, death and immortality are opposites These exist only when there is manifestness or creation and therefore he says 'there was no death, nor anything immortal' The hymn does not say that there was non-being out of which being grew. What he means is that That One alone breathed, the opposites, being and non-being, did not exist. For translations and remarks on this hymn, vide Max-Müller's 'History of ancient Sanskrit Literature' (1859) pp. 539-566, and 'Six systems of Indian Philosophy' (1919 ed.) pp. 49-52, Dr. Radhakrishnan's 'Indian Philosophy' (1923), vol I. pp. 100-104 Prof Whitney (Proceedings of American Oriental Society Vol. XI p. CXI.), in his characteristic supercilious manner referred to above on p 512, remarked that the praises bestowed on this hymn were nauseating. Deussen, long after Whitney's diatribe, said 'in its noble simplicity, in the loftiness of its philosophic vision, it is possibly the most admirable bit of the philosophy of olden times' and that 'no translation can ever do justice to the beauty of the original' (vide Bloomfield's 'The religion of the Veda,' p. 234, ed. of 1908). Vide Keith's 'Religion and philosophy of the Veda and Upanisads.' Vol. II. pp. 435-436. In many other passages of the Rgveda, different gods are referred to as creators God Prajapati is said to have created heaven and earth, the wide, deep, well formed and to have pushed them by his power without support (IV. 56. 3). Indra is said to have created the Sun and Usas (Rg II. 127) and to have established heaven without any beam to support, supported and spread the earth (Rg.~II.~15.~2).

The creation hymns refer to a stage when there was no generally accepted theory about the origin of the world. But this much is clear that in the most ancient times, at least some of the Vedic sages had arrived at the theory that there was only one Principle or Spirit, though called by various names and that it willed to create the world and created it from itself.

Apart from the above-mentioned hymns that may be called creation hymns, the Rgveda contains many references to the creation or the support 2442 of heaven and earth by several gods and also creation of other things. In Rg. X 89. 4 Indra is said to have made from heaven and earth on all sides as the axle does the wheels. Rg. I. 154. 4 refers to Visnu who single-handed supports the three, viz. the earth, the heaven (and antariksa) and all the worlds. Mitra is said to support heaven and earth (Rz. III. 59. 1) and to bear all gods (Rg. III. 59. 8) 2413. Brahmanaspati (Lord of Prayer, Brhaspati) is said to have sent forth (blazed) the births of gods like a blacksmith and that in the primeyal times of the gods sat was born from asat. Soma is said (Rg. VI. 47. 4) to have made the width of the earth and the loftiness of the heaven and supported the wide antariksa (mid regions) and in Rg. II. 40 (which is addressed jointly-to Soma-Pusan) it is said that one of them (Soma) produced all worlds and the other (Pūsan, the Sun) goes overseeing or marking, the doings of the whole world (verse 5).

In Rg. VII. 78. 3 dawns (plural) are said to have created the Sun, Yajña and Agni. This is metaphorical, since after each dawn the Sun rises, sacrificial fire is kindled into flames and sacrifice is offered. In Rg. I 96. 2 Agni is said to be the progenitor of men. In Rg. II. 35. 2 (apām napāt, grandson of waters i. e. Agni) is said to have created all worlds.

Heaven and earth (as dual divinities) have six hymns addressed to them in the Rg. viz. I. 159–160, 185, IV. 56, VI. 70, VII. 53) and they are called 'rodasi' and sisters (Rg. I. 185. 5). They are also called parents of the gods (Rg. VIII. 97. 8, X. 2. 7).

^{2442.} य उ विधात पृथिनीश्चत शामेको दाधार श्वनानि विश्वा। ऋ 1.154 4 The word त्रिधात occurs at least two dozen times in the Reveda, but the meaning is not certain. In Rg VIII 40 12 we have 'tridhātunā śarmana pātamasmān' (protect us with a three-fold protection) and it is difficult to say what 'tridhātu' protection is.

what triunate protection is.
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
246 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
246 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)
2443 बह्मणस्पतिरेता सद्जायत । ऋ X. 72. 2 एता refers to जाना (जन्मानि)

The word 'antariksa' (atmospheric region) occurs at least a hundred times in the Rgveda. Sometimes we have phrases like (tisrah prthvīḥ) meaning the three worlds including the earth (Rg I. 34. 8), while in other passages reference is made to lower, middle and highest prithivi as in Rg. I. 108. 9 (yad indrā-qnī avamasyām prthvyām madhyamasyām paramasyām uta sthah) meaning thereby the earth, antariksa and heaven. Antariksa is often called 'rajas' as the region of dust, mists and clouds in Rg. I. 35. 2 and 9).

In Rg. I. 35. 6 it is said 'there are three dyaus (i. e. heaven, antariksa and earth), two are on the lap of Savitr (i. e. heaven and earth) and one (antariksa) is in the world of Yama. The sage explains in Rg. X. 88 15 'I have heard of two paths viz of the pitrs and of the gods and also of men; the whole world that moves reaches that (region) which is between the father (heaven) and the mother (the earth)'.

Varuna is said to have spread antarikea on forests, spread the Sun in heaven and Soma on mountains (Rg V. 85. 2). Even in the times of the Rgveda speculation had begun about the distance between heaven and earth. In Rg. I. 155. 5 the poet says that no one dare soar up to the third step of Visnu (i. e. heaven), not even the birds flying on their wings. In the Aitareya Brāhmana the distance between the earth and heaven is put at one thousand days' journey for a horse 2414

In the Taitiriya Samhitā Prajāpati is frequently mentioned as creating the gods and asuras (III. 3.7.1), as creating yajñas (I. 6.9.1), as creating people (II. 1.21) and animals (I. 5.9.7) and desiring to create prajā and performing tapas for that (III. 1.1.1). Tai. S. (V. 6.4.2) states that all this in the beginning was water, a sea and that Prajāpati becoming wind floated rapidly on a lotus leaf.

The Atharvaveda has some hymns on creation. But they are verbose, repetitive and do not possess the depth, philosophy and terse style of the hymns of the Rgveda cited above. In hymns 7 and 8 of the 10th $k\bar{a}nda$ it puts forward Skambha as the base and as identified with Prajāpati, as the creator and supporter of all worlds and as having all the thirty-three gods in himself; it asks by how much did Skambha enter the manifold

²⁴⁴⁴ सहस्रमण्ड्यं स्वर्गकामस्य सहस्रान्धीने ना इत. स्वर्गो लोकः। ऐ. बा (7th अ,

forms of the highest, lowest and middling type that Praispati created; how much is that in which he (Skambha) did not enter'? In Rg. IX. 86.46 Soma made for sacrifice is spoken of as Skambha. Hymn 8 of kānda X (of Atharvaveda) is styled 'description of Jyestha-brahma' (the highest or oldest brahma). Two verses from it may be cited 'Obeisance to that Juestha Brahma that governs all, whether produced or to be produced, and to whom alone heaven pertains. These two, heaven and earth, stand supported by Skambha; all this that has Atman, that breathes and blinks is Skambha Skambha literally means 'support' or 'pillar'. The verbal form 'Skabhnāti' occurs in Rg X. 6. 3 and the word 'Skambha' occurs several times in the Rg. but not in the sense of 'creator'. In Atharva X. 8.2 Skambha is mentioned twice, while in X. 7 2445 (of 44 verses) it occurs many times. Atharva X. 2 is called Brahmaprakāśana hymn (of 33 verses). Numerous questions are asked in verses one to 19. In verses 20, 22, 24 questions are asked and verses 21, 23, 25 give replies to them. One question and answer may be set out 'By whom was this earth made (or arranged). by whom was the high heaven placed, by whom was the sky placed above and crosswise and in various directions'? 'Brahma made the earth, brahma is the heaven placed high, it is brahma that is the sky placed above, cross-wise and in various directions. Verse 27 of Atharva X. 8 is the same as Sv. Up. IV. 3 and identifies the creator with young and old. men and women and boy and In Atharva X. 8 several other deities also are mentioned but they are deemed to be comprehended in the Supreme Entity. In Atharva IX. 2 (25 verses) there is anotheosis of Kama, in the first eighteen verses of which there are prayers to Kama for vanquishing and driving away enemies, the last quarter of each of the verses 19 to 24 ends 'tasmai te Kāma nama it krnomi' (I offer obeisance to you. O Kāma'). These six verses declare that Kama appeared first, that neither the gods, nor pitrs nor men reached Kāma who is greater than heaven and earth, waters, Agni, the directions, all beings that blink their eyes, the sea; Vāta. Agni, the Sun and the Moon do not reach Kāma.

^{2445.} यस्मिन् स्तब्ध्वा प्रजापितिलोंकान्सर्वा अधारयत्। स्तम्भं त ब्रह् कतमः स्विदेव सः॥ यस्परममवमं यञ्च मध्यमं प्रजापितः सस्देजे विश्वरूपम्। कियता एकं भ प्रविवेश तत्र यस्प प्राविशक्तियत्तद् वधूव॥ यस्य त्रपश्चिशद्देवा अङ्गे सर्वे समाहिताः। कम्मं तं ब्रुहि कतमः स्विदेव सः॥ अधर्व X. 7. 7, 8, 13, किनेयं भूमिविहिता केन द्योचत्तरा हिता। केनेद्रमूर्वं तिर्यक् चान्तरिक्षं व्यच्वो हितम्॥ ब्रह्मणा भूमिविहिता ब्रह्म द्योचत्तरा हिता। ब्रह्मवमूर्वं तिर्यक् चान्तरिक्षं व्यच्वो हितम्॥ अधर्वे० X. 2, 24-25.

Atharva XIX. 52 is a hymn²⁴⁴⁶ of five verses addressed to Kāma, who is said to have appeared in the beginning and was the first flow of the mind.

Atharvaveda XI. 4 (26 verses) is addressed to Prāna, the first verse being 'obeisance to Prāna under whose domination is all this (world); he is the Lord of all and in him everything is centred (or established)' and verse 12 is 'Prāna is Virāj, Prāna is the directing power, all offer worship to Prāna, Prāna is indeed the Sun, the Moon and they (the sages) call him Prajāpati'.

In hymns 53 (10 verses) and 54 (5 verses) of Kānda 19 the Atharvaveda appears to put forward Kāla (time) as the first principle. Three of these are here translated. 'Tapas is placed in Kāla, also the Jyestha Brahma, Kāla is the Lord of all, he was the father of Prajāpati; Kāla created people, in the beginning Kāla created Prajāpati, Svayambhū (Brahmā), Kaśyapa and tapas sprang from Kāla; from Kāla were born waters, brahma, tapas and directions, sun-rise is due to Kāla and it is merged in Kāla (at night).'

The Śat, Br. in many places speaks of creation. A few passages only can be referred to here. The Śat Br. (in VI. 1.1) starts by saying that 'in the beginning there was here the non-existent' and asserts that the non-existent was the sages, the vital airs and then it postulates Prajāpati (made from seven vital airs) who desired 'May I be more, may I have progeny'. 'He toiled and practised tapas, and being tired, he created first of all the Brahman, the triple lore (the three Vedas), that Prajāpati then created waters from Vāk that is the world; that he (Prajāpati) entered the waters with the triple lore and that thence an egg arose; he touched it, then earth was produced and so on.

In XI. 1. 6. 1 ff. the Sat. Br. states "In the beginning this was water, only a sea The waters desired 'How shall we have

²⁴⁴⁶ कामस्तद्ये समवर्तेत मनस्ते सेत. यथमं यदासीत्। स काम कानेन बृहता सुयोजी रायरपोयं यजमानाय घेष्टि ॥ अथर्व॰ XIX 52 1 For सनस्ते रेत॰, compare रेष्टु. X. 129, 4 quoted abore in n 2441, प्राणाय नमी यस्य सर्वमिद्र चन्ने। यो यूतः सर्वस्वेश्वरो यस्मिन्सर्वे प्रतिद्वितम् ॥ पाणो विराद् माणो वेष्ट्री भाणे सर्व उपासते। भाणो ह सूर्यश्वन्द्रमा. भाणमाष्टुः प्रतापतितम् ॥ अर्थन XI. 4.1 aud 12, काले तपः काले उपेष्टे काले बहा समाहितम् । कालो ह सर्परेश्वरो य जितासीत्रकाणि ॥ काल मना अर्थनत कालो अर्थ अप्रतिद्वितम् । सर्वस्थः कह्ययः कालाव्यः कालाद्यायः कालाद्यायः कालाद्यायः कालाद्यायः कालाद्यायः कालाद्यायः समावन् कालाह्य सहा तपी दिहाः। कालेनोदिति सूर्यः काले विवासीत वृत्यः ॥ अर्थान् XIX 53.8 aud 10, कालाद्यायः समावन् कालाह्य सहा तपी दिहाः। कालेनोदिति सूर्यः काले विवासीत वृत्यः ॥ अर्थान् XIX 54, 1.

progeny?' They toiled and practised austerities; while they were doing this a golden egg was produced, which floated for about a year, in about a year's time a Purusa, Prajāpati, was born; he broke open the egg; he created the Gods by (the breath of) his mouth, he created Agni, Indra, Soma "&c.

In XI. 2. 3. 1-2 the Sat Br. says—In the beginning this (universe) was brahma, it created the gods, Agni, Vāyu, Sūrya; then a reference is made to name and form (nāma rūpe) by which he descends into the worlds and it is said 'these two (nāmarūpe) are the great manifestations of brahma'.

This myth about a golden egg of the universe is developed from Rg. X. 129 3 and X. 121.1 (Hiranyagarbhah samavartatāgre) in the Ch Up. III. 19 1-2 'In the beginning this universe was asat (not unfolded), it became sat (began to unfold), it was born (i e it took form); then an egg was evolved, it lay (in waters) for the period of a year; then it broke up, the two halves were one of silver and the other of gold, the silver half is this earth and the golden half is heaven'. This is followed in Manusmrti as will be shown later on.

It is stated in the Sat Br. X. 4 2. 22-23 that Prajāpati arranged the Rgveda in such a way that the number of syllables in the Rgveda come to 12000 Brhatī metres (each Brhatī has 36 syllables).

The Tai. Br. states "Prajāpati created Gods and asuras (II. 2. 3) but he did not create Indra; the Gods said to him 'create Indra for us'; just as I created you by means of tapas in the same way you create Indra, they practised tapas and they saw Indra (abiding) in themselves (i e in their hearts) they said to him 'be born'". In II. 2. 9 1 the Tai. 2447 Br. states "this universe was nothing at all in the beginning; there was no heaven nor earth nor mid region; that non-existent created Mind with the thought 'let me be'". In II. 6. 2 3 the same Brāhmana says "Prajāpati created by the help of Veda the two forms 'sat' and 'asat'". The Tai Br. in II. 8. 8 9-10 cites as Puronuvākyā and Yājyā of purodāsa and puronuvākyā of 'havis' as follows: 'Brahma produced the Gods and all this world;

²⁴⁴⁷ इदं वा अग्रे नेव क्लिंचनासीत्। म घौरासीत्। न पृथिवी। नान्तरिक्षम्। तदः सदेव सन् मनोऽक्तरत स्वामिति। ते जा II 2 9.1, ज्ञह्म देवानजनयत् ब्रह्म विश्वमिद जगत्। ब्रह्मणा क्षत्रं निर्मितम्। ज्ञह्म ब्राह्मण आत्मना॥ अन्तरिक्षित्तिने लोका। ब्रह्मित्व भूताना ज्येष्ठम्। तेन कोईति स्पर्धितम्॥ ज्ञह्मन्देवाख्यस्थित्। ज्ञह्मान्तिन क्षत्रान्ति स्पर्धितम्॥ ज्ञह्मन्देवाख्यस्थित्। ज्ञह्मान्तिन नावीवान्तः समाहिता॥ ते ज्ञा II, 8, 8 9–10,

the ksatriyas were produced from brahman and brahma transformed its form into brāhmanas; (Yājyā) 'these worlds abide inside brahman, similarly all this world is inside it; brahma is the best among all bhūtas; who vie or compete with it, brahman is thirty-three Gods, and all bhūtas, all placed inside it as in a boat'

In the Kausītaki Brāhmana there are brief allusions to Prajāpati. In VI. 1 it is stated 'Prajāpati, desirous of progeny, practised tapas; while he was thus practising tapas five were born, viz. Agni, Vāyu, Āditya, the Moon and Usas as fifth;' in VI 10 it is said 'Prajāpati practised tapas; after having practised tapas, he produced this world (the earth) from prāna, the mid regions from apāna and the yonder world (heaven) from wyāna; he then created Agni, Vayu and Āditya respectively from the earth, mid regions and heaven, and he produced rk verses from Agni, yajus texts from Vāyu and sāman texts from Āditya; in XIII. 1 it is asserted 'Prajāpati is indeed yajāa, in which all desires, all immortality are centered'; in XXVIII. 1 it is said 'Prajāpati created yajāa, the gods worshipped by means of yajāa, when it was created and thereby obtained all desired objects' 2448

The chief aim and purpose of the Brāhmanas of the Veda are to prescribe the acts and parts of the ritual of various sacrifices, to set out some myths and legends for their origin and to postulate various rewards on the performance of numerous sacrifices.

Prajāpati, who is mentioned very rarely in the Rgveda (IV. 53 2 where Savitr is called Prajāpati, IX. 5 9 where Soma is called Prajāpati, X. 85 4 where in the marriage hymn Prajāpati is prayed to bestow offspring, X. 169. 4 where Prajāpati is invoked to hestow good cows, X. 184 1 where Prajāpati along with other gods and goddesses is invoked for the blessing of conception in a married weman, X. 121 10 (already referred to above on p. 1490), becomes the most prominent god in the Brāhmanas. The Ait Br. narrates the story that after Indra killed Vrtra he wanted to be great and honoured in place of Prajāpati, that then Prajāpati said (if you are to be great) 'who am I going

^{2448.} प्रजापतिर्वे यझलास्तिन्सर्वे कामा सर्वममृतत्वम्। कीथी. वा XIII. 1 (Lindner's ed Jena, 1887). प्रजापतिर्हे यझं सत्त्वे तेन ह स्टेन देवा ईजिरे तेन हेट्टा मर्गारगामानामु । sbid XXVIII. 1.

H. D. 188

to be' (koham-iti) and thereby Prajāpati came to be called 'Ka'. 2449

The Ait. Br. states that Prajāpati desiring to propagate and to be many and having practised tapas created the three worlds, earth, mid region and heaven from which arose three lights (yyotis), Agni, Vāyu and Aditya, from which arose the three Vedas &c.

The Vedic Samhitas and Brahmanas show that the popular belief about the individual self was that by good deeds the soul reaches heaven, becomes immortal and enjoys various joys and pleasures. Vide Rg. IX 113. 7-11, I. 125. 4-6, Atharva IV. 34. 2 and 5. VI. 120. 3. There was also the idea of retribution and recompense for evil or harmful acts done by one person to another. For example, the Sat Br. says (XII. 9. 1. 1) 'for whatever food a man eats in this world, by the very same is he eaten again in the other world.' Vide also Sat. Br XI 6.1 But when we come to the Upanisads, the whole intellectual atmosphere is The Upanisads frequently assert that Atman is the only reality. that there is nothing else and that the Atman can only be described as 'not this-not this' i. e. the Atman is unknowable. This is the first and foremost aspect of Vedanta. But this lofty metaphysical conception clashed with the ideas of common people who thought that a real universe existed apart from the Creator. The higher philosophic minds had to concede the reality of the universe for common people. They were prepared to say that the universe exists. in truth, however, it is nothing but the Atman entered into the universe. They said that the world was phenomenal, not false or nothing, but the world had the Atman behind it This was the good aspect of the Vedanta viz. that the universe evolved from the first principle, brahma. They distinguished between saguna (qualified) by ahman that was for prayer and worship (upasana), and for practical purposes (vyavahārāvasthā) and nu guna (unqualified) brahma. But the higher thought also insisted that the highest truth (paramarthikasatya) is that brahman is one, that everything in the world (men, animals, inorganic matter)

²⁴⁴⁹ In Rg. X. 121 the last quarter of the first 9 verses is "Kasmai devāya havisā vidhema" (to what god shall we offer havis?). Then the 10th and last verse addresses Prajāpati with the words 'there is no God other than you who encompassed all these creations.' It is probably due to this that from 'kasmai' (in the first nine verses) Prajāpati came to be called 'Ka'.

is brahman ('sarvam khalvidam brahma' Chān. Up. III. 14.1. 'aham brahmāsmīti tasmāt tatsarvam-abhavat' Br. Up I. 4. 10). The Ait Up, quoted below is most emphatic about the identity 2450 of the first principle with men, beasts, motionless beings and other passages do the same As regards the elements the Br. Up, has a long passage 2451 (III. 7. 2-23) in which Yamavalkya propounds to Uddālaka Āruni the sublime doctrine viz. that the Atman residing in the earth and other elements is inside them. whom they (the elements) do not know, whose body is the earth and other elements, who rules from within the earth and others, that that Atman is the soul of thee (and of mine and others). is the inner ruler and is immortal. The last part of this passage is 'the ruler within is unseen but seeing, unheard but hearing, unperceived but perceiving, unknown but knowing, there is no other seer but he, there is no other hearer but he, that is no other perceiver but he, there is no other knower but he. This is the Self, the ruler within, the immortal. Everything else is distress' This whole section called

^{2450.} आत्मा वा द्वनेक एवाग्र आसीजान्यक्तिंचन मिपत्। स ईक्षत लोकानु सुजा इति। स इमाँहोकानसजतान्मो मरीचीर्मरमापः। स ईक्षत इमे छ लोका । लोकपालानु सजा इति। सो अन्नय एव पुरुपं ससुद्धृत्यामूर्च्छयत्। ... स ईक्षत कथं न्विदं महते स्पादिति। स ईक्षत करोण प्रपद्या इति।...स एतमेव सीमानं विदार्चेतया द्वारा प्रापद्यतः। ऐ. उप I 1-3, I. 3 11-12 This passage is dealt with in V. S III 3.16 which establishes that here the word Atman stands for Paramatman, अम्म, मरीचीं , मरं, आएः stand for heaven, mid-region, earth and waters below the earth.

²⁴⁵¹ य. पृथिन्या तिष्ठन् पृथिन्या अन्तरी यं पृथिनी न नेद यस्य पृथिनी शरीरं या पृथिवीमन्तरी यमयत्वेष त आत्नाइन्तर्यान्यमूतः।... अदृष्टी द्रष्टाऽश्रुतः स्रोताइमतो मन्ताइविज्ञातो विज्ञाता ! . एप त आत्माइन्तर्यान्यमृतः । अतोइन्यदार्तम् । ब्रह चप III, 7 3 and 23; compare with this last, वृह उप III. 4. 2 कतमी पाजवल्क्य सर्वान्तरः । न हरेर्द्रधारं पर्ये. .. एव त आत्मा सर्वान्तर:। अतोश्यदार्तम् and III. 5 2 शेकराचार्थ in his भाष्य on the last passage explains अतीन्यदार्त as 'एतदेवैक्सनार्तमविनाज्ञि कुटस्थम्। and so and means hable to sorrow, infatuation, old age and death, as appears from the question and answer in बृह उप III 5.1 कतमो याज्ञवल्क्य सर्वान्तर:। योऽश-नापाणिपासे शोकं मोह जरा मृत्युमत्पेति।. After quoting this passage Rene Grousset in 'Sum of History' tr. into English by A and H. Temple Patterson (1951) pays a handsome compliment to it (on p. 95) 'what better introduction to Christianity is there than the conception of the sauctity of the human individual and of the universe as temples of God ' In ऐ उप III 2 we are given 17 words that are said to be names of Prajnana (1 e brahman) and then ऐ उप 111 3 runs 'त्य बहुता, एय इन्द्र., एय मजापति , एते सर्वे देवा , इमानि च पञ्च महामुतानि पृथिती वास्त्राकाश आयो च्योतींगि, एतानीमानि च शुद्रमिश्राणीव बीजानीतराणि चेनराणि चाण्डजानि च जारजानि सेदजानि चोद्रिजानि चाश्वा गाव पुरुषा इस्तिनो यहिंनचेदं प्राणि जङ्गमं च पताचे च यज्ञ स्थावरं सर्वे तत्प्रज्ञानेत्रं मज्ञाने प्रतिद्वितम्। प्रज्ञानेत्रो लोकः। प्रज्ञा मिला। मजान बह्म : This carries to its logical conclusion the idea in the Purusasukta X 90 6, 8, 10.

antaryāmi-brāhmana has a parallel in Br. Up. II. 5 (Madhu-The ordinary man's conception of brahman as the creator was not, however, entirely given up by the thinkers in the Upanisads, though it was said that that concept was due to andua (ignorance about Reality). Brahman conceived as creator was called Isvara (a personal God), though the worshipper might know that brahman in essence is above all conditions and limitations of personality. This is theism, which acknowledges three entities viz. a real world, the Paramatman (creative Atman) and the individual self dependent on Paramatman. But the real thought of the Upanisads is centred round the non-difference of brahman and atman and the physical world. This thought that brahman entered into what are called individual souls and also the material universe is the third aspect of Vedanta. On V. S II 3 43 Śankarācārya quotes passages from the Brahmasūkta belonging to the Atharvaveda 2452 and from the Sv. Up. expressive of the identity with brahman of fishermen, slaves, gamblers, of men and women, of boys and girls and old men tottering on a staff The faith that the same Spirit animates the universe, from the stone, worms and beasts to man, is an elevating one, may make one feel that all creatures are brethren seeking the Creator and may, in a world dominated by egoism and urged to activities for individual prosperity and benefit, introduce some sweetness and consolation. in 'The philosophy of the Upanishads' (translated by A. S. Geden, Edinburgh, 1906) pays a glowing tribute to the sages of the Upanishads in the following eloquent passage 'It was here that for the first time the original thinkers of the Upanishads, to their immortal honour, found it (key) when they recognized our atman, our inmost individual being, as the Brahman, the inmost being of universal nature and of all her phenomena' (p. 40). Vide also J. Royce in 'The world and the individual', First series of Lectures, particularly pp. 156-175 for the exposition of Chan, Up. III. 14 and VI. 2-15 and the Br. Up dialogue between Yāi. and Maitreyī

The Upanisads are full of theories of creation and of the nature of the First Principle A few passages may first be cited

²⁴⁵² एके आणिनो दाशिकतवादिभाव ब्रह्मण आमनन्त्राथर्थणिका ब्रह्मएके-ब्रह्म दाशा ब्रह्म दासा ब्रह्मेंबेने कितवा-इत्यादिना। इति द्दीनजन्तुस्वरणेन सर्वेषाके नामन्य-कृतकार्यकरणमञ्जातपविद्याना जीवानां ब्रह्मत्वमाद् । तथान्यश्चापि ब्रह्मप्रक्रियायागेवायमर्थ प्रपुच्चयते। संस्त्री सं पुमानिस सं कुमार उत वा कुमारी। संजीणो दण्डेन वश्चसि संजातां भवमि विश्वतीसुन्य । इति।. The last is अपर्ये X. 8 27 and श्वेना दण्डन 3

The Br Up. I. 4 (in 3-4.7) has an original and about creation significant passage on creation Some part of it is set out here 'In the beginning this was Atman alone in the form of Purusa; He (being alone) found no pleasure; he desired to have a second (a companion), he became of the extent of a male and a female in close embrace, he made this very Atman fall aside in two parts that became husband and wife: from them were born men and he produced lower animals up to ants: this (universe) was then undeveloped (or not unfolded), it was then developed in names and forms, that (Atman) entered into this up to the finger tips. just as a razor remains hidden in a sheath or just as the all supporting (fire) is not seen in wood'. In this passage the popular idea of the creation of the world is taken up and related to the one reality. Atman, and the emphasis appears to be placed on the theory that the sole reality is Atman, under the phantasmagoria of world phenomena. In Ch. Up VII, 10 1 it is said 'this earth, mid regions, heaven, gods and men, beasts and birds. grass and herbs, animals including insects, butterflies, ants. These are all nothing but waters in solid form.' The Chan. Un. (VI 2.3-4 and VI 3.2-3) states "in the beginning Sat alone was existent, one without a second: it thought 'I shall become many, I shall have progeny"; it created heat (teias), from teias waters were produced, from water food: that divinity proposed 'I shall enter into these three divinities (heat, water and food) with this living self and unfold name and form'. Here reference is made to three elements only viz teras, water, and earth (anna is produced from plants which spring from the earth). It is not, however, proper to hold that only three were then recognized, these three were the most obvious and the other two Vāyu and Ākāša mentioned in Ait. and Tai. Up. are elusive. The Ait, Up. (quoted in note 2451) begins "In the beginning there was here this Atman alone, there was nothing else that was active (lit. that opened and closed eyes); He reflected' I shall create worlds'. He created these worlds, the ambhas (water above heaven), marici 'rays' (i e.) atmospheric region, death, waters". Then the Up. proceeds: He created guardians of worlds and proposed to produce food for them. Then he reflected 'how can this frame subsist apart from me? Then he reflected 'by what way shall I enter into it?' Then it is stated that he split open the crown of the head and entered by that door. The Tai Up. II 6 says "He (the Atman) desired 'May I become many, I shall have progeny'; having practised tapas, he created all this (universe) whatever it is; after having created it he

entered into it" and again in II. 7 'in the beginning this was asat (not unfolded), then it became sat (developed), it unfolded itself'. This is the basis of V. S. I. 4.26 (ātmakṛteh parināmāt) which establishes that brahman is both kartr (agent) and karma (object) of creation. The same Up. in II. 1 speaks of the creation of ākāśa from the Ātman, of Vāyu from ākāśa, of Agni from Vāyu, of waters from Agni and of the earth from waters Here we have five elements instead of three (as in the Chān Up). The Ait. Up. III. 3 names the five elements and calls them Mahābhūtāni (though the usual order is not followed), so do Praśna VI. 4, Śv. Up. II. 12, Katha III. 15 (where the five gunas, śabda, sparśa, rūpa, rasa and gandha, each peculiar to the five elements from ākāśa to prthvī, are mentioned). 2153

^{2453.} Prof George Sarton in 'A History of Science' (Harvard University Press, 1952) states (p. 247) that Empedocles born about 490 B. C. (in Greece) postulated only four elements, fire, air, water and earth and that a fifth, ether, was added by Plato and Aristotle. Vide also Introduction (p 11) to Plato's Timaeus (in Loeb's classical library, Vol. VII, ed of 1952) translated by Rev R G. Burg. Plato's exuberant imagination constructed the physical world on the pattern of geometrical figures most familiar to him and assigned the cube to earth and different kinds of triangles to fire, air and water (vide Jowett's translation of Timaeus, Vol III pp. The Greek philosophers relied on reason and not on ancient 638-639) texts (as Indian sages did) and Plato's philosophy and cosmology were looked upon as the acme of wisdom even up to the 19th century, but Sarton (on p 420 of the above work) remarks that modern men of science can regard it only as a monument of unwisdom and recklessness (rather a harsh judgment). Dr. W. M Smart in 'Origin of the Earth' remarks that in probing into the mystery of creation science has not been more successsful than the poetic Hebrew expounders of cosmology (pp 8-9) For comparison of ancient Indian ideas on cosmology with those of ancient Egyptians, Babylonians, Hebrews, and Greeks one may read a small book in the 'Corridors of Time' Series' Vol. I on 'Apes and men' by H. Peak and H J. H. Fleure pp. 6-8 (Oxford, 1927), on p 7 there is a figure of a Babylonian tablet recording part of the creation story. On p 56 it states that the probable place of the origin of man and the period at which buman story began are matters of great speculation. The principal questions that arise in cosmology are: (1) when were the earth and planets produced, (2) what is the process that accounts for the present state of the earth and the solar and other systems. Sanskrit works from the Reveda down to the Purane tried to answer these questions in their own ways. During the last one hundred years or so the theories of Lemaitre and Darnin and the developments in the sciences of Geology, Biology, Physics and Astronomy have Even the nork of sir revolutionized the whole conception of cosmology A. Eddington 'The expanding Universe' (Cambridge, 1933) has become (Continued on next page)

It has already been shown that in the Tai. Up and the Chān. Up (vide note 2430) it is stated that the bhūtas spring from and are absorbed in brahman. The order of dissolution is the reverse of that of creation. This is stated by the Vedāntasūtra II 3 14 ('wparyayena tu kramosta upapadyate ca'). Śankarācārya in his bhāsya quotes a verse of the Śāntiparva in support of this. 2454

The theory of yugas, mahāyugas, manvantaras and kalpas has already been dealt with in vol. III. pp. 885-896 and above pp 686-692. The dissolution of the world was called pralaya which was said to be of four kinds (vide above pp. 693-95), two of which are namitika pralaya (which occurs at the end of a day of Brahmā, that is equal to 1000 mahāyugas) and Prākrtika (when everything including Prakrti is dissolved in Paramatman). The Gita (VIII. 17-18) and Manu I 73 state that the day of Brahmā is equal to one thousand yugas and the night is also of the same duration, that all manifested things spring from the unmanifested (First Principle) at the beginning of the day (of Brahmā) and at the coming of the night (of Brahmā) they merge in the same unmanifested (Principle). The present author does not like the disagreeable task of comparing Sanskrit cosmology with the theories in other religious scriptures such as the Bible, but will only refer to some Western writers on that subject. About these ideas of creation and dissolution of the universe René Grousset in the work mentioned above observes (p. 96) 'the same power of synthesis appears in the ancient Indian cosmogonies which transcend in their breadth all that the Ionian philosophers or Lucretius have left us. In them the

⁽Continued from last page)

somewhat outmoded by recent discoveries. The present author does not know much of science. But from what little he has read in a few scientific works he may say that the history of science makes it clear that the actual state of man's scientific knowledge is provisional, that scientific theories are always subject to corrections and modifications. For instance, Newton's laws of motion were regarded for over two bundred years as absolutely correct eunociations of fundamental and universal laws of physics, but in recent times they have been found to be mere approximations.

^{2454.} स्मृतावरपुरासिक्तमाविधांपैयेणेवाध्ययस्त्र सत्र मह्भित । 'जगरमितिष्ठा देववें पृथित्यस्त्र महीयते। ज्योतिस्याप महीयते। ज्योतिस्याप महीयते। अधियते॥) इत्यादी This verse is शान्तिपर्य 340 29 (= 326, 28 of cr. ed). The three following verses (which occur in both editions) may be cited here: से वासुः महाये याति मनस्याकाकानेव व। मनी दि पर्रम मूर्त तदस्यके महीयते॥ अध्यक्त स्वये बह्मम् निष्क्रिये संमहीयते। जात्ति तस्मायत्तर्ते पुरुषाद्वै सनातनात्॥ नित्यं हि नास्ति जयति मृतं स्थावरसङ्कमम्। इते तमेकं पुरुषं सातनम्॥

world alternates between periods of creation (which correspond to the activity of the Demiurge) and of dissolution (which correspond to the slumber of the God)'. Similarly, Gerald Heard remarks (in 'Is God evident' Faber and Faber, London, 1950) 'Final and most helpful fact is that Sanskrit cosmology not only gets rid of intellectual difficulties such as the crude Hebrew Geology and Astronomy fossilized in the Christian dogmas, but it gets rid likewise of those more serious moral difficulties such as eternal damnation, pre-destination and that this life is man's only chance' (p. 51).

The high metaphysical conception that in reality there is no universe outside brahman (i. e. brahman is one and Is unqualified, mi ouna) and the popular empirical conception that there is a personal God who creates (saguna brahma) and real universe, often run together in the Upanisads. The Prasna Up. V. 2 asserts that Om is both para (highest) brahma and apara (other, lower) brahma. Śankarācārva on V S. I. 1. 12 (anandamayosbhyasat) states that in the Upanisads brahman is described in two ways, (firstly) as qualified by various adjuncts such as name and form and created objects and meant to be worshipped and (secondly) as devoid of all adjuncts (as meant to be mystically realized). As instances of the 2nd way (nu unadluka or nu auna brahman) he instances several passages viz. Br Up. IV. 5. 15 ('where there is as it were duality, there one sees the other one touches the other or knows the other. but where one has come to realize that all is only Atman, whom will one see, with what will one understand the knower himself'), Br. Up 1II 9. 26 = IV. 4. 22 and IV. 5. 15 (this self is to be spoken of as 'not this, 'not this'). Br. Up. III. 8 8 (it is that imperishable one that the brahmapas speak about as neither coarse nor small, neither short nor long, neither red nor fluid, neither wind nor ether .. neither as having an inside nor outside &c.); Chan. Up (VII. 24. 1 'where one sees nothing else, hears nothing else, understands nothing else that is the Infinite, where one sees something else, hears something else, understands something else, that is small (finite), the Infinite is immortal, the finite is mortal, the Infinite rests in its own greatness, or does not rest in greatness); Śv Up. VI. 19 (who is without parts, without activities, tranquil, faultless, without taint, the highest bridge to immortality, like a fire that has consumed its fuel). There are also other passages of the same import e.g Br. Up IV. 4. 19 (neha nānāsti kincana, there is no diversity in it), Katha Up. IV. 10-11 (mrtyoh sa mrtyum-āpnoti ya iha nāneva

pasyati). The 4th aspect of Upanisadic thought is concerned with the destiny of the self after the death of the body and the matters that govern it (i. e. Ethics and Eschatology).

These passages emphasize that it is impossible to describe what brahman is and that we can only say what it is not. Śankarācārva 2155 on V. S. III. 2 17 refers to the dialogue of Bāskali and Bādhva where Bādhva declared the characteristic of brahman by his silence. Bāskali said 'Sir, tell me about brahma ': then Bādhva remained silent; when Bāskali asked a second and a third time Badhya replied 'we have been telling you indeed, but you don't understand; this self is still (without any activity)'. J. Royce in 'The world and the individual' vol. I. p. 148 is just like this episode of Bāskali and Bādhya "Believe not those prattlers' says one often quoted mystical work 'who boast that they know God' Who knows Him is silent". Śankarācārya puts the distinction between para-brahma and apara-brahma (personal God) as follows: Where texts reject the connection of brahma with names and forms that are the product of avidya (nescience) and speak of brahma in negative expressions such as 'asthūla' (not gross or big), there it is parabrahma (that is meant), but where in such passages as 'He is mind, has prana or body, the form of light, whose thoughts are true, whose nature is like ākāša (present everywhere), who creates everything '&c brahma is mentioned for worship and it is apara. 2456

^{2455.} चाध्कलिना च बाध्व. पृष्टः सस्तवचनेनैव ब्रह्म गोवाचिति श्रूपते। 'सहोवाचाधीहिं भो इति स तूर्णीं बधूव तं ह हितीये वा तृतीये वा वचन उवाच ब्रूमः खळ खं तु न विजानासि। उपशान्तोऽयमात्मा। इति। शङ्कराचार्य on वे स्त्र III 2.17. This is a Vedic Text acc. to Sankara, but it has not yet been identified.

²⁴⁵⁶ कि पुन पर ब्रह्म किमपरमिति। उच्यते। यज्ञाविद्याञ्चलनामरूपादिविद्येषपति वेधादरथूलादिशव्देष्ट्वापिदिश्यते तत्परम् । तदेव यज्ञ नामरूपादिविद्येषपे किन्विद्विशिष्ट सुपासनायोपदिश्यते 'सनोमय प्राणक्षिति सारूपः' (छा III 14.2) इत्यादिशव्दस्तद्यपरम् भावप on वे. स् IV. 3. 14, एवमेकमपि ब्रह्मपिक्षितीपाधिसम्बन्धं निरस्तीपाधिसम्बन्धं चोपास्यतेन चेवानेपूपदिश्यत इति। श्रङ्कराचार्यं on वे स् I. 1. 12. It should be noted that the words 'net neti' occur four times in the great exposition of Yājāvalkya on brahman in Br Up. IV. 2. 4. IV 4. 22, IV. 5. 15, III. 9 26 The highest brahman is conceived as beyond space, time and independent of the law of causality. We may compare the conceptions of paraushrahma and apara-brahma with what Plato postulates (in Timaeushrahma and apara-brahma with what Plato postulates (in Timaeushecoming viz Being is changeless, eternal, self-existent and apprehensible by thought only. Becoming is the opposite, ever changing, never truly existent and the object of sensations, and the perceptible universe belongs to the latter

The description of the creation of the universe and its dissolution are valid only on the practical plane. In Advata Vedanta, Sattā (reality) is said to be of three kinds, viz. Pāramārthiki (the highest, the absolute), vyāvahārikī (of practical life) and prātibhāsikī (apparent or illusory). The first is the province of para-vidya which teaches that only the Atman exists, that the cosmos exists within the Atman and nothing else has intrinsic reality apart from it. From this high metaphysical standpoint there is in reality no creation nor dissolution, the individual self is not really in bondage, therefore none is liberated. The 2nd kind of reality is empirical and practical and the dogmas of the creation and dissolution of the world, of the individual self, its bondage, transmigaration and final liberation are valid only for the avarā-vidyā. Most religions postulate three fundamental God. individual self and the external world. entities viz These three are true but only up to a certain limit (only so long as a man holds his own ego as a separate reality) but these three are not the ultimate Truth. Even in this lower kind of reality, a man who is in deep sleep becomes (for a time) united with (or absorbed in) the True as stated by the Chan. Up. VI. 8. 1 (yatraitat purusah svapiti nāma satā somya tadā sampanno bhavati). The third kind of reality pertains to dream state. One may have experience of pleasure and pain and misery from what one sees in a dream, which are real as long as the dream lasts, but all this that is seen in a dream vanishes the moment the man is awake. As stated above (p. 1485 and note 2434) the descriptions of the creation of the world have only this in view that there is non-difference between cause and effect and that they all lead to a correct understanding about brahman. Sankarācārya on V. S II. 3. 30 extends the same reasoning to individual selves (to be quoted later on under 'Karma and transmigration').

In the Upanisads there is apparent discrepancy as regards what was created and the order of the things 2157 created. The

^{2457.} It may be noted that the creation of the universe is put in the Upanisads in the distant and dim past, not at a definite date as fixed by Biblical chronology (4004 B. C.). Vide Pringle-Pattison in 'Idea of God' (ed of 1917) p 299. H. D Anthony in 'Science and its background' (MacMillan, 1948, p. 2) states that James Ussher, Archbishop of Armagh, in the 17th century introduced into the Anglican Church the year 4004 B.C. as the date of creation On the medieval Christian doctrine, creation is only an incident in God's existence and man is made in the image of God and it is by the breath of God that man became a living soul (Genesis I 27 and II. 7). There is another point that distinguishes Christian doctrine about man from the Vedānta doctrine, according to the former man is conceived and born in sin, according to the latter the human soul is divine.

Br. Up. (V. 5.1) states 'in the beginning there were only waters; the waters created satua, which is brahma, brahman created Prajāpati, who created the gods'. In Chan. Up. VI. 2. 3 the thing expressly mentioned as the first creation is teias (heat). ākāša not being mentioned at all, while in the Tai. Up. II, 1 ākāśa is said to have been first created and then Vavu (was created from ākāśa), then Agni from Vāyu. Similarly, in the Chān. Up. IV. 2 where the creation of tegas, waters and food (i e, the earth) is expressly mentioned, nothing is said about the creation of Vavu. which is set out in Tai. Up. II. I. This matter about the creation of the elements and their order is discussed in V. S. II. 3. 1-11. The reply of Sankarācārya is that a Śruti passage like the one in Ch. Up. is concerned only with the creation of some elements like teras and cannot be also interpreted as having a second purpose, viz showing that the creation of akasa in Tai. Up. is wrong and should be discarded. 2458

On the subject of creation, the question arises whether the individual Self is also a creation like that of the earth, trees and shrubs. The Upanisads have a good deal to say on this. Here also two kinds of texts have to be considered In the first place. some texts seem to state that the individual selves spring from the Supreme Spirit A few passages that are sometimes relied upon for this last matter may be cited here 2459 The Br. Up. states 'nust as tiny sparks spring forth from fire, in the same way from this Atman spring up all pranas, all worlds, all gods and all creatures'. The Mundaka Up. expands this same idea as follows. As from a well-kindled fire sparks of the same nature spring forth in thousands, so from the Imperishable various living beings issue and return into it. The Smrti of Yāj, cites the same illustration of fire and sparks Another and perhaps apter illustration is in the Katha Up 'just as pure water poured in (other) pure water becomes like it (i. e not distingui-

^{2458.} च दीर्य श्रुतिस्तेजोजनियधाना सती शुरवन्तरप्रसिद्धामाकाशस्योत्पत्ति वार्ययतुं शक्त्वोति, एकस्य वाक्यस्य व्यापारद्वयासम्भवात्। भाष्य on वे चु II. 3 6.

²⁴⁵⁹ यणाग्ने श्रुद्धा निस्फुलिङ्गा राज्यसम्योगमेनास्मास्मान सर्वे प्राणाः सर्वे लेकाः सर्वे देवा सर्वाणि भूतानि व्युज्यस्ति। वृष्ट उप. II 1 20, यथा सुदीसात्पावकाद्विस्फुलिङ्गा. सहस्रश प्रभवन्ते सस्या । तथाक्षराद्विविधा सीन्य भावा. प्रजायन्ते तत्र चैवापियन्ति। सुण्टकोषः II. 1 Compare कीपीतक्ष्युपः 'यथाग्नेर्ज्यंतत सर्वा दिशो विस्फुलिङ्गा विद्यतिदेरसे प्रमेवैतस्मादात्मन पाणा यथायत्म विभित्तेष्ठन्ते । प्राणेग्यो देवा देवेग्यो लेकाः । IV 18 and also मेन्नी VI. 26 and 31 for a similar verse । याज्ञ III. 67 is नि सर्गन्ते पण लोट्पिण्डास्तात्स्फुलिङ्गका । सक्ताशादात्मनस्तद्वद्वारमान प्रभवन्ति हि॥, यथोदक गुज्जे शुद्धमामिक्तं ताद्योव भवति । एवं सुनेविजानत आत्मा भवति गीतम॥ करोप् प

shable), so the self of the wise sage becomes (indistinguishable from the Supreme Essence). On the other hand, there are numerous Upanisad 2460 passages which categorically state that the individual self is unborn, undying, is not a product, that the Supreme Spirit enters as individual self, that there is nondifference between the one Supreme Spirit and the individual self. Some of these passages are set out in the note below. All those passages are cited by Sankarācārya on V. S II. 3.17. which states two propositions viz the individual self is upproduced and that it is eternal according to Sruti passages (nātmāsruter-nītyatvācca tābhyah). How the one Supreme Spirit expands into and pervades the manifold universe of plurality is a great mystery and can only be explained by illustrations. The few passages in which the texts seem to mention the creation or dissolution of individual selves are to be understood as referring to the Upādhis (such as body and mind) by which the self is affected. Yājñayalkya gives this answer in finally winding up his exposition to Maitrevi 2461 'this self is imperishable and indestructible; but (when one speaks of death what is meant is) that the self has no longer any contact with material elements'. The Santiparva 2462 and the Gita (II, 20, 21, 24, 25) say the same thing.

The highest metaphysical standpoint can be realized by only a few. For millions of men, the empirical standpoint alone remains and it is for them that texts speak of a personal God, ritual and sacrifices; they are only on the first rung of the ladder

^{2460.} जीवापेत वाव किलेई भ्रियते न जीवो भ्रियत इति । छा उप I. 11. 3; स वा एप महानज आत्माऽजयोऽमरोऽभ्यो ब्रह्मा (बृह्. IV 4 25), न जायते श्रियते वा विपश्चित । अजो निस्यः शाश्वतोऽयं पुराणो न हन्यते हन्यमाने शरीरे । कट 2. 18, तत्सुण्ड्वा तदेवाष्ठः प्राविशत् । ते उप II. 6, अनेन जीवेनात्मनाञ्चप्रविश्य नामक्ष्ये व्याकरवाणि । छा उप. VI. 3. 2, स एप इह प्रविष्ट आ नखाग्रेभ्यः । बृह् I 4. 7; तस्त्रमसि (छा उप VI 8. 7), अहं ब्रह्मारिम (छ उप, I, 4. 10); अयमात्मा ब्रह्म सर्वाञ्चस्नः । बृह् उप II 5-19.

^{2461.} अविनाशी वा ओ आत्माञ्चिन्छित्तिधर्मा माज्ञात्तंसर्गस्वस्य भवति। वृहः उपः IV. 5 14. This is quoted by शृङ्करात्वार्य on वे सु II. 3 17.

^{2462.} न जीवनाशोऽस्ति हि देहभेदे मिथ्यैतदाहुर्मृत इत्यबुद्धाः। जीवरत् देहान्तरित. प्रयाति दशाधेतैवास्य शरीरभेदः॥ एवं सर्वेषु भूतेषु गृहश्वरति संवृत । दश्यते लग्ज्यया छुत्था स्ट्रम्या तत्त्व्विभिः॥ तं पूर्वापरराजेषु युजानः सततं छुष । त्रव्यादारो विद्युद्धात्मा पश्यरयात्मान्त्रात्मान्ति । शान्ति 180 26–28 (=187 27–29 Ch. ed) दशार्ष means five and दशार्थता means पश्चर्य With न जीवनाशोस्ति compare छ। उप VII, 11. 3 जीवापेतं वाव किलेद मिपूते न जीवो मियत इति and the verse एवं सर्वेषु भूतेषु ।s almost the same as कठ III 12 एप सर्वेषु भूतेषु गृहोत्मा न प्रकाशते । इश्यते त्वाया छुत्या स्ट्रम्या स्ट्रम्यति । त्रिः॥ . The verse एवं सर्वेषु ... दिशिभः occurs again ।n शान्तिः chap, 246. 5:(=cr. ed. 238. 5)।

to enlightenment and are only dimly aware of God; there is a much smaller class of people other than the preceding. who pray, seek God and come to realize that God is both immanent and transcendent; there is a third class of a very few people, the great sages and masters, the spiritual elite such as Sankarācarva, who reach the peak of pure monism, who lose the sense of the ego and who are ripe for entering into union with the One and they cannot and should not say that the individual soul and the physical world are all unreal (or Māyā). Both Bādarāyana (V. S II. 2 29 'Vaidharmyāc-ca na svapnādiyat') and Sankarācārya are agreed that the ordinary physical world is entirely different from dreams and that the impressions in the waking state are not independent of existing objects. Apart from the question whether the word 'Maya' used in V. S. III. 2. 3 (Māyāmātram tu &c.) is used by Bādarāyana in the sense in which Sankarācārya understands it. it cannot be denied that Upanisad passages like Katha Up. II. 4. 2, Praśna I, 16, Chān Up. VIII. 3. 1-2, the prayer in Br. Up. I. 3. 28 (asato mā sadgamaya &c) could easily suggest the doctrine of Māyā and lead to it as an intelligible development. Hence the proper language for almost all men is not to speak about the world as Māyā (illusion). If the individual soul and the world are unreal. then it may be argued by one who does not subscribe to the doctrine of Māyā as against those who hold it that you are teaching that an unreal soul has to escape from an unreal Samsura and secure what you call moksa by means which are themselves unreal (such as Upanisad study) and that therefore moksa itself is unreal. How the one Reality becomes many and expresses itself in the ever-changing physical world is really an inexplicable mystery, but that does not entitle all of us to say that the world is unreal or a dream. The few highly philosophical men may say that what is real is the one Absolute, that all else is only an appearance of that Absolute. Common men may, however, complain that explanations offered by these philosophers do not satisfy them or are beyond them.

When one has to emphasize what the Reality behind the world is in itself, one speaks of the Absolute brahman, but when one has to speak about the relation of the one Reality to the individual selves and the physical world one speaks of a personal God. When the Vedāntasūtra (II, 1. 14) states 2163 that the

^{2463.} On तदनन्यत्वमारम्भणशन्दादिन्य. (वे. स् II 1. 14) शङ्कराचार्य says 'शक्क चात्मैकत्वावगतेरम्यादत सर्वः सत्याद्यतम्यवहारो लीकिको वैद्किश्चेत्यवीचामः।, सर्वज्ञ-(Continued on next page)

world is non-different (ananya) from brahman, what is meant is not that the two are identical, but only this that the selves and the world are not entirely different from brahman. When it is said that Moksa results if one realizes brahman, there is no question of the destruction of the world but all that it comes to is that the false idea or outlook in that case is displaced or sublated by a true one. How the finite world arises from the Infinite is a mystery, for which Sankaracarya employs the word 'Maya'. But he is positive that till a person realizes the one Atmon all religious and worldly courses of life, real-unreal, go on unobstructed. The concept of Māyā as postulated by Sankarācārya (on V. S. II. 1, 14 and other places) is one of the most misunderstood elements of Vedants. Further, it should not be forgotten that a very large number of philosophically minded Hindus do not advocate the doctrine that the world is an illusion; all that is said by advaitins is that the world is not as real as the Absolute is. The passages quoted below from Sankarācārya's Bhūsya clarify his position, which is this. There is the physical world with its manifold distinctions, but it must rest on something else; that something is called the absolute brahman. The relation between the two is inexplicable and therefore it is spoken of as Māyā. In that way Śankarācarya is agnostic, while other religious philosophers are not willing to admit the futility of theories or their helplessness to put forward a generally acceptable and reasonable theory of the relation of the universe and the Eternal Spirit behind it.

It should not be forgotten that, according to our sastras the goals of human life are four, Dhama (an ethical life of doing what is right), Artha (a life of acquisition of wealth i. e. economic life based on justice), Kāma (a life of the enjoyment of innocent pleasures and right desires) and Mokşa (liberation), this last being the highest goal to be attained only by a few people (it is called Paramapunusāntha). Even in the Rgveda (I, 89.8) the sage prays for physical health, 2464 happiness and

(Continued from last page)

स्येश्वरस्यातमभूते त्वाविद्यालिक्ति नामरूपे तरवान्यत्वाभ्यामनिर्वचनीये ससारमपद्यभीजभूते सर्वज्ञस्येश्वरस्य माया शक्तिः प्रकृतिरिति च श्वतिस्पृत्योशिम्हण्येते । सद्वमनिष्णात्मकोपाधि-परिन्द्यद्योषसभेवश्वरस्यभ्वरत्वं सर्वज्ञात्वं सर्वज्ञात्वित्वः च न परमार्थतो विद्ययापास्तस्योपाधि-सर्वज्ञात्वितिस्त्रीतित्ववस्यम्बन्नादिन्यवद्यारं उपपद्यते । वाचस्यतिमिश्च in the भागती on

स्वस्ते आत्मनीशित्रीशितन्यसंप्रादिन्यवद्यार उपपद्यते। वाचस्पतिमिश्र in the भामती on ते. स्वार, 1, 14 makes the laconic remark 'न खल्यनन्यस्वमित्यभेदं जूमः किन्तु भेवं स्वासिधामः '.

²⁴⁶⁴ भन्नं कर्णभिः कृष्णुयाम देया भन्नं पश्येमाक्षभिर्यजनाः। स्थिरेरहैप्तुण्ड्यां-सस्तन्त्रभिर्यशेम देविंदितं यदाद्यः॥ म्न I. 89. 8 = द्याजः सं. 25. 21.

a life of hundred years in the words "O Gods, may we be able to hear words of welfare (i.e. we may not suffer deafness till our death), may we see with our eyes pleasing sights, may we. engaged in praising you and possessing strong limbs and bodies. enjoy (long) life as fixed by God (i. e. 100, 116 or 120 years)'. Vide also Rg. VII, 66, 16. The Manusmrti, after referring to several views about the number of the goals of human life, states its own final conclusion (in II. 224) that there are three goals 4655 (Dharma, Artha and Kāma) for all men and condemns premature resort to sannyasa in the following words (VI. 36-37) "Having studied the Vedas as laid down in śāstras, having produced sons and having performed sacrifices according to one's ability, one should fix his mind on Moksa (liberation); if a man desires Moksa without having performed these duties he falls into hell'. Manu emphasizes that a man must discharge his duties (i. e. pay off the three debts) as laid down in Tai. S. VI. 3. 10. 5 (quoted in H. of Dh. vol. II. p. 270 n. 621) before he can renounce the world. The experience of sexual life and other pleasures not opposed to righteousness was not condemned by Manu and other Šāstras and in the Bhagavadgītā (VII. 11) Lord Krsna identifies himself with Kāma that is not in opposition to righteoneness. In the three goals 2466 of ordinary human life there is hardly anything that should cause surprise. The Gita demands a life dedicated to active work and regards doing one's duty as worship (III. 8, 19, 20, 25, IV. 18, XVIII. 65-66). The 4th goal (moksa) is in a way opposed to the first three. But the first three goals enable a man to attain liberation, after he has discharged his duties. It was not meant for everybody but only for a selected few.

The 4th goal can be attained only by a few men. The theory in the Upanisads is that in order to secure correct knowledge of the Self, Reality behind everything, the study of the Veda, sacrifices, charity, austerities and fasts are necessary

^{2465.} For the three views about the four 2 dramas, vide H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 424-425,

^{2466.} तमेत वेदात्रवचनेन ब्राह्मणा विविदियन्ति यज्ञेन दानेन तपसाऽनाशकेन। बृह. उप IV. 4 22; तस्त्रादेवविच्छान्वो दान्त उपरात्तितिश्च समाहिनी भूत्वात्नन्येवात्मानं पद्यति .. विपापी विरजीऽविचिक्तिस्तो ब्राह्मणो भवति। एप ब्रह्महोकः सब्रार्थः । एनं प्रापितोसीति होवाच पाज्ञवन्त्रपः । युट उप IV. 4. 23. These two passages of Br Up. are the basis of वे स् III 4 26-27.

as preparation (Br. Up. IV. 4, 22). Because the Upanisads often employ the words "Brahma veda brahmaiva bhavati" (as in Mundaka III 2.9) one should not run away with the idea that mere knowledge of brahma (from books or a teacher) is enough. Though the verb "vid" (to know) is employed, the Upanisads are emphatic that before one attains realization of broken one must have lived a life of detachment, peace, selfrestraint etc. For example, in Br. Up. IV, 4, 23 Yājūavalkya says to Janaka "Therefore, one who knows this (under tarks quoted above p. 1478) evil does not overcome him, he overcomes all evil and hence he becomes free from evil, free from rajas (desires), free from doubts, he becomes a (true) Brahmana. This is the Brahma-world. O king! you have been made to reach the world. So said Yājñavalkya." This passage clearly emphasizes three stages, firstly, verbal knowledge about brahma (evam-vid), secondly he becomes santa, danta &c., thirdly, he realizes the non-difference of himself and the world from the Supreme Self. In this text the indeclinable past participle 2467 (bhūtvā) in "tvā', acc. to Pānini III. 4. 21, is used and so clearly conveys that (as Sanskrit grammar and usage require), in order that a person may realize the Self in his own self, he must have been already endowed with all that precedes the word "bhūtvā".

Similarly, in the Mundakopanisad it is provided ^{2467a} 'After carefully examining all the worlds that are collected (gained or brought about) by actions, a brāhmana should reach a sense of disgust or disregard with the thought that by actions (which are all impermanent) nothing that is imperishable (lit. unmade) can be attained, he should, for the special understanding of that, approach with fuel in hand a teacher who is learned in the Veda and who solely dwells in brahman, that wise (guru) declared brahma-wdyā to the one who thus properly (respectfully) approaches and whose mind is quiescent (not perturbed by vanity &c.) and whose mind has ceased to hanker after objects of sense, whereby the disciple would realize the immutable Reality, the Purusa (Self).' Here also the word "parīksya" shows that

^{2467.} Vide Śabara on Jaimini X. 3.48 'ktvā tāvat pūrvakāla eva smaryate'

²⁴⁶⁷² परीक्ष्य लोकान्यर्मिचताच् नाह्मणी निर्वेदमायाकास्त्यकुतः हुतेन। तिहिज्ञानार्थं स ग्रुक्मेवाभिगच्छेत् सिमत्पाणि. ख्रोजियं ब्रह्मानिष्ठम् ॥ तस्त्रे स विद्वाग्रपसच्चाय सम्यक् प्रशान्तः विचाय शमान्तिताय। येनाक्षरं प्रकृषं वेद सत्यं श्रोषाच्य ता तस्त्रतो ब्रह्मविद्याम् ॥ सुण्डकीय । २, 12-13, नाविरतो दुष्परिताक्षाशान्तो नासमाहितः। नाशाः नसी वापि प्रज्ञानेनेनमाण्ड-यात्॥ कठ 2 24

brahmavidyā can be attained only by him who already has become tired of the world of senses. It is further provided that ²⁴⁶⁸ when a man becomes freed from all hankerings that cling to the heart of man, he becomes immortal and attains brahma in this very life. The Br. Up (iv. 4. 6) states that of him who does not desire, who, not desiring, is freed from desires, who feels that he has obtained all desires in that he desires only the Self, the life breaths do not depart towards higher worlds (heaven etc.) as he, being (in reality) brahma only, becomes absorbed in brahma.

The Katha Up. (2 24) remarks 'He, who had not ceased from evil conduct, whose mind is not serene, who does not practise concentration, would not be able by mere knowledge to find the Atman.'

The unalloyed Upanisad doctrine appears to be that, even when a man does good actions, they produce good results, to enjoy which the soul would have to undergo the bondage of fresh good births and thus liberation will be put off. Therefore, complete renunciation of all actions and their rewards was inculcated for the sannyāsin, who was to give up all desires for wealth, progeny and higher worlds and beg for alms as long as the body lasts. As no other course of conduct for the sannyāsin is specified here, it would have to be deemed that the Upanisad teaches only this mode of life for the sannyasin. This view is further strengthened by other passages of the Upanisads, where it is said that the liberated are beyond sukrta (good deeds and their consequences) and duskrta (evil deeds and their consequences). The Chandgoya says 2469 "the self is a bank (a dike or ridge) so that these worlds are kept asunder and are not confounded, day and night do not pass beyond (over) bank, nor old age, death and misery, neither good deeds nor evil deeds; all evil deeds turn away from him, for the world of brahma is free from all evil'. Similarly, the Kausitaki Up. says "being freed from good actions and from evil ones, this knower of brahma moves towards brahma (i e becomes one with or is absorbed in brahma)'.

^{2468.} यदा सर्वे मसुरुवन्ते कामा पेऽस्य हृदि भ्रिता.। अध मत्यें(इसतो भवत्यन ब्रह्म समश्कते॥ कठोप VI. 14 and वृद्द. उप IV. 4 7 (which latter quoies it as a sloka).

^{2469.} अथ य आमा स सेतुर्विधृतिरेषा छोजानामसम्भेद्वाच । नैनं सेतुनहोरात्रे तस्तो न जरा न मृत्युनं शोको न सुरूतं न दुम्छतम् । सर्वे पाटमानोऽनो निवर्तन्ते । अपहतपाटमा छोप बहालोज । छा छव VIII. 4. 1 , म ग्य निसुकृतो विदुष्कृतो बहा विद्वान्त्रस्त्रीनाभिन्नी । फोषी छप 1 4.

In this way the Upanisads appear to inculcate that the sannyāsin should completely give up all actions except living till the body lasts. The Jābālopanisad 2470 (4) provides that the very day on which a person becomes disgusted (with worldly life) he should become a wandering ascetic (a sannyāsin). This emphasizes that not mere knowledge but disgust with worldly life is necessary before one becomes a sannyāsin. Vide Kathopanisad (II. 24) quoted above in note 2467a. The Praśnopanisad emphasizes 'to them alone comes the pure world of brahman, in whom there is no crookedness, no untruth and no duplicity' (I. 16). The Upanisads sometimes do say that 'one who knows brahma becomes brahma itself' (Mundaka II. 3. 9), but the same Upanisads (e. g. Mundaka I. 2. 12-13 quoted in n. 2467a) require great moral and spiritual attainments besides mere knowlegde of brahma.

It is not necessary to set out more Upanisad passages to exhibit the proper relation between mere knowledge of bruhma and Realization of brahma. 2471

In classical Sanskrit several words are employed to describe the state of liberation. The Amarakoʻsa regards mukti, kaivalya, nirvāna, śreyas, nihśreyasa, amrta, moksa and apavarga as synonyms. Mukti, moksa, and amrta (or amrtatva) are frequently employed in the Upanisads and the GItā. They refer to the state of Salvation or Liberation from different points of view. Man is liable to have hankerings and to birth and death, therefore when the soul becomes free from that cycle and realizes brahma it is said that he becomes immortal or secures immortality. Vide Br. Up. VI. 4.7 and 14, V. 15-17 (Vidyayāmrtamaśnute), Chān. Up. II. 23. 2 (he who is firmly grounded in brahma attains immortality), Katha Up. VI. 2 and 9, Śvet. Up.

^{2470.} यस्हरेव विरजेत्तद्वहरेव प्रद्यजेत्। जाबालोपः 4. नाविरतो दुश्चरितानाशानी नासमाहित.। नाशान्तमानसो पापि प्रज्ञानेननमाप्त्यपात्। कठोप II. 24. तेपामसी विरजो महालोको न येपु जिल्लामहतं न माया चेति। प्रश्लोपः I 16

^{2471.} So much had to be said because Frof, Edgerton in his paper 'Dominant ideas in the formation of Indian culture' in J.A.O.S Vol. 62 (for 1942) pp 151-156 appears to suggest that the Upanisads carry forward the idea of the Atharvaveda that knowledge of a matter was supposed to have magic power. It is not possible for limitations of space to examine his arguments at length. With great respect for such a veteran scholar, it has to be said that, so far as the Upanisads are concerned, mere knowledge of brakma is only a first step, and that one who desires liberation has to live life on a high moral and spiritual level. Vide Gitā XIII, 7-11 for definition of jāāna.

IV. 17 and 20, III. 1. 10, 13. Gita 13. 12, 14, 20. Mukti and moksa are both derived from the root 'muc' (to be free) and the verbal forms of 'muc' are frequently used along with 'immortality' as in Katha Up. VI. 8 (yam jñātvā mucyate jantur-amrtatvam ca gacchati) and 14, Br. Up. IV. 4.7. Sv. Up. I. 8 and IV. 16 (jñātvā devam mucyate sarvapāśaih). The word moksa occurs in Sv. Up. IV. 16 and Gitā 5. 28, 7, 29, 18, 30. Nihérevasa (moksa, than which there is nothing better) occurs in Kaus. Up III. 2, Gitā V. 2. Vide p. 1037 n 671 for 'nihśreyasa'. The word 'sreyas' often means 'better' in the Upanisads (Tai. Up. I. 11 and Chan. Up. IV 6.5) and Gita II. 7.31, III. 35. XVIII. 47 &c., but in Katha Up II. 1 and 2 srevas (as opposed to preyas i. e. pleasure) really means 'nihśreyasa' (salvation). 2472 Kaivalya does not occur in the principal Upanisads, but kevalah (not affected by gunas or isolated as pure consciousness) occurs in Śv. Up. IV. 18 and VI. 11 (sāksī cetā kevalo nirgunasca) Nirvana occurs in Gita VI. 15 (the vogin, that has subdued his mind and always practises yoga, secures peace, centred on me that is highest nirvana); in Gītā II.72 and V. 24-25 we find 'brahmaniryānam' which means 'bliss in brahma'. Apayarga occurs only in the Maitri Upanisad VI. 30 and was the goal laid down by the very first sūtra of the Nyāyadarśana.

It should be noted that cosmology whether in the Upanisads or later works is based on the geocentric theory and is concerned mostly with the earth, the elements, the Sun, Moon, planets and stars in general (without details).

The Manusmrti has several theories on creation. In I. 5-19 we have the first theory: this (universe) existed in the form of darkness, was unperceived, destitute of distinctive signs, not subject to reasoning, unknowable, immersed in deep sleep as it were. Then the divine Self-existent appeared with irresistible power, dispelling darkness and making all this including the great elements discernible; he shone forth of his own (will); he, desirous of producing beings of various types from his body, first produced water only after reflecting (over the idea of creating) and planted therein his seed; the seed became a golden egg, equal in brilliance to the sun and in that egg he himself was born as Brahmā, the progenitor of the whole

^{2472.} नि.भ्रेयस is one of twenty-five words mentioned by Pānini in V. 4 77 as irregular and the Mahābhāsya explains it as निश्चित श्रेष

world. He is called Narayana. 2473 since waters, designated as nārās (offspring of Nara), were his first place of residence. From that first cause, not yet unfolded, which can neither be called sat nor asat. was produced a purusa (a male) who is called by people Brahmā. In that egg the divine one resided for one year, he divided the egg into two parts after reflecting on that matter: out of those two halves (of the egg) he created heaven and earth, between these two middle region, the eight directions and the abode of waters (the sea) From himself he drew forth mind which is neither sat nor asat, from mind Ahankara (self-consciousness), and the mahat-atman, all products produced by the combinations of three gunas, the five organs of sense which perceive the objects of sense; He created all beings by joining the subtle particles of the six (ahankāra and tanmātrās) with portions of himself, the five great elements enter the framer of all beings. This theory combines the ideas contained in Rg. X. 129 (particularly verses 1-3) with those in the Sat. Br. XI. 1. 6. 1 and Chan. Up. III. 19. 1-2 (about the golden egg) and with the Sankhya theory of tattvas and gunas, though Manu differs from the standard Sankhva of the Karika as regards the order in which Mahat. Ahankara and the five subtle elements In L 21 Manu states 2474 that Hiranvagarbha in the

^{2473.} आपी नारा इति प्रोक्ता आपी वै नरसूनवः। ता यदस्यायन पूर्व तेन नारायणः स्मृत ॥ महा І. 10 ज्ञान्तिपर्व 342 40 (=cr. ed. 328.35) has first half and the 2nd half is अयनं मम तरपूर्वमती नारायणी द्यायम् : विष्णुपु І, 4. 5-6, ब्रह्माण्ड І. 5.5-6, द्वृत्ती І 6. 4-5 इमें चोदाहरन्त्यन श्लेलं नारायणं प्रति। ... आपी नारा.. सूनव। अयन तस्य ता. पूर्व : स्तृत. It is obvious that the two Purānas borrow from some work probably from महा माईग्लेखपु 44. 4-5 (Venk. ed.) has the same verses as विष्णुपु थाट. इम चोदा॰ and आपी .. सूनवः। तास होते स यसमाच तेन नारायणः स्तृतः॥; वराहषु २. 25-26 are the same as विष्णुपु, І 4 5-6. ब्रह्मपु (І. 38-39) has आपी नारा ... सूनवः। अयनं तस्य ता .. स्मृतः॥

^{2474.} सर्वेषा तु स नामानि कर्माणि च पृथक् पृथक् । वेदहाहदेश्य एवादी पृथक्सस्थाश्य निर्ममे ॥ मद्य 1.21. The वे स् 1 3 28 ार 'हाब्द इति 'वेस्नात प्रभवाश्यरयक्षाद्यमानाश्याय On this the bhāsya is "कर्य पुनरवगश्यते हाब्दाव्यभवति जगदिति । प्रत्यसाद्यमानाश्याय प्रस्यक्षं श्रुतिः प्रामाण्यं प्रत्यनपेक्षत्वात् । अद्युमानं स्मृति. प्रामाण्यं प्रति सापेक्षत्वात् । ते हि हाब्द पूर्व स्ति द्वर्षयतः । 'एते इति वै प्रजापतिर्देवानस्रजत, अस्यामिति सद्यप्यात्, इन्दव इति पितृत्व, तिरः पवित्रमिति यहात्व, आहाव इति स्तित्रं विश्वानीति शक्ष्य, अभिसीभगरेयन्याः प्रजाः इति श्रुतिः, . स्मृतिरापे । अनादिनियना नित्या वासुस्य वासुस्य । आदी वेदमपी दिक्या यत सर्वाः प्रमृत्यत्वः । ... तथा। नामक्ष्यं च मृताना कर्मणा च मृत्यति व्यवित्रमे विद्याय त्य सर्वाः मृत्यत्वः । तथा। नामक्ष्यं च मृताना कर्मणा च मृत्यति वृत्यत्वे वृत्यपी दिक्या यत सर्वाः प्रति हितः तथा। नामक्ष्यं च मृताना कर्मणा च मृत्यति वृत्य विद्याय प्रति निर्मसे स सहेश्वरः ॥ इति ' The sruti text cited is Tāndya-mahābrāhmana VI 9.15, explains the words of Rg, IX. 62 1, the mantra being 'एते अस्य-पान्यति स्तरः पवित्रमाहावः । विश्वान्यभि सीभवा॥' The verse अनादिनिधनाः । इ शान्तिपर्व 233.24 (=cr. ed. 224 55 which has only the first half) and the verse नीमक्ष्यं च occurs in विष्यपुराण I 5.62, वायुषु 9.63 (reads प्रयञ्चनं) and कूर्म I, 7, 67-68 (reads प्रामृतानां प्रपञ्चनं).

beginning of creation assigned names, peculiar activities and conditions to all created beings by means of the words of Veda. In this it follows a śruti text (explaining Rg. IX. 62. 1) quoted by Śankarācārya (on V. S. I. 3. 28) who quotes a verse in support from the Mahābhārata and another verse also (the source of which is not mentioned but which is found in some purānas).

Another theory of creation is stated in Manusmrti I. 32-41. Brahmā divided his own body into two halves, one half a male and the other a female and from that female he created Virāj, who practised tapas and created a male who was no other than Manu (promulgator of Manusmrti). Manu desirous of producing created beings, first created ten great sages as Prajāpatis, who created seven Manus, Gods, classes of gods, great sages, yaksas rāksasas, gandharvas, apsarases, snakes, birds classes of pitrs, lightning, clouds, large and small stars, monkeys, fishes, cattle, deer, men, lions, worms, insects, files, immovable things (trees etc.). This account appears to be inspired by the Purusasūkta (Rg. X. 90), particularly verses 5, 8-10.

A third theory of creation by Brahma after he awakes from his sleep is briefly noticed in Manusmrti I 74-78, viz. he creates (or appoints) his mind which impelled by Brahma's desire to create. produces ākāśa (ether), of which sound is the (peculiar) quality: that ether modifying itself creates Vayu possessing the quality of touch; from Vayu arises refulgent light, from which arises water and from water arises the earth of which the special quality is smell. This theory is a modification of the Sankhya doctrine, according to which (kārikā 25) all five elements proceed from ahankāra and God Brahmā is thrown in (who has no place in standard Sānkhya). The Manusmrti is in the habit of stating opposing views on the same topic one after another: e. g note on the use of flesh in Manu V. 27-46 as compared with V. 48-56, Manu III. 13 as compared with III. 14-19 (on brahmana having a sudra wife), Manu IX. 59-62 as opposed to IX. 64-68 on the practice of Niyoga

Accounts of creation occur frequently in the Mahābhārata, mostly in the Śāntiparva. All cannot be set out here, but a few would be described. Chap. 175. 11-21 (=Ch. ed. 182. 11-21) states that from God known as Avyakta all beings were born, he first created mahān also called ākāsa, from ākāša water was produced, from water were produced fire and vāyu, from the combination of these two the earth was produced. Then the

self-existent created a lotus, from which arose Brahma, known as Ahankāra and he produced the whole world. In chap. 176 (183 of Ch. ed.) it is stated that Brahmā first created water. from water arose Vāyu, from the combination of water and Vāyu arose Agni and earth was produced from the combination of Agni, Vāvu and ākāśa. Chap. 177 (=184, Ch. ed.) explains that the Mahābhūtas (great elements) are five viz vāyu. ākāša, agni. water and earth, that all bodies are made of these five, that there are five indrinas, five objects of sense and five qualities, sabda, sparsa (touch), rupa (colour), rasa (taste) and gandha (smell); subdivisions of each of these five are mentioned. Chap. 178 (=189 of Ch. ed.) speaks of the five pranas and the spheres of their activities. Chap. 179-180 (=186-187 of Ch. ed) deal with iiva (individual self) and states at the end that the body is perishable, that the self passes from one body to another and that by yoga one can see the self in the Highest Self. Chap. 200 (=207 Ch. ed.) states that Purusottama created the five elements. that he reclined on waters, that from his navel sprang a lotus brilliant like the sun, from which arose Brahmā, who created from his mind seven sons, Daksa, Marīci, Atri, Angiras, Pulastya, Pulaha and Kratu. Daksa had many daughters (the eldest being Diti). from these daughters Daityas. Adityas, the other gods, Kāla and its parts, the earth, the four varnas, all kinds of men. Andhras, Pulindas, Sabaras and others in Daksināpatha and in the Uttarapatha, Yauna (Yavanas). Kambojas, Gandhāras, Kirātas, Barbaras and others were produced. Chap. 224 (=231 Ch. ed.) starts by saying that in the beginning there was brahma, beginningless and endless, unknowable and proceeds to divisions of time from nimesa to the yugas and their characteristics. Herein occur verses that are the same as in Manusmrti I. 65-67, 69-70, 75-77, 81-83, 85-86. It is difficult to say who borrow as even the Manusmrti (in X. 44) mentions Paundrakas, Odras, Dravidas, Kāmbojas and Yavanas, Śakas, Pāradas, Pahlayas, Cīnas, Kirātas, Daradas and Khasas, as having been originally sub-divisions or sub-eastes of Ksatriyas (Ksatriyalatayah) but reduced to the position of sudras, because of losing all contact with brahmanas (X. 43) and because of the cessation of religious rites (like Upanayana &c.). In Śanti 311 (=cr. ed. 299) creation is described in Sankhya terms with Brahma thrown in. Brahmā (identified with mahān) was born in the golden egg, he remained inside the egg for a year, then he created within the two parts of the egg (heaven and earth), antariksa, from ahankāra five elements were produced and their

five qualities are mentioned. Asyamedhika (Chap. 40-42 is similar to Śanti 311) states the order of creation as avyaktamahat-ahankara-five elements, the only peculiarity being that in verse 2 mahan is identified with Visnu, Śambhu, buddhi and several other words are given as synonyms thereof.

The Smrti of Yanavalkya (III. 67-70) states that from the one Self, many individual selves arise just as from a red-hot iron ball sparks go out, that the unborn and imperishable Atman is said to be born when connected with body, that in the beginning of creation, Paramatman creates the five elements. ether, vayu, tejas, water and earth, each succeeding one possessing a rising series of qualities and when appearing as individual self, it receives (for body) the same elements. Then after pointing out how a human being is conceived, how the foetus grows in the womb and describing the anatomy (with number of bones, veins, arteries, muscles &c.) of the human body, the Smrti avers that the whole world proceeds from the Paramatman and the individual self appears from the elements (which form the body) The individual self is beginningless 2475 and is not born, but it comes in intimate contact with a body that is due to acts influenced by false ideas, hankerings and aversions (III. 125) From the several parts (mouth, arms, thighs, feet &c) of the First Principle that assumes numerous forms arise the four varnas in order, the earth, heaven, pranas, directions. Vayu, Agni, Moon (from mind), the Sun (from His eye), sky and the whole movable and immovable world (III, 126-128). Here the Purusasükla (Rg. X. 90 1 and 12-14) is closely followed.

The Puränas devote thousands of verses to the theories of cosmology and cosmography. Only a very brief summary is all that can be attempted from the contents of a few among the extant Puränas that have been shown above to be the earliest, viz. Matsya, Vāyu, Brahmānda, Visnu and Mārkandeya. It has been stated above (pp. 838-840) that the topics with which Furānas were deemed to be concerned were according to the Amarkośa five and that some of the Purānas themselves set out the five topics as creation (sarga), recreation after dissolution (pratsarga), dynasties (vamsa), the vast periods of time (called Manyantaras), and history and deeds of the descendants of the

²⁴⁷⁵ अनादिरात्मा कधितस्तरपादिन्तु शरीरकम्। आत्मनन्तु जगत्स्वर् जगतश्चात्म-मम्मकः॥ या III, 117.

solar, lunar and other dynasties (Vamsānucarita). Thus, many of the Purānas deal with creation at some length. A few striking theories and passages alone can be set out or cited.

The Matsyapurana begins the topic of creation in the same way as the Manusmrti does and some of the verses of the former are identical (or almost identical) with the verses of the Manusmrti 2476 The Matsva (2 27) states: Nārāvana alone appeared first and being desirous of creating the manifold world, produced from his body waters, cast the seed therein and a golden egg emerged; inside that egg the Sun appeared, he is called Aditya as well as Brahma, he made the two halves of the egg into heaven and earth and produced all directions and the sky between the two (heaven and earth). Then the Meru and other mountains and the seven seas (of salt. sugarcane juice &c.) Narayana became Prajapati who created all were produced. this world including gods and asuras The 3rd chap. of the Matsya speaks of the Vedas, Puranas and Vidyas as proceeding from his lips and states that he created from his mind ten sages, Marici, Atri and others (3. 5-8). Then the Matsya launches on the Sankhva scheme of creation (in 3. 14-29), stating that the three aunas are sativa, rajas, tamas, and the state of their equilibrium is called Prakti, that some call it Pradhana. others call it Avyakta, that this Pradhana produces creation, that from the three gunas rose Brahmā, Visnu and Mahesvara: that from Pradhāna arose Mahān, from the latter ahankāra, then five Jūānendriyas and five Karmendriyas and the mind as the 11th sense and the five tanmatras (subtle elements); that akasa was produced from the sabda-taumātrā, vāyu from ākāsa, tejas from Vayu and water from tejas, that the Purusa is the 25th tatte Then the Matsya (3 30-44) tells a fantastic story that Brahma produced a woman (called Satarūpā, Sāvitrī, Sarasvatī, Gāyatrī or Brahmani) from himself, felt passion for her and had after a long time a son named Manu (called Svayambhuva) and also Virāj from her, then Brahmā called upon his sons to create people. The Matsya in chapter four states that Brahma had from Śatarūpā seven sons, Marici and others (verses 25-26, contradicting chap. 3. 5-8), mentions two sons of Sväyambhuva Manu and also the descendants of those two sons. Some

١

^{2476.} For example, Matsya 2. 25-27 and 32 echo the phrascology of Manu I 5-6, 13, Matsya 2 28 is the same as Manu I. 8, Matsya 3 23 is same as Manu I. 75, Matsya 4. 55 (one half) is same as Manu IX. 129. The Brahmapurana I. 37-39 are almost the same as Manu I. 6 and 8.

chapters from five onwards describe the descendants of Daksa, of Kasyapa, of Diti, the coronation of Prthu, the solar and lunar dynastic and various classes of pitrs.

The Väyupuräna devotes five chapters (4-9) to creations of differents kinds (in over 600 verses). In Chap. 4 verses 22-61 the Sänkhya scheme of Pradhāna, Mahat, Ahankāra, Tanmātrās is set out and is combined with the egg theory (verses 66ff). Chap. 6 appears to refer (verses 2-3) to the Purusasūkta (Rg. X. 90. 1-2), explains that Nārāyana is so called because he reclines on waters, refers to the Boar incarnation, to nine kinds of creation, states a (different theory) that Brahmā created in the beginning the mind-born sons and Sanandana and Sanaka (6.65). Chapter 7 refers to re-creation, Chap. 8 (containing 198 verses) refers to the Yugas, their durations, the creation of eight Devayonis, of animals, metres &c., and different sons of Brahmā.

The Brahmāndapurāna I (chapters 3-5) deal with the appearance of Hiranyagarbha and various kinds of creations and chapter 4 refers to Pradhāna, the gunas and states that creation is due to the uneven mixture of gunas that constitute Pradhāna but these work under Viṣnu. Chap. 5 speaks of various kinds of creations, and ithe mānasa sons of Brahmā. Chapters 8, 11 of the Anusangapāda (2nd section of the Purāna) deal with the creation of gods, pitrs, men and of the great sages, Bhrgu &c.

The first three chapters (containing about 240 verses) of the Brahmapurana deal with creation. Chap. 1 (verses 34 ff) puts forward Brahmā as the creator of all bhūtas (beings) and as devotes of Nārāyana and then states that ahankāra arose from mahat and from ahankara the elements were produced. The Brahma like the Matsya closely follows (in I. 37-41) Manu I. 5-13. It refers to the creation of the seven sages Marici, Atri and others who were seven Brahmanah, the creation of Sadhvas. gods, the Rgveds and other Vedss, birds and all sorts of beings: it also states (I 53) that Visnu created Virāj, who created Purusa (this is based on the Purusasūkta (Rg. X. 90. 5) and that Purusa created people. Chapter 2 states that Purusa married Šatarūpā, that Purusa is called Svayambhuva Manu. that a son Vira was born to Purusa and Satarūpā and Virā's two sons were Priyavrata and Uttanapada: then their descendants are described, that Daksa had 50 daughters 10 of whom were married to Dharma, 13 to Kasyapa and 27 (the naksatras) were married to king Soma. Chapter 3 deals with the creation of gods and asuras, 2477

The Visnupurāna in Chapters 2, 4, 5, 7 of the first amśa deals with several kinds of creation. Chapter 2 starts with Visnu and asserts that Pradhāna and Purusa are his forms and in verses 34-50 proclaims the details of the Sānkhya system and verse 54 refers to the production of the egg by Mahat and other tattvas. Chap. 3 deals with the question how brahman, which is free from gunas, inconceivable, pure and untainted, is the author of creation, the reply being that there are natural powers (Śaktis) in all things that are inconceivable and so brahman has the power of creating the universe. Chap. 5 deals with nine kinds of creations viz. of Mahat, Tanmātrās. bhūtas, (elements), Vaikārika (i. e. Aindrīyaka), Mukhya (i. e. of immovable objects), of lower animals, of ūrdhvaretas (i. e. divine beings), of human beings, of Kumāras (i. e. Sanatkumāra and others).

The Mārkandeya-purāna in Chap. 42 speaks of the creation of Pradhāna, Mahat, Ahankāra, Tanmātrās under the aegis of Brahmā. Chap. 44 speaks of the nine kinds of creation as in Visnu. Chapters 45, 46, 47 deal with the creations of Gods, pitrs, human beings, the four varnas, beasts, birds, trees and plants &c. Passages from other Purānas need not and cannot be quoted as they are in the same strain as above and as limitations of space preclude further elaboration.

In the Upanisads the geographical details are very few and are limited to the territory between the Himālayas and Vindhya, (the Kausitaki Up. II, 13 speaks of two parvatas, the north and south, the Br. Up. 1. 1, 1-2 alludes to the eastern and western seas). Noble steeds were brought from Sindhu country (Br. Up VI. 1. 13), the country of Gandhāra (Chān. Up. VI. 14. 2) appears to

have been known and was at some distance from the place where the Upanisad was composed; the country Madra is mentioned in Br. Up III. 3.1 and III 7.1. Janaka was a king of Videha at whose court brāhmanas from Kurus, Pañcālya, gather together for argument with Yājñavalkya (Br. Up. JII 1.1), king Ajātaśatru of Kāśī (Banaras) humbled the vain Bālāki Gārgya (Br. Up. II 1.1), and Kausītaki IV. 1.1, which latter mentions also the countries of Vaśa, Uśīnara, Kuru. Pañcāla and Videha); Kuru country occurs in Chān. Up. I. 10.1. IV. 17.10. Pañcāla country by itself in Chān. Up. V. 3.1, Br. Up VI. 2.1; Aśvapat king of Kekaya (in the extreme North-west) imparted knowledge of Vaiśvānara-vidyā to brāhmanas.

The Purānas devote thousands of verses to cosmography ²⁴⁷⁸ i. e. description of the divisions of the earth called dvipas, varsas, the mountains, the oceans, the rivers and the countries therein and their extent, the motions of the sun, moon, planets, the yugas, manvantaras, and kalpas ²⁴⁷⁹ and Dharmašāstra works frequently rely on them. Jambudvīpa was known at least before 300 B. C. as Aśoka mentions it in his Rūpanātha Rock Inscription, quoted above on p 1016 n 1649. The word 'dvīpa' occurs in the Rgveda I.169. 3 and VII. 20. 4 (vi dvīpām pāpatan). Pānini derives the word from dm and āpah. (VI. 3. 97). A bars outline of these from some Purānas may be indicated here. The Matsyapurāna starts by saying (in chap. 113. 4-5) that there are thousands of dvīpas, but as it is not possible to describe the whole of the world in order it would expound only the seven ²⁴⁵⁰ dvīpas.

^{2478.} The most systematic and complete work on the cosmography of ancient India as described in Puranas is W Kirfel's 'Die Kosmographie der Inder' (Bonn, 1920, pp 401) with plates He deals with Purana material in pp 1-177, with Buddhist material in pp. 178-207 and with Jaina material in pp. 208-339 and there is an index of proper names in pp. 340-401.

²⁴⁷⁹ Many of the Puranas contain the same questions put by the sages to the Suta about the dvipas. ऋषण जु । कित क्षीपा. समुद्रा वा पर्वता वा कित भो। कियन्ति चैन वर्षाणि तेषु नद्यश्च काः स्मृताः ॥ महासूमिममाण च लोकालोकस्तथैन च । पर्भावि परिमाणं च गतिश्चन्द्राक्षेपोस्तथा । एतद् व्रवीष्टि नः सर्वे विस्तरेण यथार्थवित् । सङ्क्षानेतस्तरा । एतद् व्रवीष्टि नः सर्वे विस्तरेण यथार्थवित् । सङ्क्षानेतस्तरा । उत्तर्भावित् । स्वत्याने सितस्त कलं श्रीकृमिन्द्रान्ते अपम् । चृत उवाच । द्वीपभेदसहलाणि सत चान्तर्गतानि च । म इक्यन्ते कमेणेट वक्तं वे सकलं जगत्॥ सतेन त प्रवस्थानि चन्द्रादिस्यग्रहेः सह ग मस्स्य. 113 1-5, मार्थ 134. 1-3, 6-7, मह्माण्ड II, 15 2-3, 5-6, मार्कण्डेय 51. 1-3

^{2480.} The dvīpas are generally said to be seven, but sometimes they are said to be 18 as in Vāyu 2 15 (aṣṭādaśa samudrasya dvīpān aśnan Purūranāḥ) and by Kāhdāsa in Raghuvamśa VI. 38 (astādaśa-dvīpa-nikhāṭa

Chapters 121-123 of the same Purāna mention by name seven dvīpas viz Jambudvīpa, Śakadvīpa, Kusa, Krauūca, Śālmala, Gomedaka and Puskara, each succeeding one being double of each preceding one and each surrounded by a sea and each having seven varsas, seven principal mountains, seven main rivers. The seven oceans surrounding the seven dvīpas are stated to be respectively of salt ²⁴⁸¹ water, milk, liquid ghee, curds, liquor, sugar-cane juice, fresh water. The names and order of the dvīpas differ to some extent in different Purānas e. g. the Visnu II. 1.12-14, II. 25, the Brahmapurāna (18.11) mention them as Plaksa, Śālmala, Kuša, Krauūca, Śāka and Puskara. The Vāyu (33. 11-14), Kūrma I. 45. 3, Mārk, 50, 18-20 mention the same seven in the same order.

The descriptions of Kalpa, Manvantara, Yuga in the Purānas have already been dealt with in H. of Dh. Vol. III. p. 890-91 and above pp. 686-693 and pralaya has been treated of in Vol. III pp. 893-895 and pp. 693-695 above. The Purānas contain thousands of verses on these topics.

The Visnu (II. 2. 13-24) mentions the varsas as Bhārata (the first among them), Kimpurusa, Hari, Ramyaka, Hiranmaya, Uttara-Kuru, Ilāvrta, Bhadrāśva and Ketumāla. The Vāmana (13. 2-5) mentions the same except that it substitutes Campaka for Ramyaka. Visnu II. (1. 16-17) states, however, that Nābhi, Kimpurusa, Harivarsa, Ilāvrta, Ramya, Hiranvat, Kuru, Bhadrāśva, Ketumāla were the names of nine kings, sons of Agnīdhra, son of Priyavrata, a son of Svāyambhuva Manu and that the varsas given to the nine sons of Agnīdhra were respectively Himāhva (i. e. Bhārata), Hemakūta, Naisadha, Ilāvrta, Nilācala, Śveta, Śrngavat, a varsa to east of Meru, Gandhamādana. Thus there is a confusion of names of kings and names of var sas. Vāyu (30. 38-40) states the same names of

⁽Continued from last page)

yūpah) It is possible to take the word dvīpa in the sense of islands and not in the sense of continents in these cases, From Pān IV 3.10 (d. īpādauusamudram yaā) it appears that the word 'dvīpa' was also used about islands near the sea coast. Vide 'Nine dvīpas of Bharatavarsa' by Sashi bhushan Chauduri in I. A. Vol. 59 pp 204-208 and 224-226.

²⁴⁸¹ एते द्वीपाः समुद्रेस्त सप्त सप्तभिराष्ट्रताः । त्रवणेश्चम्रसार्पर्दधिदुग्धज्तेः सम्म। विष्णु II. 2. 6. ब्रह्मपु. 18. 12, मार्कः 51. ? (त्रवणे ... द्धिशीरजतादिभिः) दिष्टणेद्वैः धणेद्वेद्वस्या सर्वतः परिवेदिताः).

sons and in 33, 41-45 mentions the same varsas except Malyavat, which was given to Bhadrasva. 2482

The Vavu (45, 75-81) states that Bharatavarsa is to the north of the ocean and to the south of Himavat (Himālava) and Manu was called Bharata because he supported his subjects and therefore this varsa is called Bhārata. The Brahmanda (II. 16.7. says the same thing. The same (Vāyu) Purāna contradicts itself by stating (in 33. 50-52) that Nābhi's son was Rsabha, whose son was Bharata after whom Bharata-varsa is so called. Brahmānda (II. 14.60-62) says the same thing; Vāyu also stated (in 99. 134) that from Dusyanta and Sakuntala was born Bharata and Bhārata is so called 2483 after him. The seven

उत्तरं यस्तखदस्य हिमादेश्वैव दक्षिणम् । वर्षे तद्धारतं नाम भारती यत्र सन्तति ॥ नवयोजनसाहस्रो विस्तारश्च द्विजोत्तमा. । कर्मभूमिरियं स्वर्गमपवर्ग च गन्छताम् ॥ महेन्द्रो मळयः सहाः शक्तिमाञ्चसपर्वतः। विन्ध्यश्च पारियात्रश्च सप्तात्र कुलपर्वताः॥ विद्णुषु, II. 3, 1-3, बह्मपु 19. 1-3, vide अग्नि 118. 1-3 (which reads हेमपूर्वत: for ऋक्षपूर्वत:), मार्काण्डेय (54. 10-11), aggreg II. 16. 5 and 18-19 It is worthy of note that Panini expressly names only Himavat (in IV. 4 112) out of these mountains. though he knew other mountains like Kımsulukagiri and others (VI. 3. 117). अञ्चापि भारतं श्रेष्ठं जम्बूदीपं महासुने। यतो हि कर्मभूरेपा यतोऽन्या भोगसूमपः॥ जहा. 19. 23, feet II 3. 22. In both several verses after this are the same. भीडमपूर्व (chap. 9. 11 has the verse महेन्द्रीः (but reads ऋक्षवाच), while in chap. 6 (verses 4-5) only six are mentioned,

The Visnupurana II. 1 32 agrees with Vayu 33, 50-52. In the Śākuntala (Act. VII) Kālīdāsa makes a character state that Śakuntalā's son called Sarvadamana in the hermitage of Kanva would become known as Bharata (इहार्य सन्तानां प्रसभद्मनात्सर्वदमनः गुनर्थास्यत्याख्यां भरत इति लोकस्य भरणात्). It is likely that in Kalidasa's days Sakuntala's son had not been probably connected with the name Bharatavarsa, otherwise there would have been nothing to prevent the poet from adding another prophecy about him that a varsa would be named after him Panini speaks of pracyas and Bharatas (II. 4.66, IV 2.113) The Bharatas were an auctent people mentioned several times even in the Rgveda, vide III 33, 11-12 The Bharatas are spoken of as grama i e, a group or sangha, to have crossed the confluence of the rivers Vipas and Sutudri (modern Beas and Sutlaj), III. 23 2 (Bharatas are said to have produced Agni by attrition), III. 53, 12 (where the prayer of Visvamitra is said to have protected Bharata-jana). Agni is styled Bharata in several verses (as in Rg II. 7. 1 and 5, IV 25 4, VI. 16 19 and 45). It is stated in the Att Brahmana (39, 9) that Dîrgbatamă Mâmateya crowned Bharata Dauspanti (Dausyantı) by the Aindra Mahabhiseka, that Bharata thereon conquered the earth all round, performed many Asyamedba sacrifices and then five slokas are quoted stating that Bharata made gifts of innumerable elephants in Masnara country, that be performed sacrifices on the banks of the Yamung river and on the

principal mountains of Bhāratvarsa are Mahendra, Malaya, Sahya, Suktimat, Rksaparvata, Vindhya and Pāriyātra. The Purānas affirm that Bhārata is the best in the varsas of Jambudvipa (Brahma 19. 23-24, Visnu III. 3. 22, Brahmānḍa II. 16.17). Some of them contain fine eulogies of Bhārata (e. g. Brahma 27. 2.9 and 69-79, Visnu II. 3. 23-26.).

In several Purānas nine divisons of Bhāratavarsa are named viz. Indradvīpa, Kaśeru, Tāmraparna, Gabhastimat, Nāgadvīpa, Sahys, Gandharva, Vāruna and a ninth which is 1000 yojanas long from north to south, on the east of which are Kirātas and on the west Yavanas and the four varnas in the middle of it. 2484. It may be noted that though Bhāratavarsa is only one the divisions of Jambudvīpa, some of the nine divisions are themselves called Indradvīpa and Nāgadvīpa. Another important matter is that Matsya 114. 10, Vāyu 45. 81, Vāmana 13. 11, and Brahmānda appear to call the 9th dvīpa Kumāra or as extending from Kumārikī to the sources of the Gangā. There-

(Continued from last page)

Ganges; the last verse (the 5th) says 'महालम भरतस्य न पूर्व नापरे जनाः। दिवं सस्ये इव हरतान्यां नोदाष्ठः पञ्चमानवाः॥।, 'Vido Satapatha Br. XIII. 5. 4. 11-13 (for Bharata Dauspanti born of Sakuntalā, where four gāthās are quoted about him, three of which are almost the same as in Ait, Br. and it is added that he attained the same sway or eminence that belongs to the Bharatas in its times. The Atharvaveda frequently refers to Himavat (as in V. 2. 8, XIX. 39. 1) and it is said that Kustha plant is found in the north and is taken to the east from Himavat and that (Atharva VI. 24. I and 3) all rivers flow from Himavat and join the ocean (Sindhu). The महाभाष्य on Pān. II 4. 66 remarks that Bharatas are not found in any countries other than those in the east.

2484 भारतस्थास्य वर्षस्य नवभेदान्त निज्ञामय । इन्द्रह्मीयः क्षसेक्श्च ताग्रवणों गर्भातिः मान्त । नाग्रह्मीयत्तथा सीम्यो गन्धर्वस्तव्यं वारुणः । अयं तु नवससीयां द्वीयः सागरसंयुतः । योजनाना सहसं तु द्वीयोऽयं दक्षिणोत्तरान् । पूर्वे किराता यस्यान्ते पश्चिमे यननाः सहताः ॥ ब्राह्मणाः सिन्नया वैद्या मध्ये जूनाश्च भागजः ॥ विष्णु II 3, 6-9, मार्कः 54, 5-8 and Brahmānda II. 16. 8 add one half verse सम्द्रह्मान्तरिता द्वेयासे त्वगयाः परस्यस्य (श्वास्य स्वयस्य मे), वामन 19.8-11 (reads नागद्वीयः क्षराष्ट्रश्च सिद्यते वाकणस्याः वरत्यस्य क्षर्वात् वर्षेत्रः परित्वयाते द्वियोऽयं दक्षिणोत्तरः after अयं ... संयतः), पाष्ट्र 45, 78-83 (adds आयते हा कुमास्थियादा गङ्गाभवाद्य वै and one verse more after this), ज्ञह्मपु (27. 14-17 and 19-20) has also the verses हम्बद्धीयः ... ययनाः स्मृताः but the first verse is ज्ञूक्यपं भारतं वर्षे नवभेदीन भी द्विजाः । समुद्रान्तरिता द्वेयास्य समार्थ्य परस्परस्य (v 1. दुर्गम्या परस्परस्य). The मत्स्य (14. 7-12) has some of the above verses but adds आयतस्य कुमारीतो गङ्गाया प्रवाह्मार्थः (प्रभवाविधः?)। विदेशस्य विवर्ताणे. सहस्राणि दद्येव तु ।. Agn: 118 also has similar verses. The श्वद्वार्णाः सर्वार्यः विदेशियः सरस्यार्थः विदेशियः सरस्यार्थः विदेशियः सरस्यार्थः विदेशियः सरस्यार्थः (विदेशियः सरस्यार्थः विद्यार्थः सरस्यार्थः विदेशि

fore, it appears that the 9th division of Bhāratvarsa was held to be a country like modern India and the other eight divisions seem to be countries and islands lying to the south-east of present India. It is probable that early works identified Bhāratvarsa with what is now modern India, but when Indian culture spread to South-East Asia, Bhāratavarsa was used to denote India as well as Greater India.

Śabara (bhāsya on Jai. X. 1. 35) shows that the language of cultured people from the Himavat to cape Comorin was the same (prasiddhaśca sthālyām caruśabdah ā Himavataḥ ā ca Kumarībhayah prajujyamāno drstah). Vide also his bhāsya on Jai. X. 1. 42 for the same words. The snow-capped mountains were known to the sages of the Rgveda (vide X. 121, 4 'yasyeme Himavanto mahitvā yasya samudram rasayā sahāhuh). 'Yasya' in the verse quoted refers to Hiranyagarbha. The Atharvaveda (V. 4. 2 and 8) mentions Himavat in the singular. Parvatas (plural) occur several times in Rg. 1II. 33. 1, IV. 54. 5 and also parvata in the singular (Rg. I. 37. 7, V. 56. 4). The Mahābhārata, Śabara, Purānas and the Bṛhat-samhitā show that ancient Indian people identified their culture with Bhāratavarsa i.e. they identified country and culture, not race with culture.

The Brahmapurāna and the Mārkandeya appear to confine Bhāratvarsa substantially to what has been known for centuries as India when they describe it as 'to its south, west and east there is the great ocean, to its north is Himavat resembling the string of a bow.'2485 Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. pp. 11-16 for discussion as to the limits of Āryāvarta and pp. 17-18 for Bhārata-varsa.

The Vāyu devotes about 1000 verses (chap. 36-49) to what is called Bhuvanavinyāsa (arrangement of the universe), the Brahma devotes chap. 18-21 to the same viz. Bhuvanakośa, the Matsya chap. 114 deals with Bhuvanakośa, Kūrma I. 40 is called Bhuvanavinyāsa and deals with dvīpas and varsas.

The countries ⁷⁴⁵⁵ of ancient and medieval India are enumerated in Visnu II. 3. 15–18, Väyu 45–109–136, Brahmända II. 16.

²⁴⁸⁵ दक्षिणापरतो यस्य पूर्वे चैव महोद्धि । हिमवानुचरेणास्य कार्स्वकस्य यथा ग्रुणः । तदेतद्भारतं वर्षे सर्वश्रीञं द्विजोत्तमाः । बद्धा 27. 65-66, सार्के० 54, 59

^{2486.} For the Janapadas and other geographical data in Pānini, vide J. of U P. H S Vol. 16 pp 10-51 by Dr. V S. Agravala and IHQ Vol. 21 pp. 297-314 for countries in the Purānas and Dr. D. C. Sirkar's Text of the Purānic list of peoples' in IHQ vol. 19 pp. 297-314. It appears from (Continued on next page)

40-68, Matsya 114, 34-56, Markandeya 54, Padma (Adi 6, 34-59). Vāmana 13.36 ff. The Bhismaparva (chap. 9) mentions countries and peoples. In the Naksatrakūrmādhyāya of the Brhatsamhitā of Varahmihira (chap. 14, 1-33) numerous names and countries in the centre of Bharatavarsa and in the eight directions of it are set out. Many rivers are named in the Rayeda. In Rayeda X. 75. 5-6 eighteen or nineteen rivers are mentioned in order from the Ganges towards the west up to Kubha (Kabul river), Gomati, Krumu (modern Kurram). Twenty-one rivers, seven in three groups, are referred to in Rgveda X, 64.8 and X. 75.1 and 99, in Reveda I, 32.12 and X, 104.8 seven Sindhus are mentioned and in (Rgveda II, 12, 12, IV, 28, 1, X, 43, 3). Rivers are enumerated as flowing from the principal mountains in Matsya 114, 20-33, Kūrma I. 47, 28-39, Brahmānda II. 16. 24-39. Vāmana 13, 20-35 and 34, 6-8, Brahma 19, 10-14 and 27. 25-40, Padma (Adikhanda 6. 10-32). The Anusasanaparva (chap. 165, 19-29) mentions many rivers.

Pātālas (nether regions) are generally mentioned as seven, but the names slightly vary in the several Purānas. Vide Vāyu 50. 11-12, Brahma 21. 2-3 and 54. 20-11, Brahmānda II. 20. 10 ff, Kūrma I. 44. 15-25, Visnu II. 5. 2-3.

The Bhāsya of Vyāsa on Y. S. III. 25 (26 in some editions) bhuvanajūānam sūrye samyamāt' contains a concise but remarkably detailed summary of the description of the seven lokas (bhūr, bhuvah, svah, mahah, jana, tapas and satya, 2457

⁽Continued from last page)

Pānini that he was well acquainted with the whole of India from the extreme northwest to Kalinga (modern Orissa) and Asmaka (region about Ajania and Paithan) and modern Kutch as he expressly names Gāndhāra (IV. 1. 169), Suvāstu (in IV 2. 77, modern Swat), Kamboja (IV. 1 175) and Taksasilā (IV. 3. 93), Sindhu (IV. 3. 93), Salātura (IV. 3. 94, the birth place of Pānini who is hence called Salāturīya by later writers like Bhāmaha), Sauvīra (IV 1. 148), Kaccha (IV. 2. 133), Magadha, Kalinga, Sūramasa (Surma valley?) in IV. 1. 170, Asmaka (IV. 1. 173). Cunningham's 'Ancient Geography of India (1872), Nundolal Dey's 'The Geographical Dictionary of Ancient and Medieval India' (1927), 'Bibliography of Ancient Geography of India' by Surendranath Majumdar' in I. A. Vol. 48 (for 1919) pp. 15–23 and 'the list of Tīrthas' in the author's H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp 723–825 may be consulted for ancient Indian Geography.

^{2487.} The words for the three or seven Vyāhrtis were supposed to denote lokas. Vide Tai. Br. 11. 2 4.3 'एता वे ब्याह्नय हमे लोका। and Tal. Up. 1. 5 भूरिति वा अयं लोका। श्वर हत्यन्तरिक्षम् । सुपरित्यक्षो लोका। मए हत्यादित्यः। आदित्येन वाच सर्वे लोका महीयन्ते।, the कृत्मेषुराण् (1. 44. 1-4) mentions the lokas from महः to सत्य.

the seven narakas from Avīci upwards, the seven pātālas, the earth with seven dvīpas, the seven parvatas with Meru in the middle of the earth, varsas, the seven dvīpas, jambu, šaka, kuśa, krauñca, šālmala, gomedha (not gomedaka as in the printed Purānas) and Puskara, the seven seas, the parks of the gods, their assembly hall called Sudharmā and city called Sudarśana and palace called Vaijayanta, the groups of gods in Mahendraloka, Prājāpatya-loka, in Jana, Tapas and Satya lokas. Many of these details closely agree with the enumerations and descriptions in the Purānas. This shows that the Paurānika cosmography had been established long before the 4th century A. D.

CHAPTER XXXV

The doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma (transmigration or metempsychosis)

This is one of the most fundamental doctrines of the Indian system of religion and philosophy. is an endeavour to answer the question that occurs to all thoughtful persons. viz. what happens to man after the death of the body and has influenced for thousands of years or at least from the times of the Upanisads all Indian thought and all Hindus. Jains and This is a vast subject and has during the last few decades attracted the minds of numerours writers in the There is now a voluminous literature in the West on reincarnation. 2487a Several countries in historical times believed in transmigration. Herodotus 24876 states that some of the Greeks (whose names he knew but did not disclose) had used that doctrine as their own, but that the Egyptians were the first to teach that the human soul was immortal and that at the time of the death of the body it entered into some other living thing then coming to birth. Pythagoras appears to have believed in it and there are controversies whether he derived it from India. Prof. A. B. Keith (in J. R. A. S. for 1909 pp. 569-606) after a lengthy discussion holds that Pythagoras did not borrow it from India. The present writer does not express any opinion on that subject as it is irrelevant for the purpose of this volume. Hopkins and Macdonnell accept the theory of Indian Influence on Pythagoras, but Oldenberg and Keith do not.

Not only Pythagoras, but Empedocles (who is reported to have said that he was a boy, a girl, a bush, a bird and a fish) and Plato believed in the pre-existence and post-existence of the soul, in the idea that the bodies which will accompany the soul in several births would bear similitude to the lives that

²⁴⁸⁷a. Even so early as 1913, E. D. Walker (Rider and Co, London) devotes to a bibliography of books and articles on 'Remearnation' 15 pages (pp. 329-343).

²⁴⁸⁷b. Vide English translation of Herodotus by A. D. Godley, Vol. I. (book II 123).

they had led, that the happiest would be those who had practised the social and civil virtues called temperance and justice and that supersensible thinking would be the only means of disengaging the soul from successive lives of sense. Vide Kenneth Walker's 'The circle of Life' p. 93 (where he says that the doctrine of metempsychosis was probably well-known in India at the time of Christ) and Gough's 'Philosophy of the Upanisads' (London 1882), pp 25-28, where he cites a long passage from Plato's Phaedon on this subject and pp. 29-31 for a long quotation from Hume's Dialogues concernig Natural Religion on the miseries and migration of the soul from body to body. Gough on p 25 of the above work opines that since in the Vedic literature prior to the Upanisads there is no reference to the belief in the passage of the soul into plants and human bodies. it is reasonable to suppose that the Hindus borrowed this doctrine from the indigenes in the course of their absorption of indigenous blood Vide also 'Studies in honour of Bloomfield' pp. 76-88 for G. W. Brown's views to the same effect. This is a most gratuitous assumption, it is a pure conjecture and without any evidence whatever. If belief in transmigration could exist among Egyptians and many primitive tribes, there is no reason to assume that Indians did not themselves arrive at the doctrine, particularly when there exists nowhere else in the whole world any theory of Karma and transmigration so detailed, so influential and so thorough as in Sanskrit Literature. One may dismiss the conjectures of Gough and G. W Brown as verbiage (the latter conjectures on pp. 87-88 that even the words Yoga, Sankhya and Upanisad are coined from similar words in some Dravidian tongue now lost). All Scholars (particularly Western ones when writing about the East) should lay to heart the words of Mallinatha 'namulam likhyate kiñcit'. The present writer is not against conjectures at all but they must not be bold and must always be treated as such. But the danger is that the conjectures of former scholars or men are often treated as valid conclusions by later writers, All scholars must bear in mind Acton's warning 'Guard against the prestige of great names; no trusting without testing'. In the H of Dh Vol. IV. pp 38-40 the doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma was briefly discussed in relation to the consequence of sins and their removal and details were reserved for treatment later

In the present chapter the author proposes to examine the Vedic literature for tracing the origin and the growth of this doctrine and the vicissitudes and modifications of it and the objections raised against it in modern times. remarkable circumstance that, though the several darsanas (such as Sānkhya, Yoga, Nyāya, Vaisesika. Pürvamimāmsā and Vedanta) severely criticize some doctrines of each other, the doctrine of Karma and transmigration received almost unanimous support except among materialists (like Carvaka). Prof. Wilson in 'Religion of the Hindus' (London, ed. of 1862) vol. II. p. 112 remarks 'metempsychosis is not only the one point on which all are agreed, it is the one point which none have ever disputed'. The Buddhists and Jains, though they differed on many matters from Vedic and Smrti literature, adopted this doctrine in their own way. There are some suppositions antecedent to all beliefs in Karma and transmigration viz. (1) man has a soul, eternal and separate from the physical body, (2) that other organisms, animals, plants and probably inanimate objects possess souls, (3) that the souls of men and lower animals can pass from one kind of physical organism to another, (4) that the soul is both doer and sufferer.

It has already been shown at some length (in Vol. IV. pp. 154-171) how ideas of Heaven and Hell were developed from ancient Vedic times and it has been stated (on p. 158 of that volume) that the doctrine of Karma and transmigration modified the doctrine of Heaven and Hell.

The word karma occurs in the Rgveda over forty times. In some passages it appears to mean 'exploits' or 'valiant deeds' as in the following: 'Observe the exploits of Visnu' (Rg. 1. 22. 19), 'proclaim by your word (or verses) the ²⁴⁸³ ancient deeds of Him (Indra) who is worthy of praise' (Rg. I. 61. 13); 'that deed of his (of Indra), who is wonderful, is most worthy of worship, that deed is most beautiful, that he filled with sweet waters of four rivers' (Rg. I. 62. 6); 'who is firm in each exploit' (Rg. I. 101. 4); 'O Indra! four are your divine and unconquerable names,... under which you performed exploits' (Rg. X. 54. 4); 'O Aśvins! frequent drinkers of delicious Soma and Lords of splendour, you helped (or protected) Indra in his exploits

²⁴⁸⁸ अस्पेदु म बृहि पूर्त्याणि तुरस्य कर्माणि नथ्य उक्त्येः। इ. I 61, 13; तटु प्रयक्षतमस्य कर्म दस्मस्य चारुतममस्ति दंसः। उपहृते यटुपरा अपिन्वन् मध्वणेसी नद्यश्चतम्।
Rg. I. 62 6; युवं सुराममन्दिना नसुचावासुरे सुचा। विपिपाना शुभस्पती इन्द्रं कर्मस्वावतम्।
इस X. 131, 4.

against Namuci, son of Asura (or of the Asura breed)' in Rg. X 131. 4. In several other passages of the Rgveda 'karma' means 'religious works' (such as sacrifices or gifts): '(the gods) accept (or like) all works of this poet who brings to you a hymn of praise' 2489 (Rg. I. 148. 2); (O Indra), give your ear to (the prayer) of Śyāvāśva who offers Soma to you, as you listened to (the prayers of) Atri who performed religious works' (Rg. VIII. 36. 7). O pure Soma! with thy help our ancient and wise forefathers performed works' (Rg. IX. 96, 11). In very ancient times Heaven was believed to be the place for enjoying the rewards of most works. Rewards of this world such as wealth. heroic sons were no doubt prayed for, but it was immortality (amriatia) and the joys of heaven, that were highly valued In Rg. X. 16. 4 Agni is prayed to take the deceased to the world of those who performed good works (tabhir-vahainam sukrtam u lokam). The words 'sukrtam lokam' occur in the Atharvaveda 2490 (III 28 6, XVIII, 3.71) and in the Vaj. S. 18, 52. In Rg. IX. 113. 7-10 the sacrificer who offers Soma to Indra prays that he may be placed in that world (heaven) as an immortal, where there is never-ending light, where Yama, the son of Vivasvat, is the King, where there are joys and delights and where there are desires and their fulfilment. The prayers for immortality are made in the Rgveda to all gods, e. g. to Agni in I. 31, 7, IV 58. 1, V. 4. 10., Vi. 7. 4, to the Maruts in V. 55. 4, to Mitra and Varuna in V. 63. 2, to Visve-Devas in X. 52.5 and X. 62.1, to Soma in I. 91. 1. IX. 94. 4. IX. 108. 3. But about the fate of evil-doers not much is said in the Reveda. In the Brahmana works greater details are offered as to rewards of good works and the retribution for evil deeds. Sat. Br. (XII. 9. 1. 1) puts forth the idea of retribution (cited above).2491 The same idea is set forth as to flesh-eating in Manu and Visnu-dharmasütra, which state

^{2489.} खुपन्त विश्वान्यस्य कर्मोपस्तुर्ति भरमाणस्य कारोः। ऋ I 148.2: इयावाश्वस्य सुन्तत्तत्त्वा रृष्णु यथा शृणोरचे कर्माणि कुण्वतः। ऋ. VIII. 36 7. the same verse is repeated in VIII 37.7 (with रेभत, for सुन्वतः), त्वया हि न. पितरः सोम पूर्वे कर्माणि चक्काः पवमान धीराः। ऋ IX. 96, 11.

²⁴⁹⁰ ताम्या पतेम सुकृतासु लीकं पत्र ऋषणी जग्मुः प्रथमजा. प्रराणा. । वाज. स. 18. 52; शरीरमस्य सद्दर्भन धेहि सुकृतासु लोके॥ अथर्व XVIII. 3. 71.

²⁴⁹¹ एतस्माह्ने पज्ञान्युरुपो जायते। स यद्ध वा अस्मिह्नीके पुरुपोऽस्नमत्ति तदेनमस्मिह्नोके मत्पत्ति। कात्रप्य XII. 9 1.1, मा स अक्षयितासुज्ञ यस्य मासमिहाइम्पहस्।
एतन्मांसस्य मांसन्धे पवदन्ति मनीपिण.॥ मनु V. 55, विष्णुधर्मस्ज्ञ 51. 78, मा means 'me'
and स 'that being' and the word मांस (that contains these two) is explained
ps above.

"that being whose flosh I eat here would eat me in the next world the wise declare this to be the origin of the word 'mamsa'." The Sat. Br. in another passage refers to a strange legend 2492 Bhron. who had become vain on account of his learning and thought himself more learned than his father Varuna, was asked by his father to go to the four quarters from east to north and report what he would see there. Horrible sights met him in all directions e.g. in the east he saw men dismembering men. hewing off their limbs one by one and saving 'this to you, this to me'. He said 'this is horrible'. They replied 'these indeed dealt with us in yonder world and so we now deal with them in return'. Then in the north he saw that men crying aloud were being eaten by men crying aloud. When he said 'horrible &c': they replied 'these indeed dealt with us...in return'. This is a long story and it is not necessary to set out the whole. This story probably gives expression to the popular notion of 'tit for tat'. But one thing is clear that the Sat. Br. indicates by this story that a belief had then arisen that one who does evil in one life has to suffer for it in a later life from that being whom he treated badly. The Sat. Br and the Tai. Br. both several times speak of conquering or casting off 'punarmityu' (renewed death, liability to be born and die again and again). Two passages from the Sat. Br. and Tai Br. may be quoted. In X. 4. 4 the Sat. Br. tells the story that gods became immortal by means of the proper performance of Agnicayana as Prajāpati advised them viz by laying down 360 enclosing stones, 360 Yajusmati bricks and 36 more thereon, 10800 Lokampraā bricks. In X. 4. 4. 9 it is said 'he who is to become immortal through knowledge (vidyā)2493 and through sacred works (karman) shall become immortal after separating from the body', and then X. 4. 4. 10 asserts that 'those who know this or those who do this holy work come to life again after dying and coming to life they secure immortal life; but those who do not know

^{2492.} स तत एव पाङ्क पवज्ञाज। एटु पुरुषे पुरुषान्पर्वाण्येषा पर्वज्ञः संज्ञक्ष सर्वज्ञो विभजमानानिदं तवेदं ममेति। स होवाच भीण्यं वत भीः। पुरुषान्वा एतत्पुरुषा, पर्वाण्येषा पर्वज्ञ संज्ञक्ष पर्वज्ञो व्यभक्षतिति ते होचुरित्यं वा इमेऽस्मान्हिष्मिहोकेऽस वन्त तान्वयिमदिमिह प्रतिसचाः मह इति। स ह तत एवोदङ्क पवज्ञाज। एटु पुरुषे, पुरुषानाक्षन्त्वयत आक्रन्द्यविद्वराधमानाव। स होवाच। भीष्म वत भीः पुरुषान् वा एतत्पुरुषा, आक्रन्द्यत आक्रन्द्यन्तोऽदन्तीति। ते होचुरित्यं वा इमेऽस्मा ... सचामह हाति। ज्ञातप्य XI 6, 1.3-6.

²⁴⁹³ ते य एवमेतद्विदुर्ये वे तत्कर्म खुवेत मृत्वा एनः सम्भवन्ति ते सम्भवन्त एवामृतवमि सम्भवन्त्यथ य एवं न विदुर्ये वे तत्कर्म न खुवेते मृत्वा एनः सम्भवन्ति त एतर्येवार्च एन. एनर्भवन्ति । ज्ञावथ्य X 4 3, 10.

this or do not perform this sacred work come to life again when they die and they become the food of him (Death) time after time. In the Tai. Br. III. 11. 8 the story of Naciketas is narrated rust as in the Kathopanisad (some of the verses are the same in both). Death grants Naciketas three boons in the Tai. Br., the third being different in the Kathopanisad. The third boon asked by Naciketas in Tai. Br. is 'Declare to me how to cast off punarmṛtyu.' Death declared to him the Nāciketa fire, thereby he (Naciketas) cast off or kept off punarmṛtyu.²⁴⁹¹ The words 'apa punarmṛtyum jayati' occur in Kausitaki Br. 25. 1 and several times in the Br. Up. I. 2. 7., I. 5. 2, III. 2., 10, III. 3. 2.

From the ancient popular idea of retribution for evil deeds probably arose the idea of the setting of good deeds against the evil deeds of a person and weighing them as if in a balance. The Sat Br. states ²⁴⁹⁵ 'Now this one is the balance, viz. the right side of the vedi. ²⁴⁹⁶ Let him sit down touching the right edge of the vedi, for indeed they place him on the balance in yonder world and whichever of two will rise he will follow, whether it be good or evil. And whoseever knows this mounts the balance even in this world and escapes being placed on the balance in yonder world, for it is good deed that rises and not his evil deed'.

The Satapatha had arrived at the idea that man's will (and corresponding deed) governs what world he will reach after death. It says 'Let him meditate on truth as brahma. Now man here is mostly will and, according as his will is when he departs from this world, does he on departing become of similar will in the yonder world'. 24%.

There is a curious passage in the Satapatha (X. 1.5.4) about the powers conferred by sacrifices in the yonder world. It states that he who had regularly performed Agnihotra eats food

²⁴⁹⁴ तृतीयं पूर्णीप्नेति। पुनर्षृत्योमेंद्रपचितिं वृद्दीति होवाच। तस्मै हैतमाग्रं नाचिकेत-खवाच ततो वें सोद्रप पुनर्पृत्युमजयत्। अप पुनर्पृत्युं जयिति योग्निं नाचिकेत चितुने य उ चैनमेर्ष वेद। ते व्या III. ११, ८ ५,

²⁴⁹⁵ अप ऐपेन तुला पहसिणी बेग्नना. स गत्साधु करोति सदन्तर्भेग्नथ पदसाधु तद्द-हिवाँद्व । तत्माह्यक्षणं नेग्नन्तमधित्पृद्द्येवासीत । तुलाया ह वाड्युट्मिंद्वोन्न आदर्थात यत्तर्थ्यस्थति तदन्त्रेटपति पदि माधु पाऽसाधु वेति । अथ च एवं नेदास्मिन्देन लीके तुलामारोहयत्यस्थिन्द्वीके तुलापानं सुन्दते । साधुकृत्या हैवाह्य पन्हति न पायकृत्या । इत्तप्य XI 2 7, 33. Here the edge of the right side of the Vedi is spoken of as the beam of the balance,

²⁴⁹⁶ सत्यं ब्रह्मेरपुपासीत । अध ग्रह क्रह्ममयोऽयं पुरुषः स यागःकतुरस्माह्योकाधित्येवं क्रह्मांधु रोक्त प्रेरणाभिसम्भगति । क्षतपथ X 6 3 1,

in the evening and morning in the yonder world, the performer of Darsa and Pürnamäsa sacrifice eats food every half month; the performer of Cāturmāsyas (seasonal sacrifices) eats food in the yonder worlds every four months, the performer of animal sacrifice every six months; the Soma sacrificer once a year; the builder of the fire after (agnicit) eats food every hundred years at his will or may not require it at all after once taking it, for a hundred years are as much as immortality, unending and everlasting.

The Sat. Br. had arrived at the conclusion that every man is born in a world fashioned by himself. It also asserted that he who sacrifices to the gods does not win such a place as the one who sacrifices to the Atman and the latter frees himself from the mortal body, from sin, as a snake frees itself from its slough ²⁴⁹⁷.

It must be conceded that a clear statement about the doctrine of karma and punarjanma is absent from the whole of the Rgveda. Rg. VII. 33 is an important hymn. The first nine verses are spoken by Vasistha about his sons. Verses 10-14 refer to Vasistha²⁴⁹⁸ himself and are either attributed to his sons or according to another view are part of a dialogue with Indra. The verses are mythological, mystical and rather difficult to explain. Verse 10 refers to one birth of Vasistha when the gods Mitra and Varuna saw him reaching the refulgence of lightning and it is said that Agastya brought him (Vasistha) to the people. Here the words 'ekam janma' indicate that another janma of Vasistha is intended in this hymn. Verse 11 refers to Vasistha as born of Mitra and Varuna from Urvaši and states that all the gods placed him in pushara (either antariksa or lotus); verse 12 is important for the metaphorical and mystical statement that

^{2497.} तदाहुः। आत्मयाजी श्रेयाच् देवयाजी ३ ... इत्यात्मयाजीति ह श्रूपात्। ... सं यश्वाहिस्त्वचो निर्धुच्येतैवमस्मान्मत्यांच्छरीरात्पाम्मनो निर्धुच्यते स ... स्वर्ये ह्योक्समिसम्भवति। अथ ए स देवयाजी ... न तावन्ते होके जयति यावन्तमितरः। शतवथ XI. 2. 6. 13-14.

^{2498.} विद्यतो ज्योतिः परि संजिद्यानं मित्रावरुणा यद्यप्र्यता त्वा । तत्ते जन्मोतिकं वसिष्ठागरत्यो यत्त्वां विश्व आजभार ॥ उतासि मैत्रावरुणो वसिष्ठीर्वर्या ब्रह्मन्मनसोधि जातः । इप्सं स्त्रकं
ब्रह्मणा दैन्येन विश्वे देवाः पुष्करे त्वाददन्त ॥ स प्रकेत ... सदातः । यमेन ततं परिधि विषयब्रह्मणा दैन्येन विश्वे देवाः पुष्करे त्वाददन्त ॥ स प्रकेत ... सदातः । यमेन ततं परिधि विषयब्रह्मसार परिजज्ञे वसिष्ठः ॥ सत्रे ए जाताविषिता नमोभिः कुम्भे रेतः सिष्विद्यद् समानस् । तते
ए मान उदियाय मध्यात्ततो जातम्विमाह्वविष्ठिष्ठम् ॥ जः VII. 33. 10-13. The verse
उत्तासि is explained in the Nirukta V. 14. मानः is explained as श्मीप्रमाण от
शम्याप्रमाण (of the measure of a yoke-pin)
शयाप्रमाण (ordinarily 32 abgulas),
vide H. of Dh. vol, II. p. 1112 n 2487.

wishing to weave the cloth stretched by Yama, Vasistha was born from Urvasi. Verse 13 refers to the seed cast in a pitcher by the two (Mitra and Varuna) from the midst of which arose (Agastya) and from which also Vasistha was born. Verse 14 is addressed to Pratids (the Tritsus according to Sāyana) and calls upon them to wait upon (or honour) Vasistha who would be coming to them (for performing sacrifice. This, it seems, is the second birth of Vasistha.

Prof R. D. Ranade²⁴⁹⁹ in his 'Constructive survey of the Upanishadic philosophy' (pp. 145-166) relies upon certain mantras of the Rgveda for stating that there is evidence for holding that an approach to the idea of Transmigration was being made by the vedic sages (p. 147), though he concedes (on the same page) that in the major part of the Rgveda the idea of transmigration is conspicuous by its absence.

Among the texts on which he relies are Rg. I. 164. 3, 4, 16, 17, 20, 30, 31, 38. The whole hymn has several riddles, has highly imaginative descriptions of the year, the path of the Sun, questions and answers and is a late hymn, as he him self admits (p. 150). Verses 3 and 17 contain nothing suggestive of punarjanma. Verse 4 is really concerned with the first creation, as it literally means 'who saw that one that was first being born, when the boneless (prakrti) bears a body possessing bones; where was the life (prana) of the earth, or its blood or its

^{2499.} All verses on which Prof. Ranade relies are set out here in one place. को ददर्श प्रधमं जायमानमस्थन्तन्तं यदनस्था विभर्ति । सूम्या असुरस्यास्मा क स्वित् को विद्वांसस्प गात्मब्दुमेतत् ॥ ऋ I 164. 4; स्त्रियः सतीस्ता उ मे पुंस आहु पश्यदक्षण्यास वि चेतदन्यः । सविर्यः पुत्रः स ईमा चिकेत यस्ता विज्ञानात्स पितुविपतासत्॥ 16, अव. परेण पर एनावरेण पदा वरसं विश्वती मौरुद्रस्थात् । स कटीची फंस्विदर्धे परागात् क स्वित्स्ते नहि यूथे अन्तः ॥ 17; हा सुपर्णा सयुजा सन्ताना सनाने वृक्ष परि परवजाते । सपीरन्यः पिष्पूर्ल स्वाह्रस्यनकृतसन्यो अभि चाकज्ञीति। 20, अनच्छये तुरमातु जीवमेजस् धुवं मध्य आ परस्यानाम्। जीवो मृतस्य चरति स्वधाभिरमत्यों मत्यंना संयोति ॥ 30, अपइयं गीपामनिषद्यमानमा च परा च पश्चिभि-श्वरत्तम्। स मधीत्वी स विपूर्वीवसान आ बरीवर्ति धुवनेव्यन्त ॥ 31; अपाङ् प्राङेति स्वधपा रुभीतो(अत्यो मर्त्येना सर्योनिः । ता इश्वन्ता वियुचीना वियन्ता न्यन्यं चिक्युर्न नि चिक्युर्न्यय् ॥ 38, the word जीन occurs only in I 164, 30. In I, 164, 38 the word जीन does not occur At the most there is a contrast between the immortal part i.e soul) and the mortal part (the body) in the verses 30 and 38. These ino (soul and bod)) are always bound together, one of them (the body) is well known to people, the other (the soul) is not so known. The verse अपदर्भ मोपां (क 1 164, 31) is reprated in X. 177. 3. The आन्यमेधिकापर्व 47. 16 द्वारिनां पक्षिणो नित्यो 15 an echo of हा सुपंणी सञ्जा etc.

self? Who (a pupil) approached a wise one (a guru) to ask this?'. Verse 16 means 'they declare to me the women that exist and the men; one possessing eyes (the light of true knowledge) may see (the Reality); the blind (the ignorant) will not know; the wise son may know this; he who knows these would be the father's father'. Sayana gives several meanings of this verse. The present author fails to understand how the words 'father's father' indicate any reference to transmigration in this verse, as Prof. Ranade asserts. Why he presses this verse of I. 164 into service the present author cannot understand. After referring to I. 164. 32 (which doubts whether he who created all this knows its real nature) he states 'it sets such a high price on the mystical knowledge which it glorifies that any one who comes in possession of this knowledge may be said to be his father's father.' To whom does 'his' refer?.

The verse 20 'dvā suparnā' also occurs in the Mundakopanisad III. 1. and SV. Up. IV. 6 and refers to the individual soul and the Supreme Self and tells us that the former is bhoktr (taster of worldly pleasures), while the latter simply looks on. But so far as the present writer can see there is absolutely nothing about a former or future life in the verse. Verse 30 draws a sharp distinction between the self which is immortal and the body which is mortal and states that the two are bound together to the same place. Verse 31 is a description of the movements of the Sun who is called 'gopā' (protector, guardian) and speaks of his coming to the worlds again and again. Prof. Ranade sees too much in the words 'gopām', which is applied to Agni (Rg. I. 1. 8, I. 96. 7), to Soma (in Rg. VI. 52.3), to Varuna (in Rg. VIII, 42, 2), to Visnu in Rg. I. 22, 18. In Rg. III, 43. 5 the words 'gopām janasya' (protector of the people) occur. Prof. Ranade translates (p. 151) 'he saw the guardian returning frequently to mundane regions . Why should we not translate (particularly when we have the words 'bhuvanesvantah') 'I saw the guardian Sun again and again returning to the worlds and moving towards me and away from me by different paths'?. Prof. Ranade also²⁵⁰⁰ relies on Rg. X. 16. 3 and particularly on the

²⁵⁰⁰ सूर्य चधुर्गेच्छत वातमात्मा छां च गच्छ प्रथिवा च धर्मणा। अपो षा गच्छ यदि तत्र ते व्रितमोपधीषु प्रति तिष्ठा शरीरैः ॥ घः X. 16 3. धर्मणा means सुकृतेन (good deeds or sacrifices). In Rg X. 85 24 we have घत्तस्य योनो सुकृतस्य लेकिऽरिष्टा खा

word 'dharmana' in it which he renders as 'his qualities'. Rg. X. 16 has 14 verses, is one of the funeral hymns and the cremation fire (as Agni or Jātavedas) is expressly mentioned in eleven verses. Verse 3 (addressed to the deceased) may literally be translated as 'may thy eye go to the Sun. ātman (prāna) to wind, go to heaven or the earth by dharman or go to the waters if you find your benefit in them; be firmly established in the plants with the limbs of the body.' The express mention of 'heaven' shows that this is only one of the numerous verses of the Reveda in which heaven is referred to as the abode of those who perform good works and that there is nothing more in it than that. Vide above pp. 20-21 for the meaning of dharman (which is the only form of the word employed in the Rgveda) viz. 'religious rites or sacrifices' (in most Raveda passages) or rarely 'fixed principles of conduct'. The very next verse (X. 16 4) contains a prayer to Agni to carry the deceased to the world of people of good deeds (tābhirvahainam sukrtām-u lokam). There is no reason to suppose that in Rg. X. 16.3 anything more is meant than what is prayed for in verse 4. Besides, the basic idea of punarjanma is that future existence is regulated by the good or evil deeds of a person and he has no option whatever. In Rg. X. 16. 3 the departed spirit is told that he may go to heaven or earth or waters or plants. This is not the doctrine of punarjanma at all. In X.16.5 the cremation fire is addressed 'O Agni! send forth the departing man who is offered as an oblation into thee and who will move on with the food offered, again to (the world of) pitrs; the remainder (left after the body is burnt) putting on a new life may go near (pitrs) and be connected with (a new) body in that world.' This verse contains the same idea as in X. 16. 4 in different words and refers

⁽Continued from last page)

मह परवा दथानि Compare with ज्ञ X. 16 3. शतपं X. 3 8. स यदेवंविद्यमाहोकात्यैति वाचेगानिमध्यित च ह्यादिर्य मनसा चन्न्ने श्रोजेण दिशः प्राणेन वार्षु स एतन्मथ एव स्वितासां देवतानां या या ज्ञामयते सा भूचेलयति, and यत्ते यमं वेवस्वत मनो जगाम द्रास्त्रम्। तत्त आतर्तयानमीए संपाप जीवसे॥ ज्ञ X 58. 1. The words underlined occur in all twelve verses of the hymn, which must be supposed to have been addressed to the man who is moriband. The first verse means 'thy mind that has reached far away to Yama, the son of Vivasvat, we bring back for the purpose that it may reside and live here' The remaining eleven verses refer to the dying man's mind having gone to (1 c as having the dying thought of) heaven and carth, to the earth with four points, four intermediate quarters, the set, rays and high hilly tracts, waters and plants, to the Sun and Dawn, the big mountains, this whole world, most distant regions, past and future,

only to the other world (pitrs) and not to transmigration. Prof. Ranade refers (p. 148) to Rg. X. 58 and translates one of the verses as meaning that the sage will recall the soul and make it live again by his song. It is not clear what verse or verses he has in view. He has probably only the first verse in That hymn has twelve verses in each of which a quarter is different but three quarters are the same. None of the verses contains any express word for song. Besides, mind is different from the soul even in the Rgyeda (vide Rg. X 57. 5 where both words 'manah' and 'jivam' occur). Moreover, the man is not yet dead. The composer uses the present tense (a vartayamasi) and means to say that he will try to prevent his dying. In the present author's opinion there is not even a distant reference to transmigration in this hymn or in any of the four hymns (Rg. X. 57-60) which are assigned to brothers Bandhu. Śrutabandhu and Vipra-bandhu, who are called Gaupāyanas in the Anukramanl. In Rg. X. 60. 7 Subandhu who appears to have been a brother of the three is addressed 'O Subandhu' here comes your mother, your father, your very life' etc., and in X.60.10 it is said 'I have brought the mind of Subandhu from Yama Vaivasvata in order that he may live and not die.'

In Rg. X. 14. 8 there is no reference (as some suppose) to return to this world after enjoying the fruits of good deeds in the company of pitrs. The first half of the verse expresses that the newly departed spirit will be united with pitrs, with Yama and with his good deeds. The 2nd half asks the departed to leave behind his sons and be united in heaven with a new and brilliant body.

Rg. IV. 42 (in ten verses) is a hymn of a sage-like king Trasadasyu, son of Purukutsa, who identifies himself with Indra and Varuna in verse 3 ²⁵⁰¹ 'I who have become enlightened about the worlds am Indra and Varuna and by my greatness am the two worlds (heaven and earth) that are wide, deep (spácious) and well-fixed. I sent forth heaven and earth like Tvastr (Prajāpati) and support them.' Here by virtue of true knowledge he identifies himself with Indra and Varuna but there is no conception of transmigration. Similarly, Vāmadeva

^{2501.} अहिमिन्दो वरुणस्ते महिस्बोर्थी गभीरे रजसी सुनेके। लहेव विश्वा धुननानि विद्वान समैरथं रोदसी धारथं च ॥ ऋ IV 42.3. The निरुक्त IV. 19 gives several meanings of the word 'rajas', one of which is लोका रजांस्युच्यम्ते.

having attained knowledge of Reality asserts 2502 'I have become Manu and the Sun, I am the wise sage Kaksiyat; I reached Kutsa, the son of Arjuni, I am the seer Usanas; look upon me (as identifiable with all)'. This verse is quoted in Br. Up. I. 4. 10 (which would be set out later on and explained). In this also there is no express reference to a past birth as Manu or as the Sun. In Rg. IV. 27.1 Vāmadeva declares 'while still staying in the womb (of my mother) I learnt all the births of these gods; if a hundred fortresses of ayas (copper or iron) had guarded me, I would have still escaped like a hawk with swiftness' (another meaning is also possible which may be set out later). This is quoted in the Ait. Up II. 5 (to be quoted and explained later). Prof. R. D. Ranade understands (pp. 49, 153) Rg. IV. 26. 1 as saying that Vāmadeva makes an unconscious utterance that he was in a former life Manu or the Sun In that verse Vamadeva indentifies himself with five persons. Manu, Sürya, sage Kaksivat, Kutsa and Usanas. There is no word like 'anım'a' On Prof. Ranade's view Vāmadeva would have to be held to state five previous births at least (and if so in a confused order). Besides, the following verses clearly show that Vāmadeva makes a claim to be identical with the Supreme Principle by giving the earth to the Aryas, sending rain to those who give offerings, making the gods follow his desire or will. The utmost that can be said is that he claims identity with the Godhead. Deussen in 'Philosophy of the Upanishads' (p 318) holds that both the verses (Rg. IV. 26.1 and IV. 27. 1.) have nothing to do with the doctrine of the soul's transmigration The present author respectfully agrees with Deussen and thinks that Prof. Ranade is wrong. Śankarācārya 1503 on V.S.I.1.30 explains that Indra looking upon himself as brahma according to the Vedāntasāstra gives the instruction 'know me alone', quotes Br. Up I. 4. 10, and on V. S III. 4. 51 reiterates that in Rg. IV. 27. 1 the Veda expressly asserts that Vamadeva, while still in the mother's womb, realized his identity with bahma and then remarks that this vedic

²⁵⁰² अरं मनुराभां सूर्यश्चाह कक्षीवाँ ऋषिरस्मि विष्ठः। अहं कुत्समार्जुनेयं न्युक्षेऽहं कविषदाना परपता मा॥ ऋ IV 26 1 नागें तु सक्तन्वेपामवेदमार्थं देवानां अनिमानि विश्वा। इत मा पुर आपसीरसक्षध रुपेनो जनसा निरहीयस्। ऋ IV. 27 1.

²⁵⁰³ शास्त्रहष्ट्या तुपदेशी बामदेववत् । वे स् 1 1 30, भाष्य-इन्ही नान देवता-स्नानं समारमान परमारमत्त्रेनाहमेत्र परं झहोत्यार्पेण दर्शनेन यथाशास्त्रं पश्यकुपदिशति स्म--मानेन रिजानीहि-इति। पथा 'तस्त्रेतत्वश्यकृषिर्वानदेश न्निपदेऽई मन्तरभनं सूर्पेश्च । इति तहत्। This is हुए उप. 1. 4. 10

assertion indicates that correct knowledge of Reality may arise in a succeeding life owing to the means (or efforts) made in a previous life or lives It may be noted that Śankarācārya draws a distinction between what Śruti (Veda) expressly declares (vadantī) and what it indicates or what is to be inferred from it (daršayati). ²⁵⁰¹

Mr. J. S. Karandikar of Poona (a staunch disciple and follower of Lokamanya Tilak) in his learned work 'Gitatattvamānari' (in Marathi, Poona 1947) asserts (on pp. 775-776) that the doctrine of transmigration is as old as the Vedic age (i.e. the Samhitas) and relies for this proposition on only four verses of the Reveda viz X. 14. 8. X. 16. 3 and 5 and X. 135. 6. The first three of these four have been explained above in dealing with Prof. R. D. Ranade's views. Mr. Karandikar summarises Rg. X. 16, 5 as a prayer to Agni that the latter should take the departed to the world of pitrs and should send him back to his relatives after endowing him with a new brilliant body. There are no words for 'back to his relatives' nor for 'brilliant' in the verse at all. The word 'punah' occurs in the first half and refers to the deceased's coming to nitrloka after the body is burnt on the earth and the second half refers to the new body to be had in the world of pitrs (and not to relatives). Rg. X. 14.8 on which Mr. Karandikar relies has nothing to do with returning to the earth. After leaving his bad deeds (aradya) behind the deceased is asked to go to the home of the pitrs with a brilliant body. The brilliant body is not earthly but of the Rg. X. 135 6 is quoted in the note below.²⁵⁰⁵ That verse is an extremely vague one and is a riddle or is metapho-That hymn of seven verses is addressed to Yama and the Anukramanī says that the τs : of it is Kumāra of Yama's family.

^{2504.} वर्भस्थ एव च वामदेव मतिपेदे ब्रह्मभावमिति बदन्ती जन्मान्तरसञ्चितास्ताधना-जन्मान्तरे विद्योत्पत्ति दर्शयति। न हि वर्भस्थस्यैवेहिकं किंचित्साधन सम्मान्यते। शाङ्करभाग्य on वे सू III 4 51.

²⁵⁰⁵ यथाभवद्दुदेशी तती अग्रमजायत । प्रस्ताद् द्वान आततः पश्चाजिरयण कृतम् ॥
ऋ. X. 135 6 The word अद्धदेशी occurs only thrice in the Rg viz in this
hymn twice (in verses 5 and 6) and once in Rg. X. 85 6 where it is in the
feminine gender, means a friend of the newly married bride who goes along
with her to the bridegroom's house to keep her company' (रेग्यासीद्दुदेशी).
अद्धिशी literally means 'what is given after the principal gift'. Even in
these days when a substantial gift is made to a brāhmana, another small
gift is added to it. The word निर्यण occurs only here in the whole of the
Rgveda अग्र and द्वान are contrasted as top and bottom in Rg. X. 111.8
'क्र स्विद्यं क्र स्विद् द्वान आसाम्'

The first verse of Rg. X. 135 refers to Yama who is said to drink (Soma) along with the gods under a tree (i. e. in a garden) with fine foliage, where our (my) father, lord of people, desires to send (me) to the ancient fathers. It is possible that this refers to Naciketas as Sāyana, following the story of Naciketas in the Tai. Br. III 11. 8 and in the Kathopanisad, holds. This verse may be taken as containing the words of Naciketas. sent by his angry father Vājaśravasa to the world of Yama as donation in the Visvajit sacrifice. The 2nd verse also contains what Naciketas said viz that he (at first) looked upon his father in anger, since he (the father) desired to send him (the son) to the ancient pitrs in that evil way, but that he (on further consideration) liked (what the father did). He liked the idea of facing Yama himself. Verses 3 and 4 are addressed by Yama to the boy Naciketas who approached Yama with prayer (or metaphorically in a chariot) Verse 3 says 'O boy ! the new wheel-less chariot (viz. rk prayer) with only one beam that you created by your intellect soars up in all directions and that you mount it without seeing (without considering the result of your action).' In Rg. a prayer to (and a laud of) gods is often compared to a chariot (as in V.73.10, VIII. 3.15). Therefore, verse 3 suggests two meanings viz. Naciketas went to Yama in a chariot or that he sent up a prayer to Yama. Verse 4 is spoken by Yama 'O boy! that chariot (i. e. prayer which you sent up from the learned priests on the earth) was followed by Saman (a melody) from this place (the earth) as if it were placed in a boat.' Almost all the verses of the Samaveda except about 75 are taken from the Rgveda and therefore the Chān. Up says $^{25\%}$ 'therefore Sāman is sung as resting on the rk'. As the melody (Sāman) is sung on ark verse, the Sāman is said in this verse (4) as placed in a boat. Verse 4 may also convey another meaning. Saman also means 'reconciliation' and the verse may be taken as referring to the legend that Yama gave the boy a boon that the boy's father would become well-disposed to him. Verse 5 contains a question (supposed to be asked by Yama). It means 'who is the father of this boy' (meaning probably that the father must be a bad man as he sent his own son to Yama)? Who sent up the chariot? Who would tell me to-day how (this boy) became anudey? (a small gift accom

²⁵⁰⁶ राति हा उप र 6 4 निक्षभाष्येवर्क् चन्द्रमा साम । तदेतदेतस्यामुरपस्यूदं साम । तस्माहरपस्यूरं साम गीयते । नसभाष्येय सा । चन्द्रमा अम । तस्साम ।). Vide H. of Dh vol II. pp list-1184 for SEman, Stotra and Sastra, particularly notes 2606-9.

panying the large principal gift)?' Then follows the verse on which Mr. Karandikar relies as expressing Karma and rebirth. That verse literally means 'as it or he became an anudevi. it stood in front (or on top); the bottom (or base) was spread in front (or first or to the east), the coming out (or end) was behind (or afterwards or to the west).' This conveys no clear sense. But it is possible to explain it in this way, viz. though the boy was sent by his father as an anudeyi (as a subsidiary gift) still he came on top of all (in that Yama was pleased with him and hestowed on him three boons); he was in front of all though he (boy) was at the bottom of all gifts (i. e. though he came last of all gifts)'. There is another possible way of explaining this yerse. Each Saman in a stotra has five parts called Prastāva, Udgītha, Pratihāra, Upadrava and Nidhana (finale). vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. p. 1169 note 2589. Naciketas (who was a subsidiary donation following the donation of all hia father's property and designated 'anudeyi') is deemed here to have chanted a Saman: when he began that the rk verse on which the Saman rests went forth. The bottom of a Saman is a rk verse. Therefore it is again said that the base or bottom went forward and the 'Nirayana' (i. e. the nidhana of the Saman) came last. Mr. Karandikar explains verse 6 as 'the cremation rite of the body of a deceased person shows the two-fold path; by the front path he should go to the highest world or by the hind path he should return to this world' (p. 776). It passes the present author's understanding how this translation follows from the words of verse 6. Verse 7 of the hymn presents no difficulty; it means 'this is the house of Yama that is called the the palace of gods; here is played (or blown) the flute (or tube or trumpet) for him (Yama), he (Yama) is embellished with songs (of praise).'

An interesting passage of the Taittirīya-samhitā²⁵⁰⁷ may be cited here. "Whoever threatens a brāhmana, he shall atone for

^{• 2507.} चोऽपग्रराते शतेन यातयाची निष्दनत् सहस्रेण यातयाची लेक्ति करवजाता मस्कच पास्त् संग्रह्मात् तावतः संवरसरान् विवृत्योक न प्रजानादिति। तस्मात् बाष्ट्रणाय नापग्रेत, न निष्टन्यान्न लेक्ति क्रुयंदितात्रता हेनसा भगति। ते सं 11. 6, 10, 2, अभिकुषा वगोरणं बाह्मणस्य वर्षशतमस्वर्ग्यस्। निषाते सहस्रम्। लेक्टितदर्शने यावतस्तरमध्यत्रम्य पास्त्र सग्रह्मीयात्। शी. ध स् 21. 20–22; शंगो च सर्वपरिदानात्। जे III. 4, 17, शहर सग्रह्मीयात्। मकरणाद् दर्शपूर्णमासयोरवगोरणादिभीतेष्धः। ... ए. माते बूमः .. सर्वावरमस्य 'क्षं प्राप्तम् । प्रकरणाद् दर्शपूर्णमासयोरवगोरणादिभीतेष्धः। ... ए. माते बूमः .. सर्वावरमस्य 'क्षं प्राप्तम् स्वतिष्य उक्तः, न दर्शपूर्णमतिनेव नारागोरणादि क्षतिवर्णितः, सन्त्रपतिक (१, १) 'क्षात्रम् यातयात् सहस्रेण यातयात् । इति शतग्रण सहस्रग्रणमन्त्रभोदित्यर्थः। अध्या संरष्टे- १०) 'क्षातेन यातयात् सहस्रेण यातयात् । इति शतग्रण सहस्रग्रणमन्त्रभोदित्यर्थः। अध्या संरष्टे- । यानतराह्यपादनाद्वपाद्वपादन्वपादनाद्वपादनाद्वपादन्वपादन्वपाद

It with a hundred years: he who strikes him (shall atone) with a thousand years: he who sheds the blood of a brahmana would not know the world of the Fathers for as many years as the number of the particles of dust that would be moistened into a ball by the stream of blood. Therefore a person should not threaten a brāhmana or strike him or draw blood from his body. since (in doing so) so much (sin) is involved." This does not mean that only the world of Fathers had been conceived at the time of this passage (as Deussen supposes on p. 325 of his Ph. Up.). It will be shown below that even the Reveda was well aware of both Devayana and Pitryana. Most men would go to pitrloka presided over by Yama according to the Rg. and only the blessed would go by the Devayana to the world of gods. This passage is important as conveying that for a very mortal sin the offender would have to suffer for a thousand years or even several thousand years and, therefore, would have to be born for several lives, since one human life was held to extend to one hundred years only (Rg. X. 161. 4 = Atharva III. 11. 4, Rg. 1. 89. 9=Vaj. S. 25. 22). Following the above Tai. S. passage. the Gautama Dh. S. provided that threatening a brahmana in anger would bar going to heaven for a hundred years (or lead to hell for &c), striking him would bar for a thousand years and on drawing blood there would be bar for as many years as the number of the particles of dust that would be made into a ball by the stream of blood. Manu (XI, 206-7) understands that the several acts against a brahmana mentioned therein would lead to the offender being consigned to hell for 100, for 1000 or for thousands of years. Jaimini (III. 4, 17) discusses this passage and holds that the prohibition is not restricted only to Darsapūrnamāsa, but it applies to all brāhmanas in all conditions. It is possible to understand by the words 'satena' and 'sahasrena' as a hundred or a thousand cows (or money). The Tantravārtika refers to this meaning but seems to prefer the idea of connecting the two words with years. Vide H of Dh. Vol. II. pp. 151-152 where the first meaning was put forward and also A. B. Keith's tr. of the Tai. S. in the H. O. S. vol. I pp. 216-217 'him who reviles him (a brāhmana) he shall fine with a

The doctrine of how a man's own works and conduct fashion his future life is taught as follows in the 2533 Br. Up. IV. 4. 5-7:

^{2508.} स या अयमात्मा अद्याविज्ञानमधी मनीमय ... इति। यद्याकारी यथाचारी तथा भवति साधुकारी माधुर्भवति पापकारी पापो भवति सुण्यः पुण्येन कर्मणा भवति पापः पायेन। (Continued on next page)

"so truly according as he works and according as he behaves so will he be, a man of good deeds will become (be born) good, a a man of evil deeds will become (be born) evil; he becomes holy by holy deeds, evil by evil deeds. Here they say 'a person only consists of desires (or is fashioned out of) desires and as his desire is so will be his (determination or) will and as is his will, so is his deed; and whatever deeds he does that he will become (reap)."2509 On this there is averse 'To whatever a man's mind and subtle body are attached to that he goes together with (the fruits of) his deeds and after having obtained the end

(Continued from last page)

अथी खल्वाहः। काममय एवायं प्रचय हति। स यथाकामी भवति तत्कतर्भवति यत्कत्वर्भवति सरमर्भ क्रकते यत्कर्म क्रुकते तद्भिसम्पद्मते। तदेष श्लोको भगति। तदेव सत्ताः सर कर्मणीत लिज मनो यत्र निषक्तमस्य। प्राप्यान्तं कर्मणस्तस्य यक्तिचेए करीत्ययय्। तस्माहोकासुनौ-त्यस्मै लोकाय कर्मणे। इति द्व कामयमानः। अथाकामयमाना " योऽकामो निष्काम आस्काम आत्मकामो न तस्य प्राणा उटकामन्ति महीव सन्मह्माप्येति। तदेष श्लोको भवति। यदासर्वे प्रसुच्यन्ते कामा थेऽस्य हृदि स्थिताः । अध मत्योंऽमृतो भवत्यत्र ब्रह्म समक्षते॥" वृत् उप. IV. 4. 5-7; the verse यहा सर्वे occurs in कठोपनि॰, the भाष्य of क्षाःसचार्य on this begins : स वा अर्थ य एवं ससरत्यातमा जहीव पर एव ।. He distinguishes वधाजारी यथा चारी as ' वारण नाम नियता क्रिया विधिमतिषेधादिगम्या चरणं नामानियतमिति विशेषा। On ऋतु ho says ऋतुर्नामाध्यवसायो निश्चयो यदनन्तरा किया प्रवर्तते। . आत्मकाम la explained as यर्य आत्मीव नान्यः कामियतन्यो वस्त्वन्तरीभूतः पदाधौ भवति. With कामयमानः and अकामयमानः, compare मुख्यकीपः III. 2.3 (कामान्यः कामयते). The affix मय as in कामसय is employed in two senses viz. पातुर्य and विकार acc. to पाणिति 'तत्पक्रताचने मयदः (V. 4. 21 and IV. 3. 134 and 143). कत by itself and in compounds like ज्ञाकत and सकत occurs hundreds of times in the Rgveda. It is an Indo-European word, as in Greek we have 'Kratos' meaning power, Several meanings have been assigned to ऋत. Indra called 'Satakratu' is invoked to bestow oyas, courage, an heroic son and stated to be the father and mother of the devotee (in Rg. VIII, 98 10-12). With the Br. Up. passago, we may compare छा. उप. III. 14. 1 'सर्थ खरिवर्द नहा तञ्जलानिति शान्त उपासीत। अथ खल्ल कतुमय पुरुषो यथा क्रतुरस्मिल्लोके पुरुषो भवति तथतः प्रेत्य भवति । स ऋतं छवीत । १

2509. Compare Epistle to Galatians by Apostle Paul 6.7 'whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap'. Even in the Old Testament
we find in the Book of Job, chap 4.8 'they that plough iniquity and sow
wickedness reap the same'. From the question of the disciples of Jesus
as regards a man blind from birth 'Master! who did sin, this man or his
parents that he was born blind' (St. John IX. 2), it appears that the idea
of Karma was prevalent among the Jesush people in the time of Jesus,
from Matthew XI. 14 and XVII. 12-13 it appears that John the Baptist as
from Matthew XI. 14 and XVII. 12-13 it appears that John the Baptist as
declared to be an incarnation of 'prophet Elias,' Shaw Desmond in 'Re indeclared to be an incarnation of 'prophet Elias,' Shaw Desmond in 'Re indeclared to be an incarnation of 'prophet Elias,' Shaw Desmond in 'Re inthe and Council of Constantinople in 551 A, D, made the doctrine of reincarnation an anathema.

(the last results) of whatever deeds he does in this world, he returns again from that world (where he temporarily went by way of reward) to this world of action, so much with regard to him who is consumed by desires; now concerning the man who has no desires (akāmayamāna); he who is without desire, free from desire, in whose case desires are laid to rest, who is himself his own desire, his vital spirits do not withdraw elsewhere but he, being brahma itself (here), is absorbed into brahma (or attains to brahma). On this point there is a verse: 'When all hankerings that found an abode in a man's heart vanish, then he who was liable to death becomes immortal, here (in this body itself) he attains to brahma." In the above passage the sequence is; desire, will and act.

About the grandeur of this passage it is enough to quote what Deussen (in 'Philosophy of the Upanishads' p 348) says 'there follow words than which deeper, truer, more noble were never uttered by human lips.' Vide also remarks of Gerald Heard in 'Is god evident' (Faber and Faber, London) p. 34 'Here (in Vedānta and Mahāyāna) is spirituality showing its full fruits; freedom from physical craving, from economic possessiveness, from social desire for recognition and that triple freedom removing all fear' and he hopes that the Vedānta picture, far from being contradicted, is being increasingly confirmed by modern science.

This sublime passage is preceded and followed by illustrations two of which may be cited for explaining the conception of the passage of the soul from one body to another: 'Just as the caterpillar, having reached the tip of one blade of grass, makes an approach to another blade, draws itself towards it and establishes itself thereon, so this (individual) self, casting down this body in death, dispelling avidyā (ignorance) and making approach to another body draws itself to another body and establishes (or identifies itself) therein.' This is Br. Up. IV. 4.3. Another illustration (in Br. Up IV. 4.7) is 'just as the skin (slough) of a snake lies dead and cast-off in an ant-hill, in the same way the body lies (dead and cast-off) and then the self is bodiless, immortal spirit, is brahma only and is light only.'

This whole passage (Br. Up. IV. 4 5-7) is the leading, the oldest and the clearest passage on the doctrine of transmigration in the Upanisads. There are several others of similar import. At the end of the story about Yājūavalkya and Ārtabhāga referred to above (where Yājūavalkya discussed with Āratbhāga alone in private the question as to what happens

to a man when the body dies) the Upanisad states 'what they said was Karma alone, what they praised was Karma alone viz. 2510 that a man becomes good by good works and evil by evil works.' These two are the fundamental passages which express the reason and motive that lie at the basis of the doctrine of transmigration.

The gist of these two passages is that works and conduct done in this life fashion a man's future life and that the present birth of a man depends on his actions and conduct in a past life or lives. But works and conduct are the result of volition or will and this last is due to desires. A man may have several desires, he may curb some of them, but may make a resolve to consummate some of his desires. Therefore, desires ($k\bar{a}ma$) are the root of volition, of works and conduct and ultimately of the cycle of birth and deaths (that is called 'samsāra'). Hence Sankarācārya, following the idea of the verse 'yadā sarve pramucyante kāmā' (in Br. Up. IV. 5. 7) says 'Kāmo mūlam samsārasya' (Kāma is the root of samsāra).

Then there is another important passage in the Br. Up. VI.

2. There the story is told of Svetaketu, son of Aruni, who being proud of his learning, came to the assembly hall of the Pancālas and saw there Pravāhana Jaivali (a ksatriya or prince) being waited upon by servants. When the prince saw him he asked Svetaketu 'have you been taught by your father'? When Svetaketu replied 'yes', the prince put to him five questions viz. (1) do you know how men when they depart from this world go in separate (different) directions; (2) Do you know how they come back to this world; (3) Do you know how the yonder world does not become full with many men going there again and again; (4) Do you know at the offering of which oblation waters become endowed with human voice and rise and speak; (5) Do you know the access to the path called 'Devayāna' 2511

^{2510.} तो होत्करय मन्वयांचकाते। तो ह यदूचतः कर्म हैव तदूचश्च । अध पत्यक्षशतिः कर्म हैव तत्यक्षशत्तः। पुण्यो वे पुण्येन कर्मणा भवति पापः पापेनेति। बृह. उप. III. 3.13.

^{2511.} The question about देवचान and पितृयाण is put in the Br. up. VI. 2.2 in the following form; चेत्यो देवयानस्य वा पशः प्रतिपदं पितृयाणस्य था। याज्ञत्या देवयानं वा पन्धानं प्रतिपद्धन्ते पितृयाणं वा। अपि हि न प्रपेनेन्दः श्रुतम् हे स्ती अग्रुणं पितृणामहं देवानास्त मत्योनाम्। ताम्यामिदं विश्वमेजस्तमेति यदन्तरा पितर प्राते । पितृ। पितृणामहं देवानास्त मत्योनाम्। ताम्यामिदं विश्वमेजस्तमेति यदन्तरा पितर प्राते । पित। The verse हे स्ती etc. is आ. X. 88. 15 (which reads हे स्ती अग्रुणवं etc.) and ती. आ I 4. 2-3 (which reads स्ती...अन्तरापूर्यमयरं च केत्म्). चीः (heaven) and है। आ I 4. 2-3 (which reads स्ती...अन्तरापूर्यमयरं च केत्म्). चीः (heaven) and एथिनी are respectively called father and mother in Rg. I, 164, 33 and I. 191. 6.

and to the path called 'Pitryāna' (i.e. the deeds by which men gain access to the paths called Devayana ans Pitryana), for we have heard the saying of a sage I heard two paths for men. one leading to the Fathers and the other leading to the Devas: on those two paths all the world that is active moves on. whatever exists between father (sky) and mother (earth).' To all these five questions Svetaketu replied that he did not know any one of them. The prince offered hospitality but Svetaketu ran to his father and demanded how the latter could say that he had been thoroughly taught by him and that he could not answer even one of the five questions that the fellow of a Raianya asked. Then the father replied that he had taught all that he knew but he himself did not know the answers to those questions. He went to the prince (ksatriya) who honoured him with offerings. Aruni did not want wealth but the replies to those questions. prince said 'come as pupil.' Aruni (Gautama) stated he came as a pupil. The prince stated that the vidya2512 that he would teach was never before with any brahmana. Then he propounds to Svetaketu the anwers to the five questions (to be brief) viz. that the five fires are (figuratively) heaven, god of rain, the earth, man and woman, and the five ahutes (oblations) are sraddhâ (faith), Soma (Moon), rain, food and seed. This answers the 4th question. The first and fifth questions are answered by the statement 'Some go by the path of Devas, others by that of mtrs and others (like flies and worms) know no path (they merely live and die)'; vide Br. Up. VI. 2. 15-16. The 2nd and 3rd questions are answered by the same viz. those that go by the path of pitrs return to the earth and others that go to brahman do not return and therefore the world does not become full.

In the Ch. Up. V. 3. 2 the questions are put in a slightly different form: (1) do you know to what place men go from here, (2) how they return, (3) do you know where the path of devas and the path of the fathers diverge, (4) why the world never becomes full, (5) why in the 5th oblation water is called 'man.' The answers to these in Br. Up and Chān. Up are not identical, though very similar. A fire has five constituents, fuel, smoke, flame, live coals, sparks. In both Chān. Up. V.10.4-9 and Br. Up. VI. 2. 9-13 the fires are the same, but the constituents of

^{2512.} This Vidyā is called Paūcāgnividyā. 'Rājanya' in this Upanisad passage should mean only Ksatriya as in the Purusasūkia (Rg X. 90. 12) and not king.

each of these five differ slightly; compare, for example, Br. Up. VI. 2. 11 with Chān. Up. V. 3. 6. The first question in the Ch. Up. is answered by the mention of the two paths. The 2nd is answered in Chān. Up. V. 10. 3-5.2513 The paths diverge (3rd question) after reaching the moon (Chān. Up. V. 10. 2 and 4-5), the 4th is answered in Chān. V. 10. 8. The fifth is answered by means of the statement on pancāgnividyā.

Before proceeding further some remarks are called for about what might possibly happen when a man's body dies. There are mainly three possibilities, viz. (1) annihilation, (2) endless retribution in heaven or hell and (3) punarjanma (transmigra-Those who do not believe in an individual immortal self, including some most eminent 2514 men such as G. B. Shaw, hold the first view and even in ancient India (as the Kathopanisad I 20 testifies) there were people who had doubts about survival after death. Those who hold that there is no survival after death are not troubled by other questions. Therefore, the most vital question is the one about survival after death. The very first verse of Sv. Up. puts forward four problems, is brahma the cause, whence do we come, what sustains us and whither we are going? Many of those who believe in God, heaven and hell do not admit pre-existence of the soul but only post-existence. They believe that if a man leads a virtuous career in this life (and virtue according to them consists in obedience to the will

^{2513.} ह्या. उप. V 10.4 'आकाशाज्ञन्द्रमसमेप सोमो राजा तहेवानामर्ज त देवा भक्षयन्ति । and जृद उप VI. 2.16 ते चन्द्रं प्राप्याचं भवन्ति तास्तज्ञ देवाः । भक्षयन्ति । are dealt with in वे. स् III. 1 7 (भार्त्त वानास्मविस्वात्तथाहि दर्शयति), which states that the words (gods eat them) are not to be taken literally but metaphorically and what is meant is that Gods like the company of those people (who perform sacrifices), since the Chân. Up. itself says elsewhere (in III. 8.1) that the Gods do neither eat nor drink but they feel satisfied by seeing nectar.

^{2514.} In 'In search of faith', a 'symposium' edited by E. W. Martin (London, 1943) G B. Shaw states (pp 9-10) that he may be described as a creative evolutionist, that he does not believe in personal immortality and abhors it, that he does not believe in the Resurrection of Jesus. It is difficult for a non-Christian to state definitely the doctrine as to what happens after death, there being many varying interpretations accepted by the Christian Churh at various times and in different countries. But the general traditional scheme is a particular judgment immediately after death, the general judgment at the general Resurrection—the ultimate issue of judgment being consignment to Hell or admission to Heaven sternally.

of God as disclosed to their intellect in Revelation such as the Bible or the Koran) he would have an eternal life of bliss in heaven and that if one led a life of sin and evil. he would after death remain for ever in hell. Very few accept the first possibility (annihilation) because it is in conflict with man's cherished desires and with deep-rooted emotional certitude that efforts made in a long life, the mental and spiritual equipment acquired cannot have been meant to be dissolved altogether without leaving any trace behind. The 2nd possibility also leads to the prospect of eternal reward or eternal damnation for acts done during a life of a few years' span and becomes unacceptable to many by the unparalleled disproportion between deeds (as causes) and their endless rewards or retribution (as effects). Therefore, to many people the third possibility of transmigration appeals, since it allows continued existence of the soul after physical death in some other forms and environments.

The above Upanisad passages are enough to show how the doctrine of transmigration was being moulded in the Upanisad period. The Rgveda knows the two paths of Devayana and Pitryana and also that in heaven there were joys and delights but the Rgveda does not say how long the joys of Heaven were to last and makes no clear and definite statement on the doctrine of transmigration. In the Brahmana period the two paths were often referred to and the conception had dawned upon the minds of thinkers that man might have to pass through death several times (punarmityu) But even then there is hardly any definite theory of punarjanma based on good or bad deeds. The clearest statements (and probably earliest) of the origin of the doctrine of transmigration are the two passages (Br. Up. III. 3. 13 and IV 4. 5-7) in which Yājñavalkya is concerned and is the instructor and emphasizes that it is man's own works and conduct that lead a man to new births. In both those passages the Devayana and Pitryana paths are not mentioned at all. But the Br. Up. VI. 2. 16 and Chan. Up. V. 10 relate the two paths to transmigration and speak of a third place for those born as worms and flies. This is an addition to the doctrine of the two paths, but they make a further departure. The Chan. Up. V. 10. 5 states that those that perform sacrifices, works of public utility and charity go to the moon and when the results of their actions are exhausted after staying in the moon they have to return to this world by the path they went (i. e. from the Moon to other, then to Vayu, smoke, mist, cloud and rain

and they may be born from a mother's womb.2515 This shows that a double retribution awaits those who perform sacrifices &c. viz. stay in the moon for a time and their rebirth on this earth. Other Upanisads follow the Chan, Up, in the theory of double retribution e.g. the Prasna has the following passage in prose 2515 "The year indeed is Prajapati, there are two parts thereof, the southern and the northern. Now those who believe in sacrifice and gifts for public utility as work that must be done, secure the moon only as their (future) world and it is they who return to this world. Therefore, the rsis who desire offspring resort to the southern (path). That path of the Fathers is indeed treasure (or wealth). But those who, after having sought the Atman by austorities, (sexual) abstinence, faith and knowledge go by the northern path to the Sun. This is the home of the vital spirits. it is immortal, free from fear, it is the highest (or final) end. Thence they do not return, that is a check to other things On this there is a verse (Rg I 164.12) 'some call him the father with five feet (the five seasons) and with twelve forms (twelve months), the giver of rain in the highest of heaven; others again say that the sage is placed in the lower half, in the chariot with seven wheels (horses or rays of sun) and six spokes'". This verse of the Reveda is probably quoted here in support of the conception of the two paths symbolically represented as two parts of the year, as the Rg. verse (first half) appears to refer to the Sun, placed in highest half of heaven and as the second half seems to refer to a lower (upare) half of heaven with six spokes (i.e. the six months of Daksināyana). Daussen (Ph. Up. p. 338) is positive that Rg. I. 164. 12 has nothing to do with

स्वाद्रजादानाम्। कवा चट्टाकाकालाम् इ.च. क्यानाम् वर्षः च। तथे ह वे तदिष्टापूर्ते इन2516. संवरसरो वे प्रजापतिस्तरपायने हे दक्षिणं चोतारं च। तथे ह वे तदिष्टापूर्ते इनप्रजासकते ते चान्द्रनसमेव छोक्तप्रीक्षज्यन्ते। त एव पुनरावर्तन्ते तस्मादेत ज्ञवय. प्रजासामाः
सिर्धुपासने ते चान्द्रनसमेव छोक्तप्रीक्षज्यन्ते। तथ्योत्तरेण तपसा झहाचर्पेण अद्ध्या विद्ययादक्षिणं प्रतिपद्यन्ते। एव ह वे रिवर्षः पितृपाणा,॥ अधोत्तरेण तपसा झहाचर्पेण अद्ध्या विद्ययादक्षिणं प्रतिपद्यन्ते। एव ह वे रिवर्षः पितृपाणा,॥ अधोत्तरेण तपसा अहाचर्पेण अद्ध्या विद्ययास्थानमन्त्रिक्यादित्यम् भित्रवयन्ते। एत्रहे प्राणानामायतन्तेतस्य अहादर्पितन्तिति। प्रश्लोष प्र. 9-11.
वर्तन्ते हृत्येष निरोधस्तदेष श्लोकः। पञ्चपादं पितरे ... वढर आहर्रियतन्तिति। प्रश्लोषः उपस्था

separately)

^{2515.} असं भूत्वा नेषो भवति नेषो भूत्वा प्रवर्षित। त इह मीटियबा औषिषवनस्ततप्तित्तामा इति जापन्तेऽतो वे खलु दुनिक्यपत्तरम्। यो यो टाकमति यो रेतः सिञ्चात तस्त्रप प्रवित्तामा इति जापन्तेऽतो वे खलु दुनिक्यपत्तरम्। यो यो टाकमति यो रेतः सिञ्चात तस्त्रप प्रवित्ता । या जपः V. 10 6, and 8 is अधैतयोः पथयोर्न कतरेण च न तानीमानि छुद्राण्य सिक्ट्यावर्षिति। यत्त्रत्तीयं स्थानम्। तेनासौ लोको न सन्द्रपति। सिक्ट्यावर्षिति भूतानि भवन्ति जापन्त विपत्ति। यत्त्रत्तीयं स्थानम्। तेनासौ लोको न सन्द्रपति। Compare सुण्डक II. 1. 5 शङ्कराचार्य bas a very long and interesting note on this passage. He explains दुनिक्पपत्स्य in two ways viz. 'दुनिक्कमणं दुनिसरणन्।' कार्व ठक्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति अनेति विपत्ति विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति अनेति विपत्ति विपत्ति कार्यावर्षित् विपत्ति अनेति विपत्ति विपत्ति विपत्ति विपत्ति अनेति विपत्ति विपत्ति विपत्ति विपत्ति विपत्ति अनेति विपत्ति विप

with the subject (of the two paths). But what is there to prevent an ancient sage of the Upanisadic times from holding that the verse in its two halves refers (figuratively or symbolically) to two paths, particularly when even in the Rgveda times paths called Devayāna and Pitryāna were well-known as shown below? It should be noted that in the preceding verse (I. 164. 11) the wheel of rta (the year or the sun) is Dvādašāra (with twelve spokes, viz. months) and therefore when sadare (with six spokes) is mentioned in I. 164. 12 a period of six months could well have been intended or could very well be got by interpretation.

The Kauṣitaki Up (I. 2-3), however, speaks only of Devayāna and Pitryāna and has no third place for worms and birds &c. and states (vide n 2520 below) that worms &c. also come to the same world to which men return. Further, the stations of the Devayāna path (Agni, bright half of the month etc.) had been given a counterpart in the Pitryāna path in the Br. Up. and Chān. Up. which made the moon the point of divergence for the two paths. The Kausītaki Up. omits all the preliminary stations up to the moon and brings all transmigrating beings to the moon (in I. 2). There are some other variations also that need not be dwelt upon here.

Deussen (in Ph. Up p. 318) argues that in the Rg verse (X 88. 15) the two ways are really to be understood as day and night and he translates that verse as 'I have heard from my forefathers that there are two ways alike for gods and men', thus giving to the world 'pitroam' the sense of the ablative and holding that 'my' is to be understood before pitrnām, though not to be understood before the other two words in the genitive in the same verse. The meaning which the Upanisad reads in the Rg. verse is proper and also the original meaning of the verse. The path called Pitryana ²⁵¹⁷ is mentioned in Rg. X. 2.7 (Agni knows well the path called pitryšna) and Rg. X 18 1 runs 'O Death! Follow another path which is your own and different from Devayana.' These two verses clearly show that the Rgyedic sages had arrived at the conception of paths called Devayava and Pitryana. Therefore, the express mention of two paths in Rg. X. 88.15 in connnection with Pitrs and Devas should be deemed to refer to Pitryana and Devayana paths and it is far-fetched to take them as day and

²⁵¹⁷ पन्धामनु अविद्वान् पिनृयाणं द्यानदग्ने समिधानो वि भाहि। ऋ X 2 7, परं मृत्यो अनु परेटि पुन्धां परने स्व हतरो देवयानात्। ऋ. X. 18. 1.

H. D. 195

night, which are hardly ever referred to in the Rayeda as naths. This verse is explained in the Sat. Br. XII. 8. 1. 21 2518 (quoted below) which says that the two ways are those of the gods and pitrs and the commentary of Dvivedaganga explains the first half in the same way Vide also Sat. Br. I. 9. 3. 1-2 Devayana is sometimes used in the plural in the Raveda (as in III, 58, 5, VII. 38. 8. VII. 76. 2. X. 51. 5, X. 98. 11). In Rg X. 15. 8 Yama is said to enjoy offerings along with the ancient forefathers of the sage and in X. 154, 4 Yama is requested to be united with ancient pitrs, righteous and full of austerities. The Sat. Br. (XIII. 8 1. 5) states that the door to the world of the Fathers is in the southwest, while Northeast is the direction of Gods and men (I. 2. 5. 17 and XII. 4. 2. 15). The Atharvaveda (XV. 12. 5.) mentions both Pitryāna and Devayāna paths. Soma, rain, food, retas (semen) may be described as watery, but the question is how the first ahut: 'sraddha' (faith) can be described as an ahuti offered in Agni (viz. the yonder world) by the Gods from which king Soma arises (in Chan. Up V.4. 2 and Br. Up. VI, 2.9). This is answered by V. S 2519 III. 1.5 and (and at some length) in the Sankarabhasya thereon.

The Kausltaki Up (I)²⁵²⁰ sets out rather obscurely the doctrine of the two paths as part of the Pañcagnividyā taught

^{2518.} हे सती . हिमिति हे बाव सती हत्याहुवेवाना चैन पित्रणा चेति। शतथ XII. 6. 1. 21, मत्याना महत्याणा हे सती ही मार्गो श्रुतवानस्थि तयोर्भध्ये एका पितृलोक्तमापिका हितीया हु देवलोक्तमापिका। Com. of हिवेदगङ्ग (Weber's ed p. 1165), यो पज्जे सोऽस्यैय यज्ञो देवलोक्तमेवाभिमेति तदस्ची दक्षिणा या ददाति सेति। दक्षिणामन्वारम्य यज्ञमानः। स एष देवयानो वा पितृयाणो वा पत्रधा । शतपश्च I. 9.3 1-2.

^{2520.} स होवाच ये वे केचारमालोकान्प्रयन्ति चन्द्रमसमेव ते सर्वे गरहानि। तेवां प्राणे. पूर्वेपक्ष आध्यायते। तानपरपक्षेण मजनयति। एतहे स्वर्गस्य लोकस्य द्वार यद्धाद्यमा। ते यः प्रत्याह तमतिस्वर्जते। अथ य एन न प्रत्याह तमिह दृष्टिर्भूत्या वर्षति। स तृह कीहो वा सत्यो वा परश्वा वा पुरुषो चान्यो वेतेष्ठ स्थानेष्ठ स्वरङ्गो वा शक्कित्वा आह्ने वा शक्कित्वा कार्यो वेतेष्ठ स्थानेष्ठ स्

by Citra Gargyayani (v. 1. Gangyayni) to Śvetaketu. son of Aruni. It is passed over here for reasons of space and as not of material importance except one passage which runs 'He (Citra) said that all those that depart from this world go to the moon: in the bright half the moon is increased by their spirits, in the dark half the moon sends them on to be born again. The moon is verily the door of the heavenly world. Now if a man disowns the moon (i e is dissatisfied with life there) the moon sets him But if a man is not dissatisfied then the moon sends him down as rain here (on the earth). And according to his deeds and according to his knowledge he is born again here as a worm, a locust, a bird, a tiger or a lion or a fish, or a snake, as a man or as something else in different places.' Then I 3 begins by referring to Devayana and I 4 ends by saying, 'being freed from good deeds and from evil deeds, he, the knower of brahman, (neuter) moves towards brahman alone'

In the Kathopanisad ²⁵²¹ Yama tells Naciketas the secret about Brahmavidyā and what the self becomes on the death (of the body) viz some men go to a mother's womb for an embodied existence while others are transferred into stumps (of trees) according to their deeds and knowlege.

The Br. Up VI 2 15-16 and Chân Up V. 3. 10 ff deal with the question of those who go by Devayāna and by the Pitryāna paths. First 2:22 the Br. Up 'Those (even householders) who know this (Pāncāguividyā and also those (hermits and ascetics) who, in the forest being full of faith, worship Truth (Brahman, Hiranyagarbha) go to arcis (light), from arcis to day (uhan) from day to the increasing fortnight (i. e sukla-paksa), from the increasing half to the six months during which the Sun moves in the north, from those six months to the world of the Devas (Devaloka), from the Devaloka to the Sun, from the sun to lightning. When they have reached the place of lightning a

²⁵²¹ हस्त त इदं प्रवस्पानि ग्रह्मां बह्मा समातनम्। यथा च मरणं प्राप्त्य आत्मा भवति गंशितमः। योनिमन्ये प्रपद्मन्ते शरीरत्वाय देहिन । स्थाणुमन्येऽतुमंयस्ति यथास्तर्मे यथास्तर्मः प्रधास्त्रतम्॥ फठोवः V 6-7

²⁵²² ते य एवनेन्द्रिट्टर्यं चामी अरुप्ये श्रद्धा सत्यनित्युपासने तेऽचिरिमसम्भवन्ति ! अचिपोऽए । अङ्क आपूर्णमाणपक्षन् । आपूर्णमाणपक्षाचान पण्मासानाट्ट्टब्टावित्य एति । मासेग्पो देनलोकम् । देवलोकादादित्यम् । आदित्याद्वैयुतम् । तान् वैद्युतान् पुरुषो मानस एत्य ब्यालोकान् गमथवि । ते तेषु श्रद्धालोकेषु परा. परावनो वसन्ति तेषां न पुनरावृत्तिः । वृष्ट उप. VI. 2 15 Compare याह्म स्मृति 111. 193-94, which closely follow the above

person born of the mind (of Brahmā) comes to them and leads them to the worlds of Brahma. In these worlds they being exalted. dwell for ages and there is no return (to samsara) for them. But they who conquer (attain) worlds by sacrifice, charity and austerities go to smoke, from smoke to night, from night to decreasing half (of the month), from decreasing half month to the six month during which the Sun moves in the south, from these months to the world of the fathers, from the world of the fathers to the Moon, having reached the Moon, they become food and then the Devas feed on them there as sacrificers feed on king Soma as it increases and decreases (in a sacrifice). But when this (the result of their works done on earth) is exhausted they return to either (ākāśa), from that to Vāyu, from Vāyu to rain, from rain to the earth; on reaching the earth they become They are then again offered into the fire called man, from that (i. e. man) they are born in the fire called woman. These, making efforts to secure worlds (by sacrifices &c), again and again repeat coming to this world. Those, however, who do not know both these paths, they become (are born as) worms, locusts (or birds) and flies. '

The Chan. Up V. 10. 1-2 are almost in the same words as Br. Up. VI. 2 15 with a few slight changes viz. Chan. Up. reads 'Śraddhā tapa ityupāsate' and 'from months in the north to the year, from year to the Sun, from the Sun to the Moon, from the Moon to lightning; there is a person who is not human (amanavah' for 'manasah' of Br. Up.) who leads him to brahman &c.; then Chan, Up. V. 10. 3-4 are again the same as Br Up VI 2. 16 except that Chan. Up. reads 'those who living in a village practise (a life of) sacrifices, works of public utility (called purta) and almsgiving, go to smoke', but adds 'these do not reach the year,' and proceeds 'from the months to the world of fathers, from that world to ether, from ether to the moon, this is king Soma that is the food of Gods (i. e the gods like or love them). Having dwelt there (in the moon) till their works are exhausted, they return by the same way by which they came i. e. to ether, from ether to Vāyu, smoke, mist, cloud. (The persons that had performed sacrifices &c) having become clouds come down as rain, are then born as rice and barley, herbs and trees, sesame and masa beans. Escape from that state (to that of human beings) is beset with extreme difficulties. Whoever the beings (cattle or other animals or man) may be that eat the food (into which one has been transformed) and beget offspring he (the transmigrating entity) becomes most like them.

One of the most important passages in the Upanisads on conduct fashioning the life that would follow is the Chān. Up. V. 10. 7-8 which may be rendered as follows: ²⁵²³ Those whose conduct has been good will quickly attain some good birth, the birth of a brāhmana, of a ksatriya or of a vāišya But those whose conduct has been evil will quikly attain an evil birth, the birth of a dog or a hog or a cāndāla. Those that do not go by either of the two paths become those small creatures (worms, flies &c) that are continually returning and whose destiny may be said to be 'to live and die' Theirs is a third place (apart from the two paths). Therefore the yonder world does not become full. Hence one should be disgusted (with this Samsāra).

It may be stated here that the Bhagavadgitā (VIII 23-27) also refers to the two paths following one of which a yogin does not return to this world and following the other of which he returns to this world. These are called sukla (bright) and krsna (dark) gate (in VIII. 26) and srti (in VIII. 27). The former is fire, light, ²⁵²⁴ day, the bright half of the month, the six months of the northern path of the sun; those men who have realized brahman when going from this world go to brahman (the absolute). The latter path is 'smoke, night, the dark half (of the month), the six months of the southern path of the Sun; the yogin reaching the moon-light by that path returns to this world. The Santiparva²⁵²⁵ of the Mahābhārata refers to the northern and southern paths, the latter of which is attained by gifts, study of Veda and sacrifices (as in Br. Up VI. 2.16 and Chān Up. V.10.8). The Yājñavalkya-smrti²⁵²⁶ also refers to those

²⁵²³ तद्र द्वर् रमणीयचरणा अभ्याशी ह यत्ते रमणीया योनिमापद्येरन् वाह्मणयीनि वा सविषयीनि वा वेश्ययोनि वाध य द्वर् कपूर्यचरणा अग्याशी ह यत्ते कपूर्ण योनिमापद्येरन् श्वयोनि वा चण्डालयोनि वा। अधैतयोः पधीनी कतरेणचन तानीमानि झुद्राण्य-सकुद्रावर्तीनि भूतानि भवन्ति जायस्व झियस्तेरवेतन्त्तीयं स्थाने तेनासी लोको न सम्पूर्यते तस्माञ्जुकुत्तेत। छ। एप V. 10 7-8. अभ्याशी ह is explained as सियमेन by शङ्कराचार्यः

²⁵²⁴ It is better to read 'agni-jyotir' (in Gitā VIII 24) as one word instead of 'agnir jyotir' (as in most editions), because both the Br Up. VI. 2 15 and Chān Up V 10. I start the Devayana path with arcis and

²⁵²⁵ अवार्यतानि कर्माणि वेदोक्तानि धनंजर। दानमध्ययनं यज्ञी निग्रहश्चेव हुर्ग्यहः । दक्षिणेन च पर्धानमध्य्यो ये दिवंगता । एतान् क्रियावना लोकाञ्चक्तवान् पूर्वमध्यहम्॥ उत्तरंश त पर्धान नियमाधे प्रयश्यासि । ज्ञान्तिवर्ष 26 8-10 (Ch ed ≈ Cr. ed App I, no. 4, Lines 15-19)

^{2526.} एतयो न विज्ञानाति मार्गद्वित रमारमगान् । दन्दश्कः पनङ्गो वा भवेरकीटोऽधवा रुपि ॥ याज्ञ 111, 197, compare बुद्ध उप. VI. 2 16 'अग्र य एती पन्यानी न विद्वस्ते प्रीटा पराष्ट्रा पदिदं दन्दश्कम्।' and रा. V 10. 8 अर्थवयो . . तृतीपं स्थानम् quoted ın the note 2523.

paths. In III. 195-196 it mentions the Pitryāna path, which is very like the one described in Br. Up. VI. 2. 16 (except that Yā; omits ākāša) and Chān. Up. V. 10. 3-7 (which mentions more stages than in Br. Up.). Yā; III. 197 also states that those who do not know any one of these two paths (1 e. do not perform the acts peculiar to those who go by these paths) become snakes, locusts, creeping insects or worms.

The Vedantasutra frequently refers to the doctrine of transmigration, but owing to the limits imposed by available space only a few important sutras and their explanations will be set out here. The three sutras of V. S II. 1. 34-36 2527 are of great importance for the theory of transmigration. An objector says 'to hold that God is the cause of the world does not stand to reason, for, if it be so, God would be liable to the charges of unequal treatment (or dispensation) and cruelty. He creates some that enjoy extreme happiness (like gods and others), some lead an extremely miserable life such as beasts (of burden &c.) and some like men, who enjoy an intermediate position, secure a modicum of enjoyment. So God may be charged with acting through hatred and love (like ordinary men). God also produces misery and finally destroys all persons. This aspect (of great cruelty) appears abhorrent even to evil men. To this the reply is: if god had created inequality in the world at his sweet will and without regard to any other matter, he might have been liable to the two charges of unequal treatment and cruelty. But God has regard to the righteousness (merit) or otherwise of beings when he produces inequalities among creatures. The position of God should be looked upon like that of rain, which is the common cause (or factor) in the production of crops of rice and barley, but the difference (in quality) between rice and barley is due to the varying potentialities of the seeds. God is the common cause in the creation of beasts, men and gods but the inequalities in these are due to the special potentialities of each. Sankarācārya relies expressly on two Upanisad passages viz Kaus. Up. III, 8 and Br. Up. III, 2, 13 'punyo vai punyena karmana bhavati papah papena). The Kaus. Up. passage means 'He 2528 (the Absolute Atman) is not

²⁵²⁷ वैषस्यनिर्पृण्ये न सापेक्षत्वात् तथाहि वर्शयति। न कर्माविभागादिति चेन्नानाः वित्वात्। उपपद्यते चाण्युपलम्यते च। वे, सु II 1, 34–36

विचाय । उपच्या चान्त्राच्या स्वाद की एवं 2528. स एव प्राण एव प्रज्ञात्साऽइनन्दोऽझरोऽमृतः । त साधुना कर्मणा सूपात् की एवं 2528. स एव प्राण एवं की साधु कर्म कारयति तं विक्यो लोकेम्य लेकिनीवते । एव लोकेम्य साधु कर्म कारयति तं वमधो निनीवते । एव लोकपाल । एव लोकाधिपति । एव सर्वेशः । स म साधु कर्म कारयति तं वमधो निनीवते । एव लोकपाल । एव लोकपाल । एव लोकपाल । एव सर्वेशः । स म

exalted by good works nor degraded by evil works, but it is He indeed who inspires (a person) to do good works, whom he wishes to lead high over those worlds and it is he who inspires one to do evil deeds whom he wishes to lead down from these worlds'. It will be noticed that the Kausītaki bases the attainment of a higher life (or birth) or a lower life on the quality of men's deeds (just as in Br Up. III. 2 13, IV. 4. 5, Chān Up. V. 10 7, Praśna 3.7). The Gitā states 'I treat them the same way (with appropriate fruits) in which they come to (or approach) me.'

Another objection is raised to the above proposition contained in V. S. II. 1. 34. The Upanisads often state 'In the beginning there was that only which is one without a second (Chān. Up. VI. 2. 1 sad—eva somyedam agra āsīd—ekam evā—dvitiyam). Therefore, before creation there was no difference between deeds and so there could have been no inequality among the first created beings dependent on difference in deeds. You may say that after difference in deeds arose God has regard to deeds of men, but all beings created in the beginning must have been alike. To this the reply is that samsāra is without beginning (anādi) and that such a conception of the beginning-lessness of samsāra stands to reason and is supported by (Śruti) texts.

Another discussion on the working of Karma occurs in V.S. II. 3. 41-42. 223 According to the highest metaphysical doctrine the Atman is one and all individual selves are really free but they are affected in the empirical state by the *Upādhis* of buddhi, mind &c and in that state they are controlled by Isvara (as Antaryāmin, as Br. Up. III. 7. 23 or Kaus Up. III. 8 says) and they

⁽Continued from last page)

भारमेति विद्यात्। कौषी उप. III 8 मे refers to इन्द्र who in this adhyāya (chapter) expounds Vidya to Pratardana, son of Divodāsa, and asks him to meditate upon Prāna who is immortal. The Br. Up and Chān. Up passages are quoted above. The Prasna Up passage is III.7 'अधिक्योध्यं उदान. पुण्यं एपे रोफं नयति पापेन पापम्। उभाभ्याभेष महत्वस्तोकम्।। एक्या refers to नाही. The तीता passage quoted by Sankara is 'ये यथा मा नप्रायन्ते तान्तीय भजाम्यहम्। IV II.

²⁵²⁹ परासु तस्तुते । कृतवपातापेक्षस्तु विद्यितमतिषिद्धावयदर्यादिश्यः। वे सू II 3 राम्यः ११-४२, कृतो च प्रयानो जीवरप धन्मधिर्माद्यस्य एर्ग्नसीश्वर कारयति ततश्चेते चोदिता वेषा म ममजपने । जीवकृत र्रात्यं वित्यस्यापेश एर तनत्कत्यानि विषयं विभन्नेरार्जन्यवदीश्वरो निम्तस्यमादेण । अपि च पूर्वमपातमपेश्येवाणी कारयति पूर्वतरं च प्रयानमपेश्य पूर्वमकारय-वित्यनादिग्यासंसारस्येरवन्यद्यम् । शाह्यस्थान्य

receive the fruits of their good and bad actions through Isvara who does not act at his sweet will but has regard to good or had conduct

A few passages about kar ma and transmigration and stories illustrating how people's minds were affected by them in ancient times may be cited here. The Ap. Dh. 2530 S. provides 'Members of all varnas (classes) reap (in heaven) highest and measureless happiness by carrying out their prescribed duties: thereafter (i. e after enjoying happiness in heaven) they return (to this world) on account of the (unenjoyed) residue of the results of their actions and secure birth in an (appropriate) caste (or family), beauty of form, charming complexion, power, mental ability, wisdom, wealth, the (blessing of the) performance of duties and this results in happiness only in both worlds like a wheel. A similar rule applies to the increase of the results of evil acts. The thief of gold, one guilty of brahmana murder, according as he belongs to the brahmana. ksatriya or vaisya class, after undergoing torments in hell for a limited time becomes respectively a cāndāla, paulkasa or vaina' The Gautama Dharmssūtra has a passage in very similar words: 'Members of the yarnas (brāhmana and others) and of āśramas (brahmacārin &c) who are devoted to performing the appropriate duties (of their class or stage in life) enjoy the fruit (heaven) of their actions after death and then by virtue of the residue (of their actions) they attain a (new) birth (in this world) endowed with a good country, caste, family, life, learning, character, wealth, mental ability and happiness Those who act contrary to this are ruined by having to resort to many (evil) births. On V. S. III 1. 8 Sankarācārya after quoting Gautama XI 29 states 'there is no possibility of the destruction of the effect of an evil deed except by some of the methods prescribed by śāstra (such as expiations and realisation of the Absolute) and that it is possible that a good deed may begin to yield its reward

सर्ववर्णाना स्वधर्माद्यष्ठाने परमपरिमितं सुखम् । ततः परिवृत्तो कर्मफलहोयेण जार्ति क्तं वर्ण चल मेघा पज्ञां इन्याणि धर्मालुडानमिति पतिपद्यते तज्ञक्रवदुभयोलोंकयो सुख एव वर्तते । ... एतेन दोषफलवृद्धिरुक्ता । स्तेनोऽभिशस्तो ब्राह्मणो राजभ्यो वैश्यो वा परिभृष्ठीको परिमिते निरये वृत्ते जायते चाण्डालो ब्राह्मण पौत्कसो राजन्यो वैणो वैश्यः। आप ध स् ा 1 2 2-3, 5-6, वर्णाश्रमाः स्वस्वधर्मनिष्ठाः पेत्य कर्मफलमनुभूय तत होयेण विहिष्टदेशजातिः कुलस्वायुःश्रुतचित्त (वृत्त ?) वित्तसुखमेधसो जन्म प्रतिपद्यन्ते । विव्वश्रो विव्रतीता नश्यन्ति । गी ध. स. XI 29-30.

after a long time because it is hampered by the results of an evil deed and quotes a smrti (Mahābhārata) in support.²⁵³¹

The principle of the doctrine of Karma is that every act. whether good or bad, produces a certain result or return which cannot be escaped. In the physical world there is the universal law of causation. The doctrine of Karma extends this inexorable law of causality to the mental and moral sphere. The doctrine of Karma is not a mechanical law: it is rather a moral or a spiritual necessity. It cannot, however, be said that this doctrine is an induction from observed facts nor can it be asserted that it is experimentally verifiable, but it is only a hypothesis or supposition: it is, however, far better than other naive and childlike theories, In the absence of the theory of karma and rebirth it would have to be assumed that the world is arbitrary, that the Creator is not bound to regard the nature of men's actions but may distribute rewards as he pleases or by caprice. This doctrine of Karma emphasizes three things, firstly it regards an existence as a sort of expiation for the doings of a previous existence or existences; secondly, an evil deed cannot be expiated by works of merit but its punishment must be borne; thirdly, the punishment for wrong is automatic and personal. Under the doctrine of Karma there is no such thing as chance or luck. When we use those words they correspond to no reality and are a tacit confession of our ignorance or inability to state the cause or causes of what has happened. This doctrine of Karma leads on to the doctrine of transmigration. The results of a man's actions may not happen at once or in the present life. The Adiparva and Manu say2532 'an evil deed does not yield its retribution immediately like a a cow (that yields plenty of milk immediately after she is well fed) but returning slowly it cuts off the very roots of the perpe-

^{2531.} न हि प्रायश्चिक्तांतिभिहेंतिभिर्तिना कर्मणासुन्छेदः सम्भान्यते। स्मृतिरिषि विकल्व-फल्लेन कर्मणा प्रतिबद्धस्य कर्मान्तरस्य चिरमनस्थानं दर्शयति — कदाचित् सुन्धतं कर्म कृटस्यमिष्ट् तिञ्जति। मञ्जमानस्य संसारे यावद् द्र-स्वायमुस्यते॥ इत्योवंजातीयका। (ज्ञाङ्करभाष्य on वे. स्र III, 1 8) The verse कदाचित्सान्ततं is ज्ञान्तिपर्व 290 18 (Ch ed. = cr. ed. 279, 17).

^{2532.} नाधर्मधारेती लोके सद्या फलाते गौरिय । इन्तेरावर्तमानस्त कर्तुर्मुलानि छुन्तति ॥ आदिपर्य 60. 2, मन् IV. 172 भी. may also mean 'the earth ' But to that case the meaning would be 'when you sow seed in the earth it takes time before the crops are ready, ' If भी' means 'an ox' (or cow) here, then it would mean an ox is immediately useful for carrying loads unlike adbarma or 'a cow yields milk immediately after she is fed well',

Man's actions in past existences determine or fashion the nature of the present existence and the actions in the present existence taken along with the residue of past actions will determine the future existence. This, in short, is the basis of the doctrine of punarianma. The modifications introduced by texts or popular notions will be briefly dealt with later. The theory of rebirth is as logical as any of the hypotheses that hold the field about what happens after physical death of the body. It is certainly as satisfactory as (or rather more satisfactory than) the theory of absolute annihilation after physical death (held by atheists) or the theory of eternal reward or retribution in heaven or hell (for confirmed criminals of one life). The leaders or exponents of almost all religions hold that God is with them and they generally have never seen (up to at least the 19th century A. D.) any good outside their respective Churches. Hinduism of the Upanisads and Gitā is the only religion and philosophy that proclaimed thousands of years ago that the man of good deeds is nearest to God on account of his goodness and the man of evil deeds cannot secure divine grace and fellowship, whomsoever he may regard as a prophet or messiah sent by God.

The Vedantasutra in III. 1 examines the passages of the Chan. Up. and of the Br. Up. dealing with Pancagnividya. It is not possible to set out in detail the discussions in the bhasya of Sankara on the sutras in V. S III. 1. Some of the important final conclusions are: The individual self, while passing from one body to another, is accompanied or surrounded by subtle elements (bhūtasūksma), that the āhutis are spoken of as 'āpah' (in Chan. Up. V.9 1) because the human body is full of fluids in the form of chyle, blood &c., because sacred works like Agnihotra &c. are the causes of a new body after death and in those works the principal materials used (such as Soma juice, ghee, milk) are mainly fluid. In the statement that those who perform sacrifices &c. go to the moon by the Pitryana path and that śraddhā is offered as oblation from which Soma that is the food of gods arises, the words 'food of gods' are used in a metaphorical sense (and not in the sense of eating). The souls of sacrificers and the like, after reaching the moon and enjoying the fruits of their good deeds that can be enjoyed in the moon, return to the earth by the way they went but in reverse order of stations for enjoying the fruits of their actions that can only be

enjoyed on the earth.²⁵³³ This combines the idea of a life beyond (often referred to in the Rgveda) with the notion of rebirth and offers two prizes for good deeds (viz reward in heaven and then a rebirth with material well-being and cultural environment, as in Gautama Dh. S. XI. 29 and Gitā VI. 37-45 and there is a double penalty for evil deeds (viz. hell torture and then a despised or low life).

The V. S. (III. 1. 13-17) further explains that all men do not go to the moon, but only those that perform sacrifices &c. and those that do not perform sacrifices or works of public utility but are guilty of evil acts go to the abode of Yama for undergoing tortures of hell 2534 (which are seven, according to V. S. III, 1.15) and after that they come to the earth. Those who follow the path of faith and austerity go by the Devayana path (Chan. Up. V. 10, 1 and Mundaka I 2, 11) and those who perform sacrifices, charity and works of public utility go by the pitryana path (Chan. Up. V. 10. 3 and Mundaka I. 2. 10)2535 and those that do not follow any one of the two have to go to a third place and be born as worms &c. (Chân. Up. V. 10. 8), that when a śruti text like Kausitaki Up. (I. 2) states that all those that depart from this world go to the moon, what is meant by 'all' is all those that have the adhikara (capability or fitness) to go to the moon.

There is one word, namely 'samsāra', which occurs frequently in the comparatively later literature on Vedānta and Dharma-sāstra but rarely in the Upanisads It means 'passing through a succession or a round or a cycle of births and deaths' The

^{2533.} स्तारवर्षे इन्नारवान् स्टस्स्तित्या यथैतमनेवं च। वे. स् 111.1 8. अन्नहार is explained by हान्तराचार्य as 'आन्नित्तफले कर्मजाते उपश्चने उविशयमेहिकफले कर्मान्तर-जातमग्रहायस्त्रह नोडनरोहन्तीति।'. अन्नहार means 'residue' here, compare मेवदूत (पूर्व १०) स्तर्नाभूते सुचरितफले स्वर्गिणा गां गतानां होपे: पुण्येईतिमव दिवः कान्तिमत्स्वण्ड-सेकम् स This is a fine fancy (उत्वेक्षा) based on the doctrine stated in V. S 111.1.8.

^{2534.} संपमने त्वसुप्रेतरेषामारीहावरोही तहतिहर्कानात्। वे सू III. I 13, on which क्षादुराचार्य quotes कटोए. II 6' न साम्पराय. प्रतिमाति बालं प्रनादान्तं वित्तमोहेन मृहम्। अयं हो को नास्ति पर दृति मानी मुनः पुनर्वकृतापदाते ने ॥.'

^{2535.} विद्याकर्मणीरिति त प्रमृतत्वात्। वे. स्. III. 1. 17; ' तद्य इत्थं विदु । (छा. V. 10. 1.) स्ति विद्या तथा पनियक्तस्यो देवपानः पत्था प्रजीतितः। 'दृष्टापूर्वे दक्षस्। (छा V. 10. 3.) स्ति कर्म तेन प्रतिपक्षस्. पितृयागः पत्थाः प्रजीतितः। शाङ्करभाष्यः

Kathopanisad says 2536 'that person who has no understanding. who has not controlled his mind, who is always impure, does not attain that (highest) state and undergoes samsara (births and deaths)'. The Sv. Up. VI. 16 speaks of the Supreme Spirit as the 'creator and knower of the universe, as self-born, the knower, the destroyer of time, possessing (all) qualities. knowing everything, Lord of Pradhana, individual souls and the gunas (sattya, rajas, tamas) and as the cause of release from samsara, of sustaining it and of bondage.' The Maitrayant Up. I 4, says 'such being the nature of samsara what is the use of the enjoyment (of pleasures)'. The Muktika Up. (II. 37) states 'mind is established as the root of the tree of samsāra'. The word 'samsāra' occurs in the Vedāntasūtra 2537 IV. 2. 8. The Bhagavadgita mentions the word several times e.g. 'men who have no faith in this way (of life) do not attain to me (Lord Krsna) and return to the path of death and transmigration (IX. 3); those whose minds are fixed on me I deliver in no time from the ocean of death and transmigration' (XII. 7). The Manusmrti employs the word samsara frequently e.g. in the table of the subjects to be treated in the Smrti'the passing through samsara' is mentioned (in L 117) and the 12th chap. uses the word often. It is said (in XII. 51) 'the entire samsāra of three kinds due to three sorts of Karma affecting all beings has been expounded'. After describing the various characteristics of the three minas, sattva, rajas and tamas (in XII. 26-29), and the effects of these gunas (XII. 30-38), Manu states that those in whom sattva, rajas or tamas preponderates respectively become gods, men or lower animals and again divides each of these three classes into lowest, middling and highest (XII. 40-50). Manu uses the word 'samsāra' in the plural (in XII, 52, 54, 70) in the sense of 'gatı' or 'yonı'. In chap. VI. 40-60 Manu first dilates at length on the daily routine of one who has entered on the stage of sannyāsa, what he should wear and carry, how he should maintain himself, how he should restrain

^{2536.} यस्त्वविज्ञानवारभवत्यमनस्का सदाऽद्युचिः। न स तत्यदमामीति <u>संसारं</u> चायि-गच्छति॥ कठ III 7, the words तत्पद refer to कठ II. 15-16, स विश्वकृहिन्यविदाल योनिर्ज्ञः कालकालो ग्रणी सर्वनिग्रः। प्रधानक्षेत्रज्ञपतिर्ग्रणेशः संसारमोक्षरिथतिषम्घरेतः। श्वेताम्ब॰ VI, 16.

^{2537.} तदापीतेः ससारव्यपत्रेशात्। वे. स् 1V. 2.8, the शाद्भरभाष्य on this quotes कठ V. 7 as the basis of the use of the word संसार 'योनिमन्ये ... यथा-कर्म यथाश्चतम '॥ q. above in n. 2521.

bis senses and give up love and hate and practise ahimsa and then proceeds 'he should ponder over the destinies of men due to bad deeds, falling in hell and the tortures of hell, separation from loved ones and contact with undesirable persons, the ravages of old age and the pains of diseases, the departing from the body and again lying in the womb and the passage of the soul through thousands of crores of births of all sorts.' It is entirely wrong to suppose (as done by Mr. Sanjana on p 10 of his 'Dogma of reincarnation') that Manu prescribes that 'each ego has to go through ten thousand millions of existences.' Manu nowhere says so. All that he means is that the Sannvasin desirous of liberation should revolve in his mind the possibility of some souls having to migrate through millions of births. Yai (in III. 169) employs the verb 'samsarati' in the sense of 'undergoes births' and states 'The fruition of actions done by aome men results after death (in other bodies) or in this yerv life (as in the case of Karlii sacrifice) and in some cases either in this world or the next (i. e. there is no hard and fast śāstra rule that fruits of actions result immediately after they are done): it also states in a fine simile 2538 just as an actor, when acting different parts, colours his body in different colours (fair, dark, brown), so the soul assumes various forms (as short, hunchback, &c.), assumes different bodies due to the various acts done by it. In Yai III, 140 the word samsara itself occurs 'The soul affected by (or under the influence of) rajas and tamas, wandering in this world and coming in contact with undesirable objects (or mental attitudes) goes through transmigration (i.e. assumes various bodies)'. This is in reply to the question in Yaj, III. 129 (Īśvarah sa katham bhāvairanistaih samprayujyate). The Śantiparva 2539 remarks 'there is no doubt that in life there is far more misery than happiness'. The Puranas often harp on the theme that samsara is impermanent, abounds in sorrows and is fragile like a plantain leaf (e. g vide the Brahmanurāna 'samsāre..., anıtye duhkhabahule kadalidalasannibhe' (178. The present writer cannot help feeling that this view of the thorough miserableness of life has been so much and so persistently dinned into the ears of common people not only by

²⁵³⁸ विवाकः कर्मणा भेत्य केपाचिदिए जायते । हृह वासुत्र वंकेषा भावस्मत्र प्रयोजनम्॥ यथा हि भरतो पर्णवर्णयत्वात्मनसत्तुम् । नानारूपाणि छुर्वाणत्त्यात्मा कर्मजासत्तम् ॥ पाज्ञ. III. 133. 162, the words नानारूपाणि छुर्वाणः may be construed with भरतः also

^{2539.} सुरग्रहरूतरं दुःखं जीविते नास्ति संझयः। स्निग्धस्य चेन्द्रियाधेषु मोहान्मरणम-भिषम्। ज्ञान्तिपरं 205 6 (= Cr. ed. 198 incs 11-12)

much of Sanskrit literature but also by medieval saints like Tukaram (who says 'happiness is as little as a grain of barley while misery is as big as a mountain') that people have lost zest in life, are unable to put their heart and soul and serious efforts for improving their own lot and the lot of others. It cannot be denied that in India the doctrine of Karma, instead of being a powerful means of urging all men to put great efforts in doing good deeds and a gospel of hope, became in the minds of many confused with fatalism, which led men to become feeble, submissive and disinclined to work hard.

All the above discussion in the Upanisads about transmigration of the individual self are valid and concerned with the empirical or phenomenal world (samsīrāvasthā or vyavahārāvastha) but considered from the highest metaphysical standpoint of thorough-going advasta (the para vidya of Mundaka I. 1. 5-6 or amurta brahma of Br. Up. II. 3. 5-6) it falls to the ground, since the individual self is non-different from the Absolute Brahman. Śankarācārya on V. S. II. 3. 30 emphasizes this point. He states: As long as the individual self is in samsara state and has not attained perfect knowledge and brought the empirical or phenomenal state to an end, so long the connection of the self with buddhi does not cease. As long as this connection with buddhi (a limiting adjunct) lasts, so long lasts the state of being an individual self merged in samsara. But the real truth is that there is no entity called niva by itself except in so far as it is fictitiously hypostatized by buddhi, the limiting adjunct. For, when we determine the real meaning of Vedanta texts, we find no intelligent substance other than the one omniscient Lord whose nature is eternal freedom. Then Śankarācārya cites certain texts (viz. Br. Up. I. 4. 7, III. 7-13, Chān. Up. VI. 1.6, VI. 8.7 2540 and remarks that there are

²⁵⁴⁰ यावदात्ममावित्वाज्ञ न दोवस्तहर्शनात्। वे सू 11, 3, 30, वावद्यमारमा संसारित भवित यावद्दय सम्यव्हर्शनेन संसारित्वं न निवर्तते तावद्दय ग्रन्थ्या संयोगे न शाम्यित। यावदेव चापं ग्रन्थ्या संसारित्वं न निवर्तते तावद्दय ग्रन्थ्या संयोगे न शाम्यित। यावदेव चापं ग्रन्थ्याधिसम्यन्धरतावज्वीवस्य जीवत्वं ससारित्वं च परमार्थतस्य न जीवो नाम श्रुत्यच्याधिसम्यन्ध्यरिकारिता ह्वा श्रोता मन्ता विज्ञाता श्रोता विज्ञाता विज्ञाता । व

hundreds of such texts. Śankarācārya states that even Bādarāyana, the author of the Vedāntasūtras, composed some sūtras from the highest advasta standpoint and some from the empirical standpoint. In the following sūtras of V. S. Bādarāyana is obliged to differentiate Jīva and Paramātman I. 1. 16-17; I. 1 21, I. 2. 20, I. 3. 5, II. 1. 21-23, II. 3. 21. II. 3. 41, II. 3. 43 &c. But the sūtras I. 1. 33, II. 1. 14, IV. 13 indicate that there is non-difference between the two. 2541

The theory of transmigration postulates that each life is the result or fruit of the actions of the preceding existence or existences. It follows from this that if we go backwards far enough, no existence or birth can be the first. Therefore, the Vedāntasūtra had to declare (in II. 1.35 quoted in n. 2527 above) that samsāra is anādi (beginningless). But this is in conflict with many passages of the Upanisads, which, in speaking of creation, employ the words 'in the beginning' (as in Chan. Up. VI. 2.1, Br. Up. I. 4., 1, 10 and 17, V. 5.1, Tai. Up. II. 7.1). In order to get over this conflict the conception of the recurring creation of the universe periodically from all eternity was postulated by means of the machinery of kalpas, 2542 which provides that the universe created by brahman persists through a vast period called Kalpa, after the lapse of which it is absorbed into brahman. Vide Śāntiparva 231. 29-32 (Ch. ed. = cr. ed. 224. 28-31). It is stated in the Gita VIII. 17-19 that the day of Brahmā is equal to one thousand yugas (four yugas make one unit or Mahāyuga) and the night of Brahmā is also of the same duration. All objects spring up from Prakrti at the advent of the day of Brahma and at the advent of night are absorbed (or merged) in the Prakrti. Vide Bhagavadgītā IX. 7 also 'at the end of a Kalpa all elements (or beings) pass into prakrti over which I preside, but when the next Kalpa starts I send them forth'.

The reasoning is; just as we cannot decide which comes first, the seed or sprouting plant, so it is impossible to say which

^{2541.} तदनन्यस्वभारम्भणज्ञस्दावित्रयः। वे स्. II I. 14, सूत्रक्वारिष प्रमार्थाभि-मापेण तदननन्यस्वभित्याह रयवहाराभिमायेण ह्य स्याद्घोत्तव दितिनहाससुद्वरथानीयतां ब्रह्मणः स्वधवति। अमत्यारुपायेत्र कार्यमणश्चवित्रणानमाक्त्रयां साम्रयति सम्प्रणेषुपासनेषुपयोक्ष्यत कृति। शाह्नरभाषय at end, भोक्त्वायत्तरिमानश्चेत्रपाद्योक्षयत् 1-this is वे स् II 1, 13.

²⁵⁴² The one thousand Mahayugas constituting a day of Brahma are called a Kalpa For detailed treatment of Kalpa, Manvantara, Mahayuga and Yuga, vide pp 686-697 above. The ancient Upanisads have not elaborated this theory of Kalpas &c.

comes first (body or Karma), since no body is possible without Karma (deeds) and no deeds are possible without a body. The Chan. Up. (V.13.2) states "that Being (deity) that had produced fire, water, and earth thought 'let me enter those three beings (fire. water and earth) with this living self and then develop names and forms." That shows that Jiva (the self) was there at the time of creation and thereby indicates that samsara is beginning. less. The Rgveda in X. 190.3, expressly says 'the creator arranged (or created) as before. 25:520 Similarly, the Gita (15.3) states 'the real form of it (of the tree of samsara) is not thus perceived, nor its end nor beginning nor its support; having out off this deeply rooted Asyattha (pippala) tree with the powerful sword of non-attachment, that place must be sought for from which those who have reached it do not again return'. The Smrti verses relied upon by Śankarācarva on V. S. 1.3.30 are noted below, 2543

²⁵⁴²a. It is possible that the words 'dhata yathapurvamalalpayat' (in Rg. X. 190.3) simply mean that the Creator arranged the Sun, the Moon, Heaven, Earth and regions according to the priorities (or their proper order), But it cannot be gainsaid that these words are at least the germs of the later Sankarācārya on V. S. I. 3.30 explains doctrine of Kalpa, Manvantara & c the Rgveda verse as ' the creator created in the present Kalpa the universe including the Sun and the Moon in the same way as in the preceding Kalpa. यथा पूर्वस्मिन्करुपे सूर्याचन्त्रमःमभूति जगत् क्रुप्त तथास्मिन्नपि करूपे परमेश्वरोऽकरुपयिद्रपर्थः. He quotes as supporting his views several smrti verses which occur in the Mabābbārata and in some of the Purānas. The present writer feels that it is difficult to hold that a mere illustration or analogy (of seed and tree) can amount to a logical argument. At the most an illustration may lend some plausibility, to a theory, belief or conclusion.

^{2543.} The verses quoted by Sahkarācārya on V. S. I. 3. 30 as smrlts

are. (1) तेवां ये यानि कर्माणि प्राकुख्छ्या प्रतिवैदिरे । तान्धेव ते प्रवद्यन्ते सुरुपमाना पुनः युन: ॥ ज्ञान्तियर्व 232.16, (ch. ed. = cr. ed. 224, 47), पास 8 32 and 9, 57. विष्णु I 5. 60, कुर्म I. 7. 64-65, मार्कण्डेय 45.39.

⁽²⁾ हिंसाहिसे मृहुक्रोरे धर्माधर्माहताहते। तद्भाविता प्रपद्यन्ते तस्मात्तत्तस्य रोवते । इतान्ति 232. 17 (Ch ed = cr. ed 224.48), बासु 8. 33, 103.33, मसु 1. 29. बिक्यु I, 5 61, छूर्म I, 7. 65, मार्कण्डेय 45 40.

⁽³⁾ ऋषीणां नामधेयानि याश्च बेदेखु हृष्टय । झर्वर्घन्ते प्रस्ताना तान्येवैम्यो दहास्यजन षाञ्च 9. 64, मार्कण्डेय 45. 43. ज्वरी here refers to night of ब्रह्मा.

⁽⁴⁾ यथर्तुष्वृत्तिङ्गानि नानारूपाणि पर्यपे। प्रत्यन्ते तानि तान्येव यथा भाषा युगादिषु ॥ ज्ञान्ति 210.17 (=cr. ed. 203.15), मार्कण्डेय 45, 44, षायु 9 65, विष्णु 1 5. 65. This verse is quoted without name in the तन्त्रवार्तिक p. 202 on Jai. 1. 3 7; compare मत् 1. 30.

⁽⁵⁾ यथाभिमानियोऽतीतास्त्रल्यास्ते सांप्रतेरिह । देवा देवेरतीतेर्हि हरीमांप्रभिरे भा

The Bhagavadgita (VI 37-45) is very emphatic that no exertions made by a man on the path of Yoga with faith are lost even if he does not quickly attain perfection. Lord Krsna replies (VI. 40 ff) that such a man failing to secure perfection does not come to an evil end, but he attains to the worlds of the righteous, dwells there for many years, is born in the houses of prosperous and pure men or is born in the family of wise yogins, where he regains the mental impressions of his past lives. He makes fresh efforts to gain perfection and is carried forward irresistibly by his practice in former lives and being free from all sins and perfecting himself through many lives attains the highest goal. In the Gita, Krsna says (in IV. 5) 'many are my lives that are past and thine also. All of them I know but thou knowest them not.' In many places the Gita touches upon the doctrine of transmigration (such as in II. 12-13 and 22-27. IV 8-9, VII 19, VIII. 6, 15-16, IX. 21),

In chapters 30-32 (of ch. ed.) of Vanaparva there is a dialogue between Draupadī and Yudhisthira, who, having lost his kingdom in gambling with the Kauravas, was in exile and hard pressed Draupadi wonders how such a straightforward. mild, benevolent and truthful prince allowed his mind to be involved in gambling (30, 19), that God does not act towards beings like a father or mother, but He appears to be irascible like a common man, when she sees that honourable men of high character have difficulties in maintaining themselves and dishonourable men live in pleasures (30 38-39); she proceeds 'human beings, 2544 ignorant and having no control over happiness and misery, go to heaven or hell at the caprice of God.' Yudhisthira warns her that she talks like an atheist, that he performed no deed with the thought of seeking the reward thereof, that he made gifts and performed sacrifices because he held that it was his duty to do so.' He asked her to give up atheistic talk and not to disrespect Highest God. Draupadi came round and replied that she did not mean to disrespect or censure Dharma, that it is far from her thoughts to show disrespect to God, but that, being distressed, she talked like an atheist She then enters upon a discussion 2545 about

²⁵⁴⁴ अज्ञो जन्तुरनीद्गोबमासम सुग्रहु स्वयो । ई श्वरप्रेरितो गन्दोस्दर्ग मरकमेव च॥ गनः 30 28, this is ट्रोपदी's lament

²⁵⁴⁵ तथा रहदूर्वहिः राम कर्मण्यक्रमंकृत् । आसीत न चिरं जीवेदनाच इच दुर्घरः । अक्रमादिए प कश्चिद्रधे प्रामोति प्रचय । त रुदेनिति मत्यन्ते स हि यरनो न कर्म्याचित् ॥ . एवं (Continued on next page)

what people mean by dista (fate) or hatha (chance) or nature $(svabh\bar{u}_{i}a)$ and winds up by saying that whatever a person gains is all the result of deeds in past lives.

It is not necessary to say here anything about human effort (purusakāra) and daita. That topic has been dealt with and the different views of ancient and medieval writers about the respective spheres of these two have been pointed out in H. of Dh. vol. III. pp. 168-170 and notes 214-216.

When the son of Gautami, who was an old woman that had attained control of mind, died by snake-bite, a hunter caught the snake, brought it bound to Gautami and said that he would kill the snake for having bitten an innocent and unoffending boy. Gautami dissuades him from killing the snake by stating by killing the snake my son would not be brought back to life, I see no good in killing the snake. Then Kāla came forward and explained 'Just as a potter moulds from a lump of clay whatever he desires, so man secures fruits of deeds done by himself; the boy's death was due to his deeds in a former life' and Gautami agreed that her son died by his own deeds in a previous life and that her bereavement by the death of her son was due to her own former deeds.²⁵¹⁶ This story occurs in the very first chapter of the Anusāsanaparva.

Draupadī who had to work as Sairandhrī (a maid servant) in the harem of Virāta bewails before Bhīma 'in my girlhood I must have done something which displeased the Creator by virtue of which I have been reduced to this distressing condition.' 2547 The Anuśāsanaparva states 'just as the calf finds

⁽Continued from last page)

हुटाज्ञ देगाज्ञ स्वभावास्कर्मणस्तथा। यानि मामोति एउपस्तरफर्ल पूर्वकर्मणाम्॥ वनवर्ष ३२. 15-16, 20. नीलकण्ड explains इटगादिकः as 'माग्जनमाभागावकृतमेगीपस्थास्यतीति यदन स्वार्वाक ५.7

^{2546.} यथा मृत्पिण्डतः कर्ता कुरुते यत्रदिग्छति। एत्रमास्तरुत कर्म मानवः मतिवर्णने । नेव कालो न भुजगो न मृत्युरिए कारणम्। स्वत्रमंभिरय बाटः कालेन निधन गतः। मया च तर्ह्नते कर्म येनाय मे मृतः मृतः । याद्व कारुस्तथा मृत्युर्धुञ्चार्जुनक पलगम्। अनुज्ञासन र. 74, 78-79,

^{2547.} चून हि चालया वातुर्मया वे तिभिय द्वातम्। यस्य प्रसादादहुर्नीतं प्राप्तास्त भरतर्गति विराटप्र 20. 14. She implies that she does not remember having done any wrong. यथा घेटामहलेषु वस्सी निन्द्रति मातरम्। लग्मारमगुत कर्म कर्तारमञ्जरणि ॥ अनुः आसन् ७ २२ = पन्न 11 81 47 (तथा छुभाछुभ कर्म), 94. 17 (तह-पुभाषुभ कर्म). This verse is quoted in an English translation by A G Hogg in 'Karma and redemption' (ed. of 1910 p 19) and by M. Macnicol in 'Indian Thelsm' (Oxford Uni Press, 1915) p. 224

its own mother from among thousands of cows, so karma done in a previous life pursues the doer of it.' The Aévamedhika-2548 parva asserts that there is no destruction of good and evil actions; they ripen and produce results when the self passes from one body to another. The Sāntiparva (cr ed. 316. 25 and 35 = ch. ed. 329 25, 35) avers 'a man by performing good deeds secures the state of a god, he is reborn as a human being by actions of a mixed character (good and evil both) and he is born as lower (than man) by evil deeds, when you (yourself) start after death no one will follow you, only your good or evil deeds will follow you when you leave this world'

All strata of Hindu society were permeated by the theory of Karma and transmigration. Great Sanskrit poets often allude to it. In the Raghuvamsa XI, 22 describing the visit of Rāma to the hermitage of Vāmana pointed out by Viśvāmitra, Kālidāsa remarks 'Rāma became restless (or disturbed) in mind, though not remembering his actions in the former existence as Vāmana' (both Vāmana and Rāma being avatāras of Visnu) Similarly, in Śakuntala (Act V) the poet remarks 'when on seeing charming sights and hearing pleasing words, a person. though surrounded by pleasures, becomes restless (or sorrowful), that is indeed due to this that his mind unconsciously has the impressions of loves and friendships of past lives presented to it'. In the seventh Act of the same play when Dusyanta and Sakuntalā were re-united, she, referring to her previous rejection by the king, remarks 2549 'indeed at that time some (bad) actions of mine (in some former life) hampered my good deeds and became ripe for fruition'. In the Raghuvaméa the 14th canto contains at first a message of spirited protest by Sita whom Rāma ordered Laksmana to abandon near Vālmīki's hermitage on account of the scandal about her among common people, but afterwards qualifies the message by saying that the terrible misfortune that she was going to suffer was the fruition of her misdeeds in former existences and that she desired to be his wife in a future existence but without separation from him. Vide also the Meghadūta verse quoted above in note 2533 p 1563.

²⁵⁴⁸ शुभानामशुभाना च नेए नाझीरित कर्मणाम् । माप्य प्राप्याञ्चपच्यन्ते क्षेत्रं क्षेत्रं क्षेत्रं

²⁵⁴⁹ सूर्न में सुचिरितमतिबन्धक पुराकृत हेषु दिवसेषु परिणाममुखमासीत् । शाकुन्तल VII, समेर जन्मान्तरपानकानां विचाकविरकुर्ज्युरमसूछः । सार्वे तय सूर्यनिविष्टहर्षिक्षक्ष मस्तेश्वरित पनिष्ये । भूषो पथा मे जनमान्तरिय समेर भर्ते न स्व विप्रयोगः । रहवैश 14 62 aod 66

Many questions naturally arise on the doctrine of Karma and transmigration. One of them is discussed by the bhasva of Vyāsa on Yogasūtra II. 13, In Yogasūtra II. 3 five kletas (hindrances) such as avidyā (nescience) are enumerated and it is stated (II. 13) that these klesas lead on to fruition of actions by way of birth, life (long or short), kind of experience. Actions (Karma) are of four sorts according to Yogasütra (IV. 7) viz. krsna (dark found in wicked people), (2) suklakrsna (whitedark) that are accomplished by external means in which there is some injury to or benefit of others; (3) sukla (white) belonging to those who engage in tapas, svädhyäya (study of the sacred texts) and contemplation; because this kind of deed depends on the mind alone and does not depend on external means and does not involve injury to others: (4) Aśuklūkrsna (neither white nor dark), which is found in sannväsins (ascetic saints) whose hindrances (avidyā &c) have dwindled and whose bodies are the last (they will have). Of these four kinds, the yogin alone has non-white karma since he has renounced the fruit of all actions (even of good ones) and he has akrsna since he will never resort to dark actions. The bhasya on Yogasutra II. 13 states four questions, (1) whether one Karma is the cause of one birth, or (2) whether one Karma is the cause of more births than one: (3) whether more than one Karma brings about more births than one: (4) whether more than one Karma brings about one birth. The bhasva raises objections to the first three and states that the fourth alternative alone is acceptable. Vide p. 1417 note 2325 for the text of the Yogabhāsya on these four alternatives. The Santiparva (chap. 273. 33-34 of cr. ed. =chap 280, 33-34 of ch. ed.) mentions individual souls as of six colours viz. krsna (dark), dhūm: a (grey), nīla (blue), rakta (red), hāridra (yellow) and śukla (white) in an ascending order, the dark being the lowest and sukla being the highest and verses 36-46 describe these six in detail.

There are several features of our present life that can be more satisfactorily explained on the theory of transmigration than on any other. Why do two people who have seen but little of one another feel friendship or hatred? The surmise that in past existences they were friends or had obliged each other or harmed each other offers an explanation. The doctrine of karma and transmigration accounts for the apparently unmerited misery and suffering of many people, while some enjoy undeserved happiness or a good life. Our sense of fairness and justice would

be shocked by the inequalities in the world, if such a doctrine were not there. The hypothesis and belief that all human volition and conduct will suitably be rewarded and punished in subsequent existences has an important bearing on present conduct, would act as an urge to continual effort for goodness in this life and is likely to deter men from vice and This doctrine of karma not only offers an explanation about the varying degrees of happiness and unhappiness among human beings, but also accounts for differences in material well-being and unhealthy bodily conditions It offers a solution of the problem of evil in the world and explains precocious abilities in mathematics, music and arts among children and grown up men as in the case of Ramanujan about whom Prof. Hardy of Cambridge (in 'Ramanujan,' Cambridge, 1940) says that he was the most romantic figure in the recent mathematics. If rightly understood, it is not pessimistic or fatalist, but rather emphasizes all out human effort in this life. It will be seen how many of the works on Dharmasastra or connected with it as sources emphasize effort (purusakāra) as against views of various people that it is Dawa or Seabhava or time or the combination of all these that yield rewards or retribution in this life It also explains sudden accession of prosperity or high position such as, for example, a poor man's son being adopted by a prince or queen and then becoming an enlightened and famous ruler as was the case with the late Sayajirao Maharaj Gaikwad of Baroda.

Even from Upanisadic times various views were held about the origin of the world and similar questions. The Sv. Up (I 1) asks the question 'Is brahma the cause? Whence are we born? Whereby do we live and whither are we going? Tell us, O knowers of brahman, under whose control do we abide in pain or pleasure'? The next verse states 'Should Time or nature or necessity or chance or the elements be considered as the cause or He who is (called) Purusa? It cannot be their combination either, since even the self has no power over happiness and misery' Then verse 3 (latter half) states 'He being one superintends (or controls) all causes viz Time, self and the rest' Yaj I. 350 refers to five views on the question as to what causes desirable or undesirable results viz some hold that it is Dana alone, some put forward Svabhāva (nature), some rely on Time, some on human effort and some on a combination of ali these; but, Yājñavalkya's own view (I. 349, 351) is that

good or bad results are due to dava and purusalāra and that the former is nothing but effort of former existences that has begun to manifest results. The Śāntiparva (chap. 238. 4-5=cr. ed 230. 4-5) refers to the fact that there are three views viz either human effort or dava or svabhāva being the cause, but its own view appears to be that Purusākāra and Dava combine to produce fruits. The Matsyapurāna (221. 8) asserts that dava, effort and hāla working together produce the fruits of actions. The Brahmāndapurāna (II. 8. 61-62) refers to three views viz. Daiva, effort and Svabhāva are put forward as causes separately but its own view is that daiva and effort together yield fruits.

It may be stated here that Karma is put in three groups viz. sancita. m ārabdha and ki iyamāna (or sanciyamāna). is the total accumulated deeds of all past existences, the fruits of which have not been experienced. The praightly Karma is that which was the strongest among the group of sancita deeds iust before the present existence of a person begins and which is supposed to determine one's present existence. What a person accumulates during the present existence is called krivamana (or sanciyamana, being collected) and the next existence is determined by the strongest (or the earliest according to some) among the sancita and krivamana deeds put together. As the karmans 2550 are of different characters and yield different consequences (heaven in case of sattrika deeds, or the earth or mid-regions when they are mainly rujasa), and places of tortures when deeds are mainly tamasa the existences (or births or bodies) differ and the self being affected by the body, the individual souls appear as different. The objection is raised that freedom of will is the basis of all ethical values and that if a man's Karma in past lives determines the present life, then a man in the present life is merely a toy in the power of Karma and has no power to do as he sees best. The question about a man's freedom of will is a most thorny one, on which the greatest thinkers from ancient times to the present day have differed 2551 and no

^{2550.} Vide विज्ञानदीपिका of प्रश्नपाद verses 5 and 8 ' कर्मणां फलरीचिडणाई' चिडणं जन्मनामिह । देहशंचिडणतो जीरे वेचिडणं भासते तथा ॥ सश्चितं चीयमानं च प्रारूप कर्म तत्कालम् । क्रमेणावृत्तिरेतेपा पूर्व चलवतोऽपि या ॥ The com, explains सश्चितानां हुमानुभ-कर्मणा मध्ये चस्य पूर्वकालिकत्व तस्य पूर्व प्रारम्भ । तत्समान्ती तद्दनन्तरजातस्येशं वा क्रमेणामाः कृतिः। अपि च मख्रितकर्मणा मध्ये पीर्वापर्यमनपेक्ष्य यस्य कर्मणो बलवन्तरत्व तस्येव पूर्व प्रारम्भ ।

²⁵⁵¹ Jeans in 'Mysterious Universe' (p 30) observes 'And if Time is so fundamental that an understanding of its true nature is forever beyond our reach, then so also in all probability is a decision the age-long controversy between determinism and free will '

satisfactory reply can be given. There are-numerous works on discussions on Free will and Determinism such as Rashdall's 'Theory of good and evil' vol II, pp. 302-355 (1907) on 'Free Will,' Bergsons's 'Time and Free will,' Viscount Samuel in 'Belief and action' pp 303-320, but the present author does not propose to recommend any of these books to the reader of this But if the reader wants a small book on the Free Will controversy he may read the book by M. Davidson (London. So far as the Indian doctrine of Karma is concerned, it appears that freedom of will is postulated for a man during the present existence to lead a good moral life and perform mentorious acts subject to the limitations caused by the environment in which the present existence is cast. The important working belief is that one has free will and that one is free in the present existence to mould the future (so far as he is concerned) by means of meritorious works. This is the message of the Śāntiparva 2552 Lord Krsna, after a long discourse in the Bhagavadgītā gives Arjuna permission to do as the latter likes (1863 'yathecchasi tathā kuru') In Gītā 9.30 also Lord Krsna says 'if even a person of the vilest conduct worships me with undivided devotion, he must be held to be righteous, for he has made a right resolve' Similarly, in VI. 5 the Gita provides ' let a man lift himself higher by himself, let him not degrade himself; for the self alone is the friend of the self and the self alone is the enemy of the self'. Vide notes below for Upanisad passages. V S. and Śankarabhāsya. It is possible to accept, on the ancient Indian doctrine, both predestination and free will. the first so far as one's being born in a certain environment is concerned and the 2nd so far as one's actions in the present life are concerned The Bhagavadgitā (VI 5-6) holds out the hope even to a sinner that it is never too late to mend and (II. 40) avers that even a little of righteousness saves a man from great fear and no effort is lost

Though the general drift of the Gitā is in favour of Free Will, there are a few passages that seem to savour of determinism, e g 'everyone is made to do acts helplessly by the quinas born of Prakrti (III. 5); 'all beings follow prakrti (Nature), what can control (or repression) do? (III 33), "due to your conceit you think 'I shall not fight,' this thy resolve is vain; (your) Nature will compel you to do it; you being constrained

²⁵⁵² आर्ज हलभ लब्बा नावकपेंद्र विशापने । उत्कर्वार्ध प्रयक्तने नर पुण्येन कर्मणा ॥ शान्तिगरं 280 3 (= 291 3 of ch cd.).

by your own acts born of your nature will helplessly do that which you do not wish to do" (XVIII. 59-60). It may have to be conceded that there is no freedom of will in the matter of environment during childhood.

The Rāmāyana gives expression to the belief that sorrow in the present life is the result of similar actions done in past life or lives. When Rāma left Ayodhyā as an exile for the sake of fulfilling the promise given to queen Kaikeyi by king Daśaratha, Kausalyā, mother of Rāma, laments 'I believe indeed that in a past life I must have made many persons lose their sons or I must have harmed (or killed) living beings; it is therefore that this (sorrow) has befallen me', 'I think beyond doubt that in a former life, I, a wretched woman, must have cut off the breasts of cows (or mothers) when their calves (or children) desired (to drink milk at the breasts) of their mothers'. 2533

The Purānas also emphasize the importance of good or evil deeds and say that one has to reap the fruits of one's actions, whether good or evil, and Karma does not come to an end even after hundreds of lives unless the results thereof are undergone. 254 The Padmapurāna states 'there is no destruction of Karma except by reaping the fruits thereof; no one can set aside the bondage due to the Karma of past lives' and further 'man by his own actions may become a God, or a human being, cattle, a bird of a lower animal or even a tree (or rock); no man in this world is able to annul the effects of actions done in previous existences by his power or by the birth of progeny' 2555 (son &c). The

²⁵⁵³ मन्ये खाहु मया पूर्वे विवस्ता चहव' क्रुताः । प्राणिनो हिंसिता वापि तस्मादिदष्टप' स्थितम् ॥ अयोध्याकाण्ड 39. ४, नि स्ंज्ञाय मया मन्ये पुरा वीर कद्र्यया। पातुकामेषु बस्तेषु मानुणा ज्ञातिता स्तना. ॥ अयोध्या॰ 43 17 (Madras Law Journal Press edition)

^{2554.} अवर्यमेव मोक्तस्य कृतं कर्म शुभाशुभम्। नाभुक्तं क्षीयते कर्म ह्यपि जन्मश्रीं भिषा नारदीय पु॰ (उत्तरभाग) 29. 18, the quarter नाभुक्त क्षीयते कर्म is quoted by the भामती on ज्ञाङ्करभास्य on वे. स् IV. 1 13. (without name). Vide H of Dh. Vol IV. p. 39 note 95 for the same verse quoted by others, भामती notes 'नाभुक्तं-कर्म इति च स्मरणमप्रतिबद्धसामध्येकमीभित्रायम्। 1

²⁵⁵⁵ उपभोगादृते तस्य नाश एव न विद्यते। प्राक्तम बन्धन (बन्धक ?) कर्म कोन्यथा कर्तुमहिति॥ एम II 81 48 and 94 118, देवत्वमध मानुष्यं पञ्चना पक्षिणा तथा। तिर्पत्त स्थावस्य च याति जन्तु. स्वक्तमंभि ॥ पूर्वदेवस्त कर्म न कश्चित्युरुपो श्रुवि। चलेन प्रजया वापि समधे कर्तुमन्यथा॥ एस II 94 13,15 The first occurs in एस II 81 43 alro Vide Reveda प्रजाभिरन्ने अमृतदामन्याम् १ V.4 10 and मनु IX 137 पुत्रेण लोताक्षयि पौत्रेणानस्यमन्तुने These are merely laudatory acc to the एस , न तु भोगाहते पुण्य पाय वा कर्म मानवम्। परित्यजति भोगाञ्च पुण्यापुण्ये निवोध मे॥ मार्कण्डेय I4 17, यादश वयते बीज क्षेत्रे तु कृषिकारक । भुनक्ति तादश वस्त फलमेव न संशय ॥ यादशं क्रियते कर्म तादश परिशुज्यते। विनाशहोत, कर्मास्य सर्वे कर्मवन्ना वयम्॥ एस II, 94. 7–8.

idea of transmigration as described in the Upanisads had become universal in India at the time of Buddha. Buddha rejected the reality of a permanent ego or self as an entity. He was not a metaphysical philosopher, but wanted to lay down a way or method by which mankind could be freed from ignorance and suffering and liberation could be achieved. Therefore, while rejecting a permanent ego he accepted the doctrine of rebirth.

A side issue has been very much debated by some eminent scholars, viz whether the cherishers of the Vedanta thoughts were originally the Ksatriyas and not the Brahmanas. The present author dealt with that question in a brief compass in H. of Dh. vol. II. pp. 105-107 and note 222. Deussen in 'Das System des Vedanta' (1883 pp. 18-19) and Ph. Up. (tr. by Geden pp. 18-19) and Dr. R G. Bhandarkar in 'Vaisnavism and Saivism'p 9 hold the view that the ksatriyas were the original possesseors of Vedanta doctrines Deussen relies mainly on six passages and Dr. Bhandarkar on two only (Chan. V. 3 and 11) and besides Deussen (in Ph. Up. p 19) admits that his conclusion is not absolute certainty but has a high degree of probability. Barth in 'Religions of India' p. 65, Hopkins in 'Ethics of India' (1924) p. 63, 'Vedic India' by Macdonell and Keith (vol. II, p. 206), and Tuxen on 'The religious of India' (Copenhagen, 1949, p. 88) do not subscribe to this view. Deussen went so far as to aver (p 19) 'this teaching with regard to the atman was studiously withheld from them (the brahmanas), that it was transmitted in a narrow circle among the ksatriyas to the exclusion of the brahmanas'. The present author had not set out the passages on which reliance was placed by Deussen and those who followed him. They will be set out here and will be examined as to the context in which they appear and the subject with which they deal. But it must first be emphasized that the most important doctrines of the main and ancient Upanisads are two, viz. 'non-difference of the individual self from the Supreme Self, and (2) transmigration of the self depending on his deeds and conduct. Both these doctrines are expounded by Yājñavalkya to king Janaka in various places in the Upanisads e g. in Br. Up IV. 4. 4-7 and following passages. Deussen regards this part of the instruction as deepest, truest and noblest (Ph. Up. p. 348 quoted above on p 1547) and this passage is (acc. to him) the leading passage on the doctrine of transmigration and on what accompanies the self in its transmigration (Ph. Up p. 281). Besides, the words of Yājūavalkya

in Br. Up. III. 2. 13 'He who does good is born good' and in IV. 4. 5 'He who does good is born good, he who does evil is born evil, he becomes righteous by righteous works &c.' are declared by Deussen himself (Ph. Up. p. 410) as 'the oldest in which a doctrine of transmigration is found'. Therefore, according to Deussen himself, the original possessor of these two fundamental doctrines of the Upanisads is the brābmana Yājñavalkya who in the same Upanisad (Br. Up. II. 4. 1-14) propounds to his wife Maitreyi the identity of the self and elements and everything else with brahma (idam sarvam yadayamātmā). Not only so, there are other brāhmana teachers of these. For example, Uddālaka Āruni expounds at great length to his son Śvetaketu the doctrine of identity in the famous words 'tat-tvamasi' (Chān. Up. VI. 8-16).

Now the examples on which Deussen (Ph. Up. pp. 17-19) bases his couclusions must be cited and examined. Chan. Up. (V. 11.1) tells the story of five (named) wealthy householders and great students of Veda who came together and discussed the question 'what is our atman, what is brahma'. They proposed to go to Uddālaka Aruni who knew the self called Vaisvānara, Uddālaka thought that he would not be able to explain all and therefore told them that Aśvapati Kaikeya (king of Kekaya country) at that time knew the self called Vaisvanara and all five together with Uddalaka went to that king, who said he would give an answer the next day. The next day those six went to him with fuel in hand (i. e. as pupils) and the king without performing further preparatory rites asked each of them what they medidated upon. When each replied as to what he meditated upon, such as heaven, Aditya, air, ether, water and earth (this last by Uddālaka Aruni), he told them that all these are parts of Vaisyanara and he explained to them how the proper Agnihotra is to be performed.

Two things should be noticed viz. Uddālaka Āruni is hers shown as ignorant of true Vaiśvānaravidyā, though in the very next section (Chān. Up. VI. 8, 7.ff.) Uddālaka Āruni teaches the sublime doctrine of 'Tat-tvamasi'. Probably these two Uddālakas are different or the present story is more or less a myth. In the second place, all that Aśvapati Kaikeya teaches is about Vaiśvānara and not brahmavidyā (about the identity of in-Vaiśvānara and not brahmavidyā (about the identity of individual soul with the Supreme Self. From before the times of Yāska, there have been various opinions about Vaiśvānara, frequently mentioned in the Rgyeda (e.g. in I. 52, 6, I. 98, 1.). The Nirukta (VII. 21-23) has a long discussion and quotes

three different views viz. that Vaiśvānara is lightning or Aditya or terrestrial fire. The Chān. Up. (V. 18.2) winds up the description of Vaiśvānara and brings it (V. 19-24) in line with the oblations to five breaths (as 'Prānāya' svāhā &c.) and sets forth the results of the observance of Agnihotra with full knowledge of its true purport. The Vedāntasūtra (I. 2. 24-32) deals with what is meant by Vaiśvānara in Chān. V. 11 ff. and the conclusion is that it means paramūtman (the highest Self) and not individual self or fire as an element or the digestive (stomach) heat.

Deussen then refers to the story of Gargya Balaki (in Br. Up II. 1) who offered to expound brahma to Alatasatru, king of Kāsī, who offered to give a thousand cows if he did so and remarked that people run exclaiming 'Janaka, Janaka' (meaning Janaka is donor and also listener to brahma exposition), 2556 Bālāki offered twelve objects of meditation on brahma such as the person in the sun, the person in the moon &c. Ajātaśatru replied as to the twelve objects that he knew them already and brahma is different from them and cannot be understood by what he said Then Bālāki remained silent Bālāki offered to be his pupil. Then Ajātaśatru said 2257 "this is topsy-turvy that a brāhmana should approach a ksatriya as a pupil with the idea 'the ksatriya would expound brahman to him' I shall make known to you (brahma)." So saying he took hold of Bālāki's hand and got up (from his seas). Certain matters in this story must be carefully noted here This does not at all say that the class of brahmanas did not know brahmavidya and that ksatriyas alone were then the only possessors of it. On the contrary, Janaka is specially mentioned as a donor of cows and is eager to listen to brahmavidyä and people ran to him desiring to accept large gifts of cows for imparting brahmavidya. We

²⁵⁵⁶ हतवालाकिर्दान्चानी गार्ग्य आस स होवाचाजातकार्द्ध कार्य बद्धा ते बवाणीति स होताचाजातकार्द्ध सरसमेतस्य वाचि ददमे जनको जनक हति वे जना धावस्तीति। बृह उप. II 1 1, the words ' त्रह्म ते ... धावस्तीति occur in कार्यातिकि उप IV. which has the same story with some variations Max-Muller's tr in S B. E. vol I. p. 300 " for verily all people run away saying ' Janaka (king of Mithita) is our father (patron) " is not accurate and does not bring out the sense properly. Sankarācārya's explanation is far more satisfactory' 'जनको दित्सुर्जनक शुश्रुपुरिति बहु हाकुराने गिरसन भतिजिनुसन्ध जना धार्मित) '

^{2557.} सारोपाचालातमञ्ज । मतिलोमं चैतज्ञस् झाह्मणः श्वियमुपेवास् झहा में म्रथ्यतीति। एपेप ला ज्ञापिप्यामीति। ते पाणावादायोचस्यो। हृदः उप almost the same words occur in कीपी उप IV. 18 'मतिलोमस्यमेप तत् स्थापान्यत्रिया बाह्मणमुपनपेत्। एदि स्पेप ला झपपिप्यामीति ते ह पाणायभिषद्य मनजाल। ।

know about Janaka from Br. Up. III. 1 ff, wherein Janaka king of Videha, offered a thousand cows and, when Yainavalkya took away those cows. numerous persons present in Janaka's court such as his hotr priest Aśvala, Artabhāga, Gargi, Uddālaka Aruni, Vidagdha Sakalva ply him with questions. In Br. Un. IV. 4. 7 (Janaka offers a thousand cows to Yājāavalkva, in IV. 4.23 (Janaka offers to give his Videha kingdom to Yājñavalkva along with himself as a slave). The story of Bālāki only comes to this at the most that when Janaka had learnt brahmavidya. a brāhmana Bālāki did not know it though he professed to know it, and had to be instructed in it by king Alātaśatru of Kāši. who knew it and who only stated that a brahmana did not become a pupil of a ksatriya. All brāhmnas could never have been proficient in brahmavidyā much less ksatriyas. One regrets to say that Deussen is guilty of making a sweeping generalisation without adequate data. It may be noted that in this story Ajātašatru of Kāšī does not say that the Vidyā was not known to any brāhmanas before (as Pravāhana Jaivali claimed), but, on the contrary, expressed surprise that a brahmana should come to him for learning the Vidya.

The same story occurs in Kausītaki Upanisad²⁵³⁸ IV.1-19 often in the same words. Bālāki offers 16 explanations about the objects of his meditation. The V. S. devotes three sūtras (I. 4. 16-18) to the passage in which Ajātasatru stated 'He who is the maker of those persons (you mentioned), he of whom all this is the work, he alone is to be known'. There is in Br. Up. II. 1 and Kausītaki Up. IV hardly anything about transmigration. Both passages simply affirm that from the Atman all prānas, all worlds, all gods, all elements spring forth (Br. II. 2 20). This is nothing more than what is stated in the leading text (Br. Up. IV. 4.7) of Yājñavalkya or in Chān. Up. VI. 1-16 in the oft-repeated words of Āruni to his son Śvetaketu (aitadātmyam idam sarvam...tattvamasi).

It is most surprising that Deussen should press into service the story of Sanatkumāra and Nārada in order to fortify his proposition that ksatriyas were the original possessors of the great doctrines of Vedānta. He relies on Chān. Up VII, where

^{2558.} यो वे बालाक एतेपा पुरुषाणा कर्ता पस्य बेतरकर्म स से बेदितम्य ग्रात । कीथी उप. IV. 18. The conclusion in वे. स्. I. 4. 16-18 is that the person to be known is Paramātman and the words यस्य बेतत्कर्म refer to the world (जगत). and that this has no reference to 'jīva' or principal prāna.

it is said that Nārada approached Sanatkumāra and prayed 'Teach me, Sir,' Sanatkumāra said to him 'tell me what you know: then I shall tell you what is beyond that'. Nārada stated (in Chan, Up. VII. 1-2) that he had studied the four Vedas, 2559 Itihāsa-purāna and gave a long list of lores including Devavidyā. Brahmavidyā (whatever that may mean). ksatravidyā, Naksatravidyā, admitted he knew mantras only and did not know Atman (the Self) and added 'I have heard from men like you that he who knows the Atman overcomes sorrow. I am in sorrow; bhaqavan | do help me to cross (to get over) my sorrow.' Sanatkumāra replied 'whatever you have studied is mere name, there is something better than a name'. Then Sanatkumāra teaches him to meditate on speech as better than name, then on manas as better than speech and several others as better than the preceding (in VII. 4-24) till he reaches bhuman (the Infinite, the Paramatman) and describes bhūman in VII. 25-26 ending with the words 'all this springs from the Self' and it is stated at the end (in VII. 26.2) 'Bhagavān (venerable) Sanatkumāra showed to Nārada, all whose blemishes had been crushed (uprooted), what is beyond darkness (avidyā); they call him (Sanatkumāra) Skanda '2560

In all this long passage there is not a word whether Sanat-kumāra and Nārada belonged to a brāhmana or ksatriya class. Skanda is known in classical Sanskrit as the God of War (as in Gitā X. 24 'Senāninām-aham Skandah') and in the Vanaparva 229. 22–23 he is mentioned as the commander of the armies of gods and the Śāntiparva mentions that Nārada approached Devala for knowledge about the creation and dissolution of the world (chap. 275 = 267 of Cr. ed.). From this Deussen at once draws the conclusion that Sanatkumāra must have been a ksatriya and Nārada a brāhmana. In the Mahābhārata, Manusmrti and the Purānas both of them are semi-divine sages and beyond varna or caste. Gītā X. 13 speaks of Nārada as Devarsi, the Vāyu-purāna speaks of Parvata and Nārada as sons of Kasyapa and as included among Devarsis (Vāyu 61. 85). The Manusmrti includes Nārada among the first ten Prajāpatis

^{2559.} Compare Br. Up. II. 4 10 for a similar but smaller list of lores that are said to be the breath of the great Being and another list of the four Vedas and six Vedangas (called aparacidya) in Mundaka Up I 1.5.

²⁵⁶⁰ तस्मै मृद्धितक्रपायाय तमसस्पारं दर्शयति भगवान् सनरकुमारः। तं स्कन्द इत्याचक्षते। छ। उप VII. 26. 2. कपाय means रागद्वेषादिद्योषड; the root रक्तन्द् is given two senses in धातुपाट viz. गृति and क्षीयुणे

(I. 25). The Brahmapurāna (I. 46-47) describes both Skanda and Sanatkumāra as sons of Brahmā. The Nāradiyapurāna (Pūrvabhāga, 2. 3) speaks of Sanaka, Sanandana, Sanatkumāra and Sanātana as the mind-born sons of Brahmā and Sanatkumāra as brahmarādin and as expounding all Dharmas to Nārada. The Vāmanapurāna (60. 68-69) describes the same four as sons of Dharma and Ahimsā, and as exponders of Yoga-śāstra. To crown all, Kūrmapurāna ²⁵⁶¹ I. 7. 20-21 speaks of these four along with Kratu as Vipras (brāhmanas), yogins, and as mind-born sons of Brahmā. Sanatkumāra might have been literally or metaphorically called 'Skanda', because he attacked and routed Aridnā pust as God Skanda routed armies of asuras, if at all the myth about Skanda was known in times before the Upanisads.

Chan. Up I. 8 narrates that there were (in some part of India not mentioned) three persons well versed in (the esoteric meaning of Udgltha i. e Om), viz. Śilaka Śilāvatya, Caikitāvana Dālbhva and Pravāhana Jaivali. They sat down for a discussion on Udgitha The first two (who were brahmanas) first put questions to each other and answered. Then Pravabana Jaivali told them that they were giving replies about matters that were not permanent Then Pravahana Jaivali told them that Akāša was the origin of this world, that beings owe their origin to Akāśa and will return into it, that Akāśa is Udgitha, greater than the great and without end &c. Deussen relies on this passage also for supporting his theory. UdgIthavidyā is only one of several Uparanas in the Upanisads. Therefore, what would follow is that Pravahana Jaivali knew it and two brahmanas of some place not mentioned did not know it. It is not possible to see how this story lends any support to Deussen's sweeping thesis of all brāhmanas being originally excluded from the central doctrine of identity. In the same context (Chan. Up. I. 9.3) Pravahana mentions that one Atidhanvan Śaunaka²⁵⁶² taught Udgītha-vidyā to Udaraśāndilya.

^{2561.} अग्रे ससर्ज वे <u>बहुता मानसानात्मनः समान्। समक सनातनं चैर तथै</u>व च समन्दनम्। ऋतुं सन<u>स्कुमारं</u> च पूर्वमेव प्रजापतिः। पश्चेते योगिनो विमाः परं वैराग्यमाश्रिताः। कृर्म I. 7. 19-21

^{2562.} Dhanus becomes 'dhanvan 'when it is at the end of a bahuvihi compound, according to Pāmin V. 4, 132. (ध्रुष्ट्य) What is the meaning of अतिधन्दन as a बहुनीहि? It may mean 'who has gone beyond the bow (i.e. who is far above those who wield the bow) धन्दन् itself means a bow (as in Rg VI. 75. 2 and 3) and 'arid desert; in the Rgveda (x 4 1, धन्दन्ति यपा असि) Then अतिधन्दन may mean one who had crossed an arid desert and would have nothing to do with one wielding a bow.

Daussen without giving any reason asserts 'the names allow of the conjecture that in this case also a brahmana received instruction from a ksatriya' (p. 18). He probably connected the first name with 'dhanus' (bow) which was the forte of ksatriyas. But he forgets that Saunaka and Sandilya are both brahmana names. This will illustrate how even the most profound scholar goes astray when he becomes over-enthusiastic about a theory of In this story Pravāhana does not claim that the Udgīthavidyā was unknown among brāhmanas On the contrary he himself states that Saunaka, a brāhmana (called Atidhanyan) expounded that Vidyā to Udarasāndilya, another brāhmana, Besides, Udgīthavidya is only one among numerous upāsanās and what Pravahana teaches is that all bhūtas spring from Ākāśa and are absorbed in Akasa, the purport being that Akasa here denotes brahman as the V. S. (I. 1, 22) establishes. This doctrine is the same as the one taught in Tai. Up. III. 6 (basis of V. S. I. 12) and other texts. Moreover, there is nothing about transmigration in this passage of Chan. Up.

The sheet anchor of the views of Deussen and Dr. Bhandarkar are the dialogue between Pravāhana Jaivali and Śvetaketu (Br. Up. VI. 2, Chān. Up. V. 3-10) about Pañcāgnividyā and that between Aśvapati Kaikeya and Uddālaka Āruni about Vaiśvānara (Chān. V. 11.24). The latter has been already dealt with. In the former occurs an important passage which has been made much of and misunderstood. Before proceeding to expound the Pañcāgnividyā to Śvetaketu and his father Āruni Gautama, Pravāhana Jaivali remarks (Chān. Up. V. 3.7) 'this vidyā did not go to brāhmanas before you; therefore in all worlds domination (or government) has remained with the ksatriya class alone'. In the corresponding Br. Up. passage the words are 'this vidyā did not reside in any brāhmana before this day, but I shall expound it to you, for, who would like to refuse when you address me thus' 2563 (viz. I approach you as pupil). In the

²⁵⁶³ यथेएँ न प्राक् लक्षः प्रस् विद्या ब्राह्मणान गन्छति। तस्मादु सर्वेषु होकेषु क्षत्रस्पैन प्रशासनमञ्जूत । द्या V 3. 7; यथेय विद्येतः पूर्वं न कस्मिश्चन ब्राह्मण उनास । ता लहं तुम्पं पर्यासि। को हि त्वेनं ब्रान्तमहीति प्रत्याक्यातुमिति । वृहः VI. 2. 8. प्रशासन is translated b) MaxMuller as 'teaching' in S. B. E. Vol. I p. 78 But it is better to take प्रशासन in the sense of 'domination or government,' as is clearly the metalog of the word in Br. Up III. 8. 9 जन्म वा असरस्य प्रशासने गाणि सूर्यान्य प्रमासी निधृते तिवत. 1 Besides, there is nothing to show that Leatriya rulers everywhere were teaching that doctrine (if we take prassanc to mean (Continued on next page)

Kausītaki Up. I. the doctrine of the two paths of Devayāna and Pitryana is expounded to Aruni (and his son Svetaketa) by Citra Gargyayani (v. l. Gangyayani), but the remarks about ksatriyas alone being the first possessors of the doctrine do not occur there at all and Gärgyāyani appears to be a brāhmana teacher. The question is: what is meant by 'this vidva' in the above passages of Chan. and Br. Upanisads? In the Upanisads (particularly in Chandogya and Br.) numerous vidyas for the upasana of brahman by men that are not yet far advanced on the path of brahmandyā are dilated upon, such as Udgīthavidyā (Chān, Up. I. 8-9, Br. Up. I. 3), Daharavidyā (Chān, VIII 1, 1-2, Br. Up. I. 3. Vedāntasūtra 1. 3. 14-21), Madhuvidyā (Chān. III 1. 1 ff., Br. Up. II. 5. 1-15), Samvargavidyā (Chān, IV. 3). In the same way Pañcagnividya is an Upasana Deussen and others admit (as shown above) that the great and original texts about the identity of the individual self with the Supreme Self and about transmigration of the soul based on works and conduct are those of Yājñavalkya in the Br. Up. The Pañcāgnividyā expatiates upon and is concerned mainly with only one aspect of transmigration viz, the path of those who living in a village practise a life of sacrifices, works of public utility and alms. The five fires and five offerings relate only to the pitryana path. It contains an esoteric and semi-physical explanation of the process by which persons come to be born again on the earth. At the most it may be argued that some ksatriya rulers or noblemen claimed to have given an esoteric or metaphorical explanation of the mode of the return of pious men from the moon to the earth again. Nothing positive is said as to whether Prayāhana Jaivali was the ruler of a country or only a ksatriya (rājanya in Br. Up. VI. 2.3, Chān, Up. V. 3.5) but we are told that Asvapati was king of the Kekaya country in the extreme northwest of India, while the original proclaimer of the immortality of the self and its identity with the supreme self was Yajñavalkya who was in Videha (Mithila, modern Bihar), which was at least a thousand miles away from Kekaya. Yājñavalkya's philosophy must have taken a long time before it became well known in the distant Kekaya. Conceding for argument that a few rulers like Asvapati were the first to propound an explanation of the

⁽Continued from last page)

⁽teaching). Further, this sentence (in Chān V 3. 7. is a boastful assertion like the one of Asvapati in Chān V. 11. 5. that there was in his country of Kekaya no thief, no miser, no drunkard, no man who had not consecrated sacred fire in his house, no ignorant person and no adulterer.

way of the transmigration of pious sacrificers and the like, it is a far cry from that to assume as Deussen does (Ph. Up. p. 20) that the doctrine of the Atman as the first Principle of the universe was fostered and progressively developed by the ksatriyas in opposition to the principles of the brahmanical ritual and this assumption is contrary to what he himself states elsewhere in his own work (pp 410, 281, 343)

Before proceeding further a passage from the Br. Up. I. 4. 10 and another from the Ait. Up. (II. 4-5) would have to be considered In Br Up. occurs the following passage: 2564 "In the beginning brahman was there, it cognised itself as 'I am brahma', therefore it became all; whoever from among the Gods perceived this (viz. 'I am brahman'), he became that (brahman), the same occurred to sages and men. The sage Vāmadeva realizing this reached the conclusion 'I was (or became) Manu and the Sun also.' Therefore, this (will occur) even now. Whoever (even a man) who realizes 'I am brahman he becomes (identical with) all this (universe); even the gods are not able to prevent that man's being one with brahman and with all, for he is the soul of all these." Deussen translates 'aham Manurabhavam Süryasca' as 'I was once Manu, I was once the Sun'. There is no word for 'once' and it is supplied by Deussen who remarks 'as a proof of his knowledge of brahman, (Vāmadeva) alleged his acquaintance with his former births as Manu and Sūrya' (p. 317). The words can very well be construed as meaning that Vamadeva, having realized

^{2564.} ब्रह्म वा इदमञ आसीत् । तदारमानमेवावेत् । अहं ब्रह्मास्मीति । तस्मात्तरसर्वेम-भवत् । तथो यो देवानां प्रन्यचुष्यतं स एव तदभवत् । तथपीणाम् । तथा महत्वाणाम् । तद्धीत-स्पर्यप्रपिर्वामदेव प्रतिपेदेऽह महारमवं सूर्यश्चेति। तदिदमप्येतर्हि य एवं वेदाहं ब्रह्मास्मीति स इदं सर्वे भवति। तस्य ह देवाध्वनामृत्या ईशते। आत्मा होषां स भवति। ब्रह. उप. I. 4. 10; compare मुण्डकोप. 111 2 9, यो हि तत्परमं बह्म बेद बह्मैच भवति। . सर्ति शोक तराति पाप्मानं गुहाग्रन्थिम्या विसुक्तोऽसूतो भवति ।; कडोप. ४ 15 पथोइ कं छुद्धे छुद्धमासिक्तं ताह्येव भवति । एवं सुनेविजानत आत्मा भवति गीतम ।; कठोप ६ 14 'अध मत्योऽमृतो भवत्य असा समण्डते ॥; सण्डक III 2 8 यथा नद्यः .. परात्वरं पुरुपसुपीते दिन्यम् and प्रश्न VI. 5 स पथेमा नध &c., छा उप VIII 12 3 and वे. स I 4, 22 and जाहरमाव्य thereon. These passages emphasize that the moment a man realizes the identity of the self and the Supreme Self and moulds his life accordingly, he becomes brahman and that his works after this realization do not cling to him, Vide for this latter proposition, Chân Up IV. 14. 3 (यथा पुन्तरपलाझ आयो न श्रिप्रपन्त गवमेर्य-विदि पाप कमें न न्लिज्यत हति), Mundala Up III, 1 3 (तदा विद्वान्युण्यपापे विध्य निरञ्जन परमं साम्यमुपति), सुण्डक II 2. 9, बृह उप IV 4. 22-23 and दे. सू IV. I. 13-15

brahman when he sang the hymn Rg. IV. 26, expressed his identity with Manu and Sūrya (and the whole universe). There is no express reference to former births and Śankarācārya in ²⁵⁵⁵ his bhāsya on Br. Up. understands the passage in this sense and does not refer to former births. It cannot be said that the Br. Up. quotes Rg. IV. 26. 1 for supporting the conception of transmigration but it relies on it merely for the conception of identity of the individual soul with the Supreme Self.

Then there is a passage in the Ait. Up. which Deussen refers to (pp. 317-318 of Ph. Up.). In the 2nd adhyava that Upanisad refers to the conception of a person as the first birth; when the child is actually born that is described as the 2nd birth. Then it proceeds "He (the son) being the self of the father is placed in his (the father's) stead for the performance of all sacred works; then his other self (the father) having performed all he has to do and having reached the span of his life (having become very old) departs (from this world) and departing from this world is born again, that is his third birth, And this has been declared by a sage 'while dwelling in (mother's) womb I came to know all the births of the gods; a hundred strongholds of ayas (copper or iron) held me, but I escaped down with speed like a hawk.' Vāmadeva lying in his mother's womb declared this". In this passage the father and son are treated as identical, as elsewhere it is so said (vide note below). This Rgveda verse (the 2nd half) is liable to several interpretations In the Rgveda (I. 80. 2, VIII. 95. 3, IX. 68. 6, X. 11. 4 &c.) it is frequently stated that (syena) hawk brings Soma for Indra. Syena may also be interpreted as standing for the soul and the iron fortresses as the bodies through which the transmigrating soul wanders. The Rgveda sage might have only meant the mythical hawk of Indra. But as the word 'nanimani' occurs in the first half the Upanisadic sage (in Ait. Up.) might have used the verse to support his ideas about the three births. But even in the Ait. Up. passage the three births are not clearly of one person, unless we resort to a myth, viz. the conception of a son and the son's birth are

^{2565.} स एतस्मिन् ब्रह्मारमदर्शने उत्तरिधतः एतान्मवान्द्वर्श अहं महरभवं सूर्यश्वेरवादीन्। त्रेहेतद् ब्रह्म प्रथिति ब्रह्माविद्या परामुश्यते। अहं महरभवं सूर्यश्वेरवादिना सर्गभावापति ब्रह्म विद्याफलं परामुशाति। पश्यन् सर्वात्मभावं फलं प्रतिपेदे इत्यस्मात्मयोगाद् ब्रह्मविद्यासहायसाधन् साध्यं मोक्षं दर्शयति। ... तस्य ह ब्रह्मविज्ञाहुर्पथोक्तिन विधिना देवा महाविर्याश्च नापि अमूर्प अभवनाय ब्रह्मसर्वभावस्य नेशते न पर्याताः किष्ठतान्ये। शाद्भरभाष्य ०० वृष्ट्, उप. 1. 4. 10. अहं मनरभवं IS Rg. 1V 26 1.

taken as the two births of the father himself on the ground that the son is the father himself born again.²⁵⁶⁶

The Upanisads laid down an inexorable law that fruits of all actions, good or evil, must be experienced and that a person's deeds and conduct determined the character of his succeeding existences. But from some passages in the Upanisads themselves it appears that they recognised some exceptions. One exception was that when a pesron realized that he was one with brahman the actions both good and evil, if any, done by him after that Realization and before the physical death of the body produced no results. In Chan. Up. VI. 14. 3 Satyakāma 2567 Jabāla tells his pupil Upakosala that 'Just as water does not cling to a lotus leaf, so no evil deed clings to one who knows (realizes) brahman': Chan Up. V 24 3 'just as the (soft) cottonlike fibres of the isikā reed when cast into fire are burnt out, so all evil deeds of him who knowing (the purport of) Vaiśvānara (brahma) offers Agnihotra are burnt'. The Br. Up. states 'Him who knows these two do not overwhelm, whether he says that he did evil for some reason or that he did a good deed for some reason: he overcomes both these; neither what he has done nor what he has omitted to do afflict (lit heat or burn) him'. The Mundaka Up. provides 'when a person has seen (realized) the Highest (cause) and lowest (effect) his actions perish.' But this is only true as regards all acts before he attained Realization and all acts that his body may do after his attainment of Realization but he cannot rescind the prārabdha kai ma which brought about that existence wherein he reached Realization of brahman. The idea is that the actions which led to a person's present embodiment must all be consumed by the body persisting till the appointed period for its death, and then only he becomes free from the

^{2566.} सोऽस्यायमातम पुण्येन्यः कर्मम्य प्रतिवीयते। अधास्यायमितर आत्मा कृत-कृत्यो वयोगत. प्रेति। स इत प्रयत्नेव पुनर्जायते। तदस्य तृतीयं जन्म। तदुक्तभूषिणा। गर्भे ज ... निरदीयम् ॥ दृति। गर्भ एवेतच्छ्रयानो वामदेव एवस्यान्य। ऐ उप. II. 5 This has been quoted on p 1541. अद्य प्रोट्यायन पुत्रस्य मूर्धानमिमुहोत्। अङ्गादङ्गात् सम्भवसि एद्यादधिजायते। आत्मा त्वं पुत्र माविय स जीव श्रादः शतम्। कीपी उप. II. 11 This is quoted as a rk in Nirakta III. 4 (probably because it occurs in the Kausîtaki Āranyaka of the Reveda)

^{2567.} यथा पुरुक्तपलाझ आपी न शिट्टवन्त इति। द्या उप IV 14, 3, तद्यथेवी-कात्लमया मोनं प्रदूर्वतेत हास्य सर्वे पाप्मान प्रदूर्वने य एतदेव विद्वानग्रिहोवं छुद्दोति। द्या उप V. 24 3, एतस् ऐवेते न तस्त हृति। अत पापमक्रस्यमिति। अत कल्यापामकस्यमिति। उभे उ ऐवेष एवं तस्ति। नेन क्रतारुते तपत। हृतः उप IV 4 22. क्षीयन्ते चास्य कर्माणि तस्मि-च्हे परावरे। सुण्टक II. 2 8; एवकेवेहाचार्यवान् युख्यो वेद्द। तस्य तावदेव चिर यायक्ष विमोहयेऽभ सपतस्य दृति। द्या. उप VI. 14. 2.

physical body. The Chān, Up. states 2568 as to a man who has attained true knowledge of the Supreme Self from a teacher that 'for him there is only delay so long as he is not delivered (from the body), then he will become perfect'. All these Upanisad passages are relied upon in V. S. IV. 1. 13-15 and Śankarācārya explains their purpose concisely but very clearly. The Gītā also says (IV. 37) that the fire of knowledge reduces to ashes all deeds. Here all means only Sanceta and Sanceta and excludes $pr\bar{a}rabdha-karma$. About the acts during the period between the attainment of $V_2dy\bar{a}$ and the fall of the body Śankarācārya gives the instance of an arrow shot from a bow, which stops only after the initial impetus is exhausted in the flight of the arrow. Some works say that when the meritorious deed or the sinful deed done in the present life is of the extremest kind it may yield results in this very life. 2569

The Upanisad theory is that one must bear the consequences of all actions, good or evil. But sometimes an evil action is done without any previous thought, as, for example, when a man's gun goes off by accident and somebody is killed or seriously injured. This led to a discussion in Dharmasūtras and Smrtis and the doctrine of prāyaścittas (expiations) for sins was developed. From Vedic times some rites had been performed to counteract mishaps and irregularities in the course of religious ceremonies and for portentous phenomena or personal misfortunes such as a dog-bite. In these the idea was

^{2568.} तद्दिशाम उत्तरपूर्वाधयोरश्लेणविनाशो तद्द्यपदेशात् । इतरस्याप्येवमसंश्लेपः पाते हु। अनारस्थकार्ये एव हु पूर्वे तद्दवधः। वेदान्तस्त्र IV.1.13–15; शाङ्करभाष्य 'बह्याधिगमे सत्युत्तरपूर्वयोरध्योरश्लेषविनाशो भवतः उत्तरस्याश्लेषः, पूर्वस्य विनाशः इतरस्यापि एण्यस्य कर्मण एवमध्यत्वसंश्लेषो विनाशश्च ज्ञानवतो भवतः। अवश्यभाविनी विद्वषः श्रारिपाते हुक्तिः रिस्यवधार्यते। . On बृह. उप 1. 4. 10 the शाङ्करमाष्य states 'यावच्छरीरपातस्तावत्वला प्रभोगाङ्गतया विपरीतप्रत्ययं रागादिद्वेषं च तावन्माञ्रमाक्षिपत्येव । धन्तेषुवत्यव्वत्तर्वल्लाचर्षेतः कस्य कर्मणः। तेन न तस्य निवर्तिका विद्या । अविरोधात्। ... ज्ञान्तेश्वरत्ते प्रमाप्तं तक्ताल जन्मान्तरसिक्षताना च कर्मणामप्रवृत्तप्तल्लानां विनाश सिद्धां भवति। ' The विज्ञानदीपिका of पद्मपाद् श्वरुष्ठ 'अभयोज्ञानतो नाशो भोगात्पारच्यकर्मणः। ' (verse 9). The com says ज्ञान is of two kinds, परोक्ष and अपरोक्ष. The first is in the form 'there is brahman and I must engage in upāsanā thereof' The other is of this sort' क्ष्य सत्य जगन्मिध्या। अतोहमपि ब्रह्मवेदयाकारकं यज्ञानं तद्वपरोक्षम्। अपरोक्षज्ञानं तावत्यारक्षेतरकर्मनाशकस्य। एवं चात्र ज्ञानमपरोक्षमेव। तस्माहुभयोः सिद्धातसञ्चीयमानयो कर्मणीर्नाशि चीकलीपः।'

^{2569.} पूर्व फलति देहेऽस्मिन् कृतमञ्ज परत्र यत् । अद्यष्टलाङ् द्वयोरेवोत्कदमञ्जेव जन्मिन । वि दी 10, com, says 'उत्कटं तु कर्म श्रुममञ्जूममपि एतज्जन्मकृतमेतस्मिक्षेव जन्मिन प्रारच्धमाक्रम्य फलित ?. Compare अत्युरक्तटेः पापपुण्येरिहैन फलमहत्ते ।.

of ceremonial purity and the averting of evil results from such phenomena in which no question of sin as ordinarily understood entered. In the Gautama Dharmasūtra there is a discussion on this, which is probably the earliest clear exposition on expiations for sins. Gautama states that there were two views on the efficacy of expiations against sins. One view was that expiations should not be performed as regards sins, because a sin is not destroyed unless its consequences are experienced; the other view was that one should perform expiations since there are Vedic passages indicative of this, such as 'after performing the sacrifice called Punahstoma one can come back (i. e become fit for) Soma sacrifice (i. e for all Vedic rites in general); 'after performing the Vrātyastoma' (one becomes fit for vedic sacrifices), 'he who offers the Asvamedha sacrifice crosses beyond all sin, beyond (even) 2570 brāhmana murder." Some held the view that only sins committed inadvertently were removed by expiations; 2571 while others held the view that expiations were efficacious even against sins committed wilfully as there are Vedic indications to that effect (Manu XI. 45). Manu, however, appears to have held that a man is relieved of the lapses inadvertently committed by means of the study of the Veda, but sins wilfully committed can be removed only by various prescribed expiations (XI 46) and that a man must always resort to expiations for sins, since those who have not done so have to undergo a double retribution viz. the tortures of horrible hells for long terms of years and also being born in other human existences with bodily deformities and diseases (Manu XI, 48 and XII, 54). The subject of expiations and of Karmavipāka (fruition of evil actions by being born as a worm or lower animal, or having a short life and premature death and undergoing torments of Hell) has been dealt with at length in H. of Dh. Vol. IV. pp. 1-178).

^{2570.} तत्र प्रायक्षितं कुर्योज कुर्यादिवि मीमासन्ते। न कुर्यादित्याहु.। न हि कर्म सीधत एति। कुर्यादित्यास्। युन स्नोमेनेष्ट्रा युन सवनमायान्तीति विद्यायते। वात्यस्नोमेन्द्र्या त्याति मर्वे पात्मानं तरति ब्रह्मद्रया योऽपन्नेधेन यज्ञते। आग्नेष्टुताऽभिशस्यमानं याजयेदिति च। यो ध स् 19.3-10. Vide यमिन्धर्मस्त्र 22 3-7 for almost the same words. For explanation of all these, vide H. of Dh. vol. IV pp 61-62 and notes 152-153 thereon For Assamedba freeing the sacrificer from all sins, from even brahmana-murder, side Tai S V.3, 12 2 and Sat. Br. XIII. 3, 1, 1 'सर्वे या एतेन पात्मानं देवा अंतरकृषि वा गतेन ब्रह्महत्यामवर्रस्वरति सर्वे पात्मानं तरिन ब्रह्महत्यां मोऽप्यमेपेन पजते।,'

ass1. अन्तिसन्धिरूने प्रापश्चित्तमपुराधे । अभिमन्धिकृतेष्येक्षे । वसिष्ट 20. 1-2.

So far as rebirth for sins is concerned attention may be drawn here to the following authorities: Manusmrti XII. 54-69, Yāj. III. 131, 135-136, 207-215, Visnudharmasūtra, chap. 44, Atrismrti 4. 5-14, 17-44, Mārkandeya-purāna 15. 1-41 (B. I. ed.), Brahmapurāna 217. 37-110, Garudapurāna, Pretakānda, chap 2. 60-88 (which incorporate almost word for word Yāj. III. 206-215) and also quotations from Śankha Dharmasūtra collected by the present author in ABORI. vol. VIII, pp. 116-117 items 375-378 culled from the Mitāksarā on Yāj. III. 216, the Madanapārijāta pp. 701-702, the Parāśara-Mādhavīya, vol. II, part 2 pp. 246, 259, 263, 269. For reasons of spacs it is not possible to set out this whole mass but a few illustrative passages will be cited.

Manu states (XII, 54-69, with which Yal, 1II, 206-208 and 212-215 agree in many places) 'those guilty of mortal sins (Mahāpātakas), having gone through terrible hells during a large numbers of years, come after the expiration (of hell punishment) to the following births. The slayer of a Brahmana passes through the births of dog, pig, ass, camel, cow (or ox), goat, sheep, deer, a bird, a candala and a pukkasa, a brahmana who drinks the liquor called Sura enters the bodies of worms and (large) insects, of moths (or locusts), of birds feeding on ordure and of carnivorous animals; a brahmana (who steals gold of a brahmana) shall pass a thousand times through the births of spiders, snakes, lizards, aquatic animals and of destructive goblins: the violator of his guru's bed passes a hundred times through (the form of) grasses, shrubs, creepers, carnivorous animals and of beasts with fangs and of those (animals) like tigers doing cruel acts. Those men who are given to injuring others become animals that eat raw flesh, those who eat forbidden food become worms, those that thieve become creatures consuming their own kind (such as fishes) and those who have intercourse with women of the lowest classes become metas (ghostly spirits), one who has associated with outcasts (for certain specified periods), he who approaches the wives of other men and he who has deprived a brahmana of his property (other than gold) becomes Brahmarāksasa (an evil demon); a man who has on account of greed stolen gems, pearls or coral or any of the other kinds of precious stones is born among goldsmiths; for stealing grain a brāhmana becomes a rat, for stealing bell-metal a man becomes a hamsa bird, for depriving another of water he becomes the bird called Plava, for stealing honey a stinging

insect, for stealing milk a cow. for stealing sweet juice (sugar-cane &c) a dog, for stealing clarified butter an ichneumon, for stealing meat a vulture, for stealing fat a cormorant, for stealing oil a bird called Tailanaka, for stealing salt a cricket, for stealing curds a bird called Balākā (crane): for stealing silk a partridge, for stealing linen a frog; for stealing cloth of cotton threads Kraunca bird, for stealing a cow an iguana, for stealing molasses a bird called vaquada (a bat?), for stealing fine perfume a musk-rat, for stealing vegetables consisting of leaves a peacock, for stealing cooked food of various kinds a porcupine and for stealing uncooked food a hedgehog; for stealing fire a person becomes a heron. for stealing household utensils a mason-wasp, for stealing dyed garments a cakora bird; for stealing a deer or an elephant a wolf, for stealing a horse a tiger, for stealing fruits and roots a monkey, for stealing a woman a bear, for stealing drinking water a cataka, for stealing vehicles a camel, for stealing cattle a he-goat, that man who has forcibly taken away any kind of property belonging to another or who eats sacrificial food of which no part has been offered in sacrifice certainly becomes a lower animal, women also who in like manner committed theft shall incur guilt; they become the females of those same creatures (enumerated above).

It may be noted that some of the births assigned to those guilty of thefts of various articles have some logic or reason behind those regulations e.g. when Manu XII. 62 and Yāj. III. 214 prescribe that a thief of grains becomes a rat in the next birth or when Manu XII. 61 prescribes that a thief of jewels, pearls and coral is born among the class of goldsmiths, one can easily appreciate this retribution as appropriate or reasonable, but the same cannot be said of all others. It is noteworthy that, in spite of what the bhāsya on Y. S. quoted in note 2325 and pp 1417-18 above says, a single mortal sin like brāhmana-murder might make the perpetrator pass through several vile births, as stated by Manu in XII. 55-58, Yāj. III. 207-208 and by Sankarācīrya, 2572

Once the strict rule of the original doctrine of Karma in the Upanisads was loosened by the theory of prayascittas, a

²⁵⁷² नत्रहरवादीना चैतेकस्य कर्मणोऽनेकजन्मनिमित्तलं स्मर्यमाणसुपकृष्येत। न च धर्माधर्मपो. स्वरूपकलसाधनादिसमधिगमे शास्त्राद्वितिक्तं कारणं शक्यं सम्भावितृत्यु। शाद्भरभाष्य on वे. सू III 1.8,

quick pace was set even in early times for the removal or reduction of the effects of sins in other ways than by means of expiations. Gautama 2573 prescribes five means for redeeming (or expiating) blamable acts viz. inaudible recitation of the Veda, austerities, sacrifice in fire, fasting, giving gifts. The history of Dharmasastra vol. IV deals at some length with papa (pp. 44-51), tapas (pp. 42-43), homs (pp. 43-44), dans (pp. 51-52) and fasting (pp. 52-54). Therefore, it is not necessary to say here anything about them. But attention must be drawn to some special and far-reaching modifications of these and other means. Śūdras and members of pratitoma castes were not authorized to study the Veda. Hence the authors of medieval works, particularly of Puranas, went so far as to say that remembrance 2574 of the name of Krsna is superior to all expiations and all forms of austerities and that if a man only remembers Nārāyana in the morning, noon, evening, at night and other times, he at once secures the destruction of (the consequences of) sins. Other means were also provided for the removal of sins, one being pilgrimage to holy places. Vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 55-56 and 552-580. Another was the practice of pranayama which has been dealt with on p. 42 of H. Dh. vol. IV.

Even in the earliest times open confession of sin was in certain cases regarded as freeing the person from sin. In the Cāturmāsya called Varuna-praghāsa, the wife who took part with her husband had to confess expressly or by some indirect ways whether she had at some time a paramour and if she did so she was purified and could take part in the sacred rites thereafter. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. pp. 575-576 and 1098 for this Similarly, according to Ap. Dh. S. I. 9. 24. 15, I. 10. 28. 19 and 1, 10. 29. 1 it was necessary when undergoing expiations for forsaking one's wife or for brāhmana murder to confess one's sin. So also the brahmacārin, if guilty of sexual intercourse,

^{2573.} तस्य निवस्तपणानि जयस्तपो होन उपवासी दानम्। गी. ध स्. 19.11. In 19.12 Gautama gives a long list of Vedic texts the recitation of which purified a person of sins Manu (XI. 249-250) specifies Vedic hymns and verses that remove the consequence of brahmana-murder, orinking Sura, theft of gold, violation of guru's bed and other grave and light sins and highly praises (XI. 259-260) the japa of Aghamarsana hymn (Rg. X. 190.1-3) as removing all sins.

²⁵⁷⁴ प्रायश्विचास्यद्वीकाणि तपःकर्मात्मकानि है। यानि तेपासदेवाणी कृष्णातस्मर्शे परस् ॥ मातनिद्वि तथा सन्ध्यांमध्याद्वाविषु संस्मरच्। नारायणमवामीति सद्य पायक्षर्य नरः॥ विवयपु II. 6. 39 and 41, ज्ञह्मपु. 22,39 These are q. by प्राय विवेक p. 31, अवर्राक्ष p. 1232 and माय, तस्त्र p. 524.

had to proclaim his lapse while begging at seven houses (vide Gautama and Manu quoted below). 2575

Repentance (anutāpa) Manu (XII. 227 and 230) provides that a sinner is relieved of the consequences of sin by proclaiming his sin to people, by repentance, by austerities, by recitation of Vedic texts, by gifts in case of distress (i.e. if he is unable to undergo tanas): a man after committing a sin becomes free from it by feeling remorse and if he makes a resolve 'I shall never again do so' he is purified. The Visnupurana provides that. when afer committing a sin the man feels remorse, the one high expiation that he has then to undergo is the remembrance of Hari. 2576 MacNicol, a Scottish Missionary, wrote a work (in 1915) on 'Indian theism' in which he boldly asserts, after referring to the Upanisadic doctrine of Karma that 'there is no place for repentance in the Hindu doctrine of Karma' (p 223). It has been shown above that confession was known in Vedic times and that repentance was valued in Dharmasastra works either as removing sins or as making the repentant man fit for receiving expiatory rites (vide H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp. 41-42). The worthy missionary author probably thinks his Christian brand of remission of sins by confession and repentance better than the Upanisadic teaching. Ancient Indian authors were not prepared to accept mere confession and repentance as enough to absolve a man of all sins. The Biblical position that if one believes in Christ and his gospel, confesses his sins and says he repents (as required in Matthew 4.17, Mark I, 15 and Luke 24. 47) is very likely to engender among common men a complacent attitude about sins. This complacent frame of mind. the aucient Dharmaśāstra writers wanted to prevent and therefore, they insisted upon a good deal more than repentance and confession of sins. They blended the old doctrine of confession and repentance with retribution viz hell tortures and vile births. Later Paurānik writers approached very close to the probable attitude of common Christians that one has simply to believe in Christ as a redeemer, to confess and to take the name of God for being absolved from all sins. Macnicol either did not

²⁵⁷⁵ तस्पाजिनमूर्ध्वार्छ परिधाय छोहितपात्र सप्त ग्रहान्मैक्षं चरेत्कर्माखक्षाण । गी 23 18; मनु XI 122 एतासिक्षेनासि प्राप्ते बसित्वा गर्दमाजिनम् । सप्तागाराध्वरद्भिक्षं स्वकर्म परिकीर्तपन् ॥.

^{2576.} कृते पापेऽसुतायों वे यस्य पुँसः प्रजायते । पापिश्वत्तं त् तस्यैकं तस्सिरमरणं परम् ॥ दिव्यापु II 6,40

read the relevant texts from Purānas or he forgot them when he made the assertion noted above.

Long before MacNicol wrote and since his days numerous Western scholars brought up in Christian surroundings have expressed their view that the ancient Indian doctrine as regards man's destiny after death is far more preferable to Biblical ideas on the same subject. It is enough to refer to only two or three authors. Arberry in his 'Asiatic Jones' quotes (p. 37) from Sir William Jones' letter to Earl Spencer the following passage 'I am no Hindu, but I hold the doctrine of the Hindus concerning a future state to be incomparably more rational, more pious and more likely to deter men from vice, than the horrid opinions inculcated by Christians on punishments without end'. Lowes Dickinson in 'Religion and Immortality' (Dent and Sons, 1911) observes (on p. 74) 'it is really a consoling idea that our present capacities are determined by our previous actions and that our present actions again will determine our future character'. Owen Rutter, author of 'the scales of Karma' (London, 1925) says that Christianity has failed to solve the intellectual and moral problems which beset those who live in the complexities of the modern world, that he began to study the doctrine of Karma and reincarnation seven years before he wrote the book, which is a personal statement rather than an essay on Karma (pp. 12-13). Many who have written against this doctrine, while conceding that the Upanisadic doctrine is an ancient and serious attempt to solve the problem of injustice and evil in the world, call it a weak one and beset with difficulties. One should like to ask, what systems of religion and philosophy are not beset with difficulties? One may take the Christian doctrines for example. To all non-Christians (and to several Christians also in modern times) its doctrine of original sin, its damnation of unbaptized infants, of predestination deduced from 'the' belief that God is an Omniscient and Omnipotent Creator of heaven and earth appear strange and unjustified. L. T. Hobhouse in 'Morals in Evolution' part II (1906) pp. 130 ff points out how all systems with a personal God, particularly Christianity, are beset with difficulties, such as making the problem of evil urgent, eternal punishment for a great sinner in one life suggestive of a moral impossibility, the inescapable conclusion that all men, however good, that did not or could not or do not believe in Christ and are not members of the Christian Church by baptism are irrevocably doomed. That Christianity is unique and that Christians are God's chosen people are beliefs which would make God appear quite unjust and therefore some Christian writers like Prof. Toynbee in 'Christianity among the religions of the world' (Oxford Un. Press, 1958) have begun to urge that Christianity must be purged of such beliefs (pp. 13 and 95).

The strict doctrine of Karma would require that there can be no transfer of good or bad karma from one man to another and a man cannot suffer for the sins of others. But in the Rgveda there are allusions to the belief that God might inflict punishment on the sons for the sins of their fathers. For example, in Rg. VII. 86. 5 Vasistha 2577 prays to Varuna "cast away from us the transgressions of our fathers, and those that we committed in our own person': 'May we not have to suffer for the sin committed by another, may we not do that for which you punish (this is addressed to Visve-devah). The Santiparya, however, asserts 'whatever deed a man does in four ways viz, with eye. with thought, speech or action, he receives (in return) that same kind of action; a man does not enjoy (i e experience the results of) the good deeds or evil deeds of another; man attains (a result) in consonance with the actions done by himself', 2578 Similarly, in the Grdhra-gomāyu-samvāda. it is asserted 'the son does not have to go by the path due to the actions of the father, nor nee tersa, they being bound by their own respective good or evil deeds proceed each by a different path'. Whatever deed a man does, whether holy or very unholy (terrible), the consequences of that are experienced by the doer alone, what have the relatives to do with that' (Santiparva 153.38 and 41=cr. ed 149. 34 and 37).

Modifications of this doctrine were early introduced. The Gaut. 2579 Dh. S provides that the king should guard all the

^{2577.} अव दुग्धानि पिज्या सूजा नोऽव या वर्ष चस्त्रमा तसूभि । ऋ VII. 86 5, मा व एनो अन्यफूर्त सुजेम ना तस्कर्म बसवो यञ्चयस्त्रे। ऋ. VI. 51. 7, ऋ. VII 52. 2 practically repeats this 'मा वो भुजेमान्यजातमेनो मा तस्कर्म बसवो यञ्चयस्त्रे॥ ।

^{2578.} चहापा मनसा प्राचा कर्मणा च चहुर्विधम् । कुपते यादशं कर्म तादश प्रतिपद्यते ॥ नाप परस्य सुरूतं दृष्टतं चापि सेवते । करोति यादशं कर्म तादश प्रतिपद्यते ॥ शान्तिपर्व 279. 15 and 21 (= 290 16, 22 of Cb. ed)

²⁵⁷⁹ Vide also ज्ञान्तिपर्व 287, 28 (= ch ed. 298 30) , पर्णानाश्रमांश्व न्यायतोऽभिरतेत् । चलतश्चेतान् स्वधमें स्थापभेत् । धर्मस्य ह्यंज्ञभगभवतीति । मौ. XI 9-II.

parnas and asramas according to Sastra and if they swerve from their duties he should make them follow their duties, since he gets a share of the dharma (merit) observed by them. Manu provides (VIII, 304-305, 308) that the king who protects his subjects secures the sixth part of the (spiritual) merit of all (his subjects), but if he does not guard them he also shares in the sixth part of their adharma (sin); the king who protects well is entitled to the sixth part of the merit of the Veda study, the sacrifices, the gifts, and of the worship of gods done by all subjects: that king who takes as his one-sixth part of the crops and other products, but fails to guard the subjects is declared (by sages) as receiving all the sins of all his subjects'. Manu says elsewhere (in IX. 301) that the king is the Yuga, that his actions may bring in Krta or any of the other Yugas. Kālidāsa in Śākuntala echoes this idea. 2530 Manu (in VIII. 316) provides that when a thief comes to a king, confesses his guilt and asks the king to punish him with a heavy staff or a sharp weapon and the king either punishes him or lets him off, the thief is freed from (the effects of) the sin, but the king incurs the guilt of the thief if he does not punish him. To the same effect is Vasistha 19. 46 and 20. 41. It was stated by Manu (III. 100) that all the merit of even a man who lives a plain life by subsisting on grains left in the field after the crops are garnered and who performs homa in the five fires (to be kept by an householder) is taken away by a brāhmana guest who stays unhonoured by him. Śantiparva, Visnu Dh. S. and several Purānas provide that 2581 when a guest, being disappointed (in getting food), turns away from the house of a person, the guest goes away taking all the merit of the person and transfers to him all his own misdeeds. This was probably not meant to be taken literally. It is only an arthavada (in the language of the Mīmāmsā) and merely exhorts a householder to honour a guest properly. The exhortation addressed by the judge to a witness before the latter began to depose contains in Yaj, the following

^{2580.} सर्वतो धर्मषङ्भागो राज्ञो भवति रक्षतः । अधर्मादपि षङ्भागो भवत्ररप हारक्षतः॥ मञ्ज VIII. 304, compare ज्ञाकुन्तल II 14 'यडुत्तिष्ठति वर्णेम्यो त्रूपाणां क्षपि तत्फलम् । तपःषङ्भागमक्षय्यं द्वत्यारण्यका हि न.॥ १

^{2581.} अतिधिर्यस्य भग्नाको गृहात्पतिनिवर्तते । स तस्मै हुण्कृतं द्वा प्रण्यमाराय गुच्छति ॥ ज्ञान्तिपर्व 184. 12 (expressly quoted) as a श्लोका = Ch. ed., 191. 12 त विष्णुधर्मसूत्र 67. 33, विष्णुपुराण III. 9. 15 and III. 11. 68, वराहपुराण 170. 46 (with variations).

verse ²⁵⁸²: 'whatever good deeds you performed in hundreds of existences, all that will go to that party whose defeat you will bring about by your false evidence.' The Mit. and Aparārka both say that this is meant only to frighten and quote a verse of Nārada (rnādāna 200) in support 'he (the judge) should frighten them (from telling lies) by citing ancient dharma-āstra texts, by recounting the greatness of truth and by censuring falsehood.' Manu (VIII, 90), however, makes the exhortation run as follows 'Whatever good deeds you performed from your birth, the merit of all of them would go to dogs if you will depose falsely'. Manu XII, 81 says ²⁵⁸³ 'with whatever disposition of mind (either sāttuka or rājasa or tāmasa) a man does an act he reaps the fruits thereof (in a future life) in a body endowed with the same character.'

The Bhagavadgitä, apart from the fact that knowledge of reality destroyed the effects of all deeds, emphasized at the end the path of singleminded devotion (bhakti) to God and surrendering all one's acts and their fruits to Him 'giving up all the various paths, come to me alone as your refuge; don't grieve, I shall release you from the consequences of all evil deeds, if any, of yours'. 2534

As regards husband and wife, the Dharmasastra works say a good deal but all that is said should not be taken literally. For example, Manu (V. 164–166) states 2585 'by playing false to her husband (i. e. by adultery) the wife is censured in this world, she becomes (after death) a female jackal and is tormented by evil diseases (such as leprosy). That woman controlling herself in thought, word and deed, who does not play false to her

²⁵⁸² सुकृतं यस्त्रया किंपिजनमान्तरहातै. कृतम् । तस्तर्य तास्य जानीहि यं पराजयसे स्या ॥ यर 11, 75, the मिता॰ says: अन्यस्यानेकजन्मार्जितसुकृतसंक्रमणस्य महापातकादि-फलमोसेश्वाहतवचनमात्रेणासुपपत्ते. साक्षिसंत्रासार्थमित्तसुकृत । यथाह नारदः । युराणैर्धमेवचनैः सर्यमादारम्यकीर्तने. । अहतस्यापवादिश्व भृहासुत्त्रासयेदिमान् ॥ द्वृति ॥.

²⁵⁸³ याहकोन तु भावेन यदाःकर्म निदेवते। ताहकोन कारीरेण तक्तरफलस्पाक्सते॥ मतु XII. 81,

²⁵⁸⁴ सर्वधर्मान्यरित्यज्य प्राप्तिकं कारणे बजा। अहं त्वा सर्वपापेन्यो मोक्षयिष्यानि मा शुच ॥ भगवद्गीता १८ 63. Here dharma does not mean 'religion' but paths which are deemed to lead to man's goal and many of which are set out in Santiparva 342,10-16 (= Ch ed. 354, 10-16), such as mokadharma, yajñadharma, rājadharma, abimsādharma The last verse in that chapter is 'त्वं पहुर्विपेट्संकेंप्रेमेहारैरनावृते । समापि मतिराविद्या भेपटेखेव बायुना॥'

^{2585.} ਜਜ਼ V. 161-165 are repeated in ਸਜ਼ IX. 30, 29.

husband, resides in the same world (heaven) as her husband, and is called a virtuous wife. A woman restrained in thought, word and deed, secures by such conduct (as is laid down for her) in this life highest renown and residence with her husband in the next world'. For hyperbolical descriptions of the power of a chaste wife (patwatā) in the great epic and Purānas, vide H. of Dh. vol II. pp. 567-568. A verse cited there may be repeated here 'just as a snake-charmer forcibly draws out from a hole a snake, so a chaste wife snatches away her husband's life from the messengers of death and reaches heaven with her husband'. This is also an arthavāda but it probably reflected the popular ideas of those times.

The Mahābhārata has certain interesting verses on the transference of the effects of kana to one's descendants. The Adiparva states 'if the consequences of sin are not seen affecting the perpetrator, they will surely be seen in the sons or grandsons'. This again is an $anthav\bar{a}da$. ²⁵⁸⁵

It was believed that punishment by the king for a sinful deed (like a theft &c.) liquidated the consequences of the sin, made the offender pure and enabled him to reach heaven as men of good deeds do. 2587

It is difficult to reconcile the doctrine of Karma and punarjanma with the system of Śrāddhas in which balls of rice are offered to the three paternal ancestors of the performer of śrāddha. This subject has been dealt with in H. of Dh. vol. IV. pp 335-339. The offering of balls of rice to the spirits of the departed male ancestors was in vogue in the times of the Veda probably and even before the Vedas and the theory of Karma and Punar-janma arose later and as people were not prepared to give up the theory of śrāddhas, they kept both.

The popular idea of the last thought at one's death leading to a future birth appropriate to that thought in spite of a life of sin and iniquity is an interference with the working of the law of Karma and transmigration This notion has been dealt with

²⁵⁸⁶ नाधर्मश्रीरती . . जन्तति ॥ सुत्रेषु वा नमुषु ना न चंदारमि पर्यति । कर्णेर धुर्म पापं ग्रस्तुक्तमिनोदरे ॥ आदि 80: 2-3, the first bas been quoted above on p. 1561 note 2532, to the same effect is झालि 139.22 (= cr. cd 137.19) पाप पर्न एर्न किञ्जियदि तम्मिन् न स्टब्दी । सुपने तस्य सुत्रेषु पन्नियापि च नम्नुपु ॥

^{2587.} राजाभि कृतवण्डाम्तु छत्वा पागावि मान्या । निर्महा सर्मेमापति मन्त कुरुतिनो यथा॥ मन्नु VIII. 316 = प्रमिष्ट 19 45 (reads भृतवण्डाम्त).

above (pp. 972-973) where it has been pointed out that that notion is not supported by the Bhagavadgītā (VIII. 5-7).

Apart from the Upanisads (and commentaries thereon), the Vedantasütras (and the bhasyas thereon) and the Bhagayadgītā, there are very few regular treatises on the doctrine of Karma and re-incarnation. One work comparatively early in date is the Vijūānadīpikā of Padmapāda (said to be the same as the favourite pupil of the first Sankarācārya) in 71 verses (edited with a commentary and Introduction by M. M. Dr. Umesha Mishra, Allahabad, 1940) which deal with the means of achieving moksa by realizing the identity of the individual self and the Supreme Self (they being like the reflection and its original) and by annihilating karma. Some of the important verses in it have been adduced above in the notes. That work (verse 9) 2500 compares sañciyamāna-karma to grain standing in the field, sancita to grain stored in one's house and prarabdhakarma to food put in one's stomach. The food put in one's stomach is exhausted by its being digested which takes some Therefore, Karma of the sancita and sanciyamana types is annibilated by correct knowledge, while prārabdha karma by undergoing its results for some time. It emphasizes that it is Vanaqya (extreme non-attachment to objects of sense) that leads to the rise of correct knowledge of Reality. to the elimination of tasanas (unconsciously working impressions), to the annihilation of Karma and to cessation of rebirth.

Another work is the Janma-maranavicāra of Bhatta Vāmadeva (published in the Kashmir Series of Texts and Studies vol 19, 1918 A.D.). It is a small work in 25 pages. 2539 It appears to belong to the Kashmir Śaiva cult. It states that Śiva has three Śaktis, Cit-śakti (which is of the nature of light or consciousness). Svātantrya (free will, independence) and

^{2588,} उभयोक्तीमतो नाहारे भोगात्यात्यध्यक्तमेणः । क्षेत्रागारोद्धरस्थानामन्नानािमेव तद्भिद्धाः वैराग्यादक्षानसम्पर्किवेशग्याद्धासनाक्षयः । वैराग्यात्कर्मनाशश्य कर्मनाशाज्यनेहेषः ॥ वि ही verses 9 and 16 The com. remarks : क्षेत्रस्थितमन्नं सञ्चीयमानं ग्रहास्थितमन्नं स्थितम् । उद्दर्शयतमन्नं प्रारन्थम् । तत्र क्षेत्रागारस्थितगोद्धानगोद्धानािद्धनाः तात्कािलकक्षयोिषे सम्भवति । उद्दर्श्यात्रस्य ह्यं भोगात् कालपरिषाकादिव क्षयः ।

^{2559.} The ms. of this work was copied in Laukika year 4503 i.e. 1527 A. D. The author was a disciple of ঘানাস্থাকারে probably the same as Yogarāja, who wrote a commentary on the Paramārthasāra of Abhinavagupta So he flourished probably about 1050-1100 A. D. and at any rate before 1500 A. D.

Anandaśakti (bliss). There are six kañcukas (sheaths, covers) viz. Māyā, Kalā, Śuddha-vidyā, Rāga, Kāla and Nivantrana On p. 15 it says that when the bodily machine is broken consciousness, taking hold of pranana (breathing), is carried to another body by the Ativahika (subtle body). 2590 This latter body becomes like a vehicle between the body that lies dead and the next physical body. The work then quotes from a Kośabhasya three verses which support the theory of the antarabhavadeha (the intermediate body between the dead physical body and the future physical body) and also relies on Rg. X. 85. It also quotes Bhagavadgitā 14, 14-15 (vadā sattve prayrddhe tu &c) and says that those bodily states are referred to in such Vedic passages as 'Pañcāre cakre &c' (Rg. X. 164. 13). in Kathopanisad I. 6 (sasyam-iva martyah pacyate), in 'Vāsāmsi iīrnāni' (Gītā II. 22). He further says that by God's grace a man becomes pure and by $diks\overline{a}$ and other means he understands his real character and reaches Siva. It states that all men do not attain liberation, while those who hate (discard) diksä, temples and correct knowledge go to hell. There is very little discussion about the kinds of Karma and the removal of the effects of these.

There is another rather modern work called Prārabdha-dhvānta-samhrti (destruction of the darkness i, e. ignorance about prārabdha) composed in śaku 1741 (1819 A. D.) at Pañcavați near Nasik by Acyutarāya Modaka, a learned and prolific writer. The only known ms. is in the Mysore Government

^{2590.} V.S IV. 3.4, 18 'ātīvāhikās-tallingāt 'and for 'antarābhavadeha', vide Ślokavārtika (ātmavāda, verse 62 p. 704 q. above in n. 2254 p. 1376) and न्या. र यहण्यातिवाहिक नाम शरीर पूर्वोत्तरहेहयोरन्तराले झानसन्तानसन्धारणार्थं कल्प्यते तद्यि विम्हयवासिना निराकृतमित्याह । अन्तरेति ।

Oriental Library and is in Kannada script. The present author got a Devanagari transcript of the Ms. through the kindness of the authorities of the Library.

Dr. H. G. Narahari (in N. I. A. vol. V. pp. 115-118) furnishes an account of the ms., its date and a brief description of its contents. In another paper the same scholar gives a tolerably full analysis of its contents (in J. G. J. R. I. vol. III. for 1945-46. pp. 349-367).

The author Acyutaraya states that the work really means 'Prārabdha-vāda-dhvāntasamhrti' (destruction of the darkness caused by the doctrine of prarabdha) and that all that he wants is to combat the false idea that all human acts from the moment of conception to death are governed by past deeds alone to the exclusion of everything else. He postulates that all human activity is due to prārabdha, to samskāras (sub-conscious or latent impressions or tendencies) and prayatna (human effort). He explains: the moment after the body dies, all the accumulated good and had deeds set in motion by God become ready to yield their retribution (results) and that a good or evil deed (or both) that is strongest begins a suitable body. The birth is that of a brahmana or the rest when there is mixed good and evil as the strongest karma, as a lower animal when sin is strongest, as a godly birth when a good deed is strongest. The duration of life may be 100 years, less or more, and bhoga means experiencing pleasure or pain that is regarded as favourable or unfavourable. 2522 Then he launches on the discussion of pleasure (sukha) as threefold, viz illusory (prātibhāsika), actual (vyāvahārika) or actual due to illusory pleasure. Pleasure is again ramya (beautiful) and praya (dear or liked). These two are not synonymous, since gold would appear beautiful to an ascetic but it is not dear to him. Then he divides what is praya into three kinds (or degrees). He divides each of the three kinds of pleasure into three varieties, which are passed over here. The preceding are classifications of pleasure that is 'Laukikakārya' (ordinary or common); but there are also other sukhas, viz. vaidika [fourfold such as pratikopāsanā, āhārya (assumed) and vāsanātmaka

मारन्धकरणकः संस्कारकरणकः प्रयत्नकरणकश्चापि निषक्तव्यवहारः। बेहपात-म्यवहितोत्तरसणे परमेश्वरमेरितानि सर्वाणि सञ्चितपुण्यपापानि फलदानोन्ससानि भवन्ति । तेषां मध्ये पट् घटवरपुण्य पापं बीभयं वा तद्भाविदेहमारभञ्जात्वायुर्भोगद् मारब्धमित्युक्यते । तत्र जातिर्वाह्मणाविर्मित्रे तिर्यक्तादि पापे देवत्वादिः पुण्ये । आयुः शतवर्पादि यद्यायद्यम् । भागरपत्तक्त्रहरूपतिकृत्येदनीयलक्षणयोः सम्बद्धःक्षयोः साक्षास्कार एव । pp. 4-5 of the transcript. He relies on the पोगस्त्र II 13 सति मूले तद्विपाको जारपायुर्भीगा. 1.

H. D. 201

(as in sleep)]. This last he subdivides into three kinds (sattvike. rāiasa and tāmasa) that are passed over. Pain (duhkha) is divisible in the same way as sukha and the author illustrates the different kinds of pain, that are left out here. Then the author dilates upon the cause or causes of pleasure and pain (sukha and duhkha) that constitute man's experience on earth, which causes are prārabdha (past karma that has begun to operate and determines the body the soul secures), samskara and pravatna. 2593 He states that the bliss that a man feels in meditation (samādhi) is due to prārabdha, the pleasure he feels in deep sleep is due to samskāras 2591 and the pleasure on the fall of rain after the performance of the Kārlri From the Upanisad passages (sacrifice) is due to human effort it follows (says Acyutarāya) that in deep sleep there is no desire. no karma and no fear and so the pleasure (expressed on waking in the words 'sukham-ahamasvāpsam' (I had a happy sleep) is not due to prārabdha but to samskāras. He relies on the Br. Up. 2595 (IV. 4. 2) for holding this view (i e. he takes 'pūrvaprajnā' in that passage as equal to $v\bar{a}san\bar{a}$ ('latent impressions or reminiscences of past experiences). The activities of a person in past lives may be madhya 25% (middling or moderate),

²⁵⁹³ एवमेताहशसुखदुःखयोर्मञ्ज्यादीन। भोगः प्रारम्बतः संस्कारतः प्रयत्नतश्च भवति। p 14 of transcript.

^{2594.} The छान्दीरयोप॰ avers that in deep sleep man's soul loses personal consciousness and becomes merged in the Sat (the True, brahma). उदालकी हारुणि: श्वेतकोर्त पुत्रसुवाच। स्वमान्त में सोम्य विजानिहीति। यनैतत्युरुष: स्विपित नाम सता सोम्य तदा संपन्नो भवति। तस्मादेनं स्विपितीत्याचक्षते। सं ह्यपीतो भवति। छा उप. VI. 8 I. In स्विपित, स्व means 'bis own i. e Self', पिति represents अपीत (absorbed), अपीति or अप्यय means लय The दे. सू I. 1.9 (स्वार्ययात्) and IV. 4. 16 are based on this Chandogya text; compare बृह. उप. IV. 3 19 यन्न 'सुतो न कंचन कार्म काम्यते न कंचन स्वम पश्यति '

²⁵⁹⁵ तहास्क्रामन्त प्राणीनुस्क्रामति।... तं विद्याक्रमणि समन्वारभेते पूर्वभक्षा च। श्रूर उप. IV 4.2, quoted by अन्युतराय on p. 16 of the transcript. शङ्कराचार्य explains तं परलोकाय गन्दान्तमारमानं ... विद्या च कर्म च .. विद्या सर्वभकारा विद्या प्रतिविद्धा च कर्मचि. विद्या सर्वभकारा विद्या प्रतिविद्धा च अविद्यानमतिविद्धा च समन्वारभेत आविद्या आपतिविद्धा च तथा कर्म विद्या प्रतिविद्धा च अविद्यानमतिविद्धा च समन्वारभेत ... अञ्चान्द्यतः। पूर्वभक्षा च । पूर्वञ्चस्रविविष्या भक्षा अवितकर्मफलाञ्चमवगमनेवर्षा। ... अञ्चान्द्यतः। पूर्वभक्षा च । पूर्वञ्चस्य प्रविविद्धा च समन्वारभेत च स्वार्थम् विद्या । स्वार्थम् अवितकर्मफलाञ्चमवगमनेवर्षा। व स्वार्थम् सम्बन्धि यो द्विद्धा । तथा स्वार्थम् प्रविभिन्न सोव्यति (which is ज्वद्धारण्यकोषनिषद्-भाष्यवार्तिक p. 1743, verse 118) p 17 transcript. (which is ज्वद्धारण्यकोषनिषद्-भाष्यवार्तिक p. 1743, verse 118) p sotulated for पूर्वभक्षा (knowledge or acquaintance with former things) is postulated for explaining the peculiar genius or deficiency observed in children.

^{2596.} एव मध्यमन्दतीवाभिधान्यपि जन्मान्तरीयकर्माण्येव तारतम्यात्तथा तथोद्याति। तत्र त्रिपादसान्तिकमेकपादराजसं धुण्यं मध्यम् । एवं हिपाद-सान्त्रिक द्विपादराजसम्बद्धिः (Continued on next page)

manda (inferior), tīvra (formidable). A good deed which is three parts sāttvika and one part rājasa is called madhya; a deed which is half sattvika and half rajasa is tivra. Then Acyutaraya refers to a conflict of views. Following the words 'punyo vai punyena karmanā bhavati pāpah pāpena' (Br. Up. III. 3. 13 quoted in note 2510 above), some writers held that it is only Karma that is the cause of a new birth and that vidyā (knowledge of all kinds) and pūrvaprajñā have nothing to do with it, while others relying on Br. Up. IV. 4. 2 (to which reference is made in the Sanksepasārīraka III. 18) held that Vidyā. Karma and Pūrvaprajūā have all to do with the assumption of a new body by the soul. Achyutaraya agrees with this latter view. He divides and subdivides Karma, vidyā and pūrvapraina, so that ultimately the threefold apparatus for giving a new body comes to 96 varieties and remarks that these divisions are not of much use. 2597 To the objection that all this refutation of prarabdhavada is idle and serves no purpose he replies: men are of three sorts, mukta (liberated), mumuksu (desirous of liberation) and visayin (immersed in enjoying the objects of sense). As regards the first the Chan. Up. VI. 14. 2 says 'for him there is delay so long as he is not delivered (from the body). then he will be perfect'.2598 The same is relied upon in V. S. IV. 1. 19. The idea being that until prarabdhakarma that brought about the body in which Realisation was attained is done away with by the death of the body, the self is nivanmukta, but his other karma that has not begun to yield fruit is done away with by Realisation. To the same effect is Bhagavadgitā.

Acyutarāya quotes thirteen verses from Vārtikasāra about Vāsanā (pūrvaprajāā), Karma and Vidyā (pp. 31-33). He

⁽Continued from last page)

र्किचित्राणीपस्छे तीव्रनिति । p 18 transcript There is some mistake in making this transcript Probably a line is omitted What is half Sattvika and half rajasa and slightly affected by visciousness should be called manda and tiora should be described as one part sattvika and three parts rajasa and predominantly sinful

^{2597.} एतदुदाहरणानि हु पूर्वाचार्योक्तदिज्ञैव स्वयमेवोद्धानि विस्तरभयादनति॰ प्रयोजनत्वाद्य नेतृ विविच्यन्ते । p 29 (transcript).

^{2598.} तस्य ताबदेव चिरं पाषस विमोह्येध्य सपत्त्ये इति । छा. उप VI 14 2 विमोह्ये and संपत्त्ये are in the first person singular. The father Arum instructs the son Scietaletu. When he reaches the stage of describing the step of Moksa due to realisation he passes on to the first person from the third and states about himself 'I shall become mukta and perfect at that stage.'

quotes the Jivanmuktiviveka on the three means of jivanmuktiviz. tattvajāāna (knowledge of the Reality as enunciated in 'Tat-tvamasi' Chān. Up. VI. 8. 7, 'Aham brahmāsmi' Br. Up. I. 4. 10), manonāśa (disappearance of the activities of the mind) and Vāsanāksaya (destruction of the instincts of anger &c). Then he gives a lengthy disquisition on the condemnation of prārabdhavāda and the superiority of effort from the Vāsistha-Rāmāyana. About mumuksu Acyutarāya advises going to a guru as laid down in Mundakopanisad I. 2. 12 (tadvijāānārtham sa gurumevābhigacchet &c).

The present author has read either wholly or partially the following modern works, mostly written by Western scholars on Karma and rebirth, that either offer remarks for or against that The space at the author's disposal precludes any doctrine. detailed statement about the objections raised against this doctrine in some of them. But a few important objections will be stated and will be briefly dealt with. The works read or consulted are: "Philosophy of the Upanishads" by Paul Deussen, translated by A. S. Geden (1906) pp. 313-338; J. R. A.S. for 1906 pp. 586-593 and for 1907 pp. 665-72 on modifications of the doctrine of Karma by E. W. Hopkins: 'Transmigration of souls' by Alfred Berthelot, translated by H. J. Chaytor (Harper, London, 1909); 'Karma and redemption' by A. G. Hogg (ed. of 1910): 'Religion and immortality' by G. Lowes Dickinson (Dent, 1911): 'Re-incarnation' by E.D. Walker (Rider and Co. London, 1913): this book contains extracts from Western authors (22 in number) on re-incarnation (pp. 63-124); there is also a long chapter (pp. 127-191) of extracts from Western Poetry on the same subject: he also deals with evidences of the doctrine in the Bible and early Christendom and a Bibliography on pp. 329-343; 'Fate and free will' by Ardesar Sorabji N. Wadia (Dent and Sons, London 1915). He states the problem to be 'whether fate by itself influences and directs the course of human evolution or freewill by itself or both fate and freewill working conjointly lay down the lines along which human progress must lie . He states that it must be the one or the other and that it can never be both (p. 180). He himself believes in a fixed and determinist future; 'Immortality' essays on, by B.H. Streeter and others (Macmillan & Co. 1917); pp. 293-317 deal with re-incarnation and Karma by Miss Lily Dougall and pp. 317-341 deal with modern Theosophy which adopts the doctrines of re-incarnation and Karma, 'Religion and philosophy of the Veda and Upanishads' by A. B. Keith, vol. II. pp. 570-584; 'Karma and reincarnation' by Paul Yevtic (Luzac & Co., London, 1927); L'Ame et le Dogme de la Transmigration dans les Livres Sacres de L'Inde ancienne ' by Eric de Henseler, Paris, 1928: 'Some dogmas of Religion' by McTaggart with Introduction by C. D. Broad (London, 1930 pp. 77-111 on 'Human Immortality'). pp. 112-139 on human pre-existence, pp. 140-185 on Free Will: 'Vicissitudes of karma doctrine' by Prof. H. D. Bhattacharva in Malaviva Commemoration Volume (1932) pp. 491-526: 'Reincarnation for every man' by Shaw Desmond (Rider & Co., London). This work mentions in chap. VIII European great men that believed in this doctrine: 'The scales of karma' by Owen Rutter (London). This book contains numerous references to Greek and Roman writers and to modern English and German poets and philosophers from whose works extracts are cited for showing that they believed in Karma and reincarnation such as Shakespeare, Hume, Goethe, Wordsworth; 'Indian Philosophy', by Dr S. Radhakrishnan (1941) pp. 244-249. 'The circle of life' by Kenneth Walker (Jonathan Cape, London, 1942) chap. VIII and IX pp. 81-104. 'Karma and re-birth' by Christmas Humphreys (London, 1944); in chap. VII pp. 62-66 he tries to show that Schopenhauer, Tennyson, Browning, Rossetti and John Massfield. the English Poet Laureate, believe in this doctrine: 'The problem of re-birth' by Sri Aurobindo (Pondicherry, 1952); 'The Brahmasütras' by Dr. S. Radhakrishnan (1959), pp. 194-207 of the Introduction: 'The dogma of re-incarnation' by J. E. Sanjana (New Book Co., Bombay, 1954) pp. 1-134 with an Appendix on the rationale of the dogma of rebirth, pp. 137-157. This is one of the largest and latest works on 're-incarnation' that the present author read. Mr. Sanjana writes bitterly and sometimes in offensive language about those from whom he differs. He asserts that he is a true Zoroastrian and that 'it can be said without any exaggeration and with the most perfect reason and justice that a man who believes in re-incarnation is not a true Zoroastrian' (p. 125). None need quarrel with his first belief, but the latter part of the sentence invites serious consideration. He appears to aver that he has a monopoly of the true doctrines of Zoroastrianism and like the Roman Church and the Popes, that held that the true religion was only in their keeping and that all others (even those who believed in the Bible and Christ) were damned, holds that Zoroastrians believing in re-incarnation are apostates. I shall cite only one or two Parsi scholars who hold just the opposite view. Mr. R. F. Gorvala contributed a paper on the "Immortal Soul, its pre-existence,

1606

persistence after death and transmigration" to the Spiegel Commemoration Volume edited by no less a Parsi scholar than Dr. J. J. Modi (Bombay, 1908) pp. 99-124, in which he states (p. 124) 'that the Hindu doctrine of the transmigration of the soul influenced the faith of the ancient Zoroastrians in all times. Indeed the struggle between Good and Evil could not end in the triumph of the former if but one brief life was the only time given for the fight.' Vide also 'Philosophy of Zoroastrianism' by Faredun K. Dadachanji (Bombay, Times of India Press, 1941) pp. 108-133, particularly pp. 116 ff. Mr. Sanjana seems to have been much upset by the fact that some Parsis, who were scholars of Avesta and Sanskrit, became Theosophists and admirers of Mrs. Besant and other famous personages in the Theosophist movement. Nearly half the main book of 129 pages is concerned with the criticisms (sometimes extremely offensive) of the doings and writings of Madame Blavatsky (pp. 28-42). Mrs. Besant (pp. 43-51), C. W. Leadbeater (pp. 57-59), A. P. Sinnett and others (like Col. Olcott and Mr. K. A. K. Iver. author of 'Vedanta), W. Q Judge and a Gujarati book of an unnamed scholar (pp. 59-73). On pp. 82-88 he deals with McTaggart, G. L. Dickinson and W. Lutosowski (Polish writer who claims that he remembers his many past lives). Mr. Sanjana returns (pp. 89-103) to the charge against the Theosophists and the storms and eruptions in the Theosophical society and considers some works on Sufism also (pp. 106-115). He emphasises the good points of Zoroastrianism as certified by W. B. Henning, He does not devote much J. W. Waterhouse and Hopkins. thought to the objections, more or less insuperable, against all monotheistic religions with a personal God in such works as Hobhouse's 'Morals in Evolution' part 2, though in the appendix (on p. 140) he has to admit that no satisfactory answer can be given to the question why an omnipotent and omniscient God should allow Evil to exist. He contrasts Zoroaster's religion and philosophy with Hinduism and Buddhism and winds up with an article by Winternitz (pp. 119-121) and then ends on an illuminating personal note in which he confesses (p 126) that he in his youth was particularly attracted by the doctrine of re-incarnation eloquently propounded by Mrs. Besant, but later he became sceptical about what was said by Mrs. Besant. Neophytes generally indulge in opprobrious language for the cult once ardently professed by them and later abjured and Mr. Sanjana seems to be no exception to this. I may mention here only one or two instances of his language against Theosophists;

on p. 64 he speaks of Blavatsky, Mrs. Besant, Sinnett and Leadbeater as "proved charlatans" and on p. 95 he writes about Mrs. Besant's entry into Politics as "these later metamorphoses of the megalomaniac Mrs. Besant". It appears to the present writer that his study of original Sanskrit works is not deep and that he commits serious mistakes in the small portion of his work that he devotes to the ancient Indian view of the doctrine of re-incarnation. His wrong interpretation of Manu VI. 63 (p. 10 of his book) has been pointed out (on p. 1565). Some more cases of mistakes may be cited here. On p 11 of his book he relies on Thibaut for the statement that there is nothing in the sutras (the Vedanta-sutras) to warrant the main doctrine of Sankara about two Vidyas, the higher (parā) and the lower (aparā), which respectively lead to the higher (para) brahma and the lower (apara) brahma. If he had carefully read only a few of the eleven principal Upanisads (the Īsāvāsya, Kena, Katha, Mundaka, Prasna) he would have found for himself that Thibaut is not a trustworthy guide in all cases The Mundaka Upanisad (I. 1. 4-5) speaks of two vidyas, para and apara 'dve vidye veditavye parā ca, aparā ca, atha parā yayā tadaksaram adhigamyate' and this Mundaka text is treated as the basis of Vedāntasūtra I. 2. 21–23 by Śankara and Rāmānuja. The Praśna Upanisad 2 states both para and apara brahma 'etadvai Satyakāma param cāparam ca brahma yad-omkārah". pp. 21, 121, 146 he alleges that the words "yadahareva virajet tadahareva pravrajet" (a man should become a sannyāsin the very day on which he feels disgust for this worldly life) are a dictum or saying of Sankara. But this text is taken from the Jābālopanisad 4. The whole passage of the Jābālopanisad 4 on the asramas is quoted by Sankara on Vedantasūtra III. 4. 20 and Śaukara calls it Jābālaśruti. On p 22 Mr. Sanjana quotes Bhagavadgītā (IX 32, 'mām hi pārtha vyapāsritya yepi syuh pāpayonayah, striyo vaiśyās-tathā śudrās-tepi yānti parām gatim) and holds that women, vaisyas and südras are declared to be included under 'pāpayonayak' (of evil or sinful birth). This is quite wrong. A Vaisya from very ancient times belonged to the three higher classes and in the Chandogya Upanisad V. 10. 7, it is expressly stated that Vaisyayon; is of good birth (those whose conduct has been good will quickly attain some good birth, the birth of a brahmana or of a ksatriya or of a vaisya, but those whose conduct has been evil will attain an evil birth, the birth of a dog or a hog or a candala'. So vaisya's was good

outh in Chan, Upanisad. Women of the higher classes could not study the Veda in medieval times but women of the three Ligher classes co-operated with their husbands in all religious rites (including Vedic sacrifices). Therefore, the Gita first mentions the worst case viz. that of Candalas and affirms that even Candalas (who belonged to the lowest class) would attain the highest goal if they surrendered themselves as devotees to God and then adds three more classes of people viz. women, vaisyas and sūdras. A Śūdra was never among untouchables: on the contrary, early Smrtis like Manu VI. 253, Yajñavalkya I. 166, Parāśara XI. 19 provide that a brāhmana could take food at the house of a śūdra provided the latter was born of his slave woman or cultivated the brahmana's fields on the rent of half share of crops or was the friend of his family or tended his cattle or was his barber. In medieval times (after the 5th or 6th century A.D.), however, the caste system became more rigid but even up to the 12th century A.D. a brahmana could take food at a śūdra's house in extreme calamity (vide History of Dharmasastra, vol. III. pp. 952-53). Thereafter this was forbidden and was included among Kalivariya acts. The vaisyas are probably equated with women and sudras because in their pursuit of wealth they never cared to study the Veda and Upanisads. Other passages of Mr. Sanjana's work are not cited for criticism for reasons of space.

Some of the objections raised by Western thinkers and writers against the doctrine of Karma and re-incarnation will now be mentioned and briefly dealt with. One or two preliminary matters have to be emphasized. Many in the West regard our life after the death of the bodies as certain, but hardly anyone of them regards our life before our present bodies as a possibility. The explanation of this attitude of many Western thinkers lies in the fact that in modern Western thought the great support of the belief in immortality of the soul has been the Christian religion, which is supposed not to recognize the pre-existence of the soul. Besides. Christian writers from Augustine onwards firmly believed that religious truths outside the revelation in the Bible as interpreted by them were a work of the devil. McTaggart in 'Some Dogmas of religion' (London, 1930) attacks this attitude. He holds (p. 113) that any evidence which will prove immortality will also prove pre-existence and that there is nothing in pre-existence that is incompatible with any of the dogmas that are generally accepted as fundamental

to Christianity.' L. P. Jacks in 'Near the brink' (Allen and Unwin, London, 1952, pp. 10-11) holds the same opinion (at the age of 92) as McTaggart's. Wordsworth in his famous Ode appears to agree that the present life is preceded by another existence when he says 'Our birth is but a sleep and a forgetting. the soul that rises with us, our life's star, had elsewhere its setting and cometh from afar' L. P. Jacks in the work mentioned above remarks (on p 9) that an endless punishment is not. strictly speaking, a punishment at all but an exercise of cruelty or vengeance and that the same is true of an endless reward.

The first objection raised against the doctrine of Karma by Pringle-Pattison in 'Idea of immortality' (Oxford, 1922) is that absence of memory of the pre-existence is fatal to it (p. 112) and that immortality without recollection is quite useless. Miss Lily Dougall in 'Immortality' by Canon Streeter and others raises a similar objection (p. 29). This objection can be answered in various ways Does any one remember anything of what one did during the first two years of his life? It is also well-known that men do not correctly remember in old age even the names of their grand-children nor does a man remember what he did exactly ten years ago during his present life. It is rather merciful that there is no memory of past lives. If all the multifarious memories of many lives were to crowd on us in the present life, our minds would be confused and matters in the present life would be very much complicated. Karma is a cosmic law like the law of gravitation. The latter was not recognized by humanity for thousands of years but all the same it existed and worked. Several people claim to remember their past lives. The story of Santidevi was published as a case of re-incarnation by Lala Deshbhandhu Gupta, Pandit Nekiram Sharma, and Tarachand Mathur. The 'Theosophist Monthly' for January 1925 mentions concrete instances of the memory of past lives 'The lives of Alcyone' (Adyar, 1924) is a work in two volumes by Mrs Besant and Mr Leadbeater in which 48 lives are traced from 70000 B C to 624 A.D with photographs of some of them as they must have appeared in the respective incarnations. The present author does not go into that question here In the Fssays on Immortality edited by B H. Streeter it is said (on p 297) that lack of conscious continuity between two incarnations of a soul negatives the doctrine. One may ask: what grounds are there to hold or to prove that the reprobate criminal who will be awarded a judgment of eternal punish-

ment will remember while undergoing hell fires his past life on earth? If one can believe in future eternal punishment for a confirmed sinner without any tangible evidence of memory of the sinful life he lived, why refuse to believe in pre-existence merely on the ground of absence of memory? Vide 'Some Dogmas &c.' p. 130. Further, science holds that matter and energy are indestructible, they are only transformed into other forms. Why should one regard it as absurd if one were to hold that the soul (to which post-existence is granted by Christianity and some other religions) should be held to come into existence in the present life all at once and not be held to have had previous existence but only in a different form? The continuity of the soul in past and future existences is merely a metaphysical question and cannot be affected by the loss of memory. The latter occurs in one life of many years as to numerous happenings and at least in that one life the soul is admittedly one throughout

Another objection is on the ground of heredity. We often find a strong likeness between parents and children in body and sometimes in mental faculties also. How are we to explain this? One answer may be that by some law not yet discovered the soul that has to undergo rebirth is born to parents suited to his condition. Besides, children are not exactly like their parents, some resemble in several physical features the mother or the father, but not in all and children of the same parents differ among themselves even as regards physical features. As regards mental qualities, children do not very often resemble their parents. What sort of mental equipment was possessed by the parents of such men of genius as Shakespeare, Newton, Ramanujan, Einstein? Karma does not express what a man inherits from his ancestors, but it expresses that which he inherits from himself in some previous state or states of existence.

Another objection is often raised that belief in the doctrine of Karma would make men callous to human misery and suffering and unwilling to help. This also is a perverse idea. From the ancient Vedic times charity and compassion have been inculcated as the duties of all men without distinction. The Rgveda (X 117.6) sage declares 'that man who cooks food for himself and eats it himself alone simply incurs sin' (kevalagho bhavati kevaladi). The Br. Up. V. 2.3 prescribes for all men the three duties viz. self-restraint, charity and compassion. If

a man having the means to help another does not offer help he would be failing in his duty. It is possible that the result of the sufferer's Karma might have been meant to be mitigated by the kindness of helpers

Another objection is sometimes raised as follows. The population of the earth is growing rapidly. The question is: where do the additional egoes come from (vide Berthelot's work, English tr. p. 127 and J. E. Sanjana's book on the 'Dogma of re-incarnation' p. 81). Several answers are possible. Several species of lower animals have become extinct and the number of wild animals such as the lion is rapidly being reduced. It is possible for one who believes in Karma doctrine to argue that the selves that were masquerading as beasts now appear as human beings, because their Karma that reduced them to the state of beasts has been exhausted.

Some of the Puranas declare that a man who is very sinful may reach lower and lower states e. g. the Vayupurana 2599 (chap. 14 verses 34-37) provides that a very sinful man may become pasu (cattle or a beast), then deer, then a bird, then a creeping insect and then a tree or other immobile object. Theosophists and some modern scholars hold that once the human state is reached there is no regression at all. It may be noted that the Kathopanisad expressly states (in V. 6-7) that after the death of the body the souls take up birth in other bodies, while some become tree-trunks according to their actions and knowledge. The Ch. Up. V. 10. 7 says 'those whose conduct has been evil will quickly attain an evil birth, the birth of a dog or hog or cāndāla.' Manu (XII. 9) avers that a man is reduced by bodily sins to the stage of a tree-trunk, by sins due to speech to being a bird or a beast and by mental sins to lowest births (of a candala etc.). In verses 62-68 of chapter XII, Manu describes the different births of various animals by the commission of thefts of various articles and in verses 52-59 the births due to the grave sins of brahmana-murder, drinking liquor, incest. The Yājūavalkya Smrti (III. 213-215) also contains provisions similar to Manu XII. 53-59. The Yogasütra II. 13 also suggests that the retribution for various sins results in being

²⁵⁹⁹ कर्मणा मनसा वाचा यदभीक्ष्णं निर्पेश्यते । तत्प्रसत्त एरेत्पापं तस्मात्सकृतमाचरेत् ॥ पाष्ट्रग्जातानि पापानि पूर्वे कर्माणि वेहिनः । संसारं तामसं ताहक् षद्धविधं प्रतिपद्यते ॥ मानुष्य पशुभावं च पशुभापान्मृगो भवेत् । सुगत्वात्पक्षिभावं तु तस्मान्चैव सरीस्यः ॥ सरीस्यत्वास्नकृत्धिः स्थावरत्यं न संक्षयः। बायुषु 14. 34–37.

born in low births or dying prematurely or experiencing misery and suffering.

It is rather difficult to regard all this body of authority as mere arthavādas (not meant to be taken seriously but intended only to frighten intending sinners). Dr. Radhakrishnan (in 'An idealist view of life' ed. of 1932) suggests that it is possible that rebirth in animal form is a figure of speech for rebirth as human beings with animal or beastly qualities (page 292).

SECTION X

The fundamental conceptions and characteristics of Hindu (Bhāratīya) culture and civilization from the Vedic times to about 1800 A. D and future trends

CHAPTER XXXVI

Fundamental and leading characteristics of Hindu culture and civilization

It is necessary to gather together the threads scattered over six thousand pages of the History of Dharmasstra and to emphasize the fundamental and leading characteristics and conceptions of Hindu culture and civilization.

The word Hindu (in the form 'Hidu') appears to have been applied by the Persian²⁵⁰⁰ Emperors Darius (522-486 B. C.) and Xerxes (486-465 B C.) to the territory and people to the west and to the east of the great river Sindhu, while the Greeks referred to the people in the same region as 'Indoi', from which comes the word 'Indian'. Herodotus in his History (Loeb series) states that the Thracians were the biggest nation in the world next to the Indians (Book 5 para 3, vol. III p. 5) and that the Indians constituted the 20th province of the Persian Empire and paid 360 talents of gold dust as tribute. The word 'Sindhu' occurs more than two hundred times in the Rgveda alone in the singular as well as in the plural The words 'Sindhavah' and 'Sapta Sindhun' occur more frequently than Sindhu in the singular. Indra is often described as having let loose the seven Sindhus for flowing (Rg. I. 32.12, IL. 12.12, IV. 28.1, VIII, 95.1, X.43.3) In such passages what is meant is the great river Sindhu and its tributaries (or possibly its seven mouths).

^{2600.} Vide the Naqkh-i-Rustam Inscription of Däraya-ush (Darius) and the Persepolis Inscription of Kshayārsha (Xerves) in 'Select Inscriptions' edited by Dr. D. C. Sircar, No. 4 p. 10 and No 5 p. 12. Sanskrit'sa' is changed to 'ha' even now in some parts of our country. The ancient Parsi scripture Vendidad (S.B.E. Vol IV p. 2) mentions sixteen lands out of which nine can be identified, the 15th being Hapta Hindu (Sapta Sindhu)

Many passages of the Rgveda where the singular is employed refer to the river Sindhu alone (as in Rg. IL 15.6, IV. 30.12, V. 4.9 &c). In Rg. II. 15.6 it is said that Indra made Sindhu flow northwards. This would obviously refer to the first part of the river flowing northward from the Himālayas. Pānini uses the word 'Sindhu' as the name of a country in IV. 3,93 ('Saindhava' means one who or whose ancestors lived in the Sindhu country). For the fluctuating limits of Aryavarta, vide H. of Dh. Vol. II pp. 11-16 and p. 1525, note 2483 above, where Rg. passages about Bharatas are set out and it is shown that the Puranes speak of Bharata-varsa as of the same extent as that of modern India and the name is said to have been due to Bharata, son of Dusyanta and Sakuntala. Bharatayarsa occurs in the Hathigumpha Inscription of Kharavela (line 10 on p. 79) which cannot be assigned to a date later than the 1st century2501 B. C. It has been shown above (p. 1016 n. 1649) that Asoka in one of his edicte refers to his kingdom as Jambudylpa. Even in these days in the rather long sankalpa (declaration) at the beginning of a religious act in Mahārāstra, occur the words 'Jambudvipe Bharatavarse Bauddhävatäre Godivarya daksine tire ' &c. Therefore, the proper word to be used by us for our country should be Bharatayarsa. It may be said that our culture and civilization had throughout the past ages a geographical background. The Constitution of India has recognized this in its very first Article which runs 'India, that is Bharat, shall be a union of States'. In view of the fact, however, that the words 'Hindu' and 'Indian' have been employed for centuries by foreign as well as our own writers, this work will employ those words instead of 'Bharatavarsa'.

The words 'culture' and 'civilization' are used as synonyms by some writers, while some others regard them as quite distinguishable. These two are defined in various ways by scholars. A few definitions are set out here by way of sample.

Dr. Tyler states (in 'Primitive Culture' vol. I p. 1, Murray, London, 1871) 'Culture or civilization is that complex whole which includes knowledge, belief, art, morals, law, custom and

^{2601.} Vide E. I. vol. XX pp. 71-89. Scholars differ about the date of this Inscription, Jayaswal holding (sbid. p. 77) that it belongs to the first half of the 2nd century B. C., while N. N. Ghosh in J G J. R. I vol. VI pp. 97-106 opines that it belongs to the last quarter of the first century B. C.

any other capabilities and habits acquired by man as a member of society'. Matthew Arnold's definition of culture in his lengthy essay on 'Culture and Anarchy' (1869, Preface p. VIII) is rather restricted in scope. He regards culture as a 'pursuit of our total perfection by means of getting to know, on all the matters which concern us, the best which has been thought and said in the world, and through this knowledge turning a stream of fresh and free thought upon our stock notions and habits which we know follow staunchly but mechanically, vainly imagining that there is a virtue in following them staunchly which makes up for the mischief of following them mechanically '. Prof. P. A. Sorokin in 'Social and Cultural Dynamics' (1957. p. 2) defines human culture as 'the sum total of everything which is created or modified by the conscious or unconscious activity of two or more individuals interacting with one another or conditioning one another's behaviour.2602 Prof. Edgerton in Journal of American Oriental Society in a paper on the 'Dominant ideas in the formation of Indian Culture' (vol. 62 for 1942 pp. 151-156) understands culture as a total way of life viewed as a norm and as such approved or at least tolerated by a people as a whole and by its articulate representatives generally.

Prof. Toynbee in 'Civilizations on trial' (1948) states (p. 223) 'I mean by a civilization the smallest unit of historical study at which one arrives when one tries to understand the history of his own country, U.S. A., say, or U.K.' Prof. Toynbee in his latest work 'Reconsiderations' (vol. XII pp. 76-77, of his Study of History) adopts Bagbey's definition of culture as meaning 'regularities in the behaviour, internal and external,

²⁶⁰² Prof Sorokin (101d pp 24-25) distinguishes two types of integrated cultures, one called ideational and the other sensate. None of the two has, according to him, existed in the purest form. In some cultures the first type prevails, in others the 2nd; while in still others both might mingle in equal proportions and on equal basis. This last he terms 'idealistic type' (which is not to be confused with the ideational type). Dr G S. Ghurye's 'Culture and Society' (University of Bombay Publications, 1947) is a very useful book on culture and civilization and considers at length the views of eminent writers like Emerson, Arnold, Morley, Whitchead, Russell, Laski, Wells and others, Prof. Northrop in 'Meeting of East and West' (1946) and Prof Sorokin in 'Social Philosophies in an age of crisis' (London, 1952) p 145 hold that the total culture of a nation in the East or West is not a mere heap of numerons phenomena unrelated to each other but rather as grounded in differing philosophical conceptions of the nature of man and of the universe,

of the members of a Society, excluding those regularities which are clearly hereditary in origin' and adds that 'religious practices and institutions and, by implication, also religious beliefs and experiences are certainly a part of culture as thus defined' and mentions religion together with art, technology, social structure as examples of the elements of which culture consists (pp. 84, 95). Prof. Toynbee further says that in his volumes he employed the word 'culture' in two different senses, viz. (1) the comprehensive one in which it is used by Bagby and (2) in an exclusive sense in which the word has been current in contemporary English since the time of Matthew Arnold (thult. p. 272). As regards the word 'civilization' he remarks (p. 273) that civilization is a hybrid word of modern French coinage and Johnson refused to include it in his dictionary.

Archibald Robertson (in 'Rationalism in theory and practico', London, 1954 p. 62) says "when we use the word 'civilization', we mean a society with enough command over nature to raise it above savagery or barbarism. Civilization primarily means 'civitas' city life, division of labour and consequent surplus production over and above mere animal needs.' Vide Prof. S. Levi's book 'L' Inde et le Monde' p 63 translated in 11 Kraemer's 'World cultures and world religions' (London 1960) p. 345 where it is really a definition of Hindu civilization alone.

It is unnecessary to cite further definitions. We may accept any one of these for a working hypothesis. If a distinction is to be made between the two words, one may say that the word 'culture', being neutral in meaning, is the better one to use than the word 'civilization'. The latter word is often employed for an advanced stage of social development and is contrasted with the stage of primitive or barbarian societies. People often speak of primitive culture, but rarely, if at all, of primitive civilization.

During the last 6000 years of human history, several cultures and civilizations rose and fell Spengler, a militarist and anti-rationalistic author, who does not rely on religion, morality or politics but is a votary of force, examines about thirty civilizations and cultures and propounds the thesis that all of them (except seven or eight) follow a certain common pattern, viz. they are born, grow, decline and die and that once defunct they are not revived. Prof. Toynbee, a Christian (and

not a militarist) in his 'Study of History' appears to arrive at conclusions not unlike those of Spengler, viz culture and societies have stages of childhood, maturity, senility and disintegration. On p. 758 of Volume IX of his 'Study of History' he gives a table for nineteen civilizations with the dates of their Epiphany (manifestation), of their breakdown and the span of growth phase in number of years. He puts down Indic civilization as starting in 1375 B C, and as breaking down in 725 B, C, and Hindu civilization as beginning in 775 A D. and its breakdown in 1175 A. D. This is, to say the least, most objectionable 2603 The distinction between Indic and Hindu civilization is arbitrary and the dates also are so. Why the Hindu civilization is held by him as breaking down in 1175 A. D. is quite unconvincing and what was the nature or name of India's civilization between 725 B. C. and 775 A. D. is not stated by him. On the other hand. the whole metaphor of being born, growing, maturing and disintegrating is held inapplicable to civilizations by other distinguished writers, such as J. G. De Beus in the 'Future of the West' (London, 1953), who remarks that civilizations are not born and they do not die (p 60), but are transformed or absorbed. Prof. Sorokin asserts (in 'Social and Cultural Dynamics') that his theory has little in common with the age-old theories of the life cycle of culture and societies with stages of childhood. maturity, senility and decay (p. 627). Leonard Woolf in 'Quack, quack' mercilessly criticizes Spengler's theories (pp 139-160). A. L. Kroeber in 'Style and Civilizations' (New York, 1957) agrees with Prof. Sorokin and disagrees with Spengler and Toynbee and remarks that 'the study of civilizations can hardly become truly scientific or scholarly until it divests itself of emotional concern about crisis, decay, collapse, extinction and doom ' (p. 160).

Among the numerous civilizations and cultures that flourished in the world there are only two (namely, the Indian and the Chinese) that have survived and kept up a continuity of tradition for four thousand years (if not more) in spite of recurrent invasions by foreign hordes like those by Persians,

^{2603.} While these pages were passing through the press, I received Prof. Toynbee's 'Reconsiderations' (Vol XII of his Study of History) on p 184 of which he revises his opinion by saying "the maintenance of the brahmans' monopoly of the religious ministry gives Indian History a continuity throughout the period running from the Aryan invasion to the impact of the West",

Greeks, Scythians, Huns, Turks and notwithstanding internal conflicts and convulsions, 2001 India absorbed such people and made many Greeks, Sakas and other foreigners adopt the spiritual ideologies of India and found for them a place in the Indian social fabric (as has been and will be shown later). Not only so. India could sproad its literature, religion, art and culture, not by invasions or annexations but mostly by peaceful means, vizteaching, translations of Sanskiit works and persuasion, to Ceylon, Burma, Sumatra, Malaya, Java, Bali, Borneo, China, Tibet, Japan, Mongolia and Corea.2605 The charming island of Bali is still Hindu with four varnas, priests being called Pedanda (Pandita), consecrated water for worship being called Toya (vide S. Levi's 'Sanskrit texts from Balı' Preface p. XIII G. O. S.). priests still repeating one quarter of Gayatri, viz. 'bhargo devasya dhimahı' (ibid. p. XV) and repeating the yajñopavita mantra (yajñopavitam paramam etc.) in a corrupt form.

This persistence of Indian culture and civilization for several millennia requires an explanation and a consideration of the fundamental conceptions, values and characteristics of that civilization would enable us to offer a satisfactory one-Indian culture and civilization have a distinct personality and should not and could not be judged by purely European standards.

²⁶⁰⁴ Vide Prof. Sorokin in 'Social and Cultural Dynamics' p. 697, Dr. Radhakrishnan in 'Religion and Society' (1947) p 101.

^{2605.} The number of works and papers on the spread of Indian culture in South East Asia, China and what is called 'Further India' of Greater India ' is very large. A few only out of those that the author has read or consulted are mentioned here . Dr. R C. Majumdar's 'Ancient Indian Colonies' Vol I and II, 'Towards Angkor' with 42 illustrations, 1937 and 'Making of Greater India ' (London, 1951), both by H. G Quaritch Wales, the latter containing a good Bibliography, 'Sri Vijaya' by Prof. K. A Nilakanta Sastri, 1949, with an appendix of Inscriptions from 683 A D. to about the 14th century A. D., 'Civilizations of the East' by Rene Grousset, translated from French by Catherine A Phillips with 249 illustrations, Vol II on 'India, Farther India and Malaya 'pp 1-343. For China's debt to India, vide Visvabbarati Quarterly, Vol 11 pp 251-261 by Prof. Liang Chi Chao, who states that Hindu scholars that came to China from 8th century A D were 24 and Chinese scholars that went to India for study from 265 A. D. to 790 A D. numbered 187 (the names of 105 out of these being ascertained), vide also 'India and China' by Prof. P.C Bagchi (Hind Kitabs, 1950), particularly chapters II and III.

Various peoples during past centuries had the conceit that they were far superior to others and had a mission to propagate. When the British empire became so extensive that the 'Sun never set on it', many British imperialists claimed (most hypocritically) that they were carrying on the 'white man's burden '2605 A for the benefit and betterment of undeveloped and backward people (when British Imperialism was impoverishing to the utmost the people whom they governed by their colonial policies as will be briefly indicated later on so far as India is concerned). Russia claims that it has the mission to liberate the proletariat from capitalism and to bring about a Paradise on Earth. The Germans under Hitler believed that they belonged to the superior Nordic race and that they would save the world from communism. Such a conceit is not confined to the West. The Chinese believed that they alone were civilized. The Japanese hold that their Emperor is the son of Heaven. these days some Indians also claim that spirituality existed nowhere except in India. It is quite correct to say that ancient Indian culture and civilization were based on great spiritual values. It would be entirely in the wrong to say that other people had no spirituality. All that we can at most say is that spirituality is more basic to Hinduism and was more diffused among Hindu people than anywhere else. The Manusmrti provides that only those usages of varnas and mixed castes that are traditionally prevalent in the country of Brahmavarta, in Kuruksetra, in the countries of Matsya, Pañcāla (the country called Doab) and Sürasena (round about Mathura) are spoken of as sadūcūra (II. 17-19) and that all men on the earth should learn their respective duties from brāhmanas born in those countries The Manusmrti excludes the countries of Madhyadesa (as defined by it) and Aryavarta from this provision (II 21-22). Recently, some people appear to rely on the words 'krnvanto visvam-aryam' occurring in Rg IX. 63. 5-6 and hold that the Veda has put forward the mission of our country as making the whole world arya. But there is hardly any foundation for this conceit These words occur about the offering of Soma juice (indavah) to Indra. They only mean this-these Soma libations, brown in colour, 2.06 (pressed from Soma plant) increase (the

²⁶⁰⁵ A Vide Leonard Woolf in 'Barbarians at the Gate' (London, 1939) p 54 for saying that 'white man's burden' was another name for economic imperialism and also p, 162

²⁶⁰⁶ इन्द्रं दर्धन्तो अप्तुरः कुण्डन्तो विन्द्रमार्चम् । अपद्यन्तो अत्तव्याः ॥ सुता अस स्वमा (Continued on next page)

might of) Indra, making the waters to fall (from the sky), destroy hostile men coming to Indra, making all (the whole environment) noble they reach their proper sphere.' There is here no reference whatever to Vedic people making the whole world Arya. At the most these verses may be interpreted as suggesting that Soma sacrifices to Indra would make the world Arya. Then there is no message in it which modern Indians can give and spread. Soma plant itself became unavailable in Vedic times and substitutes had to be utilized. And hardly any solemn Vedic sacrifices have been performed for centuries in India and very rarely, if at all, Soma sacrifices.

During the last four or five hundred years the Western nations professing Christianity started on a career of conquest, exploitation of undeveloped countries and peoples, colonial empires, use of the discoveries of science for competitive purposes, the amassing of wealth with such ideologies as those expressed in the words 'everybody should be for himself and the devil take the hind-most.' But the devastation and atrocities of the last two world wars and the possibility of the annihilation of the human race or a very large part of it by atomic warfare have now opened the eyes of many thoughtful leaders and people in the West that, if modern civilization is to be saved, they must cultivate regard for spiritual values, love of righteousness and justice, sympathy with the oppressed, and active belief in the brotherhood of man. Though our ancient sages and lawgivers laid great emphasis on spiritul values, a very large majority of our people and so-called leaders have been wanting in the practice of those values for centuries. Instead of merely praising our past glories, the present author would humbly request all educated Indians to be introspective and to ponder over certain questions that are often asked and seek to find the reasons for the loss of our freedom from the 13th century onwards. (1) Why Hindus were generally found inferior to the invaders, Persian, Greek, Scythian, Turk, English, even though Indians far outnumbered and even though most invaders were impressed by the courage and perfect indifference to death on the part of India's soldiers; (2) Why Hindus could not form

⁽Continued from last page)

रजोऽम्यर्पन्ति षश्चनः । इन्ह्रं गुरुद्धन्त हन्द्यः ॥ इत् IX. 63.5-6; compare verse 14 of the same hymn एते धानान्यार्थो छुक्ता ऋतस्य धारया । वाजं गीमन्तमक्षरम् ॥ The words धानान्यार्थो mean 'the noble dwellings or noble statutes' (of Gods)

a permanent organized State comprising the whole of India for many centuries: (3) why did they fail to take advantage of the splendid natural resources of India to excel in manufactures. commerce and industry. We must enter upon a thoroughgoing and honest inquiry into our past to find our own defects (political and others) that led to our fall for centuries and try to remove those defects as quickly as possible, now that Bharata has won independence after centuries. There was no political unity of the whole of India till the British began to rule over the whole of India. There were constant wars among Hindu kingdoms and princes. For example, because the Marathas invaded Bengal, the Bengalis hated Marathas and were jubilant over the defeat of the Marathas by the British. We Indians hardly had any deep-seated feeling of all Indians being one people or of nationalism till the 2nd half of the 19th century A D. This chapter cannot discuss at length the subject of the causes of the downfall of India, politically and in other respects. But a few remarks would not be altogether out of place here.

Hinduism is a combination of many systems and religious ideologies including Veduc ritualism, Vedantic thought. Vaisnavism, Saivism, Shaktism and primeval cults, adapted to the requirements of different types of men and communities with great disparities of intellectual and spiritual attainments. There were only a few matters that would be said to have bound most of the Hindus to each other, viz the doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma, the unifying influence of the vast and venerated Sanskrit literature that gradually enriched the regional languages, the veneration in which the Vedas were held by all Hindus as the final authority in religious matters, though only a small minority could learn and understand them, the geographical unity of the country from the Himaleya to Cape Comorin emphasized by the Puranas and by the pilgrimages to holy places from Mānasa lake and Badrinath to Rāmeśvara few elements, however, were not enough to neutralize the causes that militated against effective unity amongst all Hindus. Most of the acaryas and saints laid too much emphasis on otherworldiness and Vedanta and did not lay equal or greater emphasis on or recognize the importance of active and thorough performance of people's duties to themselves, to their families, and to society, the result being that many people, whether fit or unfit, tried to become otherworldly and did not actively pursue worldly values with righteousness. Another cause of disunity

and downfall was the great disparity between the high metaphysical teaching of the whole world being one and the treatment meted out to lower classes and untouchables, owing to ideas of exclusiveness, of purity and pollution by touch &c. The education of the masses was greatly neglected²⁶⁰⁷ and the higher classes did not seriously mind who ruled the country as long as their life was not much disturbed. The great patriot and revolutionary Savarkar, hammered on seven fetters by which Hindu society was bound for centuries, viz. untouchability, prohibitions of several kinds viz sea travel, of mutual dining among the hundreds of castes and subcastes, of intercaste marriages, of Veda study against several castes, prohibition against following certain occupations and prohibition against re-admission to Hinduism of people that were converted to other religious faiths by force, fraud or ignorance.

Our cultural history shows some central features which may be mentioned in one place here. The first is that there has been an unbroken religious tradition from the Vedic times almost to the present day. Vedic mantras are still employed throughout the whole of India in religious rites and ceremonies by all brahmanas and by a large number of the members of castes claiming to be Ksatriyas and Vaisyas. The Vedic gods are not entirely forgotten. Kindling of Agni is still required at the beginning of all rites. Visnu (though not so frequently praised as Indra. Agni or Varuna, yet often enough, is praised in Rg I.22 16-21, I. 154, 1-6, I. 155, 1-6, VI. 69 1-8, both Indra and Visnu are praised in Rg. VII. 99. 1-7, Atharvaveda VII. 27. 4-9) and Siva (Rudra of the Rgveda, transformed a great deal yet highly praised in Rg. II 1.6, II 33,9, X. 92,9 where he is spoken of as Siva) are even now the principal deities worshipped In the morning and evening Sandhyā worship, brāhmanas in many parts of India still repeat respectively verses addressed to Mitra (Rg III. 59) and Varuna (Rg I. 25). The second feature is that, India being a vast country (as large as the whole of Europe minus Russia), central authority in political power never existed (except perhaps for a short time under Asoka). The ideal was that of supreme ruler (samrāt or cakravartın). But, if a king submitted, acknowledged the prowess of the successful conqueror

^{2607.} Vide Works of Vivekananda (Mayavati ed. Vol III. pp 191-192, 428-29, V. 122, VI. 224) The census of 1951 disclosed that there were fifty-one million untouchables in Bhārata.

and paid some tribute, no samrat troubled himself to look into the affairs of the kingdoms ruled by petty kings. There could, therefore, be no common front against a foreign invader, no uniformity in laws, customs and practices and there were frequent wars among kings and petty princes. A third feature was that there did not develop a serious clash of cultures, there was tolerance about varying ideologies and beliefs and constant adaptation to create harmony in diversity.

It makes one sad to find that not a single Hindu scholar resembling Alberuni came forward to inquire into the causes of the successful invasions of India by Mahmud of Gazni and later hordes. Intellectuals were mostly engaged at least from the 11th century onwards in composing works of thousands of pages on such topics as Vrata. Dāna. Śrāddha (as the very learned minister Hemādri did) or in mental gymnastics about logic. Vedānta. Poetics and similar subjects and appear to have bestowed little thought on finding means of meeting the ouslaught of foreigners or the remedies for removing their own weaknesses and defects. There were also other causes of the downfall of Hindus. Our intellectuals did not or could not pursue and make their own discoveries of science and technology made in the world from the 15th century A. D. Shahaji purchased firearms from foreigners. Neither he, nor his great son Shivaji, the founder of the Maratha empire, started factories for the manufacture of up-to-date firearms and cannon. Similarly, our people did not properly appreciate the role of a powerful navy. If the Hindus or their rulers had possessed a powerful navy they would have been able to nip in the bud the ambitions of the Portuguese, the French and the English.

We must now set out the important characteristics of Hindu culture and civilization.

1. The most striking conception even as early as the Rgveda is that there is only one Reality or Essence, in spite of the fact that people speak of it or worship it as Indra, Mitra, Varuna, Agni &c (Rg I. 164 46, VIII. 58. 1, X. 129. 2, q. above on p. 1487). In the Mahābhārata, Purānas, in classical Sanskrit Poetry and even in medieval times, when there were various cults and schisms, such as the worship of Visnu or Śiva or Śakti, almost all Hindus had an inner consciousness that God is one though called by various names; vide p 118 note 306, p 973 and note 1593 above, and Harivamsa (Vinnuparva 25. 31), Kumārasambhava VII. 44.

From this conception arose the great tolerance that Hinduism at all times showed to freedom of thought and worship, which has been dwelt upon by the present author in H. of Dh. Vol. II n. 388 note 928. Vol. V. pp. 970-71, 1011-1018 references to Aśoka's edicts and Yāi, I 343 and numerous instances of Indian kings and donors of one persuasion making gifts to temples and institutions of other cults and persuasions): vide also Gitā 7. 21-22 and 9 23. To hunt down heretics, real or supposed, has been a favourite business of some religions2603 for This has been absent from Hinduism. Hindusim is not bound by any fixed creed nor does it rely on a single book2608 or a prophet as its founder. All that it requires is that man shoud be God-fearing and what matters is not correct beliefs but moral conduct and social behaviour. Hindus do not deny the truth of any religion or reject the validity of another man's religious experience. A verse2609 quoted below breathes a broad-minded approach to religious beliefs and worship 'May Hari, the Lord of the three worlds, bestow on you the desired reward, whom the Saivas worship as Siva. Vedāntins as brahman, the Bauddhas as Buddha, the Naiyāykas proficient in the means of knowledge as the Creator, those devoted to Jain teachings as Arbat and Mimāmsakas as Yaiña'. The great logician Udavana who composed his Laksanāvali in saka 906 (984 A. D.) breathes in his Nyāyakusumānjali the same spirit as is contained in the verse translated above Tolerance is thus of the essence of Hinduism and even an atheist is often met with amusement and not with persecution.

III. Working on the doctrine that there is only one Essence or Godhead, the sages of the Upanisads arrived at the

²⁶⁰⁸ For Biblical intolerance one may read Jeremiah 29.8-9, Colossians II. 8, Galatians I 7-9

^{2609,} यं हैवाः ससुपासते हिन इति ब्रह्मेति वैदान्तिनो बौद्धा बुद्ध इति प्रमाणपद्दः कर्तेति नैयायिकाः । अहंकित्यय जैनशासनरता. कमेति मीमासका सोऽयं वो विद्धातु वाञ्चितफल जैलोक्यनाथो हरिः ॥ समाबितरसमाण्डावार (Nir ed of 1935 p. 15 verse 27),

स्यायकुसुमाखालि I 2 is : स्वर्गापवर्तयोमार्थनामा मनीषिण । यहुपास्तिमसावज्ञ परमात्मा निरूप्यते ॥ इह यद्यपि ये कमिप पुरुषार्थनामानाः श्रुद्धश्चस्त्रभाव इत्योपनिषदाः । आदिविद्वान् सिद्ध इति कापिलाः । क्षेत्रकर्मिषपाकाश्येरपुरामुष्टो निर्माणकायमाधिय आदिविद्वान् सिद्ध इति कापिलाः । क्षेत्रकर्मिषपाकाश्येरपुरामुष्टो निर्माणकायमाधिय सम्प्रदायमधीतकोऽख्याहकश्चेति पातकालाः । लोकवेद्विरुद्धैरपि निर्लेषः स्वतन्त्रश्चेति सम्प्रदायमधीतकोऽख्याहकश्चेति पातकालाः । लोकवेद्विरुद्धैरपि निर्लेषः स्वतन्त्रश्चेति महापाश्चपताः । विद्याहकश्चेति पातकालाः । स्वत्रक्षेति पाञ्चिकाः । विद्याहकर्षा । प्रस्रप्रवर्षः इति पाञ्चिकाः । विद्याह्यरा इति दिमाणकाः । उपार्यव्यवेत् देशित इति मीमासकाः । यावहुकेष्ठपका इति चीपायिकाः । लोकव्यवहारसिद्ध इति चार्वाकाः । विष्युक्तमा कारवोऽपि य विश्वकर्माद्यपासते ॥,

conclusion that the individual self is non-different from the one Essence, that all manifoldness is only apparent, that even fishermen, slaves, gamblers and the inanimate world are non-different from it. This Vedanta doctrine is one of the most characteristic features of Hinduism and is India's great contribution to the spiritual development of man, though there are sporadic instances of this doctrine having been held by some ancient philosophers in other lands This idea of one in the many and many in one is the very core or centre of Vedanta. This has been dealt with above (vide pp. 1499-1500 notes 2451-52 and pp. 1509-10). In Europe the study of philosophy is an end in itself. In ancient India this idea of the unity in diversity was made the basis of education and sociology and it was held that the realization of this unity in one's life was the highest freedom (moksa).

The Upanisadic teaching is a universal doctrine in which all men of good will can meet and combine, in whatever religion they might have been brought up from their childhood. Many illustrations are adduced in the Upanisads to bring home non-difference to the inquirer about the Essence. But two are very apt. The Mundaka Upanisad (III. 2.8) declares "just as rivers flowing (towards the ocean) become merged in the ocean after giving up their names and forms, so the man who realizes (knows), being free from name and form, attains the divine Person that is higher than the highest". The Prasnopanisad has (VI.5) the same illustration in prose. The Kathopanisad IV. 15 states just as pure water poured into pure water assumes the same form (appearance), similarly the soul of the sage who has realized (the Essence) becomes the Atman (Self).' Deussen in his address on 20th February 1893 before the Asiatic Society of Bombay (in J. B. B. R. A. S. No. 18 for 1893 Art 20 pp. 330-340) after quoting the verse of Mundaka III, 2, 8 remarks "It is not the falling of the drops in the infinite ocean, it is the whole ocean returning to that which he really is and has never ceased to be, to his own all-pervading eternal almighty nature" and concludes (p. 340) "Vedānta in its unfalsified form is the strongest support for morality, is the greatest consolation in the sufferings of life and death; Indians, keep to it. "Vide V.S.II. 3.43, where reference is made to Atharvaveda 'Brahma dāśā brahma dāsā brahmeme kitavā utaʻ (occurring in the Paippalāda version as shown by Prof. Durgamohan Bhattacharya in his paper on a

palm-leaf ms. of the Paippalāda-samhitā in Adyar Library Bulletin, vol. XXV, parts 1-4).

- IV. From very ancient Vedic times one of the fundamental conceptions of our Indian culture has been the idea of three spiritual and religious debts owed by a person to the sages, gods and pitrs, which he paid off by the study of the ancient lore, by sacrifices, and by having a son or sons respectively This subject has been dealt with at length in the H. of Dh. Vol. II pp. 270, 425, 560-61, 676, Vol. III p. 416 and above, p. 1318 note 2168. To these three debts the Mahābhārata added a fourth viz. the debt owed to all men paid off by goodness (vide H. of Dh. Vol. III p. 416 n. 675). Sabara on Jaimini VI. 2.31 explains that this doctrine is not confined to brāhmanas but to all members of the three classes, the word 'brāhmana' used in the Tai S. and other Vedic texts being only illustrative
- Another set of values is contained in the conception of purusārthas (ends or goals of human endeavour) viz (right conduct), Artha (economics, politics and civics), Kāma (life of sex. of pleasures and aesthetics). Moksa (salvation, release or recovery by the self of its real nature and freedom from the bondage of low desires and aims). Moksa is said to be the highest goal (paramapurusārtha) and the first three are spoken of as 'trivarga'. The concept of Dharma is a far-reaching one and has been emphasized from very ancient times and refers to the principles which men have to observe in their lives and in social relations. This subject of purusārthas and of dharma has been dealt with at some length in H of Dh. Vol. II pp. 2-11 and Vol. III pp 8-10 and 239-241. Therefore, only a few matters would be briefly emphasized here. It has been shown above on pp. 1-21 how the Rgveda had three words viz. rla (cosmic order), vrata (laws or ordinances deemed to be laid down by a god or gods) and dharma (religious rites or sacrifices or fixed principles), how gradually rta receded into the background and 'satya' took its place and Dharma became an all-embracing conception and vrata came to be restricted to sacred vows and rules of conduct. When a teacher was about to take leave of the pupil at the end of the latter's studenthood he placed in his final exhortation (Tai. Up. I. 11) Truth as the first and Dharma as next to it (satyam vada dharmam cara). The Br. Up. I, 4. 14 equates Satya with Dharma. One of the noblest prayers occurs in the Br. Up. (I 3.28) 'from falsehood lead me unto truth, from darkness unto light, from death

unto life eternal! The same Upanisad in V. 2.3 inculcates on all men the three cardinal virtues of self-restraint (dama). charity (dana) and compassion (dava). The Chan. Up. V. 10 quotes a verse 'the thief stealing gold, the drinker of liquor, one who dishonours his guru's bed and the murderer of a brahmanathese four fall (into hell) and the fifth is one who associates with them.' It would be noticed that this ancient verse contains some of the ten commandments of the Bible. In spite of these (noble) Upanisadic teachings of Dharma and morality. Prof. Keith (in 'Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanisads ', Vol. II. p. 584, ed. of 1925) makes the pontifical but perverse pronouncement that' the ethical content of the Upanisads is negligible and valueless'. It is not necessary to combat here his views. Most scholars would rather follow Schopenhauer (quoted in S. B. E. Vol I. p. LXI) and Deussen (quoted above in Vol. II. p. 424) rather than Keith. The concept of Dharma began to occupy the highest position in the times of the Upanisads. The Br. Up I. 4.14 states 'there is nothing higher than Dharma.' The Tai.2610 Aranyaka avers 'Dharma is the support (or prop) of the whole world'. The Mahabharata and Manu repeatedly refer to the high value of Dharma. The Mahābhārata claims that everything concerning the four purusarthas is contained in it and that what is not contained in it about them would be found in no other work. In Udyogaparva it is stated 'Dharma is so called because of its character as the sustainer of all beings.' Vanaparva and Manu both declare 'Dharma when violated kills the violator, when preserved it preserves man, therefore, Dharma should not be violated lest Dharma might destroy us.' Vyāsa winds up the Mahābhārata with the solemn appeal 'with arms up-raised I here raise a loud cry, but no one listens to me, from Dharma spring wealth and (satisfaction of) all desires, why is Dharma not resorted to? One should not give up Dharma for some desired object, nor through fear nor from greed nor even for the

^{2610.} धर्मो विश्वस्य जगत मतिष्ठा। लोके धर्मिष्ठं प्रजा उपसर्पन्ति। धर्मेण पापमपज्ञवृति धर्मे मर्ते मतिष्ठित तस्माज्ञते पर्म बद्दिन्त। ते आ X.63, महानारायणीप०, धर्मे चार्षे च क्रामे च मोक्षे च भरतर्पम। यदिहास्ति तदन्यत्र प्रकेशस्ति न तस्कचित् ॥ आदिपर्व 62 53 =स्वगृरीहण-पर्व 5 50, vide also आदि 62 23. धारणाञ्चर्मे इत्याहुर्धेनों धारयते प्रजाः। उद्योत 89.67, 137 9, धर्म एर एतो एन्ति धर्मो स्तिति रक्षितः। तस्माञ्चर्मे न इन्त्रत्यो मा नो धर्मो इत्योऽद्वर्धात् ॥ मन्तु VIII 15 वनपर्व 313,128 is the same, except that the third pāda 18 तस्माञ्चर्मे न स्वज्ञामि, कार्यवाहुर्गिरोन्येप न च कश्चित्रद्धुणोति मामः। धर्माद्वर्थेश्च कामश्च स्व क्रित्रप्रकृति सेवयते ॥ न जातु कामाक्ष भगाव लोभाञ्चर्म जात्वाज्ञीवितस्थापि हेतो । नित्यो धर्मः स्वराद्ध री लित्यते जीवो नित्यो हेतुस्य स्वनित्यः॥ स्वगौरोहणपूर्व 5,62-63

sake of life itself. Dharma is eternal, pleasure and pain are impermanent, the individual self is eternal, but the conditions (under which self works) are impermanent.' The Mahabharata passages quoted in n. 18 p. 8 of H. of Dh. Vol. II say 'the three (Dharma, Artha, Kāma) are meant for all men, that Dharma is the best of the three, that Artha is middling and Kama is the lowest and that if there be conflict then Dharma must be followed and the other two abandoned.' This shows that Artha and Kāma were made subservient to Dharma (the ethical code) and all three were subservient to the spiritual goal. Our Sastras do not at all prescribe asceticism for all, but they recognized a scale of values. The Manusmrti (IV, 3 and 15) provides 'one should accumulate wealth for securing only his needs by actions proper for his station (varna &c) and without harm to his body. One should not desire to acquire wealth by excessive attachment nor by actions condemned by Śāstra nor when he has already enough wealth nor from any kind of person (a sinner or like). even when he is in straightened circumstances.' Ap. Dh. S. (11. 8. 20 22-23) declares that man should enjoy all such pleasures as are not against Dharma; by doing so he secures both worlds (this life and life in the next world). Gautama Dharmasūtra²⁶¹¹ IX. 46-47 and Yāj. I. 115 practically say the same thing. In the Bhagavadgitā (VII. 11) Krsņa identifies himself with Kama that is not in conflict with Dharma. The Arthasastra of Kautilya no doubt says (I. 7) that 'Artha is the chief among the three purusarthas', but he also provides that one should enjoy a life of pleasures in such a way as not to conflict with Dharma and Artha, that one should not lead a life devoid of pleasures altogether. The Anusasanaparva (chap. III. 18-19) states that Dharma, Artha and Kama are the three prizes (fruits) of human life, these three should be striven for but in such a way as not to coffict with Dharma'. The Manusmrti (V. 56) declares that eating flesh, drinking intoxicants and sexual intercourse are not sinful in themselves; all beings are naturally inclined to these, but abstention (from these) leads to great rewards (and therefore Sastra emphasizes abstention)'. The Ramayana (Aranyakanda 9.30) contains a statement similar to Svargārohanaparva 5. 62.

²⁶¹¹ न पूर्वोह्नमध्यन्दिनापराह्मानफलान् सुर्याध्यथाहाक्ति धर्माधेकामेन्यः। तेषु ए धर्मोत्तरः स्थात्। गी ध सू IX. 46-47, धर्माधेकामान् स्वे काले यथाहाक्ति न एपयेत्॥ या. 1.115, मिताक्षरा comments 'धर्माधेकामान् स्वेचितकाले पथाहाक्ति न परित्यजेत्। यासम्भवं सेवेतत्यर्थः। ', धर्मध्यार्थस्य क्षामध्य ज्ञितय जीविते फलम्। एतत् त्रयमवाप्तव्यम्पर्यन्यसम्भवं सेवेतत्यर्थः। ', धर्मध्यार्थस्य क्षामध्य ज्ञितय जीविते फलम्। एतत् त्रयमवाप्तव्यम्पर्यन्यस्विजितम्॥ अञ्चलासनपर्वे chap 111. 18-19, ब्रह्मधुराण 217. 11.

When reforms are suggested in these days, conservative people put forward the plea that ours is 'sanātana-dharma' 2612 nd so no changes should be introduced. But the words 'sauatana dharma, do not mean that Dharma always stands still or is immutable; all that those words mean is that our culture is very ancient and has a long tradition behind it but they do not mean that Dharma permits no change. As a matter of fact fundamental changes in conceptions, beliefs and practices have been made from ancient times to the medieval times by means of various devices. Attention may be drawn to a few Veda was all in all in very ancient times but in the Upanisads this was changed, the Mundaka I. 1. 5 designating the four Vedas as inferior knowledge (apara vidya) and the knowledge of the immutable brahman as the higher vidya; in the Chan. Up, VII, 1.4. the four Vedas and several other branches of knowledge are called by Sanatkumāra (whom Nārada approached for instruction) mere name (nama). Yajñas were the most important religious practice in the early Vedic period, but the Mundaka Up. 1.2.7 designates them as leaky boats and regards those who hold them as the best thing to be fools. Vide above pp. 1265-72 about changes in the views on anuloma marriages, on the topic of whose food may be partaken even by a brahmana, the abrogation of many Vedic practices by the doctrine of Kalivariya (matters forbidden in Kali age) &c. Manu, Yāj, Visnudharmasūtra, Visnu and other puranas expressly provide that one should not observe but give up what was once Dharma, if it has come to be hateful to the people and if it would end in unhappiness (vide p. 1270 n. 2071 above). The Santiparva 2613 expressly states that what was adharma (in one age) may become dharma in another

^{2612.} One of the earliest occurrences of the word समातमधूर्म is found in the Khanapur plates of Mādhavavarman (in E, I. Vol 27, p 312) edited by Dr. V. V. Mirashi, who assigns it to about the 6th century A D., in the description of the donce as 'यजनयाजनाध्यमाध्यमाध्यमत्वामप्रतिग्रहाया (य?) श्रातिस्मृतिविधितस्मातमध्यभ्रत्नोनिस्ताय etc'. The land granted was in Returska (modern Retrem in the Satara District of the Mahārāstra State) Another carly reference to the phrase समातमध्ये is in महागण्डपुराण II 33. 37-38 अञ्चीस्थाययदोभश्य तयो स्तद्या द्या । महाश्चर्य तथा सर्यमञ्जकोङ्गः क्षमा धृति । स्नातमस्य धर्मस्य मृत्यत्वत्व त. The worde 'समातमध्ये 'are used in the sense of ancient practice no longer prevalent in Ādiparva 122 18 (Ch. ed.), and in the sense of 'duty recognised long ago' in रामायण, अयोध्याजाण्ड 19 26, 21 49 etc

²⁶¹³ भन्तरपंघर्मी धर्मी हि धर्माधर्मांचुमावपि । कारणादेशकालस्य देशकालः स ताहशः॥ शान्ति 78. 32

and that dharma and adharma are both subject to the limitations of country and time. Kāma also was not neglected, as the Kāma-sūtra (particularly its chapter on 'nāgaraka-vrtta' I. 4) will show. The extensive Nātyaśāstra of Bharata (containing about 5000 verses) is a witness to the aesthetic enjoyment of dance, music and drama in ancient India by thousands of ordinary men and women.

Hindu thought on the three goals of human life came to this 'do your duty, do not fall into temptations, perform duties for their own sake (Gitā II. 47, III. 19), do unto others as you would be done by (Gitā VI. 32, Anusasanaparva 113. 8-9. Santi 259, 20. = Cr. ed 251, 19), earn wealth but without conflict with dharma and without injury to others and lead a chaste sexual life and enjoy aesthetic pleasures. These summarise the teachings underlying the concentious of the three purusarthes. There was hardly any real pessimism2614 in the principal Dharmasastra works except perhaps here and there in the Mahābhārata. They consider life to be worth living, provided all actions are informed by the dictates of Dharms Manu (XII. 88-89) provides that Karma (conduct or actions) prescribed by the Veda is of two kinds. viz. pravrtla and nivrtta, the former leading to happiness in this world and to svar qa, and the latter to nihśreyasa (moksa) and requiring complete absence of all hankerings preceded by realization of brahma. The Anusasanaparva (chap 146. 76-80) divides Dharma into pravrttilaksana (characterized by persistent activity) and nivrttilaksana (characterised by abstention from worldly activities and desires), which latter is to be followed for mokea and lays down certain practical and salutary rules viz. one should constantly make gifts according to one's ability, constantly offer sacrifices and perform rites to secure one's prosperity. One should collect wealth following what is right and such wealth obtained rightsously should be divided into three parts; one should secure both dharma and artha with one-third of the wealth acquired, one-third should be spent on Kama (i. e. chaste sexual life and enjoyment of other pleasures not in conflict with dharma) and one-third he should increase Manu (VII. 99 and 101) prescribes similar rules for the king. The same parvan (Anusasana chap. 144 10-25

^{2614.} The Bible was very pessimistic, both Christ and Saint Paul regard the world as evil or at least spotled. Christ calls upon people act to resist evil (vide Hobbouse 'Morals in evolution 'Vol. II. p. 152).

and 31-39) dwells at length upon those actions that lead men to heaven (the phrase 'te narāh svarga-gāminaḥ' is repeated in each verse). These provisions are meant for all ordinary people. The Rāmāyana ²⁶¹⁵ quotes a popular verse that man is not condemned to never-ending misery but joy comes to a man even after a hundred years if he be alive.

The 4th Purusārtha Moksa can be obtained only by a few. It is not a bow which every man or any man can make strung. It was a very difficult path like a razor's edge (Katha Up III 14). far more difficult than the path of devotion to a Personal God (Bhagavadgitā XII. 5) The Upanisadic doctrine of liberation comes to this that man's nature is really divine, that it is possible for a human being to know and become actually identified with the Godhead, that this should be the ultimate goal of man's life, that this can be achieved by one's own efforts, but the way to achieve this goal is most difficult and requires the aspirant to give up egotism, selfishness and worldly attachments. Besides, there is another difficulty. The conceptions about moksa differ in the different schools of thought like Nyāya Sānkhya, Vedānta. Even in the Vedānta the conception about Moksa on the part of the different acaryas differs. Some declared that there were four stages in Mukti viz, Sālokya (place in Lord's world), $Sar{a}mar{v}ya$ (proximity), $Sar{a}rar{v}pya$ (attaining same form as God) and Sāyuŋya (absorption). 2616 These matters cannot be gone into here.

2615 कल्याणी बत गाधेर्य लौकिकी प्रतिभाति मे । एति जीउन्तमानन्दो नरं वर्षशनाद्दिष ॥

रामायण सुन्दरकाण्ड 34 6, (M L J. ed.)

The सहाभाष्य quotes the third पाद 'एनि जीवन्तमानन्दः' in two places, viz, on ut I. 3 12 and III 1 67 under वार्तिक 5, Kielhorn's edition, Vol. 1, p 277 and Vol. II p 59

2616. The तै. स V. 7 5 7 has . एतासामेव देवताना सायुज्यता गच्छित But this is entirely different from the idea of भोक्ष. The words सायुज्य, सायुज्य and सायुज्य तायुज्य वायुज्य वायुज्य occur in हा छ . 1. 3. 22, सायुज्य तायुज्य (same happiness) and सायुज्य occur in छा. छ 11 20 2. The त्युत्तपीता (मुक्तियुज्य chap 3 28) speaks of the same four stages of मोझ सायुज्य is derived from मायुज्य (yoked or joined together). The words तयुज्य गजान (horses joked together) occur in Rg 111 30. 11 and सयुज्य (menning सयुज्ये) occurs in Rg. 1. 164 20. The पुच्यार्थमुणानिधि of सायुज्य (Madras Govi Oriental Mss Series, ed. by T Chandrasekharan, 1955) in its मोझस्त्रज्य प्राच्या सायुज्य प्राच्या प्राच्या सायुज्य त्या स्वाच्या सायुज्य साय

Dharma is divided into different classes from different standpoints. One classification is into srauta (based on the Vedas) and Smarta (based on Smrtis). There was another and a more comprehensive classification of Dharma into six classes viz. varnadharma (duties and rights of varnas). āśramadharma (rules about the āśramas), varnāśramadharma (rules enjoined because the person belongs to a certain class and was also in a certain asrama (e.g. the rule that a brahmana brahmacari should carry a staff of palāša tree), gunadharma (rules for one who holds or occupies a certain position, as in the case of a king), naimittikadharma (rules that have to be observed only on certain occasions such as bath on an eclipse, expiation for a lapse and lastly samanyadharma (duties common to all (such as those described in pp. 10-11 of the H. of Dh. Vol. II). This leads on to the next important characteristic of Hindu culture and society, viz. varnas and castes.

Varua and caste. The subject of the origin of varna classification, the caste system and the duties. privileges and disabilities of the four varnas have been dealt with at length in the History of Dharmasastra Vol. II. pp. 19-164. It has been shown that the word 'varna' (colour) has been applied to Aryas and dasas in the Rgveda, that these two (aryas and dasas) were opposite camps, that brahmanas and ksatriyas are mentioned in the Rgveda, but the word varna has not been expressly connected with them. words Vaisya and Sudra do not occur in the Rgveda except in the Purusasukta (Rg X. 90. 12) but even in that hymn the word 'varna' is not employed with reference to them. That hymn is held to be comparatively a late one by most modern It may be conceded that at the time when the Purusasukta was composed the community was divided into four groups viz brahmanas (thinkers, learned men, priests) ksatriyas (rulers and warriors), vaisyas (common people following agriculture and crafts) and śūdras (those that did menial work). Such a division of people into four groups is not unnatural and is found in many countries even now. In England there are aristocratic families, there is the middle class and the workers in factories &c. They are not necessarily based on birth alone, though largely so based. It has been shown above (p 1265) that intermarriages between brahmanas and the other varnas were allowed up to the time of the Yajnvalkya Smrti which expresses its dissent from its predecessors

and prohibits a person of the three upper classes from marrying a śūdra woman. There is no evidence to show that intermarriage or interdining among the four varnas was prohibited in the Vedic age. In the Val. S 30, 6-13, Kathaka S, XVII 13, Tai. Br. III 4 2-3 numerous artisans and craftsmen such as taksan. rathakāra, kulāla, karmāra, nisāda, sūta and others are mentioned but it is extremely doubtful whether they had become petrified castes at the period of these works Rathakāra and karmara and suta are mentioned in Atharvayeda III. 5.6-7. It is probable that by the time of the Chan. Up V. 10.7 Candalas had become untouchables (like dogs and hogs) and Paulkasa seems to be equated with Candala in Br Up IV.3.22. Even in the times of Yājñavalkya and Parāsara (2nd to 6th century A. D.) a brahmana was permitted to take food at the house of such sūdras as his dāsa, cowherd, barber, a tenant of his land on the rent of half share of crops (vide above p 1266). The varnas were only four, there was no fifth varna (Manu X. 4 and Anusasanaparva, chap 48 30), though in modern times untouchables are often spoken of as pancamas (against Smrti usage). The word jat: in the sense of caste hardly ever occurs in Vedic literature but it occurs in the Nirukta (XII. 13) and in Panini (V. 4, 9), who explains 'brāhmanajātīya' as meaning one who is a brāhmana by caste'. Sometimes, Jāti and Varna are differentiated in Smrtis (Yaj. II. 69, 260), but from ancient times the word Jatt is confounded with the word Varna. Manu (X 31) uses the word Varna in the sense of mixed castes; conversely, the word Jati is employed in the sense of 'Varna' in Manu (VIII, 177, IX. 85-86, X. 41)

A sort of caste system existed in several countries such as Persia, Rome and Japan, but it disappeared and had never attained the complexity of the Indian caste system.

There are now several thousand castes and sub-castes in India. How they arose is, according to the present author, an insoluble problem. Sherring in 'Hindu Tribes and Castes' (1881, vol. III, p 231) held that caste was an invention (of the brāhmanas). How such a huge system could be invented and imposed upon millions of people by a small minority without physical or political power never occurred to the prejudiced Christian missionary of the third quarter of the 19th century.

It is well known that at least from the 6th century B. C. B. D. 205

onwards India was being invaded by Persians, Kambojas.2517 Greeks, Scythians (generally held to be the same as Sakas), and people like Pāradas, Pablavas, Cīnas, Kirātas, Daradas (Darde) and Khasas emigrated into India Manu (X, 43-44), after mentioning these and Paundrakas, Odras (Orissa). Dravidas, asserts that these were originally Ksatriyas but had become Sudras because the sacraments like Upanayana had ceased among them and because they lost contact with brahmanas. Manu X. 45 shows that in his day there were mixed castes that spoke mleccha tongues and Arya languages but were treated as dasyus (śūdras). Gautama-dharmasūtra (IV. 14-17), Manu X. 5-40, Yaj. I. 91-95 and many other works dilate upon the rise of mixed castes from the marriages or unions of men and women of different varnas and declare how further castes and sub-castes arise from the marriages and unions of men and women belonging to different classes and castes This was called Varn is unlarge or simply sankara, about which Ariuna expressed concern (Gits I. 41-42) and against which the Bhagavad-gita (III 24-25) inveighs bitterly. It was stated by Gautama (Dh. S. VIII 3) that the prosperity (of the castes and sub-castes), protection and the non-mixture (of varnes) depend on the king and The Nasik Inscription of king Siri learned brāhmanas. Pulumāyi (E. I. Vol. VIII p. 60 about 130 A. D) extols the king as having prevented the intermingling of varnas (Varnasankara)

The mixture of castes (varnasankara)2618 had gone so far even in the early ages that in the Vanaparva (180.31-33) Yudhisthira is made to say that 'It is difficult to ascertain the

^{2617.} Atri-smrti VII 2 (in prose, Anan, collection) mentions rome of these foreign tribes and peoples. Vide Anusasanaparva 33, 21-23 (Śakā yavana-kāmbojāh. ksatrijajātayahi vrsalatvam parigatā brāhmanānāri adarsanāt &c.) and Anusasana 35, 17-18 also. Śaka and Yavana are included among Śūdras by the Mabābhāsya on Pānini II 4, 10 (q in 11, of Dh Vol II p 92, n. 200) Aśoka in his Rock Edicts V. and XIII refers to Yonas, Yonarāja and Kāmbojas as people on the borders of his empire

A M T. Jackson says in 'Indian Antiquary' for 1910 p 77' the attractive power of Hindu civilization which has enabled it to assistate and absorb into itself every foreign invader except the Mos'em and European India civilized the nomade of Central Asia, so this vi'l Turkman tribes have been transformed into some of the most famely Rapput royal races.

^{2618.} Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II pp. 59-61 on Varnatankara

caste of a person on account of the confusing mixture of Varnas. all men raise progeny from all sores of women: therefore, those who are wise regard character as the principal and desirable matter' (g. in H. of Dh. vol II, p. 61 n. 149). The original scheme of varnas was natural and based on the work that men nut in for the community as a whole. It was not based on birth. The ideas underlying the original varna system made the nearest approach towards a society in which there was no attempt to secure a competitive equality but in which the interest of all groups were regarded as identical. In Vedic times there were only classes and not castes in the modern sense. Even in the smrtis when many castes had arisen the greatest emphasis was laid on duties rather than on privileges and on high moral character and the value of the effort made by a man. It is therefore stated in the Gitä (IV. 13) that the system of four varnas was created on the basis of quality (or on the basis of sattra, rajas and tamas) and actions and (in XVIII 42-44) it specifies the qualities and actions required in the four varnas as an ideal viz. serenity of mind, self-control, austerity, purity, forbearance, straightforwardness, wisdom (spiritual knowledge), knowledge (of all kinds), faith (in God)-these are the natural actions (duties) for a brahmana, bravery, fury, energy, steadiness, capability, not running away in battle, charity and rulershipthese are duties of a ksatriya, agriculture, keeping herds of cows (and cattle), trade and commerce-these are the natural duties of the Vaisya, work of the nature of service is the natural duty of the Śūdra. These words of Gitā cannot be used for supporting the present scheme of thousands of castes and sub-castes of water-tight character. If birth had been regarded as the sole or principal basis, the words in the GItā 2619

^{2619.} It is remarkable that the late Lokamanys Tilak advocated about four months before his death (in the issue of the 'Kesari' of 16th March 1920) that anyone who spent his life in Indian freedom struggle must be treated as a brahmana to whatever caste or sub-caste-he might have belonged by birth, that caste should not be treated as a matter of birth but that we must begin to treat it as dependent on qualities and actions and he relies on our sastras and quotes in support a Pali verse from the Suttanipata: न ज्या बसली होति न ज्या होति बाह्मणी । कम्मणा मुसली होति जम्मणा होति बाह्मणी ॥ 'a man does not become a Vṛṣala, that is, Sūdra by caste (or birth) nor does he become a b-āhmana by birth, a man becomes a vṛṣala or a brāhmāna by his actions' (compare Mahāvagga, vāsetthasutta, verse 57 in SBE Vol. X part 2 p 115).

(IV. 13) should have been, 'Jāti-karmavibhāgašah' ('or janma--karma') and not 'Gunakarma'. It would be noticed that out of the nine Karman, specified as natural to brahmanas most are moral and spiritual and no emphasis is laid on the element of birth. At the time of the Mahabharata there must have been a great deal of ferment, some revolt and criticism about the petrified caste system. The epic frequently refers to the theme of varnas and jatis. Vide for example, Vanaparva (chapter 180). Virātanarva 50 4-7. Udvoga 23 26, 40, 25-29. Santi 188, 10-14, Amisasana 143. A few passages may be set out here. Santi 188. 10 says 'there is no (real) distinction between the varpas. (since) the whole world is of Brahma, since it was formerly created by Brahma, and has had the system of varnas on account of the various actions (of men)': Santi (189. 4 and 8) avers 'that man is known as brahmana in whom are seen truthfulness, generosity, absence of hate, absence of wickedness. shame (restraint for avoiding wrong-doing), compassion and a life of austerity: if these signs are observed in a sudra and are not found in a brahmana, then the sudra is not a sudra (should

(Continued from last page)

There is great misunderstanding about his opposition to those reformers who did not care for or engage in political agitation but spent their energies and time in inveighing against some social evils. He wanted to remove the evil of grinding poverty and political bondage of India due to the colonial policy of Britain for over 150 years and therefore he stressed the point that everyone must join in the fight for freedom and did not like the idea of some very intellectual men spending time and energy in crying themselves hoarse for only social reform He thought that when India became free from the incubus of foreign rule, many social reforms would be introduced Events have shown that he was right, and the social reformers who maligned him were wrong Our Constitution abolished untouchability at one stroke (Article 17), legislation has made a provision that to treat a man as untouchable in public is punishable (vide Act XX11 of 1955 sections 3 and 4), legislation has removed all ban against intercaste marriages Vide 'Social and several other reforms have been brought about Legislation and its role in Social Welfare 'published in 1956 on behalf of the Planning Commission. For Tilak's article, vide 'लीममान्य दिश्रमाप केसरीतील लेख माग ३, घुट ४५३-५८१. British people were cautious and were generally opposed to progressive social reforms in Hindu Society The High Courts administered Hindu Law as contained in the medleval argents (nibandbas) like the Dāyabbāga, the Mitāksarā and the Vyavahāraray kha and not the law found in ancient smrtis, which allowed anuloma matriages; vide H of Dh. vol. II pp. 448-452 and 'A Century of Social relova' by S. Natarajan, Asia Publishing House, Bombay, particularly pp. 126-173.

not be treated as a sudra) and the brahmana is not a brahmana. A similar passage occurs in Vanaparva 216. 14-15 (quoted above in note 1640 pp 1005-6 and compared to Dhammanada 393. translated on p. 1005). When the wrangles between Vaisnavas and others were at their highest the Bhagavatapurana (VII. 9. 10) avers that a candala who is a devotee of Visnu is superior to a brāhmana who is not a devotee of Visnū. Apart from the specific qualities required to be possessed by the members of each of the four varnas, all Dharmasastra works attach the highest importance to certain moral qualities and enjoin them on all Manu X 63, Yaj I. 22, Gaut. Dh S. VIII. 23-25, Matsya 52. '-10 (quoted above on p. 1023 n. 1652) prescribe for all Varnas a brief code of morals, such as ahimaa, truthfulness, nonstealing (i e. no wrongful taking of another's property), purity and restraint of the senses. The Mitaksara on Yaj I 22 explains that the word 'sarvesam' therein states that these moral qualities if practised are the means of Dharma for all men from brahmanas to candalas Vide H. of Dh. Vol II pp. 10-11 for different enumerations of dharmas common to all men. The Manusmrti (I. 1) begins by saying that the great sages requested Manu to expound the dharmas of all varnas and of the intermediate castes. This (viz. the Dharmas of varnas, intermediate castes and asramas) is the principal topic in most of the smrtis.

A very high ideal was placed before brāhmanas (vide above on 936-938) They were to study the Veda and its subsidiary lores as a duty (to be discharged), were to perform sacrifices and make gifts also and their proper means of livelihood were only three viz. teaching the Veda and Śāstras, officiating as priests in sacrifices and receiving religious and other gifts. How arduous learning the Veda was will be clear when it is remembered that a brāhmana who was to be regarded as a very learned one had to memorize one Veda at least Supposing he was a student of the Rgveda he had to commit to memory the ten thousand and odd verses of the Rgveda, also its pada-pātha, its kramapātha, the Brāhmana text (generally the Aitareya), the six Vedāngas (auxiliary works) viz the Kalpasūtra (of Āśvalāyana generally), Vyākarana (the Grammar of Pānini in about four thousand $s\bar{u}tras$), the Nirukta (in at least 12 chapters), metrics, Siksā (Phonetics) and Jyotisa. The first three of these six Vedangas are rather longish treatises and abstruse. These extensive texts were generally committed to memory without understanding the meaning and so entailed very great strain on

the mind and memory. There were thousands of such brahmanas in India up to the beginning of this century, and even now there are still hundreds of such men. They were to teach the Veda without demanding any fee (demanding a fee to teach Veda was and is regarded as sinful even to this day). They were allowed to receive a fee if the pupil or somebody on his behalf paid something at the end of studenthood. Not only did they transmit the Veda free, but there were in the present author's youth in Konkana and Mahārāstra many Vaidik brāhmanas who gave free board and lodging to several pupils (or arranged to feed them by turns at the houses of brahmana families in the village or town). All this has now almost stopped owing to rationing during the world wars and present high prices So teaching hardly brought any regular and substantial amount of money (vide H. of Dh. Vol II, pp 108-110). As regards officiating as priests, that was a precarious and fitful source of income. Besides, in Rg. times at least, the scion of a royal family could be a puroluta i. e. a priest (vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. p. 109). It should be remembered that all brahmanas were not priests, they could be so if they chose and if they were learned Further, many learned brāhmanas refuse even now to act as priests in śrāddhas for pitrs (at least for three years after the man's death). Pānini (V. 2. 71) provides for the formation of the word 'Brāhmanaka' meaning a province or country where 'brahmanas followed the profession of arms' and Kautilya (IX 2) also refers to armies of brahmanas, ksatriyas &c. The third source specially permitted to brahmanas was the privilege of receiving religious gifts from a worthy or unblemished person (vide H. of Dh Vol. II pp 110. 11). Brāhmanas could follow other occupations in distress. but even then there were great restrictions imposed on them by sastras even when they took to other means of livelihood (vide H. of Dh. Vol II pp 118-132).2620 The ideal set before brahmanas was one of poverty, of plain living and high thinking, of forsaking a very active pursuit of wealth, of insisting on the necessity and high value to themselves and the Aryan society of studying, preserving, propagating and augmenting the ancient literature and culture. Kings, rich persons and even

^{2620.} It was, for example, provided by Vasistha (II. 27) and Mana X. 92 that a brāhmana becomes a sūdra by selling milk for three days. In the present author's boyhood some poor brāhmanas had begun to sell milk and the result was that they were very much looked down upon by the villagers. There would be the temptation to add water to the milk to gain money.

ordinary men made gifts of lands and houses to learned brāhmanas (which were deemed the most meritorious gifts).2621 The present author saw in his boyhood very learned Vaidika brāhmanas themselves ploughing their own lands, while repeating inaudibly the Veda (i e revising it, as otherwise it might slip from their memory) and knew a middle class Vaidika brāhmana family that for seven generations had taught Veda to a number of pupils and provided them with free board and lodging: (out of these seven the present author personally knew three generations, the last of whom stopped a few years ago the Vaidika school owing to present high prices of food, decrease in gifts due to the increasing poverty of the people round about and their unconcern for Vedic studies). Even in the times of the early Upanisads, the brahmana's first duty was to learn and teach the Veda and to take all knowledge for his province. Ajātašatru, king of Kāši, exclaimed, when the brāhmana Bālāki Gargya approached him for knowledge of brahman, 'this is against the natural usual course that a brāhmana should approach a ksatriya to learn about brahman' (Br. Up. II 1, 15). indicates that many brahmanas must have endeavoured to act up to the old ideal of learning in olden times They became the custodians of the vast literature that had accumulated and was growing every day and were expected to preserve that literature and propagate it Though every brahmana could not have lived up to the high ideals set up for brahmanas, a very large number must have made as near an approach to the ideal as possible It was the greatness of these latter that led to the glorification of the whole class. In most communities there is a group of the élite, which represents the ideals of the whole community, its essential tendencies and acts up to the ideals Learning, great moral and spiritual attainments among individuals are rather elusive and impalpable, while birth in a certain family is a very obvious thing. Most people in ancient and medieval times followed the occupation of their forefathers. The kingly office was highly eulogised by Manu (VIII. 4-8), who propounded the theory that the king represented in his person eight deities (Indra, Agni, Varuna, the Sun, the Moon, Kubera, Yama and Vāyu) and that the king was a great divinity in human form. The office of the king also was hereditary.

²⁶²¹ Vide H of Dh Vol II p 113 n 241 for large gifts to brahmenas, in the early centuries of the Christian era, of villages, cows and marriage expenses.

Except in a few cases the brahmanas were never rulers. It was the ksatriyas and sūdras that were rulers. Therefore, a generalisation was made that birth in a particular group or family was a more or less sure indication of the possession of certain qualities generally associated with the members of that group or family. The brahmanas were teachers with no salary, officiated as priests when called and were given fees, but there was no assurance of a continuous and certain payment. They had no church organization 2612 (such as Archbishops, bishops, priests, deacons in the Anglican church) and monasteries for monks among Buddhists and in the Christian Church. The brahmanas were not monks but house holders who had to maintain a family and bring up children their sons learned and of high moral and and to make apiritual worth. There is no example in the whole world of a small minority of the population of a country, that had no military strength behind it, being revered for at least thirty centuries without any serious challenge not only by the common people but by nobles and kings. What was the basis of the reverence shown to brahmanas as a class for at least three thousand years? They were believed to be religious, moral and spiritual leaders and the possessors and representatives of an idealistic culture ('ideational' in the language of Prof. Sorokin). The secret of the power of and regard for brahmanas had been the fact that as a class they performed well three of their appointed tasks of study, teaching and comparative renunciation (in spite of the failings of some individual brahmanas). The brahmanas had no army to support them and they could not, by devoting themselves to physical sciences, find new sources of income or well-being for themselves or for the whole community.

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru in his Autobiography (p. 432) pays in passing a tribute to the Brahmana ideal as follows 'But the West also brings an antidote to the evils of this out-throat civilization – the principles of socialism, co-operation and service to the community for the common good. This is not so unlike the old Brahmin ideal of service etc.' The ancient Indian culture exalted the mind and soul over the body and hence arose an extra-ordinary development of asceticism.

²⁶²² The income of the See of the Archbishop of Canterbury, it is understood, has been fifteen thousand pounds a year (vide Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church, ed. by F L. Cross, London 1957, p. 232). Vide H, of Dh, Vol. II p. 138 for a sample of the actions of Popes and sulogies of the Nordic race by Spengler and others.

If in modern times the caste system has broken down and has become harmful and unpopular among all people. it may be scrapped and discarded (vide above p. 1270 note 2071 for provisions about this). But such a huge and all-pervading system cannot be destroyed in a short time nor by legislation. What those who desire to destroy altogether the caste system want is not clear. Do they want complete westernization of the whole of India? To the present author this appears to be not only very difficult but almost impossible. Legislation may help a little, but it cannot eradicate within the foreseeable future the mental attitudes and outlook of millions of people. Cases have been reported where village kunbis and graftsmen threatened to beat untouchables that wanted to draw water from a village well in the presence of a magistrate. campaign against the whole caste system is carried on with force, there are sure to be widespread riots and disorders and the Independence of the country attained after two centuries of colonial rule may be jeopardized. Two matters must be carefully considered and strenuously worked for. Government must see to it that nationalism, the emotion of being one people, in spite of differences in some respects, must be instilled from childhood and among the masses. For that purpose free and compulsory education for all children on national lines must be achieved as quickly as possible. Merely inveighing against casteism by leaders would not do. when people often suspect them as guilty of other 'isms' (such as nepotism). Universal primary and secondary education, growing number of inter-caste marriages, a growing sense of solidarity as to essentials of culture (though petty differences may exist) would be the first requisites in any acheme of the removal of castes, Besides, very large numbers of self-sacrificing men, of high moral character and devotion to duty would be required for eradicating the evils of the decayed onste system. Another matter also must be very carefully considered. What is going to be substituted in place of the old caste system? People are afraid that a new caste system of ministers, bureaucrats, captains of industry, men in power and workers is going to be substituted without the saving grace of the ancient caste system.

It should not be forgotten that high spiritual life and moksa were not denied to the sūdra. It is true that the Pūrvamimāmsā denied to the sūdra the right to study the Veda and to perform Vedic sacrifices (VI. I. 26). But even in those ancient times

one sage, Bādari, advocated that the śūdra could study the Veda and perform Vedic sacrifices (P. M. S. VI. 1. 27). Besides, it should be noted that the śūdra was not at all debarred from a spiritual life and could secure moksa by studying the Mahābhārata (which contains thousands of verses on Moksa), which Vyāsa compassionately composed for the benefit of women and sūdras and which calls itself (in Adiparva chap. 62. 23) Dharmaśāstra, Arthaśāstra and Moksaśāstra, as declared by the Bhāgavatapurana I. 4. 25. All that was decided was that a sudra could not secure Moksa by the study of Veda. Sankarācārya on V.S.I. 3. 38 points out that śūdras like Vidura (Ādiparva 63. 96-97 and 114, 106. 24-28, Udyogaparva 41. 5) and Dharma-vyādha (Vanaparva 207) possesed knowledge of $brahmavdy\bar{a}$ and that it is impossible to say that they were not able to secure Moksa. Vide above pp. 921-22 and note 1468a for the discussion of the relevant passages. It is noteworthy that even in early Vedic times the Rathakara (a caste not belonging to any of the three higher classes) was allowed to set up Vedic fires and could repeat certain Vedic mantras for that purpose and the Nisāda (also not belonging to any of the three varnas, but being a sūdra) was allowed to perform an 1st to Rudra with Vedic mantras. Vide pp. 1290-91 (for Rathakāra) and pp. 1295-96 (for Nisāda) These facts show that Vedic sacrifices had penetrated into certain sudra castes long before the sutras and smrtis The Bhagavatapurana (VII. 9. 10) was prepared to hold that a candala (by birth) if he becomes a devotee of Visnu was superior to a brāhmana who was not such a devotee.

The disappearance of the caste system, when it comes about, will not at all imply the disappearance or destruction of all that for which Hinduism has stood up during several millennia.

We should not be constantly harping on the caste system as the only or most basic cause of our downfall. The Moslems have no caste system and yet most of the Moslem countries in the world have been backward and under the thumb of Western powers. China, Japan and the countries in S. E. Asia had hardly anything like the caste system of our country, but the first two about a hundred years ago were very backward and many of the countries in S. E. Asia were within the Colonial Empire of Holland, a small country the population of which is even now only about eleven millions. Since 1818 when the British acquired the Deccan, for about 130 years what little

royal power remained in India was distributed among about 600 princely States ruled by ksatriyas and others and hardly a dozen brahmana States existed among those 600. little trade and commerce existed or was allowed by the British to Indians was in the hands of Parsis, Bhatias, Banias, Marvadis, Jains and Lingayats and the brahmanas had very little share in trade and commerce. It was the brahmana politicians like Tilak that insisted on Swadeshi. Agriculture, money lending and Zamindari were mostly in the hands of non-brahmanas except in Bengal and contiguous territories where the Zamindari system supported by Lord Cornwallis prevailed. Our downfall for centuries was due to lack of certain virtues and idealogies among all our people, high or low. Let us. therefore. all Indians, stop blaming the caste system for our downfall and try to eradicate it or lessen its evils and cultivate virtues like duty for duty's sake, high endeavour, high moral character. nationalism, freedom and justice.

VI. Asramas: Another important characteristic of our culture is the system of Asramas, which have been in existence for certainly several centuries before the Christian era. The word Asrama does not occur in the Vedic Samhitas or Brahmanas. In Sv. Up. VI. 21 occurs the word 'atvāsramibbyah' (to the best of ascetics) which indicates that the word 'asrama' had then been known. A generic word comprehending several matters is coined long after the several words for the component parts have been current for centuries. The word śrāddha does not occur in any ancient Vedic passages, though the Pindapitryaina (performed by an agminotrin on each Amavasya), the Mahapitryajña (performed in the caturmasya rite Sakamedha) and the Astaka rites (all of which are rites in honour of pitrs) were known in early Vedic literature (vide H. of Dh. Vol IV. pp 349-50). Similarly, some of the asramas were certainly known from the times of the Rgveda. The Aśramas 2623 long before the time of the sūtra literature at least have been four viz. brahmacarya (stage of studenthood), garhasthya (householder stage), vanaprasthya (stage of forest hermit) or vaikhānasa (Gaut. III. 2), Sannyāsa (stage of ascetic life) or Mauna or Pārivrājya or Pravrajyā or Bluksu (Gaut III. 2). Asramas have been dealt with in H. of Dh. Vol II pp. 416-426, brahmacarya in H. of Dh. Vol. II pp. 349-352, forest hermit (in pp 919-929) and sannyasa in pp.

²⁶²³ चलार आश्रमा गाईस्ट्यसाखार्यकुलं सीमं वानमस्ट्यमिति। आप घ. सू. II. 9. 21 1 quoted by राद्धराखार्य to साब्य on V. S. III. 1 47.

930-975 (of the same volume). The span of human life is said to be one hundred years or winters or autumns from the times of the Ravoda (Rg. VJ. 4. 8; 10. 7, 12. 6, 17. 15, 24. 10 in all the e hundred winters), VII, 101. 6, X. 161. 3 and 4 (autumns). As no one could say how long one might live it is not to be supposed that each stage was of 25 years; all that is meant is that a man may, if he lives long, pass through the four stages The word brahmac5rl occurs in Rg. X, 109. 9 and Tai, S. VI. 3 10.5 and brahmacarya in Tai. S. VI. 3, 10.5 and Tai, Br. III. 10, 11. In Rg. VI, 53, 2 occurs the word 'grhapati' (lord of the house) meaning the same thing as grhastha. Indra is raid to be the friend of munis (in Rg. VIII. 17. 14) and vatis are said to have praised Indra (Rg. VIII 6, 18). The Katha Up. IV. 15 caying that the self of the Mum (knowing the reality) becomes one with the Supreme Self indicates that the reference is to a Sunnyasin who had realized the Supreme Spirit The Br. Up IV. 4, 22 saying that the Great Solf is the Lord of the universe, that brahmanas seek to know him by study of the Veda, by encrifices and gifts, by austerities (tapas) and by facting and that, after realizing that Supreme Self the man becomes a muni, that desiring this stage only the wandering (accetics) leave home (take to that stage). Here those engaged in tapas are placed at an earlier stage than prayrays. Therefore, the Ch Up II 23, 1, speaking about three branches of Dharma, should be taken as mentioning the three asramas and the words one who is firmly established in brahman obtains immortality' should be taken as referring to the 4th Asrama. As pointed cut in H. of Dh. Vol II pp. 928-929 most of the rules laid are the same as those for the down for forest hermits sannyasin and there was difference between the two in a few matters only. From Br. Up II. 4.1 ff and IV. 5. 2 ff. (where the word pravragisman is used) for udyāsman (in II. 4.1) it follows that Yājūavalkya became a sannyāsın (or pariyrājaka) immediately after leaving the householder's life. Later on in the Kaluarjua acts the stage of being a forest hermit is included. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II. p. 420 n. 999 on Chan. Up. II. 23.1. The stage of householder (grhastha) was highly praised. Vide H. of Dh. Vol II pp. 424-425, 640-41, Vol. V. pp. 1026-27.

The stage of sannyāsa or yati was highly honoured, because it was deemed to lead to moksa. The result was that many persons quite unfit to lead the life prescribed for a real sannyāsin only entered the order and possessed all the outward

signs of that stage such as wearing ochre-coloured garments, shaving the head, carrying three staffs and a water jar. They are condemned in the Mahabharata, Santiparva 308.47 (cr. ed-= 320, 47 Ch. ed.) quoted in H. of Dh. Vol. II p. 936 n 2151b and above p. 1369 n 2241). Yāj, III, 58 provides that the sannyāsin should be good to all beings, quiescent, have three dandas and a water jar and should enter a village for begging alms. Some interpreted the word iridandi as meaning 'carrying three staffs', while others like Manu XII. 10 and Daksa VII. 30 provide that a person is called tridandi who observes threefold restraint, viz of speech, mind and body. The sannyāsin was so highly esteemed that for deciding doubtful points of dharma a single ascetic was allowed to do the work of a parisad and declare the proper decision (vide H, of Dh. Vol. II p. 969) Similarly, great emphasis was laid on inviting a Yati at a śrāddha dinner (vide H. of Dh. Vol. IV pp. 388, 399). The Brhai-jātaka (chapter 15) deals with the question about the horoscopic indications for different kinds of ascetics being born on the conjunction of four or more powerful planets in the same sign of the zodiac in the horoscope and adds that according as Mars, Mercury, Jupiter, the Moon, Venus, Saturn, or the Sun is the most powerful of the four or more planets in one sign in a horoscope, the person whose nativity it is becomes respectively an ascetic of the types of Bauddha, Ajivika,2624 a bhiksu (i. e. vedic ascetic), Vrddha (a Kāpālika), a caraka, a nirgrantha (a Jain ascetic) or one subsisting on forest produce, fruits. roots &c. 2625 This shows that long before Varahamihira (6th century A. D) so many kinds of ascetics flourished or were common in India.

The *tarna* system classified the whole community and was addressed to people in the mass; the Aśrama theory addresses itself to the individuals in a community and maps out for them

²⁶²⁴ The ājīvilas were an ancient class of monks They are mentioned in Asola's 7th Pillar Edict (E I. Vol II. pp 270, 272) Da'aratha, grandson of Emperor Asoka, made grants of caves to Ājīvika monks. Vide C. 1 I. Vol. I. p 181. The founder of the order was Gosāla, once a disciple of Mahāvīra, who later left him The Vāyupurāna (62.285) refers to them as 'adbārmika'. Vide 'History and the doctrines of the Ājīvikas' by Prof. A L. Basham (Luzac and Co. 1951). This is an exhaustive work on the Ājīvikas

^{2625.} एक्स्पेश्रह्मसाहिमिर्नेहयुत्तैजीताः पूषाविषेतेः आक्ष्याजीविकामिश्रह्मस्वस्का निर्धेत्रगरनाशानाः। नावेषश्चरक्षपाकरसितयाभाकरीनैः क्रमात् प्रद्वज्या चलिमिः समाः परिजित-स्तस्यामिमिः पन्युनिः ॥ पृह्जातक 15.1 उराल quotes Prākrit verses on the same suppet from Vaolālakācārya and several Āryās u Sauskrit from Saiyācārya, a predecessor of Varāba

how they should order their lives and what preparations are required for the reveral goals of human life. Doussen in the 'Philosophy of the Upanicads' (English translation of 1996, p. 397) says about the Airama theory that 'the entire history of mankind does not produce much that approaches in grandour to this thought'

VII The doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma (transmigration or metempsychosis).

This is one of the most fundamental doctrines of the Hindu system of religion and philosophy and is unique in its own way, preticularly in its long continuity and immense early literature. It has been dealt with above in a separate and extensive chapter (XXXV pp 1530 ff). It is not, therefore, necessary to say any thing more here.

Another important doctrine is that of ahimsa. So THE. for as the Upanicade, Mahabharata, Dharmasastras and Puranas are concerned the publicat of ahims has been dealt with above in np 941-947 and in Vol. II. p. 10. A few matters are briefly mentioned hore. The words krafu and with occur hundreds of times in the Raveda. The difference sometimes made is this that the word units is very general (including the five daily religious duties laid down in Manu III. 70), while kralu is confined to solemn Vedic socrifices like Somayaga mentions the two reparately (IV. 3. 68) and the GHE IX 16 (about kraturaham yainah) also does the same. Animals were offered in some of these, though not in all. Gradually it came to be thought even in the Raveda times that worshipping Agni with fuel sticks or with an oblation of cooked food or ghes or with Veda study or oboisances or with a solemn sacrifice is on the came level and the worshipper is rewarded with swift horses (dashing against enemies) and with highest glory and no trouble whether divine or due to men, reaches him (Rg. VIII. 19. 5-6) Some Brahmana texts are couched in the same strain. The Ait 25.6 Br. (VI. 9) remarks 'he who offers a cake performs a sacrifica equal to the offering of all sacrificial animals'. The Tai. Br. provides that the forest sacrificial animals, after a firebrand is carried around them, are let off for the sake of ahims. Dr. A. Schweitzer in his 'Indian thought and its deve-lopment' (tr. into English by Mrs Russell, 1936) tries hard to establish a contrast between the attitude of what he calls 'world and life negation' in Hindu thought and 'world and life affir-

^{2626.} सर्वेदां वा एवं पञ्चना मेधेन यजते य पुरोहाशेन यजते । ऐ हा VI.9, पर्पशिकृतानारण्याहास्त्रजन्यविंसाये। ते, हा. III. 9. 3.3 (सायण explains स च वारियामो विसाराहित्याय सम्पद्धते)।

mation' characteristic of Christianity and as a side issue remarks (on p. 80) that 'the ahimsā commandment does not arise from a feeling of compassion but from a feeling of keeping a person undefiled'. The learned author ignores several things; firstly, ²⁶²⁷ not a word is said about purity (sauca) in the

2627 This is not the place to give a lengthy reply to Dr. Schweitzer's remarks. Dr. Schweitzer's compliment to Christ, Christianity and the West was criticized by Dr. Radbakrishnan in 'Eastern Religion and Western thought' (1939 pp 76-110) and by Shri D S. Sharma in 'Renaissance of Hundrusm' pp. 618-634. On p. 2 Dr. Schweitzer boldly asserts that world and life affirmation unceasingly urges men to serve their fellows, society, the nation, mankind and indeed all that lives with their utmost will &c One should like to know the Biblical passages where all this grand thought is explicitly stated. On p 4 he contradicts himself when he says 'Christianity also brought European thought into relation with world and life negation ' The two great commandments of Jesus are first 'to love the Lord thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul ' and the second is 'thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself' (vide Matthew 22 37 and 39 and Mark 12, 30-31) This is hardly anything like what Schweitzer says about Christianity's world and life affirmation. Not a word is said here about even loving all human beings, much less loving 'all that lives'. The world has had enough of the world and life affirmation of Christianity (or rather of Christian nations or people) Attention is invited to H, of Dh Voi II p. 180, where Westermarck's remarks in his 'Origin and development of the moral ideas ' (1912) Vol I. p. 711 are cited on slavery The world knows what during the last four or five hundred years the colonial empires of Christian countries like England, France, Holland, Belgium, Portugal and Spain did in India, Africa, S. E. Asia, the Congo and America. On p. VII of his Preface he appears to contradict himself when he disagrees with the view of Schopenhauer and Deussen about Indian thought being completely governed by the ideal of world and life negation and admits the fact that ' world and life affirmation is present at the back of this thought (Indian thought) from the very dawn of its history, and life negation and world and life affirmation constitute its chief characteristic and determine its development'. Both books (Dr. Sweitzer's and Dr. Radbakrishnan's) are reviewed in 'Hibbert Journal' for 1953 pp 234-241 and 355-365 by C. W. M. Gell who finds both works disappointing, holds that Schweitzer has misunderstood the Hindu ideal of supra-ethical man. that negation and affirmation have not been properly defined by him, that it was only very late in Christian history that the West began to work actively for improvement of social and economic conditions. Social service was not unknown in Ancient India The very idea of 'purta' dharma is social service Vide above pp 947-949 The Puranas emphasize the importance of work for relie-ing distressed beings. For example, the Markaudeya bas the following very noble sentiment (15. 57) 'men would not obtain that bappiness in heaven or in the world of Brahma which arises from giving bappiness to distressed men' (न स्वर्गे बद्धालोके वा तस्तुलं माप्यते नरें। बदार्तजन्तु-निर्याचहानीत्वामिति में मिन ॥) Vide also note 6 on pp. 3-4 of H, of Dh vol, II.

Chandogya and other passages about ahimsa. Secondly, the injunction about not giving pain to any being (in Chan, Up.) is immediately preceded by the words 'having concentrated all his senses on the Self'. That shows that one who knows and has come to realize that all is brahma should give no pain to others as all those also are brahma and not on the ground of purity or defilement. Further, in the Mahabharata and Smrtis some of which at least are not far removed in time from the Upanisads both ahimsa and sauca (purity) are separately mentioned along with other duties (dharmas) common to all varnas. Gaut. (8, 23-24) lays down eight qualities of the soul for every dvija viz. compassion towards all beings, forbearance, freedom from jealousy, freedom from excessive harm (to himself), doing auspicious acts, absence of abjectness (or miserliness). absence of discontent'. The same eight are specified in the Matsyapurāna 52 8-10. Atrismrti 34-41. Manu V. 46 (= Visnu Dh. S 51.69) provides 'he who does not desire to cage or kill or cause pain to living beings, thus desirous of doing good to all. secures highest (or never ending) happiness'. Sauca is external (of the body) and internal (of the mind). Manu V. 106 expressly says that he who is pure as to money matters is really pure and not one who washes himself with sand or water. Vide above pp. 1421-22 notes 2331 and 2333. It is worthy of note that the Santiparve (chapter 162. 4-5 = Cr. ed. 156.4-5) contains an apotheosis of Satya as the ancient Dharma and brahman itself and then in verses 7-9 Satya is stated to appear in thirteen aspects such as $tyar{a}ga$ (renunciation of attachment and pleasures), samatā (being the same to all), dama (restraint of senses), ksamā (forbearance), hrī (being ashamed to boast of good deeds), anaswyā (absence of jealousy), dayā (compassion) and so on, ahimsa being the 13th aspect of Satya.

It was only in Jainism that thoroughgoing ahimsā was preached and practised. Buddha had no objection to eating flesh, provided the animal was not killed specially for doing him honour or treating him.

IX. The three paths, viz. Karmamārga, Bhaktimārga and Jūānamārga. These have already been dealt with above in pp. 964-967, 1462. The BhagavadgItā expounds the further doctrine of what is called niskāma-karmayoga, which has been explained above on pp. 966-67. Doing one's duty without hankering for the fruit thereof is worship of God.

X. Adhikāra-bheda

It was recognised in India from very early times that in the matter of religious worship and philosophical doctrines. there are different grades among human beings. All are not competent to grasp and act upon abstruce metaphysical doctrines or to follow higher forms of worship This has been brought out in several places before. Vide above p. 973 note 1593 and p. 1462. Abstruse metaphysical doctrines are likely to be misunderstood and therefore we find that there is an effort to keep such doctrines secret and frequent warnings are given in the Upanisads not to impart the knowledge of brahman to all and sundry and to keep it secret. Vide p. 1071-72 and Chan. Up. III. 11. 5 set out above on p. 1460, Sv. Up. VI. 22, Katha Up. III. 17, Br. Up. III. 2. 13 (Yajnavalkya and Artabhaga did not discuss brahman among people). The word Upanisad came to mean 'secret doctrine' (vide Tai. Up. II. 9 and III. 10). This tendency to keep abstruce doctrines secret obtained in other nations in antiquity (vide St. Mark 4. 11, 34-35). Hathayogapradīpikā 2628 has similar provisions (vide p. 1460 above). Many modern writers condemn people that engage in image worship. The reasons advanced for image worship by Indian works are given in note 1593 p. 973 above. The worshipper of an image of Ganesa or Kāli casts the image in water (a river, sea &c.) after a day or more (when the period of the festival is over). So it is not as if he worshipped the wooden or earthen object but he has an emotional idea for the time being that God is enthroned in that object, These common people, if questioned, will say God is everywhere, in you, in me and in the wooden image'. The Nrsimhapurāna 62. 5 (quoted by Aparārka p. 140 on Yāj. I. 101) says that sages declare that the worship of Hari (God Visnu) may be performed in six ways, viz in water, in fire, in one's own heart, in the sun's orb, on an altar or in an image. 2629 The Visnudharmottarapurana appears to have been conscious that image worship came later in Kali age and was not prevalent

^{2628.} एठिवेद्या परं गोप्या योगिना सिद्धिमिन्छता । भवेद्वीर्यवर्ती गुप्ता निर्वीर्घा हु प्रकाशिता ॥ एठयोगप्र॰ I. 11

^{2629.} अन्तर्वती ट्वये सूर्वे स्थाण्डले मतिमास च । पर्स्तेतेषु हरेः सम्यगर्चन सुनिन्निः स्मृतम् ॥ अग्नी क्रियायतां देवो . . योगिना ह्रदये हारि: ॥ स्वर्सिद्युराण 62 5-6 (the 2nd quoted in note 1593 above). Vide स्मृतिचन्द्रिका (आहिक p 198 ed by Mr. Gharpure) which quotes verses from the Smrtis of Harita and Marici to the same effect Vide विद्याधनीं तरपुराण III 93 5-7 and 20

H. D. 207

in former ages (III. chap. 93. 5-7 and 20). The present author saw in several European Churches the images of the Madonna or the images or pictures of certain saints worshipped by Christian people that addressed prayers to the image of the Madonna or the pictures of saints for fulfilment of their desires. Therefore, he thinks that it would not be wrong for him to say that the religion of many Christians in Europe is image worship. 2630 The several darsanas (except that of Cārvāka) were looked upon generally as approximations to truth. There was no question of all of them being false and one alone as containing the truth.

(XI) Vast Sanskrit literature.

India produced a vast amount of Sanskrit literature of a most varied character for at least three thousand years. There is no country in the world that can exhibit continuous creation of several departments of literature for such a long period. Sanskrit literature penetrated to countries like Tibet, China. Java and others. Its literature influenced the Moslem world and European world by means of the decimal place value system, its fable literature and system of Vedanta. Vide Winternitz's 'Some problems of Indian Literature' (the Readership Lectures in Calcutta University) pp. 59-81 for a brief but instructive treatment of the influence of Sanskrit Literature in the West. Its study by Europeans at the end of the 18th century and in the 19th century laid the foundation of several sciences, such as the science of language, of comparative religion, of thought and of mythology. There exist several Histories of Sanskrit Literature by several scholars such as Weber, Max Muller, Winternitz, Keith, M. Krishnamachariar, which will give an idea to any reader of the variety and vastness of Sanskrit literature.

^{2630.} Vide 'Hinduism and Buddhism' by Sir Charles Elliot, Vol. I. Intro. p LXX, where a similar view is expressed by the learned writer who relies also on William James' 'Varieties of religious experience; pp. 525-527. Sir Oliver Lodge in 'Man and the Universe' (London, 1908) pp. 246-247) says "There are plenty of good reasons against idolatry among intellectual and 'chosen' people but this (God was jealous of idol worship) is not one of them; nor is it to be supposed that the stock of a tree is ever really worshipped even when prostrated to. An idol, to ignorant and undeveloped people, is a symbol of something which they are really worshipping under a material form and embodiment, the sensuous presentation assists their infantile efforts towards abstract thought as material sacraments help people in a higher stage of religious development; but some of these helps should be outgrown"

India has preserved for itself and for the world a vast literature in the best part of which the principal theme is to ask men never to cease in their efforts to control the senses and to reach higher and higher heights of morality and spirituality. H. H. Gowen in 'A History of Indian Literature' (1931) observes (on p. 8) 'Indian literature has an intrinsic value which no For sacredness, variety and remoteness avails to destroy. continuity, scarcely any other may compare with it, certainly none surpasses it. As for sacredness no other scripture, not even our Bible, may compete with the Veda in its continuity or in the matter of general acceptance'. He dilates also on the variety and remarkable continuity of Indian literature. Classical Sanskrit first blossoned at least before 500 B. C. Pānini names at least ten predecessors and his sutras IV. 3. 87 and 88 clearly indicate that before his time there was considerable non-vedic secular literature.

XII. Yoga: Yoga has been treated of above (pp. 1385-1462). In the whole world there exists hardly any well thought out and comprehensive system of psychical and moral discipline Mercea Eliade observes (on p. 359) in 'Yoga, Immortality and Freedom' (tr. by Willard R. Trask, 1958) 'Yoga constitutes a characteristic dimension of the Indian mind. is marked by reaction against metaphysical speculations and the excesses of a fossilized ritualism'. Western minds having experienced a surfeit of economic prosperity, but worried by the tensions and crises of these times are turning more and more to Yoga and to Indian philosophy such as the Vedanta. In recent years numerous books are being published that deal with 'perennial philosophy'. Yoga (including Tibetan Yoga). meditation, concentration, mystical experience &c. Many of these books are written by honest people after reading a good deal of literature on these subjects but they are wanting in any personal yogic or mystic experience. There are some books that are written by persons who want to take advantage of the popular craze for Yoga and similar mystical practices for the sake of wealth and fame. In 'Vedanta for the Western World' edited by Christopher Isherwood (Allen and Unwin, London, 1948) the famous writer Mr. Aldous Huxley sounds a note of warning against the plethora of books on mysticism and Yoga in the market (p 376).

XIII. Philosophy:

The central point of much of our philosophy is contained in Chandogyopanisad VI.1, where Uddālaka inquires of his conceited

son Śvetaketu "Have you asked for that instruction by which one hears what cannot be heard, by which one perceives what cannot be perceived, by which one knows what cannot be known; and when Svetaketu asked for that instruction, Uddālaka expounds to him (in VI. 1-16) the subject at length and winds up with the words 'tat-tvam-asi' (thou art that Self). An inquirer into philosophy will find in the various branches of Indian philosophy a vast mass of material, hardly surpassed by any other ancient country in the world. The word for philosophy is darśana and there is a famous work called 'Sarvadarśansangraba' in which fifteen different points of view apart from advasts Vedānta are summarised. The principal orthodox darsanas are six— Sānkhya, Yoga, Nyāya, Vaišesika, Pürvamīmāmsā and Uttaramimāmsā' (or Vedānta), which have been treated above and their relation to and influence on Dharmasastra has been The chief marks of Indian philosophy are dwelt upon. that it concentrates on the spiritual, that philosophy has to be lived and not merely discussed, that it seeks the Reality that for real philosophy great moral preparation is necessary, that reason has to be extensively used in arriving at the truth, that tradition and authority have to be accepted and not neglected. Almost all darśanas (except that of Carvaka) are concerned with Liberation (variously called Moksa, Kaivalya, Nirvāna, Amrtatva, Nihśreyasa, Apavarga) and all (except Carvaka) are agreed on the doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma. It is not necessary to say more on Indian Philosophy in this Chapter.

XIV. Arts. Architecture, Sculpture, Painting:

Though the present author has travelled throughout India for over fifty years and seen most of the famous places rich in ancient architecture, sculpture and painting, he lays no claim to being a connoisseur in these matters and cannot give his own valuation of these relics of ancient Indian Art. The number of works on these is legion. A mere bibliography would not be of much use to the reader. Therefore, he will content himself with mentioning a few works on each of the above branches which he has read wholly or partly or which he consulted.

Among the most remarkable ancient monuments of India are the stupa at Sanchi, the paintings in the Ajanta caves, the

Kailāsa temple at Ellora, and the Konārka¹⁶³¹ temple in Orissa.

Some of the Puranas contain information on the above topics. The Matsyapurana (chap. 252, 2-4) names 18 expounders of Vāstušāstra (architecture) such as Bhigu, Atri, Vasistha, Viśvakarman, Maya, Nārada, Nagnajit, Viśālāksa, Brahmā, Kumāra, Nandisa, Saunaka, Garga. Purandara. Vasudeva, Aniruddha, Śukra and Brhaspati. Chapters 253-257 deal with the construction of mansions and houses, chap. 258–263 with images of several deities The Vāyupurāna (8. 108 ff.) describes the founding of a capital. Agnipurana, chapters 42. 104-106 deal with the building of mansions, houses and laying out cities. The Visnudharmottara (third section) is called citrosutra 2632 because dancing is held to be the primary art and painting rests on it. It is further said that painting is the best of arts (III. 33. 38) and the foremost of auspicious things in a house, that the rules that apply to painting are applicable to the making of images of metals, stone and wood (III. 43.31-32). Chapters 36-43 deal with painting, chapters 44-85 with the making of images of gods and goddesses and housebuilding is dilated upon in chap. 86 ff. The Brhat-samhitā (ed. by M. M. Sudhakar Dvivedi, 1895) of Varāhamihira (500-550 A.D.) deals with palaces, mansions and houses of king, crown prince and others; in chap. 52 (123 verses) with temples of several gods. in chap. 53 (31 verses) with images of gods, in chap. 57 (Rāma, Visnu, Brahmā, Indra, Siva, Buddha, Jina, the Sun,

^{2631.} For Konārla, vide H. of Dh Vol IV of 769, Modern Review (Calcutta) for 1945 pp 67-72 with seven illustrations by Mr Pranakrushna Samal and 'A time in India' by Ross Smith pp. 198-201 on 'Konarka, its mithiunas and scieral explantions about them' The tower of the temple of the Sun 24 miles to the N W of Puri is 180 feet in height, the Mandapa in front is 140 feet high. In 'Orissan Sculpture and Architecture' by O. C Ganguly and A Goswami (1956, Calcutta) out of 41 plates, plates 21-41 relate to the Sun temple of Konārha For Sānchi, three big volumes with numerous plates have been published by the Govt. of India, the editors bein Sir John Marshall, A. Foucher and N. Majumdar.

^{2632.} Vide the present author's 'History of Sanskrit Poetics' (1961) pp. 66-72 for an account of the Visuudharmottara and its date (575-650 A.D.). Dr. Miss Stella Kramrisch has translated into English the chapters of the Visuudharmottara bearing on painting and sculpture (Cal. Un. 1928). Varāha-mihira mentions several authors such as Garga and Manu on palaces (55,31), Nagnajit (57,4) and Vasistha (57,8) on images.

Linga, Mother Goddesses, Yama), with images or statues of five kinds of men (chap. 68 verse 30) called Hamsa, Śaśa, Rucaka, Bhadra and Mālavya and their bodily features.

There are several other works like the Yuktikalpataru of Bhoja (Calcutta ed. of 1917), the Abhilasitārthacintāmani (also called Mānasollāsa)²⁶³³ of king Someśvara, Śilparatna (T. S. S.), Mayamata (T. S. S.) on these subjects,

Paintings -The only famous ancient paintings are those in the caves of Ajanta, in the Bagh caves in the former Gwalior State and those in the caves at Sigiriya in Ceylon. For reasons of space it is not possible to quote the enthusiastic appreciations of Western writers on ancient Indian Art, particularly paintings and sculpture. The author would quote a few passages from Rene Grousset's 2634 works: 'India has given a mighty art or a complete Aesthetic equal in originality and power to the Greek It is marked by its naturalism in depicting and Chinese. animals'. The same writer says about Ajanta's immortal paintings 'they count among the most moving that have ever come within human vision'. 'In civilizations of the East' ftr. by Mrs. C. A. Phillips. London, 1932 with 249 illustrations) the same author (after citing paintings of the Jatakas of selfeacrifice) remarks 'these are but a few examples, the most poetic, tender and moving that could be imagined. No better example could be found of that purely Indian sentiment of universal brotherhood, that fervour of humanitarianism which extends even to animals and plants' (p 79). For Alanta, there is a fine edition by Dr. G. Yazdani, the text in two parts (1930 and 1933) and there are besides many coloured and monochrome plates with an Introduction by Lawrence Binyon. There is also another small work prepared for the use of Lord Reading on his visit to Ajanta in 1924 in which Dr. Yazdani gives ten colour plates and one monochrome plate (of a bull fight) with notes on some of the scenes in several caves and appreciations by Binyon, Rothenstein, Solomon and others. Another good book is 'my pilgrimage to Ajanta and Bagh' by Sri Mukul Chandra Dey, with Introduction by Lawrence Binyon (London, 1925)

^{2633.} The third Vimsati of Manasollasa deals with Vastusastra, painting, images of gods and goddesses, planets &c. (vide part II of the work in G. O. S.).

^{2634.} Vide The Sum of History by Rene Grousset, translated by A & H. Temple Patterson, Tower Bridge Publications, 1951, p. 105, p. 107 about Ajanta.

A third book on Ajanta is in Marathi written and published in 1929 by Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi, Chief of Aundh State, with half-tone and coloured illustrations. Percy Brown on 'Indian Painting' deals with Buddhist, Hindu and Mahomedan Painting and covers a long period from 6th century A. D to 19th Century A. D. He gives two illustrations of Sigiriya paintings opposite pp. 33, 69, which are remarkably similar to some paintings in caves 16 and 17 at Ajanta. In Ananda K. Coomaraswamy's 'History of Indian and Indonesian Art' illustrations No. 183 and No. 184 are respectively from Bagh and Sigiriya.

Many modern works deal with all three viz. architecture sculpture and painting or with two of them or with one only Some important works are mentioned here:

- E.B. Havell's 'Indian Sculpture and Painting' (London, 1908) with 45 plates for sculpture and 8 for painting.
- V. A. Smith's 'History of Fine Art in India and Ceylon' with 38 illustrations (including some of Moslem Art), Oxford, 1911.
- A. Foucher's 'Beginnings of Buddhist Art' (1917), with plates.
- Ananda K. Coomarswamy's 'History of Indian and Indonesian Art' (1927), with 400 illustrations on 128 plates.
- 'Ellora' by Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi, Chief of Aundh with 89 half-tone illustrations, published by D. B. Taraporevala and Sons, Bombay.
- James Fergusson's 'History of Indian and Eastern Architecture', volumes I and II, London, 1910.
- T. A. Gopinath Rao's 'Elements of Hindu Iconography,'
 Vol. I and II (Madras, 1914, 1916).
- Dr. Stella Kramrisch on 'The Art of India' (sculpture, painting, architecture), London, Phaidon Press, 1954, with 156 plates and ? coloured plates.
- 9. Dr. Stella Kramrisch's 'Indian Sculpture' (1933) with 116 illustrations
- Rene Grousset's 'The civilizations of the East' Vol. II (on India) with 249 illustrations.
- 11. A. V. T. Iyer's 'Indian Architecture' in three volumes (Madras)

- Ananda K. Coomarswamy's 'Elements of Buddhist Iconography' (Harvard Un. Cambridge, U. S. A., 1935); also his 'Dance of Siva' (frontispiece of Natarāja in cosmic dance), Foreword by Romain Rolland, 27 plates, (1924)
- 'Indian Architecture' (Buddhist and Hindu), published by D. B. Taraporevala and Sons, Bombay, with 118 illustrations.
- 14. Benjamin Rowland's 'The Art and Architecture of India' (Buddhist, Hindu, Jain), Penguin Books, 1956; remarks that some sculptures are worthy of being placed in world culture and the Art presents synthesis of beautiful forms and deep spiritual expression.
- Heinrich Zimmer's 'Myths and Symbols of Indian Art and Civilization' posthumously published by J. Campbell, 70 plates from 2nd century B. C. to 19th century A. D.
- 'Immortal India' by Alfred Nawrath, translated from German, published by D. B. Taraporewala and Sons, Bombay, with 12 coloured and 106 photographic reproductions, 1956.
- H. Goetz's 'Five thousand years of Indian Art' Bombay, 1959; 72 plates (many coloured ones) and two maps; D. B Taraporewala and Sons, Bombay.
- 18. Sir John Marshall's 'Buddhist Art of Gandhāra' Vol. I, Memoir of Archaeological Department of Pakistan, 1960, (111 Plates) and his work in three volumes on 'Taxila' Cambridge Un. Press 1951 for scholars, and his 'Guide to Taxila' (4th ed. of 1960) for tourists and general readers.

South Indian Architecture and Sculpture has some peculiarities of its own. Some works thereon may be mentioned here viz. 'Dravidian Architecture by G. J. Dubreuil (1917), 'Mahabalipuram' by C. Sivaramamurty (Dept. of Archaeology) '7 full page plates, 'Art of Pallavas' text and notes by B. C. Ganguly and ed. by A. Goswami, 46 plates (1957).

Besides, on music also several works have been written: 'Music of Hindustan' (Oxford 1914) by A. H. Fox Strangway 'Alain Danielou's 'Northern Indian music' vol. I and II (London, 1949, 1954), H. A. Popley's 'the Music of India', (Calcutta, 1950), O. Goswami's 'The story of Indian Music (Bombay, 1957), 1950), C. H. Ranade's 'Hindustani Music and Outline of its Physics G. H. Ranade's 'Hindustani Music and Outline of

and Aesthetics' (Poona, 1951). But the present author unfortunately knows little about music.

Indian architectonic and iconographic symbolism spread to Java, Balı and other parts of Indonesia and many works have been written on this subject such as 'Barabudor' (Java) by Paul Mus, G. Gorer's 'Bali and Angkor', 'Towards Angkor' by Quaritch Wales (with a full Bibliography at end), W. F. Stutterheim's 'Indian influences in Balinese Art' (London, 1935).

A few more characteristics could have been mentioned; the present writer desists from adding them since the list is already a long one. It is not claimed that no other culture had or has these characteristics. What is claimed is that there is no other single culture in the world where all these can be found now or existed in the past and that some of these characteristics such as the noble Vedānta concept of man, lower animals and even inanimate things being one in Essence, the great tolerance throughout the ages for differing religious and philosophical views, the emphasis on satya and ahmsā are unique and not found elsewhere,

CHAPTER XXXVII

Future Trends

After the battle of Plassey in 1757 the civil government of the three large provinces of Bengal, Bihar and Orissa came under British rule from 1765. From 1818 when the last Peshwa Bajirao II was defeated and became a pensioner, the British were in control over the whole of India except Punjab, which was subdued in 1845. The British left India in 1947. Therefore, they were rulers over a large part of India for 180 years, over the whole of it except Punjab for about 130 years and over Punjab just about 100 years. The impact of British occupation during these periods on Hindu society was tremendous in all spheres, physical, mental and moral. The changes effected during these years of British rule were of such magnitude that they far exceeded the changes that occurred in hundreds of years before the coming of the British With the advent of British rule came a new system of Government on an all India basis, establishment of courts of justice on Western patterns, laws that applied to all Indians without distinction, modern individualistic liberty. Western pattern of living at least in towns and cities, an educational system placing all Indians on the same level, newspapers, better means of transport, study of modern science, English literature and arts &c.

The present author has no intention of writing anything on the all round changes that have taken place in India under British rule. All that he proposes to do in this chapter is to indicate briefly the effects that are likely to be produced on Hindu society, its ancient ideals and values in the near future, on account of science and modern ideas, the Constitution framed for Indian democracy, the idea of a secular State, socialistic pattern of society, economical planning, legislation, growth of population and means to check it.

But before he deals with the above subjects, it is necessary to give a rapid review of the state of things before Independence was achieved. Lord Ripon as Viceroy of India in 1882 started a scheme of local self-government by means of municipal corporations in the cities and district and local Boards in the rural areas. Thus after about 120 years from 1765 when British rule was first established in a large part of India, the British

thought fit to associate the conquered people in managing some of their own (unimportant and petty) affairs Colonialism on the part of the British had reached its peak by that time. The British imported raw materials like cotton from India, processed them in Manchester and exported the manufactured products back to India's immense market. At the instance of British manufacturers laws were passed in Britain forbidding the import of Indian silk and cotton goods. India was drained of wealth by that policy for over a hundred years and India became one of the poorest countries in the world Dadabhai Naoroji in his 'Poverty and un-British rule in India' (London, 1901, 675 pages) demonstrated this very ably. The main elements of the colonial rule of Britain were these, complete political subjection existed, the main economic activity was in the hands of foreigners, it was foreign capital that was employed in India and substantial dividends or interest on the capital were guaranteed in some cases like the Railways by the British rulers of India payable from the taxes imposed on Indian people, the control of large enterprises was in foreign hands and directed towards securing foreign interests, the land and people were used as instruments of the purposes of Britain. India got peace and political unity at the cost of enormous poverty and distress and many of the economic problems that beset present day India have to be traced back to the disastrous colonial policies of Britain.

The army of the Government of India was for over a century entirely officered by the British. There were in the 20th century about 7000 officers (lieutenants, captains, majors, colonels) among whom not one Indian held the King's commission till after the first world war. Then a few were sent every year for training to England The examination for entry in the Indian civil²⁶³⁵ Service (the 'Steel frame' of the Indian

^{2635.} A vell known jibe in the author's youth was that an I. C. S. was neither Indian (almost all were British in those days) nor civil nor a servant (but he was the master of the destinies of poor India). Munro wrote in 1817 a memorandum to Lord Hastings from which two sentences may be quoted 'there is perhaps no example of any conquest in which the natives have been so completely excluded from all share of the Government of their country as in British India Foreign conquerors have treated the natives with violence and often with great cruelty, but none has treated them with so much scorn as we'pp 273-74 of 'the Making of Indian Princes' (London, 1943) by Edward Thompson Vide G W, Forrest's Selections from the Minutes and other official writings of Elphinastone' (Lordon, 1884) p 102 for represch against British rule in India

administration, as one great British Prime Minister was pleased to call it) was held in England (in spite of a Resolution of the House of Commons that the examination for appointment to the Indian Civil Service in 1893 should be held simultaneously in India and England). Only a few Indians in the last quarter of the 19th century could go to England and qualify for entry in that heaven-born service. District officers such as Collectors. District Judges. Police Superintendents. Medical officers (of the Indian Medical Service) were almost all British. Almost all Professors in colleges and the head masters of even some secondary schools were Englishmen. All the books to be used in schools were prescribed by the Head of the Department of Public Instruction in the several provinces, all of whom were Westerners. Even primary education was being given only to a small fraction of the children of school-going age, even in 1947 when the British left. The elements of colonial rule by Britain and the policies pursued by the British rulers are emphasized here solely as warnings to present warring elements in our country that if they pursue their quarrels to bitter ends the nation may again be plunged into a miserable state by invasions from powerful and hostile neighbours.

Morley (who was Secretary of State for India and who was believed by many Indians to be a man of liberal or even radical views) introduced separate communal electorates for Moslems in the reforms of 1909 and he was firmly of opinion that a Parliamentary system in India should not be established in the foreseeable²⁶³⁶ future. It is unnecessary to refer in detail to Montagu's famous speech in 1917, characterizing the Indian Government as too wooden, too antediluvian for modern times, to his statement (the reverse of Morley's views) that 'the policy of the British Government is the gradual development of self-governing institutions with a view to the progressive realiza-

^{2636.} Vide the volume of "Indian Speeches" by Viscount Morley (p. 92) where he says" If my existence, either officially or corporeally, were prolonged twenty times longer than either of them is likely to be, a Parliamentary system in India is not at all the goal to which I would for one moment aspire? Even so late as 1941 Sir G Schuster in "India and Democracy" (MacMillan, 1941) strongly expressed the view that Parliamentary democracy of the Westminster pattern would not suit India and that Indians would have to work out a system of their own. He does not even indicate how, while the British ruled India, a democratic system could be evolved by Indians and in how many years.

tion of responsible Government in India as an integral part of the British Empire,' the failure of Dyarchy introduced by Montagu, the Rowlatt Acts, unrest in Punjab, General Dyer's proclamation declaring any assembly of four or more persons as unlawful, his shooting of men, women and children assembled in Jallianwallah Bagh for a meeting in defiance of the proclamation, when, even according to Government statistics, 300 people were killed and 1200 injured, Dyer's compulsory retirement and the presentation of a purse of thirty thousand pounds to him by his British admirers for saving the British Empire (as they then thought).

The introduction of education through English was espoused by Lord Macaulay in his 'Minute on Indian Education'. Why he insisted on the education of Indians through English is stated in the Minute as follows: 'We must at present do our best to form a class who may be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern, a class of persons Indian in blood and colour, but English in taste, in opinion, in morals and intellect'. 2637 English education caused great wastage of effort in learning all subjects (including even Sanskrit) through English and led to a disproportionate pursuit of literary studies and neglect of scientific and technological subjects and created a wide gulf between the educated and the uneducated masses: it glorified Western culture and did not help Indians to study and appreciate their own culture Educated Indians, particularly in the earlier period of English education in India, came to entertain an exaggerated respect for Western institutions and unduly disparaged their own social and religious systems.

The British Government's interest in Indian aducation (particularly higher education) was lukewarm. Three Universities (of Bombay, Calcutta and Madras) were first established in 1857 for the whole of India, but they were merely examining bodies. In the whole of the Bombay Presidency there was no Science Institute entirely maintained by Government till about

²⁶³⁷ Vide 'Speeches by Lord Macaulay' with Minute on Indian Education, edited by G M Young (Oxford Un, Press 1952). The minute is on pp 344-361 On p. 349 he makes the following statement 'I have conversed both here and at home with men distinguished by their projectory in the Eastern tongues. I have never found one among them who could dent that a single shelf of a good European Library was worth the schole native intrature of India and Arabia' Vide p 359 of the above work for the above oft-quoted passage.

An Indian could pass the M. A. examination in Philo-1920. without knowing anything about ancient Indian philosophy till only a few years ago. However, English education by Government and missionaries produced a result exactly opposite of what was expected by them. The missionaries miserably failed in securing the Indians (except a few among the lower castes and adapases) for Christ and Government found that reading the classics of English literature and works of men like Burke, Spencer, Mill and others created among the educated emotions of nationalism and discontent with their own abject political status. Political agitation daily increased. Lokamanya Tilak was called 'the father of Indian unrest by an English writer. He passed away in 1920. But his mantle fell on Mahatma Gandhi, who while agitating for political nower and eventual freedom, adopted the technique of satuāgraha.

It is not necessary to go into the freedom struggle from 1919 to 1947 which culminated in the British leaving India but after dividing it into Pakistan and the present India partition of India into two parts based on merely communal considerations let loose the most hideous conflicts, massacres and flights of millions of refugees which India had ever witnessed during its long history. The giving up of a large empire with mutual consent and without war or bloodshed is a unique and unparalleled event in the whole history of the world. The message of the King of Great Britain read by Lord Mountbatten as Viceroy to the members of the Constituent Assembly was nobly and graciously worded "With this transfer of power by consent comes the fulfilment of a great democratic ideal to which the British and Indian people alike are firmly dedicated. Dr. Rajendra Prasad's reply to the message of the King was couched in equally noble and just language 'While our achievement is in no small measure due to our sufferings and sacrifices, it is also the result of world forces and events, and last, though not least, it is the consummation and fulfilment of the historic traditions and democratic ideals of the British race" (vide 'Transfer of power in India" by Shri V. P. Menon, published by Orient Longmans, 1957, p. 415).

The Indian Independence Act²⁶³⁷A was passed by the British

²⁶³⁷ A. Vide 'Transfer of Power in India'; Appendix XI sets out the Indian Independence Act, 1947 at pp. 516-532 and Appendix XII gives Congress comments on the draft independence bill with Nebru's corrections signed by him on 3-7-47 (pp. 1-9).

Parliament and Royal assent was given on 18th July 1947. A Constituent Assembly had been set up by the cabinet mission (of three cabinet ministers, Pethick Lawrence, Secretary of State for India, Stafford Cripps and A. V. Alexander) and it held its first meeting in December 1946. It re-assembled in August 1947 as a sovereign body to frame a constitution for free India. Its work went on for over two years and the constitution consisting of 395 Articles and nine Schedules came into force on 26th January 1950 (except 15 Articles that came into force at once); vide Art. 394.

The Preamble to the Constitution of India declares that India is a sovereign democratic Republic. The extent of the Indian Republic even at present is vast. It was hardly ever under one Government, except possibly under Aśoka. In ancient times India had some ganarājyas or sangharājyas (oligarchic states, or republics as Jayaswal and some others prefer to call them). This question of the existence of oligarchies (or republics) in ancient India has been discussed at some length by the present author in Vol. III pp. 87-96 of the H. of Dh. He still adheres to the views there expressed. The Buddhist monks had a procedure for regulating the meetings of their sangha (as set out in the Mahāvagga IX. 3 in SBE Vol. XVII p. 264 ff and in Cullavagga IV 9, SBE Vol XX pp. 24-27 and pp. 53 ff) and Jayaswal conjectures that the same procedure was followed in oligarchies (or republics).

There are substantial achievements to the credit of present India and its leaders during the last 14 years after Independence. Some of them may be very succinctly stated here: (1) The production of a comprehensive democratic constitution guaranteeing freedom of speech and worship, freedom of the Press, protection of the rights of minorities, equality of all before the law, equality of status to women, independent judiciary; (2) Abolition of untouchability (Art. 17), (3) political integration of India without any violence, as the five hundred and odd States (except only three), that comprised nearly 1/3rd of the total land area of India and 1/4th of the population, patriotically accepted a merger of the States in the Indian Republic (Shri V. P. Menon's 'Story of the Integration of States'), (4) Reorganization of India into 15 States and six Union Territories based mostly on linguistic unity and administrative convenience, (5) holding two elections on the basis of

adult suffrage, viz, every person 2637b (male or female) who is a citizen of India, is twenty-one years of age and not disqualified under the constitution or any other law, has a right to be registered as a voter at elections to the House of the people and to the Legislative Assemblies of States (Art 326); (6) Socialistic pattern of society as the goal (Art. 38, 39), (7) Two five year plans have been carried out and a third Five Year Plan has been set on foot (under Schedule VII, list III, item 20). The creation of a democratic republic was something that India had not been acquainted with for at least twelve centuries (even if ganarājyas were held to be republice and not merely obligarchies). From Revedic times there was a hereditary monarchical form of rule, Vide Rg. VII, 33.3 and 5, VII, 83.7-8, where the battle of ten kings (dasa rajanah) with king Sudas is mentioned; vide also the story of Devapi and Santanu in Rg. X. 98 expanded in Nirukta II, 10-12.

Some criticism may be offered against the Constitution. In the first place, it is extremely bulky and goes into too many details and is a hotchpotch of provisions from several sources. Some provisions are derived from England, the directive principles of State policy are derived from the constitutions of Ireland and other countries of Europe, some are borrowed from the Government of India Act of 1935. Several of these need not have been put in a Constitution but should have been left to ordinary legislation. In spite of such a lengthy constitution there are many omissions. Nothing is expressly said about political parties, about industrial corporations, about relation of religions and the State. The Constitution makes a complete break with our Dharmasutras and Smrtis begin with the traditional ideas. dharmas (duties) of the people (varnas and asramas). Prime Minister Pandit Nehru himself says in his Azad Memorial Lectures on 'India to-day and to-morrow' (1959) p. 45 'All of us now talk of and demand rights and privileges, but the teaching of the old dharma was about duties and obligations. Rights follow duties discharged.' Unfortunately this thought finds no place in the Constitution

One fact of the greatest importance in the life of India is the accession of the masses to power, not only political, but also

²⁶³⁷ b. Women had no votes in Great Britain till the first war and even now in Switzerland women have no votes (vide p. 31 of 'Switzerland in perspective 'by George Soloveytchik (1954).

The Constitution social, economical, intellectual and moral, engenders a feeling among common people that they have rights and no obligations whatever and that the masses have the right to impose their will and to give the force of law and justice to their own ideas and norms formed in their own cottages and tea shops.

The Constitution of India has no chapter on the duties of the people to the country or to the people as a whole. Art 19 confers the right to seven freedoms, one of which (c) is to form associations or unions and sub-article (4) enables the State to make laws imposing, in the interest of public order or morality. reasonable restrictions. The framers of the Constitution forgot to include what Britain had, provided long before in 17 and 18 Geo 5, Chapter 22, Article 1, part of which runs any strike is illegal if it is designed or calculated to coerce the Government directly or by inflicting hardship on the community'. The eves of some of the framers of this constitution were opened by the strike of Government servants in the Railways, Posts and Telegraphs by which, if it had continued for even a fortnight. crores of people would have had to submit to incalculable hardships and the action of the strikers in essential services would have been like a rebellion by some lakhs of people against over forty crores of people. The right to strike by workers tacitly given in the constitution is in imitation of similar rights enjoyed in industrial countries like Britain only for the last few decades and the framers should have restricted the right to strikes between private employers and employees as an experiment in the first instance

Another criticism is that there are too many amendments. From 1950 there have been ten amendments, while in the U S. A. there have been only 22 amendments during a period of about 170 years The very first amendment was made within less than a year and a half from the day the Constitution came into force. It affected about a dozen Articles, among which there were three Articles dealing with fundamental rights viz. 15, 19, 31 One fails to understand the meaning of the words 'fundamental rights' in a constitution which took over two years of deliberations, if they could be changed within a year and a half. The amendment made in Article 31 prohibits a person from challenging in a court of justice a law about the compensation to be paid to a person for compulsory acquisition of his property. This is a serious inroad on rights to private property

and smacks of spoliation and arbitrariness. In the House of the People the quorum is only 50 and if 50 persons are present and a majority of them (say 26) decide that a certain amount of compensation should be paid for compulsory acquisition of several properties of a person and the compensation is felt to be ridiculously low he has no remedy in a court of law at all. Besides, the Welfare State is, if at all, foreshadowed in the Directive Principles of State Policy (Art. 38, 39 etc.), but these principles are not enforceable in a court of law and, therefore, they are inferior to fundamental rights, the breach of which can be examined by the High Court or Supreme Court, which is invested with the power of issuing writs. Parliament (Lokasabha) has over 500 members and the quorum is 50 and yet this small number is not often present and the Speaker has several times to reprimend the House of the People on this score.

Another criticism my be offered as to the inclusion of Universities in list II (of schedule 7, State List, No. 11) and not in the concurrent list. Vocational and technical training of labour is in the concurrent list (Item 25). Is not University education throughout India of as much importance as the training of labour? Only items 63-66 (of list I, Union list) are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Central Government. There is hardly any weighty reason (except chance) why the Benares Hindu University, the Aligarh Muslim University, the Delhi University and Santiniketan should be treated as the exclusive concern of the Central Government and the other Universities be not placed even in the concurrent list.

The Constitution in its 8th Schedule recognizes fourteen languages as the national languages of India, while Article 343 (1) makes Hindi the official language of the Union, but sub article 2 (of Article 343) provides that English will continue to be used for all official purposes for fifteen years and sub-article 3 provides that Parliament may continue even after 1965 the use of English for purposes specified by Parliament. Even such a small country as Switzerland has three official languages. The Constitution should have provided at least two Official languages for north India and two for South India, when even

^{2638.} Vide 'Our fundamental rights' by D N. Bancrice for an exhaustive and trenchant criticism of the change made in Art. 31 (2), the speeches of the Prime Minister and of Hon'ble Mr. G B Fant (pp. 316-336) Calcutta, 1960

in 1950 the population of India was about 36 crores. This sweeping decision to make Hindi the only official language instead of helping emotional integration of Indians produced great strife. An official language is not the only means of emotional integration, nor even the chief one. The Govt. should begin with the children in the schools, should instil into their minds the fundamental cultural Unity of India, and that India has been looked upon as one by all foreign invaders, steps should be taken to make every Indian feel that he is the inheritor of the vast ancient wisdom and spiritual culture of India, that he must contribute to the conservation of that culture, and must add to its greatness in the future, and free and compulsory education for all children up to the age of 14 should have been achieved in ten years as foreshadowed in Art 45.

A plan and programme to instil among all grown-up citizens the ideal of national integration must be prepared, insisting on our common past, common interests, common future and on elements like the wisdom and thought embodied in Sanskrit and the regional languages, the tolerance shown by our people through the ages.

The Constitution has also committed several mistakes in the allotment of subjects in the 7th Schedule as Union list, State List and Concurrent list. For example, the production, manufacture, possession, transport, purchase and sale of intoxicating liquors are put in the State List (item 8 in List II, State List) This has led to prohibition in some States, but also its absence in other States.

The above discussion is enough to show that the Constitution framed after more than two years' cogitation is unsatisfactory in several ways.

Ours is a democratic Republic. The most famous definition of democracy is Lincoln's viz 'Government of the people by the people for the people'. All three must exist in a proper democracy. In the Greek city States such as Athens all adult citizens (except slaves that outnumbered citizens) could assemble and could directly participate in discussion and the framing of laws and regulations But this is impossible when crores of people spread over a vast country are voters. Therefore, one part of Lincoln's definition becomes watered down. Crores of people cannot govern themselves, which is a physical impossibility. All they can do is to choose some men as their rulers. In former ages when monarchy

was the prevailing form the ruler came to be so by succession or by conquest i. e. by breaking heads. Now, under democracy, the ruler or rulers are chosen by the mere counting of heads. As observed by Dr. Radhakrishnan in 'Kalkin or the future of civilization' (4th ed. of 1956) 'Democracy in actual working rarely permits a country to be governed by its ablest, The opinions of the mass prevail over those of the thinking few. We must strive for some better way for conducting human affairs than the lottery of the ballot-box' (pp. 20-22). René Guenon in 'Crisis of the modern world' (translated by Arthur Osborne, London, 1932) remarks 'the law is supposed to be made by the opinion of the majority, but what is overlooked as that this opinion is something that can very easily be guided or modified i. e. opinion can be manufactured. The majority on whatever question it may be called on to give its opinion is always composed of the incompetent, whose number is vastly greater than that of the men who can give an opinion based on a full knowledge of the subject ' (p. 108).

These words of René Guenon refer to conditions in European countries in most of which there has been almost cent per cent literacy for some decades. Parliamentary democracy assumes that voters understand the policies and programmes of different parties and cast their votes accordingly. It presupposes some education, intelligence, respect for the rule of law, tolerance, a sense of brotherhood for at least one's countrymen, and a more But when, as in India at present. or less homogeneous society. the vast majority of voters are illiterate, the state of things becomes far worse. We can and must tolerate democracy in India as a first step towards better days, though at present it is a mere shell and is ridiculed by some unsympathetic foreigners.²⁶³⁹ The census of 1961 discloses that literacy which was only 16.6 Dean Inge in percent in 1951 is 23.7 percent in 1961. 'Christian Ethics' (1930) remarks about politics in England where almost all voters are literate 'our politics are so corrupt that many would welcome a dictatorship.' (p. 385). About the U S A, a recent work 'Corruption in Washington' by Blair Bolles (Gollancz, London, 1960), which makes rather dismal reading, indicates the terrible moral predicament of the honest man in power caught between public responsibility and loyalty

^{2639.} A. Koestler in 'Lotus and Robot' (London, 1963) remarks 'India is a democracy in name only, it would be more correct to call it Bapucracy' (p 156).

to his friends and associates. In our country also ministers and officials must be in a similar predicament, particularly when there exist numerous rules and regulations about permits and licenses galore.

The Directive Principles of state policy are contained in Articles 37 to 51 and it is provided in Article 37 that they shall not be enforceable by any court, but that they are fundamental in the governance of the country. Article 45 enacts that the State shall endeavour to provide within a period of ten years from the commencement of this constitution for free and compulsory education for all children until they complete the age It is more than twelve years since the of fourteen years. commencement of the Constitution. In spite of the fact that about 7000 crores of rupees were to be spent on grandiose schemes in the two Five Year Plans, this fundamental provision was not implemented. Even free and compulsory primary education of all children up to the age of eleven years (instead of 14 as envisaged in Article 45) is not accomplished and it is promised that in the Third Five Year Plan (which envisages an expenditure of over ten thousand crores of rupees) this may be accomplished. But, if the huge sums for the third plan be not forthcoming, the first casualty will be education The education of the masses who are to be voters and indirectly rulers of the nation continues to be sadly neglected even after Independence.

It is remarkable that the directive principles of state policy mostly contain provisions on the economic system for raising people's standard of living (Art. 43, 47 &c.), i e. it lays emphasis only on the material things for the people. It seems to be assumed that if material prosperity or benefits are assured for all, then there is nothing more to be done by the State present author feels that the Directive Principles should also have put equal or greater emphasis on moral and spiritual values and should have called upon the State to promote among the people high moral standards, self-discipline, co-operation, sense of responsibility, kindliness, high endeavour. Man is a many-sided being. The satisfaction of mere physical needs is not enough, Man has intellectual, spiritual, cultural and social aspirations The socio-economic pattern for the future must be based on the foundation of the best part of our traditions, the rule of dharma, the duties common to all as declared by Manu X. 63 and Yaj I. 122 A secular state should not and does not mean a godless state or a state that has nothing to do with moral and spiritual values. Prime Minister Nehru himself emphasizes this, when he says' whether religion is necessary or not, a certain faith in a worth-white ideal is essential to give substance to our lives and to hold us together. We have to have a sense of purpose beyond the malerial and physical demands of our daily lives' (in 'Today and tomorrow' p. 8). It may be stated that for most common men and women it is religion that sets worth-while ideals.

The third item in Lincoln's definition is 'for the people'. That is, the Government must look to the good of the people as a whole and not to that of any class or a community in the country. Modern democracy has come to mean parties and it has to work on decisions of the majority. happens that, there being several parties, no one party has an absolute majority over all other parties put together. It may often happen that one party secures 40% of the votes actually cast and the other parties (that differ in ideologies) secure. say 25, 20, 15, percent of the votes, then the first party with only 40 percent of the total votes cast rules, though it does not represent the majority of the whole country. The party system generally leads to a struggle for power and lowers the moral standards of the whole people, particularly when hardly one-fifth of the voters (both male and female) can read and write their own regional language. The present author does not hold that illiteracy necessarily means absence of intelligence. But, unless a man can read for himself and ponder over what he reads, he can hardly be a good judge of the pros and cons in relation to a measure or policy placed before the voters. Besides, the emphasis laid on standards of living without any direct reference to moral and spiritual values has already led to this result that in India the desire for material comforts and positions of power dominates almost every one and there is no corresponding urge or even idea to do one's best for producing goods. The laws are drafted in the English language. Most of the speakers in Parliament use English (a few only speak in Hindi) and complicated laws are said to have been passed by a majority or unanimously as the case may be. That country may be said to be the best governed which is least governed. There is a spate of legislation in the House of the People. In the seven years from 1950 to 56 nearly 450 laws were passed by the central legislature alone. Some of these laws vitally affect Hindus in their family relations and in other respects. A few examples only can be cited here. The Hindu Adoption Act went far beyond the ancient Hindu principles, which were two viz. that only a male could be adopted for purposes of spiritual benefit to the adopter and the person to be adopted should be in age and other matters like a son. Women could not adopt to themselves but a widow could adopt a son for the spiritual benefit of her husband. These principles have been thrown to the winds as will be seen from the criticisms of the present But one thing must be author above on pp. 1337-1338. mentioned. In some enactments affecting Hindu Law, customs have been abrogated vide Hindu Marriage Act 25 of 1955, Section 4, Hindu Succession Act 30 of 1956, Section 4 (1) The Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act (78 of 1956) prescribes that the person to be adopted must not be more than 15 years of age (section 10 item IV) and that there should be a difference of 21 years between a male adopter and a female adoptee and between a female adopter and a male adoptee (sec. 11 items iii and iv). But the provision in Sec. 10 is made subject to a custom to the contrary. It passes one's understanding why custom was allowed to prevail over the law in this case. The Hindu Marriage Act. 25 of 1955, has made sweeping changes of which the vast majority of Hindus are blissfully ignorant. Before the Act a Hindu could in theory (and rarely in practice) have two or more wives living at the same time and even an anuloma marriage (i. e. the marriage of a male of a higher varna with a woman born in a lower varna) was held to be invalid by some High Courts in India such as those of Allahabad and Madras Now under the present Hindu Marriage Act of 1955 the marriage is a monogamous marriage (section 5) and a person belonging to any caste may marry a woman of any caste how highsover or lowsoever she may be by caste; and further marriages between spouses belonging to the Hindu, Sikh, Buddhist or Jaina religions are now declared valid. It was suggested, while the marriage Bill was in the Committee stage. that the provision as to monogamous marriages should become compulsory when a similar provision would be made applicable to Muslims (who under the Koranic law can have four wives at the same time) But this was brushed aside through fear of antagonizing Muslims Other provisions about Buddhists, Jains and Sikhs being Hindus for the purposes of the Marriage Act, being a sweeping one at one stroke, is likely to be resented by almost all illiterate Hindus and likely to lead to tension and bitterness in many rural families and to break-up of the family as a central and compact unit in Hindu society. The orthodox people do not like such mixed marriages. It is not

unlikely that the orthodox sections in the country may join hands with the ignorant masses and set back the hands of the clock, when some years hence the ranks of the towering personalities of the Gandhi era whom people want to humour have become thin.²⁶⁴⁰

One of the outstanding characteristics of Hindu society for several millennia has been the joint family system of the Mitaksara type which prevails in the whole of India (except in Bengal which is under the Dayabhaga system). Its peculiarity is that all male members in the family form a coparcenary, that if any male of the family dies his interest devolves by survivorship on the remaining male members including his own male issue, if any, that females have no rights in the family property except marriage expenses and maintenance and no member of the joint family could transfer any part of the family property by will (not even the father) or by sale or mortgage except for certain recognised family necessities This joint family system and the caste system were the bulwarks that kept together Hindu Society for centuries, inspite of foreign invasions and misrule. The Hindu Succession Act (30 of 1956) makes two sweeping changes in the Mitāksarā joint family system. The explanation to Section 30 of the Act provides that any male Hindu may dispose of by will his interest in the coparcenary property. This is one drastic change. A similar drastic change was made by section 6, its provise and explanation read together. Briefly it comes to this. If a Hindu coparcener of the Mitaksara system dies after the Succession Act comes into force, leaving him surviving no son, but a daughter or the daughter of a predeceased son or the son of a preleceased daughter or daughter of a predeceased daughter, his interest in the coparcenary property will not pass by survivorship to the other surviving male members of the coparcenery but to the above mentioned descendants of his and the interest that would pass to these descendants would be the share in the coparcenary property that would have been allotted to him if partition of the coparcenary property had taken place immediately Before this Act, the daughter or the other before his death. heirs mentioned above would have got nothing in the coparcenary property when any male member of the family died sonless. These two changes make of the joint family of the Mitaksara

^{2640.} Vide what Mr Frank Moraes says (on p 85) in his biography of the Prime Minister Pandit Jawaharial Nehru (1956) about people being anxious to humour him.

type a mere shell. It was suggested when the Bill was before Parliament that Parliament should provide that the joint family system of the Mitaksara type has been abrogated and that all members of the point family after the passing of the Act would be only tenants-in-common. But this was not done. Why the Minister in charge or the members of Parliament felt squeamish about ending the coparcenary system of the Mitaksara is not clear to the present author. It looks like straining at a gnat while swallowing a camel. These and other changes in the old Hindu Law are possibly motivated by the desire to do unstice to the rights of women. But in some cases this is done with a vengeance. For reasons of space only one example of this type is cited. Under Section 8 of the Hindu Succession Act and the Schedule of heirs in class I and class II, if a man dies possessed of property leaving only his mother and father (and no sons or wife or other persons) the mother will take the whole estate of her son absolutely to the entire exclusion of the father, since the mother is placed among heirs of class I., while the father is placed in class II and the rule in Sec 8 (a and b) is that heirs put in class II succeed only if there is no heir in class I. Under Yaj II 135 the order of heirs to a man dying without male issue is first the widow, then daughter, then daughter's son or sons, then parents (pitarau, the dual is used). Some commentators held that the mother is to be preferred as heir to the father, others held that the father is to be preferred to the mother, while still others held that both parents should succeed together. In the Council of States (Rājyasabhā) the father was put in class I along with the mother, but in the House of the People the mother was put in class I and the father was put as heir in class II Article 15 of the Constitution prohibits discrimination on the ground of sex, religion, race &c. What difference can be made between the mother and the father of a man as heirs except on the ground of sex? Probably, the legislators wanted, in however small a way, to compensate women for past injustices to them The Hindu Law of Succession of 1956 goes even beyond Muslim Law in that it recognizes twelve categories of persons (in class I of Schedule) as entitled to succeed simultaneously and take the property of the deceased. In a few cases it is possible that the heirs of class I taking the estate of a deceased person may be twenty or even more, if the latter leaves five sons and five daughters, besides some children of predeceased sons and daughters There is probably no country in the world where so many persons are entitled to succeed simultaneously to

a deceased person's estate. The result would be that there would be great fragmentation of property and constant wrangles and litigation. This amounts to distribution of poverty. Under the Hindu Law before 1955 women as heirs to males took as a general rule a limited estate (i. e. a life estate) For example, if a man died leaving his wife and a brother or a brother's son (but no children) his widow would take a limited estate i. e. on the widow's death, the estate would go to the brother (if alive) or to the latter's sons &c. Now (after 1956) the widow would take an absolute estate and she would be able to dispose of it as she pleased (by sale, gift or will). Vide Section 14 of the Hindu Succession Act of 1956. Not only this that section has retrospectively conferred an absolute estate on the widows who succeeded as limited owners before 1956. Suppose a person died in 1950, leaving a widow and a brother. The widow would take only a limited estate and she could not sell it or will it away, if she died before 1956 the husband's brother would have succeeded to the estate. But suppose she is alive and in possession of her husband's estate when the Act of 1956 was passed. Her estate is at once enlarged. She can donate it to any one or leave it by her will to her own brother and entirely destroy the expectancy of the husband's brother to succeed to her husband's estate. This is equality of women with a vengeauce The mass of people know nothing about this at present. But when many cases come before the courts and the common people come to know the present law whereby the expectancies of male members of her husband's family are set at naught by the widow transferring the property to persons that are strangers there is bound to be great trouble. Of all the revolutionary changes in India none will have more pervading influence and meaning than the drastic changes in the legal status of women made by the laws affecting Hindus passed from 1954 to 1956. which enforce monogamy, make polygamy punishable, which provide the minimum ages for the marriage of women and men at 15 and 18 respectively, which allow divorce to both sexes on the same basic rules, which confer rights of inheritance and absolute ownership on a daughter and her children, that allow the husband or the widow of a person, if the deceased had not already adopted a son and a daughter before his death, to adopt a son and a daughter.

Several States (e.g. Bombay by Act LXVII of 1948, sec 5) have passed laws putting ceilings on the extent of the holding

of lands up to 48 acres or 24 acres according as the land is ordinary or bagat (irrigated). This is felt by common people as a great injustice, when there is no ceiling on the wealth of big merchants and the like. It may be argued that these very rich people pay income-tax and other taxes. The agriculturists also say that they pay taxes and that inflation has made the price of bare necessities go up.

Before leaving the subject of legislation vitally affecting Hindu society, some remarks will have to be made about the mentality of several Congressmen who appear to have great faith in making men moral by legislation. Article 47 provides that it is among the primary duties of the State to raise the level of nutrition, the standard of living of its people and the improvement of public health and that, in particular, the State shall endeavour to bring about prohibition of the consumption of intoxicating drinks and of drugs which are injurious to health The Bombay State and a few other States in India embarked on a policy of total prohibition of intoxicating drinks. If there was to be a total prohibition of intoxicating dripks, it should have been an all India measure. Several States refused to have the prohibition law because there is loss of revenue in two ways, viz. the income from excise duties on liquors is lost and large sums of money have to be spent on establishments for preventing people from manufacturing illicit liquor. Art. 45 about free and compulsory education of children of school-going age (up to 14 years) was not strenuously observed by the Bombay State but it wanted to enforce Art 47 at all costs. Besides, there being no prohibition on an all India basis. what is an offence under law in the Bombay State is not an offence in Delhi and some other States at all. That takes away the moral basis from the measure. Besides, prohibitionists forget human psychology. When something is prohibited or becomes rare, many feel a fascination in breaking the law or trying to possess what is rare. Illicit liquor produced and kept under the most unhygienic conditions is being sold on a large scale and persons knowing this dare not inform the police for fear of being stabled or killed by distillers of illicit liquor 2640a

²⁶⁴⁰a. L. P. Jacks in "Near the brink" remarks 'Social Reform might be defined as the process of creating the social problems of tomorrow by Acts of Parliament designed to solve the social problems of today' (p. 116) and on p. 118 he says that he never saw so many drunken people as during the years when prohibition was in force.

Minister's cook was found engaged in manufacturing illigit. liquor. In the present author's opinion prohibition has turned out to be a dismal failure, it tends to corrupt the police, it reduces revenue much needed for development purposes, illicit distillation has become a cottage industry and boot-leggers have acquired a vested interst in prohibition. Why horse-racing and betting are not prohibited by law is inexplicable, except on the supposition that many people entertain that Government is afraid of displeasing rich people who indulge in it. Wine and gambling have been besetting sins from the times of the Reveda. Vasistha pleads for forgiveness of sins from Varuna in Raveda VII. 86.6 saying that 'it is not one's own power that leads one to commit sin, it is fate, wine, anger, dice (gambling) and thoughtlessness. In Rg. X 34 a gambler laments over his Therefore, all that can be reasonably attempted own frailty. is temperance and reducing the spread of the drinking habit: otherwise new and greater evils not contemplated in the ardour for suppression emerge. Vide p. 1338 above for the warning given against startling changes based on Mr. Aldous Huxley's Another faddist attempt at improving morals by legislation is the recent Act prohibiting downles, which came into force on first July 1961. The giving or taking or abetting the giving or taking of dowry or demanding a dowry directly or indirectly from the parents or guardians of a bride or bridegroom is punishable with imprisonment extending to six months or with fine extending to five thousand rupees or with both. The definition of dowry punishable 2641 under the Act is rendered practically nugatory by the explanation that presents made at the time of a marriage to either party to the marriage in the form of cash, ornaments, clothes or other articles, shall not be deemed to be dowry within the meaning of the section, unless they are made as consideration for the marriage of the said parties. This, instead of relieving the parents of marriageable girls from the payment of a dowry, would give rise to blackmail on the part of busy bodies in the town or the village of the parties. If this latter does not happen the Act will ordinarily remain a dead letter.

²⁶⁴¹ The Act defines 'dowry 'as 'any property or valuable security given or agreed to be given either directly or indirectly (a) by one party to the marriage to the other party to the marriage or (b) by the parents of either party to a marriage or by any other person, to either party to the marriage or to any other person at or before or after the marriage as consideration for the marriage of the said parties, but does not include dower or Mahr in the case of persons to whom the Muslim Personal Law applies'.

Article 40 of the Constitution calls upon the State to organize village Panchavats and to endow them with the powers and authority necessary to enable them to function as units of In ancient and medieval times, village self-government Panchavats played an important part Under the British this system (which trained people in democratic functions on a small scale) was practically done away with Now after about two hundred years the present Government wants to revive Panchavats. In the different States of India village Panchavat Acts have been passed Until a large percentage of villagers become educated, self-reliant and men of character, the village Panchavats cannot function as the foundations of real democracy. It is very likely that either the dominant castes or the leading families or the bullies (aundas) in the village will canture the village Panchayats and use them for their own benefit A recent book 'Panchayt-i-raj' by Shri S. A. Dey (published hy Asia Publishiug House. 1961) paints a very roseate picture of Panchavats functioning in the 550 thousand villages of India. It all depends on disinterested workers of high character, otherwise this project will meet the same fate that overtook Brayne's work in Gurgaon after Bravne left.

Some people took it into their heads to decide that agricultural land should belong to him who tilled it. Therefore, in Bombay and some other States legislation was enacted, first holding that he who tilled another's land for six years was to be regarded as a 'protected tenant' (i. e. practically a permanent tenant): later on the owner was prohibited from selling the land to a non-agriculturist and ultimately(by Bombay Act. LXVII of 1948, sec 32) the tenant was made the owner, being liable to pay to the original owner the price fixed by the Revenue authorities spread over twelve yearly instalments. Whatever may be the case in Zamindaris, in the Deccan and some South Indian States the Ryotwari system prevails and persons belonging to the lower middle class purchased lands at high prices (not getting more than three or four percent as rent on the money invested) as land was deemed a stable investment. The present author, having been a practising lawyer for forty-five years, knows personally thousands of village people. In many cases although four or five years have passed away, the Revenue authorities have not set decided the prices of the lands over which ownership of crstwhile tenants has been declared. Most of the erstwhile tenants (now become owners) have not paid a pie for four years

and the real owners have been robbed of the benefit to be derived from the price, if paid, Supposing Government was solicitous of increasing production by making tenants owners there is no reason why Government should not have recovered the price or instalments as a revenue demand. There is no judicial probe in the ordinary courts of law, the appeal lies only to the Goyt (that means to the Collector), no owner is entitled to engage a pleader (sec 80 A) and very complicated provisions are made if the former tenant fails to pay each instalment But the present state of things is sheer spoliation and looks like robbing Peter to pay Paul and is a sample of what democracy might mean to people of the lower middle class. There is no evidence that by these measures production of food grains has greatly increased. But Congressmen are complacent because they know that in the elections the vast majority of illiterate agriculturists who are to benefit by such legislation will cast their votes in favour of oxen' adopted as symbol by the Congress party. Many States have introduced by legislation ceilings in land holdings. viz no man or family is to hold more then 48 acres of dry land and no more than 24 acres of irrigated land. Even agriculturists have now begun to grumble and to ask why no ceilings are placed on the accumulation of wealth, when they see rich people flaunting their wealth in cars eighteen or twenty feet in length, obstructing narrow roads and causing serious casualties 2612 Most people complain that the poor are getting poorer and the rich richer Government is under the policies of the present Government not able to hold the price line and agriculturists, workers in industries and the lower middle class are dissatisfied and there is great danger of communistic ideas spreading among the majority of the inhabitants of this ancient land, that once honoured sages Prime Minister Nehru said on the Congress manifesto for the elections of 1962 2543 'If the great majority of people in India for some reason or other become communists in the sense of thinking that way, it may be good or bad, I do not know, but I

²⁶⁴² A survey carried on by the Police authorities for some months in Greater Bombay (population 41 lakks) this year disclosed that motor accidents on an average caused one death every day, 13 people were injured and several dozens of accidents occurred every day in which motor cars were involved but no man was killed or injured

^{2643.} Quoted in Prof V K R V Rao's paper in 'Changing India' p. 241 in the Volume of papers presented to Prof. D R Gadgil on his claim birth-day

am quite convinced it would not be India, then it would be something else. I do not want that to happen, even though I want India to take up modern scientific techniques, scientific theories, economic theories, economic organizations. I accept all that to the extent it is good for India. It is for us to choose. I do not rule out any-thing but I rule out being uprooted from India.

What is ordinarily ment by 'Socialism' is collective farming, the abolition of the private ownership of the means of production and State Industrialization. Merely saying that the land should go to the tiller and carrying out that by legislation is simply robbing one person to benefit another. It is a long way off from that to socialist farming which would make the tiller a landless worker on the collective farm.

It is often said that ours is a 'Welfare State' and that what is aimed at is a socialistic pattern of society'. The Constitution does not employ these very words. But Art 38 appears in substance to mean that India would be a Welfare State, as it provides 'The State shall strive to promote the welfare of the people by securing and protecting as effectively as it may a social order in which justice, social, economic, political, shall inform all the institutions of the national life.' In the report of the Planning Commission on the 2nd Five Year Plan (which was accepted by the Government) the meaning of 'socialistic pattern of society' is set out as follows: 2614

The task before an underdeveloped country is not merely to get better results within the existing framework of economic and social institutions, but to mould and refashion these so that they contribute effectively to the realisation of wider and deeper social values. These values or basic objectives have recently been summed up in the phrase 'socialist pattern of society'. Essentially this means 'that the basic criterion for determining the lines of advance must not be private profit, but social gain and that the pattern of development and the structure of sociocomomic relation should be so planned that they result not only in appreciable increase of national income and employment but also in greater equality in incomes and wealth.' Major decisions regarding production, distribution, consumption and investment

²⁶⁴⁴ Vide p 9 of a draft outline of the 2nd Five Year Plan pub by the Flanciac Commission in February 1956

must be made by agencies informed by social purpose. The benefits of economic development must accrue more and more to the relatively less privileged classes of society and there should be progressive reduction of the concentration of incomes, wealth and economic power. The problem is to create a milieu in which the small man who has so far had little opportunity of perceiving and participating in the immense possibilities of growth through organized effort is enabled to put in his best in the interest of a higher standard of life for himself and increased prosperity for the country'. It appears from this and from what follows that 'socialist pattern of society' is not to be regarded as some rigid or fixed pattern. It is not rooted in any doctrine or dogma.

A booklet published by Sriman Narayan for the All India Congress Committee (2nd ed. of 1956, New Delhi) embodies the notable speeches of the Prime Minister Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru. He starts by saying that private enterprise is useful so far as our country is concerned, that we wish to encourage it: but the dominance which private enterprise had throughout the world during a certain period is no more and that for a planner it has now a secondary place. Later on (p. 2) he states that he uses the word 'socialistic picture of society' as meaning largely that the means of production should be socially owned or controlled for the benefit of society as a whole. On p. 12 he says (at Avadi Session) 'we have laid down that certain basic industries must necessarily be state-owned and there are other industries we should try to encourage the State to start. Theplan is an integrated whole and in that integrated whole the public and private sector must also be integrated. They are not rival shows.' On p. 19 he says 'The national aim is a welfare state and a socialistic economy.' 'Finance is important, but not so much as people think ' What is important is trained personnel. The only thing we have to guard against is inflation. Inflation is avoided if there is production corresponding to the money thrown in '. (p 20). 'Everyone in the country should have the primary things of life like food, clothing, housing, education, sanitation, medical help, employment, work' (p. 27). 'The objectives of India's Planning should be socialistic pattern of society It cannot be achieved by mere legislation, but it is all a question of planning' (pp. 30-31). 'Both Congress and Parliament have said that we want a socialistic pattern of society. Why have we used this vaque language? We have done

so deliberately because we do not want to commit our selves to any rigid formula' (p. 55). 'India wants a social revolution by peaceful means, peaceful methods, by mutual agreement, by working together. Hard work is the only way to progress.' Russia had to use force to do in 20 or 25 years what England did in 100 years (pp. 60-66).

These quotations taken from the speeches of the Prime Minister clearly establish that he does not want a totalitarian communism in India. He appears to favour a democratic socialistic pattern, But he himself admits that vague language about socialistic pattern has been deliberately used and that his idea is to build up in India by trial and error a sort of democratic socialism. But there is great danger in this. The present author is not aware of any large country in the world where a democratic socialistic pattern purposely clothed in vague language has been tried and succeeded. The language being vague and no defininite procedure being chalked out, a socialistic pattern would mean all things to all men and the socialistic pattern of society is vague enough to allow diverse interpretations.

Russia and China have been and are under totalitarian In Soviet Russia under Lenin and Stalin peasants. working men and intellectuals became statesmen and military commanders, the old economic principle of supply and demand was replaced by central control of all commercial relations, of all production and distribution among the large populations of Russia spread over vast areas, individualistic agriculture gave place to huge co-operative farms managed under the directives of the State, aristocracy and democracy were abolished and dictatorship of a party that monopolized all power emerged. Our leaders appear to have borrowed the ideas of co-operative farming and the State control of production and distribution of several commodities from the Russian example, but the present writer is afraid that without the coercive methods employed by dictators like Stalin, our experiments will not in a measurable period of time lead to the results desired and expected by our leaders.

Those interested in democratic socialism may profitably read Shri Ashok Mehta's work on it (published by Bharatiya Vidya Bhayan, 1959)

What appears to the present author is that our country is to have a mixed economy, in which private and public enterprise are supposed to work together to narrow the disparity or gulf between a small minority of haves and a vast multitude of have-nots. For example, Atomic energy, Railways, iron and steel, aircraft, ship-building are among Government industries, while the private sector is to be concerned with the manufacture of textiles, cement, alluminium, machine tools, chemicals and pharmaceuticals &c.

The present writer does not think that he is competent enough to criticize the means and methods that are being adopted by the leaders of the country and to say whether they are enough to meet the high promises made and the hopes raised by certain Articles in the Constitution such as 39 (part a of which declares that the citizens have the right to an adequate means of livelihood), 41 (providing for securing the right to work, to education and to public assistance in cases of un-employment, old age, sickness and disablement), 43 (the State shall endeavour to secure to all workers, agricultural, industrial or otherwise, work, a living wage, decent standard of life and full enjoyment of leisure and social and cultural opportunities); vide Articles 45 and 47 already quoted above (on pp. 1669, 1675)

But he will say a few words. The mass of people cannot be kept at a high level of self-sacrifice, great ideals and hard work for long. A dynamic and revered leader like Buddha may succeed for a time in securing this. But, when he passes away, there is gradually a relapse into conditions worse than what they were before. For the vast majority of people anywhere private gain is the chief motive for hard work and not mere requests or sermons. If that motive is abolished, then the majority will not work hard at all, though a few individuals of high ideals and moral character may work as hard as before. Or there will have to be a dictatorship, coercion and fear of being liquidated In the absence of these, production will be enormously reduced and there will be no improvement in standards of living. Russia and China are not under a Parliamentary democracy and they can therefore make people work without trammels, but in countries like U.K., U.S.A, even Japan the appeal of the motive of private gain is the chief Even in Russia incentives have to be held out for extra work and it is admitted that even after forty years of totalitarian rule, there are great inequalities of income, since the wages of an Academician and an average worker are in the ratio of 62:1. and (apart from academicians) the wages of high-placed executives and common men are in the ratio of 20:1 and there are no ceilings on income. 2646 Shri Vinoba Bhave placed his target about Bhumidana at fifty million acres but he got only about five million acres, half of which are not arable. Now the emphasis has shifted to 'Sarvodaya'. Shri Jayaprakash Narayan. who was first a Marxist out and out, then joined the P.S. Party, is now advocating the principles of 'Sarvodaya' in 2647 'Towards a new society' published by Mr. Prabhakar Padhye for the Congress for cultural freedom (New Delhi, 1958). Sarvodaya planning seems to be based on the assumption that a radical change in human behaviour and attitude to work and property will take place on a large scale without coercion. There is hardly any basis for any such assumption at present. The Prime Minister himself admits (vide p 240 of Prof V. K. R. V. Rao's paper in 'Changing India') that adequate motives and inducements have to be provided. The Indian Government more than any other purely democratic country in the world has extended the scope of public administration to embark on the production and distribution of economic goods while keeping at the same time full Parliamentary democracy. Gandhiji also called upon the millionaires to hold their vast fortunes in trusteeship. One should like to know the names of even a dozen millionaires in India that dealt with their wealth like trustees even in Gandhiji's lifetime.

Let us now turn to the third Five Year Plan, 2648 The vital

^{2646.} Vide 'The Democratic alternative' (p. 16) by Miss M A. Detaki, published in 1959 by the Indian Committee for Cultural Freedom, Bombay

^{2617.} Sarcodaya ideal is not different from the well known verse 'सर्वेडन शुचिन सन्तु सर्वे सन्तु निरामेचा । सर्वे भद्दाचि पत्रपन्तु मा कश्चिष् हु खमासुवात् ॥, " which means 'May all here (in this vorld) be happy, may all be free from diseases May all see prosperity and may no one experience sorrow.".

^{2648. &#}x27;Third I've Year Plan', published by the Government of India, Planning Commission, 1961 (774 pages) While these pages were passing through the press the author came across a book called 'Flanning in India' by Mr. V. T. Kushuzmachari (Orient Lougmans, 1961) who was a member of the Planning Commission from March 1950 and Deputy Chairman from Tebrury 1953 to June 1960, It is a valuable book based on official information and containing numerous tables and details. It requires to be carefully studied and invites criticism, but that cannot be done in this

objectives of the planners are stated on p. J. 'Rapid economic growth and expansion of employment, reduction of disparities in income and wealth, prevention of the concentration of economic power, and creation of the values and attitudes of a free and equal society. Where the bulk of the people live so close to the margin of poverty, the claims of social justice, the right to work, of equal opportunity and of a minimum level of living have great urgency'. On p 48 the principal aims are further elaborated and it is stated on p. 49 that the first priority belongs to agriculture and therefore the total outlay provided is 1718 crores of rupees as against 950 crores in the Second Plan and fertilizers to the extent of 800,000 tons are provided for as against 110,000 tons in the 2nd Plan.

Our country's great handicap is population and food production. Statistics for the growth of population 2649 are '(In millions). 1901 (235 5), 1911 (249), 1921 (248.1), 1931 (275.5), 1941 (314.8), 1951 (356.9), 1961 (438). This indicates that by about 1966-1967 the population of our country may be about 480 Article 39a (referred to on p. 1666) requires the State to direct its policy towards securing that every citizen has the right to an adequate means of livelihood. Our country has been an agricultural country from time immemorial, yet we have to import millions of tons of wheat and other grain for feeding our large population The finance minister in his speech on the Budget for 1961-62 states (p 79 para 36) that in May 1961 an agreement under P. L 480 was signed by Iudia's minister with the U.S A for the import in four years of 18 million tons of wheat, one million tons of rice and also certain quantities of maize, cotton, tobacco and soya bean oil This is the biggest agricultural commodities agreement ever signed between two countries. There were four previous agreements commencing from August 1956 but they were all on a lesser scale. The fifth agreement of May 1960 was for agricultural commodities worth 1369.8 million dollars (= 655.8 crores of rupees). The average intake of food in India is below the nutritional standards laid down by scientists. Ours is one of the poorest countries in the world as the following table 2650 for national income 'per capita for 1957 will show

^{2649.} Vide Tata Industries 'Statistical Outline of India for 1959', Table 8 for population.

²⁶⁵⁰ Vide Tata Industries 'Statistical outline of India' 1959, Table No 2 Vide 'Communist China today' by S, Chandrasekhara (p 136), who shows that the present figures about China are not reliable owing to a change of policy in modern China

Country	Rupees	Country	Rupees	ž
India	289 -	Italy	1922	
- Australia	5114	Japan	1200	_
Burma	222	U. K.	4561	
Canada	4946	U. S. A.	10124	
Ceylon	553	W. Germany	3530	

These figures show that in Ceylon the per capita income is double that of India, in Japan it is four times as much and in Germany over twelve times that of India. Both Germany and Japan were devastated by the 2nd World War and yet the people of both countries have in less than 15 years improved so much in production that both are giving substantial assistance to India. Our people and leaders should deeply ponder over this state of things and should strain every nerve in an all out effort to improve the country's lot instead of talking and mutual bickerings.

While these pages were passing through the Press. the author got a copy of 'India's Food Crisis and steps to meet it'' which is a report (of 254 pages) made in 1959 by a Ford Foundation Team of thirteen American Technicians and agriculturist specialists at the invitation of two Indian Ministers of Food and Agriculture and Community Development and co-operation It is a very important document, discusses seventy aspects of the problem and deserves close study by all Indians interested in India's welfare. It is issued by the Govt. of India. One or two striking matters can alone be referred to here. The report points out that the total population of India will be about 480 millions at the end of the Third Five Plan in 1966, that the target of 110 million tons of food grains by 1965-66 is reasonable and is necessary to provide food enough for the added millions and to provide for some dietary improvement and a safety margin for poor crops and other emergencies. The report recognises that India is making steady progress in food production, but the rate of production must be tripled to meet the Third Plan target. It gives the warning that if India's food production increases no faster than at present rates, the gap

between supplies and target will be 28 million tons by 1965-66, this would be 25 per cent shortfall in terms of need and that no conceivable programme of imports or rationing would meet a crisis of this magnitude (pp 3-4). The report suggests several emergency measures and measures to be carried on over a long period, which cannot be set out here. It stresses the need for research in agricultural economics. It recommends (p. 11) that the entire nation must be made aware of the impending food crisis and steps must be taken to meet it and warns that adequate supplies of food are essential to the survival of democracy. Two short-comings of the report may be pointed out. It does not tell us what funds would be required for research and for implementing the numerous other suggestions it makes. Besides, it says nothing about the birth rate in India and measures to curb the phenomenal rise in population.

According to U. N. Demographic Year book (August 1960) there are about 2900 million people in the world. More than half of the world's inhabitants live in four countries viz. China (669 millions), India (438 millions), Soviet Union (209 millions), U. S. A (178 millions). Population growth depends upon the birth rate and the death rate. Owing to scientific discoveries, epidemics or such diseases as malaria, typhoid, cholera, influenza are either eliminated or brought under control and therefore the death rate tends to be lower and lower. It is the birth rate that has to be controlled. The following table 265 for comparative birth rate and death rate in 1957 for eight countries is interesting:

Country	Birth Rate per thousand.	Death rate per thousand.
India Australia Canada Ceylon France Sweden U. K. U. S. A.	24 23 28 37 19 15 17 25	12 9 8 10 12 10 12

²⁶⁵¹ Vide table 12 'Comparative vital statistics, 1957' in the Tala Industries' Statistical Outline of India, 1959'

This table shows that the birth rate in our country is not very high or abnormal and the death rate is also similar to that of such progressive countries as France and U. K. Many Western countries have no definite population policy at Govt. level. But the case of our country is entirely different. Our country has already a huge population, most of which is on the margin of subsistence level. Supposing that by means of our two Five Year Plans the national income in the ten years (1951-1961) increased 30 percent, the growth of population in the same period would come to about 22 percent, so that most of the additional wealth produced would be eaten up by the new mouths that have to be fed and the standard of living of the average Indian would practically remain the same. Therefore. the main problem that faces the Government, the planners and the people is how to control the large growth of population. Population control will not solve all the problems of our country. but our other problems will not be solved without solving that problem. The Government of India and the Planners had no well thought policy about the control of population. Government appears to lay far more emphasis on industrialization and agricultural production than on population control. In the first Five Year Plan only 65 lakhs of rupees were allocated to the schemes of population control, in the 2nd Five Year Plan the allocation was raised to five crores The Planning Commission has now realized the gravity of the problem of the enormous growth of Indian population, has provided 50 crores of rupees in the Third Plan for the various schemes of population control (vide pp 676 ff of Third Five Year Plan) In the whole world about 100 people are born every minute, about 6000 persons every hour, about 140,000 every day and about five crores every year. This problem of the phenomenal growth of population overshadows in urgency and importance almost every problem of the contemporary world, much more so of India Very little is being done to control systematically the terrific growth of numbers. Sweden in the early 19th century was a povertystricken country, but now it is one of the progressive and prosperous countries of the world owing to hard work, co-operation, honesty, social and personal discipline. Birth control is a very difficult and delicate problem. Religious beliefs and projudices have to be taken into consideration. In Rgvedic times the prayer to God Indra for a newly married bride had been 'O bountiful Indra! may ten sons be born of her and make her husband the eleventh (member of the family).' Later on

some kindly soul took compassion on women and made the blessing to be 'of eight sons'. The Mahabharata said that a sonless man does not reach blessed worlds (heaven &c). By the birth of a son the father was deemed to have discharged his debt to his ancestors and the water and pindas (balls of cooked rice) offered by the son to his deceased father and other deceased ancestors were supposed to be of great efficacy for the peace of the departed souls. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II pp. 560-61, 799 and above p. 1626 This importance of a son or sons is believed to this day by almost all illiterate or educated people in India except a few men and women of advanced views Educated brides sometimes request that the old mode of blessing for eight sons should not be followed. Therefore, in such cases the formula has to be changed to 'may you have as many sons as you desire'. The Smrtis lay down that the husband has to cohabit with the wife after the first few days of her monthly illness and that he incurred the sin of embryo murder if he refused to do so without good cause. Vide H. of Dh. Vol. II pp. 570-71 and note 1327. The Markandeya Purana (Chap 14.5-6) goes a little further and gives a story in which the husband who neglected this owing to his partiality for another charming wife had to undergo torments of hell. The present high prices of the necessaries of life induce many people to limit families by various devices. The Planning Commission is going to try various measures such as intensive education. provision of facilities and advice on the largest scale possible. providing family planning services at 1864 rural and 330 urban medical and health centres, numbers of sterilization centres, opening 6100 clinics in rural areas and 2100 in urban areas (in 2nd Plan only 549 urban and 1100 rural clinics), distribution of simple contraceptives, research programme for more effective contraceptives etc. The 3rd Plan reports that in the 2nd Plan period 125000 sterilization operations were carried out (p. 678 of Third Plan). The present author is not an expert in these matters and so will content himself by pointing out that sterilization and, particularly contraceptives, are double-edged weapons. Sterilization for males is easy and may become popular among well-to-do men for selfish reasons (such as not wanting children and not undertaking the task of bringing them up). Therefore, a rule would have to be made and rigorously enforced that no sterilization will be done unless the man has three children or more. Vide a very thoughtful work 'Fertility and Survival' by Alfred Sauvy tr. from French by hristine Brooke-Rose (1961). Birth control is practised in

West Europe, North America, Japan exclusively by the well-todo type of people. The same might happen in India. The most serious objection against contraceptives is that they will lead to immorality and encourage promiscuity in both sexes. Gandbiji in his 'Self-restraint versus self-indulgence' (3rd. ed., 1928) stated that so far as India is concerned there is no case for contraceptives (p. 3) and advised self-restraint. But he might have changed his opinion if he had been alive today. To advise poor people to practise self restraint, when most of them live in hovels of one room or two rooms, who are uneducated and have no ambitions and pass life in abject poverty, sounds to them as a huge joke. The well-to-do have many interests in life and several outlets for their energy but for the poor there are very It is interesting to note that the Prime Minister in his 'Autobiography' (1936) strongly criticizes Gandhiji's praise of poverty, suffering and ascetic life as utterly wrong, harmful and impossible of achievement (p 510) and regards Gandhiji's attitude to sex as extraordinary (p. 512).

Dr. Radhakrishnan in 'Religion and Society' (published in 1947) concedes (p. 189) that the use of contraceptives cannot be altogether forbidden, quotes Dawson to the effect that birth control by abstention is either ineffective or, if effective. pernicious (p. 90), that objections to birth control by contraceptives are due to their abuse and that in the present conditions facilities for birth control must be available, especially to poorer classes (p. 191). Contraceptives would have to be harmless to use, cheap, easy to use and absolutely reliable. The author is not sure if there are contraceptives of this type. Japan, a small country of islands, was compelled by the rapid growth of population to legalise abortions. Vide 'Aggression and Population' by F. James Dawson (London, 1946) for a thoughtful book on how to check worldwide growth of population. A thoughtful and exhaustive work is 'Population and planned parenthood in India by S. Chandrasekhar, Director of Indian Institute for population studies, Madras (revised Edition of 1961).

The total investment programme for the Third Plan comes to Rs. 10400 crores (p. 109), Rs. 6100 crores in the public sector and Rs. 4300 crores in the private sector. The public sector has to find Rs. 7500 crores in all (that is Rs. 6300 for investment plus 1200 crores for current outlays in personnel &c (p. 91)

Resources for the Third Plan (p. 100)

TABLE 3.

 Balance from current revenue Contribution of Railways Surpluses of other public 	CENTRE 410 100	STATES 140	TOTAL 550 100
enterprises 4. Loans from the public 5. Small Savings 6. Provident funds 7. Steel Equalisation Fund 8. Balance of miscellaneous	300 475 213 183 105	150 325 387 82	450 800 600 265 105
capital receipts. 9. Total of 1 to 8 10. Additional Taxation 11. External Assistance 12. Deficit financing	428 2214 1100 2200 524	258 826 610 26	170 3040, 1710 2200 550
Total	6038	1462	7500

Besides the States have resources for 3rd Plan amounting to 1416 crores.

The two five year plans have given our leaders a certain mastery over the situation and some confidence abut the future. But Mr. Nanda has to admit that the productivity and level of efficiency are very low. Foreign aid raises difficult questions as to how to match it with an adequate mobilization of domestic resources. The mass of people are poverty-stricken, have a low standard of living and therefore can save little. High prices, which is a concomitant of development plans in all countries, are making common people discontented.

Foreign assistance runs into thousands of crores of rupses, nearly half of which comes from U.S.A. The United States Information Service has prepared a detailed statement of assistance to India for ten years from June 1951 to 4th August 1961 and circulated it to many Indians from which some striking figures are quoted here. A statement brought up only to June 30 of 1961 gives slightly smaller numbers as compared with the statement given below which brings up the figures up to 4th August 1961.

U. S. Government aid consists of grants and loans. Grants are gifts and do not involve any repayment. The grants in ten years total 11285 million dollars (537.4 crores of rupees). Loans involve repayment, some in dollars and some in rupees or dollars at the option of the Indian Government. Repayment in rupees does not involve the utilization of India's foreign exchange earnings or the export of goods from India. Eighty percent of U. S loans to India up to Aug. 4, 1961 (2192.1 million dollars) are repayable in rupses i. e. that portion of the loans is not so inconvenient as the loans repayable in dollars are.

On p. 110 of Third Five Year Plan the foreign exchange requirements are put down at 2030 crores of Rs. for plan projects. But there are other general needs of the economy by way of raw materials, components, replacement machinery to be provided for. It is estimated that Rs. 3800 crores over the five years would be not too high. On p. 114 it is stated that the total assistance for the Third Plan assured by foreign countries is 2286 million dollars (Rs 1089 crores) out of which the USA has agreed to provide 1045 million dollars (just under half of the total foreign assistance), West Germany 425 million dollars, U. K. 250 million dollars, Japan 80 million dollars, and small sums by Canada, France &c.

U. S. Aid from the Inception of the Aid Programme to India in June 1951, through August 4, 1961.

	DOLLARS (Millions)	RUPEES (Crores)
Aid under Indo-American technical		
co-operative programme	496.4	236.4
Public Law 480, Title I	2337.3	1113,0
Famine Relief grants to Indian		
Government	10.4	5.0
Agricultural commodities distri-		
buted through voluntary		
agencies (Public Law 480,		
Title III).	116.8	53.6
Development Loan Fund	513 4	244.5
Export-Import Bank	246.9	117.6
Wheat Loan of 1951	189,7	90,3
Total	3910.9	1862.6

BREAKDOWN

Grants to Indian Government for economic development (not		
repayable)	1128.5	537.4
Famine relief grants to Indian		•
Government (not repayable)	10.4	5,3
Agricultultural commodities distri-		
buted through voluntary		
agencies (PL. 480, title III)		
(not repayable)	116.8	55.6
Loans repayable in dollars	43 6.6	307.9
Loans repayable in rupees or		
dollars	1755.6	836.0
Cooley fund for loans to private		
enterprises.	162.3	77.3
Amounts under PL-480 Title I		
reserved for US Govt. use.	300.8	143.1
Total	3910.9	1862,6

The preceding table shows that in the last ten years, India has become indebted to the USA in the huge sum of 2655 million dollars (some payable in dollars alone and the rest in rupees if India chose that way). In the Third Plan USA has agreed to provide India up to 1045 million dollars i. c. at the end of the 15 years from 1951 India may owe to U.S.A. 3700 million dollars, that is roughly about 1756 crores of rupees (at Rs. 4; per dollar). India will probably owe a larger amount to all the other lending countries taken together. The most serious question is how and in how many years India will repay the The explanatory debts that run into astronomical figures. memorandum accompanying the Central Budget for 1961-62 shows that India's public debt stood at Rs. 949 crores on 31st March 1939. On the 31st March 1962 it will reach the huge figure of 5554 crores. Adding the liability of Govt. to repay various deposits placed with Govt, under the Post Office Savings Bank, National Plan Savings, Provident Funds, Saving Deposit Certificates etc. which account for Rs. 1868 crores, India's obligations would really come to 7422 crores of Rq. (5554+1868), at the end of March 1962 Our interest yielding assets are only Rs. 5725 crores. If we add to this huge sum the debts our Govt. will owe to U.S. A. and other countries as shown in the

above table, at the end of the third plan India would be indebted in the colossal figure of about Rs. 11000 crores.

While these pages were passing through the Press, the author received a statement from the USA Information Service in Bombay, which brings the figures up to 12th March 1962. It states that the American Economic assistance to India from June 1951 to 12th March 1962 totals 4118 million dollars (= 1960,9 crores of Rupees) as shown in the table below:

		DOLLARS (Million)	RUPEES (Crores)	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
1.	Grant			
	(not repayable)	1,291,2	614.8	31.3
2.	Loans repayable in			
	dollars.	608.2	289.6	14.8
3.	Local Currency			
	repayments.	2,218	1,056.5	53.9
		4,118.0	1,960.9	100,0

It is not necessary to go into other details. The loans are repayable at different dates, some being repayable in forty years and some carrying a very low rate of interest. It may, however, be noted that out of about 4000 million dollars aid to India since Independence over half has been in the form of P. L. 480 Food for commodities. The Food Minister of India, Mr. S. K. Patil, assures us, however, that India would become completely self-sufficient in food by the end of the Third Five Year Plan and points out that during the last decade, foodgrain production in India rose from 50 to 80 million tons a year, an increase of 60 per cent. But it should not be forgotten that the population of India in the same ten years increased by about 22 per cent over the population in 1951.

The Welfare State theoretically aims at (Sarvodaya) prosperity for all (at least for the vast majority). Up to recent times, the main obligations of the State towards its people were the administration of the country, the defence of the country and its coast against aggression or invasion, maintenance of law and order, primary and higher education. The ambition of the framers of the Indian Constitution and our leaders is to create a welfare state, and to reconstruct the present social and economic order on a socialistic pattern by subjecting the country to a planned economy. Several vital industries are now reserved

for the State and Government has assumed power to regulate production, to control distribution and to fix even the prices of some commodities absolutely necessary to all men in daily life. It has also established the State Trading Corporation. Taxation has become an ever-increasing instrument of economic policy for carrying out the plan projects. Apart from the income-Tax Act (XI of 1922 recently consolidated by Act 43 of 1961) our democratic Government passed one after another four Acts, viz. the Estate Duty Act (XXXIV of 1953), the Wealth Tax (XXVI of 1957), the Expenditure Tax (XXIX of 1957) and the Gift Tax (XVIII of 1958). There is no other country in the world that has all the above five taxes. Is India the only welfare country in the world? There is a sense of uncertainty and fright in the middle classes and tax dodging in the rich or influential sections of the community. Reasons of space prevent the citing of illustrations of the arbitrary character of the recent four Acts. In all these Acts the opinions of the Goyt, officers (viz. Gift Tax officer, expenditure tax officer, and wealth tax officer and higher officers) in an executive ladder under the same Acts on questions of fact (such as the value of a property) are final and cannot be questioned in any court of justice (i. e. in a subordinate judge's court or district judge's court or High Court). If a creditor releases a debtor from his debts (wholly or partly) the creditor will have to pay gift tax on the debt released if the gift tax officer is of opinion that he could have recovered the debt by means of legal steps. No man can make a gift of more than 100 rupees at one time to a private person or even a near relative (except to his wife) and if he makes several gifts to the same person in one year exceeding five hundred rupees he will have to pay gift tax. In all cases of questions of fact one appeal should have been allowed to a district court or where the amount concerned was more than ten thousand rupees to the High Court. It should be recognized by policy-makers that our people have great faith in the integrity of the High Courts and the district judges who are selected by the High Courts and whose promotion does not depend on the Executive. They have not much faith in the fairness and integrity of Appellate Commissioners and Tribunals that are appointed by the Ministers, when the dispute is between private persons and taxing authorities on behalf of Government. Writers on the constitutions of countries have for many years emphasized two leading features viz. the sovereignty of Parliament and the rule of law. Parliaments are capoled into

passing legislation whereby courts of justice are evaded or passed by and the will or caprice of executive officers becomes unfettered and supreme. This is what is called the 'New Despotism' by Lord Chief Justice Hewart of Great Britain. 2559 In the name of the Welfare State it seems that all power is being centralized in bureaucracy by our leaders.

Most common pepople in India have a very vague notion of what socialism means. They believe that socialism is based on Marxism, 2652 Marx holds that revolution is the weapon or means by which the workers are to seize power, that his theory is scientific and based on a study of facts. He himself declared that he was no 'Marxist' (vide 'Naked God' p. 3 by Howard Fast, New York, 1957 and 'New Class' by Milovan Djilas p. 4 London, 5th Impression, 1958). These words of his are explained by Dr. Radhakrishnan as meaning that he was not pledged to the acceptance of a doctrine, final, complete and oppressive (vide 'Religion and Society,' 1947, p. 25). This is not the place to discuss the premises and predictions of Marx. But a few words may be said here. Marx had predicted that communism would prevail first in the most developed and industrialized nations. What happened is quite the opposite of this. Communism occurred in countries like Russia and China that were relatively very much less developed and industrialized. Another of his predictions was that the system of private ownership would make the rich richer and the poor poorer, would

^{2652.} Vide 'New Despotism' by Lord Chief Justice Hewart (Earnest Benn Ltd. London, 1929) p. 17 (a long passage from which is quoted by R. S W. Pollard in 'Conscience and liberty' (Allen and Unwin, London, 1940) Vide also 'Bureaucracy triumphant' by C. K. Allen (London, 1931). All these taxing enactments have created a high ladder of officers appointed by the executive. For example, under the Wealth Tax Act there is first the Wealth Tax Officer who decides what tax 13 payable, then there is an appeal to the Appellate Assistant Commissioner (Sec. 23), then there is a further appeal to the Appellate Tribunal (Sec. 24), then there can be a reference to the High Court on a point of law (Sec. 27-28) and finally an appeal to the Supreme Court (Sec 29): This is sheer barassment and practical denial of justice. After a private person has run to three different officers or official bodies then only the High Court comes in, if at all, and that too on a point of law. It should be remembered that the wealth tax officer has often to determine the value of properties worth lakbs of rupees and on points of fact there are appeals only to the first officer's superiors and there is no provision for a probe of facts by a court or judicial officer independent of the executive. A similar procedure is provided in the Gift Tax, the Expenditure Tax and Estate Duty Tax.

breed ruinous depressions and in the end the angry proletariat would revolt. would overthrow the exploiting classes and establish a communist society. This second prophecy has not materialized in almost all highly industrialized nations. On the contrary, several democratic States have provided security against unemployment, sickness and old age and in some countries employers have admitted representatives of workers into the management of industries. The 'Communist Manifesto' is the official Bible in the U.S.S.R. A recent work 'The 'Anatomy of the Soviet man' by Claus Mehnert. translated from German by Maurice Rosenbaum (1961), appears to the present author to contain a balanced and fair estimate of the working of Communism in U.S.S.R. He points out that the desire to rise socially and amass wealth and power is as common to the Soviet Union as to the rest of the world (p. 84), that the New Class does not constitute a bourgeoisse in the West European sense, that it is a State bourgeoisie, all members work for the State and are dependent on it (p. 73), that social distinctions are greater in U. S. S. R. than in U. S. A. (p. 109), that the greatest disproportion prevails between the incomes of Academicians, artists and writers on the one hand and ordinary men and workers on the other. It appears to the present author that Communism has so far been the best means for the exploitation of the proletariat.

No great Indian leader of the present day has offered a lucid and considered statement of what the philosophical foundations of Indian socialism are or should be, nor has any leader placed before the people a clear and full picture of the Indian socialistic pattern of the future in all its aspects. In the Plan structures welfare seems to be identified with bread, physical and material comforts. Marx denied God and advocated the forcible liquidation of the exploiting classes. Our Constitution does not mention God (except in schedule III about oaths and affirmations of Ministers and M. P.'s) nor does it emphasize moral and spiritual values, though the founding fathers of the U.S.A. prescribe (in the Declaration of American Independence) "All men are created equal. They are endowed by their creator with certain inalienable rights; among them are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Of course it is wrong and unscientific to say that all men are created equal if literally construed (as even children of the same parents are not equal in height, strength and mental equipment). All that is meant is that all men are equal in the eye of the law or have the same rights before the law. Truth

and non-violence are not mentioned in our Constitution, though these were the basic principles from Upanisad and Smrti times (as in Chandogya III. 17.4, Manu X. 63, Yaj I. 122) and for Gandhiji by whom all Congressmen and their leaders swear. Lands of thousands of men of the middle class of small means were taken away from them by changing the fundamental right to property bestowed by Art 31 (as originally enacted), by preventing recourse to law courts and by giving illusory hopes of some compensation not yet even determined after several years. This may not be liquidation of opponents, yet it is a cruel thing all the same in the name of democracy and Welfare State.2653

Borrowing in the country itself and from foreign countries has been resorted to on an increasing scale.

Table 3 above (p.1689-30) will convey some idea of the vast amounts of debts our country owes and will owe to U.S. A. and other countries. How and in how many years the nation will be able to pay back these debts with interest is to ordinary men a source of grave anxiety and an insoluble problem. Among the sources for the plan are included Provident Funds. Post Office sayings bank accounts. National Plan Certificates &c. These are really debts, though not demandable on any one date or year. Deficit financing which did not form part of the central budget in past years has now become a regular item. budget of the central Govt. for 1961-62 exceeds 1023 crores. For 1962-63 the revenues are estimated at 1329.87 crores of

^{2653.} Vide a book called 'Social Welfare in India' issued on behalf of the Planning Commission, Government of India, New Delhi, 1960. People are likely to make a confusion between 'Welfare State' and The latter phrase refers to more specialized work for the weak or more vulnerable sections of the population (such as women . children, physically handicapped persons like the deaf, dumb and blind. mentally retarded, scheduled castes and tribes and backward classes) Welfare State wants to change the pattern of life of the whole community or country Our Constitution in Article 15 (paragraphs 3 and 4) authorizes the State to make special provisions for women and children and for the advancement of backward classes, scheduled castes and scheduled tribes and Article 16 para 4 authorizes the State to make provision for the reservation of appointments or posts in favour of any backward class of citizens. Articles 338-312 deal with the appointment of a special officer for the scheduled castes and scheduled tribes and with the appointment by the President of India of a Commission to report on the administration of scheduled areas and scheduled tribes and for specification from time to time of the castes, races or tribes in a list of the scheduled castes and tribes

rupees and the expenditure at 1381.65 crores of rupees and it is announced that a total deficit of about 150 crores would have to be met partly by additional taxation of 60 crores and 80 lakhs of rupees. It must be remembered that most of these taxes are indirect and the major part of the income from such. taxes is due to levies on articles of everyday necessity to common people who are already groaning under high prices of absolutely necessary commodities. The Bombay Government's Budget for 1962-63 intended to tax the poor man's bidis and our (laggery). About 7000 crores were put in the State sector in the 1st and 2nd Plan projects and 7500 crores are proposed to be spent in the Public sector in the 3rd plan period. Thus at the end of the 3rd Plan (in 1965-66) Government will have spent about 15000 crores of rupees. Tremendous power will be concentrated in the hands of ministers and the official bureaucracy. generally known how Government has dealt with or will deal with this vast amount, what projects have been undertaken, which of them are completed, the cost of the completed projects, yearly yield from the projects that are completed, steps that are being taken to repay debts and to what extent. fervent appeals made by leaders to common people to co-operate with Govt. but the Govt. does not seem to be anxious to take people into confidence by widely circulating through newspapers, press-notes or otherwise information on the above mentioned The vast sums spent on projects have caused inflation. Besides, during the two five year Plans population increased by 77 millions. This accentuated the problem of unemployment and on p. 47 of the book above referred to it is admitted that at the end of 10 years the backlog of unemployment is estimated at nine millions.

Sir W. Beveridge in 'Pillars of Security' (1944) names five giants with which humanity has to struggle viz. want, disease, ignorance, squalor and idleness. (p. 43) The last, that is, unemployment, should be the first point of attack. Art. 41 of our Constitution confers the right to work, to education and to public assistance in case of unemployment, old age, sickness and in certain other cases. It is not easy to give full employment for all. The State must try to limit numbers and provide not merely for literary education but also for vocational and technical training on a very extensive scale. Industrialization is necessary but it is not an unmixed blessing. Discussion of these matters would require far more space than can be afforded in this chapter.

One last word before taking leave of the subjects of Democracy, Welfare State. Socialistic pattern, legislation. planning for social and economic reconstruction. In the new set-up public administrators have become the brains behind the production of economic goods. They have, however, to do in the new sphere work which is entirely different from their traditional work in the Government of former times. Production activity in modern days demands bringing together and co-ordinating various skills and complex tasks to which administrators in Govt. offices are often strangers. How far they will be able to accomplish the new tasks undertaken by them is a matter which admits of no certain answer. But the most perplexing and baffling question is: Can all-comprehensive national plans under which the State either owns or controls most aspects of economic activities in Agriculture, Industry and Trade be implemented democratically by Parliamentary control? The present author is very much afraid that the combination of economic and political power in the hands of a few ministers. high ranking officials and politicians would be so overpowering as to reduce the present Parliament (based on universal adult suffrage, only 23 percent of the population being literate) to a mere shadow and may in some respects at least narrow the liberties of men almost as much as the totalitarian State does.

Let us now turn to the reform and reorganization of Hindu Society and religion. The Portuguese came to India towards the end of the 15th century and acquired some territory on the Western coast of India. But owing to religious intolerance and persecution by them not much impression was made by them on Hindu society. But the case was different with the British, who did not imitate the Portuguese in the matter of religion, but wanted trade, wealth and power. On account of the British rule established over a large part of India from 1765 A. D. Indians came in contact with modern English literature and modern science. The earliest Indian reformer in modern times was a Bengali, Raja Ram Mohan Roy (1772-1833). founded the Brahmo Samaja in 1828. Some of the great names in the effort to reorganize and reform Hindu society and religion are those of Devendranath Tagore (1817-1905), Keshub Chandra Sen (1838-1884), Ishvarachandra Vidyasagar, Dayanand (1824-1883) who founded the Aryasamāja in 1877 and who regarded only the Vedas as authoritative, Rāmakrishna Paramahamsa (1834-1886) and his great disciple Swami Vivekananda (1863-1902), who founded the Ramkrishna Mission

for propagating Vedanta and doing charity work among the poor and the needy, M. G. Ranade (1842-1901) who was closely connected with the Prārthanāsamāja of Bombay, Agarkar Phule, Rabindranath Tagore (1861-1941), Gandhiji (1869-1948), Dr. Karve (who founded the Women's University in 1916). Those interested may usefully read 'A Century of Social Reform' by S. Natarajan (Asia Publishing House Bombay), G. N. Farquhar's 'Modern religious movements in India' (Macmillan, 1917), W. T. de Bary's 'Sources of Indian Tradition', New York, 1958 (pp. 604-659).

There is a great ferment of ideas in India at present. Many of our countrymen, whether young or old, do not derive any inspiration from their religion. It is not the fault of religion, but the fault lies with us and our predecessors who did not and do not exhibit to us the core of our culture and religion, do not sift the essentials and separate them from the unessential accretions due to superstitions and wrong emphasis. men of to-day feel bewildered by the disagreements between scientific knowledge and the traditional beliefs. The result is that traditional values and codes of conduct are disintegrating and various views are being bandled about. There are now several distinct thought-forms on matters religious or spiritual. One class calls itself sanātanıs whose view is that the traditional codes of conduct and beliefs were established by the all-wise sages of old and therefore modern half-baked reformers have no right at all to introduce changes in them. There is another class (allied to these) of those who have studied such modern sciences as eugenics and biology, who oppose change on the ground that our traditions embodied in the caste system and the restrictive rules about marriage are most scientific and no change should be made in them and if made disaster will follow. There is another class of people who say 'why do you quarrel with us? Time will bring about all necessary changes'. There are other people who go to the other extreme and deny the existence of any divine or spiritual realities and values in the Some believe in the efficacy of laws to introduce desired There are people who say 'take the essential values of Indian culture as foundation and build thereon a structure in keeping with the needs of the times'. Hinduism has always been growing and changing traditions (vide for examples above pp. 1267-72 and 1471). The changes, however, were not brought about by legislation but were the work of commentators and digest-writers, the result being a bewildering variety of laws, customs and usages, religious and spiritual views in different parts of India. India, being always divided into many kingdoms before the advent of the British, there did not exist any legislative authority that could pass laws for the whole of India. Ancient and medieval Dharmaśāstra writers held the view that the king had no authority to interfere with Śāstric rules governing varnas and castes. Vide H. of Dh. vol. III pp. 98–101 for the proposition that there was almost total absence of legislative power in the king according to Dharmaśāstra writers. Changes in practices and beliefs, however, did take place, but it was learned commentators that by various devices tried to support changes that had already taken place (vide pp. 1267–1272 above). There are really three well-marked classes of people, viz. Sanātans or no-changers, the iconoclasts and those who want a synthesis of the old and the new.

The question is what should be conserved or discarded from the old practices and what new ideals or values should be added and assimilated. Reasons of space forbid saying much about values here. Values are largely moulded by environment. Only a century or two ago, slavery or racial inequality and pride, sweating children of tender age in factories were accepted in Christian countries as morally neutral or at least not disreputable. But at present some of these, if not all, are generally In India also values have changed condemned as immoral. from time to time. At one time animal sacrifices were quite reputable and of high other-worldly value. Later in the Upanisad times Ahimsā came to the fore. But there are certain values of our culture that have endured for three thousand years, viz. the consciousness that the whole world is the manifestation of the Eternal Essence, restraint of senses, charity and kindness. Now we are in an age of democracy and the important values of democracy are justice, liberty, equality and fraternity. But unfortunately many of those who sing paeans of democracy in India hanker after power and are in the grip of selfishness and hatred. Lord Acton wrote "All power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely." Kautilya said more than two thousand years ago that power warps (intoxicates) the mind. Vide H. of Dh. vol. III p. 114 and n. 151 for quotations.

Many young men have in these days hardly anything which they believe as worth striving for whatever the cost may be, and hence they have nothing to practise as an ideal. We have to preserve a religious spirit among common men and

women, while getting rid of superstitions and beliefs opposed to all science and common sense. It is not the age-old principles of Hindu religion that are at fault, it is modern Hindu society that has to be reorganized, particularly when ours is now a democratic republic; and our leaders must make supreme efforts for many years to preserve equality in the midst of very great economic inequality, liberty which is likely to be overwhelmed by mighty parties and social groups and democracy against demagogues on the one hand and plutocrats on the other.

We must not shut our eyes to the peculiar and formidable difficulties of our country.2651 Even the present India (i. e. Bharat) is a vast country. There are in present India adherents of eight great religious systems (Hindu, Buddhist, Jain, Sikh, Moslem, Parsi, Christianity and Judaism), besides some tribes following none of these, but their own primitive systems: there are different States based on 14 different languages (mentioned in the 8th schedule to the Constitution of India), besides six Union territories and about 200 recognized dialects. These are likely to produce movements towards complete provincial autonomy and cultural separation. There are great contrasts among the inhabitants, at one end the primitive tribes and the people who were untouchables and at the other end highly educated men and, in between the two, groups of illiterate people numbering at present about 77 per cent of the whole population. After centuries of conquests by This opens alien people our country has gained independence.

For a somewhat captions and depressing portrayal of our difficulties by an apparently unsympathetic and unhelpful critic, vide 'India, the most dangerous decades 'by Selig S Harrison (Oxford University Press, 1950). The trend of the whole book is mainly to drive home one point viz, that democracy will not live or be successful in India, The book, it is believed, is mainly intended for non-Indian readers. The author insists too much on the obscurantist tendencies of some Indian people in the matter of caste. If he felt real sympathy and real friendship for the new democracy in India, he should have emphasized the points that make for unity in India, viz. the absence of an organized Church (like Protestant or the Roman Catholic Church), that Hinduism is a personal religion, that Hundus can easily adapt themselves to the requirements of modern democracy as shown by the three elections held so far without any serious disturbances when there were millions upon millions of voters, men and women. If he really wanted to help the Indian people, he should have stressed matters that favour democracy and not constantly barped on North India and South India, on Hindi and anti-Hindi, many States and language difficulties

for us a new vista of creative thinking and work. We need not give up the basic principles of Hinduism. but recrientate them to meet new and more complex conditions and work out a changed social order. Everybody says that what is wanted is an emotional integration of Indians and some have suggested that the caste system should be destroyed. caste system were a tangible thing it could have been easily and quickly destroyed. But it is not tangible. Legislation cannot destroy it. Only a change of heart with appropriate remedies and vast efforts persistently made over a long period may accomplish this Herculean task and not glib talk by people that hardly ever practise in their lives what they preach. In the present author's opinion States purely on linguistic basis should not have been created at all, but once it was resolved to create them, that should have been strictly adhered to and clear definite principles should have been laid down by the Central Government about assigning border villages to one State or another. The Central Government leadership has been most vacillating in this respect. For exmple, there were at least five different proposals put forward on Bombay City alone viz. a bilingual state (in which Bombay City was merged), a city State for Bombay alone with separate states of Gujarat and Maharashtra, central administration for five years, a return to the bilingual formula, and lastly separate Maharashtra (including Bombay City, Berar and Marathwada) and a separate State of Gujarat, with about 200 villages taken over from the Khandesh and Thana districts that had been part of Maharashtra for over one hundred years.

The caste system, the joint family system and the laws of succession and inheritance that are peculiar to Hindus are really social matters and not religious matters at all. Our Constitution and recent legislation deal with all the three, holding that these are social matters. As shown above, the Constitution abolished untouchability, the Hindu Marriage Act has done away with all prohibitions against marriages between persons of different castes and a Hindu can have marriage relations with any Hindu (including Buddhist, Jain and Sikh) and can take any Hindu woman as spouse subject only to the rules of sapunda relationship and prohibited degrees for marriage. The Hindu Succession Act has practically done away with the joint family system as shown above, though it has not been expressly abrogated

What is wanted is not mere declamations against the caste system and fervent appeals for emotional integration of all Indians but a comprehensive code or scheme of beliefs and day to day conduct. The present writer cannot enter here into a detailed code or scheme which might require a volume by itself but some concrete proposals if set out here may induce more competent writers to give better and more exhaustive patterns. Let there be churning of thoughts. It is possible that in the beginning one may meet with formidable and fearful difficulties as in the mythological churning of the ocean, but let us hope that, even after the emergence of frightful things, the final result would be blissful, as in the churning of the ocean poison emerged but the whole effort was crowned with the appearance of amrta at the end.

We must not despair of solving our difficult problems. Despair means destruction and death. We must not lose courage by the condition of our country for the last few centuries. We should rather look to our achievements during about three thousand years and take to heart the following advice of ancient sages of the Dharmsastras such as that of Manu. Manu says (IV.137)2655 one should not despise oneself on account of previous failures; one should seek (make efforts for securing) prosperity till one's death and should not regard prosperity as unattainable.' To the same effect is Yaj, when he says 'One should never despise a learned brahmana, a serpent, a ksatriya (a king) or oneself; one should desire to secure prosperity till one's death and should not touch (i.e. make public) another's weak points (misdeeds &c.)' We are over 43 crores of people, we are proud of the achievements of our forefathers. If we work hard in a concerted and intelligent effort for years with the sole object of bringing about the highest development of the country and without ambitions of securing individual profit or fame there is no reason why our country should not outstrip or at least equal other countries in the world. The Isopanisad 2 (Vai. S. 40.2) ordains for all common men 'one should wish to live for a hundred years, always doing here (in this world) actions (prescribed by the sastra).' The Ait. Br. (chap. 33.3), in the story of Sunahsepa insists on men being always active and emphasizes that prosperity does not come to him who does

^{265%} नात्मानमवमन्येत पूर्वाभिरसमृद्धिभिः। आ मृत्योः श्रियमविच्छेद्धैना मन्येत टुर्लभाम्॥ मञ्चः IV. 137; विभाहिक्षत्रियात्मानो नावज्ञेयाः कवाचन। आ मृत्योः श्रियमाकाद्भक्षेत्र काचिन्मर्माणे सृष्ठोत्॥ या I 153.

not work hard (nānāśrāntāya śrīrastīti). So early as the Reveda (IV. 33.11) it is emphasized that Gods do not befriend anvone who has not become tired by hard work (na rte śrantasya sakhyaya devah).

In 1934 some persons under the guidance of Swami Kevalānanda Sarasvatī of Wai (Satara District) started a society which later came to be named 'Dharmanirnayamandala' 2655 (society for arriving at definite conclusions on matters of Dharma). The Swami was a very learned man and a Sannyāsin, did not know English, but he held very modern views. There were fourteen original founder members of whom the present author is one From 1934 to 1959 eleven sessions attended by hundreds of people were held at Thana, Poona (twice), Lonavla (thrice), Ahmednagar, Badalapur (Dist. Thana), Vardhā (in Berar), Akolā (in Berar), Wai and Bombay. At the different sessions resolutions were passed (in all 82) on several social and religious matters and a committee was appointed to prepare model prayogas (procedures) for Sandhyā, congregational (Sāmudāyıka) prayer, upanayana, marriage, univesti (funeral rites), śrāddha, remarriage (of widows), re-conversion to Hinduism &c That Committee prepared under the guidance of Swāmī Keyalānanda such prayegas shorn of unnecessary details and with translations in Marathi, which can be had from Mr. Kokaje of Lonavla. Among the resolutions the following deserve special mention usages have been changed from time to time by former learned men (sistas) and the learned men of the present day have the authority to introduce changes in usages as the present circumstances may require; that the untouchability of the people of certain castes be abolished (Art. 17 of the Constitution of India subsequently did this), that those sub-castes that have similar usages.

²⁶⁵⁶ The original name was 'तत्त्वनिष्ठ-पश्चित्तंनवादिपरिषद् । (Assembly of people who favoured the making of changes based on some fundamental principles) Seven of the original fourteen members died subsequently viz, Stāmī Ketalānanda, Mahāmahopādhyāya Śrīdharaśzstri Pāthak (who became a Sannyasın under the name Sankarananda bharati), Sadasıvasastri Bbide, Dr K. L Daltari, Mr. J. S. Karandikar (editor of the Poona 'Kesari' newspaper), Mr C. M. Saptarshi (Advocate, Abmednagar) and Prajnancsvarayatı, Tarkatirtha Raghunathasastrt Kolaje of Lonavla (Dist Poona) vas the Secretary. Among the other original members are Mr. N. G. Chapelar (a nonagenarian, retired First Class Subjudge), a scholar of wide reading including Vedic literature, Dharmasastras, sociological studies and Turl tirtha Lal smanishästri Joshi of Wai, v ho Luows English well, studied uli Darsanus and Sastras under Swami Kevalananda and is a critical scholar.

samskāras and rules about food should merge and such merger is not against Dharmaśāstra; there is no objection to any Hindu sitting in the same row with other persons of different varnas provided vegetarians are served vegetarian eatables; that Hindus including untouchables are entitled to study the Veda and have upanayana performed with Vedic mantras and priests conversant with the upanayana procedure should be ready to do so, if invited; if any one takes to another religion owing to force or fraud or of his free will and wants to revert to the Hindu fold he should be allowed to come in after proper expiation and after undergoing a simple vidhi 2657: marriages of spouses of the same gotra and prayara should be allowed provided there is no bar on the ground of sapinda relationship (vide Hindu Marriage Act, 25 of 1955, sec. 5 items 4 and 5); that divorce he allowed among the castes in which it is not allowed at present on the ground of conversion to another religion of one of the spouses &c. (almost the same grounds as in sec. 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act of 1955); a Hindu widow succeeding as heir to her husband takes an absolute estate except when any of the following relatives of the husband are alive, viz. daughter, daughter's son, mother, father, brother, brother's son, paternal grand-parents, paternal first cousin (vide Hindu Succession Act, 30 of 1956 sec. 14 which goes further by omitting the near relatives specified above). Most of the decisions mentioned above were proclaimed from ten to twenty years before our Constitution came into operation.

Among the standing complaints against brahmanas one has been that they debarred millions of people called 'Śūdras' from Vedic studies. Therefore, the Mandala announced that all Hindus (including the so called untouchables) should observe the worship of the sun, repetition of the Gāyatrī-mantra (Rg. III. 62.10, Tai. S. I. 5. 6. 4, Vāj. S. III. 35) and reading or recital of the Bhagavadgītā (whole or part) every day, since these common observances would help in creating the sentiment of

^{2657.} Vide H. of Dh Vol IV pp. 828-830 where the 'Hindūkaranavidhi', prepared by the Dharmanirnaya-mandala for re-admission to Hinduism is set out in Sanskrit. This re-admission vidhi (in Sanskrit) has been translated into Marathi and Hindi.

cultural equality among all Hindus. The procedure of morning and evening (sandhyā) worship is brief and is quoted below.²⁵⁵⁸

In order to cultivate a sense of unity among all Hindus (including the so-called untouchables), the Mandala prepared a congregational prayer (to be recited by all Hindus in a congregation once in a month) consisting of the following eleven passages culled from the Vedic literature, viz. Atharvaveda X. 8.1, Rg X. 82.3, I. 164.16, Vāj. S. 25.21, Rg. X. 121. 10, Tai. Ār. 4.42.5, the Gāyatrīmantra, Br. Up. I 3 28 (asato mā sad-gamaya, tamaso mā jyotir-gamaya, mrtyor māsmrtam gamaya!, Rg. X. 191.2-4. In many villages some people tried to introduce this congregational prayer, but after some time most people complained that they found it difficult to commit to memory and recite the Vedic passages and requested that similar congregational prayers be prepared in Hindi and therefore the Mandala prepared a brief prayer of five verses in Hindi.

The above lines would only briefly illustrate how we would have to proceed if we want an emotional integration of all our countrymen, particularly on the social and religious plane. But we must not forget what the foundation of our culture and civilization has been throughout the past ages. Ancient sages laid the foundation by insisting upon this that there is and must be harmony between man's spirit and the spirit of the world and man's endeavour should be to realize in his actions and in his life this harmony and unity. The Upanisads teach that man gains by giving up (by renunciation) and exhorts man not to covet another's wealth (Isopanisad I 'tena tyaktena bhunnitha mā grdhah kasya svid dhanam').

²⁶⁵⁸ मात स्नाला पूर्वाभिष्ठलः, सार्य हस्तौ पादौ च मक्षाल्य पश्चिमाभिष्ठलः आसमे उपविश्य आचम्य प्राणानायम्य श्रीपरमेश्वरभीत्यर्थं मात सम्हर्ण्यं कुर्यात्। श्रीपरमेश्वरभीत्यर्थं सार्यसम्भणेपास्ति करिण्ये हृति सायम्। तत अध्येमदानम् । ऑ श्रुष्टं सः । तत्सितिवर्षणं मार्गो देवस्य धीमाति । धियो यो नः प्रचीद्यात् । श्रीस्पायं इदसध्यं दत्त न मम इति त्याग । इति विवारम् । अध प्रात्तद्याय सायमात्तीनः अष्टोत्तरक्षतं दशस्य विन्तान्त्रां स्थियो यो नः प्रचीद्यात् ॥ इति मन्त्रस्य वा—ऑ सूर्यं च तत्सवित्वर्षण्यं मार्गो देवस्य धीमाति । धियो यो नः प्रचीद्यात् ॥ इति मन्त्रस्य जय कुर्यात् । तत उत्सवत्वत्रं एष्टकेकर्षं यम सूर्यं प्रात्यापत्य व्यूष्ट रहमीन् समृष्ट तेजः । यन्ते स्त्यं कत्याणानमं तत्ते पश्चाप्ति । योऽसावसी पुरुषः सोहमस्मि (ईशावास्योपनियद् 16) इति । तत कर्मसमाति सोदनं बूपात् । अनेन सम्ब्योपासनाल्येन कर्मणा भगवान्यरमेश्वरः भीयताम् । अते तत्मत् । क्षिति हिराचामेत् ।

Two lines referring to Gotra, Pravara, Veda, Sīlbā and Sūtra and to milling an obcisance to Guru are omitted

²⁶⁵⁹ Many thoughtful men in the West have been influenced immensely by the advanta Vedanta and its emphasis on sound moral (Continued on next page)

The Bhagavadgitā emphasized doing one's duty without hankering for the rewards thereof. Science cannot answer such fundamental questions as occur to every thoughtful person viz. what is the ultimate cause, is it brahman, what is our origin, by what are we sustained, what is our destiny (goal), being influenced by what do we persist in paths leading to pain and misery (Śv. Up. I. I.). They are answered by the ancient Upanisads, but not by science so far.

The chief aim of Indian culture and civilization was not to attain military and political power over other countries and peoples: it neglected to organize Indians for offensive and defensive purposes, nor encouraged the starting of immense corporations for the acquisition of wealth. But in these days of keen competition between nations and peoples we must not only keep a vigilant eye on the eternal principles of our culture but also on the worldly happiness of our countrymen in the midst of the warring elements in the world. Our people often took and take to premature vairā aya (renunciation), while Western nations and men have been laying for the last few centuries great store by extreme activity. Now that our leaders are thinking of remodelling our society and spiritual life they must cultivate qualities whereby they at least will be sthitapraina i. e. fully developed or ideal souls (Bhagavadgitā II, 55-68) or men of God (Gitā XIII, Social reforms and politics have to be preached 13-18). through our age-old religion and philosophy.2661 If a large majority of our people and the leaders throw away or neglect

⁽Continued from last page)

preparation before one can realize the One Essence underlying the universe; vide 'What Vedanta means to me a Symposium edited by John Yale (Rider & Co, London, 1961), in which the views of 16 writers are set out. That of Aldous Huxley summarizes (pp. 19-20) the Upanisadic doctrine in the same words as on p 1631 above. The same summary he gave in his novel 'Time must have a stop' 1945, p. 289

^{2660.} ब्रह्मप्रादिनो धदन्ति। किं कारण ब्रह्म कुतः सम जाता जीवाम केन फ च संप्रतिष्ठाः। अधिष्ठिताः केन सुखेतरेषु वर्तामदे ब्रह्मविदो व्यवस्थाम्। श्वेताश्व. उप. I. 1; को न आत्मा, किं ब्रह्मेति। छा उप. V, 11, 1.

^{2661.} Our politicians seem at present to be treading the path humorously quoted from an American writer long before Independence by Prime Minister Nehru in his Autobiography' Politics is the gentle art of getting votes from the poor and campaign funds from the rich by promising to protect each from the other '(p 131). In the same work Panditji says that Gandhiji's reference to Rāmarājya as a golden age jarred on his ears and that his close associates half humorously said that when svarājya (Independence) came these fads must not be encouraged (pp. 72-73).

religion and spirituality altogether, the probability is that we shall lose both spiritual life and social betterment. This is not the place to say more on this point. Vide pp. 1478-79 above for the sound moral preparation required before one realizes the pervasion of everything by brahman and pp. 1623 ff. for the proposition that the One Principle is variously named by sages, which taken together lead to universal brotherhood, kindliness and tolerance. From time immemorial all religious cults in India (except Buddhism and Jainism) recognized that there was One Principle (or Deity of some kind) and in the immortality of the The tremendous march of science and mastery over some of the forces of nature has gone to the heads of many modern men and made them conceited. Science can disclose to us at the most secondary causes; but it has to be silent as to the ultimate cause and the ultimate destiny of man. It cannot tell us what the purpose of life is, it cannot tell us anything about moral values. The present and the following generations have to be trained in an environment where spiritual life, love of truth, sense of and belief in the brotherhood of man or at least of our own countrymen, love of peace and sympathy for the oppressed would be held to be supreme virtues to be attempted by all people.

It is most difficult to suggest in a brief space a code of conduct for all the millions of India. But for men of limited education and busy life a few suggestions by way of illustrations may be set out. The idea of pollution by the touch of men belonging to certain castes must be given up and much more therefore the idea of pollution by the mere shadow of certain people. ²⁶⁵² Swāmī Vivekānanda (Works Vol. V. p. 152) was so angered as to say "The religion of India is at present dont touchism". Reason and science must be resorted to for checking or abandoning dogmas based on ancient authority. The myths and legends of the Purānas on the origin of the universe, about eclipses and so forth that are not credible in the light of

^{2662.} Vide H of Dh of Vol II p. 173-174 on the Smrti rules about shadow (chāyā) showing gradual excess of exclusiveness in later times मिला विक्रुट्टाया गारित्व सूर्यस्काय । रखी पूर्वासुरक्षित्र स्पर्शे मेध्यानि निर्दिशेत्। मह

Vide above p 242 where it is established that long before the first half of the 6th century, Indian astronomers had arrived at the correct causes of solar and lunar eclipses but even up to this day educated men often act in such a way that it seems that they believe in the demon Rāhu being the cause of eclipses

science should not be included in the religious creeds of these days and should be treated as mere myths and legends. Many Christians even in these days (and most Hindus and Moslems) believe in a heaven above and a hell or hells below. But Sabara 2663 (in the first centuries of the Christian era.) holds that beaven is not a place (vide pp. 1211-1215 above). Therefore, heaven and hell as described in ancient Sanskrit works cannot be made an article of faith for modern men. It is often said that myths may be represented as facts to simple people for the sake of beneficial results (e.g. for inducing them to perform sacrifices or to observe rules of morality &c). But this is not proper as there are dangers in this. In these days of growing popular education, when the myth becomes exposed, the men who once believed it not only give up that myth but also might give up everything contained in ancient works as unbelievable. Space does not permit any elaborate cataloguing of what should be given up and what striven for in these days. Values, aims and institutions that were accepted by almost all people only a few decades ago are now challenged and new patterns are in process of being moulded. The chief catalytic agencies are modern science and Western thought and literature. The old structure of beliefs is tottering and laxity in morals has made great headway. But whatever happens, we must so regulate society that the family as a social unit 2664 is preserved and safeguarded, every child of whatever class or race must have equal opportunities of education, man's daily work must be held to be divine work and worship, great inequalities of wealth and possessions must be eliminated.

Swāmī Vivekānanda uttered the following exhortation long ago "The ignorant Indian, the poor and destitute Indian, the Brahman Indian, the Pariah Indian is my brother." "Repeat and pray day and night 'O Lord of Gaurī | make me a man'" quoted in "Sources of Indian Tradition" by W. T. de Bary and others (New York, 1958) p. 659. Vide Atharvaveda XII. 1.45 for universal brotherhood of all men whose mother is the Earth.

^{2663.} Vide above pp. 1224-25 for criticism of Sabata's view that Veda invented stories that never happened.

^{2664.} In 'This I believe' (philosophies of 100 thoughtful men and women in all walks of life) edited by E P Morgan (London, 1953), Sir Charles Darwin (author of 'New conception of matter and the next million years' and grandson of the author of 'the Origin of species') emphasizes 'the importance of family as the continuing unit of human life' (p 30).

This volume (the last in the History of Dharmaśāstra) may be brought to a close with a quotation from the Kathopanisad²⁶⁶⁵ and another from Rabindranath Tagore's Gītāñjali:

'Get up, awake and having secured highly gifted (teachers) understand (the Truth), the sharp edge of a razor is difficult to pass over, thus the wise say that the path (to Realization) of Self) is hard' (Kathopanisad).

Where the mind is without fear and the head is held high;

Where knowledge is free;

Where the world has not been broken up into fragments by narrow domestic walls;

Where words come out from the depths of truth,

Where tireless striving stretches its arms toward perfection;

Where the clear stream of reason has not lost its way into the dreary desert sand of dead habit;

Where the mind is led forward by thee into ever-widening thought and action—

Into that heaven of freedom, my Father, let my country awake.

²⁶⁶⁵ उत्तिष्ठत जाग्रत माय्य बराजियोधत । श्चरस्य धारा निशिता दुरस्यया दुर्वे पथस्त-रजवयो वदन्ति ॥ कठोप, III, 14



EPILOGUE

Many friends and well-wishers of the author and some readers of the volumes of the History of Dharmasastra have often (personally and by correspondence) pressed him to furnish some biographical details about himself, about the circumstances in which he launched on this undertaking, about the preparations he made, about the time and labour that this undertaking cost him and also what money it brought to him (a few asked even this).

To write an autobiography is a most difficult and delicate matter. In an autobiography one has often to use the words 'I', 'Me', 'My' etc and the writer is liable to be charged with egotism. If he is very frank about his own failings and faults, he may be accused of exhibitionism. I do not propose to say much about my parents or my ancestors or about my marriage and family life or my likes and dislikes. I had my own share of anxieties, troubles and sorrows, but I shall not say much about them, since the blessings that were showered on me far outweighed the anxieties and sorrows. A brief account of some aspects of my long life may, I hope, be of some interest and help to those who have to face problems similar to those that I had to face.

I was born on 7th May 1880 m a village called Pelhem or Parasurama because it has a large and famous temple of Parasurāma, an avatāra of Viṣṇu and the patron saint of several brāhmaṇa sub-castes (such as the Citpavana) I near Chiplun in the Ratnagiri District at my maternal uncle's house My father belonged to a priestly family in a village called Murdem near Khed in the Ratnagiri District. My father had learnt by heart a great deal of the Rgveda and was being trained for priesthood till the age of 18. He did not like the profession of a priest and left for Poona to learn English along with a friend of his boyhood, the late Shankar Balkrishna Dixit, who later on became famous for his Marathi work on Indian Astronomy which was admired by Dr. Thibaut. Mr. Dixit and my father passed the Matriculation Examination of the Bombay University in 1873 My father studied for the Pleader's examination held in those days by the Bombay High Court, passed it and began to practise as a Taluka lawyer at Dapoli in the Ratnagiri District from 1878. Besides Vedic lore, my father studied the principal Upanisads

and the Gita and had many of the former by heart. He practised as a lawyer for about forty years, then retired and passed away in 1925. We were nine children, six brothers and three sisters. I was the eldest of the sons and one sister was older than myself. In my early boyhood my father taught me some elements of astrology and advised me to commit to memory the verses of Amarakośa (of which I had 400 by heart before I was 12 years of age). In 1891 I joined the S. P. G. Mission's English High School at Dapoli and passed the Bombay University's Matriculation Examination in 1897 and stood high among the successful candidates. While at school, I began to suffer from hyper-acidity, consequent acute stomach pains and vomiting at the age of 16 and had to leave school for nearly a year. At the time when I passed the Matriculation there was an epidemic of Bubonic Plague in Bombay and Poona, where there was high mortality. My father was not willing to send me (whose health was already delicate) to those places where alone College education could then be had. So he asked me to study law under him. I studied it for two weeks, but being repelled soon by the dry study of law, 1 wrote a letter to Dr. Machichan, who was then Principal of the Wilson College in Bombay (and reputed to be very kind), conducted by the Scottish Mission, asking him whether I could be enrolled as a student in absentia. He asked me to send Rs 36/-, a term's fee, get myself registered as a student and stated that as the epidemic was at The Bombay its height the University might condone absence University later on did so. I did not attend College in the first term. The epidemic abated, I joined College in June and appeared for the first year's examination of the Bombay University in November 1898 (which was then called the Previous Exam.) and was awarded a scholarship of Rs. 175 and a prize of Rs. 100 for being the first among the students whose second language was Sansknt, This was the first lucky accident in my life. Life is a mysterious business It is full of lucky incidents or chances and one must be able to take advantage of them by one's own efforts. There have been many such incidents and disinterested friendships in my life and I have hardly ever had an enemy to my knowledge in the whole of my rather, long life. The ailment of my boyhood pursued me at college, pursues me even now and has become worse, but I did not allow myself to be much disturbed by it, controlled my diet and led a regular and struct life. At the second year's examination in Arts (called Intermediate) I was awarded a scholarship of Rs. 180

(lump sum) for standing first among students taking Sanskrit as a Two years afterwards I appeared for the B. A. second language. examination in 1901 and was awarded the Bhau Daji Prize for proficiency in Sanskrit and stood first among the students of the Wilson College An idea about how delicate I was in 1901 when I was 21 years old may be had from the fact that, though I was 5 feet 4 inches in height, I weighed only 98 pounds. After the B A, examination I was a Daksina Fellow at the Wilson College for two years and lectured to the first two years' classes at the Wilson College on Sanskrit about three hours a week. In 1902, I passed the First LL. B. examination in the First class and in 1903 the M. A. examination and was awarded the Zala Vedanta Prize of Rs. 400. The peculiarity of this prize is that the paper set is in Sanskrit, the answers are to be written in Sanskrit in three hours, the chief examiner was to be a Śāstri who was proficient in Śāńkara Vedānta and had studied it under the old traditional methods. As my father had yet to spend for the education of several sons he asked me either to enter the Education Department as a High School teacher or to become a lawyer in a subordinate court. I did not like the latter idea and applied to the Director of Public Instruction, Poona, for appointment as a teacher in a Govt High School Here again Dr. Machichan helped me by recommending me highly to the D. P. I. I was appointed a teacher in the Govt. High School at Ratnagiri in August 1904 on a salary of Rs 60 per month raised to Rs. 65 per month after a few months (having been an M. A. with five scholarships and prizes in Sanskrit). I was at the Ratnagiri Govt. High School for three years I appeared for the S. T. C. (Secondary Teacher's Certificate) Examination held by the Department in 1905 and stood first in the whole of the Bombay Presidency (including Sind in those days). In the same year I submitted an essay on 'Aryan Manners and Morals as depicted in the Epics' for the V. N. Mandlik Gold Medal of the Bombay University and was awarded a prize of books worth Rs. 150 For this essay I read both the Mahabhārsta and the Rāmāyana. Till now I have read the Mahābhārata thrice and I have yet got the notebooks of extensive extracts, particularly from the Mahabharata In the next year I appeared for a Departmental Examination for Honours in Teaching and secured first class in 'Logic in relation to teaching' The same year (1906) I submitted a paper on 'the History of Alankara Literature' for the V. N. Mandlik Gold Medal again and was awarded the medal. At the end

of this year I lost my younger brother by T. B. I was transferred at my own request to the Elphinstone High School in Bombay as 5th assistant on Rs. 75 in April 1907. There were over 40 teachers in that High School and about 750 students from the 4th to the 7th standard. I was made Head Sanskrit teacher (there were three teachers of Sanskrit and 12 classes in Sanskrit). Towards the end of 1907 the post of Assistant to the Professor of Sanskrit at the Poona Decean College (on Rs. 125 p. m.) fell vacant and I applied for the post. But I was not appointed and another person who was an M. A. in Sanskrit, but had won no prize, scholarship or medal in Sanskrit at any examination from the Matriculation to the M. A. and who was 9th Assistant in the Elphinstone High School (where I was 5th assistant) was appointed to the post, because he was a favourite student of the D. P. I. when the latter was Principal of the Deccan College. I sent a protest through the Principal of the High School. I was informed that a competent authority in Sanskrit had recommended that the person chosen was superior to me in Sanskrit and when I requested the D. P. I. to let me know the name of the competent authority I was informed that my letter was an impertment one and deserved no reply. This added insult to injury. This happened in December 1907. I decided to appear for the 2nd LL. B. examination in November 1908 and then to leave Govt. service. My supersession created a great deal of criticism in the Department and almost all persons sympathised with me and helped me in various ways. I appeared for the 2nd LL. B, examination in November 1908 and passed it. This created an impression in the Education Department that I meant serious business. Therefore, as a sop to my injured feelings. I was appointed to act as Professor of Sanskrit at the Elphinstone College from February to April 1909 in place of Prof. S. R. Bhandarkar who had been deputed on some Govt, work. I reverted to the High School at the end of April 1909 and began to cast about where to practise as a lawyer I was not inclined to practise as a lawyer in subordinate courts and decided that, if I left service, I would practise on the Appellate side of the High court, where it is a battle of wits and of hard work and one had not to do what a lawyer practising in the subordinate courts had to do. At that time, the late Mr. Daji Abaji Khare was almost at the top of the Appellate side Bar (called Vakils of the High Court). He had some large estates at Dapoli (my native place) and knew my father and myself, I approached him for advice. He told me that I must

have with me at least two thousand rupees in cash, if I wanted to practise in the High Court and to stick to it. I had then not a pie with me and my father who was already sixty years old and had to educate other sons, declined to help. In less than two years from June 1909 I brought out two school books and one annotated book in Sanskrit (the Sāhityadarpaṇa) for College students and was also appointed an examiner in Sanskrit at the Previous and Intermediate Arts Examinations. I thus collected two thousand rupees, resigned from Govt, service at the end of June 1911 and applied for a Sanad (after paying Rs 500 as fee for enrolment as a Vakil of the High Court of Bombay) with a certificate of good moral character from Mr. Khare and was enrolled as a Vakil of the High Court on 5th July 1911 when I was in my 32nd year.

Work was slow in coming and the first two years were rather bleak. Having not much to do, I appeared for the LL. M. examination in Hindu and Mahomedan law in 1912 and passed it. From 1911 to about 1918 I brought out every year some book or books such as the Kādambarī of Bāna in three parts with ample notes, the Harsacarita in two parts, and the Uttararamacarita. I also conducted a private law class for coaching students for the High Court Vakil's examination (in which 60 percent marks were required for passing). This brought in a steady income of about Rs, 100 per month for four years from 1913 to 1917 and, what was more important, this task of teaching single-handed the vast field of law made me proficient in all complicated legal topics. In the meantime, in 1913 I was appointed Wilson Philological Lecturer to deliver six lectures on Sanskrit, Prakrit and allied languages for a lump sum of Rs. 750. In 1913 I became an ordinary member of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Assatic Society and a life member (by paying a lump sum of Rs 500) in January 1915 At the beginning of 1915 I was appointed by the Bombay University a Springer Research Scholar for two years on a salary of Rs 100 per month, the subject of research being 'Ancient Geography of Mahārāstra' (part published in JBBRAS, Vol XXIV for 1917, pp 613-657). In 1916 I worked as Honorary Professor of Sanskrit at the Wilson College, when Prof. S R Bhandarkar, who was permanent Professor, fell 11l, and I icotured for three hours a week to B A. classes on the most difficult part of Rāmānuja's Bhāsya on Vedāntasūtra

In 1917 June I was appointed as a Professor of Law in the Govt.

Law College at Bombay. This was again a case of an unexpected event. The Chief Justice of the Bombay High Court had recommended for a vacancy in the law college two names from among the Vakils on the Appellate side Bar of the High Court, one a very senior gentleman and myself who had less than six years' practice. The senior gentleman for some reason (not given out) refused at the last moment and on 20th June, the day on which the Law College was to open. I received a wire in the Vakil's room from Government stating that Govt. proposed to appoint me as a Professor of Law from that day and that if I agreed I should see the Principal. This was a comfortable 10h, the salary being Rs. 350 a month and the duties light viz three or four hours per week in the evening from 5-45 p.m. to 6-45 p.m. I was Professor of Law for six years (1917-1923). Hardly any Vakil with less than six years' practice on the Appellate Side of the High Court had been appointed before me as Professor of Law.

I had undertaken about 1911 an edition of the Vyavahuramayükha with explanatory notes on the advice of Prof. S. R. Bhandarkar who was one of the General Editors of the Bombay Govt.'s Sanskrit Series. But, owing to fluctuations in my own fortunes, I had neglected the work and had almost decided to give up the undertaking altogether. The Bombay Govt.'s Sanskrit Series came to be transferred by Govt to the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute that had been started in Poona in 1917. The authorities of the Institute pressed me to carry out my undertaking. I agreed and began to read the vast Dharmasastra Laterature for that purpose. The edition of the Vyavahāramayūkha of Nilakantha (text based on three printed editions and eight mss., an Introduction of 47 pages and exhaustive notes) was published in 1926 by the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, Poona. In the brief Preface to that edition of 1926, I announced that I had undertaken to write the History of Dharmasatra Literature

Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar had expressed a desire to donate his, large library of thousands of books to some Institute that would properly house them, take care of them and make it a centre of Sanskrit studies. Dr. Belvalkar, Dr. Gune and several others supported the idea and about thirty people including myself contributed Rs. 500 each for the purchase of a big vacant plot of 30 acres in Poona and, after setting aside nearly half of the purchased plot for.

the Institute to be named after Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar, distributed the rest among the original contributors as plot-holders. The public and Govt. supported the Institute and the famous Tatas donated money to construct a suitable building. The first project undertaken was the publication of the critical edition of the Mahābhārata. Govt. made grants, transferred the Bombay Sanskrit Series to the Institute, the Chief of Aundh promised a lakh of rupees for the Mahābhārata edition with pictures and later the Nizam of Hyderabad contributed a large sum for building a guest-house for scholars from India and abroad

The first volume of the History of Dharmasastra, which was published in 1939, deals with the chronology and relative importance of famous and less known writers and works and covers 760 pages. As I regarded myself as one of the original founders of the B. O. R. I, and as I was a successful lawyer on the Appellate side of the High Court, I offered the volume to that Institute for publication without any agreement about payment. The Preface to the first volume makes it clear that I intended to finish the whole history in two volumes and that even at that time I suffered from a painful complaint (duodenal ulcer) which has dogged my footsteps throughout up to this day. The second volume of the History of Dharmasastra covering 1368 pages (including about 300 pages on Śrauta ritual, not included in the original plan) was published in June 1941 (1 c. eleven years after the publication of the first volume), when I was already 61 years old and pursued by an implacable ailment. The third volume containing 1088 pages was published in October 1946 and deals with only three topics 'Rajadharma, Vyavahāra, and Sadācāra' (customs and customary law) account of the 2nd world war there was paper shortage and the finances of B O. R. I, were at a low ebb I had therefore to advance three thousand rupees to the B O R. I. and had to purchase paper worth several hundred rupees for expediting the printing, in view of the fact that I was in my 67th year and that my physical condition was causing anxiety. The 4th volume is spread over 926 pages, was published in October 1953 (when I was in my 74th year) and deals with Pataka (sins), Prayascitta (expiation). Karmavipāka (fruition of evil deeds), Antyesti (rites on death), Afauca (impurity on death and birth), Suddhi (purification), Śrāddha, Tirthayātrā (pilgrimages to sacred places).

The (fifth and) last volume deals with numerous topics, as the Table of Contents will show The first part of 718 pages dealing with Vrata (sacred vows, observances and festivals) and Kāla was separately published in 1958 (as I had then an attack of heart trouble, and it was thought that I might not survive, being more than 78 years old at that time). The second part now printed deals with Śāntis, Purānas in relation to Dharmaśāstra, causes of the disappearance of Buddhism from India, Tantras and Dharmaśāstra, Sānkhya, Yoga, Tarka and Dharmaśāstra, Pūrvamīmāmsā and Dharmaśāstra, Cosmology, doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma, dominant characteristics of our Indian culture and civilization and future trends This volume has been in the press for over five years and has involved an enormous amount of varied reading and writing for over eight years from 1953.

In describing how and in how many years the H. of Dh developed, I have not said anything about the environment in which I had to work From about 1918 I began to have good work as a lawyer I not only conducted cases in the Bombay High Court, but I appeared before the District Courts of the mofussil in several districts such as Khandesh, Nagar, Poona, Sholapur, Satara, and Ratnagiri I owe a great deal to my college friends, to my students that passed the High Court Vakil's examination after attending my private law class and to Mr. M K Athavle of Sangli and Mr. C. M. Saptarishi of Ahmednager for sending me much legal work

I took part in many of the intellectual activities in Bombay and Poona I was a member of the Senate of the Bombay University from 1919 to 1928, I have been throughout a member of the Regulating Council of the Bhandarkar Institute and of its other bodies I was closely connected for over 40 years with the Marath Granthasangrahalaya of Bombay in various capacities and with the Brahmanasabha of Bombay in many capacities as Chairman of the Managing Committee, a Trustee for 21 years and Adviser from 1918 to this day.

I had argued gratis several cases for some societies and individuals. Mr. Javdekar, lawyer of Dhulia, espoused the cause of people who had grievances against the Indian Railways. I conducted many such railway cases and cases of poor and helpless people One of these latter was that of a poor untonsured brahmana widow who had been prevented by the priests in the temple of Vithoba at Pandbarpur

from offering worship to the image by placing her head at the feet of the image (because she was untonsured) as all Hindus, male or female, of all castes were allowed to do I had to go to Pandharpur thrice at my own expense and spent in all seven days in court. The court decided in favour of the widow The case is referred to in the History of Dharmasastra, vol II p 593 and the arguments are set out on pp 587-593 of the volume,

Another case that I conducted gratis is that of the Deccan This College was started by Govt. but a Parsi College, Poona Baronet, Sir Jamsetji, made in the early sixties of the 19th century a munificent donation of about two lakks with the stipulation that it was to be maintained as an educational Institution for ever on the lines already laid down The British Govt on the suggestion of an Indian Minister wanted to close the College and made a contract for sale of the site and buildings for a Parsi Public School. Some of the Old Boys of the College such as Prof S. G. Sathe and Dr Belvalkar consulted me what to do, though I was not an old boy of the Deccan College. I first suggested that a member of the Bombay Legislative Council should ask a question whether the Deccan College was not an Institution held in trust by Govt. The Govt replied that it was a trust property, but that Govt. would approach the District Court of Poona for permission to sell it for the purpose of a public school-Govt applied to the District Court at Poona for permission to sell it for the purpose of a public school I appeared for the old Boys' Association and requested that the Association should be made a party to Gort's application. The Court allowed the application had agreed not to charge any fees. I suggested that Mr. M R. Jayakar, who had a great regard for me and was a very successful advocate in Bombay (who later became a member of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in England), should be briefed in the matter The Association said that they had not money enough to pay Mr. Jayakar's heavy fees. I requested Mr. Jayakar not to charge any fees He complied with my request and the whole case took about 15 working days in court, besides many days of preparation. Mr. Jayakar, having once agreed to work without fees, put his heart and soul in the matter and the District Court in a long judgement of about ninety typed pages held that the Deccan College nas a trust and could not be sold Govt went in appeal to the High Court of Bombay, but by that time a popular Ministry with the

late Mr. B G. Kher as Chief Minister had come to power and compromised the matter by agreeing to conduct the Deccan College as a Research Institute for Vedic studies and classical Sanskrit, Ancient Indian History etc. The Association made Mr. Jayakar and myself Honorary members of the Old Buys' Association. I have been on the Managing Council of the Deccan College Research Institute since 1938 to this day.

In 1944 I was appointed by the Bombay University Sir Lallubhai Shah Lecturer and delivered four lectures on Hindu customs and modern laws. The lectures have been published in book form by the University

In 1927 at the time of the Ganapati festival in Bombay, a mela (party of worshippers) of the Mahar caste (held untouchable) approached the authorities of the Brähmanasabhā for permission to come for darsan of the image installed by the Sabhā and stated that they would be content if they were allowed to come as near the image as Parsis, Christians and Moslems would be allowed I was then Chairman of the Managing Committee and called a meeting of the Committee to decide whether the request should be granted. In the Committee the voting was exactly half for and half against. I had to give a casting vote for granting permission, since I was of the opinion that the request was a very modest one and in view of the changing times should be acceded to. A suit was filed in the Bombay High Court by certain orthodox people against the Brahmanasabha, against myself as Chairman of the Managing Committee and the Secretary for a temporary injunction restraining us from bringing the Mahar Mela inside the building where the image was and for a declaration that the Sabhā through its office-bearers had no right to do what had not been previously done. It must be said to the credit of the members of the Sabhā that in a meeting of the general body of members my action Tnere was great was supported by a very large majority excitement and it was feared that violence might result Court refused to grant a temporary injunction and later the suit was withdrawn by the members seeking legal relief Our Constitution has abolished untouchability but that was in 1950 and this excitement arose in 1927

I have been a member of the Managing Committee of the Bombay Asiatic Society for about 45 years, a Vice-President and one

of the editors of the Journal of the Society for many years. I contributed many long articles to the Journal of the Society and to the Annals of the Bhandarkar Institute. In 1946 at my request Dr. B. C Law, a great scholar, whom I had never seen but who had become an admirer of my books, donated Rs three thousand (for purchase of books for the Society) and donated five thousand rupees for founding a medal called P. V. Kane Gold Medal to be awarded once every three years to a scholar who had done substantial research in subjects in which I was interested.

On 7th May 1941, in honour of my 61st birthday A volume of studies in Indology was presented to me edited by Dr. S. M. Katre and Prof P K. Gode and published by Dr. N. G Sardesai of the Oriental Book Agency, Poona My friends and admirers had formed a Committee with Dr. V. S. Sukthankar as Chairman and invited papers Many contributions came in, of which 74 are contained in that work, mostly written by Indian scholars (a few by scholars from abroad also).

In 1942 the British Govt conferred on me the title of Mahamahopādhyāya and the Allahabad University conferred on me the Honorary Degree of D. Litt In 1946 I was asked to preside over the All India Oriental Conference held at Nagpur. In 1947 Mr. B G. Kher, then Chief Minister of the Bombay State, pressed me to become Vice-Chancellor of the Bombay University for two years and I agreed after some hesitation owing to my age (about 68). There was no salary attached to the post nor was there any sumptuary allowance nor any other allowance In the years 1947 to 1949 I had three matters on my hands, my legal practice, the History of Dharmasastra and the work as Vice-Chancellor (which was heavy in those days, sometimes three hours a day). Mr Kher pressed me to remain Vice-Chancellor for three years more, offered to make it a salaried post of 2000 Rs per month and requested me to give up practice as Advocate. The then Governor of Bombay, Sir Maharaj Singh, as Chancellor, also pressed me, but for various reasons (the foremost being that the work on the History of Dharmasistra would make slow progress if I spent five or more hours a day in the University) I declined. The Session of the All India Oriental Conference was held in Bombay in 1949. I was Chairman of the Reception Committee. On my request the Sakuntala of Kalidasa n as performed in Sanskrit with songs, the director being Mr K C.M.

Bhatavdekar who is a fine-looking and tall man, an excellent actor. a good Sanskritist and a singer. It was a great success Emboldened by this success I suggested that other Sanskiit dramas should be put up on the stage. Mr. Bhatawdekar and Mr. P P. Joshi, two enthusiastic workers of the Sanskritic Samiti (Cultural Committee) of the Brahmanasabha at Bombuy, worked hard and at different times and in different places (Delhi, Bombay, Ujjain, Poona) put up on the stage ten Sanskrit dramas, Śākuntala, Mrochakatika, Ratnāvali, Venīsatithāra, Uttararāmacarīta, Mudrārāksasa, Vikramotvašīya, Mülavıkägnımıtra, Svapnaväsavadattā and Sangīta Saubhadra (translated into Sanskrit by Mr. S B. Velankar, Indian Postal Service, from the original Marathi by Anna Kirloskar). These performances became The sale of tickets yielded 150,000 Rs. out of which very popular. about thirty thousand were saved after meeting all expenses as a fund to fall back upon when they performed one of these plays at different places

The International Congress of Orientalists was held in Paris in 1948. The Indian Govt. sent a delegation of three, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan as leader and Dr. S. K. Chatterji and myself as two members. In 1951 the International Congress met in Istanbul and the Indian Govt sent a delegation consisting of myself (as leader), Dr. R. C. Majumdar and Prof. Siddiqui. At this conference I sponsored a resolution that the Unesco should make a substantial grant to the project of a Sanskrit Dictionary on Historical Principles undertaken by the Deccan College and it was unanimously passed by the Conference and subsequently Unesco made a grant of 5000 dollars to the Deccan College. In 1954 the Session of the International Congress of Orientalists was held at Cambridge to which the Govt of India sent a delegation consisting of myself (as leader), Dr. S K Chatterji and Dr. R N. Dandekar. From Cambridge I went at my own expense to U.S.A and visited the Library of the Congress in Washington for two days, the University of Princeton, Harvard University and the University of Rochester, where my younger son was studying for the Ph D degree in Atomic and Nuclear Physics. The Governing Body of the London School of Oriental and African studies of the London University was pleased to nominate me as an Honorary Fellow, I being the only Indian among the present 25 Honorary Fellows of the School In December 1953 I presided over the session of the Indian History Congress at Waltar.

In November 1953 the President of India was pleased to nominate me as a member of Parliament i e of the Rajyasabha (Council of States) and when my term expired on 1-4-58 I was again nominated for six years. While in Parliament I worked on several committees such as the Committees for considering the Hindu Adoption Act, the Hindu Marriage Act, the Hindu Succession Act. I pressed on the Govt. that they should start a Central Institute of Indian Studies This has been now accepted in principle and a committee has been appointed to suggest a constitution and other matters I have also been a member of the Central Sanskrit Board. On 15th August 1958 the President of India was pleased to grant me a certificate of merit and an annuity of Rs 1500 a year. In August 1959 the President was pleased to nominate me as National Professor of Indology for five years on a substantial salary, the only condition being that I should carry on research as I have been doing. I resigned from Parliament in September 1959, because as I held an office of profit under Govt, I had to do so according to law. In 1960 the University of Poons confeired on me the Honorary Degree of Doctor of Letters.

From the beginning of 1955 I did not take any fresh legal work and by March 1956 I got all my cases disposed off. Since April 1956 I have systematically refused all pressure to accept briefs. Since April 1956 I have devoted my time to Parliamentary work (till September 1959 only) and to the last volume of the History of Dharmaéastra

I had substantial legal work from 1919 to about 1949. For the benefit of those who made inquiries and of those who desire to pursue literary studies while working as lawyers, I shall briefly state how I saved time for literary work. The High Court worked for five days in the week. I always utilized all holidays for literary work, Saturday and Sunday have always been my busiest days. There were always two Benches (sometimes three Benches also) on the Appellate side of the High Court. Often ten appeals were placed on the board for each Bench every day, since the practice of the Court has been that if an Advocate had two matters, one in each of the different courts and he was engaged in one court, his case in the other court was kept back till he became free. So when an advocate had even one appeal in one court and that too very low down in the list, even then he had to be present in court from the beginning, since appeals

lower down on the Board might be taken up by the Court if the lawyers therein were available. Most lawyers when free spent the time in chitchat in the Advocates' room. I spent such time in the Library for preparing my briefs that were likely to be taken up it the next few weeks. I hardly ever read my briefs at home, Therefore I could devote every day some hours in the morning and evening to my work on Sanskrit studies. I always worked for eight or nine hours a day and sometimes ten to twelve hours from 1911 to 1948. except when I was not in Bombay. I have never slept or even taken a nap by day from 1904 to 1958; even when I went to see a drama at night and came home at 2 A.M. I awoke at 6 A.M. and slept a little earlier on the following night. After the mild heart trouble in 1958. I tried sleeping a little by day, but not being used to such a thing I gave it up in a few months. For fifty years I have been taking morning walk for about one hour on the Chowpati sea face in Bombay and at the Hanging Gardens since 1912, but stopped going to the Hanging Gardens from about 1956.

That I had duodenal ulcer was discovered by x-ray therapy about 1925. Some doctors advised an operation. Others opposed it. I consulted the then most eminent surgeon in Bombay, Dr. G. V. Deshmukh, and he advised me not to go in for it. Again in 1937 when I undertook a trip in European countries for three months, I consulted in Vienna an eminent German doctor who advised me to continue my dietetic methods and not to undergo an operation, when I was nearly 58 and the disease was of very long standing.

A few words about my method of collecting materials for my History of Dharmasastra. I have about a hundred note-books, some of them subjectwise and some with pages marked from A to Z, in which I noted important pages and passages extracted from the works read. For example, I have a big oblong notebook (leather bound) of about 500 pages devoted to Puranas only.

As regards the writing of the History of Dharmassista my method was as follows: I wrote in my own hand a first draft, collected a hundred pages or so and then carefully read those pages. Sometimes I tore off several pages and prepared a new draft. I cannot type well, having had no time to cultivate the habit of using a typewriter. Then I got the matter typewritten by an excellent typist, Mr. G. R. Barve, who was my neighbour and who could decipher my bad writing tolerably well and paid him his usual

charges. I sent to the Press only the handwritten original of the It was from the 2nd volume onwards that I got one or two copies typewritten (two when there was danger of bombing Bombay in 1942) and sometime afterwards I read the typed copy myself and put in the discritical marks. This was sent to the Press in Poona I examined three (rarely four) proofs of all forms myself. but the press had directions to send a copy of the third page proof to a good Sanskrit scholar in Poona who was to read it and make corrections (not in the matter but only as to discritical marks, spelling, stops etc.) and to send the corrected proof to me and I incorporated his corrections (if accepted by me) in my own copy of the third proof, which was sent to the Press as the final proof. The Indexes to all the five volumes were prepared by me. Indexes to volumes I-IV alone come to 289 pages The total printed pages of all works written and printed by me and of the numerous papers that I contributed to the Journal of the Bombay Asiatic Society, the Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Institute and to other Journals would come to at least twenty thousand pages. To the typewriters of vol. II to V, I paid about 2500 rupees out of my own pocket and about 600 rupees to the correctors of the page proofs (of volume II to V). I went to places that had collections of Sanskrit Mss such as Poona (very often), Baroda, Benares (several times). Madras (several times), Tanjore and Ujjain at my own expense for reading several mss and getting copies made of a few of them. In our country, there are no large libraries like those in Europe and U.S. A. So I had to spend money on securing micro-films of certain articles in foreign journals and copies of certain Mss. I have no accounts of the travelling charges but about making copies of some mss. and microfilms I can say that they came to about 200 rupces. The Press was in Poona and I was in Bombay and the proofs (along with the original copy at the time of the despatch of the first proof) had to be sent by post for about 35 years (sometimes one form, sometimes two and rarely three at a time). Besides, the original ms, had always to be sent in small packets (of from 50 to 100 handwritten or typed pages) by registered bookpost accounts are kept of this but probably Rs. 400 would be a very modest estimate The honorarium paid (and to be paid) to me for all the five volumes is given in the table below

For the information of those who have already inquired or

might inquire hereafter about the cost of this undertaking viz History of Dharmasastra, a table is appended:—

Volumes	Year of publication	Cost of Printing paper, binding	, Honoralum
		Rs. as.	Rs. as.
11 I	1930	4814 - 12	2433 - 0
pts. 1 and 2	1941	8828 - 12	4239 - 0
III	1946	8605 - 12	2256 - 0
IV V	1953	11092 - 9	2873 - 0
part I	1958)	25,000 - 0	7000 - 0
part II	1962 }	(Approximately)	(Approximately) the II part is to be hereafter published
		58341 - 13 18802 - 0	18802 - 0
		77,143 - 13	
0000		~~ ~~ 1 1	

3900 copies of volumes II-V are unbound as follows:-

	Copies unbound
Vol. II parts 1 and 2	1000
Volume III	800
Volume IV	1000
Volume V pt. 1	1100
	-
	2000

3900 captes

The charges for binding these 3900 copies at Rs. 2/- (per copy) would be Rs. 7,800 (3900×2)

Thus the cost of the whole series would be Rs. 84,943-13-0 (77,143-13+7,800). It must be mentioned that the Executive Board of the B.O. R. I. paid me Rs. three per page as to Volumes I, II and IV and only Rs two per page for vol. III and propose to pay me Rs. four per page for Vol. V, leaving me to bear all expenses for typewritien copy, for correction of one proof by a third person, all postage, travelling expenses and for copies of mss. and microfilms.

The usual method in India as regards Histories or Encylopædias in several volumes (running into thousands of pages) is to appoint a Director or Chief Editor (on a salary of Rs 1500 per month), an Assistant Editor (on a salary of Rs 600 or Rs 750 per month) and to pay contributors at Rs five or so per page, beside an office and a staff of clerks and typists Director's salary on the usual scale for one year alone would come The payments made and to be made to me for to Rs. 18,000 writing a work of over six thousand pages spread over 37 years come practically to one year's salary for a Chief Editor (or rather less by Rs 3700 which were spent for typewriting, correction, and copies etc as stated above) I do not like this distasteful task I had, however, to write about this matter because I wanted to dispel the queer notions about my profits that some people appear to entertain and made inquiries. It is owing to one individual's sacrifice that all the volumes (containing over 6000 pages) can be sold by the Bhandarkar Oriental Institute for only Rs 180. the usual method had been followed, these 6000 pages would have had to be priced at Rs 400 or more

I could not arrange or plan my life 1 had to oscillate between education, literature and law, between Government service and an independent profession like that of law. I have, however, lived a very active, full and varied life for over sixty years Thinking over the vast Sanskrit literature and the labour and time that I had to spend on one branch of it, I am inclined to close this Epilogue with two lines from Browning's poem "The last ride together"

> ' Look at the end of the work, contrast The petty done, the undone vast'

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND THANKS

During the last thirty-seven years from 1925 to this day I have received from hundreds of friends and others, institutions and books, resistance of various kinds. Now that this undertaking is drawing to a close, I should like to mention with gratitude all of them that I can remember. In the Prefaces to the several volumes of this History I have acknowledged the help received from individuals in writing the separate volumes. Here at the end of the whole work,

I shall try to mention in one place the Institutions, the series of books and individuals to whom I have been indebted.

INSTITUTIONS

Among Institutions the first place must be assigned to the Library of the Bombay Asiatic Society (formerly called Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society). It was in this Library that I passed several hours almost every day (except on holidays), when I was in Bombay. It has also a large collection of Sanskrit The materials for the first four volumes were collected mainly in the rooms of this Library. I owe a deep debt of gratitude to this Library and to all persons in the service of the Library from the highest to the lowest. I must next mention the Library of the Bombay University, which was also of great use to me. The Library of the Bombay Asiatic Society is a circulating Library with a large membership, but the Bombay University Library permits only the members of the Senate to have books issued to them for reading at home. I could secure books urgently needed by me from this Library, when I could not get them from the Asiatic Society's Labrary (because some members had taken them). Besides, it possesses a large number of Sanskrit Mss. I tender my thanks to the Bombay University and its Library, to the staff of the Library and particularly to Mr. D. N. Marshall, the present Librarian. Since November 1353 when I was nominated a member of Parliament (Rājyasabhā) by the President of India, two more Libraries became available to me. One was the Library of Parliament which contains a large number of books on many subjects including Sanskrit Literature, Ancient Indian History, and the Library of the Archaeological Department in New Delhi. I thank the staff of the Parliament Dr. A. Ghosh, Director-General of the Archaeological Survey of India, made special arrangements for seating me in the Archaeological Library and made me a corresponding member, Dr. Chabbra, Joint Director of that Department, also was very kind and helpful. I am under great obligations to these high Officers. When at the end of 1953, I went to the Library of the Archaeological Department, the Librarian was a young man, Shri L. G. Parah, a double M. A. of the Bombay University, a B. T. and holder of a diploma in Librarianship. He is a very industrious and enthusiastic young Librarian, who made great efforts to keep the Library

full of Sanskrit books, full of books both on ancient and medieval history of India and of other countries, and of books on all archaelogical studies, such as architecture, iconography and painting, kept the Library uptodate and took a keen interest in my work and a great deal of trouble to accommodate me in various ways (too many to mention) from 1954 to the present day. Much of the material for this lengthy 5th volume was collected in the Archaeological Library and a good deal of the text also was written there. I am under a deep debt of gratitude to Mr Parab and also thank all his assistants, particularly Messrs. Kapur and Mr. A. S. Dhavle and his Jamadar, Bhagavat Sahai.

Then I must mention certain Series of books and certain Presses that have printed and published a large number of books, such as the Anandasrama series (Poona), the Bibliotheca Indica Series the Bombay Sanskrit Series, the Kavyamala and the Nirnayasagar Press, Calcutta Oriental Series, Chowkhamba S. Series, Cultural Heritage of India, volumes I-IV (Calcutta), the Gujarati Press and its Sanskrit Series, the Gaikwad Oriental Series (Baroda), Haridas Sanskrit Series (Benaras), Harvard Oriental Series, Kashi Sanskrit Series, Javanand Vadyasāgar's numerous publications, Prājūapāthaśālā Mandala's volumes of Dharmakośa and Mīmāmsā-kośa (Wai) Kashmir Sanskrit Series, the Manikyachandra Digambar Jain Series, Jain Grantha-mala Series, Pali Texts Society's Series, Prince of Wales Sarasvatībhavana Series of texts and Studies, Pandit S D. Satavalekar's Series of Vedic Samhitas, Sacred Books of the East Series (50 valumes including the valuable Index Volume), St. Petersburg Dictionary by Bohthlingk and Roth, the Vaidika Sasinsodhana-mandal's volume of 18 Upanisads with valuable footnotes and Index (Poons), Trivandrum S. Series, Vedic Index (in two volumes) of Macdonell and Keith, Vijianagram S Series.

Among periodicals I must mention, the Epigraphia Indica, the Indian Antiquary and those indexed under the word 'Journal'.

I am highly obliged to many scholars who contributed appreciative and encouraging reviews in several journals and newspapers. The first and third volumes received the largest number of reviews. I shall mention only a few for each volume. The first was reviewed in the Bombay Law Reporter, volume 32 (Journal pp. 94-95), in J. A. O. S. for 1931 volume 61 pp. 80-84 by Prof. Hopkins, in JRAS, for 1932 pp. 158-161 by Prof. S. V. Fitzgerald, I. H. Q.

for 1932, pp. 805-807 by Amareshwar Thakur. J. of Oriental Research, Madras, for 1931 pp. 231-233 by Prof. Kuppuswami Sastri, by the 'Kesari' of Poona in three issues dated 7th, 10th, 14th March 1931 (in Marathi); Volume II was reviewed by Prof. K. V. Rangaswami Aiyangar in very culogistic terms in Advar Library Bulletin for 1944 pp. 75-86, in J. R. A.S. for 1942 pp. 106-107 by Prof Brough; Vol. III was reviewed in "Indian Culture" by Dr. B. C. Law, Volume XIII for 1947 pp. 119-23, in Journal Assatique for 1948, vol. 236 pp. 149-150 by Prof. L. Renou! and also in his book "Sanskrit et Culture" (Paris, 1950) pp. 126-136. in J. A. O. S. Vol. 67 pp. 232-234 by Dr. Ludwik Sternbach, in M. L. J. Vol. 93 for 1947 (Journal pp. 33-38), in newspaper "Hindu" of 25. 5. 1947 (Madras) by Prof. K. V. Rangaswami Alyangar, in Bom. Law Reporter for January 1947 (Journal pp. 13-15), in the "Bombay Chronicle" of 9-2-1947 by Mr. Prabhu and in the 'Times of India' of 13. 6. 1947, by Mr. N. C Kelkar in "Kesari" of 2nd and 5th December 1947, in 'Prabhat' (a Marathi newspaper) in seven issues in March and May 1947 by Shri N. G. Chapekar: Volume IV was reviewed at some length by Prof. Hans Losch of Bonn in Z. D. M. G. Volume 107 pp. 217-221, and in 56 Bom. L. R. (1954 pp. 34-35 Journal), in the Journal of the Ganganath Jha Research Institute, volume X pp. 168-172, in J. A. O. S. Vol. 74, pp. 271-273 by Dr. Sternbach, in the Bulletin of the London School of Oriental and African Studies, Volume 17, part 3 for October 1955 pp. 620-21 and in the "Kesari" of 26th September 1954 by Mr. J. S. Karandikar; Volume V pait I was reviewed in J. A. O. S. for 1959 by Dr. Sternbach, in J. R. A. S. 1960 pp. 193-194 by Dr. Derrett Volumes I to IV were reviewed by Prof. V I Kalyanov in the Quarterly Journal of the Indo-Soviet Cultural Society, volume VII No. 4 pp. 7-10 (1960) The number of Individual authors whose works I read or whom I consulted in 37 years may run into thousands Authors and works referred to only once or twice are not collected here, but they have been mentioned in the several separate Indices to the five volumes. Only very eminent writers or authors who wrote several valuable works and papers or were personally consulted are named below.

Abhyankar, Vasudevasastri (M. M. Pandit); Prof. K. V. Abhyankar (son of the preceding); Aiyangar (Krishna), (Prof.) K V. Rangaswami Aiyangar, Dr. Krishnaswamy Aiyangar, Dr.

A S. Altekar, Arthur Avalon (Sir. John Woodtoffe), Mr M. B. Arte, Srī Aurobindo, Prof P. V. Bapat, Shri N C Bapat, Prof A. L. Basham, Mr Y A Bhat, Dr S. K. Belvalkar, Pandit Bhagavaddatta, Dr D R. Bhandarkar, Prof G H. Bhatt, Mr (and now Dr.) Bhattacharya, Dr Benoyatosh Bhabatosh Bhattacharya, Bloomfield, G. Buhler, A Burnell, Dr Caland, Prof. Chintaharan Chakravarti, M. M Cakravarty, Mr. N G Chapekar, Mr. M A. Chinnaswami Sastry, H T Colebrooke, A Coomaraswamy, E. B Cowell, Dr K L Daftarı, Dr. R. N. Dandekar, Dr. Matılal Das, Prof Das Gupta, Datar Chintaman Sastri, T W. Rhys Davids, Prof S. K De, Paul Deussen, D1 (M1ss) Indu D1ke, M1. D. B Diskalkar, Mr P. C. Divany, Shri R R. Diwakar, Pandit K S Dravid (Samaveda expert), Prof P. E Dumont, Will Durant, M. N. Datt, Prof. Edgerton, Julius Eggeling, V. Fausboll, Prof. Filhozat, J F. Fleet, A A Fuhrer, Dr. D Gaastra, Dr. G. S. Gai, Pandit T. Ganapati Sastri, R. Garbe, G. T. Garrat, Dr. U. N. Ghoshal, Dr G. S Ghurye, Prof P. K. Gode, Prof. N. A. Gore, M. M. Gopinath Kaviral, G. Gorer, H H Gowen, R T. Griffith, F. Hall, Martin Haug, Dr. R. C Hazia, A. Hillebrandt, Prof. M Hinjanna, Dr. R. Hoernle, Prof. Hopkins, R E Hume, Col. G A Jacob, H Jacobi, W. James, K. P Jayaswal, Dr. Ganganath Jha, Julius Jolly, Dr B S. Joshi, Tarkatīrtha Laksmana Sāstri Joshi, Mr. S. N, Ioshi (Poona), Pandit Kamalkiishna Smrititirtha, Mr S. L. Katre Dr. S. M. Katre, A. B Keith, H. Kein, Mi. Kesavan (National Library, Calcutta), Dr S V Ketkar, Swami Kevalananda, Mr. G. H Khare, Pandit Balacharya Khuperkai, F. Kielhorn, W. Kırfel, Tarkatırtha Raghunath Shastrı Kokje, Sten Konow, Prof Kunhan Raja, Prof. Kuppuswami Sastri, Shri Kuvalayananda, Dr. B C. Law, Sylvain Levi, H. Luders, A A. Macdonell, MacTaggart, Dr. R C. Majumdar, V. N Mandlik, Prof. Mangal Deva Shastri, Sir R P Masini, F. Max-Muller, Dr. V. V. Mirashi, Rajendralal Mitra, Dr. M. M. Umesha Mishra, Dr. R. K. Mukerji, Mr. Y. M. Prof Neugebauer, Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nebru, K. L. Ogale, Hermann Oldenberg, Pandit J. S. Pade, Shankar Pandurang Pandit, Prof A. M Paramasivanandan, Prof. V. G. Paringipe, Peter Peterson, A S Pringle-Pattison, Mr P Purandure, Dr. A. D. Pusalker, Dr. S. Radhakrishnan, Ranga, charge Raddi Shistri, Dr V Raghavan, V. K Rajwade, Prof. R D, Ranade, Prof P T Raju, Prof. L Renou, R Roth, R. S Serma Prof G. Sarton, Miss Kunda Sathe (now Mrs. Savkar), P. P. S. Sastri, Pandit Aiyanath Sastri, Dr. Dakshinaranjan Shastri, M. M. Sridhar Sastri Pathak, Satyaviata Sāmaśrami, Mr. S. N. Savadi, Pandit Srījiva Nyāyatīrtha, Pandit V. A. Ramswami Sastri, Pandit S. D. Satavalekar, R. Sham Sastri, V. A. Smith, Prof. P. A. Sorokin, Dr. Sternbach, Pandit Sudhakar Dwivedi, Dr. V. S. Sukthankar, Dr. A. Schweitzer, W. W. Tarn, C. H. Tawney, R. H. Tawney, K. T. Telang, G. Thibaut, K. P. Trivedi, Piof. A. N. Upadhye, Prof. H. D. Velankar, Swami Vivekānanda, Prof. A. R. Wadia, A. Weber, H. G. Wells, W. D. Whitney, Monier Williams, H. H. Wilson, M. Winternitz, R. C. Zaehner, H. Zimmer.

I beg to be pardoned if, through loss of memory, I have omitted the names of persons to whom I have been indebted for advice, guidance or information.

INDEX (to vol. V)

a. = author, Acc. = According; M = mentioned by or in; Q = quoted by or in

(Important and rare words are put in Italics)

Abbreviations, su di and va di or ba di explained, 670.

Abhayagana, mantras so called 796n

Abhaya, mantras in Atharvaveda, 769n.

Abhayamudrā, defined and illustrated, 1131n.

Abhaya-santı, described, 761.

Abhibitānvayavādinah, followers of Kumārila were so called, 1297.

Abhijit, m as 28th naksatra atter Uttarāsādhā and before Śravaṇa, 497-98, m in Tai. Br., Mai. S and Atharvaveda, though not m in Tai S and Kāthaka S 520, myth about its disuppearance, 497n, said to be younger sister of Robinī, 497n

Abhinaya-darpana, contains certain postures of hands such as Sabkha, Cakra, Pāśa, which are names of Tāntrik mudrās 1129n.
Abhinavagupta (literary activity between 950-1020 AD) is said in Sankaradigujaya to have practised black magic against Sabkaricarya, 1010n; a. of Abhinavabhārati, 1376-77.

Abhiniresa, explained (in Yoga) 1417.

Abhirm, described as dasyns, as attackers of Arjuna and as carrying away Visni women,

969n; inscription of Ā. king īśvarasena in Nasik cave 968n; Matsyapurāņa speaks of ten Ā kings, 969n

Abhiseka, procedure of, in tantra cult, 1118, resembles Christian Baptism 1118; sprinkling of disciple by guru with water to the accompaniment of 21 mantras, is the main part, 1118; at the end guru gives a name to disciple ending in Anandanātha, 1119.

Abhyankar, Prof. K. V., view of, that precession of equinoxes was known in Ancient India, 520n

Abhyudaya, meaning of 1037n

Abhyuditesti, 66, 1340 Ācārādarša of Śrīdatta, 640n. Achelis, Miss Elizabeth, 718n. Acta Orientalia, 490n, 1133-34 Actions, (vide acts, gunabhūta, pradhana, sesa); enjoined by the Veda are either primary or principal such as prayajas and gunabhūta (subsidiary) such as pounding of grains of rice and etc., or as kraivartha and purusārtha 53, 1306, nondifference or difference among acts is ascertained by six means viz sabdāntara, abbyisi, sānkhya, prekarana (context). saujua (names es in Jyotih,

Viscalyotih etc. which are

names of different acts), 1306-7.

Acton: vide under 'conjecture'.
Acts, religious, are of three classes, nitya, naimittila and kāmya, 53.

Acyntarāya Modak of Nasik, a. of 'Avaidika-dhikktri', severely handled the cult of Makāras 1080; a. of Prārabdha-dhvānta-sathtrti, 1600-1604.

Adhhuta, meaning of, in Rgveda, in Grhyasütras and in Säntas 741-742; sometimes used as synonymous with Utpāta 742; due to the wrong-doings of men that anger detties 742.

Adbhuta-brāhmana, is part of Sad-vimia-brāhmana (i e. V 1-10), contains Šāntis for utpātas, 734-741 and partly agrees with Ātv. Gr. parišista.

Adbhutasagara of Ballalasena, a huge work concerned mostly with rare natural phenomena viz. halo, rainbow, hurricanes, dıydaha, comets, meteors, red rain, shower of fish etc 763: 526, 530n, 531, 587n and 637 (quoting Garga), 712n, 734 (on Santis), 735, 741n, 742n, 743n, 745n, 746n, 761, 767-69, 773, 775-776 (on dreams), 777n, 779n, (quotes same four verses from Matsya and Vispudharmottara), 780n, 788n, 790, 792 (quotes Vasantarāja), 806, 870p, 877-8.

Addhātayah, (in Rg. X. 85 16), meaning of 1600n.

meaning of 1600n.

Adhikamāsa or Adhimāsa. (vide Intercalary month): 257, 489,

671

Adhikāra end Adhikārın (qualıfications or title of a person to do a certain act) · (vide pratinidhi): Adhılarin 1318 : everyone belonging to the three upper classes has a right to perform Vedic sacrifice, 1318: many rules of PMS (Chap. VI) deal with pratinidhi (substitute) 1319-20; one who has incurable defect (such as blindness from birth) has no right to perform Yaga but one who has some curable bodily defect would have the right when the defect is removed 1718; sixth chap, (with eight pūdas) of P. M. Sūtra deals with various aspects of the question of A . 1317-1321: when a Vedic text provides one desirous of securing heaven should offer a Yaga, heaven is made the principal factor, Yāga becomes subsidiary matter and the qualification (adhıkāra) of the performer is laid down by the word Svargakāma, 1317.

Adhikarana (often called nyāya, i. e. conclusion on topics for di-cus-non): has five constituents acc to most, same make them six 1182. 1.

۹,

Adhikaranakaumudi of Rümakrena, 1182n

Adhikaranakaumudi of Devanatha, 1283n.

Adhisima (or-soma) Krana, 6th (or 5th) in descent from Arjuna, during whose reign Purāṇas were narrated to sages 847 - 48

Adhrigu-praisa, 724 recited by Hoti priest in Pasubandha 1326; twelve adhikaranas on A. in P M S and some of the unfamiliar words therein are explained by PMS and ūha of certain words therein 1326 Adhvara-mīmānisā-kutūhalavṛtti

Adhvara-mimānsā-kutūhalavṛtti of Vāsudeva Diksita, 1163n Ādiparva, 90n, 126, 130, 466, 488n, 539, 622n, 687n, 819n, 821, 840, 854n (has the verse 'guroiapyavaliptasya'), 863n (on sūta and māgadha), 908, 914 (has the famous verse about tīthāsa and purāna), 915n, 945, 1178, 1219, 1281n (Subhadrā was daughter of Vasudeva),

1561, 1598n, 1627n, 1629
Adipurāna · Prof Hazia places it
between 1203-1525 A D, 887.
note on 887; Vāyu mentions
an Adika among 18 Purānas,
887; writers make confusion
between Adi and Aditya, 887.

Aditi, no agreement among scholars as to nature of 7; was born of Daksa and was also his mother in Rgyeda 1488.

Adıtyapurāṇa, 51n; Aparārka and Sm C (as printed) quote verses from both Adı and Adıtya 887-889; note on 887-88, m. by Matsya as Upapurāna 887

Advayasiddhi of Liksminkara, (729 AD) proposes that one should offer worship to one's o'vn body wherein all gods reside, 1071.

Advayavajrasongraha · 1066n (Sünyati is Vojra). Agamasāra, 1080n.

Agastya (star Canopus), Rgveda I 179.6 adopted in Agnipurāṇa as mantra for arghya to, 920, story of A, Indra and Maruts, 740-741, Vedic sage 10

Agastyasamhitā, 84, 1135n; on Rāmanavamī 84-5, 88

Aghamarşana hymn (Rg X 190. 1-3) highly praised as remover of consequences of sins 1592n Agm. Prognostications derived from flames of sacred A. in rites, 797; styled 'Vratapā' and 'Vratapatı' in the Veda 22-3

Agnipurāna, 28, 31, 33n, 38, 40, 42, 47n, 48n, 49, 55, 75n, 92, 117, 152, 204n, 225, 226n, 616. 622, 627n, 660, 672-3, 692, 694n, 705, 706n, 734 (chapters of, on Santis), 745 (examples of three kinds of utpatas), 747 (three best santis are Amrta. Abhaya and Saumya), 753 (on Grahayajña, copies Yaj.). 754, 774, 801 and 803 (Gajaśanti), 804 (Aśvaśanti), 805, 815, 861, 869n (speaks of Visnupurāna of 23,000 verses), 916, 919-20, 923, 924n, 935, 948, 956 (names of 25 works on Paucaratra), 967 (gives summary of Gita in 58 verses). 974, 1033n, 1051, 1105n, 1106 (mantras of tantik type), 1110, 1114, 1118 (on diksā), 1128, 1132-33, 1289, 1455, 1526n; contains several hundred verses that are identical with Yaj Smrti, 815, note on 887; one Agnipurana discarded by Ballalasena 869; present Agni (in Ānan ed) is not the original Purāṇa) 887. Āgnimāruta, a *tastra* 721.

Agnyādhāna, laid down by Tai Br and Sat Br 1301, proper nakšatras and seasons for, 506— 507, 523

Agravala, Dr. V. S. 1527n.

Agrayana or Navasasyesti 206-907; Divali festival cannot be traced to, 206-207.

Ahalyākāmadhenu, 30n, 108n, 144, 149, 168, 190n.

Ahan - vide under 'day'.

Ahi, means in the Rgveda 'serpent' and also 'a demon' 126.

Ahmsa, (vide under Buddhists, Buddhism, Purānas, sacrifices); 944-47: Devala quoted by Kalpataru says himsā is of ten kınds, 1420; Dharmasastras and Puranas put great emphasis on A. 1028; emphasized in Upanisads like the Chandogya, Dharmasütras. Mahābhārata, 944-45; emphasized in the Puranas and sometimes unqua. lified a. 945-47; examples of Vedic sacrifices (including animal sacrifices) performed durig some centuries before and after Christian era 1028-29, hinsä in fighting was allowed to Ksatriyas by Manu and Yāj., but if one wanted to be a yogin he had to give it up, 1420; is door of Dharma or highest Dharma and that neither dana nor tapas is equal to a. 946, is one of the yamas, acc. to Kūrma, Yogasūtra and Yāj. 946n: Kürmapuräna and others stated that killing sanctioned by Veda is not hmisā 948; some purānas sanction the killing of a person (such as a tyrant or desperado) by whose death many will live in peace, 947; stated to be sanātanadharma by Matsya and Brahmāṇda 946; Upanisads commended qualified A. 947

Ahirbudhnya-samhitä, 996 (mentions 39 avatäras), 1110n (for places fit for purasoarana), 1114 (full of mantra lore), 1119 (procedure of Mahābhiseka as one remedy for all diseases; for destroying all enemies and for attaining all desired objects; 1135n, 1359n, 1367n (on divisions of Kapila's tantra), 1391 (on two Yogasamhitäs).

Ahmedabad, horoscope of the foundation of, 555-56n.

Ahnika-cintāmani, 34n.

Alhole, inscription, 649.

Altareya-āranyaka, 731. Altareyabrāhmana, 25, 26, 63n, 65, 126, 235, 489, 492, 498n, 507, 510, 511n, 671, 691n, 721, 724n, 725-27, 789n, 860n, 915, 934 (food is life), 957 (Visnu as highest), 968 (story of Sunahsepa and Visvamtra's curse on his own sons), 992, (on rūpasamṛddha). 1116 (mentions the main items in Vedic sīksā), 1255n, 1276n (thirteen verses are repeated at the time of producing fire by attrition and are rupasamrddha), 1296n (on msādas, selagas as robbing a rich man); 1276n (paper on 'justification of rupasamrddha verses in Ait,

İndex 5

Br. in 'Our Heritage' Vol. V part 2, 1386n, 1493, 1497-98, 1525n, 1631n.

Artareya Upanisad, 918, 1362, 1471, 1499, 1501-2, 1541 (quotes Rg. IV. 27. 1), 1586 87.

Aiyangar, Prof. K. V. Rangaswami, 30, 42n, 870, 885; several verses from Purāṇas and other works that eluded him, identified, 905n, 906n, 908n, 929n, 947n, 1448n, 1458n.

Aiyangar, Presentation Volume, 1016n.

Ajāmila, a sinner who had abandoned his brāhmana wife and kept a mistress, became a saint, 969, 972, story of, is liable to be misunderstood and may create complacent belief and attitude in a sinner 973

Ajapā~japa, 1416n

Ajātasatru and Gārgya Bālāki, dialogue of 1579-80.

Ajavīthi, meaning of, in Yāj., Visnupurāna, Matsya and Vāyu Purānas S26 and n.

Ajūāna (same as avidyā), explained in Brahmāndapurāna 1417n.

Ajyabhāga (oblations), 733.

Ākhyāna, distinguished from upākhyāna 858n

Aksayya-triyā, details of vrata on, 88-89, one of the most auspic ous tithis in the year, 89, referred to in Visnudharmasūtra, 88; third tithi of Vaišākha bright half is so called 88

Alberam, a. of a work on 'India' composed in 1030 A.D., 516n,

517, 656 (on Harşa era), 659, 685, 691 (names 14 Manus), 699, 701, 830-1 (furnishes two lists of Purānas), 885-6 (mixes up Purānas and Upapurānas in his list and gives details about contents of some Purānas), 1019 (collected with the help of Hindu Pandits vast materials).

Alekhana, mentioned in P M.S, is quoted at least 16 times in Ap. Sr. Sütra, his views being frequently in conflict with Asmarathya's, 1174

Alexander, Prof. S, a of 'Space, Time and Deity' 475

Algebra, Hindu knowledge of, superior to that of Greeks, acc. to Colebrooke 518

Allen, D C, a of 'Star-crossed Renaissance' 543n, 550n,

Allen, a of Catalogue of Gupta come, 186, 901.

Allen, on Sävitrivrata, 94.

Altekar, Dr A S., on Gupta gold coms of Bayana hoard, 186, 651n, 845; paper of, on 'Srāmaneratīkā' on Ācārasāra, 1023n; paper of, on 'Sanskrit Literature in Tibet' 1041.

Alwar literature, mentions fivefold nature of God, 954a. Amanta reckoning, 659.

Amarakośa, 29, 84, 117, 477, 668n, 742 (ntpāta and upasarga are synonyms), 743, 803n (names of dig-gajas), 838 (defines Purāṇa), date of, acc. to MaxMuller, Hoernle and Mr Oak, 840n; commentary of Ksīrasvāmin on, 840n, 850n; 869n, 948 (defines 'ista and

pūrta'), 1118n, 1132, 1210 (Buddha is sarvajūa), 1293n, 1378, 1422 (defines Yama and Niyama), 1514.

Amāvāsyā, addies ed as a deity in Atharvaveda 64, Ait. Br. on 64; derivation of word in Atharvaveda and Sat Br, 64, 259, of two kinds, Sinīvālī and Kuhū m by Tai S. and Sat Br. 62-63.

Ambedkar, Dr. B. R., a. of 'the Buddha and his Dhamma' 942n.

Ambikā, sister of Šiva in Tai. S. but wife of Šiva in Tai Ā. 185, why called Kauśikī 185n.

Ambuyācī 260.

Amhaspati or Amhasaspati, 13th additional month was so called 671, 672.

Amiksā, offered to Viśve-devas, is got by putting curds in heated milk 1307

Amoghavarsa, vide under China 1040.

Amrtasiddhiyoga (fortunate conjunction), defined 707.

Anadhyāya (not to study Veda at certain times or on certain days), applicable only to actual study but not to the employment of Vedic mantras in sacrifices. 1154.

Anājñāta, meaning of, 739n.

Anandagıri, a of Sankaravıjaya, 1136n; a of com. on Brhadāranyakopanısad-bhāsya-vārtika 1183n.

Anandatīrtha, see under Madhva.
Anantacatui dašī-vrata, 151-153,
Ananta is one of the foims of
Krsna 152; brief description

of, from Agnipuiāna 150, celebrated on 14th of Bhādrapada śukla 151; consists mainly in the worship of Harı as Ananta and in wearing on the right hand of men and left hand of women a holy strap of thread dyed with saffron, made of cotton or silk 151-152; des cription of, in Hemādri 152, description of, in Vratārka 153, doraha dyed with saffron and 14 knots 151; not mentioned in K. K. V. (on vrata), 151; salt forbidden in this vrata 153, varying views of eminent writers about the exact time and tithi for this when third is mixed with another tithi.

Anaphā, an astrological Yoga, meaning of, 584.

Anaximander, a Greek, believed the earth to be cylindrical 512 Andhra kings in Matsya and

Andhra kings in Matsya Vāyu Purānas, 842.

Andhūkabhatta, m by Malamāsatattva and flourished about 1035 A D, 58

Anga and Angin: vide under Sesa Sesin, Pioksana, an anga of rice grains that are angin 1208, other examples of, 1308ff

Anganyāsa (nyāsa on parts of the body) illustrated as 'om hrdayāya namah', 1120-22.

Angas, six of Veda, 478.

Angahāras (movements of limbs depended on karanas which depended upon different postures of hands and feet) 1128-29; it is likely that Mudrās are based on them; illustrations of 108 a are given in G. O. S ed of Nätyasästra, 1128n

Angavidyā (palmistry and śakuna from throbbing of limbs, dreams etc.), 801n, mentioned by Kāšikā and Manu, 527n, 801n.

Angavijjā (Angavidyā), a prakrit work, 801n

Angiras, 48, 51n, 106n, 775 (about dreams), 810n.

Animal Sacrifice Manu (V 41-44) allows the killing of animals in Madhuparka, sacrifices to gods and in rites for pitis (Manes) and that in such sacrifices allowed by the Veda there is no himsā, 1262, offering of bull or cow in Madhuparka was allowed acc. to Ait Br, but later prohibited as Kalivariya, 1269n, Yāj. (I 258-260) provides the periods of time for which pitrs are gratified by the offering of sacrificial food (such as rice or sesame), various kinds of fish and the fiesh of several animals, but the Mit states that, in spite of Yaj., in its day brahmanas should offer only food fit for munt (rice etc.), flesh bу Lsatriyas and variyas and honey by Gdras, 1269.

Amruddhabhutta, a of Hāralatā and Prirdayitā and guru of Ballalasena, 870n

Annaküia, vide under Govardhanapüjä

Anniversary of birth, see Vardhi-

Annals of Bhandarkar Omental Institute, 3, 72n, 75n, 78n, 79n, 90n, 91n, 110n, 114n, 116n, 125n, 132n, 144n, 165n, 194, 212n, 213n, 220n, 650n. 685, 816, 834n, 836, 844, 865, 978, 1041, 1115 (on a modern Siddha Śivayogin), 1149. 1159n (on Bhavadāsa), 1172n (on Gītā 134), 1186 (present author's paper on 'Brief sketch of the PMS), 1198, 1200 (present author's paper 'Pūrvamīmāmsā'), 1301n, 1404n, 1406. 1408n, 1590 (Śankhadharmasūtra).

Antarābhavadeha, 1600.

Ant-hill, made in a house is evil omen and house is to be abandoned acc to Grhya-sūtras 730.

Anthony, H D, a of 'Science and its background' (on who introduced the date of creation in Anglican Church) 1506n

Antiquity, journal so called 482n.

Antyajas (lowest in Hindu social grade), seven in some smrtis, 810n

Anubandhas (indispensable elements), in each sästra are four 1179.

Anudeyī meaning of, in Rg. 1542n.

Anukramani of the Rgycda 129, 692, 728n.

Anumati (Paumamāsī mixed with 14th tithi) treated as a deity in Rgveda, spoken in the same breath with Varuna, Soma, Brhaspati 63

Anusanga, a principle about sentences, viz extension of a

word or clause from one sentence to another or other sentences, provided all the sentences are of the same type or form 1304; illustrations of, 1304-6, 1339.

Anusāsana-parva, 27, 44, 55n, 81n, 98, 101, 127, 129, 207, 500, 660, 742n, 780n, 821, 853n, 876n, 908, 915, 931, 933, 937, 939n, 945, 949 (on parks), 1248n, 1257 (quotes gāthās from Yama's Dharma-sāstra), 1385, 1416, 1528, 1570, 1628, 1630, 1634, 1636. Anusaya, meaning of, 1563n.

Anusmṛti, a new anga of Yoga, acc. to Guhyasamāja-tantra 1068n.

Anuvāda, explained as a text which contains something which has already been ascertained by other means 1240; examples discussed 1240-41; the word occurs in Nirukta 1239n; strict definition of, 1241n.

Anuvādya, same as Uddesya or Uddssyamāna, 1286 and n. Anvitābhidhānavādinah 1297.

Apāmārga, a plant used in incantations and medicine 196n. Aparājitagana (mantras) 796n.

Aparājitādevī: procedure of the worship of, on Vijayādašamī 188, 190; procedure of worship

of, by a king 191-192.

Aparārka, 41n, 53n, 75, 183n, 223n, 606n-609n, 639n, 640n, 699, 706n, 749n, 813n, 820n, 824, 833n, 837 (sparingly quotes only mine Upāpurānas), 875-76n, 897, 920n, 922 (says Narasubha - purāna to be

followed in Devapuin ele.). 923p, 948p, 949n, 954n, 955. 973n, 974n, 993n, 1024, 1032, 1076, 1093 (quotes Devipurāna). 1096n, 1121n (quotes Narasunha-purana and nyasa of Purusasūkta verses on parts of the body), 1122, 1181n, 1231, 1248-9 (two well-known verses on naryudāsa pratisedha), 1262 (quoles Bhayisyapurana on five categories of smrti contents), 1265n, 1266n, 1273n, 1292, 1320, 1381, 1407, 1409, 1418n, 1419n, 1432, 1440n, 1444n, 1450n, 1455, 1446n, 1448, 1592n, 1597.

Aparigraha, not accepting from another anything beyond what is necessary for preserving the body 1420 and n.

Apastamba, 220.

Apastamba-dharma-sūtra, 24, 27, 29, 36, 46, 100n, 103, 244, 478, 607, 701 (mentions Chan doviciti as Vedānga), 799, 817 (names Bhavisyapurāna and has verses from a Purana), 853, 935, 937, 1017 (forbids gambling halls and samajas to house-holders), 1154 (employs Mīmānsā terms and principles), 1230, 1232n, (forbids cating of Kalanja), 1250, 1251 (example of Vyasvasthita-vikalpa), 1256,1257n, 1259, 1268 (on equal shares to all sons), 1280 (some great men guilty of violation of Dharma), 1390 (knows Yoga), 1436, 1560 (on Karma doc trine), 1592, 1628.

9 Index

Apastamba-grhyasūtra, 497n, 524n, 534-35, 605 (time for caula), 610, 733 (sāntı for unusual appearances), 802n (view of com Tātparyadaršana), 1257 (P. M. S. VI 8, 23 contains the very words that A employs)

Apastamba-śrautasūtra, 26n, 53n, 1032, 1037, 1114n, 1174 and n, 1183n, 1290, 1322n, 1336, 1330n, 1332n, 1471

Apastambiya mantrapātha, 633 Apavarga (meaning 'liberation'). occurs in Y S, Raghuvamśa, Maitrī Up and Nyāyasūtra 1515

Appayya Diksita, a of Kalpataruparimala 1150, a of Vidhirasāyana, 1199 (conflict about his date), 1219n, a of Madhyatantramukhamardana, 1519n

Appolonius of Tyana, life of, by Philostratus, states that A re ceived seven rings from Iarchus, an Indian king, which A. was to wear on each week day, 600, 688.

Apraturatha anuvāka 786n

Apsaras, wife of Gandharva, 495n Apte, Prof. V. M., on derivation and meaning of vrata, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9n, 10-19

Aparea (invisible, mysterious or subtle potency), idea of, explained, being one of the fundamental doctrines of PMS 1210-11, 1231. proved by Arthapatti and not by any other pramana 1210; resides in the man (the agent) or an invisible effect arises from the sacrifice, 1210 V. S. Sanker levels oppose this destrine and hold that reward

is given by God, 210-11, why called A 1211-12.

Arabs, admit that they borrowed astronomy from the Siddhanta 508

Ārādunakāraka, are acts or rites prescribed without being meant to do something about substances and are directly the angas of the principal rite, for example Prayajas, Āghāras and Ajyabhāgas ın Darsapürnamāsa, 1354

Arberry, a of 'Asiatic Jones' 1594

Archaeological survey of India, 174n, 485, 993n

Archaeological survey of Western India, 131, 997n.

Archaeological survey of India, Memoirs, 1131n (Memoir 66 for illustrations of Abhayamudrā).

Ardhodaya-yoga, 261, 706

Argument from silence, not very strong ordinarily, 1157n.

Aristotle, believed stars to be divine and endowed with independent volition, 546n

Arjuna, 1502n, came to country of Nagas and made Ulupi, a Năga princess, his wife 126-127, fight with Babhruvāhana at Manipura, in which he was killed but was restored to life with Sanjivana jewel 127

Atjunamisra, commentator of Mahābhārata 1367n

Arnold, Edwin, a of 'Light of Asie', pays a very eloquent tribute to Buddha, 1021.

Arnold. Matthew, 1615 (on culture)

Arthakarma, the giving of the staff to the Maitrā-varuna priest after Soma is purchased is A. 1231-32.

Arthapañcaka, of Nārāyana, on the five heads of Rāmānuja's doctrines, 964n.

Arthasangraha, 1228, 1241n, 1309n.

Arthasastra of Kautilya, 476 (units of time), 487, 527, 571n, 616 (on yāirā), 647, 659n, 662n, 663, 819n, 862 (on Sūta of the Purānas), 863, 905, 1032 (on tantrayukti), 1628; condemns the intense desire to find out auspicious naksatra and tithi and extreme reliance on them, but did not ignore prognostications altogether, 528, employs regnal year and not era 647.

Arthavädädhikarana, 927n

Arthavādas (vide Anuvāda, Gunavada) 1238-1244: all A. are not commendatory, some remove doubts also as about śarkarā 1240, are the second great division of Vedic texts and are dealt with in P. M. Sütra I 2, 1238, are scattered over the several chapters of P M. Sūtra 1283, are śesa (auxiliary) of vidhis in the Brähmana texts 1263, constitu'e the largest part of the Veda, particularly of Brāhmana texts 1243; examples of, cited 1238-39, four kinds of viz paraketi nındā, prašamsā, purākalpa, according to Mi māmsā parībhāsā, Vāyupurāna examples, Nyāyasūtra and

1241-42, Smrtis abound in A. illustrated, 1242-43, some Vedic passages contain words like 'hi' (meaning 'because'), the conclusion being that such passages (called 'hetuvan ingadas') are also commendatory 1239-1240, three kinds of A. viz. gunavāda, anuvāda, bhūtā rthavāda, exemplified 1240-41.

Arunaketukacayana, 24

Arunānyāya, 1294-95 (explain ed), 1340

Arunodaya, (last half quarter of night), 260.

Aryabhata, 484, 676, 679, born in 476 A. D. 649, 1477, view of, that earth revolved round itself and that stars did not re volve round earth 1477.

Aryabhatiya, work of Aryabhata, 649n, 676n, 679, 80 (mentions Thursday), 684, 696n, 1477, edited by W. E Clark, 1477.

Aryamañjuśrimūlakalpa, names and defines 108 Mudrās 1129, says combinations of mantras and mudrās bring success in all actions and that no tithi, naksatra, nor fast required 1129.

Aryasaptasati of Utpala, 602 (deals with predictions based on time of question asked).

Asādha, most important tithi in, is Ekādasī, 95.

Asana or Asanas (vide under Gherandasamhitä, Hathayoga, Inscriptions, Sivasamhitä) 1424–1431, an anga of Yoga and defined as what is stable and comfortable in Y. S. (1 c. bodily posture) and in Gia means seat covered with Kufa

grass, deer-skin and garment, 1424, handbook on, published by Śrī Kuvalayānanda, with 78 figures 1425, is first anga in Hathayoga, of which there are 84. most important being Siddhāsana 1426-28; of 84 āsanas 1428, Padmāsana mentioned by Daksasmrtı and by Śankara 1425n. 1426, photographs (108) of A Dr. Goswami's work, 1394 sixteen figures of A in Dr Behanan's work and figures in other works 1125-26, ten A. named by Yogabhasya 1425-26. Yāl Smrti (III. 198) seems to have Padmasana in view 1425 and n.

Asanga, a of Mahāyānasūtrālankāra, ed by Levi 1050n, great teacher of Yogācāra 1050n, Tibetan tradition that A introduced Tantricism in India examined 1033n, view of Dr. Bhattacharya that A is the a, of Guhyasamājatantra criticized 1050n

Asanta, meaning of, in Tai S 723n,

Asat, meaning of, in Rg. X 72 2, Chān Up III 19 1, Br Up. I 4 7, Tai Up II 7, 1488n

Asauca (days of impurity on birth or death), does not affect king in doing regal duties nor any one who is engaged in trata or sacrifice, marriage etc. occurring after any one of these is begun 48.

Asceticism, not prescribed for all by fistras 1628

Ascetice, forbidden by Manu to

secure alms by fore-telling results of portents or bodily movements 527; had to give up all property and beg for livelihood 944, many kinds of m. in Brhaj-jātaka 1645

Asiatic (Researches, volumes of 253, 498

Āslesā, vide Gomukhaprasavašānti; Šānti for birth of a son or daughter on certain parts of Ā 771-72; serpent, presiding deity of Ā. 771n.

Asmarathya, quoted by both P. M S and V. S. and is quoted at least 16 times by Ap Sr. sūtra, his views being often in conflict with Alekhana's 1174.

Asmitā (in Yoga) defined, 1409n, 1417.

Aśoka, 493; Ahimsā of A. was qualified 1015, appointed officers called Mahāmātras to look after Buddhist Sangha, Brāhmanas, Aprikas and all other sects 1012; 12th Rock edict of, requires honour to be shown to all beliefs and sects 1012, 1481; edict 13 of, shows that he sent missionaries to five kings of the Near East about 258 B C., edicts 2 and 5 refer to Yavanas (Yona) 1634n; emphasized moral endeavour. disliked sacrifices, believed in gods and desired that people should strive for heaven 1016n: employs regnal years in his edicts 647; forbids festival meetings (samāja) 1017; his regulations about not killing birds and animals and about the sale of fish must have caused

great hardships to poor people, [1015n; in later life appears to gloat over the fact that gods worshipped as divinities in Jambudyīpa had been rendered false 1015-17; Revenue settlement officers called Lajukas were given by A. powers of life and death 1015, Rock edicts 4 and 5 mention 'Kalpa' 686: Rupnath Rock Inscription words about gods have differently interpreted by different scholars 1016-17. was not trained in Pali books and never mentions in all edicts fundamental tenets of early Buddhism such as four noble truths, noble eightfold path 1016n.

Aśokāvadāna, charges Pusyamitra (called Maniya by it) with persecution of Buddhists, but Rhys Davids reserves judge ment on this point 1008n, 1010 Aśramas, each has its peculiar signs as characteristics (linga) 868n; Hindu scheme of, highly praised by Deussen 1026n, theory of, highly eulogized by Deussen 1646; word not found ın Veda, but only ın Sv. Up though some āśramas were well known even in Vedic times 1643-44.

Aśramavāsika-parva, 616.

Astakā (8th tithi after full Moon in any month, particularly in Māgha) 65.

Astakavarga, astrological theory about seven planets and Lagna 591.

Astobha, meaning of, 1182 and n.

Astrologer, an established officer in Italy and later in French court. 543n. came to be looked upon in India as all important for the king 543, professional A (for money) was looked upon as an unworthy Brahmana till about 500 B.C. 543. Astrology (vide under Athaivana Jyotisa, auspicious days, Babylonians, bhāvas 1, e, houses in horoscopes, Greeks, Mahabharata, planets, predictions. Ptolemy, Rāmāyana, Utpala, Varāhamihira); among Assyrians A was concerned with the interpretation of celestial phenomena 521, 548, Atharvana Jvotisa divided naksatras into nine classes (each of three naksatras) and sets out what should be undertaken on each of nine classes 532-34, 579; based on Naksatras had been well developed in India before Ptolemy 558-61, 579, 597n; belief in A. was common throughout Europe and among Greeks after Alexander 548; believers in A should now rest content with the simple rules of Grhyasütras and Mann as regards Upanayana and mairiage 639; birth of a boy on Jyesthā or Vicit (Mūla) held in Atharvaveda to be evil for the boy or parents 524; criticisin of the main doctrines of Indian A. including disti, 635-638; dilemma for those who believe in A. 639; firm hold of A. on Indian mind for two thousand years or more and

even in modern times 626-27; general A (not horoscopic) like that in Reports of Babyloman priests prevalent India, centuries before Christ generally ignored by modern Western philosophers and scientists 630, high claims about importance and utility of A. made by Varāhamihira and others 546-48, horoscopic A is later than natural A. 521. ın Varāhamıhıra ıs not borrowed from Greeks 585, in ancient times the words 'astronomy' and 'astrology' were synonyms 483, 521. Indians who knew Naksatra a, centuries before Christ probably adopted it to rāšis on seeing zodiacal signs Babylonian monuments about the time that the Greeks derived it 598-600; influence of, in England shown several English words, 552-53, Kautilya knew general A, but not horoscopic 569-70, know ledge of A. found in Vedic works 521-525; long list of Varāhamilira's predecessors in A 591-94 most important matters in Indian A are rāsis, the planets and the twelve bhat as 635 prejudice in ancient India against astrologers and star-gazers 527; Ptolemy's arguments in support of, 553-54, serious consequences of nelicf that planets caused persons to do certain things, 631, some predictions came true 631-32, spread from Greece to Rome shout 2nd century B C 549, two circumstances that tended to weaken the influence of A. in Europe 551; was comparatively more scientific than hepatoscopy 522n, was cultivated by the side of astronomy in European Universities 551, works on Indian A gradually disappeared owing to the excellent works Varāhamihira 601. works on A recommended that a child born on Āslesā or Mūla naksatra or on gandanta should be abandoned 632-33, world wars provided a powerful fillip in modern times for spread of A 531

Astronomers, Indian, of medieval times believed that all planets including the Sun and Moon were together at sunrise on Sunday of Cartra Sukla pratipad at beginning of Kaliyuga and of Kalpa 649; three schools of, (1) of Süryasıddhanta, (2) of Brahmasiddhanta, (3) of Āryasıddhānta 649; two maın points on which the schools of A m india differ via (1) length of yeer, (2) the number of revolutions of the Sun, Moon and the planets in a Mahāyuga 711

Astronomy (see 'Astrology', Greeks, 'Siddhāntas'), both a. and astrology are equally ancient and acted and reacted on each other 483 Cambridge Ancient History holds that whole science of a. is due to astrology, while Prof. Neugebauer and Mr. Doig hold that

there is no evidence for this 483; discussion of Greek influence on Indian a. is of little interest, since most of Greek material was well-known in Mesopotamia in the middle of the 2nd Millenium B C, 518n, extensive Sanskrit Literature on Hindu A. perished 521, 531; in China, assumptions of antiquity of astronomy. should not be accepted at face value 509, Indian A, held by Dixit to be independent and based on Indian observations 484: Indian A cannot be said to have been directly based on Ptolemy owing to serious discrepancies in essential matters and no direct evidence of being based on Hipparchus or other Greek writers 520-21, knowledge of, in Homer's and Hesiod's works not better than that of Vedic Indians 513, Sanskrit literature on a, and astrology falls into three slightly overlapping periods 484, study of constellations by monks was allowed by Buddha, though foretelling the future was condemned by him 527; works on Hindu A recommended for reading 484-85

Asuri, acc to Sānkhya-kārikā, Yogasūtrabhāsya and Śāntiparva received Sānkhya system from Kapilamuni and expounded it to Paūcašikha, 1356, 1372-73; an A. occurs in Vamšas in Br. Up, as pupil of Bhāradvēja 1373; no quotation from him cited by any

Sānkhya writer 1374. Asutosh Mukerji (Sir), Silvei Jubilee Volume 843.

Aśvaghosa, a. of Saundarananda 941, a of Buddhacarita 1378. Aśvalāyana-grhya-parisista 734.

Asvalāyana-grhya-sūtra 26, 35n, 36, 126, 224n, 526n, 534, 536, 605, 609, 729n, 780n, 787n, 790, 802, 1161, 1228n, 1317n; com. of Gārgya Nārāyana on, 1317n,

Aśvalayana-śrauta-sūtra, 18n, 26n, 123n, 724n, 731n, 816.

Asvamedha (vide under Rāja sūya) sacrifices lasted for a year in which there were 36 cycles of ten days, on each day of which the sacrificer was to listen to different branches of literature and legends 866; sacrifices performed by Pusyamitra, Bhavanāga, Pravarasena I, Samudragupta and other kings 1028-29, supposed to remove the consequences of all sins, 1589n.

Asvamedhikaparva, 127, 467, 683, 744, 945 (on ahina), 994, 1371, 1401, 1446, 1519, 1537n, 1571

Aśvapati Kaikeya, boast of, in Chān. Up 943, 1584n; expounds Vaiśvānara vidyā to Uddālaka Āruni, 1578-79.

Aśvattha, name of Śravana nak satra in Käthaka and Pānini, 500.

Aśvattha tree, is called Bodhisattva in Padmapurāna 893
Aśvayujī, one of the seven Pākayajnas m by Gaut performed on full Moon of Aśvina, 206.

Aśvins, different views as to what they stand for in Rgveda, 7, spoken of as wearing blue lotuses in Rgveda 35.

Atatāyin, killing an Ā brāhmana is not brahma-hatyā 817n

Atharvana-jyotisa 80, 532-34, 539, 541, 601, date of, difficult to decide 534, furnishes a scheme of naksatra astrology somewhat different from Valhānasa-smrti and Yogayātrā 532-34, mentions week-days but not rāšis 534.

Atharvana naksatra-kalpa, first part of Atharvaparısıstas 499
Atharva-parısısta, 589n (on grahayuddha), 731, 735n, 741 (67th parısısta), 742 (on Utpātalaksana), 743, 745, 754, 759n, 761, 767, 770, 774, 779n, 780, 792-93, 795n, 796n, 798, 802n,

Atharvasiras, Upanisad 786n, 918n.

Atharvaveda, 12n, 20, 22, 23n, 25n, 35, 62n, 63-65, 126, 191, 196n, 463, 486n, 487, 489, 494n, 495n, 496-98, 500, 524, 526n, 528, 538n, 563, 596n, 671, 702, 719n, 720-21, 726n, 727n, 729n, 730n, 732 (serses of, used in Santis on dreams), 734, 739-40, 745 (on Utpātas), 769 (abhaya-mantras), 771n, 796, 802n, 816, 985 (two interpretations of X. 8 9), 1032, 1035 (magic spells in), 1036-37 (spells for softening the heart and for destroying worms), 1116 (on diksā), 1153, 1181, 1213 (on joys of heaven), 1217n, 1259n (astakāmantra 'Yām janāh' etc), 1291, 1360, 1387, 1433, 1471, 1489 (repeats 15 verses of Purusasūkta), 1493-95 (hymns on Creator and creation), 1498, 1500, 1526n, 1533, 1554 (Devayāna and Pitryāna), 1622, 1625-26 (rehed upon in V.S.), 1633, five Kalpas of A. are Naksatra, Vaitāna, Sauhitā, Ābgirasa and Śānti 735n

Atheists, Bhagavadgītā describes their destiny 979-80

Atidesa (process or method by which the details prescribed in connection with one sacrifice are transferred to another rite) 1321-24, vide under Vidhyadi and Vidhyanta, may be provided by vacana (Vedic text) or by name, 1322-23, prakrti (model or Archetype) is the name given to the sacrifice from which details are transferred and vikrti is the name given to the sacrifice to which details are transferred 1322. 7th Chap, of P M, sūtras deals with general question of the extension of details to Aindragna and other sacrifices, while the 8th Chap deals with specific cases of A. 1321, 1323-24, Smrtis and digests frequently resort to principle of A. 1322-

Atırudra, eleven times of Mahārudra 814

Atthi (guest), flesh of an ox or good, offered to king or brahmana coming as an A, according to Sat Br, Vasistha, Yāj. 1267.

Ativahika (intermediate body between dead body and the future body for soul), 1600 and n.

Atman (vide Brahman), resides in the earth and elements, is inside them, rules them and is the soul of all human beings, 1499, description of, in Br Up. 1499.

Atomic theory; Democritus (who died in 370 B.C. in Greece) started it, 1486, in Kanāda, who does not expressly say anything about who started it, 1486; later Nyāya-vaiéesika writers combined two theories by saying that, when God desires to create, activity is produced in the atoms and creation starts, as stated in Tarkadīpikā, 1486.

Atreya, on astrology, q. by Madanaratna, 736n, 789.

Atreya, Dr., thesis, on 'Philosophy of Yogavāsıstha' 1408n Atreya, views of, quoted in P M.S. and V.S. and called Muni by Sabara 1173-74

Atri, writer of a work on Utpātas and pupil of Garga, 591, 766

Atri, author of a Smrii, 29n, 250, 810n, 948n, 949, 979, 1023n, 1419, 1442n, 1634n (mentions foreign tribes)

Atris, performed Saptadaśastoma three days before Visuvat and smote svarbhānu, 242; found out the sun afflicted with darkness by Svarbhānu (i.e totally eclipsed) 982n.

ugustine, saint, called astrology a delusion 558; believed that religions outside the Bible as interpreted by him and others was the work of the devil 1608.

Anrangzeb, issued firmans for the destruction of famous temples at Somnath, Mathurā, Benaras, 1019.

Aurobindo, vide (Vedic Interpretation), a of 'Hymns to the Mystic fire' 985, a of 'On the Veda' (published in 1956) dealing with over 60 hymns and 283 pages are devoted to the elaboration of his theory that behind the ritual explanations there is always the true and still hidden secret of the Veda, 986, a of 'The problem of rebirth', 1605, does not compare the several hundred passages in which the word rta occurs and translates rta as truth and 'truth conserousness' and 'rta-cit' also in the same way 986, his theory that many while hymns of the Veda bear on their face a mystic meaning and that the rsis for the sake of secrecy re sorted to double meanings, criticized 986-89, 991, concedes that there are some keywords in the Rgveda such as rta, kratu, śravas, kein (most of which occur hundreds of times) and that elaborate work would have to be done to fix their meanings, but he does not himself undertake that task and fixes the meaning of rta from three passages only 988, A and his disciple

Kapali Sastry differ about the poetic character of the mantras 987n, makes a very wide generalization with very little basis 991; A's translation of 'rtacit' is shown to be wrong 988-89, explanation why Shri Aurobindo (who 15 called Mahāyogi by his disciples and admirers) was not mentioned in dealing with Yoga and Dharmaśāstra, 1162-63, faults that he finds with the old systems of Yoga stated and criticized 1465-66, his sadhana was not founded on books, but upon personal experiences that crowded upon him and he constantly heard the voice of Vivekānanda for a fortnight in the jail 1465, life of A written by Shri R. R. Diwakar (wherein he speaks of him as 'Mahāyogi') published in 1953, 1466n, life of A by G. H. Langley (1949), 1466n, lived as a recluse from 1926 to his passing away on 5th December 1950 and gave darsana to people only on four days in the year, 1463 and n , long statement on 15th August 1947 (Independence day) in which he referred to the several dreams of his youth which he thought were on their way to fruition 1463-64, recent work 'Integral Philosophy of Aurobindo' containing 30 papers by Indian and Western schohrs (1960), 1467; A sets his heart on the unity of the human race to be achieved by 3

nner oneness and purpose and not by an external association of interests, but his own efforts (in that direction, except by writings) are not discernible 1464-65; writings of, are many and voluminous, his magnum opus being 'Life divine', which is not easy to understand for men of ordinary intelligence 1466-67.

Ausanasa-smrti 819.

Auspicious days, reference to, occurs even in the Rgveda 522, Soma sacrifice did not require auspicious day or naksatia 523

Auspicious muhūrta, for constructing a house 540.

Auspicious naksatras, for caula, punisavana, marriage, 535, for wearing new garments or ornaments for the first time 626.

Auspicious objects, see Vasantarājašākuna, 778, to be touched when leaving house after bath and homa on business 905.

Auspicious times, for all rites for gods 536, times for caula. upanayana, godāna, and marriage according to grhyasūtras 224, 535, A. times prescribed hy Bihad-aranyaka Upanisad for rates to be performed by ındıviduals 524, 534, A. naksatras and seasons prescribed for solemn Vedic sacrifices by Brāhmaņa texts and Kalpasūtras, grhya and dharma sütras 534, year for caula, 535. Avalon, Arthur (Sir John Woodroffe, which see), 178n, 1033n, 1048n, (a of 'Principles of

Tantza), 1060 (a. of 'Serpent Power), 1048n, 1129n, 1131n; published many of the Hindu and Buddhist Tantras, 1050, 1105.

Avama-dina, explained 263.

Avantivarman, king of Kashmir 1075.

Ãvāpa (decentralisation or scattering); example is Darsapūrnamāsa, which are really two sets of sacrifices, 1332.

Avatāras (vide 'Bhāgavata'. 'Buddha', 'Reincarnation'), 992-998: Agnipurana describes the characteristics of the images of ten a 996: all ten avatāras of Visnu had become recognized throughout India at least before 10th century A D. 996; sre dwelt upon at great length in Paranas 992; Baddhists in the Mahāyāna teachings made Buddha go through many a. as Bodhisattva 993: conception of, may be traced to the Sat. Br. 992; conception of a, increased Dharmafastra material and gave rise to numerous vratas and festivals called Javantis 992. derivation and meaning of the word 992: in modern times some persons pose or are made to pose as avatāras by their admirers 993; Indian theory of a, is connected with the theory of yugas 993; Kumūrila (7th century A D.) did not regard Buddha as avatara of Visna 997; Laksmi follows Aveti, is a sacrifice performed Vignu in all avatāras 996; Locus classicus on a 18 Bhaga-

vadgītā IV. 7-8, 993, none of the ten avataras except Krsna and possibly Rāma is expressly named in Bhagavad. gitā 994; of Visnu due to n curse of Bhrgu 995n, one Pak Subuh who hails from Indonesia is suggested by Mr. J G. Bennett as an avatara for whom mankind is waiting 993: reference to Buddha as avatāra ın Bhāgavata 995n; references from Puranas to each of ten a, 998; ten a. of Visnu in medicval and modern times named in Matsya and Varāba Purānas 993: thirtypine a of Vasudeva in Ahirbudhnya-samhita 996: thirty-three a. discussed by Dr. Katre 997; tithis of the appearance of, 262, 998n; Tolarananda probably contains longest account of ten a, 998: twelve a. noted in Matsya and Padma 994, twenty-two a of Visnu in Bhagavata 903n. Vāmana and Krsna a known centuries before Mahabhasya 997: Varāha avatāra m. m Gupta Inscription in the first year of Toramana (about 500 to 510 A. D) 997n; Väynpurana mentions 28 a. of Mahešvara, last being Nakuli 996; verses on a similar to those in Gītā from Harivania, Vāyu, etc. 993n; worship of availaras from Norasmuha to Kalkin yields different rewards, icc. to Varāhapurāna 263, 996.

as part of the Rajasaya-yayra that could be performed only

Index 19

by a ksatriya, 1332; it is also an independent vãoa that may he performed by any one belonging to the three varnas Nirnayasindhu relies upon this difference in Avesti for its conclusions about Devipūjā on different tithis 1332, word 'rājan' in 'Rājasūya' is held by PMS and Sabara to mean a ksatriva only. while some late Dharmaśāstra works hold that the word 'rājan' may secondarily mean even a Vaisya who becomes a ruler 1333, 1340

Avidyā (nescience), consists (Y. S. II 5) in regarding noneternal as eternal, impure as pure, painful as pleasurable, non-self as self 1417

Aviyoga-vrata, in which food was to be distributed to helpless people, to the blind and deaf 38-40

Ayācita, is a substitute method for an absolute fast on ekādašī 100-103.

Ayana, meaning of, in Rgveda, 491

Ayanāmsa (vide under 'calendar'), meaning of 711.

Ayodhyā, capital of Kosala 531 Ayodhyākānda (of Rāmāyana), 775 (dreams in)

Ayusyagana (from Atharvaveda) 802n

Ayusyamantras, 759 and n. 796n. Ayusyasükta, 758n, 802n (different riews as to what is A).

Ayutahoma (in which ten thousand oblations are offered); a kind of Navagrahafinti 749752; to be performed at marriages, establishment of images etc. 949.

Babylon: (see 'Chaldeans', 'signs of Zodiac'). apostrophized as the daughter of Chaldeans 548, intercourse between India (Punjab) and B. from 3rd Millenium B C. 598-600: latitude of 542; peacocks, rice and Indian sandalwood under their Tamil names were known to Hebrew chronicles of Genesis and Kings 598; ratio of longest day to shortest, varied in ancient Babylon, 542-43; reports made by astrologers of B to the king about the portents due to position of Sun, Moon and planets, 521, 548, 74ln; upright stones placed in fields with inscriptions bearing curses and figures of zodiacal signs, 595.

Babylomans: B. and Assyrians based astrology on three assumptions 546; B. and Greeks had no generally believed doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma, 546, difference between B. astrology and Greek astrology 550-51; naksatras not integrated with religious systems in, 509; called Capricorn goat-fish 565n ; ımagined there were three ages of the world, gold, silver and copper 689n; no cuneiform tablet yet discovered where all the 27 or 28 naksatras are arranged in an orderly series 509; obser vations by B, of planets from 2nd millenium B. C., 570.

Bachhofer, Ludwig, 655 (criticizes Dr. Tarn).

Bacon, view of, that stars rather incline than compel, 551.

Bādarāyana, predecessor of Varāha-mihira, quoted by Utpala, 561, 591; mentions Yavanendra 591.

Badarayana: between Jammin and B., Samavidhana Br inserts two names and so B. is thus two generations later than J. 1161n: B, came to be confounded with Vyāsa, Pārāšarya but it is difficult to say when and why this confusion alose. 1169, 1171-73; held by Rāmānuja to be author of V.S. and also of Mahabharata 1165. illustrations how V.S. deals with references to B 1168; is A. of V.S. acc to Sankarācārya, 1162n, 1164-65; as different from Veda-vyāsa, acc, to Śańkarācārya 1166, no satisfactory reason offered why B. is mentioned only nine times in V. S. if all the five hundred fifty-five sutras were composed by him, 1165; that several individuals separated by a century or more could have borne the name Bādarāvana or Jaimini follows from Ap. Sr. S. and Pravaramanjari, 1174; would be a grandson or a remoter male descendant of Badara 1169.

Bādari, mentioned by both P. M. S. and V. S. four times each, would be son of Badara and P. M. S. mentions both Bādarāyana and Jaimini five times each, 1169, 1173, 1193; differs

from Jamini by holding that Südras are entitled to perform Vedic sacrifices and on the interpretation of the word 'sesa' 1173, 1253, 1642; P. M. S. and V. S. both had before them a work of B. dealing with both P. M. matters and Vedänta 1173-4; trace of Bhartrmitra's atheistic views none in B. nor of doctrines akin to Prabhäkara's 1193.

Bageln, Dr. P. C., a. of 'Studies in Tantras' 1034, 1039, 1048n, 1049, 1050n, 1064n (that words 'mother', 'sister' etc. in some Tantias with regard to coitus have esotene sense); a. of paper on 'Cult of Buddhist Siddhācāryas' in 'Heritage of India' Vol. IV, pp. 273-79; a. of 'India and China' 1618n.

Bādha, 1327-28; Tantravārtika collects about three dozen cases of bādha in general, not dependent on conflict of Śruti, linga ctc. or not falling under bādha as defined by Śabara for 10th chap, 1329.

Bādhva and Bāskali, story of, 1505.

Bahulā, 4th tithi of Bhādrapada daik balf, 355.

Bahvrcopanisad, 1045n.

Baijavāpa-grhya, 748.

Bakapancaka, five days from Kartika bright half eleventh tithi are so called, on which all have to abstain from flesheating, 355.

Bakhshalı MS. employs decimal notation 702.

Balābalādhikarana, is PMS III. 3.14, Dhaimašāstra works employ it, 1311

Bālākı Gārgya was taught by Ajātasairu, king cf Kāsī 1639 Bālakrīdā, com. of Visvaiūpa on Yāj. Smrti, 825, 826n, 1155n. Bālambhatta, com. of, on Mitāksarā (latter half of 18th century, A D), states that Vāya vīyapurāna is also called Šaiva, 831.

Balı, ısland of, ın S E Asia, ıs still Hındu with four vainas, 1618.

Balipratipadā. 201-207, see Govardhanapūjā, Balı add. ressed as future India 201, B is also called Dyūtapiatipadā, 203; Balırajya extends over three days from 14th in Divali, 204, cows, bulls and calves worshipped on this day, 201, Kārtika-šukla-pratipadā is sicalled 201, gifts made on bath on this day become inexhaustible and please Visnu 202, king to offer worship to Balı with his ministers and his brothers, 201, king should arrange for dramat c spectacles about ksatriyas, 201, Mantia addressed to Bali 201n, most important item on this day is the worship of Bali 201-202. Laksmi becomes stable by illuminations on this day, 204, long list of observances on this day, 204; Mārgapālī on, described, 205; Naivedya to Bali included wine and meat 201, ordinary people also should establish in then houses image

of Bali with white rice grains and worship it with flowers and fruits, 201, people even now engage in gambling on this day in some places, 203, procedure of the worship of Ball, 201, proper time for worship of Bali, when pratipada is mixed with Amāvāsyā or dvitījā 202, Šiva was defeated on this day in dice-play by Pārvatī, so gambling recommended on this day, story of Bali, narrated in seveial Pulānas is ancient, long prior to Mahābhāsya 202-203, 997, Vāmana thrust Balı into nether regions, gave him overlordship of Patala and made him the future Indra, 202, 203, Ballālasena, king of Bengal, a. of 'Adbhutasāgara', 'Dānasāgara' and also three other works not yet recovered (vide Purapas. Upapurānas) 867 and discai ded Devipurana Annuddhabhatta was his gui a 870n, composed Viatasagaia, Pratisthāsāgara and Acara. sāgara before Dānasāgara 870n, evinces in remarks on Puiānas ın Danasagana a bold critical faculty rate in medieval Sanskrit writers, 867, genealogy of, 870n . names numerous authorities and eight Upapuranas 867, states that Bhāgavata, Brahmanda and Naradiya contained nothing on Dans, 868, Bāna, a of Kādambarī, 39, 821-22, 1384, a of Harsacarita, 629, 705, 821-22, 955n Bannerjee N G, theory of, about

origin of Durgāpājā as military rite, 187.

Bapat, Prof. P. V. writer of '2500 years of Buddhism' 1003, 1040-41 (view of, that Tibetan Tantricism is earlier than Hindu, criticized).

Barhaspatya, cycle of 60 years (vide Sanvatsara); phalas of each of the 60 years, 660-62.

Bārhaspatyasanhıtā, 544n, 742n. Bārhaspatya—sūtra (ed. by F. W. Thomas) 1039.

Barth, a. of 'Religions of India' (in French) tr. by J. Wood, 952n, 1003, 1007n, 1011, 1577 Barna, Dr. B. M., a. of 'Gaya

Barua, Dr. B. M., a. of 'Gaya and Buddha Gaya' 598.

Basham, Prof. A. L., a. of 'History and doctrines of Ajīvikas' 1645n.

Bath, not to be taken at night as a rule except on eclipses, in marriages, on a birth and death, pilgrimage, Sankrānti, 218, 244; on Mahācaitrī and other eleven full moon days at certain holy places yields great rewards, 79.

Bauddhas, of Vijffanavādi school rely on Br, Up. IV. 5. 13 as supporting them, acc. to Śabara 1218; heterodox hypotheses of B.acc. to Kumārila spraug from the arthavāda passages of the Upanisads and serve the purpose of inducing people to give up extreme attachment to sensual plesures and are useful in their own way, 1262.

Baudhāyana 99

Baudhāyana-dharmasūtra, 538, 681, 930, 934, 1257n, 1258 (on five different practices of the North and of the South), 1416n, 1436, 1441.

Baudhāyana-grhya-sūtra, 534, 535n, 610, 733n, 790.

Baudhāyanagrhyasega-sūtra 749, 758 (on Ugrarathasāntı), 784, 787 n, 790-1, 804, 814 (desorībes Rudrābhīseka)

Baudhāyana-śrautasūtra 505n, 519n, 722n, 727-8.

Baudouin, M. Charles, a. of 'Suggestion and auto-suggestion,' refers to Pratyāhāra and Dhāranā, 1447.

Baveru-Jātaka, shows trade by sea between India and Babylon 599.

Beal, a. of 'Buddhist Records of the Western World' 262, 1009 (on Śaśāńka)

Bees, making honeycomb in a house, śānti foi, 730.

Behanan, Dr. on 'Yoga, a scientific evaluation,' 1384, 1393, 1425, 1456.

Behaviourism-vide under J. B. Watson.

Behistun Inscription of Darius (522-486 B. C.), gives Pars as the name of a country, 850n.

Bell, Sir Charles, on 'Tibet, past and present' 1040.

Dr. Belvalkar, two propositions of, in Gopal Basu Mallik lectures on Vedanta viz. that Brahmasütras were separately composed for the Chandogya Up., the Brhadaranyaka Up., and other Upanisads for each and that the Sarīrakasūtra of Jaimini was bodily incorporated in the extant Brahma-

sūtra, strongly criticized, 1175-76; paper of, on Mātharavrtti, 1354.

Bennett J. G. a. of 'Subud' (1958), puts forward Pak Subuh as avatāra 993.

Bergh, Prof Van Pen, a. of 'Universe in space and time,' 571n

Bergson, author of 'Time and Free Will', 475, 1575.

Bernard, Theos, a. of 'Hathayoga, the report of a personal experience', 1428n

Berthelot, Alfred, a. of Transmigration of souls', translated by H. G. Chaytor, 1604.

Berossus, 189n, 690.

Besnagar Column Vaisnava Inscription (2nd century B. C); of Heliodora, Yavana ambassador to an Indian king, 516n, 963.

Bhadrabāhu, Kalpasūtra of, 777 (on dreams).

Bhagavadgītā: (vide under 'Gîta, path, tolerance) . 103, 172, 467, 660, 692n, 702n, 743, 869n, 918n, 929, 938, 958n, 960-62, 964-66, 970, 973, 978-79, 992, 994, 1006n, 1024, 1055n, 1066n, 1087, 1113, 1171-73, 1210, 1216n, 1357n, 1359-60, 1361n, 1364n, 1367n, 1373, 1378, 1379n, 1385, 1388n1401. 1431-2, 1434n, 1448, 1450, 1458, 1461 (frequent emphasis on secrecy, 1462 (path of Yoga and advaita Vedanta is most difficult and unattainable by most persons), 1465, 1478, 1508, 1511, 1557, 1563-4,

1567, 1569, 1598, 1600, 1628, 1630-31, 1635, 1648, difference between B. and Narasection 961-62: vanīva styled Yogaśāstra and each chapter of it is also styled 'Yoga', 1426-27; mentioned ın Santiparva, 653, 656; puts Japa-yajña as the highest among Yajñas, 172; Padmapurana devotes 1005 verses to mābātmya of B. 967; proclaims that doing one's work without an eye to the fruits thereof is worship and even the greatest sinner and persons of the castes and mlecchas lowest can reach the highest goal by bhakti of Kṛṣṇa, 968; Purānas follow with great emphasis the Gita doctrine of Niskamakarmayoga, 967-968; summaries of, in Agni and Garuda Purāņas 967; tantras like Mahānirvāna employ Bh. IV. 24 as a mantra when offering wine 1059, 1087.

Bhagavat: both Sankarsana and Vāsudeva spoken of as B in Inscriptions 964; connotation of the word B, 963; rarely applied to Siva also, as in Mahābhāsya 963; usually applied to Vāsudeva in ancient times, 963, though in a secondary sense to persons with special qualities such as knowledge of Vidyā and Avidyā 963; word B. is ancient, 963.

Bhāgavata-purāņa 112, 129, 131, 139n, 147, 197n, 474 (on Kāla), 477 (elaborate scheme of units of time), 649n, 680n,

687n, 791n, 865, 839 (ten topics of Puranas), 845n (pe riod between Pariksit and Nanda), 852, 857n, 861, 880, 903n, 920-21, 924, 950, 959n, 960 (three kinds of bhalts), 965 (on nine modes of bhakti), 966, 968, 970 (tolerance of worship of other gods), 972, 974, 979, 995, 1032, 1093 (worship of Visnu is Vaidiki, Tantriki and Miśrā), 1120, 1169, 1280, 1373, 1384, 1440n, 1455, 1490n, 1637, 1642, date very controversial, ranging from 5th cen A. D to 10th, 898-99, lengthy eulogy of Bh in Padma 972, many medieval digests hardly ever rely upon Bhagavata for Krsnajanmāstamī 131; on, 898-99, none of the early works on Dharmasastia, such as Mit, Kalpataru, draw upon it, 898, not quoted by Ramanuia in bhāsva on V. S. 957; numerous commentaries on, over 40 being listed, 957n, papers on, brought together by Dr. Pusalker in 'Studies in Epics and Puranas', 898, regarded as the most important or sole authority by Vaisnava teachers like Vallabha Cartanya 957, Śrīdhara, commentator of, 815n, 866n, very exaggerated praise of Bh. 972 Bhāgavata (vide 'Mahābhāgavata 1): means one who worships 'Bhagavat' (Vāsudeva). 963; Akrūra is styled Mahābhagavata in some Puranas,

964, low stage reached by

Bhagavatas, acc to Atra, 979-

80.

Bhāguri, on Śakunas, a predecessor of Varāhamihira, 591, 622

Bhakti, (loving faith in God and surrender to him) pp 950-980; (vide under Krana, Narayanīya, Pañcarātra, saints, Sāttvata, Visnu); ācāryas of bh. Nāradabhaktīsūtra. to 960n, derivation and meaning of the word 'Bhaktı' acc. to Śāndilya and others 958-959; distinction between prapatti and bh. 960; doctrine of bh. had great appeal to all sections of Hindus and weaped them away from Buddhism 970, doc trine of 'Prapatti' based on the word 'prapadye' in Sv Up. 952; doctrine that God's grace alone saves dovotce is found in Katha and Mundaka Upanisads 952; doing one's duties of the station in life without an eye to the rewards is worship (arcana) 967; cle ven modes of, acc. to Naradabhaktısütra, 965; four classes of men take to the path of bhakti, of whom the 4th (18%nin) is very dear to God, 966, Gita makes no express distinc tion between blu and prapatti, but its final advice in XVIII. 65-66 comes close to prapatti, 960, Indra is addressed as sakhā and father in the Rgveda and is said to have given a young wife to old Kalgirat and become the wife of Yinn niva, 950-51 , i. difficult in Kah, acc to Vallabhtonty

975n, is not an action and is from าทีลิทธ nlso different (knowledge), acc. to Śāndilya, 960; list of important works on 657-58, Nārāvanīva section in Śāntīparva and Gītā are among the original source of Bhaktı cult, 952, Namaskāra (adoration or homage), apotheosis of, in the Rgveda, 952; names of the exemplars of the highest kind of bhakti and of middling kind, ace to Brahmandapurana, 960n, modes of, all of which need not be practised at the same time 965-66, of the dasya type in Bhāgavata, 966; origin and vicissitudes of the cult of bh acc, to Bhagavata and Padma, 979, path of, said to be easier than that of knowledge in the Gītā, 965, peculiar cult of the bh of Rāma and Sītā, 980. prapatts, five elements of, and relation to bhakti, 960, Puranas emphasize that even cāndālas. mlecchas. yavanas, become pure bhakti of God, 968, Puranas lay very great emphasis on bh 950, remembering the name of God (Krsna and the like) with devotion is the highest expiation for sip, acc. to Visnupurāna and Śāndilya, salhya type of Bh, is that of Arjana, 966, schools of Bh. formulated bv Rāmānuja, Madhaa, Castanya, and Vallasources of the cult of blakti other than Santiparya are Gita, Bhagarata and Vienu-4

purāna, 956; Sāttvata Pañcarātra proclaimed the path of bhakti 953; stories in Rgveda hymns about Indra's and Varuna's deeds for devotees (sometimes called 'friends') resemble medieval stories about Rama and Sabari and about Vithobā of Pandharpur for Dāmājī 950-52, striking development of bh, of erotic mysticism of Vallabha Cartanya 980, Tantrik works like Rudrayāmala take over the nine modes of bhaktı. 965n; theory of Gita that B led on to prasada (grace or fayour) of God which enabled devotee to secure moksa, 961: two interpretations of Śāndılya's definition of B. 958-59, various sub-divisions of B. in Puranas 960, various theories of Barth, Hopkins, Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar and others as to what Krsna represented and how he was identified with Visnu, 957, word 'Bhakti' does not occur in the principal ancient Upanisads, but occurs ın Śvetāśvatara Up. 952, words 'Bhaktı' and 'Bhakta' occur dozens of times in the Gita. 956.

Bhakticandrikā, com. on Śāndilya-bhaktisūtra 956n, 959.

Bhaktiprakāša (part of Vīramitrodaya) 965n; explains at great length nine modes of bhakti, 965n, 998n, 1122.

Bhāmatī (commentary of Vācaspati on Śańkarācārya's bhāsya on V. S.), 1153n, 1182n, 1183n, 1211, 1241n, 1250, 1293n (on the word 'rājan' among Āndhras), 1296 (on Brahmsloka in Chān. Up VIII. 3, 2), 1298n, 1309n (on the Aindrī verse), 1310n, 1373-74, 1378, 1391, 1576n.

Bhandarkar, Dr. R. G., a. of Vaisnavism, Saivism etc. 131, 472, 478n, 1048n, 1577 Bhandarkar (R. G.) Presenta-

tion Volume (or Commemorative essays) 650n, 843.

Bhandarkar, D. R, 'list of Inscriptions' by, 665, 978n.

Bhāradvāja-grhyasūtra, 535.

Bhāradvāja, on šakunas 591, 621-22; on Vyatīpāta and Vaidhṛti 707.

Bhāradvāja (Pundola), disciple of Buddha, story of his rising in the air, 1037-38.

Bharata (Nātyašāstra of), 1128.
Bharata, son of Dusyanta (or Duspanta); Ait. Br. (39.9) states that B. was crowned by Aindra Mahābhiseka and Sat. Br. speaks of Bharata, son of Sakuntalā and has some verses identical with those in Ait. Br. 1525-26n; Kālidāsa probably did not know that Bharatavarsa was named after Sakuntalā's son, 1525n.

Bhāratakaumudī, work in honour of Prof. R. K. Mukerji, 64n, 699n.

Bharatas, ancient tribe of people frequently mentioned in the Rayeda, 1525.

Bharatavarsa: different views about the identity of the Bharata after whom it was

so called, 1525-26 and 1614 (Sakuntala's son): limits of 1525-1527; m. m Khāzavela's Inscription 1614; nine divisions of (some of which are called dvipas) mentioned in several Puranas, 1000 yojanas from North to South and boundaries of, 1526 (and n), originally it appears B, was what is now modern India, but later B. was made to denote India as well as Greater India, 1526-27; Śabara shows that the language of cultured people was one 1. e. Sanskrit from the Himalaya to Cape Comorin, 1527, Sabara, Mahābhārata, Purānas and Brhatshow that ancient samhita Indian people identified their culture with B. 1.c. with the country and not with race, 1527.

Bhārata War, date of, 648-649. Bhāratīya Vidyā, Journal, 845. Bhārgava, writer on astrology 766n.

Bhargavarcanadīpikā, 90n.

Bhartrhari, philosophical grammarian, author of Väkyapadiya, 475, 1396.

Bhartemitra (between 400-600 A. D.), an interpreter who made P. M. atherstic, 1198, 1205n; his work was called Tattvasuddhi, acc. to Umbeka 1198.

Bhūskara, commentator on Brahmasūtras, 1155n-

Bhāskarācārya (born in 1114 A. D.), 697n, 702 (uses pārra in the sense of zero); s. of

Grahaganita, 649n, Sıddhantaşıromani, 697n. Bhāskararāya, a of com. Setub-

andha on Vāmakešvaratantra called Bhāsurānandanātha after dīksā 1071, 1126; holds that Rg V. 47. 1 refers to Kādividyā, 1045; hyperbolic praise of, 1071.

Bhasya, defined 1182.

Bhatt, Prof. G. H. 957n.

Bhattacarya B., paper of, on 'Ten Avatāras ' 263.

Bhattacharya (Prof. Golokendranath), 125n.

Bhattacharya, Prof. T. 497n, 668n Mr Bhabatosh. Bhattacharva, editor of Danasagara in B. I. series in 4 parts, 867, 870; a. of paper on 'Yogi-Yajnavalkyasmrti and its utilization in Bengal digests', 407.

Bhattacharva Dr. B., a of Intro. to 'Buddhist Esoterism' 1033n. 1050n, 1069n (Dhyanibuddhas, their faktis, kulas etc), 1075, 1115 (on Siddhas of Vajrayana), a, of Intro. to Sadhanamala, 1038, 1039, 1042, 1050n; a of Intro. to 'Guhyasamājatantra', 1042, 1050n, 1069n, 1071n (wrong translation of a verse), 1077n; a. of ' Buddhist Iconography', 1131n; a. of paper on importance of Nispannayogavali, 1133n

Bhattacharya, D. C.; a. of paper on the tantrik work of Vidya. pati, 1676n.

Bhattacharva. Prof. Duragāmohan, 1625-26

Bhattacharya, Prof. H. D., paper of, on 'Vicissitudes of Karma Bhavabhūti : fifth in ascent from

doctrine', 1605. Bhattacharya Sri Siddhesvara, 1383 (on Bhāgavata). Bhattacharya S. P. 1408n. Bhāttadípikā 1230n.

Bhattasalı, N. K., a of 'Icono-

graphy of Buddhist and Brahmanical sculptures in Dacca Museum', 1131n (for mudrās). Bhāva (or Bhāvas, acc to context) (vide under Thibaut): differences between Varahamihira and Firmicus as to matters indicated by B. 540, names of twelve B 578-79, naming and arrangement of B. follows hardly any rational basis, 636-37; system of B. known to Ptolemy, though be paid little attention to it 533; system of B known to many writers before Varahamihira, some of whom like Garga and Paräsara are assigned to 50 B.C. by Kern 579; table of twelve B. with synonyms, seven only correspond with which Greek words and some others convey the matters judged from them 578; terms that apply to a group of Bh. 578; terms Karma and Mrtuu occur in both Naksatra and rāšī astrology 531. terms least five) such as Janma and Sampat that occur in Atharvanajvotisa correspond in name and import with tanu. dhana and other terms used in Varāha's works 533n; what was to be predicted from each of twelve B. 578-79

him performed Vājapeya (about first half of 7th century A. D.) 629.

Bhavadāsa, (between 100-200 A. D.), Śabara's predecessor on Jaimini, named by Ślokavārtika 1159n, 1160, 1197.

Bhavadevabhatta, m. by Raghunandana 919; a. of 'Prāyaścittaprakarana' 1242, 1296.

Bhāvā Ganeśa, a. of com. Tattvayāthārthyadīpana on Sānkhya and on Yogasūtra, 1382, 1394, 1412n.

Bhāvanā, dootrine of B. is the very heart of vidhis 1235, meaning of, 1235; sābdībhāvanā gives rise to āithībhāvanā 1236; two kinds of, viz. sābdī (word force) and ārthī (result producing force), 1235-36, three elements in each of the two bhāvanās described, 1236.

Bhavanāga, of Bhārasīva lineage, performer of ten Asvamedhas 1028.

Bhavanātha (between 1050-1150 A. D.), a of Nayaviveka 1199. Bhavānī, known to Pānini, as wife of Bhava (1, e. Rudra) 185n

Bhāvanopanisad (on Tantra) is a late work 1051, 1218.

Bhavisyatpurāna, named by Ap. Dh. S. 817, by Matsya and Varāha Purānas 818, contained dynastic names acc. to Matsya and Vāyu, 851.

Bhavisyapurāna, 32, 38-40, 43-45, 54, 57, 70, 77n, 78n, 79n, 82, 90, 92, 96, 102, 110, 113, 115n, 119n, 124, 132n, 137-8, 148-158, 161n, 164n, 178n,

181n, 184n, 199, 200n, 204n 212n, 220n, 572n, 660, 683n, 734 (on fantis), 748-9, 779n, 810n, 851, 868 (part discarded by Ballalasena because tainted with heretical doctrines), 869, 924, 926p, 1096n, 1105, 1106, 1152n, 1262 (divides smrti contents into five categories); divided into four parvans of which only the first viz. Brahma can claim an early date, the Pratisargaparvan being a modern fabrication 896-7; note on 896-898, not earlier than 6th or 7th cen. A. D. 898; was revised by Samba, acc. to Varāhapurāna, 898.

Bhavisyottara Purāna; 40, 43, 89, 93n, 94, 97n, 108n, 119n, 122, 124n, 128, 131, 132n, 135, 137n, 142, 149-50, 153, 157n, 194, 210, 218, 238, 240, 753-1, 869; held not authoritative by Ballālasena, 869; not later than 1000 A. D. 897.

Bhiksu, casts off all desires and practises begging, 1169; Gaut provides that a bhiksu should beg for food and Br. Up. also states that those who realize brahman give up desires and practise begging 1169, represents Sannyasa order, 1169.

Bhiksusūtra, mentioned by Pānim as composed by Pārāšarya, mīght refer to Paācasilha's work who was described as Pārāšarya and Bhiksu in Mahābhārata 1169n

Bhimn, fight of, with Duryodhana 767.

Bhimaparakrama, a work of king

Bhoja, 62n, 710, 762.

Bhisma, though unmarried, performed Asvamedha 1280.

Bhīşmaparva 129, 532n, 743-44, 769 (images of gods trembling), 774 (portentous births), 900n, 1470n, 1528.

Bhoja, king of Dhārā (1st half of 11th century A D); composed (or got composed) numerous works, such as Bhūpālakrtyasamuccaya, Bhujabala, Rājamārtanda (on astrology), 58, 884 (four works of, on Dharmasūstra and astrology), a. of com. Rājamārtanda on Yogasūtra 1377, 1394.

Bhojaka · 779n (to whom one's dream was to be declared), Bhojakata: m. in Brahmapurāna as capital of Rukmin of Vidarbha and in Fleet's 'Gupta Inscriptions' 588 and n.

Bhrātr-dvitīyā, same as Yama-dvitīyā, 207-210, an independent festival tacked on to Divali 209; hardly any religious ceremony observed in these days 208, on 2nd of bright half of Kārtika 207; procedure on, 207, 208; worship of Yama, Yamunā and Citragupta and some other details found in medieval digests are now dropped 208.

Bhrgu (writer on astrology and astronomy) 591, 664n.

Bhṛgu-sanhntā (on astrology), criticism against claims of possessing it, 634-635.

Bhujabala or Bhujabalambandha; 42n, 61n, 62, 71n, 110n, 240n, 243n, 249n, 547, 555n, 560, 572, 890 (quotes Devipurāna); Bhūpāla means Bhūpālakrtyasamuccaya of Bhoja, 70.

Bhūtasuddhi, in Mahānirvānatantra, 1095n.

Bhūtārthavāda or Bhūtārthānuvāda, examples of, 1241 and n, explained by Śabara and Śankarācārya (on V. S. I. 3.33) who calls it Vidyamānavāda 1241n

Bhuvanakośa or Bhuvana-vinyāsa, described in Brahmapurāna, Kūrma, Matsya, Vāyu (has 1000 verses), 1527.

Bible, says that Jesus did not expound all things to all people but only to his disciples, 1461n; is intolerant and pessimistic 1624n, 1630n.

Bījanighantu, 1058n (a list of Tāntrik Mantras), 1097.

Bilva, tree, twig of, to be brought in the house on a certain day and tithi in Durgāpūjā, 181. Biot, 510.

Birds, cries of Kapıüjala, Kapots, owl and others indicative of coming good or evil in the Rgyeda 729-30, 804-5.

Birds and animals, when on the right side of one going on a journey indicate auspicious results, 526.

Birth, on certain naksatras like Āślesā and Mūla inauspicious, 605.

Bland, N., on Mahomedan interpretations of dreams 781.

Bloch, Jules, edited and translated in French Asoka Inscriptions, 1016n. Bloomfield, 740n; a. of 'Religion of the Veda '1491; edited Kausika-sütra, 770n.

Bodhāyana: acc. to Prapaticahrdaya, B. composed a commentary on both PMS and VS, while Rāmānuja says he composed a bhāsya on Brahmasūtra, 1186p, 1197.

Bodh Gaya 1018.

Body (human), has nine openings (mouth, nostrils, eyes etc.) 702n, 1364n

Bogozkeu: vide under 'Mitanni'; Inscription of, about 1400 B. C. regarding treatics between the king of Hittites and king of Mitanni that had gods Indra, Mitra, Varuna and Nāsatya in their pantheon 598-99; archives of, contained a treatise on horse training by one Kikkuli of Mitanni, which discusses technical terms akin to Sanskrit 599.

Bollinger and Negelein, editors of Atharvana-naksatra kalpa, 499. Bombay Gazetteer 653.

Bose, D. N., a. of 'Tantras, their philosophy and occult secrets,' 1081 (explains five makaras esoterically), 1149n.

Bouche-Leclercq, a. of 'Astrologie Grecque' (1899): 548, 550, 552, 565n, 566n, 571, 581-3, 585.

Brahman, (vide under Creation); defined in Tai. Up. II. 1, 1424n; described as 'netineti' (not this, not this) in Br. Up. II. 3. 6, IV. 2. 4-22, IV. 5. 15, III. 7. 26, 1504; distinction made in Upanisad texts between saguna br. that was for

prayer and worship and nirguna brahma 1498; it is impossible to define what br, is, we can only say what it is not 1505; is said to be endowed with all powers in VS, and by Sankarācārva. 1045, passages of Upanisads referring to nirguna br. cited. are Br. Up. III. 9, 26, IV. 4, 19 and 22, IV. 5. 15. Chān. Up. VII. 24, 1, Sv. Up. VI. 19, Katha IV. 10-11; para br. is conceived as beyond space, time and causality 1505n; real truth (pāramārtlika satya) about br. is that it is one, that everything in the world (men, animals, matter) is br. 1498-99: śāstras (Vedānta texts) are sources of the correct knowledge of br and that they are agreed that their purport is to establish that br. 1484-85: seeker after br. reaches it by the practice of truthfulness, tapas, samyag-jfiana and brahmacarya, acc. to Mundaka, 1423; story of Badhya conveying idea of br. to Bāskali by remaining silent 1505; Tai. Br. describes br. as the tree from which heaven and earth were chiselled out 1489n; when it is said that the world is nondifferent from brahman, 11 18 not meant that they are identical, but the meaning is that the individual selves and the world are not entirely different from brahman 1510.

Brahmā (see 'Vısnu'); day of Br. equal to Kalpa 688, 690; figure to which the life of br. Index 31

in human years extends is colossal 690; fifty years of life of Br. are gone and the present is Vārāhakalpa and Vaivasvata Manvantara 690; is supposed to have created world on first tithi of Caitra bright half 82; seven sons of, 1372, some held that life of Brahmā was 108 years, 690, 697.

Brahmacārī, word occurs in Rgveda, 7.

Brahmacarya, (vide under 'Mahatma Gandhi'); is very strict for Yogin (viz he is not even to look at, talk to or touch women 1420n, when a householder may be called an observer of B 1421.

Brahmacarya, also means periods of study from 48 to 3 years for a Vedic student prescribed by Gantama, Ap, Manu etc. 1252. Brahmagupta (astronomer born in 598 A. D.) 484, 515n, 680n, 696-7, criticized the Jaina view about there being two suns and two moons, 511n; condemned 'Romakusiddhānta' as outside the pale of smrtis,' 515n.

Brāhmana or Brāhmanas (vide under gifts, pātra, priests); all brāhmanas were not priests, are not priests in modern times and all temple priests are not always brāhmanas 936; every b. was not a proper recipient for gifts in ancient Indian times 937; difference of view on killing an ātatāyin b \$17n; gifts (religious) to be made ace, to sāstras, Manu and other Smrtis only to pātra (deser-

ving) br. who has learning, character and tapas, 937; gifts made to brāhmana not learned in Veda or who is avaricious or decentful were deemed fruitless by Manu and to lead donor to hell 938; had no power to raise taxes like tithes nor was there a regularly paid hierarchy of priests and bishops as in England, 937, high ideal placed before br of plain living, of giving up active pursuit of riches, of devotion to study. pp 936-38, 1637, how importance of birth as a brahmana arose 1639-40, lores to be studied by a learned brahmana. acc. to Gautama Dh. S. 818; maintaining themselves practising astrology were unfit for being invited to perform rites for gods or for śrāddha dinner acc to Manu 527. Manu and others provided that in rites for purs the learning and character of b. should be carefully scrutinized, but Purānas did away with this, particularly at Gaya 930-32; of Mathurā are like gods, says Varāhapurāna 932, bharata emphasizes that good character makes a brāhmana 100n; milk is vrata of, 18, most Br. studied only one Veda or a part of it, 1182. were entitled to drink Soma beverage in Vedic sacrifices 853; performed solemn Vedic sacrifices like Vājapeya 1029, reasons or basis of the veneration paid to brahmanas for

over three thousand years. 1640; result of threatening or striking or injuring (with blood from wound) a brāhmana declared in Tai. S., which is interpreted in two ways by different writers, 1544-45; substantial sources of income were only three, viz. teaching, officiating as priests at sacrifices of others and receiving gifts made voluntarily which were fitful, variand precarious. 1638-39: to learn vidyā from a ksatriva was unnatural or unusual in Upanisad times, 864; to be fed in all vratas, 39, tribute paid in passing to the ancient brahmana ideal by Jawaharlal Nehrn Pandit 1640; vast Vedic and classical literature was cultivated, and propagated preserved mostly by brāhmaņas arduous labour and the Rgveda was preserved by them with unparalleled care, 936, 1637-38; were asked to study Veda and subsidiary lores without any eye to motives of profit as a duty and to understand it, to teach the Veda without demanding a fee beforehand, to perform sacrifices and to make gifts, 937; who is not a Vaisnava is declared as a heretic by Padmapurāna and Vrddha-Hārīta 976; Yāj. provides that even a learned br. should refuse gifts and that the king should donate to learned brahmanas, land, houses and marriage expenses or br. should approach a king or rich person for their 'Yogaksema' 936.

Brāhmana or Brāhmanas (texts. acc. to context), all those parts of the Veda which are not or cannot be called mantras constatute B. 1222-23; are primary sources of information about sacrifices, ritual, priests 1223, contain prose found in any Indo-European language 1223, contain numerous myths and legends, 1223; contents can be divided into two classes viz. vidhis (hortatory) and arthavadas (explanatory or laudatory) 1223: often mention contests between Gods and Asuras and abound in etymologies 1223; order (krama) of rites as expressed in mantras is to be preferred to the order contained in Br. texts 1316; principal purpose and aim of Br. of the Veda is to prescribe the rituals of sacrifices, to narrate myths and legends about sacrifices and to state rewards of sacrifices, 1497; ten characteristics of B. acc. to Vrttikāra 1223n.

Brāhmanaka, a country where brāhmanas followed profession of arms, acc to Pāṇini 1638.

Brahmanaspati, as the creator of Gods in Rg. X. 72. 2, 1485.

Brahmānda-Purāna (vide note on pp. 895-96), 90n, 117, 119, 133, 147, 149, 184n, 541, 649n, 652n, 687, 690n, 693, 734, 735n (on Kalpas of Atharvaveda), 748, 817, 822, 824n, 840n, 841

33

(many verses identical with those of Vayu), 845n (on period between Pariksit and Nanda), 850n, 851-2, 853n, 854-56, 861-3, 903n, 920 (Saptārcis mantra), 939n, 946-7, 960 (three kinds of bhakti), 976-77, 994 (list of Avatāras-differcut from usual one), 1042 (Lalıtămāhātmya of), 1102, 1187n (has verses that are also found quoted by Sabara), 1223n. 1383, 1390n, 1470n, 1372n. 1521, 1526, 1528, 1574, 1629n (for word 'sanātana-dharma), compiled or revised about 320-335 A D 854; probably composed near the source of the Godāvarī, 895; divided into four padas besides Lalitopakhyana 845, is fond of etymologies, 890; one of the oldest of Puranas, has hundreds of verses in common with Väyu 896, Skanda says there was first only one Purana, viz. Brahmanda, 895.

Brahmapurana 43, 45, 82, 90, 106n, 118-9, 169n, 201n, 202-3n, 221, 243, 588n, 660, 682, 686n, 687, 691, 693, 695, 754, 763n, 824n, 833, 851, 857, 862n, 903n, 915-16, 919, 929, 931, 935, 947n, 950, 962n, 964, 968, 971, 994n, 1046n, 1096n, 1120, 1121 (on nyāsa), 1127n, 1132, 1213, 1383 (on Sinkhya), 1431n, 1438n, 1521 (on creation), 1526n, 1527-8, 1582, 1590, 1628n, chapters 10-175 containing 4640 verses deal with tirthas, 891. compised between 10th and 12th 5

A. D. acc. to Prof. Hazra, 894, has 10000 verses acc. to Naradīva and 25000 acc, to Agni, but the printed one has about 14000 verses, 833, one recension of B discarded by Ballalasena, 869, 894; Note on, 893-94, present B. probably compiled in some part through which the Godavari 894, that printed in Anan. Press appears to be a late compilation and numerous quotations in Jimūtavāhana, Aparārka. Ballālasena are not found in it. 893.

Biahmārpana, meaning of, 1412n. Brahmasāvitrīvrata, 92, 94n.

Brahmasiddhänta 66n

Brāhmasphuta-siddbānta, 515n, 649n, 658, 676, 680n. 696n.

Brahmasūtia-vide Vedāntasūtra Gītā had before it several works. called Brahmasūtra, 1173 - 4

Brahmayaıyarta - purāna (note on, 894-95), 34, 95n, 97n. 104n, 106, 114, 119n, 125, 129, 132n, 134n, 135n, 138, 148, 213n, 774, printed in Anan Press, Poona, in four kāndas, viz. Brāhma, Prakrti, Ganapati and Kisnajanma, several verses q in Sm C, flemādri as from it are not found in the printed one 895.

Brandon, S G. F, a. of 'Time and mankind ' 475.

Breasted, a of 'Ancient Times' 531n,

Brhadaranyakopamsad, 24, 62, 103, 126, 464, 475, 491, 525,

534, 559, 670, 732n (on dreams), 771n, 772n, 864n, 866, 918, 944-5 (emphasis on dayā), 972 (on last thought). 1043 (explains Rg. VI, 47. 18), 1063n (on 72000 nadis), 1097 (eulogy of Gäyatrī), 1131 (mandala of the Sun), 1169, 1295, 1358n. 1202. 1364n (derivation of Purusa), 1370 (Yājūavalkya expounds brahman to Janaka and answers angry brahmanas in his court), 1373 (vamás), 1387-88, 1403n, 1404-5, 1417n, 1433 (prāna as symbol of brahman), 1435, 1451n, 1459n, 1460, 1468 ('mantavyah' implies tarka), 1471, 1478, 1481. 1486, 1488n, 1499. 1501. 1504, 1507-8n, 1512-3, 1535 (frequently has the words 'apa punarmrtyum jayati'), 1541 (I. 4. 10 quotes Rg. IV. 26. 1), 1545, 1547-50, 1554-9, 1566-7, 1575-76 (Free will and Determinism), 1577-80, 1585, 1587, 1602-4, 1626-27 (noblest prayer in), 1631n (sāyujya and salokatā occur), 1633-34, 1644 (on āśramas).

Brhadāranyakopanisad-bhās y ayārtika, 1602n.

Brhad-dharma-puräna, 896. Brhad-devatā, 60n, 486n.

Brhad-yama, 238.

Brhajjātaka of Varāhamihira, 479, 545n, 547-48, 559, 561n, 562, 564, 567n, 568-75, 577, 580, 582-85, 586n, 587n, 589-91, 597, 601n, 618, 624n, 638, 657n, 711n, 1645; rising times of zodiacal signs in B. are same as those in the Babylonian system, acc. to Prof. Neugebauer 597.

Brhaj-jābālopanisad, 1076n.

Brhad-yoga (or -g1) yājñavalkya 31n, 42, 860n, 1152n (reads 'Purāva-tarka-mimāmeā' Yal. I), 1217 (on samuecaya of jñāna and karma), 1391, 1404, 1416n, 1419n, 1438n, 1439n, 1440 (same three verses as in Manu), 1442n, cited as Yoga (or Yogi) Yājūavalkya in medieval digests, 1404; not later than 7th Cent. A.D. but is not the Yogasastra m. ın Yāj. Smrtı (III. 110), 1408; reproduces in verse Yogasütra I. 24 and 28-29 as Sruti 1412n; verses cited by Apararka and Smrticandrikā as from Yoga-Yal. are found in this but not ed. by Mr. Yoga-Yāj. Visvarapa Diwanji, 1407; appears to quote a half verse from at 1407.

Brhad-yogayātrā of Varāhamihira, 70n, 529n, 533, 540, 545n, 558n, 591-94, 617, 622, 627n, 749, 753 (follows Yāj. about Grahayajāa), 755, 774, 776, 778, 799, 800n, 801, 804-5, 808, 878.

Brhan-naudikcśvara-purāna, 156.
Brhan nāradīya purāna, 892, 1s
a scotarian Vaisnava work,
different from the Nāradapurāna, 892.

Brhaspati, Smrli writer; fragments of, collected by Prof. Ayyangar, 42n, 117n, 145n, 876n, 1032, 1265-66, 1302-03 (conflict of interpretation about réunion among medieval digests), assigned to Manusmṛti a pre-eminent position, 1265.

Brhaspati, a of a work on Rājanīti 1001, 1033.

Brhaspati, planet Jupiter, 494n (presiding deity of Pusya), 572 (indicative of life, happiness and knowledge).

Brhaspati, verses of, quoted under Cārvākadarsana by Sarvadarsanasangraha, closely resemble verses from Vişnu and Padma Purānas, 974n.

Bṛhatī, com of Prabhākara on Śabara's bhāsya 1179n, 1189; com. on B is Rju-vimalā of Śālikanātha, 1179n, 1189.

Brhat-samhitā of Varāhamihira, 69-70, 150n, 187, 191n, 193, 216n, 242n, 465n, 476 (on units of time from ksana to kalpa), 477, 516 (praise of Yavana astrology), 517n, 519, 520, 526 (birds' flights indicate good or bad acts of person going on jou ney in his former lives), 530, 531n, 540-41, 543n, 547n, 559-60, 561n. 564, 574n, 584, 589n, 591-94 (names of predecessors), 607n, 608-09, 611, 613n, 616, 622, 624, 637n, 650-55, 658, 660, 662, 680, 683n, 704, 708, 711n, 731 (45th chap of, on Santis), 745-47, 763 (causes of earthquakes), 764 (premonitory signs of earthquakes), 765 (criticizes vrddha Garga and Parasara), 767n, 768n (quotes 12 verses of Resputea), 769, 773-74, 783n, 793 (Pusyasnāna), 794n, 795n, 797, 801, 804-05, 826, 877 (verses that are also found in Matsya and Visnudharmottara), 878, 996 (Buddha image described), 1024 (mentions mantra 'Yāntu Devagaṇāḥ' used even now), 1046n (rules about images of Durgā), 1132 (on Mandala), 1528 (names of countries in Bharatavarsa).

Bihat-tīkā of Kumārilabhatta, referred to by Nyāyaratnākara and verses from it q by Nyāyasudhā and Jaminīyasūtrārthasangraha, 1188.

Bridges, Robert, a. of 'Testament of beauty' (on what is reasonable) 1477.

Briggs, W. G. a of 'Gorakhnath and the Kanphatas', 1429.

British Imperialists and hypooritical talk of 'White man's burden' 1619.

British rulers of India were generally opposed to progressive social reform in India 1636n.

Bromage, Bernard, a. of 'Tibetan yoga', 1394.

Brown G. W., a. of paper in 'Studies in honour of Bloomfield', 1434, 1531; conjectures that even words 'Yoga, Sankhya and Upanisad' are coined from some Dravidian words now lost, 1531.

Brunton, Paul, s. of 'A search in Secret India', 1393.

Buddha (vide under Bhāradvāja, dhamma, Edwin Arnold, Hīnayāna, mīrvāna, šūdras, tanhā, Upanisads), came to be regarded by Hindus as avatāra before

1000 A.D., but some Puranas and Kumārila in 7th century A. D. did not regard him so, 924, 914, 993, 1025; Ceylon tradition about Parinirvana of. 356, characteristics of Buddha image, acc. to Br. S 996, claims that he only follows an ancient path, 1005, did not clearly define what he meant by nirvana 941, 1008; not think much of worship or prayer, 1007-08, expounded the four Noble Truths (or axioms, vız. Duhkha, the cause of it viz. tanha, suppression of duhkha and Noble Eightfold Path to suppression, 939-40, Kumārila quoting Purānas con demns B. as one that caused confusion as to Dharma, 824; life of B. has a noble grandeur and human appeal 1021; main matters of controversy between B. and Hindus of his day, 1007, Nārada-purāna holds that one entering B. temple cannot be purified by any expiation 892, Nirvana era 656; Noble Eightfold path described, 939n, 1005, original teaching of B. was swamped by Mahayana and Vajrayāna, 1070; Parinirvāna of B in 483 B.C. or 477 B.C. 1070, philosophical schools 63 in times of B mentioned by Mahavagga 913n; preached in his first sermon the avoidance of two extremes, 939, B, rejected permanent ego and vet accepted doctrine of 1ebirth 941, 1004, 1577; spent

forty-five years for suffering humanity 941; taught that Salvation may be attained even in this very life, 942n. 1008, taught that it was unnecessary to be definite about God's existence and similar matters, 1007, teaching of B held out the same promise of liberation to all men and was most attractive to sudras and women, 925, 929-30, three early and central conceptions were Buddha, Dharma and Sangha 941, was a great reformer of the Hinduism of his times and laid sole stress on moral effort, satya, and almisa, 939-40, 1004, was against admission of women in the Sangha (Order), but yielded to persistent requests of Ananda and prophesied that his pure dharma would flourish owing to this only for five hundred years instead of for a thousand years, 1025-26, 1069-70.

Buddhacarita of Aśvaghosa; mentions Kapila and 25 tattvas, 1378; speaks of Jaigisavya and Janaka, 1375, 1392, 1409n.

Buddhism (vide under Buddha, Hindus, India, Mahāyāna, Śūdras, Vajrayāna), became a medley of dogmas and was rent by dissensions 1021; causes of the disappearance of, discussed, final blow being given by Bakhtiyar Khilji, 1003, 1030; changes in doctrines and ideals of B. were

37

great before the Christian era and for some centuries afterwards 940-41; daśaśilas prescribed for all priests and paŭcasilas for all Buddhists and both these were derived from Upanisads and Dharmasūtras 943-44; decline Buddhism had set in about first half of 7th Century A. D., 1003, 1021, 1023-24, found no place for God 940, Mādhyamaka and Yogācāra schools of, 1066, Mahāyāna and Hinavana, differences in doctrines of, 942-43, observance of high moral ideals of Buddha's preaching were found irksome even in Buddha's own life and gradually monasteries of monks and nuns became centres of idleness, pleasures and summorality, 1022-23; three ratnas (jewels) of B. Buddha. Dharma and Sangha, which were compared to glass beads by Nilapatadarsana, a later depraved cult of B 1073: Vivekānanda's severe criticism against later phases of B 1030, Tantrik B. repudiates Buddha and introduced into the religion makaras and exciting food 1066, 1069n, was a revolt against the sacrificial system, against the Veda and its authority and not against caste. 939, wearing away Hindus from B. was due to bhakti cult propagated by Puranas. 970, when B flourished large people and when it disappeared large companies of brahmanas came to be fed 932; works and papers on both Mahāyāna Hīnayāna B. and on of disappearance causes Buddhism 942n, 1003.

Buddhist (or Buddhists, acc. to context): (see under ahimsā, Buddhism, Siddha) · goal of nirvāna (particularly under Mahāvāna) could be reached after many births 1065; hardly any B are strict vegetarians in these days in any country. while millions of Hindus are strict vegetarians, 947, monks of B, were tired of the strict mode of life and long waiting for the goal 1065; persecution (alleged) of B. by Pusyamitra, by kings Śaśābka and Sudhanvan, by Kumārila and Sanka rācārya examined by Rhys Davids who disbelieves most of it and others also do the 1008-1011: Tantras same, have the same ideas about potency of mantras as Hindu T have, 1103-1104, 1115: Tantras like Guhyasamāja (that was Varrayana) provided an easy method by which liberation and Buddhahood could be secured in a short time and in one life and averred that Bodhisattvas and Buddhas enjoyed all pleasures as they pleased, 1065-66n; several councils of Buddhists were held to settle the Sangita (standardized scripture) 1021. bodies of monks were fed by Buddhist-saigha (Order) purposes for which people joined Sangha are set out in 'Questions of Milinda' 1023-24.

Buddhist texts: claimed to be smrtis, which follows from Manu XII. 95n; no pali texts can be placed earlier than the council held under Asoka (about 250 B. C.); have ideas similar to Savitarka and Savicāra Samādhi, 1411n; such as Dhammapada and Suttanipāta speak of the truly virtuous man as brāhmana 1005.

Buhler, a. of 'Indian Paleography', 701, 817n, 873, 900.

Bulletin of Decean College Research Institute 2, 844, 883. Bulletin of London School of Oriental and African studies, 654n, 740n.

Burgelin, Pierre, author of 'L' Homme et Le Temps' 475.

Burgess, 498, 521, 531, 997n, 1129-30 (mentions nine Buddhist mudrās slightly differing from Waddell's); differs from Whitney 512.

Cartanya: founded a school of Bhakti 971.

Caitra: (vide under 'Month',
'Pratipadvrata', 'Year');
bright half, 9th tithi of C,
has Rāmanavamīvrata, 84.

Cajori: a. of 'History of elementary Mathematics' 482n, 483n, 518, 699n.

Cakra, vide under 'Yantra'.

Oakrabheda, a work enumerating different cakras in worship of Durgā 1137n.

Cakrapūjā (worship in a circle of men and women), a revolting tantra rite desoribed 1089-90; secrecy enjoined by most tantras about what happens at C. but Mahānnrvāna-tantra says Śiva ruled that in Kali age the worship should be open, one's own wife was to be the Sakti and none else, 1089n, 1090.

Cakras (subtle centres in the human body); vide under nādīs): are six, spoken of as lotuses (padma) viz. Ādhāra or Müladhara, Svadhisthana, Manipūra, Anāhata, Visuddha, Ajna; and there is the Brahmarandhra figured as the pericarp of the Sahasrāra (thousand-petalled lotus) within the crown of the head, 1061-2 and n, 1137-38; are often identified with the five elements and the mind, 1062n; often identified with the nerve plexuses of modern physiology, but that appears to be wrong 1062; Avalon in tr. of Satcakranırüpana (plates I to VII) illustrates the six cakras and sahasrara together with their colours etc 1061n; speculations about C. are based on the Upanisads that the heart is like a lolus and on the Nadis 1063n; work of C. W. Leadbeater on 'Cakras' with illustrations 1061n.

Caland, Dr. 238n, 740n, 1434-35.
Calendar or Calendars (acc. to context); (see 'Gregorian, Metonic cycle, paficănga, siddhunta, tithi, weck-day, year); about thirty c. in use by diffe-

Index 39

rent communities in India 641-2, 658, absolutely necessary for every Hindu, as he has to repeat many details, such as month, tithi, weekday in every religious rite, 650n, different eras used in India 641-642; European C also is defective and unsatisfactory 643-644, in Ancient Egypt 490 and n; in Rg. times, there were probably two calendars, one purely sacrificial (of 360 days), the other where a month was added to bring year in line visible astronomical data, 490, many C. in South India, 642; meaning of word 'calendar' 641; C. Reform Committee. appointed by Govt of India, with Dr. Meghnad Saha as President, to examine existing pancangas and for submitting proposals for an accurate and uniform calendar for the whole of India, 711, 713-174; requirements and contents of modern C used for religious and civil purpose 615, several year beginnings in different parts of India 641, some C. based on Nautical Almanac and so called drk or drkpratyaya 612, starting point Hindu calendar-makers based on Süryasiddhänta is at present more than 23 degrees to the east of the correct vernal equinox point, the difference being called Ayanamsa 711, works for readers interested in Calendar Reform 714n.

Calendar Reform Committee Report of 1953, 648-49, 657n, 665, 711, 713-14, contains a long list of Hindu festivals but hardly any original authorities are cited 253, main recommendations of, for civil and religious calendar 714-17.

Cambridge History of India, Vol I: 844, 849.

Cambridge Medieval History 933n.

Campāsasthi 299,

Cāmundā, human victims were offered to, 186.

Canda and Munda, demons killed by Devi 156.

Cāṇḍālas had become untouchables long before Chān. Up 1633. Canḍikā, temple of, Guggulu burnt in 39.

Candipatha 171-173.

Cannon, Dr. Alexander, a. of 'Invisible Influence' 1092, 1112n. 1453n.

Canterbury, income of the See of the Archbishop of, has been 15000 £ a year 1640n.

Caraka-Samhitā: com of Cakrapāni on 1396; on Kāla 474, on prognostications about a patient from messenger's condition or physician's actions when messenger arrives 812; refers to Sānkhyas and Yogins and some Sānkhya dootrines 1378-79.

Caranavyūba 735n.

Carrell, Alexis, a. of 'Man the unknown' 1092.

Cārvākadaršana, arguments of, against sacrifices and śrāddhas 975. Casa bird, with something in its beak flying to the right of a man, a good omen 526.

Cases (In Law Reports)

Beni Prasad Vs. Hardai Bibi.

I. L. R. 14 All. 67 (F1. B.),

I. L. R. 14 All. 67 (F1. B.), 1240n.

Collector of Madura Vs. Mootoo Ramlinga, 12 M. I. A. 397 at 436, 1278n.

Dattatreya Vs. Govind, I L. R. 40. Bom. 429, 1301n.

Murarji Vs. Nenbai, I. L. R. 17 Bom. 351, 437.

Radha Mohan Vs. Hardar Bibi, L. R. 26. I. A. 153, 1240n.

Ramchandra Vs. Finayak L. R 41 I. A. 290, 1290n.

Umaid Bahadur Vs. Udarchand I. L. R 6 Cal 119, 1290n.

Vithal Vs. Prahlada I. L. R. 29 Bom. 373, 1292n.

Caste system, vide under Varna (pp. 1632-43), no benefit by constantly harping on c. as main cause of India's downfall for centuries, when Moslems, Chinese, Japanese, Indonesian that had hardly any caste system like India's have been backward for centuries 1642-43.

Catalogue of Deccan College Mss. Vol. XVI on Tantra 1049n.

Cato, warned farmers against consulting Chaldean astrologer 550.

Caturmasyas, four names of 487, were Vedic sacrifices (seasonal) in which performer had to keep certain observances 123.

Caturmasya vrata 122-23; may be begun even when Jupiter or Venus 15 'invisible' 122; performer had to give up all vegetables in four months or in Śrāvana and curds, milk and pulse in the other months 122; procedure of, 122; rewards of not partaking of certain things 122-23; women mostly observe it now 122.

Catris-sama, 38,

Caula or Cādākarma (tonsure of a child): auspicious and inauspicious nakṣatras for and tithis also 606; proper time for, 605-606; varying views about time for 605.

Chaudhuri, Sashibhushan, a. of paper on 'nine dvipas of Bharatavarsa, 1524n.

Cave temples of India, work on, of Fergusson and Burgess 178, 997n.

Chakravarti, Prof. Chintaharan, Papers of, on Tantra 1149.

Chakravartin, Dr. P. C., a. of 'Doctrine of Sakti in Indian Literature' 1048n.

Chaldeans, astronomers and dream – interpreters in high favour with Babylonians 781; held that five planets controlled the destinies of men and identified Babylonian deities with them, 548, 683; temples where singing and worship of five planets on successive days prevailed 683.

Chanda, Ramprasad, on 'Pusya mitra and Sunga Empire' 1009n.

Chandogya-parisista, 79.

Chandogyopanisad: 24, 129, 223, 227n, 464, 525n, 526n (nak

Index 41

satra-vidyā), 569, 572, 670, 731, 779n, 860n, 866, 943, 944 (emphasis on ahrmsā), 948 (Istāpūrta), 959, 972 (last thought), 1006, 1055n, 1063n (on heart as lotus and 101 arteries), 1072 (on guru), 1079, 1153, 1168 (interpretation of II 23 1 'trayo dharmaskandhah'), 1205n, 1213 (joys of heaven), 1222n (on stobhas), 1251n, 1296 (meaning of 'brahmaloka' in VIII. 3. 2), 1359, 1361n, 1387. 1403n, 1416, 1417n, 1422n, 1423, 1430, 1432, 1433, 1435, 1445 (VIII. 15 has the idea of pratyāhāra of Y.S. though not the word), 1446n, 1448 (uses the word 'dhyana'), 1455n, 1460, 1471, 1478, 1484, 1485n, 1486, 1488n, 1490, 1499, 1501, 1504, 1507, 1513, _ 1543, 1546n, 1549~50, 1554, 1555-8 (on Karma and punarjanma), 1562-3, 1566-7, 1578-9, 1580-82, 1585, 1587, 1602n, 1603-4, 1611, 1627 mortal sins), (four 1631 (salokatā, sārstītā and sāyujya), 1633 (on candalas), 1641 (on āśramas), 1648.

Chandovicita 701

Chandrasekhara S a of 'Communist China today' (1961) 1474n, 1684n

Change (or Changes) (vide Kaluariya, dharma, mimānasā, smṛlis), in religious rites and neages could be made and were made if common people came to condemn or hate them,

even if a few orthodox people may be opposed to change, 1267-71, 1469; change is the one absolute in the long history of our religious or social ideas 1271; examples of fundamental changes in conceptions, beliefs and practices made from ancient times to medieval times by various devices, 1265-7, 1629; people should be free to introduce recognize such changes ın practices as are required or have already taken place in changed circumstances this course sanctioned even by Manu and Yāj. and emment medieval works like the Mıtāksarā 1272; should not be merely for the sake of change or for the whims of some leader 1272.

Charpentier, Prof. J. on meaning and etymology of pūjā 37. Chatre, K. L., efforts of, to introduce modern calculations in Hindu almanaes 712.

Chatterji, Dr S. K. on 'Sinīvālī', criticized 64

Chaudhary Radhakrishna, 978.

Child Marriage Restraint Act (XIX of 1929).

fixed 14 years (later raised to 15 by the Hindu Marriage Act 25 of 1955) as minimum age for girls' marriages and is partly responsible for giving rise to the modern problem of spinsters in Hindu Society, 1338

China (vide under Bagchi): Tantra doctrines gained currency in C. under the influence of Amoghavarsa, who translated many works into Chinese between 746-771 A. D, 1040; debt of China to India, papers on, 1618n.

Chinnaswami M. M. edited Appayya—diksita's work in 60 verses with Appayya's com. called Madhvamata—vidhvamsana, 1219.

Chintamani, Prof. T. R. 152n, 1161 (agrees with Prof. Nilakanta Sastri that there were three Jaminis).

Choudhuri, Prof. N. N. 1033n (view of, that Indian Tantricism has its origin in Bon religion, criticized).

Christianity, doctrines of original sin, of damnation of unbaptized infants, of predestination app ear strange to non-christians 1594; A. Robertson asserts that Christian morals have never been practised and tha a society would not last for a month if run on the lines of the Sermon on the Mount and Prof. Whitehead and W. R. Mathews appear to agree with him 1481n; Christian people of Europe and America during the last four centuries achieved great wealth and prosperity by throwing to the Sermon on the winds the Mount, by imposing slavery on millions, but after the two world wars they have begun to preach the necessity of benevolence, kindness, restraint, which virtues were emphasized

for all men by Br. Up. V. 2 1-3, idea of Karma and Reincarnation prevailed among Jews and Christians till 551 A D., 1546n; on what happens after death 1550n, writers like Robertson and H. T. A. Fausset hold that Western man is very little sustained or guided by the doctrines of orthodox Christianity and that European civilization is dissolving 1477n, what was Christian in Ch. largely disappeared from 1700 A. D. acc. to C. H. Tawney 1481n.

Christians, vide under 'Syrian'. Cicero, did not believe in astro logy, 550.

Cirajivin persons, eight named 208n.

Civilization, various definitions of 1614-16, (vide under oulture, Spengler, Toynbee, De Beus); two types of integrated cultures, acc to Sorokin, 1615n. Civilizations, only two, viz. Indian and Chinese, have continued for about 4000 years 1617-18.

Clark, W. E. on 'Hindu Arabic Numerals' 699n.

Cleostratus, acc. to Pluny, formed the Zodiac 566.

Cognitions: according to PMS, all cognitions are intrinsically valid in themselves (svatafpramāṇa), but their invalidity may be established extraneously (parataḥ) 1212, according to Prabhākara every experience as such is valid, 1202.

Coins, of Kaniska and Chandra

43

gupta (of Gupta dynasty), 186, 901.

Colebrooke, essays of, 498, 518 (on Hindu algebra), 582n, 699n, 1220,

Colonial policy, British, elements and results of 1659-60.

Colson, F H., a. of 'the Week' 550, 677-78

Communism (vide under 'Chan-'Lyon' drasekhara', 'Wolfe') 1473-74, is really a form of worship i e worship of man or such men as Lenin and Stalin in place of the worship of God, 1473, catchwords and slogans of, 1473; in return for physical well-being, common people under C bargain away several freedoms, such as thinking for themselves. freedom of expression etc. 1473; no secret is made by communists that they want to bring the whole world under C. 1473, universal exhibition of portraits of great Soviet leaders (some of whom were recently declared by successor leaders to be no better than murderers) proclaims the necessity of worship even in a godless society 1474.

Conjectures, bold, should not be made; but if made, should be announced and treated as such 1531; danger that C. of past famous scholars are regarded as established conclusions by later writers 1531; warning of Acton 'guard against the prestige of great names, no trusting without testing' should

always be remembered, 1531. Constellation, same, is given different names 566.

Constitution of India, referred to 1614, enhanced 1664-1667. Coomaraswamy A; a of 'Hindusm and Buddhism' 1007; a of 'Buddha and Gospel of Buddha' 1131n (for Bhūmi-sparsa mudrā); 1656 (other works) Copernicus denounced by Luther 512.

Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum:
Vol. I Asoka Inscriptions,
ed. by Hultzsch, 600n 668n,
686n, 1015, 1017, 1645n,;
Vol II Kharosthi Inscriptions
ed. by Sten Konow, 668n;
Vol III (Gupta Inscriptions)
ed. by J. F. Fleet, 669-70,
680n, 683n; Vol. IV Kalacūri
Ins. (by Prof. Mirashi), 220,
246 (grants on solar and lunar
eclipses), 248, 670, 1425n,

Cosmography (see under Upanisads, Puranas): Kirfel's systematic work on C. of ancient India is based on Purana material and also on Buddhist and Jaina material, 1523n; Pauranika C. had been established long before 4th century A D 1529.

Purāṇas devote thousands of verses to the description of the divisions of the earth called dvīpas and varsas, mountains, oceans, rivers, countries etc; and Dharmašāstra works quote them 1523.

Cosmology · 1483-1529 (vide under 'Atomic theory; 'Creation', 'God', 'Heaven and

Earth', 'pralaya'); all Dharmasästra writers are agreed on the existence of God and rarely adduce arguments for that , purpose, 1483; argument from design was present to the mind of the propounders of Vedanta 1485; Christian theologians have put forward Various arguments for the existence of God, summarised by William James, which are mainly four 1483 and n. comparison of ancient ptian, Babylonian, Hebrew. Greek and Indian ideas on C. in 'Apes and Men' · by H. Peak, 1502n; Grousset's observation on Indian scheme of creation and dissolution of the universe compared to Ioman ideas 1503-4; Gerald Heard's remarks about the helpfulness of Sanskrit cosmology as compared with Hebrew - and Christian dogmas 1504; originally there was no atomic theory in C., but from 4th B. C. in Greece and from Kanāda, the founder of the system in India, Vaisesika began to prevail principal questions in C. are two and the whole conception of C. has been revolutionized by developments in Geology, Biology, Physics and Astronomy during about one hundred years, 1502n, whether in the Upanisads of later works geocentric based on theory 1515; works on Vedic C. 1485-86n.

Coster, Geraldine, a. of 'Yoga and Western Psychology' 1394, 1427, 1455.

Countries, in. in the Upanisads 1522-23; in. in Purānas 1527-28; m. by Pānini 1528n; in. in Bhīsmaparva and naksatrakūrmādhyāya of Brhat-sauhitā 1527-28; Ptolemy's treatment of, 555.

Cows, honoured on certain tithis called Yugādi, Yugāntya etc. 293-94; praise of 204n; worship of, on Balipratipadā, 204.

Cow or Bull, offered in several rites in the Vedic age, as shown in H. of Dh. vol. III pp. 939-40, 1268-69; flesheating came gradually to be looked down upon and so cow sacrifices became most abhorent and were prohibited 1269.

Cowell, E B., translated Śāndalya-bhaktısūtra, 957-58.

Cowper, English Poet, believed that earthquakes were caused by God as punishment for men's sins. 764.

Craig, Catherine Taylor, a of Fabric of Dieams' 782.

Cramer, F. C., a. of 'Astrology in Roman Law and Politics' 597.
Creation (and dissolution) of world or universe: (vide under 'God', 'asat', 'man', 'sattā' (reality), 'Nāsadīyasūkta', Upanisads): 1491; Atharvaveda has several hymns on C, but they are verbose and wanting in depth, 1493-95; Atharvaveda puts forward Skambha' (identified with rajāpati) as

Index 45

Creator, also Kāma and Kāla 1493-95; C. 1s put in Upanisads in the distant and dim past, while in Biblical chronology it is put at 4004 B. C following James Ussher, Arch bishop of Armagh, in 17th century 1506n, description of state before C in Rg. X. 129; none in reality, acc to PMS and Śloka-vārtika, 1209-10, 1483n. Mahābhārata, parti cularly Santiparvan, frequently states theories of C. 1517-18, purpose of the description of creation and dissolution in the Smrtis, epics, Puranas, etc. is, acc. to Kumārila, to show the distinction between the powers of daiva and human effort. 1261-62; Pui anas thousands of verses to C. 1519-22: statements in the Upanisads about creation are not to be taken, acc. to Sankara, literally, they are meant to lead on to the knowledge of brahman and non-difference from brahman 1485, 1506, Sankhya scheme of gunas, tanmātrās etc. brought in describing creation by Nārāyana or Hiranyagarbha, as in Matsya, Visnu, Markandeva 1519-22. several theories on C. in Manusmrti, two of which have some Saukhya doctrines, 1515-17, several hymns on C. in Rg. (X. 72, X. 81-82, X. 90, X. 121, X. 129) examined and it is shown that the Creator is called by various names, 1488-91; several references in Rg.

to the creation or support of heaven and earth by different gods, 1492; statements about Cr and dissolution of the universe in the Upanisads are valid only on the practical or empirical plane but from the highest metaphysical point of the Vedanta, there is no Cr. nor dissolution, the individual soul is not really in bondage 1506, Tai. Br. passages on creation and Prajapati as creator 1496-97; Upanisads like Tai (III. 1) and Chan. (III. 14) state that the creation. preservation and absorption of all bhūtas is due to brahman 1484, 1500; various statements about C. in Sat Br. 1495-96, Vedāntasūtra relies on Upanisads that brahman is the creator, sustainer and destroyer, 1484; Yāj Smiti 1519. Creator (vide under brahman', God, 'Nāsadīyasūkta') Rgveda different Gods (such as Indra, Mitra, Prajāpati. Varuna) are referred to as creators of heaven and earth. 1491-93, Kausītaki Br. mentions Prajapati as the creator and identifies him with Yajña. 1497, no name given to Cr. ın Nāsadīva Sūkta, but Cr. is only mentioned as 'Tadekam' (that one) as in Upanisads 1490-91; Tai. S. names Prajāpati several times as creator of gods, asuras, people and as performing tapas for that purpose, 1493

Cūdāmanı, a work on astrology

mentioned by Sārāvali and Vasantarāja 805n.

Cūdāmanı, a Yoga, 249.

between 1616.

Cultural Heritage of India, Vol.

IV, papers on Tantra, 1150, Culture, definitions of, 1614-1616, and civilization sometimes used as synonyms 1614; difference

Cunningham · a of 'ancient Geography of India' 1528n; a. of Archaeological Survey Reports, 1046n; on 'Bhilsa Topes' 1026; on 'Indian Eras' 648, 655, 661, 685.

Customs, vide under 'usages' and 'change'.

Oyavana, a writer on Astrology 591.

Daftari, Dr. K. L.; criticized about views on Manu and Saptarsis 693, works of 644, 714n.

Dagdhayoga (an mauspicious conjunction) defined 707.

Daiva (luck): meaning of, acc. to Yāj. Smrti 544n; meaning of, acc. to Vasantarāja 807; three views on importance of D. and human effort, 545.

Daksa-smrti, 1409 (Yoga defined), 1425n (Padmäsana), 1448 (six verses from), 1459, 1645.

Daksinā (fees in a Vedic sacrifice), 1329-31; great divergence of views about the D. to be given 1329n, is to be given by the sacrificer (yajamāna) who is to engage priests to perform rites in a sacrifice and is not given for an unseen

purpose 1329; items (various) which may constitute D. in different yagas set out by Tändya Br. to be distributed by the yajamana himself acc. to the method of unequal distribution set out in PMS. 1329; Madanaratna provides that to Pasubandha the un equal distribution does not apply 1330; Manu mentions this method of unequal distribution in the Veda and extends its principle to men working jointly on joint undertakings such as house construction. 1330; Medieval Dharmaśāstra works follow the Pürvapaksa view of equal distribution (X, 3, 53) as an equitable rule in the case of hability of several sureties and other matters, 1330-31.

Daksmāmūrti-samhitā 1060n (on Cakras), 1066n.

Dămāji, devotee of God Vithoba of Pandharpur, 951.

Damanakāropana (worship of various deities with Damanaka plant) 311.

Dānasāgara (written in 1169 A.D.)
by Ballālasena, king of
Bengal: (vide under Ballālasena): 220, 312, 830, 833n,
837, 867-71; discards certain
Purānas and Upapurānas, 868;
mentions 1375 dānas in all,
867-868; does not cite Krtyakalpataru 870; one of the
early digests 870, 935.

Dänas (see under gifts) 312, 759 (ten dänas).

Daniel, a book in the Old Testa-

ment: refers to Chaldeans and astrologers 548, 781.

Daniel, Thomas, painted in 1780 A. D. the scene of the Visarjana of Durgā 176n

Danielou, Aliain, a. of 'Yoga, the method of re-integration' 1394, figures and names of asanas in, 1426, 1428; for Mantrayoga, Lavayoga etc. 1427.

Darius the 2nd, about 418 B, C., 595; the first D (522-486 B. C.) employs the word Hidu (for Hindu) the people to the west and east of river Sindhu 1613.

Darsana or Darsanas (philosophic points of view): are many, as Sarvadaršanasangraha shows 1182n; famous and orthodox d. are six 1182n, 1652,

Darsan, of great men (like Gandhiii and Aurobindo) explained by Sheean, 1463n.

Darwin 1502n.

Das, S. R., paper of, on Study of Vrata rites in Bengal' in 'Man in India' (1952) Vol. 32 pp 207-245, 60.

Das, Sudhendu Kumar on 'Sakta or Divine Power', 1048n.

Daśagilikā (of Āryabhata) 680, 704n.

Dasaharā - vrata, on 10th of bright half of Jeystha 90-91; so-called because it removes ten sins 90-91.

Dasanırnaya of Hārīla Venkatanātha 59, 138; contains conclusions on ten vratas of which Jayantinirnaya is one 138.

Dasarā- (vide under Aparājitā and Vijayadasamī); Durbars

and processions held in Baroda and Mysore on this day 192-193: speculations about origin of, 194; worship of weapons of war and implements of trade on 193; word derived, 194n.

Daśaśloki 118n.

Dasgupta. Dr. S. B., a. of 'Introduction to Täntrika Buddhism' 1066n.

Das Gupta, Prof. . a. of 'Indian Philosophy ' in 5 volumes, 844. 898 (on Bhagavata in Vol. IV), 1205n (Vol. II on Lokāyata), 1383, 1393 (on 'Yoga Philosophy'), 1395 (on identity of two Patanialis). 1397.

Dasavatāracarita of Ksemendra (composed in 1066 A. D.), 990, 1074.

Datta, Bibhutibhushan, a. of 'The science of the Sulba' (a study in early Hindu Geometry) 1132n.

Dattakamīmāmsā, 1336 (widow cannot adopt a son).

Dattatreya (or Datta). descrībed in Mārkandeya as avatāra of Visnu, given to drinking, fond of female company staying near a water reservoir on Sahya mountain and called Avadhūta, 903, instructs Alarka in Yoga, 903; propounded anviksiki (adhyatmavidyā) to Alarka and Prahlada 903n, story of his conferring boons on Kartvirya occurs in several Puranas 903n. Datiatreya Tantra 1051

Davids - (vide under Davids.)

Davidson, M. on 'Freewill and Determinism' 1575.

'Dawn of Astronomy', a work of Sir Norman Lockyer 512.

Day (vide 'Babylon', Babylonia, 'tithi': begins at sunrise with Indian writers 676, 682; civil d. is interval between two consecutive sunrises, 675; civil d. begins at midnight in modern times 676: differences about the beginning of d. 675-676; difference between tithi and d. 675, divided into eight parts by Kautilya, Daksa and Kātyāyanasmrti 675, five parts of, in Satapatha and by others, 101, 675, fifteen muhūrtas of d. and night each, 675; Kautilya knew only a day divided into thirty muhūrtas or 60 nādīs and mentions no horas or hours 684; longest and shortest day of 36 and 24 ghatikās respectively, acc. to Vedānga-Jyotisa 538n; three parts of, mentioned in Rgveda 675, two meanings of, (1) from sunrise to sunrise and (2) from sunrise to sunset 675; two systems of dividing d into 60 ghatikās and 24 hours, 682-683, various views about divisions of d. into 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 or 15 parts, 267.

Dāyabhāga, (a work) 1032n, 1233, 1281-82, 1292 (on meaning of 'mātā'), 1302-3, 1309, 1316n, 1320.

Dāyatattva 1184, 1291.

De, Dr. S. K, 1038, a. of Valsnava faith and movement in Bengal' 112-3, 120, 980.

De Beus, a. of 'Future of the West', disagrees with Spengler and Toynbee, 1617.

De Felicitation volume, paper in, by present writer on Pürvamimämsä 1160.

Death. (vide atheism, heaven, punarianma): ancient Indian doctrine about what happens after death preferred by some western writers to Biblical ideas 1594-95, last thought at death supposed to lead to appropriate future life 972-3; signs of approaching d. 73ln; three possibilities as to what happens after d. of body, discussed 1550-51. Upanisads like Chān, and Br. contain germs of that idea (of last thought) but Gītā clarifies the position 972-3.

Debts a brāhmana (this includes the three varnas) is born with three debts to sages, gods and ancestors and discharges them respectively by brahmacarya (i.e Veda study), sacrifices and birth of son, 1318n, 1626, Mahābhārata added a 4th debt, viz. to be good to all men 1626.

Decimal numeration system in India goes back thousands of years, 699.

Decimal place value notation 699-701, invented by Indians, 483n, 518, one of the most fertile inventions of man 699-70; was introduced in the 12th century in Europe by the Arabs who borrowed it

from Indis, 483p, 699 and n (for authorities)

Demetrius, Greek king, 827n.

Democracy or Democracies . in modern D. rational discussion of problems or measures comes last and vital questions are often decided by party loyalties or personality cults or by lust for power, 1472

Democritus (died about 370 B. C.) adumbrated the atomic theory in Greece 1486.

Descartes, 1478

Desmond, Shaw, a. of 'Re-incarnation for every man', states that re-incarnation doctrine was taught in the Christian Church till 551 A D; 1546n.

Deussen, Paul (vide under Upanisads, Vedānta), a of 'Philosophy of Upanisads', 1026n (high praise for āframa system), 1486n, 1491 (on Nāsadīya hymn), 1500 (tribute to Upanisad sages), 1541, 1548 (high eulogy of Br Up. IV. 4 5-7), 1552-53 (holds that Rg I 164 12 has nothing to do with Devayana and Pitryāņa, criticized), 1553-54 (criticized as to meaning of Rg X 88 15), 1577 (theory that Kşatrıyas were the original cherishers of Vedanta thoughts, criticized as contradicting himself and as wrong), 1580-82 (entireized for holding Sanatkumira as ksatriya and Nirada as brāhmana; 1585 criticized for wrong translation of Rg. (IV. 26 1, 'abam Manura-7

bhavam' etc.); 1604, 1625 (explains the implication of the illustration of rivers merging into sea), 1627, 1646 (on āšramas), 1647n

Deuteronomy 677.

Devala, writer on astrology 591, 622.

Devala, a of Smrti, 31, 41, 51, 55, 65p, 78p, 79, 81, 96, 97n, 100p, 103n, 116, 182, 202n, 204n, 214n, 215p, 249n, 480, 650, 1242, 1266 (allowed brāhmana to eat food from certain śūdras).

Devala, author of a Dharmasūtra 1431-32, explains the words prāna and apāna as 'Śaṅkarācarya' does on Br. Up. I. 5 3., 1435: mentioned by Śańkarācārya 1353, 1435, quotations from D in Aparārka and Krtyakalpataru on Sānkhya and Yoga, 1380-81, 1409, 1435, 1439n, 1445 and n. 1447-48, 1453, 1458, states falsehood to be of two kinds 1421n

Devalska (brāhmana): mesning of 936; unfit for being invited at a Śrāddha 936.

Devamitra Dharmapāla, a. of 'Life and teaching of Buddha', 1003, 1009n

Devāpi and Santanu, story of, 1203

Devapratisthātatīva 1106, 1122
Devapūjā. (vide under 'pūjā').
31-37, procedure of Narasunhapurāna to be followed in, acc to Aparārka 1024; sixteen upacāras (items of worship) in, 31; Upacāras may be in-

creased up to 36, or reduced to five 34.

Devasthali, Prof. G. V., a. of 'Mīmāmsā, the Vākyasāstra of ancient India' 1201.

Devasvāmin, writer on astrology, 591.

Devatā, of a naksatra or tithi, is often employed in the texts to indicate the nakṣatra or tithi itself 558.

Devatā: Discussion of question what is meant by D. with reference to which an offering is cast into fire leads to startling results in P. M. S. 1207-09: D. 18 a subordinate element in a sacrifice and havis is principal matter and in a conflict between the two decision rests on havis (in PMS) 1207; D. 1s a matter cf words (says Sabara) and when an offering is directed by Veda to be made to Agni. one cannot use a synonym like Pāvaka, 1207-8; Jaimini and Nirukta agree as to the nature and function of D. in a sacrifice, 1276, phala (reward) is conferred by the sacrifice and not by D. (like Agni etc.) 1208, several opinions on who the Devatās are, to whom a hymn is addressed or an havis is offered 1208, though some Vedic passages speak of the body of D. and that it drinks and is lord of heaven and earth, they are (merely) laudatory 1208.

Devatā: Venkatanātha or Venkaṭadesika (1269-1369 A. D.) a. of 'Seśvara-mīmāihsā', criticizes both Bhātta and Prābhākara schools and brings in God as the dispenser of rewards of sacrifices 1209.

Devavrata, a purifying text, viz. Rg. VIII. 95.7

Devayāna and Pitryāna Paths-(vide under Paths): described in Br Up. VI. 2 and Chān. Up. V 10 and brought in relation to the doctrine of transmigration described in Br. Up. IV. 4.5-7 and III. 3 13, 1551-58; men that have to go by D. and P. paths 1551-58, 1563; mentioned in Rg X. 2 7 and X. 18.1, 1553.

Devayātrotsava, tithis on which Yātrā festivals of several gods are to be celebrated 316, 317. Devesvara. m. by Krtyaratnā kara on the definition of 'vrata' 30.

Devibhagavata, 176n, 178, 829n (states that Matsya is first out of 18 Puranas), 830 (mnemonic verse containing first letters of 18 Purānas), 890 (note on), 916, 918n, 921, 924, 974n, 1033n (verse 'gurur-994n. brahmā 'etc), 1039n, 1062n (on cakras and sahasrāra), 1064, 1072, 1076n, 1121 (states nyāsa should be part of Sandhyā (Khecari-1127 worship), mudrā), 1130 (on mudrās).

Devimahatmya (in Markandeyapurana, also called Saptasat, Candi). 155, 176n: Chapters of, arranged in three parts 155n; has only about 573 to 590 yerses, though called Saptasati, 155n; oldest known ms. of, is dated 998 A. D. 155n; one of the chief works of Sāktas 1041, some verses of, are called Kavaca, argala and kīlaka, that are outside the D. 155n; verses of, are treated like a Vedic hymn or verse with rsi, metre, devatā and viniyoga 155n.

evī-Pūjā. (vide under Durgāpūjā)

Devi-Purāna, 50n, 54, 61n, 76n, 156, 170-1, 174, 176, 179n, 180-81, 184-5, 212n-214, 215n, 244, 572n, 1093 (q. by Aparārka), 1108, devotes considerable space to Durgāpūjā 156, discarded by Ballālasena for several reasons 869, note on 889-90.

Dhamma, three meanings of the word in Buddhism 941.

Dhamma-cakka-ppavattana - sutta 939n.

Dhammapada, verses on who should be called brāhmana 1005n, 1637.

Dhāranā · Aṅga (6th) of Yoga, 1446-7; M. in Śāntiparva, 1400-1, meaning of, 1446.

Dhāranīs (Talismanic sentences among Buddhists), 1104n.

Dharma: (vide under 'change', Pūrvamīmāihsā, Satya, Smiti) differs in each Yuga, 1266; investigation of D. has four aspects, viz its nature, the pramānas of it (such as Veda, Smrti etc.), the means (sādhana) of knowing it, the fruit or reward, 1179n; Jaimini holds that sabda (i. e. Veda.)

alone is the pramana Dharma and no other, 1183, 1185, 1265; Mahābhārata savs that Dharma is the one followed by the great mass of people. 1071; Mahābhārata and Manu often refer to the high value of D. and make artha and Kāma subservient to D. 1627-28, Manu provides that as to matters not specifically provided for in Veda and Smitis. whatever learned brahmanas declare to be the D. is undoubtedly so, 1278; meaning of Dharma in P. M. I 1. 1 is 'Vedārtha' acc. to Prabhākara school and 'Codanā' acc. to Kumārila (i. e. a religious act, a yaga) 1179n. 1184; D. is one of the purusarthas that has been a farreaching one from Upanisad times and concerns principles which men should observe in their lives and social relations. 1627, P. M. Sūtra I. 1. 2 defines Dharma as an act conducive to a man's highest good, that is characterized by an exhortative (Vedic) text, 1183, practice of black magic is adharma, as it is sinful and condemned, though it be referred to in the Veda, 1183; Sabara holds, on account of Rg. X. 90. 16, that Veda expressly declares that Dharma means 'Yāga' 1184.

Dharma, three important words in the Rgveda are rta, vrata and dharma, that were transformed into other meanings

later on, 21; is not immutable, but liable to change and is subject to country and time 1629: roots of (Dharmamula), four or five, acc to Dharmasūtras (ancient) and Yāi 1256, 1264; several classifications, one into frauta (based on Veda) and smarta (based on smrtis), another into six (named and illustrated) 1632, Sages (ancient) had an intuitive perception of D. 1098; Sources of (fourteen), acc. to Yai. 1152; why so called 1627.

Dharmacakra Mudrā (illustrated), 1131n.

Dharman, menning of, 20.

Dharmas, common to all vainas and castes enjoined 1637, 1648 Dharmasästra (or Dharmasästras acc. to context): (vide under 'Sadācāra', Smṛtis): digests (nibandhas) on, that are printed, are not earlier than 1100 A. D. such as Mıtāksarā, Kalpataru, Apararka, 883-4, general tendency of medieval writers on, is to heap details on what were originally simple ceremonies, 605, influence of Puranas on 913-1002; later digests on D. adopt pränapratısthā mantra evolved by Śāradātilaka, a tāntrik work, 1106; medieval works on D. make a distinction between Smrtis based on Veda and those based on perceptible motives, 1262; mentioned by fanti and Anufasana parvans 1256-7; profoundly influenced by Jataka

and Śākhā, 480; refused to follow mīmāmsā rules in regard to vratas, 133, 1272n; smits were called D. by Manu (II. 10), 1257, Tai Up provides the usage of learned brahmanas of high character as source of decision in case of doubt, 1257; were known to P M. Sūtra, 1257, works on Dh such as Pārijāta, Prakāśa, Kāmadhenu that were earlier than 1100 A D are not vet available even in mss 884-5; works (medieval) on D say that certain Smrti texts applied former Yugas, 1272n; works Dh. on 1 ely Tantra works for initiation into mantras, 1118, works D. make use of the technical term pratipattikarma 1231-32; works on D. must have been composed before 500 B. C, 1265, 1267, writers on D, conflicts of interpretation among, exemplified 1315-1316.

Dharmas, eight, common to all men of all dh 1648

Dharmasındhu, 30, 71n-73n, 74' 84, 90, 99n, 104, 105n, 107, 112n, 113, 134-5, 139n, 142n, 146n, 188, 189n, 190-92n; 197-8, 200, 201, 204-5, 217, 232, 233n, 234n, 241, 243n, 609n, 612n, 614, 624, 672-3, 761p, 736n, 748, 755-6n, 780s. 773n, 772n, 766D. 781-82, 1117, 1121n (nyāsa and mudrī are avaidika).

Dharmasūtras of Gautama and Apastamba disclose familiarity Index 53

with Mimāmsā terms and principles, 1154-55

Dharmavyādhe, 721n, 1642 (a śūdra was endowed with brahmajñāna).

Dhātupātha, 10.

Dhaumya, 154n.

Dhere, R. C, a of 'Life of Goraksanath' etc (in Marathi) 1429n.

Dhitarāstra, though congenitally blind, performed Vedic sacrifices 1280-81.

Dhūpa (incense), various names of, 323.

Dhyāna (7th anga of yoga), 1447-49, meaning of 1447-8, two kinds of viz saguna or sākāra and nirguṇa oi nirā kāra, 1449, Upanisads insist upon dhyāna, 448

Dhyānbindūpanisad, 1389n, 1419n, 1426n.

Dhyāna-yoga m by Śv. Up and Gitā 1448; m. by Manu (VI.73) and Yāj. III 64), 1447.

Dighanikāya 939n, 943n, 944n, 1007n.

Dickinson, I.owes, 1594, 1604.

Dîksā, 1116, 1119 Bhāgayatapurāna says that Tāntrikī d. is for südras and Vaidikī and misra for other varnas, 1093. derivation of the word, 1117; details of d. given in Saradatilaka were on the decline in Raghunandana's day, 1118. four kinds of, 1119; on receiving Tantrik d from a guru, both sūdra and brāhmana become alike, 1112; procedure of, to be undergone by one learning the Täntrik way, 1054, proper times for d. such as eclipses, and if an eclipse be available, other matters such as tithi, naksatra, vāra, need not be considered 1117, some tantras treat d. elaborately, 1117, some tanras provide that in d guru should instruct disciple about cakras, 1118, symbolized a new birth for a sacrificer in Vedic times, 1116, Vedic d. observances after, 1217n

Diksāpiakāsikā of Visnubhatta, composed in saka 1719 (1797 A D), 1117.

Diksātattva of Raghunandana 1118; guru for giving diksā should be of the same sect as the disciple, but a Kaula is a good guru for all, 1118.

Dikshit S B. a of work on 'Hindu Astronomy' in Marathi, 484, 498, 507n, 513, 515n, 644, 679n

Dikshit S K 650n.

Dikshitar, Prof. V. R. Ramchandra, 1016n (wrong in saying thet Aśoka was a Hindu because be believed in Svarga), a. of 'Purāna Index' in three vol. 844, 867, 883; a of 'studies in Vāyu and Matsya', 883, a of 'some aspects of Vāyupurāna' 907; remarks of, on date of Visnupurāna, criticized 867.

Dina (day), word d frequently used in Egyeda in compounds like 'Sudina' 675.

Dinaksaya, explained, 711.

Dio, a Roman who wrote Roman

History between 200-222 A. D., 677n.

Diodorus Siculus 550.

Dion Cassius 677.

Dīpakalıkā, com. on Yāj. Smrti by Śūlapūni, 1404.

Dīrghatamas, son of Mamatā 486.

Disciple: among requirements about Tāntrik d. is the one that he must keep secret the mantra and pūjā imparted by guru, 1071; Tantras call upon d. to revolve in his mind the identity of guru, deity and mantra 1072.

Diseases and bodily defects, deemed to be due to the sins of past lives 756n.

Divākīrtva Dav. 511.

Divakirtya Saman, 511n

Divali Festival, 194-210; (see Narakāsura, Laksmīnnder püjana, Balı Pratıpadă, Bhrātrdvitīvā); Apāmārga to be whirled over one's head on 14th, 196; Amāvāsyā in D. important day 199; called Dīpāvalī or Dīpālıkā and also Sukharātri, Sukha-suptikā and Yaksarātri 194~195; festival comprises five items spread over five days with illuminations and crackers from 13th of dark half of Asvina 195; Kārtika-śukla first is one of three most auspicious days in the year 201; Kārtika-śukla first if it has Svätinaksatra is most commended 201; lights temples of Visnu, and other gods, in monasteries, rooms for implements,

cartyas, stables, 196; not a festival in honour of a single god as Navarātra 18, 194; oil bath necessary on all three days from 14th, 199; of lights, and fireworks, is most joyful of festivals and observed throughout India, though the observances differ in detail from age to age and from country to country 194; on evenings of 14th dark half and Amāvāsyā men with firebrands show the way to putrs 198; origin of, not certain 207: rites on Amāvāsvā in, 199, rites performed on the 14th of dark half of Asvina (or Kartika according to pūrnimānta reckoning), 196, 198: second tithi of Kartikasukla is called Bhrātrdvitīyā 207, tarpana on 14th of Yama with seven names or 14 names, 196 and n; three days, viz. 14th, Amavasya and Kartika sukla first constitute Kaumudi festival 195, 206; usage of eating 14 kinds of vegetables on 14th in Divali 198.

Divanji, P. C, editor of 'Yoga-yājñavalkya' 1404.

Divination— (vide Future, Hepatascopy, Astrology, Upnśruti): by using Purānas, Rāmāyana and works of Tulsidas at random 811-2, is either voluntary or involuntary 522n, instances of voluntary d. 522n; involuntary d. depends on all kinds of phenomena, such as aspects of the sun and planets, lightning, dreams flight and cries of lards

522n, method of asking a maiden to cast dürvä grass inside a purāna like Skanda or Rāmāyana and apply the words discovered to matter in hand 811, seat of life and the soul was supposed to be the liver in the western countries such as Rome and among Romans, heart and lungs of animals examined. sacrificed were 522n, temple priests in Babylon and Assyria made extensive collections of omens and portents, but the interpretations were almost exclusively concerned with general welfare or the king 522n

Divyatattva 1106 Doig, Mr Peter, 483 Dolotsava 317.

Dosas (disturbances or faults) 317; five in Santiparva 1400n, in Ap. Dh. S. (a larger number) 1390, 1400n.

Doubt is a frequent and legitimate attitude of the mind as recognized in Br. Up. 1478; Descartes held that only one truth is beyond doubt, viz 'I think, therefore, I am' 1478.

Drāhyāyanaśrautasūtra, 726n. Dravinodas, is either Indra or

Dravinodas, is either Indra or Agni, 159n.

Dravyavardhana, king of Ujjayını, based his work on sakunas on Bhāradvāja, 591

Dream or Dreams all ancient countries and peoples used dreams for knowing future 781-82; are only indicative and not causative ace to Vedantasūtra, šankara, Jyotistatīva,

779-80, associated with good luck or ill-luck in Vedic literature, 728-729; declaring a bad d. to another and sleeping after is commended 779, depend on men's constitution as choleric, windy etc 780, fourteen very auspicious dreams m in Jaina Kalpasūtra 777-78, if several dreams one after another, the last alone is indicative of consequences, indicating approaching death modern works about dreams 782; numerous d men-Rāmāyana tioned in objects, auspicious or inauspicious, seen on starting on a tourney are also so if seen in d. 778 . of Nushirwan, Sassanian king, interpreted by Buzurmihr, 781, of riding on an elephant held lucky and of riding on an ass unlucky, 775; on seeing auspicious D. one should not sleep 779, texts to be recited on seeing a bad dream, 780n, thoughts on, in, Upanisads 731, 732n; three kinds of people in modern times about dreams 782, times when different dreams seen ın watches of the night bear fruit 778-779, vast literature on matters relating to D and Santis for them 774-75, which white or dark objects seen in D. are auspicious or otherwise 780, wise men should not be afraid of d acc. to Angiras.

Dreskina, 581-83; antiquity of system of, in Egypt, at least

from 2800 B C. 581; Brhajjātaka has one chapter (27) of 36 verses on descriptions by Yavanas of 36 presiding derites of, 582 Egyptian stars so called cannot be identified with known constellations except Sirius and its neighbours 582n; Greek decans had gone out of use about Ptolemy's time 583; lords of each third part of each rasi, 581, meaning of, viz 1/3rd part of e ch rāsi of 30 degrees, 581, origin of the word from Greek and idea of, originated with Egyptians, 581 ; Sārāvalī (chap 49) differs from Brhaijātaka about descriptions of dreskanas 583, Varāhamihira on Dreskāna probably follows some Sanskrit work by a Yavana author on D. and not Ptolemy nor Firmicus nor Manilins 582n, 583.

Dronaparva, 90n, 129, 539, 703n, 743, 764, 767, 803n, 945 (on ahmsā).

Duhkha, three kinds of, exemplified, 150n.

Dukes, Sir Paul, a. of 'the Yoga of Health, Youth and joy', 1428n.

Dumont, Prof. Paul Emile, 506, 599n, 1434-35.

Durant, Will, author of 'Life of Greece' 516n, 550.

Durgā, antiquity of pūjā of, 185-86, Arjuna's and Yudhisthira's praise of D. in Bhīsmaparva and Virātaparva, 85, 1046n; killed Sumbha and Nisumbha 186, on coins 186, names of D. in Mahābhārata 185; names of, in Kāhdāsa, 1046n.

Durgābhaktıtarangıni of Vidyāpatı, 155-6, 159-61n, 163n, 165n, 167n, 169n-171n, 174n, 175, 178n-182n, 183, 184n.

Durgāpūjāprayogatattva of Raghunandana 155.

Durgārcanapaddhati of Raghunandana, 158n-161n, 162, 163n, 164, 166-7, 168n, 173, 174n-176n, 178n, 179 and n, 183.

Durgotsava. 154-187, under Durgā, Saptaśatī) . also called Navarātra, 154; men and women of all castes and even those beyond the pale of the caste system such as Mlecchas have adhıkara for. 157, animals that may be sacrificed in honour of Durga. 164: anımals are offered principally on the 9th tithi, 168, but no female of any species is to be sacrificed, 164, Bhadrakālī, described as having 16 arms in Kālikāpurāna 163n, bilva twig to be brought and Durgă is to be invoked to abide in it, 160-61; bodhana (ronsing from sleep) of Devi on different days 158-59, 181, brief statement of what is to be done from the 2nd to the 5th tithi of Asvina or to the 9th, 159, Candigāyatrī 11, 179n. celebrated all over India from 1st to 9th tithi of Aśyma-śukla in some ferm or other, but it is celebrated in a grand way in Bengal, Bihar

and Kāmarūpa, 154; contemplation on form of Devi 162; description of Durgotsava from 1st day to 10th, 159-177; description of the form of Devi, long and finely worded, in Matsya and Kähkā-purānas, 162-63, Devi (Durgā) got different weapons from several gods, 155; Devi may be worshipped in her shrine, on mountain Vindhya, ìn places, towns and villages, forests, in a linga, in a book, in water etc. 157, 178; Durgā is said to go to sleep on 8th of Asādha-sukla and hence some works provide for rousing her (bodhana) on different dates, 158.

Durgāstamīvrata in Kalpataru and Hemādri 185, elaborate ritual about offering the blood and head of a ball, prescribed ın Durgarcanapaddhati, rites and mantras differing according to the ball offered, 166ff, establishment of the image of Durgā on a seat, the bundle of nine plants to its right and placing the bilva twig near the image and performance of prānapratisthā 162; even now many high caste people of Bengal including brāhmanas offer goats and rarely buffaloes to Durgā, though some brāhmana families offer only fruits and vegetables in lieu of animals, 168; family members, and guests sit together after each day's pūjā in Navarātra and persons present partake of

prasāda, 157, 173; ghata (a iar with a peculiar shape) is to be established and filled with water, twigs of mango and other auspicious plants to be placed thereon and worship of Durgā thereon, on 7th tithi with 16, 10 or 5 upacaras, 159, 162-3, 183; goats and buffaloes alone sacrificed usually in D. 165: Guggulu incense. favourite of Durga, 164, 1047; homa is performed after pūjā in fire called 'Balada', with a mantra preceded by 'om' and followed by svaha, 173: horses honoured in, by kings and those who possess them, 184; if an animal was killed as bali. what was offered was only the blood or head, 165; if brahmana offered his own blood to Devi, he would be guilty of suicide and go to bell, 165, in place of wine one should offer cocoanut water in a vessel of bell metal or honey in a copper vessel, 165; in Navaratra the performer has to listen to the recitation of the Vedas and from the 1st tithi to the 9th he should mutter Candīpātha or engage a person to do so, 171; it was believed in the Vedic times and also by Manusmrti that animals killed in sacrifices to gods and pitrs went to heaven and those who offered them did not mour sin. image of Durga with four or ten arms to be made from certain substances only or a picture is to be used, 178:

image of Durga with lion and Mahisāsura and images Laksmi and Ganesa and of Sarasvatī and Kārtikeva to be shown on her two sides 177-78; is nitya as well as a Kāmya rite, 156; Jāpaka was to be engaged for reciting the mülamantra a hundred thousand times, besides the reader of Satacandi, 173; Kālıkāpurana details the different periods of time for which Durgā becomes gratified by the offering of the blood of different animals, 167: Mahānavami rites (of 9th day) described, 154, 174-6, Mahāstamî rites (on 8th day) described, including a fast to be observed by one having no son, 168-71; Mantra of Durgā to be repeated after sankalpa for japa and homa is either the Jayantīmantra or the mantra of nine syllables 159, 171, narvedya of various kinds to be offered to Durga, 164n; offering of devotee's own blood and the flesh of a he-buffalo and goats pleases Durga most, 185; offering of wine in D. relates to ages other than Kalı. says Prāyascittatattva, pranapratistha of images of Durga, Ganesa and other derties, 162; principal items in · Durgāpūjā are snapana (bath), , pūjā, offering of bals and homa. 179; reciting of Devimahatmya in, also rewards of so doing, 172-3; revelry and abuse indulged in, on last day of Durgapūjā, 177; revulsion of feeling against killing animals arose Kālıkāpurāna provides aubstitution of Küsmända (pumpkin), sugarcane stalks etc. 164-68; sandha (a short period viz, last ghatika of the mahastami and the first part of Mahanavamī), pūjā of Dyrgā on, with Yoginis, is deemed to be the holiest of pūjās, 174; sankalps, different forms of 158-160; sankalpa, at time of giving daksınā, 175n; saptasatī is the best of stavas (lauds) 172: several alternatives for the beginning and duration of D. suggested by Tithi tattva, most of which find support in Kālıkā and other Purānas, 154; some works provide that D. must be celebrated in Sarad (Asvina) and also in Vasanta (Cartra). 154: Svastika used in D., explained, 160n; threefold character of Candika, 158; three times for Durgāpējā, the principal one being at night and varying views, 180-85; tilaka mark with blood of the bals made on forehead of de votee, 167, tithi more impor tant than naksatra in D. 182twigs of nine plants 83: bound together in a sheaf with a separate mantra for each plant and worship of sheaf, 161, 181; Upacaras 16 in D. are slightly different from the 16 offered to other gods, 164n; Veda recitation in D. 171; voluminous literature on D, 155-56; worship of maidens

Index 59

in D., 170; worship much influenced by Śākta doctrines and practices, 186, worship of Yoginis, said to be 8, 64 or a crore, along with pūjā of Durgā, 174 and n.

Durgotsavapaddhati of Udaya sinha, 155, 187.

Durgotsavaviveka of Śūlapāni, 155.

Durudharā, a Yoga, meaning of, 584.

Duryodhana, 767.

Duty (see Yamas): doctrine of Gitā and the Purānas like Vişnu that the work of performing one's d., in the society in which one is born or which one has undertaken, is worship and enables a person, whether a brāhmana or a śūdra to reach the same higher worlds 929-30; most difficult to give an objective definition of duties, but they can be defined on the subjective side, 1421, object of emphasizing duties is to make men riseabove low desires 1421.

Dvādašī, called Trīspršā, which touches three civil days 119; eight kinds of, 119, Jayā, Vijayā, Jayantī and Pāpanā-šinī D. have respectively Punarvasu, Śravana, Rohinī and Pasya naksatras, 119.

Draifanirnayasiddhantasangraha 171, 182n; 181, speaks of five different mantras, any one of which may be used for japa and home in honour of Devi 171.

Diaprayuga, varying views about its end 687n.

Dvipa (continent, also island): vide under Jambudvīpa, mountains, oceans, rivers, countries, varsa: each d, divided into 7 varsas (9 in some purānas), has seven main mountains, seven principal rivers each, 1524: Matsva states that there are thousands of dvipas but names only seven, 1523-24, said to be eighteen in Vāyupurāna and Raghuvamsa 1523n, word occurs in Rg. in the plural and Pānini derives the word (VI, 3.97) and mentions dvipas along the sea coast (IV 3 10), 1523, 1525n; bhāsva names seven D. 1529.

Dvivedi, Dr. Hazariprasad, a. of 'Nath Sampradāya' (1950), 1429n; Earth: legend that e was donated to Kāšyapa by Parašurāma 89n; three motions of, 645n.

Earth, legend of gift of, to Kāsyapa 89n, three motions of 645n.

Earthquakes described as having happened at time of the Bhārata war 764; four theories about causes of, acc. to predecessors of Br. S 763; novel cause of earthquakes acc. to Brahmapurāna 763, regarded as punishments caused by God for men's sins not only by ancient and medieval Indians, but by English poets like Cowper and great men like Gandhiji 761.

Easter, 662

Eclipses (solar and lunar) (vide under gifts,): 241-250; bath with hot water only allowed to children, old men and persons that are all 243; common people and even some educated men hold old beliefs and believe even now that Rāhu causes e. 242, 765; consequences (astrological) of e 250: extensive literature on 241 : fast recommended on day of eclipse and some also recommended it on the day previous 249; first duty of a man on seeing e, is to bathe and to give up all food cooked before e. 243; great importance of, from very ancient times 241; boliest bath is in the Ganges or Godavarī or at Pravāga, then in any of the big rivers six connected with Himavat and six south of Vindhya 243, 244; householder having a son not to fast on e. 249; in 1953 (20th June) four lakhs of people took a bath at Kuruksetra and Sanyahet, 244; if one does not bathe at time of e. or sun's entrance înto răsi he would have. it is said, leprosy 243; moon e. a lakh of times more meritorious than an ordinary day and sun e. ten times more than moon e. 243, obligatory japa of Gāyatrī in e. 247; one should bathe when e. begins, perform homa, worship gods and perform śrāddha, while e. is in progress, make gifts when e is about to end, take a bath again when e. ends 246 ff.; order of the several religious acts to be done on e. 246-247. persons born on naksatra of e. suffer troubles.

unless they perform a santi 250; persons impure owing to birth or death in family have to bathe in e. 244; poor people clamour for gifts on, 246; prajāpatya expiation for eating food during e. 246; punyakāla (holy period) in e, lasts only so long as it is or can be visible to the eve and for 16 kalas on both sides of it, 247; Rähn is not the cause of, 242; real causes of, were known to Indian astronomers several centuries before Varāhamibira. 242, results of lunar e. and solar e, in the same month 250: rules about taking food before. during and after e. 249-250; šāntis for rāši or naksatra of a person being affected by eclipse, 766; solar e. on Sunday and lunar e. on Monday 15 called Cūdāmani and yields crore of times of merit 249; some people in these days take a bath on e. and make gifts, but hardly anything more is special done 247; (punya) on bath in certain rivers when e. in certain months 244; śrāddha, bath, gifts and tapas in e, yield in exhaustable rewards or ment, 245, total eclipse of the sun referred to in Rgveds which appears to have occurred three days before the autumnal equi-Varāhamihirā nox, 241-2; makes an effort to square Srnti, Smrti and popular belief and doctrines astronomical 242-243; views differ as to

Index 61

whether one in asauca can make gift or perform śrāddha or puraścarana 244; views differ as to whether religious rites are to be performed only when e. is actually seen or even when e. is astronomically known to be on in the locality, even though not seen owing to clouds 247-248; when auspicious and inauspicious and to whom 765.

Eddington A., a. of 'The Expanding Universe' 1502n;

Edgerton, Prof. 67, 1199 (edited Mimāmsā-nyāyaprakāśa of Apadeva), 1250n, 1434n, 1514n (criticized for his view about mere brahma knowledge being enough for attaining mokṣa), 1615 (on meaning of culture).

Egypt (see 'horoscopes'),: Ancient, had two calendars 490; knew nothing about the Zodiac before Alexandrian age, 549, 565; marriage with one's sister in, 554

Einstein, believed in Spinoza's idea of God and held that the main conflict between science and religion lies in the concept of a personal God, 1487n.

Eisler, Robert, a. of 'The Royal art of Astrology', 552, 581n.

Ekabhakta, 100; proper time for 100; restriction of, as an alternative to Ekādasīvrata 107; it is an independent vrata also, apart from being an alternative to Ekādasī 101.

Ekādasī (vide under 'Pāranā'): dasamī, observances for 115116, dvādašī, observances on 117; fast on e. mixed with dasami is condemned by Nāradīya and others 114; kinds of vedhas of E. by Dasamī 114; if there is Ekādaśī on two days, householders should fast on the earlier and Sannyāsins on the later 115; is exception to the general definition of sampūrnā tithi 113; is sampūrnā when it exists for two muhūrtas prior to sunrise of the day on which it exists for 60 ghatikās and fast to be observed on that day 113; names of the 24 ekādašīs of twelve lunar months and two more in the intercalary month when it occurs 108n; order is introduced in conflicting texts on Ekādašī by Kālanırnaya and Nirnayasındhu 115; question on what tithi fast should be observed when Ekādasī is mixed with tenth or twelfth tithi would have to be decided in different ways for Vaisnavas and Smartas and is complicated 113; Smārtas (i. e. all those who do not profess to be Vaisnavas) have not to follow strict rules of redha laid down for Vaisnavas 115; some difference in the names of Ekādaśīs and some reasons for this 109: two ekādašīs called Šayanī (also called Mahaikādasī) and Prabodhini, on Asadha bright half and Kārtika bright half respectively 99, 109; two kinds of, viz sampūrnā and viddhā or khandā 113.

Ekādaśinī, 813; means reciting the Rudra mantras (Tai.S. IV. 5. I. 11) eleven times; Śāntiratna of Kamalākara identifies it with Laghurudra, 813n; Ekādaśinī and Laghurudra are very much in vogue even now 814.

Ekādasīvrata (vide under Cāturmāsya, dvādašī, fast, jāgara, pāranā, Valsnava, vratas) 95-121; a person above 8 years of age and less than 80 years of whatever caste and āśrama has adhikāra for fasting on E. 97. 99; brief mention of items that constitute E, 119-20; rence (main) between mere fast on E. and Ekādasīvrata is that in the former there is no Sankalpa 104; early description of E. procedure from Nāradīya 104-5; even Śnivas and devotees of the Sun may observe E. 1045; exceptional cases where texts allow fast on Ekādasī mixed with dasamī but only to Smartas 115; explanation of Ekabhakta, nakta, ayacıta 100; extends from the 10th tithi to pāranā 115; extremely exaggerated praise of the efficacy of fasting is indulged in by many puranas, 98-99; fast on E. 18 of two kinds viz. adhering to the prohibition about taking food, the other is in the nature of a vrata and persons who should enter on one of these 103-104, great rewards promised on observance of E. 93, 18 both nitya and kāmya 96, 104; 1s obli-

gatory on householders on 11th of bright half, while in both fortnights is obligatory others 96-97: main matters in E. are fast, worship of Visnu mage. Jägara at night with songs, pāranā on 12th, certain restrictions as to conduct 105. 106 and n: Mārkandevapurāna provides four methods of observing E. viz. ekabhakta, nakta, ayācita, complete fast and dana, the first three being allowed only if one is unable to observe complete fast 99-100, no homa in 106; părană or pārana 107, 118; Padmapurāna devotes over a thousand verses on names and legends about E., 108n; person unable to fast owing to illness should make his son or others to fast on his behalf 100; person performing E, should miss four meals in three days 106; person even in mourning has to observe E. 115; procedure of, from Brahmavaivartapurāna and Dharmasındhu 106-108: pronouncing mantra (om name Nārāyanāya) three times after sankalpa 108; separate observances prescribed for dasami, ekādašī, and dvādašī, though somewhat overlapping 115; Sankalpa, form of, in 107; Sankalpa for Śarvas 108; restrictions as to food, physical and mental activities to be observed from Sankalpa to Pāranā, and on fast day 115, 116, some purānas prohibit only taking of food on 11th

tithi, while others prescribe an elaborate procedure 95; observances common to all vratas (including E.) 41, 115; time for Sankalpa when E is mixed up with 10th tithi at different parts of the day 106-107; Vaisnavas and Smārtas both observe Ekādašīvrata 112-13: Vaisnavas have to observe fast on all Ekādasīs, 97, 104, voluminous literature on 95; widow to be treated on the same footing as a Yati or a Vaisnava 97, 115; worship of Hari with flowers etc. 108.

Ekādašītattva of Raghunandana 29n, 30, 34n, 48n, 77, 95-97n, 99n-101n, 106n, 113n, 115, 116n, 119n, 120n, 1096, 1106 (Mahāšvetā mantra), 1108, 1190n, 1228, 1250n (eight faults in vikalpa pointed out) 1254.

Ekādašīviveka of Śūlapānı 95. Ekāmra, a work from Orissa; note on, 888.

Ekavākyatā: when several sentences, each conveying its own sense, are brought together, one being principal and the others auxiliary, they form one syntactical whole, called a Mahāvākya 1298, word occurs in Vedāntasūtra, 1298, 1341

Elements, five great (called mahābhūtāni) and their five qualities referred to in Upanisads, sometimes only three viz. tejas, water, anna (earth) are mentioned (as in Chān Up. VI. 2.3-4); only four

postulated in Greece by Empedocles (about 490 B. C.) and a fifth, ether, was added by Plato and Aristotle, 1502n; spring from and are absorbed in brahman, the dissolution being in the reverse order of creation 1503.

Elephants (vide under 'prognostications'): king's victory depends on 801; names of e. of eight quarters 803n.

Ehade, Mercea, a. of 'Yoga, immortality and freedom', 1394 1651.

Elliot, Sir Charles, a. of 'Hinduism and Buddhism' 1650n.

Elliott H. M, a. of 'History of India' in several volumes, 1018, 1025.

Ellora caves in a cave Siva and Pārvatī are shown as playing with dice 203; described by Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi of Aundh 203, 1655.

Empedocles (born about 490 B.C in Greece): vide under 'Elements', held the doctrine of Puparjanma 1530.

Epigraphia Indica, Vol. I. m. on 246 (grant on Full Moon for endowing a matha to teach Bhāskarācārya's works), 348, 644, 650n, 656, 1006n, 1029, Vol. II. on 644; Vol. III. on 245, 407; Vol. IV. on 1006n, 1029; Vol. V. on 1011n; Vol. VI. on 630n, 649 (Aihole Inscription), 662, 1029; Vol. VII. on 89, 212n, 213n, 245, 348, 655, 964, 1006n; Vol. VIII. on 404, 599n, 650n, 669n, 670, 968n, 1013, 1029;

Vol. IX on 245, 1014, 1029; Vol. X. on 284, 386, 648; Vol-XI. on 1014; Vol. XII. on 213n, 274, 644, 876n, Vol. XIV. on 213n, 245, 644, 883; Vol XV. on 644, 669, 1013, 1028, 1192; Vol. XVI. on 131. 644. 654n. 670. 964n, 1014; Vol. XVII. on 644 Vol. XVIII. on 669, 670, 1013, Vol XIX. on 246, 665, 668n, 669, Vol. XX on 648, 656, 669, 678n, 1012-13, 1028, 1614n, Vol. XXI. on 668n, 978n, 1425n; Vol. XXII. on 131, 665, Vol. XXIII. on 651n, 687, Vol. XXIV. on 356, 669n; Vol. XXVII. on 945n. 1629n; XXVIII. on 883 (Purānas studied in 578 A.D.); Vol. XXIX. on 651n, 652n, 654n, 659.

Equinox: cannot be accurately ascertained without scientific apparatus 511n.

Era (or eras acc. to context) (Vide under Śaka, Vikrama, Krta years): Chālukya Vikrama era started in 1076-77 A.D., 253; five eras named by Alberum 648; Laukika or Saptarşi e. in Kashmir 655-656: most ancient civilizations used e. late in their career and used regnal years instead of eras 647; several eras that were once in vogue are not now employed, such as Vardhamāna , era, Buddhanırvana, Gupta, Cedi, Harsa, 656; six eras in Kaliyuga according to Jyotirvidabharana 647; use of, in India not more than about two thousand years old 647; Vardhamana e. 656, variety of e. used in India 648; Vikrama era under that name occurs only from the 8th century A. D. 653, writers and works on Indian e. 648.

Europeans: ignorant of decimal place value notation and symbol for zero, which were introduced to them by Arabs who borrowed them from India, 482; slavishly followed Ptolemy's Almagest for 1400 years, 512.

European scholars · many were obsessed by two notions, viz, (1) much in India that resembled what prevailed in the West must have been borrowed from West and the (2) Indians who were governed by foreign invaders for centuries must not have been good at anything 141, many of the striking theories of, are now consigned to oblivion, 141; nurtured on the Greeks literature of Romans and on the vaunted superiority of Greeks in philosophy, Mathematics and Arts generally assumed borrowing from Greeks by Indians, 281; rules of common sense that should be followed by E. S. stated 141, that wrote on India indulged in very disparaging remarks about Indians not only as to astronomy but generally 511-512; theories of, about Indian Astro nomy and Astrology, not accepIndex 65

table for several reasons 481
Evans-Wentz W. Y; a of
'Tibetan book of the Dead', of
'Tibetan Yoga and secret
doctrine' and of 'The Tibetan Book of the Great Liberation' 1393-4.

Evolution theory of, is supposed to have shattered the argument from design for proving existence of God, 1483n

Expiations different views viz. expiations would remove effects of sins deliberately committed or were restricted only to acts inadvertently done 1589.

Faddegon, B. on '13th month in ancient Hindu chronology' 490n.

Fa Huan (1st quarter of 5th century A D.), found Buddhism flourishing in India, 1003

Farnell L. R., a of 'Greece and Babylon' 599n.

Farquhar J. N , a. of 'Outlines of the religious Literature of India' 1089n.

Farrington, Prof, on Science and Politics in the Ancient World', 550n

Fast (vide under 'Ekādasī rata');
a householder having a son
was not to fast on Sunday and
on certain other days 220; as
prāyascitta 97, brāhmanas and
ksatriyas not to observe fast
for more than three days and
others for more than two days
55; idea of efficacy of fasting
undertaken cheerfully is common to several religions, 103;
none of the holy rivers like the

Ganges and none of the tirthas like Kāšī is equal to fast in honour of Makarasankrānti 220, observed by Haihayas, Turks, Yavanas and Šakas for reaching status of brāhmanas, 54, underlying idea of fast is spiritual viz. that the Supreme Spirit is to be realized by fast together with study of Veda, sacrifices, gifts 103; woman pregnant or recently delivered should observe only nakta instead of a fast, 52.

Fausset, Hugh T Anson, a. of "The Flame and the Light" 1477n.

Fergusson, J. a. of 'Tree and Serpent Worship' 127; a. of 'History of Indian and eastern Architecture', 1655.

Filliozat, Prof J. 189, 698, 699n.
Fires. different names of, in different rites set out in Tithitattva 173; different seasons for setting up Vedic f. in the case of the three classes 1290; three mounds for Vedic fires were Gärhapatya Ahavaniya and Daksināgni; they were respectively circular, squre and semi-circular in shape and all were to have same area, 1132n.
Firmicus Maternus (middle of

Firmicus Maternus (middle of 4th century A. D.), inspired Indian astrology, says Thibaut, which is wrong, 579-580, 582n. Five year Plans 1664

Fleet, editor of 'Gupta Inscriptions', 494n, 679n, 704n; holds that the list of naksatras beginning with Kittikas was entirely due to ritual and astrology and has no basis in fact but assigns no reason why priests later changed the beginning to Aśvini, 528.

Flesh-Eating, (vide under 'ahmisā' and 'Buddhists'). flesh of five-nailed animals not to be eaten except of five specified ones, acc to Gaut, Manu, Yāj., Rāmāyana 1156.

Flowers: fit or unfit to be offered to deities, in worship 38, results of offering various flowers in worship 38.

Food One should not speak ill of food, one should prepare much food, secure much food by some method or other 24; prescribed for Dīksitas of different varnas 25.

Forencon for gods, says Sat Br., 72.

Foreign Tribes and people m. in Śāntiparva, chap. 224, (or ed.) and Manusmrti, 1518.

Fortnight (paksa) mentioned very early 670

Fotheringham, Prof J. K. 566, 644, 646, 647n, 676n, 682.

Foucher, A, a. of 'Beginnings of Budhist Art' 1655.

Frankfort, a of 'Cylindrical seals', 521n, 596n

Frazer, J. G., a of 'Golden Bough'94.

Free Will (or Freedom of will), how far consistent with doctrine of Karma, 1574-1576, works on, 1575.

Freud, a of 'Interpretation of dreams' 782; his theory of 'libido' and cedipus complex, 1414n, Future: Carakasanhitā provides how to draw forecasts about a patients's possibility of survival from the condition of the mes senger or from what the physician was doing when messenger arrived 812.

Future trends (in India) 1658-1711.

Gadādharapaddhatı (Kālasāra) 241.

Gāgābhatta or Visvesvarabhatta, a. of Bhāttacintāmani, 1200.

Gadgil, Prof D. R. volume of papers presented to, 1678n.

Gajacchāyā, explained 673n.

Gajendramoksa, story of, in Bhāgavata, Padma, Vāmana and Vişnudharmottara Purānas, 779n, 780n.

Galagraha, meaning of, 608.
Galatians, epistle of Paul to,
1546n.

Galileo, condemned by Churchmen to imprisonment for life at 70 for espousing the Coper nican theory, 483, 1477, and Kepler practised astrology or countenanced it, 551.

Gandānta, astrological term, ex plained 605.

Gandharva, meaning of, 494n, 495n.

Gandhästaka (eight fragrant sub stances) 292.

Gandhi (Mahātmā) · a, of 'self-restraint versus self indulgence', Appendix of which reproduces W. L. Hare's article on importance of brahmacarya, 1423, belief of, that the earth quake in Bihar was sent by God as punishment for un Index 67

touchability 764n, life of, by D G. Tendulkar in eight volumes, 764n, opinion of G. that no case for contraceptives is made out, criticized by Pandit Nehru and the present author 1689, prediction about the time of the death of, by Louis de Wohl turned out to be untrue 352.

Ganapatıpüjā—vide Vināyakaśānti

Ganapatyatharvasīrsa 148

Gändhärī, lost her 100 sons because she observed fast on Ekādasī mixed with Dasamī 1243.

Gandhiji, praise by, of poverty, suffering and ascetic life criticized by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru as utterly wrong, harmful and impossible of achievement and Gandhiji's attitude to sex as extraordinary in 'Autobiography' pp 510 and 512, 1689.

Ganeša or Ganapatı · Gāyatrī (Paurāmka) of, set out, 1105n, 18 worshipped before undertaking a vrata 32, 748; most popular god among people, the god of wisdom, bestower of success and destroyer of obstacles 718, Mūlamantra is 'gam svāhā' 1105

Ganapatıpüjä – vide Vināyakašānti.

Ganesacaturthi-vrata 145-149, also called Varadacaturthi in S. M. 145; antiquity of Ganesa worship, 148-149, explanations about Ganesa's elephant-head and mouse as conveyance are phantastic 149, form of Ganesa

to be contemplated upon, in, 146, if one sees the moon on night of 4th of Bhādrapada śnkla he may be falsely accused of theft and should repeat the verse 'simbah prasenam' over some water, drink the water and listen to story of Syamantaka jewel, 146, 148; identified with brahmaGanapatvatharvasīrsa 149. if 4th of Bhadrapada-sukla falls on a Sunday or Tuesday it is called 'Great' (Mahati) 146 and also sukhā if on Tuesday 148. in modern times clay image of Ganesa, beautifully painted, is worshipped 146 . image of Ganesa is immersed in water after a procession 148, legends about Ganesa in Brahma-vaivarta 148, mantra ıs Rg. II 23 1 ('Gananam tva' &c. addressed to Brahmanaspati) 146; not observed in Bengal nor in Gujarat, 145; procedure of 146, procedure of, is different in Narasımhapurāna 149, proper time for performance is mid-day 145 : ten names of Ganesa 146, tithi 4th on which Ganeśa is worshipped is called Šīvā 148, twenty-one dūrvā tendri's and 21 modakas are offered in, 146; when Ganesa, 18 worshipped on Magha sukla 4. that tithi is called Santa, 148; when caturthi is mixed with 3rd or 5th tithi, then Caturthi mixed with 3rd is to be preferred, if it exists at midday, 146: worship of Ganesa in modern times lasts two, five, or seven days or till Anantacaturdasi, according to usages and tastes of people 148.

Ganesapurana, note on, 889.

Ganges, festival of, celebrated in turns over large rivers like Godāvarī, Krṣnā, Narmadā 91.

Ganguly O. C. on 'Orissan Sculpture and Architecture' 1653n.

Garbe, a. of 'Die Sänkhyha Philosophie' 1312n, edited Ap. Sr. S. 1174; edited Sänkhyapravacanabhäsya 1354, 1371; on Vodhu 1372n.

Garga (vide Vrddhagarga) · 71, 78n, 250, 479n, 578-9, 591-592 594, 607, 637n (on grahayuddha), 622, 633n, 742. 743n, 745, 747, 766, 767n, 772, 774, a famous ancient writer on astronomy and astrology from whom Utpala on Br. S. quotes about 300 verses, 591-92; assigned to 50 B C. by Kern, 579, 592n; author of Mayüra-cıtraka, acc. to Utpala 591; dialogue of G. Bhargava about child's birth on Mūla-naksatra 597n; instructor of Atri, 591, 746n, known to Visnupurāna as an ancient sage that knew all about omens 743n.

Garga-gotra, known to Pānini, 642n.

Gargasrotas, holy place on Sarasvatī visited by Balarāma 520n, 742n.

Garge Dr. D. V, a of 'Citations' in Śābarabbāsya', 1200-1201; 1218 (on Śabara's contributions to ecegesis), Vedio and non-Vedic), 1275n.

Gārgi, sixty verses of, quoted by Utpala, 92n,

Gärgi, called Vācaknavi, in Br. Up., a pert woman, showing no respect to Yājūavalkya 1105.

Gārgya, 61, 73n, 77n, 621, 772. Gārgya Bālāki, learnt Brahmavidvā from Avātašatra 1579-80

vidyā from Ajātasatru 1579-80, Gārgya Nārāyana, com. of Āsv. Grhyasūtra, 1317n.

Garments, auspicious times for wearing, new, 626.

Garudapurāna, 39, 42n, 43, 49n, 70, 75n, 99, 100n, 113-14, 115n, 116n, 118n, 134n, 136n, 178, 225-26n, 230, 266n, 815, 819, 834n, 869 (discarded by Ballalasena), 820n, 967 (summary of Gita in 28 verses), 1243, 1438n, 1446n; contains many verses identical with Yaj. Smrti, 815, 889, 1690; has a verse almost identical with an introductory verse of Harsacarita, 1101; has several monosyllabic unmeaning man tias like hram, 1105, present G. contains a summary of Parāśarasmrti 889; provides that anganyāsa is part of worship, japa, homa, 1120,

Gathasaptasati 655, 681 (men tions Tuesday).

Gaudapāda, a of com. on Sāā khyakārikā 1351-55, 1382n; comments on only 69 verses of Sāùkhyakāril ā 1356n.

Gautama, a writer on astrology 592.

Gautama-dharma sútra, 17n, 27, 103, 159n, 206, 543, 669n, 741, 818, 862n, 863, 925, 941-45, 1023, 1154, 1156n, 1169, 1190n, 1233, 1251n, 1252, 1256, 1257n, 1278, 1293n, 1416n, 1436, 1469, 1544n-45, 1589, 1592-93n, 1595, 1628, 1637, 1643.

Gavām-ayana, a sattra, 511n. Gāyadānr, festival in Bihar, Orissa and other places on Balipratipadā 205.

Gāyatrī (Rg. III. 62-10), eulogy of, in Br. Up 1097; enlogy of, in Visnudharmottara which employs it also for black magic 877n, 1099n; to be preceded by 'om' and vyāhrtis and followed by 'Siras', 1099n, 1442n, syllables of G. to be reversed when employing it for black magic, 877n, 1099n, used for nyāsa of its letters on parts of body, 1120.

Geldner, 498n, 538n.

Gell, C. W. M., in Hibbert Journal, 1953, reviews Dr Schweitzer's and Dr. Radhakrishnan's works 1647n.

Genesis (Bible) 575, 677, 1506. Geography, of ancient India, works on, 1528n

Getty, A a of 'Gods of Northern Buddhism' 1133.

Ghati, word known long before Mahābhāsya 684.

Ghatitagunavicāra, explained 614. Gherandasamhitā, on Hathayoga 1427n, mentions 25 mudrās and Khecarimudrā 1127; states there are 84 āsanas, 1426.

Ghosh, Dr. Manmohan, a of 'contributions to the History of the Indian Drama' 1130; shows that in bas-reliefs of Bayon (Angkor Thom) certain gestures in Cambodian dance and drama are similar to those in Bharata-nātya-sāstra 1130.

69

Ghosh, N. N. 1614n.

Ghosh, Pratāpeandra, a. of an English work on Durgāpūjā. 156, 160n, 173, 184, 186; theory of, on origin of Durgāpūjā 186.

Ghosundi, Inscription, 131, 963-64.

Ghrtasükta, 758n, 802n.

Ghurye, Dr. G. S., 845, 1152n (on Vidyās), 1615n (on Culture and Society).

Gifts (vide under Brahmanas), 934-36, of food praised in Rg. Ait and Tai. Br., Manu, and Purānas like Agni, Brahma, 934; of food to cripples. the blind, children, old men. the poor, highly praised in Padmapurāna 934-35, of food to brahmacārins and vatıs enjoined by Kürma and Padma 935, of food in Vaisvadeva and Bahkarma on the ground by householders to persons that had lost caste or had loathsome diseases, to candalas, dogs. crows and even insects 935: of land to deserving brāhmanas recommended by Mahabharata and in inscriptions on eclipses. ayana and visuva days 245_6: in Inscriptions 245-46; made to unworthy persons declared as tāmasa by the Gitā 938; results of g. made on naksatras from Krttika to Bharani 500, rule that gifts to brūhmanas were to be made by day and

not by night, the exceptions being the same as in the case .. of bath etc. 218; special gifts required to be made Makarasankrantı, such as sesame, garments 219; sūtras and early smrtis before the spread of Buddhism, emphasized that religious gifts were to be made only to learned and well-conducted brahmanas 937; universal kindness and charity in giving food to poor, disabled men and to students has prevailed to the present day in India, though recent high prices and rationing have undermined this spirit 935-36. why Puranas make incessant appeals for gifts to brahmanas 938.

Gītā, vide Bhagavadgītā.

Gitagovinda, of Jayadeva, court poet of Laksmanasena, regards Buddha as avatāra 824, 996.

Glanville S. R. K., on 'the legacy of Egypt', 490n, 565n, 581n, 700n.

 Gnā, in Rg. means 'wife' and is an Indo European word, 1044.
 Goals of human life are four, 1510 (vide Purusārtha).

Gobhila, Karmapradīpa of, 758n Gobhilagrhyasūtra, 27, 67, 69, 78, 480, 494n, 535.

Gobhilasmrti, 53n, 218, 640n, 758n, 1266n.

Gocara, meaning of, 589--90.

God (vide under creation, Einstein, Rgveda, Väk, cosmology; arguments for the existence of G. (vide under cosmology), Bhagavadgitā (XIII. 13-17)

contains one of the best deseriptions of God as transcendant and unmanent 1462: divergence about the names, nature and attributes of 1486-87: evidence for existence of G. lies in inner personal experience, acc. to W. James, 1483n; idea of the grace of G. occurs in Katha and Svelaśvatara Up 961: God's omniscience, omnipresence must be abandoned, acc. to H G Wells 1483-84n, 18 one acc to Mahābbārata and Puranas and higher some Indian thought 118, is immanent in the Universe, acc. to Tai. Up, Chan Up, Br Up. 1486, is a pure mathematician, acc to Jeans 1486n; is supposed to take different forms for the benefit of worshippers, 118, is transcendant and rules the universe 1486; most striking characteristic of Hindu religion, from Vedic times is that G. is one, though called by various names 1623; nature and attributes of G. on which most are agreed 1487; no place for G. in Sankhya system, while in Yogasütra G. has a secondary one 1402-3; Ontological argument for proving existence of G. 1483n; some sages at least in Rgveda times had arrived at the conclusion that there was only one Principle or Spirit, though called by various names, 1492; spirit of bargaining with God in some Vedic texts illustrated

1217n, supreme G. is called Vāsudeva in Gītā, 961, three main arguments for existence of G. 1484n, two difficult questions about belief in G set out 1487; views (four) on the relation of God and world, acc. to Viscount Samuel 1487n God and creation of the universe (vide under Creation). Prakaranapañeikā denies creator of the whole universe, 1207, Ślokavārtika of Kumārila says that it is difficult to prove that God created the world or dharma and adharma and the means of attaining these, words and senses and vet performs obersance to Siva at the beginning of it 1207

God, Gods (vide under 'Images'. 'Īśvara'), . Ādītyas, Indra, Mitra, Varuna. called kings (rajan) and universal sovereign in the Veda 8; all g and goddesses supposed to go to sleep on different tithis, 110-11, asked by worshippers to partake of apūpa, honey, milk, purodāśa ctc in the Veda 35, poor men reach G. by vratas, 44-45; Pūrvamīmāmsäsūtra. Śabara. Kumārila discard the idea that Veda is the word of God or that rewards of religious acts are due to the favour of God, 1209, Vedic G. have the epithet 'Vrsa' (bull, powerful) or 'Vrsabha' applied to them, to their chariots, weapons etc. wives of gods Indra, Varuna, Agni and Maruts mentioned in Rg. are Indrānī, Varunānī, Agnayī and Rodasī, but they play a very subordinate part in Rg, 1044; worship of gods and of Visnu is of three kinds, Vaidikī, Tāntrikī and Miśrā (mixed), acc to Bhāgavata and Agni Purāṇas 1093, worcship of God may be performed in an image, in water, fire, one's own heart, in sun's orb or on an altar 1649.

Godāna, same as Kešānta, 536n. Gode, Prof P. K 195.

Godhūli or Gorajas, muhūrta, defined. 613-14.

Goethe (1749-1832 A. D.); begins his memoirs with the positions of planets at his birth 551

Goetz H, a. of 'Five thousand Years of Indian Art' (Bom.), 1656.

Gokarna, 90n.

Golden age in dim past, belief in, 686.

Gomatīvidyā, 204n.

Gomukhaprasavaśānti, 771n-72n. Gopatha-brāhmaņa, 816n.

Gopinatha Kaviraja, M. M. 1062n (on cakras), 1063 (in J. G. J. R. I), 1187 (paper on Govt Mss in Govt Sanskrit Library at Benaras), 1355.

Gopinath Rao, T. A., a. of 'Elements of Hindu Iconography' 1655

Gorakhnath, pupil of Matsyendranatha, 1429n, works on, 1429n.

Goraksasataka (on Yoga) 1389n, 1419, 1426n-27, 1432; verses of, are found in some Yoga Upanisads 1389n; ed. by Shri Kuvalayānanda, 1429, 1438n, 1441, 1451 (on final stage of Samādhi), 1458n.

Gorer G, a. of 'Bali and Angkor', 1657.

Gosava, Vedic sacrifice, 219.

Goswami, Prof. S. S., a. of 'Hathayoga' 1394 (with 108 photographs of Āsanas), 1426.

Gough, a of 'Philosophy af the Upanisads' 1531; holds the view that Hindus borrowed doctrine of punarjanma from the indigenes 1531.

Govadha (offering of the fiesh of a buil), Kalpataru remarks that this is not permitted in Kali age, though allowed in former ages and Mit. says it should not be practised as it has become hateful to people 1270n.

Govardhana, a city founded by Rāma on the northern part of Sahya, acc. to Brahmānda, 895.

Govardhanapūjā on Balipratipadā, 204—205; also called Annakūta, 205.

Govindacandra, king of Kanoj, granted a village after a bath in the Ganges on Aksayya trtiyā 89.

Govinda Das, 1395.

Govindānanda, commentator of Prāyascittavīveka of Śūlapānī, explains Holākānyāya 1282.

Gowen, H. H., a of 'History of Indian Literature' high enlogy of Sanskrit Literature by, 1651.

Grahaganıta 665.

Grahalāghava of Ganeśa, composed in 1520 A. D., 642.

Grahaņa, vide under 'echpse'. Grahayajna (vide Navagrahašānti). 750, 753.

Grahayuddha, explained, 587n, 589, 637; Parāšara and Garga refer to it, 637.

Grammar (Vyākarana), dealt with in P. M. S. (I. 3, 24-29), 1275, Kumārila in prima facie case agaist G. criticizes Pānini, Vārtikakāra and Mahābhāsya, 1275; eight, m. in Bhavisya-purāna 897; purposes of the study of, acc. to Pataūjali, among which Ūha, a technical Mīmāmsā term, is one 1158.

Grants. on Jupiter's entrance into Visabha-rāši 212n; on Sun's entrance into Rāšis, 212-213n.

Grassmann 498n.

Greek and Latin equivalents of Sanskrit words for 'rāśis', planets etc 585.

Greeks, (see under Herodotus, astronomical knowledge, Ionia); all Greeks gradually came to be called Ionians 516; G. astronomers got from Babylonians the names of the constellations the ecliptic 522n, 549; ambassadors of Greece such as Megasthenes to Candragupia and Deimachus to Bindusārason) 599; (Candragupta's borrowed sexagesimal system of sun dials and twelve parts of day from Babylonians 482n; Berossus (about 280-261 B.C.) astrology introduced among Greeks 549; debt of, to Babylonians much greater than

73

had been imagined, 482, debt owed by Greeks to Egypt 482, developed geometry because they could not employ ordinary arithmetical methods owing to dependence on the abacus, 482, even emment G were surrounded by superstitions 513. Hower's poems and the works of Hesiod are oldest surviving writings the ο£ Greeks 513; inferior to Babylomans in several respects, 482; inspiration for horoscopic astrology was received by G. from Babylon 594, mind of. was little in advance of the predecessors of the priests in science 512-13; no trace of astrology in writings of early Greeks 519, no extant literature of G is earlier than 900 B, C 513, scholars are far from insight into astronomy of Greeks before Ptolemy 518n; settled in India after Alexander's invasion. learnt Sanskrit, some wrote works in Sanskrit and became worshippers of Visnu, 516, 585; tried to peep into future before the advent of astrology by oracles, dreams and inspection of entrails and liver of sacrificed animals 549; vaunted superiority of, in Arts, Philosophy and Mathematics has now been reduced owing to discoveries in Mesopotamia and other countries, 482, 700 and n, were completely captivated by astrology derived from Mesopotamia 549. 10

Greek words (on astrology), hs of, supposed to be used in Sanskrit works like the Brhatsamhitā and Brhajjātaka by Weber and others are 37 but some like Kulira, Trikona are not so held by Kern (vide under Jīva) 584; some 22 Greek words have indigenous Sanskrit synonyms 584, were used by Varāhamihira and others because they were employed in ancient Sanskrit works on astrology written by Greeks in India 585.

Gregorian calendar. changes made by, 643; is unbalanced and inconvenient even now 718n; not followed in England till 1750 A. D. 643,

Gregory J C paper of, in 'Nature'. Vol. 153 on Ancient Astrology. 549n, 552, 63ln.

Grhastharatnākara of Candeśvara 876n

Grbyaparısısta 75n-76n.

Grhya and Dharma sūtras took their knowledge of astronomy from astronomical works 480. Grhyasūtras 223, 1323n; many G. have passages on Santis sımılar to those in Kausikasütra 738n

Grierson G. A. 633n.

Grousset, Rene, a of 'The sum of History' tr by A and H. Temple Patterson 1003, 1499n. 1503-4, 1654 (his appreciation of Indian Art and paintings); of 'In the footsteps of Buddha' 1009, 1039-40, a of 'Civilization of the East', Vol. II on 'India, Further India

and Malaya ' 1618n, 1654-55. Growse, 141.

Guenon Rene a of 'Cr.sis of modern world' 1668 (defects of democracy)

Grunwedel, Prof, a. of 'Buddhist Art in India' 1131n.

Guenther, Dr. H. V 1150, a of 'Yuganaddha' (the Tantrık view of life); 1066n (explains away what is meant by intercourse with candala women relies on Buddhist etc.): Tantras only and tries to prove that Buddhist Tantrıkas endeavour to restore life in its entirety which is neither an indulgence in passions nor a rejection of nor escape from them 1067; views of, briefly stated and criticized 1067-68

Guest, practice of offering a cow or bull to some worthy guests prevailed in ancient times, but forbidden later, 1270n.

Guggulu (meense), burnt m Candıkā temple 39: favourite dhūpa of Devi 164n.

Guhya, meaning of, in Tantras, 1052 and n.

Guhyasamājatantra (probably of 6th century A. D.) . (vide under Asanga, siddhis); 1040 (contains late elements), 1050, 1053, 1055-66, 1133, 1141 etc., makes provision for endowing miraculous with Sādhaka powers such as killing enemy with magical rites, causing rainfall in a drought 1070; mentions the six magical cruel puts forward a rites 1070; quick and short method for realizing Buddhahood and for attainment of Siddhis through Yoga 1068-70; Siddhis are sāmānya (ordinary, such as becoming invisible) and Uttama (best viz. attaining Buddhahood) 1068; sets forth six angas of Yoga (omitting the first three of Patañjali and adding Anusmrti, 1068; teaching of G. is that if psychical powers and siddhis are to be developed females must be associated with those who undertake Yoga practices 1069.

Guna (means subordinate matter or detail) 1207.

Gunakarma (or Gunabhūta), explained (such as pounding rice grains or wiping ladle) and contrasted with pradhāna 1237, 1306

Gunas, in Sankhya are three. sattva, rajas, tamas and their characteristics and are both substances and qualities 1357, 1372n, in Gita 1357n; why so called 1357n.

Gunavāda, a kind of arthavāda, defined and illustrated 1240-41.

Gupta, dynasty, referred to m a general way in only four Mahapuranas in rather corrupt passages without specifying names of kings 843; rule of G. dynasty began about 320 A. D. 843

Gupta Inscriptions, edited by Fleet, 110 (Gangadhar stone Ins of Krta year 480 : e 423-24 A. D.), 263, 651n, 652n, 661, 667n, 669-70, 680, 687, 843, 856n, 964, 997, 1013, 1028, 1046 (mentions Matrs and awakening of Visnu in Kartika); era of, 656.

Gupte, B. A., work of, on 'Hindu holidays and ceremonials' 60, 200n; on Sāvitrīvrata origins, in I.A. vol 35, 94; on Diwali, 207, on Sivarātri origin 235, on Holikā, criticized 241

Guru, vide under Prabhākara,

Guru, vide under 'disciple'. Buddhist tantras like Jñānasiddhi contain grand eulogies of g, identify him with Buddha and call him omniscient 1071, is higher than all men and is to be served with devotion by disciples for attaining siddhis 1055, Jūānasıddhı and Kulārnava warn against false gurus 1071, necessity of a g for the acquisition of esoteric philosophy stressed by Upanisad passages 1072; position of in Tantra, is not very different from that in Vedic literature or Purānas 1033n, pupil after undergoing diksā in Tantra worship and receiving the mantra has to follow orders of g. 1054-55, qualifications Tantrik g. acc. to Śāradātilaka, 1071; respect for g. sometimes reached extreme and disgusting lengths among tantrik writers as stated by Tārā bhaktisudhārnava. 1072n: saves a man if Siva is angry, but none can save the pupil if g is angered 1101; theory of efficiency of mantras led to importance of garu about whom extravagant claims were made, 1454

Gurney, O R, a. of a work on 'Hittites' 683

Gurvādītya, astrological position, condemned for all rites 612.

Guyot Felix, a of 'Yoga, the science of health', 1393.

Haarh, Erik, a of paper on 'Contributions to the study of Mandala and Mudrā' 1133.

Hall, Fitz-Edward, editor of Sāńkhyapravacana-bhāsya, 1354, 1371n, 1372 (on legends about Kapila), editor of Subandhu's 'Vāsavadattā' 1048

Hamsanyāsa, described by Rāghavabhatta 1120n

Hamsavılāsa 1077n.

Haradatta, com., of, on Ap Dh S. 1230, 1246n (explains Kalañja), 1251 (illustrates Vyavasthitavikalpa), 1256, com. of Ap. Gihya £35n, 611, com on Gautama 668n 1230.

Haraprasad Sastri, a. of Cat (in several volumes) of Mss. in Bengal Asiatic Society, 437; a. of Cat. of Nepal Palm-leaf Mss 909-10, 1033n, 1338n, 1049n; a of paper on causes of the disappearance of Buddhism 1003.

Hard work, the incentive of private gain, is motive for 1682. Hardy, Prof a of 'Ramanujan'

Hare W. L, article of, on 'Generation and Regeneration' in 'Open Court' (1926) included by Gandhiji as Appendix in his work 1423.

Haribhaktiviläsa of Gopālabhatta 113, 120. Hariscandra, story of, in Ait, Br., Sabhāparva, Brahmapurāna 915.

Hārīta, Dharmasūtra of, q. by Aparārka on Yāj. I. 154, 1422n. 1432.

Hārīta, Smrtı of, 33, 42 (1n prose), 116n (prose), 151, 257, 864-65n.

Haritālikāvrata, 144-45; brief procedure of, 144; for women alone, 144; not found in Hemādri on vrata or in Krtyakalpa-taru 144; not prevalent in Bengal or Gujarat 145; observed on 3rd of Bhadrapada bright half 144; Rājamārtanda has four verses on it, 144; sankalna in 144n; to be performed on 3rd mixed with 4th tithi (and not with 2nd) provided 3rd exists at least for two ghatikās from sunrise 145, various mantras repeated in 145n; very much in vogue among Mahārāstra women 144; why it is so-called is difficult to explain 145.

Harivamša 129, 133, 135n, 147n, 693, 695, 993n (on avatāras), 1028 (on Pusyamitra), 1623.

Harsa, king of Kashmir, horoscope of 630.

Harsacarita of Bana, 655, 705, 821-22, 997n, 1047, 1132 (on Mandala in colours), 1384.

Harsavardhana, emperor, 1047, distributed his wealth at Prayaga once in five years 262; birth of 705; horoscope of, examined 629; era of (started in 606 A. D.) 656; prohibited use of animal food, says Yuan Chwang, 1017.

Harshe, Dr. R. G., paper of, on mss. on dreams 782n.

Hastings, editor of E R. E. 676n. Hastyāyurveda, 803-04.

Hatharädika, explained, 1570n.

Häthigumpha, inscription of Khāravela 1614.

Hauer Dr., on Munis, 1386n, 1387 (on Vrātyas), 1393 (two works of, on Yoga), 1397.

Havisya (sacrificial substances that may be eaten in vratas) 462, 1110n.

Hathayoga (vide under Khecarimudrā' and 'Vajrolīmudrā'); deals with processes called Dhauti, basti, nauh, neti, trātaka, kapālabhāti, on which Patañjahi is silent, 1428 and n; photographs of the processes called Dhauti 1438n, technique of H, claims three results 1428, to be kept secret and not to be exposed to all 1460. works (modern) on H, 1428n.

Hathayogapradīpikā of Svātmārāma, 1127 (on Mudrās and Vajroli), 1426-27, 1432 (proper food for Yogin), 1443, 1450, 1451n, 1460, 1649 (on com. Jyotsnā by secrecy); Brahmananda 1427, English translation of, 1427n; main aım of āsana and prānāyāma is to awaken the kundalını, while Pātañjala Yoga does dot dilate upon this 1429; names about 35 Mahāsıddhas from Adınātha (Śīva), Matsyendranātha, Go raksanatha and others 1115n, 1429n, proper name is Hathapradīpikā 1427; ten mudrās

77

named by 1428n, 1429; Yamas of, are ten, of which taking a light meal is the principal 1429

Hazra, Prof. R. C 1149, a of 'Studies in Puranic Records on Hindu rites and customs', 816n, 834, 844, 886 (paper on Upapurānas) and of 'studies ın Upapurānas' Vol I) 867. 870: admits that among the Upapurānas are works of late date and yet asserts that the age of Upapurānas began from the Gupta period, 836, bestowed much labour and thought on Puranas in general and individual Purānas 864; dates of the formation of U. given by Prof. H entirety wrong for reasons pointed out 836-37; dates assigned by Prof H to Narasmbha, Sāmba. dharma and Visnudharmottara not acceptable 871-72; has developed a tendency to assign more ancient dates to Puranas and Upaparanas than the evidence warrants 864; does not explain what he means by nontäntrik character 876n, paper on 'Asvamedha as common source of origin of Purana and Mahabharata' criticized 865-67, papers published in several journals on Puranas and Upaputānas, 814; puts wrong interpretation on Sankurācārya's bhāsya on Chān. Up III. 4. 1-2 about Pariplavarātris 866; remark of Prof. II. that Upapuranas were known to Yaj, criticized \$35n; sees

reference to Puranas when in fact none exists and where Purāna (ın Hārīta quoted by Mitāksarā) means Śrāddha of a particular kind 864-65; sees too much meaning in simple words and phrases and does not observe caution in his conclusions 815: theory of, that ıf a work is free from Tantrık elements it should be regarded as belonging to 3rd or 4th cen. A. D. 1s a slippery one and wrong 874, view of, that the Visnudharmottara does refer to the works of Varahamilira shown to be wrong 778. Heard, Gerald, a. of 'Is God evident' 1547 (on Vedanta). Heart, 1073 (note 1717) spoken of as lotus in the Upamsads, 1446n.

Heath, T. L., a of 'Greek Astronomy' and of 'Aristarchus of Samos' 482, 513-14, 520n, 566n, 676, 689n.

Heaven (svarga), 1212-1215 (vide under Gods) and earth. six hymns addressed to as divinities in Rg. 1492-93: and earth are called father and mother in Rg. 1548n, distance between h. and earth as put in the Art Br., 1493; ideas about h held by Jaimini, Sabara and Kumārila differ from those in the Veda and Puranas 1212: in Rg h was deemed to be a place where souls of valuant men and great donors and wise men went 1213; in Atharvaveda heaven was deemed to possess damsels, edible plants

and flowers, streams of ghee, honey and wine, milk, curds, lotus ponds, 1213; is defined as 'mental happiness' (priti) by Śabara, 1214, 1312n; joys of h, were 100 times greater than those on earth 1213: old verse defines svarga as 'a state unmixed with of happiness pain' 1214; poets like Kalidasa describe how the soul of one killed in battle arrives instantly in H. 1213; Puranas Brahma. Märkandeya, Padma, graphically describe H. as having all pleasures and no sorrow 1213, rewards of acts must be held to differ in their duration 1214, Sabara and Kumārila state that popular ideas about h are invalid, that Mahabharata and Puranas being the works of human authors nced not be considered and Vedic descriptions are merely arthavādas 1214, Šabara states that the words of Veda provide that reward of rites will follow, either in this life or in later existences, 1215; Upanisads like Chan. (VIII. 5.3) and Kauşītakı dılate upon the joys of heaven such as hundreds of Apsarases with garlands 1213; Visnupurāna says h, is what produces mental happiness, Naraka is the opposite, that merit and sin are named Svarga and Naraka 1214; was declared to be re ward for all sacrifices for which no express reward is provided by Veda 1214.

Heliodora, a Bhāgavata and Greek ambassador from king Antalikita to an Indian king 131.

Hemacandra, Jama ācārya, condemns prānāyāmas 1441.

Hemādri, 1623, on Vrata 31n, 37-40, 42n, 44-47, 49n, 51n, 54, 55n, 61n, 82, 84-86, 88-90, 92, 94n, 100n, 101, 105n-107, 114-7, 119, 124, 127-8, 132n, 142n, 147n, 148-9, 150n, 151, 153n-4n, 156n-7n, 160n, 165n, 168, 170-1, 178, 183n-5, 188-9, 191, 195 6n, 199, 207, 221, 239n, 572n, 597n, 745n-46, 769, 788n, 792-3, 795n, 801, 803-4, 810n, 834n, 835, 860n, 868n, 923n, 926n, 930n, 954n, 1096n, 1106n, 1130 (speaks of some mudrās), 1289, 1307; on Kāla 69n, 73n, 75n, 78n-9n, 89, 95-97, 99n, 100, 102, 104n, 106, 110n, 111, 113, 115-20n, 125, 132n, 133, 137n-8n, 147n. 201n-3n, 211-16, 216, 218, 219-20n, 222-23, 227, 229-30 243n-50n, 234n, 238, 241, 473n, 477, 480n, 608, 609m 623, 657, 663n-5n, 671n, 672on śrāddha 640n, 693, 706, 920, 931n, 978n, on Dāna 830.

Hensler, Eric de, a of a French work on 'Transmigration' 1605

Hepatoscopy, meaning and illustrations of, 521n; not developed in India 522n, theory underlying it 522n.

Heraclitus, view of, that a new sun was born and died every day, 511, 690. Index 79

Heretical sects in Purānas, 978; one should not even talk with Pañcarātras and Pāsupatas and should not feed at Śrāddhas Bauddha mendicants, Nirgranthas etc 978.

Heretics in 1401 English Parliament passed the Statute for the burning of H., which was not repealed till the Stuarts came back 476

Heritage of India, paper on 'Tantras as a way of realization' in Vol. IV, 1085.

Herodotus, 482 (Greeks borrowed from Babylonians two kinds of sun dials and division of day into 12 parts), refers to peculiar practice of Egyptians to regard each month and day as sacred to some god 549, states some Greeks had made the doctrine of punarjanma their own and that Egyptians were the first to teach it 1530, states that India constituted the 20th province of Persian empire and paid tribute 1613. Hesiod, furnishes the earliest

Hesiod, furnishes the earliest evidence for lucky and unlucky days in Greece 523.

Hevajratantra, edited and translated by Dr D. L. Snellgrove, 1147.

Hickey J. C, a of 'Introducing the Universe' 499, 565n-66

Himanat mountain (Himālaya) alone in by Pānini among seven principal mountains though he knew others also, 1525n; Atharvaveda knows it and remarks that all rivers start from Himayat and join

Sindhu (ocean), 1526n-27; snow-capped mountains known to Rgweda 1527.

Hindi, making Hindi the only official language of India, criticized 1666-67.

Hindu astronomy, discussion of the theory of Greek influence on, 514-21

Hindu culture and civilization, fundamental characteristics of 1623-1657.

Hindu, word, used by Darius and Xerxes in inscriptions 1613; few elements that bound Hindus together and causes that militated against their unity set out 1621-22.

Hınduısm (vide under 'Buddhi sm' and 'Buddha'), had to meet challenge of Moslem invasions and-domination from 11th century A. D onwards. higher thought in H. held that there was only one God 118; in stemming the Buddhism, the brahmanas and other leaders of H. had to make compromises of a farreaching character, such giving up of Vedic animal sacrifices. Paurānika Mantras and procedure came to be used along with Vedic Mantras in Śrāddha, in Devapūjā and in establishing images, 1024-25; modern fashion to make comparison between present practices and chortcomings of H and the original doctrine of Buddha and to disparage the former, but a comparison to be fair should be made between

the later phases of Buddhism and later phases and practices of H. 1029.

Hinduism, the pursuit of purely secular or wordly happiness is incompatible with the precepts and ideals of Hinduism 1475. Hindu law (vide under Dowry, Adoption Women): Hindu and Maintenance Act (No. 78 of 1956) has made radical and far-reaching changes and overrules all texts, rules, customs and usages, except in so far as they may have been expressly saved by the Act, 1336, 1670-71: of all the revolutionary changes occurring in India the most pervading influence will be that of the several laws affecting Hindus made from 1954 to 1956, 1674; 🗷 adoption severely criticized as a misnomer and travesty of ancient Hindu Law 1337-8; Hindu Law of inheritance declared the impotent, the outcast and his son, and several others as unfit for a share (on partition), and only entitled to maintenance, but the Hindu Succession Act (32 of 1956) has swept away all these disqualifications 1319; under old H. L. girls were to be married before puberty and Manu IX 90 provides that if relations don't get her married she should wait for three years and then may herself choose her partner 1338.

Hindu Marriage Act 25 of 1955, 1671 (makes sweeping changes of which most Hindus are

ignorant)

Hindu Marriage Act makes vast changes 1706

Hindu Marriage, recent Act against dowry, criticized as useless 1676.

Hindu society, one of the outstanding characteristics of, is the joint family system of Mitākṣarā type prevalent in whole of India (except Bengal); drastic changes made by Hindu Succession Act of 1956 without abrogating it 1672-74

Hindu Society and Religion, Reform and reorganization of, discussed, 1699-1710.

Hindu Succession Act 30 of 1956, criticized 1673-74.

Hipparchus (about 140 B. C): Ptolemy based his work on the work of, 514n, 682.

Hiranyagarbha, praised as creator and identified with Prajāpati and Sāntiparva says that he is announced in Yogasūtras as author 1371, 1391, 1489-90.

Hiranyakesigrhya, 622, Hiriyanna, Prof. M, a. of 'Outlines of Indian Philosophy' pp

298-325 (on P. M.S.), 1200 History of Dharmasastra I, on pp 20, 815-16, 834n, 854, 889, 894, 1152n, 1199; vol. II on pp 18, 25, 27-8, 31n-33n, 34, 37, 43, 87n, 101n, 123, 126, 148, 185, 191, 206, 210, 218n-9n, 267, 276, 293, 312, 393, 419, 421, 511n, 536-37n, 674n-5n. 622, 554n, 614, 724, 737n, 748, 759n, 773n, 853n, 803n, 778-9n, 790, 935, 945, 969n 973n, 991-2, 1006n. 1027, 1029n, 1031, 1079-80n, 1096n-97. 1099n, 1125, 1116, 1123. 1114n. 1205n, 1317n-18, 1233n. (for Madhu-1244n, 1269, parka), 1269n (for Sautramani), 1272 (Upanayana for women), 1279 (marrying a maternal uncle's daughter and gift or sale of horses), 1288, 1292, 1294n, 1304n, 1306 (distinction between vāga. homa, and dana), 1313n, 1317, 1319, 1321, 1323n, 1325n-26, 1328n-29n, 1331n. 1413n. 1422, 1458, 1471-2, 1511. 1527, 1536n, 1545, 1570, 1577, 1592. 1598, 1614. 1624, 1626-28, 1632, 1634n-36n, 1638n-1640. 1643. lu47n. 1688, -- (or Vol IIIpp) 184, 237n, 335, 448. 486, 532, 543n, 545, 620n. 640, 648n, 686-7, 691n, 693, 701, 783n, 812n, 849, 856n, 969n, 1080, 1152n, 1184, 1190n, 1205n, 1239n, 1257n, 1259n (on Manu II, 7) 1258, 1260, and n, 1262, 1263n, 1264n, 1266n, 1269, 1273n, 1278-9, 1280 (discussion on valid customs and usages), 1281 (Kumārija's explanations transgressions by great men of antiquity), 129 in, 1296, 1301n, 1309, 1319-20, 1336 (adoption by Hindu widow), 1420 1, 1469n-70, 1472, 1474, 1543n, 1626-27, 1663, 1701, Vol IV-3, 20, 28, 40n-11, 52-3, 64-5n. 89n, 91n, 97-8, 103, 105, 201n, 205n, 246n, 267, 272, 292, 371, 375, 386, 416, 430-31, 462, 640, 671, 673n-4n, 675, 681, 706, 731n, 756n, 786n, 796n, 825, 865n, 894-5, 915-16n, 920, 932-3, 988 (meaning of rta), 1110n, 1212, 1231, 1273n, 1317, 1320, 1334, 1365n, 1416, 1531 - 21458. 1528, 1422. 1576n, 1589, 1592, 1598, 1643, 1645, 1653 (n), 1706 (Hindūkaranavidhi).

History of Sanskrit Poetics by P. V. Kane, 769n, 780, 997n, 1653n. Hittites, 599n comparative grammar of H language, Sayce draws attention to the fact that H. numerals are Sanskrit 599n. technical Sanskrit words in horse-breeding, royal names and Vedic gods about 1400 B C. among H. 683.

Hobhouse, L T. a of 'Morals in evolution', 1594, 1606, 1630n. Hoens, D J work of, on Santis, is extensive but deals with Santis in Sambitas, Brahmanas and Śrautasūtras only 735.

Hoernle, Dr. 499, 840n (on date of Amarakosa).

Hogben, on 'Mathematics in Antiquity ' 482n

Hogg, A G, a. of 'Karma and Redemption ' 1570n, 160 i.

Holākādhikarana-Jai. I. 3 15-23 are so called because the first example in Sabara's bhāsya is Holākā 237n, 1281, frequently m. by writers on Dharma-€āstra 1281-82.

237-241 (vide under Holikā Śabara), also called 'Huiāsanı' and 'Phālgunikā' 238; ancient festival on Phālguna Full Moon of unmixed gaiety and frolic throughout India, though all parts do not observe it in the same way 237: derivation of the word from the word 'Homa' 239n; element of bonfire is present everywhere except in Bengal, where swinging of Krsna image is done 237 : Dolavātrā in Bengal in place of bunfire 239-240. history of holākā from ancient times 238: legend as to why boys become borsterous and kındle Holākā 238-39, number of days for this festival varies 237, obeisance to ashes with Mantra on the day after Phälguna full moon 239; obscene sex references in 241: only religious element is worship of Krsna in Bengal and in some other provinces a priest is engaged to perform pūjā before bonfire 237, origin of, explained from natural phenomena 240; ribald songs and music, sprinkling of coloured water or powder accretions 240-241: sounds made by beating mouths with the back of the hand 237, 241; spring festival in origin 240; sprinkling of friends with coloured water from bamboo or metal syringes or with red powder is indulged in now even by persons in high places 237 , worship of Kama, god of love 239.

Homa, 802 and n; cannot be performed by women and sudras with Vedic mantras but could be performed for them through a priest 32, 40, 52; distinction between homa, yāga, and dāna, 33; distinguished from devapāyā 33; fire in which h is to be made 50; in a vrata was in honour of the deity of the vrata or was vyāhrtihoma 33; number of āhutis to be offered in 50.

Honey making and queen bee, illustration of, in Prasna Up. II 4 and in Yogabhāsya, 1444n

Hooke S. H., a. of 'Babyloman and Assyrian religion' 522n.

Hopkins E. W., paper of, on 'Yoga technique in the Great Epic' 1393, 1577 (against Deussen's theory), 1604 (on modifications of karma doctrine).

Horā, a branch of Jātaka 479; also means 'lagna' and also half a 'rāsī' 546; early Sanskrit texts do not employ the word h. in the sense of 24th part of day 57ln; had three sub-sections, Jātaka (horosco pic astrology), Yātrā of Yātrīka (prognostications on a king's march for invasion or for starting on a journey), Vivaba (examining horoscopes of parties to a marriage) 479-80; lords of the two horas of a day 580-81, meaning and derivation of, 545, 571n, 580; prognostications from birth on each of the two horas of a day 580; three meanings of, in Sanskrit astrology 571.

Horace, ode of, to Maccenas 550. Horassistra, means astrology based on horoscopes of individuals 545

Horizon, Brhajjātaka employs the word 'harija' for it 584n

Horoscope (vide astrology, Ahmedabad. bhāva) ancient and medieval Indian h took no account of Uranus, Neptune, Pluto and Satellites of Jupiter 037, correctly cast from looking at a man, his eyes and hands by Mahadkar Jyotishi 631, is cast not only for individuals but also for companies, ships, animals, foundations of buildings, cities and countries 555, oldest h found in Mesopotamia and not in Egypt nor ın Greece, 596-67, oldest Greek h from Egypt range from 4 B C. to 500 A. D 597. possibility that b. might lead to a more or less correct statement about a person's features but little about the vicissitudes of his life, 632, principle that h was merely like a map or plan was often given up by Varahimihira and others 547-48, 634; Ptolemy msists that h is not the sole basis for judging a person's future, but country of birth, race, customs, upbringing have also to be considered 553-4; some horoscopes of avatāras like Rāma and of other famous ancient persons examined 627-629. inche houses in h, their names and synonyms 577-8; Utpala and Rijamārianda also require as Ptolemy does, the consideration of country, caste, family and customs of a person whose h is to be explained 554n, 555; was linked with the doctrine of Karma and Punarjanma by Vaiāhamihira and other Indian astrologers 545, word horoscope coined late in Greece 597

Horses, honoured in Durgotsava 184, Nīrājana of, m. in Raghuvanisa and Bihatsamhitā as Šānti 187, prognostications from movements, prancing, neighing of, 804, sale of, expressly forbidden by Manu X 89 and impliedly by Tai. S, but gifts of H highly praised in Rg. 1257n. Hospital, establishment of, with physicians proficient in eight angas of Ayurveda m. in Nandipurāna q by Kalpataru and Aparārka, 891.

Hours, European, derived from Babylon and Egypt 678.

House, astrological requirements in construction of 623, auspicious times and naksatras for construction of, were provided in grhyasūtras 622-23; construction of, called Salakarma first entrance (gihapraveśa) into a newly built h required the same astrological times as for construction of h. 624; many of the astrological requirements are observed even now in construction of a house and first entrance in a newly built h 624, works on construction of, 623.

Householder-vide under 'gifts': high culogy of stage of, in Dharmasastras, epics and by poets, 27; is to engage after daily bath, in Japa of parts of three Vedas, of Atharvaveda, of Purānas with Ithāsa and Upanisads 865; to perform five yajās daily 935

Hrozny puts date of Mitanni records at 1360 B C 599a.

Hultzsch, edited 'Aśoka Inscriptions' 1012n, 1017

Humphreys, Christmas, a of Karma and Rebuth', 1605.

Hūnas, vide under Mihirakula and Toramāna, 61n, 856.

Huxley Aldous: a of 'Ends and Means' gives advice to social reformers against making unnecessary or startling changes 1338, 1676; warning by, against the plethora of books on Yoga, 1651,

Images (vide under Portent): Grant of a village on the establishment of Visnu image in 6th century A. D 625; of gods were made for sale and for worship before Panini, 36, of gods described as dancing, trembling and weeping as a portent 769; Puranas say that i, are necessary for concentrating the mind 973n; rules about auspicious times for establishment of i, 624-5, rules about making images of Sun, Matrs and others in Br S and Purānas 683n, 1046; Šānti for 1, of gods that fall down, weep, dance, laugh or sing 737n. 769n, 770, reasons advanced for image worship are acquiesced in by some western scholars also 1649-50n.

Incense (dhūpa), results of burning various kinds of dhūpa 39, varieties of incense 39.

Incentives for hard work are required even in Russia 1682.

India, (vide under 'monuments'): Achievements of, after Independence in 1947. 1663-64: downfall of, was due to lack of certain virtues, such as general character, nationalism, high freedom, justice, high endeavour and not merely to caste system, 1643, even Buddhism flourished, the people of India as a whole were always Hindu 1606; intercourse between Babylon and I from 3rd millenium B C. 598-600. 683: Northwest of, was centre of Sanskrit culture at least six centuries B. C. 542; people of, were and are ready to agree that there may be alternative approaches to the mystery of life and salvation of the soul 1012; Rhys Davids holds that I. never indulged in persecution approaching in any way to persecution of reforming Christians by orthodox Christians etc. 1011; Varahamihira divided India into nine parts, each of which held to be governed by three naksatras and the Märkandeya also agrees 530; works and papers on anci ent Geography of, 1528n, proper word for I is Bharat. varsa or Bhārata 1614; no political unity for the whole of India (except perhaps under Afoks)

Index 85

at any time nor of one nationality for all Hindus till the British rule began, 1621; is now a sovereign democratic Republic 1663, mixed economy of public and private enterprise in I 1682; poor per capita income of India, 1685; problem of phenomenal growth of population 1687-88, some of the causes of political downfall of India 1622-23, was invaded by Persians, Greeks, Scythians and Huns but they and other tribes like Pāradas, Cînas, kırātas that emigrated into I. were absorbed 1640. Yavanas and Kambojas referred to in Aśoka's edicts 1640, assistance to, by U.S. A, 1693, Budget of Govt of I. envisages vast expenditure 1689-90, no political authority that could legislate for whole of India before the British and consequent variety of laws and usages in 1701, peculiar and formidable difficulties of modern I 1702; public debt of, at various periods 1692, rapid enactment of several tax laws, and their procedure and effects, 1691-95, States, based on purely linguistic basis undesirable 1703, vacillating policy Central Govt as to Bombay city criticized 1703, vast debt owed to U S A and other countries 1689-90, 1693, what is nanted for integration is a comprehensive code or system of belie's and day to day conduct 1703.

Indian, brought to Baghdad a treatise on Arithmetic and astronomy and introduced Indian numerals which were passed on to Europe in 12th Cent A. D. by Arabs 483n.

Indian Antiquary (Journal): 94, 112, 130-31, 140-42, 149, 155, 205, 207, 213, 245-246, 253, 262, 264, 275, 279, 419, 420, 437, 485, 493, 508, 510, 515n, 625, (grant of 1793 A, D, taining predictions of matters that came to be true), 633n, 641n, 651n, 652n, 653, 656, 661-2, 670, 685, 701, 702n. 766n, 812, 817n, 873, 910, 964n, 1010n, 1013-4, 1016. 1018. 1025. 1129, 1161. 1182n, 1219, 1395. 1524n, 1528n, 1634n

Indian Art, architecture, sculpture and painting, 1652-7; works on, 1655-56

'Indian Culture' a journal, 493n, 657n, 844.

Indian Ephemeris by Pillai: Vol. I, has a long list of festivals but it mentions no original authorities 253.

Indian Civilization (vide under debts, purusārthas, varņa and caste) wrong view of Toynbee (in Vol IX) that Indian C. was born about 1375 B. C. and broke down about 725 B C. and Hindu civilization began about 775 A. D. and hroke down about 1175 A D, which he himself corrects in his Reconsiderations (Vol. XI), 1617; absorbed

foreign invaders like Persians, Soythians, Huns and spread its religion, art, literature to many countries of S. E. Asia by mostly peaceful methods 1617-8

Indian Culture, is based on great spiritual values and exalted and the harm soul over body 1619. 1640, large number of works and papers on spread of, to further India or greater India, 1618n, developed the idea of three religious debts owed to sages, gods and ancestors 1626, unbroken tradition and continuity from Vedic times, 1622; questions that require to be asked and answered by Indians are about the prevention of recurring invasious of foreigners and about inability to form one dominion for the whole of India till British rule began 1620-21

Indian culture, chief aims of, in former times 1708.

India's great handicap is population and food production 1684, India, one of the poorest countries in the world 1685.

India's food crisis and the steps to meet it dealt with in Ford Foundation Report, discussed, 1685–86

Indian General Clauses Act (X of 1897), Sec. 13 lays down that the singular includes the plural and words of masculine gender include females, unless the subject or context is repugnant 1187n

Indian Historical Quarterly 30,

126n, 155n, 187, 485, 516, 614, 656, 668n, 696, 699n, 714n, 812 (Pargiter criticized), 844, 883, 957n, 978n, 1003, 1007, 1033n, 1046n, 1075n, 1140, 1158n, 1159n, 1160 (Inscription of Rajarāja in 999 A D in which Mîmămsāśāstra is said to have 20 Chap.), 1176 (problem of 'Taduktam' sūtras), 1186n, 1187 (paper on 'Vrttikāras of Pürvamimāmsā-sūtra), 1355, 1395, 1397 (Prof Renou criticized) 1408n, 1527n.

Indian Independence Act of 1947, 1662

Indians (except gymnosophists) did not go to Greece and did not return to India to spread Greek astrology 585.

Indians should not despair or lose courage, but must work hard to secure prosperity for all 1704.

Indica Antiqua (in honour of Dr. Vogel) 67.

Indische Studien 563n, 589n

Indra and Prajāpati 1497-8; exploits of, 12, paramour of Ahalyā (night), 1280, relation to Yatis, in Rgveda 1386; said to be a killer of ahi' 126.

Indradhvajotthāna 274; described at length in H of Dh. II. pp 825-26.

Indulgences (granting forgiveness of sins and a certificate of entry in Paradise) were put on sale by highest dignitaries of Christian Church in the hands of licensed traders 933n. Ingalls, Prof. on 'Materials for the study of Navyanyāya' 470n

Inge, W R a of 'Christian Mysticism' 1463n, a of 'Christian Ethics' 1668.

Intercalary Month (adhimāsa). (vide malamāsa, samsarpa); as opposed to nua, suddha or prākrta 664: called Samsarpa or Amhaspatya in Tai, S and M S. and Amhasaspati in Van S. 489, condemned from ancient times, 671, explanation as to why it occurs 662-663, how and when inserted in times of Rg, and Tai S not known but one whole month was added even Rg times 490, known to Rg., the other Samhitas and the Brāhmanas 489, one I. M once in 21 years provided in Kautiliya and another after 21 years more 506n, Mahabhārata adds two I. M. in 5 years, 506 n, names for I. M. in Sanskrit are many and their explanations 671, people following luni-solar calendars like the Babylonians, Chaldeans and Indians had to resort to the device of, 662, said to be of 35 days in \$1t. 489n, two intercalary months in five years acc to Vedanga dyotisa and others 663, various works specify different periods after which I. M. occurs 663.

Invakā, means Migacīrsa, 535. Inquisition instances of the intolerance and barbarities of, 1019-1020, method of its work 1020, Rule W. H. a. of History of, 933; state of Hindus in Goa when Inquisition existed 1020, three centuries of I. resulted in condemnation of 375, 000 people of whom at least one-tenth were burnt 1019; was established in Goa in 1560 and it continued its inhuman work for 250 years more, 1020; works on the brabarities of 933n.

Ashole I. Inscriptions 1029: Aśoka I. 686n, details about season. month etc given in a before and after Christian era 669-670; from Kambuja (Cambodia) 1048n; Junagadh Inscription of Rudradāman 670, Nasık cave Inscriptions 968n, of Campa and Cambodia 883 (6th century provision for reading Bhārata, Rāmāyana and Purānas), Somanath Pattan Inscriptions of 1264, A. D is a remarkable one 1018: Yogaśāstra, Yoga techniques and asanas mentioned 1425n.

Ionia (of which Yavana is a Sanskrit equivalent). original location of, 516n. Isaish (Old Testament) 548.

Isaiah (Old Testament) 548. Isanasambita 228-9.

Isopanisad 1478, 1704, 1707.

Istāpūrta (vide under 'Pūrta', occurs in Rgveda once and means merit acquired by sacrifices and by works of public utility 947, occurs in

Upanisads Manu and purānas 947-9.

Isu-yāga, a magic rite referred to in P. M. S. VII. 1. 13-16, to which details are transferred from Syena-yāga 1322

Jévara, in Yoga system is not creator but has a limited role 1412-13.

Īśvaraprantdhāna, two meanings of, in Vyāsabhāsya 1412n, 1414.

Itihāsa-purāna, meaning of, acc. to com. on Sat Br. 815, in Atharvaveda, Sat. Br. and Srauta-sūtras and Kautilya, 816, 819, m in Tai. Ār, Chān up and Br. Up 875; reading of, prescribed for all delya householders by Daksa, 819, Upanisads speak of them as fifth Veda 817

Itikartavyatā-means procedure of sacrifices, 1283n, Kalpa is the same as 1 1283n, word occurs in P. M S. III 3 11.

I.-Tsing: his 'Records of Western world' tr. by Dr. Takakusu 942n, 1003, 1198n (refers to Jayāditya, author of Kāśikā)

Iyengar, Dr S K, a of Mannekalar in its historic setting,

Jābāla, a of Smrti 213n 216. Jābāli, a of Smrti 247, 248n.

Jābālopanisad 944, 1251 (gives several options about the time when to become a sannyāsin), 1514, 1607.

Jacks L. P, a. of 'Near the Brink' 1609; 1675n 'on social reform').

Jackson, A. M. T. 1640n (attra-

ctive and absorbing power of Hinduism).

Jacob, Colonel, a of 'Laukîkanyāyānjalı' 1339.

Jacobi, H 579, 1395, 1397-98 (on dates of philosophical sūtras), Festgabe H. Jacobi, 812, 883, on date of Rgveda 510, 513, papers of, on Indian astronomy in E I 644

Jagdish Lal, editor of Yogayātrā 627n,

Jāgara, 26 niems of, nn Ekādasīvrata 106n.

Jaigisavya, m as Sānkhya-yoga teacher in Śāntiparva 1374,75 (dialogues of J and Asita Devala), 1391-92 (a great Yoga teacher in Śalya and Śānti parvans), 1398, 1444 (Yogabhāsya on Y. S. II 54 follows J).

Jaımını (vide under Bādarāyana Pürvamīmānisā, Mīmāmsā. Vyāsa) a of Pūrvamīmānisāsūtra 24n-5n, 28, 33-34, 53, 73n, 77, 86, 96, 132, 139, 212n, 224, 823-4, 926n-7n, 1032, 1097 and n, 1107, 1114n, 1154-55, 1158, 1207, 1222n, 1231, 1250 (the word 'mityānuvada' occurs frequently in), 1527, 1544-45, commentator Upavarsa on, 735n, 821; pupil of Vyāsa, acc to Sāmavidhāna received Biāhmana, 1161; Sāmaveda from Vyāsa, 1161; Sabhā and Śānti parvans and Puranas on 1161, sutras of V.S. in which J. is named,

Jaimini, a. of a Smrti, 249n. Jaiminiya Brāhmana 1117. Jaiminiya Grhyasūtra 733 (adbhutasāntı), 1177.

Jamıniya-nyāya-mālā-vistāra of Mādhavācārya 237n, 1185, 1189 (summarises in verse and prose 1000 adhikaranas).

Jaiminīya Śrauta-sūtra 1177.

Jaiminīya-sütrārthasangraha of Rsiputra Paramešvara 1159n, 1188n

Jama Kalpasütra of Bhadrabāhu 777

Jamas, doctrine of 'Saptabhanginaya' m. in Vişnupurana 974n, had mudrās 1130, view of there being two suns and moons criticized by Pañcasiddhāntikā and Brahmagupta 511 and n

Jamsm, practised thorough-going ahunsa 1648

Jalāśayotsargatattva 1273n

Jamadagni, a of a Smiti 118n.

Jambudvīpa, m in Ašoka's Rup nath Rock Inscription 1523, 1614.

James, E O, a. of 'the cult of the Mother Goddess' 1046n.

James, William, a. of 'Varieties of religious experience' and of 'Pragmatism' 1212, 1475, 1483, 1486, 1650n.

Janaka, king of Mithila, a pupil of Pañcasikha acc to Santiparva, 1365-70, had reached a position of unconcern about worldly goods and power 1367n, 1369, dialogue between J. and Sulubha and her scathing remarks against J 1358-70, learned from Pañcasikha the whole doctrine of mokea as based on Upanisad

passages ace to Śāntiparva, 1369-70, learnt from Yājiiavalkya the doctrine of brahman ace to Br. Up 1370, 1377-79; offered to become slave of Yājiiavalkya 1580

Janamejaya, son of Parīksīt, 648n, quarrel of, with brāhmanas, 827 and n.

Janasthāna, on Godāvarī, said to be sacrificial ground of Janaka dynasty, 894

Janma-maranavicāra, of Bhatta Vāmadeva, on doctrine of Karma 1599-1600

Janmāstamī, vide Kisnajanmāstamī.

Japa of Om in Smitis and Mändükyopinisad 1413 and n

Japan, compelled by growth of population to legalize abortion 1689

Jastrow, Morris, a of 'Religion of Babylon and Assyria' 522n.
Jātaka, a branch of horā (judicial astrology), based on horoscope 479, 545, often identified with horāsāstra 546.

Jātakālankāra of Ganesa, composed in 1613 A D, 558.

Jātakarma (rites on a child's birth), auspicious times for, 605.

Jatakas, Buddhist birth stories 599n.

Jāti (caste) word does not occur in Vedic literature, but occurs in Nirukta, Pānini, Mahābhārata 1433; vide under 'varna'.

Jawaharlal Nchru, a of 'Autobiography' 1649n, 1689; about a man having a worth-while ideal, 1670. Jaya, applied to Mahabharata at 1 first but later to several other works also 301, 870-1.

Jayakhya-sambita, 1105n-6. 1111, 1120 (on nyasa), 1123, 1125. 1131p.

Javamangalā, commentary Vätsyävana's Kämasütra 195n, 238, 1131n.

Javamangalā, com on Sānkhyakārskā, names many teachers between Pañcasikha and Isvara-Krsna, 1355

a. of Nyaya-Javanta-bhatta, manjari 469

Jayanti, 8th of dark half of Śrāvana with Rohini naksatra is different from Janmastamivrata, acc to Hemādri and some others 133.

Javantinirnaya, of Hārīta Venkatanātha (part of Dasanirnayi), 132n, 133, 138, 139n Jayaprakāśa Narayan and Sarvo-

daya ideal 1683. Jayaswal, K. P. (a of 'History of India ' 1013) 65in, 679n (on origin of week-days), 826 (published the historical portion of Yugapurana), 827 -28, 883 (papers on Puranas), 1008n (on explanation of Manjuśrimūlakalpa), 1140 (a of 'Imperial History of India', which deals with parts of Manjusrimulakalpa), 1614n (on Hathigumpha Ins.),

India had republies). Jayatıtlıs are 3rd, 8th and 13th, 230, 303

ancient

1663 (holds that

Jeans, a. of 'Mysterious Unaverse' 1486n, 1574n.

Jehangir, killed Guru Arjun of the Sikhs for his religious activities 1019, memoirs of. tr. by A. Rogers and ed. by H Beveridge 1019

Jesuits acted on the principle of the end justifies means.' which latter included incitements to assassination and war 1476-77

Jewels (vide Pancaratnas) 219, 337, 759, nine, acc. to Vratarāja 387.

Jews, persecution of, 1019, murder of five million J by Germans in the 2nd World War constintes the greatest crime in world history 1480n. Jha, Mahāmahopādhyāya Ganga nath, a. of 'Pūrvamimāmsā in its sources' 1157n, 1191n (wrong in saying that Mandana wrote a com. on Tantravārtika), translation of word criticized 1257; Lesarin' translated into English Tantranotices värtika and commentaries on it in Introduction 1188, 1261, translated the bhūsya of Sabara and Ślokavārtika into English, 1200, wrote introduction to of Mandana Bhävanàviyeka · Prābhākara of 1194. Pūrvamīmāmsā ' of school 1200, 1254 (obscure as to meaning of 'ārādupakāraka' and 'Sannipatyopakāraka'); translated Yogasütra 1394. Jitendriya, defined by Mana

(II. 98) 1424n.

Jiva, the word for 'Individual soul 'occurs in Rg. I. 164, 30, Jiva, meaning Jupiter in Sanskrit, how derived, 572; derivation of J from Zeus not correct 585.

Jivanmukti, defined in Pārānandasūtra 1055

Jivanmuktiviveka, 1604.

Jivasarman, author on Astro logy quoted by Utpala for Anaphä, Sunaphä etc 592.

Jñāna, path of, leads to Moksa 964-65.

Jñāuārnavatantra, 1062n (for details about caleras), 1101 (wonderful power of mantras), 1117 (on Dīksā), 1118, 1125-6 (on Mudiās), 1127, 1131n, 1133.

Jäänasiddbi of Indrabhūti (of about 717 A. D.), 1050, 1064 (yogin may secure liberation by those very actions by which ordinary men suffer torture in Hell), 1065n, 1066n (symbolical meaning of vajia and coitus with Cāndālī or Dombikā), 1071 (on gurus and warding against false gurus), 1119 on abhiseka in Tantra, 1142 (date etc.)

Job, Book of, in Old Testament 1346a.

John St (for idea of Karma) 1516.

Jones, Abel, a of 'In scarch of truth' 1484 n

Jones F. W , a of 'Design and purpose' 1484n.

Jones, Sir Wiliam, published list of Hindu festival days based on the Tithitativa 253; translated Manusmiti and rendered Manu I. 108 (ācārah paramo dharmah) as 'immemorial usage is transcendental law' 1278.

Johnston, E. H., on 'Sānkhya' 1353.

Joint family system, practically abrogated 1672.

Joshua (Old Testament) 512.

Journal Asiatique; 942n, 1023, 1073, 1075n.

Journal of Amer can Oriental Society 94, 482n, 485, 512, 597, 599n, 655, 732n, 845, 1393, 1398 (Jacobi's paper), 1434n (controversy on meaning of Prāna), 1514n, 1615, Journal of the Asiatic Society

of Bengal 186n, 485, 499, 699n, 883, 1149

Journal of Benares Hindu University 650n, 1190.

Journal, Bhāratiya Vidyā 1275n, 1456.

Journal of Bihar and Orissa Research Society 437, 514n, 517n, 521, 826-27, 843-44, (Dr Banerjee Sastri criticizing Pargiter and others), 883

Journal of Bihar Research Society 1009n. 1383.

Journal of Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society 131n, 215, 516n, 576, 591, 592n, 670, 978n, 1179 (papers on 'Gleanings from Sabara and Tantravārtika' and 'Tantravārtika and Dharmaśāstra'), 1187n, 1195, 1104, 1406, 1625 (Deu-sen's address on Vedānta).

Journal of Bombay Asiatio Society 564, Journal of Bombay University 651n, 978n, 1013.

Journal of Cuneiform Studies 597.

Journal of Ganganath Jha Research Institute 195, 497n, 646n, 668n, 1076n, 1133n, 1407, 1601.

Journal of Hellenistic Studies 549n, 566n, 582n, 595n, 646.

Journal of Indian History 629n, 651n-2n, 656n, 843, 1187, 1198, 1376, 1411n.

Journal of Near Eastern Studies 483n, 490n, 517n, 520n, 543, 549n, 566n, 582n, 595n

Journal of Oriental Institute, Baroda, 84n, 628n, 1124, 1130.

Journal of Oriental Research, Madras, 655, 690, 710, 844, 884, 1032, 1046n, 1152n, 1161.

Journal of Pali Texts Society 1003, 1009.

Journal of Royal Assatic Somety of Great Britain 494n, 498, 508, 516, 563n, 598n, 647n-9, 656, 679n, 704n, 883, 1017n, 1046n, 1048n, 1092n, 1104n, 1375, 1398, 1530

Journal of Venkateswara Occental Institute 841n, 1395.

Journal of United Provinces Historical Society 1527n.

Jung, C. G., a. of Psychological commentary in Evans Wentz's book, 1394; once a disciple of Frend diverged from his yiews on libido, 1414n.

Jupiter, bālya and vrddhatva of, explained 61; description of, in Brhajjātaka 574; importance of, in settling marriage 615, length of J.'s revolution round the sun 657n, 658; when in sign Leo, to be avoided for all religious acts within certain limits of India 62, ruler of silver and also gold in certain situations 575.

Juvenal, strongly inveighed against ladies who had great confidence in Chaldean astrology 550.

Jwalaprasad 1398.

Jyestha Dasaharā on 10th of bright half of, 90-91; Sāvitrivrata on J. Full moon for women whose husbands are living 91.

Jyesthā Naksatra is called Rohmī in Tai S. and Tai Br. and Jyesthaghnī in Atharvaveda 500.

Jyotirvidabharana, a fabricated work, mentions six starters of eras 647.

Jyotisa (see under Vedänga Jyotisa). also called Gamita ın Vedänga Jyotisa had become one of the six angas (auxiliary lores) of Veda several centuries before Christ, 477-78; had 64 angas, acc. to Anusasanaparva 742; he who knows J knows sacrifices 478; included in later times three branches (skandhas) viz. Tantra (movements of planets by Mathematics), Horā or jātaka (astrology) and Śākhā (divination, omen in case of etc.) 478-479; and conflict between J.

Dharmasastra the latter was to prevail 480-481, J. lore of Veda several centuries before Christ 477-78; said to be eve of Veda in Siksa or at the head of all vedangas 478, third branch of J was called Śākhā and also Sambitā 479 . two branches of J viz Hora and Sakha exerted great influence on Dharmasastra 480, Varāhamihira composed work on the three branches of J. 479: Vedanga (of the Rgveda and Yajurveda) was concerned with only astronomical matters 478

Jyotisa work embodying all three branches of, was called Samhită 479.

Jyotisa-ratna-mālā of Śrīpati 557, 559-60.

Jyotistattva 610n-1n, 613n, 623-4, 626, 660, 734 (on Śāntis), 745n, 778-80, 792 (on Pallīpatana).

Kabir (Moslem weaver and disciple of Rāmānanda 1400— 1470 A. D.) 969n; his teachings 969.

Kādambari of Bāna and his son 39, 821-22, 1040, 1047, 1124n, 1205, 1384, 1423 (in hermitages of sages natural antipathies between animals disappear)

Kādīvidyā (a form of Tantra) 1045n.

Kaivalya does not occur in the principal or older Upanisads but the word Kevala occurs in Sv. Up. IV 18 and VI 11 1415.

Kalā, as a unit of time variously defined 117.

Kāla, as the first principle in Atharvayeda 1495.

Kāla (vide under Kalpa, Man-Rudra. pralaya, vantara. of Time, yuga); measures Āśvamedhikaparva has elaborate metaphorical description of wheel of K. 467; Bhagavad-gītā identifies K. with Kısna 467. derived from root ' Kal ' in Bhagavadgitā, 467n; included among nine dravyas by Vaisesikasūtra 468; in the case of religious rites K, is not a mere detail, but is the nimitta (occasion) on the happening of which an act has to be performed and what is done at a time other than the prescribed one is as good as not done 73; Mahābbārata frequently refers to theme of Kāla 466-67 . mukhva and gauna 100, 102; not included in the 25 tattens Sānkhva, but m in relation to Karanas 468, Omkāra is said to be beyond the three divisions of K. by Mandukya Up 465; Pāmpi uses the word K for 'time' in general or proper time or divisions of time 467; Patanjali's important theory about K. that it does not exist except as a convement name or word for the manner of measuring changes and comparing them 468: produced by Him who is intelligent and omniscient, accto Sv. Up., 465; produces and destroys beings and is in vincible, acc. to Adiparta 166 -467: Puranas on K. hold that time is without beginning or end, is all-embracing and is identified with God 473-4: Santiparva on the formidable influence of K. on all including even Indra and other gods 467; Säntipaiva speaks of Kala as 20th guna and to be the source and end of all beings 467 ; Satapatha Brahmana uses it in sense of time or 'proper'time 464; Svetūsvataropansad uses the word Kāla in sense of cause or source of creation 165 : units of K. 486 ff; Upsnisads use the word K the sense of 'end' or 'proper time 'or 'appointed time ' 464 -465, Vanaparva identifies Krsna with K, Brahma, Rudra etc, 467: views on time being non-existent as a separate entity or as being directly apprehended or as merely inferred 469-70; word occurs in Rg. only once 463; word used in two senses even by early Vedic times viz. time in general and as identified with Supreme Being 464; Yogasütrabhāsya has a brief but interesting and abstract disquisition on K 471-72.

Kālādarśa 75n, 78.

Kalanjadhikarana Nyāya 77. Kālanirnaya 54n, 73n, 75, 77n-8n, 85, 95-97n, 99n, 100n, 105n-107, 112-3, 115-7n, 129n, 121n, 129n, 132-5, 138n, 145n, 146n, 151n, 153, 188, 202n, 211n, 215n, 220n, 227n-230, 232n, 241, 243n-5n, 249n. 659, 666n, 671n, 672, 705.

Kālanırnaya-kārikā 145n, 657n, 661n, 662n, 665n, 674, 705.

Kālamādhava 67n–8n

Kālatattvavivecana 73n, 77n, 86, 96, 121n, 135, 134n, 157n 158, 163, 176, 180-82, 188, 195-196n, 203, 204n, 232, 240, 672n.

Kālavīveka of Jīmūtavāhana 41n, 58, 61n, 75n, 76n, 78n-9n, 81n, 83n, 95, 97n-100n, 105n, 106n, 110n, 111-2, 116n, 117n, 119n, 124n, 125, 126n, 133, 154n, 165n, 176n-178n, 180-ln, 191, 200n, 207n, 212n, 214n, 216n, 220n, 222n, 238n, 241, 243n, 244-5n, 246n, 248-9n, 662n, 671n-74n, 706n, 766n, mentions seven predecessors that wrote on Kāla 58.

Kalaśa, king of Kashmir (1063-1069 A. D.) was led into lax sexual morals by his guru Pramadakantha, gullty of incest with his own daughter 1075n

Kalasa, jar used on auspicious occasions like marriage, coronation 280.

Kälasiddhäntadaršini of Sri Haranchandra Bhattacarya, exhaustive work on Käla 475.

Kalhana, author of Rājataranginī 630, 649 1075; vide under Rājataranginī

Kālī, mentioned in Mahābhārata 195; one of the dhyānas of, described, 1041n; (vide under Devi, Šāktas, Šakti)

Kālidāsa 1046n; generally held to have flourished about 350-450 A. D. 186, refers to Visno's sleep for four months 110, refers to Vratas 46, refers to Nîrājana Šānti 193, traditions about K., Vikrama and nine jewels 901, 1461 (uses Yoga technical words), 1571 (on Karma doctrine), throbbing of hero's right arm and of the right eye of the herome foreshadow future 905. 1027. 1152: uses Sankhya terms 1384,

Kālikāpurāna 34, 39-40, 154, 156, 158n-60n, 162, 164 (on 16 Upacaras of Devi, on animals to be offered), 165-167, 169n, 171n, 173, 174 (Yoginīs named and numerous balis to Devi), 175n, 176n, 177 Śābarotsava in Durgāpūjā), 178n, 180 (pūjā Devi three times in the day), 185, 187 (nīrājana), 219, 793. 830, 1032-33, 1093 (many chapters on mantras, mudrās. nyasa etc), 1120 kanyasa), 1121, 1124n, 1287 (three verses q. by Kalpataru) 1332; devotes considerable space to Durga and her worship, 156; describes mudrās like Dhenu, Yoni, and states there are 108 mudras, 55 for general worsh p and 53 for special occasions 1127-28, Note on 888, three separate recensions of, ace to Dr V Raghavan 888; to be placed

before 1000 A. D., 888.

Kalivariya (actions forbidden in the Kalı i e. present age) 1267-1272 : (vide under nivoga, uddhājavibhāga, veda, sattrās, sautiāmanī, killing animals); is a fiction invented to accommodate the changes in people's ideas and practices 1269-70; K actions (55) in number) were set out and discussed in Vol III of H. of Dh pp 926-967, 1267, long before 9th century Dharmaśāstra writers had condemned Govadha (in Madhuparka). the practice of niyoga and the assignment of a larger share to the eldest son at a partition among brothers 1267-68, some striking actions forbidden for Kalı but enjoined or practised in Vedic times (apart from Niyoga and Uddhāravibhaga) set out, 1268-69; what authority could sages at the beginning of Kali have to prohibit what Veda enjoined or allowed is not made clear anywhere 1269, 1664.

Kālī: (vide under Devī, Śaktı, Śāktas), one of the Dhyānas of, described 1041n.

Kalīvilāsatantra 178n, 181n, 1089n, 1093 (allows adultery to Šāktas if semen is not allowed to fall), 1107 (prescribes as mantra the reversed three letters of 'om Durge' as 'rgedu om', 1117 (best time for dīksā).

Kaliyuga, 1107 · also, called Tisja, 687, dismal description of what will happen in 693; contrasted with other Yugas and its special merit stated 928; era, one of the earliest reference to, is in Arvabhatīya 649, huge figures of years of, suggested by figures in Sat. Br. 690 . Inscriptions dated in K. era 649, 650n, in Yugapurāna 827-28; Ksatriyas and Vaisyas exist in K, though some learned writers hold the opposite view as to Kalı age, 32, started acc to tradition in 3102 B. C. 638n: started acc. to Brhatsamhitā 2426 years prior to Saka era, 649: views about beginning of, differ 648-649.

Kalkıpurāņa, note on 888.

Kallata, a siddha of the time of Avanti-varman of Kashmir 1075.

Kālottara 1117.

Kalpa or Kalpas—(vide Yuga and Manyantara). ancient Upanisads have no elaborate theory of K. 1567; are immense periods of time 686, 688, 1567; are numberless 824; m in Aśoka's edicts 686, thirty-three named in Vāyupurāna and by Hemādri 693.

Kalpadı are tithis on which the Kalpas are deemed to have begun 280.

Kalpasūtras: Jaimini (I. 3 11—14) has a separate adhikarana on them 1274; Kumārila distinguishes between Kalpa (ritual of Vedic sacrifices) and Kalpasūtras and names eight of the last, 1274 and n; Śabara mentions three K. by name 1274;

various interpretations by Kumārila of P. M. Sutras I. 3. 11-14, 1274-75.

Kalpataru: vide Krtyakalpataru. Kalyānavarman, a of Sārāvali 546.

Kāma, as the First Principal or Essence in Atharvaveda 1494— 95; was not neglected, as Gilā identifies Krsna with K. not in conflict with dharma, 1630.

Kāmadhenu of Gopāla, friend of Laksmīdhara, but older 249, 884.

Kāmakalāvilāsa of Punyānanda 1126, 1136-38n.

Kamalākara · a. of Nirnayasındhu and Śūdrakamalākara 925–26; a. of Śāntiratna 813n.

Kamarūpīya-nibandha, q. by Tithitattva 174n.

Kamalasīla, a of Com on Tattvasangraha, pupil of Šāntaraksīta, a. of Tattvasangraha, 1194, 1212n (quotes a verse of Ślokavārtika), 1376

Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana 195, 238, 1630

Kamsa, kıllıng of, known long before the Mahābhāsya 997.

Kāndānusamaya method, explain ed 1316-17, 1342, followed acc to Mit. as to Vaisvadeva brāhmanas in the matter of Upacāras, 1317; referred to in P M S V 2, 7-9, 1317.

Kane Festschrift 838n, 893.

Kaniska 186, 654 (deemed by some to be founder of Sala era), 669.

Kant, a. of 'Critique of pure reason' 475.

Kāpālīkas on Šīsparvata 1018.

Kapali Sastry: a of 'Rgbhāsyabhūmikā' in English 981, 985, bitterly attacks Sāyana but admits that Sāyana is not only useful but indispensable to Vedic students 989, inaccuracies of renderings of Rgveda verses and words by K. pointed out 981, 988-89; on 'Veda and Tantra' 1150, rendering of 'Kavayah satyašrutah' occurring only thrice in Rg. shown to be entirely wrong, 990.

Rapila, a muni (see under Brahmā, Tarpana) · as avatāra of Visnu and chief of Siddhas ın Bhāgavata, 1373, K. Asurı, Vodhu and Pañcasikha as four of the seven sons of Brahmä 1372, first promulgator of Sănkhya, acc to Sänkhyakārikā and Vanaparva, pounded at to Asuri 1356-7, 1372; mentioned in Sv Up V 2 is claimed by Sankhyas to be their founder, while Sankarācārya says that there is another K called Vasudeva 1362, mentioned in Nārāyanīya section as propounder of Sankhya 1365, one of ten Angirasas in Rgveda, 1372, styled Adıvidvān and Paramarsı in a quotation from Pancatikha 1374 and n

Kapila, called Vāsudeva, reduced to ashes the sons of Sigara, acc. to Vanaparva and Visnupurāna, 1362

Kapilāsasthī Yoga 279, 706 Kapota bird, messenger of illluck in Reveda, 729.

Karaka, astrological meaning of, 590

Karamalkar S. M., a. of a Marathi work on Calendar, 714n.

Karmarkar Prof. R. D., 1172n. Karambelkar Dr., a. of paper on Matsyendranātha, 1046n;

Karana (see Visti); Brhatsamihita states what should or should not be done on the several K 708; carakaranas occur eight times in a month 708; derivation of word K. fifth astrological item (or anga) in a pancanga 707; four sthira Laranas with presiding deities 707; K. means half of a tithi and there 'are 60 karanas in a lunar month 707; names are strange and mexplicable 708: Naradapurana mentions both kinds of K. 708; scheme of, is fanciful 710; seven Karanas of cara kind with presiding derties 707, sthira K. occur only once in a month 707-708

Karana, works so called for preparing pancangas 643.

Karanakalpalatā, a work of Dr. K L Daftarı 644, 664n, 713 (basis of what is popularly called Tılak Pañcāṅga), 714n. Karandikar, Mr J. S.: a. of Gītātattvamañjarī (in Marathi); relies on Rg. X. 14 8, X, 16. 3 and 5, X. 135 6 for his view that the doctrine of Transmigration is resold as Rgveda

held to be wrong 1542-44.

Karanyāsa: Padmapurāna provides for it with mantra (Omnamo Blingavete Vāsudeyāya)

1542; view of, examined and

1121.

Karka and Karkin-occur in Atharvaveda 563.

Karma and Punarianma 1530-1612: (vide under Christia-Empedocles. nıtv. Heaven. Herodotus, Plato, Pythagoras, · Prof. Ranade, 'remearnation,' . Vedānta, , Upanisads) . antecedent supposition to belief in K. and P. are four 1532, Ap Dh. S. and Gautama on 1560; belief had arisen in times of Sat. Br. that one who does evil deeds in one life has to suffer for them in a later life from that which he harmed 1534; belief that punishment by the king for a bad, deed relieved the doer of the sin 1598, Brahmana works (such as Sat XII. 9 1. 1) give details about the rewards of good works and , the retribution for evil deeds 1533-4, Br Up. IV. 4.5-7 is the leading and the oldest Upanisad passage on K and P. and so 18 Br. Up. III. 3, 13, 1547-48: confession of sins was in certain cases held to purify a person as in Varuna-Cāturmāsya praghāsa necessary in prāyaścittas for some sins 1592-3, clear state-, ment of the doctrine of K. and . P is absent from the Rgveda though it refers to two births 1536-7, diffi-, of Vasistha, culty of reconciling the doctrine of K with the system of Śrāddhas for ancestors 1598; dootrine of Karma leads on to doctrine of punarjanma 1561: doctrine of, extends the mexorable physical law of causality to the mental and moral sphere 1561: doctrine of, has attracted many minds from the West and there is now a voluminous literature on it in the West. 1530, doctrine of, modified doctrine of Heaven and Hell 1532: doctrine of Karma, instead of being a gospel of hope and an urge to effort, became in the minds of many Indians confused with fatalism 1566; doctrine of K, permeated the whole of society, poets and others 1571, doctrine of K, or fatalist pessimistic understood rightly ηf emphasizes all out effort in this life 1573, doctrine of, received almost unanimous support from all daršanas (except Carvaka) that severely criticize each other's doctrines 1532; doctrine by the of, touched upon Bhagavadgītā in many passages 1569, d ctrine of, a unique characteristic of Hindu culture, 1646, effects of evil 1530, deeds done by a man can be got rid of only by expintions, or by suffering them in another life or by realization of Absolute brahman 1560-61; efforts made by a man on the path of Yoga are not altogether lost, but become steps on the path of perfection 1569; four kınds of, vız. kısna, sukla etc. 1572; idea of K. was prevalent among Jewish people in the

time of Jesus (vide St John IX 2) 1546; idea of punarmriuu in Sat Br and Tai. Br, Br. Up. and Kaus Br. 1534-35, influenced Indian thought from at least Upanisad times and all Hindus, Buddhists and Jains 1530; is an endeavour to answer the question, happens to men after death of body 1530, law of Karma, laid down by Upanişads, was mexorable that fruits of all actions, good or bad, must be experienced, but some exceptions were made, the first being done after a that actions person has realized brahman but before the body falls do not produce results 1587: little is said in the Rgveda about the fate of evil-doers 1533: McTaggart, L. Jacks, Wordsworth and some other Western writers favour the doctrine of K. 1609. Mahābhārata in some passages avers that the consequences of the evil deeds of a man are suffered by the man's son or grandsons (and not by himself), 1598, Manu prescribes Japa of certain Vedic hymns for removal of even mortal sins and highly praises Aghamarşana hymn, 1592; men guilty of grave sins may, acc. to Chan, Up, Katha Up, Manusmili become beasts, or even tree trunks but theosophists and some modern men say that once the state of a human body is reached there is no regre-

lower stage to a. 1611-12, objections against doctrine of K. stated and replied 1609-1611; objection that doctrine of K. leaves no scope dealt Free will, 1574-75, once prayascritas were admitted as removing effects of sins, other ways were prescribed in early times for the same purpose such as Japa (recitation of holy texts), homa, tapas, fast, gifts, pilgrimages 1592, passages in Manu, Yāj, and other Smrtis that a guest-when not properly honoured gives his demerit to the householder and away latter's all punya or stating that if a witness deposes falsely all his merit in many lives will go to the party who lost that suit are mere arthavādas 1596–98, popular idea of last thought at death leading to a new birth appropriate to it is an interference with the law of K. 1598-99: Pranayama, practice of, for removal of effects of sins, 1593. Puranas emphasize the importance of good or evil deeds, that one has to reap the fruits of one's actions. that Karma does not come to end even after many hyes unless the results thereof are undergone 1576-77; Puranas went so far as to prescribe mere remembrance of the name of Kisna or Nārāyana, particularly for fudras and pratiloma castes, for removal of

effects of sins 1592: Rāmāyana, on doctrine of K. 1576; Rgveda contains some prayers for wealth and heroic sons, ' but it was immortality and joys of heaven that were most valued 1533; Satapatha Br. had arrived at the idea that man's will governs what he will reach after death 1535. Śatapatha Br. has a passage on the powers conferred in yonder world by sacrifices performed in this life such as Darsapūrņamāsa, Cāturmāsya, some features of 1535-36: our present life can be more satisfactorily explained on the theory of Karma than on any other 1572-73; strict doctrine of K. would require than one man's good 'or bad Karma can-- not be transferred to another. but in Rg. fear is expressed - that one may have to suffer for others' deeds and modifications were introduced such as the king getting 1/6 in the merit or demerit of his subjects three classes of 1595-96; Karma, sañcita, prarabdha and · kriyamāna (or 'sañcīyamāna') explained 1574, three regular treatises in Sanskrit on K. mentioned and described 1599-1604; Vedāntasūtra on K. and P. 1558-60, very few regular treatises in Sanskrit on the law of K. 1599, word Karma in some passages of Rg. means 'exploits', 'valiant deeds' and some 'religious works' such as sacrifices and gifts, 1532-33; works and conduct done in this life fashion a man's future life and that the present existence of a man depends on his actions and conduct in a past life or lives—this is the gist of the grand passages of Br Up. IV 4, 5-7 and III. 3, 13, which are illumined by the illustrations of the caterpillar and the snake's slough, 1546-48, works in English on K. 1604-05.

Karmapradīpa of Gobhila 50,

Karmasabda, means 'dhatus' (verbal forms such as 'yajatı', juhotı), 1236.

Karmāśaya (in Y.S.) means 'Dharmādharmau' 1917n.

Karnaparva, 90n, 129, 569, 744, 821, 829 (on Yavanas)

Karpūramañjarī of Rājašekhara (about 900 A. D.), a prākrit play in which the caricature of a character called Bhairavā nanda occurs 1073-74.

Karsa, a weight equal to 16 masss of eighty raktikas 219n.

Kārtavīrya, vide under 'Dattātreya'.

Kārtika, giving up meat-cating in, very meritorious 283.

Kāśakrtsna, a teacher in V.S. 1157.

Kāšakrtsnī means a woman that studies the Mīmānisā expounded by Kāšakrtsni, 1157 and n. Kāšikā, com. on Pānini's 'Astā dhyāyī' by Vāmana and Jayādītya; 27, 499n, 525, 670, 708, 1153n, 1198n, 1205n (mentions Laukāyatika), 1389n, from I-tsing's remarks

it appears that Jayaditya died about 661 A. D., 1198n.

Kāśikā, com. on Ślokavārtika 1184n.

Kasyapa, a rsi in Rgveda 463n. Kāsyapa, a writer on astrology, from whom Utpala quotes about 300 verses, some of which show knowledge of rāsis, 592, 746.

Kāsyapasamhītā, 710n. Kāthakagrhya 238, 663.

Kāthaka-samhītā, 63n, 126, 498-9, 507n, 523, 671, 684n, 691n. 698, 730n, 1079 (brāhmana did not drink wine), 1153, 1297, 1385n, 1386n, 1633.

Kathāvatthu, shows Andhaka school of Buddhism was disposed to permit copulation for a specific purpose to monks 1023.

Kathopanisad, 915 (Naciketas story), 917 (on vidyā and avidyā), 939n (giving up trsna), 952, 961, 1063n (on 101 nalis of the heart, one of which pencirates the crown of the head), 1072, 1360, 1362, 1387, 1388n, 1389, 1400n. 1430, 1435, 1451n, 1455n. 1171, 1478, 1502 (five gunas, śabda etc. of five elements mentioned), 1504 1507p. 1508n, 1592-3, 1546n (verse quoted in), 1555 (fate of some people after death), 1563n, 1561, 1585n, 1600, 1611, 1625 (illustration of pure water poured into pure water). 1631 (path of moksa more difficult than that of bhakts), 1619.

Katre, Dr. S. M. paper of, on 33

avatāras 997.

Kātyāyana, a. of Vārtikas on Pānini, 516n.

Kātyāyana, Smrti of, 54, 96, 99, 105n, 675, 1032

Kātyāyanaśrautasūtra 18n, 724, 732, 738n, 1155 (closely corresponds with Jaumini's sūtras in several cases), 1224n, 1297n, 1321.

Kaula, is not one who drinks wine etc but who has undergone abhisela, 1118

Kauladharma (practices) (vide Kuladharma) 1052, 1076 (in Aparārka's day it was practised secretly).

Kaulajñānanırnaya 1083 (on the rousing of Kundalını).

Kaularahasya (ms copied in 1734 A. D) shows how common people literally understood the cult of the makāias, 1087 and n,

Kaulas (some) offered worship to a young woman's private parts, 1138n

Kaulasastras, taught that bhoga need not be given up, but should be sublimated and substitute a Yoga of enjoyment for one of abstinence 1077

Kaulāvalīnīmaya of Jūānānandagiri, 1050n, 1052n, enumerates numerous tantras including Yāmalas, 1050n, 1056n (extravagant praise of women), 1059 (about wine cups), 1076, 1081n (substitutes for makāras), 1082-3, 1085n, 1093, 1106, 1109-10, 1126n, 1135n,

Kaumudī festival (vide Divāli). 195. Kaumudījāgara and Yaksaıātri included under krīdā 195n.

Kausikasütra (of the Atharvaveda), 524, 535, 610, 724n, 729n-30n. 732n, 734-35 (Keśava's paddhati on), 738n, 739-40n, 769-70n, 773, 785n, 802n (on Ayusya mantras); Kandıkās 93-136 of chapter 13 deal with 42 adbhutas and the Santis therefor 735, mantras m. in K. on Santis appear to have formed an independent collection and Atharvaveda mantras play a secondary role 735-36, Śantis in K are spoken of as prāyaścittas 736.

Kausitaka 66.

Kausītaki Brāhmana 223n, 489, 491, 659, 1248, 1313n, 1497 (Prajāpati as creator), 1535

Kausītakı-grhyasūtra 730.

Kausītaki Upanisad, 464n-65, 525n, 538, 864n, 866, 1313 (joys of heaven), 1386n, 1388n, 1468n, 1486, 1507n, 1513, 1553-55, 1558-9, 1563, 1579n, 1580 (Ajātasatru and Bālāki Gargya), 1587n.

Kautılya, a. of Arthasastra: 476, 505n, 527-8, 538, 569-70, 658-9, 675, 819, 862, 100ln, 1205 (includes 'Lokāyata' under Anviksiki), 1628, 1638, (speaks of armies of brahmanas and ksatriyas).

views of, that Vedic Kautsa, mantras are anarthala) (have no sense or serve no purpose) and was attacked by Nirukta 1275-76

Kautuka (nine things as consti-

tuents) to be tied on kankana ın marriage 291,

Kāvyādarsa (of Dandın), con tains a verse in the Sarvatobhadra form, 1134.

Kāvyamīmāmsā of Rājašekhara 1183.

Kayaniya-Rgveda IV. 31, 1 and Sāmaveda No. 169.

Kaye G. R-claims that he has proved that India is not the originator of the decimal place value notation 699n, doubts whether Krttikas are Pleiades, but does not suggest any other identification 493, 585.

Keav. F. E. a of 'Kabir and his followers' 969n.

Kerth, Prof. A. B., 1397 (on Patanjalı), 719, 1200 (a. of 'Kārmamimāmsā'), 1254 (m verts meaning of Ārādupa kāraka and Sannipatyopakā raka), 1395, 1434, Religion and Philosophy of the Veda and Upanisads' 1213, 1486n, 1491, 1604, 1627 (remark of, about ethical con tent of Upanisads being value less, criticized), a. of 'Sankhya system' 1354, 1371, 1373, 1376, a. of translation of Tai. S. m H O. S 1545.

Kemadruma, Yoga, meaning of, 584

Kendra and Greek 'Kentron' differ in meaning 585.

Khecarīmudrā (ın Hathayoga), descriptions of, different in different works 1127.

Khilasükta 729n.

Kenopanisad, 1044.

Kern H., assigned Garga to 50

B C 592n, 680-71, a, of 'Manual of Buddhism' 941, 944, 970n, 1003; editor of Bihatsamhitā of Varāhamibira 69n, 187, 193, 516n, 579, 584, 592n, edited Saddharmapundarika with Bunyiu Nanjui 1107; published part of Yogaväträ in volumes of 'Indische Studien' 617n, published part of Yogapurana 826, rebuked Weber for holding Paulisa-Siddhanta as borrowed from Paulus merely on similarity of name 516n, shows that Saddharma-pundarika (tr. by him in SBE) contains passages closely agreeing with Gita 970. Keśava, a. of Paddhatı on Kausıka-sütra 735n

Ketkar V. B, a of 'Indian and foreign chronology' 661, 664, 680n.

Khandadeva, s of Bhāttakaustubha and Bhāttarahasya (1600-1665 A D.), 1199

Khāravela, Jam king of Kalinga, performed Rājasūya 1028.

Khanjarīta (wagtail) or Khanjana bird; eating of flesh of, forbidden by Manu and Yāj. 193, prognostications from movements of 193.

Khasa tribe 969.

Khosrove, horoscope of the accession of king, on 18-8-531 A. D., 556n.

Khuddakapātha 913n.

Kidinnu, said to have discovered precession of equipoxes 514n

Kichorn, editor of Vahabhasya 130, 408n, 1631n; published list of Hindu festive days based on Dharmasındhu 253.

Kīkata, a country in which non-Aryans resided, acc. to Yāska and acc. to Kumārils, K. means 'closefisted' 1256n.

Kings (vide under Mangala, Āndhra, Pusyasnāna, Sātavāhana, officials); naksatras on which the king should not get bimself shaved 533n. affected by asauca while performing royal duties 48: not to donate the whole kingdom ın Visvant sacrifice. 1313: office of. hereditary 1664: procedure of coronation of K. with Pauranika mantras prescribed in Agni and Visnadharmottara and in medieval dīgests līke Nitīmayūkha 923. Pusyasnāna for, had Paurānik mantras 1024, rise and fall of, depended on planets acc. to Yaj. 544, set free prisoners when the king's naksatra was affected by evil aspects 531n: should perform all religious acts as directed by the purchita 543, 742, sign of success is the ease or satisfaction of the king's mind 778; starting on expedition should see, hear and touch mangala objects and listen to recitations of Veda. Dharmaśāstra, epies 621, 778, time table for king's daily routine acc to Kautilya 819. when the naksatra of the king's coronation or of his caste or the naksatra of his country is affected by evil planets or aspects what is to be the forecast 530; when eclipse manspicious to king, 765, 1639 (office of king hereditary and king deemed to be a divinity).

Knātas, assigned to caves in Vāj S. 969n, worship of Durgā by 158.

Kırātārjunīya 892n, 911, 1134 (example of Sarvatobhadra citrabandha), 1195 (quoted by Prabhākara).

Kırfel W., a. of 'Purana pancalaksana '841, 843, 852, a. of 'Die cosmographie der Inder' 843, 1523; gives chapter concordance of Vayu and Brahmānda Purānas 841n; gives a table of chapters that are common to both Vayu and Brahmanda, 841n; Introduction to 'Purāna pancalaksana' trans lated into English, published in Vol. VII and VIII of Journal of Venkatesvara Institute of Tirupati 84In, most of his conclusions except one are tentatively acceptable 852; view of, that the five matters 'sarga' etc. were the only elements of ancient Puranas not acceptable 852

Kleen, Miss Tyra de, work of, is of fundamental importance 1523n, on Mudras practised by Bauddha and Saiva priests, called pedandas, in Bali 1125 Klesa, technical word in Yoga 1264n. five in Yogasütra II

1364n; five in Yogasütra II 3, 1364n.

Knowledge, spiritual and scholastic, to be kept secret \$1460-61, (vide under Upanisad)

Kolhatkar K. K., a of 'Pātanjala-Yogadarsana' (m Marathi), 1393, 1399 (criticized).

Koestler, A., a. of 'The Lotus and the Robot' 1394, 1453n (disbelieves levitation), labels Indian Democracy as Bapucracy 1668n

Kollam (or Parasurāma) era in Malabar, 656 (deemed to have started in 1176 B. C.).

Konās ka temple, vide 1653n, for description and works on

Kosala, country, situated on Śarayū, with Ayodhyā as capital 531n.

Kothoma 290, 752, 754, (king's duty to perform); one kind of navagrahasanti in which the oblations, fees and rewards are one hundred times of Laksahoma, the other procedure being the same 752, continues for a year, acc. to Matsya 753, procedure of, 754, to be begun in Caitra or Kartika, if no urgency 761.

Krama (order in which the several component parts or acts of a sacrifice are to come one after another) 1313-1317, chap of P. M S. deals with this, 1313, for determining the sequence of component parts in a sacrifice six means are specified 1313 14, trations (from Veda) about sequence being determined by 1314-1316; the six means illustrations of arthakrama being held stronger than pathakrama ın Dharmasāstra works

Kramadīpikā of Kesava, a Vaişnava Tantra 1051. Kramrisch, Dr. Stella, 1653n (translated passages of Visuudharmottara on painting and sculpture); a of 'Indian sculpture' 1655.

Kratu, meaning of, in Rgveda and Upanisads 1546n.

Kratzartha 1232 34; distinction between K and Purusārtha taken over into Dharmašāstra, examples cited 1234-5, general rule that all angas (auxiliary rites) are K, and there is a great difference between the results of not doing the two matters properly or omitting them and test for deciding whether some matter is K, or purusārtha pointed out, 1234-35.

Kroeber, A. L., a. of 'Style and civilization', disagrees with Spengler and Toynbee 1617.

Krsna (vide under Kisnajanmās tamīvrata): K and Arjuna are described as drunk with wine and married their maternal uncle's daughters 1281; identifies himself with Vāsuki and Ananta (a nāga) 127; killed Narakāsura and married 16000 women imprisoned by the latter 197–198; story of suspicion about K. killing Prasenajit for syamantaka jewel 147.

Krsna-Dvaipāyana, a perpetual student, raised sons on the widows of his uterine brother Vicitiavirya by miyoga, 1280.
Krsnajanmāstamīvrata 128—143, (see under Vāsudeva and Megasthenes), ace. to Jayanti-14

nirnava. tithi is principal matter in Janmästamivrata. while in Jayantīvrata naksatra Rohmi is the principal matter 133; antiquity of Krsna or Vāsudeva worship 129-131. 953. Besnagar Ins of Greek Heliodorus refers to Vāsudeva as Devadeva 131; celebrated on the 8th of dark half of Śrāvana and on 8th of Bhādrapada dark half acc to some 128-129, conclusions of Tithitattva about the exact times of. 134; current in Northern and Central India from about 500 B C, if not earmer, 131; description of Kisna's birth in Bhagavata 15 commonplace and vague 131, eighth tithi may be with Rohmi or without it and several possible combinations of tithi, naksatra, time and week day are set out. 134: exact time and tithi of Janmastarī celebration is much discussed in medieval works 134: Ghosundi Inscription of 2nd or 1st century B. C mentions the worship of Sankarsana and Vāsudeva 131, is Nitva as well as Kāmya 133, Jain tradition about Krsna 129: Jayantī and Janmāstamī distinguished, 132, Jayantī fast occurring on Wednesdry or Monday yields far greater results than in other cases 135, Krsna, a Vedic poet, in Rg. invokes Asvins 129, Kisna Āngirasa, author ο£ Rg. VIII 86-87, 129, Krsna 1s depicted in Mahābhārata as a

composite personality viz as a prince, friend of the Pandavas, a great warrior, statesman and philosopher and also as Avatara of Visnu 129-130; life of, among cowherds in Bhāgavata, and Visnu and other Puranas 129. Krsna was one of the Visnis, stories and dramatic representations about his killing Kailisa and imprisoning Bali existed before the Mahabhāsya 130: Krsna cult, varıous phases of, acc to Dr R. G. Krsna's Bhandarkar. 131: birth on Rohini naksatra, of which Prajāpati is the Lord, 131n; account of birth of K. from Bhavisvottara together with astrological aspects 131-132; K. belonged to the Candravamsa and Budha in legend and astrology is son of the Moon and so Monday and Wednesday on 8th of Śrāvana were deemed to yield great results 135n, Mahābhārata on Krsna 129, 953; main items in K. vrata are fast, worship of Krsna, jägara, listening to and repeating hymns and stories of exploits of Krsna and parana 135; principal items in K. vrata, views differ on, 137-38 most important vrata and utsava celebrated throughout sublime most India 128: praises of Krsna in Mahabharata by Yudhisthira, Draupadī and Bhīsma, 129, naksatra of Krsna's birth was Abhijit acc. to Harivamsa 133; numerous verses on the mahatmya of,

in Agni, Mat va and Padma Parānas 129: names one hundred and eight of Krsna set out in Brahmanda 977n. pāranā in K, in some cases may be at night, particularly for Vaisnavas 139, passed away thirty-six years after Bhaiata war. 687n: people Ārjunaka Väsudevaka and Pānini's times 130. before principal time for celebration of K, is midnight of 8th of Śrāvana dark half (of Bhadrapada if month is pürnimänta), 134, procedure of K. vrata 135-137, procedure in Dharmasındhu employs Purusasükta in K. vrata, while some other works mention no vedic mantra question whether Janmāstamīvrata and Jayantīvrata are identical or different viatas is decided differently by different authors 132-133, rence to Krsna Devakiputra m Chān. Up. receiving instruction Ghora-Āngīrasa special rules about pāranā of Janmāstamī and Jayanti fast 138-139; the K. festival 18 not found in Kityakalpataru on Vrata, but a brief reference ıs made to ıt ın Naıyatakālıka section of that work 139-40; usage in some territories like Mahārāstra of 'Gopāla-kālā', 139, Weber's theory that the ritual of K. festival was transferred to India from outside based on three points, examined and shown to be baseless 140-143.

Krishnamachari, V. T., a of 'Planning in India' 1683n.

Krts, implies the number 'four' in Tai Br. 701.

Krta era, 651-53, generally identified with Mālava-gana era and Vikrama era 651-52, luscriptions in Krta years found so far are nine, 651 and n; interpretation of, 651.

Krta-yuga, described in Gupta Inscriptions as a cycle of great virtue 687, Dharma perfect and four-footed in K and declined by one foot in successive yugas 688n, Manusmiti (IX. 301) puts for ward the view that the four ages are not watertight specific periods of time, but the king or ruling power can produce the conditions of Krta 696

Krttikās. are many, acc. to Satapatha Br. 507; do not swerve from the east, while other naksatras do so 507, referred to as Bahulā by Pānmi 499, 740n, and a man born on Bahulā may be called Bāhula, said to be seven in Mai Sain. 507n; seven names of, from Tai. Br. 499; spoken of as wives of the rksas 498n; substances, persons and craftsmen governed by K. 560.

Kityakalpataru (1110-30 A. D.), 30, 39-40, 41n, 47n, 55, 70, 101-2, 115n-6n, 119n, 124, 139, 119, 929n, 1381 (quoting Devaladharma-sūtra), 1407, 1409n, 1117n-18n, 1431n, 1438n, 1140n, 1441n, 1446n-48n, 1449, 1450n,

1455, 1457n; the editor of Krtyak, did not identify many verses quoted in it, e g, on p. 1448 (eight verses from Sankhasmrti and six from Daksasmrti), 1458 (four verses from Śāntiparva); on Brahmacārikānda \$20n, 870-71, 897, 926n. 1262 (on five categories of smiti contents). on Grhasthalända 925n, 947n; Moksa 1112n, (quotes Devala on Siddhis); on Niyatakāla or Navyatahāleka 82, 117n, 157n. 184n, 214n-216n, 219, 244. 247-49n, 897, 1246n, 1270n; on Rajadharma 737n, 793n, 897; on Santis 734-35, 752 3 (quotes Matsya), 754, 788n, 798n; on Śrāddha 527, 897, on Vrata 185, 211 (whole of Chap. 98 of Matsya q. on pp. 432-435), 868n, 890n, 897, 1096n, 1105 (mulamantra of the Sun), 1106 (Mahāśvetā mantra), on Vyavahāra 1287.

Krtyaratnākara 30, 32n, 37-40, 42n, 57, 59, 71n, 79n, 81n, 82, 89n, 92, 93n, 101-2, 110n, 111-2, 114, 116n, 125, 140, 152n, 154n, 156-7n, 174n, 177n, 180n, 184u, 193, 203n, 207n, 213n-216n, 221n, 222n, 241, 246n-249n, 481, 660, 662, 871n, 923n, 926n, 962, 1243, begins with Vāravratas 57.

Kityatattva 88n, 91, 93, 110n, 111-3, 122 123n-25n, 134, 138, 139n, 195n, 198n, 199, 201-2, 207n, 208n, 228n, 241. Ksatriyas, destruction of, by Parasurāma 89n.

Ksayamāsa 662, 664; references to some past K. 665, when occurs 664; which month out of twelve can or cannot be K. 664-665.

Ksemaka, last king of the Aila Dynasty (acc. to Vāyu) is described in Narasinhapurāna as grandson of Udayana and Vāsavadattā and son of Naravāhana 891–92.

Ksemendra, a. of Daśāvatāracarīta, composed in 1066 A. D., 824.

Ksetra, 24 tattvas are so called in Śāntiparva, 1365.

Ksetrajūa, the soul is so called in Santiparva, 1365.

Ksīrasvāmin, com. of Amarakośa 668n, 1001n, 1422n.

Rubera-hidaya 796.

Kubjikāmata Tantra, 1033.

Kuhū (amāvāsyā mixed with the first tithi of next fortnight treated as divinity and invoked for wealth and sons) 62; suggested derivation of, 63.

Kulacūdāmaņitantra 1038n, 1109.

Kuladbarma or Kulamärga all have adhikāra for this, acc. to some while Mahānirvāņa, declare that only those who have subdued their senses have adhıkāra 1077. combines both bhoga and yoga, is superior to all other doctrines and that under it what is sin (acc. to ordinary people) becomes meritorious, 1083; declared to be superior to all sacrifices, pilgrimages and vratas 1052; enlightens him whose mind is purified by mantras of Saiva and Durga worship 1083, meaning of K or Kauladharma 1052-53; worship performed with five malāras is called Kulācāra, 1053.

Kulārnava Tantra 35n. 1051 (Siva declared to Parvatī), 1052 (even Cāndāla knowing Kauladharma superior to a brāhmana, master of four Vedas, but ignorant of Kuladhaima), 1056 (eulogy of women), 1064, 1071 (on false gurus), 1072, 1076 (how Kaulas behaved outwardly), 1081-83, 1084n (on the verse pītvā pītvā etc), 1086n, 1088n, 1089n, 1101 (guru's greatness), 1102. 1108 (five constituents of purascarana), 1110-11, 1117 (on Diksā and its derivation), 1120 (on nyāsa), 1126n, 1135, 1141 (for date); appears to be in two minds, in breath recommending one drinking of wine and eating flesh and also waxing eloquent over the highest Vedanta and fastens esoteric meanings on the makaras, 1083-84.

Kullūka, commentator of Manusmrti, 468n, 887, 1032n, 1234, 1265n 1330 (on equal division of 36 years' brahmacarya on three Vedas), 1424n

Kumāragupta, a Gupta emperor styled Vikramāditya on his coins 901.

109 Index

Kumarasambhaya 186, 539, 620n. 905, 910, 1384, 1623

Kumārilabhatta (vide under Bıhat-tīkā. Ślokavārtika. Smiti, Tantravārtika), a of Ślokarvārtika 1179, a. of Tantravārtika, in which summarises the contents Poranas, 823-24; a. of Bihat -tîkā, 1185n, 1188, 1247n; a of Tup-tikā 1188, differs from Sabara and criticizes Śabāra's bhāsya many times as being improper or fit to be discarded and as absurd 1294, 1335, does not apply epithets like Bhagavān or Ācārya to Jaimini and once criticizes Jaimini as having composed sutras of little substance 1162; flourished about 650-700 A. D. 1191, 1198; divergence of views about the chronological position of K and Prabhakara 1190, mentions Vindhyavāsin 1376; points of difference between K Prabhākara are many, 1190n, relies on Manu for the usefulness of tarka 1475; states that Buddha's doctrine attracted sudras and those that had lost caste 926, tradition that Prabhākara was a pupil of K. 1191; two more works (apart from the three published ones) of K. are Madhyamatikā and Brhattikā 1188, waged only a polemic war against Buddhists and persecution of them by K is held not proved by Rhys Davids 1009-10; nas prepared to accept usefulness | Kunhan Raja, Prof. C., Intro-

of Buddha's teaching up to a limited extent 1009n, 1262.

Kumbhaparya (once in 12 years) 186, 287, 539, 620n.

Kumbhīpāka, a hell so called 85. Kundalinī (vide Cakras, mantras, nadis); danger of trying to rouse K, except under expert guidance 1061, 1429-30; ide-Rele with ntified by \mathtt{Dr} Vagus nerve but Woodroffe differs 1443, is Sakti coiled like a snake in the adhara or muladhara cakra (at the base of the spine) which when roused by Yogic practices passes through six cakras, reaches the crown of the head that has (sahas) ara) lotus and then the Kundalını returns to its original position 1061n-62, 1098. 1137-8; Dr Rele's work on 'Mysterious Kundalini' 1393, 1443 (criticized by Shri Kuvalayananda), Rudrayamala on K and cakras 1034n, 1061n: Rudrayāmala sets out 1008 names of K, all beginning with the letter 'ka' 1062n; Śakti or Devl assumes the form of K, it is a form of sabdabrahma, all mantras are forms of Sakti or Devi, appears as sounds (aksaras) which become when written letters of the alphabet (called mātikā) which are 50 from 'a' to 'ksa' 1099

Kundapāyınām - ayana with Agnihotra for a mouth different from daily Agnihotia. 1307, 1342

duction of, to Umbeka's com. called Tātparyatīkā on Ślokavārtika, 1194, 1200, view of, that there is no proof of Bhattapāda being guru of Umbeka. criticized 1194n; wrong in his interpretation of words like 'anupāsita-guravah' in Umbeka's com. on Ślokavārtika 1195-96.

Kunhan Raja, Presentation Volume, 919.

Kuppuswami, M. M. Prof. 1186n-. 88n, 1195 (paper on Mandana and Suresvara equation in the . Vedanta).

Kūrmapurāṇa, 103n-4n, 116, 121, 143, 202, 249n, 473 (on Kāla), 682, 687, 690n, 691, 694, 825n, 857n, 865n, 870, 915, 921, 930n, 935 (incorporates Upanisad passages), 946 (on Ahimsā), 967, 974n, 977n, 1024, 1042, 1270n (similar to Yāj. I. 156), 1373, 1383, 1403n, 1412n, 1421n, 1431n, 1438, 1445, 1446n, 1455; 1524, 1528, 1582; extent of, is 17000 or 18000 acc. to many Purānas 833; note on 888-889.

Kūsmānda mantras 796, 1024.

Kütas in marriage, eight, named and explained, 614-615.

Kuvalayānanda, Swami, of Lonavla (vide under Dr. Behanan):
ed. of Brhad-yoga-Yājñavalkya-smṛti 1404: a. of paper on 'the real Yogayājñavalkya-smṛti '1407; handbook of, on 'āsanas' '1425-26; handbook of, on 'prānāyāma' 1428n, 1441-1443 (describes eight kinds of Prāṇāyāma); on

Vivekānanda's lectures on Rājayoga 1443-44; suggests correction in Yogabhāsya as to the bhūmis of citta (mind) in Yogasūtra III. 11, 1409; treats Dr. Rele's work on 'Mysterious Kundalini' as of doubtful scientific value 1443.

L'Astrologie Grecque', a work of Bouche Leclercq (vide under Bouche Leclercq).

Laghu-Härita 676n.

Laghu-Jātaka of Varāhamihira 533n, 545n, 547, 554n, 561n, 568, 574-5n, 577, 580, 585, 589, 594.

Laghurudra, 813; is same as Ekädaśini, acc. to Kamalākara and some others, but in popular practice it is eleven times of 'Ekādaśini', 813-14.

Laghu-Śātātapa 706n,

Laghu-Visnu 30, 48. Laghu-Vyāsa 914n.

Lahiri, N. C. 657n.

Lakāras, ten (for tenses and moods) in Pāṇṇn 1235, 1236n, all of which begin with letter 'la' (as in lat for 'present tense' etc).

Laksahoma, a Santı, 397, 749, 752, 761; eulogy of, 754; not to be performed by one with little wealth 752-4.

Laksanā, a function of words that gives rise to secondary sense 293; difference between Laksanā and Gaunī Vriti drawn by Tantravārtika 1293n.

Laksmī, com. on Kālanimayakārikā, 672.

Laksmidhara, 30; vide Kṛtya-

kalpataru

Lakşmīdharā, com. on Saundaryalaharī, 1136n.

Laksmīpūjana, on amāvāsyā in Divāli 199-200, in Bengal Kālī worshīpped on this amāvāsyā, 200, it is a day specially meant for traders and merchants 200; night of this day is called sukhasuptikā 200

Lākula or Lakulīša Pāšupata 978n; Lakulī flourished about 1st century A. D. 978n, Linga and Vāyu Purānas mention Lakulin as founder of a Šaiva sect and Kāyārohana (modern Karavan in Dabhoi Taluka) as its sacred place 978n

Lalitāsahasranāma, Bhāskarācārya's com on, is called Saubhāgyabhāskara, 1083 and n.

Lalitopākhyāna, is at the end of the Brahmānḍapurāna 895, 1042, 1072n; most verses in chap 42 are same as in Mudrānighaņtu pp. 55-57, 1128.

Lalla, 61n, 182, 612n.

Lama Anagarika Govinda, a. of a recent work 'Foundations of Tibetan Mysticism' 1104n, on Mantra 'om Mani padme hūm', criticized 1104n

Land-laws, affecting the holding of lands by non-agriculturists, compulsory sale to erstwhile tenants, law placing ceilings on holding lands, most important 1674-75; criticized as not Socialistic, but spoliation, 1677-8.

hassen 143

Last Thought, vide under 'Death'.

Lātadeva, is said to have commented upon Paulisa and Romaka Siddhāntas, 514n.

Latāsādhana, meaning of, in tantra works, 1081.

Laugāksi 73n.

Laugāksi Bhāskara, a. of Arthasaugraha, tr. by Thibaut 1199.Laukika-nyāya, the word occurs in Sabara's bhāsya 1255.

Law, Dr. B, C, Presentation Volume 1002, a of paper on 'Prince Jeta's grove in Ancient India' 1411n.

Lea Henry C, a of 'Superstition and force' 1019-20 (on methods of Inquisition).

Leadbeater, C. W., a. of 'the Cakras' (Adyar, 1927), 1148. Lecky, a of 'History of the rise and influence of rationalism in Europe' 1576 (cites instances of extirpation).

Legislation, spate of 1670ff.

Leuba, James H, a. of 'Psychology of religious mysticism' (1929), 1463n.

Levi S. (about Hindusm in the Island of Bali at present), 1614, 1616, 1618 (edited Sanskrit Texts from Bali in G.O.S.).

Levitation (Laghimā) vouched for by Dr. A. Cannon 1112n, 1453, vouched by E. Wood, but not believed by A. Koestler 1452-53n,

Lewis, a. of 'Historical Survey of the Astronomy of the ancients', 546, 550n.

Lewis, Windham, a. of Time

and Western Man' 475,

Liang Chi Chao, Prof, a. of 'Paper on China's debt to 'India' 1039.

Liberation (Multi or Molsa): (vide under Moksa) 1514 1652.

Liebich, Prof. B. 1397.

Lilävatī, of Bhāskarācāiya, 598n.

Limitation Act, Indian 715n.

Lincoln, definition of democracy by, 1667.

Linga, emblem of Siva; ratnas (precious stones) should be placed on, at Makarasānkrāntu or gold or ghee of cow's milk 219.

Linga, technical sense is 'suggestive or indicative power of a word or words' 1309.

Lingapūrāna 41n, 45, 52, 101, 115n, 160n, 179, 181, 235, 236n, 238, 946n, 978n, (on Lakulī), 1030n (Guru's position), 1072 (states Guru is Siva), 1096n, (mantra 'namah śwaya'), 1117 (treatment of Dīksā), 1419n-21n, 1431n. 1436n (ten prānas), 1438, 1446 (echoes yogasütra), 1450n, (on Samādhi), 1455; not drawn upon by Ballalasena as its . treatment of danas is the same as that of Matsya 868; note on, 903; one recension of L was discarded by Ballalasena , 869, 903.

Lipsāsūtra, is Jai. IV. 1. 2 in which the word 'lipsā' (meaning 'the desire to obtain')
-occurs, 1232n.

Lipto, sixtieth part of a degree 584.

List (exhaustive) of vratas and utsavas, 255-462.

Lodge, Sir Ohver, a. of 'Man and the Universe', 1650n (on image worship)

Lohābhısārıka (vide under Nīrājana) a rite about horses per formed from 1st to 9th tithis of Āśvīna, 184, 400.

Lokapālas (guardians of worlds or the directions) 766n; names of eight L. 766n.

Lokas (worlds), three or seven, denoted by the vyāhrtis (bhūh, bhuvah etc), 1528 and n; Kūrma-purāna mentions L. from 'mahah' to 'satya' 1528n; Mahendra-loka, Prājāpatya loka, Jana, Tapas and Satya lokas mentioned in Yogabhāsya 1529.

Lokāyata or Laukāyatıka · 1205n-1206, 1472n, Kautılya includes it under Änviksiki, along with Sānkhya-yoga 1205; meaning of, changed from time to time 1205, Pānını (ın Ukthādıgana IV. 2 60) appears to have known the word 1205, 1472n; 'Lokāyata' on Ruben Dr 1205n; Śankarācārya (on V S. III. 3 54) states that L. do not admit any principle other than the four elements and no the body soul apart from 1250n.

'Lokāyata', study of ancient Indian Materialism by Devaprasad Chattopadhyaya (New Delhi, 1959), 206n.

Lokāyatikas referred to as 'eke' ın V. S. (III. 3. 53), 1173. Lopāmudrā 10, 1124 (in Rg. I. 179.4).

Lotus leaf, not affected by water fallen or sprinkled on it, 1005-6, 1367n, 1585n, 1587.

Luck-vide under 'daiva'.

Luders, Prof, on Rsyaśraga story 893

Ludwig (on Rg X 55.3) 494n. Luke (Gospel of) 677.

Luther, though a rebel against Pope's authority, denounced Cope.mcus as a fool 512.

Lyon E, a. of 'Assignment in Utopia' (1937) for horrors in Russia before 1934, 1474n

Macaulay's Minute on 'Indian Education', its aim and results 1661-62.

Macdonell, a. of 'Vedic Mythology', sometimes indulges in facile assumptions 209, 1213, 1186n, 1577 (does not agree with Deussen about Ksatriyas being original cherishers of Vedanta thoughts)

Macdongall, a of 'An outline of abnormal psychology' 1114n.

Macleau, C. V, on Babyloman Astrology etc 546n, 571n, 689n.

Macnicol, M, a. of 'Indian Theism' 1570n, 1593-94 (criticized for view that there was no place for repentance in doctrine of Karma).

Madanapārnāta, 30n, 47n-8n, 71n, 73n, 196n, 672, 1192 (North Indian work of about 1360-1390 A D quotes a half verse of Prabhākara), 1273n, 1299 (on Vākyabheda)

Madanaratan, 61, 133, 244, 734 (on Sintis), 735 (list of matters dealt with in Śāntika section), 736, 755, 756n, 765n, 769, 771n, 772, 785, 788-89, 1303, 1304n, 1305 (on vyavahāra), 1316n (prefers as heir to deceased son the father and not mother), 1330; eulogizes on vyavahāra, Bhavanātha 1189, 1233

Mādhava, an early writer (before 500 A D.), criticizing Sānkhya, 1359.

Mādhavācārya, 1160, a of Kālanirnaya, 67n, 151, 202, 227, 659; a of com on Sūtasambitā, 911, a of Jaiminīyanyāyamālāvistāra 1199.

Madhvācārva alzas Ānandatīriha (vide under 'Tolerance'): of 'Mahabharata-tatparyanirnaya' 1218, claims to be third avatāra of Vāyu, the other two being Hanumat and Bhīmasena 1219, endeavours to interpret Rg I 141 1-3 as referring to these three avataras and the word madhrah thought enough to claim that Madhva was referred to in Rg I 141. 3, 1219, thirty works which Madhya cites are said by Shri Venkatasubbiah to occur nowhere else 1219; was very severely handled by Appayyadiksita in the latter's Madhiatantra-mukhamardana and charged with fabricating Vedic and other texts 1219.

Madhyahna, explained 100.

Madness, believed to be influenced by the Moon 552 and n.

Madya, is called Tirthavāri in some tantra works 1081;

15

114

means real wine as well as a substitute like cocoanut water or bhang or that intoxicating knowledge that comes of Yoga practices 1082; symbolic or exeteric meaning of 1083.

Maga or Śākadvīpīya brābmanas 264

Maga-vyaktı, a work by Krishnadas Mishra 264

Māgadha (vide under 'Sūta'), _862-864; a pratiloma caste due to union of a Vaisya male with a Ksatriya female 862.

Magic: Rgveda people afraid of black magic 1035, spells in Atharvaveda 1035; stories in Pali that Buddha's disciples cultivated m. powers 1037, m words in Rgveda 1035, 1037; regarded by Manu as sinful 1079; Purānas also were affected by rites of black m. 1114.

Magicians, frequently m. in Rgveda and described as 'Adeva,' 'anrtadeva', 'sisnadeva' (lecherous) 1035; were called 'Yātudhāna', 'raksas' and 'pisāci' (female evil spirit) 1035-36.

Mahābhāgavata defined in Padma 964.

Mahābhārats, 27, 44-5, 81, 89, 91, 109, 118, 126, 129, 245, 506n, 531, 544, 569, 682, 687, 769, 775; and Sānkhya 1363-1371; called Jaya by itself and other works 840, 871; claims that it is superior to all Vedas 915; contains no passage giving the position of planets in relation to rāšis

532, critical edition of, at Poona 838; date of 849; describes itself as Dharmasastra. Arthafastra, Kamasastra and Kārsnaveda 819, dilates upon all four purwarthas and should be listened to by one desiring Moksa 921n, discussion in, on daiva and purusalāra 544-5: emphasizes often that there is only one God and that there is no difference between Siva and Visnu 118; enjoins (in Bhīsma 5, 12) that incomprehersible matters should not be tried to be solved by Tarka 1470; many passages in M. where planets in relation to Naksatras are stated to forebode misfortune to people in general or to individuals 532; Nilakantha, commentator of, 937n, 1214; stated to contain the substance of the Veda and a better means of the education of the common people including Śūdras, women etc. 924-25; words ahmisā paramo dharmah'o cur frequently in M. 945, 1626 (spiritual or religious debts), 1642, 1688 (about sonless man).

Mahābhāsya of Patañjali (about 150 B. C): (vide under Patañjali), 36, 103, 203, 468n, 469, 542n, 658n-68n, 684m, 698 (on numerals), 820 (derives 'Paurānika'), 937n, 963, 985, 1032, 1156 (full of Pūrva' mīmāmsā matters), 1157 (mentans Kāšakrtsni), 1158, 1203 (on Veda), 1221n (divisions of the four Vedas), 124n

(śabdapramanaka vavam), 1236n (on prakrts and pratuava), 1246n ('na' means 'sadiša' in some cases), 1252 (śāstra is meant to give definite rules), 1290 (primary meaning to be preferred to secondary), 1321 (on Sattra for one thousand years), 1331n, 1388n, 1395 (date of), 1398 (employs an ungrammatical ın Aviravikanyāva); mentions several men and mordents connected with Krsna such as killing Kamsa 130n, paper by present author on 'Muhabhasya and the bhasya of Sabara' 1275n; quoted several times by Sabara who refers to its author as Acarya and 'abhiyukta' 1275n; remarks that Vedas and their meaning are eternal but the arrangement of words is not eternal and hence arise different Ved c texts like Kāthaka, Kālāpaka etc 1203; 1631n, 1640n (M. meluded Sakas and savanas among śūdras), ed by Kielhorn 1631n

Mahādānas, sixteen, of which Gosahasra was one 219n.

Mahākālahrdaya, a mantra, japa of 1047.

Mahanarayanopan sad, 466, 175n-6, 1627n

Mahinirianatantra (18th century A. D.), 1033n, 1052n-53, 1555n, 1062, 1074n, 1077n, 1 80, 1086-8, 1089n, 1092, 1095, 1099, 1100-01, 1102n, 1107, 1108n, 1112, 1114 (on diksâ), 1118, 1120 (on nyāsa),

1126n, 1133; a tyrical Hindu Tantra, summarised 1057-60; states that God is one and to be described as sat, cit, and ananda is beyond gunas and to be known from Vedants texts and yet prescribes the five malaras for worship 1057: allows only five cups of wine to a householder sādhaka etc. 1059; waxes eloquent over duties of varnas and asramas. duties of the king and servants, provides for mairiages within the varna and dinner only with savarna persons, deals with samskāras and śrāddha, prāvašcitta and vyavahāra 1059-60.

Mahānisā, variously defined 117n. Mahāparinibbāna-sutta, 686, 1022 (story of the criticism of Buddha by Subhadda, a barber), 1070 (Buddha was strict and asked monks not to see or talk to bhikkhunīs).

Mahārauhina (a Sāman chant)
796n.

Mahārudra, repeating Laghurudra eleven times 813.

Mahāšānti 730n; acc to Kaušikasūtra should comprise Vāstospati hymn aud certain Atharvavedatexts 730n; means Vinājakašānti and Navagrahašānti, acc to commentary on Śānkhāyana Gr. kūtra 730n; performed to remove evil eftects of several adbhatas and utpātas, on fall of meteors by day 761-763, 767.

Mahasivaratriventa 225-236: conflict of views as to what

.. is the chief matter in M... whether fast alone or three viz fast, worship and jāgara 227; exaggerated praise of 229, fourteenth tithi of dark half of Magha (or Phalguna ın Pürnimänta reckoning) is called M, the other 14th tithis in the dark half of other months being simply Sivarātris 225: fourteenth Mägha dark half falling on Sunday or Tuesday is most commendable 232 : nirnaya's propositions about the proper day and time for M when 14th is mixed with 13th tithi or Amāvāsvā, 230. proper times for performing M. acc to Hemādri and Īśānasambītā. 229-230: several Purānas contain details of M. and its Māhātmya 225, story of a wicked Kirāta named Canda in Skandapurāna 226-227; story of Sundarasenaka, a nisāda chief, 226; worship of Siva with bilva leaves and jägara whole night saves devotee from hell and leads to moksa 225, worship of Śwalinga at night with certain mantras destroys all sins 229

Mahāśvetā, a Mantra, japa of which on a Sunday with fast deemed to yield all desires 1106

Mahāvagga 939n, 1038 (story of Mendaka's family possessing miraculous powers), 1663 (procedure of sangh; meetings) Mahāvallipuram, has a tableau

Mahāvallipuram, has a tableau (of 7th century A. D) of the

strife of Devi and Mahisāsura 178.

Mahāvīra, sacrificial vessel in Piavargya rite 728

Mahāvrata, sexual intercourse in, was symbolic and indulged in by strangers to the sacrifice 1080.

Mahāvyāhrtis, 796n, 1528n.

Mahāvyatīpāta, defined 706, gifts on this most highly commended 706.

Mahāyāna Buddhism, books on 941n-2n; differences of ideals between M. and Hīnayāna 942-43, its doctrine of Bodhisattvas is not consistent with the Gospel preached by Buddha in the first sermon at Benaras 942

Mahāyāna-sūtrālankāra of Asanga, mentions five points of difference between M. and Hīnayāna 942n; took over the doctrine of bhakti 970.

Mahesvara Tantra 1051 (names of Vaisnava tantras), 1144.

Mahidhara, commentator of Väj. S 1037.

Mahisāsura, killed by Durgā, 155n, 184-85, 1047.

Mahmud of Gazni: plundered Kathiawar and Gujarat several times and desecrated temples 1018.

Mahodayaparva 262.

Mathuna, a makāra, in Tāntrik worship referred to as palicamatattva (fifth substance) 1081; esoteric meaning of 1082.

Maitrāyanī-Samhitā 727n, 750, 1220n (baihir-derasadanam Index 117

dāmı), 1222, 1224 1288n (vasantāya kaptūjalāń-ālabhate), 1295n, 1305n, 1309n, 1327, 1328n

Maitrāyanī-Upanisad 569 (mentions Sani, Rāhu and Kelu), 1419n (six angas only of Yoga), 1430, 1460, 1468.

Maitreyī, wife of Yājūavalkya in Br. Up 1405

Maitrī Upanisad has a long disquisition on time 465-66, 1063n (on Susumnā Nālī), 1507n.

Majjhimanikîya (ed by Trenkner) 1007 p. 1023, 1411 a

Majumdar, Prof B C. 843, 883 (origin and character of Pu-āna Literature), 1046n (two hymns to Durgā in Mahābhārata are interpolations)

Majumdar R C 978n, 101in (a of 'History of Bengal', 1048n (on 'Inscriptions from Kambuja), a of 'Ancient Indian Colonics,' 1618n.

Majumdar, Surendranath a of paper on 'Bibliography of Ancient Geography of India' 1528n.

Makāras, five, of Tāntrik cult explained in cotoric senses and also unobjectionable substitutes allowed for the Paśw (lowest kind of Śākin worshipper) 1081 and n, substitutes for makāras 1081n; many tintra works like Kulārnia, Pārānindasūtra employ the words midya, matsya and minesi in the ordinary sense 1086.

Malsā, meaning of, 738n.

Makarasankı antı (vide Sankrau-211-225, bath with ordinary water (not heated) obligatory on, 212, falls at present on 14th January in the Hindu almanaes based on Sanskrit works while the correct date is 21st December preceding 222, 712, fast for three days or one day in honour of M. 220, gifts are made even now on M. mostly by women 222, great merit results from bath in Ganges on, 212, Hemādri states that the proper day of M was in his day 12 days before the popular day of M and gifts (religious) should be made 12 days before the latter. 712n; it now falls in Pausa but the day changes in terms of the Gregorian calendar 211, it was an important religious festival, but now more a social observance than religious 211, 221, meaning of the word 211, origin of the festival on m 223; procedure observed on, 211-212, prognostications drawn from the supposed directions of the deified M coming, going etc. 225, punyakāla (boly time) on day of M 212, sesame to be employed on M in water for bath, and should be enten and are very much in cyldence even now 219, 221, inche rasis and their western names 211 and n (and all twelve sankrāntis are holy), supposed (as a divinity) to ride some vehicle and an Upavāhana (ancillary vehicle), to
wear a garment of some colour,
to carry a weapon, to apply a
tilaka of some substance 224225; was supposed to be
either young, middle-aged or
old, to have certain postures
(sitting, standing etc.), to come
from one direction, to go to
another and cast a glance at a
third 225.

Malamāsa, (intercalary month)
671; acts and rites not to be
performed in 673; acts to be
done only in M. 674; kāmya
rite not to be performed in,
unless it is begun before M.
starts 673. obligatory acts and
acts prescribed on definite occasions are to be performed even
in M such as daily sandhyā
673; rites that could be done
in M. as well as in Suddha
month 674; Śrāddha in M.
674-75

Malamasatattva 58, 490n, 492, 498-9, 500, 507n, 592n, 664, 671n, 672-73n, 674, 761n, 835n, 1240, 1266n

Mālatīmādhava, 1047n; mentions human sacrifices in temple of Candikā or Cāmundā 186, 1048.

Mālavagana years, inscriptions dated in, 652 and n.

Mālavikāgnimitra, 531n, 1028 (Puşyamitra)

Malignant spirit, known to Rgveda 60n

Malimluca-same as Malamasa 671-672; explained and derived 671-72. Mālinīvijayavārtika (a work of Kashmir Tantrism) of Abhinavagupta 1050.

Mallınātha, 1426n, 1531 (hs motto 'nāmūlam likhyate kıñcıt').

Mämsa (flesh', esoteric meaning of, in Tantra 1082; etymology of, in Manu and Visnu Dh. S, 1533.

Man, psychical powers of are vast and unknown 1091-92; man acc. to Chr stian dectrine is conceived and born in sin, while acc. to Vedänta, human soul is divine 1506n; length of life of, 100 years, acc to Rg, Vaj S, Atharvaveda, 1545

Manasāpūjā, in Bengal and South India 125, procedure of 125, Sankalpa in 125n.

Manasāvrata on Jyestha bright half, 10th tithi, 126.

Mānasollāsa of Cālukya king Somešvara (1126-1138 A D) 805 (has verses on 'šakunas'); 809 (on upašrutı), 810n 1654 (on Vāstušāstra and paintings) and n.

Mānavagrhya-sūtra, 729n-30n, 738n, 748.

Mandalas an item in Täntrik worship which is also a feature of orthodox Hindu practices in medieval and modern times 1131–34; M and Cakra said to be synonymous by Jñānārnavatanira 1133; Buddhist tantras like Maūjušrimūlakalpa describe M 1133; drawn with powders of five colours, acc. to Matsyapurāņa and also figures

Index 119

of a lotus with 8 or 12 petals drawn with saffron, or red sandalwood paste or in various colours 1133, eight mandalas. Sarvatobhadra and others, referred to in Agnipurana 1132, four characteristic items in Mandala rites specified, 1133, ın Pusyasnāna a Mandala with different coloured powders was to be drawn 1132; meaning of the word in Tai S. Sat Br. and Br. Up is 'circular form' and 'orb of the Sun.' and later any figure or diagram (generally circular \ drawn on an altar 1131-32, means 'circle' in Sulba sūtras and reference to the squaring of a circle (mandala) 1133, references in several puranas to invocation of the Sun on the figure of a lotus and also of Nārāyana, and to images or paintings of Laksmi and Nārāyana and to Mandalas called Sarvatobhadra etc 1132, several Mandalas-de-cribed in Jñānārnava, Śāradātilaka and other tantras 1132, several M drawn in Rgveda-brahmakarma-samuccaya (Nirn ed) 1134, Smrtikaustubha mentions several names of M. 1134, twenty six M described in 26 chapters of Nispannayogâvalı 1133.

ManImamisra (between about 680-720 A D); explains a verse of Tantravārlika acc to Šāstradīpikā but there is no evidence that he wrote a com on Tantravārtika 1191 and n;

is later than Kumarila and flourished about 680-710 A. D. 1191, 1193-94; his Bhāvanāviveka quotes Tantravārtika 1191n; works of, on P. M. are Vidhiviveka, Bhāvanāviveka (commented upon by Umbeka). Vibhramaviveka and Mīmātisānukramanī 1191. no evidence that he was a disciple of Kumārila but in Bhāvanāvīveka and Vidhiviveka he quotes verses from Tantravārtika and Ślokavārtika and also a passage from Prabbākara's Brhatī 1193, 1198

Mandlik, a. of 'Hindu Law': wrong statement by, about Vedic passages that have a word like 'hi' showing reason 1239n.

Māndhātā, king was ordered by Indra to see that Yavanas, Cīnas, Śabaras, Śakas obeyed parents, perkirmed rites laid down by Veda, made gifts to brāhmanas 54.

Māndavya, writer on Astrology, predecessor of Varāhamıhira 592.

Māndūkyopanisad 465, 14'3n.

Mangala auspicious sounds to be heard and objects to be seen when starting on an expedition 621; what are Mathings 366, 621.

Mangala, tradition about beginning of any work with M. laid down by Manu 1207

Mangalāvaka, eight substances to be distributed to women when invited in a ceremony or vrata like Saubhāgyasundari 367. Manilius, not followed by Bihaijātaka as to Dreskānas 582n

Manitha; two Greeks of that name, one a contemporary of Berossus and the other an author of an astrological poem, 592n.

Manittha, probably an Indian, a. of work on Ayurdāya 592; refers to Horāšāstra of Parāšaia 592n.

Manjuśti-mūlakalpa 1040 (has late elements), 1140

Mankad, Prof D K, 686-7 (on ten meanings of the word 'Yuga'), on Manyantara and 40 years being the unit for a king's reign 696, 844n, 845; on 'Yugapurāna' 826-27; theories of, about Sungas and Sāmaveda singing being of Chinese origin, criticized 844n. Mansur, hing (754-775 A D)

Mansur, king (754-775 A D) in whose reign an Indian astronomer visited his court 699n.

Mantra (or Mantras, acc to context), (vide under 'Au-Brāhmana robindo. Kapaly Sastri, Nigada, Śāradātilaka Tantra, Veda, Vedic Interpretation) . are employed in sacrifices for bringing to mind the act that is being done 1097, 1220, 1244; are the 3rd out of the five classes of Vedic texts 1244, bija m like hrīm, frim, are said to make visible the form of the devatā in Tantrık worship 1099; Buddhist l'antras (some) state that certain m. can confer even called Buddhahood 1104; 'Abhaya' 769n, difficult to define what a Vedic Mantra're and hence it is held that those Vedic verses or passages are m. that are recognized as such by the learned, 1096, 1098, 1220, 1223, difference between M. and prayer, 1100, difference between Vedic M and Tantrik M 1107, differing interpretations of Rg IV. 58 3 (catvārı śrngā etc) by Nirukta and others, 985; examples of short mantras of 5, 6, 8, 12, 13 syllables from Smrtis and Puranas as efficacious for securing all objects, 1096 and n, for killing an enemy in Agnipurāna, 1102, four classes of Vedic M viz rk, yajus, sāman and nigada 1097, 1221, great controvers.es about meaning and purpore of Vedic M. illustrated, 984-5; great importance attached to recitation of M and many educated meneven of these days believe in their great efficacy 172; has three senses, acc to Ahirbudhuyasamhitā, sthūla, sūksma and highest, 1115; have no efficacy if learnt from books but are efficacious when learnt from a qualified guru 1100, 1112, have to be repeated thousands of times to secure full results, 1103-4; M in all tantras are m. of the great Devi 1102; Kutsa's view that M. have no sense or serve no purpose refuted in the Nitukta, 1097, list of hymns and verses of Rgveda that are co-mological, philosophical, or speculative 983-4, most famous Buddhist M. 'Om Man padme ham' discussed and results supposed to be derived from it, 1104n, 1454, most sacred Vedic M is Gāyatrī (Rg III 62. 10) called Vedamātā in Atharvaveda 1097; no difference of meaning in the words used in Veda and the same words in ordinary life acc to Jamini and Sabara, 1097, numberless mantras in Tantra 1102, M of Rāma worship 87n; Paurānik Mantras like 'dataro no' and 'yantu devaganah' came to be used even in Śrāddha by Yaı and others, probably to meet Buddha's appeal to masses 1024; peculiar pattern of M. prescribed for worship of a deity 788n. Rgveda I 164 39 is explained in four ways and Rg. I 164 54 in six ways by Sāyana 981-5, repetition of Vedic and Tantrik M is called 'purascarana' 1107; 'sac cidekam brahma' is the best of mantras acc to Mahanirvanatantra and perfection in it leads to moker 1112, several Vedic mantras with two meanings are cited in the Nirukta 981, some Vedic M are hortatory 1214, some Buddhist M. embody Mahāvāna doctrines with the addition of syllables like om, phat, siāhā 1105; synonyms of the word Mantra a estour, brahme, gir, dhiti, mati, manisā, vacas incasvā and are used hundreds of times in the Veda and in several places a Vedic prayer is said to be new 983. Tantrik M. are treated like Vedic M. with sage, metre, derty and viniyoga, 1103 : Tāra or Tāraka M. is om 1115. theory about sounds of M. being Sakti, 1100, three classes of Tantrk M, masculine. feminine, neuter, 1101n, 1103; two theories obout M. 1155; varieties of M called astra, hidaya, kavaca, netra, raksā are mentioned in Tantrik texts 1102, ve-ses of Rgveda show that a host of mantras already existed and were inspired by the Lord of Prayers 981-983: Vedic M. were relegated to a secondary role by the Purvamīmānisā system 1244. Vedic M. were supposed even in Rg to have great potency, that they induced God to come to the sacrifice and to bestow on sacrificer and worshipper valiant sons, wealth and protection 1098, word 'Mantra' derived and explained 1102, Manira occurs about 25 times in the Rgveda and 'Mantrakrt' only once 980, word Manira is not described as new in the Rg while the words 'Sukirti' and 'Sukta' that occur only four or five times are spoken of as new or fresh 983

Mantramahārnava-tantra 1136 Mantramahodadhi 1062n, 1110, 1113, 1136

Mantranyāsa illustrated by Bliāgavata Brahma and Nāradīyapurānas as to mantras līke 'om namo Nārāyanāya '1120. Manu, is used sometimes in the sense of Mantra, both being derived from root 'man' to think 1060, 'Om sac-cidekam brahma' is 'Brahmamanu' 1060n; described in Revedu as father of humanity and as prescribing the proper path for men 690n, Savarnya M. in Reveda 691; story of M and the deluge, 691n; story of M. and his son Nabhanedistha 691n

Manusmrti 24n, 27-29n, 30, 33, 41, 49, 51-2, 67n, 72, 100, 166, 168, 193, 210, 214, 248, 476 (units of time), 517n. 527, 536n, 538-39, 605n, 607. 616, 687-88, 693, 696, 743, 757, 766n, 776n, 782n, 789n, 800, 801n, 820, 825, 868n, 914 (on Sistabrāhmanas), 930-32, 931-8, 945 (virtues necessary for all varnas), 946n (on Yama and Niyama), 918, 1023-4, 1027, 1042, 1079, 1086 (quoted by Kularnava), 1096, 1154n, 1156n, 1178, 1202-3 (appears to 1190n, suggest that Veda is self-existent), 1207n, 1214n, 1230-34, 1211n, 1242-43 and n (many Arthavādas in), 1250 (in III. 267 gives several options expressly), 1251-52, 1256n-58n, 1264, 1278, 1288, 1293n, 1296, 1367n, 1378n, 1415n, 1420, 1440-1, 1457 (on duties of Sannyāsins), 1469, 1478, 1515-17, 1533-34, 1545, 1561, 1564-65 (uses word samsāra frequently), 1576n. 1589, 1591, 1593 (on repentance), 1596-

7, 1608, 1611; frequently echoes the very words of the Veda 1265n; mentions many Sānkhya tenets 1379, 1413n. 1422, 1424n, 1436n, 1516: practice of M. of stating opposite views on the same point one after another, 1266, 1517, 1619 (limits sadācāra to only certain parts of India, excluding Madhya-deśa and Ārvāvarta), 1627n, 1628, 1630, 1633 (varna and jāti confounded), 1637, 1638 (brāhmaņa selling milk for more than three days became a śūdra), 1638n, 1639 (king was a divinity in human form), 1645-6, 1669 (duties common to all men), some verses are identical with those in Santiparva, Vanaparva and some Puranas 688n, 1266n, 1516n, 1578; verses of, almost identical with verses in Ap Dh. S. 817, some verses of, almost the same in Matsyapurāna 1520n; some verses identical Parāśarasmrti 1266n: three theories about creation in 1515 -17, very eminent position assigned to M. by Brhaspati 1265; whatever Manusmrti proclaims as dharma was already declared so in the Veda ace to M. II 7. on which commentators differ 1258n

Manus . each Manu during his time created the world and protected it 692; names of all fourteen M. in Nāradapurāṇa 691; names of seven only in Manusmrti 691-2; past six M, 7th (the present one) Varvasvata and 7 future ones 688, 691, variation in the names of the future seven M., 691

Manyādi-tithis, on which each Manyantara was supposed to start 371

Manvantara (vide 'Yuga') each M had a separate set of sages, gods, kings, smrtis 691, extent of 688, 690n, 697, fourteen M. constitute Kalpa 689, Prof. Mankad's novel theory about M 696, to be numberless by Manusmrti 688, sense of, 690n some puranas say that in each M Manu and seven sages are devoted to Dharma and promulgate Vedas by orders of Brahmā 692, some writers like Dr Daftari hold that in ancient India existed a public Institution called Manu and Saptarsis 693.

Marduk, Babyloman God 546 Mārgapālī, on Balipratipadā, desoribed 205-6.

Mārgas, vide Paths Marīci, Smiti of 33, 216 Mark (new Testament) 677 1461n, 1647n, 1649.

Mārkandeya 75n, 100, 147n, 188n; supposed to be one of the eight immortals (cirajivinah) invoked on Yamadvitīyā 208.

Mārkandeya-purāna (vide under Dattātreya); 51, 154n, 177, 185n, 243n, 530n (countries under different Naksatras); 538n, 561n, 687, 692 (on

Manus), 731n, 734 (chapters on Śantis), 94bn (on atma. gunas), 948 (meaning of Ista 949 (on great and Pürta), merit of relieving the distressed), 967, 1027n (on householder stage), 1213 (on heaven), 1383n. 1438. 1440n. 1444n. 1446 (speaks of ten Dharanas), 1455, 1457, 1522 (chap 5 uses Sankhya technique \, 1527, 1576n (on doctrine of Karma). 1590: chapters (78-90 of Venk ed. 81-93 of B. I ed) are called Devimahatmya or Saptasati, deemed a later addi-155n, 819n, 901-902: contains Gită doctrine of Niskāma-karma 903, note on 901-903, one of the early Puranas and may be assigned to 4th to 6th century A D, 903; one verse from Devīmāhātmya (viz 'Sai ramangala-mangalye' etc.) q in inscription of 289 of Gupta era (608 A D) 903; places proper for Yoga practice and to be avoided for it 1431: refers to the words 'Lagna' and 'Hora' 903. three parts of, viz chap 1-42 (in Venk, ed), 43 to end and Devimahātmya 903, translated by Pargiter 883, verses of, identical with those of Visnupu-aga 1522n, 1647n, 1688

Marriage, (vide Candrabala, child marriage Restraint Act, Gorajas, Gurvādītya, Kūtas, Sinhastha, Tāvābala), anuloma marriages allowed by most Smitis but medicial writers changed all that by Kali-

1265-67, auspicious ages for the marriage of maidens and of bridegrooms 611. auspicious times, months and naksatras for, 535-36, 609-10. - auspicious naksatras for M. different views on, 610-11, calculation on tallying the horoscopes of both bride and bridegroom in regard to eight matters, called 'ghatita-gunavicāra' or 'vadhū-vara-melakavicāra' 614, Can Irabala and Tārābala in M 615, comparative astrological strength of tithi, week-day, naksatra, Sun, Moon in m 616, great importance of Jupiter in M 615. importance of gana and nadi among brāhmanas even now, intricate astrological 614, rules for, 609-616, Jupiter, auspicious and inauspicious positions of, in m 612, Moon, importance of the auspicious position of, in m. 615, not disapproved in simhastha Guru when performed north of the Ganges and South of the Godavarī 613, proper lagna rāsis at time of m. 613, mārtanda devotes 150 verses to astrological requirements of m. 610, Rgveda X. 85 is marriage hymn, 497n, rule of godhālı' or gorajas muhūrta ın m. 613, rules about sunhas tha Guru observed even now 613. rules about the sameness of rāši or naksatra of bride and bridegroom in m marriage Śaiva 614-615 . acc. to Mahanirvanatantra in

which no question of caste arises 1060; Śānti for inauspiciousness of Jupiter 612. tithis proper and inauspicious for m. 611, usages of countries to be followed about month proper for m. 610. Venus, position of, in m. 612, week days proper for m. 611. when a maiden is very grown -up, no waiting for an auspiclous time but one should consider only the lagna and the moon's position at m 611 Yama and Yamī dialogue (Rg. X 10) wrongly considered by some Western scholars as 1eferring to marriage of brother and sister 209, ban against intercaste marriages removed by legislation 1636n, futile legislation as to dowry in m 1676.

Mars, statements about position of, in Mahabhärata are irreconcilable 532.

Marshall, Sir John, editor of three volumes on Sanchi 1653 n, a of 'Taxila' (three vol.', and Gnide to Taxila 1656

Martin E. W editor of 'In Search of Faish', a symposium by several writers 1487, 1550n

Mary meaning of 'I am not a marxist' 1695

Maskarın, means (a wandering ascetic), acc to Pānini and Mahābhāsya 1388n.

Mātharavrtti, com on Sānkhyakārikā not later than 450 A. D. 1354, furnishes names of Sānkhya teachers between Pañcasikha and Isvarakrana 1355; on the name Sastrtantra 1373n.

Matthew, Gospel of, 103, 677, 1546n-47n

Mathews W. R. a, of 'Christ' (1939) 1481n.

Mātrā, what 1s, 1n Prānāyāma 1437-38; Purānas like Mārkandeya, Kūrma. give different mātrās for different kinds of Piānāyāma 1438 and n.

Mātrs (Mother Goddesses) 1046 (in Gupta Inscriptions); generally said to be eight, but 16, 32, 64 are also mentioned, 169 and n, referred to in Kumārasambhava and Brhatsamhitā 186, 1046.

Mātrkā-nyāsa (Mātrkā means alphabet), dealt with in Kālikāpurāna 1120.

Matsya (fish), esoteric meaning of, in some Tantra works 1082. Matsyāśī (lit eater of fish), esoteric meaning of, 1084n

Mātrdatta, commentator of Hiranyakeši-gi hya, 525n

Mātikānighantu (a Tāntrik list of om and letters of the alphabet) 1058n

Matsyapu ana · 40, 45, 49, 52, 57, 6in, 88, 91-2n, 96, 100, 111n, 116, 119n, 122, 147, 162, 202, 210-212, 520n, 540, 545n-6n, 616n (on Yātrā), 622n (on auspicious persons and things), 624-5, 627, 649n, 651n, 660, 681-83n, 687n, 692-3, 695, 734 (on Santis 1, 742-43, 745-46 (numcrous Sintis), 747 (eighteen Sintis 1, 749-54, 761, 766n. 769-70, 774. 776-7 (on dreams),

778, 793n, 795n, 798-800, 805, 813n, 817n, 822, 824, 827n, 830n (gives contents of Vayu), 833-35, 839 (characteristics in addition to five), 842 (full list of Andhra kings ', 845n (period between Pariksit and Nanda), 816-48, 850-52, 854, 868, 874n, 877n, 880, (contents of Bhavisya), 903n, 915-16, 919, 922 ('Om Namo Nārāyanāya' is Mūlamantra), 931, 945p, 946, 969n (ten Ābbīra kings \, 974, 993 (ten avatāras), 995n (Visnu cursed by Bhrgu), 1023n-24, 1090n, 1096n, 1114 (description of black magic rite), 1121n(nyāsa with mantras) 1132, 1231. 1372, 1377n-78, 1383 Sankhya), 1455, 1470n, 1523n, 1527-28, 1574, 1590 (on rebirths for sins), 1637, 1653 (on writers about Vāstušāstra): Aparārka quotes 400 verses from it and Kalpataru about 2000, 899; chief among Paranas acc to Vamana 833-34, 899, date of, about middle or end of 3rd century A. D. 852, 854, 900, glorifies both Visnuand Šiva 899, has verses that also occur in Yaj. Smrti, Manusmiti and Mahābhārata 749n, 899, 1520, one of the ancient Puranas and has perhaps the largest number of Smiti chapters and the best preserved 899; Padmapurana has hundreds of verses identical with those of M 893; Sankarācura appears to quote verse (from it) 900; story of

Pururavas and Urvasi in Matsya (Chap. 24) and drama Vikramorvasiya agree closely 900-901, though there are one or two points of difference.

Matsyendranātha, called Luipa in Tibet 1046n, 1075n.

Mattavilāsaprahasana of Pallava king Mahendravikiamavarman 1074n.

Mauryas, being greedy of gold, manufactured images of gods for sale 36.

147, 743, Mausalaparva (dreams), 969n (on Abhīras). Maxims (some Nyayas), generally of Mīmāmsā - vide pp 1339-1351); about nındā (condemnation) being meant to prescribe the opposite of what 1243. 96. condemned Anyāyaścānekārthatvam, plained 1292, 1339; Arunadhikarana or Arnnānyāya 1294-5, 'drste satyadrstakal-1340: panā anyāyyā --- if a seen result or purpose can be found for an act, it is improper to assign an unseen reward for it, 1190n, 1260 and n, explained grahaikatvanyāya, 1285-86, 1343; hetavan-nıgadādhikarana, 1239n, 1351; 237-23S, Holākādhikarana Kapıñjala-1281-82, 1351; nyāya, explained 1288n-1289, vacanasyātı-• Nāstı 1341, bhārah,' there is nothing too heavy (impossible to prescribe) for a sacred text' 5:2, 1245, Nısādasthapatı-nyāya 1295-96, vyapaprādhānyena deśa bhavanti 491, rātri-sattranyāya 1257, 1349; Rathakārādhikarana 1290-91, 1349, Sāmarthyadhikarana 1291, 1350: Samyoga-prthaktva, explained and applied 86, 96, 228, 1350; Sarvaśākbāpratyaya-nyāya 610. 1273 (explained) or śākhāntarādhikarananyāya 1349~ 50, Sthälipuläkanyäya 1255n, 1351 . Udbhid-nyāya 1245, 1341: vāvad-vacanam vācanıkam 1177, 1348.

Max-Muller, his date (hypothetical) for the Vedic period, 497, 513, 882; his date for Amarakośa 840n, remarks against his dating for Veda 508, a of 'Six Systems of Indian Philosophy' 1200, 1491, wrong translation by, 1579n, 1583n.

Maxwell on Interpretation of Statutes, 1284-86.

Maya, astrological writer m. by Varāhamihira 542

Maya, king of Yavanas, to whom Jyotisa was imparted by the Sun-god 592.

Māyā 1509; Bādarāyaņa (V.S. II 2 29) and Sankara are ordinary that the agreed physical world is different from dreams, Śankarācārya employs the word Maya to express the idea of mystery as to how the finite arises from the Infinite 1510, proper language for most men is not to speak about the world as Māyā (illusion) 1509; Upanisad passages like Katha II 4. 2, Prasna J. 16, Chān, VIII 3.1-2 and Bi Up. I 3 28 may suggest the doct rine of M, 1509, word M. used in V.S. III. 2. 3 has been differently interpreted by ācāryas 1509, word occurs in Rg. in connection with Indra about his Sakti or Saktis 1043

Mayamata 1654.

Māyāmoha or Mahāmoha, producced from Vişnu's body, who deluded the Asuras and raised atheistic objections against offering animals in sacrifices, about feeding brāhmanas in śrāddha, acc to Visnu and Padma Purānas 974-75

Mayükhamālikā, com. on Šāstradīpikā 1236n, 1294n.

Mayūracitraka, astrological work attributed to Garga by Utpala 591.

McTaggart, a of 'Some Dogmas of Religion' 1605.

McCrindle, a of 'Ancient India as described by Megasthenes and Arrian' 849.

Measures of corp, pala, prastt, kudava, prastha, drona, khārī 810n, 1294 (meaning in Śāstra of these words is to be taken, not the one among mlecchas), Pānini mentions ādhaka and khārī 810n, Śabara mentions kudava, ādhaka, drona and khārī 810n; of time, different views on 476-477; of weight like pila (320 raktikās), karsa etc 789n.

Medhajanana, a mantra 35

Medhātīthi, a of bhāsya on Manusmrti, 28, 696, 868n, 946n, 1214, 1226n, 1227 (Manu V. 40 is mercly an arthavāda \, 1229n, 1230 (on Manu III. 45, long note on myama and parisankhyā), 1241n, 1252, 1258n (quotes his own work Smrtiviveka), 1273n, 1286, 1312n— 3n, 1321, 1376n, 1469n.

Medicine, founder of, is Krsnätreya acc. to Säntíparvan and not Caraka nor Pataújali 1396, muhūrta for beginning to take m. 626, views differ as to first propounder of medical science 1396.

Megasthenes, account of number of kings and the total of their reigns given by, 849, reference by, to Heracles, Sourseno, Methora 953.

Meghadūta 668, 1563n, 1571.

Mehta, Ashoka, a of 'Democratic Socialism' 1681.

Meissner, a of 'Babylonien and Assyrien', 570n, 595 (Zudiacal signs), 596.

Men. Br. Up (V 2 3) inculcates on all m. the virtues of selfrestraint, charity and compassion 1627.

Menander, Greek king of 2nd century B C., 669.

Meron, V. P., a of 'Transfer of power in India' 1464n, 1662; a. of 'Story of the Integration of States' 1663.

Meru, mountain on which the gods reside 824n.

Mern Tantra 1135n-36.

Mesopotamia (vide under 'Horoscope', 'Zodine'), influence of, supposed by Prof. Neugebauer on Indian writers about longest and shortest day 542; place value notation in, took 60 as the basic number 518n; put by Prof. Neugebauer and a few others in place of the Greeks as originators of science etc. 700n.

Meteors, beliefs about c. g falling on grave occasions and fants for such falls, 766-67.

Meton, Greek engineer; took length of year from Nabu 514n. Metonic cycle 646, 662.

Mihirakula, ruthless Hūna invader of India 656, 1109.

Milcius, richest city in Greek world in 6th century B. C. 516n.

Mimailisa (vide under Purvami mānisāsūtra, change, dharma, maxıms, smrtis, Veda, vākya, Kumārila, itikartavyatā, Śabara): differences between M. rules of interpretation and interpretation of Statutes pointed out 1283-4; does not lead in many cases to certain conclusions, as M writers like Sabara, Kumārila and Prabhākara differ among themselves 1271; first rule of M is that no part of the Veda (not even a word) can be treated as anarthala 1281. fundamental difference between Veda and Smitis pointed out 1272-3; in Yaj. I 3 M. means probably the work of Jaimini in 12 chapters, many writers Mādhavācārya speak of two mīmāmsās, Pūrva (12 chap of Jaimini) and Uttara (four chapters forming the Vedantasutra) 1160; meaning of the word M., long before the Upanisads 1154; not concerned with legislation by the king or a sovereign popular assembly 1283; "M. Jurisprudence' by Shri Nataraja Ayyar 1201: main purpose is to regulate the procedure, the various auxiliary and principal matters in Vedia sacrifices 1283, of Kāśakitsni mentioned by Mahabhasva 1157; promises to convey correct knowledge of Dharma and the Veda itself is the means of arriving at that knowledge 1283, purpose of, explained by Tantravārtika 1261, res. tricted sense of word M before Yāi, viz 'investigation into Dharma and arriving at conclusions on doubtful matters' 1154: result of the importance of vidhis and assignment of a very subordinate role to arthavādas and mantras 1285 ; rules and principles of M in relation to Dharmaśāstra 1283-1338, rules of, apply only to rites and names of tithis like Jayantī, acc to S M and Purusārtha-Cintamani, and hardly had . anything to do with people's practices 133, 1272, rules of interpretation fall into diffe rent classes from different stand-points such as general and special, about words and sentences, rules of procedure to be followed when several texts are in conflict, 1285, 1289, rules distinguishing between vidhi, niyama and parisan. Lhyā are general 1285, rule that Laksanā (secondary sense) of a word in a sentence is pre. ferable to the fault of vakya-

bheda 1301, 1303, rule that the singular includes the plural is a general one and so is the rule that a word importing a male includes a female 1285. rule that a doubt about the exact meaning of a part of a passage may be removed by relying on the remaining part of the passage 1240, 1285, rule about understanding words in the Veda and in Jan in the same sense as in popular usage as far as possible 1389, rule that words are to be taken in the primary and not in a secondary sense 1289-90, rule that the same word must not be used in two senses in the same sentence, 1292-93; rule that where words like yava, varāha, and vetasa have two meanings the meaning that the Veda, Sastra or usage of sistas attributes to them must be follbs no 1293-94. rule that words of foreign origin like mla, nema, tāmarasa and sata that are in vogue in Sanskrit are to be understood in the sense they bear in the foreign language 1294, rules about interpretation of sentences 1297-1306, though M. rules have been of considerable help to Dharmisastia writers, it should not be supposed that the application of M rules is casy or always enables scholars to arrive at certain and definite conclusions 1334-36 was very critical about smitted and usages 1272, word M

has great antiquity 1152-53. Mīmāmsābālaprakāša of Śankarabhatta (between 1550-1620 A. D.) 1195, 1221, 1225n, 1240n-41, 1252

Mīmāmsakas . (vide under Colebrooke) are strongly opposed to holding that any part of the Veda is useless or meaningless or non-eternal 1255. Colebrooke said that disquisitions on Mimāmsā bear a certain resemblance to juridical questions, that the logic of the Mimimsh is the logic of the law 1220, made a sweeping generalization that the whole Veda is meant for sacrifices but, though they went too far, they had some grounds for their theory, 991; word 'Mimāmsaka' occurs in Mahābhāsya 1156,

Mimāmsākaustubha of Khandadeva, demes that Subhadīā was the daughter of Vasudeva (though the Ādiparva expre ssly states that she was so) 1281n.

Mimāinsā-Kośa of svāmi Kevalānanda-sarasvatī in eight volumes (five already published), an encyclopedic work, 1290.

Mīmāmsānyāyaprakāša of Āpadeva (between 1610-1680 A.D.) 1199, 1226n, 1228n, 1235n (on bhāvanā), 1237n, 1245n-47n, 1250 (enumerates eight faults of Vikalpa), 1254n (on Sannipatyopakāraka and ārāduņakāraka), 1295n, 1315n-16n

Mimanisi-paribbisa of Kisna-

yajvan 1237n, 1241, 1254n. Mīmāmsāsāra-sangraha of Śankarabhatta (summarises in 250 yerses 1000 adhikaranas

of P. M. S.), 1189n

Mīmāmsāfāstra: declared to have had 20 chapters by Rāmānuja and Prapaficahrdaya and in Inscription of Rājarāja 1159-60; Krtakotibhāsya of, by Baudhāyana 1159; com. of Upavarṣa 1159; com. of Devasvāmin and Bhavadāsa 1159-60; twenty chapters of, are constituted acc. to some as the twelve chapters ascribed to Jaimini, plus four of Sahkarsakānda and four of Vedāntasūtra 1160.

Minakshi, Dr., a. of 'Administration and social life under Pallavas' 1012n

Mīnarāja, a. of Vrddhayavanajātaka, which see) 564.

Mind: pure m. superior to all anspicious or inauspicious times, 627.

Mirashi, Prof V. V. 945n, 1629n.
Mishra, Dr. Umesha, a. of 'Critical Bibliography of Mimāmsā'
and editor of the Vijiānadīpikā
of Padmapāda 1157n, 1599.

Mıśra-dhānya, explained 732n.

Mitaksarā of Vijūānešvara · 29, 102n, 246, 538n, 749n. 750n, 753, 757, 864-5, 896-7, 1063n (on Nādīs), 1181n, 1184n, 1192 (refers to views of Guru on Lipsāsūtra), 1233, 1234 (on Yāj. I 53 about marriages that are void), 1248 (Yāj. I. 129-166 contains many paryudātas), 1251 (allows option

following Jabalopanisad about time of becoming a Sannyasin), 1252 and n, 1264, 1269, 1270 (discards Vedic usages if hateful to people), 1273n-74, 1288, 1292, 1302-3 (on Brhaspati about re-union), 1305, 1315-16n (prefers 1308-9. mother to the father as hear), 1317, 1328 (ūha), 1328-29, 1336 (differences on vital doctrines of Hindu Law between Mit and Dayabhaga), 1421, 1438, 1468, 1597, 1637, Mitanni (vide under Bogozkewi, Babylon, Hittite) · names of kings and nobles from M., Nuzi and Syrian documents betray Indo-European origin, 599.

Mitra, Dr R. C, a. of 'Decline of Buddhism in India' 1003, 1010n, 1011.

Mitra, Dr. Rajendrajal, translated into English Yogasütra 1394.

Mitramisra, a. of Viramitadaya (beginning of 17th century A. D.) 835n.

Mlecchas, had authority to perform viratas according to some 54.

Modern Review, journal 1033, 1653n (on Konārka temple). Modi, Prof P. M., on 'problem of taduktam sūtras' 1176n.

Mohaparājaya, a drama by Yasah pāla, composed between 1072-1075 A. D., introduces Kaula practices 1076.

Moksa (vide under Mukti, puruṣārtha, Sannyāsin, Upanisad) 1215-17, 1511-14; acc.

+

to Kumārila and Prakaranapañcika M consists in not having to assume a body again, the idea being that the aspirant should not do forbidden acts or those that are Kamya, he should perform obligatory and naimittaka rites for avoiding the taint or sin that would accrue by non-performance 1216. Devala-dharmasütra provides that bondage is due to ahamkāra and mamatra and liberation consists in being free from these 1458, doctrine of Bhagavad-gītā and some puranas that actions done after surrendering the fruits to God do not bind a man but lead to M 967, is in a way opposed to first three goals, which become the preparation for it 1511: is secured by real knowledge and not by merely giving up wealth 1369, Kumārila asserts that the Upanisad exhortations to know the Atman are merely arthavadas 1216, Manusmiti on nihšreyasa (1. e Moksa) being due to ālmavidyā 1459, Manu condemns thinking about Moksa before discharging one's debts (duties) 1511; merely possessing signs of asceticism, vir ochre-coloured clothes, shaving head etc do not lead to M. 1369, P. M S, Śabara and Prabhākara do not deal with topic of M 1215; some Smitis like the Bihad-Yogiyajaavalkya vide that the mere knowledge of the Self is a sign of indolence

and that both knowledge and actions are necessary for Moksa 1216-17; four stages of, in Visnupurāna 959n. Upanisads emphasize that mere knowledge of brahman does not at once lead to Moksa, but there are three stages, knowledge, cultivation of restraint of senses. quiescence of mind, meditation and then only realization of non-difference of himself from brahma follows 1511-1513; was not possible for all and sundry. but only for a select few 1511; 1631 (it is like a razor's edge). words m., mukti, kaivalva. nihśreyasa, apavarga, amita. nirvāna held to be synonyms by Amarakośa and discussion about the occurrence of these in Upanisads, Gītā and other works 1414-15, 1652, conceptions about moksa differ in different darsanas and even in vedānta, 1631

Monks Buddhist M were not to possess property, yet they had a craving for wealth and supposed that by means certain mantras Kubera (lord of wealth) would confer on them everlasting riches 1115, Buddhist M believed that by mantras they would make some of the Hindu Gods their servants, they would be surrounded by heavenly damsels and they would acquire proficiency in fistras without study etc. 1116

Montagu's characterization of Indian Govt and about British policy 1660-61.

Month (or months acc. to context): (vide Intercalary M., Lsaya M., Malamasa), Cattra, Vaisakha and other M. came to be identified with ancient Madhu, Madhava etc Candra M , 657 : ended with Full Moon in ancient times 659, 668, 669, four kinds of. 657, 666; Greek names of months used in a few meeriptions in India 668; intercalary month 646: M. in which the year began in ancient and medieval times was different at different times and different parts 82, 658; naksatra m. not required in Dharmasastra but in Jyotisa 666; names of twelve M, are very ancient 667-668; names of M. derived from Naksatras ocour in Brahmana literature 667; names of, in South India 671; M. of different lengths for different purposes in Arthafastra 490, 658; of two kinds pūrnimānta or amānta one human M. held to be equal to aboratra of pitrs 656n. Pānini and Vārtika-kāra appear to speak about a month ending on Full Moon 68, 667; subject most complicated śuddha, nija or prakrta M as opposed to adhikamāsa 664, word for M. is mas or masa

Monuments, of India; the most remarkable ancient monuments are the stupa of Sanchi, the paintings in Ajanta and Bagh caves, the Kailas temple at Ellora and Konārka in Orissa 1652-53.

Moon, though it presents the same face to the earth has other side also, ace to Nyāyamaūjarī 470; called mās in Rg, 495; legend of his marrying 27 daughters (naksatras) of Prajāpati, his fondness for Rohinī and therefore suffering from Rājayaksman 507; reference to M. as becoming free from the mouth of Rāhu in Chān. Up. 569; waxing M. held auspicious by Greeks and Indians 532n; when powerful astrologically 587-588.

Moracs, Mr. Frank, a. of biography of Pandit Nehru, 1172n.

Moral code, highest importance attached to a brief moral code (of ahimsā, satya etc.) by all Dharmašāstra works that enjoined men of all varnas and castes to observe them 1637.

Moret, Alexander, on 'Nile and Egyptian civilization' 566n.

Morgan, E P. edited 'This I believe' (1953), 1470n, 1710n. Morgan, Prof. K. W., a. of 'The path of the Buddha' 942n, 1003.

Morley, Lord, views of, on introducing democracy in India 1660.

Morning, the first fifth part of a day, equal to about three ghatilās 81.

Moslem kings, examples of the intolerance of, (like Emperors Jehangir and Aurangzeh) 1019.

123

Motor accidents, incidence of, in Bombay city 1678n.

Mount Sadhu, a. of 'Concentration' 1394.

Mountains (vide Himavat); Rgveda mentions mountains in the plural (of 'parvata') 1527; seven M. of Bharatavarsa called Kulaparvatas acc. to Visnu, Brahma, Brahmanda 430, 1525 and n; myth of wings of M. cut off by Indra 763-764.

Micchakatika, 46, 186.

Mrgāra Anovāka 786.

Mityunjaya, mantra is 'Tryambaka Mantra' (Rg. VII. 59. 12), 792, 814; also called Mrtasanjivani, prescribed for purifying the mind 1100.

Mrtyusükta 758n.

Mrtynyoya (Inauspicious conjunction) defined 707.

Mudrā, 1123-1131; a characteristic item în Tantrik worship 1123, derivation of the word M. differs in different works 1123-24, has several meanings in Tantrafastra, 1057, 1081, 1123, means the Sakti (woman) associated with a sādhaha in Sākta worship 1084, 1123, means also a posture in Yogic practices in which the whole body plays a part and the symbolic or mystic intertwining of fingers and hands as part of worship 1124

Mudrās (vide under Jains, purāņas. Visnudhirmottara, Yoga) great divergence among Tantras, Purānas and Yoga works on the number, names and definitions of M. 1125-1131;

appropriate mudrās (finger and hand poses) are to be employed in worship, in japa, in meditation and in all rites performed for securing some desired object 1124; fine eulogy of, in Visnudharmottara 1129, eight M. in the worship of Visnu mentioned by Brahma and Nāradīya purāpas, 1127n . M. in Hindu and Buddhist Tantras were probably based on those in Nātva 1129; largest number of M. in dancing are contained in Visnudharmottara-purana, 1128-29, Mudrās and Nyāsa held to be non-vedic by later works like Dharmasindhu in Mahārāstra at least 1131 :

Mudranighaptu · names and defines nine Mudrās (āvāhanī and others) that may be employed in the worship of any god, then enumerates 19 M. appropriate to Visnu worship, ten appropriate to Siva worship. seven to Ganesa worship, one to the Sun (called Padma) and Mudrās of Śaktı, Agnı, Tripurā and other deities, 1125 and n: Śāradātılaka names and defines only nine Mudrās, while Visnu Sambitā states that are numberless but names and defines about thirty and Jayakhyasamhitä hasabout 58 Mudrās 1125-27; some Dharmafāstra works from 13th century onwards dilate at some length on Mudras, such as Hemūdri, Smrticandrikā 1130-31; Some Purānas like Brahma, Nūradīya,

Kālikā, Visnu-dharmottara describe many mudrās 1127—28; Tāntrika works provide that Mudrās should be practised secretly under cover of a garment and not in presence of many people 1125—26, Yoga works describe various Mudrās, e g Hathayogapradīpikā describes ten, Gherandasamhītā 25, Sivasambītā ten as the best 1127.

Mudrālaksana, a work on Mudrās appropriate to Visnu and other gods 1125n, 1128.

Mudrāmghantu (glossary of Mudrā names) 1124–25, 1129n, (vide under Lalitopākhyāna) 1129n

Mudrāvidhi, a Jain work, lists 114 Mudrās.

Mudrāvicāra, a Jam work, lists 73 Mudrās

Muhūrta: (vide under Upanayana, marriage, week day). 537 ff, called Brahma 538. 539, called Jaya 539, called Kutapa 540, called Martra 539, called Yestiha in Kausitaki Up 538, concurrence of four elements, viz. tithi, naksatra Karana and M, required for success in a rate or act 604. 616, Coronation of the king, " m. for 616, derivation of the word 537n, difference in the length of a M of day and of night according to the seasons 538, 541, equal to two nadis or ghatis 684, fifteen M. in the day and also in the night in the Sat Br. and other ancient works 537-8, 684,

for religious rites 604ff, for secular matters such as purchase of merchandise, animals, for oil bath 626, known by the names of the desties presiding over M. 540; Literature on, is extensive 556-558, loud declaration by brahmanas enoughin case of urgency 604, meanings of 537, 543, meaning 'time fit for performance of auspicious acts' 543, names of, set out in Brhadyogayātrā (not in Bihatsamhitā) 540, names of fifteen m, by day and of night acc, to Vāyupurāna 540, names of m. differed in the Brahmanas and Smitis 538; names of m. acc to Athan vana jyotisa and Muhūrtadarsana 539, names of the desties, presiding over the 30 m of day and night 541, new garments, M for wearing for first time 626, no need to consider auspiciousness of days, of Jupiter and Venus in times of distress 604, M. (word) occurs twice in Rgveda 537, the Muhurtamuktāvalı provides for an auspicious time for thieving 558; performance of auspicious rite in the month of Jyestha for the eldest boy or girl not allowed 607, position of Jupi ter to be considered for, 608, Purity of mind superier to all în acc to Matsya, Varāhamıhıra, Ratnamālā 627; rules (general) about auspicious conditions for all undertakings 605, seven auspicious M out of 15 M. of the day 539,

Index 135

simple rule in Āśv. gr. for M. in Upanayana, caula, marriage 536, 609-10, stages in the naming of muhūrtas, three, 540, understanding of M in the sense of auspicious time requires some knowledge of planets, naksatras, rāśis, bhāvas (places in horoscope), 543ff, what should be done on the 15 m. of the day acc. to Ātharvanajyotisa 541.

Muhūrta-cintāmanı 189n, 533n, 544n, 556-57, 559-60, 585, 589, 607n, 608n, 609, 611n, 614, 616-17, 619-20, 621n, 622, 624, com. Pīyūsadhārā on, 64in, 556, 608n, 616n, 618n, 622n-i, 710n.

Muhūrtadaršana, also called Vidyāmādhaviya, 539, 556, 623, 666n 705.

Muhūrtadīpaka of Nāgadeva, 557

Muhūrta-ganapatı of Ganapatı Raval 556.

Muhūrtakalpadruma of Vitthala (times and places for diksā) 556, 1117.

Muhurtamālā by Raghunātha 557, 701n (list of words that stand for numerals from one to 49).

Muhūrtamārtanda of Nārāyana 557, 614, 616, 622, 666n subicets dealt with in 557-8, 626. Muhūrtamuktāvali 557.

Muhūriaiativa of Ganeśa, 556.

Mukerst, D. N. 651n (on kita years in Inscriptions)

Mukern R C a of 'Ancient Indian fasts and feasts' 60, 128, 149, 173, 205, 235.

Mukti (vide under Moksa),
Kulārnava-tantra states that
M does not result from study
of Sāstras or Veda, but only
from correct knowledge imparted by a guru and that two
words viz. 'this is mine' and
'nothing is mine' respectively
lead to bondage or liberation
and then imports Kaula doctrines 1083, four kinds of,
named and explained 1631n.

Muktikā Upanisad 1564.

Mūla, Naksatra called Vicrtau, in Tai. S. 500.

Mûlakarma, meaning of 1079-80. Mundaka Upanisad · 475 (names six angas of Veda), 917 (on parā and aparā vidyā), 921 (passages of, borrowed Purānas), 948, 952, 1055n, 1086 (q by Tantra works), 1360, 1387-89, 1416, 1430. 1448 (on dhyāna), 1507, 1512, 1538 (verse 'dvā suparna' which occurs also in Rg I. 164.20 and Sv. Up. IV. 6) 1546n, 1552n, 1563, 1566, 1585n, 1604, 1607; 1625 (illustration of rivers flowing into the ocean).

Muni (in the Rgveda) Munis called Vātaraśana were said to have been befriended by Indra 1386.

Munitz, Milton K, a of 'Theories of the Universe' (omits Indian material) 1486n

Munshi, K. M., Jubilee volume presented to, 782n

Murārīmiśra, founder of a third school of Mimāthsā (between 1150-1220 A. D.), 1199. Murzban M M., a. of 'Parsis in India' 264.

Mus, Paul a. of 'Barabudor' (Java) 1657.

Musia, works on Indian, 1656-57.

Mysticism 1463; Dean Inge in

'Christain mysticism' cites (in
Appendix) 26 definitions of
the word 1463n; definition of,
by J. H. Leuba, 1463n, Prof. R.
C. Zaehner defines it as 'realization of unity' in 'Mysticism, sacred and profane'
(1957), 1463.

Nābhāuedistha, son of Manu, story of, 691n.

Nabunessar (747 B. C), dated observations continuously recorded in Mesopotamia from reign of, 514.

Naciketas, story of, in Kathopanisad and Anusasana-parva 915, 1535, story of, in Tai. Br, slightly different from that in Kathopanisad 1535.

Nadi, equal to half muhurta 684; several meanings of, 684n; word occurs in Rgveda 684

Nādīs are ten in human body, three main ones, being 'Idā' (on left side), Pingalā (on right side) and Susumnā (middle of spinal chord) and this is based on Upanisad passages 1063n, 1430.

Nadis (rivers), are so called only when they are 1008 dhanus in length 431, are deemed to be impure (rajasvalā) when the sun is in the middle of Cancer and Lion signs and become unfit for bath 431.

Nāgapaūcamī, 124-127; how observed in the Deccan 125, observed in different ways in all parts of India 124, on 5th of Śrāvana bright half 124; on 5th of Śrāvana dark half in Saurāstra 125, paūcamī mixed with 6th to be preferred 126, procedure of worship of images of nāgas 124-125, some hold that it is one of 3½ most auspicious days in the year instead of Aksayya-titīyā 124

Nagarakhanda 227, 229, 693.

Nāgas-eight named in Bhavisyottara, but twelve in some
Purānas, one of whom to be
worshipped in each month
124n, figure in the Mahābhārata
126, legend about Kadrū,
mother of n 124n, Purānas
full of stories about 127, when
and how worship of N arose in
India is a difficult problem
126

Nāgojibhatta, com. of Saptasatī 154n, 155n; a of com. on Yogasūtra in Haildas S. Series.

Nahusa, made approaches to Śacī and became an ajagara 1280.

Naiskarmyasiddhi (ed. by Col. Jacob) 1175n; a work of Sureśvara 1174, 1216n; com. Candrikā on, 1216n; states that Jaimini composed a śārī rakasūtra, the first two sūtras of which were the same as those of V S. 1174-75

Naivedya, derivation of the word 35n.

Naksatras (vide astrolog), king, Naksatra-snāna, Pusyasnāna), All men are concerned with six n and the king with nine, 529, n, are 27 or 28 (when Abhijit is added) 529, 497, arguments against the theories of Biot, Weber and others that Indian system of n was borrowed from the Chinese, Babylonians or Arabs 71, 506-510; auspicious n. for marriage, acc to Baud, Gr. Sūtra 497n, 523, characteristics of persons born on each of the 27 n, acc to Bilianataka 559-560: classified as punya (beneficent) and papa and male and female in Tai Br. Upanisads and Br S 524-5, 559. complete lists of n, m Tai, S. Tai Br. Kathaka Sam., Mait. Sam, and Atharvaveda 498, countries governed by n, acc to Br S. 14th chap 14, 530, deemed parts (or limbs) of Time looked upon as a Purusa 560-61, different n. associated with eight kinds of dvadasis 119, different n were called male in different ages 525n; divided into three classes vir auspicious, inauspicious and neutral 544n, enumerated from Kritikā to Bharanî in Vedic literature, Vedanganotist and Yaj. 498, enumerated from Assini to Revata in works from 3rd or 4th century A D. and in modern times 498, European equivalents of n in Colchrooke, Burgess and Dikshit 498, fit for Agnyadhāna, acc to Sat Br 566, n from Kritikā to Visikhā are called Deva N. and from Anuradha to Bharant, Yama n,

505, 524; held to be temples in which gods reside 545-46, homa to 27n, from Asvinī 326, information and legends about n. in Vedic texts 507; Isti to 28 n. from Kıttıkā to Bharanî 505, list of n in Vedic Sauhitas with names of deities, gender, number of stars in each 501-504. Magba and Müla among n. to be avoided by husband for sexual intercourse, 544n; Naksatresti in Tai Br (III. 1) dealt with, 505; names of n., their sequence, deities fixed from before the Tai S 509; names of, are mostly significant 509; n to be avoided in auspicious rites and particularly in marriage 615, only a few n. mentioned in Old Testament and ancient Greek writers Homer and Hesiod 506, notes on n. from Atharvana-naksatrakalpa and other works 499pāpanaksatras m. by 500: Kausikasūtra 535; ploughing on Anuradha n. mentioned in Tai. Br 521, prejudice against star-gazers and astrologers in Veduc times 526-7; presiding desties of n. in Br S, Athar. vana-naksatrakalpa and Visnu dharmottara dıffer slightly among themselves 199n, prime importance of n in the basic Vedic rite of consecrating sacred fires 506, provinces of India governed by nine groups of n. 560, Puronuvākyā and Yājyā verses for each Naksatra in Nakşatresti 505; Rgieda ex-

pressly names Aghā, Armnī and Tisva among n, and in a veiled way probably Mrgasiras. Punarvasu, Pusya, Satabhisak and Revati 497-98, 523, rule that whatever naksatra or tithi has a certain deity as ruler, the sleeping, turning from one side to another and awakening takes place on that naksatra and tithe 111, secret name derived from naksatra of birth to be used by sacrificer 505; serious discussions about n in many works 495; seven class es of n. as dhruva, mrdu etc special names of 215-216; 16th, 20th and 4th, 10th, and 23rd naksatra from that of birth 329-30, substances governed by each of 27 n., 560; Svätī n, marriage on, led to love among spouses 524, synonyms of, in Rājamārtanda 560, three senses of the word 'naksatra' 495-6, two derivations of the word naksatra, 510, what actions are beneficial on different classes of n. 510, what n are said to be Ugra 275; when n are said to be affected 531, why Vedic list of n. starts from Krttikā and why from Aśvini in classical literature is explicable on astronomical grounds 507-8; word naksatra is apphed to the sun also in the Rgveda 516, word naksatra occurs frequently in the Raveda and other Samhitās 495 Naksatrasnāna 792-793; Brāhmana who undergoes Pusyasnāna thrice with certain additions becomes famous like a king 798; ceremonial bath and worship of naksatras and their presiding deities, the mantras employed 792, nomeant for all 798, substances to be added to water for each not not all the certain additional that the certain additional that the certain the certain the certain additional that the certain additional that the certain additional that the certain the certain that the certain

Natta an alternative to rigidly observed Ekādasī and is super or to Yācita 101, rules for observing nakta 101-102; views as to exact time called natta 102

Naktavrata, is independent of the alternative to fast 103

Nakula in Kürmapuräna stands for Lakula (which see)

Nalada flowers, for decking the corpse of an āhitāgni 731n

Nāmadheya (vide Syena). 1s the 4th class of Vedic texts bearing on dharma 1244-45, examples of names of Vedic rites such as Udbhid, Citrā, Bala bhid, Abhijit, Višvajit, 1245. Nāmakarana (naming a child), rules about proper times for

Nanaghat cave Inscription of about 200 B C, 131.

605

Nanda-(or Nandı) purāna, 18
part of Skanda, acc. to Nityācārapradīpa 880n, note on
890-91, one of the earliest
Upapurānas composed in 8th
or 9th century A D 891.

Nandā, sub-division of tithis 180n, 327.

Nandipurāna (vide Nanda-

139

purāna).

Nārada, a Devarsı in Gītā and one of the sons of Brahmā in Purānas 1581-82.

Names, great in the reform of Hindu society and relegion in modern times, 1699-1700

Nanuo Bunyiu, a of catalogue of Tripitaka 1040.

Nārada, a of a work on Jyotisa 97, 99, 592, 622n, 790n,

Nārada-sauhitā 195n, 197n. 199n, 656

Nārada. brought doctrine of bhaktı from Svetadvīpa 962.

Nărada, an author on music in Brahmanda-purana and as the propounder of Gändharva acc. to Natyasastra 896

Nārada-bhaktisūtra 956. 960. 965n.

Nārada Pāñcarātra 956.

Nārada Tautra-describes mudiās appropriate to Visua worship such as Śankha, Cakra 1125n.

Năradiya Purana . (vide Bihan Nāradīya), 41, 70, 72n-73n, 79-81n, 88, 95, 98-9, 101, 104-5 1:3n, 114-5, 117n, 119, 138, 529n, 601, 681, 691, 708, 857n, 920, 927, 1096n, 1102 (for kavaca-mantra), 1127n (on mudrās), 1576n (doctrine of Kaima; note on 892-93. (compiled between 700-1000 ADI

Naradasmiti 1266, 1286, 1333 (apostate from Sannyasa becomes a slave of the king), 1582, 1597.

Nerahari Dr H. G , on an account and date of Prārabdha-dhyānta samhrin 1601.

Narain Prof A K., a. of 'Indo Greeks' 827, 829 (on difficult passages of Yugapurana), 963 (on Besnagara column Inscription)

Naraka (Hell), Medhätithi states that n means extreme pain or suffering 1214; seven, acc. to V. S., Sankarācārya, Visnupurāna, Yogabhāsya 825, 1529 . twenty one acc to Manu, Yā1 , Visnu Dh S. 825, 1214n. Narakacaturdasi 197, also called

Bhūtacaturdašī 198.

Narakāsura, killed by Krsna and lights lighted with four wicks in memory of 197

Narasimha (or Nrsimha) Puiāna, 35, 149, 691, 754, 915, 922, 926, 971, 974, 978-982, 1024, 1096n, 1455, 1649, Aparārka quotes it 9 times and only about 30 verses, half of which deal with Sannyasa, composed solely for the glorification of Narasunha identified Nārāyana 978; chap 36 enumerate eleven avatāras (including Buddha and Balarama) chap 37-54 and narrate stories of all avataras except Buddha, Harra (Prof) bases his remarks upon several mss. besides the only printed edition pub by Gopal Narayan & Co (in 1911) 878-880, Hazra concedes that N was revised several times, that Hemadri had a more extensive N before hun 881, Hazra holds that present N is to be placed between 100-500 A, D listening to stories of ten ava-

(excluding Buddha) takes the devotee to Visnu 879 . Matsya states that N. contained 18000 verses, while printed N. contains only about 3400 verses, hence the latter is only a substitute 880; present N. may be assigned to 9th century A. D. 892; note on 891-92; on usefulness of images of gods 973n, 1121n; Tulasī story in N indicates lateness 882, whole Purana is suspect and no certain conclusion about its date can be drawn 882.

Nārāyana, com, of Aśv Gr. S. 802n.

Nārāvana, etymologies of the word in Manu. Śāntiparva and some Putānas 1516 and n

Nārāyanī, name applied to Devī 176n.

Nārāyanīya (a section of Santiparva). deals with Krsna worship 953, 957, 1365, difference between N. and Gita 961-962. Nārāvanopanisad 1045.

Nāsadīya-sūkta 1490-91: a unique Satapatha Br. on it hvmn. 1490n, some passages are still obscure 1490, translated and explained 1491, translations and remarks by Western Scholars 1491.

Nāstika, is primarily one, acc to Kumārila, who does not believe in the existence of the individual soul 1206n, the Kāšikā on Pan IV. 4 60 holds that it means one who does not believe in the Hereafter 1206n

Natarajan, S., a of 'A century of | Navānna-bhaksana (partaking of

Social Reform ' 1636n, 1700. Nātyaśāstra (of Bharata), 896n. 1037n, 1129n (mentions Garada which is a mudră in Mudrănighamitu), 1129, 1630.

Nātvaveda, Vararuci as proficient ın 900n.

Nautical almanac 676, 682, 711. Navagrahaśāntı (vide Ayutahoma, Grahayajña, Laksahoma and Kotshoma, sacrifice, planets): 749-756, all religious rites to be performed after N. 749: becomes elaborate in Bhavisyottara and medieval works 753, colours and presiding deities of Navagrabas 751, mantras for the nine grahas from Yāj., Matsya, and Vaikhānasa-Smārtasūtra and Bi had-yogayatra slightly differ 750-51, 755n, 919, model of all Śānti homas m all medieval digests 749, procedure of, in Yāj and Matsya 750-752; procedure different for each graha in Madanaratna 755; purposes for which N. was to be performed 749; supposition that each of nine grahas has a separate gotra and coun try of birth 753; three kinds of, viz Ayutahoma, Laksahoma and Kotshoma acc to Matsyn 749, verses of Matsyapurana describing how the figures of nine grahas were to be drawn or printed 753, Yaj. does not even refer to Ayutahoma and the two others 753n.

Navagrahayaga (vide Grahapaja) 296-7

grains from fresh crops) 330-1.

Navarātra, twice, in Caitra and Āśvina 179, 186 (vide under Durgāpūjā).

Navarātrapradīpa of Vināyaka alias Nanda pandita 155-156. Nawrath, E. A., a. of 'Immortal India' (Bombay, 1956)

Nayavıveka of Bhavadeva or Bhavanātha, a work of Prābhākara school, 1189, referred to by Smrti-candrikā, Vīramitrodaya on Vyavahāra and Vyavahāramayūkha, 1192, 1233

Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, 595, ordered Chaldeans to find out the dream he had forgotten and to interpret it 781.

Nehru Pandit, Prime minister, tribute to ancient Brahmana ideal 1640; to old teaching of Dharma, 1664, about Gandhiji's ideas on self-restraint 1670 (on necessity of a worth while ideal); 1678-79, speeches of, collected by Sriman Narayan for the A. I. C C (1956) on socialistic pattern 1680-81; admits that adequate incentives must be offered 1683; Criticizes Gandhiji's attitude to sex and praise of poverty and ascetic life 1619, Autobiography by, 1689, 1708n (on Politics). Nepal, gambling in, on large cerle on Balipratipadă, 203.

Neugebauer Prof. Ofto; a. of Exact Sciences in Antiquity? and several papers, 181, 483, 490n, 514n, 517-18, 520n, 521, 512 (criticized), 519n, 566n,

571n (criticized), 582n, 597, 631n, 650n, 676n, 699n, 700n, 741n.

New Indian Antiquary (a journal), 1408n, 1601.

Newton, laws of motion expounded by, are now held to be approximations, 1503n

Nigada, examples of 1222, is Yajus, but is loudly uttered, while Yajus is recited in a low voice 1097, 1222

Nighantu 10, 35-36n, 855, 1044, 1600n.

Night, no bath or making gifts or śrāddha at n, except on expressly slated occasions 79, 244, Rgveda X. 127 is hymn to n, employed as Śānti 730.

Nuhéreyasa, meaning of, 1037n, 1515n, occurs in Pānīni V. 4-77 and Kaus. Up, 1468n, stated to be goal of the study of Nyāya and Vaisesika Sūtras 1468 Mahābhāsya caplains it 1515n.

Nīlakantha, com. of, on Mahābhārata 1570n.

Nīlamatapurāna 200.

Nilapatadarsana, work of Tantrik Vajrayana Buddhist sect, in which the three jewels are Kama, courtezan and wine, while the three jewels of devout Buddhists are Buddha, Dharma and Sangha 1073.

Nimesa, time required for pronouncing a short syllable 476.

Nimitta (see under 'utpata'), auspicious nimittas very few as compared with innuspicious ones 744, distinguished from utpata 743, long lists of in-

auspicious nimittas 743-744; meaning of, 743; Manu mentions n. and utpāta in same verse 743.

Nimitta, a work attributed to Digambara Jain Bhadrabāhu 748n, 805n, contents of, 805n; later than Varāhamihira and not concerned with Dharmaśāstra and does not dilate on Śāntis, 805n

Nirājana, a Śānti 335, 759, 783, of horses and elephants 184, of king and soldiers 193; on the king's march for invasion 187; procedure of and mantras in 193

Nuravasita, meaning of 926n. Nurayana, meaning of 712.

Nirgrantha, naked Jam monk 978n.

Nırnayamrta, 69n-71n, 89n, 93, 100n, 101, 134, 146n, 154n, 195n, 196n, 230, 663

Nirnayasindhu (written in 1612 A. D.), 31-33n, 41n-43, 47n-50n, 51-53n, 54, 61n, 62n, 70-71n, 72n, 73n, 75, 84-86, 88, 90-2, 96n, 97n, 104, 109, 112, 113, 115, 119, 121n, 123n, 127, 133-34, 142n, 144-45n; 146n, 15ln, 153n-4n, 157n, 16ln-2n, 169, 171, 173, 178n, 179, 180, 182-4n, 188-90, 195, 196n-199n, 201n, 203n-5n, 207n-8n, 218n-9n, 221, 223n, 228n, 240-1, 243n-4, 246n-7n, 607n-8n, 610n, 612n, 613-4, 626, 633n, 660, 664n-5n, 672-74, 710n, 762, 765n-6n, 773, 788n, 925, 926n, 1106, 1117 (times for dīksā), 1289, 1307, 1332. Nirukta, (vide 'Vedic interpre-

tation' and 'Yāska); 984, 985 (two interpretations of several Rgveda Mantras), 987, 991 (discussion whether Vedic Mantras have meanings), 1033n, 1044 (explains Rg. I. 164, 11), 1103. 1156n, 1181, 1203. 1237n, 1238n, 1240n, 1256n, 1275-76 (PMS agrees with many conclusions of N), 1364n, 1460n, 1536n, 1540n, 1578-79 (on Vaiśvānara), 1587n, 1633 (word jata occurs), 1664 (story of Devap; and Santann). Nirvāna 1008n, 1022, not clearly defined by Buddha 941, really indescribable just as brahman is described as 'neti' 1008n: Saundarananda on, 940n-41; N. as synonym of Moksa does not occur in principal Upanisads, but in Gitä, 1515.

Nisāda 554n, 1296; could offer an isti to Rudra, with a Vedic mantra though he did not belong to any of higher varnas 1642

Nisädasthapati, meaning of, 1296. Nisedha vide Pratisedha

Nispannayogāvalı of Abhayākaragupta, Buddhıst Täntrık work, 1050, 1133, 1143.

Nistya, called Svatī in other texts 500.

Nītimayūkha 923.

Nityā is Śakti, that is non-different from Śiva 1091n

Nityācārapaddhati of Vidyākara 924n, 1110n.

Nityācāra-pradīpa of Narasniha Vājapeyin; enumerates 18 Purāņas and refers to Nara sinha P., 880n.

Nityānuiāda, explained and illustrated 1250, the word occurs frequently in Jamini 1250.

Nityāsodasikārnava (part of Vāmakešvara Tantra) · 1050n, 1058n, 1091, 1136 names 64 tantrasincluding eight Yāmalas 1050, names and defines Trikhandā and other mudrās 1126-27

Nityotsava (a Täntrik work of Umānandanātha, pupil of Bhāsurānandanātha) praises his guru hyperbolically 1071; 1117 (on dīksā), 1136 (on Yantras).

Niyamas are called tapas by Ap Dh. S and Amarakośa 29; five named by Yogasūtra 29n, 1421n, but Yuktidīpikū differs, many enumerated by Vāyu-purāṇa 29n; ten Niyamas-m by Yāj 29n, N. of Y S II. 32 are of a positive character (viz. be pure, be contented etc.), 1422

Niyama-vidhis, are classified into three, viz those concerned with pratinidhis, with pratipatti and those concerned with matters other than these two, with illustrations 1231-32; taking food facing the east is an example of a n not concerned with pratimidin nor pratipatti 1232

Nijoga, practice of, allowed as well as condemned by the extant Manusmrti 1266 Rg X 10 2 refers to it and Gautama and others and even Xaj states the procedure and conditions of it, 1268 and n.

Noushirwan, Sassanian king (531-579 A D.), dream of, interpreted by Buzurmihir (probably Varāhamihira) 781.

Nrpa (word) represents number 16, 703n.

Nisimhācārva 228n

Nrsunha-putāna, vide Narasīmha-Number, 18 prominent in Mahābhārata 842.

Numerals, Indian (vide decimal system and place value systems). their antiquity and method of writing them 697-704: Arvabhata mentions ten orders of n from one to vinda, each ten times of the the preceding from place (sthana) to place 698: Aryabhata's method of giving numerical values to letters from L to m 704; denoted by emplete words suggesting numbers 701, eighteen orders of numbers from one to parardha. mentioned by Vayu and Visua Purānas and Līlāvatī from one to ten, hundred, thousand and ten thousand, several times occur in Rg 697; Greeks appear to have had no single word for million 698; larger numbers are sometimes mentioned in the Rgveda before smaller numbers 697; list of the word for 18 orders of n 699; list of Sanskrit words suggesting numbers from one onwards 702-703; method of using a group of words for the place of units tens etc acc to 'aukānām vāmato gatīh ' 704: names for astronomical numhers of years settled in times of

Vedic Sainhitas at least 1000 years B. C. 697-8; names of Vedic metres such as Asta. Atyastı suggest numerals 16. 17 etc. 703n; Panini mentions nanktı (ten), vımsati ap to navatı and sata 698; several methods of writing n., 701-702; Tai, S. mentions bricks from one, one hundred, thousand, ayuta, niyuta, prayuta, arbuda, nyarbuda, samudra, madhya, anta and parardha 697-698, Varahamihira employs words suggest ing numerals even in the place value system 701, 703.

Nyāsa (mystical sanctification of several parts or limbs of the body with Vedic, Tantrik or other mantras), (vide under anganyāsa, hamsanyāsa, mantranyāsa, mātrkānyāsa, pranavanyāsa); 87, 168, 1120-1123; N. 15 an important item in the Tantrik ritual 1120, N. compared by Woodroffe with the Christian method of making the sign of the cross 1123; expressly provided by Devibhāgavata as part of Sandhyā worship 1121, ın modern times some orthodox people perform two kinds of n viz Antarmätrkā and Bahırmātrkā, 1122; is avaidika and should not be performed acc. Dharmasındhu and Samskāraratnamālā, 1121n, of different names of Visnu, viz. Govinda, Trivikrama etc on fingers of right hand, the palm etc 1121-22; n of several portions of Gayatri, of single letters of Gāyatrī on one's limbs 1122, n. of letters of alphabet from 'a' to 'ksa', acc. to Mahanirvāna. Śāradā, and Rāghavabhatta on several limbs 1122; several varieties of n. described in tantra works and Puranas 1120-21; several works, some tantras and Puranas also, dilate upon n. 1120 . sixteen verses of Purusasükta for n. on several limbs in Visnu worship 1122; N. was taken over from Tantra works in the Puranas and medieval Dharmaśāstra works for the rites of orthodox people some centuries before Yogayājnavalkya and Aparārka 1122.

Nyāya (system of logic), for its necessity in the interpretation of the Veda, Kumārila relies on Manu 1261.

Nyāya, a source of Dharma 1152; also means the adhikaranas of Jamini, expounding the points of Dharma 1155n.

Nyāya-kusumātijalı of Udayana. 1624.

Nyāyamanjarī of Jayantabhatta 469-470.

Nyāyaparıšuddhi of Venkatanātha 1158.

Nyāyaratnākara (of Pārthasārathi-miśra), a com. on Ślokavārtika; 1159n, 1179n, 1183n, 1188, 1205n, 1207, 1210 (creation and dissolution of world is arthavāda), 1212 (on four possible views on prāmānya and aprāmānya of cognitions), 1216, 1225n, 1376n, 1600.

145

Nyāyaratnamālā · 1321n (divides all texts into Upadeśa aud Atideśa), 1324n.

Nyāyasudhā or Rānaka of Someśvara, a com on Tantravārtika 1188, 1247n (quotes a verse as from Kumārila's Bihattīkā), 1297n

Nyāyasūtra · 469, 1324n (defines ūha), 1468, goal of study of, is nihēreyasa (Moksa) 1468.

Nyāyavārtika 469n

Nyāyarıd, meaning of, 1153n Occans-said in the purānas to be seven surrounding the dvīpas 440-441, 1524; said to be

440-441, 1524; said to be four, 445 (under Sagaravrata).
O'Connor, N. J., a of 'How Buddhism left India' 1003

Officials, to be maintained by king and their salaries 819. Oldenberg 494n

Old Testament 676-7, references to astrologers, stargazers and prognosticators of Babylon

O Leary, De Lacy, author of 'Arabic thought and its place in world History' 483n.

Olmstead 646.

548.

Om, a sucred syllable, the symbol of brahma and may be called a bija in Tantra language, 1097, importance of Om borrowed from Upanisads by Yogasütra 1417; is called Pranava 1097n, is called Tāra in Tantra works, 1099n, eulogy of om in Yogasütra, 1413 and n. identified with brahmaa in Tar Up. 1416.

Omna R C, a of The Mystics, 19

ascetics and saints of India '1427

Oppenhem A. Leo, a. of 'Interpretation of dreams in ancient Near East' 810n.

Oppert, G. editor of 'Sukranitisāra' 1036

Orion, work of Lokamanya Tilak, 498-9n, 510.

Option-see Vikalpa

Orissa Historical Research Journal 174n

Osborne, Arthur, work of, on 'Raman Maharshi' 1479n.

Owl, hooting of, 729.

Padapātha of the Rgreda 22n, 861 (ascribed to Śākalya)

Padārthamrūpana, a work of Raghunātha 470.

Padārthānusamaya method 1317, 1345, is reverse of Kāndānusamaya and is set out in P. M. S. V. 2. 1-3.

Padmapāda, a. of Vijnāna-dīpikā 1574n

Padmapurāna 32, 37, 40, 42-45, 56-7. 97-8, 103, 108n, 112n, 125, 135, 147, 195, 196n-97. 201n, 202, 204n-07n, 210, 225. 227, 56ln, 672, 751, 770r. 779n, 805, 811 (on Upagrutilike divination), 817n, 824n, 841 (chapters identical with those of Matsya), 856-57n, 862-63n, 876n, 915-16, 922-25, 930-32, 934-5, 945-6, 948, 960, 964, 966n, 968, 971-72. 97 in, 976 (condemns Advasta and Mīmāmsā), 1024, (on nyāsa), 1136, 1207 and 1209 (avers that Mimainsa), is urrīši ara). 1213 (description of heaven), 1383, 1385,

1470n, 1528, 1570n, 1576 (on doctrine of Karma), note on, 893, thousands of verses common to Matsya and Padma, the latter being the borrower 893; two recensions of 893.

Paingi-rahasya Biāhmana 1360 (q. by Śankarācārya).

Panigya, 66

Paintings famous ancient paintings in India are those at Ajanta and in Bagh caves 1654-55, works on Ajanta p. by Dr. Yazdani, Mukula-chandra Dey and Balasaheb Pant, chief of Aundh State 1654-55

Partāmaha-siddhānta (astronomical work), (vide Pitāmaha-siddhānta below), probably composed about 80 A. D. 488, 517; states that Yuga was constituted of five years and employed Saka 2 for its epoch, 488 and n.

Paithīnasi, a smrti 54, 72n,

Pākayajūas (vide under 'Yajūa'): 1233n.

Pākistan, came into existence in 1947 and there is now hardly any Hindu or Sikh to be found in West P. 1464n.

Paksa (fortnight); rites for gods and for prosperity to be performed in bright p and for pitrs and magic in dark p 385, word p. occurs in Tai. Br and Upanisads 670.

Palsati, meaning of 671
Pala, a measure of corn equal to
16th of prastha, 810n

Palasi (lit. eater of pala 1 e flesh) esoteric meaning of,

1084n.

Pallavas (twigs with leaves) also called Paucabhanga: five auspicious p. are those of mango, asvattha, vata, plaksa, and udumbara 336, 339, 759,

Palli (house lizard), (vide under 'Vasantarāja-šākuna'). fall of p. on right side of a male and left side of a woman is auspicious 792; Śānti on fall or contact of p on a limb, or on p. creeping up a person's limb 792.

Palmistry . certain lines on the hand of a woman were deemed to indicate death of the husband even in the times of Pānini and the Kāšikā 525.

Pancabhanga-dala (leaves of five trees) (vide 'Pallavas' above) 336.

Pañcajanāh, meaning of 126.
Pañcamakāraśodhanavidhi (a Śākta work) 1053n, 1087n (describes the sanctification of makāras with Vedic mantras).

Pancanga (calendar), (see week day, Yoga) · five important parts in P. are tithi, weekday, naksatra, Yoga and Karana 666, fourth anga of p 15 Yoga 704, generally prepared for each year 666, must be prepared for every town or place more than 15 or 20 miles away from Bombay or Madras or Calcutta where p are present prepared, if accuracy as to tithi, naksatra is required 713; numerous p in use now in India 641, necessity of p. for all Handus, 650; samples

of pages from a Paŭcānga of saka 1878 (1956 A. D) and from another 223 years earlier facing pp 666-667, varieties of, in South India, such as Vākya and Siddhāntacandra 642

Pancagnividya (described in Br. Up VI. 2 2ff and Chan V. 3 2ff) is an upasana 1548-58, 1562-3, is concerned with only one aspect of transmigration viz. the path of those who practise a life of sacrifices, works of public utility and alms-giving and follow Pitizana path 1584.

Pañcapādikā (of Padmapāda) (severely criticizes the view that the Visayavākya of P. M. Sūtra I 1 1 is 'astavarsum tam-adhyāpayīta): 1182n, 1190

Pancaratnas (five jewels) are gold, diamond, sapphire, ruby and pearl acc to one view or are gold, silver, pearl, coral and rajavarta acc to another view 219, 337.

Panearatra (vide Svetadvipa), Bana mentions Bhagavatas and Panearatras separately in Harsacarita 955n, doctrine is only one of several bhakti schools 962. Dronaparva puts forward four forms (mūrtis) of Surreme Person different from those in Santiparva 956, general view of most medieval arriers on Dharma-istra was that Panearatria and Palapata fistras are authoritative only in far as they are not opposed

to the Veda 962; identified with Sattvata in Santiparva 953, 955n; is called 'Ekantadharma' 953, 1390n, is called Sättvatalantra 1032n, is said to be one of five lores, its promulgator being Bhagavat Vāsudeva himself 954: Nārada's name is concerned with Paucaratra in Santiparva 956, peculiar doctrine of P. is that of syūhas (forms) of the Supreme Person called Vāsudeva, Sankarsana, Pradvumna and Aniruddha, each of the latter springing from the preceding, 953, P. doctrine refuted in Vedantasütra II 2 42-45 acc to Sankarācārya 953, 955, what is refuted being the doctrine of Sankarsana springing from Väsudeva and so on 955. P. Samhitas m by Rāmānuja in bhāsya on V. S are Pauskara, Sättvata and Parama 957n; Santiparva speaks of one, two, three or four vyūhas 962, Śāndilya was supposed in Sankarācāi ya's times to have promulgated the Bhāgavata or Păñcarătra Sastra 955, several guesses about why the system is so culled 954n; several Pancarātra-s viihitās published so far are later than the Gita 956: twenty five works on P mentioned by Agrupurina and Mahesvaritantra 950-57. Vyūhas, doctrine of, 953, 962; worship of Vasudeva is older than Panini 962

of it as they are not opposed | Panerikha, 1973-74, composed

Sastitantra 1356n, date of, not later than first century B. C. 1356, and Janaka, king of Mithila, his pupil, acc to Mahābhārata 1365-1371; described as the foremost pupil of Asuri and known as Kāpileya in the Mahabharata, 1365-71, described in some chapters of Santiparva as an advaitin grafting some doctrines of later Sankhya for explaining creation etc. 1367; dialogue between P. and Janaka about rising superior to old age and death 1368, expounded to Janaka, Sānkhya, Yoga and Rājanīti, called threefold moksa 1368-1369, gotra of, was Pārāśarya and he was a bhikm 1368, identity of P. and Vārsaganya extremely doubtful, 1374-75; literal meaning of the name 1369n, passages ascribed to P. are brought together by Hall and Garbe 1371n, P. of the Mahabharata not identical with Pancasikha of Sastitantia and his views are different from the Sankhya, 1370-71, differs from official Sankhya 1370-71. quotations attributed to P are mostly in prose 1373n, views described as Sankhya in some chapters of Santiparva bring the peculiar Sankhya doctrines in line with the idea of Vāsudeva or highest Self 1371.

Pañcasrotas, a holy place 1365.
Pañcasrddhāntikā of Varāhamihira 213n, 479 (was a karaṇa), 511n, 514n-5, 519n, 644 (tr. by Thibaut and Dwivedi), 653n-4, 663n, 676, 680, 702n, 703n

Panchayats, village, starting of; dangers in the present stage 1677.

Pāndavas, though five, had the same wife Draupadī 1286.

Pandit M P, a. of Lights on Tantra' 1150, criticized 1150. Panikkar, Saidar K M, a of 'India and China' 1040

Pānini, 5, 10, 27, 36, 67, 68n, 130, 150n, 185n, 203, 467, 487n, 492n, 499, 500, 510, 516n, 525, 541-42, 561n, 592n, 667, 670-1, 684, 703n, 708, 720n, 738n, 740n, 742n, 820, 850, 926n, 962-3n, 1032, 1128 (knew Natasūtras of Šilālin and Kršāśva), 1153 (explains 'Mimānisate'), 1157-58, 1167 (mentions Kāśyapa to respect), show 1168-1169, 1198n (charge that Panini violates his own roles), 1203 (distinguishes between the author of a work and expositor or transmitter of it), 1307n, 1310n, 1361n, 1368n, 1378, 1388n, 1389, 1397 (does not observe his own rules), 1439n, 1488n, 1524n, 1546n, 1589n; called Śālāturīya by Bhāmaha and other medievel writers 512; date of, 1169; haded from Northwest India 512, in P.'s times there were mendi cant ascetics (bhiksus) who studied the Blikepentry of Pārāfarya and that of Karmanda and were called 'Para bhil swah ' 1169-69, farino

Index 149

1368n; names of countries and places expressly mentioned by P. 1528n, papers on geographical details in, 1527n, teaches formation of words like Taksasıla 542, was acquainted with the whole of India from the extreme northwest of India to Kalınga (Orissa). Asmaka (country near Aganta and Paithan) and modern Kutch 1528n. 1614 (Sindhu as the name of a country), 1633 (word jāti occurs), 1638 (on Brāhmanaka), 1646, 1651 (names at least ten predecessors and shows that before him there was considerable secular literature).

Paniniya siksa 1096n.

Parakrtı or Parakrıya, meaning of, 1223n.

Paramārtha, translated Sānkhyakārikā into Chinese, 1353, 1382n.

Paramaisi, defined in Väyu and Brāhmānda Purānas) 1390.

Paramasamhitä, 954n

Pārana (vide Udyāpana), in somecases performed by merely sipping water 121n; deirvation of, 120n, last rite in a trata is P. 120, should be done on 12th tithi in Ekūdašīvrata, but not on 13th, 120, word P. occurs in Śūkuntala and Raghuvansa 120.

Pārānandasūtra (a Tāntrik work): 1051u (states that it contains the error of Veda viz Kauladharma), 1053-56 (philosophy and brief contents of), 1073n (mentions names of teachers ending in 'Ananda', speaks of three mārgas (paths) viz. Daksina, Vāma, Uttara, 1054-56, speaks of jīvanmukti and quotes Upanisads 1055, 1084n (well known verse 'pītvā pītvā' etc. esoterically explained), 1086.

Parāšara, astronomer and astrologer 531, 587n, 593, 613, 637n (m. by Br S. on Grahayuddha), 745, 765.

Parāśara-Mādhavīya, com. on Parāśarasmiti; 42n, 868n, 1166 (in some passages Bādarāyana and in some others Vyāsa is said to be the a of V. S.), 1182n, 1227-28, 1288n (on Kapiñjalanyāya), 1306n, 1311, 1312n, 1314, 1323, 1333 (on avestyadhikarana).

Parāšara-smiti 52, 147n, 218, 897 (m by Bhavisyapurāna), 1266 and n, 1306n (bath, sandhyā etc are different acts), 1314, 1322, was to prevail in Kaliyuga, but it allows a brāhmana to eat food at the house of certain śūdras, permits remarriage of widows in certain circumstances, 1266, 1608

Pārāšarya, a of Bhiksusūtra, acc. to Pānini, 1368n.

Pārašavas, in Vāyupurāna stand for Paršus i e ancient Persians that appear to be m. by Pāņini 850n, 851.

Pāraskara-grhya-sūtra 27, 126, 524, 535, 622, 740n.

Parefurama, exploits of, described in Mahābhārata and Purāņas 89n, 90n, horoscope of, furnished by Nirņayasındhu examined 628-629; loss of power of, when he met Rāma 89n; made Western sea recede 89n; resided on Mahendra mountain 89n,

Parasurāma Jayantī 89-90, on 3rd of Vaisākha bright half 89, temples of Parasurāma 90; time of celebration 89-90.

Parasurāma – Kalpasūtra 1049, 1054 (on tantra and about secrecy), 1063 (on 36 tattvas), 1074n (provides that after dīksā guru to give a name to disciple ending in Anandanātha), 1077, 1084n, 1101, commentary of Rāmeśvara on 1077, 1084.

Parasurāmapratāpa 106n, mentions 26 items in Jāgara 106n.

(vide under Pargiter, F. E 851. 862n 688. Pariksit). (translation of a passage of Kantilya oriticized), a of · Purana texts of the dynasties of the Kalı age', 842n, 843, 914; attaches no importance to what Brahmanda, Matsya and Vayu state that they mention the principal kings of three lines, 846; constructs history from earliest times to Bhārata war, which he holds to have taken place about 950 B. C: brushes aside the duration between Pariksit and Nandas, 846; criticism of the methods and views of Pargiter-845-852; , holds that there were two traditions, one Brahmanic and other Ksatrıya represented in the Puranas, 845; holds dozens of times that brāhmanas had no historic sense, 845, 856; holds that extant Puranas are Sanskritizations of Präkrit works 845: is wrong in identifying the Bhavisvat-purāna m. by Āpastamba with extant Bhavisyapuiāna 851, Kirfel disagrees with Pargiter about two separate traditions (brābmana and ksatrıya) and about Puranas Sanskritizations being Prākrit works 849, P. and Kirfel take no proper notice of what Megasthenes says about a list of 153 kings covering a period of 6451 years, theory of P, about brahmanas having deliberately suppressed all information about him who arranged the compiled and Veda, criticized 858-861, thinks secured its that Hinduism revival and the downfall of Buddhism largely through the literature 914n, Paurānika view of P. blaming brāhmanas for absence of reference in P. to Guptas and their successors, criticized 856-57.

Paribhāsāprakāsa (part of Viramitrodaya of Mitramitra) 835n, 921n, 923n, 954n, 955, 1262.

Pārijāta, an early medieval work 962

Pāriplava (narration of, in Rājasūya) derivation of the word in Śān. Śrauta-sūtra 816n; Hotr priest recited Itihāsa and Purāna separately on two days 816, 866-67 Pariṣad: how to constitute a p. for deciding difficult matters of dharma 1458

Parisankhyā, defined and illustrated 1229, it is liable to three faults, acc. to Śabara 1230, the word does not occur in Mahābhāsya, though employed by Jaimini 1156-57, 1230

Parsis; vide 'Parsis in India' by M M. Marzban 264.

Pārthasārathimiśra, a. of four works on P. M. Śāstra, Nyāyaratnākara, Tantraratna, Śāstradīpikā, Nyāya-ratna mālā, 1188-89, 1199, 1317n, flourished between 900-1100 A. D, 1199.

Parvan Days · Homas for worship of the sun and for Śāntis to be performed on, 757; what are P. 221

Paryudāsa (proviso or exception), explained and exemplified from Vedic and Dharmaśāsira works (as on pp 1248-1250 and Yāj I 129-166).

Pāśā, 12th tith: is so called 341.

Pāsupata Šāstras, doctrines of, written in books of palm leaves in Bāna's days, 1017 various kinds of, m in Padma, Kūrma and Devibhāgavata and condemned, 97 in, 977-8.

Pāšupata-sūtra of Nakuliša (1. c. Lukulisa), 978p

Pātālas (nether regions), generally understood as seven, but the numes somewhat differ in Purious 1528.

Pataliputra, also called Puspa puri, founded by Udayi, son of Si unaga \$27.

Patrojah : (vide under Maha-

bhāsya) · 67, 68n, 130, 467 (quotes verse that occurs in Mahābhārata), 541 2, 720n, a. of Mahābhāsya on Pānini 963: deemed by medieval writers to be an avatāra of Sesa and to composed works on Grammar, med cine and Yoga 1396, points of difference between P. and modern psychologists like Freud 1414-15, question of identity of the author of Yogasütra and of Mahabhasya discussed by several authors 1397-99.

Paths (Mārgas), (vide under 'bhakti', jñāna, Karma); bhakti and Jñāna described and distinguished 964-65, 1369, 1648.

Paths, called Devayana and Pathyana, 1548 and n , 1551, 1557 (vide Br Up. VI. 2 and under Devayana).

Patil, Dr D. R, paper of, on 'Gupta Inscriptions and Puranic tradition' 844, 883, a of 'Cultural History from Vāyupurāna' 907.

Patimokkha containing 227 articles was to be read twice a month in an assembly of at least four Buddhist monks and confession of breaches had to be made to them 1026

Pātra brāhmana, defined 937-38.

Paulus Alexandrinus 515n.

Piulisasiddhänta (see under Lütadeva), not later than 100 A.D according to Thibaut 51 in, one of the five ancient astronomical siddhäntas 514n;

quoted by Utpala 477; said to be accurate by Varaha 514n: P. restricted itself mostly to astronomical matters 517n, 593; Thibaut admits that it cannot be proved that P. is related to the work of Greek astrologer Paulus 517: Weber held that it was borrowed from Paulus Alexandrinus (4th A. D.)

Paulkasa, equated with Candala in Br. Up, 1633

Paurnamāsī, 348, derivation of 667; two kinds of, Anumati and Rākā, both m. in Tai S. and Sat. Br. 62-3; word P. occurs frequently in Atharvaveda 65.

Paustika rites, what are 349; distinguished from Santıka rites 349.

Pāvamāna hymn 759.

Pavitrani (holv texts), by repeating which a man explates sins, cited by Dharmasütras of Gautama, Baudhāyana, Vasistha and Visnu 1416n.

Pavitrāropana (offering the secred Upavita to Gods) 111, 339-40 Payne E. A. a of 'the Saktas', 1038-9, 1048n, 1092,

People: millions on millions of common p, are influenced to keep to the path of virtue and right by the fear of God, of public opinion, fear of punishment by the State and by the prickings of their conscience 1474; Vanaparra holds that Persians, practice of merrying what the majority of people practise is the dharma in the Person, characteristics of, hien midst of conflicting frutes,

smitis and learned men 1169: three classes of people viz those who believe and worship a personal god with riturl and sacrifices, those who pray, seek God and come to realize that God is both immanent and transcendant, those few people, the great Masters and sages who lose the sense of ego and arc rape for entering into union with the One 1508-9; p in several lands had conceit that they were far superior to others and had a mission to propagate like the British imperialists 1619.

Perry W. J. a. of 'Children of the Sun , holds that archae civilization of India and China did not first develop there but in Egypt, 482n.

Persecution in India (vide under Buddhism, Galileo, Inquisi-Tolerance, Toleration tion. Act, heretics), sporadic cases of religious P. exemplified 1011n; followers of Judysm, Christianity and Islam did not hesitate for centuries to inculcate their doctrines by terrible persecutions and bloody wars and this spirit shocks prople brought up in Hinduism or Buddhism 1471, hard lat 4 were in force in England a little over a hundred years rus against Roman Cotholics and non conformiets 1476r

mother among aperent, 554

when the Moon is in the raise

Index 153

from Aries onwards 569.

Persons, eight mythological longlived persons, images of, to be worshipped on Yamadvitiya 208.

Pessimism no real pessimism in principal Dharmaśāstra works 1630.

Philologists some p deriving word 'sinivāli' by the combinatin of 'sin' (moon), a Babylonian word, and Dravidian 'vel' (white light), criticized 64.

Philosophy, most men want to rely on something deemed higher than themselves such as Revelation and God, 1472, one of the oldest problems of P. 15, Faith and Reason and there has been a constant struggle between these two, 1472; Time is first among the great problems of P. 463, central point of much of Indian P. is contained in Chān Up. VI. 1, 1651-52.

Philostratus (1st quarter of 3rd century A. D), a of 'Lufe of Apolonius of Tyana,' 600, 681.

Pillar, Swamikannu, a of 'Indian Ephemeris' 305n, 628 (discusses Rāma's horoscope), 616n, 648 (on cras), 708.

Pindasodhana meaning is Bhūtaśuddhi in Tantri works 1095n. Pingala, a of Vedānga on Ve'res, mentions Sūnya for zero and is m. by Sabara 700-701; probably his work is the same as

Chandovicati m by Ap Dh S 701 Patarraha 69n, 78n, 1323 (on balance ordeal).

Pitāmaha-siddhānta (on astronomy) 654, 663; q. by Utpala 477, 488, one of the five siddhāntas and said to be far from accurate, 514n, 592 (regarded Tuesday as mauspicious)

Pitis, path to the world of, described in Yāj, Visnu, Vāyu, Matsya and other Purānas 826.

Pitryāna path (vide under Devayāna and Bi. Up VI 2 2), 1548n.

Plan, Third five year, and its objectives 1683-84, total investment programme of, comes to 10400 crores of rupees, nearly half of which is to be raised by additional taxition, external assistance and deficit financing 1689-90

Planets (vide 'Astrology', 'Astronomy', Babylonian, grahayuddha, Moon, Navagrahaśānti, Śānti, Saturn, Sun): ancient Vedic literature says hardly anything about astrological significance of P. 569. are concerned with or govern specially certain matters 590; are either friends or enemies of each other, but not indifferent, acc. to Yavanas 586, arrangement or order of P in Yaj. and Puranas 678-79: arrangement of P different in different countries and times 57 ln; as rulers of eight directions 771; bala (strength) of P. four kinds of 587, classification of P. as beneficent or malefic 635-36; classification

20

of P. as masculine, feminine and neuter 575, 635-36; onlt of P. not current in Vedic times 294; dasas and untardasās of, 590-591, differentiated as sātivika, rājasa and tāmasa 574, different kinds of gifts for unfavourable P., 755: doctrine of drsti of, 589. 637; evil influences of, removed by santus 608, identified with Babylonian gods importance of Venus and Jupiter in settling marriage 610, 612; Jupiter, known to Rgveda 294, 569; Kautılva on astrological significance of. 569-570, Mahābhārata knew influence of, on naksatras, but not on rāšis 569, methods of nullifying evil influence of 608; natural powerfulness of, 577; nine P, names of, with synonyms 570, nine conditions of P. 588; no convincing explanation as to why certain rāsis are svagrha or ucca of P. 636; purpose of the classification of P. as to colour or as directions 574. of Sanskrit synonyms of, contain some Greek words 571n, 572. stories of ancient kings and heroes that suffered from unfavourable aspects of P. 755; supposed gotras and country of origin of, 753, tables showing rāšīs as svagrha (house) and ucca (exaltation) of, showing parts of human body, jewels and metals governed by seve ral P., showing some characteristics of P, such as colour,

whether beneficent or malefic etc 573-576; three kinds of conjunctions of, 583n; three P. added in modern times, 571, Venus probably referred to as Vena in Rg 569; view that Hindu arrangement of P. is of Greek origin criticized, 571n, wearing certain precious stones and metals deemed to reduce evil influence of, 608; word P. derived from a Greek word 570

Plans, five year 1679n

Plato, beliefs of, that the earth was a cube, in punarjanma, that arts and sciences declined from perfection 512. 1530; held in his Timaeus that dreams are prophetic 781; in imagination exubernat constructed the physical world on the pattern of geometrical figures familiar to him 1502n; on Being and Becoming 1505, philosophy and cosmology of, were looked upon as acme of wisdom up to 19th century, but modern scientists (says sarton) regard Plato's theories as monuments of unwisdom 1502n.

Pliny 566

Politics (Rājanīti), four upāyas in, 617; six angas of, 117.

Pollard, Robert S. W.; a. of 'Conscience and Liberty'

Pollution by touch or by shadow, idea of, must be given up 1709.

Poona Orientalist, a journal, 520n, 647n, 686, 842n, 1145.

Pongal (Tamil word), festival

Index 155

corresponding to Makarasaŭkrānti of Northern and Western India 222,

Population, huge in India, most of which is on the margin of subsistence level, 1687; Government of India and the planners have no well thought out plan for control of p. and very little is being done to control systematically the terrific growth of p. 1687.

Portent: (videunder Utpāta), of images of gods, described as dancing, laughing, trembling and weeping in the Mahābhārata, Purānas, Br. S., 769-770, on birth of two or more children at the same delivery to a woman or monstrosities or strange births to cows, mares etc. 773-774.

Poussin, Prof. Vallee, 1039.

Prabhākara (vide under Kumārila). called 'Our Guru' by Śilikanātha, 1189-90, a. of com. called Brhati on P. M. Sütra 1189, flourished between 675-725 A. D., 1198, inscriptions and other evidence establish that P held an eminent position in Karnataka and Maratha countries an 11th century A D 1192; held the view that no word was signi ficint in isolition but became significant when joined with a word or words in a sentence 1296, Jaiminiya-nyāya-mālāvistary cites 15 points of difference between P. and Komirda 1189; later than Kumārila, though no substantial evidence (except tradition) for holding that P. was a pupil of Kumārila 1192; quotes Kirātārjuniya 1195.

Prābhākara School, 1179n; works of 1189.

Prabodhacandrodaya, a drama, mentions severel writers and works on PMS including Mahodadhi and Māhāvratī, 1189-90.

Pracetas 77n

Practices (vide under 'changes'), even Vedic p. have been discarded from time to time by the weight of the opinion of the masses 1267-9, 1470, when popular p and opinions should be followed 1470

Praduan, Prof S N, a. of 'Chronology of Ancient India', regards Paurānik accounts as practically worthless 847.

Pradhāna actions, as contrasted with guna-bhūta, explained, 1237.

Pradoşa, period of three or six ghatikās after sunset 102, 188n, 230.

Prahlāda, attained highest bliss through the grace of God 961: great devotee of Visnu and grandfather of Bali, 202.

Prayipate and his incest 235, 498n, 507, 1280; desiring to propagate, practised tapas and created the three worlds 1498, identified with Samvatsara 65; jumped into the sky as a deer pursued by Rudra 498n, myth in Art Br. as to how P. came to be called Ka 1497-98;

Myth about creation of Agni by P. and appearing Agni with Sami plant in Tai. Br. 725; Nakṣatras as daughters of P. married to king Soma 507, rarely mentioned in the Rg. becomes the most prominent god in the Brāhmana texts 1497; some naksatras described as parts of the body of P. 561.

Prajāpativ ratas, 24, 95-96, 1234, observances by a sacrificer while the sacrifice lasts such as 'he should not see the rising or setting sun' are declared to be purusārtha 1234.

Prajūopāyavinšcayasiddhi of Ānangavajra (about 705 A. D.) 1050, 1064; says that a yogin who desires union with mother, sister, daughter would obtain siddhi quickly, 1065n-67 meaning of Prajūā and Upāya, 1071, 1123.

Prakarana-pancika of Śalika-natha; 1202n, 1205 (on word and sense), 1214n (on svarga), 1216n (on moksa); it is a work of the Prabhakara school 1189, admits that adhyāpana-vidhi put forward by Prabhakara is only inferred from Manu 1190n; quotes several verses from Ślokavārtika 1191; states that there is no proof that a yāga (sacrifice) is the means of pleasing the deity 1209.

Pralaya (dissolution of the world), (vide 'Cosmogony' and 'Cosmology'); of four kinds, according to Purānas, 693-94, 1503; prākrika pr.

based on Sankhya, 694-695; recurrent absorptions of all beings and elements at the advent of Brahmā's night and reappearing at day 695, 1503; terrible and harrowing descriptions of naimittika pralaya from Kūrmapurāna and Vanaparva, 694; works like Harivamsa and Purānas say that at the end of Kalpa the sage Mārkandeya alone remains, lies in the side of Visnu and comes out of Visnu's month 695.

Pramānas (means of knowledge) (vide cognition): are six acc to Kumārila, while Prabhākara rejects the 6th i. e. abhāva 1185, 1212.

Pramānavārtika, bhāsya on, by Prajūākaragupta (700 A. D.) 472.

Prāna · Atharvaveda on Prāna as Prajāpati 1495; controversy about meaning (in ancient times) of prana and apana 1434, five āhutis in agnihotra to prāṇa, apāna, vyāna, samāna and udana in Upanisads, 1433, P in Upanisads becomes the vital force of all beings, the brahman of representative P. means thoracic breath and apana means abdobreath 1434; mınal difference in the operation of the five pranas made at least a thousand years before Christ 1433

Prānatosinī, a Tāntrik compilation, 1075n (on qualifications of a female guru and requiring that disciples were to worship Index 157

guru and his predecessors) Pranaya, means om 1416. Pranavanyāsa, illustrated, 1120. Prānāvāma (vide under Kumbhaka, mātrā, pūraka, recaka); 1432-44; called sagarbha or sabija and agarbha or abija 1442-43, condemned Hemacandra 1411; definition of P. in Yogasūtra and bhāsya shows that main element in P. is Kumbhaka 1437: derivation of the word 'prana' 1432 deša, kāla and sankhyā in relation to P. explained 1437-38; eight kinds of P. named in Huthayogpradipikā 1443, five prānas named in Tai S 1433; for elagrata P. is the means 1437; germ of the theory of the importance of P in Br Up. 433, great eulogy of P. in Manu (as highest tapas) and Smrtis 1440-41, 1443; japa of om, Gayatıı and Vyahrtis in P prescribed by Smrtis and medieval works, though Y S. and bhāşya silent on this 1439, japa for P. in Sandhy'n andana

set out 1112n; Kumbhaka,

why so called 1139n; Kum-

bhaka performed after püraka,

if not learnt under an expert,

may cause harm to heart etc.

1411; literally P. means 're-

straint or pause of prina, 1132.

practice of P leads to dwind-

ling of Kle as, makes Yogin's

mind fit for dhirana and free

from sins, acc to Manu and

others 12-0n, 1440-4; Prana

and Asa in Rayeda mean

'breath' 1132; Puraras and l

others added five more pranas 1436; recaka, pūraka, kumbhaka (terms) used by Devala Dharmasūtra, but not by Y. S. and its bhāsya which employ 'śvāsa', 'praśvāsa' and 'gatıviccheda ' 1438-39, Recaka and others illustrated in Knyalavānanda's handbook on P. 1442n; simplest mode practising P described 1440. sūtras on Dharma shows that P had been regarded as a sort of penance for removing taint attached to sins or misadventures 1436; synonyms of, 1432; three kinds of viz. mild. moderate and keen when pause is kept for 36, 72 and 108 mātrās respectively 1437; three views on duration of pūraka, kumbhaka, recaka 1438; value of P. for physical health and for spiritual purposes 1444; word P. not found in principal Up occurs frequently Dharmasütras 1436.

Pranîtā water, explained 802.

Prapañcahrdaya, 1159n, 1197 (attributes to Bodhāyana a com. called Krtakotī on both Mimāmsās)

Prapañcasīra tantra, 3in 1070, 1072, 1099-1102, 1105-6, 1112 (enumerates eight siddhis), 1117, 1120, 1133, 1139; ascribed to Śuikarācārya and mentions all avatāras except Buddha, 995-96, 1105; doubts about its being Śankarācārya's work 1105; sets out a mantra for six cruel rites 1105; com. on, ascribed to Padmapāda

1105.

Prárabdha-Dhvānta-Samhrti, of Acvutarāva Modaka (composed in 1819 A. D.), on Prārabdhakarma (past actions that produce the present body); described 1600-1604; main object of the work is to combat the idea that all human acts from conception to death are governed by past deeds alone, 1601. Prasanga, occurs when something done in one place is helpful in another place also, just like a lamp 1333; twelfth chap of P. M. S. deals with prasanga, vilalpa and the like 1333: Prāvašcittaviveka relies on this doctrine 1333-34

Prasāsana, means 'Government or domination' 1583n.

Prašastapāda, bhāsyakāra of Vaišešikasūtra, 468n, 469, 472, 476 (units of time from *ksana* to *pralaya*)

Praśna-upausad, 126, 731, 732n, 918, 948, 1361-2, 1387, 1417 (on om) 1430, 1433-35; 1444-45, 1478, 1502, 1514, 1532 (on Devayāna and Pitryāna paths), 1559, 1585n, 1607, 1625.

Prastara, first handful of Darbha grass cut for religious purposes 1241n.

Prasthāna, technical meaning is starting on an auspicious conjunction for journey or invasion but returning to one's place after going a short distance and actually proceeding after a few days thereafter 620-21.

Pratthūla, meaning of 748n

Pratinidhi (substitute or representative); first rule that. substance prescribed preparing an offering perishes or is lost in obligatory rite or a kāmya rite after it is begun, another substance (such as nīvāras for rice grain may be substituted 1319; no p. allowed for a mantra, deity, vedic fire, for krīvās like Prayājas or for the Yajamāna (except in Sattras) 53, 1320-21, substrtute for wife of sacrificer (if she be dead) was image of her made of gold or or kusas 53; what is expressly forbidden for use in Vedic sacrifices such as māsās cannot be substitutes for mudga 1320, 1347 (māsamudganyāya); when a vedic text expressly prescribes a substitute for a substance (as Pūtikas for Soma plant) only that substance can be substituted and not another more similar, 1319, when a substitute has to be employed, the subsidiary acts of sprinkling with water, pounding etc. are to be performed on the substitute and the appropriate change in wording by adaptation should be made, 1319-20.

Pratipadvrata 82-84; in intercalary month of Caitra beginning of year is on first tithi of that month 83, pratipad of Caitra observed in Deccan with some pomp and religious rites as the beginning of the year 82, pratipad of Caitra is in popular belief one of the 3½ auspicious days of the year, 84, rites of the beginning of year in Caitra described in Brahmapurāna and other works 82–83, rule is that the tithi to be observed as beginning is the one mixed with amāvāsyā, when it is viddhā 83; views differ as to the pratipad on which religious rites are to be performed when there is an intercalary month 83–84, why called pratipad 83

Pratipattikarma Pratipatii or (vide Arthakarma) examples are casting into water all utensils and things smeared with soma at the time of the final purification bath, cremating a sacrificer when dead with his yaınapātras, which is P of the implements 1231-32; means final disposal of some materials employed in a sacrifice 1231, occurs in several sutras of Jamint 1231, works on Dharmasastras rely on pratipatts, as in case of pundas to be given to a brāhmāna or to be eaten by a cow or goat 1231.

Pratisedha (or nisedha, prohibition); (vide under 'na', fifth (and last) class of Vedio texts 1246, a sentence in which 'na' is employed is either a pratisedha or paryudāsa or an arthavāda and these three have to be clearly distinguished 1249; a true prohibition would occur when something is first prescribed and then prohibited, the stock example

being that of sodasin cup, 1249; distinguished from Paryudāsa, devotes Pānıni 1247-48, several sūtras to 'na 'and expressly states that pratisedha is one of the meanings 1246, particle 'na' sometimes changed to 'a' or to 'an' indicates pratisedha and other senses also 1246; result or reward of following pratisedha is cessation of harm (anaitha-nivrtti) 1247, Vidhi and P. are different in their import in five points 1247; where two texts are quite irreconcilable there is option 1249.

Pratisthā (establishment of an image) 1024; Paurānika procedure to be followed in 1024.

Pratyāhāra, öth anga of Yoga 1444-5, derivation of the word 1444n, in Yogasütra and in Āśvamedhikaparva 1401; means withdrawal of senses from sense objects on account of restraint of mind 1444; several definitions of 1444-5.

Pravāhana Jaivali, a rājanya, put five questions to Švetsketu and then answered them 1548— 49.

Pravaramanjari 1174.

Pravarasena, Vākātaka emperor, performed four Asvamedhas, 1028

Pravargya, Śānti in, from Vāj S. and Tai A 724, 727.

Prayājas, are five oblations of clarified butter ancillary to Darša-pūrnamāsa and are hatvartha while Daršapūrnamāsa is purusārtha 1933n,

Prāyascittas (vide under expiations); different views whether results of wrong acts intentionally done could be removed by, 1588-89, for killing a man who is only a brāhmana by caste 897; were called vratas by Manu and others 27.

Prāyaścitta-mayūkha 52.

Piāyafeittaprakarana of Bhavadeva 1242, 1296.

Prāyaścitatattva 158, 1320 (on māsa-mudga-nyāya), 1592n.

Prāyaścitta-viveka, 1233-34, 1542n.

Prāyaścitti (averting evil effects of a mishap to sacrificial milk or materials 727.

Prayogapārijāta 633

Prayojaka (motivating force) and prayojya: are topics of 4th chap. of P. M. S along with kratvartha and puruṣārtha 1311; Kratu is the prayojaka of Prayājas that are declared as kratvartha 1311; p. is that for the sake of which a man is led to perform something by a Vedic exhortatory text 1311.

Precession of Equinoxes, suspected by Hemādri and Aparārka, but they did not know its cause nor the rate of precession 223; practically discovered by Kidinnu, 514n, present rate of, held to be 502 vikalās every year 712

Predictions, were based also on dreams, flight and cries of birds, signs on livers of the sheep killed in sacrifices to gods in Babylon and Rome, 521.

Pringle-Pattison, a. of 'Idea of God' 1506n; a. of 'Idea of immortality' 1609.

Puolkar Prof A. K., a. of 'The printing Press in India' 1020n.

Prisoners (see under King) 531.

Proceedings of All India O.

Conference 1186n.

Proceedings of American Philosophical Society 490n, 506, 514n, 522n.

Prognostications (see 'birds,' 'oāsa', throbbing, horses), were drawn in Vedic times and up to Pānini mainly from naksatras, days and bodily marks 525; drawn even in Rgveda from cries of certain birds 526, drawn from tusks and movements of elephants 801.

Prohibition of intoxicating drinks in only a few states of India, criticized 1675-76.

Prthvicandrodaya 31n, 42n, 47n, 121n, 1289.

Ptolemy (vide under horoscope); author of Syntaxis (or Almagest) and of Tetrabiblos, 471, 551, 568, 573n, difference between P. and Bihajjātaka on classification, colours and other matters about Rāšis 568; divided the day into sixty parts in the Almagest and also in hours in his manual tables 682; has no word which corresponds exactly with Kulira 563; his was astronomical Almagest Bible in Europe for about 1400 years 482, 512; his arguments in favour of Astrology 553-4;

161

his Tetrabibles rem uned supreme for 1400 years and has even now great authority for believers in Astrology 551, held geocentric theory and so had to adopt theory of eccentric orbits and epicycles and yet could predict eclipses correctly, 633-34, is concerned in Tetrabiblos with rāsis (signs of the Zodiac) and rarely, if at all, with naksatras 561: in his 'Geography of India' (about 150 A. D.) refers to Ptolemaios. king of Baithan (Paithan) as ruler in his day 842-43, much of Greek literature on astronomy and astrologv disappeared owing to works of P. 481, 514, speaks of male and female signs and of sthera and dudeha as Bihamataka does 568, several discrepancies in essential matters between Ptolemy's work and Hindu astronomical works 520, 530, two points in Varāhamihira differs from P viz he does not mention the countries governed by rāsis but by naksatras and Varaha confines himself to parts of Bhāratavarsa, while P deals with all countries then known, 530, wrote about 150 A D and based his Almagest on the observations of Hipparchus 514

Pūjā derivation of the word 35-37; distriction between homa and p not much 37, upacāras in, had vedic origin 35-36, word P. not borrowed from 21 Dravidians 37.

Pūjāprakāša (part of Vīramtrodaya) 37, 157, 170n, 1095n, 1122 (dwells upon several nyāsas), 1124n, 1128a (prescribes that āvāhana in Visnu worship should be made with 'Sahasrašīrsā,' (Rg. X 90. 1, and 14 mudrās should be shown), 1120-31 (defines in all 32 mudrās).

Pulaha, quoted as a smrti writer 517n,

Pulastya, quoted as a smrti writer many times by Aparārka and Smrticandrikā 517n: should be followed, acc to Mit as to what should be offered in śrāddha, and not Yāj 1269

Pulindas, associated with Andhras in Asoka's 13th Rock Edict, 850n

Puhsa Alberum says that P. held that the day of Visnu is equal to whole life of Brahmā 691; Thibaut wrong in holding that the name Pulisa has decidedly a non-Indian appearance 517. Pulkasa, a degraded caste 969n. Pumsavana, auspicious times for, prescribed in Gihyasūtras 534—35.

Punarvasu, nakṣatra, 499, 535 (hterally means 'fresh wealth' or 'new growth').

Purākalpa, meaning of 1223.

Purānas (vide under Alberuni, Bhāgavata, bhakti, brāhmanas, Buddha and Buddhism, images, itihāsa-purāna, mahāpurāna, Matsyapurāna, Pargiter, Pāriplava, pūrta, Saurapurāna, Śūdra, Upapurāna,

Vāyupprāna, Visnu, Visnupurana. Vyāsa): 815-1002; are far more reliable than most of the Upapuranas 836-37; are used for divining the future 811; caution required before recognizing P. as reliable representatives for ascertaining the general state of Indian society and beliefs 538: classification of P as encyclopaedic. historical, sectarian etc 842; connect different gods with the conferring of health, wealth, knowledge, multi 119; contain at least 25 thousand verses on Vratas 57 · contain verses. gäthäs and anuvamsaslokas sung by Pauranikas 854; contents of all P. noted by Agni. Matsya, Nāradīya and Skanda 834; contents and characteristic features of P. mentioned by Śańkarācārya 824-25; conteats of P, in the days of Ap. Dh. S 818; dates of P. and Upapurānas are at most tentative until critical editions are prepared 872, deemed to be composed by Vyasa, son of Parāsara and Satyavatī at end of Dyapara age 849; derivation of the word Purana in Nirukta. Vāvu Padma and Brahmanda and change in meaning 855-56; devote much space to legends about Parasurāma 90n; Devībhāgavata and Bhagavata P. say that Maha-, bhārata and P. were composed by Vyasa for women and Śūdras 921–23; Dharmasūtra of Ap. twice quotes two verses ;

from a Purana and names a Bhavisvatpurāņa 817: differences as to names of 18 P. and great differences as to their extent and contents 830. divided into three groups, sāttvika, rājasa and tāmasa and their main objects 815, 839. do contain ancient legends and traditions, but very much tampered with 838: early Sanskrit writers like Šabara, Bāna, Kumārila Šankarācārya and others show that in their times P, existed, the contents of which were just like those of the extant P. \$21emphasize that for the proper understanding of the Veda knowledge of Itihass and P. essential 914; even early Puranas like Matsya were influenced by black magic rites 1114: examples of identical chapters and verses in several purāņas 803, 841, 929, 1522n, 1523n 2479; existence of some P containing accounts of creation, dissolution and Smiti material before 500 B. C. indicated by Ap. Dh. S. \$18; explain at great length creation and dissolution of the elements about which Upanisads drop brief hints 914: extant P. contain far more topics than the five topics of creation etc. and some P. barely touch the five and exhaustively deal with entirely different topics 841, extent of all P together said to be originally four lakhs of Ślokas acc to Matsya and

Padma 829n, extent of P was acc to Bhavisya 12000 verses but later Skanda was inflated to one lakh verses and Bhavisya to 50,000 verses, 833; extol vratas, pilgrimages and bhakti as superior to Vedic sacrifices 916. extravagant 43~45. praise of themselves by P 915-916. 929, extravagant praise in P. of the remembrance of Visnu's or Hari's name 971, first Purana is said to be Brāhma in Visnu and Brahma purānas, while Devibhāgavata says it is Matsya 829 n . five characteristics of, acc. to several purānas, are sarga (creation), pratisarga (creation after dissolution), Vanisa (dynasties of Gods, patriarchs and kings), manvantaras (vast cycles of time). Vamsānucarita (deeds and history of descendants of dynasties) 839, from about the 6th or 7th century A. D. the Puranas began to incorporate the special ceremomal of Śāktas and a few Purānas lıke Devipurāna, Kālikā, Mārkandeva (Devimāhātmya) provide for the employment of Makaras in Devi worship 1095, guru's position in P 1033n, gradually P. procedure either came to supersede Vedic procedure or got mixed up with the latter m some matters such as Devapūjā, consecration of temples and images etc 922-924. Hazra's view that the text of the extant P which are the results of innumerable changes, modifications and interpolations made at different times and by different sects is scarcely reliable and can be used only with great caution is quite correct, 872, Gautama Dh S. refers to study of P by learned brāhmanas 818. Hindu rites in medieval and modern times were deemed to give rewards promiesd in Veda, Smrti and Purānas 913, history of Adhisīmakrsna, his contemporaries and their descendants described in a prophetic vein in P 850, importance of Introductory remarks of Danasagara on P. 867-871; influence of P. on Dharmasastra digests on succession and inheritance etc. 810, influence of P. Dhurmaśāstra 913-1002, insticomparison between yajūas and pilgrimages 933, introduced striking changes in religious ideals, rites practices 928-929. Itihāsa-Purana lumped together in Upanisads as the 5th Veda 840, Itahāsa distinguished from Purana 840, Kırfel wrong in holding that the five topics (sarga etc.) alone were the constituent parts of very ancient Puranas 841; Kirfel and Pargiter have not clearly noticed the fact that P divide future kings into two groups. one of Aila, Aiksvāka and Magadha lines up to the last scions of these and the other of later Kings in the Pradyota,

Śunga, Andhra and others 850, Kumārilabhatta on the contents of P. 823-24, lay emphasis on bhakti, on ahinisā, on pūrtadharma 947-50; list of 18 Puranas completed long before 1000 A, D 831: list of 18 principal Puranas together with the number of slokas in each acc. to different works 831-832; M. as a compound word (1tihāsapurānam) or as two words (itihāsali purānam) in Tai. A, Chan Up. and Br. Up. 815. list of works, papers and translations of p 883; m. by the extant Mahabharata in numerous places 821, m by Kautilya and Manusmrti 819-20, m. by Kautilya and Yāj Smrti as one of the fourteen branches of knowledge and for japa 820; Mahābhārata quotes hundreds of verses called Anuvamsa and gathas, many of which deal with Pauranika matters and have a Paurānika 853-54. Mahāpurāna (word) applied to the 18 P. m Visnu and Bhagavata 830n; mantra 'om namo Nārāyanāya' confers all objects, frees from sins and leads to moksa, acc. to Narasimha and Vāmana 922. 971; Matsyapurāna sets out other characteristics of P. 839; Mit prescribes a smārta mantra in śrāddha which occurs in Garnda and Skanda P. and some digests prescribe Vedic and Paurānika mantras even for , brāhmanas in religious rites 920, modern works and papers

dealing with several questions relating to Puranas set out 843-845. Nāradīya Purāna describes the relation between Veda, Smrti and Puranas and provides that he who calls P. as arthavadas goes to hell 927, myths and legends of Puranas on creation of universe, about eclipses etc must in modern times held as mere myths 1709-10, no Mahapurana composed after the 9th century A. D. but additional matter was unscrupulously added in several Puranas, the worst example being that οŝ Bhavisya (part III) which contains stories of Adam and Eve, Taimur, Akbar, Castanya, Nadirshah 855, not restricted to religious matters or to five characteristics but some of them deal exhaustively with rajaniti, 1000-1001; number of P. 15 traditionally eighteen called Mahāpurānas and enumerated in many Puranas, though some Puranas say originally there was only one, 829-830, on doctrine of Karma 1576-77, on rebirth for sins 1590; one important topic is 'avatāras' 922ff, only pursue an attitude to Veda and sacrifices that is found in such Upanisads as Mundaka and Katha order in which P. are enumerated is not uniform, most putting Brahma as first, while Vāyu and Devībhāgavata put Matsya as first and Skanda puts Brahmānda as first 833,

Padmapurana says that some vratas are superior to hundred Vedic sacrifices 934. placed before all people (including śūdras) easy ways whereby they could secure spiritual life and bliss in the Hereafter 930; played a substantial role in bringing about the decline and disappearance of Buddhism from India in various ways 913-914. points of distinction between Upapuranas and the 18 Mahapuranas 836-37, printed P. and mss. thereof, give four different periods of time viz. 1015, 1050, 1115 and 1500 from the birth of Pariksit to the crowning of Nanda, which Pargiter discards 845proclaim often that samsara is full of misery and impermanent 1555, put emphasis on profuse expense srāddhas and inveigh against stinginess 931, quote anuvamša šlokas or gāthās about such ancient kings as Kartavīrya, Sumitra and Ksemaka, last scions of Aila and Aiksvāka dynasties, but none about later dynasties of Sungas or Andhras 851, reading of or listening to recitation of P believed to remove sins 915-16, reasons why many conclusions drawn from the carrent printed editions and mss. of Puranas must be held to be merely tentative \$37-38, reasons why extant Puranas do not narrate traditions about

Gupta dynasty and those that succeeded it 856; references to pages of the four vol. of Ha of Dh. on dana, śraddha, tirtha and vrata 998-999; said to be the heart of dharma, while Veda and Smrti are eves 924: sectarian bigotry of some Puranas exemplified 976-977; seven P. contain historical material viz. ancient dynasties up to Bhārata war and from Bharata war to the downfall of Andhras and the rise of Guptas, such as Vāyu, Vişnu, Brahmanda, Bhagavata 842. several among the extant P. were composed or completed in the period from the 5th to the 9th century A D., 854-55; several P contain a much smaller number of slokas than are assigned to them by authorities as in the case of Visnupurāna and Kūrma 832-33: several P. such as Matsya, Väyu, Visnu and Bhavisya contain much Dharmaśāstra material 815, several P. describe the eight angas of Yoga 1455, several P. hke Agm, Maisya and Visuudharmottara are encyclopoedias and illustrate India's life, character, achievements and shortcomings 925, several P. such as Vayu and Brahmanda give a different version of the transmission of P. 861-62, some like Bhāgavata, Kūrma, Mārkandeya, Visnu espouse the Gītā doctrine of niskāma-karmayoga 967, some Puranas like Garuda describe

nyāsas 1120; some P. like Devibhagavata, Kalika, Visnudharmottara describe at length mudrās, 1127-28; some P. deal at length with fantis 734-35: some P. deal with units of time 476; some P. emphasize that God is one, that no difference should be made between Siva and Visnu 1189-90. some P. like Visnu and Bhagavata are full of eulogies of the theory and practice of Bhakti and illustrative stories 971-73. some P. make Rudra declare the supremacy of Visnu, de-· nonnce Śaiva siddhāntas and that Siva promulgated nonvedic views at the request of Visnu, while Agni, gavata, Matsya and Vāvu, that Visnu appear to say deluded people himself 974-75: some P. held that Saptarşî constellation was ın Maghā naksatra when Yudhisthira was king and that they stav in one naksatra for a century 520n; some P transfer with slight variations Upanisad passages in their texts 921; some P. name minor works called Upa-purānas, but Matsya and Kūrma expressly state that they arose from the 18 Purānas and were comsummaries after 85 posed studying the Puranas 835: sometimes P describe - themselves as Itibasa and the Mahabharata is called Perana rarely 840; sometimes Purā-. nas put forward very modern ideas such as saving that social service and removal of distress is highest dharma 949: P. are sources of Dharma and Vidvās acc to Yau, but there is no evidence as to the number Yai, knew 835n, stages in the evolution of P are four or five 853-855, stories that occur in the Veda and Upanizads such as of Harrécandra, Purūravas and Urvašī are elaborated in Purānas 914-15, strike the keynote that great rewards can be had by little effort as by danas, vratas, pilgrimages 45, 928, 930; Tai Ār speaks of itihāsas and purānas in the plural and so knew at least three Puranas 817, 853; task before P. was twofold, viz to undermine the power and prestige of Buddhism, and other non-Vedic systems and to wean away large sections of the masses from the attractive features of Buddhism and therefore promise the same rewards as Buddhism held forth by a restatement of Hindu religion, philosophy and practices 913, 929-30; ten topics of, accoring to Bhagavata 839; topics of tīrtha, dāna, śrāddha and vrata cover about one lakh of verses in the extant P 841, total number of slokas in 18 Purānas according to most Purānas is 400600, 832, transformation in ideas, ideals and practices of Indian people due to P. in the first centuries of Index 167

Christian era 815. treat Vedus as author tative and prescribe the use of Vedic mantras in many rites, 918-919. two separate Purāna versions called Āgneya, Visnu Brāhma, Linga and known to Ballālasena in latter half of 12th cen. A. D 870, Vāyupurāna named as first among 18 P by Matsya, Agni, Nāradīva, while several of the other P. substitute Siva for Vāyu but Vāyu is one of the 18 Mahāpurānas and Sivapurāna 830, Vāyupurāna and Siva P. both included among 18 P. by Ballalasena 870: Vedärthasangraha Rāmānujacārya states that Puranas should be so interpreted as not to be in conflict with Visnupurāna 957n, Vedic mantras occurring in Puranas were not to be read or listened to by sudras 925, Visnupurāna alone of all extant P closely agrees with the definition given by Amarakośa but it also contains several other topics 841, wax eloquent as to great efficacy of vratas, śrāddha. pilgrimages, gifts, repetition of the name of God, bhalts 52, 930, 933-34, P. were affected by the theory of the power of mantras 1105, word Purana occurs over a dozen times in Rg and means 'ancient, old '855, Yaj Smrti holds Purana as one of the sources of Vidya and Dharma 854, Purānas give informa Purohita (vide under 'king').

tion on Architecture, sculpture and painting, 1653-54.

Purānasamuccaya, q by Hemādri 188n, by Nirnayasındhu 998n. Purascarana (of a mantra); 1107-1112: (vide 'Mantra'): five are minimum constituents of P. viz pujā, japa, tarpana, homa, dinner to brāhmanas, 1107n-9; mantra to be repeated 1008, 108 or 10 or 108, 28 or 8 times, if any one of five constituents cannot be carried out, japa of mantra twice as many times as prescribed or dinner to brahmanas may be substituted, 1109, in P. mantra is to be repeated 8000 times, if no express direction about number, 1110; meaning of, 1107-8; places where P is to be practised 1110, signs that indicate perfection in mantra, 1111, terrible way to secure perfection in mantra in a single night by going to cemetery, securing the corpse of a candala, or one killed with a sword, or of a young warrior, wash it, worship it and Durgā-this being called Savasādhanavidhi, 1109 various modes of P 1107-9, wayof, suggested by Raghavabhatta 1109

Pure everything is pure the p. and it is the hankering that is blamable, acc to some Šākta works 1093.

Pūrņāhuti, explained 347, 1224n. Pürnapātra 183.

Pürnā-tithi 189n,

of king, to perform Santi rites for prosperity, and magic rites 742, qualifications of p. of king 528, 543, 741-2.

Purohitaswami, a of 'Aphorisms of Yoga' 1393, 1429-30 (on rousing Kundalini), 1442n.

Pūrtadharma: (vide under Istāpūrta and Purānas): means works of public utility, such as wells, tinks, parks, temples etc. 947-949; Amarakoša defines it 948; pūrta dharma secures moksa 949.

Purusa: derivation of the word in three ways, 1364n, in Sāṅkhya 1357-58; in the eye as Ātman, in the Chān. Up. 1446.

Purūravas and Urvašī, story of, in Rgveda and Visnupurāna 915.

Puruşaparikşā, a work of Vidyā pati, 1076.

Purusakāra and Daiva, discussed 545.

Purusārthas (goals of human life), (vide moksa, sannyāsa): are four, Dharma (actions prescribed by Sastra, doing one's duties), Artha (economics, politics, civics), Kāma (enjoyment of pleasures and aesthetics) and Moksa (liberation), the last being the highest to be attained only by a few, 921n, 1510-11, 1626-32; Bhagavadgità demands a life dedicated to active work and regards doing one's duty as worship 1511; enjoyment of sexual life and pleasures not in conflirt with Dharma is not con-

demned by Manu and other sastras and in the Gita (VII. 11) Krsna identifies himself with Kāma not in conflict with Dharma 1511, Mahābhārata is the sastra of all p. acc to Mārkandeya 819n; Manu states conclusion that there are three p. for all men and condemns premature Sannyāsa resorted to before fulfilling one's duties (one's three debts) 1511, Rgveda contains prayers for health, happiness and life of hundred 1510-11.

Purusārtha-vidhi: (see Kratvartha). defined in P. M. S. IV 1. 2, 1232, rules about the acquisition of wealth are P. 192, 1233, is what a man undertakes for securing the reward of happiness 1232, principal sacrifices like Darsapūrnamāsa are included under P. 1232.

Purusārtha-cintāmanı 17n, 68n, 71n, 78n, 83n, 90-1, 100n-102, 127, 135, 146, 154n, 179, 182-3, 188-190n, 191n, 192, 197n, 199n, 204n, 207n, 227, 230 232, 229n, 240, 481n, 672, 740n. Purusārthasudhānidhi, of Sāyana 1631n.

Purusasükta-held to be a late hymn by modern scholars, 1632. Purusottamamāsa Intercalary month 671, why so called 672. Purusottamatattva 1132

Pūrvamīmāmsāsūtras and Dharmašāstra 1152—1351; (vide under anuvāda, bādha, Bādarāyaņa, Bhagavadgītā, bhāyanā, dharma, dīksā, God, Jaimını, [Kumārīla. mantra. Krama. mīmāmsā, niyama, maxims, parisankhyā, Śabara, Śāstra, sūtra, svarga, ūha, Veda, Vedantasütia, vidbi, Vrttikara, words), 33, 67n, 237, 536 (auspicious times for all rites in honour of gods), 989-90, adhikārin of P. M. S is one who has studied the Veda from guru 1180, approximate date of, between 400-200 B. C. 1157n, 1197, authors mentioned by both P. M. S and V S. 1173, authors mentioned by P. M. S. alone and not mentioned by V. S 1173, Brahmasūtra expressly mentioned in Gītā (13 4) is not the present V S but probably another work or other works of Bādarı, Audolomı and Kāśakrtsna, 1171-74, called 'Prathamatantra' by Sankarācārya, 1032, chronological order of Kumārila, Prabhākara, Mandana, Umbeka, Śālıkanātha, all writers on Mīmāmsā, between 650-750 A. D 1190-95, close correspondence between Ap Dh S and P. M S., 1155; close correspondence between Jaimini's Sūtra and Kätyäyanasrautasütra exemplified 1155-56, Codana (word) in the Mīmāmsā sense employed by Vārtikakāra and Mahābhāsya 1158, conclusions about Vyāsa, Jaimini, Bādarāyana, Pürvamimāmsāsūtra and Vedāntasūtra tentatively sented 1177-78, controversies about Vrttikāra, Upavarsa and Bodhāyana, 1186n-1187n; declared by Padmapurana as unmeaning on account of its atheistic teaching, 976, date of P. M. S. acc. to Jacobi and Keith, about 200 A, D. 1157; dates (approximate) of several writers on P M S. in Sanskrit 1197~1200. descriptions creation and dissolution of the world are not to be literally understood, the universe as a whole has no beginning and no end, descriptions are meant to illustrate power of danca and human effort and to urge men to perform their duties enjoined by Veda 1209-10; dharma is the proper subject of P M. S acc, to Śāstradīpikā and not 'Vedartha' 1180. Dharmasūtras like those of Gautama and Āρ. disclose familiarity with technical terms and principles of P. 1154-55. doctrines of early and principal writers on P are rather startling, they have hardly any place for God or the deities, and their theory about Yajiia smacks of a businesslike system 1217 P. M S does not state how much of the Veda has to be studied before one enters upon the understanding of the meaning 1180, earliest extant com is that of Sabara 1187. emphasizes the distinction between an author of a book and its expositor or transmitter 1203n, employs the word Smiti in the sense of Dharmasastra.

1257, examination of views of Badarayana cited five times conclusions therefrom. 1170; first six chapters consider the procedure of rites like Darsapürnamāsa, the details of which are expressly laid down ' in the Veda while the following six consider the modifications (vikrtis) and details that have to be added or omitted 1321: four anubandhas of. fundamental 1179n: characteristic doctrines (nine in all) of P. M. S. 1202-1207. duruparamparās ın. vidhāna Br. Nyāyaratnākara and Yuktısnehaprapüranī slightly differ 1161n; ideas of P. about creation and disof the world are solution opposed to those of Mahabhārata and Gitā, 1210, if there be only one Jaimini (and not two) no satisfactory explanation why the author of P. M. S, should name himself only five times in about 2700 sütras. 1166-67, insists on certain moral rules (such as not speaking falsely) and other rules of conduct such as giving up flesh, sexual intercourse for one engaged in Darsapürnamāsa and other sacrifices 1217n, Kumārila shows scant respect for Jaimini, charges him with composing some sutras without much substance and remarks that Jaimini's sūtra is improper 1335; list of works and papers in English on Pürvamimämsä 1200-1201; looked upon by medieval writers as the most important of vidyāsthānas 1159. many verses quoted by Sabara on P. M and other matters 1187, most extensive of all Darsanas, containing about 2700 sūtras and over 900 adhikaranas, 1182, names nine authors on Mīmāmsā, besides Jaimini himself 1157n: names other writers only 27 times while V. S. having only about 1/5th of the sūtras in P. M. S. quotes other writers 32 times, 1160-61: number of adhikaranas put at 915 or 1000 by different authors, 1160, 1189n, numerous commentaries on P. M. S. 1186-87; one of the characteristic doctrines of P. M.S is svatahprāmānya, 1212, Padmapurāna asserts Jaimini composed a vast but useless Sāstra by expounding the view that there was no god 1207, 1209; PMS is not as much concerned about moksa or man's destiny after death as other darsanas are 1217; PMS, Sabara, Kumārila make substantial contributions to the exegesis of Vedic texts 1217-18, postulates that apūrva yields the rewards of sacrifices and not God. 1211; question whether Jaimini and Badarāyana were contemporaries and if not, what their relation was, discussed, 1161 ff, questions of identity and relation ship among Kumārila, Prabhā Umbeka, Mandana, kara. Śālikanātha, Sureśvara, set out

with some discussion 1193-95. relation of dharma to the Veda and the P. M. S is clearly and briefly brought out by Kumārıla 1185; relies upon popular usages, apart from Veda and Smrtis in many satras 1255, requires that men of the three varnas should not only study the Veda but they must also understand its meaning 1180, Sabara and Kumārila differ about the subject matters of certain adhikaranas 1335, Sabara gives a summary of the first chap of P M.S. and at the beginning of each of the other chapters gives a summary of the preceding chapter 1186, Sabara proposes two (even three or four-) explanations of several sütras and adhıkaranas 1334-35, Śabara holds two or more sutias as forming one adheharana and alternatively treats one of them as a separate adhikarana 1334, Śabara omits II. 4. 17 and six sūtras after III 4 9, 1162n, 1334, Sabara says that the Yajankas do not declare that rewards result from the mere memorization of the Veda, 1180, Sastri, Prof K. A. Nilakanta. holds that Jaimini mentioned in P M. S. VI. 3, 4 is different from the Jamini mentioned four times more in other sūtras and this view criticized 1162-64, some sūtras are repeated 30 and 24 times 1182, subjects of the twelve chapters of P. M. S., acc. to Jaminiya-

nyāyamālā-vistāra, 1185~86; subjects of the four nadas of the first chapter are vidhi. arthavada (meluding mantras). Smrtis (including customs and usages) and names of rites such as 'udbhid' 1186, table outstanding works writers on P. M. & with tentative dates 1197-1200, various readings in, 1334, tenth chapter is longest, the 3rd comes next and 10th deals with badha and samuccaya, 1326 27, Vārtikas of Katyayana and the Maha. bhāsya establish that Mīmāmsā terms had been elaborated long before them 1156-1158, very rarely refers to other ācāryas by the word 'eke' and V S also does so rarely, its references in the words 'eke'. 'ekesam' and 'anye' being made to Vedic recensions or Upanısads 1173, word' Nyāyavid' is applied to writers on P. M. 1155n; words 'codana', 'upadeśa' and 'vidhi' are synonyms acc to Sabara and 'codana' means a sentence that urges men to do some act 1183-85; works and writers from Jaimini onwards rely on smrtis and Dharmasastra 1178. writers on P. M are called by Balakrīdā of Visvarūpa simply 'nyāyavıdah' er 'nyāyavıdo yājñikāh' 1155n

Pūriaprajnā (in Br. Up IV 4. 2) meaning of, 1602n,

Pusalker, Dr A. D., a of 'Studies in the Epics and Purauss of India' and of 'Progress of Indic studies' in Silver Jubilee Vol of E. O. R. I., 830n, 844, 886, 910.

Pusya, a nakşatra, also called 'Sıdhya' 499a, 525, most powerful among nakşatras 794

Pusyamitra, called Senant in Puranas, Harsacarita and Ayodhya inscription 1008n, 1028; alleged persecution of Buddhists by, discussed 1008– 1010.

Bārhaspatya-Pusyasnana (or anāna) : (vide Naksatrasnāna) 793-797; all utpātas destroyed by Santi in P. 793; Brhaspati is presiding deity of Pusya 798; clas collected from several places such as mountain top and king's head and other limbs rubbed with it in P, 797-798; leaves of 15 plants to be cast in jars filled with water 795; Mandala drawn with powders of different colours in, 1132; many Puranik mantras along with Vedic ones prescribed by the Mateya, Br. S. and Hemādri 795-96; part of laksahoma, acc. to Matsya 798; release of prisoners jailed for offences at the end of, 797; procedure of P.employed in the case of king's elephants and horses to free them from diseases, 797; proper time, procedure and duration for performing P. acc. to Visnadharmottara 798-799, reward and results of P. 799.

Pusyavrata, m. in Ap. Dh S. 346, 799.

Pythagoras (Greek phil/sopher)

believed in punarjanma and there are controversies as to whether he borrowed from India, 1530.

'Questions of Milinda' 940n; has passages clovely agreeing with Gitā 970, 972, 1023n.

Rabindranath Tagore, poet and reformer, a. of Gitanjali 1700, 1711.

Radhakishnan, Dr S : a of Philosophy 1200, Indian 1491. 1605: 1209. 1393. * Source book 1394. Philosophy ' Indian (lointly with C A. Moore); a. of 'India and China' 1122; a. of 'Religion and Society' 1618n, 1689, 1695, a of 'The Brahmasūiras', pp. 194-207 of Introduction on 'Karma and Punarjanma', 1605; a. of 'Idealist view of life' 1612, a of 'Eastern Religions thought' Western (Schweitzer Criticized); a. of 'Kalkin or future of civilization' 1668 (defects of modern Democracy).

Raghavabhatta, commentator of 1101n, 1112n, Śāradātılaka, 1123 (on mudrā), 1124, has an elaborate note on pura-1108-1110; ścarana, that Prapañcasāra is Śankarācārya's own work 1105, quotes Sulbasütra 1132, numerous passages on nyāsa, 1120, 1122, states that Tantra cult is based on Upanisads like Rāmapūrvatāpanīya 1045. Raghavan, Dr. V. 1163n, 1369, 1408n.

Raghunandana, a. of several Tattvas 30, 46, 90n, 158, 175, 178, 136-7, 198, 545, 753, 835n, 919, 1042n, 1118, 1132-33, 1238 (illustrates 'rātrisattranyāya), 1240, 1291.

Raghunātha, a of 'Padārthanīruūpana' 470.

Baghuvamsa 193, 500, 531n, 537, 539, 628, 744n, 797n, 905n, 997, 1027, 1152n, 1213, 1384, 1426 (mentions Virāsana), 1461 (references to Yoga technique), 1571.

Rāhu (ascending node or the point where the orbit of the moon intersects the eclipse in passing northwards 574, and Ketu m. in Mahābhārata 569, demon R. represented as serpent in Śāntis on eclipses 766; mantra repeated in Śānti for R 766n, story of the part of R. at churning of ocean 766n.

Rāhula Sānkrtyāyana, 472n, 942n, 1022-23 (paper on Vajrayāna and the 84 suddhas), 1073 (paper of, about Vajrayāna and Mantrayāna' in Journal Asiatique), 1075n (gives list of 84 suddhas from Luipā to Bhalipā with details.

Rainfall, in the four months from Pausa, inauspicious for seven days for vrata and yātrā after it stops 620

Rājadharma-kaustubha 923, 1333

Rājamārtanda of Bhoja, com on Yogasūtra 1439n, 1444n, 1449n.

Rājamārtanda of Bhoja (on astrology and dharmasāstra

topics) 46, 61n, 68, 72n, 75n, 78, 79n, 83n, 90n-91, 114n, 116, 125, 132n, 144, 181, 191n, 194, 212n-3n, 220, 529n, 547, 555, 560, 571, 604, 606-7 (70 verses on Upanayana), 608n-9n, 610, 612n, 613-4, 615n, 616-7, 619-620n, 621n, 623, 624-6, 672, 710, 885; mentions only 24 vratas 46, 58, quoted by V. K. K. 110n, Rājanītiprakāśa 622, 737n, 806, 923

Rājanītiratnākara 176, 616. Rājanya, meaning of, 1549n Rajas, meaning of 1540.

Rājasūya (sacrifice) performed by Pusyamitra and Khāravela 1028.

Rājatarangiņī (middle of 12th century A. D.), 630, 649, 655, 669, 737n. 1074-75 (has several reference to Tāntrikas and their doings).

Rājavārtika (quoted by Vācaspati) 1359n,

Rajendralal Mitra, Dr 141

Raji, story of his depriving Indra of share in sacrifices and Brhaspati deluding the sons of R. by composing a work on Jinadharma 974.

Rākā (Paurnamāsī mixed with pratipal), worshipped in Rg. for a rich and famous son 63.

Raksābandhana, on Full Moon of Śrāvana, 127-128

Rāksoghna hymns 813

Ram Mohan Roy, founder of Brāhmo Samāj, 1699

Rāma, (vide under Rāmāyana): astrological details about birth of Rāma 84-85, became Lord of the world by yratas in Treta 55; episode in the Epic 838n; given as a synonym of Haladhara and of Dasaratha's son by Amarakośa 84; kept golden image of Sita by his side when he performed Asyamedha 53n. 1281; killed Rāvana on Durzādevi's inspiration acc. to Kälikä 160n; no name so holy and so constantly on Hudu lips as Rāmu's 88; story of his accent to heaven fo'lowed by subjects in his capital 959.

Rāmalīlā, celebrations in North India on first ten days of Aśvina-śukla 193.

Rāmanavamī Viata 84-88: Krtvakalpataru does not deal with it 84; procedure of R prescribed in medieval works 86-87: procedure of R. in modern times 88; whether R. is nitya or kāmya 85-86.

Ramana Maharshi (1879-1950), sage of Arunachal 1479n, work on, by Arthur Osborne 1479n.

a of Bhasya on Rāmānuja; Vedāntasūtra 956n, 1158; a. Vedārthasangraha born in 1127 A. D., does not quote the Bhagavatapurana at all in bhāsya on V.S. while quoting over a hundred verses from Visnupurāņa 95; five heads of the doctrines of the school of, 96in, holds that , whole of Paffcarātra has Vedic authority 954-55.

Rāmānujācārya, a. of Tantrarahasya and Nayakaratna (com. on Nyayatatnamala) 12.0, Rao, Prof. V. K. R. V. paper of

flourished about 1500-1575 A. D., 1200.

Rāmapūrvatāpanīya Upanisad 1102, 1135.

Rāmārcanacandrikā of Anandavanayatı 84,

Ramaswami (Sastry), Pandit V. A 1186n, 1187n.

Rāmāvana dreams frequently mentioned in, as indicating future catastrophies 775: flesh of five five-nailed animals may be eaten 1156n; horoscope of Rāma in Bālakānda of. examined 84-85, 627-28; omens described in 743, several passages in, contain references to planets in relation to naksatras indicating misfortunes to people in general or to individuals 531, solar eclipse at an unusual time 744, 1628 (three purusāsthas), 1629n, 1631.

Rāmešvara, commentator of Parasurāmakalpasūtra 1077.

Ramakrishna Paramahamsa, guru of Vivekānanda 1699-1700. Ramakrishna Mission 1699-1700.

Ranade, M.G. and Prarthanasamāja 1700.

Ranade, Prof. R. D. a. of 'Constructive survey of the Upamshadic philosophy' 1537-41, holds view that from certain mantras of Rg. it can be said that an approach to the idea of Transmigration was made by Vedic sages 1537ff; views and interpretations of the Rg. passages by Prof. Ranade held to be wrong 1537-

in 'Changing India', 1678n, 1683

Rashdall a. of 'Theory of Good and Evil, 1575

Rāsis (signs of Zodiac): (vide nnder Constellation, Mahābhārata. skāna. horā. Nārāvana. person, Rudra. Zodiac). are twelve, each extending over 21 naksatras from Aśvini onwards in order 561, are identified Mesa onwards with the limbs of the Kālapurusa or of Nārāyana 564n; as lords of the quarters (disas) and usefulness thereof 568, classifications of r. as male or female. movable and fixed, due to fancy and ideas of symmetry and sequence 635, Chinese names of rasis are different such as rat, ox, tiger etc 566. 635, colours of the twelve r acc to Brhaj-jātaka 568, description of the appearance of r in Brhaj-jātaka, in the Yavanajātska q. by Utpala and in Vāmanapurāna 564: descriptions of seven r. show that they are figures of animals and five are like human beings 564-5, many scholars deny that there is similarity between the majority of the twelve signs in zodiac and the natural appearance of the objects signified by them 565: mentioned by Vrddha-Garga and Kāśyapa 592, no Greek word corresponding to Mina (Pisces) mentioned by Brhaj. jātaka in some editions 562n, l names of signs among Babylonians, Assyrians and Chaldeans cited by several scholars do not tally 565n, names of r. are purely imaginary 565, 635, no proof that the rasi system was borrowed by India from the Greeks 594; principles of astronomy based on r. probably established in India in the three centuries before Christ 638, purpose of identification of r with limbs of Kālapurusa 561, purpose and astrological indications of the classifications of r. acc. Utpala 568; Sārāvali mentions no Greek word for Mina 563n; six items in relation to the r. occuried by a planet called Sadvarga 583, table of r. as suagrha, ucca and nīca of the planets 576, table of r. with English and Latin equivalents and synonyms 562, things supposed to be under the influence of the several r. 564: what r. are powerful by day, at night and in twilight 569, when are r. powerful 577

Rästrabhrt Anuvaka 786.

Ratana-sutta 943

Rathakāra, in Tai.Br means a man of that caste, not one who manufactures chariots (the etymological sense) and he can repeat the Vedic mantra 'ibhūṇām tvā' etc' though not entitled to Upanayana 1290, 16:2

Rathantara sāman, 726; means only the music and not the

rk set to music, 1222.

Rationalism: (vide under doubt. God, people, Hinduisin, persecution. science. tradition. utilitarianism). accepts the postulates which science finds convenient and useful science works within narrow limits, it is not concerned with morals or spirituality or values life 1475, in Europe emphasis on rationalism arose Christian charches. the particularly Roman Catholic, were extremely intolerant of the slightest departure from religious views held at particular times 1576-77, subconscious and nonrational impulses, beliefs and intuitions in men that are held by men to be truer or of a higher order than what is on the rationalistic level 1475; in Europe men thoughtful during 18th and 19th centuries had boundless faith in r. and progress, but this faith is now greatly weakened 1478.

Rationalists (vide 'Communism and Communists'); r. arrive at different conclusions on the same matter in different ages and even in the same age what appears reasonable to one group is held unreasonable by another group 1477; argue that they should not have to prove negative propositions (such as there is no God) but theirts must prove the positive proposition of God's existence etc 1473; it should not be supposed that

ancient and medieval India had no rationalists or atheists. in fact there were several r. such as the Lokavatas and rationalistic critics of sacrifices. Śrāddhas etc. 974 (note 1596). 1472. Bentham and the two Mills put forward the theory of utilitarianism 1479; many r. hold that there is no proof of the existence of God or any higher Intelligence than man's. deny individual soul and immortality, and argue that in all religions there is some truth with a great deal of error 1472. problem of evil in the world is, acc to r. a great stumbling block in accepting God as good. kind, omnipotent and allknowing, 1473, r and utilitarians are not agreed upon any definite principles of conduct for common men and women 1480, r substitute blocks of humanity or the leaders of such blocks for authority and worship, the likely result being that humanity would soon be wiped out 1474, warning of Gītā against unsettling the minds of ignorant persons 1480.

Ratnamālā 62, 69, 70n, 604n, 605, 607n, 608-10, 615-19, 620n-25, 627, 657n, 682n, 704-5, 707n, 793 (on Pusya)

Ratnāvali 46. Rātri-word r not so frequent m

Rg as the word 'ahan' 675 Rātrīsūkta, a Khilasūkta after

Rg X 127, 232 and n Raudra Gana, mantras addressed to Rudra ın Śāntıs 770.

Rāvana, dreams of Trijātā about, 775.

Rawlinson, a of Five monarchies of the ancient world '514n, 595n.

Rayachaudhuri Dr H. C. 650n (on Vikramāditya), 883 (on discrepancies between Purāna accounts and inscriptions).

Rāyasposa verses 796n.

Rbhus controversies about what they stand for 982, legend that R. slept for twelve days, explained 498-99.

Reality, is of three kinds, (1)

Păramārthikī (Absolute or
highest), the province of
Parā vidyā, (2) Vyāvahānikī
(empirical), the piovince of
aparā vidyā, (3) Prātibhasikī
(which pertains to dream states
in which pleasure and pain
may be experienced while the
dream lasts), 1506.

Rebirths for sins, authorities on, collected in one place 1590; for persons guilty of mortal sins after undergoing hell tortures specified by Manu and Yāj and rebirth for lesser sins 1590-91; for those guilty of thefts of certain articles have some understandable logic behind them 1491.

Re-incarnation (Punarjanma)
1530-1612, great difference
between the Hindu theory of
avatāras and Christian doctrine
of r. 992

Rele, Dr. V G., a of 'Mysterious Kundalını' 1145, 1393, 1443 (criticized by Shrı Kuval-23

ayānanda).

Religion or (religions): as believed at present by most men can hardly be a panacea for the ills of the world 1480: many emment men attribute the present difficulties to the two world wars and are busy in inviting all men back to r . but the crux of the problem is what religious tenets and practices are to be inculcated among men in the present age 1480-81, most r. postulate three fundamental entities. God. ındıvıdual soul and external world 1506: virtues preached at different times as most important have been different (such as monachism. charity, humility, social service. heroism) 1481, whether religion is necessary or not some worth-while ideal is necessary. acc. to Pandit Nehru 1670: and modern Indians several attitudes towards re. form, 1700-1701.

Religious Rites calendar requiied for finding out proper times for, 641, must be performed at the correct time (ie season, tith etc.) acc. to Sastra 713, rule that, if one able to carry out main provisions of R. resorts to alternatives provided for those who are unable, he does not secure the other-worldly rewards of that rite 100.

Renou, Prof L, 493n, 505n (a of 'L' Inde Classique'), 1006n (on 'Religions of Ancient India'), 1397-98 (against identity of two Patanjalis).

Representative (vide under 'Pratinidhi'), not allowed in certain cases 53.

Republics in ancient India, acc. to Jayaswal 1663.

 Revatī, the Yogatārā of naksatra R. corresponds to Zeta Piscium of modern astronomers 712.

Rhys Davids, 542, 940, 1033 (a of 'Buddhist India')

(Mrs.) Rhys Davids: 1007 (on Relation between Buddhism and Brahmanism) says Buddha agreed with Upanisad teachings about high moral endeavour).

Rgveda (vide God, Veda): 2-22, 35-6, 60n, 62-3, 87, 112, 126, 129, 137, 146, 150-151, 153, 159, 168, 171, 179n, 183, 205, 209, 219n, 223, 233, 24I, 463, 488-9, 491-93, 495-98, 506, 537-38, 579, 582, 596, 671, 675, 684, 686-7, 690n, 691-92, 697, 703n, 719-24, 729-31, 726n-28n, 733, 737n-39n, 740-41, 750, 756, 758, 761-63, 771n, 772-3, 780n, 781, 785-9, 790n, 791, 796, 802, 814, 859, 916-17, 919-20, 934, 947, 950-51, 970, 980-985, 987-91, 997, 1031, 1035-36 (mystic words and spells in), 1043-44, 1045 (Rg. V. 47. 4 deemed to refer to Kādividyā), 1052n, (Rg verses q. in Kulārnavatantra), 1058, 1059 (Rg. IV. 40 5 ('Hamsah ' etc.) to be recited over wine and other tativas in Tautrik worship), 1078, 1086 (verses of, q by Mahanirvanatantra), 1098, 1100 (Vedic Mantras employed in T. works exemplified), 1121n, (orb of Sun is called wheel). 1156n, 1181, 1184, 1203-4 (references that are bistorical), 1213 (who went to heaven and what iovs were there), 1218 (Madhvācārva claims that he is referred to in Rg. text I. 141. 1-3), 1221n, 1223, 1227n, 1244 (mantras full of faith in God and beatitude), 1255-56, 1257n, 1265n, 1268 and n, 1276n, 1309n, 1311n. 1316, 1321. 1362. 1372, 1382n, 1385-86, 1471 (quoted often by Upanisads), 1478 (some doubters about Indra), 1487-1493, 1497-98, 1516 Rg. IX. 62. I explained in Tāndya), 1517, 1527-8, 1532-33 (passages where word Karman occurs), 1536-37 (Rg. VII. 33, 10-14 about Vasistha's two births explained), 1537-44, 1546n, 1548n, 1553-54, 1568n (interpretation of Rg. X. 190. 3), 1576n, 1578 (Vaiśvāpara), 1595, 1600 and n, 1613 -4 (river Sindhu), 1619-20 (meaning of 'Krnvanto Visvam Aryam'), 1622, 163In, 1644 (indications of at least three Āśramas)', 1646 (shift towards oblation of cooked food or ghee as equal to animal sacrifices); 1676 (Vasistha's prayer that sins due to wine and gambling may be forgiven); prayer to bestow ten

songs on newly married bride) (X 85, 16 explained), 1610n R (X 117. 6) differentiates between rk verses. Yajus texts and Saman chants 859, hardly 1/3 of over ten thousand Mantras of Rgveda are employed in the Vedic rites 1223; has two arrangements, viz one into Mandalas and Süktas and the other into astakas adhyāvas and vargas, while Tai. S and Atharvaveda are arranged into Kāndas 859; padapātha or kramapātha of R is not eternal but is man-made and the former is ascribed to Sākalya who is criticized by the Nirukta 861; rsis of, arrived at idea that there is only one Supreme Being addressed under different names 1487, verses of, occur in other Vedic samhitas 22, war of ten kings against Sudâs 1644

Rgvedi, Mr., work of, on 'History of Aryan festivals' in Marathi 60.

Rg-vidhāna 728n-29n. Rkprātiākhya 703n

Rivara J. H. de Cunha, a of paper on 'Historical essay on the Konkani language' 1020.

Rivers Apayā, Drsadvatī and Sarasvatī m as holy rivers for establishing Agni in Rg, 523, eighteen or 19 rivers are mentioned in order from Ganges in the east to Kubhā (Kabul river), Gomatī and Krumu (Kurram) in the west 1528, many rivers m. in Anusāsana-

parva 1528; several Puranas mention rivers as flowing from the principal mountains 1528, seven Sindhus mentioned in Rg. 1528, six rivers mentioned as very holy and connected with Himavat and six more south of Vindhya 243.

Robertson, Archibald, a of 'Rationalism in theory and practice' 1476n, 1481n, 1616 (on meaning of word civilization'

Rodasi, wife of Rudra, acc. to Yāska and connected with Maruts in Rg, 1044.

Rohmi, naksatra, moon said to be fond of, 507.

Romaka is probably Alexandria in Āryabhata's work, 676n.

Romaka-siddhanta 680, (vide under Śrīsena), Diksit contends that the R. summarised in Paūcasiddhāntikā is different from the work of Śrisena and was composed before 150 A. D 515n, length of year, acc. to R. agrees with Hipparchus and Ptolemy 515, no calendars in India based on it 515n, Thibaut on, 515n, probably composed in Sanskrit by a Greek settled in India, 515n, Thibaut on, 515.

Roman Church: prepared an Index of forbidden books (the edition of 1949 contains 5000 titles) and another Index of books permitted but some passages from which were to be expurgated 1576.

Roth Cecil, a of 'Short History of the Jews' (for persecution

of the Jews) 1019.

Roland, Benjamin, a. of 'The Art and Architecture of India' 1656.

Royce, J., a. of 'the world and the individual,' expounds Chān. Up. III 14 and VI. 2-15 and Br. Up. 1500, quotes from a mystic work 'who knows Him is silent' 1505.

Rsabha, a predecessor of Varāhamihira 622.

Rşipaficami-vrata: (vide 'Seven sages'): 149-151, meant for all, but now practised mostly by women 149, number seven important in, 151; object was to remove all sins and troubles of three kinds and ridding contacts during women of monthly illness 950; performed on 5th of Bhadrapada bright half 149-151 (mixed with 4th and not with 6th tithi); performer not to eat anything in this vrata produced on land ploughed by oxen or watered by the labour of oxen 150; procedure of 149-150: Sankalpa in 150n; seven sages and Arundhati worshipped in, 150-51, worship of sages is performed with Reveda mantras 150-51.

Rsiputra, a of a work on astrology, 593 (Br S. quotes 15 verses of his), 767, 768n, 778n (Br. S. summarises its views)
Rta, meaning of, acc. to Prof Apte, 1s 'belt of Zodiac'3, three meanings of, 320; wheel of, in Rg. 488–489, 1627; (rta gave place to satya later).

Rtavya hymns are Rg II. 36 and 37, 492.

Ruben, Prof. on 'Puranic line of Heroes' 883.

Rudra (see under 'Śāstras'), deemed by Padmapurāna to have assumed the form of Kāla with rāšis as his limbs 561n; is supposed to have deluded people and asuras by composing false śāstras at the bidding of Visnu, 974.

Rudra (see under Ekādaśınī, Laghurudra, Mahārudra, Atırudra): 1024; name of Ru drādhāva, viz. eleven anuvākas ın Tai. S IV. 5. 1-11, beginning with 'namas-te Rudra manyave', reciting them once being called 'avartana' and reciting eleven times is called Ekādasinī, 759, 813 and n; word r. is harsh name 72ln; worship by R takes three forms viz. japa, homa and abhiseka (sprinkling a person with holy water over which mantras have been repeated), 814.

Rudradhara, a. of 'Suddhiviveka' 52, 1296 (held Südra cannot adopt a son)

Rudragana (mantras) 796n.

Rudragāyatrī 108n.

Rudrayāmala, a Tāntrik work; 736n, 965n, 1034, 1061n (on cakras and Sahasrāracakra); 1088n (a Vīra Sādhaka could associate with another's wife as Śakti in worship), 1101, 1144–45.

Rudriya (servant of Rudra), substituted for Rudra in Ait. Br. for averting Rudra's wrath 721n.

Rukmāngada and Mohini story of, in Nāradīya-purāna 892; proclamation of, about the observance of Ekādaši 892.

Rūpasamrddha, meaning of, 789n, 1097, 1276n,

Russell, Bertrand, a of 'Impact of Science on Society' 765n, remarks of on Milton's use of popular beliefs about eclipses 765.

Russile, Lord, of Liverpool, a of Scourge of the Svastika, wherein he cites the confession of Hoss that not less than three million people were put to death at Auschwitz, 1480n.

Russia great inequalities of income in, even after 40 years of totalitarian rule between the salaries of Academicians and high placed executives on one hand and of common men on the other 1682-83

Rutter, Owen, a of 'Scales of Karma' 1594, 1605.

Šabara, a. of bhāsya on Pūrva mīmāmsā-sūtra (vide under Kumārila, Vrttikāra), vrata defined, 28, 33-4, 73n, 86n, 96n, 237, 607, 701n, 821, 985. 992n, 1032 (meaning Tantra), 1097-98 (mantras are 'arthaprakāšaka), 1114n, 1154n-56n, 1158,1167 (Jaimini mentions Bādarāyana in P M S I I 5 and XI 1 65), 1178 (quotes a Smrt1 verse almost identical with Manu), 1180, 1183. 1186-87 (mentions vrttikāra with respect) 1197, i

1202-05, 1208, 1209n. 1211n (on Apūrva), 1212n, 1213 (popular views about heaven). 1214 (mental happiness svarga), 1220-21 (on mantras, their classifications and definitions), 1222, 1224 ('sabdapramānakā vayam'), 1225n(defines vidhi), 1226 (what 1227n, signifies a vidhi), 1231n, 1236n. 1241. 1237. 1249n, 1254n, 1255, 1257-60. 1283n, 1286n, 1289-90, 1292, 1297n-1300n, 1294, 1295n, 1304n, 1305n-1308, 1310-1312n, 1317n-18n, 1321n. 1322, 1324n, 1325-1326n, 1329n, 1331n cites a verse defining 'tantra'), 1332n-35, 1512n (on affix 'tva'), 1527, 1544n, 1626, at least quetations thousand Śabara's bhāsya are from Tal. S. and Br. 1218: bhāsya of, is earliest extant com on P M. S 1187, bhāsya of, quotes about three thousand quotations of which several hundreds have not been identified 1218, Brhatī, is com of Prabhākara on, 1189, difference between S. and Kumärila as to the subject matter of P M. Sūtras, 1262-63), 1335: flourished between 200-400 A D. 1197; frequently quotes the very words of the Nirukta or pointedly refers to them, 1276 and n, gives three interpretations of P. M S IV. 1 2, out of which one relates to acquisition of wealth 1233, gives far–fetched explanations of

the words 'Savitr, ' 'Asvins' and 'Pūsan' when the Mantra , 'devasya tvā '(Tai. S. II. 4 6. 1) is to be addressed by wha to a deity other than Agni, - 1325 and n; mentions Holākā festival as prevalent in Eastern parts of Bhārata 237; numerons commentaries on bhāsva of 1187-88; omits some sūtras, acc. to Kumārija 1162n, 1188, , 1227n, no commentary on , Sabara's bhāsya, composed be-, fore Kumārila now available 1188; quotes several verses on P. M matters and also on , other topics 1187, stories of events that never occurred are ment oned in the Veda for praise (says S.) 1224; styled vrttikāra sometimes by Kumārıla himself 1197.

Sābarotsava, m Durgāpūja 176-

Sabatını, Rafael, work of, on Spanish Inquisition' 933n.

Sabbäsavasutta 943.

Śabdakalpadruma 760.

Sabbath 677; Jews observed Saturday as S (and not Sunday) 677, observed on different week days by various peoples 677.

Sabhāparva 27n, 90n, 130, 488n, 539, 698 (names for numerals from ayuta to parārdha), 743 (omens), 744 (eclipse), 745 (three kinds of utpātas), 971n (story of Śiśupāla), 1161 (pupils of Vyāsa).

Sabine, W. H. W. a. of 'Second sight in daily life' developes a novel theory on dreams 782.

Sacī. word Ś occurs in the sense of 'Śakti' about 50 times in Rg. and does not mean wife of Indra 1043.

Sacred books of the East (series) 527, 777, 939n-40n, 943, 970n, 972, 978n, 1022-23n, 1026, 1037n, 1038, 1042, 1070, 1107, 1153, 1579n, 1583n, 1613n, 1627, 1663.

Sacrifice (see under Yajña, wife, Daksmā); disposal of implements of S. 1232; even the Raveda discloses that a complicated sacrificial ritual existed in its times 991; provides that husband and wife should perform a S. in co-operation, but where the Veda expressly provides that certain matters are to be performed by the male sacrificer it is the male alone that can perform them 1287; results of panoity or absence of food, or mantras, proper fees, disastrous in different ways 753; svarga is the reward of all sacrifices (such as Viśvant) for which the Veda does not expressly declare a reward 1312, ten implements required in S. enumerated in Tal. S, each of which is to be employed for the purpose prescribed by Veda 1292, 1331n, wrangling about food or fees causes misfortune to sacrificer 753.

Sadācāra (usages or practices of sistas), (vide 'sista', Smitis, Kalivarjya). intricate questions about the relative force of Sruti, Smiti and Sadācāra

Index 183

arıse 1264, Kumārıla's position is that those usages alone are authoritative that are not opposed to express Veduc texts, that are practised by sistas under the belief that they are part of Dharma (right conduct) and for which no visible motive such as pleasure, acquisition of wealth or satisfaction of desires can be predicated and that it does not follow that all actions of sistas are to be accepted as dharma, that Manu's advice to follow one's ancestors' path means a path of good people 1264, 1280, some great men, acc to Gautama, Ap Dh S., Bhagavatapurāna (X 33.30), were guilty of violations of dharma and excesses, and twelve examples are cited by Kumārila who explains them away or says that they were not thought to be dharma by those persons themselves 1280.

Şadanganyāsa, exemplified by Dharmasındhu and declared to be avaidiha 1121n.

Ṣadasītīmukha, 213n, m. in inseriptions 213n, punyakāla is 60 ghatīkās 215.

Sadāvrata (or annadānamāhātmya) 437.

Sad-dharma-pundarīkasūtra (a standard work of Mahāyāna), agrees closely with Gitä 970, contains many dhāraṇīs (spells) 1104n

Sādhanamālā (a composite Vajrayāna work of 3rd century A D to 12th): 1038-39, 1050. 1070 (six cruel magic acts), 1104, 1116n, 1146; asserts that there is nothing that cannot be attained by mantras if proper procedure be followed 1104.

Şadvımsa-Brāhmana 734 (šāntis), 1114n (Syenayāga details), 1245.

Sagara, sons of, were reduced to ashes by Kapila 1362

Saha, Dr. Meghnad, 713-4, President of Calendar Reform Committee and author of the CR C. Report 714n; Report of, has exahaustive alphabetical list of Hindu festivals, but no details except month, fortnight and tithi are given 253, 644, 648-49

Sahasrabhojanavidhi (procedure of giving dinner to one thousand brāhmanas at one time) 445.

Sāhityadarpana, 1297n-98, present author's notes on, referred to 1297.

Saints (vide Kabir) in medieval times in India there were women S like Mirabai and Andal, untouchables as saints, viz Chokhāmelā and Raidas. Mahomedan saints like Kabir 969; names of saints mystics in all parts of India from 13th to 17th century A. D that agreed on certain fundamentals viz unity of God. need of self-purification, condemnation of pride of caste and of ritual, and surrender to God for salvation, 969-970.

Śaiva- (see under 'Śāstras');

Siddhāntas were composed at Visnu's bidding by Siva for deluding people and asuras 974-975.

Šāka (vegetable) has ten forms 423.

Saka era: 649 (began when 3179 years of Kalı had expired). 679n; in medieval times came to be called Salıvahana 655. Inscriptions of Ksatrapa kings bearing the number of the vear are held to be in Saka era. 654: Śakas in Yugapurāna 828: most astronomical works in Sanskrit employ Śaka era from about 500 A.D., 653; origin of the name Saka era is a most difficult and unsolved problem 653ff, origin of the name Sālıvāhana 655. some modern writers Burgess and Dr. Saha hold that this era was started by calculation and taken backwards. 649.

Saka and Yavana and other foreign tribes held to be originally Ksatriyas by Manu and others 1634n.

Śakadhūma, meaning of, 740n; Charpentier on 740n.

Śaka-Kusāņa, paper by Prof Basham 654n.

Śākalya, a. of the padapātha of the Rgveda 861.

Sāketa, m. m Yugapurāna 827-

Sakra-dhvajotthāpana: (vide 'Indradhvajotthāpāna), m. by Sarasvatīkanthābharana of Bhoja 42.

Sākta or Sāktas (vide Lalitā-

māhātmya): all women are fit for intercourse to S, worshipper except the waves of his guru or the wives of Vira kind of worshippers 1093; are mentioned among six darsanas by Vāyupurāna 1042, belief of. that female jackal is a messenger of Kālī and is auspicious 808: Bengal and Assam. chief strongholds of Sakta cult 1076, chief characteristics of the cult of 1041-2, cult of S. promises men both bhoga and y ga 1092n; cult of, prevalent long before 8th century A. D in India, especially in Bengal Assam 1041; ksetras of 1039; great aim of Sākta 18 to realise his identity with yantra, mantra, guru and devī 1138, have no higher means of happiness and liberation than the fifth tattva 1093: list of works on S. doctrines in English 1048n, principal work of Ś 18 Devīmāhātmya 1041; Purānas that eulogise Devī 1042; several Upanisads relied upon as the basis of S cult by Räghavabhatta and Bhäskar erāva, but those upanısada are later writings 1045; Rg. V. 47. 4 is fancifully interpreted by S as referring to their views 1218, Śāktavidyas are said to be Kādi, Hādi or Sādi 1045n, sect mark of S and Śaivas was tripundra 1076n; special form of worship which sometimes assumed debased or revolting forms 1042; was not prohibited only when

185

it was taken for pleasure 1094, word Sakta means 'one who is a devotee of Sakta' (cosmic power or energy) 1041.

Śāktapramoda, 1041n

Saktı (vide under Devi, Durga, Kālī, Kundalınī, cakras, five Makāras), conceived to be the primordial source governing all activity in the universe, 1141, different names of S. or Kālī in Purānas like Matsva. Kūrma, Brahma, 1041-42, doctrine of Vedānta brahma is endowed with all powers might have suggested all engrossing power of 1045n, ideas associated with S and Saci in Rg are those of creation, protection, valour and bounty 1043, is all pervading, most subtle, is the Kundalini coiled like a snake and manifests herself in the form of the fifty letters of the alphabet from a to Lsa 1061, name Bhadrakālī occurs in Śān gr and Manu 1042, Kaulāvalīmirnaya asserts that if a man. after partaking of bhang, enga ges in meditation, he directly sees the derty, 1082, praise of Devi spoken of as primordial Sakta, that all gods including Siva himself derive powers from her 1058, Rgveda speaks of the S. of the great gods, but they are Saktis of the gods and not separate creatīve principles 1043, šāstras opposed to Veda like Kāpāla, Bhairava, Yāmala, Vāma, Arhata were propagated by Devi for deluding the world 1042. sometimes the word 'Māvā' is used with Indra, instead of Sakti 1043; when worshipped with offerings of wine, flesh and edibles becomes pleased 1042, 1058, word S occurs about a dozen times in Rgveda, five times with Indra, twice with pitrs, once with Aśvins 1043, worshipped generally under the name Devi 1041, worship of, necessarily requires wine and makāras acc to several Tantra works 1082.

Śaktı (vide under 'women').

means the woman associated
with a sādhaka in Yogic practices and in the worship of
Śaktı 1052n, 1085; young
courtesan is Ś incarnate and
bi ahma 1056.

Saktısangama-tantra, 263, 1052n, 1053 ('kula' means upāsaka of Kālī), 1054, 1056, 1064—65, 1080 (offers symbolic explanations of five Makāras), 1105n, (on Trailokyamohana mantra), 1109n, 1117 (proper times for dīksā)

Śakunas (vide birds, divination, nimitta, Vasantarāja-śakuna) are declared by Varāhamihira to be indicative of the actions of persons in their former lives and by Vasantarāja 538, 806; authors and works on 622, Bhāguri, Bharadvāja and Dravyavardhana on, 591, extensive literature on, 805-6; hooting of owl on top of a house at night portends sorrow

and death of owner's son 808; words Sakuna and Sakuni mean 'bird' in Rgveda, gradually came to mean premonitions conveyed by cries and movements of birds and then any prognosticatory sign 804-805; some animals and birds are useless for prognostications at certain seasons 808-809; Upaśruti as method 809-810. Śākuntala of Kālidāsa: 46, 120, 517, 687, 800, 1027, 1046n (Šiva as 'parigatasaktih'), 1071, 1596.

Śālākarma (construction of a house); vide under 'house'.

Śālihotra, q. by Hemādri on Aśvaśānti 804.

Śālikanātha, a. of com Rjuvimalā on Brhatī and of Prakaranapalīcikā 1189, flourished between 710-770 A. D, 1198; reason for the view that Ś. was a direct pupil of Prabhākara 1190, 1193.

Śālivāhana, era (vide Śaka era): these words occur in Inscriptions of 13th and 14th century A. D., 655.

Salokat's a kind of muktī 1631n. Śalyaparva 90n, 520n, 687n, 742n, 743-44, 764, 767, 787n, 1219, 1391.

Sam: adhrigu-praisa, bearing of on root sam, 724; undeolinable 'sam' occurs about 160 times in the Rgveda 719-720, joined to 'yoh' in a compound or with 'ca' between the two, meaning 'happiness and welfare or 'health' and wealth' 719; sometimes used as a

noun 720.

Samādhi 1449n-1451; derivation of, 1449n, two kinds of S. viz. Samprajūāta or Sabīja and Asamprajūāta or nirbīja 1450-51, there is a blending of subject and object, the individual soul and paramātman 1450, sabīja s. of four stages, 1450-51, the word Samādhi, was known to V. S., Maitrāyanī Up. and Gītā, 1390, 1450

Samāja (festive meeting), m. by Āp. Dh. S. and Asoka 1017.

Saman meaning of, 1221, means a samaveda chant 1543; means also 'reconcultation' 1543, parts (five) of each S. 1544.

Samāsasamhitā, of Varāhamihira, quoted by Utpala 742n.

Sāmaveda 721n, 733n, 734, 1221 (has not one thousand Śākhās, but gītis), 1543 (all verses of, except about 75, are taken from the Rgveda).

Samayamayūkha 66n, 83, 111, 129n, 133-35, 136n-37n, 145-46n, 154n, 170n, 180-81n, 182, 184, 189n, 198n, 215n, 216, 227n-8n, 232n, 243n-4n. Samayapradīpa 29, 40n, 41, 50,

52, 75n, 81n, 125. Samayaprakāsa, 91n, 97n, 99n, 248, 663, 664n-5n, 672-674n, 675n.

Sāmavidhāna-brāhmana 733-4, 790, 1161.

Sāmba, an Uapurāna m. by Matsya, Alberum and Dānasāgara 873, 910, date of, discussed 872-3, Prof. Hazra himself finds that more than half of it belongs to period 950~1500 A. D., 873, no evidence that the half of S. which Prof. Hazra puts down between 500-800 A. D. is earlier than 800 A. D. or even 950 A. D. 873.

Sāmba, son of Krsna, revised Bhavisyat-purāna and established images of the Sun in four places, acc. to Varāhapurāna, 818n, 898

Samhītā or Śākhā (natural astrology), a branch of Jyotisa, subjects of, 479

Samı and Samī occur in some Rgveda verses 723, Sāyana explains as 'Karman' (religious rite, action) 723-4

Samī (vide under Sānti) cult of, is ancient 194, plant or branch, connected with appeasing terrible aspects 724, war supposed to destroy sins and appease the wrath of gods when worshipped 192, 725, worshipped on Vijayādašamī 190 Sāmidhenī verses generally

15, 726, spoken of, as thunderbolt 726-7.

Sāmrājyalaksmī-pīthikā, observances in 18n

Samsāra (cycle of buths and deaths), 1563-65, Kāma as the root of s. as it gives rise to volition (*Lratu*) which leads to deeds, 1548, Manu frequently employs it 1564-65, word occurs in Kathopanisad and Sv. Up. 1564.

Samsarpa (vide intercalary month). 671-2, distinguished

from Amhaspatı 672

Samskāra (in P M, S) is what when performed makes a substance fit for some purpose 1308n,

Samskārakaustubha 1290.

Samskāraprakāša 614, 1244n-5n Samskāraratnamālā, 614, 1121n, (holds nyāsa is avaidika).

Samuccaya (or abhyuccaya, addition or combination) 1328-29; Mit on Yāj III. 243 furnishes examples of S. in the matter of expiations from Dharma-sastra 1328-29.

Samudragupta, greatest of the Gupta emperors 843, performed Aśvamedha that had long been in abeyance, 1028

Samuel, Viscount 148 n, 1575n. Samuel, 194 (Bible).

Samvartaka (terrible) fires or clouds at time of *Pralaya*, 686n.

Samvatsara identified with Prajāpati in Šat Br 65, years of Bārhaspatya type were so called 660-61, names of, were supposed to indicate different consequences for each 662.

Sāmvatsarīkasattīa, observances in, 18n

Samyuttanıkaya 1005n.

Sanātanadharma the word occurs in Matsya-purāna and in Khanapur plates of Mādhavavarman (6th century A D.) 945n, 1629n, meaning of, 1629 and n.

Sanatkumāra and Nārada, story of, in Chān Up VII. 26. 2, where the former is called Skanda, both being semi. divine, the former being a mind-born son of Brahmā.

Sanchi: sculptures on eastern gateway of 127, 1653n (works on the stūpa at).

Sandhyā, is period of three ghatikās before and after sunrise 438.

Sandhyā (morning and evening worship) nyāsa included in S. by some works 1121-22; worship of the Sun daily in the evening is to be done with some mantras addressed to Varuna (in Rg. I. 25) acc, to Parāšaramādhavīya and modein Mahārāstra practice, 1311n.

Śāndılya, vide under Pāñcarātra 955n.

Śāndilya-bhaktr-sūtra 952n, 966-7, 958n, 959, 966n, 966, 968 (path of bhaktr open to all including cāndālas), com. on by Svapnesvara 956-59, 965-6n.

Sangha, elective procedure in 1663.

Sampradosa-vrata 421.

Sanjana J. E.: a of 'Dogma of re-incarnation', criticized 1605-1608, 1611, asserts that a man who believes in re-incarnation is not a true Zoroastrian, which criticized is 1605-6; employs offensive language about those who differ from him, particularly about Theosophists, 1606-7, his inter pretation of Manu VI. 63 is wrong 1565; is guilty of serious mistakes 1607-8.

Sankalpa, necessary in every religious rite, contents of, 650, formula of, in vrata, 81; in a fast or vrata to be made in the morning 202

Śańkarācārya (vide Abhinavagupta, Devala, Śrībarsa Tārānāth) 731n-2n, 779n, 821. 824-26, 860n. 866 (Prof. Hazra misunderstands what S. says), 900n, 906n, 944n, 955 (on Pancaraira), 963n, 1032-1045, 1153 (on V. S. I. 1. 1.). 1155n, 1158, 1160 (Pūrvamīmāmsā and Vedānta-sūtras as one šāstra), 1154-65, 1172 (on Gītā 13.4), 1202, 1205, 1211 and n. (on Apūrva), 1218, 1241n, 1250 (quotes sūtra in which thewords paryudāsa, pratisedha and vikalpa occurs), 1251n, 1254-5 (Agnihotra as ārādupakāraka in acquiring knowledge of brahma \. 1289-90, 1297n, 1300, 1307n, 1359n, 1360-62, 1365, 1388 (position as to Yoga), 1389n, 1390, 1400n, 1426, 1432, 1434-35 (on Chan Up. I. 3. 3, misunderstood by Caland, Dumont and others), 1435 (S. on Br. Up I. 5. 3 and on Prasna), 1446, 1468, 1469-70, 1484n, 1485, 1488n, (explains 'asat'), 1499n, 1500, 1504-5, 1507-8, 1516n, 1517, 1541-42, 1546n, 1548, 1552n, I554, 1563n-64n, 1566-68, 1576n, 1579n. 1588. 1591, 1607; doctrine of Māyā declared by Padmapurāņa as Bauddha in disguise, 976: holds Bādarāyana a. of V. S. is diffe rent from Vedavyāsa, Mahabharata, 1166; horoscope

of, in com, on Saundaryalaharī examined 629, Prapañcasāratantra, ascribed to S. dilates mantra called nogu a Trailokyamohana for accomplishing six cruel acts 1070: Saundarvalaharī, ascribed to S. 1049n; says that Manusmrti and Devala-dharmasūtra accept some doctrines Sānkhva system 1352, verses quoted by S on V S. I 3. 30 as Smitis, set out, identified in Santiparva und Puranas 1568n. view that Sudras like Vidura and Dharmavyādha had brahmajñāna and a may secure moksa by reading the Itihasa and Puranas, and Vācaknavi Gārgī, though a woman, possessed brahmajñāna 921n, 1642,

Sankaradıgvıjaya of Mādhavācārya, 1010n, contains an absurd story about king Sudhanvan's order for persecuting Buddhists 1010n, throws all history and chronology to winds to glorify Sankarācārya 1010n,

Śankaragītā 79n.

Sankaravıjaya of Anandagıri, 1136n.

Sankarsa-kānda, exercised hardly any influence on Dharmasāstra 1159, for discussion about devatās 1159, held to be a parisista (supplement) of Pūrvamīmānisāsūtra by Appayadīksita 1159, much neglected in later times 1159, views differ about its authorship 1158-59.

Śańkha-likhita sūtra 52, 527, 1590

Sankhasmrti 27, 1016n, 1440 (same verse as Manu VI. 72), 1441 (same in Manu), 1448n (eight verses quoted).

Sānkhāyana-brāhmana 24, 26, 241, 659n, 726-7, 1153, 1234 (on the observances of a sacrificer)

Śānkhāyana-grhyasūtra 27, 730, 761 (on Mahāšāntı), 1042.

Śānkhāyanaśrautasūtra 26, 671n, 672, 816, 1032 (meaning of Tantra).

Sānkhya system (vide Devala. elements, gunas, Kapıla, Pañcasikha, Upanisad, Vindhyavāsa) and Dharmasāstra 1352-1384; and Yoga m Sv. Up. 1352, S. and Yoga are one acc to Santiparva 1367, contradictions in S pointed out by Śankarācārya 1357n, does not include time among 25 Tattvas but includes it under Karanas 468, derived from the word sankhyā that has two senses 1378, dispassionate appraisal of Sānkhya by Dr. Behanan 1384. described in Purānas such as Kūrma and Visnu 825n, eighteen schools of, acc. to Chinese sources 1353, enumeration of 25 tattvas of, 694n; evolution of world, acc. to S. described in Yaj Smrti 825expounded by Kapılamuni to Asuri 1356, followers of S. system explained Vedānta passages as favourable to them and V S I 1, 5-18 refute it, 1218; high praise of, in Santi-

parva 1363-65; 18 called Sānkhyatantra by Śankarācārya 1032; is near to Vedanta because of the theory of nondifference between cause and effect that it propounds 1352, is within Vedic orthodoxy as to those views not in conflict with Veda 1362; karvalya is the goal of S. 1361n. Muni, originator of S. acc to Sānkhyakārikā 1356. S. Krtānta expressly m. ın Gitā (18 13) 1378. Mahābhārata (Santiparva) and Aśvamedhika and S. 1363-1371: means 'tattvajñāna' or 'a person knowing ultimate reality' in the Gită 1378 : most fundamental conceptions of S. are two distinct essences viz. Prakrtı or Pradhāna and Purusas (that are many), three gunas, twenty-five tattvas 1357-1359; numerous teachers about purusa, mentioned in Santiparva 1367, 1374; one of the six well-known darśanas 1352: poets like Kālidāsa and Bāna utilize S. doctrines 1384; propounds a theory of cosmic evolution based on reason alone without postulating God as creator 1358; S. propounded in the Santiparva is a good deal different from the standard S 1370-3, Puzanas dwell at some length on S. doctrines 1382-84, purusa is bholir (and not larte) 1358; origin and development of S. is a difficult problem, 1353; Pancasikha (vide under that

word) 1371; relation of S to Upanisads, discussed 1362-3; relied on Upanicad passages (such as 'ajām-ekām' in Šv. Up. IV. 5) in support of their views about Prakiti gunas , some philosophers combined S, Yoga and Parmesvara and some Mahabharata references to S are made with reference to them 1365, striking passages where S. doctrines and terms appear in Bhagavad-gītā 1375-6; teachers of, mentioned by Yuktıdipikā 1354-55, teachers such as Paficadhikarana and Paurika not known before 1334: views of Garbe, Jacobi and Oldenberg on S Upanisads 1363; went through several phases 1353; why so called 1377-78; works like Buddhacarita, Carakasanhitā, Suśruta, Manusmrti, Yāj smrti, Devaladbarmasūtra mention 8. tenets 1378-1381, works and papers on S system mentioned ın one place 1353n

Sānkhya kārīkā of Īśvarakrsna: 691n. 900n, (calls S. system 'Tantra'), 1353, 1359n, 1364n, 1374n, 1379n, 1376n-77n. 1402-3, 1410, commentaries on viz. Matharavitti, Yuktidīpikā, that of Gaudapada, Sänkhyatattvakaumudi of Vācaspati 1254-55; commentary called Jayamangala ascribed to Sankarācārya, 1355, date of, between 250-325 A. D. 1354-5, 1353; foremost ex

ponent of Sānkhya from at least 5th Century A. D 1353, 1356, known as Sānkhya-saptatı and in Chinese as Suyarnasaptatı 1356n; quoted by Sankarācārya 1353, 1358n, translated into Chinese by Paramārtha în 546 A D 1353.

Sānkhya-pravacanasūtra 1353, 1358n, ed. by Garbe, a late work of about 1400 A. D, 1353, bhāsya on, of Vijnanabhiksu (about 1550 A. D) 1355, states that no sastra that admits a soul is unauthoritative, that there is no contradiction among sastras, each being of full force and true in its own sphere, that Sankhya is not in irreconcilable conflict with the doctrine of a personal God or with advaita Vedānta 976, 1355, com. of Bhāyā Ganesa on 1373

Sānkhya-tattvakaumudī of Vācaspati 468n, 1214 (quotes verse defining what svarga means), 1359n, quotes Rājavārtika on topics of Sastitantra).

Sankrānti (vide under setalis)

211-221, acts forbidden on

221, bath in Ganges on,
highly commended 220, baths
to be taken and gifts to be
made during punyakāla (holy
time) 217-218, bhoga, meaning of, 216n; came to be derfied and identified with Durgā

213, each of twelve S in a
year has seven names depending on the week days or

certain classes of Naksatras on which it occurs 215; each of seven S. is beneficial to different varnas and classes of people 216, gifts of special kinds recommended on twelve S. 218, grant (ancient) made Juniter's entrance into Vrsabha (bull) rāši 212n. japa and gifts on, yield inexhaustible results 214, means only Ravisankranti acc. to late works 213; ment of gifts on several S. 220: Ponyakāla. differing views on, 216-7: punyakālas for the passage of the Sun and planets entering ınto a rāši 212-13: śrāddha on S prescribed by some works 221; thirty ghatikās before and after the moment of Sun's entrance into a rāši are said to be time of S. but the holiest times differ 215-216, Vratas, fifteen, on, S. 221.

Sanksepa-śārīraka 1603.

Sannipatyopakāraka (actions or rites), are also called Sāmavāyika or āśrayi-karmāni 1254; are acts such as the pounding of grains, proksana (sprinkling water over substances etc) i. e. they are Samskāraka (make something fit or embellish) 1150

Sannyāsin being a'S before discharging the three debts severely condemned by Manu and Yāj. 1511; duties of, in Manusmrti and Yāj', many of which are applicable to Yogins 1457; Jābālopanisad makes becoming

disgusted (with worldly life) the condition precedent for becoming a parivrajaka or S. 1514; Upanisads inculcate that S. was to give up all actions, even good ones, except living by begging till the body lasted 1514; 1644 (rules for S. and for forest hermit identical to some extent, 1645 (most honoured at śrāddha dinner and competent to decide doubtful points of dharma).

Sanskrit Literature: about 4500 works from S. were translated into Tibetan 1041, hardly any evidence exists of the translation of Chinese or Tibetan works into Sanskrit, 1039-1040: much of it has perished beyond recovery 481; much that survi ves is religious and not intended to be a full treatment of any topic 481'; is vast and varied, continued for at least three thousand years, penetrated countries in S. E. Asia and gave rise to several sciences such as comparative philology. 1650 : Histories of S L. by various scholars 1650; for variety and vastness of: vide under H. Gowen.

- Santama 722; S occurs about two dozen times in Rg. and means 'beneficent' 722.

Śāntaraksīta (705-762 A.D.), a. of Tattvasangraha 1037. 1212n, frequently criticizes Kumārila without naming him but does not name or quote Prabhākara 1191, pupil Kamalasīla wrote com. on Tattvasangraha 1037n, 1191 (names Kumārila many times), Śantālīya hymn (Rg. VII. 35, 1-15) 790n-91.

Śānti 719-814: (vide under adbhuta, Aslesa, birds, bees, Hoens. Kausikasūtra, mukhaprasavasantı. nimitta, Rāhu, naksatras, navagrahas. prasavašānti. Udakašānti, ut-Vınavakasantı. Vastupāta, Vasantarāja): Aśvasantı, for removal of dangers to and diseases of horses 804: auspicious tithis for adbhutaśānti 790: by owner of a cow that had delivery in Bhadrapada or a she-buffalo that had it in Pausa or Māgha or on a Wednesday or a mare by day. which are sometimes performed even now 789-90; connected with Sakunas 804; cult of Šantis extensive in medieval times as in Madanaratna 736-738. definitions of, 756-757; derivation of from root 'Sam' 719: efficacy of santus against āntanksa and divya utpātas 746: elaborate treatment of S. m post-vedic literature, 734-35; extensive literature on Santis indicated 731-735; for bad dreams by reciting Rg. X. 164. 1-5, 728-729; for birth of twins to a woman or mare or cow 738; for eclipses described 765-766; for ten dreams m. in Ait. Ar. 731; for dreams. ın Atharvaveda yerses and ın Gibya works 732-34; eclipses (solar earthquakes. and lunar) 739n; for fall of

meteors 766-7, for forest dog barking, vulture shricking or owl hooting 728, for images laughing and weeping 770, for one possessed by an evil spirit 734, for one coming to life after his body is taken to cemetery 889, for showers of falling stars and meteors, earthquakes 734, for unusual appearances (adbhuta) 733-734. for utpātas (unusual occurrences or portents) 734, for utpātas not given in other works is given by Kausikasūtra 739n, Gajašānti for removal of diseases of elephants differs in different works 801-4; if Mantra not known Gavatri is to be repeated 108 or 1028 times 789, individual Santıs 748-804, list of Santı hymns in the Rgveda 813, many of the ancient santis and many described in medieval works are no longer performed and the few that are performed now may be stopped in the near future 735, 814, mantras in S. refer to the rite performed, the derty of the rate and benefit prayed for 788n. meaning of, is propitiatory rite for averting a deity's wrath, appeasement of deity or planet or a calamity or unholy event 719, 720, means of \$ were various but sımple in the Biāhmana period, such as the recitation of a Vedic verse or hymn or a Saman or water 726-27, number of S very large 757, numerous santis

by Varāhamihira occasions on which Ś performed 757: on child's birth on an unlucky naksatra by Kausikasūtra prescribed 524n, 735, on child's birth on certain naksatras such Āślesā, Müla, Jyesthā, on certaın tıthıs such as amāvāsyā and 14th of dark half, on yogas like Vaidhrti and Vyatīpāta, on birth of twins 771-773; S. on son's birth after three successive births of daughters and vice versa performed even now which is called, Trikaprasavaśānti, 771-3, Ś. when a greatgrandfather sees a newly born great-grandson 782-783, completion of 70 years or on 7th night of 7th month of 77th year 760, rites of S, paustika and mahādānas to be performed in ordinary fire 757, subjects treated of in Madanaratna on Santı exhibit an extensive cult of santis 736-738, three meanings of word S in early Vedic texts 728: three important words in connection with S are adbhuta, nimitta and utpāta 740n, time for 760-761; no definite rule as to time, tithis and naksatras for. if there is no hurry Udakaśānti 783-787, Vājasaneya Samhitā, Chap, 36, employed as Šānti in Pravargya rite when a person suffers from fever santis from the tithis from the one on which fever started or from the week day 788, \$. when a person's

naksatra of birth is affected by a malefic planet or aspect or his rasi has eclipse in it 787-88, word S does not occur in Rgveda, but occurs in Tai. S, Atharvaveda, Vaj. S. and Brahmanas 719, 720, 723.

Śāntikādhyāya, is Rg VII 35. 1-11, 813.

Śāntimayūkha 650n, 730, 735, 752-53n, 755-6, 761, 771n, 773n.

Santiparva, 27, 42, 54, 81n, 100n, 118n. 129, 142, 202, 466n, 467, 620n, 687, 692n-93, 696n, 744 (auspicious nimittas), 821, 854 (the verse 'Guror-apyavaliptasya etc.), 939n (on trsnā.), 945 on ahımsā), 952-4, 970, 1027, 1161 (pupils of Vyasa), 1165 (Pāncarātra-śāstra expounded), 1166 and n., 1256 Dharmaśāstras), (mentions 1384 (high 1377 D. 1266n. přaise of Sānkhya), 1385, 1390, 1395_6, 1399 (quoted by Yogabhāṣya-) 1400-01 #(Yoga matters), 1416n 1431, 1444 (on pratyāhāra), 1446, 1448, 1508 1503, 1470n, 1461. (immortality of soul), 1516n, 1557-8 (Devayana and Pitr-1565 yāna paths), 1561n, (life is far more miserable than happy), 1567 (theory of Kalpas etc.), 1573 (souls of six colours), 1574-75, 1582, 1595-6, 1597n, 1598, 1629-30, 1636 (qualities necessary for being treated as brabmana), 1648 (aprotheosis of 1645. satya). Sāntīratna or Sāntīkamalākara of Kamalākarabhatta 537n, 633n, 735, 749n, 761n, 772, 788n, 792, 813n.

Sāntīratnākara 771n, 773.

Saptārcis, a Paurānika mantra to he recited in Śrāddha 920

Saptarsıs (Ursa Major) 479, 498, Krttıkās as wives of, 498n

Saptašalākā cakra, in marriage 814.

Saptaśati (vide under 'Śūdra'), 780n, a person should himself recite Ś in Navarātra or engage a person (either pāthaka or vācaka) to read it to him 172; method of reading a portion of Ś one day and continuing reading next day 173, person engaged to read S was to be hand-somely requited for his labour by gifts of gold, silver, cows etc. 173.

Saradakanta Ganguly, 699n.

Śāradātīlaka (about 11th century A. D.) : (vide under Kundalını, Cakras), a Tantrik work of over 4500 verses 534, 1101 and n, 1103, 1106, 1108, 1109n-10n, 1060, 1099, 1100, Chapters VII to XXIII are devoted to mantras of Sarasvatī, Laksmī, Bhuvaneśvari, Durgā, Tripurā, Ganesa, Candramas 1103; elaborate treatment of Diksa in, 1107; elaborate treatment of nyāsa, 1120, examples of mantras of five or more syllables in, 1096n; influenced by Sankhya 1384, names Janms, Sampad, Vipad' etc as astrological terms 534; names

and defines only nine Mudras 1125; profusely quoted by Dharmaśāstra works 1063, on Prānapratisthā 162n, qualifications required in a disciple by S 1071; speaks of Sakti as proceeding from Saguna Siva, as Sabda-brahma and as flashing like lightning Műlādhāracakra and as Kundalını ın the human body 1060-61, summary of contents of, 1060-1063; though generally a sober Tantra, mentions the six cruel magic rites, defines them, provides that six devatās are the presiding destres of these and must be worshipped at commencement of those rites for bringing women under control or for paralysing or killing an enemy. 1070, 1103, though generally sober prescribes drawing yantras for cruel purposes 1138-39; treats of mantras and mudrās but hardly ever of the other makaras (of wine, fish, contus etc.) 1063, commentary of Raghavabhatta on. is learned and was composed at Benaras in 1494 A. D. 1060n

Sārasvata, a on Astrology 593 Sarasvatīsthāpana; establishment of books on 9th of Āśvina bright half 442

Sārāvalī (of Kalyānavarman on astrology) 546-47n, 548, 561, 563n, 571-2, 574, 577, 585, 588-90, 805n; states that it borrowed essential matters from the extensive

work of Yavanendra 563n. 'Sarasvatībhavana studies' 1062n. Sarayū, river in Kosala 531n. Sarīrakasūtra: composed by Jaimini had, acc. to Naiskarmvasiddhı, first two sütras identical with those of V. S. 1175. Sarkar, Prof B. K. on 'Folk element in Hindu culture ' 60. Sarkar. Prof. Jadunath, a. of 'History of Aurangzeb' 1019. Sarkar, Mr. Kishorilal, a. of 'Mīmāmsā rules of Interpretation' 1284; obsession of that he has to show that Jaimini's rules were not inferior to Maxwell's 1284, some examples where Mr Sarkar failed to grasp correctly what Jaimini and Sabara meant 1284n

Sarpabalı performed on Full Moon day of Śrāvana, acc, to Grhyasūtras 126,

Sarton, Prof G., a. of 'A History of Science' (1953), 482, 513-14n, 516n, 566, 570n, 596, 678, 689n, 1502n; charges Western writers with unpardonable omissions 513; on supposed influence of the Moon on lunacy, menstruation etc. 552.

Sarūpa-Bhāratī 1359.

Sarvadaršana-kaumudī of Mādhava Bhāratī 1182n, 1188n, 1307, 1318n

Sarvadaršana-sangraha of Mādhavācārya: 978n, 1139; does not mention Tāntrīk system 874, 1139, 1652; quotes verses from Brhaspatı very similar to those in Visnupurāna 974n; some hold that it was composed by a nephew of Madhavacarya 1182n.

Sarvagandha (all fragrant substances) 443.

Sarvānukramanī of Rgveda 997. Sarvasiddhānta-sangraha 874.

Sarvetobhadra, a Mandala described by Śāradā-tilaka 1133; meaning of, 1132-33, 1134 (a verse in that form).

Šarvavarmāgana (mantras) 796n. Sarvausadhi 183n, 444, 759.

Sarvodaya ideal 1683.

Sastitantra, of Pañcasikha: so called because it deals with 60 topics and contained sixty thousand gāthās 1373, topics (sixty) dealt with in, enumerated by Yuktidīpikā and Rājavārtika 1358-59n, topics of, in Sānkhyakārikā 1359n.

Šāstradīpikā of Pārthasārathi 86, 1179n, 1180, 1189, 1208, 1212n; 1225n, 1260, 1288n, 1290n, 1294n, 1309n, 1317n 1328n; com. Mayūkhamālikā on 86n; com. called Yuktisnehaprapūranī on, 1194n

to Sästras, acc. (or Śāstra anubandhas ο£ context); each, are four, named and 1179-80: false illustrated Bauddha and Jama, Kāpāla. Vāma Ś. were composed, acc. to such Purānas as Kūrma, Padma, Visnu by Siva and Visnu for deluding ungodly people and the asuras, 974-75, 977-8, such as Nyāya, Vaisesıka, Pāśupata Sānkhya, declared to be tāmasa by Padma-976-78, regulates purāna, activities and abstentions for men by Veda or works composed by men 1182, 1262, that are not directly opposed to Veda and Smrti may be accepted by Vaidika followers to that extent 974n, works on S. try to reduce options to the minimum and try to assign to apparently conflicting passages separate and definite provinces 1252–53.

Sastri, Pandit Aiyanath, 1354, 1380n, 1382n,

Sastri Pandit K. S. Ramaswami, a of 'Introduction to Tantralahasya' 1201

Sastri Pandit V. A. Ramaswami,
paper of, on Sankarsa-kanda
1159n, 1188 (paper on
'Kumārila and Brhat-tikā),
1190 (Introduction to Tattvabindu), 1192 (opinion of, that
Prabhākara based his views
on Bādari's has no tangible
evidence in support)

Sastri Prof K. A. Nilakanta, paper of, on Jaimini and Bādarāyana, propounds that there were three Jaiminis and two Bādarāyanas and oriticism of these views 1161-64, 1167; a of 'Śrī Vijaya' 1618n.

Sastyabdapürti santı or Ugraratha santı 757-760; perform ed even now on completion of 60 years in the month and on Naksatra of birth, 757-8, procedure of 758-60.

Sasyotsava (festival on ready crops) 445.

Satacandī-pātha and Sahasracandīpātha, method of, in Navarātra 173. Satapatha-brāhmana 17, 18n, 23n, 25, 35, 63-4, 72n, 166n, 223, 464, 489, 491-2, 495n, 496-7n, 498, 506-7, 510, 523, 537, 546, 571n, 648n (mentions Aśvamedha by Janamejaya), 675, 689, 691, 700 (knows Vedangas), 725, 731n, 738n (fantı on cow yielding milk tanged with blood), 740n. 784n, 816 (mentions Itihāsa-Purana), 817, 992 (traces of avatāras), 1078 (on great difference between Sura and Foma), 1096n, 1116 (on importance of dīksā in sacrifices). 1131 (mandalestakā), 1190n ('svādhyāyosdhyetavyah'), 1213 (on heaven), 1227n (verb in present tense construed as a vidhi by Sm C). 1270n (about offering the fiesh of a bull or goat to a king or a learned brahmana as a guest), 1301, 1304n, 1416, 1490n (on Rg. X 129), 1498, 1526n, 1539-36, 1554 (explains Rg. X. 88, 15), 1589n; com. of Dvivedaganga on, 1554n.

Šātātapa, Smrti of, 31, 215n, 216n, 220n, 222n, 245-6.

Satavahana kings, 842n

Şatcakranırüpana (a Täntrık work, 1577 A D), 1050, 1960n

Satishcandra, M M Dr., a. of 'Introduction of the alphabet in Tibet' 1040.

Şatpancāsikā of Prthuyasas, son of Varāhamihira 602n.

Sattā, vide under 'Reality'.

Sattras (srcrifices of long duration to be performed by brahmanas alone) Jaimini deals with them in PMS VI 6, 16-32 and elsewhere and neither Sabara nor Kumārila says anything about their being forbidden in Kali 1268.

Şat-tılī-one who performs six actions with sesame 434.

Sat-trimsanmata 243n, 246.

Sātvata, identified with Pañcarātra and statements about its transmission from Brhaspati to Uparicara Vasu and then by degrees to sages called Citrasikhandins 953.

Saturn. special fanti when S. occupies the 12th, 1st and 2nd rāsi from rāsi of birth 756; statements in Mahābhārata about positions of S. 532, ten names of S. 756n.

Satya (truthfulness), one of the five yamas in Yoga, one of the ten yamas of Yan. III. 312 and one of the five duties enjoined by Manu (X. 63) on men of all varnas 1419-20, five occasions on which a falsehood was allowed to ordinary men by Smrtis and Mahābhārata, but for a Yogin Satya was absolute, unless speaking the truth would result in the rum of creatures 1420-21. Rgveda and Mundakopanisad highly eulogise S. 1024, equated with Dharma in Br. Up. 1627.

Satya-gradually took the place of rta 1627-28; satya and dharma occupy the most prominent place in the final exhortation to a pupil by teacher in Tai, Up. 1627, said to be ancient dharma of 13 aspects including ahunsā, dayā etc. 1648.

Satya, a. on Astrology q. frequently by Varāhamılıra 593, Satyanārāyanavrata 437.

Satyāsādha-śrautasūtra 53.

Satyavrata, an author 49.

Saubhāgyāstaka, eight things are so called 457

Sauca (cleanliness or purity), one of the Y.S. niyamas, 1421n; of two kinds, bāhya (of the body), ābhyantara (mental) 1422, 1648; highests is the one that relates to wealth or money, ace to Manu 1422.

Saunaka, a. of Smit 758, allows a sudra to adopt a son and so it was held by V. M that he may get the home performed through a brahmana 1296.

Saundarananda of Aśvaghosa: on ... Nirvāna 940n, 941.

Saundaryalaharī 1136n,1137-38, ascribed to first Śankarācārya 629, 1049; commentary Sudhāvidyotinī 629; com. on, by Laksmīdhara 1139n; discussion as to authorship of, 1049n; on identifying cakras with five elements and mind 1062n.

Sauptikaparva 130n.

Saurapurāna 104n, 830 (names 18 Purānas, Vāyu being 4th and Brahmānda last), 911

Sautrāmanī. described by Jazminī, Śabara, and Kumārila and they say nothing against cups of wine 1269, occasions of 1079, wine was not drunk Schopenhauer,

therein by sacrificer or priests, but some one had to be hired or it was poured on an ant-hill, 1079.

Sauvy, Alfred, a. of 'Fertility and survival' 1688.

Savanas (lit. pressings of Soma), three, morning, midday, and evening 537n

Śavasādhanavidhi : described by Kaulāvalīnirnaya, Kulacūdāmanitantra and others 1109.

Sāvītrī: story of, in Mahābhārata and Purānas 91-92.

Savitri-caturdası in Bengal, on 14th of dark half of Jyestha 93.

Sāvitrī-vrata · vide Vatasāvitrīvrata; B A Gupte on, 94 Sāyana, meaning of 712.

Sāyana, a. of commentaries on the Rgveda, other Vedas and Brāhmaņas 26n (on Ait. Br.), 494n, 511n (explains Ait. Br.), 538n, 671n, 720, 723n, 724, 729n, 735n (Intro. to Atharvaveda), 859n, 980-81n, 984-5, 1031n, 1385-6, 1433n (on Tai S.I.6 3 3 assigns to five prānas locations and operations in the body), 1488n, 1538, 1543, 1600n, 1646n.

Sayce, A. H, 598 (on Aryan problem); points out that Hittite numerals are Sanskrit 599n; rebukes German scholars for fondness for treating negative evidence as of great value 598n.

Sāyujya, a kind of multt 1631 (different meanings at different times).

Schopenhauer, on Upanisads

1627, 1647n

Sciaparelli, G: a. of 'Astronomy in the Old Testament' 676n; on Babylonian stones placed in fields with zodiacal signs 595.

Schrader, Otto a of Intro to Pañcarātra and Ahirbudhnya Samhitā' 996.

Schweitzer, Dr. A, a of 'Indian thought and its development,' 1646-47n (criticized).

Science, modern, has not been more successful in probing the mystery of creation than the Hebrew expounders of cosmology 1502n; S. at any given stage of time is only provisional 1503n.

Seal, Dr B N a. of 'The positive Sciences of the ancient Hindus' 1436n (explanation of functions of ten pranas).

five named in Rgyeda by putting together Hemanta and Sisira 492, for gods are Vasanta, Grīsma and Varsā for pitrs are Sarad, 491, Hemanta and Śiśira 493, in which establishment of sacred fires was made for the three varnas 493, number of, variously given as three, five, six or seven 492, Prof Renou's view that 'rtu' simply means 'Time' or 'suitable time' or 'rule' or usage 493n, seventh S. means probably the intercalary month 492, six 8 with two months for each in Tai S 492, Vasanta as the first season in Tai Br 492

Secrecy, about spiritual and even scholastic knowledge (vide

under 'Upanisads' and 'Nirukta', 'Bible', 1460-61, 1649. Seddon C N translated 'Mirat-1-Ahmadi' 555n.

Sekoddeśatikā, a Buddhist Tāntrik work edited by Mario E. Carelli, 1119, 1123.

Sen, Dr Sukumar, edited Vipradāsa's Manasāvijaya 125.

Sen Gupta, Prof: 563 (criticized), 644 (on 'ancient Indian Chronology'), 648, 651n.

Sentence: vide 'Vākya'.

Sermon on the Mount-Christ's discourse in Gospel of Matthew, Chap V-VII, 1481.

Serpents vide under 'ahi' and 'Nāgapaŭcamī', are saluted in Mantras of Tai S. and Vāj. S. 126, came to be associated with both Visņu and Śiva 127, included under 'Pañcajana' in Kāthakasamhitā and Ait. Br. 126, names of, in Atharvaveda 126, stone îmages of, under sacred trees in Dravida country 127; take a heavy toll of life in modern India 127, temples dedicated to nāgas 127.

Sesa (serpent), in the Mahābhārata 126.

Sesa (vide Arādupakāraka and Sannipatyopakāraka) Kumārila discards four out of five definitions of Sesa and accepts one viz. S is that which serves the purpose of another, which latter is called Seşin, 1253, 1308; dravya (rice grains and the like), guna, samskāra are always S. with reference to

yāga, though they may be sesin with reference to their constituent elements. own while regards nhala as (reward), yaga and the performer, they can be both Sesa and Sesin with reference to each other 1253, 1308, sacrificial auxiliaries are of two kinds, viz. those that are already accomplished (such as substances) and those that are of the nature of acts, these latter being two-fold. Sannipatyopakāraka and ārād-upaword S. 1253-54; kāraka often employed in Dharmaśāstra works, 1308-9

Sesame (tila) importance of, 222; six ways of using s. 222.

Setubandha com. of Bhāskararāya on Vāmakeśvara-tantra 1045n, 1058n, 1091, 1108, 1136n (on Śricakra), 1137-38; emphasizes that worship of Tripurasundarī is upāsanā and not bhalts, the former being of two kinds, one consisting in reciting the mantra of the Devi and the other consisting of the worship of a yantra (or cakra) 1138.

Seven sages: called 'citrasikhandins' that proclaimed Pancaratrasastra 953.

Seven sages (Ursa Major): constellation of, was in Magha naksatra when Yudhisthira ruled and stayed in one naksatra for a hundred years, acc. to Väyu and Br. S. 520, enumerated in Br. S. 150n, in-

voked with Rgveda mantras 150-151; Pulaha and Pulastya are two of them 517n; worshipped in Rsipañcamivrata 150n.

Sewell, papers of, on Indian Astronomy 644

Shah, Dr Priyabālā, a. of paper on two Jaina works on Mudrās 1130.

Shakespeare, in 'Othello' refers to the itching of the eyes as an evil omen 644.

Sham Shastri: on origin of week-days 685.

Sharma, D. S, a of 'Renaissance of Hinduism' 1647n.

Shastri, Dr. Daksinaranjan, a. of Short History of Indian Materialism 1472n

Shaving allowed on certain occasions without consulting astrology 606; proper or mauspictous times and astrological rules about S in case of grown-up men 606.

Shaw, G. B. · did not believe in immortality of soul nor in the Resurrection of Christ 1550n.

Sheean, Vincent, a of 'Lead, kindly light',1463 (on darsana) Shembavnekar, Prof, explana-

tion by, of Malava-ganasthit, in Inscriptions, not acceptable 652n.

Sherring, a. of 'Hindu tribes and castes', 1633 (held that the caste system was invented by Brāhmanas).

Shivaji, founder of Maratha Empire, had no factory for the manufacture of cannon 1623

Siddhabhāratī (Part II) on some

sūtras of Sankarsakānda 1158n. Siddhānta (system of philosophy) five acc to Sāntiparva and Vīṣnudharmottara 954.

Siddhanta-vide under Karanas; distinguished from Karana S on ancient 515n: five Indian astronomy 515, Greek influence presumed by some from two siddhantas being named Paulisa and Romaka, 515, Karanas are based on S 643. Siddhānta works do not admit Yavana knowledge as basis, nor do they contain any large number of astronomical terms of Greek origin, as in astrology 515, three siddhantas in use in different parts of India viz Sūrya S., Ārya-S., and Brāhma-S 642, two points in which the Siddhantas differ are length of the year and the revolutions of the moon and planets in a Yuga, Mahāyuga etc 643.

Siddhāntabindu of Madhusūdanasarasvatī, 118n.

Siddhānta-kaumudī 5n, 105n, 1169n, 1389n.

Siddhānta-sīromani of Bhāskara 67n, 68n, 643 (specifies matters with which a Siddhānta must deal), 656n, 664-5, 704n.

Siddharāja, king of Gujarat, 1018.

Siddha (or Siddhas acc. to context). persons possessed of miraculous powers, 1075, 1113, Gitā says Kapila is the greatest among S 1113

Siddhapurusas. S4, acc to Vajra yāna cult of Buddhism, flourished between 7th and 9th cen. A. D., 1075n, 1115; list of 84 siddhas given by Dr. Bagchi (vide under Bagchi), names of S. still honoured in Nepal and Tibet 1115, tradition about Siddhas continued down to modern times e g in the case of Siva-yogin from Batnagiri District, 1115n.

Siddhasena, a. on astrology m by Varāha 593.

(miraculous powers), Siddhis vide under Guhyasamāja. tantra, levitation, Ap Dh. S. refers to some of them 1112, arise in five ways (Y.S IV. 1), 1113, 1452-53, Buddha rebuked his disciple Pundola Bhāradvāja for his exhibition of S 1037, 1070, eight mentioned in Yogasütrabhāsya. Devaladharmasütra and Prapañcasāra and different from those in Buddhist Tantras of Vajrayāna school like Sādhanamālā 1112n, 1115, 1453n: explained in Yogasūtrabhāsva 1113; S. are an integral part of Yoga, 1451-52, some of the S are obstacles in the way of Samādhi, acc to Yogasūtra 1113: some S, are called 'Madhupratika' by Vyāsabhāsya 1402n, Yāj on signs of Yogasıddhi 1453,

Śiksā (a Vedānga on Phonetics) 478, 1274.

Silparatna 1654

Silver Jubilee Volume of B.O. R. I. 954n

Smihastha Gura (Jupiter in Lion sign) 447, 613; inauspicious

26

in what undertakings and countries 613.

Simollanghana 190.

Sindhu, river, frequently mentioned in Rgyeda 1613-14.

Sinivālī (amāvāsyā mixed with 14th tithi) 62, divinity in Rgveda, sister of gods and bestower of progeny 62, S. and Rākā defy attempts at a rational etymology 63.

Sinha, Dr. Jadunath, a. of 'History of Indian Philosophy' 845.

Sins: (vide under Prāyaścittas, rebirth, repentance, japa, remembrance of name of Krsna or Nārāyana): aucient Indian belief that diseases and bodily defects in this life were caused by S. of past lives Biblical position for removal consequences of, 1593. of Manu on 91, 1593, methods for removal of consequences of sins, such as confessions, repentance, japa 1592-93; ten 90-91, 448

Siras. of Gayatri verse, 1099n.
Sircar, Dr D. C., 1395n (on date
of Mahābhāsya), 1408n, 1527n
(on text of the Purānic list
of peoples), edited, 'Select
Inscriptions', Vol. I, 850n,
1613n.

Sirius, star, referred to in Rgveda and Atharvaveda 498.

Sirovrata, explained 918n.

Sister, house of, to be visited by brother on Bhrātrdvitīyā, 209-210

Śiśupāla, Lord of Cedı story of, in Sabhāparva, Viṣṇu and Brahma Purānas 971n, though always enemical to Krsna, reached the Lord 971n.

Šišupālavadha of Māgha (725-775 A D.), 997.

Śiva, Ardhanāriśvara form of, 18, 1046 (known to Kālīdāsa), oreated sesame on Makarasankrānti 219, thousand names of, in Anuśāsana (31. 153) and Śānti (285. 74ff) and in Śivapurāṇa 234n, 911, twelve names of, when offering flowers 234; twelve Jyotirlingas of, 911.

Šivadharma, 104n, 911.

Sivapurāna 910-11; a Mahāpurāna acc. to some Purānas 910, close parallels between S. and Kumārasambhava 910n; divided into seven Samhitās 910, oldest datable reference to it is Alberumi's, 910.

Sivarahasya, held to be a mere compilation by Ballalasena 869.

Sivaramamurti, C., a. of 'Royal conquests and oultural migrations' 997n, 1130.

Sivarātri, (vide under Mahāśivarātri, Siva and Udyāpana):
225-236, description of the
procedure of S. vrata 230-31;
details added by Tithitattva
231, eight names of Siva
233, Mantras to be repeated
232, Mūlamantra is 'om,
namah Sivāya' or 'Sivāyi
namah' 233, one observing
fast on, Jāgara for whole night
on S. and performing worship
is not born again 227; one
observing this vrata has to

203

observe certain rules of conduct · such as ahımsā, truthfulness, sexual purity 229, pāranā of a single \$ 234-235, proper time for S. vrata is night, 229; vrata of, is both nitya and kāmya 228, vrata of, may be performed by all human beings 228-229, vrata of, very elaborately described in Tithi-. tattva. Dharmasındhu and other late digests 232, word Ś. 18 Yogarūdha like 'pankaja' 228, worshipper to make a . sankalpa. apply I ripundra mark on forehead with ashes and to hold a rosary of rudrāksa, should go to Siva temple at night 232, worship includes Nyāsa, Pūjā and Japa 233, Sivalinga to be bathad with milk, curds, ghee and honey respectively in the four watches of the night and the mantras also differ in the watches 231, Siva form to be contemplated upon described 233n. Worship requires offering of 1008 or 108 bilva leaves with the thousand names of Siva or with mula-mantra 234, worship in modern times is brief worshippers in some parts drink a beverage called bhang prepared from crushed hemp leaves, which is supposed to be dear to Sankara 235

Śivasamhitā on Hathayoga 1127, 1426, 1427n, describes Khecarīmudrā 1454, mentions ten mudrās as best 1127, states that āsanas are 84, 1426. Skambha (Lit. 'Support or pillar') ' (vide under 'creation') as creator in Atharvaveda 1493-4, in Rg. the word occurs but not in the sense of creator.

Skanda, as God of war and commander of Deva armies in classical Sanskrit and in Gita 1581-82.

Skandapurāna 911, found in two forms 911: has 81,000 verses acc to most authorities but the extant one has several thousands more 833, 911, Kāśīkhanda of S is full of Slesa and parısankhyā 911-12, most ex-- tensive among puranas and poses perplexing problems, 911, not earlier than 7th cen. A D. nor later than 9th, 912: quoted in early Dharmasastra digests like Mit. Kalpataru. Aparatārka, though sparingly 912,Skanda (God)has no prominent part in the Purana 911: Täntrik influence on 912n, 45. 49n, 69, 72n, 90-92, 100n, 102, 107, 112-3, 117, 132, 146n, 158, 170, 188, 195-6, 203n. 207n, 219, 225, 227, 228n, 232n, 234, 755, 830, 833, 835n. 862 (about sūta), 869 (Ballālasena discards parts of it), 916 (runs down animal sacrifices or sacrifices even with husas and flowers), 927n, 932. 948-9 (no dharma higher than doing good to others), 1419 (six angas of Yoga), 1431n, 1445n, 1447n-8n, 1450n. 1455

Sleep man in deep s. becomes united for a time with the Real, acc. to Chan. Up. VI. 8. 1., 1506.

Ślokavārtika of Kumārila, 1159n (on Bhavadāsa), 1182n-84n, 1188 (states that very first sentence of Sabara's bhāsya ınterpreted in six different ways by commentators) and contains an exposition in about 4000 verses on PMS I. 1), 1202, 1205 (elaborate treatment of word and sense), 1207, 1210 (creation of world by God not proved), 1211-12, 1216n, 1225, 1246 (on meanings of 'na'), (defines 'uddesya). 1286n 1376n, 1600n; com. Tätparyatīkā of Umbeka on, criticizes Vārtikakāra who appears to be his guru 1194n,-95n.

Smart, Dr. W. M, a. of 'Origin of earth' 1502n.

Smārtas, observe fast on Ekādası and also on Šivarātri 118.

Smith and Carspinks on 'Hindu Arabic numerals' 699n.

Smith D. E, a. of 'History of Mathematics' 516.

Smith R Martin, a of papers 'on the ancient chronology of India' 845.

Smith, Vincent, a. of 'Early History of India' 1018, a. of 'History of Fine Art in India and Ceylon' 1331n (Buddha seated in Abhayamudrā from Java), 1655.

Smrti (or Smrtis, acc. to context); attitude of PMS and Sabara towards S. 1s that, in case of direct conflict with Veda, S. 1s to be discarded, but if there be no conflict it may be inferred that S is based on some Veduc text 1277; is stronger than usages of fistas 1058, lay down many vidhis by employing optative verbal forms or participles in 'ya', 'tavya' etc. as in Manu IV. 25 and XI, 53. 1228, S. like Gaut, and Manu (II. 7) do claim that they are based on Veda 1277 : Maxim of ' sarvaśākhāpratyayanyāya' was extended to S. by Viśvarūpa. Medhātīthi and others 1173: often referred to as authoritative by Sabara for several reasons and as equal to Veda, 1257-58; out of about 1000 adhıkaranas of P M. sütra barely a dozen are in any way concerned with S. 1277. principal subject of most S 18 dharmas of varnas and asrawas 1637, since vikalpa was liable to eight faults the tendency developed that all S passages on a topic were to be so interpreted as to give rise to no conflict nor option by various devices 1273-74; six Vedāngas and the Dharmasastras are comprehended under Smrti, acc. to Kumārila 1277; some S. provide that a brahmana could take food at the house of certain śūdras, 1608; the objection that smrtis being compo sed by men are not authoritative is met in Tantravartika by several answers 1259-60, those parts of smrti (including Mahābhārata and Purānas) that are concerned with Dharma and Moksa have the Veda

as their source, while Smiti parts concerned with artha and Lama are based on worldly practices, acc to Tantravartika, while episodes and tales in and Purānas the epic arthavādas 1261-62. Yāj (II. 21) and Nāiada propose that when two smrtis are in conflict, reasoning based on the practices of elders was of greater force, 1266, what those who advocate the historical method or approach towards S, mean 1271-72, when Smrtis were in conflict with each other, various devices were employed instead of allowing an option, viz. different smitis prevailed in the different yugas or that Manu should be followed, or the opinion of the majority be followed 1265-67. Smrticandrikā 33, 37, 42n, 52n-53n, 66n, 71n, 75n, 218n, 525n, 659, 661n, 663n, 706n, 898, 905, 1096n, 1121n (quotes Yogiyājūavalkya on nyāsa), 1122, 1130 (names and defines 24 mudrās that are same as in Devibhāgavata), 1192, 1227n (holds that a Satapatha text, though in the present tense, is to be construed as a vidhi), 1262, 1270n, 1273n, 1302-3, 1314, 1315-6n, 1372n, 1407, 1439n, 1442n, 1649n Smrtikaustubha 81n, 89-90, 101, 119, 150n, 157n, 205, 213, 240-1, 245n, 247n, 248-250n, 606-608n, 663n, 672, 758n, 785n, 811, 1109n, 1134 Smrtimanjari (of Govindaraja), a digest on dharmasāstra, of which a ms. on prāyascitta is available 884.

Smrtmuktāphala 33, 85n, 113, 247n, 1120 (on nyāsa of letters of Gāyatrī on parts of the body), 1121n.

Smitisamuccaya 249n.

Smrtitattva of Raghunandana (1520-1570 A. D.), 836, 1042n.

Smrtiviveka, a work of Medhātithi q. by him on Manu II. 6, 1258n.

Smrtyarthasāia 30n.

Snānasūtra of Kātyāyana 1372.

Snātaka: observances of 27.

Snellgrove, Dr. D. L., editor of 'Hevajra-tantra' 1147.

Social Reform-vide a book published by Planning Commission balled "Social Legislation and its role in Social Welfare" and another work" a century of Social Reform" by S. Natarajan 1636n.

Social Service, what it often comes to, acc. to L. P. Jacks 1675n, vide under 'Pürta' 947-949, 1647n.

Socialistic pattern of Society 1679-80! vague meaning of, as admitted by P. M. Nehru, 1680-81 (who wants a democratic socialism). Socialism, democratic type of, 1681, 1695, Social welfare and welfare State distinguished 1697n

Solai eclipse at unusual times m in Mahäbhärata 744 Soloveytchik, G, a. of 'Switzerland in perspective' 1664n

Soma . in a Soma sacrifice, if

soma plant be not available use
Pütikas as substitute and
nothing else, though it be
more similar to Soma 1231, is
called 'Vrsavrata' in Rgveda,
19; is called Lord of plants
and king of brāhmaņas in Sat,
Br. 740n; S. szerifice, person
resolving to perform, need not

507, 523
Sorokin, Prof. P. A. on 'Yoga'
1456; a. of 'Social and cultural dynamics' 1615, 1617;
a of 'Social philosophies in an

, consider the season or naksatra

Sortes Sanctorum or Sortes Vergilianae, 811.

'age of crisis' 1615n

South: is direction of pitrs 731n. Soul: (vide under brahman, māuā): Christians believe in post existence of S. after physical death and not pre-existence 1608; two kinds of Upanisad texts, one class saying that souls spring from the Highest Atman, as sparks from a fire, while many Upanisad passages say that individual soul is unborn, immortal, that it is non-different from Supreme Vedāntasūtra Self 1507-8; (II. 3 17) affirms two propositions viz soul is unproduced and is eternal 1508; when some passages seem to mention creation or dissolution of Jiva, the reference is to the Upadhis (body and mind) 1508

Soul or Souls: (vide under 'Nastika', 'Upanisads');
1205-7; are many, eternal, allpervading, different from the

body, are agents (kartr) and also bhoktr (enjoyers), are of the nature of pure conciousness 1206; it appears (from Chan Up.) that Asura Virocana helieved that the body alone was the soul, 1205n; S. m Sankhya and Yoga 1403, Kumārila complains that the Mimausa. though not atheistic, was put forward by some as Lokavata 1205, popular belief in Vedic Sambitās and Brāhmanas about individual S. was that by good deeds S reaches heaven, becomes immortal and enjoys various pleasures 1498; P. does not expressly M. S. the existence affirm the soul in any sutra but Śabara propounds it in an elaborate argument 1205-6; guestion whether individual S. is also a creation like trees and shrubs, discussed in Up. 1507; reward of many rites being Svarga, it is implied that P. M. S. accepts continous existenc of the soul 1206; Ślokavārtika devotes 148 verses to this subject and the Tantravartika also briefly deals with it 1206. South Indian Architecture and sculpture, works on, 1656.

Spells or Charms against poison in Rg. I 191, 1036, found in plenty in Atharvaveda 1035; Krtyādūsana and Śatrunāśana hymns of Atharvaveda, 1036; Sp. similar to those in Hindu or Buddhist works exist in Old English, Old High German, Keltic 1107, sleep-inducing S.

(Rg. VII. 53.5-8) interpreted by western scholars as a lover's charm for a clandestine meeting, which is not acceptable 1035; woman's S against her co-wife in Rg X. 145, 1035. Spengler held view that civilizations have brith, growth, decline and death and when dead do not revive 1616-17, view of, dissented from by De Beus and Prof. Sorokin 1617.

Śrāddha (vide under Brāhmanas, Hārīla, Sankrāntı) Baud Dh S. Manu, Vasistha prescribe that a large company of Brahmanas should not be invited at S and that the learning and character of those to be invited should be carefully examined, but purānas went against both these 930-931; brāhmanas invited to dinner on S should recite Vedas, Itihāsa-Purāna 820, times for Kāmya S. on sankranti, and other occasions 231, not to be performed at night except on eclipses 244, Paurānika mantras in, 920. Pāśupata and other heretical men not to be fel in 978; some smrtis prescribe only āmaśrāddha or hemaśrāddha in eclipses 246, though recommended highly on eclipses, S. is difficult or well-nigh impossible owing to time being short 246, three kinds of s viz. navaśrāddha, miśra and purōna 864-5n

Śrāddhakrıyākaumudī 924n. 926n, 931n-32n.

Śrāddhasūtra of Kātyāyana, pre-

scribes recitation of Rāksoghna verses and Apratiratha hymn at śrāddha dinner 786n.

Śrautasūtras two secondary meanings of vrata in, 26, writers of S. were called by Viśvarūpa meiely Yājnikas 1155n

Śravana, naksatra, called Śronā in Tai. S and B_T 500.

Śrāvana month: important vratas in, are four 124 ff; on Full Moon day of, practice on West coast of India to offer to the sea flowers and cocoanuts among Hindus and among others also 128,

Śreyas, has two meanings, 'better' and 'mhśreyasa' 1415

Śrībhāsya of Rāmānuja on Vedāntasūtra 1159n.

Śrīcakra (see under Yantra); promiscuous intercourse in, m by Kanlāvalītantra 1083n. Śrīddatta, 29 (a. of Samayapra-

oridatta, 29 (a. of Samayapradīpa), 640n (a of Ācārādarša), 925 view abont sūdra's eligibility for Paurānika mantras.

Śriharsa (about end of 12th century A. D) a. of 'Khandanakhandakhādya', is alleged to have been vanquished in argument by Śankarācārya 1010n.

Śriparvata, as a shrine for devotees of Śiva and Pārvatī and a place for securing miraculous powers 1047

Śrīsena a of a Romakasıddhānta, different from the Romaka in Pañcasıddhāntıkā 515n

Śrisūkta · 759, 919-20 (of 29 verses, beginning with 'Hiranyavarnām harinīm'.

Śrīvidyāmantra (of 16 syllables): extravagant praise of, in tantra works, as superior to thousands of Aśvamedhas 1101.

Śrutārthāpatti, explained in Tantravārtika and is said to prove Apūrva, 1211 and n.

Śrutasāgarasūri, a. of com. on 'Tattvārthasūtra' of Umāsvātı 472n.

Sruti, technical meaning of, in PMS III. 3. 14, 1309.

Stars (vide under 'Aristotle'). Star-gazer, condemned in ancient times 527, 543.

Stecherbatsky Th., a. of 'central conception of Buddhism', 'The conception of Nirvāna' and 'Buddhist Logic' 942n,

Stein, M. A. editor and translator of Rajatarangini 655.

Stein, Otto, paper of, on 'Number 18', 842n.

Stevenson Mrs, a. of 'Rites of the Twice born' 60, 641n.

Sthäpaka, qualifications of, 890, 1043.

Stobha, meaning of, 1222n.

Stocks, J. L., a of 'Time, cause and eternity' 546n.

Strabo, asserts that Chaldeans were skilled in horoscopes 550, mentions embassy from a king Pandion to the West and to Augustus 599n.

Streeter, B. H. and others, writers of essays on 'Immortality' 1604

Strīparva, 466n, 467, 821

Stutterheim, W. F, a of 'Indian influences in Balinese Art' 1657.

Subhadrā, though a maternal

uncle's daughter, was married by Arjuna and mimāmsakas like Kumārila deny that she was the daughter of Vasudeva, even when Ādiparva expressly says so 1280

Subrahmanyā-nigada 1325.

Succession Act, for Hindus 1706. Sudaršana, city of gods 1529.

Sudarsana Yantra, worship of, by a king or person desiring wealth and prosperity 1136.

Sudās, king in Ŗgveda who battled with ten kings 1664.

Sudhanvan, a king, is said to have issued order that he would sentence to death his servants that would not kill Buddhists 1009, 1010n.

Sudharmā, assembly hall of gods, 1529.

Su de meaning of 670.

Śūdra· Buddha's teaching very attractive to S. whose position was low in tames of Vedas and smrtis 940; distinction made between dvijas and S. in the matter of Vedic mantras and sacrifices 922, 1642; duty of S. to serve the three higher varnas acc. to Manu, 1257, Gautama prescribed for hun 'namah' in place of Vedic Mahābhārata mantras 925, and Purānas were composed by Vyāsa through compassion for S. and women 921-22; high spiritual life and moksa were not denied to S. 164; Mahābhārata, Rāmāyana and Purānas were meant for the education of S. and for securing moksa to Ś. 925, 1642; Ś. not

to perform Pranayama, but only dhyana and repeat word Siva for om, 922 and to repeat in five daily sacrifices the mantra called saptārcis, acc to Mit while some others said that he is to repeat 'namah' only 159n, 920n, 925; three views about sūdia and purānas among medieval writers, 32, 172, 925, special facilities to and advantages of S over other varnas 928-29, Vedāntasūtra denies to the S the right to study the Veda and does not discuss how S and women are to secure higher spiritual life and final beatitude but the Puranas do this 929-30. Vidura and Dharmavyādha, though śūdras, possessed brahmavidyā and must secured moksa, even acc to Śankarācārya 1642, Devala and Parāsara permitted even brāhmanas to take food in the house of certain sudras such as the brāhmana's slave, barber etc 1608 Śuka, son and disciple of Vyāsa 1161, 1169, styled Badarayanı ın Bhagavatapurana 1169 Sukthankar, Dr V S. 838 (on Rama episode) Sukumar Ranjan Das 714n Sulabhā, a nun, encounter of, with Janaka 1368-70. Śūlapām (1375-1440 A D) 30, 46, 48, 239, 1104 (a. of com. Dīpakalıkā on Yāj) Sulbasūtras of Apastamba and

Kātyāyana (reference to the

.27

1132n ('science of the Sulba' by Vibhūtibhushan Datta) Sumantu, a of a smrti 527. Sumbha and Nisumbha, demons, killed by Devi 156. Sumerians speak of a golden age of man and of huge numbers of years 789n. Sun-(vide Bhāskarapūjā) 362. as a malefic graha 573, called 'Shamash' in Babylon and 'Helin' in some Sanskrit works probably from Greek 'Helios' 572n, is one and never sets, acc to Ait Br 510-11, mulamantra of, 1105, temples of, in ancient and medieval India 684, 898n, three important places of the worship of the Sun V1Z Mundīra (modern Modhera), Kālapriya and Mitravana 898n, northward passage for six months had been noticed in the Brahmana period 223, 491. Sunahsepa, story of, in Ait. Br 969n, 1704-5 Sunaphā. astrological Yoga, meaning of 584. Sundarakānda (of Rāmāyaņa) Surā (see under 'wine'), Surešvara (most famous of Śankarācārya's disciples); a. Naiskarmyasıddhı a. of Vartikas on Sankarācārya's bhāsyas on Br. Up and Tai Up. 1195, 1216n; and Mandana are 1 of identical 1195, S is the same as Visya-

rūpa 1194, flourished between

800-\$40 A D., 1198

squaring of a circle) 1132, Surfaraka and Parafurama 90n.

Süryaprajñapti, a Jain work, propounded the theory of two suns and two moons 511.

Sūryapūjā-prasamsā 452.

Sūryasiddhānta 68, 592 (mentions Maya, king of Yavanas, to whom the Sun imparted Jyotisa), 644 (tr into English by Whitney) 656n, 657n, 676n, 679n, 711; most accurate acc to Varāhamihira 514n, Kāla and units of time 474. 477; Prof Neugebauer's view that S did not copy Ptolemy, but received impetus from him 601-2

Süryā, daughter of Savitr, married Soma 497n; is said to be wife of Aśvins 1044n

Sufruta-samhitā 474 (on Kāla), 1032 (on 'tantrayuktı'), 1379 (mentions Sänkhya doctrines) Susumnā, nādī: Kundalınī, when awakened, enters the road to

liheration which is Susumnä 1085.

Sūta (vide Māgadha) 862-864; business of, was to record and preserve historic traditions and genealogies 856; Gautama Dh. S on the origin of S 862n; is a somewhat enigmatic personality 862-3, Kautilya savs that the S. and Magadha Purānas are mentioned ın different from pratiloma castes 862; one meaning of the word S. 1s charioteer and another is person of a mixed (pratiloma) caste born of the union of a brāhmana woman with ksatrıya male 862, some Purānas Brahmānda, lıke

Skanda, Vāyu make the Sūta of semi-divine origin in the Yajña of Pitāmaha to get over any awkward situation 862-4, story of king Prthu Vainya having bestowed the country of Anupa on Suta and Magadha on Magadha 863, two explanations why he was called Roma- (or Loma-) harsana 862

Sütasamhitä · 830, 930, 962,1631n (on four stages of Moksa).

Sūtra (aphorism) characteristics of, 1182n,

Suttanipāta 1005, 1636n (verse from, quoted by Tılak)

Sutudii (modern Sutlai river) 537

Svacchanda-tantra, work of high authority in Kashmır Saıvism 1086.

Svädhväva, means memorization of Veda, acc to Sat Br 1416, acc. to Yogabhasya means japa of om and holy texts or study of śāstras on moksa 1416

Śvapara, a cāndāla 554n, 969n Śvapāka, lowest among untouchables, followed the same avocation as Cāndāla and was governed by same rules 1043.

Svapnādhyāya 774

Svapnesvara: vide under 'Sandılya'.

Svarbhānu: son of an Asura, said in the Rg. to have struck the sun 569.

Svargs, meaning of 824; is the reward of all sacrifices for which no reward is expressly provided by the Veda 1312 Padma, Svargārohanaparva 821, 840n,

1627n Syarodaya 533n Svastivācana 780n.

mythical land Śvetad vīpa. a described in Santiparva and some Purānas like Vāmana and Kūrma, where everything was white and where Visnu and his devotees dwelt 142-43.

Švetāšvataropanisad, 465, 921, 952, 961, 963, 1033n (Guru's position), 1044 (Sakti as nondifferent from God), 1045, 1055n, 1086n (Kularnava quotes a verse), lilln (signs of effectiveness of Yoga practices), 1352, 1360-61 is full of words of Sankhya system), 1385, 1387, 1395n, 1424n, 1431n, 1448 (dhyānayoga), 1451n. 1456, 1460, 1478, 1500, 1502 (five elements), 1504, 1538 (verse 'dvā suparnā'), 1564, 1573, referred to as sruts in V. S acc. to Sankara 136, states God as cause or source of creation 465, bhāsya on, attributed to Śankarācārya, of doubtful authenticity 1407 and n. 1707 (fundamental questions of whence. whither and why raised)

Svistakrt, homa, 773 and n. Syamantaka jewel, story of 147, 459

Syenayaga, an ancient magic rite 1114n, 1183, 1245, mantra in. used by Matsya-purāna black magic 1114n.

Syrian Christians were given special privileges by Hindu

kings of South India 1018. Taittirīva Āranyaka, 24-5, 35, 185, 194, 727-28n, 785, 787n,

796n 798n, 853, 920, 1180, 1190n, 1627n.

Taittirīya Brāhmana, 18n, 65, 185n, 194, 487, 492, 494n, 498-9, 500, 505-7, 510, 511n, 523-4, 527, 538, 546, 561, 656n, 659n, 670n, 701, 720, 721n, 723-4 (adhrigupraisa), 726, 739n, 758, 786-7, 803-4, 814, 934 (food is life), 1032, 1114n, 1153, 1224, 1239-41. 1285n. 1290. 1298. 1301, 1320n, 1307n, 1314, 1316. 1321, 1328n, 1489n (has all verses of Rg. X. 129 except one), 1528n, 1535, 1548n, 1633, 1646 : Tai Br. I 5 differs from Tar. Br. III. 1 as to the names of naksatras and presiding deities 505.

Taittirīya Samhitā, 18, 22-3. 25-6n, 63, 65-6, 73, 121n, 126, 146, 183n, 185, 487, 489, 492, 495n, 498, 500, 505, 507-8, 596n, 659n, 667, 671-2, 691n, 697-8, 719-20n, 721, 724n, 726, 737n, 756, 758. 773, 785-90, 796, 802-3, 814, 919, 927n, 1024, 1044, 1096n, 1114n, 1131, 1152-53, 1203, 1207, 1214, $1217n_{\bullet}$ 1221, 1226, 1232, 1238-39, 1224, 1244, 1246 (prohibits speaking untruth), 1257n, 1268, 1288, 1291-2, 1294, 1295p, 1297, 1301, 1305n, 1308n, 1315n, 1316, 1318n (on śūdra and theory of debts), 1320n. 1325n, 1331n, 1385n, 1386n, 1416n, 1433, 1493, 1511, 1544, 1589n, 1631n (word Sāyujyatā).

Taittiriya Upanisad 24, 466, 914 (on creation), 921, 1055n, 1086, 1153 (word mimānisā occurs), 1180, 1257, 1387, 1416, 1484, 1486, 1488n, 1501-2, 1507, 1528n, 1562, 1582, 1649 (where Up. means scoret doctrine).

Takakusu, Dr. J 942n, 1375-5.
Taksasilä (modern Taxila), had
a university where even princes came to learn 542.

Tāmbūla-not to be caten in Ekā-dasīvinta 107, 116.

Tandya Brahmona: 511n, 659n,
670n, 1227n, 1231, 1244,
1313n, 1471, 1516n (explains words of Rg. IX. 62. 1).

Tanhā (tī snā, hankering) giving up of, emphasized by Upanisads, Mahābhārata, Buddha and Purānas 939 and n.

Tantra (in P. M. S.) 1331, eleventh chap. of P. M. S. deals with T. which means a case where one act serves the purpose of several other rites or acts, and examples 1331.

Tantra (or tantras, acc to con-1031-1151; (vide text). China, Cakrapūjā, under Kauladharma, guhya, guru, magic, magicians, Mahānirvānatantra, makāras, months, nādīs, purašcaraņa, šaktı, šāktas, Śāradātilaka, siddhis, Śrīvidyāmantra, spells, tāntrika, tattva, Tibet, Vajrayana vamācara, yantra), aims of T. were moksa and attainment of siddhis, 1112-13; are Hindu,

Buddhist and Jama and are alike in some respects but differ in some others 1031. 1048, are upareda of Atharvaveda, acc. to Sukranītisāra 1036, Bhairavī-cakra. tativa cakra worship 1059 and n; Buddhist T deified important personages of Buddhism and took over other deities, Ganeśa, Sarasvatī and others 1049, Buddhist T also claimed to show the path to the attainment of all objects from success in love affairs to liberation 1115, Cakrapūjā, a revolting rite 1089, derivation of word Tantra 1048. differences and similarities between Buddhist and Hindu tantras 1041; difficulty of defining a T. 1048, Duigā, acc, to Mahānırvāna T., is highest prakiti of Paramatman, has various names 1057; end and aim of a few higher minds among some tantras and Täntrikas was attainment of high spiritual powers by Yoga practices and realization of variously supreme Tattva. called Brahma, Śiva, Devī and moksa 1091; evidence of any Buddhist works on Tantras before 650 A. D. practically none, 1040n, evidence in Bana's works of the prevalence of Täntrik and Śākta worship m India before 7th century A. D 1040, famous Chinese travellers Fa Hien, Yuan Chwang and I-tsing do not refer to study of Buddhist T. in India

1038, 1040, five āmnāvas spoken of as paths to moksa by some T. 1049, five paths named in some T. and four in Sādhanamālā 1038n-1039, four named Tantras introduced in Kambuja (Cambodia) about 800 A D 1048n : Gāyatrī Kālī mantra οf set out 1058, 1101, great importance of guru and mantra in all Tautras 1071, 1101; groups of 10, 18 and 64 Saiva T. in Tantrāloka 1049n, guru, after initiating a disciple into T. rites, was to hand over to the neophyte a bowl for drinking wine, mudrā and courtezan 1055-56, Haraprasad Sastri's view that T. practices originally came from outside India and view of A. Avalon that they came to India from Chaldea or Śakadvipa, examined 1033-34, 1040, Hindu T try to show that they are based on the Vedas quote Vedic mantras and claim to describe an easier and quicker path to moksa 1051-52, Hindu T present two sides, one philosophical, the other popular and magical, relying on mantras. mudrās, cakras and yantras, 1057; ignore the great moral danger, involved in the persistent teaching of worship with five makāras and also ignore the advice of Gītā (III 21), 1087, in Kaula system siddhi results from those very substances (wine, flesh etc.) by (resorting to) which men (ordinarily) incur sin 1064, 1074 , influenced by Sānkhya 1384: important Kashmir Tautrik works 1050. Ksetras of Devi over one hundred acc. to Devibhagavata, 1039; Kulārnava and other T. praise the vedas, employ vedic mantras and quote Upanisads and Bhagavadgitā 1086-87: list of Sanskrit works on T. with authors, dates and editions, 1140-1147, list of works and papers on T. in English 1148-1151, literature on T. was vast 1048; Makāras five, emphasis on, in almost all Tantra works as leading to miraculous powers and liberation, 1034, 1049, 1052, 1058, 1664, 1082', Makāras explained by later writers on T as used not in the ordinary senses but in special esoteric senses on which the writers themselves differ 1081n; Mantras called bija like hrīm and śrīm and a list of them called Bijanighantu 1058n, Mantra of ten syllables 'hrīm śrīm krīm parameśvari svāhā by merely listening to which a man becomes jīvanmukta but Mahānırvāņa adds that mantras do not confer siddhi unless five makāras are offered 1058, Mandalas, an item in worship, 1131ff; Mantras are the very core of T. and T. is often called Mantraśāstra 1098; Mantras of Sakti do not confer perfection unless one follows Lula practices

1052; mantras that the followers of Vāmamārga employ about three makaras (wine, mudra and codus) 1056-57: Manira ' saccidekam brahma 'is best 1057 : mantia 'brahmārpanam brahma' (Bhagayadgitā IV. 24) to be recited when offering wine and other tattvas to the highest Self 1059n; medieval works on Kaula cult speak of drinking wine, flesh eating, marthuna in the vulgar sense as means of Devi worship and assert that by doing so liberation would be secured 1087: T. mention the six magic and terrible rites, šānti, vasikarana, stambhana, vidvesana, mārana, uccătana 1070, 1105, 1113-14, method of referring to bija mantras like 'hrim' in a round about or mystical way 1058n: merely drinking wine or eating meat, indulging in sexual internever confer course would siddhi but it must be the result of strictly following the kaula path, says Kulārnava 1064. meaning of word T. in Rgveda, Atharvaveda and Tai. Br is 'loom' 1031, meaning of word T. acc. to Yaj, Amarakośa, Brhaspatı, Kātyāyana and Bhā Modern gavata 1031-32; apologists for Tantricism emp hasize that the instructions in Guhyasamājatantra are . meant only for yogis who have attained some yogic perfection 1077n; most Hindu T. are dialogues between Siva and Pārvatī or Skanda or Bhairava. sımılarly Buddhist writers on T. profess that they quote Buddha 1038. 1051, most upportant and early Buddhist works Täntrik cults. Prajnopavaviniścava and Jūānasiddhi, are not earlier than the 8th century A D. 1041, names of some published Hindu and Buddhist T. 1050, names of sixty-four T 1049n, names of some Vaisnava T 1051, names of works in which the philosophical aspects of Hindu T. may be studied 1051, no trace of direct connection between Atharvaveda and Tantras 1037, oldest among Buddhist Tantras are Āryamaŭjuśrīmūlakalpa and Guhyasamājatantra acc. to Dr Bhaitacarya, 1050, path of T. cult in its higher level was one of Upasana or bhakts, but it often degenerated into magic and moral and depravity 1090, Pārānandasütra and some other T. works provide that drinking wine before the stage where eyeballs begin to roll or mind remains steady and having intercourse with Sakti according to the rules of the sastra is not blamable and to drink beyond that stage is bestial and sinful 1056, paths in T. are three, Daksma (declared in Veda, sinrtis and Puranas), Vāma (declared by Veda and āgamas), uttara (declared by Veda and Guru), latter one

being superior to preceding one 1054, peak period of Tantrik works and cults, both Hindu and Buddhist. from 7th to 12th century A D, 1073, philosophical or spiritual side of T not much studied except by Arthur Avalon. B Bhattacarva and a few others 1031, pīthas (of Kālikā) m in Rudravāmala 1034; points that distinguish T works from other religious literature in Sanskrit 1092-93. points of difference between I. and Śākta works, though both have much in common 1042, possible motives founders of Tantrik cult for discarding conventional morality 1077, poular mind associates T with worship of Sakti (1 e Kālī) and with mudrās. mandalas, five makāras, vāma and daksma mārga, and magic practices 1031, possible reason for non-mention of Tantra doctrines in Sarvadarianasangraha 1139, piescribe Vaidikī sandhyā to be followed by Täntrikī sandhyā 1101, provisions of, about the materials and capacity of wine cups 1059, provide, in contrast to Vedānta, methods which assist men of ordinary intellect in developing psychical forces and attaining liberation 1072, put forward the theory that to the pure-hearted everything pure, only the sinful vāsanā is reprehensible 1085n, purpose of most Buddhist T. is to indicate

a short path to Buddhahood by vogic practices, introducing the element of Saktı for yogıc practices and for securing miraculous powers 1051, question whether Hindu T were prior to Buddhist T or vice versa is difficult but present author holds Hindu T were not borrowed from Buddhist 1038-40. references to 64 T. ın Saundaryalaharī 1049, resemble Purānas ın several respects 1049, rivalry between Hindu and Buddhist T 1064-5. Rudrayāmala statement that Buddha taught Vasistha the Kaula path, examined 1034, Sādhakas in T are of three kınds, paśu, vīra and divva 1052n, Sādhaka in T should feel that guru, mantra devatā and his own soul are all one 1101, Sānkhya tattvas, Prakrtı, mahat, Ahankara are welded on to the worship of Sakti 1058: secrecy enjoined by most T about knowledge conveyed by the guru to the disciple and worship with makaras, 1054, some great scholars and poets had a sneaking admiration for T worship 1076, some modern writers have been somewhat unjust to whole T literature by labelling it all as black magic or obscene 1091; some Tantras like Mahānirvāna try to stem the tide of sexual immorality 1077, some T. practically inculcate appears as unbridled licence 1073, some Hindu T contain

sublime philosophic views derived from the Upanisads, the Gîta, from Sankhya and Yoga and the final goal is Mukti to be secured by the path of T. 1050-51, so much of T. that is not opposed to Veda is authoritative acc. to Devibhāgavata 1064. Tattvacakra worship described 1059, 1087: T. says śuddha means the offering of flesh, wine and mudra to the devata with the recital of three binas 1058n, 1088, T. teach the repetition of mantras that have no meaning or that contain the letters of a mantra in a reversed form 1107. T. teachers' names often end in the word Ananda 1073n, T topics like mantras. nyāsa. over into mandala taken some Puranas, and dharmašāstra works 1095-96, teaching · of tantrik texts about worship with five makāras created a debased state in society, which is reflected in some parts of Sanskrit literature 1073-76: T texts fall into five classes, Śaiva, Śākta, Varsnava, Saura - and Ganapatya 1092, theory of such T. works as Saradatilaka about mantras set out 1098-99, theory of sublimation put forward for explaining ; the insistence on five makaras 1085; theory of T. that wine, meat and sexual , unions are the only and the best means of Devi worship invite strong condemnation 1092-3, thought is recognised in some T. works as having creative power and may do good if kind and may cause suffering if evil 1100; three aspects of the deity worshipped by Tantrikas are sthula (an image), sūksma (worship by mantras) and para (highest. to be apprehended by the mind of the sadhaka) 1091, three groups of T. viz. Visnukrānta, Rathakrānta and Aśvakrānta assigned 64 are to each group 1049; time when the word Tantra assumed peculiar meaning, people who first introduced tantra practices or the country where they first arose cannot be determined with certainty 1033, to drink wine without performing Suddhi was condemned even by T. writers 1089; translations of Sanskrit works on Tantra in Tibetan are available, but the originals are not yet found 1048, Vajrayana T. arose in Uddiyāna, acc. to one view 1039, Vaisnavatantras, twentyfive, named by Agmpurana 1051, vast literature 1031, Vedas, Tantras Sastras and Puranas are of hardly any use, when Mahāunderstood nırvānatantra 18 mantras 1057 : Vedic fisb, sanctifying flesh, mudrā are respectively Rg. I. 22 20, VIII. 59 12, I. 22 20-21, T Vidyā divided mio three groups viz. Kādi, Hādi and Sadı 1045, view of Dr. Bagchi about for eign clements in Tantrik doctrines 1034. Vīra type of T worshipper required to possess high moral and spiritual level, but Rudravāmala allows a vīra to honour the charming wife of another flushed with wine 1088-89. women were made gurus in Tantrik cult 1075, woman associated with a male for täntrik worship or for maithuna was called saktı, prakṛti or latā and she could be one's wife or another's wife or a Lesya 1081, 1090n, word 'phat' occurs in Van S, and is emploved in abhicāra 1037; works on T like Säradätilaka enumerate thirty-six tattvas (including those of the sankhya system) 1063, works on T introduced into Tibet, Mongolia, China, Japan and South East Asia 1048; works on T. placed women on a footing of equality with men, and endeavoured to provide a common platform 1092; works on T. employ Vedic mantras, and also their 1100-1. own. works T say that mantras possess wonderful powers and that a Tantrika secures all powers by following the practices of his guru's school 1101, worship of Sakti with wine, flesh and edibles of various kinds, 1046n, 1047 (in Visnu-puiāna), 1052-53 (worship of Sakti with five Tattvas, which are sometimes identified with five elements) 1052-53, worship of Kesava 28

laid down in Tantras referred to by Bhagavata 1093; writers on T. clothe their practices in bombastic words 1081: writers, medieval and modern, were largely justified in their condemnation of Tantrik practices 1094, vantra (sometimes called cakra) also is a characteristic item in Täntrik worship 1135-1139.

Tantrāloka of Abhinavagupta 1049n

Tantrarahasya of Ramanujacarya, last noted work of Prābhākara school composed about 1750 A. D., 1185p, 1189, 1283n Tantrarājatantra 1088, 1106n, III9 (on abhişeka), 1136 (on Yantra), 1138n-39. Tantraratna, of Pārthasārathi-

mıśra 1189 Taptravārtika of Kumārilabhatta (a voluminous com on Sabara's bhāsya on P M. S. I. 2 to end of Chap III), 96n, 926n, 985n, 1009n, 1162n, 1179 (papers on 'Gleanings from Sabara and Tantravartika' and 'Tantravärtika and Dharmasāstra'), 1181 adhık \bar{a} rafor Agnihotra and Darśa-pūrnamāsa), 1186n, 1188, 1191n, 1206n (propositions about soul specified), 1211 (on Apūrva), 1212n, 1216n, 1220n-24n, 1228p. 1229 (defines vidhi, niyama and parısankhyā), 1236n (bhāvanā is the pratyayārtha), 1237n, 1241n, 1244, 1247n, 1249n (on 'Vikalpa'), 1253n, 1254, 1258-59 (1s most exhaustive on Smrtis), 1261-62n. 1264 (on sadācāra), 1273n-74n (on Kalpa and Kalpasūtras), 1277n, 1290n, 1293n. 1295 n. 1297n. 1302. 1307n, 1309n, 1329 (collects about three dozen cases of bādha in general), 1333 (on change in meaning of 'rajan'), 1544n, 1545, examines all the well-known classes of Sanskrit works with regard to their usefulness and relation to Veda and other-worldly experience 1260-1262.

Tantragukt: means 'main canons or principles of exposition of a sastra' acc. to Kautilya, Caraka and Susruta 1032.

Tantrik Texts (Vol. I-XXII), edited by Arthur Avalon, Vol. 1, 1049, 1058n, 1097, 1124n, 1127, Vol. II 1060n, Vol. IV 1038n, Vol. VII 1035n, Vol. VIII. 1054; Vol. X. 1140, Vol. XIV 1050n.

Tantrik-' cult in Epigraphs,' paper by Mr. B P. Desai 1046n; T. practices prevailed in N. India long before 11th century A. D., 869n, T. literature is classified into Srotas (that are three), Pitha and Amnāya 1049.

Täntrik: gurus (some) in Kashmir in 11th century A. D. practised incest 1075-6; T. Sculpture at Puri and other temples in Orissa 1088, works emphasize that mantra must be received from a qualified guru and the südhunü must be done under his guidance

1110.

Tapas 304-305, 1415n, 1416: grand enlogy of T. in Manu. 1415n: in Rayeda means 'heat' in some cases and 'austerities' in some (as in X, 109, 4, X 154, 2 and 5. X. 190. 1) 1415n. m Sat Br and Ait. Br. it is indicated that tapas like vaiña would bestow everything 1415, 1489; in Upanisads T. is one of the means leading to realization of brahman 1415n. Mahābhārata has frequent eulogies of T. 1416n; Manu provides that only three pranayamas performed acc. to prescribed rules are highest T 1415n; means acc to Ap. Dh. S the strict observances laid down for a vedic student, 1415n; Śāntiparva says that T. consists in ahimsā, truthfulness, kindliness and restraint of senses and not in mortification of the body 1416n; Vyasabhāsya explains Tapas as bearing the pairs of opposites (cold and heat, hunger and thirst) and observances like Krechra, Cāndrāyana 1416

Tapta-mudrā-dhārana-making marks of conch, discus etc., (weapons of God Visnu) on limbs or parts of the body with heated copper or the like by Mādhvas 305.

Täräbhaktitarangini, 977n, 1072n, 1109 (on Savasādhana), 1142 Taranath (born 1573 or 1575 A.D.), a. of a 'History of Buddhism' (written in 1608

A. D.), 1010n, 1033n, 1148; gives a confused account about persecution of Buddhists by Kumārila and Śankarācārya 1010n.

Tārātantra 1142.

Tarka (ratiocination) and Dharmaśāstra 1468-1482 (vide 'Nyaya', 'people', 'tolerance', 'Upanisads'), as an anga of Yoga 1419, 1469. Buddhists and Jams were declared to be heterodox, not because they resorted to T but because they did not at all acknowledge the authority of the Veda and the sacred tradition 1471, Manu provides that none but he who considers the dicta of (vedic) sages, the rules of dharma (in smrtis) and takes the help of tarka not in direct conflict with Veda and sastras knows what Dharma is and this is the position of most orthodox Sanskrit writers about Tarka. 1469; Śankarācārya's position about T. 1470-71, Smrtis lav down that blindly following the words of sastra would lead to loss of Dharma and that in case of conflict between two Smrtis reasoning should resorted to, 1470, smı tıs and ancient Sanskrit writers have been very tolerant of differing views on fundamental matters and brought about great changes in rituals, philosophical views, social customs, without persecution 1475, T a'one cannot give final answers or answers

acceptable to most people on such questions as the existence of God or of the individual soul, or on what happens after death 1470, T. favoured by or not opposed to Sruti is acceptable to Br. Up. acc. to Śańkarācārya 1469n, within the limits laid down by Sankarācārva and other orthodox Sanskrit writers there was room enough for an enormous and bewildering variety of convictions, rites and practices to claim orthodoxy and examples of these 1471, wise man who is pure should, in deciding upon dharma and adharma. act after relying on his own intelligence (reason) 1470; word 'tarka' occurs in Kathopanisad 1468: Yāj. Smrti mentions Nyāya (v. l. tarka) as one of the fourteen vidvās and one of the means of knowing dharma 1468.

Tarkadīpikā 1486n

Tarn, W W, author or 'Greeks in Bactria and India' 516, 655 (criticized)

Tarpana (offering water), of seven sons of Brahmā, acc. to Kātyāyana's Snānasūtra, Matsya and other Purānas 1372.

Tātparyadarsana, com. on Ap. G1. 802n.

Tattva meaning of, differs in Tantrik works acc as the worshipper concerned is Tamasika (Paśu), Rājasika (vīra) and Sāttvika (divya), 1082,

Tattvabindu of Vācaspati, Introduction to, 1158n-1159n. Tattvanistha - parivartanavādi - parisad, later termed Dharmanirnayamandala, its members and work for reform and reorganization of Hindu Society 1705-1707.

Tattvas, twenty-five, in Sänkhya and in Säntiparva 1358, 1365. Tattvärthasütra of Umäsväti 472n.

Tattvasamāsa, a late work on Sānkhya in 23 sūtras 1354, com. on, called Kramadīpikā 1354.

Tattvasangraha of Śāntaraksıta (705-762 A. D.), connects Buddha with magic practices 1037.

Tattvavasāradī of Vācaspatı, com. on Yogasūtra, 907

Tattvopaplava-sımha of Jayarāsibhatta 1472n.

Tawney C. H. a of 'Acquisitive society' 1481n.

Taxes, recent Indian taxes, defects of 1695n.

Tejobindu-Upanisad (on proper āsana) 1431.

Temple: of Rāhu 684, of Sun m. in 'Gupta Inscriptions' 683n; of Sun in ancient and medieval India 683-84, of 64 Yogin's, 174; three temples of the sun established in three different places acc. to Varāhapurāna 898n.

Testament, Old and New, both emphasize sacredness of vows

Tevijjasutta, condemns Buddhist monks maintaining themselves by such arts as predicting 627. Thibant, a. of a work on Indian

Astronomy, mathematics and astrology in the German Grundriss, 484, 487, 490-92. 508-10, 512, 579 (criticized). observes that what Indiana knew before Greek influence is not much and is primitive 512. observes that Greek astrology entered India between Firmions / middle of 4th century A. D.) and Varahamihira, 579-80, observes that Mr. Dixit's work on ' Hindu Astronomy ' is the richest source of material on it, 484, on Romaka Siddhānta 515.

Third Five Year Plan total investment programme of, 1084ff; total American aid from 1951 to end of Third F. P. 1691ff.

Thomas E J., a of 'History of Buddhist Thought' 1107.

Thomas, Prof. F W. 1104n; presentation volume 757n.

Thompson C., 'Reports of the Magicians' etc 565n, 572n.

Thorndake, a of 'Hastory of magac and experimental science' 550n.

Throbbing (spandana or sphurana), of arms and eyes from India ai regarded ancient times as harbinger of coming events 800-801; of right or left side of body is auspicious respectively for men and women 800, prognostications from 799-801; removal of the effects of manspicious T. brought about by gifts of gold to brahmanas 800; results of the T of hmbs from head to soles set out 800.

Tibet (vide Sanskrit literature),
Padmasambhava, a Tāntrik
Buddhist was called from Uddiyāna by a Tibetan king (749786 A. D.) and settled in T,
1040-41; script based on an
Indian alphabet was introduced in Tabout 640 A.D, 1040
Tikkanikā: a small work of
Varāhamihira on Yātrā 617

Tıladhenu, gift of, on Karkasankrāntı 218

Tılak, Lokamānya, a of Gītārahasya (in Marathi) 1171-72n. hıs explanation Bhagavadyītā (XIII 4) not accepted 1171-72, a of 'Orion' 'Arctic Home in the Vedas' 3. 485. 498, 499n, ' Vedic. 513, on Chronology' 644, 645n, held several conferences of Indian astronomers for improving paficangas 712, views of, on caste and urgency of independence and not mere social reform 1635n-1636n.

Timaeus, a dialogue of Plato, 781, 1502, 1505n

Time (see under Kāla), amānta reckoning 659, Buddhist Sanskrit writers like Prajfiakaragupta hold that T is not a separate entity 472, conception of, 463-475, divisions of T. from namesa to Kalpa given by Manu 688, first among great problems of philosophy 463, fundamental periods of time are day, month, year, 644-45. human reckoning of T is fourfold viz Saura, Cāndra. Sāvana, Nāksatra (or Ārkṣa)

identified with 656n-59; Mahānārāyana Nārāvana in Up. 466, indications of the of T. existence acc. Vaišesikasūtra and Prašastapāda's bhāsya 469, is a dravya, Vaišesikasūtra and Caraka 474: Jama writers hold that T is one of six padarthas 472, lengthy disquisition on T. ın Maıtrī Up. 465-66; long disquisition on T. in Nyāyamanjari 469; Manusmrti on. 468, measures of T. such as Yuga, Mahāyuga, Manvantara, Kalpa, 686ff, minute divisions of T such as nimesa mentioned from even Vedic times, 475, nine reckonings of, out of which only five are ordinarily employed 656-57, Nyāyasūtra holds that time is past, present or future 469, pūrnimānta reckoning 659, questions as to, 463, some western philosophic works on T 475, some philosophers hold that time is either past or future, but that there is no present T. 469: space, T and God are one and the same acc to Raghunātha. follower of Navyanyaya 470. spoken of, in the same terms as brahman in Martri and Tai. Up. 466, sublime conception of, in Atharvaveda as ageless, as the creator of everything, including Prajāpati, 463-4, truta (or truti) as the smallest unit of T. in Kautilya and Sūryasıddhānta 214, 476-77; units of T from nimesa to year mentioned in Br. Up. 475-76; units of time, same verse on, in Santiparva and Vāyu, Matsya, Viṣṇu and Brahmānda purānas 476n, units of T. such as Prāna, Pala, Ghatī or Nādī or Daṇda 684, Vākyapadīya of Bhartrhari on T 475, views differ as to the number of units of T. and their relation to each other among Manu, Kautilya, Purānas, Amarakośa, Sūryasiddhānta 476-477

Tithi (or tithis acc, to context). under Amavāstā, God, Astakā, dav. naksatra, Kāla. Paurnamāsī. Vedha. week days), all religious acts to be begun in the morning, even if t. is uiddhā 72, all rites, Vedic and smārta, vrata, dana do not yield proper reward as long as the proper t for it is not determined 73, anga (subsidiary matter) to Vedec and smrti prescriptions and prohibitions, 71, a tithi touching three days was held to be inauspicious for marriage, invasion and auspicious religious acts 68-69; certain t dear to certain gods, 70, decision of a proper t. for religious rate is by recourse to Yugmavākya, when a tithi extends over two days, 74; derivation of word t from root 'tan' to spread different views before Ait. Br. on which a tithi rite was to be 66; divided by performed Dharmasindhu into Pūrnā and Sakhandā 71-72, divided by Nirnayasındhu ınto Suddhā and Viddhā 71n; division of, into five groups, 70, division of tithis into kharva. darpa, himsra or himsā 78. even if t. begins in the afternoon, a sankalpa had to be made in the morning, provided the vrata has to be performed on that t, though viddha 82. T. is time or period required by the moon to gain twelve degrees on the sun to the east 68. long lists of acts and things forbidden on certain tithis 79-80, lords of tithis to Brhat-samhitā and others 69, 111, loss caused by eating certain things on certain tathis, 70, most important topic in relation to vrata is t 56, motion of moon being irregular the number of ghatikās of at may be any figure from about 54 to 65, 68, Panini mentions affix 'tithae (probably due to word 'tithi') and Patanjali mentions Paurnamāši tithi 67; Pūrnā, Sakhandā, Śuddhā and Viddhā defined 72, 113, purvaviddhā and paraviddhā, explained 74, theory that shortening and lengthening of tithis is due to piety and sinfulness of men, 78; t., though khanda, is to be regarded as sakalā for dharmasāstra purposes in some day (from cases 73, unit sunrise to sunrise) may have two tithis or even three tithis touching it or one tith may spread to or touch three civil days 68; unit day touching three tithis was regarded as holy, 68, vedha defined 72-73, week days, naksatras and tothis are means of securing merit or sin, 71, what articles should specially be eaten or not eaten on certain tithis 70-71, 79-80, what combinations of viddha tithis are generally excluded as improper 74-75, what should be undertaken on each of the five groups of T. 70, word tithi does not occur in the Vedic samhitäs, though the idea must have been there in the Rgveda but occurs in Ait Br. and the gihva and dharma sūtras and hence was in use at least from about 800 B. C., 62, 67-68, word 'maha' is prefixed to certain tithis when the Full Moon is in the naksatra which gives the name to the month and is in conjunction with Juniter 79

Tithicintāmani, contains tables based on the Grahalāghava 643.

Tithitattva 53, 68n, 70-72n, 75n, 77n, 79n, 81, 84-86, 88, 91n, 93, 110n, 117n, 124n, 125, 129n, 134-5, 136n-8, 142n, 148, 152n, 154, 156-58n, 161n, 162, 165n-167n, 169n, 170-2, 173n, 175n, 177n, 179n, 182n, 191n, 193, 198n, 201n, 203n, 207n, 217, 227, 228n, 230, 241, 246n, 247n, 249n, 1182n.

Tithiviveka, 73n, 76n,

Tithyarka 71n

Tolcrance (vide Asoka, Madhvācārya), a more radical tolerance than what Indian people showed throughout the ages is unthinkable 1076, Bhagavad-Bhāgavata, Śāntiparva exhibit wonderful spirit of T. when they say that worship of other desties also reaches ultimately the Highest 970; germs of doctrine of T, in Rg I, 164. 46, 970-71, great tolerance in Emperor Harsa's family 1006: in India it was at the most necessary to show that doctrines put forth were not opposed directly to the dicts of the Inscriptions Veda 1481-82; and coins evidence exceptionally generous T. on the part of the civil powers, says Barth 1011. Madhvācārva could explain away the Upanisad passages about advaita by reasoning and openly denounce the advasta doctrine as Buddhism in disguise without persecution 1482, of Hindu kings towards Moslems, even though moslem invaders desecrated temples 1018; T. is one of the striking characteristics of Hindu culture 1623, persisted in India for different cults and tenets with very rare exceptions from before Asoka to 1200 A D, when Moslem invaders began to overrun India 1012, striking instances (early and late) of T. of kings and people donating gifts to persons. shrines and institutions of different faiths 1012-14; undesirable consequences of great T. 1482, Yāj. Smrti prescribes that when an Indian king conquered a country, the conqueror's duty was to honour the usages. transactions and family traditions of the conquered country 1011, 1482.

Toleration Act (of England in 1689) excluded Catholics and Unitarians from its benefits 1476n

Toynbee, Prof. Arnold, in 'East and West' definition of civilization and culture 1615-16 points out that Christianity and Islam have been responsible for some of the cruellest atrocities that have disgraced 1012n; revises and corrects his own statement about Indian culture 1617n. urges that Christianity must be purged of the beliefs that Christianty is unique and that Christians are God's chosen people 1595.

Traditions, though they often arise without much evidence. should not be summarily rejected, but should be tested by other available evidence 1192, the endeavour of leaders thought in each generation should be to find out what is essential in tradition without believing in the unfallibility of all T. and to present dogmas that will meet the demands of modern thought and conditions 1475.

Trailokyamohana mantra, dilated upon in Prapaficasāra-tantra for accomplishing six cruel acts, 1070, 1105.

Trailokyavijayavidya, ın Agnıpurana 1102.

Trees, barks of five, viz. Asvattha, udumbara, plaksa, āmra and vata, to be boiled in water for bath on Amāvāsyā of Dıvālī 199 festival called Vrksotsava 415-16.

Tridandin, as applied to Sannyasins, meaning of, 1645.

Tridhatu, meaning of, doubtful ın Rg. 1492n.

Trijatā, dreams of, in the Rāmā. yana 775.

Trikāndamandana 53.

Trikaprasavaśānti, on birth of a son after three successive births of daughters or vice versa 773. Trilona, meaning of, 567n.

Trimadhura (honey, ghee and sugar are so called) 309.

Trisikhi-biāhmanopanisad 1426n Tripundra, sect mark of Śāktas and Salvas, explained 1076n.

Trisprśā (one of 8 kinds of dvādaśīs) 309.

Trisama 310.

Tristhalīsetu 91n

Trisugandha (tvak i. e cinnamon, cardamom and patraka 309.

Trita aptva, all bad dreams delivered to, in Rgveda 729.

Trivet (milk, curds and ghee are so called) 309.

Tryahahsprk (tithi) 310.

Tucci, Prof. G, paper of, on Mandalas in 'Indo-Tibetica' 1133. Tukaram, saint 969, asserts that

life is very miserable 969. Tulapurusa, one of the Maha-

dānas 87. Tulasī leaves and plants, now

sacred to Vaisnavas, but they do not figure in early Purānika

literature. 881, story of, occurs in Padma IV and VI.

Tulsidas (born in 1532-33 A.D.) Hindi poet and saint, was abandoned by parents because of astrological considerations 633n, works of, used for divination 812.

Tulasīvivāha 307

Tup-tīkā Kumārilabhatta. of 1163n, 1188 (not a regular com but notes on chapters IV-XII of P M sūtras), 1209n, 1214n-16n, 1226n-27n, 1250n, 1286n, 1302n, 1318n, explanations of Tup, 1189n, has some commentaries but none is published 1189

Turāvana 306-7.

Turberville, Prof A. S. 'Spanish Inquisition' 933n

Tuxen, Paul, a of 'Religions of India, 1393-94, 1577 (does not accept Deussen's theory about Ksatriyas being the original cherishers of Vedanta thoughts).

Tycho Brahe, prepared every year an astrological forecast for the king 551

Tyler, a. of 'Primitive culture 1614.

Udakaśānti, 783-787; is an elaborate affair in these days and takes up about three hours 784, many mantras employed ın 784, oldest available description is in Baudhāyana grhyasesasūtra 784; performed even now for securing good health, removal of diseases, mitigating unfavourable aspects for removal of impurity on birth or death in one's house or family etc 784, procedure of 784-787; Sankalpa in 784n.

Udayana, a. of Lakşanāvali and Nyāyakusumānjali 1624.

Uddālaka, Ārum, shown to be ignorant of Vaisvanaravidya ın Chăn V. 11. but in Chân. VI. 8 7 ff, is shown as teaching the sublime doctrine of 'tat tvaması ' 1578.

Uddeśya of Uddiśyamāna-means 'subject of which something is predicated (vidheya) 1286, defined by Ślokavārtika 1286n, 1341.

Uddhāravibhāga (assignment of a larger share to the eldest son on partition between brothers) 1268, Tai S has two contradictory passages on this, but Ap Dh. S. after quoting both Tal. S. passages holds that unequal division is prohibited by śāstras and provides that equal division among sons is the proper rule 1268

Uddiyāna (a pītha of Tāntrik cult). situation of, discussed 1039.

Udhhāta (a term in Yoga) differently explained by different writers 1439n

Udgītha, means 'om' 1582

Udgīthavidyā, only one of many Upāsanās, 1583, Pravāhana Jaivalı taught it to two brahmanas in Chan Up I 8, 1582. Udvāhatatīva 545, 610n, 611n, 919.

Udyāpana · last rite in a vrata 120-21; if no rite of udyapana for a vrata is expressly prescribed what should be done 121. Ud. is concluding rite in a vrata undertaken only once, while the concluding rate is called pārana when a vrata is to be continued for life (such as Ekādašī or Janmāstamī) 139; of Šivarātrivrata when undertaking was to observe at for 24, 14, or 12 years 234.

Udyogaparva 27, 49, 90n, 126, 129n, 532n, 539, 743-4 803n, 821, 840, 854 (quotes the famous verse 'gurorapyavaliptasya etc.' from a Purana), 1080, 1281 (Kisna and Arjuna described as drunk), 1627n, 1636, 1642.

Ugrarathasanti, vide Şastyabdapürtı) 757, Procedure Sanskrit of, 760n.

1324 26; certain Tha 1158. alterations and adaptations are necessary in the matter of mantras, samans and samskaras, this is called wha in PMS, though the word ordinarily means tarka (reasoning) 1324, mantra when adapted is not to be called a mantra acc to PMS 1325; Visnudharmasūtra prescribes that in the śrāddha for the maternal grandfather and his two male ancestors the mantra should be changed into 'Sundhantam Mātāmahāh ' 1326

Ullvala-nila-mani, of Rupagosvāmın 980

Ulfi, story narrated by, about Siddharāja, king of Gujarat, punishing Hindus for pulling down a mosque and rebuilding it at his own expense, 1018.

Umbeka (about 700-750 A, D.): a. of com. on Ślokavārtika 1359: a of com. on Bhavanaviveka 1194; is probably identical with Bhayabhūti 1194; is later than Mandanamiśra 1194. name written in various ways 1194n; Uveyaka, q by Kamalasīla, is probably Umbeka, 1194n; was a pupil of Kumārilabhatta 1194n. 1198. Underhill, a. of a work 'Hindu

religious year' 60.

Unemployment, mcreasing 1698, should be first point of attack in all plans 1698; United States of America, total aid to India, 1691-92

Untouchables, 51 millions in Bharata, acc to census of 1951, 1622n.

abolished by Untouchability, Indian Constitution (Art 17) and an Act passed to make treating a man as untouchable in public an offence 1636n.

of, forbi-Untruth, speaking dden in Tai. S. for a sacrificer

hands folding the Upacăras (namaskāra) was one of the U. before the Nirukta, 36, U. ın püjä were either 36, 16, 10 or 5, 94; nine out of 16 U. are mentioned in Asv. Gr. sūtra 36; not absent in Vedic age 35, quoted from Prapaticasă-Dharmaśāstra ratantra ın works 1096, several of 16 Up were well known long before

the grhyasūtras 35, saxteen Up. enumerated, 35, to be offered with the 16 verses of Purusasūkta 35.

Upadeša, meaning of, in P. M S. 1163n, 1184n

Upadeša Dharma-sındhu says that in Kaliyuga there is no dīksā but only U 1117n, difference between dīksā and U. 1118.

Upanavana (see under muhūrta): great importance attached to astrological positions of Bihaspati (Jupiter) in U 609, is only an anga of the vidhi about teaching Veda inferred from Manu, 1109n Muhūrtas for Upanayana are few and far between acc to late medieval writers, 607, not to be performed when Venus is set or on certain tithis and on galagraha 608, no rules in sūtras, Manu and Yaj about position of planets, rāsis or week davs for, U 607, principal time for U is 8th year from conception or from birth 609, proper ages for depended on the Varna of the boy 608, proper months and naksatras for U 607, 609, rule laid down by Asv Gr S for U and three other samskaras 605, seasons for the U of boys of the three higher varnas 607, Tuesday and Saturday mauspicious for 604

Upanisads (vide under 'brahman', moksa, Royce, Vedāuta) accept as preparation for brahmavidyā study of Veda, sacrifices, tapas, fasting 918, are full of theories of creation 1500-01; atmosphere of Up entirely different from Vedas and Brāhmana texts 1498n, attitude of, to Veda as aparā-vidyā and to sacrifices 917, 1004, 1471; central Up. doctrine of immanence of brahman not attacked by Buddha or early propagators of Buddhism 1004: describe brahman in two ways, firstly as qualified by various adjuncts (such as name and form, created objects) and secondly as devoid of all adjuncts, there being no nniverse outside brahman. 1504-5. do sometimes that he who knows brahman becomes brahman, but the same Up require great moral and spiritual attainments (as ın Mundaka) 1514, emphasize the giving up of trana or Kāma 939n: fundamental doctaine of Up is that there is only one Principle or Essence, that it creates the world out of itself and enters into it and that all plurality is only apparent and a name, that even inanimate world is non-different from Supreme Spirit 1487. 1625; geographical details in Up are few 1522-23, germ of fundamental doctrine of Up is found in the Rgveda 1487, give no name to the First Principle but speak of it as 'tad-ekam' (that one) 1490, glowing tribute of Deussen to philosophers of the Up. 1500, hold that esoteric

knowledge has to be imparted by a guru to a disciple or by father to son, but not to all and sundry nor in an assembly 1071-72; illustrations (two) to bring home to the inquiring spirit non-difference are rivers flowing into the sea and losing their names and forms and pure water poured in other pure water, 1625; most important doctrines of Up. are two (1) non-difference of individual self from supreme Self and (2) transmigration of self depends on his deeds and conduct as taught by brāhmana Yāj, to Janaka or by Uddālaka Aruni to son Svetaketu. 1577-78; offer very little to the common man and did not solve his problems while the Bhagavadgītā took that matter in hand, 968, old Up like Br. and Chan, are admitted to be earlier than Buddha 1004, passages of Up, fore-shadowing Sänkhya doctrines or employing technical Sankhya words 1360-61, put the knowledge of the Highest Self as superior to the Vedas (called aparā vidyā) vet quote Vedic statements support of their 918, 1471; real thought of Up, centres round the nondifference between brahman and the individual soul and the physical world, 1500-1502. renunciation of all actions and their rewards was inculcated by Up. for a Sannyāsin who was to beg for alms till the

body lasted, as even good deeds would lead to good births and put off moksa, 1513. several vidyās or upāsanās are described in Up particularly in Chan, and Br. for men that are not vet far advanced on the path of brahmavidya 1584. some apparent discrepancies in Up. as to what was first created and as to the order of the creation of elements, as explained by Sankarācārya 1506-7; some late Up mentioned as the basis of Sakta doctrines by late medieval works, 1045n, speak of brahman, as creator, sustainer and as ultimate absorber of bhūtas (elements or beings) 1484; teach that sound moral preparation necessary before a true Vedāntic view is attamed 1478n, verse enumerating ten ancient and principal Up. 1436n, Yoga in Up 1387.

Upapurānas (vide under Hazra, Narasımhapurana, Samba, Vısnudharma and Visnudharmottara), all chapters and even single verses of U. are suspect 872, Alberuni's work shows that some U. such as Adı, (Nandii), Adıtya, Nanda Narasımha and Samba had been composed at least some time before 1000 A. D 831; are summaries made by sages after listening to 18 P. 835; began to be compiled from the 7th century and their numbers went on increasing till 13th century A. D. or even later

855, dates assigned by Prof. Hazra to Upapurānas not at all acceptable 882, early commentaries and digests on Dharmasastra like the Mit. and Kalpataru very rarely mention U. and even the latter refers to six Up 837, Hazra (Prof.) says there are over 100 Upapurānas 834, Hazra admits that adherents of various sects such as Śāktas. Pāncarātras. Sauras, interpolated chapters in Puranas and sometimes wrote new and independent works styled Purānas 837, Kūrma Purāna mentions Brahmānda, Nāradīya Skanda. Vāmana as Up 870, Matsya names Narasınıha, Nandı, Adıtya and Samba as Up and there is nothing to show that more than four were regarded by the Matsya as of any authority 834-5, names of 18 U. are set out by Devibhagavata, Garuda, Kūrma, Padma, Hemādrī 834 and n, none of the except Devi, Narasımha, the Visnu dharmottara, and one or two more can be held to be asold as 7th or 8th century A. D. 835-36, 838, only a few Upapurānas are published and those published belie definition of Purana as Pancalaksana 834; only eight U. m Ballālasena 871; some U bear the same names as those of principal Puranas, such as Skanda, Vāmana, Brahmānda and Năradīya 834; total of 4 lakhs of verses of Puranas

excludes the verses of U.834. Uparava, meaning of 18.

Uparicara Vasu, a king and devotee of Nārāyana, dedicated his kingdom and wealth to God and worshipped acc. to Sāttvata rules 95n

Upasad, days of 25.

Upasarga (preposition) changes the meaning of the root, 2.

Upasruti (lastening to words of children, or eaves-dropping near houses of washermen or candalas and using words heard by chance as prognostications for coming events 809-810, methods similar to U. in the ancient near East 810n; method of casting dürvä grass in a book at random 811.

Upavāka, a kind of seed 732n.

Upavarsa, commentator of Jaimin 735n, 1186n, 1187n, 1197, expressly mentioned by Sabara 1186n, flourished between 100 B C to 100 A D. 1197, held to be identical with Bodhāyana by Prof. Kuppuswami Sastri 1187n; m. by Sankarācārya twice on V. S. with great respect 1187n, 1205.

Upavāsa: (vide under 'fast'); another meaning, in Brāhmana texts 26

Upavedas, four 820n, 1263n.

Upāyas, four, in politics 617.

Usages (vide under 'Sadācāra'):
Baud. gr enumerates five peculiar u of the North and five others peculiar to the South and provides that if one belonging to South follows any

usages peculiar to north or vice versa he would be guilty of sin. 1258: Manu, Υà1. Visnudharmasütra. purana say that one should not observe but discard what was once deemed to be dharma if it has become hateful to the people and would end in unhappiness 1270; illustrations later Dharmaśāstra works like Mit, and Smrticandrikā rely upon the above rule of discarding practices, if common people had come to hate them 1270-71: Kumārila holds that in case of conflict between smrtis usages the former is and more authoritative but usages opposed to Smrtis have been in vogue from ancient times 1278-79: U. of countries. castes, families declared by Gautama and Manu to be authoritative if not directly opposed to the Veda 1278; modern legislation sometimes allows customs and usages overriding authority as under Hindu Marriage Act (25 of 1955) sec. 5 about marriages of sapındas, 1278-9, but also abrogates them in some cases, 1671; Privy Council held that clear proof of usage will outweigh the written text of the law 1278, requisites of a according to valid custom. P. M. S 1279-80

Usanas, a on astrology m. by Varāhamihira 593 Usanas, Dharmasāstra of, 1152n.

Uśanas (on İtājanīti) m. by Kālıkāpurāna 1001, 1032-33. Uśanas (on tīthi) 78n, 79.

Usas: is called Apasaras and Vena is described as her lover in Eg., 495n,

Usah-kāla, defined 278.

U S. S. R.: vide under Russia,

Utilitarianism (vide under 'Rationalism) · oriticism of this doctrine 1479-80 · holds that actions are right where they tend to make for the greatest good or happiness of the greatest number etc. 1479; is not a moral theory at all 1479.

Utpala, commentator of Br. S. of Varāhamihira 477, 479n, 520n, 521, 530n, 533n (on Yogayātrā), 545n, 547n, 554n, 561n, 564, 568, 658, 680 (quotes 18 verses from Garga on week days), 712n, 742n, 745n; commentator of Brhajjātaka 573n-75n, 577, 580n, 581, 584n, 587n, 591, 594, 657n, 765n, 796n, 1645n.

Utpāta. (vide under Atri), Nimitta, portent, Pusyasnāna): 591, 743; description of 18 Santis bearing names of different gods prescribed by Matsya descriptions 746 - 747 : abound in Mahabharata 743; kıng's duty to arrange Śāntis for counteracting portents 745; list of chief U. and nimitias 743-44, list of three kinds of U. 745-46, means 'reverse of usual natural order' 741-42; no gradation or order in setting out utpātas which are given

pellmell in both epics 744; of divya kind produce evil effects in eight ways 746; one adept in knowledge of U becomes famous and king's favourite without mathematics 769: seasonal appearances in certain seasons are not mauspicious and require no santis 747. 767: three classes of u Atharvaveda, Garga, Parāśara, Sabhāparva, Br S and Matsya divya (from heaven), antariksa (from atmosphere) and bhauma (terrestrial), and illustrations 745-46: varying opinions about the effect of Santis 746.

Utsava, often difficult to distinguish from vrata 57.

Uttarādhyayanasūtra, a Jain work 1367, 1375 (mentions sastitantra)

Uttarāyana, vasanta and grīsma are important parts of, 491-92 Utthānadvādasī, 12th tithi of Kārtika bright half 112

Vācaknavī, a woman that had secured Brahmajñāna 921n

Vācaspatı 52

Vācaspati, a of Sānkhyatattvakaumudi 468n, 1355, 1394n, a of Nyāyakanıkā on Mandana's 'Vidhiviveka'; com. on Yogabhāsya, called Tattvavaišāradī 909, 1373, 1399n, 1409n-11, 1420n-22n, 1424n, 1438n, 1445 (quotes two verses of Visnupurana), 1446n, 1448, 1450n; a, of 'Bhāmatī', com. on Śānkarabhāsya on V S 1166, 1510n; date of (820-900 A D) 1199, 1356.

Vadhüvaramelakavıcara, explained 614.

Va di, meaning of 670.

Vahnipurāna 138.

Vaidhiti, 27th Yoga, is just like Vyatīpāta in all respects 707. Vaidva, Mr M V 893.

Vaidya, P. L. 998, 1005.

Vaijayanta, palace of Gods, 1529.

Vaikhānasa-smārtasūtra 528, 579, 681 (mentions Wednesday), 749 (on Šānti of nine planets), 750-752, 946n (ten yamas), 1096n, 1418n, 1452, date of, between 200 B.C. to 200 A.D. 528n, refers to naksatras called janma, karma, sānghātika, sāmudāyika and vaināšika and these terms are explained in the Yogayātrā and Visnudharmottara 528-29n.

Vaisākha Aksayyatrtīyā, on 3rd of bright half of, 88-89; Parasurāmajayantī on 3rd of bright half of, 89-90.

Varsesikasūtra 468, 472, 476, 1037n (defines dharma), 1468 and n; goal of, is nihšreyasa (q. v) 1468.

Vaisnava or Vaisnavas (acc. to context), defined in several Purānas 112-13, difficulties of, in choosing tithi for fast when it is viddhā 114; is really one who has taken dīksā acc to Vaikhānasa or Pāūcarātra Āgama, but now people are called V. because they are so traditionally, 113; not to bow to or worship another God or to enter the temple of another God acc to Vrddha

Hārīta 976n; rank and file of V. generally exclusive and bigoted and treat with disdain everything pertaining to Siva and take sumptions food on Sivarātri 118; inconvenient results of insistence by V. on observing fast on Ekādasi mixed with dvādasi and pāranā on dvādasī 117; wrangles of V. with saivas and vice-versa 118, 973n.

Vaisnavākūta-candrikā of Ratnagarbha on Visņupurāna 832.

Vaisnavism: Erotic mysticism of the love of Krsna and Rādhā established by Caitanya and Vallabhācārya 980; in V. established by Vallabhācārya greatest honour is paid to guru who is a descendant of the founder 980

Vaitvānara: discussion on this word that occurs in Rg. I 59.6 and I. 98. 1 in the Nirukta mentioning the opposite views of Yājāikas, of ācāryas and of Śākapūni 1156n.

Vaisvanara, meaning of, in Rg. and Chan. Up. V. 15. 2, 1578-79.

Vaišvānaravidyā, in Chān. Up. IV. 11, 23, 227n; is only an upāsanā and not thoroughgoing brahmavidyā, 1578-79.

Vaisya, was good birth, acc. to Chan. Up., 1578-79, 1607. Vaitarani, 11th tithi of dark half

of Margasirsa 418.

Vājapeya 1029.

Vajapyāyana, an a. m. by Kātyāyana Vārtikakāra 1157.

Vājasaneya Samhitā, 12n, 17-18,

22-3, 62n, 63, 126, 166, 168, 183n, 196n, 475, 485n, 527, 596n, 667, 672, 698, 721 (on Sānti), 722n, 724, 726n-7n, 750, 759, 762, 773, 776n, 777, 787, 796n, 814, 919-20, 969n, 1024, 1037, 1055n, 1079, 1181, 1217n. 1221, 1241, 1244, 1265n, 1288n, 1291, 1385n. 1489 (has all Puruṣasūkta verses), 1533, 1633.

Vājina, an offering different from 'āmiksā' 1307.

Vajra, a. on astrology 593.

Vajrayāna (or Vajramārga); is the ulterior development of Mahayana 942n; other innovations of, were the introduction of the Upasana of Sakta and Dhyānibuddhas, of theory 1069, permitted killing of all speaking untruth, anımals sexual intercourse (including what common people call incest), 1066, several meanings of Vajra, 1065-66; texts aim at the attainment of Bodhi 1092n

Vajrolīmudrā, in Hathayogapradīpikā, cannot be set out, for its obscenity 1127; supposed to confer long life on yogin even after indulgence in sexual orgies 1127.

Vāk (speech); sublime hymn about power of, in Rg. X. 125, 1043-44

Vākya (sentence): (vide 'Anusanga), definition of V 1297, example is the mantra 'devasya tva . nirvapāmi' (Tai S. I. 1. 4. 2) 1297; in Rgveda and Sāmaveda, both being metrical,

there is generally no difficulty in finding what constitutes a V. and Sabara confines himself to Yajus texts 1297, it is not absolutely necessary that in order to form a v. the words must be in close proximity (sannidhi), though generally proximity is required 1298; mantra text may constitute two sentences if it has two parts that serve different purposes as in 'Syonam te manasyamānah' (Tai Br. III 75), 'ise tvorje tva ' (first words of Tai S.) 1298-99, three elements are required for constituting words into a sentence, viz expectance (akānksā), compatibility (yogyatā) and proximity, particularly the first 1297.

Vākyabheda (Lit. split of sentence), examples of 1295, 1300-1304; illustrations how to avoid V. in Manu IX 142 about the result of adoption and in Bihaspati on the question of reunion after partition 1301-1303, not applicable to a sutra, acc. to some 1182n, one aspect of the import of the word V. is the case where there are two (or more) sentences and one sentence does not require a word or words from another sentence, then the sentences should be treated as separate 1299. one and the same text cannot be construed as laying down two separate vidhis or when a certain matter has already been prescribed by vidhi and several

auxiliary matters are to be prescribed in relation to the same, all auxiliaries cannot be prescribed in one sentence 1299-1300; one text of Tai. Br prescribes as to agnyādhāna that one having a son and having his hair yet dark should perform it, this has to be construed as indicating by laksaṇā that the man must not be very young nor very old, otherwise there would be two vidhus and the fault of V 1301.

Vākyapadīya of Bhartrhari 475 (on Kāla), 1396; com. of Helārāja on, 1396n.

Vālakhilya hymns 692

Vallabhācārya (1479-1531 A D); a of Tattvadīpanibandha 957n, holds Bhāgavata of supreme authority 957, 1176; holds that Jamini, a. of P. M S, is the pupil of Vyāsa a of V. S 1177, propounds an erotico-mystical brand of bhakti 971, thinks V. S refers to Bhāgavata-purāna 1176n.

Vallabhotsava festival in honour of Vallabhācārya (held as born in 1497 A D) 403.

Values; are often moulded by environment 1701, certain values of Indian culture that have endured for three thousand years 1707.

Vāmācāra (vide under Tantra):
of two kinds, acc. to Pārānandasūtra (a Tāntrik work),
Madhyama (wherein all five
Makāras are employed) and
Uttama (in which wine, coitus
and mudrā alone are emplo-

' yed) 1054.

Vāmadevya Sāman, 726; is a means of śānti and is sung on Rg. IV. 31 1 (kayā nasoitra) · 726.

Vāmakešvara Tantra 1045.

Vāmanapurāna. 71, 110-11, 119, 143, 203, 564, 682n, 779n, 833-34 (says Matsya is chief among Purānas), 921 (adapts Rg. I. 10. 1), 922n, 945 (on ahimsā), 971, 1372, 1526n, 1582, date between 600-900 A. D., 905; note on, 904-905, short Purāna with 5451 verses in Venk. ed. and prose in chap. 26, 44, 93).

Vameas (lists of teachers and pupils) in Br Up II. 6 3 and VI. 5. 2-3 do not completely agree, 1373.

Vanaparva, 27, 90n, 92n, 110, - 118, 127, 129, 185, 202, 267, 497n, 539, 651n (time of starting of Krtayuga), 659, 686, 691-93, 743, 812n (on Kalısvarüpa), 821 (names Matsyapurāna and a purāna proclaimed by Vayu), 827 (close agreement with Yugapurana), 853n, 939n (giving up of trsnā), 994, 1006n, 1048, 1219, 1 1271n, 1357n, 1362n, 1372. 1385, 1416n, 1435n, 1436n (on functions of ten pranas), 1450, 1582, 1627n, 1634, 1469, 1636-7, 1642

Vankālakācārya, a. of work in Prakrit on astrology, 1645n.

Varadachari K. C., paper of, on Alwar's contributions to bhakti 954n.

Varadamudra, defined and lllus-

trated, 1131n.

Varāhamibira. (a. of Brhatsamhita, Brhaj-jātaka, Yogayātrā, Brhadyogayātrā, Pancasıddhantıka, Laghujataka, Tikkanıkā, and a few other works not yet published); 61n, 70, 150n, 465n, 479, 484, 519-20 (follows Gargya on motion of Saptarsis), 521, 530, 540, 545-7, 552, 558, 562, 591 (names many predecessors on astrology), 627, 634 (theory that a horoscope was like a plan), 638, 701, 711, 746-7, 765-773, 794, 1024, 1132, 1270n (importance of usages of common people), 1477 (on Arvabhata's view about earth revolving round itself); date of (about 500-550 A. D.) 484, 1645, 1653n (mentions authors of Vāstušāstra and image making, did not follow Firmicus or Manihus on Dreskanas 580, 582n; differences of. with Ptolemy, 575 and n, 589 (with Yavaneśvara), 574, 580 (on lords of the horas of the day), 586, frequently refers to the views of Yavanas and in some matters differs from them 563; probably identical with Buzurmihr, a minister of Nowshirwan of Persia 782.

Varāhapurāna: 31n, 44, 48, 54n, 56n, 77, 81, 98, 105n, 109n, 112, 118n, 119, 133, 145, 149, 155n, 178, 205, 238, 818n, 824n, 898 (mentions Bhavisya), 915-18, 920, 932, 949 (on pūrta), 973-974, 993, 1096n, 1132, 1384, 1596n;

date of, earlier than 10th century A. D. 904; has some chapters in prose and some in prose and verse 903, note on 903-904, refers to a Śaka king called Nandavardhana 901, supposed to have been narrated to the Earth by Visnu in Boar incarnation, 903-904, Vyāsa does not appear in this P, though Sūta figures in the beginning of several chapters 904

Vārāhītantra 172 Vararuci, as expert in Nātyaveda 990n

Vardhamāna 50n Vardhamāna-Nirvāņa Era 656. Vardhāpanavidhi (procedure of anniversary of birth of a child

or king) 403
vargottama, meaning of 584.

Varīvasyārahasya, 1063 (on 36 tattvas).

Varna system and caste system (vide under brāhmanas, Cāndālas, caste, jātis, moral qualities, social legislation, śūdra). origin and development of 1632 - 1643 , Bhagavadgītā (IV. 13 and XVIII. 42-44) asserts that the Varna system was based on qualities and actions and specifies the qualities and actions of the four varnas, most of which for brahmanas and ksatriyas are moral and spiritual 1635; how and why several thousands of castes and sub-castes arose only in India is an insoluble problem 1633, if Varna and caste system has broken down and become harmful and unpopular among people it may be discarded, but that cannot be done by force or mere legislation, but by strenuous work and education of all childhood 1641, intermarriages between the first three varnas were allowed even in the times of Yaj and a few other Smrtis 1265, 1632, 18 distinguished from jāti, but the two are confounded in Manu, 1633; it may be conceded that some centuries before the Purusasükta. Arvan community was divided into four groups, a similar division not being unnatural and being found in other countries 1632: no evidence to show that interand interdining marriages among the four varnas was prohibited in the Vedic age 1633: numerous artisans and craftsmen are mentioned in Väl. S., Kāthaka S. and Tal. Br., but there is nothing to show that they had become petrified castes, 1633, Varnas were only four and there was no fifth v 1633, word varna applied to aryas and dasas in Rgveda, they being hostile camps, 1632, words brāhmana and ksatriva frequently occur in Rg but the word varna is not expressly connected with them in it 1632

Varnasankara (mixture or confusion of castes) · 1634ff (had gone far in Mahābhārata times); criticism of caste system had arisen and people had begun to contend that it is qualities that make a man a brāhmana and not mere birth 1633.

Vārsagana, a Sānkhya teacher m. by Yuktidīpikā, 1355

Värsaganya of Paräsaragotra, m as teacher of Sänkhya in Säntiparva and Yogasü(rabhäsya and Bhämati 1374 and n.

Varsakıtyadîpikā 241n.

Varşakrıyākaumudī: 31n, 34, 37, 42n, 52n, 54n-5n, 57n, 66n, 68n, 72n-31n. 83n-5n, 90n, 93n, 97n, 99n, 103n, 100n, 110n, 111n, 116-6n, 118n, 120, 132n, 136n, 137n, 142n, 152-3, 161, 163n, 195n, 167n, 169n, 170n, 174n, 176n-178n, 180n-182n, 193, 126n. 198, 200-1n, 207n-8n, 212n-215n, 216 218n, 220n-22n, 226, 230n, 232, 241, 243n, 249n, 660, 1096, 1109n, 1122, 1124, 1125n.

Varsas, nine named by Visnu, Vāmana and Vāyū (with variations) and they state that the Varṣas were given to the nine sons of Agnīdhra, grandson of Svāyambhuva Manu, there being confusion about names of kings and varsas, 1524-25.

Vārtika, defined, 1182-83

Vārtikas (on Pānini) 68n, 130, 203n, 467, 667n, 720n, 735n, 820, 1032, 1156-57, 1169.

Vārtikālankāra, of Prajnākaragupta 472

Varuna, praised in 12 hymns of Regreda is called Samrāj oftener than even Indra praised in about 200 hymns, 8.

Varunapraghāsa, a Cāturmāsya rite, in which the sacrificer's wife had to confess or indicate if she ever had a lover 1592n.

Vārunī, 13th tithe of Cautra dark half with Satabhisak naksatra and is very holy 405.

Vasantarāja Šākuna (vide Upaśruti) : 792, 799, 801, 805, 869; account of the author 805n; authors mentioned by, 805n; based mainly on the Br. S. and Brhadyogayātrā 809; account of its contents 806-7: claims that it requires no expounder, no mathematics and if well studied reader acquires great rewards 801, date of, between 700-1100 A. D 805n; extends the meaning of Sakuna to include actions of men and beasts 808; five excellent animals for Sakunas are the podaki bird, dog, crow, pıngalā bırd and female jackal, that are presided over by certain desties 809, mentions fifty objects as auspicious signs when starting on a journey or entering a home and thirty objects that are manspicious, 778; more than half of it (viz. 781 verses) are devoted to sounds made by three birds and 372 are devoted to barking and movements of dogs and female jackals 808; most comprehensive work on Sakunas and contains 1525 verses, 806, prognostications based on the sound produced by house lizard (pallā or pallıkā) or its movements and its fall on the several limbs of a person 792, prognostications from throbbing of limbs, 800-801, silent about fall of palli 792n; states that 'Upaśruti' (unpromoted oracular voices of a child etc.) is most reliable and easily understood Śakuna 809-810.

Vasaniotsava 403-404.

Vāsavadattā of Subandhu 1009n, 1048.

Vasistha, sage, thought of committing suicide 1280, two births of, referred to in Rg. VII. 33, 1536-37.

Vasistha, a writer on Astrology, 61n, 134, 213n, 216, 593, 607, 621.

Vasistha Dharmasūtra 24n,527n, 733n, 782n, 876n, 914n, 930 937, 944-45, 1027, 1239n (on giving an only son in adoption), 1248n, 1335 (one sūtra on adoption interpreted in four ways), 1413n, 1416n, 1441-1442n, 1589n, 1596, 1638n (sale of milk by brāhmana condemned).

Vasistha Siddhānta, one of the five Siddhāntas and far from accurate, 514n, 663n.

Vasordhārā a Śāntı m by Taı S and early and late Dharmaśāstra works 737n

Vāstospati, is either Indra or Rudra 790n.

Västu (house) : see under 'house'.

Vāstu-šānti, also called Grhašānti or Vāstu-šamana, 790-91, sankalpa in, 790n, very elaborate in late digests 791.

Vāstušāstra, eighteen teachers of,

m by Matsyapurāna 623, 1653. Vāstuyāgatattva, 1133.

Vāsudeva: antiquity of the worship of 962, in some Purānas like Brahma and Visnu the word is not derived from Vasudeva, but from root 'Vas' to dwell 962.

Vāsudevaka, means one whose object of worship is Vāsudeva, acc. to Pānini 962.

Vasundharā, (vide under Vikrama) 56.

Vatakanıkā, a work of Varāhamıhıra, quoted ın Adbhuta – sāgara. 742n, 743

Vatasāvitrīvrata, 91-94, on Jyestha Full Moon, 91; fast for three days or some alternatives of nakta etc 94, called Mahāsāvitrīvrata by V K V. 92, must have been in vogue long before 10th century A D. 92, decision in case Full Moon tithi is viddhā 93: possible reason why worship of Vata tree comes in, 93, procedure of 93

Vāyavīyasamhītā 1108.

Väyupuräna, 29n, 90n. 99, 129, 133n, 147, 209-10, 473n, (on Kāla), 476 (units of time), 520n (about motion of Saptarsis), 540 (names of Muhūrtas), 649n (on beginning of Kaliyuga), 651n (beginning of Krtayuga), 657n, 667n, 678, 692-3, 696n, 698, 735, 774, 817n, 821n-2, 824n-5, 830n, (chap 104 of doubtful authenticity), 843, 845n, 846-48, 850-52, 853n, 854-5, 857-58n, 861-3, 915-16, 921 (adopts

' Upanisad passages), 931n. 932, 939n, 947, 974, 993n, 995-6, 1052n (q. by Kularnava), 1061n (on defects due to ignorant men practising yoga), 1152, 1182n, 1320n. , 1399n, 1419n (only five angas of yoga), 1438n. 1440n. 1456-7, 1526n, 1528, 1581, 1611. 1645n 1653n, divided like Brahmānda into four pādas, 905; first verse (Nārāyanam namaskrtva etc.) and 2nd eulogising Vyāsa not found in some mss. 905; Gayamahatmya chapters deemed to be later 905; has 112 chapters and 10991 verses in Anan. ed. 905: makes a passing reference to Gupta Dynasty and mentions rāsis 905-7; note on 906-7, one of the oldest and authoritative Puranas and contains much Dharmaśāstra material 905; Salva bias in several chapters but chap. 98 and Gayamahatmva are full of praise of Visnu 905; some verses quoted by Śankarācārya as from a Purana or Smrti are found in V. 906 and n.

Veda: (vide Mantras, Mahābhāsya, nāmadheya, Rgveda, Pūrvamīmāmsā), all Veda texts do not contain vidhis, numerous V. passages are commendatory, or condemn an act that is prohibited or refer to instances of persons performing the vidhi in the past or put forward to be a reason for the vidhi, these are not to be treated as unnecessary, but are

to be taken along with vidh passages to complete their full import 1243: arrangement mto Mandalas or Astakas or Kāndas is not claimed to be eternal, though the V. 1s eternal 839, 861, different interpretations of some mantras by Kumārila, Nirukta, Sabara. Mahābhāsya, Sāyana exemplified in the case of Rg. IV.58.3. 1255-56, divided into five categories viz. vidhi (hortatory), mantras. nämadheva (names like Udbhid). pratisedha or nisedha (prohibition) and arthavada (laudatory or explanatory passages) and examples of these 1098, 1225, divided into three parts, vidhi, arthavāda, mantra, (nāmadheya being placed under vidhi) 1225, the epic and Puranas say that the one eternal Veda was arranged into four parts by Vyāsa, but do not sav that they were distributed into mandalas or kāndas by Vyāsa 859 . eternal, self-existent, not composed by any human or divine author and infallible, acc. to P. M. S. 1202-1204; 15 constituted by Mantras and Brāhmana 1222; Mantras of the three Vedas are said by Manu to have been drawn from Agni, Vāyu and Sūrya lavs Manu Japa (muttering) of Vedio mantras of adhiyajña, adhidarva aud adhyātma 1181; many vedic texts are apparently in conflict with

Index 239

each other and with ordinary experience 1124; many verses of Veda had threefold applications viz. with reference to sacrifices (adhiyajña), adhidaiva or adhidaivata (with reference to desties) and adhyātma (with reference to metaphysical meaning) and illustrations 1181. Naivāvikas hold that God is the author of the Veda and the Vedāntasūtra holds same view 1202, necessity of knowing four matters about mantra viz the rsi, the metre, the deity and application, emphasized by Ait Br. Chan Up and Smitis and evil consequences of not knowing these 859-860, passages in Smitis and Puranas stating that Brahmā or Prajāpati created the Veda are laudatory and not to be taken literally 1202, promulgated for Yajña 1184, proposition of some smrtis that wealth is for Yajña, which is strenuously opposed by the Mıtāksarā 1184, Śabara lays down that one that wants to perform a vedic sacrifice must have memorized the portion of Veda relating to the sacrifice and must also understand its meaning 1181, Schools Veda interpretation, such as Aıtihāsıkas refer to Devāpi and his brother Santanu (Rg. X 985 and 7), Yama and Yami (in Rg X IO) Viśvamitra and therivers . Rg. X 33) as historical personages,

but the Narruktas explained them differently 1203; six angas (auxiliary lores) of, enumerated in Mundakopanisad and Ap Dh.S., 478, Smrtis sometimes say that there is no author of Veda, that Brahmā remembers it 1203, some Mantras like 'catvāri singā' are laudatory of Yaga 1255-56. smrtis like those Gautama. Manu, Yāj, down the periods for which one should study (i.e. memorize) V.1180, study of V. has five aspects viz memorizing it. reflection over it, constant repetition of it, japa of it and imparting to pupils 1181-82; substantial contribution exegesis of Veda by P. M S., Śabara and Kumārila, 1218, Svādhyāya and pravacana of V. constitute Tapas, teaching Veda, demanding fee for, was and is condemned even now but teacher could accept fees paid at end of studenthood by pupil or somebody else for him. 1638; that many Veda texts are lost or are unavailable is stated so early as Ap. Dh. S. 1259; theory that Veda is eternal and of absolute authority has led to some undesirable tendencies, viz. propounders of newfangled doctrines claim they have Vedic authority, examples cited 1218-19, that words of the Veda have the same meanings that words in popular Sanskrit have is the view of

P. M. S., Sabara and other mīmāmsā writers 1276; words like Babara Prāvāhani. Pramaganda which the opponents of eternality of the Veda put forward as showing that they refer to ancient human beings are explained away as having other meanings 1203, 1224n, 1256; words like 'jarbharī turpharitu' (Rg. X, 106.6), Kāņukā (Rg. VIII. 77. 4), that are argued by some as having no sense are explicable with the help of Nirukta and grammar 1256.

Vedāngas (six) are declared by Kumārila to be useful as Kratvartha and Puruṣārtha 1261, 1274-76.

Vedānga Jyotisa 498, 499n, 505n, 519, 538, 559 (Yājuṣa), 591, 646, 657, 659, 662 (Yājusa), 663, 681 (Ātharvaṇa), 1181, 1184; date of 505n.

Vedanta: (vide under 'Brahman, Deussen, Moksa, soul, Upanisads); Deussen's theory that kşatriyas were the original cheirshers of V. thought, brāhmanas, stated and not doctrine criticized 577-86: of the non-difference of the individual soul and even of world from the inanimate the First Principle or Esseof the most nce is one characteristic features of Hin-India's greatest duism and contribution to the spiritual development of man 1625, 1631; expresses advasta in such sentences as 'aham brahmāsmi'; 'tat-tvam-asi' 1482. first and foremost aspect of V. was that Atman is the only reality and that Atman can be only described in the words 'neti neti', but it clashed with the popular idea that a real world existed apart from the creator 1498; in its highest form V. is the best support for pure morality for all individuals, the greatest consolation in the sufferings of life and death 1479, 1625, in medie val and later times by the side of sublime metaphysical tenets, there was lack of solidarity of all common people, lack of efforts to reduce poverty and dominance of brutal and alien invaders 1479; persons im bued with the true spirit of advaita Vedanta like Ramanamaharshi are found in India even in these days 1479n; tendency to appeal to the past, to believe that what has been is the best has been very strong in India throughout several centuries, 1479, 1707n (Advaita V. has influenced great modern minds in the West).

Vedāntasāra 1450.

Vedāntasūtra,24n,731n,732n,775,
779n, 780, 824-5, 860n, 866,
906, 921n, 944n, 953-56, 959,
1032-33n, 1045, 1063n, 1155n,
1158-9, 1167-8, 1202, 1211,
1218, 1255, 1273n, 1352,
1257n, 1359n, 1362-63, 1365,
1388, 1399, 1400n, 1403n,
1434n, 1446(n), 1466, 1468,

1469n, 1471, 1484-5, 1488n, 1500, 1503, 1505. 1499n. 1507-10, 1516n, 1541, 1542n, 1550, 1554, 1558-60, 1562-4, 1566-67, 1579 (on Vaisva-1580n, 1583, 1588, nara). 1600n, 1602n, 1625 (refers to Atharvaveda Brahmasükta). 1642, authors mentioned by V. S alone and not by PMS are Audulomi, and Kāśakrtsna 1173; author of, knew Yoga doctrines, same as some sankhya doctrine. Samādhi but did not know the present Yogasütra 1390-91. Bhāskara. Pancapādikā, Śankarācārya and Yāmunācārya held that Bādarāvnaa is the a of V.S, while Ramanuja appears to mention Vyāsa Pārāšarya and hoth Bādarāyana as authors 1165-66: declares that samsara is anādı 1567: mentions Jaimini's views eleven times, in six of which there is no corresponding sütra or view in P. M. S and Jaimini probably wrote a work on Vedānta also. 1167, mentions Bādarāyana nine times, most of the views of B being opposed to Jaimini's or at least slightly different 1167-68, problem of the authorship of V. S is complicated but there were two Badarāyanas, 1165-1169, problem of the eight sutras in which the words 'taduktam' occur, discussed, 1176, Śāntiparva verses quoted as Smrti by Sankarācārya for supporting the views of V. S 1166n; Smiti mentioned in many sutras of V. S is the Gita acc. to Sankarācārya and almost all ācāryas 1172, some sutras of, differentiate between Jīva and Paramātman, while some others speak of non-difference between the two 1567; V S very much presupposes the P M. S, which does not appear to have been influenced by V. S. 1176.

Vedārtha-sangraha of Rāmānuja, 880.

Vedha: of tithis, explained 72-73; V. in eclipses 250

Vedic Age, chronology of, uncertain 513.

 ∇ edic Interpretation under 'Autobindo', 'Kapali sastry'), by Sri Aurobindo of 230 verses in all from Mandalas I, II and VI and of 60 hymns in another work briefly examined, criticized and mistaken notions pointed out, 985-991, Nirukta mentions seventeen individual predecessors on V I, who differ from it and also among themselves 984, several schools of. such as Aitihāsikas, Naidānas, Nairuktas, Parivrājakas existed even before the Nirukta 984, 1203-4; some examples on which Aitihāsika school would rely are Nāsatyau, Vrtra, Purūravas and Urvasī, Saramā and Panis, which would show that the Aitihāsikas did not believe in the self-existence and eternality of the Veda 1204, the most sublime

and fundamental thought of the Rgveda (I. 164. 46 and X.129.2, VIII. 58. 2) that there is only one Spirit behind the various gods, that originally there was only that one which became all this, is embodied in words, about which there is no secrecy and which can be understood by any one who knows a little Sanskrit 987, about word 'Samvatsara' in regard to the Sattra called 'Vıśvasrjāmayana' said to last for a thousand years Jaimini (P. M. S. VI. 7. 31-40) boldly asserts that here it means a day, relying on Rg. J. 89, 9 and Tai. Br. I.7.6.2 (satāyuh purusah), 1321.

Vedic Texts, the repetition of which or home offered with which was deemed to purify a person are set out in Vasistha Dh. S. (28. 10-15), 733n,

Velankar Prof. H. D. . explanation of Rg. X 72 (aditer dakso ajāyata) by, demurred to 1488n.

Vena, called Gandharva in Rgveda and may be Venus 494n.

Vendidad: Parsi scripture mentions 'Hapta Hindu' (Sapta-Sindhu) 1613u.

Venīsamhāra 775n, 779n.

Venkatanātha or Venkatadešika (1269-1369 A. D.) a. of Sešvaramīmāmsā 1209, vide under Devatā.

Venkatasubbiah, Shri, avers that thirty works cited by Madhva occur nowhere else 1219.

Verbal forms : two kinds of, the

first of which only conveys that the agent only exists (as in 'asti'), the second of which conveys not only the existence of the agent but also some activity of the agent (as in yajati), that is, in these latter the sense of 'karoti' (he does) is also understood, 1237.

Vernal Equinox. deemed to have occurred on Asvini-naksatra about Saka 444 but now it has receded to Uttarābhādrapadā 712, was in Krtiikās about 2300 B C, 497n, 508; was in divisional sign Aries about 200 B. C, 601.

Verses identical in several Pūrānas 853, 945n, identical in Brahma and Visnu Purānas, 929, 1046n; identical in Matsya and Brahmānda 945n, identical in Visnu and Padma 966n, identical in Visnu and Varāha Purānas 931n

Vešī . rāši, when so called 584.

Vidhi (or Vidhis), (vide under Bhāvanā, Niyama and Parisankhyā). V apply to all Āryas 1281; (Vidhi) is an exhortation that is meaningful on account of enjoining a matter that has a useful purpose and that prescribes semething which does not follow (1s not established by) from any other authority 1225-26; is laid down by a verbal form or potential passive participle in 'ya' or 'tavya' 1225n; is ordinarily couched in the optative form (vidhilin) and a verb in the present tense cannot ordinarily be taken as laying down a V. 1226, only vidhis so called have absolute authority, while Arthavādas have authority in so for as they praise Vidhis 1283, one classification of vidhis is into four, 1228, sometimes a vidhi may be inferred even from a verb in the present tense (illustrated) 1226-7, the central element in v. is the verb or verbal form expressed as 'one shall do', 'it shall be be done', 'it ought to be done' 1226; the employment of words like 'hi' or 'vai' is not generally allowed in a V. 1227n, text about rātrisattra. though in the present tense is, construed as a v 1227, threefold classification of v. into apūrvavidhi (as in svargakāmo yajeta), niyamavidhi (as in 'he pounds rice') and Parisankhyā 1229, two-fold classification of vidhis into Purusārtha and Kiatvartha 1232-1234, where a rite has already been laid down all that can be said as laid down about it later is to enjoin an accessary (guna, a detail), 1226; where a vidhi is already, established a separate vidli is required for each of the auxiliary matters relating to it I295n.

Vidhyādi, such texts as 'Darsapūrnamāsābhyām yajeta' are called V. 1323

Vidhyanta, is the whole procedure of Darsapürnamäsa except the originative injunction (Darsa-

yajeta) detailed in the Brāhmann texts about the offering of purodāša (cake) 1323n. Vidura, though a šūdra, posse-

Vidura, though a sūdra, possessed brahmajnāna 921, and secured moksa 1642.

Vidyāmādhavīya, (also called Muhūrtadarsana) 539.

Vidyāpati, a great writer of Mithilā, composed devotional songs of Visnu, Śaivasarvasvasāra, Durgābhaktitaranginī and also a tāntrik work 1076, the first verse of the Purusaparīksā of V. invokes Ādi-Śakti 1076

Vidyās fourteen V. set out in Yāj and others 820, 926, 1152, 1263, fourteen known to Kālidāsa 1152n, eighteen acc to some (including Upavedas), 820, 926n, Ghurye, Dr. G. S. work of, on 1152n, sources of, are 14 but Bauddha works are not included as sources of dharma or vidyās 1152, 1263.

Vidyāsthāna, (branch of knowledge) the word occurs in Nirukta 1276n, vide under 'Vidyās'.

Vidyāsūkta 1033n, 1461.

Vihavya-anuvāka, 786.

Vijaya, time and Muhūrta so called, 189, 406.

Vijayādaśamī (vide under 'Dasarā') chief observances of 190, in place of Śamī, another tree called 'Apṭā' is worshipped in Mahārāṣtra 192; on 10th of Āśvina bright half, 188; one of the three most auspicious days in the year, 189; Rāma is said to have marched

against Lankā on this day, 192, time for, when 10th tithi is mixed with 9th or 11th tithi 188; time for celebrating it is afternoon or pradosa 188, time for new undertakings even though the moon or some other planets be not favourable 189.

Vijnānabhiksu (about 1550 A. D.) a. of Sānkhyapiavacanabhāsya 976, 1355

Vijfiānadīpikā, of Padmapāda, (in 71 verses): 1574n (on three kinds of Karma), 1588, 1599.

Vikalpa (option) or Vikalpas 1249-1252 (vide under sastra, smrtis), defined by Gautama, Jan, Sabara and Manu 1154, eight faults of V apply only to V. based on reasoning, 1252. is liable to eight faults and should be avoided and resort should be had to paryudasa or arthavāda 1250, 1252, may be a vyavasthita (i. e restricted to a certain state of circums tances) or 'avyavasthita (not so restricted) and examples ٧. are grouped 1251-52, with three heads under 1250-51; where examples, irreconcilable two texts are the two there is V. as in about Atıratra sentences 1249-50.

71krama became lord of the world in Kalıyuga by vratas 55; whose daughter Vasundharā of Dasārna country attained moksa by vratas 56.

Vıkramāditya VI, of Calukya

dynasty, Inscription in 1098 A. D. about school for teaching Prabhākara's Mīmānisā doctrines 1192

Vikramāditya. doubts about the existence of a king called V. about 57 B. C 650-51, Emperor Chandragupta II was styled V on his coins 901; era of 650-53, papers on 650n, 651.

Vikramāditya commemoration volume 650n

Vikramorvasiya, a drama by Kālidāsa 46, 900-901 (close agreement between Matsyapurāna and V on the incidents in the story).

Vinaya Texts 1070

Vinā, akašānti, also called Ganapatipūjā 748, performed at the beginning of all Samskāras, such as Upanayana and marniage and for aveiting or mitigating effects of portents or on a Sapinda's death 748-749, performed also on Thursday or on certain auspicious nakṣatras 748, Sankalpa for, 748n; to be performed in ordinary fire 757

Vindhyavāsa or-vāsin; identified with Isvarakrsna by Takakusu and Keith but Abhinavagupta distinguished between the two 1376-77, also called Rudrila 1376.

Viniyogavidhi conveys the relation between the principal act (angin or sesin) and its subsidiaries (angas or sesin) 1307; is the concern of 3rd chap, of P. M. S. 1307, 1309, six means of determining what is principal and what are subsidiaries and the relative strength of the latter when there is a doubt or a conflict, each succeeding one being weaker than each preceding one, and there being 15 probable cases of conflict among these six and a few illustrations 1309–1311

Vinoba Bhave and Bhümidāna targets 1683.

Vīpās (river in Punjab, modern Beas), 537n.

Viramitrodaya of Mitramiśra, 835n, 1192 (on Vyavahāra), on āhnika 42n

Vīrāsana, a posture in Krcchra and Aghamarsana vratas 415, a yogic āsana 1425—26n

Virātaparva 179, 185n, 192n, 506n, 663, 743, 821, 1570n, 1636.

Visa-ghatī or Visanādī, 736n, birth of a child on V is portent of death of father or mother or loss of wealth or of the child 736n, a particular ghati of each naksatra is so called acc. to Madanaratna 736n

Visna · (vide under Avatāras, Šāstras) · appears to be a name of the Sun in the Rgveda and came to be regarded as Highest God in Brāhmana times 957, Bhavisyapurāna says that V begins to sleep in the first quarter of Anurādhā naksatra, turns on another side in the middle of Śravana and wakes up in the last quarter of Revatī 110n, day of, is equal to whole hife of Brahmā 691, different

tithis (11th, 12th, 15th) for Visnu's going to sleep in different authorities 110-1: deluded people and asuras by producing Māyāmoha frem his body that led them away from the Vedic path 974-975, how śavana (sleeping) and prabodha (awakening) of V are to be celebrated, 112; identified with sacrifice (yajña) 957, Meghadūta and Vanaparva mention the sleeping of Visna on the snake Sesa and waking up 110, 127, one thousand eight names of V. set out in Anusasanaparva, chap 149 and Garudapurāna I 15 1-160, 977n, 780n; possible explanations of sleep of Visnu for four months 109, steps called V. in sacrifices 191, was supposed to go to sleep on night of 11th of Āsādha bright half and to awake in the day on 11th of Kārtika bright half 109-10, 414, was supposed to turn from one side to the other in his sleep on Bhādrapada bright half 11th tithi (which was therefore called Parivartini 109; worship of V in three different ways, Vaidika, Tantrika or Miśra 924, woisbip of, în six mediums 1121n, 1649; worship of, may be performed on Śālāgrāma stone, on a jewel, yantra, mandala, ımage, or in a temple, acc to Padmapurana I136.

up in the last quarter of Kevati Visnucandia, writer on Astro-110n, day of, is equal to whole logy q by Utpala 587n. Visnucatti, com. on Visnupurāna, 832, 907,

isnudharma, an Upapurāna; 873-876, 909-10; Hazra relies chiefly upon a single ms. in Bengal 873; Hazra dates it between 200-300 A. D 872; Hazra's interpretation of two verses from Visnudharmottara is wrong, 874 and n.

Visqudharmasūtra: 48, 51, 58, 89, 116, 218, 221, 249, 527, 681, 687, 691, 757, 782n, 817n, 825, 863, 931n, 934, 1024, 1027, 1243, 1248n, 1270n (similar to Yāj I 156), 1326, 1413n, 1416n, 1422n, 1441, 1448, 1533-34, 1590, 1596, 1648 (same as Manu), 1709n,

Visnudbarmottara, an Upapurāna · 57, 68n, 69n, 73, 90n, 91, 104n, 112n, 115n, 120, 129n, 135n, 137n, 169, 201n, 204n, 219-20, 250, 473 (on Kāla), 476, 477p, 529-31, 534, 541, 544, 624, 657, 659-60, 663, 682, 734 (chapters on Santis), 748, 751-52, 754, 768n (has verses common to Matsya and Br. S. \, 774, 776n, 778 (same verse 28 Matsya), 779n, 793, 798-99, 801, 803-5, 857n, 874n, 920, 923 (king's coronation with Vedic and Paurānika mantras), 973n, 1033, 1099n, (Gäyatrī verse used in black magic), 1128 (in chap 32 it speaks of mudrābastas and 10 33 of over 100 Sämänyamudräs nettasāstramudrās). called 1129, 1243, 1422, 1438, 1439n-1653: 1443n, 1455, 1649,

Apararka quotes only 7 times and about 25 verses only. 881: borrows from works of Varahamilira 878, date of, acc. to Hazra, is 400-500 A. D. 876: date of, after 600 AD, and before 1000 AD, 910; has Täntrik elements 876n. Kālikāpurāna refers to it 910. 1033; note on 876-78, 910; questions whether the Mudras religious worship derived from the postures (karanas), recahas (gestures) and 32 angahāras (movements of limbs) in Bharata's Natyasastra (chap. 4, 8, 9) cannot at present be finally decided 1128-29.

V₁snugupia, a. on astrology 593-4.

Visnupadī-Sankrāntis 213, 413; punyakāla is 32 ghatīs 215.

Visnupancaka, last five days of Kārtika are so called, 412-13. Visnupurāna: 79n, 112, 118n,129, 147, 150n, 197, 205n, 221, 473n, 474n, 511n, 520n, 571n, 649, 651n, 678, 687, 689-92, 694, 698, 735n, 743n, (mentions Garga), 817n, 820, 824n, 825 (a verse of V. quoted as Sankarbhasya), by Smiti 845n (period of time between Pariksit and coronation of Nanda), 852, 853n, 857, 861, 907-909, 915 (story of Pururayas and Urvasi), 916, 921 (adopts Upaniend passages), 926n (18 vidyāsthānas), 928-29, 919, 957n-59, 961 (on Prahlada), 962-63, 969n, 971, 996, 1016-47, 1152n, 1222n,

account of the (confused šākhās of Sāmaveda), 1270n (on discarding even Veduc practices), 1312n (defines 'svarga' as manahni īti), 1362n. 1382. 1391 (quotes verses of Hiranyagarbha), 1399, 1404 (on propounders of Yogaśāstra), 1417n, 1421, 1440, 1444 on pratyāhāra), 1446, 1448 (agrees with Y Bhāsya closely), 1450, 1455, 1457 (on Yogi-caryā), 1522, 1526n, 1528, 1596n, closely agrees with definition Pañcalaksana. 907, called Vaisnavākūtacandrikā of Ratnagarbha and another called Visnucitti deal with a V. of 6000 verses only 832-33. 868n, Dānasāgara knew a V. 23000 verses which it discards 868n-69, 909, extant Visnu P. composed between 300 to 500 AD 909, is full of characteristic qualities of Vaisnavas 874n . narrates how and why Vyasa declared how Kalıyuga, Śūdra and women were blessed 928-929, Sānkhya 1382, present text divided into six amsas, chapters and has about 6000 verses 907 : Rāmānuja in his Vedārthasangraha quotes more than 100 verses from V, regards V. as of supreme nathority over other Puranas 880, 957n ; Śākya, Śuddhodana (as 23rd in descent from Brhadbala and Rāhula mentioned by V.) 909, several works state the number of

slokas in V. to be different from 6000 to 24000, 832-33; some verses identical with Brahmända and Brahma and other Purānas 908, 963n, 1516n; teaches doctrine of complete advaita and of niskāma-karma 908, translated by H. H. Wilson 883, 909; Vācaspati names it in com. on Yogabhāsya 909, Vyāsa does not play a prominent part in V. and Sūta does not appear as narrator at all 909.

Visnurahasya 49n, 115n, 869 (a mere compilation, acc to Dānasāgara)

Visnusamhitā, elaborate treatment of dīksā in, 1117, 1123, 1125 and n (on Mudrās appropriate to several gods and names about 30)

Visnuśrnkhala-yoga 414-15.

Visti.7th mobile Karana, 411-12, 709-710, appears to have inspired great terror among medieval Dharmasastra writers 709-10, astrologers raised it to the status of an ill-omened Demoness. 411; euphemistically called Bhadra or Kalyani 412, 700; diagram showing how Visti occurs eight times in a month 709, fast on it. 411; mauspicious, except in its tail, last three ghatikas 710, supposed to have the form of a snake divided into mouth, neck. chest, navel, waist and tail. all being inauspicious except tail and each being assigned differing ghatikās 710.

Visuva Sankrāntis 213

Vigurat day (when day and night were of equal length, known in the Brahmara period and was in the middle of the sacrificial year. 511; Divakitya-sama, to be sung on 511n.

Visrabbāratī, quarterly, Vol. II paper on 'China's debt to India' 1618n.

Višvādarša 102.

Vistāmitra: and the rivers 537, 1203; and Sunahsepa 958n: famished V. wanted to eat dog's tail 1470; priest of Trisabku who had become a cāndāla 1280.

Viscamitrasmiti 218.

Visvajit (sacrifice) 1312-13; in ; V. the sacrificer had to donate everything he owned at the time of giving daksira in, 1312-1313; Jaimini derotes fourteen adhikaranas to, 1313; some of the important propositions are that the sacrificer cannot donate his relatives, that even the emperor cannot donate the whole kingdom, fūdra who serves a man of the three higher castes because it is his duty to do so acc. to Dharmafastra, cannot be donated 1257; Svarga is the reward of V. though the Veda does not expressly mention any reward 1312.

Viśrakarman: fashioned the two worlds (Rg. X. S1 and S2) 1488.

Vrivarūpa: com. Bālakrīdī on Yāj. by 224, 825-26, 1157n, 1181 (explains Yāj. I. 51) 1259n (quo'es Tantravūrtika verse without name), 1270n, 1273n, 1251n, 1294 (frāddha primarily means 'pinladāna' and not feeding brāhmanas, 1309, 1425n; not identical with Mandana 1194; quotes a half verse from Yogi-Yajūavalkya 1407; same as Surefvara, the latter being his name on resorting to Sannyūsa 1194.

Vithobā of Pandharpur; Dāmāji, his devotee 951

Vivāda-cintāmaņi 1303.

Vivādaratnākara 1303, 1320

Vivāhapatala of Varāhamihira 479n

Vivekānanda, Swami; criticized by Shri Kuvalayānanda about his lectures on rājayoga, 1444; criticism of later Buddhism by 1030; on Rājayoga 1393-94; warns that Yoga can be practised with safety by direct contact with teacher 1441-12; 1709 (angrily says modern Hinduism is 'don't touchism,', works of, 1622n.

Vodhu, a Sārkhya philosopher 1372 and n

Vogel J. Ph, auti or of 'Indian serpent lore' 127, 275.

Vogt, V. O. a of 'cult and culture': condemns the unbending arrogance of Modems and Christian missionarics 1012n.

Vovs' five great, among Jafras 25; found in all religions 25 Vrata: (see under 'Diletts, homa fast, morning, snatela, tithi, plrama, udjapan:), actions to be given up during

vrata such as cheming tambula, anointing with oil 49, adhikārin for V 51-55, another vrata should not be begun till the first as finished 121 V. called Ksurapavı 25, circumstances that do not break a vrata 48-49, classification of, three (bodily, mental, vocal) or based on the period taken 56, comprehends many items such as bath, sandhyā, sankalpa, boma, pūjā, fast, feeding of brāhmanas, maidens and the poor, gifts and observances of rules of conduct 31. death in the midst of performing V does not deprive performer of merit 48, derivation and meaning of, according to St Petersburg dictionary from root Vr 'to choose' 1, derivation of and meaning of acc to Max-Muller from ∇_1 protect, 1 acc Whitney to from 'vrt' to proceed, 1, v acc to Prof Apte from 'vrt' 2, 4, v acc to author from vr (to choose) 2, 4, described in Purānas but did not occupy a high place in ancient smitis 43, difference between Vedic sacrifices and V 45, difficult to demarcate v and utsava 57. different definitions of, in Sanskrit works, such as Śābarabhāsya, Medhātithi, Mitāksarā, Raghunandana 28-31, employed with verbs of motion like '1' to and 'car' 6-7, exact determination as to the day on which a tithivrata is to be performed when tithi extends over

two days occupies much space ın Dharmasastra works 62; extravagant praise of the efficacy of v. for weaning away common people from Buddhism 46, flesh to be excluded in 41; flowers, fragrant substances. incense, the food to be offered to destres in, 37-38, food to be given not only to brāhmanas but also to the blind, the poor and helpless 39-40, food proper or improper for subsistence in 41-42; for completion of vrata, performer should donate gold and cows, but if one has nothing, the words of a brahmana that vrata is completed are enough 121, general rule that Sankalpa of a vrata to be made in the morning 32; giving up vrata through carelessness or other cause except death, entails dire consequences 47-48, gods, sages, siddhas attained highest perfection by V. 56, how to set about a vrata 81, if no sankalpa made about v. performer loses half the ment 82; immense literature on 57-58; in all vratas the pāranā is in the morning 121; in the medieval sense existed from before Ap. Dh S. and the dramas of Kālidasa 46; V In the Rgveda pp 1-21, in Vedic literature. sūtias and Smrtis 22-29; is the one supreme observance for man and other observances do not come up even to onesixteenth part of what wrata yields, 56, largest number of.

are tithivratas 56: lavish expenditure recommended by Puranas in, 40, matters that do not affect a vrata, particularly where performer is a woman, child or one in extreme pain, 49, mleochas authorised to perform 54. meaning in which it is used in this section of H. of Dh. 28; meaning of, in Yājñavalkya Smiti 123; mean ngs of, in all passages of Rgveda are command or ordinance, religious or moral practices or worship or vows 8, 11; meaning of 'command' or law' quite appropriate in more than half the Rgveda passages where the word occurs. II: · meaning of 'mode of worship or ethical practices' in words like 'avrata, apavrata', 'anyavrata', 11-12, 22, meaning of 'sacred yow or observance' 18; meaning of came to be restricted to 'sacred vows' and 'rules of conduct, 116, meaning of, as compared with rta and dharma 20-2; meanings of, two, generally in Sathitas other than Rgveda, in Brahmanas and Upanisads 23, meanings of two, secondary in Brāhmaņa times viz proper pattern of conduct' and 'Upavāsa' 25, meaning of in Mahābhārata 27, meaning of, from first centuries of Christian era is 'a religious undertaking or vow observed on a certain tithi or day for securing some object by the worship of

a deity accompanied by restrictions as to food and behaviour' 28: not to be undertaken in the midst of asauca 48, number of vratas was small in the first centuries A D 46; V. word occurs about 220 times in Rgveda, 4, objects to be secured by vrata are numerous such as punya, progeny. health, pleasures, heaven and even moksa 55, observances for one undergoing a vrata acc to Tai S. 23 and acc. to Tai. A. 25n. observances for a king who has performed 'Brahmanah Parimarah 25: ohservances for newly married pair 27, of brahmacarın 45; offering in, to be clarified butter in the absence of specific provision, 49-50, persons of all castes (including śūdras) entitled to perform V 51; preliminaries before undertaking vrata ace to some, such as Vrddhı-śrāddha 31-32; Pratinıdhı (representative) such as son or wife may perform V. for one unable personally to perform owing to disease, accident etc. 53, 54, Rajamārtanda, the earliest extant nibandha so far known is work on V. 58; rite that concludes a vrata 15 called Udyāpana, pārana or pāraņā 120; rule of Harita, that in every v. in which worship enters midday is the proper time 151; Sankalpa in V. to be made in the morning 81; Sankalpa of v, formula of 81; some rules

about times when to begin v 61-62; some vratas not to be begun when Jupiter and Venus are invisible or during some days before and after their setting 61, this volume speaks only of such Vratas as are mentioned in works on Dharmasastra and does not attempt to include all vratas performed by usage 59-60, to be performed in the evening or night have to be performed on the tithi existing in the evening or night even though it may be mixed with another tithi 72; Udyāpana, absence of, renders vrata fruitless 121, verbal forms of root 'mi' or 'mī' often used in Rgveda in relation to, 10, virtues to be cultivated by one undergoing V 41; what acts to be avoided by one observing V 42-13, women are entitled to perform V but women performing vrata without consent of husband, father or son, reap no benefits 51, woman or maiden who is impure (in monthly illness or the like) should get her vrata performed : or finished through another but may perform bodily acts (like fast) herself 49, 53. words 'dhrtavrata' (18 times), mahivrata (5 times), sucivrata (five times) vivrata (7 times) occur in Rg and help in settling meaning of 'vrata' 12-17; word 'vrsavrata' explained 18-19, word 'vrafāni' explained 17-18; word 'vratapa', meaning of 18, 22 w rks on,

relied on in this volume arranged chronologically as far as possible 59.

Vratas · exhaustive list of 255-462; kinds of, viz. expiatory or voluntary 28, most of, are Kāmya (for securing some object in this life or next life or both 55; most v. are for both men and women 51; V. of gods violated by human beings that are punished by gods for this 9; passages where the words 'tava vrate' occur 12-15: regarded as tapas or mivame also 28: several V prescribed for women alone 51; spoken of, not only in relation to Agni. Indra, Mitra, Soma, Savitr. Uşas and Ādityas, but also in relation to Varuna, Brahmanaspati, Aditi. Aśvins, Parjanya, 7-8; some V. performed at fixed times or tithis 60; some seasonal v. and some festivals like Rāmanavamī and some like Sāvitrīvrata and Yamadvitīyā should be kept up even in these days 54-55; spoken of as dhruva (immutably fixed) and adabdha (unassailable) in Rgveda, 8, spoken of as not violated by other gods 8-9: supposed to have been declared by divinities like Siva, Krana or by great sages 254; topic of, inextricably mixed up with Kāla and tithi, two meanings of, in Brahmanas, Upanisads. Nirukta, viz religious obser vances or vows and special food prescribed for one engaged in a religious rite. 23; vratas of

the type described in Purānas land attained no prominence in times of Yājñavalkya-smiti -123, V. which Vedic students had to undergo 27.

Vratakālavīveka 30n-33n, 47n-49n, 51n, 66n, 75-77n, 85n, 92, 126, 214n.

Yratakośa, edited by M. M Gopinath Kaviraja, listsl 622 entries but lists unduly swollen as pointed out 47.

Vrataprakāša 30, 55n, 92, 106n. 111, 112n, 122

Vratarāja 34, 38n; 53n, 61n, 62, 82, 86, 89, 91, 95, 135, 144-6, 150, 179, 183n, 188, 195n, 208, 234-5

Vratatattva 31n, 32n, 47n-49n Vratārka 30n, 34, 43, 51, 54, 61n, 86, 88, 93, 122, 127, 144, 150, 153.

Vrātyas in Atharvaveda 1387. Vrddha-Atri 914.

Vrddha-Gārgya 77n, 607n.

Vrddha-Gautama 250n.

Vrddha-Garga, 520, different from Garga 592 (25 verses quoted by Utpala), 741n, 742n, 765 (on comets and eclipses), 793 (on Pusyasnāna).

Vrídha-Hārīta 817n, 855n, 924n, 963n, 965n, 976n, 1046, 1096n

Vrddha-Manu 196n, 706n

Vıddha-Satatapa 79n, 116n.

Vrddha-Vasistha 213n, 215n, 220n

Vrddha-Vasistha Siddhanta 478-

Vrddha-Yājñavalkya 73n.

Vrddha-Yavanajātaka of Mīna-

rāja 563, 576 (why two svagrhas for each of five planets), 584, 589n (countries of birth of planets)

Vries, paper of, on 'Purāna studies' in Pavry Commemoration Vol. 843

Visotsarga (letting loose a bull); on 11th day after a person's death or on Full Moon of Kārtika or Caitra once in three years on Revatī 416

Vrt, root, meaning of, without Upasargas or with 2-3.

Vrita, meaning of, 19

Vrttikāra, commentator of PMS, often mentioned by Sabara and styled 'bhagavān', from sometimes differs whom S. 1186-87, 1221, enumeration of characteristics of Mantras by V 1222, enumeration of the characteristics of Brahmana texts 1334, held to be identical with Upavarsa by Prof Kuppuswamı and Pandit V. A Ramswami and not so by Dr. S K. Iyengar and the present author 1186n, 1187n, 1197

Vyādi, m by Kātyāyana Vārtika kāra 1157.

Vyaghra, Smrti of 78n.

Vyāhrtis (three or seven mystic syllables such as bhūh, bhuvah etc.) 787, 1099n; denote Lokas acc. to Tai. Br. and Tai Up 1528n.

Vyāhrti-homa, described 33n. Vyās, K B 651.

Vyāsa 116-117, 126n, 243,606, 1421n

Vyāsa, son of Parāśara and

called Krsna Dvaipāyana also 857, as he was born on an island and was dark in complecompiled and 1161, arranged the one Veda into four parts and imparted them to four disciples acc. to Adıparva and Puranas 857, 1161, composed Purānasamhitā from tales, episodes, gathas etc 858, Mahābhārata composed education of women and śūdras 1642, deemed to be mearnation of Visnu by Manu, Puranas, but of Brahmā in Vāyu and of Siva in Kürma 857 and n, fifth disciple of V. was Sûta Romaharsana to whom V. imparted Itihāsa-Purāna, Santa narrated whose son Mahabharata to Saunaka and others in Naimisa forest 857, legends about 857, names of 27 Vyāsas in Kūrma and Vāyu, while Visnu and Brahmanda cite 28 names of 28 Vyāsas of Dvāpara in Vaivasvata Manvantara 857-58, not a gotra name, while Jaimini, Bādari and Bādaiāyana are gotra names 1174, Pārāšarya is only one of the three pravaras of the group of Parāsaras 1174, son of V. was called Varyasakı. acc to Vārtika and means Šuka, ace to Mahābhāsya 1169, Sumantu, Jaimini, Vaisampāyana and Paila are mentioned ın Asv Gr along with Bharatamahabharatadharmacai yas', 1161n, Vedic literature is sılent about Vyāsa Pārāsarya except in Samavidhana Br

and Tai Ār 859, Vyāsa or Vyāsa Pārāśarya is not mentioned by name either in P.M.S. or V. S 1173, Vyāsas were born whenever Dharma and Veda declined 857.

Vyatīpāta, a Yoga, variously defined 419, 706, name occurs in Yāj. and Harsacarita 705, thirteen V. in a year on which Śrāddha may be performed 705

V vavahāramavükha 51n. 162n, 1002, 1192, 1224 (on 'nāntarīkse na divi'), 1242 (Devala explained), 1286-87, 1293 (brother in Yaj III. 135 should mean only full brother). 1301-3, 1313n (on Viśvajit sacrifice), 1317n, 1323 (example of atidesa in Pitamahasmrti), on killing an ātatāvin brāhmana, another practice prevailed in a former yuga 1272n, on Pränapratisthämantra 1106; on Nārada saving that fathar can give less or more to sons at partition declares that that was the case in a former yuga 1272n, says that in Puranas one often finds usages opposed to smrti 1278. text with notes ed. by the present author 1305, translation of V by the present author 1301n-3n

Vyavahāraprakāša (part of Vīramitrodaya), 1303, 1316n, 1320 (Māsamudganyāya), 1331 (rule of equal division), 1333 (holds rājan, a king, may be even a vaišya)

Vyusta meaning of, in Arthasas-

tra 647n.

-Vyutthāna, meaning of 1414n.

Waddell L. H., a. of 'Buddhism of Tibet or Lamaism' 1129 (describes nine mudras practised by Lamas)

Wadia, Ardesar Sorabji N., a. of 'Fate and Free will' 1604

W. Waerden Prof B. L. Van Der 543, 582n (on Babylonian Astronomy), 595n.

Wales H.-G. Quaritch, a of 'Towards Angkor 'and 'Making of Greater India' 1618n, 1657 Walker. E. D. a, of 're-incar-

mation' 1530n, 1604.

Walker Kenneth, a. of 'the Circle of Life' (on metempsychosis) 1531, 1605

Wallis, H W a of 'Cosmology of the Rgveda' 1485n

Warren, a. of 'Kālasankalita' 642n, 644, 661

Water Clocks used in India as early as the Vedanga Jyotisa 542.

Watkins, Harold, a. of 'Time counts the story of the calendar' 718n.

Watson, Prof. J. B a of 'Behaviourism' 1414n.

Watters, on 'Yuan Chwang's Travels in India' 1006n, 1040.

Wealth: Gautama and Manu lay down that the three higher varnas should respectively acquire w. by gifts, conquest and agriculture, which became myamas and must be held to be purusārtha and not kratvartha, 1192, 1233

Webb E J., a of 'The names of the stars' 550, 565n, 566, 582n, 595n.

Weber. A. a. of 'Der Vedischen Nachrichten von Der Naksa tras'; regarded Paulisa-siddhānta as borrowed from Paulis Alexandrinus, but was rebuked by Kern for this 515n, thought the religion of bhakt for Kisna was due to Christian influence but Barth disagrees 952n.

Week. (vide under 'day').

number of days in w. differed
among different ancient people
676, w. of seven days practised among Jews, Babylomans
and Incas 676.

Week Days (vide under 'horā, sabbath, calendar): actions proper to be done on different w. according to Brhatsamhitā, Garga and Atharvana Jyotisa 680-81, airangement of Hindu W not based on Greek division of day into horas, 571n, on ghatikās auspicious for all undertakings Wednesday, Monday, Thursday and Friday 62, 604, 682, combinations of certain tithis and W yield all rewards 70, combinations of certain W. tithis and naksatras bad for certain matters, 71; derived by Indians from Chaldeans acc to S B. Dixit 679n, derived in Europe ultimately from Babylonian gods, 683; Dio (200-220 A D) says W. originated in Egypt and were of recent introduction in his Upanayana day 677, for Tuesday and Saturday in-

auspicious 604, have no force at night in marriages 611, known in Italy before 79 A.D. literary evidence in Sanskrit on W. 680-82; no evidence that the Indian names of W. and the method of arriving at the order of the days is not indigenous 685, not named in Bible 677, not mentioned in Mahābhārata 682. not borrowed en bloc by India from outside 685; oldest reference to W. in Indian Inscriptions is of the Gupta year 165 (484 A.D) 680, one of the five angas of a pancanga 675, order of W takes no account of the distances of planets 678, origin and development of, 677-685, probable that W were known to Indians between 100 B.C to 100 A D 681, probably suggested to Indians from worship in Babylonian temples of planets on different week days 683. Ptolemy makes no astrological of W. 678, Sanskrit literature concerning W 681-2 , Sarton's view that Greeks had nothing to do with W but Egyptians and Babylonians developed W 678, section on, in Atharvana Jyotisa 681.

Welfare State implied by Art 38 in Constitution 1679 (vide under socialistic pattern of society), theoretical aims of 1695

Wells, H. G, a. of 'You can't be too careful' 1483n

Westcott G. H., a. of ' Kabır and

Kabir panth '969n.

Westermarck, a. of 'Origin and development of moral ideas' 1647n (on slavery).

Western Writers (vide under 'conjecture') on Sanskrit Literature and Indology draw positive conclusions from mere silence 858, prejudices about matters Indian 542, request to. to follow Mallinatha's rule 1531; severe strictures of W. against privileges and feeding of brāhmanas laid down more than a thousand years ago should not rely on 19th and 20th century notions should compare the position of brāhmanas with what was done bν Popes, Inquisitions. monastic orders in centuries from 10th to 15th century A.D. sımılarıtıes 932, m tutions. usages and other matters are often attributed to Indians borrowing by W. W. without hardly any cogent or positive evidence, but merely by conjecture and prejudice, 481-82.

Westaway F. W · a. of 'Obsessions and convictions of the human intellect' (1938), 1483n, holds that argument from design for God's existence carries a high degree of probability 1483n

Whitney 1, 5, 6, 12, 510, 512, 1191; W's derivation of vrata, from 'vrt' to proceed 1-5; had doubts about his theory of the derivation and meaning of 'vrata' owing to the verb

'mi' used with it 10; holds' that the praises bestowed on 'Nāsadīya hymn were nauseating 1491, makes very disparaging remarks about Indian achievements in astronomy and generally 512; suggested without any positive evidence that it was pre-Ptolemaic astronomy that was transmitted to India 517.

Widow (see Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act.) could adopt in Bengal provided husband's permission was proved 1336; could not adopt a son at all acc to Dattakamimāmsā and Vācaspati 1335-36: in Madras she could adopt with husband's permission given before his death or with the consent of the husband's agna-1336, remarriage of, allowed by Parāśarasmrtı but · Paräśaramādhavīya adds that that practice belonged to another yuga 1266n; widow requires no consent of husband nor of anyone else, provided the husband has not prohibited adoption by her, acc. to Nirnayasindhu, Vyavahāramayūkha 1336.

Wife: (vide under 'sacrifices'):
'exaggerated praise of chaste
wife's power is a mere arthavada 1598; Jaimini provides
that W. not being equal to the
husband in the knowledge of
mantras and ignorant, is restricted to the performance of
those acts only that are expressly enjoined on her such

as looking at the clarified butter, observing brahmacarya and repeat a few mantres which she should learn from her father or her husband 1287-88, W. gradually lost all importance in Vedic sacrifices and came to be a mere silent spectator of weary details 1288, many Smrti rules apply to W. also although the texts may employ a word in the masculine gender e g. Manu XI. 93 prohibiting men of three varnas from drinking surā was held to apply to wives also 1288,

Wilson, Prof. translator of Visnupurana 830n, 834n (gives differing lists of Upapuranas), 895n, a of 'Religion of the Hindus' 1532

Wilson J. A., author of 'Burden of Egypt' 490n

Wine drinker of, was regarded as one of five grave sinners in Chan Up 1079, high praise of W. as Tara, as Saviour of souls in Tantias 1682, not offered to gods in Vedic age, was differentiated from Soma and was deemed to lead to sin 1078

Winlock, H. E 490n

Winter Solstice occurred on Mägha amāvāsyā in the time of the Kausītaki Brāhmana, 49'n.

Winternitz, author of 'History of Indian Literature' (English tr 1927), 155n, 513, 1039 (Hindu Tantras not borrowed), 1606, Vol. I. 599n, 838 (on authenticity of sec-

Index 257

tions and verses of Mahābhāiata), 844, a of 'Some problems of Indian Literature 1650

Wolfe, Bertram De a of 'Krushchev and Stalin's Ghost' (1957), 1474n

Women advantages enjoyed by w in contrast to men 929 secure brahmajñāna bluos and moksa by listening to the Mahābhārata and Purānas, acc to Sankarācārva 931n different views as to whether homa could be performed by W 52, extravagant praise of women ın several Tantrık works, 1056, in Guiarat and other places tie silken amulets round the wrists of their brothers 128, no capacity to recite Vedic mantris 52 women had no votes in England till the first World War and in Switzerland even now they have none, 1664 and n . 1674 (drastic changes in the legal status of Hindn women by Acts of 1954 to 1956).

Wood, Ernest, a of 'Yoga', states that he once saw 'levitation' (laghimā) of an old Yogin 1452-3n

Woodroffe (Sir John) (vide under 'Arthur Avalon) a of 'Sakti and Sākta'. 1048n, 1080n, 1089, 1136n, a of 'Principles of Tantra' 1137, criticized 1078-1080, 1084-85, explains in an esoteric way the meaning of verse 'pītvā etc' by referring it to the awakening of Kundalinī) 1088n, 1032 and n, 1123,

wrote a foreword to Dr Rele's work in which he dissented from Dr Rele about Kundalini being the Vagus Nerve 1443.

Woods, Prof James H. trauslator of Yogasūtra, bhāsya etc 1394.

Woolf, Leonard a of 'Barbarians at the gate' 1619, a of 'Quack, quack' 1617.

Woolley, Sir Leonard, a of 'Sumerians' 482

World, various views about origin of, from Upanisad times 1573-74

World Calendar Association 714n, in New York founded by Miss Elisabeth Achelis 714n, recommendations of 714n

Word, has creative power and is one with God (acc to Rg X. 121 8), 1490

Words are divided by P M. S. ınto Nāmānı and Karmaśabdas. also called ākhyāta 1237: connection between words and senses is eternal 1203-4: import of, whether Jati (class) or Vyaktı (ındıvıdual) discussed in Vārtikas on Pānini 1157, import of, is akrti, acc. to Jaimini 1158; mīmānsakas hold that the word, denotation and the relation of these two are eternal 1205; Pānini made use of both Jatı and Vyaktı as ımport of words in different sūtras acc. to Mahābhāsya 1157-8, three senses of W viz primary (abhidhā), secondary, and suggested, owing to the three vrttis of abhidha, laksanā and vyanjanā, 1293, l Upavarsa held that it is the letters that constitute the word, 1205n.

Writers medieval, weakest points of, were lack of exact knowledge of history and chronology and obsession to glorify to the skies their favourite author or work 1177

Xerxes (486 B. C.-465 B. C.), 1613.

Yayeta analysis of the notions underlying the word y. occurring in sentences like 'Svargakāmo yajeta' (one desiring svarga should offer a sacrifice) 1235-38.

(vide under Devata) Yajña sacrifice is called leaky vessel by Mundaka Up. 1471), grhyasūtras prescribe rites to be offered in grhya fire, seven of which are called pakayajñas 1323n, Jaimini's position is that in a y the havis (offering) is the principal matter and devatā is a subordinate detail (guna) 1207, Kratu and difference hetween 1646, both words occur hundreds of times in Rg. 1646, Y means (acc to Sabara) 'giving up of a substance (dravya) deity intending it for a ın words' 1208n, notion grew that nothing great can be accomplished except by tapas or Y 1489 (texts cited), Rgveda in a few places asserts that offerings of ghee and fuel sticks in Y would yield great rewards 916; Sabara speaks of four Mahāyajñās viz. Agniho tra, Daršapūrnamāsa, Jyotistoma Pindapitryajūa 1323 n, Skandapurāna runs down not only animal sacrifices but even offerings of fuel sticks and flowers 916; Soma sacrifices are seven, acc. to Gaut. Dh. S 1323n, Vedic Y. usually divided into three varieties viz. Isti, Pašu and Soma, this last being divided into Ekāha, Ahīna, Sattra 1323v.

Yājñavalkya: three works (apart from the Smiti and Bi Up) connected with the name of Yāj, viz. Vrddha-Yāj, Yoga-Yājñavalkya and Brhad-yoga (or-gi)-Yājñavalkya and it is shown that none of these can be the Yogaśāstra mentioned in Yāj. Smrti (III. 110), 1404-1408.

Yājñavalkyasmrtı 27, 29-30, 33, 36, 48, 50, 52, 102u, 103, 123, 159n, 193, 210, 224, 246-7, 528, 536n, 538, 544, 678, 705, 748-49, 756n, 757, 789n, 817n, 825, 862, 865, 936-38, 945, 946n, 1023-24, 1032, 1063n, 1113, 1152, 1156n, 1181 (I. 51 explained by Viscarupa), 1230 (various views on Yaj. 1 79 and 81), 1234, 1248 (several examples of paryu dāsa in I. 129-166), 1251, 1256n, 1268n (conditions of 1270, 1274, 1284, nıyoga), 1303 (conflict 1286, 1292, digests), medieval among 1305, 1308, 1315n, 1317, 1388, 1403, 1416n, 1420, 1438, 1411, 1446-47 (angas of Yoga),

1457-9, 1482, 1507, 1555n (follows Br. Up), 1557-58, 1565 (uses word Samsāra), 1573 (five views m canses desnable undesirable results). 1590~ 1608. 1611. 1628. 91. 1632 (allows intermarriages between three varnas), 1645 (on sannyāsın), 1669, 1672. 1697 (truth and non-violence basic), 1704, declares that rise and fall of kings depends on planets 544. employs word 'vrata'in three senses 123. hardly ever refers to vratas in the sense in which Puranas use the word 123, mentions some Sāċkhya tenets such as gunas 1379, Viśvarūpa's com on, is called Balakrīdā 825n, 826n, 1155n

Yaksa, word occurs in Rg and Atharvaveda 1360n

Yaksakardama (unguent with five fragrant substances) 384.

Yale, John, edited What Vedanta means to me—a symposium 1707-8n

Yama smrtı of, 112n, 8 0n, 949n, 1257 (gāthās from Yama's Dharmaśāstra quoted by Anuśāsanaparva), 1381-82 (verses on Sāukhya quoted from), y, while mentioning 25 tattvas adds Purusottama as 26th tattva, 1382n

Yama and Yamī 209, 915, 1203 (dialogue)

Yama and Pitis 1554,

Yama, god of death, called Vaivasvata 692, seven or 14 names of, in tarpana on 14th in Dıvāli 196 and n, ten names of, m Bhrātidvitīyā 208n, wrong conclusions of western scholars from Yama and Yamī hymn 209

Yamadvitīyā, see Bhrātrdvitīyā. Yamas (vide 'niyama'); are obligatory or paramount duties for all, acc to Manu 29, are in the nature of prohibitions 1422. five m. by Yogasūtra, Kūrma, Linga 29n, 946n, 1419, 1420n; ten mentioned by Yaj. and Vaikhānasa 29. 946n: the observance of Yamas by all men may be called Vrata but there are exceptions for them. but in the case of Yogins rigorous observance without exceptions is called Mahāvrata 1420-21.

Yamas are based upon passages like Chān Up. III 17 4 and Br Up V 2 3, which asks all men to cultivate dama, dāna and dayā 1422

Yāmalas, works of Tantra class, 736 and n, number of, varied but often given as eight 736n.

Yāmunācārya preceptor of Rāmānuja's teacher 1165, ascribes Brahmasūtra to Bādarāyana 1166.

Yantra (geometrical diagram) or yantras (vide under cakra) 1135-1139, a characteristic in Tantra worship, sometimes called cakra 1135, bindu in the diagram of Śricakra represents Śakti or mūlaprakrti solidified 1137, derivation of the word 'Yautra' 1135, described in many tantra works and

some Parānas 1135n; difference between Mandala and Y. 1136; differently enumerated in different works 1137; distinction between Y and devatā is like that between body and soul, 1136; is engraved, drawn or painted on metal, stone, cloth, paper, birch leaf or other material with paste of sandalwood, camphor, musk, saffron and should be worn on head 1135, 1139: Kulārnavatantra and others say that the devatā is not pleased if it be worshipped without Y. 1135; most noted Y. is Śricakra 1136, Prapañcusāra tantra states that by the worship of a certain Y. a sādhaka can make a woman smitten with passion for him and draw her to him 1105, 1139, prescribed by even Saradatılaka for destruction of one's enemies 1138-39, Śricakra, described 1137; special 'Yantragāyatrī' evolved by some works 1135, used for making holy the bed of a woman in labour 1047; was supposed to restrain restlessness of mind due to anger, love etc and to induce concenworship tration 1136. Yantra is bahiranga-pūjā (external worship of Śakti), while antaryaga consists in taking awakened Kundalini from Mūlādhāra through all Calras 1137-38, Zimmer on Y in Myths and symbols in Indian Art' 1135

Yasaskara, king of Kashmir

(939-948 A. D.) in whose reign Tantrioism declined 1075 Yafastilaka Cami û (composed in 959 A.D.). 897n (on several ancient Sanskrit grammars), 1074 (refers to Dakşina and Yama margas of Tantra)

Yāska (see under Nirukta) 23, 720, 855, 1102, 1203 (Aithāsīka school of Vedic interpretation), 1256n. derives neksatre from root 'nakş' 510; flourished several centuries before Christ 984; holds thu Rgyeda mantras have a mening as they employ the same words as in ordinary Sanskrit 961-992

Yatı (vine Sannyāse and San nyāsin). in the Rgveda and relation to Indra 1386, y in the Upanişads 1387; was highly honoured and hence many persons quite unfit to lead life as Y entered the order 1644-15.

Yatindramata – dipikā 960n, 961n

Yātudhāns (one who employs , black magic) 1035-36

Yavanajātaka of Spihujidhvajā 563, 573n, 576, 577n, 589n, 581, 588n (vide vrddhayavanajātaka and Virihamihira): hed traditions about dreskānas diverent from Bihajajātake and Sukashi 583n styled as Yavanavara by Utpala 577n, 584n, 586n.

Yatrā: (vide under 'Practima',
'Yoga'); auspielous lagna (at
question time) and auspie ous

261

position of planets 619, commended on nine naksatras 619: if lagna at birth not known, lagna at question time to be taken as basis 618-619, inauspicious objects and persons on starting 622, starting on Yātrā facing Venus mauspicious 620, subjects included under 616-7, tithis commended for Y 619. three works of Varahamihira on Y 617, two meanings of word 'Yātiā, ' viz (1) starting on a pilgrimage or for earning wealth, (2) march of king for victory, 616, week days not astrologically important in Y 619, works on Yātrā 616-617, Yātrās of 385-86

Yavananarendra or Yavanendra, work of, mentioned by Bādarāyana, predecessor of Varāha, Varāha and Sārāvalı) 563n, 591

Yavanas, composed in Sanskrit works on astrology 563, 600, described in Karnaparva as all-knowing, valuant and different from mlecchas 829n, generously praised by Varāhamilira for proficiency in astrology but he says hardly anything about their astronomy 516-517, 600, generally quoted in the plural by Varahamilira who calls them mlecchas 594, 600, y Yugapuiāna 828, provided auspicious times for caula of a child in their astrological work 606, two large works in Sanskrit composed by Sphulidhvaja and Mīnarāja, who describe themselves as lords of Yavanas 516, 563, views of, on astrology, differed from Varāhamihira's on substantial matters, 516, 563, 601n, word Yavana is the Sanskrit reproduction of the word Ionia, 516n

Yavanān, meaning of word Y. changed 516n

Yavaneśvara q. by Utpala, 574, 588 (on moon's bala), 591 (on daśās), differences of, with Varāhamihira (vide under Varāhamihira).

Year (vide Barhaspatya cycle. Samvatsara) beginning Indian Y now in either Caitra or Kārtika but in different months in ancient times 659-60, Bārhaspatya year 658., Barbaspatya year names adopted at the beginning of a year in N. India and Deccan 661: Candra year (pure) of 354 days 657, Egyptian 646, extent of, ın Vedic times 488-491, four beginnings of Indian year, m, by Alberum 659, is said in the Brāhmana texts to have 360 days and 720 days and nights together 489; length of Barhaspatya year 661, length of y acc to Süryasıddhānta and modern sidereal year 711: lunar y is less than solar year by a little over 11 days 646; most ancient peoples including Indians followed Luni-solar Y 646, one human year supposed to be equal to a day

of gods in Tai Br. 656n; origin and information of cycle of Bärhaspatya year 661, samvatsara and varsa used in same sense by Aśoka 493; Saura year defined 657; Sāvana y. of 360 days 491, 658; several synonyms for, in the Veda 656; sidereal year, length of 645; thirteenth month recognized in the Rgyeda, other Vedas and Brāhmana texts 489; tropical year, length of 645.

Yeais W. B 1393.

Yeats Brown F, a. of 'Bengal Lancer' 1088, Illin, 1427.

Yeştihas, names of certain muhūrtas 538.

Yevtic, Paul, a. of 'Karma and Re-incarnation' 1605

Yoga (astrological conjunction) 619; for successful march against an enemy 919.

Yoga · (vide Amrtasiddhi, Vrtvuyoga, Dagdha-yoga); 4th anga in a pañcānga 704-7; yogas are 27 in number and make together 360 degree S, 1 e each yoga corresponds to 13 degrees and 20 minutes-being the sum of the longitudes of the Sun and Moon, 704 and n, in all - auspicious rites the first few ghatikās as specified should be avoided in the case of Viskambha, Vajra, Vyaghata and Atzganda 105; names of 27 Yogas beginning with Viskambha that are ever recurring 704; no direct astronomical phênomena correspond to it, 704, names of pine of the 27 yogas that are

condemned astrologically 705; other vogas than 27 are m. m pañcangas 707: rules as to observance of fasts, vratas and religious gifts and śrāddhas when a yoga extends over two days 705; special yogas when there is conjunction of certain tithis, naksatras and week days and of planets with rasis and naksatras 706; system of Y. ancient 705, Vyatīpāta 705: Y. called Vyatīpata and Vardhrti and the first half of Parigha and the first quarter of those the names of which are odious are mauspicious 705.

Yoga and Dharmasastra 1385-1467: (vide under āsanas, avidyā, Buddhist, Īśvara, Jaigīsavya, Levitation, prānāyāma, Yoga-sütras, Yogin) Y and Sänkhva often mentioned together in Sv. Up. Mahābhārata, Gītā, Padmapurāna 1385, angas of Y, are eight, first five called bahıranga and last three autaranga to Yoga 1418n, 1419n, 1445, asanas of two types one helpful in prānāyāma and meditation, the other helpful for physical culture and eradication of diseases 1430, asanas, references to figures of, 1393, concentration of mind effected by Trātaka 1428n, definition of 'Yoga' in 'Yogasūtra, Devala, Daksa and Visnupurana 1409n; derivation of the word Y. and of Yogin, 1385, 1389n; difference of views about the number of the angas of yoga, 1419 and n, discipline of the

Index 263

mind known to Ap Dh S 1390, doctrine of Y dilated upon in Santi and Asvamedhika parvans 1399-1405, eightfold Y acc to Santiparva 1400, first signs of the effectaveness of Y practice acc to Sv. Up. 1111: five bhūmis (conditions or stages) of citta (mind) 1409, five vittis of citta and the means for their suppression, viz Abhyāsa and Vairāgya 1409-10, fourfold axioms of, described as resembling Buddha's four Noble Truths 939n, fully developed at time of Kathopanisad 1387n, fundamental and peculiar characteristic of India and no other country has had a similar system of psychical and motal discipline 1651, fundamental idea of Y. 1s that individual soul is real, eternal and pure, but is immersed in the objective would and pursues transient ends and prescribes a rigorous discipline to secure the soul's isolation from avidyā 1414, germs of Y can be traced back to Rgveda 1385, goal of, is Kaivalya, that is, in that state the individual soul abides isolated in its own form and is free from prakiti and birth and death, the functions of the mind being mastered 1462, Hiranyagarbha said to be the ancient propounder of Yoga in Śantiparva 1390-91, ideal of Y is to be Jivanmukta 1455 (to reject life and Personality and to die to this

world); Kleśas (hindrances) are five, avidyā, asmitā, rāga dvesa and abhinivesa, they are minimised by tapas and the other two, 1415n, 1416; Kleśas are also called tamas, moha, mahāmoha, tāmisra and andhatāmisra 1409, 1415-17, 1572; meanings of the word Y. in Rgveda 1385-86, mentioned in some Upanisads 1387-88. Muni and Yati mean the same in Upanisads 1388. thing niyamas in Y. S. are five 1421; no spiritual power equal to Y. acc to Santiparva 1400, obstacles (antarāya) that cause distraction of the mind when a person is practising yoga, their companions and the means of preventing them, 1411-12. path of, difficult for even wise brāhmanas but a person of low varna or a woman can practise nt 1400, 1461-62; proper places for practice of yoga acc. Śāntiparva 1400, practices that are preliminaries to attainment of Yoga are tapas, svādhyāya and devotion to Isvara 1415: Purānas like Kūrma and Visnu give varying accounts about the propounding of Yogasāstra by Yaj. 1404, refuted in V.S. only as to its Sänkhya tenets ace to Sankarācārya, but part of it is acceptable, 1352, 1361, 1388, results of continuous practice of yamas and niyamas are set out in Y S. II. 35-45 as e g. when the aspirant is established in ahmisā, all men and animals give up enmity in

his presence 1423-24. Samādhi. samprajūāta (or sālambana or . sabija) and asamprajūāta (or , 'nıralambana and nirbija) and their subdivisions) 1411 and Sanatkumāra connected with Yoga by Anusasanapa-· rva 1391, several Puranas deal with the eight angas of. 1455; signs that a person . engaged in Yoga is succeeding in reaching higher and higher , spiritual levels mentioned in Sv. Up., Vaya and Markandeya 1456-57; system enjoyed and - enjoys greater esteem in India . than Sāńkhya 1385, system inculcates the doctrine that by control of prana in the body it is possible to obtain control deep-seated forces in human consciousness as well as in the world 1435, y. system, like medicine, has four aspects, viz, samsāra, cause of it, release from it and means of release 🚁 (samyag -darśana, insight into · Reality) 1418, systems of yoga are only two, viz. Pātanjalayoga and the other dealt with in Hathayogapradīpikā, the former concentrating on mind .. discipline and the latter on . health of body and freedom from diseases 1427-28; Vāyupurana provides remedies for , curing such rasults as deafness, blindness, dumbness, due to ignorant men indulging in piānāyāma and yogic practices without proper guidance.1061n, warning by Aldous Huxley against plethora of books on

Yoga 1651, word Yoga is used in an extended sense in the in Yogatattvopanisad and by some modern writers 1426-27; works and papers on Yoga in general 1393-94 Yāi Smrti states that realization of the self by means of Y. is the highest dharma 1459 : Yaj. Smrti says that for attaınıng Yoga one must learn the Aranyaka (that is Br. Up.) the Yogasastra, both proclaimed by him, but, it is a very debatable point which was the yogaśāstra composed by him. 1403-8. Yamas and Niyamas constitute a preliminary ethical code to be observed by an aspirant for yoga 1423.

Yogabhāsya of Vyāsa who is different from Vyasa, a. of Mahābhārata 1397-1399; date of, discussed, not later than 4th century A.D., 1398-99, mentioned on pp. 471 (on Kala), 939a. 1068a. 1111n, 1113. 1356, 1373, 1374n. 1392 (prefers Jaigisavya's view) 1398, 1402n, 1408-9, 1416-1418n, 1421, 1424n. 1437, 1439, 1443, 1449n, 1450n, 1452n, 1528-9, 1572 (four questions raised about Karma). Yogaçüdâmanı, a Yoga Upanısad 1389n

Yogaksema, meaning of, 543, used in Rgveda as one word or as separate words 1385-86. Yogamimānasē, Journal, ed. by

Yogaśastra, m by Śańkarācārya

on V. S. II 1 3, 1388. Yogaśāstra of Jama ācārya Hemacandra. 1441n

Yogasütra (vide āsanas, food, God, Patanjali, place, siddhis, Yoga, Yogabhāsya, Yogin, 471, 907, 939n, 946n, 1068n, 1111n, 1113n, 1364n, (kleśas). 1392, 1395 and n, 1401-3, 1409 (and on most pages up to 1455), 1572, 1601n, 1611, abhyāsa and Vairāgya defined and explained 1410-11, accepts and presupposes some of the sankhya doctrines, such as Pradhāna, gunas, individual souls, Kaivalya 1401-2, are difficult and do not give complete explanations but are in the nature of brief notes or hints that touse curiosity, examples 1459-60, (Dr.) Behanan's appraisal of Y practices based on experiments 1456, commentary Rajamartanda of Bhoja on 1377, 1394. 1395n, 1420n, 1424n, comm-Tattvavaišāradī entary Vācaspatī on Sūtra and bhāsya (vide Văcaspati also), 1394, commentary Yogasudhakara 1449n, 1420n. commits breach of grammar 1397, date of, discussed by various scholars with varying conclusions. but it is not later than 3rd century A \mathbf{p} 1395-1399 definition of Y does not require the japa of om or any mantra like Gāyatri, though smrtı, Atrı, Vasistha prescribe it, 1408-9n, 1442, Dhāranā, Dhyāna and Samādhi are direct auxiliaries of Y. and are three successive atages (vide those words) 1446, Dharmameghasamādhi in Y. 29, 1411. Dhyāna (vide under that word) 1447-1449, editions of Yogasütra, Bhasyn etc 1394. emphasis in Dhāranā, Dhyāna and Samādhi is mental, external conditions like cleanliness, tapas, sexual purity, asanas, restrictions as to quality and quantity of food do help but are subsidiary in Y. 1451, fourth Pāda deals with Kaivalya which means isolation of the soul from the gunas or as mere consciousness abiding by itself 1454-55, mentions by name no asana, thereby indicating that no particular asana is necessary for practice of Patan-1ala-Yoga, though Yogabhasya mention ten āsanas by name 1425, outline of the teachings of the Y S 408-455, Pranavāma-vide under that word pp 1432-1444, Pratyāhārathe fifth anga of Yoga (vide that word) pp. 1444-5; Samādhı (vide under that word) 1449-1451; Samyama is a technical term and stands for the three angas dhāranā. dhyāna and samādhi 1445, second pada of Y S most important for Dharmasastra and modern students 1414, some results of the Samyamas such as knowledge of seven worlds from Samyama on the sun specified 1452 statement of

Geraldine Coster about Y. S. that it contains information that some present day psychotherapists are seeking 1455; striking similarities between Y. S. and Gilà 1401; vibliūtipāda pāda is called and deals with supernormal powers (vibliuti) and with Dharana, Dhyana and Samadhi 1445; three means of proof (pramāna) 1409-10; view of Prof. P. A. Sorokin that the methods and techniques of Rājayoga contain nearly all the sound techniques of modern psychonnalysis, psychotherapy, education of character etc. 1456; tradition that the Patanjali of the Mahabhasya and author of Y.S are the same, discussed 1395ff; translations of Y. S., Yogabhāsya and of com. of Vacaspati elc. 1392-93; two sūtras of Y. S. quoted by Sankaracarya 1390, with six commentaries, published in Kashi S. series 1394.

Yogatattvopanisad 1427n, 1138n. Yoga Upanisads: are late works 1389n; twenty of them are published at Adyar 1389.

Yogavärtika of Vijñanabhiksu 1396n.

Yogaväsistha: a late eclectic work composed between 11th and 13 centuries A. D., though there are several views on its age, 1408 and n.

Yogavidhi, as leading to correct knowledge of Reality occurs in Kathopanisad VI 18 and in Raghuvanisa VIII. 22, 1461.

Yoga-Yājñavalkya vide under Yamas; edited in T. S. S. and by Mr. P C. Divanji 1404, is not the Yogaśāstra m. in Yāj. Smrti III. 110, 1404-1408, no evidence to hold that it was composed before 8th or 9th century A. D. 1406.

Yogatārā, meaning of 712n.

Yogavātrā of Varāhamihira: 526 (deals with Sakunas in Chap. 14), 529 (explains naksatras called ādya, karma, sānghātika, samudaya, vaināsika and mānasa) 530n, 531 (Šānti rite when naksatra affected), 533n; 545, 574 (on the gods and planets that are lords of the eight directions), 588, 593, 617-9, 621, 622 (mauspicious objects), 627n, 753 (on gra-769n, 778, 792, hayajña), 797n, 801, 804n; why so called 618n.

Yoga-Yajuavalkya 18n, 954n, 1122 (q. by Smrticandrikā on Nyāsa), 1421n, 1426n, 1436n Yogin · (vide under aparigraha, brahmacarya). belief in Säntiparva and of Sankarācārya that Y. could transfer himself to other bodies 1400; belief that Y. can vanish from people, see distant objects and hear in spite of great distance 1452; duties of Sannyāsın in Manu are applicable to Y. 1457; greatest emphasis laid on chastaty in thought, word and deed for the Y. or the seeker after brahman 1423; has to master sleep (nidra) also, 1410 and n, has to stick to aparigraha

267

1420, honour and disrespect should be treated by Y. as poison or nectar respectively 1457 . if individual possesses a tolerably sound body he need not devote time to asanas but may proceed with pranayama 1431, is said to repeat the Ajapā-japa by breathing in and out 1416n, places to be resorted to for practice of Yoga by Y and to be avoided 1431-32, proper behaviour for Y. (yogi-caryā) acc to Mārkandeya and Visnupurānas 1457, practitioner of Yoga is asked by Gītā (VI 13) to gaze steadily at the tip of his nose 1431, purity of mind follows on purity of food acc to Chan Up. (VII. 26 2) and Hārīta 1432, rules about food to be taken or avoided by one aspiring to be yogin in Gita, Devala etc. 1431 and n, 1432, Śāntiparva on who is a real vogin 1457-58, state of Y in Samādhi described 1451, three classes of, acc. to Vaikhanasasmärta-sütra and their subdivisions 1418n, while practising dhāranā, dhyāna. samādhı, Y may develop certain supernormal powers. but Y. is advised to ignore them as they are hindrances in the way of his goal (Y.S III 36), 1451, Y. and Sannyāsın have been paid highest honours ın India through centuries 1458 Yogin, acc, to Gita (VI. 46), is superior to Tapasvin, Jaanin

and Karmin 1458-59, Y. residing in a country makes it holy if he has profound knowledge of Yoga, acc. to Daksa 1459

Yoginîhrdaya (last three chapters of Nityāsodasikārnava are so called) 1144.

Yoginis, temple of, 1046n.

Yogınıtantra 1080n, 1117 (condemns lunar eclipse for dikşā), 1124n.

Yoh (vide under Sam), occurs by itself three times in Reveda and is often joined to Sam, 719-20

Yuan Chwang (often written as Hiouen Thsang or Hsuan Thsang): Chinese traveller, 262, 1006n is silent about Rājyavardhana, 1009 (about Pusyamitra and Śaśānka), 1017 (about Harsa having prohibited taking of life by threat of heavy penalties), 1018, 1040 (story how the pilgrim was to be sacrificed to Durgā but was saved by a storm).

Yudhisthira, prevaricated and caused the death of his brahmana guru Drona 1281.

Yuga (see Kalpa, Manvantara), different goals and motives in different Yugas, 696, duration of four yugas acc to Manu 688-89; extent of each of four Y. was same acc. to Aryabhata, 696, four y. named 687, four y. are not watertight specific periods of time but the ruler can create conditions of Krta age in what

is popularly held to be Kalı l 696; four years named in . Brāhmanas are brought close relation to the four Caturmāsvas 487: Kautilva mention yuga of five years 487. length of human life and duties differed in each of four yugas 788n, length of Y. acc. - to Sūryasıddhānta, the correct sideral year (nāksatra Y.) and equinoctial year 711; Mahābhārata knows y of five years 488, yuga, means 'a period of five years' in Vedangajyotisa 486, names of five years in a cycle of five years 487, y. denotes 12000 years, Pıtāmahasıdn, anddhanta stated that yuga means five years and an intercalary month was added after thirty months 488, some divergences in the theory of yugas, etc. 696-697; manyantaras superiority of Kaliyuga over others in certain respects 928; theory of yugas, manvantaras, kalpas elaborated in Mahābhārata, Manusmrti and Purānas and concerns only Bhāratavarșa 687-688; two senses of 'yuga' prominent in the Rgveda, a short period and a very long one 486, 686; underlying idea of theory of Yugas and Kalpas 695-696.

Yugāditithis 386, Aksayyatrtīyā is one of them 89, śrāddha performed on Y. yields inexhaustible gratification to pitrs 89

Yugantyatithis 386.

Yugmavākya 480, ascribed to different sources by differ ent writers 75n: cited and explained 74-75, express texts will govern where Y, not applicable or other considerations may apply 75, 77; many exceptions recognised to, 75-77; rites for pitrs are not governed by Y , 76, various views about its application, 75, rules forbidding the partaking of oil, meat or the like on the fixed tithi govern whole tithi, whether spread over two days or more, 77.

Yugapurāna (part of Gārgī-samhitā), characteristics of Kaliyuga in Y. closely resemble Vanaparva description, one half verse being same 827, description of the contents of, 826-8, date of, acc to Jayaswal 828, Kern brought Y to notice of scholars 826, K H Dhruva on Y. 826, monograph of Prof. D. K. Mankad on Y 826-7, purely historical portion of, in 115 lines published by K P. Jayaswal 826

Yukthdīpikā, Com. on Sānkhyakārikā, 1182n, 1354, 1355 (between 500 A D and 700 A.D.), 1359n, 1377, 1421 (putsākalkatā) 'absence of crookedness' in place of aparigraha among yamas).

Yuktikalpataru of Bhoja, 1654 Yuktisnehaprapūranī 1283n; com. on Sāstradīpikā 1194n; severely criticizes Vārtikakāra (i e. Kumārila) 1194n.

Z. D. M. G. 647, 1132n, 1434n.

(vide under Pingala), escaped even the greatest Greeks. Pythagoras, Euclid and Archimedes 700, Egyptrans had no sign for Zero and therefore no positional notation 700, it is difficult to say when a symbol for zero was first discovered in India but the word Sunya for Z occurs in Pingala's work 700, Prof. Neugebauer asserts that a pecultar sign was used for Z in Mesopotamian cuneiform texts but answers are required to certain questions 700n, several Sanskrit words suggestive of zero, such as śūnya, pūrņa, kha, gagana etc 702.

Zimmer, Heinrich, 1150 (on 'Philosophies of India'), a of 'Art of Indian Asia', allegation of, that the epithet 'vamacara' was applied by the orthodox partisans of Yoga is shown to be wrong, 1054, 1088, criticized as making a wrong generalization on very slender evidence when he avers that throughout the first millenium A D Tantric rites were a basic element of normal Indian experience 1088, on Yantra (diagram for worship) in 'Myths and symbols in Indian art) etc. 1135n, 1656

Zinner Prof. E, a of 'Stars above us' 551, 565n, 579, 636, 690.

(see under 'rāsis', Zodiac horoscope) Babylonian origin of, taken for granted by most scholars 566; Egyptians knew nothing about Z. before Ale xandrian age 549, 581-82; is belt in the sky about 16 degrees broad divided into two by the ecliptic in which the Sun, Moon, Planets move 594: signs of, all twelve, known in Babylonia at least from about 6th century B. C. 596, signs of, origin unknown 565-6, 595. signs of, appear in Hittite texts of 13th century B C and are found on boundary stones 595. signs of have names in China and Japan different from those ın Greece and India 565, signs of, Greek, Latin, French names of, and bhavas (houses in horoscope) 585, signs of, two meanings of 594-95, some signs of Z are found drawn on railing pillars of Buddha-Gayā dated about 1st century B. C. closely resembling Babylonian signs, on monuments etc 598. Webb holds that Zodiac as known to us is a Greek invention 566, the wheel of rta spoken of in Rg is construed as referring to Z but it is most difficult to keep in view twelve divisions of it correctly 488-489, 596, word derived from a Greek word 'Zodion' meaning little animals 594.